

This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + Refrain from automated querying Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at http://books.google.com/







٠			

-		٠	



.. LL.D.

.. LL.D.

·			
	·		

THE NEW

SCHAFF-HERZOG ENCYCLOPEDIA

OF

RELIGIOUS KNOWLEDGE

EDITED BY

SAMUEL MACAULEY JACKSON, D.D., LL.D.

(Editor-in-Chief)

WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF

CHARLES COLEBROOK SHERMAN

[VOLUMES I—VI]

AND

GEORGE WILLIAM GILMORE, M.A.

(Associate Editors)

AND THE FOLLOWING DEPARTMENT EDITORS

CLARENCE AUGUSTINE BECKWITH, D.D.
(Department of Systematic Theology)

HENRY KING CARROLL, LL.D.

(Department of Minor Denominations)

JAMES FRANCIS DRISCOLL, D.D.

(Department of Liturgics and Religious Orders)

JAMES FREDERIC McCURDY, PH.D., LL.D. (Department of the Old Testament)

HENRY SYLVESTER NASH, D.D.

(Department of the New Testament)

ALBERT HENRY NEWMAN, D.D., LL.D.

(Department of Church History)

FRANK HORACE VIZETELLY, LL.D., F.S.A. (Department of Pronunciation and Typography)

Complete in Twelve Volumes

FUNK AND WAGNALLS COMPANY
NEW YORK AND LONDON

Making.

THE NEW

SCHAFF-HERZOG ENCYCLOPEDIA

OF

RELIGIOUS KNOWLEDGE

EDITED BY

SAMUEL MACAULEY JACKSON, D.D., LL.D.

(Editor-in-Chief)

WITH THE SOLE ASSISTANCE, AFTER VOLUME VI., OF

GEORGE WILLIAM GILMORE, M.A.

(Associate Editor)

AND THE FOLLOWING DEPARTMENT EDITORS

CLARENCE AUGUSTINE BECKWITH, D.D.

(Department of Systematic Theology)

HENRY KING CARROLL, LL.D.
(Department of Minor Denominations)

JAMES FRANCIS DRISCOLL, D.D.

(Department of Liturgics and Religious Orders)

JAMES FREDERIC McCURDY, PH.D., LL.D. (Department of the Old Testament)

HENRY SYLVESTER NASH, D.D.
(Department of the New Testament)

ALBERT HENRY NEWMAN, D.D., LL.D. (Department of Church History)

FRANK HORACE VIZETELLY, LL.D., F.S.A. (Department of Pronunciation and Typography)

VOLUME XII
TRENCH — ZWINGLI
APPENDIX

FUNK AND WAGNALLS COMPANY
NEW YORK AND LONDON

THE NEW YORK
PUBLIC LIBRARY

329790B

ASTOR, LENOX AND
TILDEN POUNDATIONS
1945

COPYRIGHT, 1912, BY
FUNK & WAGNALLS COMPANY

Registered at Stationers' Hall, London, England

Printed in the United States of America
Published March, 1912

PREFACE

It is now eight years and a half since this encyclopedia was begun. Unbroken harmony has characterized my relations with the members of the staff, and I take this opportunity to return my heartfelt thanks for their devotion and interest, which have made each day's work a pleasure.

The following persons whose names are not elsewhere mentioned have contributed for longer or shorter periods their services as translators: Edwin B. Chilton, William Lloyd Bevan, Ph.D., Abram Lipsky, the Rev Charles Adam Mohr, B.A., Daniel Longs Peacock, Mrs. L. de Quesada, and Simeon Strunsky, B.A.; and as assistant office editors: Hubert Evans, Ph.D., Frederick W. Humphrey, and Charles Joseph Gillen.

Two persons have greatly helped us to correct errors into which, notwithstanding our care, we have fallen: Bernhard Pick, Ph.D., D.D., for vols. i. and ii., and Rev. Malborne W. Graham, of Williams, Ohio, for all the volumes. The mistakes which these and others have pointed out have been frankly acknowledged in the succeeding volumes and corrected. It is to be hoped that other publishers of encyclopedias will pursue this plan, thus enabling the purchasers of the first editions of their works to be at least in part on a footing of equality with the purchasers of later editions.

In this connection I thank Mr. Isidor Furst, proof-reader for the Publishers Printing Company, whose skill and watchfulness have united to give the public the typographical accuracy which I believe these volumes can boast. Thanks are also due to Professor E. A. A. O. A. von Dobschuetz, of the University of Breslau, for his contributions to the accuracy and completeness with which the sketches of contemporary German theologians are furnished.

But my closing word must concern the Rev. George Willam Gilmore, the associate editor from the beginning to the end and the managing editor of the last six volumes. He brought to the work wide knowledge, especially in the two little-cultivated fields of comparative religion and bibliography. He has shared, however, in all the other departments of this encyclopedia as translator and collaborator. It is only truth to say that it is due largely to his devotion and remarkable intelligence and learning that the work is so worthy the confidence of the public.

SAMUEL MACAULEY JACKSON, *Editor-in-Chief*.

FEBRUARY 14th, 1912.

·		

EDITORS

SAMUEL MACAULEY JACKSON, D.D., LL.D.

(EDITOR-IN-CHIEF.)

Professor of Church History, New York University.

GEORGE WILLIAM GILMORE, M.A.

(Associate Editor.)

New York,

Formerly Professor of Biblical History and Lecturer on Comparative Religion, Bangor Theological Seminary.

DEPARTMENT EDITORS, VOLUME XII

CLARENCE AUGUSTINE BECKWITH, D.D.,

(Department of Systematic Theology.) Professor of Systematic Theology, Chicago Theological Seminary.

HENRY KING CARROLL, LL.D.,

(Department of Minor Denominations.)

Secretary of Executive Committee of the Western Section for the Fourth Ecumenical Methodist Conference.

JAMES FRANCIS DRISCOLL, D.D.,

(Department of Liturgics and Religious Orders.) Rector of St. Gabriel's, New Roehelle, N. Y.

JAMES FREDERICK McCURDY, Ph.D., LL.D.,

(Department of the Old Testament.) Professor of Oriental Languages, University College, Toronto.

HENRY SYLVESTER NASH, D.D.,

(Department of the New Testament.) Professor of the Literature and Interpretation of the New Testament, Episcopal Theological School, Cambridge, Mass.

ALBERT HENRY NEWMAN, D.D., LL.D.,

(Department of Church History.)
Professor of Church History, Southwestern Baptist
Theological Seminary, Fort Worth, Tex.

FRANK HORACE VIZETELLY, LL.D., F.S.A.,

(Department of Pronunciation and Typography.) Managing Editor of the STANDARD DICTIONARY, etc., New York City.

CONTRIBUTORS AND COLLABORATORS, VOLUME XII

WILLIAM HENRY ALLISON, Ph.D.,

Dean of the Faculty and Professor of Ecclesiastical History Theological Seminary, Colgate University, Hamilton, N. Y.

HANS ANSTEIN,

Secretary of the Evangelical Missionary Society, Basel.

CARL FRANKLIN ARNOLD, Ph.D., Th.D.,

Professor of Church History, University of Breslau. CLARENCE AUGUSTINE BECKWITH, D.D.,

Professor of Systematic Theology, Chicago Theological Seminary.

GEORG BEER, Ph.D., Th.Lic., Professor of Old-Testament Exegesis, University of Heidelberg.

JOHANNES BELSHEIM (†), Late Pastor in Christiania.

KARL BENRATH, Ph.D., Th.D., Professor of Church History, University of Königsberg.

> IMMANUEL GUSTAV ADOLF BENZINGER, Ph.D., Th.Lic.,

German Orientalist and Vice-Consul for Holland in Jerusalem.

DANIEL BERGER, D.D.,

Clergyman of the United Brethren in Christ, Dayton, O., formerly Editor in the United Brethren Publishing House.

CARL BERTHEAU (†), Th.D., Late Pastor of St. Michael's, Hamburg.

WILLIBALD BEYSCHLAG (†), Th.D., Late Professor of Theology, University of Halle.

RUDOLPH MICHAEL BINDER, Ph.D., Lecturer in Sociology, New York University.

FREDERICK MEYER BIRD (†),

Late Professor of Psychology, Christian Ethics, and Rhetoric, Lehigh University, South Bethlehem, Pa.

EDWIN MUNSELL BLISS, D.D. Author of Books on Missions, Washington, D. C.

THEODORA CROSBY BLISS,

Writer on Missions, Washington, D. C.

SARA D. BLUXOME.

Former General Secretary, Daughters of the King.

HEINRICH BOEHMER, Ph.D., Th.Lic.,

Professor of Church History, University of Bonn.

AMY GASTON CHARLES AUGUSTE BONET-MAURY, D.D., LL.D.,

Professor of Church History, Independent School of Divinity, Paris.

GOTTLIEB NATHANAEL BONWETSCH, Th.D.,

Professor of Church History, University of Göttingen.

GUSTAV BOSSERT, Ph.D., Th.D., Retired Pastor, Stuttgart.

CHARLES AUGUSTUS BRIGGS, D.D., Litt.D.,

Professor of Theological Encyclopedia and Symbolics, Union Theological Seminary, New York.

FRANTS PEDER WILLIAM BUHL, Ph.D., Th.D.,

Professor of Semitic Languages, University of Copenhagen. KARL RITTER VON BURGER (†), Th.D.,

Late Supreme Consistorial Councilor, Munich.

CARL CAMENISCH, Ph.D., Professor at the Oberrealschule, Basel.

HENRY KING CARROLL, LL.D.,

Secretary of the Executive Committee of the Western Section for the Fourth Ecumenical Methodist Conference.

JACQUES EUGÈNE CHOISY, Th.D., Professor of Church History, University of Geneva.

PAUL CHRIST (†), Th.D.,

Late Professor of Systematic and Practical Theology, University of Zurich.

FRANCIS ALBERT CHRISTIE, D.D., Professor of Church History, Meadville Theological School, Meadville, Pa.

FRANCIS EDWARD CLARK, D.D., LL.D., Founder of the United Society of Christian Endeavor, Boston.

JOSEPH BOURNE CLARK, D.D., Editorial Secretary of the Congregational Home Missionary Society, New York.

> OTTO CONSTANTIN CLEMEN, Ph.D., Th.Lic.,

Gymnasial Professor at Zwickau.

ALBERTO CLOT, D.D.,

Professor of French Literature in the State University of Palermo, Italy.

FERDINAND EDUARD THEODOR COHRS, Th.D.,

Consistorial Councilor, Ilfeld, Germany.

ALEXIS IRÉNÉE DU PONT COLEMAN, M.A.,

Instructor in English, College of the City of New York.

HENRY COWAN, D.D., D.C.L.,

Professor of Church History, University of Aberdeen, Scotland.

EUGENE RICHARD COX, Member of Christian Science Committee on Publication.

THOMAS WITTON DAVIES, Ph.D., D.D., Professor of Semitic Languages, University College of North Wales, Bangor.

SAMUEL MARTIN DEUTSCH (†), Th.D., Late Professor of Church History, University of Berlin.

LUDWIG DIESTEL (†), Ph.D., Late Professor of Theology, University of Tübingen.

PAUL GOTTFRIED DREWS, Th.D., Professor of Practical Theology, University of Halle. JAMES FRANCIS DRISCOLL, D.D., Pastor of St. Gabriel's, New Rochelle, N. Y.

SAMUEL AUGUSTUS WILLOUGHBY DUFFIELD (†),

Late Presbyterian Minister, Bloomfield, N. J.

THEODOR ELZE (†), Th.D., Late Pastor in Venice.

JOHN OLUF EVJEN, Ph.D., Professor of Theology, Augsburg Seminary, Minneapolis, Minn.

CHRISTIAN THEODOR FICKER, Ph.D., Pastor Emeritus, Leipsic.

GERHARD PAUL FICKER, Ph.D., Th.D., Professor of Church History, University of Kiel.

JOHANNES FICKER, Ph.D., Th.D.,

Professor of Church History, Evangelical Theological Faculty, University of Strasburg.

GUSTAV WILHELM FRANK (†), Th.D., Late Professor of Dogmatics, Symbolics, and Christian Ethics, University of Vienna.

ALBERT FREYBE (†), Ph.D., Th.D., Late Gymnasial Professor, Parchim, Mecklenburg.

EMIL ALBERT FRIEDBERG (†), Th.D., Dr.Jur.,

Late Professor of Ecclesiastical, Public, and German Law, University of Leipsic.

GEORGE WILLIAM GILMORE, M.A.,

Former Professor of Biblical History and Lecturer on Comparative Religion, Bangor Theological Seminary, Bangor, Me.

FRANZ GOERRES, Ph.D.,

Assistant Librarian, University of Bonn.

WILHELM GUSTAV GOETERS,

Privat-docent in Church History, University of Halle.

WILHELM GOETZ (†), Ph.D., Late Honorary Professor of Geography, Technical High School, and Professor, Military Academy, Munich.

RICHARD JAMES HORATIO GOTTHEIL, Ph.D.,

Professor of Semitic Languages, Columbia University, New York.

GEORG GRUETZMACHER, Ph.D., Th.Lic., Extraordinary Professor of Historical Theology and of New-Testament Exegesis, University of Heidelberg.

RICHARD HEINRICH GRUETZMACHER, Th.D.,

Professor of Systematic Theology, University of Rostock.

EDWARD GUEDER (†), Th.D., Late Pastor, Canton of Bern, Switzerland.

RUDOLF GUENTHER, Th.Lic.,

Privat-docent in Practical Theology, University of Marburg. HERMANN GUTHE, Ph.D., Th.D.,

Extraordinary Professor of Old-Testament Exegesis, University of Leipsic.

WILHELM HADORN, Th.Lic.,

Pastor in Bern and Lecturer on New-Testament Exegesis. University of Bern.

EDWARD EVERETT HALE (†), S.T.D., LL.D.,

Late Author and Minister of the South Congregational (Unitarian) Church, Boston.

FRANCIS JOSEPH HALL, D.D.,

Professor of Dogmatic Theology, Western Theological Seminary, Chicago, Ill.

JOHN TAYLOR HAMILTON, D.D.,
Missionary Bishop, Unity of the Brethren, Herrnhut, Saxony.
ALBERT HAUCK, Ph.D., Th.D., Dr.Jur.,

Professor of Church History, University of Leipsic, Editorin-chief of the Hauck-Herzog Realencyklopadie.

JOHANNES HAUSSLEITER, Ph.D., Th.D., Professor of the New Testament, University of Greifswald.

WILLIAM FRANKLIN HEIL,
Bishop of the United Evangelical Church, Highland Park, Ill.

CARL FRIEDRICH GEORG HEINRICI, Ph.D., Th.D.,

Professor of New-Testament Exegesis, University of Leipsic.

HRINRICH HRRMELINK, Ph.D., Th.Lic.,

Privat-docent in Church History, University of Leipsic.

ROBERT W. HILL,

Of Universalist Young People's Union.

PAUL HINSCHIUS (†), Th.D., Dr.Jur., Late Professor of Ecclesiastical Law, University of Berlin.

GUSTAV HOENNICKE, Ph.D., Th.D.,
Extraordinary Professor of New-Testament Exegosis,
University of Breslau.

HEINRICH FRIEDRICH MAX HOFFMANN, Ph.D., Th.Lic.,

Privat-docent in Church History, University of Leipsic.

OSWALD HOLDER-EGGER (†), Ph.D., Late Professor at Berlin and Director for the Publication of the Monumenta Germania historica, Berlin.

HEINRICH JULIUS HOLTZMANN (†), Ph.D., Th.D.,

Late Professor of New-Testament Exegesis, Evangelical Theological Faculty, University of Strasburg.

EWALD HORN, Ph.D.,

Director of the Information Bureau for Higher Education, Berlin.

LOUISE SEYMOUR HOUGHTON,
Author and Translator.

AUGUST WILHELM REINHARD EMIL HUNZINGER, Ph.D., Th.D.,

Professor of Dogmatics, Apologetics, and Theological Encyclopedia, University of Erlangen.

HEINRICH FRIEDRICH JACOBSON (†), Th.D.,

Late Professor of Law, University of Königsberg.

HARRY JEFFS,

Editor of The Christian World Pulpit, London.

ARTHUR NEWTON JOHNSON, M.A., Home Secretary of the London Missionary Society.

GUSTAV ADOLF JUELICHER, Ph.D., Th.D.,
Professor of Church History and New-Testament Exegesis,
University of Marburg.

MARTIN KAEHLER, Th.D.,

Professor of Dogmatics and New-Testament Exegesis, University of Halle.

DEMETRIUS KALOPOTHAKES, Ph.D., Athens, Greece.

ADOLF HERMANN HEINRICH KAMPHAUSEN (†), Th.D.,

Late Professor of Old-Testament Exegesis, University of Bonn.

EMIL FRIEDRICH KAUTZSCH (†), Ph.D., Th.D.,

Late Professor of Old-Testament Exegesis, University of Halle. PETER GUSTAV KAWERAU, Ph.D., Th.D.,

Supreme Consistorial Councilor, Provost of St. Peter's, Berlin, and Honorary Professor, University of Berlin.

DIETRICH KERLER (†), Ph.D., Late Head Librarian, Würzburg.

OTTO KIRN (†), Ph.D., Th.D.,

Late Professor of Dogmatics, University of Leipsic.

RUDOLF KITTEL, Ph.D., Th.D.,

Professor of Old-Testament Exegesis, University of Leipsic.

GEORGE THOMSON KNIGHT (†), D.D., Late Professor of Christian Theology, Tufts College, Mass.

KARL THEODOR RUDOLF BERNHARD KOCH,

Pastor in Rehweiler, Bavaria.

JUSTUS ADOLF KOEBERLE (†), Th.D., Late Professor of the Old Testament, University of Rostock.

THEODOR FRIEDRICH HERMANN KOLDE, Ph.D., Th.D.,

Professor of Church History, University of Erlangen.

HERMANN GUSTAV EDUARD KRUEGER, Ph.D., Th.D.,

Professor of Church History, University of Glessen.

LUTHER M. KUHNS,

General Secretary, Luther League of America.

EUGEN LACHENMANN,

City Pastor in Leonberg, Württemberg.

JOSIAH PENNABECKER LANDIS, Ph.D., LL.D.,

President of Bonebrake Theological Seminary, Dayton, O.

GEORG RITTER VON LAUBMANN (†), Ph.D.,

Late Director of the Royal Library, Munich.

GOTTHARD VICTOR LECHLER (†), Ph.D., Th.D.,

Late Professor of Theology, University of Leipsic.

WILLIAM LEE (†), D.D.,

Late Professor of Church History, University of Glasgow.

WILHELM JOHANNES LEIPOLDT, Ph.D., Th.D.,

Professor of New-Testament Exegesis, University of Kiel.

LUDWIG LEMME, Th.D.,
Professor of Systematic Theology, University of Heidelberg.

C. H. D'E. LEPPINGTON,

o. H. D.B. HEITINGTON,

Fellow of the Royal Economic Society, England.

ALBERT LIENHARD,

Pastor in Wickersheim, Lower Alsace.

GEORG KARL DAVID LOESCHE, Ph.D., Th.D.,

Th.D.,
Professor of Church History, Evangelical Theological
Faculty, University of Vienna.

JOHANN LOSERTH, Ph.D.,

Professor of History, University of Graz.

WILHELM PHILIPP FRIEDRICH FERDINAND LOTZ, Ph.D., Th.D.,

Professor of Old-Testament Exegesis, University of Erlangen. ERNEST CHRISTIAN MARGRANDER.

D.C.,

Chancellor to the Orthodox Catholic Archbishop of America.

PAUL MEHLHORN, Ph.D., Th.D., Pastor of the Reformed Church, Leipsic. PHILIPP MEYER, Th.D.,
Supreme Consistorial Councilor, Manover.

CARL THEODOR MIRBT, Th.D.,
Professor of Church History, University of Marburg.

JACOB ISIDOR MOMBERT, D.D., Author, Paterson, N. J.

JOHN HENRY MOORE.

Elder and Bishop of the Dunker Church, Editor of The Gospel Messenger, Elgin, Ill.

RICHARD CARY MORSE, M.A.,
General Secretary of the International Committee of the
Young Men's Christian Association, New York.

ERNST FRIEDRICH KARL MUELLER, Th.D.,

Professor of Reformed Theology, University of Erlangen.

GEORG MUELLER, Ph.D., Th.D., Inspector of Schools, Leipsic.

JOSEPH THEODOR MUELLER, Th.D., Keeper of the Archives of the Unity of the Brethren, Herrnhut.

CHRISTOF EBERHARD NESTLE, Ph.D., Th.D.,

Professor in the Theological Seminary, Maulbronn, Württemberg.

KARL JOHANNES NEUMANN, Ph.D.,Professor of Ancient History, University of Strasburg.

ALBERT HENRY NEWMAN, D.D., LL.D., Professor of Church History, Southwestern Baptist Theological Seminary, Fort Worth, Texas.

THEODOR JULIUS NEY, Th.D., Supreme Consistorial Councilor, Speyer, Bavaria.

CHRISTIAN VON PALMER (†), Th.D., Late Professor of Theology, Tübingen.

> CARL PESTALOZZI (†), Ph.D., Late Pastor in Zurich.

> > CARL PFENDER,

Pastor of St. Paul's Evangelical Lutheran Church, Paris.

ERWIN FRIEDRICH WILHELM FERDINAND PREUSCHEN, Ph.D., Th.D., Pastor at Hirschhorn-on-the-Neckar, Germany.

PAUL MARTIN RADE, Th.D.,
Extraordinary Professor of Systematic Theology, University of Marburg.

HERMANN RAHLENBECK,
Pastor in Cologne.

EDWIN MORTIMER RANDALL, D.D., General Secretary, Epworth League.

MATTHEW BROWN RIDDLE, D.D., LL.D.,

Professor of New-Testament Exegesis, Western Theological Seminary, Pittsburg, Pa.

CHRISTIAN GEORG RIETSCHEL, Th.D.,
Professor of Practical Theology and University Preacher,
University of Leipsic.

SIEGFRIED RIETSCHEL, Dr.Jur., Professor of German Law, University of Tübingen.

ALBRECHT BENJAMIN RITSCHL (†), Ph.D., Dr. Jur.,

Late Professor of Theology, University of Göttingen.

ARNOLD RUEEGG (†),

Late Pastor at Birmensdorf and Lecturer at the University of Zurich, Switzerland.

GEORG WILHELM RUNZE, Ph.D., Th.D., Extraordinary Professor of the Philosophy of Religion and Systematic Theology, University of Berlin.

CARL VICTOR RYSSEL (†), Ph.D., Th.D., Late Professor of Theology, University of Zurich.

ERNST SCHAEFER, Ph.D., Editor of Der alte Glaube, Leipsic.

PHILIPP HEINRICH WILHELM THEODOR SCHAEFER, Th.D.,

Head of the Deaconess Institute, Altona, Prussia.

DAVID SCHLEY SCHAFF, D.D.,
Professor of Church History, Western Theological Seminary,
Pittaburg, Pennsylvania.

PHILIP SCHAFF (†), D.D., LL.D., Late Professor of Church History, Union Theological Seminary, New York.

> OTTO YEOUAN SCHMID, New York City.

REINHOLD SCHMID, Th.Lic.,
Pastor at Oberholzheim, Württemberg.

ARTHUR BENNO SCHMIDT, Dr.Jur.,
Professor of German and Ecclesiastical Law, University of
Giesson.

CARL WILHELM ADOLF SCHMIDT (†), Th.D.,

Late Professor of Theology, University of Strasburg.

CHARLES SCHNETZLER,
Pastor at Cormoret, Canton of Bern.

KARL SCHOTTENLOHER, Ph.D.,
Assistant at the Royal Library, Munich.

MAXIMILIAN VICTOR SCHULTZE, Th.D.,

Professor of Church History and Christian Archeology, University of Greifswald.

LUDWIG THEODOR SCHULZE, Ph.D., Th.D.,

Retired Professor of Dogmatics and Ethics, University of Rostock.

EDMUND ALEXANDER DE SCHWEINITZ (†), D.D.,

Late Bishop of the Unity of the Brethren, Bethlehem, Pa.

REINHOLD SEEBERG, Ph.D., Th.D.,Professor of Systematic Theology, University of Berlin.

EMIL SEHLING, Dr.Jur.,

Professor of Ecclesiastical and Commercial Law, University of Erlangen.

ERNST SELLIN, Ph.D., Th.D.,
Professor of the Old Testament, University of Rostock.

ISAAC SHARPLESS, B.S., LL.D., L.H.D., President of Haverford College, Haverford, Pa.

> HENRY FOX SHUPE, D.D., Editor of The Watchword, Dayton, O.

FRIEDRICH ANTON EMIL SIEFFERT ('), Ph.D., Th.D.,

Late Professor of New-Testament Exegesis, University of Bonn.

AGNES G. SMITH,

Of The Volunteers of America.

NEWMAN SMYTH, D.D.,

Pastor Emeritus of the First Congregational Church, New Haven, Conn.

PHILIPP FRIEDRICH ADOLPH
THEODOR SPAETH (†), D.D., LL.D.,
Late Professor in the Lutheran Theological Seminary,
Mount Airy, Philadelphia.

RMIL BLIAS STEINMEYER, Ph.D.,
Professor of the German Language and Literature, University of Erlangen.

HORST STEPHAN, Th.Lic.,
Privat-docent in Systematic Theology and Church History,
University of Marburg.

HERMANN LEBERECHT STRACK, Ph.D., Th.D.,

Honorary Professor of Old-Testament Exegesis and Semitic Languages, University of Berlin.

P. M. TZSCHIRNER (†), Ph.D., Late of Leipsic, Germany.

FRIEDRICH UHLHORN, Pastor at Hameln, Prussia.

HENRY VAN ARSDALE, Santa Barbara, Cal.

SIETSE DOUWES VAN VEEN, Th.D., Professor of Church History and Christian Archeology, University of Utrecht. EBERHARD VISCHER, Th.D.,
Professor of Church History, University of Basel.
FRIEDRICH VOGTHERR, Dr.Jur.,
Assistant Circuit Judge, Weissenburg, Bavaria.

GUSTAV WARNECK (†), Ph.D., Th.D., Late Honorary Professor of Missions, University of Halle.

GEORGE WASHBURN, D.D., LL.D., Lecturer, Lowell Institute, Boston, and former President of Robert College, Constantinople.

GEORGE THOMAS WEBB, Editor, American Baptist Publication Society.

JOHANNES WEISS, Th.D.,
Professor of New-Testament Exegesis, University of
Heidelberg.

EDWARD ELIHU WHITFIELD, M.A., Retired Public Schoolmaster, London.

ERNST WITTICH, Ph.D., Th.D.,
Retired General Superintendent, Stuttgark.

RUDOLF ZEHNPFUND, Ph.D., Pastor in Oranienbaum, Germany.

OTTO ZOECKLER (†), Ph.D., Th.D., Late Professor of Church History and Apologetics, University of Greifswald.

	·	

BIBLIOGRAPHICAL APPENDIX—VOLS. I—XII

The following list of books is supplementary to the bibliographies given at the end of the articles ntained in vols. I.-XII., and brings the literature down to December 31, 1911. In this list each title entry printed in capital letters. It is to be noted that, throughout the work, in the articles as a rule only st editions are given. In the bibliographies the aim is to give either the best or the latest edition, id in case the book is published both in America and in some other country, the American place of use is usually given the preference.

- FRICA: J. Du Plessis, A History of Christian Missions in South Africa, London, 1911.
 - D. Fraser, The Future of Africa, London, 1911. J. Z. Gibson, The Story of the Zulus, New York,
 - E. Gilliat, Heroes of Modern Africa. True Stories of the Intrepid Bravery and Stirring Adventures of the Pioneers, Explorers and Founders of Modern Africa, London, 1911.
 - Dora S. Y. Mills, What we do in Nyasaland, London, 1911.
 - J. Roscoe, The Baganda: an Account of their Native Customs and Beliefs, London, 1911.
 - H. S. Smith, "Yakusu," the Very Heart of Africa. Being some Account of the Protestant Mission at Stanley Falls, Upper Congo, London, 1911.
 - J. Spieth, Die Religion der Eweer in Süd-Togo, Leipsic, 1911.
 - Alberts Magnus: H. Lauer, Die Moraltheologie Alberts des Grossen mit besonderer Berücksichtigung ihrer Beziehungen zur Lehre des heiligen Thomas, Freiburg, 1911.
 - Andreä, J. V.: W. Begemann, Die Fruchtbringende Gesellschaft und Johann Valentin Andreä. Entgegnung auf Ludwig Kellers Ausführungen im Maiheft der Comenius-Gesellschaft, Berlin, 1911.
 - Anselm, Saint, of Canterbury: J. Fischer, Die Erkenntnislehre Anselms von Canterbury, Münster, 1911.
 - Anthony, Saint, of Padua: C. M. Antony, Saint Antony of Padua, the Miracle-Worker (1195– 1231), New York, 1911.
 - APOLOGETICS: C. D. Burns, Old Creeds and the New Faith, London, 1911.
 - C. Coignet, De Kant à Bergson. Reconciliation de la religion et de la science dans un spiritualisme nouveau, Paris, 1911.
 - C. Douais, L'Apologétique, Paris, 1911.
 - W. Elert, Prolegomena der Geschichtsphilosophie. Studie zur Grundlegung der Apologetik, Leipsic, 1911.
 - H. Felder, Jesus Christus. Apologie seiner Messianität und Gottheit gegenüber der neuesten ungläubigen Jesus-Forschung, vol. i., Das Bewusstsein Jesu, Paderborn, 1911.

- APOLOGETICS: F. X. Kiefl, Der geschichtliche Christus und die moderne Philosophie. Eine genetische Darlegung der philosophischen Voraussetzungen im Streit um die Christusmythe, Mainz, 1911.
 - J. B. Koehne, A Challenge to Modern Skepticism, Philadelphia, 1911.
 - D. A. Murray, Christian Faith and the New Psychology: Evolution and Recent Science as Aids to Faith, London, 1911.
 - A. Seitz, Cyprian und der römische Primat oder urchristliche Primatsentwicklung und Hugo Kochs modernistisches Kirchenrecht. Eine dogmengeschichtliche Apologie nach kritischer Methode, Regensburg, 1911.
 - F. Wilke, Das Alte Testament und der christliche Glaube, Leipsic, 1911.
- ARABIA: S. M. and A. E. Zwemer, Zigzag Journeys in the Camel Country: Arabia in Picture and Story, London, 1911.
- ARCHEOLOGY, BIBLICAL: E. Goblet d'Alviella, Croyances, riles, institutions, vol. i., Archéologie et histoire religieuse, Hiérographie, vol. ii., Questions de méthode et d'origines, Hiérologie, vol. iii., Problèmes du temps présent, Hiérosophie, Paris, 1911.
- Armenia: M. Ormanian, L'Église arménienne, son histoire, sa doctrine, son régime, sa discipline, sa liturgie, sa littérature, son présent, Paris, 1910.
- ART AND CHURCH: C. Diehl, Manuel d'art byzantin, Paris, 1910.
- Assyria: E. Klauber, Assyrisches Beamtentum nach Briefen aus der Sargonidenzeit, Leipsic, 1910.
- Atonement: C. F. Creighton, Law and the Cross.

 The Legal Aspects of the Atonement viewed in
 the Light of the Common Sense of Mankind,
 Cincinnati, 1911.
 - G. C. Workman, Atonement of Reconciliation with God, New York, 1911.
- Augustine, Saint, of Hippo: O. Schilling, Die Staals- und Soziallehre des heiligen Augustinus, Freiburg, 1910.
 - T. Allin, The Augustinian Revolution in Theology, London, 1911.
 - Scholz, Glaube und Unglaube in der Weltgeschichte. Ein Kommentar zu Augustins De Civitate Dei, Leipsic, 1911.

- Augustine, Saint, of Hippo: B. Seidel, Die Lehre des heiligen Augustinus vom Staate, Breslau, 1911.
- Babism: Kitáb-i Nuqtatu'l-Káf. Being the Earliest History of the Bábis compiled by Ḥájji Mirzá Jáni of Káshán between the Years A.D. 1850 and 1852, edited from the unique Paris MS. Suppl. Persan 1071 by E. G. Browne, London, 1911.
- Babylonia: C. Frank, Studien zur babylonischen Religion, vol. i., Strasburg, 1911.
 - W. J. Hinke, Selected Babylonian Kudurra Inscriptions, no. xiv. of Semitic Study Series, ed. R. Gottheil and M. Jastrow, Leyden, 1911.
 - H. Radau, Sumerian Hymns and Prayers to God Ninib from the Temple Library of Nippur, in The Babylonian Expedition of the University of Pennsylvania, xxix., pt. 1, Philadelphia, 1911.
- BAMPTON LECTURES: J. H. Skrine, Creed and the Creeds: their Function in Religion. Being the Bampton Lectures of 1911, London, 1911.
- Banks, L. A.: The Great Themes of the Bible, New York, 1911; idem, The Sunday-Night Evangel: A Series of Sunday Evening Discourses delivered in Independence Avenue Methodist Episcopal Church, Kansas City, Missouri, New York, 1911.
- BAPTISTS: C. T. Byford, Peasants and Prophets, London, 1911.
 - J. N. Prestridge, Modern Baptist Heroes and Martyrs, Louisville, 1911.
 - A. L. Vail, Baptists Mobilized for Missions, Philadelphia, 1911.
- BATIFFOL, P. H.: Primitive Catholicism, New York, 1911.
- BELLARMINE: X.-M. Le Bachelet, Bellarmin, Paris, 1911.
- BENEDICT XII.: K. Jacob, Studien über Papst Benedikt XII., Berlin, 1910.
- BERNARDIN OF SIENNA: P. T. Dangin, The Life of S. Bernardino of Siena, London, 1911.
- BIBLE TEXT: Codex Sinaiticus Petropolitanus. The New Testament, the Epistle of Barnabas and the Shepherd of Hermas. Preserved in the Imperial Library of St. Petersburg. Now reproduced in Facsimile with a Description and Introduction to the History of the Codex by Kirsopp Lake, London, 1911.
 - E. S. Buchanan, The Records Unrolled. The Story of the Most Ancient MSS. of the New Testament, London, 1911.
 - J. H\u00e4nel, Die aussermasorethischen Uebereinstimmungen zwischen der Septuaginta und der Peschittha in der Genesis, Giessen, 1911.
 - Sir H. Thompson, A Coptic Palimpsest, containing Joshua, Judges, Ruth, Judith and Esther, London, 1911.
 - Der Cambridger Psalter, zum ersten Male herausgegeben mit besonderer Berücksichtigung des lateinischen Textes von Karl Wildhagen. I. Text mit Erklärungen. Hamburg, 1910.
- BIBLE VERSIONS: C. Heller, Untersuchungen über die Peschitta zur gesamten hebräischen Bibel, part 1, Berlin, 1911.

- BIBLE VERSIONS: J. I. Munro, The Samaritan Pentateuch and Modern Criticism, London, 1911.
- BIBLICAL CRITICISM: C. W. Emmet, The Eschatological Question in the Gospels, and other Studies in recent New Testament Criticism, London, 1911.
 - A. Harnack, Kritik des Neuen Testaments von einem griechischen Philosophen des 3. Jahrhunderts, Leipsic, 1911.
 - W. St. Clair Tisdall, Why I am not a Higher Critic, London, 1911.
 - The Higher Criticism and the New Theology, Unscientific, Unscriptural, and Unwholesome, ed. R. A. Torrey, Montrose, Pa., 1911.
- BIBLICAL INTRODUCTION: T. Engert, Das Alte Testament im Lichte modernistisch-katholischer Wissenschaft, Munich, 1910.
 - H. Anz, Literaturgeschichte des Alten Testaments im Abriss, Berlin, 1911.
 - F. V. N. Painter, Introduction to Bible Study: The Old Testament, Boston, 1911.
 - J. Warschauer, What is the Bible? A Modern Survey, London, 1911.
- BIBLICAL THEOLOGY: H. J. Holtzmann, Lehrbuch der neutestamentlichen Theologie, 2 vols., 2d ed., Tübingen, 1911.
 - H. Weinel, Biblische Theologie des Neuen Testaments. Die Religion Jesu und des Urchristentums, Tübingen, 1911.
- BOEHME, J.: The Forty Questions and The Clavis, ed. Mrs. D. S. Hehner, London, 1911.
- Boniface VIII.: Count L. Tosti, History of Pope Boniface VIII. and his Times, New York, 1911.
- Brahmanism: M. N. Rory, A Commentary on the Sankhya Philosophy of Kapila, London, 1911.
- Buddhism: A. David, Le Modernisme bouddhiste et le bouddhisme de Bouddha, Paris, 1911.
- Calvin, J.: A reprint of the first ed. of his *Institutes* was issued in 2 vols., Paris, 1911.
- CAMPBELL, J. M.: The Presence, New York, 1911.
- Capistrano, G. Di: F. V. Fitzgerald, Saint John Capistran, London and New York, 1911.
- CARPENTER, W. B.: Some Pages of my Life, New York, 1911.
- CATHERINE, SAINT, OF SIENNA: H. Riesch, Die heilige Katharina von Sienna. Ein Zeitbild aus dem italienischen Mittelalter, Freiburg, 1911.
- Celtic Church: G. Gougaud, Les Chrétientés celtiques, Paris, 1911.
- CEMETERIES: H. T. Oberman, De oud-christelijke sarkophagen en hun godsdienstige beteekenis, The Hague, 1911.
- CHAPMAN, J. W.: Revival Sermons, New York, 1911.
- CHINA: Chen Huan-Chang, The Economic Principles of Confucius and his School, 2 vols., New York, 1911.
 - W. E. Geil, Eighteen Capitals of China, Philadelphia, 1911.
 - H. D. Porter, William Scott Ament, Missionary of the American Board to China, New York, 1911.

- Christology: E. S. Ames, The Divinity of Christ, Chicago, 1911.
 - E. H. Gifford, The Incarnation: a Study of Philippians II., 5-11 and a University Sermon on Psalm CX., new ed., London, 1911.
- Church: J. M. Frost, The School of the Church, its Pre-eminent Place and Purpose, London, 1911.
 - J. J. Lanier, The Church Universal: a Restatement of Christianity in Terms of Modern Thought, New York, 1911.
 - J. Oman, The Church and the Divine Order, London, 1911.
- Church History: H. Appel, Kirchengeschichte des Mittelalters, vol. ii. of Kurzgefasste Kirchengeschichte für Studierende, Leipsic, 1911.
 - The Cambridge Medieval History, ed. H. M. Gwatkin and J. P. Whitney, vol. i., The Christian Roman Empire and the Foundation of the Teutonic Kingdoms, New York, 1911.
 - K. Heussi, Kompendium der Kirchengeschichte, Tübingen, 1911.
 - H. Jordan, Geschichte der altchristlichen Literatur, Leipsie, 1911.
 - A. Schmidtke, Neue Fragmente und Untersuchungen zu den judenchristlichen Evangelien. Ein Beitrag zur Literatur und Geschichte der Judenchristen, Leipsic, 1911.
 - H. Wace and W. C. Piercy, Dictionary of Christian Biography and Literature, London, 1911.
- Comparative Religion: W. W. G. Baudissin, Adonis und Esmun. Eine Untersuchung zur Geschichte des Glaubens an Auferstehungsgötter und an Heilgötter, Leipsic, 1911.
 - W. H. I. Bleek and L. C. Lloyd, Specimens of Bushman Folklore, London, 1911.
 - F. Boas, The Mind of Primitive Man, New York, 1911.
 - F. V. M. Cumont, Oriental Religions in Roman Paganism, Chicago, 1911.
 - S. Endle, The Kacharis, New York, 1911.
 - J. G. Frazer, Taboo and the Perils of the Soul, London, 1911 (a part of the 3d ed. of the Golden Bough).
 - Sir J. Lubbock, Marriage, Totemism and Religion: an Answer to Critics, New York, 1911.
 - J. A. MacCulloch, The Religion of the Ancient Celts, London, 1911.
 - M. P. Nilsson, *Primitive-religion*, Stockholm, 1911.
 - J. Réville, Les Phases successives de l'histoire des religions, Paris, 1911.
 - J. Roscoe, The Baganda: an Account of their Native Customs and Beliefs, New York, 1911.
 - W. von Unwerth, Untersuchungen über Totenkult und Odinverehrung bei Nordgermanen und Lappen mit Exkursen zur altnordischen Literaturgeschichte, Breslau, 1911.
 - H. Visscher, Religion und soziales Leben bei den Naturvölkern, vol. i., Prolegomena, Bonn, 1911.
 - Warren, William Fairfield, The Religions of the World and the World-Religion, New York, 1911.
- CONFUCIUS: W. E. Soothill, The Analecta of Confucius, London, 1911.
- CONSCIENCE: J. Triollet, Examen de conscience, Paris, 1911.

- CREATION: F. M. Parker, Religious Essays, including a Scientific Exposition of the Mosaic Story of Creation and the Fall of Man, Louisville, Ky., 1911.
- DEMON, DEMONISM: A. Jirku, Die Dämonen und ihre Abwehr im Alten Testament, Leipsic, 1911.
- DENNEY, J.: The Way Everlasting: Sermons, London, 1911.
- DESCARTES, R.: Elizabeth S. Haldane and G. R. T. Ross, The Philosophical Works of Descartes, vol. i., Cambridge, 1911.
- DIDACHE: A. Schlatter, Die Lehre der Apostel, Calward Stuttgart, 1910.
- DOCTRINE, HISTORY OF: F. Haase, Begriff und Ausgabe der Dogmengeschichte, Breslau, 1911.
- DOGMA, DOGMATICS: D. S. Adam, Cardinal Elements of the Christian Faith, London, 1911.
 - K. Heim, Das Gewissheitsproblem in der systematischen Theologie bis zu Schleiermacher, Leipsic, 1911.
 - P. A. Lobstein, Introduction to Protestant Dogmatics, London, 1911.
 - A. Schlatter, Das christliche Dogma, Calw and Stuttgart, 1911.
 - H. B. Workman, Christian Thought to the Reformation, New York, 1911.
- Dominic, Saint, and the Dominican Order: B. Altauer, Venturino von Bergamo, O. Pr. 1304–1346. Zugleich ein Beitrag zur Geschichte des Dominikanerordens im 14. Jahrhundert, Breslau, 1911.
- EGYPT: E. A. W. Budge, Osiris and the Egyptian Resurrection, 2 vols., New York, 1911.
 - G. E. Smith, The Ancient Egyptians and Their Influence upon the Civilization of Europe, New York, 1911.
 - A. E. P. Weigall, The Life and Times of Akhnaton, Pharao of Egypt, London, 1911; idem, The Treasury of Ancient Egypt. Miscellaneous Chapters on Ancient History and Archeology, London, 1911.
- EGYPT EXPLORATION FUND: W. F. Nash, Egypt Exploration Fund: General Index to the Archæological Reports, vols. 1-8, London, 1911
- ELAGABALUS: K. Hönn, Quellenuntersuchungen zu den Viten des Heliogabalus und des Severus Alexander, in Scriptores Historiæ Augustæ, Leipsic, 1911.
- Ellinwood, F. F.: Mary G. Ellinwood, Frank Field Ellinwood: his Life and Work, New York, 1911.
- ENGLAND, CHURCH OF: Gertrude Hollis, What the Church did for England: Being the Story of the Church of England from A. D. 690 to 1215, London, 1911.
 - A. H. Thompson, The Historical Growth of the English Parish Church, New York, 1911.
 - J. D. Thompson, Central Churchmanship: or, The Position, Principles and Policy of Evangelical Churchmen in Relation to Modern Thought and Work, London, 1911.
- England and Wales: H. W. Clark, History of English Non-Conformity, from Wiclif to the Close of the 19th Century, vol. i., From Wiclif to the Restoration, London, 1911.

- England and Wales: G. R. Wynne, The Church in Greater Britain, 3d ed., London, 1911.
- ESCHATOLOGY: H. B. Pratt, The Buried Nations of the Infant Dead: a Study in Eschatology, Hackensack, N. J., 1911.
- ETHICS: G. F. Barbour, A Philosophical Study of Christian Ethics, London, 1911.
 - E. Dürr, Das Gute und das Sittliche. Grundprobleme der Ethik, Heidelberg, 1911.
 - G. T. Ladd, The Teacher's Practical Philosophy. A Treatise of Education as a Species of Conduct, New York, 1911.
- EUCHARIST: N. Dimock, On the Doctrine of the Church of England, concerning the Eucharistic Presence, London, 1911.
- EUSEBIUS OF CÆSAREA: Die Chronik des Eusebius. Aus dem Armenischen übersetzt, ed. J. Karst, Leipsic, 1911.
- EXEGESIS: H. Foston, The Beatitudes and the Contrasts: a Study in Methodic Interpretation, London, 1911.
- FAITH: W. W. Gueth, The Assurance of Faith, Cincinnati, 1911.
- FEASTS AND FESTIVALS: V. Staley, The Seasons, Fasts and Festivals of the Christian Year, London, 1911.
- FORSYTH, P. T.: Christ on Parnassus. Lectures on Art, Ethic and Theology, London, 1911.
- France: L. Gougaud, Les Chrétientés celtiques, Paris, 1911.
 - A. Mathiez, Rome et le clergé français sous la constituante. La constitution civile du clergé. L'affaire d'Avignon, Paris, 1911.
- Francis, Saint, of Assisi: G. Lafenestre, Saint François d'Assise et Savonarole, inspirateurs de l'art italien, Paris, 1911.
- FREEMASONS: L. Keller, Die geistigen Grundlagen der Freimaurerei und das öffentliche Leben, Jena, 1911.
- French Revolution: T. Bitterauf, Geschichte der französischen Revolution, Leipsic, 1911.
- FRIENDS, SOCIETY OF: R. M. Jones, The Quakers in the American Colonies, New York, 1911.
- Fundamental Doctrines of Christianity: S. F. Halfvard, Fundamentals of the Christian Religion, Cincinnati, 1911.
- Gasquer, F. A.: Leaves from my Diary, 1894-56, St. Louis, 1911 (deals with the works of the Roman Catholic Commission on the validity of Anglican orders).
- GIBBONS, J.: A. S. Will, Life of James Cardinal Gibbons, Baltimore, 1911.
- GIFFORD LECTURES: J. Ward, The Realm of Ends: or, Pluralism and Theism, Gifford Lectures, 1907-10, Cambridge, 1911.
- GNOSTICISM: Iamblichos, Theurgia; or, the Egyptian Mysteries: Reply of Abammon, the Teacher, to the Letter of Porphyry to Anebo. Together with Solutions of the Questions therein contained; transl. from the Greek by A. Wilder, New York, 1911.
- God: J. Gurnhill, Some Thoughts on God and His Methods of Manifestation in Nature and Revelation, New York, 1911.

- GOSPEL AND GOSPELS: F. K. Feigel, Der Einfluss des Weissagungsbeweises und anderer Motive auf die Leidensgeschichte. Ein Beitrag zur Evangelienkritik, Tübingen, 1910.
 - G. Friedlander, The Jewish Sources of the Sermon on the Mount, New York, 1911.
 - A. Harnack, The Date of the Acts and the Synoptic Gospels, London, 1911.
 - F. R. M. Hitchcock, A Fresh Study of the Fourth Gospel, London, 1911.
 - O. Moe, Paulus und die evangelische Geschichte. Zugleich ein Beitrag zur Vorgeschichte der Evangelien, Leipsic, 1911.
- GRAVES, A. R.: The Farmer Boy who became a Bishop. The Autobiography of the Rt. Rev. Anson Rogers Graves, Akron, 1911.
- GREGORY I.: The Dialogues of St. Gregory Surnamed the Great, Pope of Rome, and the First of that Name. Transl. by P. W. Reedited with Introduction and Notes by Edmund G. Gardner, London, 1911.
- HAMMURABI: H. Fehr, Hammurapi und das salische Recht. Eine Rechtsvergleichung, Bonn, 1910.
- HARNACK, G. A.: New Testament Studies, vol. iv., The Date of the Acts and of the Synoptic Gospels, New York, 1911.
- HARTMANN, K. R. E. von: R. E. Pohorilles, Entwicklung und Kritik der Erkenntnistheorie Eduard von Hartmanns, Vienna, 1911.
- HEAVEN: E. P. Berg, Where is Heaven? Musings on the Life Eternal, London, 1911.
- HEBREWS, EPISTLE TO THE: Sir R. Anderson, The Hebrew Epistle in the Light of the Type:, London, 1911.
- HELENA, SAINT: R. Couzard, Sainte Hélène, Paris, 1911.
- HELLENISM: G. Plaumann, Ptolemais in Oberügypten. Ein Beitrag zur Geschichte des Hellenismus in Aegypten, Leipsic, 1910.
- HESSE: K. Eger and J. Frederich, Kirchenrecht der evangelischen Kirche im Grossherzogtum Hessen, vol. ii., Darmstadt, 1911.
- HEXATEUCH: A. T. Chapman, An Introduction to the Pentateuch, Cambridge, 1911.
 - The Book of Exodus in the Revised Version. With Introduction and Notes by the Rev. S. R. Driver, Cambridge, 1911.
 - The Book of Numbers in the Revised Version. With Introduction and Notes by A. H. Mc-Neile, Cambridge, 1911.
 - J. Sinclair, Bible Beginnings. A Plain Commentary on the First Eleven Chapters of Genesis, London, 1911.
- HINDUISM: J. C. Oman, Cults, Customs and Superstitions of India, reissue, London, 1911.
- HITTITES: A. Gleye, Hettitische Studien, Leipsic, 1910.
- HOLLAND: W. G. Goeters, Die Vorbereitung des Pietismus in der reformierten Kirche der Niederlande. I. Die Entwicklung der kirchlichen Reformtendenzen (1619 bis 1666). II. Die Labadistische Krisis (1666 bis 1670), London, 1911.

- HOLLAND: L. Knappert, Geschiedenis der Nederlandsche Hervormde Kerk gedurende de 16e en 17e eeuw, Amsterdam, 1911.
 - J. H. Mackay, Religious Thought in Holland during the Nineteenth Century, London, 1911.
- HUMANISM: Das Zeitalter der Renaissance. Ausgewählte Quellen zur Geschichte der italienischen Kultur. Hgb. von Marie Herzfeld, 1st series, vol. i-ii., Jens, 1910.
- Hume, D.: A. Thomsen, David Hume, hans liv og hans filosofi, vol. i., Copenhagen, 1911.
- HUTTON, W. H.: A Disciple's Religion: Sermons, London, 1911.
- HYMNOLOGY: W. Bäumker, Das katholische deutsche Kirchenlied in seinen Singweisen, vol. iv., Freiburg, 1911.
 - C. S. Nutter and W. F. Tillett, The Hymns and Hymn Writers of the Church: an Annotated Edition of the Methodist Hymnal, New York, 1911.
 - Carl F. Price, The Music and Hymnody of the Methodist Hymnal, New York, 1911.
- IMMORTALITY: H. Carrington and J. R. Meader, Death, its Causes and Phenomena, with special Reference to Immortality, London, 1911.
 - J. Denney, Factors of Faith in Immortality, London, 1911.
- INDIA: R. A. Hume, An Interpretation of India's Religious History, New York and Chicago, 1911.
 - W. C. B. Purser, Christian Missions in Burma, London, 1911.
- INQUISITION: See below, Prus V.
- Intermediate State: E. Hicks, The Life Hereafter: Thoughts on the Intermediate State, London, 1911.
- IBAIAH: G. W. Wade, The Book of the Prophet Isaiah, London, 1911.
- ISRAEL, HISTORY OF: F. Böhl, Kanaanäer und Hebräer. Untersuchungen zur Vorgeschichte des Volkstums und der Religion Israels auf dem Boden Kanaans. Inhalt: I. Kanaanäer. II. Hethiter. III. Amoriter. IV. Völker Kanaans und Hebräer. V. Synkretismus und Mosaismus, Leipsic, 1911.
 - A. Geiger, Judaism and its History, 2 parts, New York, 1911.
 - C. F. Lehmann-Haupt, Israel. Seine Entwicklung im Rahmen der Weltgeschichte, Tübingen, 1911.
 - W. M. F. Petrie, Egypt and Israel, New York, 1911.
 - E. Sachau, Aramüische Papyrus und Ostraka aus Elephantine. Altorientalische Sprachdenkmäler aus einer jüdischen Militär-Kolonie des 5. Jahrhunderts vor Chr., Leipsic, 1911.
- James, W.: K. A. Busch, William James als Religionsphilosoph, Göttingen, 1911.
- Jansen, Cornelius, and Jansenism: J. Hild, Honoré Tournely und seine Stellung zum Jansenismus, mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der Stellung der Sorbonne zum Jansenismus, Freiburg, 1911.
- Japan: A. Lloyd, The Creed of Half Japan: Historical Sketches of Japanese Buddhism, London, 1911.

- Japan: E. Schiller, Shinto, die Volkereligion Japans, Berlin, 1911.
- JERUSALEM: Underground Jerusalem. Discoveries on the Hill of Ophel, 1909, London, 1911.
- JESUITS: Count Paul von Hoensbroech, Fourteen Years a Jesuit: a Record of Personal Experiences and a Criticism, 2 vols., New York, 1911.
 - H. Stoeckius, Forschungen zur Lebensdornung der Gesellschaft Jesu im 16. Jahrhundert. II., Das gesellschaftliche Leben im Ordenshause, Munich, 1911.
- JESUS CHRIST: J. E. Carpenter, The Historical Jesus and the Theological Christ, London, 1911.
 - W. N. Clarke, The Ideal of Jesus, London, 1911.
 - J. Denney, The Death of Christ. Revised and Enlarged Ed., including The Atonement and the Modern Mind, London, 1911.
 - W. W. Holdsworth, The Christ of the Gospels, New York, 1911.
 - G. Jahn, Ueber die Person Jesu und über die Entstehung des Christentums und den Wert desselben für modern Gebüldete, mit einer Kritik der neuesten Schriften über Jesu, Leyden, 1911.
 - F. B. Macnutt, The Inevitable Christ, London, 1911.
- JEWS, HISTORY OF: E. Dujardin, The Source of the Christian Tradition. Critical History of Ancient Judaism, rev. ed., London, 1911.
 - C. F. Kent, The Makers and Teachers of Judaism from the Fall of Jerusalem to the Death of Herod the Great, New York, 1911.
- JEWS, MISSIONS TO THE: T. C. Gilbert, From Judaism to Christianity and Gospel Work among the Hebrews, Concord, 1911.
- JOB, BOOK OF: S. Landersdorfer, Eine babylonische Quelle für das Buch Job? Freiburg, 1911.
- JOHN THE APOSTLE: F. Overbeck, Das Johannesevangelium. Studien zur Kritik seiner Erforschung, Tübingen, 1911.
- JOHN THE BAPTIST: A. Konrad, Johannes der Täufer, Graz, 1911.
 - A. Pottgiesser, Johannes der Täufer und Jesus Christus, Cologne, 1911.
- JUSTIN MARTYR: A. Béry, Saint Justin: sa vie et sa doctrine, Paris, 1911.
- Kant, I.: Kant's Critique of Aesthetic Judgement. Translated with Seven Introductory Essays, Notes and Analytical Index, by James Creed Meredith, London, 1911.
- KINGS, BOOKS OF: A. Sanda, Die Bücher der Könige, Münster, 1911.
- KOREA: M. C. Fenwick, The Church of Christ in Corea, London, 1911.
 - J. H. Longford, The Story of Korea, London, 1911.
- Lamaism: G. Schulemann, Die Geschichte der Dalailamas, Heidelberg, 1911.
- LAYING ON OF HANDS: J. Behm, Die Handauflegung im Urchristentum nach Verwendung, Herkunft und Bedeutung, in religionsgeschichtlichem Zusammenhang untersucht, Leipsic, 1911.
- LIBERTY, RELIGIOUS: H. F. R. Smith, The Theory of Religious Liberty in the Reigns of Charles II. and James II., Cambridge, 1911,

- Letturgics: G. Semeris, The Eucharistic Liturgy in the Roman Rite: its History and Symbolism adapted from the Italian by Rev. E. S. Berry, New York, 1911.
- LORD'S SUPPER: F. Dibelius, Das Abendmahl. Eine Untersuchung über die Anfänge der christlichen Religion, Leipsic, 1911.
 - K. Kircher, Die sakrale Bedeutung des Weines im Altertum, Giessen, 1911.
- LUTHER, MARTIN: P. Drews, Beiträge zu Luthers liturgischen Reformen. 1. Lateinische und deutsche Litanei von 1529. 2. Luthers deutsche Versikel und Kollekten, Tübingen, 1910.
 - H. Grisar, Luther, vol. ii., Auf der Höhe des Lebens, Freiburg, 1911.
 - A. C. McGiffert, Martin Luther: the Man and his Work, New York, 1911.
 - O. Scheel, Dokumente zu Luthers Entwicklung (bis 1519), Tübingen, 1911.
 - P. Smith, The Life and Letters of Martin Luther, Boston, 1911.
- LUTHERANS: A. H. Smith, The Lutheran Church and Child Nurture, Philadelphia, 1911.
- MACLAGAN, W. D.: F. D. How, Archbishop Maclagan. Being a Memoir of William Dalrymple Maclagan, D.D., Archbishop of York and Primate of England, London, 1911.
- MARGARET, SAINT: St. Margaret, Queen of Scotland, London, 1911.
- MARPRELATE TRACTS: The Marprelate Tracts 1588, 1589. Edited with Notes Historical and Explanatory by William Pierce, London, 1911.
- MATHER, COTTON: Diary of Cotton Mather, part 1, Boston, 1911.
- METHODISTS: W. McKinley, A Story of Minnesota Methodism, Cincinnati, 1911.
- MILLENNIAL DAWN: E. L. Eaton, The Millennial Dawn Heresy. An Examination of Pastor Charles T. Russell's Teaching concerning the Purpose of the Second Advent and the Millennium, as set forth in his Published Books and Papers—"The Divine Plan of the Ages," and others of similar Import, Cincinnati, 1911.
- MILLER, J. R.: The Beauty of Self-Control, New York, 1911.
- MISSIONS TO THE HEATHEN: World Atlas of Christian Missions, containing a Directory of Missionary Societies, a Classified Summary of Statistics, an Index of Mission Stations, and Maps showing the Location of Mission Stations throughout the World, ed. J. S. Dennis, H. P. Beach, C. H. Fahs, with maps by J. G. Bartholomew, New York, 1911.
 - Georgiana M. Forde, Missionary Adventures. A Simple History of the S. P. G. With a Preface by Edward Talbot, London, 1911.
 - John F. Goucher, Growth of the Missionary Concept. The Nathan Graves Foundation Lectures delivered before Syracuse University, New York, 1911.
 - E. T. Reed, A World Book of Foreign Missions: What They Are, What They Prove, and How To Help, London, 1911.
 - T. Walker, Missionary Ideals. Missionary Studies in the Acts of the Apostles, London, 1911.

- MODERNISM: B. Baur, Klarheit und Wahrheit. Bine Erklärung des Modernisteneides, Freiburg, 1911.
- MOHAMMED, MOHAMMEDANISM: I. Goldziher, Vorlesungen über den Islam, Heidelberg, 1910.
 - M. Horten, Die philosophischen Probleme der spekulativen Theologie im Islam, Bonn, 1910.
 - D. S. Margoliouth, Mohammedanism, London, 1911.
 - E. Montet, De l'état présent et de l'avenir de l'Islam, Paris, 1911.
 - R. A. Nicholson, The Kashf al-Mahjúb. The Oldest Persian Treatise on Súfiism by 'Ali b. 'Uthmán al-Jullábí al-Hujwiri. Translated from the Text of the Lahore Edition, compared with MSS. in the India Office and British Museum, London, 1911.
 - P. Ponafidine, Life in the Moslem Bast, New York, 1911.
 - H. Stubbe, An Account of the Rise and Progress of Mahometanism with the Life of Mahomet and a Vindication of Him and His Religion from the Calumnies of the Christians, London, 1911.
- MOHAMMEDANS, MISSIONS TO: Daylight in the Harem. A New Era for Moelem Women, ed. Annie Van Sommer and S. M. Zwemer, London, 1911.
 - M. Zwemer and others, Islam and Missions, New York, 1911.
- MORE, HENRY: R. Ward, The Life of the Learned and Pious Dr. Henry More. Edited with Introduction and Notes by M. F. Howard, London, 1911 [original ed., 1710].
- MYSTICISM: R. Steiner, Mystics of the Renaissance and their Relation to Modern Thought. Including Meister Eckhart, Tauler, Paracelsus, Jacob Boehme, Giordano Bruno, and others, New York, 1911.
- NEGRO EDUCATION AND EVANGELIZATION: R. A. Patterson, The Negro and his Needs. With a Foreword by W. H. Taft, New York, 1911.
- NEW THOUGHT: J. B. Anderson, New Thought: its Lights and Shadows. An Appreciation and a Criticism, Boston, 1911.
- NEW YEAR'S CELEBRATION: F. Bünger, Geschichte der Neujahrsfeier in der Kirche, Göttingen, 1911.
- NIETZSCHE, F. W.: E. S. Hamblen, Friedrich Nietzsche and his New Gospel, Boston, 1911.
- ORIGEN: The Philocalia of Origen: a Compilation of Selected Passages from Origen's Works made by St. Gregory of Nazianzus and St. Basil of Cæsarea. Translated into English by the Rev. G. Lewis, New York, 1911.
- Painting: Baroness Freda de Knoop, "All Hail": Simple Teachings on the Bible Illustrations from the Old Masters, London, 1911.
- Palestine: Conférences de Saint-Étienne, Paris, 1911 (a composite work dealing with recent Palestinian excavation and discussion).
 - J. E. Dinsmore and G. Dalman, Die Pflanzen Palæstinas, Leipsic, 1911.
- PASCAL, B.: H. Petitot, Pascal, Paris, 1911.
- PASTORAL THEOLOGY: S. C. Black, Building a Working Church, New York, 1911.

- PASTORAL THEOLOGY: C. E. Blakeway, The Claims of Modern Thought upon the Clergy, or The Present Task and Opportunity of the Pastorate, Lichfield, 1911.
 - Non-Church Going: its Reasons and Remedies.

 A Symposium, ed. W. F. Gray, New York,
 1911.
 - J. G. Haller, The Redemption of the Prayer-Meeting, Cincinnati, O., 1911.
 - A. T. Robertson, The Glory of the Ministry, New York, 1911.
 - W. H. G. Thomas, The Work of the Ministry, London, 1911.
- PATRICE, SAINT: St. Patrick, Apostle of Ireland, London, 1911.
- Paul The Apostle: A. Deissmann, Paulus. Eine kultur- und religionsgeschichtliche Skizze, Tübingen, 1911.
 - M. Dibelius, Die Briefe des Apostels Paulus.
 II. An die Thessalonicher. III. An die Philipper, Tübingen, 1911.
 - P. Gardner, The Religious Experience of Saint Paul, London, 1911.
 - A. E. Garvie, Studies of Paul and his Gospel, London, 1911.
 - H. L. Goude, The Mind of St. Paul as Illustrated by his Second Epistle to the Corinthians, London, 1911.
 - H. Holtzmann, Praktische Erklärung des I. Thessalonicherbriefes, Tübingen, 1911.
 - K. Lake, The Earlier Epistles of St. Paul: their Motive and Origin, London, 1911.
 - J. E. McFadyen, The Epistles to the Corinthians, with Notes and Commente, London, 1911.
 - C. Wise, The New Life of St. Paul, London, 1911.
 - PREFECTION: J. Mudge, The Perfect Life in Experience and Doctrine, Cincinnati, 1911.
 - Peru: P. F. Martin, Peru of the Twentieth Century, New York, 1911.
 - Peter Lombard: W. Benham, The Letters of Peter Lombard, ed. Ellen D. Baxter, London, 1911.
 - PRIZIDERER, O.: Primitive Christianity, its Writings and Teachings in their Historical Connections, London, 1911.
 - Philip of Hesse: P. Wappler, Die Stellung Kursuchsens und des Landgrafen Philipp von Hessen zur Täuferbewegung, Münster, 1910.
 - Phorrus: E. Martini, Textgeschichte der Bibliotheke des Patriarchen Photios von Konstantinopel, part 1, Die Handschriften, Ausgaben und Uebertragungen, Leipsic, 1911.
 - Pirson, A. T.: Dr. Pierson and His Message. A Sketch of the Life and Work of a Great Preacher, together with a Varied Selection from His Unpublished Manuscripts. Edited by J. Kennedy Maclean, London, 1911.
 - Pursu: W. Goeters, Die Vorbereitung des Pietismus in der reformierten Kirche der Niederlande bis zur labadistischen Krisis 1670, Leipsic, 1911.
 - Photomages: S. Heath, Pilgrim Life in the Middle Ages, London, 1911.

- PIUS V.: C. M. Antony, Saint Pius V., Pope of the Holy Rosary (the preface by Mgr. R. H. Benson contains a defense of the Inquisition), London and New York, 1911.
 - P. Deslandres, Saint Pie V., Paris, 1911.
- PLATONISM: J. Adam, The Vitality of Platonism and Other Essays, Cambridge, 1911.
- PRACTICAL THEOLOGY: Norman E. Richardson (ed.), The Religion of Modern Manhood, New York, 1911.
- PRAGMATISM: A. v. C. P. Huizinga, The American Philosophy, Pragmatism, critically Considered in Relation to Present-Day Theology, Boston, 1911.
 - F. H. Johnson, God in Evolution: a Pragmatic Study of Theology, New York, 1911 (tentative application of the pragmatic method to religious thought).
- PRISON REFORM: J. Friedrich, Die Bestrafung der Motive und die Motive der Bestrafung. Rechtsphilosophische und kriminalpsychologische Studien, Berlin, 1910.
- PROPHECT: G. C. Aalders, De valsche profetie in Israël, Wageningen, 1911.
 - W. P. Aylsworth, The Growing Miracle: a Practical Study of Hebrew Prophecy, Bethany, Neb., 1911.
- PRITESTANT EPISCOPALIANS: C. C. Grafton, The Lineage from A postolic Times of the American Catholic Church, commonly called the Episcopal Church, Milwaukee, 1911.
- PROTESTANTISM: N. Paulus, Protestantismus und Toleranz im 16. Jahrh., Freiburg, 1911.
- PROVIDENCE: H. Siebeck, Ueber Freiheit, Entwicklung und Vorsehung, Tübingen, 1911.
- RAMABAI, S.: H. S. Dyer, Pandita Ramabai: the Story of her Life, New York, 1911.
- RAMBAY, SIR W. M.: The First Christian Century.
 Notes on Dr. Moffatt's Introduction to the Literature of the New Testament, London, 1911.
- REFORMATION: H. Wace, Principles of the Reformation, Practical and Historical, New York, 1911.
 - H. B. Workman, Christian Thought to the Reformation, London, 1911.
- REFORMED (DUTCH) CHURCH: J. I. Good, History of the Reformed Church in the U. S., in the Nineteenth Century, New York, 1911.
- RELIGION, PHILOSOPHY OF: E. Boutroux, Science and Religion in Contemporary Philosophy, New York, 1911.
 - P. Deussen, Allgemeine Geschichte der Philosophie mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der Religionen, vol. ii., part 1, Die Philosophie der Griechen, Leipsic, 1911.
 - H. Ehrenberg, Die Parteiung der Philosophie. Studien wider Hegel und die Kantianer, Leipsic, 1911.
 - J. H. Randall, A New Philosophy of Life, New York, 1911.
 - R. Richter, Dialoge über Religionsphilosophie, Leipsic, 1911.
- Religious Dramas: M. Blondel, La Psychologie dramatique du mystère de la passion à Oberammergau, Paris, 1911.

- RELIGIOUS DRAMAS: Netta Syrett, The Old Miracle Plays of England, London, 1911.
- REVELATION: C. H. Scharling, Offenbarung und heilige Schrift, Leipsic, 1911.
- REVIVALS: T. B. Kilpatrick, New Testament Evangelism, London and Toronto, 1911.
 - J. S. Simon, The Revival of Religion in England in the 18th Century, London, 1911.
- ROMAN CATHOLICS: T. J. Campbell, Pioneer Priests of North America, vol. iii., Among the Algonquins, New York, 1911.
- RUFINUS, TYRANNIUS: The Works of Rufinus of Aquileia, in vol. xlvi. of CSEL.
- Sacred Music: W. A. Barrett, English Church Composers, new ed., New York, 1911.
 - C. F. Price, The Music and Hymnody of the Methodist Hymnal, New York, 1911.
- Sailer, J. M. von: R. Stölzle, Johann Michael Sailer, seine Massregelung an der Akademie zu Dillingen und seine Berufung nach Ingolstadt, Kempten, 1911.
- SALVATION ARMY: A. M. Nicol, General Booth and the Salvation Army, London, 1911.
- SARPI, P.: K. Benrath, Neue Briefe von Paolo Sarpi (1608-16). Nach den im fürstlichen Dohna'schen Archiv aufgefundenen Originalen, Leipsic, 1909.
 - A. Robertson, Fra Paolo Sarpi, the Greatest of the Venetians, London, 1911.
- SAVONAROLA: See above, Francis, Saint, of Assisi.
- Schleiermacher, F. D. E.: G. Cross, The Theology of Schleiermacher, Cambridge, 1911.
 - H. Süsskind, Christentum und Geschichte bei Schleiermacher, part 1, Die Absolutheit des Christentums und die Religionsphilosophie, Tübingen, 1911.
 - H. Westerburg, Schleiermacher als Mann der Wissenschaft, als Christ und Patriot, Göttingen, 1911.
- Scholasticism: M. Grabmann, Die Geschichte der scholastischen Methode. Nach den gedruckten und ungedruckten Quellen, 2 vols., Freiburg, 1911.
 - J. M. Verweyen, Philosophie und Theologie im Mittelalter. Die historischen Voraussetzungen des Anti-Modernismus, Bonn, 1911.
- Schopenhauer, A.: T. Ruyssen, Schopenhauer, Paris, 1911.
- Semitic Languages: E. Sachau, Aramäische Papyrus und Ostraka aus einer jüdischen Militärkolonie zu Elephantine, Leipsic, 1911.
- SMYTH, JOHN: W. H. Burgess, John Smith the So-Baptist. Thomas Evans and the First Baptist Church in England, with Fresh Light upon the Pilgrim Fathers' Church, London, 1911.
- Social Service of the Church: S. Z. Batten, The Social Task of Christianity, New York, 1911.
 - A. T. Devine, The Spirit of Social Work, New York, 1911.
 - Mary L. Goss, Welfare Work by Corporations, Philadelphia, 1911.
 - R. A. Woods and A. J. Kennedy, Handbook of Settlements, New York, 1911.

- SOLOMON, ODES OF: Les Odes de Salomon, ed. J. Labourt and P. Batiffol, Paris, 1911.
 - G. Diettrich, Die Oden Salomos unter Berücksichtigung der überlieferten Stichengliederung. Aus dem Syrischen ins Deutsche übersetzt und mit einem Kommentar versehen, Berlin, 1911.
 - H. Grimme, Die Oden Salomos. Syrisch, hebräisch, deutsch. Ein kritischer Versuch, Heidelberg, 1911.
- Son of Man: E. Hertlein, Die Menschensohnfrage im letzten Stadium. Ein Versuch zur Einsicht in das Wesen altchristlichen Schrifttums, Stuttgart, 1911.
- Spain: W. W. Collins, Cathedral Cities of Spain, new ed., New York, 1911.
- STRAUSS, D. F.: A. Lévy, David-Frédéric Strauss. La Vie et l'œuvre, Paris, 1910.
- Suffering: J. Hinton, The Mystery of Pain, London, 1911.
- SUNDAY-SCHOOLS: R. C. Harker, The Work of the Sunday School: a Manual for Teachers, New York and Chicago, 1911.
- SYMBOLICS: M. A. Curtis, History of Creeds and Confessions of Faith in Christendom and Beyond. With Historical Tables, New York and London, 1911.
- Syriac Literature: CSCO: Scriptores Syri, series III., vol. vii.-viii., Eliæ metropolitæ Nisibeni Opus chronologicum, ed. . . E. W. Brooks and I.-B. Chabot, series II., vol. ci., Dionysius bar Salibi in Apocalypsim actus et epistolas catholicas, ed. . . I. Sedlacek; Scriptores Æthiopici, series II., vol. xxiv., Vitæ sanctorum indigenarum, I. Acta S. Abakerazun, II. Acta S. Takla Hawaryat, ed. . . K. Conti Rossini, Leipsic, 1911.
- TALMUD: The Mishna on Idolatry, "Aboda Zara."

 Edited with translation, vocabulary and notes
 by W. A. L. Elmslie, Cambridge, 1911.
- THEISM: See above, GIFFORD LECTURES.
- THEOLOGICAL LIBRARIES: W. H. Allison, Inventory of Unpublished Material for American Religious History in Protestant Church Archives and Other Repositories, Washington, 1910.
- THEOLOGY AS A SCIENCE: H. W. Robinson, The Christian Doctrine of Man, London, 1911.
 - H. C. Sheldon, Theological Encyclopædia: A Brief Account of the Organism and Literature of Theology, Cincinnati, 1911.
- THEOSOPHY: Mrs. A. W. Besant, Popular Lectures on Theosophy, Chicago, 1910.
 - O. Hashnu Hara, Practical Theosophy. A Plain Statement of its Tenets, London, 1911.
- THOMAS AQUINAS: F. Wagner, Das natürliche Sittengesetz nach der Lehre des heiligen Thomas von Aquin, Freiburg, 1911.
- THOMASSIN, L.: J. Martin, Thomassin, Paris, 1911.
- TIME: F. Westberg, Zur neutestamentlichen Chronologie und Golgathas Ortslage, Leipsic, 1911.
- TITHES: A. F. Marr, God's Stewards: or, Proportionate Almsgiving, London, 1911.
- Tolstoy, Count Leo: P. Birukoff, The Life of Tolstoy, New York, 1911.

- TRIBAL AND CULTIC MYSTERIES: J. Burel, Isis et les isiaques sous l'empire romain, Paris, 1911.
- TRINITY: L. Berthé, La Sainte Trinité, Paris, 1911.
- Turkey: N. Jorga, Geschichte des osmanischen Reiches, vol. iv., Gotha, 1911.
- TYLER, M. C.: Moses Coit Tyler, 1835-1900. Selections from his Letters and Diaries made and edited by Jessica Tyler Austen, Garden City, 1911.
- ULTRAMONTANISM: J. P. Cannegieter, Het ultramontanisme en de christenen van Nederland sinds 1853, Utrecht, 1911.
 - J. Leute, Der Ultramontanismus in Theorie und Praxis, Berlin, 1911.
- UNION OF THE CHURCHES: T. Christian, Other Sheep I have. The Proceedings of the Celestial Commission on Church Unity, New York, 1911.
- VATICAN: Ye Solace of Pilgrimes: a Description of Rome, circa A. D. 1450 by John Capgrave, an Austin Friar of King's Lynn. Edited by C. A. Mills, London, 1911.

- VOLTAIRE: R. Koser and H. Droysen, Briefwechsel Friedrichs des Grossen mit Voltaire, part 3, Briefwechsel König Friedrichs 1763-78, Lelpsic, 1911.
- Wesley, John: E. B. Chappell, Studies in the Life of John Wesley, Nashville, Tenn., 1911.
 - N. Curnock, Wesley's Journal, vol. ii., London, 1911.
- WHITGIFT, J.: H. J. Clayton, Archbishop Whitgift and His Times, London, 1911.
- WITCHCRAFT: Grässe, Bibliotheca magica et pneumatica, Leipsic, 1843.
 - Kernot, Bibliotheca diabolica, New York, 1874.
 - Yve-Plessis, Bibliographie française de la sorcellerie, Paris, 1900.
- WILL: F. Ballard, Determinism: False and True. A Contribution to Modern Philosophy and Ethics, London, 1911.

BIOGRAPHICAL ADDENDA

- ALEXANDER, WILLIAM (1): d. in Dublin Sept. 12, 1911.
- ARNOLD, C. F.: Became consistorial councilor in 1911.
- CARPENTER, W. B.: Resigned bishopric of Ripon, 1911.
- CLARKE, W. N.: d. at De Land, Fla., Jan. 14, 1912.
- FLICKINGER, D. K.: d. at Columbus, O., Aug. 29, 1911.
- HARRIS, G.: Resigned presidency of Amherst College to take effect 1912.
- JOHNSON, F. F.: Translated to become bishop coadjutor of the diocese of Missouri.
- KENDRICK, J. M.: d. at Los Angeles, Cal., Dec. 16, 1911.

- MACARTHUR, R. S.: Retired from pastorate of Calvary Baptist Church, New York, 1911.
- McCook, H. C.: d. at Devon, near Philadelphia, Oct. 31, 1911.
- McGarvey, J. W.: d. at Lexington, Ky., Oct. 6, 1911.
- MACKAY-SMITH, A.: d. in Philadelphia Oct. 16, 1911.
- MADSEN, P.: d. at Copenhagen Aug. 7, 1911.
- MOFFATT, J.: Became Yates professor of N. T. exegesis at Mansfield College, Oxford, England.
- OETTLI, S.: d. at Greifswald Sept. 23, 1911.
- Patterson, R. M.: d. at Philadelphia, Pa., Apr. 5, 1911.
- SEWALL, J. S.: d. at Bangor, Me., Oct. 10, 1911.

ADDENDA ET CORRIGENDA

- Vol. i., p. 89, col. 1, line 28: Read "Edward VI." for "Edward I."; p. 492, col. 1, line 7 from bottom: Read "Heralds" for "Perils."
- Vol. iii., p. 350, col. 1, line 29: Read "Evil-Merodach" for "Eril-Merodach"; p. 401, col. 1, bibliography, line 4 from bottom: Read "Nevius" for "Nevins."
- Vol. v., p. 32, col. 1: In signature read "Hollenberg" for "Hallenberg"; p. 127, col. 1, line 27: Remove "(q.v.)"; p. 151, col. 1: In signature read "A" for "R"; p. 351, col. 1: In signature read "Herrmann" for "Hermann"; p. 351, col. 2, line 13 from bottom: Read "Cranmer" for "Franmer"; p. 358, col. 1: Remove † from signature.
- Vol. viii., p. 102, col. 2, line 8 from bottom: After "Pa." insert "with a total wealth of nearly a million dollars."
- a million dollars."

 Vol. ix., p. 131, col. 1, line 29: Read "Felix" for "Filix"; p. 132, col. 1, line 5 from bottom: Read "1523-34" for "1534-32"; p. 188, col. 1, line 22: Read "M. Bristol" for "T. Bristol"; p. 302, col. 1, line 19 from bottom: Read "Balmes" for "Balme"; p. 365, col. 1, bibliography: Remove the entry under T. Wright; p. 370, col. 1, line 21 from bottom: Read "1887-88" for "1899"; p. 401, col. 2, line 16: Read "W. R. Greg" for "R. W. Gregg"; p. 402, col. 1, line 28 from bottom: Read "New York" for "London."
- Vol. x., p. 19, col. 2, signature: Read "G. E." for "D."; p. 130, col. 2, line 17 from bottom: Read "mosaische" for "mosaische"; p. 251, col. 2, line 26 from bottom: Read "Decorah" for "Decoran"; p. 454, col. 1, line 22 from bottom: Read "Ancient" for "Early"; p. 499, col. 2, line 7 from bottom: Read "Life" for "Christology."
- Vol. xi., p. 4, col. 1, line 39: Read "T. L. Kingsbury" for "F. C. Cook"; p. 31, col. 1, Signature: Read "Ernst" for "Theodor"; p. 32, col. 1, line 30 from bottom: Read "(1887)" for "(1877)"; p. 39, col. 2, line 30 from bottom: Read "Eliot" for "Elliott," and line 28 from bottom: Read

"(1889)" for "(1899)"; p. 52, col. 2, lines 24-23 from bottom: Read "F. W. H. Myers" for "F. W. Meyers"; p. 56, col. 2, line 18 from bottom: Read "1906" for "1896"; p. 75, col. 1, line 17 from bottom: Delete "Maximilian" (a mistake from "M" meaning "magister"); p. 105, col. 2, line 9 from bottom: Read "Agamemnon" for "Agememnon"; p. 165, col. 1, line 18 from bottom: Read "Lawrance" for "Lawrence"; p. 166, col. 2, line 23 from bottom: Read "It ran"; p. 204, col. 1, line 29: Read "Abel" for "Cain" and line 31 read "Cain" for "Abel"; p. 247, col. 2, line 25 from bottom: Read "Casluhim" for "Gasluhim," and last line: Read "on both sides" for "beyond"; p. 248, col. 1, line 19, etc., from bottom: For "If Cush . . . from" read "He refers Cush (verses 8-12) to Babel and separates it from the Arabian stocks (verses 26-29), perhaps because he knew of "; col. 2, line 17: Read "700" for "709"; line 23 from bottom: Read "and means the people of the Mediterranean Sea (cf. verse 4)"; p. 249, col. 1, line 29 from bottom: Read "(xviii." for "(xix.," and line 23 from bottom: Read "Napata" for "Meravi"; col. 2, line 2; Read "Awalites" for "Ayalites," also line 22: Read "Jokshan" for "Joktan," and line 47: Read "south" for "north"; p. 250, col. 1, line 8: Read "required" for "forbidden"; p. 342, col. 1, lines 17-18: Read "Foecht" for "Foehh," "C. W." for "C. M.", and "Yutsy" for "Zutzy"; p. 430, col. 1, line 43: Signature should read "P. W. Crannell"; p. 364, col. 1, lines 17-18: Read "Foecht" for "Foehh," "C. W." for "C. M.", and "Yutsy" for "circuit of Nagold"; p. 434, col. 1, line 21: Insert before "Romanists" the words "Arguments of"; p. 467, col. 2, line 19 from bottom: Read "How to" for "How I"; p. 472, col. 2, line 32 from bottom: Read "Cutten" for "Culten."

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviations in common use or self-evident are not included here. For additional information concerning the works listed, see vol. i., pp. viii.-xx., and the appropriate articles in the body of the work.

ADR	Allgemeine deutsche Biographie, Leipele,
44	(1875 sqq., vol. 63, 1907
A 1D	American Journal of Philology, Balti-
A.F	Allgemeine deutsche Biographie, Leipsic, 1875 sqq., vol. 53, 1907. adversus, "against" American Journal of Philology, Baltimore, 1880 sqq. American Jeurnal of Theology, Chicago, 1897 sqq. Archive für katholisches Kirchenrecht,
AJT	1897 sog.
AKR	Archiv für katholisches Kirchenrecht,
	Innsbruck, 1857-61, Mains, 1872 sqq. Archiv für Litteratur- und Kirchenge- schichte des Mittelalters, Freiburg, 1885
ALKG	echichte des Mittelalters, Freiburg, 1885
	t add•
Am	. American
AMA	Abhandlungen der Münchener Akademie, Munich, 1763 agg. Ante-Nicene Fathers, American edition by A. Cleveland Coxe, 8 vols. and in- dex, Buffalo, 1887; vol. ix., ed. Allan Mensies, New York, 1897
	Ante-Nicene Fathers, American edition
ANT	by A. Cleveland Coxe, 8 vols. and in-
	Mensies, New York, 1897
Арое	. Apocrypha. apocryphal . Apologia, Apology
Apol	. A pologia, A pology
	. Aramaic
	artiala
Art. Schmal	. Schmalkald Articles
<i>ABB</i>	Antwerp, 1643 agg.
48M	Schmalkald Articles Acta conctorum, ed. J. Bolland a. d others, Antwerp, 1643 eq., Acta canctorum ordinis S. Benedicti, ed. J. Mabillon, 9 vols., Paris, 1668–1701
Augs. Con A. V	. Augsburg Confession . Authorised Version (of the English Bible)
A. V	Authorised version (of the English Bible)
Baldwin, Dictionary	J. M. Baldwin, Dictionary of Philosophy and Psychology, 3 vols. in 4, New York,
	(1901–00
Bardenhewer, Geschichte	O. Bardenhewer, Geschichte der altkirch- lichen Litteratur, 2 vols., Freiburg, 1902
Bartlenhewer.	O. Bardenhewer, Patrologie, 2d ed., Frei-
Patrologie	O. Bardenhewer, Patrologie, 2d ed., Freiburg, 1901
Bayle,	The Dictionary Historical and Critical of
Bayle, Distinary	The Dictionary Historical and Critical of Mr. Peter Bayle, 2d ed., 5 vols., London, 1734–38
	The Dictionary Historical and Critical of Mr. Peter Bayle, 2d ed., 5 vols., London, 1734-38 (I. Bensinger, Hebräische Archäologie, 2d
Benzinger, Archeologie	The Dictionary Historical and Critical of Mr. Peter Bayle, 2d ed., 5 vols., London, 1734-38 J. Bensinger, Hebräische Archäologie, 2d
Bensinger, Archeologie Bingham,	The Dictionary Historical and Critical of Mr. Peter Bayle, 2d ed., 5 vols., London, 1734-38 J. Bensinger, Hebräische Archäologie, 2d
Benzinger, Archeologie	(The Dictionary Historical and Critical of Mr. Peter Bayle, 2d ed., 5 vols., London, 1734-38 J. Bensinger, Hebräische Archäologie, 2d ed., Freiburg, 1907 J. Bingham, Origines ecclesiasticæ, 10 vols., London, 1708-22; new ed., Oxford 1855
Bensinger, Archeologie Bingham, Origines	(The Dictionary Historical and Critical of Mr. Peter Bayle, 2d ed., 5 vols., London, 1734-38 J. Bensinger, Hebräische Archäologie, 2d ed., Freiburg, 1907 J. Bingham, Origines ecclesiasticæ, 10 vols., London, 1708-22; new ed., Oxford 1855
Bensinger, Archeologie Bingham,	The Dictionary Historical and Critical of Mr. Peter Bayle, 2d ed., 5 vols., London, 1734-38 I. Bensinger, Hebräische Archäologie, 2d ed., Freiburg, 1907 J. Bingham, Origines ecclesiastica, 10 vols., London, 1708-22; new ed., Ox- ford, 1855 M. Bouquet, Recueil des historiens des Gaules et de la France, continued by parious hand 22 vols. Paris 1738-78
Bensinger, Archeologie Bingham, Origines Bouquet, Recueil	The Dictionary Historical and Critical of Mr. Peter Bayle, 2d ed., 5 vols., London, 1734-38 I. Bensinger, Hebräische Archäologie, 2d ed., Freiburg, 1907 J. Bingham, Origines ecclesiastica, 10 vols., London, 1708-22; new ed., Ox- ford, 1855 M. Bouquet, Recueil des historiens des Gaules et de la France, continued by parious hand 22 vols. Paris 1738-78
Bensinger, Archeologie Bingham, Origines	The Dictionary Historical and Critical of Mr. Peter Bayle, 2d ed., 5 vols., London, 1734-38 I. Bensinger, Hebräische Archäologie, 2d ed., Freiburg, 1907 J. Bingham, Origines ecclesiastica, 10 vols., London, 1708-22; new ed., Ox- ford, 1855 M. Bouquet, Recueil des historiens des Gaules et de la France, continued by parious hand 22 vols. Paris 1738-78
Bensinger, Archeologie Bingham, Originee Bouquet, Recueil Bower, Popes	The Dictionary Historical and Critical of Mr. Peter Bayle, 2d ed., 5 vols., London, 1734-38 I. Bensinger, Hebräische Archäologie, 2d ed., Freiburg, 1907 J. Bingham, Origines ecclesiasticæ, 10 vols., London, 1708-22; new ed., Ox- ford, 1855 M. Bouquet, Recueil des historiens des Gaules et de la France, continued by various hands, 23 vols., Paris. 1738-76 Archibald Bower, History of the Popes . to 1768, continued by S. H. Coz, 3 vols., Philadelphia, 1845-47 Baptist Quarteriu Review. Philadelphia
Bensinger, Archeologie Bingham, Origines Bouquet, Recueil Bower, Popes BQR	The Dictionary Historical and Critical of Mr. Peter Bayle, 2d ed., 5 vols., London, 1734-38 I. Bensinger, Hebrdische Archdologie, 2d ed., Freiburg, 1907 J. Bingham, Origines ecclesiasticæ, 10 vols., London, 1708-22; new ed., 0x- ford, 1855 M. Bouquet, Recueil des historiens des Gaules et de la France, continued by various hands, 23 vols., Paris, 1738-78 Archibald Bower, History of the Popes to 1768, continued by S. H. Coz, 3 vols., Philadelphia, 1845-47 Baptist Quarterly Review, Philadelphia, 1867 eqq.
Bensinger, Archeologie Bingham, Origines Bouquet, Recueil Bower, Popes BQR	The Dictionary Historical and Critical of Mr. Peter Bayle, 2d ed., 5 vols., London, 1734-38 I. Bensinger, Hebrdische Archdologie, 2d ed., Freiburg, 1907 J. Bingham, Origines ecclesiasticæ, 10 vols., London, 1708-22; new ed., 0x- ford, 1855 M. Bouquet, Recueil des historiens des Gaules et de la France, continued by various hands, 23 vols., Paris, 1738-78 Archibald Bower, History of the Popes to 1768, continued by S. H. Coz, 3 vols., Philadelphia, 1845-47 Baptist Quarterly Review, Philadelphia, 1867 eqq.
Bensinger, Archeologie Bingham, Origines Bouquet, Recueil Bower, Popes BQR	The Dictionary Historical and Critical of Mr. Peter Bayle, 2d ed., 5 vols., London, 1734-38 I. Bensinger, Hebrdische Archdologie, 2d ed., Freiburg, 1907 J. Bingham, Origines ecclesiasticæ, 10 vols., London, 1708-22; new ed., 0x- ford, 1855 M. Bouquet, Recueil des historiens des Gaules et de la France, continued by various hands, 23 vols., Paris, 1738-78 Archibald Bower, History of the Popes to 1768, continued by S. H. Coz, 3 vols., Philadelphia, 1845-47 Baptist Quarterly Review, Philadelphia, 1867 eqq.
Bensinger, Archeologie Bingham, Origines Bouquet, Recueil- Bower, Popes BQR BRG	The Dictionary Historical and Critical of Mr. Peter Bayle, 2d ed., 5 vols., London, 1734-38 I. Bensinger, Hebräische Archäologie, 2d ed., Freiburg, 1907 J. Bingham, Origines ecclesiasticæ, 10 vols., London, 1708-22; new ed., Oxford, 1855 M. Bouquet, Recueil des historiens des Gaules et de la France, continued by various hands, 23 vols., Paris, 1738-76 Archibald Bower, History of the Popes to 1768, continued by S. H. Cox, 3 vols., Philadelphia, 1845-47 Baptist Quarterly Review, Philadelphia, 1867 sqq. See Jaffé Canticles, Song of Solomon .caput, "chapter" (R. Ceillier, Histoire des auteurs sacrés et ecclésiastiques, 16 vols. in 17, Paris,
Bensinger, Archeologie Bingham, Origines Bouquet, Recueil- Bower, Popes BQR BRG Cant cap Ceillier, Auteurs eacrés	The Dictionary Historical and Critical of Mr. Peter Bayle, 2d ed., 5 vols., London, 1734-38 I. Bensinger, Hebräische Archäologie, 2d ed., Freiburg, 1907 J. Bingham, Origines ecclesiastica, 10 vols., London, 1708-22; new ed., Oxford, 1855 M. Bouquet, Recueil des historiens des Gaules et de la France, continued by various hands, 23 vols., Paris, 1738-76 Archibald Bower, History of the Popes to 1768, continued by S. H. Coz, 3 vols., Philadelphia, 1845-47 Baptist Quarterly Review, Philadelphia, 1867 sqq. See Jaffé Canticles, Song of Solomon caput, "chapter" (R. Ceiller, Histoire des auteurs sacrés et ecclésiastiques, 18 vols. in 17, Paris, 1858-69
Bensinger, Archeologie Bingham, Origines Bouquet, Recueil. Bower, Popes BQR BRG Cant cap Ceillier, Auteurs, sacrés Chron.	The Dictionary Historical and Critical of Mr. Peter Bayle, 2d ed., 5 vols., London, 1734-38 I. Bensinger, Hebraische Archaologie, 2d ed., Freiburg, 1907 J. Bingham, Origines ecclesiasticæ, 10 vols., London, 1708-22; new ed., 0x- ford, 1855 M. Bouquet, Recueil des historiens des Gaules et de la France, continued by various hands, 23 vols., Paris, 1738-76 Archibald Bower, History of the Popes to 1758, continued by S. H. Coz, 3 vols., Philadelphia, 1845-47 Baptist Quarterly Review, Philadelphia, 1867 eqq. See Jaffé Canticles, Song of Solomon caput, "chapter" R. Ceillier, Histoire des auteurs sacrés et eccléricatiques, 16 vols. in 17, Paris, 1858-69 Chronicon, "Chronicle"
Bensinger, Archeologie Bingham, Origines Bouquet, Recueil- Bower, Popes BQR BRG Cant cap Ceillier, Auteurs eacrés	The Dictionary Historical and Critical of Mr. Peter Bayle, 2d ed., 5 vols., London, 1734-38 I. Bensinger, Hebräische Archäologie, 2d ed., Freiburg, 1907 J. Bingham, Origines ecclesiasticæ, 10 vols., London, 1708-22; new ed., 0x- ford, 1855 M. Bouquet, Recueil des historiens des Gaules et de la France, continued by various hands, 23 vols., Paris. 1738-76 Archibald Bower, History of the Popes to 1768, continued by S. H. Coz, 3 vols., Philadelphia, 1845-47 Baptist Quarterly Review, Philadelphia, 1867 sqq. See Jaffé Canticles, Song of Solomon caput, "chapter" R. Ceillier, Histoire des auteurs sacrés et ecclériastiques, 16 vols. in 17, Paris, 1858-69 Chronicon, "Chronicle" I Chronicles
Bensinger, Archeologie Bingham, Origines. Bouquet, Recueil- Bower, Popes BQR BRG Cant cap. Ceillier, Auteurs, sacrés. Chron I Chron	The Dictionary Historical and Critical of Mr. Peter Bayle, 2d ed., 5 vols., London, 1734-38 I. Bensinger, Hebräische Archäologie, 2d ed., Freiburg, 1907 J. Bingham, Origines ecclesiasticæ, 10 vols., London, 1708-22; new ed., 0x- ford, 1855 M. Bouquet, Recueil des historiens des Gaules et de la France, continued by various hands, 23 vols., Paris. 1738-76 Archibald Bower, History of the Popes to 1768, continued by S. H. Coz, 3 vols., Philadelphia, 1845-47 Baptist Quarterly Review, Philadelphia, 1867 sqq. See Jaffé Canticles, Song of Solomon caput, "chapter" R. Ceillier, Histoire des auteurs sacrés et ecclériastiques, 16 vols. in 17, Paris, 1858-69 Chronicon, "Chronicle" I Chronicles
Bensinger, Archeologie Bingham, Origines Bouquet, Recueil. Bower, Popes BQR BRG Cant cap Ceillier, Auteurs sacrés. Chron II Chron II Chron CIG	The Dictionary Historical and Critical of Mr. Peter Bayle, 2d ed., 5 vols., London, 1734-38 I. Bensinger, Hebräische Archäologie, 2d ed., Freiburg, 1907 J. Bingham, Origines ecclesiastica, 10 vols., London, 1708-22; new ed., Oxford, 1855 M. Bouquet, Recueil des historiens des Gaules et de la France, continued by various hands, 23 vols., Paris, 1738-78 Archibald Bower, History of the Popes to 1768, continued by S. H. Coz., 3 vols., Philadelphia, 1845-47 Baptist Quarterly Review, Philadelphia, 1867 sqq. See Jaffé Canticles, Song of Solomon caput, "chapter" (R. Ceillier, Histoire des auteurs sacrés et eccléniastiques, 16 vols. in 17, Paris, 1858-69 Chronicon, "Chronicle" Il Chronicles Li Chronicles Li Corpus inscriptionum Gracarum, Berlin, 1825 son
Bensinger, Archeologie Bingham, Origines Bouquet, Recueil. Bower, Popes BQR BRG Cant cap. Ceillier, Auteurs sacrés. Chron I Chron	The Dictionary Historical and Critical of Mr. Peter Bayle, 2d ed., 5 vols., London, 1734-38 I. Benxinger, Hebräische Archäologie, 2d ed., Freiburg, 1907 J. Bingham, Origines ecclesiastica, 10 vols., London, 1708-22; new ed., Oxford, 1855 M. Bouquet, Recueil des historiens des Gaules et de la France, continued by various hands, 23 vols., Paris. 1738-76 Archibald Bower, History of the Popes to 1758, continued by S. H. Cox, 3 vols., Philadelphia, 1845-47 Baptist Quarterly Review, Philadelphia, 1867 sqq. See Jaffé Canticles, Song of Solomon caput, "chapter" R. Ceillier, Histoire des auteurs sacrés et ecclésiastiques, 16 vols. in 17, Paris, 1858-69 Chronicon, "Chronicle" I. Chronicles II Chronicles II Chronicles II Chronicles II Chronicles II Chronicles Sqq.
Bensinger, Archeologie Bingham, Origines Bouquet, Recueil. Bower, Popes BQR BRG Cant cap Ceillier, Auteurs sacrés. Chron II Chron II Chron CIG	The Dictionary Historical and Critical of Mr. Peter Bayle, 2d ed., 5 vols., London, 1734-38 I. Benxinger, Hebräische Archäologie, 2d ed., Freiburg, 1907 J. Bingham, Origines ecclesiastica, 10 vols., London, 1708-22; new ed., Oxford, 1855 M. Bouquet, Recueil des historiens des Gaules et de la France, continued by various hands, 23 vols., Paris. 1738-76 Archibald Bower, History of the Popes to 1758, continued by S. H. Cox, 3 vols., Philadelphia, 1845-47 Baptist Quarterly Review, Philadelphia, 1867 sqq. See Jaffé Canticles, Song of Solomon caput, "chapter" R. Ceillier, Histoire des auteurs sacrés et ecclésiastiques, 16 vols. in 17, Paris, 1858-69 Chronicon, "Chronicle" I. Chronicles II Chronicles II Chronicles II Chronicles II Chronicles II Chronicles Sqq.
Bensinger, Archeologie Bingham, Originee. Bouquet, Recueil. Bower, Popes BQR BRG Cant cap Ceillier, Auteurs sacrés. Chron II Chron II Chron CIG CIL CIS	The Dictionary Historical and Critical of Mr. Peter Bayle, 2d ed., 5 vols., London, 1734-38 I. Benxinger, Hebräische Archäologie, 2d ed., Freiburg, 1907 J. Bingham, Origines ecclesiastica, 10 vols., London, 1708-22; new ed., Oxford, 1855 M. Bouquet, Recueil des historiens des Gaules et de la France, continued by various hands, 23 vols., Paris, 1738-76 Archibald Bower, History of the Popes to 1768, continued by S. H. Cox, 3 vols., Philadelphia, 1845-47 Baptist Quarteriy Review, Philadelphia, 1867 sqq. See Jaffé Canticles, Song of Solomon caput, "chapter" (R. Ceillier, Histoire des auteurs sacrés et ecclésiastiques, 16 vols. in 17, Paris, 1838-69 Chronicon, "Chronicle" I Chronicles II Chronicles II Chronicles Corpus inscriptionum Gracarum, Berlin, 1825 sqq. Corpus inscriptionum Semiticarum, Paris, 1881 sqq.
Bensinger, Archeologie Bingham, Origines Bouquet, Recueil. Bower, Popes BQR BRG Cant cap Ceillier, Auteurs sacrés Chron I Chron II Chron II Chron CIG CIL CIS cod cod CIL cod CIL cod CIA CIA cod CIA CI	The Dictionary Historical and Critical of Mr. Peter Bayle, 2d ed., 5 vols., London, 1734-38 I. Benxinger, Hebräische Archäologie, 2d ed., Freiburg, 1907 J. Bingham, Origines ecclesiastica, 10 vols., London, 1708-22; new ed., Oxford, 1855 M. Bouquet, Recueil des historiens des Gaules et de la France, continued by various hands, 23 vols., Paris, 1738-76 Archibald Bower, History of the Popes to 1768, continued by S. H. Cox, 3 vols., Philadelphia, 1845-47 Baptist Quarterly Review, Philadelphia, 1867 sqq. See Jaffé Canticles, Song of Solomon caput, "chapter" (R. Ceillier, Histoire des auteurs sacrés et ecclesiastiques, 16 vols. in 17, Paris, 1888-69 Chronicon, "Chronicle" I Chronicles II Chronicles II Chronicles Corpus inscriptionum Gracarum, Berlin, 1825 sqq. Corpus inscriptionum Latinarum, Berlin, 1833 sqq. codex
Bensinger, Archbologie Bingham, Origines Bouquet, Recueil. Bower, Popes BQR BRG Cant cap Ceillier, Auteurs, sacrés Chron II Chron II Chron II Chron CIG CIL CIS cod cod cod cod cod cod cod Col	The Dictionary Historical and Critical of Mr. Peter Bayle, 2d ed., 5 vols., London, 1734-38 I. Bensinger, Hebräische Archäologie, 2d ed., Freiburg, 1907 J. Bingham, Origines ecclesiastica, 10 vols., London, 1708-22; new ed., Oxford, 1855 M. Bouquet, Recueil des historiens des Gaules et de la France, continued by various hands, 23 vols., Paris, 1738-76 Archibald Bower, History of the Popes to 1758, continued by S. H. Cox, 3 vols., Philadelphia, 1845-47 Baptist Quarterly Review, Philadelphia, 1867 sqq. See Jaffé Canticles, Song of Solomon caput, "chapter" R. Ceillier, Histoire des auteurs sacrés et ecclésiastiques, 16 vols. in 17, Paris, 1858-69 Chronicon, "Chronicle" I. Chronicles II Chronicles II Chronicles II Chronicles Corpus inscriptionum Gracarum, Berlin, 1825 sqq. Corpus inscriptionum Latinarum, Berlin, 1863 sqq. Corpus inscriptionum Semiticarum, Paris, 1881 sqq. codex Codex Theodosianus Enistle to the Colossians
Bensinger, Archbologie Bingham, Origines Bouquet, Recueil. Bower, Popes BQR BRG Cant cap Ceillier, Auteurs, sacrés Chron II Chron II Chron II Chron CIG CIL CIS cod cod cod cod cod cod cod Col	The Dictionary Historical and Critical of Mr. Peter Bayle, 2d ed., 5 vols., London, 1734-38 I. Bensinger, Hebräische Archäologie, 2d ed., Freiburg, 1907 J. Bingham, Origines ecclesiastica, 10 vols., London, 1708-22; new ed., Oxford, 1855 M. Bouquet, Recueil des historiens des Gaules et de la France, continued by various hands, 23 vols., Paris, 1738-76 Archibald Bower, History of the Popes to 1758, continued by S. H. Cox, 3 vols., Philadelphia, 1845-47 Baptist Quarterly Review, Philadelphia, 1867 sqq. See Jaffé Canticles, Song of Solomon caput, "chapter" R. Ceillier, Histoire des auteurs sacrés et ecclésiastiques, 16 vols. in 17, Paris, 1858-69 Chronicon, "Chronicle" I. Chronicles II Chronicles II Chronicles II Chronicles Corpus inscriptionum Gracarum, Berlin, 1825 sqq. Corpus inscriptionum Latinarum, Berlin, 1863 sqq. Corpus inscriptionum Semiticarum, Paris, 1881 sqq. codex Codex Theodosianus Enistle to the Colossians
Bensinger, Archbologie Bingham, Origines Bouquet, Recueil. Bower, Popes BQR BRG Cant cap Ceillier, Auteurs, sacrés Chron II Chron II Chron II Chron CIG CIL CIS cod cod cod cod cod cod cod Col	The Dictionary Historical and Critical of Mr. Peter Bayle, 2d ed., 5 vols., London, 1734-38 I. Bensinger, Hebräische Archäologie, 2d ed., Freiburg, 1907 J. Bingham, Origines ecclesiastica, 10 vols., London, 1708-22; new ed., Oxford, 1855 M. Bouquet, Recueil des historiens des Gaules et de la France, continued by various hands, 23 vols., Paris, 1738-76 Archibald Bower, History of the Popes to 1758, continued by S. H. Cox, 3 vols., Philadelphia, 1845-47 Baptist Quarterly Review, Philadelphia, 1867 sqq. See Jaffé Canticles, Song of Solomon caput, "chapter" R. Ceillier, Histoire des auteurs sacrés et ecclésiastiques, 16 vols. in 17, Paris, 1858-69 Chronicon, "Chronicle" I. Chronicles II Chronicles II Chronicles II Chronicles Corpus inscriptionum Gracarum, Berlin, 1825 sqq. Corpus inscriptionum Latinarum, Berlin, 1863 sqq. Corpus inscriptionum Semiticarum, Paris, 1881 sqq. codex Codex Theodosianus Enistle to the Colossians
Bensinger, Archbologie Bingham, Originae Bouquet, Recueil. Bower, Popes BQR BRG Cant cap Ceillier, Auteurs sacris. Chron II Chron II Chron CIG CIS cod cod cod cod col col cols Cor II Cor III Cor II Co	The Dictionary Historical and Critical of Mr. Peter Bayle, 2d ed., 5 vols., London, 1734-38 I. Bensinger, Hebräische Archäologie, 2d ed., Freiburg, 1907 J. Bingham, Origines ecclesiastica, 10 vols., London, 1708-22; new ed., Oxford, 1855 M. Bouquet, Recueil des historiens des Gaules et de la France, continued by various hands, 23 vols., Paris, 1738-76 Archibald Bower, History of the Popes to 1758, continued by S. H. Cox, 3 vols., Philadelphia, 1845-47 Baptist Quarterly Review, Philadelphia, 1867 sqq. See Jaffé Canticles, Song of Solomon caput, "chapter" (R. Ceillier, Histoire des auteurs sacrés et eccléniastiques, 16 vols. in 17, Paris, 1858-69 Chronicon, "Chronicle" I. Chronicles II Chronicles II Chronicles II Chronicles JI Chronicles JI Chronicles Les des des cauteurs sacrés et eccléniastiques, 16 vols. in 17, Paris, 1825 sqq. Corpus inscriptionum Gracarum, Berlin, 1825 sqq. Corpus inscriptionum Latinarum, Berlin, 1863 sqq. Corpus inscriptionum Semiticarum, Paris, 1881 sqq. Loodex codex Theodosianus Epistle to the Colossians column, columns Confessiones, "Confessions" First Epistle to the Corinthians Second Epistle to the Corinthians
Bensinger, Archbologie Bingham, Originae Bouquet, Recueil. Bower, Popes BQR BRG Cant cap Ceillier, Auteurs sacris. Chron II Chron II Chron CIG CIS cod cod cod cod col col cols Cor II Cor III Cor II Co	The Dictionary Historical and Critical of Mr. Peter Bayle, 2d ed., 5 vols., London, 1734-38 I. Benxinger, Hebräische Archäologie, 2d ed., Freiburg, 1907 J. Bingham, Origines ecclesiastica, 10 vols., London, 1708-22; new ed., Oxford, 1855 M. Bouquet, Recueil des historiens des Gaules et de la France, continued by various hands, 23 vols., Paris, 1738-76 Archibald Bower, History of the Popes. to 1768, continued by S. H. Cox, 3 vols., Philadelphia, 1845-47 Baptist Quarterly Review, Philadelphia, 1867 sqq. See Jaffé Canticles, Song of Solomon caput, "chapter" R. Ceillier, Histoire des auteurs sacrés et ecclériastiques, 16 vols. in 17, Paris, 1858-69 Chronicles II Chronicles II Chronicles II Chronicles Corpus inscriptionum Gracarum, Berlin, 1825 sqq. Corpus inscriptionum Semiticarum, Paris, 1861 sqq. codex Theodosianus Epistle to the Colossians column, columns Confessiones, "Confessions" First Epistle to the Corinthians Second Epistle to the Corinthians

CB	Corpus reformatorum, begun at Halle, 1834, vol. ixxxix., Berlin and Leipele, 1905 eqq. M. Creighton, A History of the Papacy from the Great Schiem to the Sack of Rome, new ed., 6 vols., New York and London, 1807.
CB	1905 sqq.
Creighton,	M. Creighton, A History of the Papacy
Papacy	Rome, new ed., 6 vols., New York and
	London, 1897
C8CO	Corpus scriptorum Christianorum orienta- lium, ed. J. B. Chabot, I. Guidi, and
	others. Paris and Leibsic, 1903 soc.
CSBL	Corpus scriptorum ecclesiasticorum Lati- norum, Vienna, 1867 sqq. Corpus scriptorum historia Byzantina, 49
CSHB	vols. Bonn 1828-78
Currier, Religious	Vols., Bonn, 1828-78 C. W. Currier, History of Religious Orders, New York, 1896 Deuteronomist
Orders	Deuteronomist
Dan	. Daniel
DB	J. Hastings, Dictionary of the Bible, 4 vols, and extra vol., Edinburgh and New York, 1898-1904
	New York, 1898-1904
DCA	W. Smith and S. Cheetham, Dictionary of Christian Antiquities, 2 vols., London,
DCA	1875-80
DCB	W. Smith and H. Wace, Dictionary of Christian Biography, 4 vols., Boston,
DCB	1877-87
	J. Hastings, J. A. Selbie, and J. C. Lambert, A Dictionary of Christ and the Gospels, 2 vols., Edinburgh and New York, 1906– 1908
DCG	vols., Edinburgh and New York, 1906-
D	1908
Deut	Deuteronomy De viris illustribus
DGQ	See Wattenbach
DNB	L. Stephen and S. Lee, Dictionary of National Biography, 63 vols. and supplement 3 vols., London, 1885-1901 S. R. Driver, Introduction to the Literature of the Old Testament, 10th ed., New York 1910
2	supplement 3 vols., London, 1885-1901
Driver, Introduc-	S. R. Driver, Introduction to the Literature
tion	
E	
EB	T. K. Cheyne and J. S. Black, Encyclo- padia Biblica, 4 vols., London and New York, 1899-1903 Ecclesia, "Church"; ecclesiasticus, "ec-
Eccl	New York, 1899-1903 . Ecclesia, "Church"; ecclesiasticus, "ec-
	Clesiasticai
Ecclus	. Ecclesiastes
ed	edition; edidit, "edited by "
Eph	Epistle to the Ephesians
Ersch and Gru- ber, Encyklo-	(J. S. Ersch and J. G. Gruber, Allgemeine
ber, Encyklo-	Encyklopadie der Wissenschaften und
pādie E. V	Ecclesiasticus edition; edidid, "edited by " Epistle to the Ephesians Epistle, "Epistle," "Epistles" [J. S. Ersch and J. G. Gruber, Allgemeine Encyklopādie der Wissenschaften und Künste, Leipsic, 1818 sqg. English versions (of the Bible)
N'T.	
Esek	. Ezekiel . fasciculus
Fr	French
Friedrich, KD	J. Friedrich, Kirchengeschichte Deutsch- lands, 2 vols., Bamberg, 1867-69 Epistle to the Galatians
Gal	Epistle to the Galatians
Gams, Series	(P. B. Gams, Series episcoporum ecclesia Catholica, Regensburg, 1873, and sup- plement, 1886
episcoporum	Catholica, Regensburg, 1873, and supplement, 1886 H. Gee and W. J. Hardy, Documents
Gee and Hardy,	H. Gee and W. J. Hardy, Documents Illustrative of English Church History, London, 1896
Documents	London, 1896
Germ	. German
GGA	1824 eqq.
Gibbon, Decline and Fall	(E. Gibbon, History of the Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire ed. J. B.
	1824 sq. E. Gibbon, History of the Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire, ed. J. B. Bury, 7 vols., London, 1896-1900 Greek
Gk	.Greek (C. Gross, The Sources and Literature of
Gross, Sources	(C. Gross, The Sources and Literature of English History to 1486, London, 1900
•	Hehekkuk
Hab Haddan and	A. W. Haddan and W. Stubbs, Councils
Stubbs, Coun-	A. W. Haddan and W. Stubbs, Councils and Ecclesiastical Documents Relating to Great Britain and Ireland, 3 Vols.,
cils	Oxford, 1869-78
	,

	LIST OF ABBR	EVIATIONS			•	1
_		Leg	Leges, Legum Leviticus Leviticus	Encyclopédie des 13 vols., Paris, 1	ea- 877-	1
oxiv	Refers to patristic works on heresies or prescriptions. A Tertulizagis of Irenaus, the	LAANDERKO. 1	F. Lichtenderse	Encyclopédie des 1, 13 vols., Paris, 1 tschlands Geschicht tter, 3d ed., Berlin,	guel- 1887	1
		ESR	O. Lorenz. Deu	tschlands Geschicht lter, 3d ed., Berlin,		
Har.	Pana collectio	Lorenz, DGQ	The Septuagint I Maccabees	900	a col-	
Hag	Haggai	T Macc	II Maccabees II Maccabees A Mai, Script	torum veterum nov ., Rome, 1825–38	. 4.	
Harduin, Co	maxima, 12 vols. Paris, 11 from maxima, 12 vols. Paris, 10 from Harnack, History of Dogma Harnack, History of Dogma Hospitaliche, 7 vols. Boston, the 3d German edition, 7 vols. Boston, 10 from 10 fr	II Macc Mai, Nova col- lectio	Malachi Malachi	Lives of the Popes	in the 02 sqq.	
Harnack, Do	Geschichte 2 vols. In	Mal Mann, Popes	R. Early Middle	i, Sanctorum condi	oe and	
Harnack, Li	A Harnack, the 3d German edition, to the 3d German edition, 1895-1900 [A. Harnack, Geschichte der altchristlichen 1895-1904] A Harnack, Geschichte der 2 vols. in 3, Litteratur bis Eusebius, 2 vols. in 3, Litteratur bis 2-1904 [A. Hauck, Kirchengeschichte vol. ii. 1905] D - 1800 [A. Harnack, Geschichte der 2 vols. ii. 1906] A Hauck, Vol. ii. 1906; vol. iv. 1903 [1900] Lands, vol. iii. 1906; vol. iv. 1903 [1900] Lenckulopadaie für protestantische The planckulopadaie [1900]	Mansi, Concilio	Venice, 172	Lives of the Popes Ages, London, 19 Ages, London, 19 Ages, Tongon, 19 Ages, 19 Yols., Florer 19 Yols.	A G. H.	
Mr. f. a	The yol. In cong. yol. Iv.	- 1	Matthew G	ermania historica, e others, Hanover of The following	nd Ber-	
Hauck, Kl	D lands, vol. i. Leipsic, vol. iv., 1905 lands, vol. iii. 1906; vol. iv., 1905 1900; vol. iii. 1906; vol. iv., 1906 1900; vol. iii. 1906; vol. iv., 1906 Reslenckylopādie für protestantische The Reslenckylopādie für protestantische J. J. Reslenckylopādie für protestantische J. J. Reslenckylopādie für protestantische J. J. Reslenckylopādie für protestantische J. J.	S. Miller				
Hauck-He	erzog. Herzog 3d ed.		subsection	ermania historica, e others, Hanover iq. The following used for the sect s of this work: Ant. niquities ". Auct. niquities ". Oldest uissimi. Chronica minor. Dip., Diplom	Writers";	
RE	1896-1909 Epistle to the Hebrews Epistle to Tartele Concilienceschichte, co	n- ri.,	tores anti-	n., Chronica minore	ata, Epis-	
Hebr Hefele, C	U. J. A by J. Heis 1993-93	re-	Chronicle	a of times." Auct. niquities." Oldest. o'Oldest. o'Oldest. o'Dip., Diplom Documents." Epocaters. Romanorus opes of Rome. Lib. de like, Limmum et sacerdotium mum et sacerdotium et sacerdotium.	ont. Rom., Deeds	
	O. M. Heimbucher, katholischen Kirche,		tolæ, Gesta po	ntificum Romanoru	Leg. Leges, belli de lite	
Heimbu	und Kon 3 vols., Pader des ordres 8 v	ols.,	of the Laws	Lib. de lite, Li	saculorum	
grega	Owdres I tiques, and 4-10; her restance L	0004-	ri. et zi	opes of Rome Lib. de lite, Li Lib. de lite, Li Limum et sacerdotium Liconscripti, "Book Liconscripti, "Centuries Liconscripti, "Centuries Liconscripti, "Centuries Liconscripti, "Book Licons	and Eccle-	
	astiques. Paris, 1714 Select Historians Select Historians Doc E. F. Henderson, Select Historians London,	nurch MGH	siastica	welfth Centuries	ecrology of	
247760	erson, Doc E. F. Heinter of the Middle Ages, ents Historia ecclesiastica, ecclesiae, Historia ecclesiastica, ecclesiae, History History Homily, homilie	a"	crologic	ny Poet Lat	lini, "Latin	
Hist.	eccl. History, here's ecclesiastica, eccueers, History, History, Homilia, homilia, "homily, homilie	-	Poeta Poeta	of the Caroline	ini medii ævi,	
Hom	Hosea Tsalah		Lat. 7	in Poets of the	ters Germani-	
Isa. Ital	Italian (Vahwist) - is 1822 sqq.	W.Ja-	Scrip rer.	raum et saci. Book ic osseripti. Book ic osseripti. I Authorities in welfth Centuries 2 Germania Lat. Latini avi Poet Caroline de Caroline de Caroline de Caroline 3 Germania Lat. Latini evi Caroline de avi Poet Caroline 4 Scriptores 5 Germania 5 Criptores 6 The Managobardicarum Langobardicarum Langobardicarum riters on 7 Tetros	German Surgeb., Scriptores	
3	Italian Jahvist (Yahwist) Jahvist Asiatique, Paris, 1822 sqd. Journal Asiatique, Paris, 1822 sqd. A Standard Bible Dictionary, ed. M. A Standard Bible Dictionary, ed. M. London,, Vork and London	1909	jects	m Langobardicarum	d and Italian	
	obus, Zenos, New Niotheca rerum 73		1	Screpe	artitle.	
	carum, 6 vols., pontificum Roi	1, 1851;	tore	s rerum Merovingia Merovingian Subject	of Latin Chris	
	re Regesta) 2d ed., Leip American Orice	Mic	H E	Milman, History	of the London	á
	Haven, I Titerature	nd Executed Milmi	an, Latin	Nicholas V., 80–61 firbt, Quellen sur Ge firbt, quellen sur Ge time und des romisch ums und 1901	schichte des Papel-	1
J	1 of Division 1029-50.	Car.	(C. N	lirbt, Class romisc	ien Russia	₹
	JBL Society Middletown, 1892 co., 1890 sqq. ton, 1890 sqq. The Jewish Encyclopedia, 12 York, 1901-06	vols., New Mirb	T. Charter 1	Patrologi	Daris, 180	1
	Vork 1901	MP	<i>σ</i>	Migne. Fuel	ola Paris	
	The combined narractive (Yahwist) and Elohist	ties of the MF	L L	anuscript, Man	Italicarum	
	JE The combined national field of the Cyahwist) and Elohist (Yahwist) and Elohist Jeremiah Jeremiah Jeremiah Jews '' Je	Apion" MS	MSS. MSS. L pratori, Scrip- L	A. Muratori, Review 1728, 28 vols., 1728	–51 Joseflschaft für ältere Hanover, hiskunde,	
	Josephus, Flavius Josephus Jew	ish War		deutsche Geschie	Bon and	
	Josephus Life. Blazins Josephus	- mheologie, N	A · · · · · (DE History of the Chris	
	Josephus, War Joshus Jo	view, London, N	ah	A. Neander, General	#0_ 91	
	JPT Leipsic, 18 Quarterly Rev The Jewish Quarterly Rev 1888 sqd the Royal Asiati Journal of the Royal Asiati	c Society, Lon-	Neander, Christian Church.	A. Nesimon and tian Religion and index, Boston, I Nehemish	smoires pour servir à	
	JQR	udies, London,	Neh Mé- Niceron, Mé-	R. P. Niceron, Phistoire des hor	tmoires pour servir à 43 ames illustrés	
	ITS Inlian, A London	1, 1901	moires			
	Julian, Hym- nology See Schrader Schrader Truck Re	aberr al	Nielsen, Papacy	York, 1906	Papacy in the Nineteens	
	KAT See Schrader, Hauck, R. KB See Friedrich, Welte's K	irchenlexikon, 2d irchenlexikon, 2d irchenlexikon, 2d irchenlexikon, 2d	Nippold, Papac	F. Nippold, New Century, New	Papacy in the Nineteenti York, 1900 Zeitschrift, Leipeic, 189 Zeitschrift der hebrüsche Abrbuch der 1894	en
	Julian, Hym- nology See Schrader KAT See Schrader KB See Friedrich, Welte's K KD Wetzer und KL 12 vols, Freiburg, 18 12 vols, Freiburg, 18 12 vols, Freiburg, 18	82-1903 Christian	VEZ	Tamack.	TANKE INTERIOR	
	C Kruger, in the Fire	it The	Nowack, Arth	Archaologie, no place of pub	ication Illustration In Post-Nicene Fathers, In New York, 1887-92; In New York, 1890-1; In Ne	1st 2d
			n.p	The Nicene an	lication 1 Post-Nicene Fathers, 2 Post-Nicene Fathers, 3 New York, 1887–92; 3 New York, 1890–10 1015, Novum Testament 1015, Novum Te	900 um.
	Krumbacher, Geschichte Krumbacher, 1897 Labbe, Sacrorum P. Labbe, Sacrorum Geschichte	onciliorum nova et	NPNF	series, 14 V	s., New York, 1890-1 ols., New York, 1890-1 ols., New York, 1890-1 ols., New York, 1890-1 ostament, News Testan estament, News	nent
				Nouveau Numbers		
•	Labbe, Lamentations	ntury, 4 vols., Dul	Num	Obedish		
	Lanigan, Book J lin 1829		1 000			
	Hist Latin, Latinized					
ı	Phone					

	Ordo sancti Benedicti. "Order of St.
O. S. B	Ordo sancti Benedicti, "Order of St. Benedict"
O. T	.Old Testament
0170	.pes pintin
P	Priestly document
D . D	L. Pastor, The History of the Popes from the Close of the Middle Ages, 8 vols., London, 1891-1908
Pastor, Popes	Tenden 1901–1909
	(Detro redesin Anglicana ed T.A. Cilea
PBA	Patres ecclesia Anglicana, ed. J. A. Giles,
PEF	34 vols., London, 1838–46 Palestine Exploration Fund
I Pet	First Epistle of Peter
11 Pet	. Becond Lipistie of Peter
	B. Platina, Lives of the Popes from Gregory VII. to Paul II., 2 vols.,
Platina, Popes	Gregory VII. to Paul II., 2 vols.,
TH: 171.44	(London, n.d.
Puny, Hust nat.	Pliny, Historia naturalis (A. Potthast, Bibliotheca historica medii avi. Wequesiser durch die Geschichte- werke, Berlin, 1896
Potthast, Weg-	mi Wenneiser durch die Geschichte-
1001.007	werke, Berlin, 1896
Prov	.Proverbs
Ps	Pealms Pealms Proceedings of the Society of Biblical Archeology, London, 1880 agd. guod (qua) vids, "which see" L. von Ranke, History of the Popes, 3 vols., London, 1906 Revue des deux mondes. Paris, 1831 agg.
PSBA	Proceedings of the Society of Biblical
0 Y M Y	and (man) side " which see "
Q. v., qq. v	(I. von Ranke, History of the Pones
Ranke, Popes	3 vols., London, 1906
RB	See Hauck-Hersog
Reich, Docu-	F. Reich, Select Documents Illustrating Me-
ments	Remie des études issines Paris 1990 ess
D.M	F. W. Rettberg, Kirchengeschichte Deutsch-
Rettherg, KD	lands, 2 vols., Göttingen. 1846-48
Rev	Book of Revelation
RHR) nevue de l'histoire des religions, l'airs,
	1880 sqq.
Richardson, Bn-	E. C. Richardson, Alphabetical Subject Index and Index Encyclopaedia to Period-
cyclopaedia	ical Articles on Religion, 1890-99, New
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	l York, 1907
Richter, Kirchen-	A. L. Richter, Lehrbuch des katholischen und evangelischen Kirchenrechte, 8th
reciti	und evangelischen Kirchenrechis, 8th
Robinson, Re-	(E. Robinson, Biblical Researches in
searches, and	ed. by W. Kahl, Leipsic, 1886 (E. Robinson, Biblical Researches in Palestine, Boston, 1841, and Later
Later Re-	Biblical Researches in Palestine, 30 ed.
warches	of the whole, 3 vols., 1867
Kobinson, Euro-	J. H. Robinson, Readings in European
7828 M 1850F1/	
Robinson and	I H Robinson and C A Reard Develor-
Robinson and Beard. Modern	J. H. Robinson, Readings in European History, 2 vols., Boston, 1904-06 J. H. Robinson, and C. A. Beard, Develop- ment of Modern Europe, 2 vols., Boston.
Beard, Modern - Europe	ment of Modern Europe, 2 vois., Boston,
Burope	ment of Modern Europe, 2 vols., Boston,
Beard, Modern - Europe	ment of Modern Europe, 2 vois., Boston, 1907 Epistle to the Romans Revus de théologie et de philosophie,
Beard, Modern - Europe	ment of Modern Europe, 2 vois., Boston, 1907 . Epistle to the Romans Revue de théologie et de philosophie, 1 Lausanne. 1873
Beard, Modern - Europe	ment of Modern Europe, 2 vois., Boston, 1907 . Epistle to the Romans Revue de théologie et de philosophie, 1 Lausanne. 1873
Beard, Modern - Brope Rom RTP L V	ment of Modern Europe, 2 vois., Boeton, 1907 . Epistle to the Romans Revue de théologie et de philosophie, Lausanne, 1873 . Revised Version (of the English Bible) .esculum, "century" . I Samuel
Beard, Modern - Europe	ment of Modern Europe, 2 vois., Boston, 1907 Epistle to the Romans Revue de théologie et de philosophie, Lausanne, 1873 Revised Version (of the English Bible) Esculum, "century" I Samuel
Beard, Modern - Europe	ment of Modern Europe, 2 vois., Boston, 1907 Epistle to the Romans Revue de théologie et de philosophie, Lausanne, 1873 Revised Version (of the English Bible)seculum, "century" I Samuel I Samuel Stitungsberichte der Berliner Akademie,
Beard, Modern - Europe	ment of Modern Europe, 2 vois., Boston, 1907 . Epistle to the Romans Revue de théologie et de philosophie, Lausanne, 1873 . Revised Version (of the English Bible) .esculum, "century" I Samuel II Samuel Sitzungsberichte der Berliner Akademie, Berlin, 1882 sqq.
Beard, Modern - Europe. Rom	ment of Modern Europe, 2 vois., Boston, 1907 . Epistle to the Romans Revue de théologie et de philosophie, Lausanne, 1873 . Revised Version (of the English Bible) .esculum, "century" I Samuel II Samuel Sitzungsberichte der Berliner Akademie, Berlin, 1882 sqq.
Beard, Modern - Europe. Rom	ment of Modern Europe, 2 vois., Boston, 1907 Epistle to the Romans Revue de théologie et de philosophie, Lausanne, 1873 Revised Version (of the English Bible) .secculum, "century" I Samuel II Samuel Sitzungsberichte der Berliner Akademie, Berlin, 1882 sqq. (F. Max Müller and others, The Sacred Books of the East, Oxford, 1879 sqq.,
Beard, Modern - Europe. Rom. RTP	ment of Modern Europe, 2 vois., Boston, 1907 Epistle to the Romans Provided the Romans Lausanne, 1873 Revised Version (of the English Bible) Seculum, "century" I Samuel II Samuel Sitzungsberichte der Berliner Akademie, Berlin, 1882 sqq. (F. Max Müller and others, The Sacred Books of the East, Oxford, 1879 sqq., vol. xlviii., 1904
Beard, Modern- Europe Rom RTP LV RE Sam I Sam BA BB BB	ment of Modern Europe, 2 vois., Boston, 1907 Epistle to the Romans Private de théologie et de philosophie, Lausanne, 1873 Revised Version (of the English Bible) seculum, "century" I Samuel II Samuel Sitzungsberichte der Berliner Akademie, Berlin, 1882 sqq. (F. Max Müller and others, The Sacred Books of the East, Oxford, 1879 sqq., vol. xlviii., 1904
Beard, Modern- Europe Rom RTP L L V Sam I Sam I Sam BA BBE	ment of Modern Europe, 2 vois., Boeton, 1907 Epistle to the Romans Revue de théologie et de philosophie, Lausanne, 1873 Revised Version (of the English Bible) .sexculum, "century" I Samuel II Samuel Sitzungsberichte der Berliner Akademie, Berlin, 1882 sqq. F. Max Müller and others, The Sacred Books of the East, Oxford, 1879 sqq., vol. xlviii., 1904 Sacred Books of the Old Testament ("Rainbow Bible"), Leipsic, London, and Baltimore, 1894 sqq.
Beard, Modern- Europe Rom RTP L L V Rec Sam I Sam BA BBE	ment of Modern Europe, 2 vois., Boeton, 1907 Epistle to the Romans Revue de théologie et de philosophie, Lausanne, 1873 Revised Version (of the English Bible) .sexculum, "century" I Samuel II Samuel Sitzungsberichte der Berliner Akademie, Berlin, 1882 sqq. F. Max Müller and others, The Sacred Books of the East, Oxford, 1879 sqq., vol. xlviii., 1904 Sacred Books of the Old Testament ("Rainbow Bible"), Leipsic, London, and Baltimore, 1894 sqq.
Beard, Modern- Europe Rom RTP LV Sam I Sam BBA BBE Chaff, Christian	ment of Modern Europe, 2 vois., Boeton, 1907 Epistle to the Romans Revue de théologie et de philosophie, Lausanne, 1873 Revised Version (of the English Bible)
Beard, Modern- Europe Rom RTP L L V Rec Sam I Sam BA BBE	ment of Modern Europe, 2 vois., Boeton, 1907 Epistle to the Romans Revue de théologie et de philosophie, Lausanne, 1873 Revised Version (of the English Bible) .eseculum, "century" I Samuel II Samuel Sitzungsberichte der Berliner Akademie, Berlin, 1882 sqq. F. Max Müller and others, The Sacred Books of the East, Oxford, 1879 sqq., vol. xiviii., 1904 Sacred Books of the Old Testament ("Rainbow Bible"), Leipsic, London, and Baltimore, 1894 sqq. P. Schaff, History of the Christian Church, vols. iiv., vi., vii., New York, 1882-92, vol. v. 2 parts, by D. S. Schaff. 1907-10
Beard, Modern- Europe. Rom. RTP. L. V. Sam. Sam. I Sam. BA BE BOT. Chaff, Christian Church.	ment of Modern Europe, 2 vois., Boeton, 1907 Epistle to the Romans Revue de théologie et de philosophie, Lausanne, 1873 Revised Version (of the English Bible) .eseculum, "century" I Samuel II Samuel Sitzungsberichte der Berliner Akademie, Berlin, 1882 sqq. F. Max Müller and others, The Sacred Books of the East, Oxford, 1879 sqq., vol. xiviii., 1904 Sacred Books of the Old Testament ("Rainbow Bible"), Leipsic, London, and Baltimore, 1894 sqq. P. Schaff, History of the Christian Church, vols. iiv., vi., vii., New York, 1882-92, vol. v. 2 parts, by D. S. Schaff. 1907-10
Beard, Modern- Europe. Rom. PTP. V. Sam. Sam. Sam. BA BE BOT. Chaff, Christian Church.	ment of Modern Europe, 2 vols., Boeton, 1907 Epistle to the Romans Revue de théologie et de philosophie, Lausanne, 1873 Revised Veraion (of the English Bible)
Beard, Modern- Europe Rom RIP L V Sam I Sam I Sam BA BB BB Chaff, Christian Church Church Chaff, Creeds	ment of Modern Europe, 2 vois., Boston, 1907 Epistle to the Romans Revue de théologie et de philosophie, Lausanne, 1873 Revised Version (of the English Bible)
Beard, Modern- Europe Rom RTP L V Sam I Sam I Sam BA BB BOT Chaff, Christian Chaff, Creeds	ment of Modern Burope, 2 vois., Boston, 1907 Epistle to the Romans Revue de théologie et de philosophie, Lausanne, 1873 Revised Version (of the English Bible)sexculum, "century" I Samuel II Samuel Sitzungsberichte der Berliner Akademie, Berlin, 1882 sqq. F. Max Müller and others, The Sacred Books of the East, Oxford, 1879 sqq., vol. xlviii., 1904 Sacred Books of the Old Testament ("Rainbow Bible"), Leipsic, London, and Baltimore, 1894 sqq. P. Schaff, History of the Christian Church, vols. iiv., vi., vii., New York, 1882-92, vol. v., 2 parts, by D. S. Schaff, 1907-10 P. Schaff, The Creeds of Christendom, 3 vols., New York, 1877-84 E. Schrader, Cuneiform Inscriptions and the Old Testament, 2 vols., London,
Beard, Modern- Europe. Rom. Rom. Rom. Sam. I Sam. BA BE BOT. Chaff, Christian Church. chaff, Creeds.	ment of Modern Europe, 2 vois., Boeun, 1907 Epistle to the Romans Revue de théologie et de philosophie, Lausanne, 1873 Revised Version (of the English Bible)sexculum, "century" I Samuel II Samuel Sitzungsberichte der Berliner Akademie, Berlin, 1882 sqq. F. Max Müller and others, The Sacred Books of the East, Oxford, 1879 sqq., vol. xlviii., 1904 Sacred Books of the Old Testament ("Rainbow Bible"), Leipsic, London, and Baltimore, 1894 sqq. P. Schaff, History of the Christian Church, vols. iiv., vi., vii., New York, 1882-92, vol. v., 2 parts, by D. S. Schaff, 1907-10 P. Schaff, The Creeds of Christendom, 3 vols., New York, 1877-84 E. Schrader, Cuneiform Inscriptions and the Old Testament, 2 vols., London, 1885-88
Beard, Modern- Europe. Rom. Rom. Rom. Sam. I Sam. BA BE BOT. Chaff, Christian Church. chaff, Creeds.	ment of Modern Europe, 2 vois., Boeun, 1907 Epistle to the Romans Revue de théologie et de philosophie, Lausanne, 1873 Revised Version (of the English Bible)sexculum, "century" I Samuel II Samuel Sitzungsberichte der Berliner Akademie, Berlin, 1882 sqq. F. Max Müller and others, The Sacred Books of the East, Oxford, 1879 sqq., vol. xlviii., 1904 Sacred Books of the Old Testament ("Rainbow Bible"), Leipsic, London, and Baltimore, 1894 sqq. P. Schaff, History of the Christian Church, vols. iiv., vi., vii., New York, 1882-92, vol. v., 2 parts, by D. S. Schaff, 1907-10 P. Schaff, The Creeds of Christendom, 3 vols., New York, 1877-84 E. Schrader, Cuneiform Inscriptions and the Old Testament, 2 vols., London, 1885-88
Beard, Modern- Europe. Rom. Rom. Rom. Sam. Sam. Sam. BA BE BOT. Chaff, Christian Church chaff, Creeds. chrader, COT.	ment of Modern Europe, 2 vols., Boston, 1907 Epistle to the Romans Revue de théologie et de philosophie, Lausanne, 1873 Revised Version (of the English Bible)sexculum, "century" I Samuel II Samuel Sitzungsberichte der Berliner Akademie, Berlin, 1882 sqq. F. Max Müller and others, The Sacred Books of the East, Oxford, 1879 sqq., vol. xiviii., 1904 Sacred Books of the Old Testament ("Rainbow Bible"), Leipsic, London, and Baltimore, 1894 sqq. P. Schaff, History of the Christian Church, vols. iiv., vi., vii., New York, 1882-92, vol. v., 2 parts, by D. S. Schaff, 1907-10 P. Schaff, The Creeds of Christendom, 3 vols., New York, 1877-84 E. Schrader, Cuneiform Inscriptions and the Old Testament, 2 vols., London, 1885-88 E. Schrader, Die Keilinschriften und das Alte Testament, 2 vols., Berlin, 1902-03 E. Schrader, Die Keilinschriften Bubliothek,
Beard, Modern- Europe. Rom. Rom. Rom. Sam. Sam. Sam. BA BE BOT. Chaff, Christian Church chaff, Creeds. chrader, COT.	ment of Modern Europe, 2 vols., Boeun, 1907 Epistle to the Romans Revue de théologie et de philosophie, Lausanne, 1873 Revised Veraion (of the English Bible)
Beard, Modern- Europe Rom Rom RTP LTP LS V RE Sam I Sam I Sam BBA BBC Chaff, Christian Church chaff, Creeds chrader, COT chrader, KAT chrader, KB	ment of Modern Europe, 2 vols., Boeun, 1907 Epistle to the Romans Revue de théologie et de philosophie, Lausanne, 1873 Revised Veraion (of the English Bible)
Beard, Modern- Europe. Rom. Rom. Rom. Rom. Sam. Sam. Sam. BA BB. BBA BBC. Christian Church. chaff, Creeds. chrader, COT. chrader, KAT. chrader, KB. chûrer,	ment of Modern Europe, 2 vols., Boeun, 1907 Epistle to the Romans Revue de théologie et de philosophie, Lausanne, 1873 Revised Veraion (of the English Bible)
Beard, Modern- Europe Rom Rom RTP LTP LS V RE Sam I Sam I Sam BBA BBC Chaff, Christian Church chaff, Creeds chrader, COT chrader, KAT chrader, KB	ment of Modern Europe, 2 vols., Boeun, 1907 Epistle to the Romans Revue de théologie et de philosophie, Lausanne, 1873 Revised Veraion (of the English Bible)
Beard, Modern- Europe Rom Rom RTP L V Re Sam I Sam I Sam BA BBA BBA Chaff, Christian Church chaff, Creeds chrader, KAT chrader, KAT chrader, KB chûrer, Geschichte	ment of Modern Europe, 2 vols., Boeton, 1907 Epistle to the Romans Revue de théologie et de philosophie, Lausanne, 1873 Revised Version (of the English Bible)
Beard, Modern- Europe Rom. RTP. 2. V. Sam. I Sam. BA BE BOT. Chaff, Christian Church chaff, Creeds chrader, KAT chrader, KAT chrader, KB. churer, Geschichte	ment of Modern Europe, 2 vols., Boeton, 1907 Epistle to the Romans Revue de théologie et de philosophie, Lausanne, 1873 Revised Version (of the English Bible)
Beard, Modern- Europe Rom Rom RTP L V Sam I Sam I Sam BA BB BOT Chaff, Christian Church chaff, Creeds chrader, KAT chrader, KAT chrader, KB christian chrader, KB christian chrader, KI chrader, CoT	ment of Modern Europe, 2 vols., Boston, 1907 Epistle to the Romans Revue de théologie et de philosophie, Lausanne, 1873 Revised Version (of the English Bible)
Beard, Modern- Europe Rom. RIP. I. V. Sam. I Sam. I Sam. I Sam. BBA BBOT. Chaff, Christian Church. chaff, Creeds charder, COT. chrader, KAT. chrader, KB. charer, Geschichte. cript cri	ment of Modern Burope, 2 vols., Boston, 1907 Epistle to the Romans Revue de théologie et de philosophie, Lausanne, 1873 Revised Version (of the English Bible)
Beard, Modern- Europe Rom. RTP. RTP. RTP. RTP. RTP. RTP. RTP. RTP	ment of Modern Europe, 2 vols., Boston, 1907 Epistle to the Romans Revue de théologie et de philosophie, Lausanne, 1873 Revised Version (of the English Bible)
Beard, Modern- Europe Rom. RTP. RTP. RTP. RTP. RTP. RTP. RTP. RTP	ment of Modern Europe, 2 vols., Boston, 1907 Epistle to the Romans Revue de théologie et de philosophie, Lausanne, 1873 Revised Version (of the English Bible)
Beard, Modern- Europe Rom. RTP. R. V. Sam. I Sam. II Sam. BBA BBC Chaff, Christian Church chaff, Creeds chrader, COT chrader, KAT chrader, KB. chürer, Geschichte crivener, Introduction cat J. MAA	ment of Modern Burope, 2 vols., Boston, 1907 Epistle to the Romans Revue de théologie et de philosophie, Lausanne, 1873 Revised Version (of the English Bible)
Beard, Modern- Europe Rom. RTP. R. V. Sam. I Sam. II Sam. BBA BBC Chaff, Christian Church chaff, Creeds chrader, COT chrader, KAT chrader, KB. chürer, Geschichte crivener, Introduction cat J. MAA	ment of Modern Burope, 2 vols., Boston, 1907 Epistle to the Romans Revue de théologie et de philosophie, Lausanne, 1873 Revised Version (of the English Bible)
Beard, Modern- Europe Rom. RTP. R. V. Sam. I Sam. II Sam. BBA BBC Chaff, Christian Church chaff, Creeds chrader, COT chrader, KAT chrader, KB. chürer, Geschichte crivener, Introduction cat J. MAA	ment of Modern Europe, 2 vols., Boeton, 1907 Epistle to the Romans Revue de théologie et de philosophie, Lausanne, 1873 Revised Version (of the English Bible)

Smith, Prophets. Smith, Prophets. Smith, Prophets. Smith, Rel. of Sem. Sw. Strom. Strom. of the Promotion of the Society of Biblioth Archology, London, 1872 aq. Transections of the Society of Biblioth Archology, London, 1872 aq. Transections of the Society of Biblioth Archology, London, 1872 aq. Transections of the Society of Biblioth Archology, London, 1872 aq. Transections of the S		
Smith, Prophets of Sem. Smith, Rel. of Sem. S. P. C. K. S. P. C. K. S. P. G. Society for the Propagation of the Semites, Secrety for the Propagation of the Gospel in Foreign Parts and following Strom. Stromata, "Miscellanies" sub voce, or sub verbo. Swete, Introduction to the Old Testament in Greek, London, 1900 Syriac — Surves Book for Mediaval History, New York, 1905 Thatcher and McNeal, Source Book. Hell Sewere Book for Mediaval History, New York, 1905 Theses. First Epistle to the Thessalonians IT These. Second Epistle to the Thessalonians That The Epistle to the Thessalonians Second Epistle to the Thessalonians IT Tim. Second Epistle to Timothy Theologische Justechrift, Amsterdam and Leyden, 1867 aqq. Tillemont, Mt. Tillemont, Mt. Tillemont, Mt. Trible Second Epistle to Timothy Theologische Justechrift, Leipsic, 1889–1897, Freiburg, 1888, Brunswick, 1889–1897, Breibn, 1898 sqq. Tob. Tobit Tobit Tobit Tob. Tobit Tobit Tobit Second	Q-ish OTIC	W. R. Smith, The Old Testament in the
Sem. Sem. London, 1894 Society for the Promotion of Christian Knowledge Society for the Propagation of the Gospal Society for the Propagation of the Gospal Society for the Parts Society for the Society for the Syriac Thatcher and Leyden, 1867 sqq. Source Book for Mediaval History, New York, 1905 Theses First Epistle to the Thessalonians Theologische Tijdschrift, Amsterdam and Leyden, 1867 sqq. L. S. le Nain de Tillemont, Mémoires Second Epistle to the Thessalonians Theologische Tijdschrift, Amsterdam and Leyden, 1867 sqq. L. S. le Nain de Tillemont, Mémoires Second Epistle to Timothy The Second Epistle to Timothy S	,	Jewish Church, London, 1892
Sem. Sem. London, 1894 Society for the Promotion of Christian Knowledge Society for the Propagation of the Gospal Society for the Propagation of the Gospal Society for the Parts Society for the Society for the Syriac Thatcher and Leyden, 1867 sqq. Source Book for Mediaval History, New York, 1905 Theses First Epistle to the Thessalonians Theologische Tijdschrift, Amsterdam and Leyden, 1867 sqq. L. S. le Nain de Tillemont, Mémoires Second Epistle to the Thessalonians Theologische Tijdschrift, Amsterdam and Leyden, 1867 sqq. L. S. le Nain de Tillemont, Mémoires Second Epistle to Timothy The Second Epistle to Timothy S	Smith, Prophets	W. R. Smith, Prophets of Israel to
Sem. Society for the Promotion of Christian Knowledge Specity for the Propagation of the Gospal in Foreign Parts Society for the Propagation of the Gospal in Foreign Parts Special Pa	Smith. Rel. of	W. R. Smith. Religion of the Semites.
S. P. G. Society for the Propagation of the Gospal in Foreign Parts and following Stroms. Stromala, "Miscellanies" av sub voce, or sub verbo H. B. Swete, Introduction to the Old Testion. Sold Memeris in Greek, London, 1900 Syriac Thatcher and G. J. Thatcher and E. H. McNeal, A Source Book for Mediaval History, New York, 1905 I These. First Epistle to the Thessalonians That Second Epistle to the Thessalonians Theologische Tijdschrift, Amsterdam and Levden, 1867 aq. I'llemont, Mt. Second Epistle to the Thessalonians Theologische Tijdschrift, Amsterdam and Levden, 1867 aq. I'llemont, Mt. Second Epistle to Timothy Tim. Second Epistle to Timothy Second Epistle to Timothy Tim. Second Epistle to Timothy Tim. Second Epistle to Timothy Theologische Toldrestricht, Leipsic, 1889-1897, Freiburg, 1888, Brunswick, 1889-1897, Freiburg, 1888, Brunswick, 1889-1897, Freiburg, 1888, Brunswick, 1889-1897, Freiburg, 1888, Brunswick, 1889-1897, Freiburg, 1898, sqq. Tob. Tobit Tomothy Theologische Quartalschrift, Tübingen, 1819 sqq. TS. A. Robinson, Texts and Studies, Cambridge, 1891 sqq. TSSA Transactions of the Society of Biblical Archaeology, London, 1872 sqq. Transactions of the Society of Biblical Archaeology, London, 1872 sqq. Transactions of the Society of Biblical Archaeology, London, 1872 sqq. Ugolini, Thesau- Brunswick, Table, Theologische Studies und Kritiken, Hamburg, 1826 sqq. Ugolini, Thesau- Brunswick, Table, Theologische Studies und Kritiken, Hamburg, 1820 sqq. Ugolini, Thesau- Brunswick, Table, Theologische Studies und Kritiken, Hamburg, 1820 sqq. Ugolini, Thesau- Brunswick, Table, Verbeit, 1881 sqq. Ugolini, Thesau- Brunswick, Verbeit, 1885, 6th ed., 1893-94, 7th ed., 1904 sqq. I Sahn, Einleitung in das Neus Testament, 1885, 6th ed., 1893-94, 7th ed., 1904 sqq. Zahn, Einleitung, 1861 sqq. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neus Testament, 1886-88, Berlin, 1876 sqq. Zeitschriff für deutsche Philologie, Halle, 1809 sqq. Zeitschriff für deutsche Philologie, Halle, 1809 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutsche Phil	Sem	(London, 1894
S. P. G. Society for the Propagation of the Gospel in Foreign Parts and following Shrom	8. P. C. K	Society for the Promotion of Christian
sqq. and following Strom. Stromata, "Miscellanies" sv. sub voce, or sub verbo H. B. Swete, Introduction to the Old Testion. Syr. Survey of the Heavy of the Stromata, "Miscellanies" sv. sub voce, or sub verbo H. B. Swete, Introduction to the Old Testion. Syriac Thatcher and M. Source Book for Medicared History, New York, 1905 I These. First Epistle to the Thessalonians III These. Second Epistle to the Thessalonians That Second Epistle to the Thessalonians The Second Epistle to the Thessalonians The Second Epistle to the Thessalonians The Second Epistle to Timothy II Tim. First Epistle to Timothy II Tim. Second Epistle to Timothy II Tim. Second Epistle to Timothy Second Epistle to Timothy Theologische Interest of the Second Epistle Str. Feriburg, 1888, Brunswick, 1889- 1897, Berlin, 1898, Sqr. Tob. Tobit TQ. Theologische Quartalschrift, Tübingen, 1819 sqq. TS. Taken Stronger, Street and Studies, Cambridge, 1891 sqq. TSSA Theologische Studien und Kritiken, Hamburg, 1826 sqq. Transactions of the Society of Biblical Archaeology, London, 1872 sqq. Transactions of the Society of Biblical Archaeology, London, 1872 sqq. Transactions of the Society of Studies, Cambridge, 1891 sqq. Tst und Unterschungen sur Geschichts der althersuchungen sur Geschichts der althersuchungen sur Geschichts der Allenting Studies, Cambridge, 1892 sqq. Transactions of the Society of Studies, Cambridge, 1892 sqq. Tweet und Unterschungen sur Geschichts der Studies, Cambridge, 1892 sqq. Theologische Studien und Kritiken, 1885 sqq. Tweet Testament, Views Testament, "Old Testament Wattenbach, Dequarter, St. Scholan, 1882 sqq. Type Studenting St. Scholan, 1883-94, 7th ed., 1904 sqq. Type Studenting St. Scholan, 1885 sqq. Type Studenting St. Sch	0.00	Society for the Propagation of the Gospel
syr	8. P. G) in Foreign Parts
Syriac Thatcher and McNeal, Source Book. Theses. These	8qq	and following
Syriac Thatcher and McNeal, Source Book. Theses. These		Stromata, "Miscellanies"
Syriac Syriac Syriac McNeal, A McNeal, A McNeal, Source Book for Medicral History, New York, 1905 IT Theses First Epistle to the Thessalonians Second Epistle to the Thessalonians Second Epistle to the Thessalonians Second Epistle to the Thessalonians That Theologische Tylgschrift, Amsterdam and Leyden, 1867 sqq. ITim Second Epistle to Timothy Time Second Epistle to Timothy Theologischer Juhresbericht, Leipsic, 1882–1887, Freiburg, 1888, Brunswick, 1889–1897, Berlin, 1898 sqq. Tob. Tobit Theologische Quartalschrift, Tübingen, 1819 sqq. TS. A. Robinson, Tests and Studies, Cambridge, 1891 sqq. TSEA. Transactions of the Society of Biblical Archaeology, London, 1872 sqq. TEST und Untersuchungen sur Geschichts der altchristlichen Litteratur, ed. O. von Gebhardt and A. Harnack, Leipsic, 1882 sqq. Ugolini, Thesaurus, 1828 sqq. Testannent, 1828 sqq. Testannent, 1828 sqq. Testannent, 1828 sqq. Testannent, 1838 sqq. Test	Swete, Introduc-	H. B. Swete, Introduction to the Old Tea-
Thatcher and McNeal, Source Book for McNeal, Source Book for McNeal, Source Book for McNeal Grant History, New York, 1905 IT These. First Epistle to the Thessalonians Second Epistle to the Thessalonians Second Epistle to the Thessalonians Second Epistle to the Thessalonians That Theologische Tylgschrift, Amsterdam and Leyden, 1867 sqq. ITim. Second Epistle to Timothy Theologischer Tylgschrift, Amsterdam and Leyden, 1867 sqq. ITim. Second Epistle to Timothy Theologischer Jahresbericht, Leipsic, 1889–1897, Berlin, 1898 sqq. Tob. Tobit TQ. Shoringon, Texts and Studies, Cambridge, 1891 sqq. TS. A. Robinson, Texts and Studies, Cambridge, 1891 sqq. TSRA. Theologische Quartalschrift, Tübingen, 1819 sqq. TSRA. Theologische Studien und Krithten, Hamburg, 1826 sqq. Tarte und Untersuchungen sur Geschichts der altchristlichen Litteratur, ed. O. von Gebhardt and A. Harnack, Leipsic, 1882 sqq. Ugolini, Thesaurus, Studien und Krithten, Hamburg, 1826 sqq. J. Wellhausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichte quellen, 5th ed., 2 vols., Berlin, 1805, Eng. transl., Edinburgh, 1895 Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsic, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 1886–88, Berlin, 1896 sqq. Zahn, Kanon lichen Knons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888–92 Zeitschrift für deutschen morgenlandischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1847 sqq. Zeitschrift der deutschen Palastina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zeitschrift f	non	lament in Greek, London, 1900
Source Book Jor Mediawal History, Book Sirst Epistle to the Thessalonians Theses Second Epistle to the Thessalonians Theologische Tijdschrift, Amsterdam and Leyden, 1887 sqq. L. S. le Nain de Tillemont, Mémoires Second Epistle to Timothy Times Second Epistle to Timothy Times Second Epistle to Timothy Times	Thetcher and	O I Thatcher and E. H. McNeal A.
These	McNeal. Source	Source Hook for Mediaval History.
Thess. Second Epistle to the Thessalonians That theologische Tijdschrift, Amsterdam and Leyden, 1867 sqq. I Tim. Second Epistle to Timothy First Epistle to Timothy Theologische Jahresbericht, Leipsic, 1882–1897, Berlin, 1898 sqq. Tob. Tobit Theologische Quartalschrift, Tübingen, 1819 sqq. TS. J. A. Robinson, Texts and Studies, Cambridge, 1891 sqq. TSRA. Transactions of the Society of Biblical Archaology, London, 1872 sqq. TSK. Transactions of the Society of Biblical Archaology, London, 1872 sqq. TEXE und Untersuchungen sur Geschichte der altchristlichen Litteratur, ed. O. von Gebhardt and A. Harnack, Leipsic, 1882 sqq. Texte und untersuchungen sur Geschichte der altchristlichen Litteratur, ed. O. von Gebhardt and A. Harnack, Leipsic, 1882 sqq. Wattenbach, DGQ Wellhausen, Heidentum, Vieux Testament, Old Testament, St. ded., 1893–94; 7th ed., 1904 sqq. J. Wellhausen, Berlin, 1887 Wellhausen, Frolegomena sur Geschichte Israels, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. transl., Tutroduction to the New Testament, Surban, Sandard, Leipsic, 1886–88. Berlin, 1889 sqq. Zahn, Kanon T. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, Serin, 1886, Serin, 1889 sqq. Zahn, Kanon T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestament lichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888–92 Zeitschrift für deutsches Allerthum und deutsche Litteratur, Berlin, 1876 sqq. ZDMG Gesellschrift für deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschrift für deutschen Palastina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1847 sqq. ZDP Zeitschrift für deutschen Palastina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1847 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutschen Palastina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zeitschrift für Kirchenrecht, Berlin, Tüberung, 1869 sqq. Zeitschrift für Kirchenrecht, Berlin, Tüberung, 1869 sqq. Zeitschrift für Kirchenrecht, Berlin, Tüberung, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für Kirchenrecht, Berlin, Tüberung, 1878 sqq. Zeitschrift für Kirchenrecht, Berlin, Tüberung, 1878 sqq. Zeitschrift für Kirchenrecht, Berlin, Tüberung, 1879 sqq. Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie, Innurung, 1889–89 Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und Kirchine	Book	New York, 1905
Tillemont, M64 moires ecclésiastiques des eix premiers ecclésiastiques des eix premiers eicles, 16 vols. Paris, 1693-1712 I Tim. Second Epistle to Timothy II Tim. Second Epistle to Timothy Theologischer Jahresbericht, Leipeic, 1882-1887, Freiburg, 1888, Brunswick, 1889-1897, Berlin, 1889 sqq. Tob. Tobit TQ. (Theologische Quartalschrift, Tübingen, 1819 sqq. TS. J. A. Robinson, Texts and Studies, Cambridge, 1891 sqq. TTSA. (Tansactions of the Society of Biblical Archeology, London, 1872 sqq. Transactions of the Society of Biblical Archeology, London, 1872 sqq. Transactions of the Society of Biblical Archeology, London, 1872 sqq. Transactions of the Society of Biblical Archeology, London, 1872 sqq. Transactions of the Society of Biblical Archeology, London, 1872 sqq. Transactions of the Society of Biblical Archeology, London, 1872 sqq. Transactions of the Society of Biblical Archeology, London, 1872 sqq. Transactions of the Society of Biblical Archeology, London, 1872 sqq. Text und Uniersuchungen sur Geschichte der altchristlichen Litteratur, ed. O. von Gebhardt and A. Harnack, Leipsic, 1882 sqq. Ugolini, Thesau: Two development of the Society of Biblical Archeology, London, 1872 sqq. Ugolini, Thesau: Two development of the Society of Biblical Archeology, London, 1872 sqq. Ugolini, Thesau: Two development of the Society of Biblical Archeology, London, 1874-69 Vetus Testamentum, Vieuz Testament, "Old Testament" W. Wattenbach, Deutschlands Geschichte Geschichte fir für Assyriologie, Leipsic, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889-89, Transal, Edinburgh, 1885 The d., 1893-94; 7th ed., 1904 sqq. Tahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1889-89, Transal, Edinburgh, 1889-89, Transal, Edinburgh, 1899 T. Zahn, Geschichte on norgenländischen Geschichter für deutschen Merchichen Wissenschaft für deutschen morgenländischen Geschichter für deutschen Philologie, Halle, 1869-89, Zeitschrift für künchnischen Theologie, Innsbruck, 1877-89, Zeitschrift für künchnische Theologie, Innsbruck, 1878-89, Zeitschrift für künchlische Th	I Thess	First Epistle to the Thessalonians
Tillemont, M64 moires ecclésiastiques des eix premiers ecclésiastiques des eix premiers eicles, 16 vols. Paris, 1693-1712 I Tim. Second Epistle to Timothy II Tim. Second Epistle to Timothy Theologischer Jahresbericht, Leipeic, 1882-1887, Freiburg, 1888, Brunswick, 1889-1897, Berlin, 1889 sqq. Tob. Tobit TQ. (Theologische Quartalschrift, Tübingen, 1819 sqq. TS. J. A. Robinson, Texts and Studies, Cambridge, 1891 sqq. TTSA. (Tansactions of the Society of Biblical Archeology, London, 1872 sqq. Transactions of the Society of Biblical Archeology, London, 1872 sqq. Transactions of the Society of Biblical Archeology, London, 1872 sqq. Transactions of the Society of Biblical Archeology, London, 1872 sqq. Transactions of the Society of Biblical Archeology, London, 1872 sqq. Transactions of the Society of Biblical Archeology, London, 1872 sqq. Transactions of the Society of Biblical Archeology, London, 1872 sqq. Transactions of the Society of Biblical Archeology, London, 1872 sqq. Text und Uniersuchungen sur Geschichte der altchristlichen Litteratur, ed. O. von Gebhardt and A. Harnack, Leipsic, 1882 sqq. Ugolini, Thesau: Two development of the Society of Biblical Archeology, London, 1872 sqq. Ugolini, Thesau: Two development of the Society of Biblical Archeology, London, 1872 sqq. Ugolini, Thesau: Two development of the Society of Biblical Archeology, London, 1874-69 Vetus Testamentum, Vieuz Testament, "Old Testament" W. Wattenbach, Deutschlands Geschichte Geschichte fir für Assyriologie, Leipsic, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889-89, Transal, Edinburgh, 1885 The d., 1893-94; 7th ed., 1904 sqq. Tahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1889-89, Transal, Edinburgh, 1889-89, Transal, Edinburgh, 1899 T. Zahn, Geschichte on norgenländischen Geschichter für deutschen Merchichen Wissenschaft für deutschen morgenländischen Geschichter für deutschen Philologie, Halle, 1869-89, Zeitschrift für künchnischen Theologie, Innsbruck, 1877-89, Zeitschrift für künchnische Theologie, Innsbruck, 1878-89, Zeitschrift für künchlische Th		Theologische Tijdschrift. Amsterdam and
moires siècles, 16 vols. Paris, 1693-1712 I Tim. First Epistle to Timothy II Tim. Second Epistle to Timothy Theologischer Jahresbericht, Leipsic, 1882- 1897, Berlin, 1898 sqq. Tob. Tobit Tobit Theologische Quartalschrift, Tübingen, 1819 sqq. TS. J. A. Robinson, Texts and Studies, Cambridge, 1891 sqq. TSBA. Transactions of the Society of Biblical Archeology, London, 1872 sqq. TSK. Transactions of the Society of Biblical Archeology, London, 1872 sqq. TEXE. Transactions of the Society of Biblical Archeology, London, 1872 sqq. TEXE. Und Untersuchungen sur Geschichte der altchristlichen Litteratur, ed. O. von Gebhardt and A. Harnsack, Leipsic, 1882 sqq. Texte und Untersuchungen sur Geschichte der altchristlichen Litteratur, ed. O. von Gebhardt and A. Harnsack, Leipsic, 1882 sqq. Tweet and Untersuchungen sur Geschichte der altchristlichen Litteratur, ed. O. von Gebhardt and A. Harnsack, Leipsic, 1882 sqq. Tweet und Untersuchungen sur Geschichte der altchristlichen Litteratur, ed. O. von Gebhardt and A. Harnsack, Leipsic, 1882 sqq. Tweet und Untersuchungen sur Geschichte der altchristlichen Litteratur, ed. O. von Gebhardt and A. Harnsack, Leipsic, 1882 sqq. Tweet und Untersuchungen sur Geschichte der altchristlichen Litteratur, ed. O. von Gebhardt and A. Harnsack, Leipsic, 1972 squistlen, 5th ed., 2 vols., Berlin, 1885 th ed., 1893-94; 7th ed., 1904 sqq. Tweet und the deviation of the Architechen Litteratur, 1905 sqq. Tweet and the deviation of the New Testament, 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1885 Tahn, Einleitung in das Neus Testament, 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1899 Tzahn, Geschichte des neutestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1881 sqq. Testachrift für deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Teitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Teitschrift für kündlichen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Teipsic, 1878 sqq. Teitschrift für kündlichen Theologie, Halle, 1876 sqq. Teitschrift für kündlichen Theologie, Innsbruck, 1877 sqq. Teitschrift für kündlichen Theologie, Innsbruck, 1878 sqq.	INT	Leyden, 1867 aqq.
11 III	Tillemont, Mé-	L. S. le Nain de Tillemont, Mémoires
11 III	moires	siècles, 16 vols., Paris, 1693-1712
TJB. 1887, Freiburg, 1888, Brunswick, 1889- 1897, Berlin, 1898 sqq. Tobit Tobit TQ. State Tobit TQ. State Tobit TQ. State Tobit TQ. State Test Tobit TQ. State Test Table Test Table Test Table Test Test	<u>I_Tim</u>	rirst roistle to limothy
TJB. 1887, Freiburg, 1888, Brunswick, 1889- 1897, Berlin, 1898 sqq. Tobit Tobit TQ. State Tobit TQ. State Tobit TQ. State Tobit TQ. State Test Tobit TQ. State Test Table Test Table Test Table Test Test	II Tim	Second Epistle to Timothy
Tob. Tobit TQ. Solition Texts and Studies, 1819 sqq. TSS. Solition Transactions of the Society of Biblical Archaeology, London, 1872 sqq. TSK. Theologische Studien und Kritiken, Hamburg, 1828 sqq. Tette und Untersuchungen sur Geschichte der altchristlichen Litteratur, ed. O. von Gebhardt and A. Harnack, Leipsic, 1882 sqq. Ugolini, Thesau- rus. Solition Tussen, 1882 sqq. Ugolini, Thesau- rus. Solition, 1882 sqq. Welthausen, Totsument, 70 de Testament, 1885; 6th ed., 1893-94; 7th ed., 1904 sqq. J. Wellhausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichte Israels, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. transl., Edinburgh, 1885 Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsic, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sqq. Tahn, Einleit Israels, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. transl., 1810-1811,	TJR	1887. Freiburg, 1888. Brunswick, 1889-
TRO		(1897, Berlin, 1898 aqq.
TS. J. A. Robinson, Texts and Studies, Cambridge, 1891 sqq. TSBA. Transactions of the Society of Biblical Archaeology, London, 1872 sqq. Theologische Studien und Kritiken, Hamburg, 1826 sqq. Two Gebhardt and A. Harnack, Leipsic, 1882 sqq. Ugolini, Thesau- rus. B. Ugolinus, Thesaurus antiquitatum sacrarum, 34 vols., Venice, 1744-69 V. T. Vetus Testamentum, Vieux Testament. Old Testament Welthausen, Poleyomena. Theidentum. J. Wellhausen, Prolegomena. Theidentum. Theidentum Theidentum. Theidentum Theidentum Theidentum. Theidentum Theiden	Tob	
TSBA. J. A. Robinson, Texts and Studies, Cambridge, 1891 sqq. Transactions of the Society of Biblical Archaeology, London, 1872 sqq. Theologische Studien und Kritiken, Hamburg, 1826 sqq. Texte und Untersuchungen sur Geschichte der altchristlichen Litteratur, ed. O. von Gebhardt and A. Harnack, Leipsic, 1882 sqq. B. Ugolinus, Thesaurus antiquitatum sacrarum, 34 vols., Venice, 1744-69 V. T. Ventament "Wattenbach, DGQ B. Ugolinus, Thesaurus antiquitatum sacrarum, 34 vols., Venice, 1744-69 V. T. Ventament "Wattenbach, Deutschlands Geschichtsquellen, 5th ed., 2 vols., Berlin, 1885; 6th ed., 1893-94; 7th ed., 1904 sqq. J. Wellhausen, Reste arabischen Heidentum. Ventament archaelen, 1887 sqq. Ventament, 3d ed., Leipsic, 1905, Eng. transl., Edinburgh, 1885 Seiteckrift für Assyriologis, Leipsic, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sqq. T. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsic, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 1804 ed., Leipsic, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 2d ed., Leipsic, 1807; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 1806-88, Berlin, 1889 sqq. Zeitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1881 sqq. Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1847 sqq. Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1847 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zeitschrift für Kirchenpeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 Zeitschrift für vissenschaftliche Theologie, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zeitschrift für vissenschaftliche Theolo	TO	Theologische Quartalschrift, Tübingen,
TSBA		(1819 sqq. (I A Robinson Texts and Studies
TU. der alteristlichen Litteratur, ed. O. von Gebhardt and A. Harnack, Leipsie, 1882 sqq. Ugolini, Thesau-rus gq. B. Ugolinus, Thesaurus antiquitatum sacrarum, 34 vols., Venice, 1744-69 V. T. Vetus Testamentum, Vieux Testament." Old Testament. W. Wattenbach, Deutschlands Geschichtsquellen, 5th ed., 2 vols., Berlin, 1885; 6th ed., 1893-94; 7th ed., 1904 sqq. Wellhausen, Heidentum. Itums, Berlin, 1887 Wellhausen, Prolegomena. ZA. Wellhausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichts Israels, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. transl., Edinburgh, 1885 ZA. Seitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsie, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sqq. T. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsie, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3d vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestament-lichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsie, 1888-92 ZATW. Seitschrift für die altiestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1881 sqq. ZDAL Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsie, 1847 sqq. ZDAL Zeitschrift der deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zehn Zechariah Zeph. Zeitschrift für die historische Theologie, published successively at Leipsie, Hamburg, and Gotha, 1832-75 Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. ZKR Seitschrift für Kirchensechichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für Kirchensechichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsie, 1880-89 ZNTW. Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsie, 1880-89 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftiche Theologie, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftiche Theologie, Dens, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jens, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jens, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jens, 1888-60,	TS	Cambridge, 1891 sqq.
TU. der alteristlichen Litteratur, ed. O. von Gebhardt and A. Harnack, Leipsie, 1882 sqq. Ugolini, Thesau-rus gq. B. Ugolinus, Thesaurus antiquitatum sacrarum, 34 vols., Venice, 1744-69 V. T. Vetus Testamentum, Vieux Testament." Old Testament. W. Wattenbach, Deutschlands Geschichtsquellen, 5th ed., 2 vols., Berlin, 1885; 6th ed., 1893-94; 7th ed., 1904 sqq. Wellhausen, Heidentum. Itums, Berlin, 1887 Wellhausen, Prolegomena. ZA. Wellhausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichts Israels, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. transl., Edinburgh, 1885 ZA. Seitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsie, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sqq. T. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsie, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3d vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestament-lichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsie, 1888-92 ZATW. Seitschrift für die altiestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1881 sqq. ZDAL Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsie, 1847 sqq. ZDAL Zeitschrift der deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zehn Zechariah Zeph. Zeitschrift für die historische Theologie, published successively at Leipsie, Hamburg, and Gotha, 1832-75 Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. ZKR Seitschrift für Kirchensechichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für Kirchensechichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsie, 1880-89 ZNTW. Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsie, 1880-89 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftiche Theologie, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftiche Theologie, Dens, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jens, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jens, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jens, 1888-60,	TSRA	Transactions of the Society of Biblical
TU. der alteristlichen Litteratur, ed. O. von Gebhardt and A. Harnack, Leipsie, 1882 sqq. Ugolini, Thesau-rus gq. B. Ugolinus, Thesaurus antiquitatum sacrarum, 34 vols., Venice, 1744-69 V. T. Vetus Testamentum, Vieux Testament." Old Testament. W. Wattenbach, Deutschlands Geschichtsquellen, 5th ed., 2 vols., Berlin, 1885; 6th ed., 1893-94; 7th ed., 1904 sqq. Wellhausen, Heidentum. Itums, Berlin, 1887 Wellhausen, Prolegomena. ZA. Wellhausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichts Israels, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. transl., Edinburgh, 1885 ZA. Seitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsie, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sqq. T. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsie, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3d vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestament-lichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsie, 1888-92 ZATW. Seitschrift für die altiestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1881 sqq. ZDAL Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsie, 1847 sqq. ZDAL Zeitschrift der deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zehn Zechariah Zeph. Zeitschrift für die historische Theologie, published successively at Leipsie, Hamburg, and Gotha, 1832-75 Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. ZKR Seitschrift für Kirchensechichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für Kirchensechichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsie, 1880-89 ZNTW. Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsie, 1880-89 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftiche Theologie, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftiche Theologie, Dens, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jens, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jens, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jens, 1888-60,		(Archaelogy, London, 1872 sqq.
TU. der alteristlichen Litteratur, ed. O. von Gebhardt and A. Harnack, Leipsie, 1882 sqq. Ugolini, Thesau-rus gq. B. Ugolinus, Thesaurus antiquitatum sacrarum, 34 vols., Venice, 1744-69 V. T. Vetus Testamentum, Vieux Testament." Old Testament. W. Wattenbach, Deutschlands Geschichtsquellen, 5th ed., 2 vols., Berlin, 1885; 6th ed., 1893-94; 7th ed., 1904 sqq. Wellhausen, Heidentum. Itums, Berlin, 1887 Wellhausen, Prolegomena. ZA. Wellhausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichts Israels, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. transl., Edinburgh, 1885 ZA. Seitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsie, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sqq. T. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsie, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3d vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestament-lichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsie, 1888-92 ZATW. Seitschrift für die altiestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1881 sqq. ZDAL Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsie, 1847 sqq. ZDAL Zeitschrift der deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zehn Zechariah Zeph. Zeitschrift für die historische Theologie, published successively at Leipsie, Hamburg, and Gotha, 1832-75 Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. ZKR Seitschrift für Kirchensechichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für Kirchensechichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsie, 1880-89 ZNTW. Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsie, 1880-89 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftiche Theologie, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftiche Theologie, Dens, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jens, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jens, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jens, 1888-60,	<i>TSK</i>	burg, 1826 sqq.
Ugolini, Thesau- 1882 sqq. Ugolini, Thesau- 1882 sqq. B. Ugolinus, Thesaurus antiquitatum 1882 sqq. V. T. Vetus Testamentum, Vieux Testament." Old Testament." Wattenbach, DGQ. Welthausen, Heidentum.		
Ugolini, Thesau- rus	TU	I der allerseitenen Latteratur, ed. U. von Cehhardt and A. Harnack Leineig
Ugolini, Thesau- rus. S. Ugolinus, Thesaurus antiquitatum sacararum, 34 vols., Venice, 1744-69 V. T. Vetus Testamentum, Vieux Testament." Old Testament Wattenbach, DGQ. Welthausen, Heidentum. Welthausen, Heidentum. Welthausen, Prolegomena. Welthausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichts Israels, 6th ed., 1893-94; 7th ed., 1904 sqq. ZAA. Welthausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichts Israels, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. transl., Edinburgh, 1885 Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsic, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sqq. Tahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsic, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestament- lichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92 Zeitschrift für die altiestamentliche Wis- senschaft, Giessen, 1881 sqq. ZDAL Zeitschrift für deutschen morpenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1847 sqq. ZDP Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Ver- eins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zech. Zechariah Zeph. Zeitschrift für die historische Theologie, Hamburg, and Gotha, 1832-75 Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. ZKR Zeitschrift für Kircheneschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. Zettschrift für Kircheneschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. Zettschrift für Kircheneschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für Kircheneschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für Kircheneschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 Zeitschrift für reutsetamentliche Wis- senschaft, Giessen, 1900 sqq. Zeitschrift für vissenschaftiche Theologie, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für vissenschaftiche Theologie, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für vissenschaftiche Theologie, Leipsic, 1877 sqq. Zeitschrift für vissenschaftiche Theologie, Jens, 1883-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jens, 1883-61		1882 sqq.
Wattenbach, DGQ. Wattenbach, DGQ. Wattenbach, DGQ. Wattenbach, DGQ. Wattenbach, DGQ. Wattenbach, DGG. Sth ed., 2 vols., Berlin, 1885; 6th ed., 1893-94; 7th ed., 1904 sqq. Wellhausen, Heidentum. Wellhausen, Prolegomena. Wellhausen, Prolegomena. Wellhausen, Prolegomena. Wellhausen, Prolegomena. Wellhausen, Prolegomena. Serin, 1887 ZA. Steitechrift für Aespriologie, Leipsic, 1886-88. Berlin, 1889 sqq. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsic, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 2 vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestamentlichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92 ZATW. Seitschrift für deutsches Alterthum und deutschenkten, 1876 sqq. ZDAL Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1847 sqq. ZDP. Zeitschrift der deutschen Paldstina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zehn Zeehaniah Zephaniah Zeitschrift für deinschen Paldstina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zehaniah Zeitschrift für die historische Theologie, published successively at Leipsic, 1876 sqq. ZKR Seitschrift für Kirchenrecht, Berlin, Tübingen, Freiburg, 1861 sqq. ZKR Seitschrift für katholische Theologie, Innsbruck, 1877 sqq. Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie, Innsbruck, 1877 sqq. Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 ZNTW Zeitschrift für missenschaftliche Theologie, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie, Dens, 1886-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jens, 1886-67, Leipsie, Jens, 1886-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jens, 1886-	Ugolini, Thesau-	B. Ugolinus, Thesaurus antiquitatum
Wattenbach, Deutschlands Geschichtsquellen, 5th ed., 2 vols., Berlin, 1885; 6th ed., 1893-94; 7th ed., 1904 sqq. Wellhausen, Heidentum. Wellhausen, Prolegomena. Zahn, Einleitenstein Heidentum, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 eq. Zahn, Einleitenstein Heidentung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsic, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestament-lichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92 Zahn, Kanon Zahn, Geschichte des neutestament-lichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92 Zattechrift für die altestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1881 sqq. ZDAL Zeitschrift für deutsches Alterthum und deutsche Lichentur, Berlin, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift der deutschen morpenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1847 sqq. ZDP. Zeitschrift für deutsche Philologie, Halle, 1869 sqq. Zeitschrift der deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zehn. Zechaniah Zeitschrift für die historische Theologie, published successively at Leipsic, 1876 sqq. ZKR Seitschrift für Kirchenrecht, Berlin, Tübingen, Freiburg, 1861 sqq. ZKR Zeitschrift für Kirchenrecht, Berlin, Tübingen, Freiburg, 1861 sqq. Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftiche Theologie, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftiche Theologie, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftiche Theologie, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftiche Theologie, Dens, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jens, 1861-67		Vetre Western autom Views Western aut "Old
Wattenbach, Deutschlands Geschichts- quellen, 5th ed., 2 vols., Berlin, 1885; 6th ed., 1893-94; 7th ed., 1904 sqq. Wellhausen, Heidentum. Wellhausen, Prolegomena. ZA. Serine, 1885 et and 1886 eschichte Israels, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. Transl., Edinburgh, 1885 ZA. Seitschriff für Assyriologis, Leipsic, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sqq. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsic, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3d vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestament, 10then Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92 ZATW. Seitschriff für deutsche Alterthum und deutschen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92 ZATW. Seitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1876 sqq. ZDAG. Seitschrift der deutschen Philologie, Halle, 1869 sqq. ZDP. Seitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zech. Zechariah Zephaniah Zeitschrift für die historische Theologie, published successively at Leipsic, 1876 sqq. ZKR. Seitschrift für Kirchenrecht, Berlin, Tübingen, Freiburg, 1861 sqq. ZKR. Seitschrift für Kirchenrecht, Berlin, Tübingen, Freiburg, 1861 sqq. Zeitschrift für Kirchenrecht, Berlin, Tübingen, Freiburg, 1861 sqq. Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie, Innsbruck, 1877 sqq. Zeitschrift für katholische Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 ZNTW. Seitschrift für neutestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1900 sqq. Zeitschrift für protestantismus und Kirche, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie, Jens, 1886-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jens,	V. T	Testament "
Wellhausen, Heidentum Wellhausen, Prolegomena Sur Geschichte Israels, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. Israels, 1806, Eng. Israels, 1807, Eng. Israels, 1806, Eng. Israels, 1807, Eng. Israels, 1807, Eng. Israels, 1807, Eng. Israels, 1807, Eng. Israels, 1809, Israels, 1909 Zahn, Kanon Israels, Israels, 1909 Zahn, Kanon Israels, Israels, 1909 Zahn, Geschichte des neutestamentlichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888–92 Zahn, Kanon Israels, 1909 Zeitschrift für deutsche Alterthum und deutsche Literatur, Berlin, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1847 sqq. Zeitschrift der deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1847 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zeitschrift für die historische Theologie, published successively at Leipsic, Hamburg, and Gotha, 1832–75 ZKG Zeitschrift für Kirchenrecht, Bq. Zeitschrift für Kirchenrecht, Gotha, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie, Innsbruck, 1877 sqq. Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880–89 ZNTW Zeitschrift für neutestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1900 sqq. Zeitschrift für vissenschaftiche Theologie, Enlangen, 1838–76, Isle, 1861–67, Leipsie, Jens, 1885–60, Halle, 1861–67, Leipsie, Je	Wattenbach	W. Wattenbach, Deutschlands Geschichts-
Wellhausen, Heidentum Wellhausen, Prolegomena Sur Geschichte Israels, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. Israels, 1806, Eng. Israels, 1807, Eng. Israels, 1806, Eng. Israels, 1807, Eng. Israels, 1807, Eng. Israels, 1807, Eng. Israels, 1807, Eng. Israels, 1809, Israels, 1909 Zahn, Kanon Israels, Israels, 1909 Zahn, Kanon Israels, Israels, 1909 Zahn, Geschichte des neutestamentlichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888–92 Zahn, Kanon Israels, 1909 Zeitschrift für deutsche Alterthum und deutsche Literatur, Berlin, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1847 sqq. Zeitschrift der deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1847 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zeitschrift für die historische Theologie, published successively at Leipsic, Hamburg, and Gotha, 1832–75 ZKG Zeitschrift für Kirchenrecht, Bq. Zeitschrift für Kirchenrecht, Gotha, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie, Innsbruck, 1877 sqq. Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880–89 ZNTW Zeitschrift für neutestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1900 sqq. Zeitschrift für vissenschaftiche Theologie, Enlangen, 1838–76, Isle, 1861–67, Leipsie, Jens, 1885–60, Halle, 1861–67, Leipsie, Je		⟨ muellen, 5th ed., 2 vols., Berlin, 1885:
Prolegomena. Israels, Otn &c., Berlin, 1806, Eng. transl. Edinburgh, 1885 ZA Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsic, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 eqq. T. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsic, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestament-lichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92 Zeitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1881 eq. Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1847 eq. Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1847 eq. Zeitschrift der deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 eq. Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 eq. Zeitschrift der deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 eq. Zeitschrift für deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 eq. Zeitschrift für die historische Theologie, published successively at Leipsic, Hamburg, and Gotha, 1832-75 Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 eq. Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 eq. Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 eq. Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie, Innsbruck, 1877 eq. Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie, Innsbruck, 1877 eq. Zeitschrift für kenthliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 Zeitschrift für protestantismus und Kirche, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie, Jens, 1886-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jens, 1886-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jens, 1888-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jens, 18		1 44 -d 1002 04. 7th od 1004
Prolegomena. Israels, Otn &c., Berlin, 1806, Eng. transl. Edinburgh, 1885 ZA Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsic, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 eqq. T. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsic, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestament-lichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92 Zeitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1881 eq. Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1847 eq. Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1847 eq. Zeitschrift der deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 eq. Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 eq. Zeitschrift der deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 eq. Zeitschrift für deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 eq. Zeitschrift für die historische Theologie, published successively at Leipsic, Hamburg, and Gotha, 1832-75 Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 eq. Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 eq. Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 eq. Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie, Innsbruck, 1877 eq. Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie, Innsbruck, 1877 eq. Zeitschrift für kenthliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 Zeitschrift für protestantismus und Kirche, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie, Jens, 1886-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jens, 1886-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jens, 1888-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jens, 18		6th ed., 1893-94; 7th ed., 1904 sqq.
Prolegomena. Israels, Otn &c., Berlin, 1806, Eng. transl. Edinburgh, 1885 ZA Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsic, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 eqq. T. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsic, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestament-lichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92 Zeitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1881 eq. Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1847 eq. Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1847 eq. Zeitschrift der deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 eq. Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 eq. Zeitschrift der deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 eq. Zeitschrift für deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 eq. Zeitschrift für die historische Theologie, published successively at Leipsic, Hamburg, and Gotha, 1832-75 Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 eq. Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 eq. Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 eq. Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie, Innsbruck, 1877 eq. Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie, Innsbruck, 1877 eq. Zeitschrift für kenthliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 Zeitschrift für protestantismus und Kirche, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie, Jens, 1886-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jens, 1886-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jens, 1888-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jens, 18	Wellhausen,	6th ed., 1893-94; 7th ed., 1904 sqq. J. Wellhausen, Reste arabischen Heidentums, Berlin, 1887
Zahn, Einlei- 1886-88. Berlin, 1889 sqq. Zahn, Einlei- tung T. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsic, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestament- lichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92 Zahn, Kanon Zahn, Geschichte des neutestament- lichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92 Zahn Zahn, Geschichte des neutestament- lichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92 Zahn Zeitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1881 sqq. ZDAL Zeitschrift der deutsches Alterthum und deutsche Literatur, Berlin, 1876 sqq. ZDP Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1847 sqq. ZDP Zeitschrift des deutschen Paldstina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zechariah Zeph. Zeehariah Zeph. Zeehariah Zeph. Zeehariah Zehn Zechariah Zeitschrift für die historische Theologie, published successively at Leipsic, 1876 sqq. ZKR Zeitschrift für Kirchenrecht, Berlin, Tübingen, Freiburg, 1861 sqq. ZKR Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie, Innsbruck, 1877 sqq. Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie, Innsbruck, 1877 sqq. Zeitschrift für katholische Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 ZNTW Zeitschrift für krochliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 Zeitschrift für protestantismus und Kirche, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie, Jens, 1886-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jens, 1886-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jens, 1888-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jens, 1880-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leips	Wellhausen, Heidentum	J. Wellhausen, Reste arabischen Heiden- tums, Berlin, 1887 (J. Wellhausen, Prolegomena zur Geschichte
Zahn, Einleitung in das Neus Testament, 3d ed. Leipsic, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestament-lichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92 ZATW. Seitschrift für die alttestamentlichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92 ZATW. Seitschrift für deutsches Alterthum und deutsche Interatur, Berlin, 1876 sqq. ZDAL. Zeitschrift für deutsches Alterthum und deutsche Interatur, Berlin, 1876 sqq. ZDP. Zeitschrift für deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1847 sqq. ZDP. Zeitschrift des deutschen Philologie, Halle, 1869 sqq. ZDPV. Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zech. Zechariah Zephaniah Zeitschrift für die historische Theologie, published successively at Leipsic, Hamburg, and Gotha, 1832-75 ZKG. Zeitschrift für Kirchenrecht, Berlin, Tübingen, Freiburg, 1861 sqq. ZKR. Seitschrift für Kirchenrecht, Berlin, Tübingen, Freiburg, 1861 sqq. ZKT. Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie, Innsbruck, 1877 sqq. Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 ZNTW. Zeitschrift für kirchstamtsmus und Kirche, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie, Erlangen, 1838-76, Leipsie, Jens, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jens, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie,	Wellhausen, Heidentum Wellhausen,	J. Wellhausen, Reste arabischen Heiden- tums, Berlin, 1887 (J. Wellhausen, Prolegomena zur Geschichte
Zahn, Einleitung in das Neus Testament, 3d ed. Leipsic, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestament-lichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92 ZATW. Seitschrift für die alttestamentlichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92 ZATW. Seitschrift für deutsches Alterthum und deutsche Interatur, Berlin, 1876 sqq. ZDAL. Zeitschrift für deutsches Alterthum und deutsche Interatur, Berlin, 1876 sqq. ZDP. Zeitschrift für deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1847 sqq. ZDP. Zeitschrift des deutschen Philologie, Halle, 1869 sqq. ZDPV. Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zech. Zechariah Zephaniah Zeitschrift für die historische Theologie, published successively at Leipsic, Hamburg, and Gotha, 1832-75 ZKG. Zeitschrift für Kirchenrecht, Berlin, Tübingen, Freiburg, 1861 sqq. ZKR. Seitschrift für Kirchenrecht, Berlin, Tübingen, Freiburg, 1861 sqq. ZKT. Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie, Innsbruck, 1877 sqq. Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 ZNTW. Zeitschrift für kirchstamtsmus und Kirche, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie, Erlangen, 1838-76, Leipsie, Jens, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jens, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie,	Wellhausen, Heidentum Wellhausen, Prolegomena	 J. Wellhausen, Reste arabischen Heidentums, Berlin, 1887 J. Wellhausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichte Israels, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. transl. Edinburch, 1885
Vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestament- lichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92 Zattechrift für die alttestamentliche Wis- senschaft, Giessen, 1881 sqq. ZDAL Zeitschrift für deutsches Allerthum und deut- sche Literatur, Berlin, 1876 sqq. ZDMG Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1847 sqq. ZDP Zeitschrift für deutsche Philologie, Halle, 1869 sqq. ZDPV Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Ver- eins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zech. Zechariah Zephaniah Zephaniah Zettschrift für die historische Theologie, published successively at Leipsic, Hamburg, and Gotha, 1832-75 ZKG Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. ZKR Seitschrift für Kirchenrecht, Berlin, Tü- bingen, Freiburg, 1861 sqq. ZKK Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie, Inns- bruck, 1877 sqq. Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 ZNTW Zeitschrift für neutestamentliche Wis- senschaft, Giessen, 1900 sqq. Zeitschrift für Protestantismus und Kirche, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie, Jena, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie,	Wellhausen, Heidentum Wellhausen, Prolegomena	 J. Wellhausen, Reste arabischen Heidentums, Berlin, 1887 J. Wellhausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichte Israets, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. transl., Edinburgh, 1885 Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsic, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sog.
Vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestament- lichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92 Zattechrift für die alttestamentliche Wis- senschaft, Giessen, 1881 sqq. ZDAL Zeitschrift für deutsches Allerthum und deut- sche Literatur, Berlin, 1876 sqq. ZDMG Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1847 sqq. ZDP Zeitschrift für deutsche Philologie, Halle, 1869 sqq. ZDPV Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Ver- eins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zech. Zechariah Zephaniah Zephaniah Zettschrift für die historische Theologie, published successively at Leipsic, Hamburg, and Gotha, 1832-75 ZKG Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. ZKR Seitschrift für Kirchenrecht, Berlin, Tü- bingen, Freiburg, 1861 sqq. ZKK Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie, Inns- bruck, 1877 sqq. Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 ZNTW Zeitschrift für neutestamentliche Wis- senschaft, Giessen, 1900 sqq. Zeitschrift für Protestantismus und Kirche, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie, Jena, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie,	Wellhausen, Heidentum Wellhausen, Prolegomena	 J. Wellhausen, Reste arabischen Heidentums, Berlin, 1887 J. Wellhausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichte Israets, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. transl., Edinburgh, 1885 Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsic, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sog.
Zahn, Kanon. lichen Kanons, 2 vols. Leipsic, 1888-92 Zeitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft. Giessen, 1881 sq. Zeitschrift für deutsches Allerthum und deutsche Interatur, Berlin, 1876 sq. Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1847 sq. Zeitschrift für deutsche Philologie, Halle, 1869 sq. Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sq. Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sq. Zechariah Zeph. Zephaniah Zeitschrift für die historische Theologie, Hamburg, and Gotha, 1832-75 Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sq. Zeitschrift für Kirchenecht, Berlin, Tübingen, Freiburg, 1861 sq. Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie, Innsbruck, 1877 sq. Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 Zeitschrift für kurchstamtsmus und Kirche, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie, Zettschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie, Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie, Inns-Bruck, 1877 sq. Zeitschrift für wissenschaft mud kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie, Inns-Bruck, 1878-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jena, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jena, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jena, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie,	Wellhausen, Heidentum Wellhausen, Prolegomena ZA Zahn, Einlei-	 J. Wellhausen, Reste arabischen Heidentums, Berlin, 1887 J. Wellhausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichte Israets, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. transl., Edinburgh, 1885 Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsic, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sog.
ZATW Seitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1881 sqq. ZDAL Zeitschrift für deutsches Alterhum und deutsche Literatur, Berlin, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1847 sqq. ZDP Zeitschrift für deutsche Philologie, Halle, 1869 sqq. ZDPV Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Vercins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zech. Zechariah Zeph Zephaniah Zeph Zeitschrift für die historische Theologie, published successively at Leipsic, Hamburg, and Gotha, 1832-75 ZKG Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. ZKR Zeitschrift für Kirchenrecht, Berlin, Tübingen, Freiburg, 1861 sqq. ZKT Zeitschrift für kaholische Theologie, Innsbruck, 1877 sqq. ZKW Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 ZNTW Zeitschrift für protestantismus und Kirche, Erlangen, 1838-70 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie, ZWT. Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie, Jens, 1886-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, ZWT.	Wellhausen, Heidentum Wellhausen, Prolegomena ZA Zahn, Einlei-	 J. Wellhausen, Reste arabischen Heidentums, Berlin, 1887 J. Wellhausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichte Israels, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. transl., Edinburgh, 1885 Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsie, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sqq. T. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsie, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3
ZATW. senschaft, Giessen, 1881 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutsches Alterthum und deutsche Alterthum und deutsche Iteratur, Berlin, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsie, 1847 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutschen Philologie, Halle, 1869 sqq. Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsie, 1878 sqq. Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsie, 1878 sqq. Zechariah Zephaniah Zeitschrift für die historische Theologie, Published successively at Leipsie, Hamburg, and Gotha, 1832-75 Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für Kirchentecht, Berlin, Tübingen, Freiburg, 1861 sqq. Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie, Innsbruck, 1877 sqq. Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsie, 1880-89 Zeitschrift für konstantismus und Kirche, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für Protestantismus und Kirche, Erlangen, 1838-76, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, ZWT. Jens, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jens, 1888-60, Halle, 1861-6	Wellhausen, Heidentum Wellhausen, Prolegomena ZA Zahn, Einleitung	 J. Wellhausen, Reste arabischen Heidentums, Berlin, 1887 J. Wellhausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichte Israels, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. transl., Edinburgh, 1885 Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsic, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sqq. T. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsic, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestament-
ZDAL Zeitschrift für deutsches Allerthum und deutsche Literatur, Berlin, 1876 sqq. ZDMG Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1847 sqq. ZDP Zeitschrift für deutsche Philologie, Halle, 1869 sqq. ZDPV Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zech. Zechariah Zephaniah Zeitschrift für die historische Theologie, published successively at Leipsic, Hamburg, and Gotha, 1832-75 ZKG Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. ZKR Seitschrift für Kirchenrecht, Bqc. ZKR Zeitschrift für kirchliche Missenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 ZNTW Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 Zeitschrift für konselantismus und Kirche, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie, ZWT. Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie, Leipsie, 1838-76, Leipsie, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jena, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jena, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jena, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie,	Wellhausen, Heidentum Wellhausen, Prolegomena ZA Zahn, Einleitung	 J. Wellhausen, Reste arabischen Heidentums, Berlin, 1887 J. Wellhausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichte Israels, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. transl Edinburgh, 1885 Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsic, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sqq. T. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsic, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3 vols Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestament-lichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92
sche Literatur, Berlin, 1876 sqq. ZDMG Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1847 sqq. ZDP 1869 sqq. ZDPV Zeitschrift für deutschen Philologie, Halle, 1869 sqq. Zecharist des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zecharish Zechanish Zephanish Zephanish ZHT Sphanish Hamburg, and Gotha, 1832-75 ZKG Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. ZKR Seitschrift für Kirchenrecht, Berlin, Tübingen, Freiburg, 1861 sqq. ZKT Seitschrift für katholische Theologie, Innsbruck, 1877 sqq. ZKW Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 ZNTW Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 Zeitschrift für de neutestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1900 sqq. ZPK Zeitschrift für konstellanismus und Kirche, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie, Jens, 1888-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie,	Wellhausen, Heidentum. Wellhausen, Prolegomena ZA Zahn, Einleitung Zahn, Kanon	 J. Wellhausen, Reste arabischen Heidentums, Berlin, 1887 J. Wellhausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichte Israels, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. transl., Edinburgh, 1885 Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsic, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sqq. T. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsic, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestamentlichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92 Zeitschrift für die alltestamentliche Wis-
ZDP Zeitschrift für deutsche Philologie, Halle, 1869 sqq. ZDPV Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zech. Zechariah Zeph. Zephaniah Zeitschrift für die historische Theologie, published successively at Leipsic, Hamburg, and Gotha, 1832-75 Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für Kirchentecht, Berlin, Tübingen, Freiburg, 1861 sqq. Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie, Innsbruck, 1877 sqq. Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 Zeitschrift für de neutestamenliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1900 sqq. Zeitschrift für Protestantismus und Kirche, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für Wissenschaftliche Theologie, ZWT Jens, 1888-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, ZWT Jens, 1888-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie,	Wellhausen, Heidentum. Wellhausen, Prolegomena ZA Zahn, Einleitung Zahn, Kanon ZATW	 J. Wellhausen, Reste arabischen Heidentums, Berlin, 1887 J. Wellhausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichte Israels, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. transl., Edinburgh, 1885 Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsic, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sqq. T. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsic, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestamentlichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92 Zeitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1881 sqq.
ZDP Zeitschrift für deutsche Philologie, Halle, 1869 sqq. ZDPV Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zech. Zechariah Zeph. Zephaniah Zeitschrift für die historische Theologie, published successively at Leipsic, Hamburg, and Gotha, 1832-75 Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für Kirchentecht, Berlin, Tübingen, Freiburg, 1861 sqq. Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie, Innsbruck, 1877 sqq. Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 Zeitschrift für de neutestamenliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1900 sqq. Zeitschrift für Protestantismus und Kirche, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für Wissenschaftliche Theologie, ZWT Jens, 1888-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, ZWT Jens, 1888-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie,	Wellhausen, Heidentum. Wellhausen, Prolegomena ZA Zahn, Einleitung Zahn, Kanon ZATW	 J. Wellhausen, Reste arabischen Heidentums, Berlin, 1887 J. Wellhausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichte Israels, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. transl., Edinburgh, 1885 Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsic, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sqq. T. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsic, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestamentlichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92 Zeitschrift für deutsches Alterthum und deutsche Literatur, Berlin, 1876 sqq.
1869 sqq. Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zechariah Zephaniah Zeitschrift für die historische Theologis, published successively at Leipsic, Hamburg, and Gotha, 1832-75 Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. ZKR Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für Kirchenrecht, Berlin, Tübingen, Freiburg, 1861 sqq. Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1900 sqq. Zeitschrift für Protestantismus und Kirche, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie, ZWT Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie, Leipsie, 1838-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, ZWT Jens, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, ZWT Jens, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Zens, 1858-60	Wellhausen, Heidentum. Wellhausen, Prolegomena ZA Zahn, Einleitung Zahn, Kanon ZATW ZDAL	 J. Wellhausen, Reste arabischen Heidentums, Berlin, 1887 J. Wellhausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichte Israels, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. transl., Edinburgh, 1885 Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsic, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sqq. T. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsic, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestamentlichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92 Zeitschrift für deutsches Alterthum und deutsche Literatur, Berlin, 1876 sqq.
Zeitschrift des deutschen Palastina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zech. Zechariah Zeph. Zechariah Zephaniah Zephaniah Zettschrift für die historische Theologie, published successively at Leipsic, Hamburg, and Gotha, 1832-75 ZKG Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. ZKR Zeitschrift für Kirchenrecht, Berlin, Tü- bingen, Freiburg, 1861 sqq. ZKT Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie, Inns- bruck, 1877 sqq. ZKW Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 ZNTW Zeitschrift für eneutestamentliche Wis- senschaft, Giessen, 1900 sqq. Zeitschrift für Protestantismus und Kirche, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie, ZWT Jens, 1886-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie,	Wellhausen, Heidentum. Wellhausen, Prolegomena ZA Zahn, Einleitung Zahn, Kanon ZATW ZDAL ZDMG	 J. Wellhausen, Reste arabischen Heidentums, Berlin, 1887 J. Wellhausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichte Israels, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. transl Edinburgh, 1885 Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsic, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sqq. T. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsic, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3 vols Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestamentlichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92 Zeitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1881 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutsches Alterthum und deutsche Literatur, Berlin, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1847 sqq.
Zech Zechanan Zeph Zephaniah Zephaniah Zephaniah Zetischrift für die historische Theologie, published successively at Leipsic, Hamburg, and Gotha, 1832-75 ZKG Zetischrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. ZKR Zetischrift für Kirchenrecht, Berlin, Tü- bingen, Freiburg, 1861 sqq. ZKT Zetischrift für katholische Theologie, Inns- bruck, 1877 sqq. ZKW Zetischrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 ZNTW Zetischrift für die neutestamentliche Wis- senschaft, Giessen, 1900 sqq. ZPK Zetischrift für Protestantismus und Kirche, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zetischrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie, ZWT Jens, 1888-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Zenschaft, Gliessen, Lalle, 1861-67, Leipsie, ZWT Jens, 1888-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie,	Wellhausen, Heidentum. Wellhausen, Prolegomena ZA Zahn, Einleitung Zahn, Kanon ZATW ZDAL ZDMG ZDP	 J. Wellhausen, Reste arabischen Heidentuns, Berlin, 1887 J. Wellhausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichte Israels, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. transl Edinburgh, 1885 Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsic, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sqq. T. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsic, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3 vols Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestamentlichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92 Zeitschrift für die alltestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1881 sqq. Zeitschriftfür deutsches Alterthum und deutsche Literatur, Berlin, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1847 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutsche Philologie, Halle, 1869 sqq.
Zephaniah Zeitschrift für die historische Theologie, published successively at Leipsic, Hamburg, and Gotha, 1832-75 ZKG Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. ZKR Zeitschrift für Kirchensechichte, Hamburg, 1861 sqq. ZKR Zeitschrift für Kirchensechichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. ZKT Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie, Innsbruck, 1877 sqq. ZKW Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 ZNTW Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1900 sqq. ZPK Zeitschrift für Protestantismus und Kirche, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für Protestantismus und Kirche, Lena, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, ZWT Jena, 1888-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie,	Wellhausen, Heidentum. Wellhausen, Prolegomena ZA Zahn, Einleitung Zahn, Kanon ZATW ZDAL ZDMG ZDP	 J. Wellhausen, Reste arabischen Heidentums, Bertin, 1887 J. Wellhausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichte Israets, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. transl., Edinburgh, 1885 Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsic, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sq. T. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsic, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestamentlichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92 Zeitschrift für die alltestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1881 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutsches Alterthum und deutschen interferent einer Schrift für deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1847 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutsche Philologie, Halle, 1869 sqq. Zeitschrift gen deutschen Pollating, Vententum Vententum deutschen deutschen Pollating, Vententum deutschen Geschlechaft, Leipsic, 1847 sqq.
ZHT. Settlebrill for the historieste Theologie, published successively at Leipsic, Hamburg, and Gotha, 1832-75 ZKG Zeitlebrilf für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq Zeitlebrilf für Kirchenrecht, Berlin, Tübingen, Freiburg, 1861 sqq. Zeitlebrilf für katholische Theologie, Innsbruck, 1877 sqq. Zeitlebrilf für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 Zeitlebrilf für die neutestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1900 sqq. Zeitlebrilf für Protestantismus und Kirche, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitlebrilf für Wissenschaftliche Theologie, ZWT. Jena, 1888-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, ZWT.	Wellhausen, Heidentum. Wellhausen, Prolegomena ZA Zahn, Einleitung Zahn, Kanon ZATW ZDAL ZDMG ZDP ZDPV	 J. Wellhausen, Reste arabischen Heidentums, Berlin, 1887 J. Wellhausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichte Israels, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. transl., Edinburgh, 1885 Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsie, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sq. T. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsie, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestament-lichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsie, 1888-92 Zeitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1881 sq. Zeitschrift der deutsches Alterthum und deutsche Literatur, Berlin, 1876 sq. Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsie, 1847 sqq. Zeitschrift der deutsche Philologie, Halle, 1869 sq. Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsie, 1878 sqg.
ZKR Zeitschrift für Kirchenrecht, Berlin, Tü- bingen, Freiburg, 1861 sqq. ZKT Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie, Inns- bruck, 1877 sqq. ZKW Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 ZNTW Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche Wis- senschaft, Giessen, 1900 sqq. ZPK Zeitschrift für Protestantismus und Kirche, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie, Jens, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie,	Wellhausen, Heidentum. Wellhausen, Prolegomena ZA Zahn, Einleitung Zahn, Kanon ZATW ZDAL ZDMG ZDP ZDPV Zech	 J. Wellhausen, Reste arabischen Heidentums, Berlin, 1887 J. Wellhausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichte Israels, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. transl., Edinburgh, 1885 Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsie, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sq. T. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsie, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestament-lichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsie, 1888-92 Zeitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1881 sqq. Zeitschrift der deutsches Alterthum und deutsche Literatur, Berlin, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsie, 1847 sqq. Zeitschrift der deutsche Philologie, Halle, 1869 sqq. Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsie, 1878 sqq. Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsie, 1878 sqq. Zeothariah Zeothariah
ZKR Zeitschrift für Kirchenrecht, Berlin, Tü- bingen, Freiburg, 1861 sqq. ZKT Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie, Inns- bruck, 1877 sqq. ZKW Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 ZNTW Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche Wis- senschaft, Giessen, 1900 sqq. ZPK Zeitschrift für Protestantismus und Kirche, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie, Jens, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie,	Wellhausen, Heidentum. Wellhausen, Prolegomena ZA Zahn, Einleitung Zahn, Kanon ZATW ZDAL ZDMG ZDP ZDPV Zech Zeph	 J. Wellhausen, Reste arabischen Heidentums, Berlin, 1887 J. Wellhausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichte Israels, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. transl., Edinburgh, 1885 Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsie, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sq. T. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsie, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestament-lichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsie, 1888-92 Zeitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1881 sqq. Zeitschrift der deutsches Alterthum und deutsche Literatur, Berlin, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsie, 1847 sqq. Zeitschrift der deutsche Philologie, Halle, 1869 sqq. Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsie, 1878 sqq. Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsie, 1878 sqq. Zeothariah Zeothariah
ZKR Zeitschrift für Kirchenrecht, Berlin, Tü- bingen, Freiburg, 1861 sqq. ZKT Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie, Inns- bruck, 1877 sqq. ZKW Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 ZNTW Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche Wis- senschaft, Giessen, 1900 sqq. ZPK Zeitschrift für Protestantismus und Kirche, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie, Jens, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie,	Wellhausen, Heidentum. Wellhausen, Prolegomena ZA Zahn, Einleitung Zahn, Kanon ZATW ZDAL ZDMG ZDP ZDPV Zech Zeph	 J. Wellhausen, Reste arabischen Heidentums, Berlin, 1887 J. Wellhausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichte Israels, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. transl., Edinburgh, 1885 Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsie, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sq. T. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsie, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestament-lichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsie, 1888-92 Zeitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1881 sqq. Zeitschrift der deutsches Alterthum und deutsche Literatur, Berlin, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsie, 1847 sqq. Zeitschrift der deutsche Philologie, Halle, 1869 sqq. Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsie, 1878 sqq. Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsie, 1878 sqq. Zeothariah Zeothariah
ZKT. Seitschrift für katholische Theologie, Innsbruck, 1877 eqq. ZKW. Seitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1896-89 ZNTW. Seitschrift für die neutestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1900 sqq. ZPK. Seitschrift für Protestantismus und Kirche, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie, Jens, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie,	Wellhausen, Heidentum. Wellhausen, Prolegomena ZA Zahn, Einleitung Zahn, Kanon ZATW ZDAL ZDMG ZDP ZDP ZDPV Zech Zeph ZHT	 J. Wellhausen, Reste arabischen Heidentums, Bertin, 1887 J. Wellhausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichte Israels, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. transl., Edinburgh, 1885 Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsic, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sq. T. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsic, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestamentlichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92 Zeitschrift für deit alltestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1881 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutsches Allerthum und deutschen ift für deutsches Allerthum und deutscher ihr deutschen Pallastina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zeitschrift der deutschen Palastina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zeitschrift des deutschen Theologie, published successively at Leipsic, Hamburg, and Gotha, 1832-75 Zeitschrift für Kirchenzeskichte Gotha
ZKT. Seitschrift für katholische Theologie, Innsbruck, 1877 eqq. ZKW. Seitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1896-89 ZNTW. Seitschrift für die neutestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1900 sqq. ZPK. Seitschrift für Protestantismus und Kirche, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie, Jens, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie,	Wellhausen, Heidentum. Wellhausen, Prolegomena ZA Zahn, Einleitung Zahn, Kanon ZATW ZDAL ZDMG ZDP ZDP ZDPV Zech Zeph ZHT	J. Wellhausen, Reste arabischen Heidentums, Berlin, 1887 J. Wellhausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichte Israels, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. transl., Edinburgh, 1885 Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsic, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sq. T. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsic, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestamentlichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92 Zeitschrift für die alltestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1881 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutsches Allerthum und deutsche interatur, Berlin, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1847 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutsche Philologie, Halle, 1869 sqq. Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zeitschrift der die historische Theologie, published successively at Leipsic, Hamburg, and Gotha, 1832-75 Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq.
ZNTW Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche Wis- senschaft, Giessen, 1900 sqq. ZPK Zeitschrift für Protestantismus und Kirche, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie, Jena, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie,	Wellhausen, Heidentum. Wellhausen, Prolegomena ZA Zahn, Einleitung Zahn, Kanon ZATW ZDAL ZDMG ZDP ZDPV Zech Zeph ZHT	J. Wellhausen, Reste arabischen Heidentums, Berlin, 1887 J. Wellhausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichte Israels, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. transl., Edinburgh, 1885 Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsic, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sq. T. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsic, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestamentlichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92 Zeitschrift für die alltestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1881 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutsches Allerthum und deutsche interatur, Berlin, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1847 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutsche Philologie, Halle, 1869 sqq. Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zeitschrift der die historische Theologie, published successively at Leipsic, Hamburg, and Gotha, 1832-75 Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq.
ZNTW Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche Wis- senschaft, Giessen, 1900 sqq. ZPK Zeitschrift für Protestantismus und Kirche, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie, Jena, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie,	Wellhausen, Heidentum. Wellhausen, Prolegomena ZA Zahn, Einleitung Zahn, Kanon ZATW ZDAL ZDMG ZDP ZDPV Zech Zeph ZHT ZKG	J. Wellhausen, Reste arabischen Heidentums, Berlin, 1887 J. Wellhausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichte Israels, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. transl., Edinburgh, 1885 Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsic, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sq. T. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsic, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestamentlichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92 Zeitschrift für die alltestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1881 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutsches Allerthum und deutsche interatur, Berlin, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1847 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutsche Philologie, Halle, 1869 sqq. Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zeitschrift der die historische Theologie, published successively at Leipsic, Hamburg, and Gotha, 1832-75 Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq.
ZNTW. Szeitschrift für die neutestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1900 sqq. ZPK. Zeitschrift für Protestantismus und Kirche, Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologis, Jena, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie,	Wellhausen, Heidentum. Wellhausen, Prolegomena ZA Zahn, Einleitung Zahn, Kanon ZATW ZDAL ZDMG ZDP ZDPV Zech Zeph ZHT ZKG	J. Wellhausen, Reste arabischen Heidentums, Berlin, 1887 J. Wellhausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichte Israels, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. transl., Edinburgh, 1885 Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsic, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sq. T. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsic, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestamentlichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92 Zeitschrift für die alltestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1881 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutsches Allerthum und deutsche interatur, Berlin, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1847 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutsche Philologie, Halle, 1869 sqq. Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zeitschrift der die historische Theologie, published successively at Leipsic, Hamburg, and Gotha, 1832-75 Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq.
ZPK	Wellhausen, Heidentum. Wellhausen, Prolegomena ZA Zahn, Einleitung Zahn, Kanon ZATW ZDAL ZDMG ZDP ZDPV Zech Zeph ZHT ZKG ZKR ZKT	 J. Wellhausen, Reste arabischen Heidentuns, Berlin, 1887 J. Wellhausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichte Israels, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. transl., Edinburgh, 1885 Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsic, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sqq. T. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsic, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestamentlichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92 Zeitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1881 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutsches Alterthum und deutsche Literatur, Berlin, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1847 sqq. Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zeitschrift für kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für Kirchensecht, Berlin, Tübingen, Freiburg, 1861 sqq. Zeitschrift für kerchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89
Erlangen, 1838-76 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologis, ZWT	Wellhausen, Heidentum. Wellhausen, Prolegomena ZA Zahn, Einleitung Zahn, Kanon ZATW ZDAL ZDMG ZDP ZDPV Zech Zeph ZHT ZKG ZKR ZKT	 J. Wellhausen, Reste arabischen Heidentuns, Berlin, 1887 J. Wellhausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichte Israels, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. transl., Edinburgh, 1885 Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsic, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sqq. T. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsic, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestamentlichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92 Zeitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1881 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutsches Alterthum und deutsche Literatur, Berlin, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1847 sqq. Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zeitschrift für kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für Kirchensecht, Berlin, Tübingen, Freiburg, 1861 sqq. Zeitschrift für kerchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89
ZWT Jena, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsic,	Wellhausen, Heidentum. Wellhausen, Prolegomena ZA Zahn, Einleitung Zahn, Kanon ZATW ZDAL ZDMG ZDP ZDPV Zech Zeph ZHT ZKG ZKR ZKT ZKW ZNTW	J. Wellhausen, Reste arabischen Heidentuns, Berlin, 1887 J. Wellhausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichte Israels, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. transl Edinburgh, 1885 Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsic, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sqq. T. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsic, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestament-lichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92 Zeitschrift für die alltestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1881 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutschen Allerthum und deutsche Literatur, Berlin, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift der deutschen Paldstina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zeitschrift des deutschen Paldstina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zeitschrift für die historische Theologie, published successively at Leipsic, Hamburg, and Gotha, 1832-75 Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für Kirchenschit, Berlin, Tübingen, Freiburg, 1861 sqq. Zeitschrift für kirchentecht, Berlin, Tübingen, Freiburg, 1861 sqq. Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche Wissenschaft. Giessen, 1900 sqg.
	Wellhausen, Heidentum. Wellhausen, Prolegomena ZA Zahn, Einleitung Zahn, Kanon ZATW ZDAL ZDMG ZDP ZDPV Zech Zeph ZHT ZKG ZKR ZKT ZKW ZNTW	J. Wellhausen, Reste arabischen Heidentums, Berlin, 1887 J. Wellhausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichte Israets, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. Irransl., Edinburgh, 1885 Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsic, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sqq. T. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsic, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3d vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestamentlichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92 Zeitschrift für die alltestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1881 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutsches Alterthum und deutsche Literatur, Berlin, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1847 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutschen Philologie, Halle, 1869 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zeitschrift für kirchenfeschiche, Gotha, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für kirchenfeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für kirchenecht, Berlin, Tübingen, Freiburg, 1861 sqq. Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1880-89 Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1900 sqq.
/ Your odd.	Wellhausen, Heidentum. Wellhausen, Prolegomena ZA Zahn, Einleitung Zahn, Kanon ZATW ZDAL ZDMG ZDP ZDPV Zech Zeph ZKG ZKG ZKT ZKW ZNTW ZNTW	J. Wellhausen, Reste arabischen Heidentums, Berlin, 1887 J. Wellhausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichte Israels, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. transl., Edinburgh, 1885 Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsic, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sq. T. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neus Testament, 3d ed., Leipsic, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestamentlichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1888-92 Zeitschrift für deit alltestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1881 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutsches Allerthum und deutsche interatur, Berlin, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsic, 1847 sqq. Zeitschrift für deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zeitschrift des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsic, 1878 sqq. Zeitschrift für die historische Theologie, published successively at Leipsic, Hamburg, and Gotha, 1832-75 Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sqq. Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1890-89 Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1890-89 Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1890-89 Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, Leipsic, 1800-89 Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsic, 1890-89 Zeitschrift für vissenschaftliche Theologie, Etlangen, 1838-76
	Wellhausen, Heidentum. Wellhausen, Prolegomena ZA Zahn, Einleitung Zahn, Kanon ZATW ZDAL ZDMG ZDP ZDPV Zech Zeph ZKG ZKG ZKT ZKW ZNTW ZNTW	J. Wellhausen, Reste arabischen Heidentums, Berlin, 1887 J. Wellhausen, Prolegomena sur Geschichte Israets, 6th ed., Berlin, 1905, Eng. Iransl., Edinburgh, 1885 Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, Leipsie, 1886-88, Berlin, 1889 sq. T. Zahn, Einleitung in das Neue Testament, 3d ed., Leipsie, 1907; Eng. transl., Introduction to the New Testament, 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1909 T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestament-lichen Kanons, 2 vols., Leipsie, 1888-92 Zeitschrift für die alltestamentliche Wissenschaft, Giessen, 1881 sq. Zeitschrift für deutsches Alterthum und deutsche Lateratur, Berlin, 1876 sq. Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipsie, 1847 sq. Zeitschrift für deutschen Philologie, Halle, 1869 sq. Zeitschrift für deutschen Palästina-Vereins, Leipsie, 1878 sq. Zeitschrift für kirchenfeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sq. Zeitschrift für kirchenfeschichte, Gotha, 1876 sq. Zeitschrift für kirchenscht, Berlin, Tübingen, Freiburg, 1861 sq. Zeitschrift für kircheliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsie, 1880-89 Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsie, 1880-89 Zeitschrift für kirchliche Wissenschaft und kirchliches Leben, Leipsie, 1880-89 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie, Erlangen, 1838-70 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie, Erlangen, 1838-70 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie, Leingen, 1838-70 Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie, Jena, 1858-60, Halle, 1861-67, Leipsie, Jena, 1861

SYSTEM OF TRANSLITERATION

The following system of transliteration has been used for Hebrew:

\aleph = ' or omitted at the	$\dagger = \mathbf{z}$	y =
beginning of a word.	n = b	a = p
⊇ = b	p = t	$\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{ph} \text{ or } \mathbf{p}$
$\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}\mathbf{h}$ or \mathbf{b}	' = y	z = z
$\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{g}$	∋ = k	b = k
$\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{g} \mathbf{h} \text{ or } \mathbf{g}$	$\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{k}\mathbf{h}$ or \mathbf{k}	7 = r
= d	5 = 1	⊌ = 8
7 = dh or d	$\mathfrak{p}=\mathtt{m}$	$\mathbf{g}^{\prime}=\mathbf{sh}$
n = h	3 = n	n = t
1 = w	D = 8	n = th or t

The vowels are transcribed by a, e, i, o, u, without attempt to indicate quantity or quality. Arabic and other Semitic languages are transliterated according to the same system as Hebrew. Greek is written with Roman characters, the common equivalents being used.

KEY TO PRONUNCIATION

When the pronunciation is self-evident the titles are not respelled; when by mere division and accentuation it can be shown sufficiently clearly the titles have been divided into syllables, and the accented syllables indicated.

α	as in sofa	0	88	in	not	iu as in duration
ā	" " arm	ð	"	"	nor	c = k " cat
a	" " at	u	"	"	full 3	ch " " church
ā	" " fare	a	"	"	rule	cw = qu as in queen
е	" " pen 1	σ	"	"	but	dh (th) " " the
ê	" " fate	O	"	"	burn	f " " fancy
i	" " tin	ai	"	"	pine	g (hard) " " go
t	" " machine	αu	"	"	out .	н " " loch (Scotch)
0	" " obey	өi	"	"	oil	hw (wh) " " why
ð	" " no	iū	••	"	few	j " " <i>j</i> aw

¹ In accented syllables only; in unaccented syllables it approximates the sound of e in over. The letter n, with a dot beneath it, indicates the sound of n as in ink. Nasal n (as in French words) is rendered n.

² In German and French names û approximates the sound of u in dune.

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG

ENCYCLOPEDIA OF RELIGIOUS KNOWLEDGE

TRENCH, RICHARD CHENEVIX: Archbishop of Dublin, Church of Ireland; b. in Dublin, Ireland, Sept. 5 (97), 1807; d. in London Mar. 28, 1886. He studied at the schools of Twyford and Harrow, and at Trinity College, Cambridge (B.A., 1829; M.A., 1833; B.D., 1850); traveled in Spain, 1830; was ordained deacon, 1832; became curate to H. J. Rose at Hadleigh, Suffolk, 1833; at Colchester, 1834, then going to Italy; returning, he was ordained priest, 1835; became curate of Curdridge, Hampshire, 1835; and of Alverstoke, 1841; became rector of Itchinstoke, Hants, 1844; examining chaplain to Bishop Wilberforce of Oxford, 1845; was Hulsean lecturer at Cambridge, 1845-46; professor of divinity at King's College, 1846-54; prolessor of exegesis of the New Testament, 1854-58; dean of Westminster, 1856-64; and archbishop of Dublin, 1864-84. He was a devout and conservative High-churchman of the best type, but his theological writings were free from sectional bias. He threw the weight of his influence against disestablishment. As a writer, he showed choice Biblical, patristic, and modern Anglo-German learning, original thought, and a reverential and truly Christian spirit. His repute in philology equaled that in Biblical criticism. Outside of numerous individual and collected sermons, he was the author of Notes on the Parables of our Lord (London, 1841, and often); Genoveva; a Poem (1842); Exposition of the Sermon on the Mount . . . from . . . St. Augustine (1844); The Fitness of Holy Scripture for Unfolding the Spiritual Life of Men (Hulsean Lectures for 1845; Cambridge, 1845); Christ the Desire of all Nations, or the Unconscious Prophecies of Heathendom (Hulsean Lectures for 1846; 1846); Notes on the Miracles of our Lord (London, 1846 and often); Sacred Latin Poetry (1849); On the Study of Words (Five Lectures; 1851, and often); On the Lessons in Proverbs (Five Lectures; 1853, and often); Synonyms of the New Testament (Cambridge, 1854, and often); Commentary on the Episthes to the Seven Churches in Asia. Revelation i.iii. (London, 1861); Studies in the Gospels (1867); Plutarch; his Life, Lives, and Morals (1873); Lectures on Mediæval Church History (1877); Poems (new ed., 2 vols., 1885); and edited a Household Book of English Poetry (1868).

RIBLIOGRAPHY: Letters and Memorials of Archbishop Trench, 2 vols., London, 1888; J. Silvester, Archbishop Trench ... a Sketch of his Life and Character, ib. 1891; DNB, |vii. 191-194

XII.—1

TRENKLE, FRANZ SALES: German Roman Catholic; b. at Waldkirch (9 m. n.n.e. of Freiburg) Jan. 26, 1860. He was educated at the universities of Freiburg (1879-82) and Heidelberg (1884-85; D.D., Freiburg, 1886); became privat-docent at Freiburg for New-Testament exegesis, 1868; and associate professor of the same subject, 1894. He has written a novel, Willa von Waldkirch (under the pseudonym of Fritz Frei; Heidelberg, 1900); a commentary on James (Freiburg, 1894); and Einleitung in das Neue Testament (1897).

TRENT. COUNCIL OF.

Occasion, Sessions, and Attendance (§ 1).
Objects and General Results (§ 2).
The Canons and Decrees (§ 3).
Publication of Documents (§ 4).

The Council of Trent, the nineteenth (or, according to another reckoning, the eighteenth) of the ecumenical councils recognized by the Roman Catholic Church, takes its name from the place where it was held, a city in the southern and Italian part of the Tyrol (73 m. n.w. of Venice), and lasted, with interruptions, from Dec. 13, 1545, to Dec. 4, 1563. From a doctrinal and disciplinary point of view, it was the most important council in the history of the Roman church, fixing her distinctive faith and practise in relation to the Protestant Evangelical churches. Its decrees were supplemented by the Vatican Council of 1870 (q.v.).

In reply to the bull Exsurge Domine of Leo X. (1520) Luther had burned the document and appealed to a general council. From 1522 German diets joined in the appeal, and Charles V. seconded and pressed it as a means of settling

1. Occasion, the controversy started by the Ref-Sessions, ormation and of reunifying the Church. and Attend- After the deliverances of Pius II. in ance. his bull Execrabilis (1460) and his reply to the University of Cologne (1463), setting aside the theory of the supremacy of general councils laid down by the Council of Constance (see Constance, Council of), it was the papal policy to avoid councils and the free discussions they developed. Unable, however, to resist the urgency of Charles V., Paul III. (q.v.), after proposing Mantua as the place of meeting, convened the council as exclusively Roman at Trent (at that time a free city of the Holy Roman Empire under a prince-bishop), on Dec. 13, 1545; it

was transferred to Bologna in Mar., 1547. from fear

of the plague; indefinitely prorogued, Sept. 17, 1549; reopened at Trent, May 1, 1551, by Pope Julius III.; broken up by the sudden victory of Elector Maurice of Saxony over the Emperor Charles V., and his march into Tyrol, Apr. 28, 1552; and recalled by Pius IV. for the last time, Jan. 18, 1562, when it continued to its final adjournment, Dec. 4, 1563. It closed with "Anathema to all heretics, anathema, anathema." The history of the council is divided into three distinct periods; from 1545 to 1549, from 1551 to 1552, and from 1562 to 1563. The last was the most important. The number of attending members in the three periods varied considerably. It increased toward the close, but never reached the number of the first ecumenical council at Nicæa (which had 318 members), nor of the last of the Vatican (which numbered 764). The decrees were signed by 255 members, including four papal legates, two cardinals, three patriarchs, twenty-five archbishops, 168 bishops, two-thirds of them being Italians. Lists of the signers are added to the best editions of the decrees. England was represented by Cardinal Reginald Pole, Richard Pate, bishop of Worcester, and after 1562 by Thomas Goldwell, bishop of St. Asaph; Ireland by three bishops, and Germany at no time by more than eight. Italian and Spanish prelates were vastly preponderant in power and numbers. At the passage of the most important decrees not more than sixty prelates were present.

The object of the council was twofold: (1) to condemn the principles and doctrines of Protestantism, and to define the doctrines of the

2. Objects Roman Catholic Church on all disputed points. It is true the emperor intended it to be a strictly general or truly ecumenical council, at which the Protestants should have a fair hearing.

He secured, during the council's second period, 1551-52, an invitation, twice given, to the Protestants to be present, and the council issued a letter of safe-conduct (thirteenth session) and offered them the right of discussion, but denied them a vote. Melanchthon and Johann Brenz (qq.v.), with some other German Lutherans, actually started in 1552 on the journey to Trent. Brenz offered a confession, and Melanchthon, who got no farther than Nuremberg, took with him the irenic statement known as the Confessio Saxonica. But the refusal to give to the Protestants the right to vote and the consternation produced by the success of Maurice in his campaign against Charles V. in 1552 effectually put an end to Protestant cooperation. (2) To effect a reformation in discipline or administration. This object had been one of the causes calling forth the refor-matory councils, and had been lightly touched upon by the Fifth Lateran under Julius II. and Leo X. The corrupt administration of the Church was one of the secondary causes of the Reforma-tion. Twenty-five public sessions were held, but nearly half of them were spent in solemn formali-The chief work was done in committees or congregations. The entire management was in the hands of the papal legates. The court of Rome, by diplomacy and intrigue, outwitted all the liberal

The council abolished some crying abuses, and introduced or recommended disciplinary reforms affecting the sale of indulgences, the morals of convents, the education of the clergy, the non-residence of bishops, and the careless fulmination of censures, and forbade the duel. These deliverances had a salutary influence on the church. But in regard to the department of doctrine, although liberal evangelical sentiments were uttered by some of the ablest members in favor of the supreme authority of the Scriptures, and justification by faith, no concession whatever was made to Protestantism. The doctrinal decisions of the council are divided into decrees (decreta), which contain the positive statement of the Roman dogmas, and into short canons (canones), which condemn the dissenting Protestant views with the concluding "anathema sit." They are stated with great clearness, precision, and wisdom. The decree on justification betrays special ability and theological circumspection. The Protestant doctrines, however, are almost always exhibited in an exaggerated form, and mixed up with real heresies, which Protestants condemn as emphatically as the Church of Rome.

The doctrinal acts are as follows: after reaffirming the Niceno-Constantinopolitan Creed (third session), the decree was passed (fourth session) placing the Apocrypha on a par with the other books of

the canon and coordinating church
3. The tradition with the Scriptures as a rule
Canons and of faith. The Vulgate translation was
Decrees. affirmed to be authoritative for the

text of Scripture. Justification (sixth session) was declared to be offered upon the basis of faith and good works as opposed to the Protestant doctrine of faith alone, and faith was treated as a progressive work. The sacramental character of the seven sacraments was affirmed and the eucharist pronounced a veritable propitiatory sacrifice as well as a sacrament, in which the bread and wine were converted into the body and blood of Christ (thirteenth and twenty-second sessions). It is to be offered for dead and living alike and in giving to the apostles the command "do this in remembrance of me," Christ conferred upon them a sacerdotal power. The practise of withholding the cup from the laity was confirmed (twenty-first session) as one which the Church had commanded from of old for good and sufficient reasons; yet in certain cases the pope was made the supreme arbiter as to whether the rule should be strictly maintained. Ordination (twenty-third session) was given an indelible character. The priesthood of the New Testament takes the place of the Levitical priesthood. To the performance of its functions, the consent of the people is not necessary. In the decrees on marriage (twenty-fourth session) the excellence of the celibate state was reaffirmed, concubinage condemned, and the validity of marriage made dependent upon its being performed before a priest and two witnesses. In the case of a divorce the right of the innocent party to marry again is denied so long as the guilty party is alive, even though the other have committed adultery. In the twenty-fifth and last session,

the doctrines of purgatory, the invocation of saints, and the worship of relics are reaffirmed, as also the efficacy of indulgences as dispensed by the Church according to the power given her, but with some cautionary recommendations. The council appointed, 1562 (eighteenth session), a commission to prepare a list of forbidden books (Index librorum prohibitorum), but it later left the matter to the action of the pope. The preparation of a catechism and revised editions of the Breviary and Missal were also left to the pope.

On adjourning, the synod begged the supreme pontiff to ratify all its decrees and definitions. This petition was complied with by Pius IV., Jan. 26, 1564, in a bull which enjoins strict obedience upon all Roman Catholics, and forbids, under pain of excommunication, all unauthorized interpretation, reserving this to the pope alone, and threatening the disobedient with "the indignation of Almighty God and of his blessed apostles, Peter and Paul."
Pius appointed a commission of cardinals to assist him in interpreting and enforcing the decrees. The Index librorum prohibitorum was announced 1564, and the following books were issued with the papal imprimatur: the Profession of the Tridentine Faith and the Tridentine Catechism (1566), the Breviary (1568), the Missal (1570), and the Vulgate (1590, and then 1592). The decrees of the council were acknowledged in Italy, Portugal, Poland, and by the Roman Catholic princes of Germany at the diet of 1566. Philip II. accepted them for Spain, Netherland, and Sicily so far as they did not infringe on the royal prerogative. In France they were officially recognized by the king only in their doctrinal parts. The disciplinary sections recaved official recognition at provincial synods and were enforced by the bishops. No attempt was made to introduce it into England. Pius IV. sent the decrees to Mary, queen of Scots, with a letter dated June 13, 1564, requesting her to publish them in Scotland; but she dared not do it in the face of John Knox and the Reformation.

The canons and decrees of the council have been published very often and in many languages (for a large list consult *British Museum Catalogue*, under "Trent, Council of"). The first issue

4 Publica- was by P. Manutius (Rome, 1564). tion of The best Latin editions are by J. Le Documents. Plat (Antwerp, 1779), and by F. Schulte and A. L. Richter (Leipsic, 1853). Other good editions are in vol. vii. of the Ada et decreta conciliorum recentiorum. Collectio Lacensis (7 vols., Freiburg, 1870-90), reissued as an independent volume (1892); Concilium Tridentinum: Diariorum, actorum, epistularum, . . . colectio, ed. S. Merkle (4 vols., Freiburg, 1901 sqq.; only vols. i.-iv. have as yet appeared); not to overlook Mansi, Concilia, xxxv. 345 sqq. Note also Mirbt, Quellen, 2d ed, pp. 202-255. The best English edition is by J. Waterworth (London, 1848; With Essays on the External and Internal History of the Council). The original acts and debates of the council, as prepared by its general secretary, Bishop Angelo Massarelli, in six large folio volumes, are deposited in the Vatican library, and remained there unpublished for more than 300 years, and were brought to light, though only in part, by Augustin Theiner, priest of the oratory (d. 1874), in Acta genuina sancti et acumenici Concilii Tridentini nunc primum integre edita (2 vols., Leipsic, 1874). Most of the official documents and private reports, however, which bear upon the council, were made known in the sixteenth century and since. The most complete collection of them is that of J. Le Plat, Monumentorum ad historiam Concilii Tridentini collectio (7 vols., Louvain, 1781-87). New materials were brought to light by J. Mendham, Memoirs of the Council of Trent (London, 1834-36), from the manuscript history of Cardinal Paleotto; more recently by T. Sickel, Actenstücke aus österreichischen Archiven (Vienna, 1872); by J. J. I. von Döllinger (Ungedruckte Berichte und Tagebücher zur Geschichte des Concilii von Trient (2 parts, Nördlingen, 1876); and A. von Druffel, Monumenta Tridentina (Munich, 1884-97). See also TRIDEN-TINE PROFESSION OF FAITH.

(P. Schaff.) D. S. Schaff.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Fundamental for the history of the council are the accounts by two Roman Catholics of very different spirit: (1) that of the liberal Fra Paolo [Pietro] Sarpi of Venice, Istoria del Concilio Tridentino, London, 1619, often republished, e.g., 4 vols., Florence, 1858, best ed. by P. F. Le Courayer, 3 vols., Amsterdam, 1751, in French, 2 vols., London, 1736, Eng. transl. of the original by Sir N. Brent, London, 1619, and another, 1676, Germ. work-ing over of the matter by D. J. T. L. Dans, Jens, 1846; (2) that of Cardinal Sforsa Pallavicino, Istoria del Concilio di Trento, 2 vols., Rome, 1656-57, issued also Rome, 1665, Milan, 1717, Lat. transl. by J. B. Giattino, 3 parts, Antwerp, 1670, Fr. transl., 3 vols., Montrouge, (for criticism of these cf. Ranke, Popes, iii. 46-79; and J. N. Brischar, Beurtheilung der Controversen Sarpi's und Pallavicini's in der Geschichte des Trienter Concils, Tubingen, 1844). Further accounts or discussions are:
C. A. Salig, Hist. des tridentinischen Conciliums, 3 vols.,
Halle, 1741-45 (Protestant); I. H. Wessenberg, Die
grossen Kirchennersammlung des 15. und 16. Jahrhunderten, Constance, 1840 (Roman Catholic); L. F. Bungener, Hist. du concile de Trente, 2 vols., Paris, 1847, Eng. transl., 2d ed., Edinburgh, 1853, New York, 1855 (Protestant); T. A. Buckley, Hist. of the Council of Trent, London, 1852; idem, The Canons and Decrees of the Council of Trent, with a Supplement, containing the Condemnation of the Early Reference and other Matters. cil of Trent, with a Supplement, containing the Condemnation of the Early Reformers, and other Matters, ib. 1851 (Protestant); W. C. Brownlee, Doctrinal Decrees and Canons of the Council of Trent, with Preface and Notes, New York, 1857 (Roman Catholic); E. B. Pusey, Eirenicon, Oxford, 1865 (Protestant); W. Arthur, The Pope, the Kings, and the People, 2 vols., London, 1877 (one of the best); J. C. L. Gieseler, Text-Book of Church History, ed. H. B. Smith, v. 21-58, New York, 1880 (excellent sketch); C. Dejob, De l'influence du concile de Trente sur la littérature et les beaux-arts, Paris, 1884; D. Lajnes, Dieputationes Tridentina, 2 vols.. Innsbruck. D. Laines, Disputationes Tridentina, 2 vols., Innsbruck, 1886 (Roman Catholic); T. R. Evans, Council of Trent, London, 1888 (Protestant polemic); R. F. Littledale, Hist. of the Council of Trent, London, 1888 (Protestant); J. A. Froude, Lectures on the Council of Trent, London, 1896 (posthumous; Protestant, brilliant but partisan, and as issued in unrevised shape unreliable); G. Wolf, Deutsche Geschichte im Zeitalter der Gegenreformation, Berlin, 1899; A. R. Pennington, Counter-Reformation in Europe, London, 1901; J. G. Mayer, Das Konzil von Trent Europe, London, 1801; J. G. Mayer, Das Robes of French and die Gegenreformation in der Schweiz, 2 vols., Stans, 1900-01; J. Susta, Die römische Curie und das Concil von Trient, Vienna, 1904; Cambridge Modern History, vol. iii. passim, New York, 1905; R. Mumm, Die Polemit des iii. passim, New York, 1905; R. Mullin, Die Folemie des Martin Chemnitz gegen das Konzil von Trient, Leipsic, 1905; J. Hergenröther, Handbuch der allgemeinen Kirchen-geschichte, ed. J. P. Kirsch, Freiburg, 1909 (Roman Catholic); J. Hesner, Die Entstehungsgeschichte des Trienter Rechtsertigungsdetretes, Paderborn, 1909; L. Carcereri, Il Concilio di Trento, Bologna, 1910; Die römische Kurie und das Konsil von Trient unter Pius IV., Vienna, 1911; Ranke, Popes, i. 100-267; Schaff, Creeds, i. 90-100, ii. 77-210. Discussions are to be found also in the works on the history of doctrine by Harnack, vols. iv.-vii. passim; F. Loofs, pp. 664-676, Halle, 1908; R. Seeberg, ii. 422-440, Leipsic, 1895-98; and J. Schwane, Freiburg, 1890.

TRESPASS OFFERING. See SACRIFICE.

TRESSLER, VICTOR GEORGE AUGUSTINE: Lutheran; b. Somerfield, Pa., Apr. 10, 1866. He was educated at Pennsylvania College, Gettysburg, Pa. (B.A., 1886), McCormick Theological Seminary (1891), and the University of Leipsic (Ph.D., 1900). He was ordained to the Lutheran ministry in 1892, and was pastor of Grace Lutheran Church, San José, Cal., from 1891 to 1898, besides being lecturer in history in San José Academy in 1896-98 and president of the Lutheran Synod of California in 1896-97. He was dean and professor of philosophy in Ansgar College, Hutchinson, Minn., in 1901-02, and professor of Greek in Wittenberg College, Springfield, O., in 1903-05, and since 1905 has been professor of New-Testament philology and criticism in Hamma Divinity School, Springfield. He is the author of The Political Revolution under Elizabeth (1901).

TREVES, ARCHBISHOPRIC OF: Probably the oldest German diocese. Christianity seems to have been established in the ancient Gallic city of the same name as early as the second century, though it was not until the reign of Constantine that the faith made rapid progress. [Tradition reports, however, that Eucharius, Valerius, and Maternus were sent by Peter the Apostle to preach in the valley of the Rhine, and that Eucharius was the first bishop of Treves, occupying the episcopal chair for twenty-five years.] In the fifth century the Roman hall of justice at Treves was transformed into the church now preserved in the cathedral, though it was not until the end of the Roman period, late in the fifth century, that the city became predomi-nantly Christian. The origin of the diocese is lost in obscurity, for the reputed disciples of Peter, namely, Eucharius, Valerius, and Maternus, are creations of legend. The first certain bishop was

Agroetius, who attended the Synod of Arles in 314. His successors, Maximinus and Paulinus, aided Athanasius against the Arians, though it is uncertain whether they were metropolitans. The cap-ture of Treves by the Franks, who soon became Christianized, made no interruption in the episcopal line, for at the very time of the struggle Bishop Jamblichus (c. 457) is mentioned, and his successors, Nicetius (after 527), Magnericus (570-596), and others were of metropolitan rank. This dignity, however, was lost during the confusion toward the close of the Merovingian period, but was restored by Charlemagne before 811, and retained until the early part of the nineteenth century. The diocese comprised the territory on both sides of the Mosel, from the present boundary with Prussia and Lorraine to the entrance of the river into the Rhine, and, across the Rhine, a small strip of land on both banks of the Lahn to a point above Wetzlar. Metz, Toul, and Verdun were suffragan bishoprics.

(A. HAUCK.)

Bibliography: Sources are: J. N. von Hontheim, Historia Trevirensium diplomatum, 3 vols., Augsburg, 1750; idem, Prodromus historia Trevirensis, 2 vols., ib. 1757; Codez diplomaticus Rheno-Mosellanus, ed. W. Günther, 5 vols., Coblens, 1822-26; Urkundenbuch zur Geschichte der ... mittelrheinischen Territorien, ed. H. Beyer and others, 3 vols., ib. 1860-74; MGH, Dip., i (1872); Diplomata regum et imperatorum Germania, 3 vols., Hanover, 1879-1903; F. X. Kraus, Die christlichen Inschriften der Rheinlande 2 parts, nos. 75-255, Freiburg, 1890; Gesta Trevirorum, in MGH, Script., viii (1843), 111 sqq., xxiv (1879), 368 sqq., and Series archiepiscoporum Treverensium, in the same, xiii (1881), 296 sqq.; A. Görz, Regesten der Erzbischöfen von Trier, 2 vols., Treves, 1859-61. Consult further: J. Marx, Geschichte des Erzsifts Trier, 5 vols., Treves, 1858-64; J. Wegler, Richard von Greiffendu, Erzbischof und Kurfürst von Trier, 1511-31, ib. 1881; F. Ferdinand, Cuno von Falkenstein als Erzbischof von Trier, 1377, Paderborm, 1886; S. Beissel, Geschichte der Trierer Kirchen, Treves, 1887; P. de Lorenzi, Beiträge zur Geschichte der Pfarreien der Diözese Trier, 2 vols., ib. 1887; K. Schorn, Eiflia sacra, 2 vols., Bonn, 1887-88; H. V. Sauerland, Trierer Geschichtsquellen des XI. Jahrhunderts, Treves, 1889; J. Mohr, Die Heiligen der Diözese Trier, ib. 1892; E. Vogt, Die Reichspolitik des Erzbischofs Balduin von Trier in den Jahren 1328-34, Gotha, 1901; and the KD of Rettberg, Friedrich, and Hauck.

TREVES, HOLY COAT OF. See HOLY COAT.

TRIBAL AND CULTIC MYSTERIES.

I. Tribal Mysteries.
Definitions (§ 1).
Basal Factors (§ 2).
Developments of Tribal Societies (§ 3).
Social Character (§ 4).
Magical Fraternities (§ 5).
The "Men's House" (§ 6).
Methods of Initiation (§ 7).
Educational Value (§ 8).
Influence on Social Development (§ 9).

II. Cultic Mysteries.
1. The Eleusinia.
Greek Religious Background (§ 1).
Origin of the Eleusinia (§ 2).
Estimates of the Eleusinia (§ 3).
The Kore Myth (§ 4).
Lesser Mysteries (§ 5).
Greater Mysteries; Initial Ceremonies (§ 6).

Essentials and Sacra (§ 8).
Officials (§ 9).
Significance (§ 10).
2. Dionysiac-Orphic Mysteries.
Character of Dionysiac Celebration
(§ 1).
Significance of Orphaus (§ 2).

The Mysteries Proper (§ 7).

Significance of Orpheus (§ 2). Orphic Teachings (§ 3). Summary (§ 4).

I. Tribal Mysteries: A mystery is defined by Miss Jane Ellen Harrison (*Prolegomena to the Study* of Greek Religion, p. 151, 2d ed., Cambridge, 1908) as "a rite in which certain sacra are exhibited which can not be safely seen by the

which can not be safely seen by the worshiper till he has undergone certain purifications." This holds true both for tribal and cultic mysteries.

Primitive peoples restrain non-initiates from sight of sacra for the reasons that such sight is a breach

of taboo which (they suppose) would bring evil on the tribe, and punish such breach in order to expurgate the crime and relieve the tribe of the onus of guilt and the evil consequences supposed to result from the transgression. By tribal mysteries are meant those rites of initiation of boys (and in some regions of girls) at the time of reaching manhood (or womanhood) into the rights of adultship as conceived by the tribe, together with the later developments, coming with advance in civilization, into tribal and magical fraternities. By cultic mysteries are meant the more advanced organizations which found place, e.g., in Greece and the Roman Empire and are best exemplified by the Eleusinian, Dionysiac (Bacchie), and Orphic celebrations. The reason for treating these together will be found from the discussion which follows to rest upon an actual genetic relationship and upon a real resemblance in aim, allowance being made for the difference in the grade of culture reached. The reason for discussing the subject at all is its fundamental importance not only in religion but in society, these institutions having had much to do with molding the social, ethical, and religious life of the peoples among which they have existed.

The two bases in nature of the institution here called tribal mysteries are (1) the ineffaceable distinction of sex, the female being almost universally

regarded in primitive society as the

2. Basal inferior and therefore limited in natFactors. tural privileges; and (2) the distinction, effaceable by age, of the boy
from the man, the former being classed in society
with the women. Initiation marks the formal separation of the boy from social classification with
women and from tutelage by them, together with

aration of the boy from social classification with women and from tutelage by them, together with release from the disabilities which that classification imposes and the assumption of the rights and duties of manhood, or, at any rate, the taking of the first steps toward that assumption. But among primitive peoples in probably most cases the distinction between man and boy not being regarded as erased by age alone, ceremonial must come to the aid of nature. An unitiated male, even though aged, is classed with the women and rests under their tribal disabilities (A. W. Howitt, Native Tribes of South-Eastern Australia, p. 530, London, 1904). It is quite in accordance with primitive logic that the ceremonial should have the two characteristics of secrecy and an ordeal. The change from boyhood to manhood involves the power to procreate, and before the mystery of new life the savage stands in awe. It is in his mind related with the power of spirits, therefore within the realm of religion; the favor of these spirits and the successful use of the powers of manhood depend upon a certain correctness of procedure, hence it comes within the domain also of primitive magic. In both of these regions there rule the ideas which under the Romans came to be expressed as sacra and profana, involving the participation in certain rites by definite classes and the exclusion from them of other classes. Because of the assumed inferiority of the women, on account of their natural disabilities as conceived by primitive logic, they and all who were classed with them could not participate in or even witness the ceremonial which began the transformation of the boy into the man. The adult males alone were possessed of knowledge of the means by which aspirants to adult male rights could attain those rights, or, to express the idea in other words, could become members of the tribe in full standing, sharing by favor of the spirits in its government and in such duties as fell to the men. Hence it was the initiated adult males and the candidates alone who might be present either to participate in or to witness the initiation, and in many cases only the elders, those retired from such services as fighting and the like, conducted the ceremonies. Further, because the initiation marked the admission of the candidate to manhood with its responsibilities, the rites most often assumed the character of an ordeal which aimed to test his qualifications for the rank to which he aspired. Once more, because the successful passing of the ordeal involved ultimate eligibility to marriage, rites were performed looking to the married state, such as Circumcision (q.v.) and sometimes subincision.

It follows directly from the foregoing that the tribe divides into two broad sections, the initiated (males) and the women and non-initi-

3. Develop- ates. The former constitute what is ments of to all intents and purposes a secret Tribal society. Secrecy is enforced by a Societies. series of taboos, the breach of which

involves severe penalties. Thus over a wide area including Australia the sight of a bullroarer * by a woman subjects her to death. The matter which is kept secret varies with the tribe, but may be described in general terms as the rites of initiation and the methods of performing them, including the masks, disguises of the performers, the dances, and the songs which constitute part of the ceremonies, as well as the traditional significance of them all. The broad division of tribal members into two classes gives place as social order advances into a more complex system which works out in three ways: (1) It may split up into societies in which there are various degrees with admission from one to another and rising in importance and prestige. The basal distinction here is age; but the number of degrees or other distinguishing characteristics varies with the tribe or people. The influence of the individual in the tribe generally depends upon his advancement through and status in the various grades. (2) On the other hand, the society may become intertribal, like the totem gens, and the occasion of initiation, often becoming stated, is an affair not of a single tribe alone, but of the initiates and candidates of the several tribes thus affiliated. The effect of this in the direction of social development will be seen at once. It is wholly natural that at such assemblages intertribal matters be discussed, occasions of dispute be talked over, and that causes that might lead to war, to say nothing of individual differences, may be so considered as to lead to complete pacification. At such times an intertribal peace prevails under penalty of death for its breach. The immediate consequences are a decided advance in social structure and ethical well-being. (3) The third method of development is into what may be described as the magical fraternity, the total re-

^{*}A bull-roarer is a piece of wood carved in the shape of an elongated rhomboid or modification of that form, atcached by one end to a string, and swung rapidly around the head by the string, producing a peculiar and very penetrating sound. It was used by the Greeks and by them called a rhombos. The sound made by this instrument is often the signal that puberty rites are being or are about to be celebrated and that the profane are to remain at a distance and out of sight. The exhibition of the instrument is usually an invitation or a command to attend the ceremonics.

sults of which are often the reverse of good in their effects upon the social organization.

The initiations being of moment to the tribe, they are celebrated as occasions of festivity which appeal to every initiated member. The materials for the festivities are provided in part by the

4. Social fathers of the candidates, in part by Character. the tribe at large. As culture advances, the number of the initiated comes to

the number of the initiated comes to be less than all the males of the tribe. In the case where centralization of power in the hands of the chief has not developed, where the government is rather by elders, the ideal fostered by the mysteries is strongly that of fidelity to the tribe as represented by the elders, who conduct the ceremonies in the presence of the initiates. Where centralization has occurred, a less democratic organization may arise, various secret societies may form, more or less limited in membership and with different demands for qualification on the part of aspirants to membership. In these cases the ceremonies may grow in complexity and impressiveness, and the religious element is often more stressed, so that these become largely the guardian of religion. In such a situation puberty ceremonies become more curtailed and do not carry with them membership in the societies. These more aristocratic organizations involve not universal obligation, as do the most primitive type, but special privilege, the obtaining of which requires not only the suffrage of members, but also no slight expenditure, which in turn secures such a degree of consideration in the tribe as seems quite commensurate with the difficulty and expense attendant. The performance of the rites still required at puberty devolves upon the higher grades in the societies, each of which grades has its own ceremony of initiation possibly performed at considerable intervals. Entrance into these, therefore, becomes a desideratum to the ambitious. Where this stage of civilization is reached, the separation of the boy from his parents may take place at as early an age as five years, and the course of instruction and service to the tribe may last till he is forty or till his father dies and he enters upon his inheritance. In the tribal societies the simplicity and nalveté of primitive faith dies, and self-seeking enters in with an almost inevitable duplicity and deceit, advancing to extortion and governing by oppression and even murder, as in the interior of Africa. In cases not a few the tribal society becomes a means of perpetuating the power of the elders and of securing for them an easy support in their old age. Necessarily, the conditions described in the preceding paragraphs tend to die out with progress in culture, the mysteries may come to be no secret, and the proscribed classes may obtain admission at any rate as witnesses. Among the North American Indians, who are in this stage, the institution of initiation has as its central feature the lonely puberty watch of the candidate, who under the stress of fasting and mental effort dreams of an animal or spirit which thus becomes his guardian genius. Still, the fraternities which are associated with this stage evidently often perpetuate the principal religious beliefs and ceremonies of earlier conditions.

With the belief in the virtue of magic invariable among primitive peoples, it is not strange that magical fraternities should form about

5. Magical the rites of initiation, and that the Fraternities ceremonies should not seldom come

to have association with the purpose of securing success in hunting and agriculture. One of the fundamental ideas of initiation is correctness of one's status with respect to marriage (and therefore the obtaining of progeny). In primitive logic the step from this end to consideration of the means of living is a short one. Mimetic magic is resorted to for success in various undertakings, as in the buffalo dance of the Indians (G. Catlin, Report of Smithsonian Institution for 1885, ii. 309-311, Washington, 1886). And as deceased ancestors are supposed to have power for good or ill in the directions of increase of progeny and of the fruits of the chase and of toil, it is not strange that societies form around the cult of ancestors. In many societies the dead are regarded as members still active though unseen. Such organizations, in this way bound to the past yet actively interested in present welfare, become repositories of tradition, creators of secret ritual, and protectors of such rude poetic art as exists under such conditions. On the other hand, they may and do degenerate and become the centers of orgies and practises too horrible to describe, especially in Africa, where the worst results of this species of domination are found. In short, the phenomena attending the initiation into the mysteries among primitives illustrate both the noblest and the meanest qualities of humanity. They have contributed both to the uplift and to the degeneration of peoples, and exhibit the lofty and worthy aspirations of man as well as his most lamentable failings.

In the most primitive conditions and when tribes are migratory, no exact location other than some

6. The fixed for the ceremonies. In these circumstances it is usual for the bachelors and boys to camp apart from the

place where the families are settled for the time being. The rites are in a still more retired location, guarded from intrusion by the noise of the bull-roarer or other instrument, the sound of which indicates that the ceremonies are in progress. Where settled habitations are the rule, the separation of the sexes already referred to has brought about in many communities the establishment of the "men's house." This is usually the most conspicuous structure in the place, and admission to it is denied to the non-initiates, or at least to those not eligible to initiation. There the unmarried males may live, or at the most sleep, their separation from the women necessitating nonparticipation in family life. This house becomes the center and locus of the mysteries, and as development proceeds, societies and fraternities make it their home. With the multiplication of fraternities, there may be several of these houses in a community. This house serves the purpose also of council house, may answer the uses of the modern club, or may even become the center of defense in case of attack. Celebrations take place in or before it, and

to it news is brought which is of importance to the tribe. The area where the "men's house" is known to have existed within the modern period is essentially conterminous with the regions inhabited by primitive peoples in Asia, Oceanica, the New World, and rarely in Australia.

Insamuch as the reason for the existence of the mysteries is in general the induction of the pubescent youth into the rights and proper manner of performing the duties of manhood, 7. Methods there is involved preparation for marriage in certain ways deemed neces-

Initiation. sary by peoples in that stage of civilization. The particular methods depend upon the traditions, usages, and ideas of the tribe, group of tribes, or people. The practises that prevail imply two salient ideas: (1) the ordeal, involving much of severe pain, physical and mental, and suffering that may and sometimes does terminate fatally, while successful passing of the trial establishes the right of the candidate to admission to the ranks of warriors, or at least to such instruction as will fit him for that status; (2) instruction in the manner of performing the duties, religious and social, which the new position involves. often the ordeal involves mutilations which are permanent, and supposedly may serve the triple purpose of marks that prove the fact of initiation and the right to manhood's privileges, of testing the aspirant's courage and power to endure pain without complaint and even with indifference, and in the most common rite (that of circumcision) of fitting the candidate for the duties of marriage. At the time of initiation the boys are taken from the women and girls, occasionally assuming a particular garb indicative of their candidateship. They are conducted to the men's encampment or men's house (see above, § 6); in some cases the surrender of the boys by the women is the occasion of ceremonies that are dramatic and impressive, and emphasize the new status to which the boys aspire. After their separation the boys are instructed by precept and often by ceremonial, are told that they have passed from childhood and its ways, and that their place is henceforth with the men, from whom they are to receive the lessons in war or hunting or other duties which are to make them worthy members of society. The novice after initiation is supposed to be a new being. Quite generally his death and resurrection are dramatically represented. In the light of more developed institutions it is evident that this ceremonial is a crude way of expressing purification; the fundamental notion is not altogether foreign to the Pauline idea "dead to sin" (Rom. vi. 2). It is not impossible that under hypnotic influence the candidate actually believes that he has died and come again to life. The women either hold this belief or feign it. The candidates are daubed with filth, mud, powder, or gypsum, and the removal of this is symbolic of the casting off of that which had separated them from the full measure of manhood. Sometimes they are believed to pass away and to be reborn. Indeed, it is often startling to find the very arcana of Christianity anticipated in the rites and beliefs and even the words of Australian or primitive American savages. The

period of seclusion varies from a few days to a year, often on scanty, even repulsive, rations. The fact of the new birth or resurrection is signalized by the reception of a new and (it may be) secret name (this feature continues in the cultic mysteries; cf. also Rev. ii. 17 and often, for that book lays great emphasis upon the new name), and even by acquiring a new and mystic language. The initiates may pretend that they have lost all their former stock of knowledge. Over a large area, besides the mutilations already named, depilation, tattooing, painting, boring of nose, lip, or ear, loss of one or more teeth (generally incisors), scorching by fire, drinking of blood, or heavy floggings may serve as accompaniments. Especially is much made of the exhibition of certain paraphernalia, such as the instruments of noise and certain symbolic articles which vary in different surroundings, but may not be spoken of in mixed company.

The instruction during the period of seclusion is in general, even among the rudest tribes, of a character which must astonish by its salutariness those who suppose that with a high grade of civilization alone are developed the moralities, especially those

concerning sex and property. Alto-8. Educagether outside of what pertains to
every-day necessities (which in this
Value. type of society include besides the

ways of obtaining food by hunting and fishing, as well as its preparation, also the art and methods of war), there is the education of the boys in conduct toward women which is not a whit lower than is involved by standards of sexual morality in "enlightened" lands. By inculcation of sheer self-control a restraint upon indulgence is achieved which more pretentious grades of culture accomplish only through the seclusion of women. And the task of self-control is made the more difficult because of the system of taboo and the restrictions imposed by the rules which complicate the ideas of relationship and prevent intermarriage between certain classes within the tribe. So the candidate receives instruction regarding the choice of a wife which may legally be made, and is charged to keep strictly within those lines. He is cautioned against promiscuity and unchastity (though in a few regions the period of initiation is followed by a sort of orgy). He is taught the necessity of obedience to the elders, of fidelity to tribal obligations, is instructed in the geography of the tribal possessions and the necessity in the public interest of remaining within the tribal boundaries. The qualities of truthfulness, justness, honesty, generosity, kindness to the weak, filial regard, courage, good judgment are enjoined, while even the principle of eugenics from the viewpoint of tribal advantage is emphasized. Fidelity to the tribe is urged through the impartation of its history and its relations with other tribes, and the native games, songs, and dances (having religious purport); the secrets and obligations of the system of totems and taboos are also communicated. Through the advice coming from the elders around the camp-fire after the daily labors are ended, the admiration and regard of the youth are won, the feeling of brotherhood is fostered, and a sobering effect is produced. So pronounced are these effects

that taken together they almost warrant the fiction of a new birth. This course of instruction may continue over a considerable period—among the Masai of Africa until the age of forty. And the ceremonial has further value in that it requires legitimate membership in the tribe, the children of illegitimate intercourse not being eligible. It involves also a degree of economical forethought in that the parent must have sufficient property to contribute to the feast customary at the mysteries. Those who are barred by disabilities are placed in so inferior a position that the effects can hardly be appreciated by more advanced peoples. Loyalty to the elders and fellow tribesmen and self-interest combine to the perpetuation of the mysteries and the preservation of their secrets, while a useful tribal solidarity is not the least of the benefits. Qualities of real service in the way of character, amid much that is superstitious and harmful, even base, are fostered by this institution.

Impartial study of tribal mysteries, the merest outlines of which are sketched in the preceding paragraphs, makes clear that the entire 9. Influence social, religious, and political economy on Social of primitive life centers in them. They Develop- are responsible for the formation of ment. character in youth; the ideas then instilled control the domestic, social, and religious life of the adult. They are a strongly conservative force, based on a crude, empiric, yet often correct utilitarianism, which in many of its aspects is highly ethical. Individual and social morality are in the main their products. All this is true of even the crudest forms. The secret and magical fraternities into which the primary mysteries develop influence no less profoundly the three departments of human life and are potent in the evolution of the social organism. So that from a historical standpoint alone the subject is worthy of serious attention. When it comes to be seen that the Eleusinian, Orphic, and other mysteries which dominated so large a portion of Greek life,

II. Cultic Mysteries.—1. The Eleusinia: The typical mysteries of this sort are Greek. For a thorough appreciation of their importance and relations a prerequisite is knowledge of at least the

but elaborated and philosophised upon the central

ideas of the primitive variety, the historical impor-

tance of these primitive forms becomes still more

evident.

harest outlines of Greek religious history as the study of the last decade has revealed it. The knowledge of Greek religion common since the dominance of Christianity is founded upon

the pantheon of Homer and the mythology systematized by Hesiod. These were reflected in the writings known as the Greek classics and are the substance on which the official cults were founded. The Homeric deities are Aphrodite. Apollo, Ares, Artemis, Athene, Hephæstos, Hera, Poseidon, and Zeus, "king and ruler of gods and men." But there are constant reminders, in the mention of other deities, even in the classics, that these Homeric gods were not all in whom the Greeks believed. Recent investigation has made it clear that in the folk re-

now that the members of the Homeric pantheon were invaders, not indigenous among the darkhaired pre-Homeric Greeks, and that they were the objects of worship of the "fair-haired" hosts that beleaguered Troy. Before them there had come in other cults which had in some cases persisted, and there were indigenous nature deities whose worship and sacrifices the invaders adopted or appropriated, these latter taking over the cults and the shrines of the older gods, even though the sacrifices and the mode of worship were sometimes incongruous and even inappropriate according to common Greek ideas (as when Zeus, a heaven god, in two cases received the sacrifice of a pig, which was appropriate only to a chthonic or earth-god). These earlier deities were for the most part chthonic, their concern was the produce of the earth, and to the worship of these peasants and country folk clung with a persistency that even the gorgeous temples, stately worship, and high art inspired by the new gods could not shake. As in India after the decline of Buddhism the native faiths forced a compromise with the philosophic faith of Brahmanism. that resulted in Hinduism, so in Greece the control over the religious mind held by Cybele or Rhea, by Demeter, Persephone, or Ge, by Dionysus and Leto and Selene not only held firm, but in some cases forced recognition by the State. It was in connection with this group of deities, to whom must be added the prophet Orpheus, that the cultic mysteries were observed. And that the mysteries in which these deities were the foci of attention existed practically throughout the Greek world is susceptible of proof. During several centuries immediately preceding the Christian era they were syncretized or diluted or adulterated by ruder elements brought in from Asia Minor or Crete or Thrace, in all of which regions orginstic and primitive ceremonies seem to have been cultivated with an abandon that removed them but little if at all from savage rites. But the distinction between the Greek cultic mystery and the tribal celebration is, in large, that the former crystallise about personal deities, and these deities are chthonic or concerned with the fruits of the earth (Lenormant, in Contemporary Review, 1880, i. 848-849). The deities that stand out in this relation are the "Great Mother" of Asia Minor, who takes form in Greece in, e.g., Demeter and Kore, and, among male gods, Dionysus, lord of the grape and its blood-red juice."

ligion, which had not the prestige of the state cults,

these other deities had a large part. It is proved

It may be taken as proved, however, that the Greek mysteries of the historical period are to be traced to clan celebrations probably of the same character as those described in the first part of this discussion. That the clan organization, if not upon a totemic basis at least with totemic accompaniments, existed in Greece in the prehistoric period and that it left observances which survived in the historic period are axiomatic for comparative religionists. And this clan organization implies the mystic initiation. The association of the clan mysteries with definite deities presents no difficulties. The development of ghosts into demi-

gods and of spirits into great deities are well-known phenomena; the centers of crystallization were furnished by foreign gods brought in with the earlier migrations. In such cases as the Eleusinian mysteries (which will be taken as the typical example here), the focusing upon Demeter and Kore is explained by the elements of the myth itself—in the narrative of a period of unfruitfulness followed by a return of harvest attributed to the goddess. The adoption is precisely parallel to the acclaim of Yahweh by Israel after the passage of the Red Sea and the defeat of the Amalekites. The early local character of the mysteries celebrated at Eleusis (12 m. n.w. of Athens) is attested by a large number of facts, the most prominent of which is the performance of the principal rites ("greater mysteries") at Eleusis while only the preliminary rites ("lesser mysteries") were performed at Athens. Moreover, this latter celebration was instituted almost certainly after the subjection of Eleusis to Athens in the seventh century B.C., and was clearly a political move to afford the suzerain city a share in the popular observances and to foster local pride. Almost as decisive a proof is the hereditary transmission of the principal functions in the mysteries and the restriction of knowledge of the higher secrets to certain families of Eleusis, the Eumolpidz, Triptolemidze, and Diocletidze, and to these were given a heroic or semi-divine ancestry. Other indications of derivation from primitive puberty nites are the requirements of adultship in the candidates, as well as (in early times) of local citizenship, and (in all times) of legitimacy of birth; here also are to be placed the retention among the sacra of implements originally magical (so far as the reports of the sacra are to be trusted), the early meaning of which was lost while a palpably secondary and more philosophical symbolism was read into them.

The facts adduced, and a number of others, warrant selection of the Eleusinia as illustrative and typical of this type of rites. Significant are not only the evident ancestry, and a tendency to syncretism, but also the esteem in which

3. Reti-mates of the Eleusinia. they were held, their duration through-out a millennium of history, and the abiding secrecy which veiled the proceedings. How highly they were regarded is witnessed by a series of testimonies. Thus Pausanias says (V., x. 1): "There is nothing on which the blessing of God rests in so full measure as the rites of Eleusis and the Olympic games "; Pindar (ed. C. J. T. Mommsen, p. 470, Berlin, 1864) declares: "O happy one, who goes beneath the hollow earth having witnessed these (mysteries)! he indeed knows the issues of life"; Sophocles remarks (as cited by Plutarch, Quomodo adolescens, iii.): "Thrice blessed the mortals who, having contemplated these mysteries, have descended to Hades; for those only will there be a future life [of happiness], the others will find there nothing but suffering"; and the Homeric Hymn to Demeter reads: "Happy he among mortal men who hath beheld these things! he that is uninitiate, and hath no lot in them, hath never equal lot in death beneath the murky gloom ' (Andrew Lang, Homeric Hymns, p. 210, London, 1899). The history can be traced from Pindar and

the Homeric Hymns in the seventh century B.C. to 396 A.D.; the mysteries survived the edicts of the Christian emperors, but the monks who accompanied Alaric to Attica in 396 secured the destruction of the temples and buildings at Eleusis in which the mystic drama had its home. For the continuance of the secrecy there are in evidence not only the still dense ignorance respecting the ritual and the fact that what little is known is the result of patient gleaning from every available source covering a millennium of Greek and Roman literature (best gathered in C. A. Lobeck, Aglaophamus, Regensburg, 1829), but also the explicit testimony of Gregory Nazianzen: "Eleusis knows as well as the witnesses the secret of this spectacle (the drama), which is with reason kept so profound" ("Oration XXXIX. On the Holy Lights," in NPNF, 2 ser., vii., 353).

The myth which lay at the base of the Eleusinia as celebrated in the historical period was that Kore, 4. The Kore daughter of Demeter, was seized while myth. gathering flowers and carried away by Myth. Hades, king of the lower world, Zeus conniving at the deed. Demeter wandered disconsolate over the earth seeking knowledge of her daughter, and at last was told by Helios, who alone had seen the rape, what had been done; after nine days' wandering she arrived at Eleusis in the guise of an old woman, where she seated herself by the sacred spring. She was kindly received by Celeus, king of the place, but declined refreshment in the shape of wine, directing, however, preparation of the kykeon—a compound of meal and water flavored with crushed mint, with which she broke her long fast. She became nurse to the infant son of Celeus, whom by daily anointing with ambrosial ointment and nightly baths of fire she intended to make immortal. But the mother was suspicious, spied on the goddess, was terrified at sight of the flames, and, crying out, foiled the purpose of Demeter. The latter then revealed herself, directed a temple to be built in her honor, and in this took up her dwelling; she then inaugurated the mysteries, the conduct of which she taught to the families of Eumolpus, Triptolemus, and Diocles, directing them ever to keep secret the knowledge imparted in the ceremonies from all but initiates (Arnobius, "Against the Heathen," v. 25, ANF, vi. 499; A. Lang, ut sup., pp. 209-210). Still she mourned her daughter, and in sympathy the earth refused its fruits, till the extinction of the race of men and discontinuation of offerings to the gods were threatened. Zeus then sent Hermes to the lower world to release Kore and have her brought back to earth. Hades had, however, prevailed upon the maiden to eat a pomegranate seed, and, having eaten, she was bound to return thither, though a season of dwelling upon earth was permitted. So maid and mother were reunited at Eleusis, and the earth once more became fruitful (for a parallel to this myth see Tammuz-Adonis, § 4; for the descensus ad inferos see Sun AND SUN WORSHIP, II., § 7). Eumolpus was accredited with the actual establishment of the ceremonies, and in his family remained the chief places in the conduct of the mysteries. The natural objects in Eleusis made sacred by the visit of Demeter

were the hill where the shrine was erected, and the spring Callichoros shaded by the olive-tree under which Demeter rested. Into the myth as related above there were gradually woven Dionysiac and Orphic elements, which yet never obscured, as they did elsewhere, the local motif.

The myth is evidently etiological; a dearth may have been the occasion of the introduction of the Demeter and Kore elements that covered the more primitive rationale of the earlier clan rites. What seems to have escaped the attention of observers is the discord between myth and ceremonial. The former relates the reunion in the autumn of maid and mother—the season of harvest and of sowing of winter grain. The disappearance of Kore is by common consent the sowing of the seed corn, and this reappears (comes from the underworld) in its green sprouts in the spring, and spring, according to all analogy, should be the time of reunion of mother and daughter. Moreover, harvest offerings were, according to epigraphic evidence, a part of the involved ritual at Eleusis. The myth was, therefore, forced into connection with the Eleusinia, was superimposed upon the old clan ceremonies, just as the Dionysiac-Iacchic-Orphic elements later came in upon the whole.

As already indicated, the Eleusinia consisted of the "lesser" and the "greater" mysteries. The former were celebrated at Athens and served as the preliminary degree or preparation for

5. Lesser the greater or real initiation; they

Eysteries. were sacred to Kore and Dionysus,
while the greater were sacred to De-

meter and Kore. The time of the lesser is in doubt, being either in the month Anthesterion (February-March), or in Elaphebolion (March-April); the days were the twentieth to the twenty-first. The place was Agra or Agri, a suburb of Athens, near the spring Callirhoe, where was a temple to Demeter and Persephone (Kore). The memory of the purely supplementary origin of the lesser mysteries is preserved in the legend that they were instituted in honor of Herakles, who wished to be initiated, but could not as his visit to Athens did not coincide with the season of the observance; besides, one not a citizen could not take the greater initiation, and foreigners were allowed to take the lesser degree. The observance then became preliminary to the final ceremonies. Little is known of the rites, though it is certain that the central thought, as of the greater, was purification, there being several marks of that proceeding, fasting (abstention from fowl, certain kinds of fish, beans, pomegranates, and apples), continence, and lustration on the banks of the Ilyssos River (cf. Eusebius, Prarparatio Erangelica, III., i., Eng. transl., i. 91, Oxford, 1903). The candidates received instruction from the mystagogue (preceptor for the occasion) in the needful matters; this possibly included the Eleusinian version of the myth concerning the principal deities, and may have embraced the Iacchic-Dionysiac corruptions. Certainly the methods of purification were taught, also the dietary restrictions and taboos and the kind and order of sacrifices.

The greater mysteries were divided between Athens and Eleusis, which places were connected

by the "sacred way" along which processions passed, with shrines at frequent intervals which had significance for the celebration. The 6. Greater time was the month Boedromion, the Mysteries: season of harvest for late fruits, but Ceremonies. concerning the exact dates and the order of the rites there are considerable differences among the authorities. For three of the dates there is epigraphic evidence which fixes the days for certain ceremonies. The actual opening of the celebration was preceded perhaps two months earlier by the proclamation of the sacred heralds announcing the solemn truce between warring states, in order that would-be participants might travel in safety. The dates fixed by inscriptions (Corpus inscriptionum Atticarum, III., 5) are the thirteenth, on which Athenian epheboi proceeded to Eleusis to escort the sacra, which in procession were brought by priestesses to Athens on the fourteenth, and on the nineteenth were returned to Eleusis, where they were kept till the next year. The order of events was probably the following. On the fifteenth came the gathering (agyrmos) of the mystæ (those who had taken the lesser mysteries) at the Stoa Poikile in Athens, and the address (prorrhēsis) by the hierophant (the principal actor in the mysteries), while the herald warned away the defiled and profane, murderers, traitors, and the like, as well as non-Greeks (cf. the parody in Aristophanes' "Frogs," 354). On the sixteenth was the essential and great purification known technically as halade mysta, "to the sea, ye mystai," when the candidates proceeded to the seashore, each carrying the pig which was his sacrifice (the one usual to chthonic gods), and this with himself he purified by bathing. The seventeenth seems to have been the day when the archon-basileus offered at Athens the great soteria sacrifice to Demeter and Kore; the eighteenth was apparently devoted to private sacrifices, these two constituting the Epidauria, an accretion of the fifth century. On the nineteenth the sacra were returned to Eleusis. On the night of the nineteenth or early on the morning of the twentieth took place the great procession of the purified mystæ, wearing myrtle crowns and carrying torches (the torch is usually a symbol of underworld deities such as Kore had become), and the entire day was consumed and far into the night in traversing the sacred way, stops being made for sacrifice and worship at the numerous shrines. This procession escorted also the myrtle-crowned image of the young Iacchus (the Bacchus of the Eleusinia, son of Zeus and Demeter. identified also with Dionysus) attended by two priestesses who bore the liknon (fan, cradle) and playthings, all to the accompaniment of the joyous cry lacche ("O Iacchus"), songs, clashing of cymbals, blowing of trumpets, and dancing.

The twentieth (or twenty-first; from this point the dates are in uncertainty) was possibly the day of the offering of first-fruits to Demeter (C. F. W. Dittenberger, Syllogs inscriptionum Gracarum,

This day had distinction as the real beginning of

the mysteries-another of the many facts which

mark the performances at Athens as secondary

and additional.

p. 13, Leipsic, 1883), as well as of sacrifices to other deities, demigods, and the Charites. The two nights

following were almost certainly the 7. The nights of initiation and of the presen-Mysteries tation of the mystic drama, when the Proper. mystæ shared the mourning of Demeter and her subsequent joy, visited the spots consecrated, according to the story, by the experiences of the goddess, and then, like her, broke their fast by drinking the kykeon (see above, II., 1, § 4), the chief sacrament of the festival. The two nights of the drama seem to represent two degrees of initiation, the second possibly taken after a year's interval, full initiates being known as epoptæ, the term indicating evidently that they had seen and (according to the formula given by Clement of Alexandria) handled the sacra. The day following seems to have been a day of games, at which the prize was a measure of new barley, the firstfruits from the sacred field of Demeter near by. The Eleusinia closed with the return of the mystee to Athens in procession bearing the statue of lacchus, two final events marking the entry. The first was the passing of the bridge of the Kephissos, the mystee and the spectators bandying jests, sometimes ribald and perhaps obscene (an addition probably after the admission of Dionysus to a share in the honors; certainly not original); and the pouring of two libations of water at the gate of Athens, most likely one to the East (the place of sunrise and the heavenly gods) and the other to the West (the place of sunset and of the entrance to the underworld). On the next day, the ceremonies being closed, the Athenian senate met to hear the report of the officials concerning the celebration and to try offenders who had offered profanation. There are very clear indications that the celebration was in

of the senate.

The matters given in the preceding paragraphs constitute in the main the externals only, and except for the purifications and sacrifices do not deal with the concerns which gave to the mysteries

the latest period prolonged for two or three days,

thus deferring by that period the day of assembling

their significance and their value. 8. Resentials and These externals were not closed to any citizens as spectators, women as well Sacra. as men attending the processions and other rites. The secrecy began with the performances which followed the arrival of Iacchus at Eleusis. The essentials there consisted of four series of acts: katharsis or purification, sustasis or rites and sacrifices preliminary to initiation (both these open to the public as spectators); teleute or initiation, and epopleia or sight of the sacred objects (these only for candidates and initiates). In the epopteia are doubtless included the viewing of the sacred drama and the sight and handling of the sacra. Scattered cryptic references indicate that the drama included startling transformations effected by sudden transitions from darkness to intense light, while the actors reproduced the scenes of the myth, especally the reappearance of Kore from the underworld and the actions of the other divinities in the myth. The keynotes of all the proceedings were

purification, consecration, and hope for the future both in this life and the next. Concerning the secret rites only a few details are known from incidental allusions in literature and from the excavations at Eleusis, the latter clearing up much concerning the possibilities of the telesterion or hall of initiation. It is a Christian Father, Clement of Alexandria ("Exhortation to the Heathen," chap. ii., in ANF, ii. 175-177; cf. Harrison, Prolegomena, ut sup., pp. 155, 158), who gives the "token" (symbol) by which the initiate proved his adeptship: "I fasted, I drank the kykeon, I took from the chest, I put into the basket and back from the basket into the chest"; or "I ate from the timbrel, I drank from the cymbal, I carried the kernos, I passed beneath the pastos." The meaning of the first two clauses in the first of these formulas is clear; the cryptic character of the rest is evident. But one can not doubt that certain articles were taken out of a chest, and for the time placed in a basket until all had been handled and then returned to the chest. Doubtless the mystagogue explained during the process the symbolical significance of the articles; but what these were is practically unknown. For while certain articles used in the mysteries are spoken of in the classics, in Clement of Alexandria, and in the earlier treatises on antiquities (such as Athenæus, "Banquet," xi. 52-56) and dictionaries, in each case there is doubt whether they belonged to the Eleusinia or to some of the numerous mysteries of the Greek world. With the utmost probability one of the articles was an ear of barley. Another, the kernos, is nearly as certain, and while it has been explained as a winnowing fan, it is now known from excavations to have designated a composite cup (Harrison, Prolegomena, ut sup., pp. 158-160)-a platter with a number of little cups attached which held cereals, perhaps honey, and other materials, symbolic of the gifts of Demeter. Clement (ut sup.) tabulates the articles taken from the chest as "sesame, cakes, pyramidal cakes, globular and flat cakes embossed all over, lumps of salt, and a serpent, . . . pomegranates, branches, rods, ivy leaves, . . . poppy seeds, . . . the unmentionable symbols of Themis, marjoram, a lamp, a sword, a woman's comb, which is a euphemism and mystic expression for the muliebra." But Clement may have confused these articles with things that were employed in the mysteries of the great mother of Asia Minor.

The sacerdotal functionaries who conducted or took part were the hierophantes of the Eumolpis 9. Officials. family, who conducted the initiations and uttered the sacred sayings in which the revelations were made. They were assisted by the daduchoi, who seem also to have been Eumolpidæ. These grades seem to have included both sexes. Other officers were the lacchogos, kourotrophos (nurse) and dairites, who officiated in the Iacchic procession. The liknophoros bore the liknon (winnowing fan? or was it another name for the kernos?), explained by some as the article used as the cradle of the infant Iacchos. Hydranoi purified with water the candidates, pyrophoroi maintained the sacred fires, hieraules were sacred flutists

who trained the chorus of hymnodoi or hymnotreis, neckoroi attended to the sacred furniture, and plaidryntai cared for the divine statues. There were also panageis (office unknown), "initiated of the altar"—children chosen by lot at Eleusis to perform expiatory or avertive rites, hieropoioi offered the sacrifices, and the archon-basileus supervised the whole. The sacerdotal families had in their hands the many affairs pertaining to the regulation of the mysteries, and controlled the civil status of members of the Eleusinian families. The rules of observance were probably written and kept for reference; this is known to have been the case at Pheneus (Pausanias, VIII., xv. 1), where a stone crypt preserved them. While at Eleusis the mysteries were official and yearly, others said to be identical with these were observed elsewhere at greater intervals, e. g., at Celese every third year (Pausanias, II., xiv. 1), and at Pheneus every second year (ib., VIII., xv. 1).

Of the great influence of the Eleusinia over the Greeks for a millennium there can be no doubt. The

basis of this innuence, in the secrety which covers the teaching, of which almost nothing is known, basis of this influence, in the face of can only be inferred. Greeks were in temperament undogmatic. The "formula of confession," as some have called Clement's "token" (ut sup.), is not a statement of belief, but an affirmation that certain actions have been performed. The essentials, apart from the purifications and sacrifices done in public, were symbolical; they consisted in certain articles, probably insignificant in themselves, and in such actions as taking these things from a chest and putting them back. So far as one can learn, there was no teaching of dogma. But the total impression left by the Eleusinia is that of solemnity. The implications of lewdness suggested by Clement are not confirmed by archeology. Demeter herself is an impressive figure—a tender mother, sorrowing for a daughter snatched from her by powers whom she could reach only indirectly. In her sorrow the earth shared, as later it partook of her joy when her daughter was for a season restored to her. No finer or more chaste statue exists, and none more pathetic, than the scated mourning Demeter. And when in the myth Kore is given back to her, there is no hint of orgies, only the grateful joy which spends itself in the renewal of the bountiful soil's gifts to man. That in the later and other forms of mysteries, which Clement confused in his polemic, there were shameful features is true. But nothing that is known of the Eleusinia proper carries such a suggestion. Instead, the one expression of teaching that peeps out through the reil of obscurity is the hope so needed in tireek religion—that the future life was to be made happier because of participation in the "Demeter . . . bestowed on us two mysteries. priceless gifts: the cultivation of the fruits of the earth . . . and the revenuency which brings to the initiated the sweetest consolution at death and the hope of eternity " (lawrates " l'anegyries" cited by Philips Element pp. 41-42, Launhan, 1908). Cirero and others might be quoted to the same effect. Granting the truth of this, one great reason

for reverence for the Eleusinia is evident. Moreover, much as Christian pilgrims sought and believed they found the favor of God by visiting the Holy Land and traveling the roads trodden by the Savior's feet, so the mystæ thought to secure the goddess's favor by visiting the scenes where she sorrowed and then found joy. Add to these the sense of moral and religious relief brought by the purifications of fasting and lustration, and little more of explanation is needed to justify, from the standpoint of the old religions, the high estimation in which the Eleusinia were held throughout the Greek world and in the Roman.

2. Dionysiac-Orphic Mysteries: Of a very different type from the Eleusinia were the Dionysiac-Orphic mysteries, which from the fifth century B.c. on invaded and pervaded popular Greek religion.

The character of the god and of the 1. Charac- man from whom these derived their ter of Dionames furnish clues to the character nysiac Celebration. of the observances. Dionysus (Bacchus) was not in the Homeric panchus) theon, but by the beginning of the sixth century he had scaled Olympus. He was of Thracian origin, in all probability the deity of the Satræ (who gave their name to satyrs—Harrison, ut sup., p. 379) or the Bessi, a mountain tribe which had the reputation of being the worst of brigands, living on Mt. Hæmus (Strabo, vii. 318, and Fragment 25), which yielded in religion or politics to no conqueror till Nicetas of Remesiana (q.v.) at the end of the fourth century won them for Christianity (Paulinus of Nola, Carmen, xxx.). The traditional origin of Dionysus from Thebes (as in the Tyrannus of Sophocles) is an attempt to give this foreign god, who had been received into the pantheon, a native origin. His late arrival in Greece is avouched in the prologue to the Baccha of Euripides: "Now I come to Hellas, having taught all the world else my dances and my rite of mysteries" (Harrison, ut sup., p. 371). This statement involves the fact, which could be abundantly attested, that the Dionysiac ceremonies had spread widely, partly in consequence of northern (Thracian) migration in two streams, one via Macedonia to the Greek peninsula, and the other into Asia Minor and thence east and south, having meanwhile assimilated much from the mysteries of the Great Mother for which Asia Minor was celebrated. The names and epithets by which this god was known encyst the facts of his origin, his wanderings, and his nature. "Sabazios" bespeaks Thrace and Phrygia, and contains in itself the idea of sleep brought on by cobuium, a fermented drink made of grain. " Bromice" has a Theban ring which expresses confused sounds as the rumbling of thunder, or of the mob, or of orginstic music-the noise of the rout. And this fits in with and is used in connection with the myth that Dionysus came untimely to birth when his mother Semele (an earth deity) was smitten with the lightning of Zeus. He was also "Dendrittee" the "tree-god," and then specialized as design of the grape and of wine. Similarly as "Dithyrambee" he suggests the heady mead made of honey. Many other titles might be cited to the same purport were these not sufficient to reveal his

nature. All along the line the evidences are those of a deity of the cup; among ruder tribes the drink is a ruder and more primitive ferment—of grain or honey or roots, while among the more civilized it is the juice of the grape. From this the character of his mysteries might be guessed, but other evidence is at hand. For in art and poetry his companions are the satyrs, half man, half horse, "idle, disreputable, and vicious," who "sport and play and harry women," and Mænads, wild women, who, being entheor ("god-possessed"), work strange deeds, rage and rave and play with serpents, whose worship was the ecstatic dance leading to physical exhaustion, and their festivals disorder and excess. Hence it is that with probable justice the more boisterous elements of the later Eleusinia, such as the tilt of ribald jests at the bridge, are traced to the influence of Dionysus, who stands always for intoxication, orgy, and religious frenzy. Yet it should in justice be noted that these are not in themselves the objects, but rather the means by which his worshipers become possessed ("inspired" would be the theological term) by the god. There is the "Estasy" (q.v.).

Opheus, also of Thracian origin, never attained

to godship, he always remained human. Diodorus (iii. 65) brings him into connection with Dionysus in a twofold manner: explicitly as the grandson 2. Signifi-favor, Dionysus taught his rites; and implicitly in that Oiagros, son of Charops and father of Orpheus, handed Orpheus. those rites on to his son, who (and this is important)
"made many changes in them." The usual conception of Orpheus stops with his fame as a musician. This has importance, indeed, even for the mystenes; but it is as a religious reformer that Orpheus has most interest in this connection. This fact is brought out in the story of his death, which relates that he honored Helios above Dionysus, and the latter sent his Bassarids (Thracian bacchanals) against him, and they tore him in pieces and scattered the remnants (Eratosthenes, Katasterismoi, xiv.). The Muses gathered these together and buried them, but the head, entombed at Lesbos, continued to sing and to utter oracles. The historic kernel here is doubtless the martyrdom of Orpheus at the hands of Dionysiac mystics because as a reformer of the mysteries he did Dionysus too little honor. It is also deducible from the story and is supported by other data that Orpheus was a prophet and religious teacher; Pausanias (IX., xxx. 12) says that he was credited with discovering rites of the gods, purifications for unholy acts, remedies for sicknesses, and means of turning away the divine wrath (cf. Aristophanes, "Frogs," 1032; Augustine, "City of God," xviii. 14, in NPNF, 1 ser., ii. 368). The significant facts in all this are (1) that the wild orginstic rites of Dionysus, celebrated especially in wooded gorges on the mountains by choruses of ecstatic women, were revised by Orpheus; (2) that this revision took the forms of (a) a sobering down of the orginstic—the muse of Orpheus is never pictured as stirring and exciting, but as entrancing and quieting,* and (b) of engrafting upon the rites a loftier spiritual meaning.

Three particulars in Orphism are noteworthy: (1) it introduced more thoroughly into Greek religion the principle of asceticism (in the shape of 3. Orphic
Teachings.

abstinence, opposing thereby incidentally the drunken cups of Dionysus)
than was otherwise done—the idea was that of good works, a holy life; (2) it either borrowed from Egypt (or India?) or independently evolved the conception of the samsara or cycle of births, reincarnation, and release therefrom by abstinence, plus purification in the mysteries and the holy life; (3) it either (more probably) adopted the Egyptian idea of identification of the soul after death with a deity, or itself independently created it. But the foundation-thought in this was the attainment of purity. So Euripides makes Theseus, the hater of the self-righteous and of mystics, taunt the Orphic adept: "Boast, now! Thou so holy that no flesh where life hath been feeds thee who hast Orpheus for thy king" (Hippolytus, 952-953). Similarly in the confession of the mystic quoted from Euripides by Porphyry (De abstinentia, iv. 19; cf. the passage in Harrison, ut sup., p. 479) the adept is "set free and named by name a Bacchus of the mailed priests, robed in pure white, clean from man's birth and coffined clay (i.e., from the pollutions both of birth and death), while from his lips is ever banished touch of meat where life hath been." It is quite certain that Orphism involved also the habit of self-examination, probably after the pattern of the Pythagoreans: "What that is wrong have I done? What good deed is mine? And what that I should have I not accomplished?"

travagant from the rites, the testimonies are too many and too explicit to hide the fact that in the background of the system mary. lurked rites that were disgusting and repellent. Among these were the sacrificial eating of raw, even of living, flesh of bull or goat (cf. Com-PARATIVE RELIGION, VI., 1, d. § 1), and with great probability a rite that recalled the earlier eating of the flesh of a child (cf. Journal of Hellenic Studies, 1890, p. 343; and for the orginstic ritual and indications of this feast of raw flesh cf. Plutarch, De oraculorum defectu, xiv.; Clement of Alexandria, "Exhortation,"ii., in ANF, ii. 175-176; Arnobius, "Against the Heathen," v. 19-23, in ANF, vi. 497-498; Firmicus Maternus, De errore profanarum religionum, vi.). How far these survived in the historic period is doubtful. That they were mimicked if not actually carried out is beyond question. And that in more retired regions the mysteries concealed not merely crudities (Plato, Republic, 364 B; Heraclitus, Fragment 130) but savageries is true. Still, even in the recrudescence of primitive rites in the

(Diogenes Laertius, "Life of Pythagoras," xix.).

In spite of Orphic attempts to eliminate the ex-

Greco-Roman world that took place, having their

starting-point in Asia Minor, 200 B.C.-200 A.D.,

^{*}The inscription found at the Iobaccheion at Athens gives as a direction for the performance: "No one is to make a noise, or clap his hands, or sing, but each is to do his part in all quietness and order" (text and translation conveniently given in Harrison, ut sup., pp. 474—475).

there was evidence of a dissatisfaction with the state religion, a waking of the soul to life and of a desire for nobler things, which was in a manner met by the acceptance and symbolic interpretation of primitive performances. In this movement the mysteries described above had the leading part. But other secret cults in considerable numbers had their vogue, some merely local, others (like those of the Great Mother) pervasive, and still others the invention of mountebanks, intent upon using the trend of things in the religious world to their own advantage. Of the first and second, part were associated with the deities already named. Others, like the Pythagorean and Isiac, were on a different basis. But together the effect upon religion was profound, and was by no means unfelt in Christianity (cf. G. Anrich, Das antike Mysterienwesen in seinem Einfluss auf das Christentum, Göttingen, 1894; E. H. Hatch, Influence of Greek Ideas and Usages upon the Christian Church, London, 1890). Greek tragedians and philosophers were hardly less under the spell of these performances and ideas. So that the mysteries, tribal and cultic, are among the forces the vast effects of which are only now beginning to GEO. W. GILMORE. be appreciated.

Bibliography: For tribal mysteries incomparably the best works for the student are those which deal with the life of savages in different lands, compiled by competent observers. Among the best and indispensable works of this kind are: L. Fison and A. W. Howitt, Kamilaroi and Kurnai, Melbourne, 1880; R. H. Codrington, Melanesian Studies, London, 1891; A. Hamilton, Maori Art, Wellington, 1896; B. Spencer and F. J. Gillen; Native Tribes of Central Australia, London, 1899; idem, Northern Tribes of Central Australia, ib. 1904; F. H. Cushing, Zuni Folk Tales, New York, 1902; W. H. Furness, Borneo Head Hunters, London, 1902; A. W. Howitt, Native Tribes of South-East Australia, ib. 1904; Mrs. K. L. Parker, Euahlayi Tribe, ib. 1905; and the Reports and Bulletins of the Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D. C. The material has been brought together in two books of the highest value: H. Schurtz, Altersklassen und Mannerbunde, Berlin, 1902; and H. Webster, Primitive Secret Societies, a Study in Early Politics and Religion, New York, 1908 (an excellent handbook on the subject). Consult further: E. B. Tylor, in Journal of Anthropological Studies, xxviii (1898), 145 sqq.; idem, Primitive Culture, new ed., London, 1903; J. G. Frazer, Golden Bough, iii, 422-445, ib. 1900; E. Crawley, Mystic Rose, pp. 215-223, 270-314, New York, 1902; G. S. Hall, Adolescence, ii. 232-260, ib. 1904.

On Greek Mysteries the work of Miss Harrison cited so frequently in the text is of prime importance, aducing evidence which is frequently unique. Consult further: C. A. Lobeck, Aglaophamus, Regensburg, 1829 (indispensable for the collection of materials from the classics); L. Preller, Demeter und Persephone, Hamburg, 1837; idem, Griechische Mythologie, ed. C. Robert, Berlin, 1894; F. Lenormant, Monographie de la voie sacrée eleusinienne, Paris, 1864; idem, in Contemporary Review, 1880, i. 847 sqq., ii. 119 sqq., 412 sqq.; A. Mommsen, Heortologie, Leipsic, 1864; C. Strube, Ueber den Bilderkreis von Eleusis, Leipsic, 1870; C. S. Wake, Evolution of Morality, ii., chap. vi., London, 1878; W. Mannhardt, Mythologische Forschungen, Strasburg, 1884; H. Junker, Die Studenwachen in den Osirismysterien nach den Inschriften von Dendera, Edfu, und Phila, Vienna, 1890; L. Dyer, Gods in Greece, pp. 174–218, London, 1891; P. Gardner, New Chapters in Greek Hist., ib. 1892; H. Rubensohn, Die Mysterienheiligtümer in Eleusis und Samothrace, Berlin, 1892; A. Dieterich, Nekyia, Leipsic, 1893 (important); P. Foucart, Recherches sur l'origine et la nature des mystères d'Eleusis, Paris, 1895 (of very considerable value); E. Maass, Orpheus, Munich, 1895; D. Phillos, Eleusis, ses mystères, ses ruines, et son musée, Athens, 1896, Eng. transl., Eleusis, her Mysteries, Ruins, and Museum, London, 1906 (the treatment of the mysteries is rather su-

perficial); T. Mommsen, Die Feste der Stadt Athen, Leipsic, 1898; A. Lang, Myth, Ritual, and Religion, i. 276 sqq., ii. 286 sqq., London, 1899; idem, Homeric Hymns, pp. 55-100, 183-210, ib. 1899; G. D'Alviella, in RHR, xlvi (1902), nos. 2 and 3, xlvii (1903), nos. 1 and 2; idem, Eleusinia, Paris, 1903; E. Rohde, Psyche, 3d ed., Tübingen, 1902 (indispensable); O. Gruppe, Griechische Mythologie und Religionsgeschichte, Munich, 1906; R. Reitzenstein, Die hellenistischen Mysterienreligion, ihrs Grundgedanken und Wirkungen, Leipsic, 1910; F. Cumont, Oriental Religions in Roman Paganism, Chicago, 1911; Ersch and Gruber, Encyklopädie, I., xxxiii. 268-296, lxxxii. 219-380.

TRIBES, HEBREW. See ISRAEL, HISTORY OF, I.

TRIBUR, SYNOD OF: A synod held early in May, 895, at Tribur (12 m. w.n.w. of Darmstadt) in the presence of King Arnulf, and attended by the archbishops of Cologne, Mainz, and Treves, and twenty-six or twenty-seven bishops. It is chiefly noteworthy as marking a closer relation between Arnulf and the higher clergy; for, while a large number of its enactments referred to the restoration of ecclesiastical discipline, a series of important canons bound the king to make sweeping concessions to the higher clergy. The synod was also important as further strengthening the judicial powers of the Curia, to which it enjoined subjection and obedience, even though the yoke should prove heavy. Almost two centuries later (Oct., 1076) a second assembly met at Tribur, at which the secular princes combined with a great portion of the clergy and the Curia against the emperor, subjecting Henry IV. to Gregory VII., and requiring him to appear at Augsburg on Feb. 2, 1077, to receive the verdict of the pope, with the threat that, if he did not purge himself of the ban within a year from the pronouncement of excommunication, he should irrevocably forfeit the empire. The result was Canossa (see Gregory VII.). (D. KERLER†.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: For the Acta consult NA, xiv. 49-82, 281-326, xv. 411-427, xviii. 365-409. xx. 289-352; MGH, Cap., ii. 196-249. Consult also: E. L. Dümmler, Geschichte des ostfränkischen Reichs, iii. 395-404; Hefele, Concüliengeschichte, iv. 552-561.

TRIDENTINE PROFESSION OF FAITH (CREED OF PIUS IV.): For practical purposes the most important creed-statement of the Roman Catholic Church. The original name was Forma professionis fidei Catholica, or orthodoxa fidei. It was preceded by three other professions of faith issued by Pius IV.: that of 1556 in thirty-six articles; that of 1560, intended for prelates; and that of 1563. The decrees of the Council of Trent (q.v.) contain no profession, but in the twenty-fourth session such a form was suggested. This was prepared by a commission of cardinals under the direction of Pius IV. in 1564. It must be subscribed or sworn to by all priests and public teachers of that church, and also by Protestant converts (hence called the " Profession of converts"). It was solemnly affirmed during the Vatican Council of 1870 at its second session. It is a very clear and precise summary of the specific doctrines of the Roman Church as settled by the Council of Trent, put in the form of a binding oath of obedience to the pope, as the successor of the prince of the apostles, and the vicar of Christ. It consists of twelve articles of which the first runs as follows:

"I,—, with a firm faith believe and profess all and every one of the things contained in that creed which the hely Roman Church makes use of, vis.:

"I believe in one Charlette Roder to Be and the Roder to

I believe in one God, the Father Almighty," etc. (Here follows the Nicene Creed.)

In the following ten articles the candidate accepts (1) all the conditions and ordinances of the Roman Catholic Church; (2) the interpretation put upon the Scriptures by that church and no other; (3) the seven sacraments and the mode of their administration taught by the church; (4) every article and statement made by the Council of Trent concerning original sin and justification; (5) the doctrine of transubstantiation and the sacnificial nature of the mass; (6) the bread and the wine as each containing the whole Christ; (7) the invocation of saints, the worship of relics, and the doctrine of purgatory, and that the suffrages of the living avail for the souls there confined; (8) the worship of images and the virtue of indulgences; (9) the supremacy of the Roman Church and the authority of the bishop of Rome as the successor of St. Peter and the vicar of Jesus Christ; and (10) the condemnation, rejection, and anathematisation of everything contrary to the decrees of the general councils as well as all heresies rejected by the church. The last article contains a most solemn adjuration, and runs as follows:

"I do, at this present, freely profess and truly hold this true Catholic faith, without which no one can be saved; and I promise most constantly to retain and confess the same entire and inviolate, with God's assistance, to the end of my life. And I will take care, as far as in me lies, that it shall be held, taught, and preached by my subjects, or by those the care of whom shall appertain to me in my office. This I, —, promise, vow, and swear, so help me God, and these holy Gospels of God."

Since that time the Roman Catholic Church has added two articles which enter into the profession, one on the sinlessness of the Virgin Mary and one on the infallibility of the pope, in the following words:

"(1) That 'the blessed Virgin Mary, by a singular grace and privilege of Almighty God, in view of the merits of Christ Jesus the Savior of mankind, has been preserved free

from all stain of original sin."

"(2) That 'the Roman pontiff, when he speaks ex cathe-dd—that is, in discharge of the office of pastor, and doctor of all Christians, by virtue of his supreme apostolic author ity, he defines a doctrine regarding faith or morals—is possessed of that infallibility with which the divine Redeemer willed that his Church should be endowed; and that there-fore such definitions of the Roman pontiff are irreformable of themselves, and not from the consent of the Church."

P. Schafft. D. S. Schaff.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The papal bulls of Nov. 13 (Injunctum nohis lockaphy: The papar bulls of Nov. 13 (muncum no-bis) and Dec. 9 (In sacrosancta), 1564, are in the Bul-larium magnum Romanum, 19 vols., Luxemburg, 1727-1758, the former also in Mirbt, Quellen, pp. 256-258. The text of the profession is in F. G. Streitwolf and R. E. Klener, Libri symbolici ecclesia catholica, ii. 315-321, cf. i. pp. xlv.-li., 98-100, Göttingen, 1838, and in Schaff, Creeds, ii. 207-210, cf. i. 96-99. Consult besides the above: G. C.
 F. Mohnike, Urkundliche Geschichte der sogenannten Professei sidei Tridentina und . . . andern römisch-calholischen Glaubensbekenntnisse, Greisswald, 1822; E. Köllner, Symbolik der römisch-katholischen Kirche, p. 141, Hamburg, 1844; H. J. D. Denzinger, Enchiridion symbolorum et definitionum, pp. 233-235, Würzburg, 1900; KL, v. 682-685.

TRIEBS, FRANZ: German Roman Catholic; b. at Gross-Glogau (58 m. n.w. of Breslau) Nov. 7, 1864. He was educated at the universities of Breslau and Münster (1883–87; D.D., Münster, 1888), and after being a parish priest in Waldenburg (Silesia), Merzdorf, Schönau, Schwedt, and Miltisch, 1888-95, resumed his studies at Bonn (1895-1897; Ph.D., 1897), and at Berlin (1897-1900), being at the same time engaged in parochial work in the latter city. In 1902 he became privat-docent for canon law in the University of Breslau, where he was appointed to his present position of extraordinary professor of the same subject in 1905, being made consistorial councilor in 1908. He has written Veteris Testamenti de Cherubim doctrina (Münster, 1888) and Studien zur Lex Dei, i. ii. (Freiburg, 1905-1907), besides editing Salih ibn al-Husain's Liber decem quæstionum contra Christianos (Bonn, 1897).

TRIGLAND, JACOBUS: Dutch Reformed; b. at Vianen (7 m. s.s.w. of Utrecht) July 22, 1583; d. at Leyden Apr. 5, 1654. Of Roman Catholic parentage, he was brought up by relatives at Gouda, and sent, in 1597, to some priests at Amsterdam to study theology. Toward the end of 1598 he removed to Louvain, where doubts arose in his mind which ultimately led him to break with the ancient faith. He was entrusted with a mission to Haarlem by the head of the collegium pontificium, and never returned to Louvain. After a few weeks at Gouda, where his foster relations rejected him, he sought refuge in the house of his parents, where he studied Reformed tenets, meanwhile seeking occupation to gain his livelihood. In 1602 he was made rector of the school at Vianen, and in the following year entered the Reformed Church. Having prepared privately for the ministry, he was ordained pastor at Stolwijk in 1607; and was pastor at Amsterdam, 1610-34. Here, in 1614, he began a noteworthy activity in affairs of Church and State which ended only with his death. In 1617 he received leave of absence to the Reformed church at The Hague, and was a deputy of the provincial synod of North Holland to the Synod of Dort, which appointed him a member of the committee to draw up the Canons of Dort. Trigland was professor of theology at Leyden, 1634-54, lecturing on the exegesis of the Old Testament, on the loci communes, 1639-50, and later on "cases of conscience." He was also pastor of the Reformed church at Leyden (1637-45).

The writings of Trigland, which are dogmatic and polemic, reveal him as a man of intense convictions, rigid dogmatism, and great learning in Scripture and the Reformed theology, but also as passionate, intolerant, and haughty, traits which caused him bitter enemies. Yet his hostility, manifested particularly against the Remonstrants, did not come from love of strife, but from sincere feeling that their teachings were pernicious and not to be allowed. This is most plainly shown in his Den rechtghematichden Christen (Amsterdam, 1615). In his Verdedigingh van de Leere end' Eere der Ghereformeerde Kerken ende Leeraren (1616) he defends the Reformed dogmatics. He sturdily opposed civil intervention in ecclesiastical affairs in his Antwoordt op drij vraghen dienende tot advys in de huydendagsche kerklijke swarigheden (1615), and his Christelijcke ende nootwendighe verclaringhe (1615). After the Synod of Dort, 1618-19, he continued to work against the Remonstrants, producing, Christelijcke ende vriendelijcke vermaninge (2 parts, 1623); De kracht der godtsaligheydt (1631); and three treatises resulting from the discussions aroused by the latter book: Trina Dei gratia, nimirum, electionis, sanctificationis, conservationis applicata, confirmata et indicata (1636); Disputatio theologica de civili et ecclesiastica potestate (1642); and the posthumous Antapologia, sive examen atque refutatio totius apologice Remonstrantium (Harderwijk, 1664). The Roman Catholics he attacked with his Valschen Roem des pausdoms (1631), Los gebouw des pausdoms (1633), and Bodemlooze pausdom (1638), all against the papacy. He was best known, however, for his Kerkelijcke geschiedenissen (Leyden, 1650), in which, while giving a long-desired history of the growth of Protestantism in Holland, he attacked the Remonstrants with his accustomed bitterness, especially the anonymous apology, Kerkelijcke historie of J. Uytenbogaert (Rotterdam, 1646). The work was joyfully received by the Reformed Church, but the states-general of Holland declined to accept the dedication, and at Amsterdam its sale was forbidden. All the writings of Trigland previous to 1640 were published in chronological order under the title, Opuscula Jacobi Triglandi (3 vols., Amsterdam, 1640). (S. D. VAN VEEN.) Bibliography: The funeral oration by J. Cocceius, in the latter's Opera, iv. 48 sqq., Amsterdam, 1701; H. W. Ter Haar, Jacobus Trigland, The Hague, 1891.

TRINE IMMERSION: A threefold immersion. consisting in the dipping of the candidate in the water three times—first, in the name of the Father, second, in the name of the Son, and, third, in the name of the Holy Spirit. It is the Historical practise observed by the Greek, Ar-Situation. menian, and other oriental churches, as well as by the Brethren (Dunkers) and some other religious bodies of America, and is more extensively employed than many have been led to suppose. Of the 165,000,000 Christians now living who have been immersed, fully nine-tenths have been baptized by trine immersion. Of the 290,000,000 persons who have received sprinkling or pouring at their baptism, not less than 200,000,-000 had the water applied three times, showing that a very large per cent of the Christian world holds to the triple action in baptism. The advocates of trine immersion believe that it was the apostolic method of administering the rite, and for their authority they appeal to the formula of baptism as given by Christ in Matt. xxviii. 19: "Baptizing them into the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Spirit." It is held that as there are three persons in the Trinity, so are there three actions in baptism, therefore the three actions ever, these three actions constitute the "one baptism," referred to by Paul in Fall regarded as one in the sense that the Father, Son,

Speaking of this formula of baptism, Chrysostom (fifth century) says: "Christ delivered to his disciples one baptism in three immersions of the body, when he said to them, Go teach all nations, baptizing them in the name of the Father and of the

and Holy Spirit are one.

Son and of the Holy Ghost '" (Bingham, Origines, XI., xi., § 7). Jerome (fifth century), commenting on Eph. iv. 5, presents the same view Patristic regarding the three actions constitu-Testimony. ting one baptism, for he says: "We are thrice dipped in the water, that the mystery of the Trinity may appear to be but one: . . . though we be thrice put under water to represent the mystery of the Trinity-yet it is reputed but one baptism" (Bingham, ut sup., XI., xi., § 6). Tertullian (third century) also believed that the Lord taught trine immersion, for, speaking of the baptismal formula, he says: "He commands them to baptize into the Father and the Son and the Holy Ghost, not into a unipersonal God. And indeed it is not once only, but three times, that we are immersed into three persons, at each several mention of their names" (Adv. Prax., xxvi.; Eng. transl., ANF, iii. 623). The Didache, or Teaching of the Twelve Apostles, written according to Schaff between 90 and 100 A.D. (Schaff's ed. of Didache, p. 122, New York, 1890), is wholly on the side of the triple action in baptism. The rite was to be administered (chap. vii.) "into the name of the Father and of the Son and of the Holy Ghost, in living water," or, if that could not be had, in other water, cold or warm. If there was not sufficient to immerse, then "pour water thrice upon the head into the name of the Father and of the Son and of the Holy Ghost." "Three times" applies to the immersion as well as to the pouring. Pouring plenty of water on the head "three times" was the nearest practicable substitute of total trine immersion (cf. Philip Schaff's extended comments in his edition of the Didache, pp. 29-35, New York, 1890). The early Fathers, without a voice to the contrary, believed that triple baptism was the New-Testament form. In his address at the Council of Carthage, 256 A.D., Munnulus or Monulus, bishop of Girba, said: "The truth of our Mother the Catholic Church, brethren, hath always remained and still remains with us, and even especially in the trinity of baptism, as our Lord says, 'Go ye and baptise the nations, in the name of the Father, of the Son, and of the Holy Spirit'" (Cyprian, "Concerning the Baptism of Heretics," ANF, v. 567). Not one of the eighty-seven bishops present challenged the statement. The fiftieth of the Apostolic Canons shows the views on baptism held by the Church of the second, third, and fourth centuries. It reads thus: "If any bishop or presbyter does not perform the three immersions of the one admission, but one immersion which is given into the death of Christ, let him be deprived; for the Lord did not say, 'Baptize into my death'; but, 'Go ye and make disciples of all nations, baptizing them into the name of the Father and of the Son and of the Holy Ghost'" (ANF, vii. 503).

John Wesley thought triple immersion was the apostolic practise (H. Moore's Life of Wesley, i. 425, New York, 1824). Trine immersion was the only form of baptism in general use among the early churches. William Wall says: "The way of trine immersion, or plunging the head of the person three times into the water, was the general practise of all antiquity" (Infant Baptism, i. 592, Ox.

ford, 1862). Robert Robinson (History of Baptism, p. 148, London, 1790) makes this statement: "It is not true that dipping was exchanged for sprinkling by choice before the Reformation, for, till after that period, More Modern the ordinary baptism was trine im-Opinions. mersion." Wharton Booth Marriott, in DCA, i. 161, says: "Triple immersion, that is, thic dipping the head while standing in the water, was all but the universal rule of the church in early times." Trine immersion is supported by the testimony of Basil, 370; Cyril of Jerusalem, 380; Ambrose, 390; Augustine, 420; Theodoret, 450; Alcuin, 775, and others of the Fathers. The churches in the East, where Christianity was first established, still retain the trine form in baptism. Rev. Dr. George Washburn, former president of Robert College, in Constantinople, says (Didache, ed. Schaff, ut sup., p. 43): "As to the baptism question, the orthodox authorities here declare that no oriental church not under Roman Catholic or Protestant influence knows any other baptism than time immersion." On the same page, foot-note, concerning the practise of the Russian Church, it is stated, "Baptism is always administered by dipping the infant or adult three times into the water. The whole Greek Church, numbering nearly one hundred millions, administers the sacrament of baptism only by trine immersion. This is also the practise of the Armenian church, numbering sevenl millions. Schaff says, "Trine immersion and emersion of the whole body was the general practise of the ancient Church, Greek and Latin, and continues to this day in all Eastern churches and sects, and in the orthodox State Church of Russia " (Didache, ut sup., p. 54). J. H. Moore.

(Didache, ut sup., p. 54).

Brilography: J. Chrystal, Hist. of the Modes of Baptism, Philadelphia, 1861; R. Robinson, Ecclesiastical Researches, chap. on the Greek Church, Cambridge, 1792; A. Campbell, Christian Baptism, Bethany, Va., 1853; G. H. Orchard, Hist. of Foreign Baptists, 2 vols., St. Louis, 1855; Quinter and McConnell Debate, Cincinnati, 1868; J. H. Moore, Trine Immersion Traced to the Apostles, Elgin, Ill., 1874; R. H. Miller, Doctrine of the Brethren Defended, ib. 1876; W. Catheart, The Baptism of the Ages, Philadelphia, 1878; J. Quinter, Trine Immersion, Elgin, 1886; Miller and Sommer Debate, Mount Morris, Ill., 1889; C. F. Yoder, God's Means of Grace, Elgin, 1908; J. B. Wampler, Biblical and Historical Researches, Grove City, Pa., 1908; the literature cited in the text, and under Baptism.

TRINIDAD. See WEST INDIES.

TRINITARIANS: A Roman Catholic order (Ordo sanctissima Trinitatis redemptionis captivorum; also called Ordo asinorum, the members being at first permitted to ride only on asses; and in France, Maturines, from their chapel of St. Mathurin or St. Mathelin at Paris), founded, according to tradition, in 1198 by Jean de Matha (b. at Faucon, near Barcelonette, 31 m. n.w. of Nice, June 23, 1160; d. at Rome Dec. 17, 1213) and Félix de Valois (b. 1127; d. at Paris Jan. 20, 1212). The legendary account of their origin is not wholly sustained by the earliest known document. This is a privilegium of Innocent III. of May, 1198, approving the reception of property at Cerfroid, specially the house given by Countess Margaret of Burgundy, and implying the existence of the order

before the legendary journey to Rome, 1198. It is questionable whether the original idea of working for the ransom of the captives was Jean's or Margaret's, but, from the words of this document, more probably the latter's. A second document of Dec., 1198, from Innocent, shows that the pope had sent back Jean for recommendations from the bishop of Paris and the abbot of St. Victor. On Jean's return with these and a copy of the rule, the pope confirmed the order. A new privilegium of protection was granted by Innocent, June 18, 1209. The rule of the Trinitarians requires the brothers to live in obedience to the "minister" of their house, and in celibacy and poverty. Each single house is to be occupied by three clerical and three lay brothers, controlled by a "minister," the latter a priest chosen by the brothers and required to hold a chapter each Sunday. At the head of the entire order is the minister superior, who convenes the annual chapter on the octave of Whitsunday and directs the discipline over the ministers inferior. A third of the income of the order is set apart for the liberation of prisoners. The first minister superior was Jean de Matha, who received from Innocent III. the church and hospital of San Tommaso in Formis, on the Celian Hill. A few years after the establishment of the Trinitarians, a female branch was founded in Spain, though it did not receive a definite constitution until 1236. In 1199 the first mission was sent to Tunis and 186 redeemed captives were brought back in triumph to Cerfroid. The order, which had increased chiefly in the Latin countries, was extended to England, Scotland, Ireland, and the East, and was reconfirmed by Honorius III. (1217); Clement IV. permitted certain relaxations of its rule (1267); and Clement VII sanctioned mendicancy (1574). The Clement VII. sanctioned mendicancy (1574). Trinitarians did not escape degeneration, and efforts at reform led to divisions. Of the branches the most important is that of the Discalced Trinitarians, established in Spain and recognized as a distinct congregation by Clement VIII. in 1599, and extended to France and Italy. In 1609 Paul V. declared them a mendicant order, but until 1636 they were under the general of the main order.

The internal history of the Trinitarians is obscure. A. König maintained that at the height of their prosperity, in the fifteenth century, they had some 880 monasteries, while Pierre Helyot states for his time, the first half of the eighteenth century, that they still possessed about 250 in eleven provinces. According to O. Braunsberger (Stimmen aus Maria Laach, supplement No. 79, 1901) in 1835 forty-seven of the eighty-seven Spanish monasteries of the order were suppressed, a like fate having befallen the six Austrian houses in 1782-90. Deslandres shows 102 houses for France and the Netherlands, of which at the end of the eighteenth century there survived ninety-three, besides eleven in England, one in Ireland, and seven in Scotland. The calced Trinitarians became extinct in 1894, while the discalced branch has maintained itself till the present time by four settlements at Rome (1905), including the parish churches of Santa Maria della Fornaci and San Grisogono, besides other settlements in Spain, Austria, America, and elsewhere. The order devotes itself, for the time being, to the ransom and education of negro children and numbers 450. The female order never flourished, having only ten cloisters in their chief seat, Spain, toward the close of the eighteenth century. P. Dan (Histoire de Barbarie, Paris, 1649) gave the number of rescue expeditions as 363, the number of released captives as 30,720. The correct figures, if they could be produced, would undoubtedly be much higher. (A. HAUCK.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The "Rule" was printed at Paris, 1635, 1652; the "Statutes" at Douai, 1586; cf. L. Holstenius, Codex regularism, ed. M. Brockie, ii. 38 sqq., Augsburg, 1759. Consult: M. Gmelin, Die Litteratur sur Geschichte des Ordens St. Trinitatis, Carlsruhe, 1870; idem, Die Trinitarier oder Weisespanier in Oesterreich, Vienna, 1871; Helyot, Ordres monastiques, ii. 310 sqq.; Heimbucher, Orden und Kongregationen, ii. 69-78; Gallia Christiana, viii. 1731 sqq., 18 vols., Paris, 1715 sqq.; Gullianch, 496 sqq., Stuttgart, 1884; P. Deslandres, L'Ordre des trinitaires, 2 vols., Toulouse, 1903; KL, xii. 84-91.

TRINITY, DOCTRINE OF THE.

I. The Biblical Doctrine.
Old Testament (§ 1).
New Testament (§ 2).
II. The Ontological Doctrine.
The Eastern Church (§ 1).
The Western Church (§ 2).
Protestantism (§ 3).
Comparison of the Biblical and Ontological

Comparison of the Biblical and Ontological Forms (§ 4).

Various Conceptions (§ 5). A Concluding View (§ 6).

The doctrine of the divine Trinity is the summarized statement of the historical revelation of redemption for the Christian consciousness of God. It affirms that God is not only the ruler of the universe, but the Father of Christ, in whom he is perfectly revealed, and the source of a holy and blessed life which transforms nature and is realized in the Church. It constitutes the distinctive characteristic of Christianity as contrasted with Judaism and paganism and is a modification of Christian monotheism. In this, religious thinking may stop with a mere distinction of modes of divine revelation (economic Trinity); or proceed to the assumption of three divine essences (ontological or immanent Trinity). Since the Church has completed this advance from the economic to the immanent concept, the confession of the latter is alone recognized as adequate to a full Christian belief.

I. The Biblical Doctrine: Early dogmaticians were of the opinion that so essential a doctrine as that of the Trinity could not have been unknown to the men of the Old Testament. However, no modern theologian who clearly dis-

r. Old tinguishes between the degrees of rev-Testament. elation in the Old and New Testaments can longer maintain such a

view. Only an inaccurate exegesis which overlooks the more immediate grounds of interpretation can see references to the Trinity in the plural form of the divine name Elohim, the use of the plural in Gen. i. 26, or such liturgical phrases of three members as the Aaronic blessing of Num. vi. 24–26 and the Trisagion (q.v.) of Isa. vi. 3. On the other hand, the development of Christology and, later, of the doctrine of the Trinity has undoubtedly been influenced by certain passages of the Old Testament

which refer to permanent forms and media of divine revelation, as the Word of the Lord in Gen. i.; Ps. xxxiii. 6; Wisdom xvi. 12, xviii. 14-15; Ecclus. xliii. 25; wisdom in Prov. viii. 22 sqq.; and the angel of the Lord in Gen. xxii. 11-12; Ex. iii. 2, 4, 6; and Mal. iii. 1.

Even in the New Testament the doctrine of the Trinity is not enunciated, though it is deduced from a collocation of passages and from the

logic of their premises. The chief New-2. New Testament. Testament bases for the doctrine of the Trinity so far as the person of Christ is concerned briefly follow; for the rest see CHRISTOLOGY. The primitive Christian view of the messiahship of Jesus presupposed that he was close to, and, in some sense, belonged to, God, as the instrument for the realization of the divine theocracy. Even Jewish theology had regarded the Messiah as ideally preexistent, or, more realistically, as reserved for the millennium, though without inquiring whether he was a creature or not. The early Church, in like manner, held Christ to be sent from heaven to earth (Gal. iv. 4). The messianic title of Son of God received the deeper meaning of intimate communion and love between Father and Son (according to the self-witness of Jesus, Matt. xi. 27), which was manifest on earth (John x. 30), but based on premundane existence (Rom. viii. 32; II Cor. viii. 9; Phil. ii. 5 sqq.). Christ can, therefore, act in the name of God since "in him dwelleth all the fulness of the Godhead bodily " (Col. ii. 9), and since he is the image of God (II Cor. iv. 4), and "the brightness of his glory, and the express image of his person" (Heb. i. 3). The Logos is bearer of the original self-revelation of God and is God (John i. 1, 14, 18); the risen and ascended Christ is called God (John xx. 28; I John v. 20; possibly also Rom. ix. 5; Titus ii. 13); prayer is made to the risen Christ (Acts ix. 14; Rom. x. 12 sqq.; I Cor. i. 2); practically Christ is included with God even to identification, though subordinated to the Father wherever a distinction occurs (I Cor. xi. 3, xv. 28). Even with reference to the Johannine Logos there is no thought of an immanent process of divine life, the Logos being simply the mediator of God's revelation to the world (John i. 4, iii. 16, xx. 31); and God, in relation to Christ, may be termed either "God" (John xvii. 3, xx. 17) or "Father" (I Cor. viii. 6). Of the Holy Ghost the New Testament says that he spoke through the prophets (II Pet. 21), and that he rested in his plenitude on Jesus, empowering him for his messianic work (Mark i. 10; John iii. 34); at his departure, the latter promised "another comforter" (John xiv. 16-17), who should uphold and perfect the communion between the disciples and their head (John xiv. 26, xvi. 13-14). A similar view is expressed by Paul (Rom. viii. 16; Gal. iv. 6); the Spirit is termed both the "Spirit of God" and the "Spirit of Christ" (Rom. viii. 9). Through this association with the person of Christ the Spirit arrives at a certain proportion of definite content and function (I Cor. xii. 3; Jas. ii.); the risen Christ seems to be identified with the Holy Ghost (II Cor. iii. 17). The Holy Ghost is divine in origin and essentially one with God (I Cor. ii. 10), being the self-consciousness of God and revealing the deep things in him, not, however, in a speculative sense. The Spirit internalizes the selfrevelation of God revealed in Christ, imparting the new life of divine communion expressed again in moral fruits (Gal. v. 22-23). These operations of the Spirit are regarded as personal (Rom. viii. 16; Gal. iv. 6), and the Spirit himself is considered to be a person, who may be grieved by sinful acts (Eph. iv. 30). A similar concept underlies the Johannine terms "teaching," "reproving," and "declaing," as applied to the personal Paraclete (John xiv. 26, xvi. 8, 13). Nevertheless, to interpret these passages as implying a person distinct from God and Christ, whose Spirit he is called, is not warranted. Of the more directly Trinitarian references, the Apostolic benediction (II Cor. xiii. 13) points to the threefold causality of the redemptive life, in which the unity of the purpose of sal-vation comes to view, historically brought about by the sending of the Son and the imparting of the Spirit (cf. Gal. iv. 4, 6). The distribution of gifts, administrations, and operations (I Cor. xii. 4-6) refers back again to one Spirit, one Lord, and one God. The baptismal command (Matt. xxviii. 19) distinctly points, beyond doubt, to the faith of the Christian community concerning God, revealed threefold as Father, Son, and Holy Ghost. The dogmatic assertion, however, that the singular "name" signifies the unitary divine being transcendent to revelation, and that the collocation of Father, Son, and Holy Ghost represents their complete coordination, is not permissible. The creed elaborated from this formula mentions neither unity nor coordination, and the New Testament does not go further than a trinity of revelation. The essential emphasis in this connection is on the middle position of the Son; this is also substantiated by the circumstance that Acts and the epistles of Paul recognize baptism in Christ as the widely prevalent custom (Acts ii. 38, viii. 16, x. 48, xix. 5; I Cor. i. 13; Rom. vi. 3; Gal. iii. 27).

II. The Ontological Doctrine: There is no reason to seek for sources or types of the doctrine of the Trinity outside of Christianity or of the Bible, though in the eighteenth century efforts were made to derive the Christian dogma from Plato, and later

from Brahmanism and Parseeism, or,

I. The
Rastern
Church.

from Brahmanism and Parseeism, or,
later still, from a Babylonian triad.

Even were the resemblance between
the Christian Trinity and the pagan

triads far greater than it is, there could be no serious question of borrowing. The development of the Christian doctrine of the Trinity is historically clear, and its motives are equally well known, being almost exclusively due to Christological speculation. The formulation of the dogma was ruled by the necessity of establishing the absolute character of the Christian revelation, a process which required the closest association of the historic Christ with the life and essence of God. At the same time. Christian faith could tolerate neither any menace to monotheism nor any lowering of the person of the Redeemer to a mere function or transitory phenomenon of the Godhead. The Apostolic Fathers did not feel the relation of the Father and the Son to be a problem, since they either con-

sidered the Son simply as an instrument of the Father, or identified him with the Father and the Holy Ghost. The apologetes, on the other hand, who adopted for their basis the concept of the Logos for the interpretation of the person of Jesus, were indeed able to assign the Logos to a place within the revealing activity of God without impairing their monotheism, but could not make sure the concentration of revelation in Christ or his specific relation to the Father. Tertullian, who first formulated the concept trinitas, conceived of a self-disclosing of the Father in the Son and the Holy Ghost for the purpose of revelation preceding revelation itself. Origen completed this phase of development by postulating the eternal independence of the Logos with God. While, however, Origen considers the generation of the Son (of the universe as well) an eternal act, thus making him a partaker of the same essence with the Father, he has no clear idea of the nature of the Holy Ghost. He has an idea that the spheres of the persons of the Trinity are concentric; the Father ruling the universe, the Son rational creatures, and the Holy Ghost the saints. The modalistic type of Monarchianism (q.v.) identified the persons of the Father and the Son; while Sabellius (q.v.) held Father, Son, and Holy Ghost to be successive forms of revelation, or "persons" (prosopa) of the Godhead, to which correspond three cosmic periods; namely, of creation and law, redemption, and communion. The advantage of this view was the coordination of the Son with the Father; its disadvantage, the contraction of the religious interest in the permanent mediatorship of Christ, which forced the idea of the hypostasis. As Arius intensified the distinction between the Father and the Son into an antithesis between creator and created. and disputed the eternity of the Son, it became necessary to connect with the eternal personal independence of the Son the assertion of his perfect divinity in the sense of identity of substance with the Father (homoousios). The result was its authoritative statement in the Nicene Creed (see Con-STANTINOPOLITAN CREED) and its argument in the theology of Athanasius (q.v.), the essential of which is soteriological, to conserve the essential mediatorship of Christ. Even Athanasius did not unconditionally rank the Father and the Son equal; nor does he have a technical term for the persons of the Trinity. On the other hand, he prepared the way for the homoousion of the Holy Spirit; for the Spirit, who imparts to man fellowship in the divine nature, must himself share in that nature. The doctrine of the Holy Ghost as thus developed needed only the opposition of the Pneumatomachi (see MACEDONIUS AND THE MACEDONIAN SECT) to be crystallized into the teaching of the Church at the Council of Constantinople in 381. By their distinction between "substance," or "essence," and "hypostasis," related to each other as "common" and "peculiar," the Cappadocians created a means of expressing the relation of the Trinity of persons to the unity of essence. According to Gregory Nazianzen (q.v.), the peculiar properties of the three persons were, respectively, "the state of being not begot-ten," "of being begotten," and "procession," though

the Father still remained the primal divine person, the "source of Godhead." In the interest of this unity the final dogmatician of the Eastern Church, John of Damascus, taught the interpenetration and mutual immanence of the three hypostases (perichōresis); though he clung to the superiority of the Father, from whom the Holy Ghost proceeded through the Son.

Augustine (De trinitate; Eng. transl., NPNF, 1st ser., iii.), unlike the Greeks, taught that the unity was neither in Father, Son, nor Spirit; but in the divine being in which all three in like manner participate. Each person is the undivided deity, and the three persons are together the one

God. This is conceivable only as the idea of person is sublimated somewhat like a relation of the deity with itself.

Augustine's interest in reducing the prominence of personality in favor of

simplicity or unity was his Neoplatonism. This view diverges from the older modalism in that it rests not upon a theory of succession but of eternal coexistence and of mutual immanence, as shown by his choice of illustrations. These were the analogies of memory, intelligence, and will, resolving themselves in self-consciousness; or, again, of the lover, the loved, and love. It follows from the equality of persons that the Holy Ghost is to be regarded as proceeding from the Son as well as the Father. Thus became possible such formulations as the Athanasian Creed (q.v.). The doctrine of the immanent Trinity, which with Athanasius was most intimately connected with the doctrine of salvation, had now become fully independent of historical revelation, a subject best suited to a mystical contemplative piety. During the Middle Ages the Augustinian formulas prevailed either for mystical absorption or dialectic refinements, without inherent change. The charge of tritheism (Roscellinus) or countercharge of Sabellianism (Abelard) lay in the nature of the inherited problem, which demanded a delicate poise between unity and difference. Richard of St. Victor (q.v.) endeavored to develop Augustine's speculations, deducing the necessity of a divine self-differentiation from the concept of love. Perfect love requires an object, and in the case of God that object can be only a person equal to himself in eternity, power, and wisdom. But since there can not be two divine substances, the two divine persons must be one and the same substance. The highest love, however, can not be limited to these two, but must rise to condilectio, through the wish that a third be loved as they love each other. Thus perfect love necessarily leads to the Trinity; and since God is absolute power, he can correspond fully to this requirement of the concept. Thomas Aquinas (q.v.), likewise seeking to remain in harmony with Augustine, deduced the generation of the Son from the immanent process of divine thought, and the procession of the Holy Ghost from the loving will, without reaching real personal distinctions. Duns Scotus (q.v.), though interested primarily in the latter side of the problem, dared give only a very reserved expression to his tendency.

The Reformers stood upon the ground of the

Church catholic. Protestant dogmatics, placing monotheism first, considers God a single divine being in whom three subjects, Father, Son, and Holy Ghost, share equally, each of the

3. Protes- three being termed a person. These tantism. persons must not be considered either real parts of the Godhead or individuals of a class, since the divine nature exists entire and undivided in each, so that to each one of them must be ascribed all divine qualities. Each person, however, has a distinctive hypostatic character, which has two features: one as regards its mode of being; and the other as regards its mode of revelation. The internal differences rest upon an immanent activity of the deity, and they refer not to the common action of the Godhead, but to the distinctive activities of the persons—the generation of the Son by the Father and the inspiration of the Holy Ghost by the Father and the Son. This generation differs from the creation of the world in that by the latter is established an essentially different existence from the creator himself, whereas generation implies a person like the Father in essence. In view of these opera ad intra the three persons have distinct properties: the Father, "paternity"; the Son, "filiation"; and the Holy Ghost, "procession." While this would seem to imply priority of the Son over the Holy Ghost, and of the Father over both, as a matter of fact the three persons are absolutely equal in virtue of the identity of their divine essence, and mutually condition each other. The priority of the Father relates only to "order of subsistence" not to being; it is merely logical, not real. The Father could not be the Father without the Son, nor could they both be the eternal principles of spirit and life without the procession of the Holy Ghost. In so far as the three persons can be conceived as possessing real distinctions and individualities, the inter-divine life must be regarded as a continuous circle, issuing from the Father, and returning to him through the Son and the Holy Ghost. As regards their mode of revelation, each of the three persons of the Trinity has specific activities: the Father, creation, preservation, and governance; the Son, redemption; and the Holy Ghost, sanctification. Unlike the opera ad intra, these functions (opera ad extra) are undivided activities of the deity and thus common to all three persons; for though a given function is held to be especially appropriate to the hypostatic character of a given person, the possession of the function in question is not denied the other persons. In this sense it may be said that power is especially characteristic of the Father, love of the Son, and wisdom of the Holy Ghost. It must be borne in mind, however, that dogmatic theology does not offer these explanations as a rational perception of the matter, but it holds the Trinity rather to be a mystery. These statements must, therefore, be considered rather as negative, preventing non-Chris-

tian views, than as positive elucidations.

Turning from these ecclesiastical formulations to their Biblical basis, the essential differences are manifest: (1) The New Testament speaks of the essential unity of the Son with the Father, and regards the Holy Ghost as the indwelling of God

in the faithful. This religious idea of the presence of God in Son and Spirit is replaced in dogmatics by the identity of the essence of the 4. Com-Son and the Holy Ghost with the parison of Father, with an essentially new elethe Biblical ment of postulating eternally differentiated Subjects as contrasted with the logical Forms. (2) The New Testament contains no reference to an unconditional coordination of the Son with the

coordination of the Son with the Father. The Son, at least in his redemptive work, is dependent upon, and obedient to, the Father (cf. John xiv. 28; I Cor. xv. 28). The absence of similar statements concerning the Spirit is due to the representation of him as the medium of divine activity in the world and not as an independent person. While dependence of the Son upon the Father is not inconsistent with essential unity, equality and subordination are incompatible. (3) Dogma employs concepts for the construction of the immanent life in God that in Biblical terminology pertain to the record of revelation. "Son of God" is the name of the historic Christ, while where the preexisting mediator of revelation is referred to "Logos" is used. Thus the doctrine of eternal generation as a basis for the preexistence lacks support in the Bible ("only begotten" of John i. 14, iii. 16 expresses the close relation between Father and Son in regard to its stability, not its origin; and "the firstborn of every creature" of Col. i. 15 alludes to the preeminence of the author of salvation over creation, not to his origin). Particularly is there no reference in the New Testament to the procession of the Holy Spirit, in the sense of his immanent origin, but always as being sent into the world. (4) While conceiving the eternal relation of the Son and the Spirit to the Father as pretemporal and not as supertemporal, dogma does not make any further affirmations beyond what appears in the history of revelation. It converts the circuit of historical redemption into a bare counterpart of an immanent divine movement, wholly inconceivable until referred back to its historical original.

Individual voices against the doctrine of the Trinity during the Reformation (Hans Denk, J. Campanus, M. Servetus; qq.v.) were followed by Socinianism (see Socinia, Faustus, Socinianism),

which rejected the doctrine as opposed
5. Various to Scripture and reason, from the
Conceptions. standpoint of abstract Unitarian conception and a moral view of religion.
Arminianism (see Arminius, Jacobus,

And Arminianism (see Arminia, Jacobs), and Arminianism (see Arminianism) comes into contact with Socinianism only as it regards the coordination unpermissible. Rationalism renewed the Socinian contention, and supernaturalism enforced the Arminian weakening of the dogma. Pietism either treated the rationalistic speculations with respectful silence or reduced them critically. The doctrine of the Trinity seemed to find more attention from philosophers than from theologians, especially through the theosophy of Jakob Boehme (q.v.) on speculative thought. But Schelling and Hegel (qq.v.) succeeded only in divorcing the dogma from its original basis, and in confining it merely to

problems of cosmology. Schleiermacher (q.v.) demanded a reconstruction of the doctrine according to the Sabellian rather than the Athanasian point of view, while himself persisting in the presumption of an eternal and original division in the divine being. German theology was scarcely impressed with the negative Unitarianism of England and America, and presents various modern types. (1) The economic Trinity is exclusively adhered to by A. Schweizer, K. A. Hase, and R. A. Lipsius (qq.v.), while O. Pfleiderer (q.v.) assumes an ontological basis for the triad of revelation expressed in the divine qualities of power, wisdom, and love. (2) There is a return to the immanent Trinity, not by way of revelation or experience, but of speculation. Of the two types one holds that the divine self-consciousness needs for its fulfilment a distinction between the thinking subject, the object thought of, and their resolution in unity (A. Twesten; q.v.). F. H. R. Frank (q.v.) modifies this by deducing from personality subject, predicate, and their unity, referred as hypostases in God, and from the Christian experience of God conditioning sense of guilt, guiltlessness, and transference into the state of guiltlessness. The second tendency argues, from God as love upon an adequate subject necessarily distinct from the world and of identical essence with God, the mutuality of this love coming to rest in a third person (E. Sartorius and J. Müller; qq.v.). K. T. A. Liebner (q.v.) combines these two types; and kindred theories on the scheme of love are worked out by I. A. Dorner and W. Beyschlag (qq.v.). In these speculative theories, however, neither the identity of the divine subject and object, nor the mutuality of their love, gives a third independent factor which can be construed as a hypostasis. The same criticism applies to the theory of Frank. (3) More definite meaning is gained when that from which God is held to separate himself is regarded not as a being identical in essence with himself, but as the world (Neo-Hegelians, C. H. Weisse and A. E. Biedermann; q.v.); yet it is obvious that such a theory is antagonistic to the scheme of Christian salvation. (4) Other theologians seek to return to subordinationism, as K. F. A. Kahnis (q.v.), who defines the Son and the Holy Ghost as "God in the second and third sense of the word," and, more cautiously, Christian Thomasius (q.v.). (5) R. Rothe (q.v.) came nearest a real revision of the dogma, not so much by distinguishing in God absolute being, absolute spiritual nature, and absolute personality, as by his concepts of the head of the created world of spirits and of the Holy Ghost as the unity of thought and existence, a theory which contains elements of a system which would connect the conditions of religious and moral life with the eternal being of God. (6) J. C. K. Hofmann (q.v.) has attempted to combine the economic and the immanent Trinity, holding that the relation of the Father and the Son is intra-divine, though comprehensible to man only in its historical self-evidence on the basis of the Bible. Avoiding any attempt to penetrate into the premundane existence of God, he claims to apprehend the historic relation of God to man in redemptive revelation at the same time sub specie æternitatis. A

somewhat similar position is taken by M. Kähler, (q.v.) who, while inferring from the threefold activity of God a corresponding ontological condition of divine being, urges that this be not employed in constructing intra-divine relations. The idea of the immanent Trinity is to serve only to impress the richness, sufficiency, and activity of the divine life. While A. Ritschl (q.v.), though not employing the word Trinity, had designated Christ and the Church as the eternal contents of God's thought and loving will, H. Schultz (q.v.) saw, further, the eternal indwelling of God in Christ and the Church based upon the eternal unfolding of his being in Word and spirit. Julius Kaftan (q.v.), finally, emphasizes that Trinitarian statements are matters of faith only in so far as they are based on the historic Christ and the historic communication of the Holy Spirit. Moreover, the economic and the immanent Trinity differ only in form, but in content they are congruent.

If it is the nature of faith to conceive the mundane in the supermundane, the historical in the eternal, then the religious realization of the history of redemption is only practicable as the eternal

self-revelation and self-communication of God are perceived in the person of the Redeemer and the possession of the Holy Spirit by the Church. The same Christ who, as the founder of a

new religious life, belongs to mankind and to history, belongs at the same time to the eternal life of God, of whom he is the full revelation. The Spirit by whom man calls God Father and is transformed into the likeness of Christ, belongs both to the temporal life of the Christian and to the self-manifestation of God, who desires to fill his personal creatures with his presence. If in the historic revelation of salvation the eternal activity of God be recognized, every other self-revelation of God must be connected with the historic Redeemer, and every other self-communication of God with the Holy Spirit. In all the leadings of mankind in preparation for redemption culminating in Christ, as well as in creation, this divine manifestation is patent. The Biblical term for this universality of revelation is Logos, implying not merely an explanation of revelation, but the expression of the immanent divine activity. All religious prophecy is an effect of the same Spirit who in his fulness dwells in the Christian society. Without this self-evidencing of God, no spiritual existence is conceivable to be complete. In this not only is the thought resumed which Origen associated with the idea of the eternal generation of the Son, but the idea of Paul (Col. i. 15 sqq.) is applied anew to the present world-conception. What, however, stands out clearly in a temporal process in the course of which the religious, moral, personal life takes shape, is, when considered as divine act, not a becoming but an eternal presence, the expression of his unchangeable being. In this sense, Son and Spirit are to be assumed as eternally existent in God. This is the final statement possible for thought. But the how of the immanent Trinity is inscrutable for want of categories of temporal thought to conceive the eternal or for want of analogies in human experience. To speak of three persons in one Godhead is to use an inadequate symbol. The ancient conception of person was elastic enough to admit a recoalescence after the distinction, but the modern idea of personality as a distinctly self-conscious, self-determining psychical unity would yield only a collective unity as well as extinguish the human self-consciousness of Christ or ascribe to him a double personality. Better is it to speak of three elements, or a threefold eternal determination of the divine being. No theory must impair the personality of the exalted Christ for Christian piety. In him divine grace takes human shape in history, and in unison with the Father he remains the head of the Likewise, God's holiness, transforming Church. the earthly, obtains its historical form in the community of redemption, which joined in the Spirit with God through Christ participates in eternal life. To avoid empty schemata and the barren field of mystical contemplation, in the interest of vital reality, the immanent Trinity must never be isolated from the revealed. The religious value of the doctrine of the Trinity consists alone in expounding the history of revelation as the self-disclosure of the eternal God. The dectrine is a safeguard against false deistic representations of divine transcendence only when God's wisdom and love are viewed, not in an inscrutable self-evolution beyond, but as a world-immanent redeeming revelation. Against pantheism the surest weapon is the strictly personal, ethical conception of God's loving will, of necessity reverting to the historical revelation. Thus the order ever remains from the triad of revelation to unity and not vice versa, and the doctrine of the immanent Trinity can be no (O. Kirnt.) more than a limiting concept.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The question is treated historically in the works on the history of doctrine and on Biblical theology, and dogmatically in those on systematic theology (see in and under Dogma, Dogmatics). Consult also the works cited under Arianism; Christology; God; Holy Spirt, etc. The special literature is extensive. On the historical side eonsult: T. Maurice, Dissertation on the Oriental Trinities, London, 1800; E. Burton, Testimonies of the Ante-Nicene Fathers to the Doctrine of the Trinity and of the Divinity of the Holy Ghost, Oxford, 1831; G. S. Faber, The Apostolicity of Trinitarianism, 2 vols., London, 1832; F. C. Baur, Die christliche Lehre von der Dreisnigheit und Menschwerdung Gottes in ihrer geschichtlichen Entwicklung, 3 parts, Tübingen, 1841-43; G. A. Meier, Die Lehre von der Trinität in ihrer historischen Entwicklung, 1844; J. R. Beard, Historical and Artistic Illustrations of the Trinity, London, 1846; C. Morgan, The Trinity of Plato and Philo-Judaus, ib. 1853; C. P. Caspari, Der Glaube an die Trinität Gottes in der Kirche des I. christlichen Jahrhunderts, Leipsic, 1894; L. L. Paine, Critical Hist. of the Evolution of Trinitarianism, Boston, 1900; idem, Ethnic Trinities and their Relation to the Christian Trinity, ib. 1901; A. Beck, Die Trinitatlehre des heiligen Hilarius von Poitiers, Mains, 1903; A. Dupin, Le Dogme de la Trinité and les trois premiers siècles de l'église, Paris, 1907; W. S. Bishop, The Development of Trinitarian Doctrine in the Nicene and Athanasian Creeds, A Study in Theological Definition, London and New York, 1910; J. Lebreton, Les Origines du dogme de la Trinité, Paris, 1901.

For the doctrinal and apologetic side consult: J. Kidd, An Essay on the Doctrine of the Trinity: attempting to prove it by Reason and Demonstration founded upon Duration and Space, London, 1815; F. Schleiermacher, in his Werke, part I., vol. ii.; R. W. Landis, A Plea for the Catholic Doctrine of the Trinity, Philadelphia, 1832; J. Zukrigl, Wissenschaftliche Rechtfertigung der christlichen Trinitätslehre, Vienna, 1846; J. Wilson, Unitarian Prin-

ciples Confirmed by Trinitarian Testimonies; being Selections from the Works of eminent Theologians belonging to erthodox Churches, Boston, 1855; E. H. Bickentsth, The Rock of Ages; or, Scripture Testimony to the One Eternal Godhead of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost, London, 1860, New York, 1861; I. A. Dorner, Die Lehre von der Person Christi, 4 vols., Stuttgart, 1846–56, Engtransl., 5 vols., Edinburgh, 1861–63; C. W. H. Pauli, Grest Mystery; or, How can Three be One? London, 1863; F. H. Burries, The Trinity, Chicago, 1874; C. Braun, Der Begriff "Person" in seiner Anwendung auf die Lehre von der Trinität und Inkarnation, Mains, 1876; A. Norton, A Statement of Reasons for not Believing the Doctrines of Trinitarians Concerning the Nature of God and the Person of Christ, 10th ed., Boston, 1877; J. Edwards, Observations Concerning the Scripture Economy of the Trinity and Covenant of Redemption, New York, 1880; H. Schults, Die Lehre von der Gottheit Christi, Gotha, 1881; Abelard, Tractatus de Unitats et Trinitate Divina, ed., R. Stölale, Freiburg, 1891; P. H. Steenstra, The Being of God as Unity and Trinity, New York, 1891; R. N. Davies, Doctrine of the Trinity, the Biblical Evidence, Cincinnati, 1891; R. Rocholl, Der christliche Gottebegriff, Göttingen, 1900; E. F. Horton, The Trinity, London, 1901; T. Weber, Trinittt und Wellschopfung, Gotha, 1904; G. Krüger, Das Dogma von der Dreieinigkeit und Gottmenschheit, Tübingen, 1905; S. B. G. McKinney, Revelation of the Trinity, London, 1906; J. R. Illingworth, Doctrine of Trinity epologetically Considered, London and New York, 1907; A. F. W. Ingram, The Love of the Trinity, New York, 1909; L. Berthé, La Sainte Trinité, Paris, 1911.

TRINITY, FESTIVAL OF THE. See TRINITY SUNDAY.

TRINITY SUNDAY: The first Sunday after Pentecost. It was introduced into the calendar by Benedict XI. in 1305, and in the West concludes the festival part of the church year. In the Anglican church the Sundays from Whitsuntide to Advent are counted as the first, second, etc., till the twenty-sixth, Sunday after Trinity. The universal use in the Western Church of this festival of Trinity Sunday dates from Pope John XXII. (1334).

TRIPOLIS. See PHENICIA, PHENICIANS, I., § 8.

TRISAGION: The term applied in liturgies to the Sanctus or Ter sanctus of Isa. vi. 3 ("Holy, holy, holy, is the Lord of hosts: the whole earth is full of his glory "), and also to a Greek formula, " Holy God; holy, mighty; holy, immortal; have mercy upon us!" The trisagion of Isa. vi. 3 stands, more or less modified and amplified, in all liturgies of both East and West, usually in the preface to the mass, after praising God for the creation and before thanking him for redemption. In the Eastern liturgies, besides the universal insertion of "heaven" (or "heavens") to supplement "earth," and the omission of "whole," three groups may be distinguished: those retaining "Lord" in the nominative in the first line and "his" in the second; those retaining "Lord" in the nominative but re-placing "his" by "thy"; and those changing the placing " his mominative "Lord" to the vocative (cf. Rev. iv. 8). To the first group belong the Clementine liturgy (Apostolic Constitutions, viii. 12), the Antiochian liturgy preserved by Chrysostom, the older Egyptian, and the Ethiopic. The second group includes the eucharistic prayer of Serapion, and the liturgies of St. Mark, Asia Minor, and the Coptic Jacobites. In the third group are comprised the Syriac and Greek Jacobite liturgies. The form of the trisagion given in Rev. iv. 8 occurs only in a fragment on a Coptic ostracum.

In the West the Sacramentary of Gelasius shows Syrian influence, having the form, "Holy, holy, holy, Lord God of Sabaoth: heavens and earth are full of thy glory; hosanna in the highest; blessed is he that cometh in the name of the Lord; hosanna in the highest." Though the Roman liturgy had the Ter sanctus as early as the time of Clement (I Clem. xxxiv. 6), the Liber pontificalis states that it was introduced into the mass by Sixtus I. (119-128?). Other Western liturgies are profoundly influenced by that of Rome, though the Mozarabic shows particularly strong Syrian influence. While in the East the trisagion and the Benedictus were given by the congregation, and while Sixtus had the Ter sanctus sung by the priest and the people. the Roman Church early placed it in the mouth of the subdeacon, and since the twelfth century it has been sung by the choir. From the Roman liturgy the trisagion was adopted by Lutheranism. In the Formula missæ (1523) Luther placed it after the words of institution, only to drop it in the Deutsche Messe of 1526. Subsequent usage varied between the two precedents set by Luther, but the modern Lutheran liturgies have almost without exception restored the trisagion, which they connect with the Hosanna and Benedictus and append to the prayer of the preface. Reformed liturgies, on the other hand, do not recognize it.

The age and the origin of the Greek trisagion are obscure, though legend tells that in the patriarchate of Proclus (434-446), after four months of earthquake, the people, crying to God for mercy, saw a young man raised into the air, where he heard a divine voice bidding him tell the bishop and people to repeat their litany with the words, "Holy God; holy, mighty; holy, immortal; have mercy upon us!" When this was done, the earthquake ceased. At all events, the formula is older than the fifth century, and is certainly not Jewish in origin. It is found in all Oriental liturgies. The fact that it does not occur in the Clementine liturgy may be due either to age or to the circumstance that this liturgy is only for the consecration of bishops, and consequently is abbreviated in its earlier portions. The hymn became so popular that it is sung in the daily offices. Its regular place in the mass is before the lessons, though the Coptic and Abyssinian Jacobite liturgies put it immediately before the Gospel, while it is sung by the Syrian Jacobites between the first and second lessons. The Greek trisagion owes its interest partly to the fact that it became the subject of a dogmatic controversy. While it was originally addressed to God, Petrus Fullo, patriarch of Antioch (about 470), added a phrase which made it an invocation of Christ, the result being deemed by certain circles compatible neither with orthodox trinitarianism nor with orthodox Christology (see Theopaschites). The Concilium quinisextum of 692 rejected the addition of Fullo, but it continued to be used, even with amplifications, in Monophysite liturgies. The Greek trisagion was transplanted to the West, finding a place in the Gallican mass, and still being sung in the Mozarabic rite. It is likewise sung in the Roman rite in the "Adoration of the Cross" on Good Friday, forming an antiphon of which one choir sings the Greek form, the other responding with the Latin version. (P. Drews.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Bingham, Origines, XIV., ii. 3, XV., iii. 10 (here the early testimonies are given at length in the original form); E. Martheo, De antiquis ecclesia ritibus, IV., xxiii., 4 vols., Antwerp, 1736-38; E. Renaudot, Liturgiarum orientalium collectio, i. 207 sqq., ii. 69, 594, Frankfort, 1847; V. Thalhofer, Handbuch der katholischen Liturgik, ii. 183 sqq., Freiburg, 1890; G. Rietschel, Lehrbuch der Liturgik, i. 379 sqq., Berlin, 1900; A. Baumstark, Die Messe im Morgenland, pp. 133 sqq., 170 sqq., Kempten, 1906; Julian, Hymnology, pp. 459-460; DCA, ii. 1997; KL, xii. 91-92.

TRISTRAM, HENRY BAKER: Church of England; b. at Eglingham (35 m. n. of Newcastle), Northumberland, May 11, 1822; d. at Durham Mar. 8, 1906. He was educated at Lincoln College, Oxford (B.A., 1844). He was successively curate of Morchard Bishop in 1845-46, lecturer of Pembroke, Bermuda, and acting chaplain of the Bermuda dockyard, 1847-49, rector of Castle-Eden, Durham, 1849-60, and master of Greatham Hospital and vicar of Greatham, Durham, 1860-73. From 1873 until his death he was canon of Durham, of which he had been honorary canon, 1870-73. He was also proctor for the archdeaconry of Durham in 1874, 1880, and 1885, rural dean of Stockton, 1872-76, and of Chester-le-Street (west division) from 1876-80, and rector of Sandhutton, Yorkshire, in 1891; rural dean of Durham after 1880, proctor for the dean and chapter of Durham after 1899, and chaplain to the bishop of Durham after 1901. He was also an extensive traveler and an authority in the natural history of Palestine and the East. He wrote The Great Sahara (London, 1860); The Land of Israel: A Journal of Travels with Reference to its Physical History (1865); Natural History of the Bible (1867); Ornithology of Palestine (1867); Scenes in the East (1870); The Seven Golden Candlesticks (1872); Bible Places: or, the Topography of the Holy Land (1872); The Land of Moab (1873); Pathways of Palestine (2 vols., 1882); Fauna and Flora of Palestine (1884); Eastern Customs in Bible Lands (1894); and Rambles in Japan (1895).

TRITHEISM. See TRITHEISTIC CONTROVERSY.

TRITHEISTIC CONTROVERSY: A controversy of the sixth century which so emphasized the three persons of the Trinity as to lose sight of the unity. Its history is closely connected with that of Aristotelianism in the Church, and consequently with that of Scholasticism (q.v.). The apologists of the second century in their naive impressions of the early faith were not conscious of the inner inconsistency of the doctrine. Again, they were dependent essentially upon Stoicism and Platonism, both of which are speculative and not rigidly logical. The first to recognize the contradiction between monotheism and the Trinity were the Monarchians (see Monarchianism), the modalistic school proceeding from the Stoic logic, and the dynamistic from Aristotelian dialectics. In the succeeding centuries the problem of the reconciliation of trinitarianism and monotheism sank into the background both because of the fact that the Trinity

was held to be a mystery, to be revered with silence and only to be analyzed so far as necessary to refute heretics; and because of a diminished interest in monotheism in the fourth century (perhaps on account of the entrance of certain pagan conceptions into the Church). Men like Athanasius and Basil the Great openly stated that the Christian doctrine of the Trinity was the correct mean between the extremes of the monotheism of the Jews (and the Sabellians) and the polytheism of the pagans. Thus it is clear that not even the suspected followers of the dynamistic Monarchists, the Arians (also Aristotelian), adhered to strict monotheism. To them Jesus was a man exalted by God, a hero or demigod. The mystical obscurity that veiled the doctrine began to lift with the spread of the rigid Aristotelian logic in the sixth century. Scythian monks, chiefly Leontius of Byzantium (q.v.), attempted to reconcile, with the aid of Aristotelian logic, the Alexandrine view of the acts of Chalcedon with the Western. At that time the Aristotelian philosophy led to the tritheistic controversy under Justinian I. (527-565), and Justin (565-578). The application of the Aristotelian logic might lead either to monarchianism or to tritheism, according to the subjective presupposition taken. Characteristic of the age of Justinian is the preference for the second alternative.

The origins of tritheism lie wholly in obscurity. Abulfaraj (q.v.) designates as the first tritheist a certain Johannes Askusnages (q.v.). Greek sources, on the other hand, point to Johannes Philoponos (q.v.) as the tritheistic heresiarch. At all events, tritheism arose and developed within monophysitism (see Monophysites). Johannes set forth his doctrine of the Trinity chiefly in his "Umpire; or On Unity," expressly confessing his Aristotelian basis, and identifying hypostasis and the peripatetic dtomon. According to him, there are many men each with his own "essence," but "through their common form all men are one," so that in this sense they all have the same "essence." In similar fashion he conceived the relation of the three persons of the Trinity, thus introducing an entirely new theory, and to a certain extent identifying "essence" ["nature"] and "hypostasis" by assuming that each "hypostasis" must have a "nature" of its own, and vice versa. Hence, the absurdity of diophysitism was concluded, since if Jesus had two "natures" he must also have two Jesus had two "natures" he must also have two "hypostases." Factions soon arose among the tritheists, chiefly because of the teaching of Johannes that the earthly body is not raised an incorruptible one, but that another is received in its stead. Those of the tritheists who opposed this doctrine were led by Conon of Tarsus (q.v.). No less torn into factions were the antagonists of the tritheists. Among them were the Petriani, who contended that the hypostasis connoted the "properties without the essence"; the Condobauditæ; the Agnoitæ; the Paulianistæ; the Angelitæ, and the Damianitæ (followers of Damianus of Alexandria, q.v.), who taught that neither Father, Son, nor Spirit was God in his own nature, but only in so far as they shared inseparably in the common inherent Godhead, which, common to the three "hypostases," was God in essence and nature (hence called Tetraditæ); and the Niobitæ who held that after the union of the natures in Christ there was no further difference. The tritheistic controversy may be assumed to have been terminated by the invasions of the Persians and Arabs into Egypt, the land which seems to have been its center.

The penetration of Aristotelianism into the West and the rise of scholasticism led to another tritheistic controversy though more restricted. The nominalist Roscelinus (q.v.; see also Scho-LASTICISM) declared that either the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost were tres res, or that the Father and the Holy Ghost had become incarnate with the Son, the former being the more probable. In 1092 Roscelinus was compelled, by a synod held at Soissons, to recant; and when he repeated his views, Anselm of Canterbury refuted him in his De fide trinitatis et de incarnatione verbi contra blasphemias Rucelini. In more recent times the Car-tesian philosophy led some to tritheistic views, such as those of William Sherlock (q.v.) and Pierre Faydit of Paris (d. 1709). Heinrich Nicolai of Danzig (d. 1660), the rationalistic Anton Oehmbs (d. 1809), and the Roman Catholic Anton Gunther (d. 1863) were charged with teaching tritheism. (J. LEIPOLDT.)

Bibliography: The works of Johannes Philoponos (q.v.); Photius, Bibliotheca, xxiv., in MPG, ciii. 60 sqq.; Leontius of Bysantium, in MPG, lxxxvi. 1232D-1233B; Timothy of Constantinople, in MPG, lxxxvi.; pp. 44 sqq.; Sophronius of Jerusalem, in MPG, lxxxvii.; George the Pindian, in MPG, xcii.; John of Damascus, Har., lxxxiii., in MPG, xciv. 744 sqq.; Nicephorus, Hist. eccl., xviii. 47, 49; Abulfara; (for his works see the article), in Assemani, Bibliotheca orientalis, ii.; J. L. von Mosheim, Institutes of Ecclesiastical Hist., i. 431-432, London, 1863; Harnack, Dogma, iii. 90, 93-94, 101-102, iv. 124, 235, 240, vi. 182; and part of the historical literature under Trinity.

TRITHEMIUS, trit-é'mî-us, JOHANNES: German Benedictine; b. at Trittenheim (12 m. n.n.e. of Treves) Feb. 1, 1462; d. at Würzburg Dec. 13, 1516. After a youth of severest privation, he was enabled to begin his theological and humanistic education at Heidelberg, but in 1482 a sudden storm which caused him to return to the Benedictine monastery of Sponheim, near Kreuznach, where he had been hospitably received, led him to think himself divinely called to the monastic life. He was gladly accepted, and through his learning, piety, and diligence so won the esteem of the monks that within a year he was chosen abbot. He could now live the scholar's life; he speedily made the monastery library one of the most important in Germany, and was a friend of the leading humanists of the period. At the same time, he improved the tone of monastery life, both morally and intellectually, and greatly bettered its financial and architectural status. Despite all this, Trithemius was ill adapted to rule a monastery. He made repeated mistakes in choosing his priors, and his administration was marked by vacillation. More than this, he was absent from Sponheim more than was advisable, and his authority slipped from his hands before he was aware. Unwilling to fight for his position, he accepted the invitation of the lamed Lawrence of Bibra, bishop of Würzburg, who, in 1506, made him head of the small abbey of the Irish monastery of St. James in the see city. Here, in retirement and study, Trithemius passed the remainder of his life.

Comparatively few of the numerous writings of Trithemius, which were devoted to theology, history, and occultism (his studies in the latter gaining him the reputation of a magician), were published during his lifetime. To the latter category belong his Steganographia, sive de ratione occulte scribendi (written in 1500; Frankfort, 1606 [see J. E. Bailey, John Dee and the "Steganographia" of Trithemius, London, 1879]); and Polygraphia libri quatuor (written in 1507; Oppenheim, 1518 [French transl., Polygraphie, et universelle escriture cabalistique, Paris, 1561]). Of his theological writings the most important is the Sermones et exhortationes ad monachos (written in 1486; Strasburg, 1516). As a historian Trithemius gained wide fame during his lifetime, but he wrote from a partizan point of view, and even invented sources, as " Hunibald's" Libri octodecim historiarum, which he cited as an authority for the period from 440 to the reign of Chlodowech, or the Fulda chronicler "Meginfrid." These histories have no value except when treating of their author's own times. His theological writings were collected under the title Johannis Trithemii Opera pia et spiritualia quotquot reperiri potuerunt (ed. J. Busseus, Mainz, 1604) and in J. Busmus' Paralipomena opusculorum Petri Blesensis, Johannis Trithemii, et Hincmari (1605); his historical writings appeared as Johannis Trithemii, . . Opera historica (ed. M. Freher, 2 parts, Frankfort, 1601), while J. G. Schlegel edited the Annales Hirsaugienses (St. Gall, 1690); and his letters formed the volume entitled Johannis Trithemii, abbatis Sponheimensis, epistolarum familiarium libri duo (Hagenau, 1536). (A. HAUCK.)

Bibliography: H. A. Erhard, Geschichte des Wiederaufblühens wissenschaftlicher Bildung, iii. 379 sqq., Magdeburg, 1832; Paul, De fontibus a Trithemio..., Halle, 1867; J. Silbernagl, J. Trithemius, Landshut, 1868; K. E. H. Müller, Quellen welche der Abt Tritheim... benutzt hat, Leipsic, 1871; W. Schneegans, Abt J. Trithemius und Kloster Sponheim. Kreusnach, 1882; G. Mentz, Ist es beweisen, dass Trithemius ein Fälscher war? Jena, 1892; ADB, xxxviii. 626 sqq.; KL, vi. 1770 sqq.

TRIUMPHUS, trai'umf-us, AUGUSTINUS (AU-GUSTINO TRIONFO): Italian Augustinian; b. at Ancona in 1243; d. at Naples Apr. 2, 1328. At the age of eighteen he entered the Augustinian order, and studied at Paris under Thomas Aquinas and Bonaventura, later himself delivering lectures. In 1274 he was summoned by Gregory X. to the Council of Lyons, and three years later became chaplain of Prince Francesco Carrara at Padua. Later he was again at Ancona, whence he was called to Naples by Charles II., where until his death he was royal tutor, counselor, and envoy. A steadfast adherent of papal sovereignty, he wrote, in 1308, his treatise Contra articulos inventos ad diffamandum sanctissimum patrem . . . Bonifacium papam, which, while advocating obedience to the French Pope Clement V., urged that the papal throne be filled by Italians. Other writings of this period are Super facto templariorum and De potestate collegii mortuo papa, the first declaring that the pope alone has power to judge heretics, and accordingly disapproving the royal proceeding in the case of the Knights Templars (see Templars), and the second opposing the oligarchic tendency of the college of cardinals, an attitude still further emphasized in his Contra divinatores et somniatores. These thoughts are summed up in his Summa de potestate ecclesiastica, written about 1322 (Augsburg, 1473, etc.; last ed., Rome, 1584), in which the doctrine of papal supremacy over emperor and princes is carried to its utmost extreme.

(R. SCHMID.)

Bibliography: F. C. Curtius, Virorum ex ordine erimitarum... elogia, Antwerp, 1636; E. Friedberg, in ZKR, 1869; Scholz, in Stutz's Kircheurechtliche Abhandlungen, 1903, parts 6-8; J. Haller, Papstum und Kirchenreform, i. 82, Berlin, 1903.

TROAS. See ASIA MINOR, IV.

TROELTSCH, troltsh, ERNST PETER WIL-HELM: German Protestant; b. at Haunstetten (2 m. s. of Augsburg) Feb. 17, 1865. He was educated at the universities of Erlangen, Berlin, and Göttingen from 1883 to 1888 (lic. theol., Göttingen, 1891); was vicar at Munich in 1890; became privat-docent at Göttingen, 1891; associate professor at Bonn, 1892; professor of systematic theology at Heidelberg, 1904; and succeeded Pfleiderer at Berlin in 1908. He has written Vernunft und Offenbarung bei Johann Gerhard und Melanchthon (Göttingen, 1891); Richard Rothe (Freiburg, 1899); Die wissenschaftliche Lage und ihre Anforderungen an die Theologie (Tübingen, 1900); Die Absolutheit des Christentums und der Religionsgeschichte (1902); Politische Ethik und Christentum (Göttingen, 1904); Das Historische in Kants Religionsphilosophie (Berlin, 1904); Psychologie und Erkenntnistheorie in der Religionswissenschaft (Tübingen, 1905); Die Bedeutung des Protestantismus für die Entstehung der modernen Welt (Munich, 1906); Die Trennung von Staat und Kirche (Tübingen, 1907); and contributed to Geschichte der christlichen Religion, in Kultur der Gegenwart, I., iv. (Leipsic, 1909); also Schleiermacher, der Philosoph des Glaubens to Moderne Philosophie (Berlin, 1910).

TRONCHIN, tron-shān, LOUIS: Son of Theodore Tronchin (q.v.); b. at Geneva Dec. 4, 1629; d. there Sept. 8, 1705. He studied at the Protestant academy of Saumur under Moïse Amyraut (q.v.), whose "hypothetical universalism" had been vehemently contested by Tronchin the elder; he became pastor of the congregation of Lyons, 1656; and professor of theology at Geneva, 1661, in which position he represented the liberal trend and advocated tolerance. In 1669 he demanded the abolition of the oath that was imposed on all candidates [in theology], not to attempt any innovations in the Calvinist doctrine. His works were: Disputatio de providentia Dei (Geneva, 1670); De auctoritate Scripturæ Sacræ (1677). G. Bonet-Maury.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: E. and É. Hasg, La France protestante, vol. ix., 2d ed., Paris, 1877 sqq.; J. Gaberel, Hist. de l'église de Genève, vol. iii., Geneva, 1862; C. Borgeaud, L'Académie de Calvin, ib. 1900; Lichtenberger, ESR, xii. 234-236.

TRONCHIN, THEODORE: Orientalist, theologian, and controversialist; b. at Geneva Apr. 17,

1582; d. there Nov. 19, 1657. He studied theology at Geneva, Basel, Heidelberg, Francker, and Leyden; became professor of oriental languages at the academy of Geneva, 1606; preacher there in 1608; and professor of theology in 1618. In 1618 he was sent with his colleague Giovanni Diodati to the Synod of Dort, as delegate of the venerable company of Genevan ministers; and he there vindicated Calvin's theology against the Arminians. In 1632 he was army chaplain under Duke Henri de Rohan, during his final campaign in Valtellina. His works are: Cotton plagiaire ou la vérité de Dieu et la fidélité de Genève, maintenues contre les accusations du P. Cotton, jésuïte, contre la Bible de Genève (Geneva, 1620); De bonis operibus (1628); Oratio funebris de Henrico duce Rohani (1638); De peccato G. BONET-MAURY. originali (1658). BIBLIOGRAPHY: The same as for the preceding article.

TRONDHJEM, trend'yem: Ancient town and seat of the first bishopric in Norway. The town was founded by Olaf Trygveson in 997; the first bishop was probably Sigurd (1032-50). Originally Norway belonged to the archiepiscopal diocese of Hamburg-Bremen (see BREMEN, BISHOPRIC OF), after 1104 to that of Lund, but in 1148 obtained its own metropolitan, who resided in the city. The cathedral, which contained the shrine of St. Olaf (q.v.), was one of the largest and most magnificent in Scandinavia, though it was never fully completed. It was repeatedly injured by fire. In the time of the Reformation the shrine was removed to Copenhagen. The structure has since 1869 been undergoing careful and complete restoration.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: H. M. Schirmer, Kristkirken i Nidaros, Christiania, 1885; Guide to Trondhjem, Trondhjem, 1890; H. Mathieson, Det gamle Throndhjem. Byens Historio 997-1152, Christiania, 1896; H. G. Heggtveit, Throndhjem i Fortid og Nutid, 997-1897, Horten, 1897; Trondhjems 900 Aars Jubilaum, Trondhjem, 1897; Trontheim, in Tronhjemske Samlinger, ib. 1901.

TRUBER, PRIMUS: Reformer in Carniola (in southern Austria); b. at Raschiza, near Auersperg (3 m. n.e. of Triest) in 1508; d. at Derendingen (1 m. s.w. of Tübingen) June 29, 1586. His poverty was such that he was unable to obtain a university education, but in Peter Bonomo, the bishop of Triest, a humanist inclined toward an Evangelical reformation within the Roman Catholic Church, he found a patron who enabled him to enter the priesthood. He became chaplain at Cilli, before 1530, where he began to preach against the abuse in the Church. This led him to Laibach in 1531, where he preached against celibacy, the communion in one species, and for justification by faith alone. Here as early as 1527 a circle of men of an Evangelical cast of mind had collected about Matthias Klombner, which led King Ferdinand I. to forbid their doctrines. In 1536 Truber was joined by the Laibach canon Paul Wiener, who later became the first Protestant bishop of Transylvania, but in 1540 he was obliged to retire as parish priest to Lack, near Ratschoch, and in 1541 the parish of Tüpper was added. He became canon at Laibach in 1542; German and Wendish preacher in the cathedral in 1544; and parish priest of St. Bartho

lomäenfeld in Lower Carniola in 1546. But in 1547 the storm broke over the Evangelicals, and Truber escaped imprisonment only by flight, losing all his benefices and his library. Returning to his home in 1548, he was again forced to flee, and, reaching Nuremberg, an appointment as morning preacher at Rothenburg on the Tauber was secured for him by Veit Dietrich. Here he began to prepare Evangelical writings in the Wendish language and published, under the pesudonym Philopatridus Illyricus, Catechismus (Tübingen, 1550), and "Abeedarium and the Shorter Catechism" (same year). He became pastor at Kempten in 1552, and published the New Testament (Tübingen, 1557-77; 2d ed., 1582); Articoli oli deili (1562), a compendium of the Augsburg, Württemberg, and Saxon Confessions; Ordninga cerkovna, a church order (1564); Ta celi Psalter (1566); Ta celi catechismus, a hymn-book (1567; 4th ed., Laibach, 1579); and Catchismus s ducima islagama (Tübingen, 1575). At the same time many of these works, including the New Testament, were translated into Croatian. In the mean time Carniola had become so thoroughly Protestantized that in 1560 Truber was recalled. In 1562 he removed to Laibach, but in December he and other Evangelicals were tried before the bishop, who, however, was himself confronted by a formal charge of immorality, which, for the time being, halted the proceedings against Truber. The latter's work of organization now went on unhindered. But when, on Apr. 28, 1564, the archduke, visiting Laibach, attended mass at the cathedral, the nobles of the estates attended him to the door, but, turning, went to the Church of St. Elizabeth, where Truber was preaching. This gave opportunity to his adversaries not only to secure the prohibition of the church-order which he was attempting to introduce, but also his perpetual banishment from Carniola. Truber now became pastor at Laufen on the Neckar in Württemberg, 1565-66; and then at Derendingen until his death. For the progress of the Reformation and the Counter-Reformation in Carniola see INNER AUSTRIA, THE REFORMATION IN; also FERDINAND II. AND THE COUNTER-REFORMATION IN AUSTRIA, § 5. (THEODOR ELZET.)

Bibliography: Truber's Briefe, ed. T. Else, were issued at Tübingen, 1898; and the funeral sermon by Jakob Andreä at the same place, 1586. Consult further: H. C. W. Sillem, Primus Truber, Erlangen, 1861; T. Else, Die Superintendenten der enangelischen Kirche in Krain während des 18. Jahrhunderts, Vienna, 1863; idem, Die Universität Tahingen und die Studenten aus Krain, Tübingen, 1877; idem, Paul Wiener, Vienna, 1882; J. Loserth, Die Reformation und Gegenreformation in den innerösterreichischen Länden, Stuttgart, 1898.

TRUCE OF GOD: An institution which originated in France from efforts of the Church to mitigate the evils accruing especially to the lower classes of the people from the quarrels of the feudal nobles. The preliminary measures are more properly designated the "peace of God." Agreements of peace were discussed and settled in synods first in 990 at three assemblies in different regions of South and Middle France—in Narbonne, Puy en Velay, and Charroux near Poitiers. In course of time assemblages for this purpose became more frequent, until they reached their culminating

point in 1034. The lay population, sometimes only the feudal nobility, was bound by oath to observe the restrictions agreed upon. Church buildings and their surroundings, also special classes of people like clergy and monks, at times also pilgrims, merchants, and women, but especially peasants working in the fields, were protected by statute against attacks arising from feuds.

This older movement for peace was followed by the "truce of God" in the proper sense. Its characteristic, in contrast with the older movements, was the fact that on definite days and at definite periods (the so-called binding days or periods), every feud was prohibited; the armistice, thus introduced, was traced back to the will of God. About 1040 the new institution began to take root in the whole of France. It pervaded also Burgundy, Flanders, southern Italy, Spain, and Germany, but did not attain popularity in England. While the peace of older times was dependent upon the number of people who had sworn to it, it became now, under the influence of the papacy, a general church law. The "binding periods" were originally from Saturday evening to Monday morning; but after 1040 they extended from Wednesday evening to Monday morning. It soon became customary to select not only special days of the week, but longer periods for times of peace; as, for instance, Lent and the period from Easter to Trinity Sunday; also the time from Advent to Epiphany. The punishment of violation was usually ecclesiastical, but sometimes secular. After 1100 the practise waned, other restraints having been introduced; in the canons of the Fourth Lateran Council (1215) no mention is made of it. (SIEGFRIED RIETSCHEL.)

Bibliography: A. Kluckhohn, Geschichte des Gottesfrieden, Leipsie, 1857; E. Semichon, La Paix et la trève de Dieu, Paris, 1857; J. Fehr, Der Gottesfriede, und die katholische Kirche des Mittelalters, Augsburg, 1861; Hefele, Conciliengeschichte, iv. 688 sqq., R. C. Trench, Mediaval Church History, pp. 424 sqq., London, 1877; W. E. H. Lecky, European Morals, ii. 254, New York, 1894; P. Hinschius, Kirchenrecht, v. 305 sqq., Berlin, 1893; E. Sachur, Die Cluniacenser, ii. 213, Halle, 1894; E. Mayer, Deutsche und französiche Verfassungsgeschichte, i. 161 sqq., Leipsic, 1899; Neander, Christian Church, iii. 407. Original documents are accessible in Huberti, ut sup.; in MGH, Leg., Sectio IV., Constitutiones, i (1893), 596 sqq.; Reich, Documents, pp. 151-152; Henderson, Documents, pp. 208-211; Thatcher and McNeal, Source Book, pp. 412-419; Robinson, European History, i. 187-191; D. C. Munro and G. C. Sellery, Medieval Civilization, pp. 183-184, New York, 1904

On the separate countries, consult for France: C. Pfister, Etude sur la règne de Robert le Pieux, pp. 161 sqq., Paris, 1885; L. Huberti, Studien zur Rechtsgeschichte der Gottesfrieden, vol. i., Anspach, 1892 (contains all that is really essential). For Germany: E. Steindorff, Jahrbücher des deutschen Reichs unter Heinrich III., i. 337, 448 sqq., Leipsic, 1874; Nitzsch, in Forschungen zur deutschen Geschichte, xxi (1881), 269 sqq.; Herzberg-Frünkel, ib., xxiii (1883), 117 sqq.; G. Waitz, Verfassungsgeschichte, ed. G. Seeliger, vi. 537, Berlin, 1896. For Italy: Bolati, in Miscellanea di storia Italiana, xviii. 373 sqq.; Duc, ib., xxiv. 366 sqq. For England: F. Liebermann, Ueber die Leges Edwardi Confessoris, pp. 59 sqq., Halle, 1896.

TRUDBERT, trut'bert (TRUTPERT): Martyr and founder about 600 of a famous monastery 20 m. s. of Freiburg in the Breisgau; b., possibly in Ireland, in the sixth century; d., according to some, in 607. Legend makes him the brother of Rupert, the apostle to the Bavarians, and states that he

made a pilgrimage to Italy. His day is Apr. 26. His remains were disinterred in 816, and his basilica was rebuilt. His legendary life is preserved in three recensions: one of the early ninth century (ed. F. J. Mone, Quellensammlung der badischen Landesgeschichte, i. 19-21, Carlsruhe, 1845; MGH, Script. rer. Merov., iv. 352 sqq.), a second by Abbot Erchenbald early in the tenth century (ed. Mone, ut sup., pp. 22-26), and a third written in 1279 or 1280 (ed. ASB, Apr., iii. 424 sqq.).

(D. KERLER†.)

Bibliography: Rettberg, KD, ii. 48-50; Friedrich, KD, ii. 1, pp. 607-613; Hauck, KD, i. 340-341. A number of early sources of greater or lesser value are collected in F. J. Mone, Quellensammlung der badischen Landespeschichte, i. 19-26, Carlsruhe, 1848; and in ASB, April, iii. 426-440. Consult further: A. Baur, in Freiburger Diocesan-Archiv, xi (1877), 249-252; also J. G. Meusel, Neueste Literatur der Geschichtskunde, p. 355, Erfurt, 1780; Rieder, Zeitschrift der Gesellschaft für Beförderung der Geschichtskunde vom Freiburg, xiii (1897), 79-104; KL, xii. 120.

TRUE REFORMED CHURCH. See REFORMED (DUTCH) CHURCH, II., § 7.

TRUEBLOOD, BENJAMIN FRANKLIN: Friend; b. near Salem, Ind., Nov. 25, 1847. He was educated at Earlham College, Richmond, Ind. (B.A., 1869), and was principal of Raisin Valley Seminary, Adrian, Mich. (1869-71), professor of English literature in Earlham College (1871-73) and of classics in Penn College, Ia. (1873-74), and president of Wilmington College, O. (1874-79), and of Penn College (1879-90). In 1890-91 he studied military conditions in Europe, and since 1892 has been general secretary of the American Peace Society, and editor of The Advocate of Peace. He has attended nearly all the international peace conferences and has been a vice-president of several of them. He took part in the National Arbitration conferences at Washington in 1896 and 1904, and in the National Peace Conference at New York in 1907. Theologically he describes himself as "orthodox in a large, general sense," and as accepting the historical method of the study of the Bible, although not acquiescing in some of the extreme conclusions of higher criticism. He has written The Federation of the World (Boston, 1899); and International Arbitration at the Opening of the 20th Century (1910).

TRULLAN SYNODS: Two synods held in 680 and 692 in the council chamber of the imperial palace at Constantinople, which had an oval vaulted roof (hence the name, Gk.-Lat., troullos, troulla, "bowl"). The first of these, the sixth ecumenical council, was convened by the Emperor Constantinus Pogonatus, and in eighteen sessions endeavored to allay the controversies aroused by the Monothelites (q.v.). The second Trullan synod was convened by Justinian II. to complete, and form one council with, the two ecumenical councils of 553 and 680. It issued 102 canons, some of which excited the antagonism of the Western Church. It also ignored almost entirely Western synods, thus disregarding all enactments of the popes. The thirteenth canon sanctioned the marriage of the clergy. The thirty-sixth canon, though ranking the patriarch of Constantinople after the pope, made him equal in power and privileges.

The fifty-fifth canon repeated the Eastern prohibition of fasting on the Saturdays in Lent; the sixty-seventh forbade the eating of blood or of suffocated animals; and the eighty-second prohibited the use of certain pictures of Christ as the Lamb of God, particularly those in which John the Baptist was also represented. Though the legates of Pope Sergius I. signed the canons of the synod, when Justinian demanded the signature of Sergius I., the latter refused and absolutely rejected the canons of the synod, because the authority of Rome was lessened. Yet a definite pronouncement of the church was never delivered. Hadrian I., in 785, spoke as if he approved them, but John VIII. (872-882), while not specifically rejecting any canons, declined to approve any which were contrary to former canons, to papal decrees, or to good morals. The Greek Church, on the other hand, has always recognized the Trullan canons as the valid measures of an ecumenical council. (A. HAUCK.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: W. Beveridge, Synodicon, sine pandecta canonum, i. 152–283, Oxford, 1672; F. Walch, Historie de Kirchensammlungen, pp. 432 sqq., 441 sqq., Leipsic, 1759; idem, Historie der Ketzerien, ix. 317 sqq., 387 sqq., 443 sqq., ib. 1780; J. S. Assemani, Bibliotheca juris orientalis, i. 120, 408 sqq., v. 55–348, Rome, 1766; J. C. W. Augusti, Denkwärdigkeiten aus der christlichen Archologie, iii. 124 sqq., 12 vols., Leipsic, 1817–31; A. Pichler, Geschichte de kirchlichen Trennung zwischen Orient und Occident, i. 87 sqq., Munich, 1864; Hergenröther, Conciliengeschiche, iii. 314–347, Eng. transl., v. 206–241, Fr. transl., iii. 1, pp. 539–581; idem, Photius, i. 210 sqq., 216 sqq., Regensburg, 1867; Schaff, Christian Church, iv. 507–510; Mansi, Concilia, xi. 189 sqq., 921 sqq.; KL, xii. 120–121.

TRUMBULL, HENRY CLAY: Congregationalist; b. at Stonington, Conn., June 8, 1830; d. in West Philadelphia, Pa., Dec. 8, 1903. His education was chiefly private. He was in business from 1849 till 1858, when he became state missionary of the American Sunday School Union for Connecticut. On Sept. 10, 1862, he was ordained as a Congregational clergyman in order to go as chaplain to the Tenth Regiment Connecticut Volunteers, and was in the army service till Aug. 25, 1865 (prisoner of war in South Carolina and Virginia, 1863). From 1865 till 1871 he was secretary for the New England department of the American Sunday School Union; was normal secretary of the society till 1875, when he came to his final position, the editorship of The Sunday School Times, published in Philadelphia, of which he subsequently became owner and which he brought to the front rank of Sunday-school journalism. In consequence of his excessive labors he broke down in the winter of 1880 and in Jan., 1881, went for rest and recreation to Egypt and Palestine. He had no linguistic fit ness for oriental or Biblical research, but he de voted much attention to archeology and wrote two volumes which display wide reading and have been well received. The first, Kadesh Barnea (New York, 1884), describes, justifies, and puts in its proper setting what has been accepted as the discovery of the true site of Kadesh Barnea, at Ka dees, visited on Mar. 30, 1881. The second was The Blood Covenant (1885). The last was supple mented by The Threshold Covenant (1896) and The Covenant of Salt (1899), both valuable. ering how busy his life was, his authorship in the way of books was large, for, in addition to those men

tioned, he wrote five biographies, Henry Ward Camp (The Knightly Soldier, Boston, 1865); Elliot Beecher Preston (Hartford, 1866); John Wait Barton (Falling in Harness, Philadelphia, 1867); Henry Hatch Manning (The Captured Scout of the Army of the James, Boston, 1869); and Henry Philemon Haven (The Model Superintendent, New York, 1880), and several books on his specialty of Sunday-school instruction, The Sunday-school Concert (Boston, 1861); Teaching and Teachers (Philadelphia, 1885); The Sunday-school, its Origin, Mission, Methods and Auxiliaries (Yale lectures, 1888); and Principles and Practice (1889).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: P. E. Howard, The Life Story of Henry Clay Tranbull, Philadelphia, 1905.

TRUTH, TRUTHFULNESS.

I. Theory of Religious Knowledge.
Aristotelian Logic (§ 1).
Critique of Kant (§ 2).
Theory of Historical Truth (§ 3).
Religion and History (§ 4).
The Value-judgment (§ 5).
Summary (§ 6).
II. Truthfulness.
Historical (§ 1).
Candor and Orthodoxy (§ 2).
Essentials of Truthfulness (§ 3).

In a treatment consistent with the modern scientific position, truth and truthfulness or reality can no more be separated than "faith which is believed" and "faith which believes." Truthfulness presupposes a "will for truth." Such truth has become a possession that discloses itself to the entire man only as he fulfils certain conditions.

I Theory of Religious Knowledge: For the naive consciousness human knowledge is the inner picture of outer reality. This postulate lies at the

basis of all systems of identity of thought and being. The view pretelian vailed until the time of Kant, and,
Logic. though not wholly overcome, yet since

his day scientific knowledge has come to be contrasted from the naive as critical. Kant opened his critique upon experience, the classified knowledge of experience, or the mathematical scientific knowledge of nature, a sphere in which the identity of thought and being seemed precisely self-evident. All logic (the science of knowledge till Kant) until then was Aristotelian and the logic of the cognition of nature. There was scarcely the inception of a logic of history. Of the Greeks Socrates turned from nature and founded ethics, and Plato's ethics came more and more to be religion. Medieval logic, however, stood upon Aristotle; and how seriously it claimed to deal with experience is best shown in the fundamental contentions over the universal and the particular, a problem occupying anew the inquiry of the theory of knowledge to-day. But upon the point that truth was simply a picture of the real experientially there was no disagreement; and historical, ethical, and religious knowledge was logically conceived in forms derived from the cognition of nature. To the truth thus attained by the natural reason was added in Christian dogmatics, that given by supenatural revelation alone; and yet positively as revelation was preferred, it did not alter the con-

ception of truth as such. There has never been a more unitary universal philosophy than Scholasticism (q.v.), and yet this was but the scientific projection of naive knowing and popular faith. Even the peculiar products of the original knowledge of the mystics, derived by contemplation and ecstasy, were not too remote to be incorporated in the general world-view, under the ruling impression that truth was the image of reality and fundamentally one. The first to waver were the nominalists. William of Occam taught that the most important dogmas contained elements inconsistent with the principle of reason. His pupil, Robert Halcot, was the first to teach the "twofold sense." which the Lateran Council (1515) condemned, namely, that the same thing may be theologically false and philosophically true and vice versa. Luther was a nominalist, repudiated the Aristotelian logic in theology, adhered to the twofold sense, mysticism, and the Bible; he deserved to be recognized as the first theologian of experience, who from his own inner life and conduct arrived at a new conception of truth. Through Melanchthon, reinspired by a new philological, critical edition of Aristotle, the medieval view again gained central place in Protestantism. Meanwhile, philosophy was diverted from dogmatism to become empiricism, sensationalism, or skepticism.

The mathematical physical science of Sir Isaac Newton had attained a degree of certainty, until recently unanticipated and almost unsurpassable. At this point, Kant sought the fundamentals of this drift, and found them by a critical analysis

of human experience. He discovered 2. Critique that the *a priori* forms of time and of Kant. space and the categories of the in-

tellect were the tools whereby the reason reaches into and legislates upon the undefined raw materials of sense, thus first making scientific sense-experience possible. Reason, together with what it contributes, alone enforces itself upon sense phenomena, producing knowledge, to which it imparts strict conformity with law, necessity, and universality. In drawing attention to the universal relations that make knowledge possible, Kant removed knowledge from the things in themselves, which recede to an inaccessible remoteness, into the inner sanctum of the active human spirit itself. He did not surrender it to the empirical individual; but, by logical critical deduction, he set forth pure reason as an inner structure of the human spirit-life, transcending every form of individuality and all empirical psychology, and possessing its own cohesive laws as well as universal validity. Neither has pure subjectivism any claim here; on the contrary only thus is mathematical physical knowledge made possible. The old naive conception of truth with the indulgence of extravagant suppositions on the part of speculative philosophy had to be destroyed, in order, as he professed, to save faith; for to apply the instruments of pure reason to that which is not subject of experience (sense) would lead to unbelief. The truth of the subjects outside of that experience must be approached by another way, that of faith. This way is by the course of the a priori moral law.

Founded upon the experience of the practical reason, the knowledge of freedom, immortality, and God (on the three postulates, see Religion, Philosophy of, I., 3, § 4) is more secure than if derived from the complex of outer experience. This meant the reenthronement of the will in philosophy, which in the Aristotelian metaphysics had become a mere attendant of the intellect (cf. Thomas Aquinas, in Scholasticism, III., 2, § 1). In this realm of the practical reason, ethical truth obtained an impregnable security, and here Kant laid the basis. The doctrine of the twofold sense had become firmly established in principle and method, and notwithstanding recurring attack, it prevails to this day in theology and philosophy.

Certainly there is only one truth; but it does not lend itself so readily to the convenient scheme of reality there and thought here. On the contrary,

in different ways, by means of differ3. Theory ent powers, and in pursuit of differing
of Historical interests, the human spirit avails itself
Truth. of that accessible to it which proves to
be truth. According to the ways pursued, truth is realized as knowledge of nature, of

sued, truth is realized as knowledge of nature, of morality, of religion, or of art. A precipitated generalization, like the popular German "monism" the day, affords no more than an abridgment of the kingdom of realization allotted to man. Thus, by this specialized interest, one side of the truth has come to light only recently, the truth of history. Just as the preparation of the materials of physical knowledge by Newton and his colleagues was necessary to render the critical analysis of Kant possible, so the theory of history, neglected from the time of Aristotle, had to await the preparatory historical research and grouping of material by Leopold von Ranke and his colaborers. Now, the distinction between the processes of knowledge of natural science and scientific history is seriously undertaken as well as an inquiry as to their limits. The human spirit operates under the voluntary impulse toward historical research differently from its method toward the knowledge of natural science. In the latter the process is from the individual as a mere example of the many to the concept of multiplicity, and further to law amidst manifold phenomena. Starting out from the particular, yet essentially indifferent to particularity, the reason ascends by ever repeated and rarer abstractions to the ultimate universal and necessary. But in respect of historical research, the interest attaches to the individual as regards its particularity, singularity, and unity. To invade the mystery of the individual is the specific undertaking of history. This does not mean its isolation; for the experimenter in natural science isolates the individual in order to master its phenomena, but, for the historical investigator, the single indivisible possesses its unity only in its relations, as a social individual. The term individual is not used here to refer only to the single human being, the individual exchange medium, but also to the collective unities, such as the State, the people, or the Church. The correctness of the process with reference to natural science is shown by the applied technical results. While this test is wanting in the other, yet in the sum total of scientific knowledge, historical science presents a conception of history which is equally fundamental to a comprehensive world-view with natural science. Natural science aims to grasp the rational in the universe; historical science, the irrational in the particular and singular in the world: both are essential to a knowledge of the whole.

This examination is of the utmost importance to theology as the science of religion; because at the present hour, the question of the verity of religion resolves itself into an inquiry into the truth of his-

torical religion. In fact, religion and
4. Religion history at present constitute the
and ground themes of theology whereby
History. it is to create its master-work. Two

reasons may be ascribed for the unrest manifested on this account in certain theological and lay circles: (1) the historical critical theology (from J. S. Semler down) has done its earnest work in advance of a clear theory of the relation of history and religion; but the problems of historical theology lie momentarily more in the order of historical theory than in research; (2) the naive or traditional Christianity of many has not yet adapted itself to that advantage which devolves, with respect to the historical material, upon the subjective factor of appropriation by faith or personal conviction independently achieved. No longer is the truth that system of supernatural cognitions and opinions handed down by the theologians from generation to generation. Nor is it simply search for truth without rest or aim (Lessing). Just as for natural science there is in order a " will for natural science," so for religious truth there is requisite a "will for religious truth." The latter is undoubtedly at hand as idea, in general; but as reality it is present only in that receptive subject in which it has become reality. Religious truth is also the common historical property of the religious society, but only so far as experienced and adapted anew in the experience of the individuals. The absoluteness of Christianity no longer rests upon Aristotelian logic and Platonic mysticism, or syllogistic abstractions and the via negativa; but upon the fact that from the time of Jesus Christ there have been men continually who attributed absolute worth to Christianity and gave their life for it. There is no absoluteness on earth but that of personal estimation and conviction. Religion demands no more than that men affirm: thou art true. God requires no more than that men shall fear, love, and trust him in all things. Christ asks no more than that men accept him as the way, the truth, and the life. The relativity of the history of religion can be overcome only practically, each man working for himself and not by proxy in any other way. The only triumphant answer to the relativity of universal religious history is its mission to the world, which is also its necessary complement for the theory of religious truth.

Under these circumstances error has won a different position in the religious system. There is not only tolerable, but also, on the average, necessary, dissonance in the harmony. Not every error is meant, but that of the sincerely seeking man, who doubts in the interest of his own purity and honesty. Also poetry, myth, legend—every activity and endowment of man may serve as

5. The a vessel of truth; whether it is so is in Valueeach case a question of fact, which judgment.

must find its answer partly in the interest of research and partly in the free

terest of reason and partly in the free personal judgment of the moral person. In this connection the value-judgment (see RITSCHL, AL-BRECHT) has become of great importance. does not imply its substitution for the ontological judgment, but it signifies that man as a religious ethical person, together with those of his kind, discovers himself in the midst of a world of values, without the estimation and possession of which he can not live, and the assent to which affords him grasp and support. Man amidst these value-relations is the man of history, the subject of historical conduct and the object of historical science; therefore preeminently the man of religion. In contrast with morality, which is not to be based on the concepts of values and properties (although belonging in this kingdom of values and value-relations) religion, as to its basis and certainty, refers primarily to values and value-relations. Value and truth are its synonyms. Here also absoluteness is to be predicated. In his personal valuations man continuously fulfils absolute estimations. would permit himself to be deterred from this privilege, through the relativity of comparative rational criticism, would no longer be a spiritually sound person. To the Christian who is really such, Christianity remains the true religion. This conception of religious truth approves itself also in Scripture, although the problem of truth then appeared differently. The Hebrew 'emeth, "truth," expresses "firmness," "faithfulness." The Greek alētheia denotes that which is manifest. Universally in the New Testament, truth is the revelation of salvation and happiness, a possession rescuing to life. Hence it is no instruction of the intellect but a worth to be conceived through its appropriation on the part of every religious ethical person. Although from the point of view of purely human observation this appears as the moral act of the one who seeks, yet the peculiar religious judgment is to the effect that the religious man always accepts the knowledge of religious truth as simply a gift or act of God, or a divine revelation; namely, as a finding or being found without merit on the part of the finder.

If, after what precedes, truth is not a mental picture of reality to which mind is passive, but comes

to realization under conditions arising out of the structure of the human soul, then truth can be conceived only from conditions under which the human spirit produces and possesses truth. Always in speaking of truth it is customary to have in mind something without, independent of self, an outer reality; this even in reflecting on the ego; but truth results only as this apparent reality (connoting phenomena with things) is investigated and resolved. Truth comes to light in receiving the effects of the objects of one's interest. How or under what conditions this takes place is for the

inquiry of the criticism of knowledge. This—according to the manifoldness of inquiring interest—may be criticism of scientific, esthetic, moral, or religious truth. Religious truth is the internal grasp of the objects of the religious interest "the will for religious truth"), so far as this is manifest to one who is religiously truthful; i.e., has become a factor of his spiritual possession under the conditions peculiar to religious cognition. See also Revelation: and Religious

TION; and RELIGION.

II. Truthfulness: As duty and virtue, truthfulness has been recognized in the ethics of all nations at all times. Falsehood from selfish

z. Histormotive points, on the other hand, to
ical. radical evil in man. Truthfulness is
demanded in Scripture expressly and

demanded in Scripture expressly and unconditionally (Matt. v. 37; James v. 12). The lax construction in the East of the injunction of the New Testament is illustrated in the work of Chrysostom, "On the Priesthood." Guilty of leading by deception a friend into the priesthood, which then he himself evaded, he greatly exults over the "advantage of deceit." The end justifies the means. Otherwise testifies Augustine in De mendacio (395), and in Contra mendacium (420). In the latter, on a special case, he takes the positive ground of repudiating the lie of pretense for pious objects. This precedent unfortunately was not followed in the mendacious casuistry which reached its worst phases in Probabilism (q.v.) and mental reservation (see RESERVATION, MENTAL). Also on Protestant soil a vigorous construction (Kant, Fichte) was in conflict with a laxer theory (Rothe). In practise a decided improvement has made itself apparent; specially in scientific research and representation, the sense for truth and the will to be truthful have manifestly increased. It is a reproach to the Church that this victory had to be won in combating ecclesiastical antagonism. Moral responsibility for the mistaking of historical fact can first with safety be referred to individuals with the rise of Humanism and the printing of books. The passion for controversy and the interest of partizan conviction on the part of the Reformers also misled some into doing violence to historical truth. The psychology of autobiographies presents a chapter full of interesting riddles. The most admirable enthusiasm for truth can under the circumstances pass over into immoral fanaticism. No longer surprising, then, is the hypocritical pretense of H. S. Reimarus (q.v.), in offering his religion of reason for the security of the religion of revelation (1754), when at least ten years previous he had volunteered a new religion and violently assailed the Christian pretending to defend it. The truthful Lessing afterward had to taste the bitterness of this falsehood, as well as that of the customary anonymous authorship, with which, then as always, was invariably covered something untruthful.

The pathetic complaint of Reimarus was the necessity of double-facedness all his lifetime, and this was the lot of all liberal-minded men under the imminence of orthodox coercion. The situation for science and life has been much relieved; yet the accomplishment of truthfulness in theology and Church is a vital question. The difficulty

W. Koppelmann.

is due to the Church's acting as guardian of the heritage of its members, while it will not grant its officers and representatives of learn-Candor ing the free use of new knowledge before it has been compromised or rec-Orthodoxy. onciled with the old. It is then a matter for individuals or parties to choose between a conservative, a radical, or a mediating position. Mediating tendencies are usually alleged by their opponents to be "counterfeit. This implies the demand that to the words and formulas of tradition must ever be given only their original meaning, while new views must employ new words and new formulas. Such a thing is impossible; for the store of words is limited, and the life of the language must perpetuate itself naturally only through a continuous change of the inherent sense. Even the most rigorous conservative observance of the import of words and formulas can not prevent some shifting of the meaning; for men change, and likewise their relation to tradition. There are no verbal instruments at the disposal of truthfulness other than those offered by a continuously changing language medium. Again, several systematists have drawn attention by placing the problems of truth and truthfulness in the center; namely, in

Every definition of truthfulness as duty and virtue that exhausts itself simply in the agreement of speech and thought on the part of the professor is both trivial and unsatisfying. It is

religion W. Herrmann, and in ethics, among others,

Essentials apt to be wrecked presently on the of Truth- shoals of casuistry. Truthfulness is to be conceived as no less than that duty fulness. and virtue which constitute the ethical person himself and which permeate life uniformly in all its relations to the person. The truthful person is truthful though he be silent or even carries on untrue speech. He is such not only for himself, but disseminates an atmosphere of truthfulness about him. While the regard for the true import and degree of verity of a statement is of great pedagogical interest and solicits frequently the moral verdict upon itself, yet when it comes to the establishment of truthfulness in character and conduct, it all depends on whether men are truthful persons. That means men who do not belie themselves and who prove their uprightness with themselves in their relation with other men and with facts; men who do not deceive God, and hence not themselves or their fellow men; men who from an inner necessity and choice accept things as they are and represent themselves as being what they are. Religion is truthfulness toward God, and morality, if this be granted, is nothing but applied religion. (MARTIN RADE.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The subject is of course treated in the works on Ethics (q.v.) such as R. Rothe's, iii, 537-602, Wittenberg, 1848; and W. Herrmann's, 3d ed., Tübingen, 1904. Three important books are: H. Rickert, Die Grenzen der naturwissenschaftlichen Begriffsbildung, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1896-1902; G. Simmel, Die Probleme der Geschichtsphilosophie, 2d ed., ib. 1905; and S. Eck, Religion und Geschichte, Tübingen, 1907. Consult further: A. A. Cournot, Essai sur les fondements de nos connaissances, 2 vols., Paris, 1851; J. F. Ferrier, Institutes of Metaphysics: the Theory of Knowing and Being, Edinburgh, 1854; W. Windelband, Ueber

die Gewissheit der Erkenntniss, Berlin, 1873; J. Witte, Zw Erkenntnisstheorie und Ethik, ib. 1877; H. P. Biddle, Elements of Knowledge, Cincinnati, 1881; J. Rehmke, Die Wes als Wahrnehmung und Bepriff, Berlin, 1881; H. de Cosoles, La Certitude philosophique, 'aris, 1883; E. de Pressense, Les Origines. Le Problem de la connaissance, ib. 1883, Eng. transl., A Study of Origins, London, 1883; G. Ellinger, Das Verhältnis der öffentlichen Meinung zu Wahrheit und Lüge im 10-12. Jahrhundert, Berlin, 1884; E. Burnouf, La Vie et la pensée, Paris, 1886; F. Grung, Das Problem der Gewissheit, Heidelberg, 1886; E. L. Fischer, Die Grundfragen der Erkenntnisstheorie, Mains, 1887; B. Lasch, Das Erwachen und die Entwichtung der historischen Kritik im Mittelalter, Breslau, 1887; W. Poessnecker, Die Welt als unsere Erscheinungswelt und unsere Gedankwelt, Berlin, 1887; H. Bergson, Essai sur le données immédiates de la conscience, Paris, 1890, Engtransl., Time and Free Will; An Essay on the Immediate Data of Consciounness, London, 1910; A. Schmid, Erkenntnisslehre, 2 vols., Freiburg, 1890; J. Gardair, La Connaissance, Paris, 1895; J. Koestlin, Der Glaube und seine Bedeutung für Erkenntniss, Leben und Kirche, Berlin, 1895; H. Gomperz, Die Psychologie der logischen Grundthatsschen, Vienna, 1896; G. Gory, L'Immanence de la raison dans la connaisance sensible, Paris, 1896; D. I. Jordan, The Stability of Truth, in Popular Science Monthly, i (1897), pp. 642-654, 749-757; S. H. Hodgson, The Metaphysics of Experience, 4 vols., London and New York, 1898; St. G. Miyart, The Groundwork of Science, New York and London, 1898; J. W. Powell, Truth and Error; or, The Science of Intellection, Chicago, 1898; F. S. Turner, Knowledge, Belief and Certitude, London, 1900; J. Mausbach, Die katholische Moral, Cologne, 1901; W. Herrmann, Römische und evangelische Sittlichkeit, 3d ed., Marburg, 1903; W. Koppelmann, Kritik des sittlichen Bewusstseins, Berlin, 1904; idem, Die Ethik Kants, ib. 1907.

TRUXILLO, tru-hil'yō (TRUJILLO), ORDER OF: An order of knights under the Cistercian rule, founded in the thirteenth century, and taking its name from the town of Truxillo (130 m. s.w. of Madrid). The times were not favorable to the maintenance of so many separate orders as were then in existence, and after a brief struggle, the order of Truxillo was united with the orders of Alcantara and Calatrava (qq.v.).

TRYGOPHORUS, trai"gef'o-rus, JOHANNES: German Reformer; b. at Fritzlar (105 m. e. of Cologne) in 1497; d. at Wildungen (8 m. w. of Fritzlar) June 3, 1542. Born of pious parents named Hefenträger (from which the name he assumed was Grecized), he was early destined for clerical life, and two of his sisters were Benedictine nuns. At Erfurt he became bachelor in philosophy in 1517, was ordained priest in 1521, taking the position of confessor to the Augustinian nuns of his native town. The news of Luther's movement early reached the town, and Trygophorus accepted the new Gospel, which he preached, and married a nun, with the result that he had to leave the town. Meantime the Reformation had begun to work in Waldeck, either through literary connections or because of influences from Hesse and Westphalia. The youthful but far-sighted and energetic Count Philip IV., who ruled in the southern portion of the county, returned from the diet at Worms a confirmed adherent of Luther. Philip III., who controlled the northern part, seems to have been led to Lutheranism by his second wife, Anne of Cleves. Conditions were favorable to a complete introduction of the Reformation when the right man appeared. At this juncture Trygophorus was called by Philip IV. to the little city of Waldeck, and his operations were soon successful. In 1531 he was alled to Wildungen, the residence of Philip IV., and there began a work of real significance in esablishing the church of the Reformation in Walleck. He was the leader and initiator of the various steps, introducing catechetical instruction and producing an antiphonary for the church service.

Trygophorus was a man of marked genius and tractical bent, was recognized in the region as an authority in religious matters second only to Luther and Melanchthon, and did no little service by his gifts for liturgics. He was a man of great earnestness and strong will, resolute in his fidelity to strict Lutheranism and in opposition to Roman Catholic or sectarian tendencies, so that the Waldeck church possessed always the character of a strictly Lutheran body.

(Victor Schultze.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: V. Schultze, Waldeckische Reformationsgeschichte, Leipsic, 1903, cf. ZKG, 1907, pp. 60 sqq.

TSCHACKERT, tchak'ert, PAUL MORITZ ROB-ERT: German Protestant; b. at Freystadt (22 m. n.w. of Glogau), Lower Silesia, Jan. 10, 1848; d. at Göttingen July 7, 1911. He studied at the universities of Breslau, Halle, and Göttingen, 1868-74 (lic.theol., Breslau, 1875; Ph.D., Leipsic, 1875), and in 1875 became privat-docent for historical theology at Breslau; associate professor of church history at Halle, 1877; full professor of the same subject at Königsberg, 1884; and after 1889 was professor of church history at Göttingen. In theology he belonged to the school of Tholuck and Julius Müller. Besides his work as associate editor of the Zeitschrift der Gesellschaft für niedersächsische Kirchengeschichte and of the thirteenth and fourteenth editions of J. H. Kurtz's Lehrbuch der Kirchengeschichte (in collaboration with G. N. Bonwetsch; Leipsic, 1899, 1906), and of Die unveränderte Augsburger Konfession (1901), he wrote or edited Anna Maria von Schürmann (Gotha, 1876); Peter von Ailli (1877); Die Päpste der Renaissance (Heidelberg, 1879); Evangelische Polemik gegen die rômische Kirche (Gotha, 1885); Vorteile und Gefahren, welche der Mission aus der Kolonialpolitik erwachsen (Leipsic, 1886); Johannes Briessmanns Flosculi (Gotha, 1887); Georg von Polenz, Bischof von Samland (Leipsic, 1888); Unbekannte handschriftliche Predigten und Scholien Martin Luthers (1888); Urkundenbuch zur Reformationsgeschichte des Herzogtums Preussen (3 vols., 1890); Paul Speratus von Rötlin (Halle, 1891); Herzog Albrecht von Preussen (Halle, 1894); Ungedruckte Briefe zur allgemeinen Reformationsgeschichte (Göttingen, 1894); Magister Johannes Sutel (Brunswick, 1897); Herzogin Elisabeth von Münden (Leipsic, 1899); Antonius Corvinus' Leben und Schriften (Hanover, 1900); Briefwechsel des Antonius Corvinus (1900); Staat und Kirche im Königreich Preussen (Göttingen, 1901); Modus vivendi. Grundlinien für das Zusammenleben der Konfessionen im deutschen Reich (Munich, 1908); Herzog Albrecht von Preussen als angeblich bedeutender geistlicher Liederdichter der Reformationszeit (Königsberg, 1909); and Die Entstehung der lutherischen und reformierten Kirchenlehre samt ihren innerprotestantischen Gegensätzen (Göttingen, 1910).

TUBAL. See Gog and Magog; and Table of THE NATIONS, § 4.

XII.—3

TUCH, tun, FRIEDRICH: German Lutheran; b. at Quedlinburg Dec. 17, 1806; d. at Leipsic Apr. 12, 1867. He was educated at the University of Halle (1825-29), where he became privat-docent in 1830 in the philosophical faculty, lecturing at first on Hebrew and other Semitic languages, and later on all subjects pertaining to the Old Testament. After being associate professor at Halle for a time, he was called, in 1841, to Leipsic in a similar capacity, becoming full professor two years later; in 1853 he became also canon of Zeitz.

The chief work of Tuch was his Kommentar über die Genesis (Halle, 1838), a book distinguished for its grammatical acumen, wealth of information on the topography, flora, fauna, and customs of Palestine, and recognition of the historical kernel in the primitive records of Israel. The major portion of his writings, however, were brief programs and the like. These fall into two groups: linguistic and geographical. Among the former mention should be made of his De Æthiopicæ linguæ sonorum proprietatibus quibusdam (Leipsic, 1854); De Æthiopicæ linguæ sonorum sibilantium natura et usu (1854); as well as of his Einundzwanzig sinaitische Inschriften (1849), though his attempt to prove these Sinaitic inscriptions pure Arabic is now known to be erroneous. In the second category his most noteworthy contributions were: De Nino urbe (1845), proving that Nineveh could have been situated only on the east bank of the Tigris; Reise des Sheikh Ibrahim el-Krijari el-Medeni durch einen Teil Palästinas (1850), and Antoninus Martyr, seine Zeit und seine Pilgerfahrt nach dem Morgenlande (1864), the first treating of a Mohammedan traveler of the seventeenth century and the second of an Italian pilgrim of the late sixth century; and Masada, die herodianische Felsenfeste (1863), identifying Masada with the heap of ruins at the modern Sabbah. Allusion should also be made to his Die Himmelfahrt Jesu, eine topographische Frage (1857), in which he sought to prove that Bethany was the place of the ascension: as well as to his Commentatio de Maisaloth en Arbelois 1 Mak. 9, 2 (1853), and his Quastiones de Flavii Josephi libris historicis (1859). (VICTOR RYSSELT.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: V. Ryssel, in ZKW, 1886, pp. 169 sqq.; ADB, xxxviii. 754 sqq.

TUCKER, BEVERLY DANDRIDGE: Protestant Episcopal assistant bishop of southern Virginia; b. at Richmond, Va., Nov. 9, 1847. During the Civil War he served, despite his youth, on the Confederate side, and after the close of hostilities resumed his studies, being graduated from the Virginia Theological Seminary in 1873. He was ordered deacon in the same year and advanced to the priesthood in 1875, and from 1873 to 1882 was minister and rector in North Farnham Parish, Va. He was then rector of St. Paul's, Norfolk, Va., until 1906, when he was consecrated assistant bishop of southern Virginia.

TUCKER, FREDERICK ST. GEORGE DE LAU-TOUR. See BOOTH TUCKER.

TUCKER, WILLIAM JEWETT: Congregationalist; b. at Griswold, Conn., July 13, 1839. He was educated at Dartmouth (A.B., 1861), and, after

being a teacher for two years, entered Andover Theological Seminary (graduated 1866). He was pastor of Franklin Street Congregational Church, Manchester, N. H. (1866-75); pastor of Madison Square Presbyterian Church, New York (1875-79); professor of sacred rhetoric in Andover Theological Seminary (1879-93); and in 1893 was elected president of Dartmouth College, which position he resigned in 1908. At Boston he founded the social settlement called Andover House, and, in addition to assisting in editing The Andover Review, has written The new Movement in Humanity: From Liberty to Unity (Boston, 1892), and Making and Unmaking of the Preacher (Lyman Beecher lectures at Yale; 1899).

TUCKERMAN, JOSEPH: American Unitarian philanthropist; b. in Boston Jan. 18, 1778; d. at Havana Apr. 20, 1840. He was graduated from Harvard College, 1798; was pastor at Chelsea, Mass., 1801-26; in 1812 founded at Boston the first American society for the religious and moral improvement of seamen; in 1826 took charge of the "Ministry at Large," a city mission organized by the Benevolent Fraternity of Churches in Boston; visited Europe to promote similar organizations, and on his return, in 1838, published Principles and Results of the Ministry at Large. He has a permanent place in the front rank of those who have promoted reform in philanthropic effort. His principal writings were collected under the title The Elevation of the Poor (Boston, 1874).

Bibliography: His life was written by W. E. Channing, Boston, 1841, and by Mary Carpenter, London, 1849.

TUCKNEY, ANTHONY: b. at Kirton, Lincolnshire, Eng., Sept., 1559; d. Feb., 1670. He was educated at Emmanuel College, Cambridge, and took his master's degree in 1622, his B.D. in 1627. He became domestic chaplain to the earl of Lincoln, but, after he was chosen fellow of his college, returned and was a very successful teacher. He then became assistant to John Cotton at Boston, and, after Cotton's departure to New England, his successor. In 1643 he was appointed member of the Westminster Assembly of Divines for the county of Lincoln, and was one of the most active and influential members. After the death of Herbert Palmer, he was made chairman of the committee on the catechisms. He had a chief hand in the questions relating to the divine law in the Larger Catechism, and in the construction of the entire Shorter Catechism.

While at London, he was minister of St. Michael le Querne until 1648. He was made master of Emmanuel College, Cambridge, in 1645, vice-chancellor of the university in 1648, master of St. John's College in 1653, and regius professor of divinity of the university. He was one of the commissioners at the Savoy, but failed to attend. He was silenced for non-conformity. His controversy with Benjamin Whichcote is important as showing the break of a new era in Whichcote, his pupil, out of the old era in Tuckney, the teacher. These eight letters discuss the use of reason in religion, as well as differences among Christians, in a calm, dignified, and charitable spirit. They are models of Christian

controversy. Tuckney's Parliament Sermons and other occasional pieces were published during his lifetime; but his principal works are posthumous: Forty Sermons upon Several Occasions (London, 1676); Prælectiones theologica (Amsterdam, 1679).

C. A. Briggs.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: DNB, lvii. 286–288 (gives references to scattering notices.

TUDELA, BENJAMIN OF. See BENJAMIN OF TUDELA.

TUDESCHIS, NICOLAUS DE. See PANORMITANUS.

TUEBINGEN BIBLE. See BIBLES, ANNOTATED; PFAFF, CHRISTOPH MATTHÆUS.

TUEBINGEN SCHOOL, NEW. See BAUR, F. C. TUEBINGEN, tū'bin-gen, SCHOOL, THE OLDER.

Gottlob Christian Stort (§ 1).
Doctrine of Storr (§ 2).
Criticism of Storr's Doctrine; Works (§ 3).
The School of Storr; J. F. Flatt (§ 4).
F. G. Süskind; K. C. Flatt (§ 5).
Critical Review of the School (§ 6).
E. G. Bengel (§ 7).

The older Tübingen school of theology, important in the Protestant theology of the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries through its concept of "Biblical supranaturalism," owed its rise to Gottlob Christian Storr (b. at Stuttgart Sept. 10, 1746;

d. there Jan. 17, 1805). He was edur. Gottlob cated at Tübingen (1763-68), where Christian he long devoted himself exclusively Storr. to the study of the New Testament,

and in 1769-71 made a tour of Germany, Holland, England, and France, studying and pursuing researches in the libraries of Leyden, Oxford, and Paris. Returning to Tübingen, he embodied his results in his Observationes super Novi Testamenti versionibus Syriacis (1772) and Dissertatio de evangeliis Arabicis (1775), the latter his inaugural address as associate professor of philosophy. He was transferred to the theological faculty, 1777; became fourth professor of theology, special superintendent, and city pastor, 1780; and full professor, second superintendent of the theological seminary, and third morning preacher, 1786; and he was consistorial councilor and chief court chaplain at Stuttgart, 1797-1805. Characterized by unusual acumen, power of combination, and unwearying energy, though lacking in imagination and speculative talent, he acquired a comprehensive education and profound learning. This was supported by a personality distinguished for upright piety and moral earnestness, tempered with a winsome gentleness and humanity, commanding the esteem of friend and adversary alike. Notwithstanding, his sermons (3 vols., Stuttgart, 1806-10) lack warmth and depth of feeling, being dry, prosaic, didactic, and almost wholly constructed of Bible passages. The attention which they commanded can be explained only by the reflection of his venerable and sincere personality.

The accession of Storr to the faculty marked the beginning of a new epoch in the history of the theology of Tübingen. The Lutheran orthodoxy established there late in the sixteenth century had

retained unbroken sway. The Church of Württemberg had remained true to its Biblical trend. its essentially irenic position, and its 2. Doctrine desire to unite theological theory with of Storr. practical religion, traits which it owed specially to the influence of Johann Brenz (q.v.). In the controversies of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries the theologians of Tubingen had stood by the Formula of Concord, without relinquishing their Biblical-practical point of view. Early in the eighteenth century the chancellor of the university, J. W. Jäger (1702-20), in dependence on the method of Johannes Cocceius (q.v.), sought to introduce a system of greater vitality, and his efforts were carried still further by C.M. Pfaff (q.v.) and C. E. Weismann (q.v.), Pfaff tending toward the school of Georg Calixtus (q.v.), and Weismann toward that of Spener and J. A. Bengel (qq.v.). Nevertheless, neither the Bengel school nor the Wolffian philosophy could introduce a new phase of theology at Tübingen, though the former imparted its quiet Biblical stimulus. Meanwhile, in the second half of the eighteenth century, the Enlightenment (q.v.) began to assail all positive Christianity. It thus became necessary to gain a point of view which should retain the inalenable elements of the old truths while changing their forms in adjustment with the new normative influences. Such was the task which Storr desired and sought to accomplish. Abandoning the orthodox substructure, he deemed it possible to lay a sure foundation for scientific theology and dogmatics on the sole authority of divine revelation as contained in the Bible, and attempted to derive the Christian truth from these sources through grammatical and historical exegesis and through systematic logic. He aimed first to prove the authenticity and integrity of the New-Testament writings from historical evidences, and the credibility of the authors from their relation to the events reported, from their characteristic points of view to be identified in the writings, and from the inevitable controlling influence of partizans and opponents. These authenticated Scriptures afford as a result that upon Christ devolves, in the highest sense, the authority of a divine ambassador, which was substantiated by his perfect ethical thought and conduct, but particularly the divine miracles. From this authority follow in order, the truth of his doctrine, the authority of the apostles and the truth of their teaching, the inspiration of the apostolic writings, and, finally, the recognition and inspiration of the Old Testament, so far as the latter is attested by divinely accredited men. This position of Storr was distinguished from orthodoxy by his substitution of the authority of Jesus and his apostles for the inspiration of the Scriptures, by making the Scripture the sole source, even the text-book, of Christian teaching, and by his deriva-tion of not only "human faith" but indirectly also "divine faith " from empirical historical deduction, while in doubt about attributing the virtue of proof to the "testimony of the Holy Spirit." From the Enlightenment he differs sharply by the manner in which he employed historical and logical proofs in the service of the principle of authority. After the

establishment of the authority of Christ and the Bible, he needed no further internal proof of Christian truth from reason or experience. Claiming to deal also reasonably in receiving implicitly upon the attested authority of Scripture what reason is unable of itself to establish out of the nature of the case, Storr thus professes a merely formal principle of authority, the supernaturalism of the Christian truth, and a purely instrumental use of reason. This system was admirably carried out in his Annotationes theologicæ ad philosophicam Kantii de religione doctrinam (Tübingen, 1793; Germ. transl., 1794), in which he maintained that he who refused to credit authorities that had shared the advantage of receiving special experiences, merely because their teachings could not be deduced from the principles of unaided reason, deserted the point of view of true criticism. Such testimonies, on the contrary, should be seriously considered, just so soon as their moral efficacy was firmly established. With respect to the latter, the Christian historical faith indubitably surpassed the pallid, blank belief of pure reason. Storr also employed Kant's postulate of a necessary harmony between virtue and happiness to justify the New-Testament union of religion and morality.

For Storr there can be no occasion for the material influence of any philosophy whatsoever on the content of Christian doctrine. According to him, dogmatics and ethics had simply to combine the results of exegesis, but this was to result largely, as F. C. Baur pointed out, in an artificial congeries of passages from all parts of the Old and New Testaments, without regard to the genetic evolution of Biblical truth. For him there are no writings of the canon but only passages without discrimination of value, which is due to the fact that the principle of unity is not organic but formal authority. With reference to the doctrine of sin and grace, the result of his work seems to be a Semipelagian simplification and moderating of the dogma, satisfying neither deep religious nor scientific in-

3. Criticism terest. Thus he debased faith from

of Storr's divinely prepared receptivity for re-Doctrine; generating grace to an autonomous human moral relation, and regarded Works. the Holy Ghost as a mere factor to aid and complete human activity. On the atonement he based the remission of punishment only on the passive obedience of Christ, accepting unquestioningly the formal equivalence of the passion of Christ with the sins of the world, and deducing from the active obedience of the Savior (to which he was also bound for himself) only the positive results of his exaltation and the beatification of his brethren. In his Christology, Storr, professing to be in accord with orthodoxy on the deity of Christ, but avoiding the Communicatio idiomatum (q.v.), and thus losing hold of the true incarnation of the Logos, perhaps unconsciously approximated a Socinian view of the person of Christ (see MONAR-CHIANISM; also Socinus, Faustus, Socinians). The dogmatic system of Storr is set forth especially in his last important work, Doctrina Christiana pars theoreticae sacris litteris repetita (1793; Germ. transl. enlarged by K. C. Flatt, Stuttgart, 1803), which long enjoyed official recognition in Württemberg. In exegesis he combated the accommodation hypothesis represented by J. S. Semler and A. Teller. His principal critical exegetical works are Neus Apologie der Offenbarung Johannis (Tübingen, 1783); Zweck der evangelischen Geschichte und der Briefe Johannis (1786), a keen and far-sighted study in relation with the Synoptic Gospels, by which, according to Baur, the critical study of the Fourth Gospel was much advanced; and Erläuterung an die Hebrder (1789), containing a treatment of the purpose of the death of Jesus.

The school of Storr, in the narrower sense, was composed of J. F. Flatt, F. S. Süskind, and K. C. Flatt, all his immediate pupils and successors, and

in part his colleagues in the theological faculty. Johann Friedrich Flatt
School (b. at Tübingen Feb. 20, 1759; d. of Storr;
J. F. Flatt. bingen, and appointed professor of philosophy in 1785, was an enthusiastic Kantian. Transferred to the theological faculty in

1792, he lectured principally on Christian ethics, and, besides, on New-Testament exegesis, apologetics, and practical theology, and, for a brief period, 1798, on dogmatics. From 1796 he edited the Magazin für Dogmatik und Moral. The Vorlesungen über Christliche Moral was published (Tübingen, 1823), as were his lectures on the Pauline Epistles (1820 sqq.). Theological contributions were, De deitate Christi (Göttingen, 1788), a prize treatise assigned by the University of Göttingen, at the direction of George II. of England; and Beiträge zur christlichen Dogmatik und Moral (Tübingen, 1792).

Friedrich Gottlieb Süskind (b. at Neustadt-onthe-Linde Feb. 17, 1767; d. at Stuttgart Nov. 12, 1829), educated at Tübingen (1783–88), succeeded

Storr as professor of dogmatics (1798); 5. F. G. and in 1805 as chief court chaplain and Stiskind; consistorial councilor at Stuttgart, K. C. Flatt. where he was appointed director of the council for higher education in 1814.

As a theologian he was enlisted in the solution of the basal problems of apologetics and dogmatics, by the application of philosophy and exegesis. He sharply opposed the contemporary philosophy of religion set forth by Kant, Fichte, and Schelling; and finally came somewhat into accord with the theology of Schleiermacher. He was preeminently the dialectician of the older Tübingen school, but entirely lacking in the speculative power to grasp the organic unity from the point of view of a supreme idea. In his later official position his "categorical and dictatorial" resoluteness often caused offense, especially as redactor of the unpopular Württemberg liturgy of 1809, yet he was a man of the most rigid integrity, and far more stern to himself than to others. He was editor of Flatt's Magazin (1803-12), in which many of his apologetic and polemic articles appeared. Karl Christian Flatt (b. at Stuttgart Aug. 18, 1772; d. Nov. 20, 1843), the younger brother of Johann Friedrich, was educated at Tübingen, after which he traveled extensively in Germany, residing for some time at Göttingen. During this period he devoted himself to

the Kantian philosophy, the results being set forth in his Philosophisch-exegetische Untersuchungen über die Lehre von der Versöhnung des Menschen mit Gott (2 parts, Göttingen and Stuttgart, 1797-98), in which he endeavored to show that the doctrine of the atonement resulting from Kant's system, whereby the forgiveness of sins is determined by the degree of moral improvement, is not only the sole reasonable one, but the only one based on the New Testament. This view he retracted on becoming professor of theology at Tübingen in 1804, apparently on Storr's demand. In his lectures and in his publications later he became in all respects a pliant adherent of the tendency represented by his brother and by Storr. His views appeared in timely articles in Flatt's Magazin. With his call to Stuttgart as collegiate preacher and supreme consistorial councilor in 1812, and with his appointment as director of higher education in 1829 (this carrying with it the general superintendency of Ulm), his literary activity ceased.

These three theologians, following in the steps of Storr, endeavored to wrench from the philosophy of the period concessions in behalf of their own theory of revelation. For the conceivableness of revela-

6. Critical munication of higher truths, they Review of appealed to the limits of human reathe School. son, justifying faith in revelation by alleging its value for the furtherance of morality. This apologetic was inadequate to reveal to view the entire depth of the prevailing

of morality. This apologetic was inadequate to reveal to view the entire depth of the prevailing chasm, or to render justice to the set weight and independent peculiarity of Christian conviction. Their well-meant and not seldom acute defense was hampered in advance by their unvitalised conception of God, and, as a consequence, the externality of their theory of revelation. Another impediment was the absorbent relationship of their own method of demonstration with the leading motive of the very rationalistic mode of thought that they were assailing. Only one result could follow: the rationalizing of their own dogma with increasing measure. The Biblical criticism and exegesis of Storr's school, in like manner, was essentially that of their master, a struggle against the accommodation hypothesis, against the derivation of fundamental Christian truths from contemporary ideas, and against the attacks on the authenticity of the Gospels.

Less intimately connected with this school was Ernst Gottlieb Bengel (b. at Zavelstein, 23 m. w.s.w. of Stuttgart Nov. 3, 1769; d. at Tu-

of Stuttgart Nov. 3, 1769; d. at Tu-7. E. G. bingen Mar. 28, 1826), grandson of the famous Johann Albrecht Bengel Bengel. (q.v.). He became professor of theology at Tübingen, 1806; and prelate, 1820; and chiefly represented historical theology. Even more than the rest of the school, Bengel approximated Socinianism, a result due to the inner relationship of the dogmatic point of view, specially since the supernaturalistic apologetic, too, laid essential stress on the credibility of the Biblical authors and on the purely supernatural character of the revelation imparted through them. The practical rationalism of Socinianism he sought to deepen and complement with the Kantian philosophy, the ethical basis of which he had adopted more fully than the others of the school. Bengel's dogmatic system is therefore to be characterized by the so-called rational supernaturalism (see RATIONALISM AND SUPERNAT-URALISM, II., § 6), recognizing in revelation a supernatural corroboration and representation in fact of rational truth as also a certain amplification. All this is best represented in the ten dissertations on the development of belief in immortality and the relation of revelation to it (cf. Opuscula academica, Hamburg, 1834; also Reden über Religion und Offenbarung, Tübingen, 1831). Characteristic also was his Pelagianism which held the divergency between Protestantism and Roman Catholicism regarding justification to be a mere logomachy, while the concept of faith was transposed to that of moral improvement and change of disposition (Archiv für die Theologie, I., ii. 469, the journal succeeding Flatt's Magazin in 1816; published by Bengel, 1816-26; and renamed Neues Archiv, 1822). Obdurate in his position, Bengel stood at bay to every regenerating philosophical influence, taking notice of Schleiermacher only by reproaching him with "mysticism and pantheism" and suppressing the deviation of his junior colleague, G. F. Bockshammer (1784-1822). This dominating preeminence he was able to maintain by the formal device of satisfying the rationalistic party, by disguising, under the obvious attack upon rationalism, a virtual material compromise with it, and, on the other hand, the Biblical positive view was conciliated by the overtowering supernaturalism. To this his imposing personality in the lecture-room and his commanding power at the head of the university added weight, so that upon his sudden death his loss was deemed irreparable. Other theologians of Tübingen and Württemberg, principally J. C. F. Steudel (d. 1837), C. F. Schmid (d. 1852), and C. B. Klaiber (d. 1836), while clearly representing the influence of the older Tübingen school, yet manifest such a diversification of the original views, specially as affected by the theology of Schleiermacher, that they can scarcely be rated with that school. After its disappearance, the school was again revived and continued, in a certain sense, by the independent Biblical theologian J. T. Beck (q.v.) and his followers. For the later Tübingen School see BAUR, FER-DINAND CHRISTIAN, AND THE LATER TUBINGEN (O. Kirn†.)

Bellography: Consult the works on church history (Kirchequechichte) issued by the Calwer Verlagsversin, pp. 449 sqq., 566 sqq., Stuttgart, 1893; and F. C. Baur, p. 98, Leipsic, 1862; and those on history of doctrine or theology by F. C. Baur, iii. 308 sqq., Leipsic, 1867; W. Gas, iv. 141, 503 sqq., Berlin, 1867; M. A. Landerer, pp. 156 sqq., Altenburg, 1881; and G. Frank, iii. 383, Leipsic, 1905. Also, C. Weissäcker, Lehrer und Unterricht an der compelisch-theologischen Fakultät der Universität Tübingen, pp. 131 sqq., Tübingen, 1877.

TULLOCH, tul'oc, JOHN: Church of Scotland, dvine and educator; b. at Dron, near Tibbermuir (5 m. w. of Perth), June 1, 1823; d. at Torquay, England, Feb. 13, 1886. He was educated at St. Andrew's and Edinburgh; became parish minister at Dundee 1845, and at Kettins, Forfar-thire, 1849, principal and primarius professor of divinity in St. Mary's College, St. Andrew's Univer-

sity, 1854; and senior principal of the university, 1860. His theological standpoint was thus defined by himself: "Broad evangelical. The aim is to see all Christian truth first in its pure historical form—the mind of Christ, the thought of St. Paul, the teaching of St. James; then its living relation to the Christian consciousness—what man needs, what God gives. The historic method, rightly applied, is the primary key to all Christian truth; and the renovation of theology is through this method bringing all Christian ideas freshly into the light of consciousness." He studied theology in Germany in 1847-48 and 1863-64. He was "especially attracted by Neander, and much interested by the problems raised by the Tübingen school and the writings of F. C. Baur, and greatly attracted in late years by Dean Stanley's historical writings and Bishop Lightfoot's critico-historical essays.' was an ardent student of literature and philosophy, and his writings are highly prized. He first came into notice when in Dundee, by his frequent contributions in the Dundee Advertiser; but later by his elaborate articles in The North-British Review, The British Quarterly, and Kitto's Journal of Sacred Literature. Two of his articles—one on Carlyle's Life of Sterling (North-British Review, vol. iv., 1845), the other on Bunsen's Hippolytus (the same, vol. xix., 1853)-attracted wide attention; and the latter so pleased Baron Bunsen that he successfully exerted his influence to press Tulloch's claim to the principalship in St. Mary's College. His appointment when barely thirty years old to this position, one of the most dignified and responsible connected with the Established Church of Scotland, was naturally a great surprise and occasion of unfavorable remark. But he soon proved his fitness for the office. In 1856 he was appointed one of the examiners of the Dick bequest, and so continued until his death. In 1858 he was deputed by the General Assembly of the Church to open the Scotch Presbyterian Church in Paris, and preached there during the In 1859 he was appointed one of her Majesty's chaplains for Scotland, and often preached before the queen at Crathie. In 1862 he became deputy clerk of the General Assembly, in 1875 clerk, and in 1878 was elected moderator. As university head, preacher, essayist, historian, theologian, and in private life he was highly esteemed, his death was sincerely mourned, and his memory is still cherished. Principal Tulloch's chief contributions to literature were: Theism; the Witness of Reason and Nature to an all-wise and beneficent Creator (Edinburgh, 1855), second Burnett prize essay; Leaders of the Reformation, Luther, Calvin, Latimer, Knox (1859; enlarged ed., Luther and Other Leaders of the Reformation, 1888); English Puritanism and its Leaders, Cromwell, Milton, Baxter, Bunyan (1861); The Christ of the Gospels and the Christ of Modern Criticism (1864), on Renan's Vie de Jésus; Rational Theology and Christian Philosophy in England in the Seventeenth Century (2 vols., 1872); Pascal (1876); The Christian Doctrine of Sin (1877); Modern Theories in Philosophy and Religion (1884); Movements of Religious Thought in Britain during the Nineteenth Century (1885); National Religion in Theory and Fact (1886), two volumes of sermons-Some Facts of Religion and

Life (1877), and Sundays at Balmoral (1887), as well as occasional sermons, addresses, and the like.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Mrs. M. O. Oliphant, Memoir of the Life of John Tulloch, Edinburgh, 1888; W. Knight, Principal Shairp and his Friends, London, 1888; A. K. H. Boyd, Twenty-five Years of St. Andrews, 2 vols., ib. 1892-93; DNB, Ivii. 307-310.

TUNICLE or DALMATIC. See VESTMENTS AND INSIGNIA, ECCLESIASTICAL.

TUNKERS. See DUNKERS.

TUOTILO. See SAINT GALL, § 2.

TURGOT, tür'gō' (JOHANNES TURGOTUS):
Bishop of St. Andrews; d. at Durham Aug. 31, 1115.
He was born in Lincolnshire of good Saxon family, fled to Norway after the Norman conquest, and prospered there. After a time he undertook to return to England, lost his property by shipwreck, and entered the monastery at Jarrow in 1074. He became prior of Durham in 1087, archdeacon about

1093, in which year he assisted in laying the foundation of the new cathedral. He was confessor, friend, and confidential adviser of Queen Margaret of Scotland (d. 1093; see Margaret, Saint), and in 1107 was appointed bishop of St. Andrews by her son Alexander, but, owing to a dispute as to the authority of the archbishop of York over the Scotlish Church, was not consecrated till Aug. 1, 1109; the controversy continued to trouble him till his death. He is the probable author of a life of St. Margaret (printed in ASB, June, ii. 320–340, where it is ascribed to an otherwise unknown Theodoricus; Eng. transl. by W. Forbes Leith, 3d ed., Edinburgh, 1896); also of Historia ecclesiæ et episcoporum Dunelmensium, published in H. Wharton's Anglia sacra, i. 705–717, London, 1691.

Bibliography: T. Wright, Biographia Britannica literaria, ii. 70-73, London, 1846; ASB, June, ii. 320-322; J. L. Low, Durham, London, 1881; W. F. Skene, Celtic Scotland, 2d ed., 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1886-90; DNB, lvii. 326-327.

TURKEY.

I. Statistical and Political.

The Empire; the Governing Race (§ 1).

Constitution; Ecclesiastical Control
(§ 2).

II. Protestant Missions. General (§ 1). American Board (§ 2). Other Missions (§ 3).
Bible Societies (§ 4).
Results (§ 5).
III. Roman Catholic Missions.

I. Statistical and Political: [Turkey is a composite empire, since 1908 a constitutional monarchy, having possessions or dependencies in three continents—Europe, Asia, and Africa. Its principal boundaries are: on the north Austria, Servia, Bulgaria,

and the Black Sea; on the northeast
r. The and east Russia, Persia, and the PerEmpire; sian Gulf; on the south the Indian
the Govern-Ocean, the Libyan Desert, and the
ing Race. Sahara; and on the west, in Europe, the

Ionian Sea and Adriatic. Its possessions are in Africa, Egypt, Tunis, and Tripoli until 1911, when Italy annexed it. Its area was estimated (1909) at 1,565,000 square miles, and its population, principally Mohammedan, at 35,400,000. For the distribution of the population among the faiths professed only estimates are available. Thus for the Ægean Islands the numbers given (1909) are 296,800 Christians, 27,200 Mohammedans; for Asia Minor, 7,179,900 Mohammedans, 576,200 Armenians, 972,300 other Christians, 184,600 Jews and others; for Armenia, 1,795,800 Mohammedans, 480,700 Armenians, 165,200 other Christians, 30,700 Jews and others. The number of mosques in the empire are 2,120; of Mohammedan clergy, 11,600, of whom the Sheik-ul-Islam is chief.] The Ottoman Turks who founded the Turkish Empire first appeared in Asia Minor in the thirteenth centurya small tribe of 400 families—coming from Central Asia. As conquerors and as rulers over conquered races they have never been surpassed. At the beginning of the fourteenth century they had established a kingdom under Othman, and this dynasty has ruled in an unbroken succession for more than 600 years. In 1326 they captured Brusa and made it their capital. Before the end of the century they had extended their empire to the Danube in Europe and in 1453 they captured Constantinople. In 1529 they were besieging Vienna. Before this, in 1517, they had made themselves masters of Syria, Arabia,

and Egypt, and Sultan Selim had won for his house the califate of Islam. The Ottoman Turks were already converted to Mohammedanism when they entered upon their career of conquest, and for 400 years the constitution of the government has been strictly Mohammedan. Since the time of Selim the claim of the sultans to be the califs of the Mohammedan world has been generally recognized on account of their ability to maintain it and their possession of the holy cities, in spite of the fact that the prophet himself declared that the calif must be an Arab of the tribe of Koreish. The sultans have always been absolute autocrats, and the law of the empire has been the Sheraat, which is based upon the Koran, the traditions, and the decisions of the distinguished doctors of the law. Under pressure from the powers of Europe a body of civil law based upon the Code Napoléon was added to the Shéraat some fifty years ago and courts established to administer it—but the results have been very unsatisfactory and the government has never been more arbitrary and tyrannical than during the past thirty years—and never more fanatically Mohammedan.

July, 1908, seemed to mark the dawn of a new era in Turkey. The Ottoman Turks had seen the power of their empire declining and its extent diminishing for 200 years, while the power and influence of Christian Europe dominated the world.

The palace camarilla which ruled in 2. Constitu- the name of Sultan Abd-ul-Hamid had tion; Eccle- not only oppressed and massacred the siastical Christian subjects of the empire, but Control. had crushed the spirit of the Turks.

Some 50,000 of the more intelligent and enlightened of them had been put to death or exiled. Many had fled to Europe. There they organized a revolution which is expected to transform Turkey into a free, constitutional empire—with equal rights for all. The watchwords of the new

régime are liberty, equality, fraternity, and justice. The sultan was not at first deposed, but was made to accept the constitution—which recognizes the sovereignty of the dynasty of Othman, Mohammedanism as the religion of the State, and the sultan as calif of Islam, but promises religious liberty, freedom of the press, freedom of speech, equal rights, and equal duties for all races and religions—secured by a parliament where all are equally represented and by a reformed judiciary. In 1909 an attempt was made to subvert the constitution, but Abd-ul-Hamid was shown to have been concerned in the attempt and was deposed, and his brother, Mohammed V., was raised to the throne. This revolution is the work of the same Ottoman Turks as have ruled the empire for 600 years. They constitute about one-fifth of the population of the empire and hope that a strong and regenerated Turkey will restore their influence in the Mohammedan world. It remains to be seen how far it is possible to graft these Christian principles upon Mohammedanism and how far the Christian nationalities in the empire will consent to give up the special privileges which have been assured to them ever since the capture of Constantinople, and have served to protect their national churches from destruction. The Arabs, Albanians, Kurds, and other Mohammedan races have never loved the Turks, while the Christian races have always hoped and prayed for the decay and disappearance of the Turkish rule. In 1909 in Constantinople, officially recognized by the Porte, there were patriarchs of the Armenian, Armenian Catholic, Latin and Orthodox (Greek) churches, the exarch of the Bulgarian church, the vekil of the Protestants, and the Haham Bashi of the Jews. They are appointed by the sultan and have considerable civil as well as exclesiastical authority over their flocks. In these organizations political interests have often taken the place of the concerns of religion, and, except the Protestants and Catholics, none of these religious bodies have done anything since the Turkish conquest to propagate their faith. As these communities are protected by European powers it will be impossible for the Turks to deprive them of these privileges by force, and their political interests and aspirations will lead them to cling as far as possible to these separate organizations.

II. Protestant Missions: The Protestant Reformation in Europe was not without influence in Turkey, and some of the highest ecclesiastics of the Orthodox church were more or less in sympathy with it. But the people were too ignorant and too isolated to be reached by any movement from with-

out; and Protestantism was practically I. General. unknown to them until the establishment of Protestant missions in Turkey,

early in the present century. These missions have been confined almost exclusively to the Jews and the Oriental Christians. Thirty-one societies are engaged, including the Church Missionary Society, the Society for the Propagation of the Gospel, the London Jews Society, the Established Church of Scotland, the United Free Church of Scotland, the Irish Presbyterian Mission, the Palestine Church Missionary Society, the British Syrian School Society, the

Lebanon Schools Committee, the Society for Promoting Female Education in the East. All of these are British organizations; and in addition to these there are several independent enterprises, mostly schools, conducted by the English. The American societies are the American Board of Commissioners for Foreign Missions, the Presbyterian Board of Missions, the Reformed Presbyterian Mission, the Christian (Campbellite) Mission, the Society of Friends (American and English). There are also a number of publication societies, both English and American, which have agents in Turkey or work through the missionaries. The most important are the British and Foreign Bible Society, the American Bible Society, the American Tract Society, the London Religious Tract Society. The German missions are the Kaiserswerth Deaconesses, the Krishona Missions, and the Jerusalem Verein. These societies employ about 450 missionaries and assistant missionaries, and about 1,800 native assistants. The whole number of Protestants in Turkey is estimated at 100,000, of whom about 25,000 are communicants.

First of these organizations stands the American Board of Commissioners for Foreign Missions, which originally represented the Presbyterian, Reformed (Dutch), and Congregational churches of America, but since 1870 only the last. The work of this board in Turkey was commenced in 1819, when two missionaries, Messrs. Fisk and

2. American Parsons, were sent out to begin work at Jerusalem. This mission was never Board. fairly established, but in 1823 the Syrian mission was commenced at Beirut. The Armenian mission was founded at Constantinople in 1831, and the Jewish mission in 1832, the Assyrian mission in 1849, and the Bulgarian in 1858. Several missionaries have at times been appointed to work among the Mohammedans, but without any permanent result. There was a time, after the Crimean war, when the government tolerated work for the Mohammedans and there were a few converts. But in 1865 this toleration ceased, and for the last thirty years it has been impossible for a Moslem to abjure his faith and remain in the country. It remains to be seen how far the religious liberty now promised will be extended to Mohammedans. The board has now four distinct missions in Turkey—the European, Western, Central, and Eastern Turkey missions; and its work is chiefly among the Armenians, Bulgarians, and Greeks. The missionaries at first had no intention of establishing an independent Protestant church in Turkey, but sought rather to reform the existing Christian churches. The peculiar constitution of the Turkish empire, which not only gave civil power to the patriarchs, but treated as an outlaw every person not belonging to some established church, together with the violent animosity of the ecclesiastics against Evangelical teaching, finally forced the missionaries to found a Protestant church, or, more properly, a Protestant civil community, which was recognized by the Porte in 1850, through the influence of England. In 1910 the American Board had in Turkey 354 male and female missionaries They also supported, wholly or in part, 1,355 native pastors, preachers, teachers,

etc. They have 353 stations and sub-stations, with 16,031 communicants. They have 411 schools of all grades, with about 20,000 pupils in all. They have printed and circulated, since the establishment of the missions, over 3,000,000 books. There are seven colleges connected with the missions of the board—at Aintab, Kharpoot, Marsovan, Marash, Tarsus, Smyrna, and Constantinople—with 1,461 students. The colleges at Constantinople and Marash are for girls.

The mission to Syria was transferred by the American Board in 1870 to the Presbyterian Church, and reports the following statistics for 1910: missionaries, 38; native laborers, 194; churches, 29; communicants, 2,819; theological and high schools, 9; high schools for girls, 3; common schools, 91;

printed from beginning, 23,395,410
3. Other books. The Reformed (Dutch) Church Missions. In America in 1894 adopted a mission which had been started as an independent work in Arabia, about the Persian Gulf. There are thirteen missionaries, and their object is to reach the Mohammedans with the Gospel of our Lord Jesus Christ. The missions to the Jews in Turkey are conducted by the London Jews Society, which has 5 stations, 7 missionaries, 2 medical missionaries, 6 helpers, and 6 schools; the church of Scotland, which has 5 stations, 5 missionaries, 1 medical missionary, 6 helpers, and 6 schools; the Free Church of Scotland, which has 2 stations, 2 missionaries, 2 helpers, and 3 schools. In all there are four organized churches. It is supposed that the wives of the missionaries are not included in these statistics, as they are in those which precede them.

The British and Foreign Bible Society has eleven depots and depositories in Turkey, with a central agency at Constantinople. It now employs thirty-

three colporteurs. It commenced work 4. Bible in Turkey about 1806. It has circu-Societies. lated the Bible in thirty-five languages, to the number of about 2,500,000 volumes. The American Bible Society has a central agency at Constantinople. Its most important branch is at Beirut; but it operates through all the stations of the American missions. It now employs 50 colporteurs. It circulates the Bible in 26 languages, and the total number of volumes circulated since 1858 is about 750,000. Both of these societies have worked in such close connection with the missionary societies, and have so generally depended upon the missionaries for their translations and for the work of publication, that it is impossible to say exactly how large a proportion of the volumes reported above is included in the statistics already given in connection with the missions. Up to 1858 the missionaries acted as agents of the American Bible Society. Robert College, founded 1863, at Constantinople, and the Syrian Protestant College at Beirut, are independent, endowed institutions, not connected with any missionary society; but they are the fruit of missionary work. Robert College has 45 professors and instructors, and 450 students. Its course of instruction is similar to that of the best American colleges. The Syrian Protestant College has a medical department and a commercial school in addition to its college course, and was founded in 1866. It has 60 professors and instructors, and 700 students. These colleges are both American institutions, and in both the language of instruction is English. Their students represent almost all the languages, religions, and nationalities of the East.

Of late years most of the missions in Turkey have given prominence to medical work, and a number of hospitals have been established at

5. Results. the mission stations. The most important connected with American missions are at Beirut, Aintab, Cæsarea, Marsovan, Van, and Bahrein, and there are dispensaries for medical aid at most of the stations. This work reaches all races and religions, and its influence is constantly increasing. The real influence of Protestant missions in Turkey can not be measured by any such statistics as those given above. It has been not only religious, but intellectual, social, and political. It has modified the character of the Oriental churches, and to some extent reformed them. It has carried Western ideas and Christian civilization into the darkest corners of the empire. Many English statesmen familiar with Turkish affairs have declared that American missionaries have accomplished more for the regeneration of the East than all other influences combined. Lord Stratford de Redcliffe and Lord Shaftesbury may be mentioned, among others, as having expressed this opinion.

III. Roman Catholic Missions: Neither the Roman Catholic authorities nor the French embassy at Constantinople are ready to furnish the statistics of Roman Catholic missions in Turkey; although an offer was made to publish what they might furnish, without note or comment. Without such statistics, only general statements can be made. All Roman Catholic missions in Turkey were, until recently, political agencies of the French Government, and as such received pecuniary aid and diplomatic support. In return for this they were expected to propagate and sustain French influence under all circumstances. The principal Roman Catholic organizations in Turkey are the Lazarists, Mechitarists, Franciscans, Dominicans, Capuchins, Carmelites, Jesuits, and various organizations of Sisters of Charity. For many years past they have made but little apparent progress in winning converts from other Christian churches, and they have not attempted to convert Mohammedans. For a time the Bulgarians, after their conversion to Christianity, inclined toward Rome; but they finally united with the Eastern Church; and only a small body of Paulicians are now Roman Catholics. Since the commencement of the conflict between the Bulgarians and the Greek Patriarch, great efforts have been made to win the Bulgarians over to Rome; and, since the expulsion of the religious orders from France, this mission has been largely reenforced, and French protection has been offered to converts, especially in Macedonia. The results have thus far been small. In Albania there is a strong Catholic element. Among the Greeks no progress has been made for fifty years. There is a rich and influential Armenian Catholic Church in Turkey, which during the eighteenth century suffered terrible persecution; but this church has during the past few years been distracted by dissensions, growing out of an effort, on the part of Rome, to Latinize it. Several thousand families have gone back to the old Armenian church.

Among the Arabic-speaking races, the Roman Catholics have won over many of the Jacobites, control the Maronites of Syria, have some influence among the Greeks and Copts, and of course maintain establishments in Tripoli and Tunis. In addition to the native Roman Catholics, there is all through the empire a large foreign population, which is generally Roman Catholic and contributes to the support of the missions. In fact, much of the infuence of this faith in Turkey has always come from the diplomatic, consular, and commercial establishments maintained here by Roman Catholic countries. The native Christians have always been taught to feel, that, in becoming Roman Catholics, they became in some sense Europeans, and shared in some degree the honor and immunities of foreigners. In addition to these social and political advantages afforded to converts, the Roman Catholic missions have founded churches, schools, hospitals, and orphanages, monasteries, convents, and seminaries. Their schools have always been of a low order; but they have taught the French languge, and such accomplishments as took the fancy of the people. Until the establishment of Protestant missions, they were, no doubt, the best schools in the country. Of late years, whatever progress has been made has been due chiefly to the work of the Sisters of Charity in hospitals, orphanages, schools, and house-to-house visitation. They are to be found everywhere; and, although generally ignorant and bigoted, they are indefatigable workers, well trained to obedience, self-sacrificing, and wholly devoted to these works of Christian charity.

The number of Roman Catholic missionaries in the empire, native and foreign, male and female, including the ecclesiastics of the native Roman Catholic churches, can not be less than 3,000. There is no means of estimating the annual expenditure, but the Roman Catholic missions have certainly been more successful than the Protestant in "living on the country." They depend much less, in proportion to their numbers, upon foreign aid.

It is not easy for a Protestant to form an estimate of the success of Roman Catholic missions. They have no doubt planted the church so firmly in this empire that it can stand by itself without foreign aid; but they have done nothing toward converting the Mohammedans, and have made no progress in winning over the oriental churches to a union with Rome. They have not essentially weakened these churches, nor have they made converts enough to enter into any rivalry with them.

George Washburn.

GEORGE WASHBURN.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Besides the literature under Armenia;
Syria; and Syrian Church, consult on the history and
life: J. W. Zinkeisen, Geschichte des osmanischen Reiches
in Europa, 7 vols., Hamburg, 1840-63; J. L. Farley,
Modern Turkey, London, 1872; idem, Turke and Christians, ib. 1876; J. Baker, Turkey in Europe, ib. 1877;
T. Milner, The Turkish Empire; Sullan, Territory and
People, ib. 1877; E. L. Clark, The Races of European
Turkey, Edinburgh, 1878; idem, Turkey, New York, 1883;
E. J. Davies, Life in Asiatu Turkey, London, 1879; J.

Creagh, Armenians, Koords, and Turks, 2 vols., ib. 1880; H. F. Toser, Turkish Armenia and Eastern Asia Minor, ib. 1881; J. M. N. Brodhead, Slav and Moelem, Historical Sketches, Aiken, 1894; S. L. Pools, The Mohammedan Dynasties, Westminster, 1894; R. Davey, The Sultan and his Subjects, New York, 1897; Mrs. W. M. Ramssy, Everyday Life in Turkey, London, 1903; L. M. Garnett, Turkish Life in Town and Country, London and New York, 1904; idem, Turkey of the Ottomans, ib. 1911; M. Sykes, Darul-Islam: a Record of a Journey throughten of the Asiatic Provinces of Turkey, New York, 1904; W. S. Monroe, Turkey and the Turks. An Account of the Lands, Peoples and Institutions of the Ottoman Empire, Boston, 1907, London, 1908; G. F. Abbot, Turkey in Transition, New York, 1909; L. Collas, Histoire de l'empire ottoman jusqu'à la révolution de 1909, Paris, 1910. And on missions and churches: The Star in the East; Quarterly Record of the Progress of Christian Missions within the Turkish Empire, London, 1883; Hislire, La France catholique en orient durant les trois derniers siècles, Paris, 1902; E. von Mülinen, Die lateinische Kirche im türkischem Reiche, 2d ed, Berlin, 1903; W. A. Essery, The Ascending Cross. Some Results of Missions in Bible Lands, London, 1905; J. E. H., One Hundred Syrian Pictures, Illustrating the Work of the Syrian Mission, ib. 1903; C. Lagier, Byzance et Stamboul: nos droits français et nos missions en orient, Paris, 1905; N. Jorga, Geschichte des osmanischem Reiches, 3 vols., Gotha, 1907–10; J. L. Barton, Daybreak in Turkey, Boston, 1909.

TURLUPINS: A medieval sect akin to the Beghards (q.v.), like whom they called themselves " the fellowship of poverty." The origin and meaning of the derisive epithet "Turlupins" are obscure. They seem to have been especially numerous in Paris and the province of Isle-de-France during the reign of Charles V. (1364-80), while in 1460-65 they were in the vicinity of Lille. According to their tenets, which are known only from their opponents, "in-They ward prayer" was the sole religious duty. carried their endeavor to imitate apostolic poverty to such an extreme that they went almost naked. In their gatherings, which were secret, they are said to have laid aside all their garments to symbolize paradise, and it is also said that they held that those who had reached a certain stage of perfection could no longer sin, and might indulge sensual impulses without hesitation. The Inquisition proceded unsparingly against the Turlupins, and Gregory XI. praised the king for his zeal against them, but they did not entirely disappear from France until the second half of the fifteenth century.

(Eugen Lachenmann.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: J. Gerson, Opera, ed. Du Pin, Antwerp, 1706; J. Hermant, Hist. des hérésies, iv. 374, Rouen, 1726; P. Fredericq, Corpus documentorum inquisitionis... Neerlandica, i. 409-412, The Hague, 1889; H. C. Lea, History of the Inquisition of the Middle Ages, ii. 126, 158, New York, 1906; KL, xii. 147-148.

TURNER, ARTHUR BERESFORD: Church of England bishop of Korea; b. at Farley (4 m. e. of Salisbury), Wiltshire, Aug. 24, 1862. He was educated at Keble College, Oxford (B.A., 1885), and was ordained to the priesthood in 1888. After being curate of Watlington, Oxfordshire (1887–89), Downton, Salisbury (1889–92), and St. Nicholas Cathedral, Newcastle-on-Tyne (1892–96), he was a missionary in Korea (q.v.) from 1896 till 1905, when he was consecrated bishop of that country.

TURNER, FRANCIS: Church of England bishop; b. probably at Fecham, Surrey, c. 1638; d. in London Nov. 2, 1700. He was educated at Winchester and at New College, Oxford (B.A., 1659; M.A.,

1663; B.D. and D.D., 1669); became rector of Therfield, Hertfordshire, 1664; fellow of St. John's College, Cambridge, 1666; prebend for Sneating at St. Paul's, London, 1669; master of St. John's College, Cambridge, 1670, and vice-chancellor, 1678; rector of Great Hasely, Oxfordshire, 1683; dean of Windsor and bishop of Rochester, 1683; was translated to Ely, 1684; preached the sermon at the coronation of James II., Apr. 23, 1685; joined in the protest of the seven bishops against the king's declaration for liberty of conscience, 1688; refused the oath of allegiance to William and Mary and was suspended, 1689, and deprived, 1690; was arrested but discharged, 1696. He was a controversialist, and evoked a sharp retort from Andrew Marvell. Besides letters and occasional sermons, he wrote Brief Memoirs of Nicholas Ferrar (2d ed., London, 1837).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: A. & Wood, Athenæ Oxonienses, ed. P. Bliss, iv. 545, 619, and Fasti, vol. ii. passim, London, 1813–20; T. Lathbury, Hist. of the Nonjurors, ib. 1862; W. H. Hutton, The English Church (1625–1714), pp. 228, 240, ib. 1903; DNB, lvii. 336–337.

TURNER, HENRY McNEAL: African Methodist Episcopal bishop; b. at Newberry Court House, S. C., Feb. 1, 1834. In his boyhood he lived in the cotton fields of his native state and learned to read and write by his own exertions, while as a servant in the Abbeville Court House, and later in a medical college at Baltimore, he widened his knowledge. In 1853 he was licensed as a preacher in the Methodist Episcopal Church South and traveled extensively in the southern states. In 1858 he became a member of the African Methodist Episcopal Church and soon joined the Missouri conference, in which he became an itinerant minister. In the fall of the same year he was transferred to the Baltimore Conference, where he remained four years, during which he completed his education at Trinity College. In 1862-63 he was pastor of Israel Church, Washington, D. C., and during the Civil War was chaplain of the First Regiment of United States Colored Troops. At the close of the war, he was commissioned chaplain in the regular army and was detailed to the Freedmen's Bureau in Georgia. He returned to the ministry in 1866 and was active also in educational and political affairs. He was elected a member of the Georgia constitutional convention in 1867 and in the following year entered the legislature of the same state, where he remained two terms (1868-72). He was then appointed successively postmaster of Macon, Ga., in 1870, inspector of customs in 1874, and United States secret detective in 1875. In 1876 the general conference of his denomination elected him general manager of its publications, with his residence at Philadelphia, and in 1880 he was chosen bishop. He is an ardent advocate of the return of the negroes to Africa, where he holds that they should build up a nation of their own, and he has organized four annual conferences in Africa at Sierra Leone, Liberia, Transvaal, and South Africa. He has written African Methodist Episcopal Hymnal (Philadelphia, 1876); African Methodist Episcopal Catechism (1877); and Methodist Polity (1889).

TURNER, SAMUEL HULBEART: Protestant Episcopal; b. in Philadelphia Jan. 23, 1790; d. in

New York Dec. 21, 1861. He was graduated from the University of Pennsylvania, 1807; settled as pastor at Chestertown, Md., 1812; became professor of historic theology in the General Theological Seminary, New York, 1818, and from 1821 till his death was professor of Biblical learning. He was a sound and able commentator. He translated, with Bishop Whittingham, Jahn's Introduction to the Old Testament (New York, 1827), and Planck's Introduction to Sacred Philology and Interpretation (1834); wrote commentaries upon the Greek text of Hebrews (1852), Romans (1853), Ephesians (1856), Galatians (1856); prepared Companion to the Book of Genesis (1841); Biographical Notices of some of the most Distinguished Jewish Rabbies, and Translations of Portions of their Commentaries and Other Works (1847); Thoughts on the Origin, Character, and Interpretation of Scripture Prophecy (1852); Teachings of the Master (1858); Spiritual Things compared with Spiritual, or Gospels and Acts illustrated by Parallel References (1859); The Gospels according to the Ammonian Sections and the Tables of Eusebius (1861).

Bibliography: Autobiography of Samuel H. Turner, New York, 1863.

TURNOW, tur'nev, PETER: Waldensian with Taboritic tendencies; b. at Tolkemit (50 m. s.w. of Königsberg), probably about 1390; executed at Speyer probably in Apr., 1426. Of his early life nothing is known, but about 1415 he was in Prague. Henceforth his fortunes were closely connected with those of Johannes Drändorf (q.v.), and somewhat later he apparently visited Greece. A few years before his death he was rector of a school in Speyer, where, together with Drändorf, he began a series of attacks on the clergy of the city. He sought in vain to keep his friend from his own negotiations with Weinsberg, Heilbronn, and Wimpfen, and the pair were involved in common ruin. Besides his attacks on the secular power of the clergy, Turnow is said to have held that general councils could err, that the Eucharist must be administered under both kinds, the priest teaching or acting to the contrary being doomed to eternal punishment at the (FERDINAND COHRS.)

Bibliography: M. Flacius, Catalogus testium veritatis, Frankfort, 1666; C. D. d'Argentre, Collectio judiciorum de novu erroribus, vol. ii., Paris, 1728; J. E. Kapp, Nachlese Einiger. . . . zur Erläulerung der Reformations-Geschichte nütlicher Urkunden, part iii., Leipsic, 1730; H. Haupt, Die religiösen Sekten in Franken vor der Reformation, Würsburg, 1882; idem, in Historisches Taschenbuch, VI., vil. 233 sqq.; idem, Waldensertum und Inquisition im südöslichen Deutschland, Freiburg, 1890; L. Keller, Die Reformation und die älteren Reformparteien, Leipsic, 1885.

TURRECREMATA, JOHANNES DE. See TOR-QUEMADA, JUAN DE.

TURRETINI, tur"rê-tî'nî (TURRETIN): A family of Geneva theologians, whose founder, Francesco Turrettini, left his native Lucca in 1574 and settled in Geneva in 1592.

1. Benedict: Son of Francesco; b. in Zurich 1588; d. at Geneva Mar. 4, 1631. He became pastor and professor of theology at Geneva in 1612. In 1620 he was a delegate to the national synod of Alais, which introduced the results of the Synod of Dort into France. In the following year he was

sent on a successful mission to ask the Dutch States General and the Hanseatic cities for aid to put Geneva into a state of defense. Among his numerous writings the most important was his Défense de la fidelité des traductions de la S. Bible faites à Genève (3 vols., Geneva, 1618-20), written in answer to the Genève plagiaire of the Jesuit Pierre Cotton (Paris, 1618).

2. François: Son of the preceding; b. at Geneva Oct. 17, 1623; d. there Sept. 28, 1687. He was educated at Geneva, Leyden, Utrecht, Paris, Saumur, Montauban, and Nimes. Returning to his native city, he was made pastor of the Italian church there in 1648, and professor of theology in 1653. He is especially known as a sealous opponent of the theology of Saumur (see AMYRAUT, Moise), as an earnest defender of the orthodoxy represented by the Synod of Dort, and as one of the authors of the Helvetic Consensus (q.v.). Among his writings, which are chiefly dogmatic in character, special mention should be made of his Institutio theologia elenctics (3 parts, Geneva, 1679-85). A complete new ditton of his works with his life by B. Pictet was issued at Edinburgh (4 vols., 1847-48).

issued at Edinburgh (4 vols., 1847–48).

3. Jean Alphonse: Son of the preceding, and the most important member of the family; b. at Geneva Aug. 24, 1671; d. there May 1, 1737. He was eduated at Geneva and at Leyden. Destined to depart from his father's defense of rigid Calvinism, and to seek to reunite all Protestants on the basis of a few fundamental doctrines, freeing the church of Geneva from the domination of the Synod of Dort, be began his activity as an author with his Pyrrhonimus pontificius sive theses theologico-historica de miationibus pontificiorum circa ecclesiæ infallibilitetem (Leyden, 1692) which was practically a refutation of Bossuet's Variations des églises protestantes (2 vols., Paris, 1688). From Leyden he went to England and France, and on his return to his native city in 1693 was made a member of the Vénérable compagnie des pasteurs. In 1697 he was appointed professor of church history, and from 1701 to 1711 was rector of the academy of Geneva, his rectorial addresses being later collected under the title of Orationes academica (Geneva, 1737).

Turrettini was especially important for his part in the abolition in 1725 of the Helvetic Consensus, of which his father had been one of the chief authors, but which was felt to be a burden in Geneva, as well as in other parts of Switzerland. The struggle over this commenced in 1706, over the promise of a young clergyman named Vial to refrain from teaching contrary to the Consensus, a promise not agreeable to the strict minority which had the council of state cancel Vial's inclusion in the Compagnie. The matter was further considered by the latter and recommendations made to the council of state, the general result of which was that the Com-Pagnie, in its session of June 15, resolved to drop the formula of 1706, and to retain only the requirements of belief in the teaching of the prophets and apostles as contained in the Old and New Testaments and as summarized in the catechism. Thus not only the Helvetic Consensus, but the canons of Dort and even the Second Helvetic Confession were deprived of their binding force upon the clergy, while a sort of symbolic authority was accorded only to Calvin's catechism. The government was evidently in sympathy with the results, though, in accord with eighteenth-century usage, it desired the affair to be kept as quiet as possible. Turrettini, however, was not content with the abolition of the Consensus in Geneva, but desired that it be abrogated throughout Switzerland. To this end he communicated with Archbishop Wake of Canterbury, whereupon the primate, later followed by the king of England, wrote the Swiss cantons urging them to dispense with the Helvetic Consensus.

The abolition of this Consensus was closely connected with another interest which assumed an important place in Turettini's life-the union between the Lutherans and the Reformed. In 1707 he learned, through a Prussian deputy at Neuchâtel, that Frederick I., who was deeply interested in the union, desired to know the opinion of the church and academy of Geneva on the matter. On Apr. 22, 1707, the Compagnie gave the king the desired information in a letter prepared by Turrettini, in which the utmost readiness for interdenominational comity was expressed. Frederick showed his deep pleasure in a reply read by the Compagnie on July 1, in which he urged the Genevan Church to enter into negotiations with his clergy and theologians in the cause of union. Turrettini himself was rewarded with a gold medal from the king and appointment to membership in the royal academy of Berlin.

The chief source for a knowledge of the theological tendency of Turrettini is his Nubes testium pro moderato et pacifico de rebus theologicis judicio et instituenda inter Protestantes concordia (Geneva, 1719; Eng. transl., A Discourse concerning Fundamental Articles in Religion, London, 1720), a work inspired by the letter of Archbishop Wake already mentioned. From the preface it appears that Turrettini had corresponded with Leibnitz concerning Protestant union as early as 1707. The work includes a treatise on the fundamental articles of faith, prepared at the request of two Lutheran nobles and first printed before the appearance of the Nubes. Here the author maintains that only those are fundamental articles "whose knowledge and faith are necessary for obtaining the grace and salvation of God." Of these there are but few, only those which have been believed by all Christians at all times. He even asserts that the sole doctrines in question are obedience to the commands of God and faith in the promises of the Gospel; though he admits that the Apostles' Creed is the "criterion and standard of fundamentals." His final conclusion is that God alone knows what beliefs are necessary to salvation, and he closes by declaring that union is impossible where there is lack of agreement concerning the basal truths of the Gospel, as between Protestants and Roman Catholics, but that such union should be effected where the divergencies concern mere accessories, as between Lutherans and Reformed.

Another work of importance for Turrettini's theology was his Cogitationes et dissertationes theologica (2 vols., 1711-37), setting forth a modified orthodoxy, and maintaining that many subjects of theological debate were really of minor importance. The work contains much material that entitles Turrettini to an honorable place among Christian apologists. His apologetic views, however, were more and more distorted and diluted with rationalism by the free "translations" of his work by J. Vernet, professor of history and belles lettres at Geneva, under the title Trailé de la verité de la religion chrétienne, tiré du latin de Mr. J. A. Turrettini (3 vols., Geneva, 1730-40), these liberties being carried still further in the second edition of the French version (1748-51; Eng. transl., An Argument concerning the Christian Religion, London, 1800).

In Geneva Turrettini gradually became an ecclesiastical primate, and as such, for example, he introduced the custom of public confirmation. He received repeated requests from abroad for opinions and interventions, but the closing years of his life were deeply troubled by the disturbances in Geneva in 1734. After his death appeared his Commentarius theoretico-practicus in epistolam Sancti Pauli ad Thessalonicos (Basel, 1739); his lectures on Rom. xi. (Geneva, 1741); and his treatise on Biblical exegesis (Berlin, 1766). His Opera omnia appeared at Leuwarden (3 vols., 1774-76).

(E. Choisy.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: F. Turrettini, Notice biographique sus Bénédict Turrettini, Geneva, 1871; E. de Bude, Vie de François Turrettini, Geneva, 1871; idem, Vie de J. Alphones Turrettini, 2 vols., ib. 1880; G. Keiser, François Turrettini, Paris, 1900; J. Senebier, Hist. littéraire de Genève, 3 vols., Geneva, 1706; F. Schaller, Essai sus J. A. Turretini, Colmar, 1861; J. Gaberel, Hist. de l'église de Genève, vol. iii., Geneva, 1862; H. von der Golts, Die reformierte Kirche Genis im 19. Jahrhundert, Basel, 1862; H. Heyer, Catalogue des thèses soutenues à l'académie de Genève, Geneva, 1898; C. Borgeaud, L'Académie de Caivin, 1659–1798, Geneva, 1900; T. Heyer, in Mémoires de la société d'hist. et archéologie de Genève, vol. xiii.; Lichtenberger, ESR, xii. 249–251.

TUTTLE, DANIEL SYLVESTER: Protestant Episcopal bishop; b. at Windham, N. Y., Jan. 26, 1837. He was educated at Columbia College (B.A., 1857); was a private tutor (1857-59); studied at General Theological Seminary, New York City (1859-62); was ordered deacon in 1862 and ordained priest in 1863; was minister (1862-63), and rector (1863-67) of Zion Church, Morris, N. Y.; was consecrated missionary bishop of Montana, Idaho, and Utah (1867) and took charge of the new diocese of Utah and Idaho (1880), changing in 1886 to the diocese of Missouri; over which he has since presided. In virtue of his age he has been presiding bishop of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States since 1903. In theology he terms himself "a Prayer Book Churchman along the historic lines advocated by Bishop Seabury and Bishop Hobart," and has written Reminiscences of a Missionary Bishop (New York, 1906).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: W. S. Perry, The Episcopate in America, p. 181, New York, 1895.

TUTTLE, HUDSON: Author and lecturer in the interest of spiritualism; b. at Berlin Heights, Ohio, Oct. 4, 1836; d. at Berlin Heights, Ohio, Dec. 14, 1910. He was self-educated, and was connected with the propaganda and journalism of

spiritualism throughout his life. Among his works are Arcana of Nature (Boston, 1859; 2d ed., 2 vols., 1864; new ed., 1908); Origin and Antiquity of Physical Man (1865); Career of the Christ Idea in History (1870); Year-Book of Spiritualism: Record of its Facts, Science, and Philosophy (1871; in collaboration with J. M. Peebles); Studies in the Outlying Fields of Psychic Science (New York, 1889); Religion of Man and Ethics of Science (1890); Life in Two Spheres (1892); Evolution of the God and Christ Ideas (Berlin Heights, O., 1907); and Studies from beyond the Borderland (1910).

TWELVE APOSTLES, TEACHING OF THE See DIDACHE.

TWELVE PATRIARCHS, TESTAMENT OF. See PSEUDEPIGRAPHA, OLD TESTAMENT, III., 23.

TWESTEN, Tves'ten, AUGUST DETLEV CHRIS-TIAN: German Lutheran; b. at Glückstadt (27 m. n.w. of Hamburg) Apr. 11, 1789; d. at Berlin Jan. 8, 1876. He was educated at the universities of Kiel (1808-10) and Berlin (1810-11), coming under the special influence of Schleiermacher. After teaching for a time at the Werdersches Gymnasium in Berlin, Twesten was appointed, in 1814, associate professor of philosophy and theology at Kiel, where, within a year, he assisted in establishing the Kieler Blätter. His lectures dealt with philosophy, systematic theology, and New Testament exegesis. In systematic theology he devoted himself first to philosophic theology, as well as to the theory of the Church and symbolics, later turning to theo-'ogical encyclopedia, dogmatics, and ethics. His exegetical lectures covered the entire New Testament, while he also edited for his students Die drei ökumenischen Symbole, die Augsburgische Konfession und die repetitio confessionis Augustanæ (Kiel, 1816). He likewise wrote as textbooks Die Logik, insbesondere die Analytik (Sleswick, 1825), and Grundriss der analytischen Logik (Kiel, 1834). More important theologically was his Vorlesungen über die Dogmatik der evangelisch-lutherischen Kirche nach dem Kompendium des . . . $\mbox{W}.$ M. de Wette (2 vols., Hamburg, 1826-37), designed in part to supplement the Glaubenslehre of Schleiermacher, but never completed. The point of view is essentially that of a middle way between the extremes of mere return to old principles and the rationalism of the period, the possibility of divergent interpretations being at the same time admitted. The sense of uncertainty which pervades the Vorlesungen did not, however, extend to his determination to establish his church on a firm foundation and to justify her independence, his views on these matters being expressed in his irenic rectorial address of Mar. 5, 1830, in celebration of the threehundredth anniversary of the Augsburg Confession.

Twesten's influence was greatly enhanced at Kiel after the call of Klaus Harms in 1816; for the two men supplemented each other, so that it was well said that Twesten converted his hearers and Harms baptized them. When Twesten was asked to become the successor of Schleiermacher at Berlin, he modestly declined, and it was only the insistence of Neander and Johannes Schulze that over-

came his modest reluctance, and in 1835 he became professor of dogmatics and New-Testament exegesis there. Here his task was to preserve the middle way between the Hegelianism of Marheineke and the neo-orthodox legalism of Hengstenberg, both of whom found a bond of union in opposition to an undesired colleague. Having points both of sympathy and of antagonism with both Marheineke and Hengstenberg, he yet remained essentially aloof from the trend of either, contenting himself with a clear presentation of his own convictions that recognized all that was good in his opponents, withdrawing approval only where there was evident lack of truthfulness or open denial of Evangelical principles. His ecclesiastical aims found noteworthy expression in the general synod of Berlin in 1846. Here, in the search for a basis for the Evangelical Church of Prussia which should meet the requirements of the time, it became necesary to establish a confession. Opposing the attempt to make a new formulation of the doctrines common to the Evangelical creeds, Twesten urged the retention of the old standards, though without erecting these classical documents of the Reformation into a judicial system. His principles were further exemplified in his attitude toward union, whose antitheses, he held, would lead neither to schism nor to heresy. The end of all efforts for mion should be, according to him, the association, for mutual edification, of all Christians living in one place at the same time, a sharp distinction being drawn between the practical and the merely academic. This attitude of mediation was maintained by Twesten in his practical administration of eccleestical affairs.

In addition to the works already mentioned, Twesten edited F. Schleiermacher's Grundriss der philosophischen Ethik (Berlin, 1841) and L. Hutter's Compendium locorum theologicorum (1855), and wrote Commentatio critica de Hesiodi carmine quod inscribitur opera et dies (Kiel, 1815); Matthias Flacius Illyricus (Berlin, 1844); and Zur Erinnerung an Friedrich Daniel Ernst Schleiermacher (1868).

Bibliography: The one biography is C. F. G. Heinrici, August Twesten nach Tagebüchern und Briefen, Berlin, 1889. Consult further: C. E. Carstens, Geschichte der Kieler theologischen Fakultat, Kiel, 1875; P. Kleinert and E. Curtius, Worte der Erinnerung an Dr. A. Twesten, Berlin, 1889; E. Hitzig, Ernst Constantin Ranke, pp. 151-152, Leipsic, 1906.

TWICHELL, JOSEPH HOPKINS: Congregationalist; b. at Southington, Conn., May 27, 1838. He was educated at Yale (A.B., 1859) and studied at Union Theological Seminary (1859-61) and Andover Theological Seminary (1864-65). He was a chaplain in the Union Army during the Civil War, and since 1865 has been pastor of Asylum Hill Congregational Church, Hartford, Conn. He has written John Winthrop (New York, 1891) and has edited Some Old Puritan Love Letters (correspondence of John and Margaret Winthrop; 1893).

TWIN (DWIN, DVIN, DEVIN): The early capital and Christian center of Armenia (120 m. s. of Tiflis, Russia). Its significance for church history lies in the facts that seven synods were held there,

and that it became the seat of the catholicos (c. 452) as a result of Persian attacks on Armenian Christians, who were driven from Echmiadzin, the earlier and the prese seat. Contemporary sources for Armenian history during the sixth century are inadequate and in some cases contradictory. consequence is that many dates even of the most important events can not be accurately determined. The most probable date for the first synod of Twin is 524, under King Kavadh (d. 531). Among the most eminent of the prelates present were Peter, bishop of Siunik, and Nersapuh, bishop of Taron. Besides authorizing Twin as the seat of the catholicos, the synod determined upon complete separation from the Greeks, involving rejection of the Chalcedonian symbol with its diophysitism and a reassertion of monophysitism; the celebration of the birth and baptism (spiritual birth) on the same day; and the addition of the clause in the Trisagion (q.v.), "Thou wast crucified for us," to the liturgy. The second synod of Twin (Dec. 14, 552) regulated the Armenian calendar and adopted July 11, 552, as the beginning of the Armenian era and the New Year's day of the new era. See Armenia; Nerses. A. H. NEWMAN.

Bibliography: The literature under Armenia; and W. F. Adeney, The Greek and Bastern Churches, pp. 539 sqq., New York, 1908.

TWISSE, WILLIAM: Puritan divine; b. at Speenham-Land, near Newbury (16 m. w.s.w. of Reading), England, c. 1578; d. in London July 20, 1646. He received his education at New College, Oxford (fellow, 1598; B.A., 1600; M.A., 1604; B.D., 1612; D.D., 1614); became chaplain to Elizabeth, eldest daughter of James I., on her marriage in 1613 to Elector-Palatine Frederick V., but was recalled after two months and made vicar of Newton, and in 1620 of Newbury, where he remained, although he received the offer of several preferments in the Church of England and of a professorship of divinity at Francker, Friesland. He was a Calvinist of the supralapsarian school, learned and of a speculative genius. He was a member of the Westminster Assembly of which he was unanimously elected prolocutor—a post for which he was temperamentally unfitted. He was buried in Westminster Abbey, but by royal mandate his remains were dug up Sept. 14, 1661, and thrown with those of several other persons into a pit in St. Margaret's churchyard, which immediately adjoins the abbey. He distinguished himself by his writings against Arminianism, and his Opera appeared at Amsterdam (2 vols., 1652).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The principal source is G. Kendall's Tuissit vita et victoria, appended to Kendall's Fur pro tribunali, London, 1657. Consult further DNB, 1vii. 397–399, and the short notices to which reference is there given.

TYANA, APOLLONIUS OF. See Apollonius OF TYANA.

TYCHONIUS. See Tichonius.

TYCHSEN, tin'zen (TUKA), OLUF GERHARD: German orientalist; b. at Tondern (106 m. n.w. of Hamburg) Dec. 14, 1734; d. at Rostock Dec. 30, 1815. He was educated at Altona; studied theology and oriental languages at Halle; became in 1759 a member of the Kallenberg missionary institution for the conversion of Jews and Mohammedans, but proved very unsuccessful in his practical attempts; and was in 1760 appointed professor of oriental languages at Bützow, whence in 1789 he was removed to Rostock. He was an authority on Jewish learning and Semitic numismatics, but lacked practical wisdom, as appears from his controversies with Kennicott (Tentamen de variis codicum Hebr. Veteris Test. MSS. generibus, Rostock, 1772), and with Bayer (Die Unechtheit der jüdischen Münzen mit hebräischen und samaritanischen Buchstaben, Rostock, 1779). The best he wrote is found in his Bützowsche Nebenstunden (6 vols., 1766-69), and Introductio in rem numariam Muhamedanorum (1794).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: A. T. Hartmann, Oluf Gerhard Tychsen, 2 vols., Bremen, 1818-20.

TYERMAN, LUKE: Wesleyan; b. at Osmotherley, Yorkshire, Feb. 26, 1820; d. in London Mar. 21, 1889. He was educated at the Didsbury Wesleyan Methodist Theological Institution, near Manchester, 1842–45, and devoted himself to the ministry. His significance comes from his standard historical works dealing with the origins of Methodism, viz., Life and Times of Rev. Samuel Wesley (London, 1866); Life and Times of Rev. John Wesley (3 vols., 1870–71); The Oxford Methodist (1873); Life of Rev. George Whitefield (2 vols., 1876); Wesley's Designated Successor: the Life, Letters, and Literary Labours of Rev. John W. Fletcher, Vicar of Madeley (1882).

TYLER, BENJAMIN BUSHROD: Disciple; b. at Decatur, Ill., Apr. 9, 1840. He was educated at Eureka College, Eureka, Ill., and held pastorates in his denomination at Charleston, Ill. (1864-69), Terre Haute, Ind. (1869-73), Frankfort, Ky. (1873-1876), Louisville, Ky. (1876-83), and New York City (1883-1900). Since 1900 he has been pastor of the South Broadway Christian Church, Denver, Col. Since 1893 he has been a member of the editorial board of the St. Louis Christian Evangelist. He was also a member of the International Sunday School Lesson Committee in 1890-1908, and while in New York was a member of the committee on versions of the American Bible Society. He has written History of the Disciples of Christ (New York, 1894).

TYLER, BENNET: Congregational theologian; b. in Middlebury (then a part of Woodbury), Conn., July 10, 1783; d. at East Windsor, Conn., May 14, 1858. He was graduated at Yale College in 1804; spent a year as teacher in Weston, Conn.; studied theology with the Rev. Asahel Hooker at Goshen, Conn.; was licensed in 1806; began to preach in 1807 at South Britain, where he was ordained in 1808; became president of Dartmouth College in 1822; succeeded Dr. Payson as pastor of Second Congregational Church, Portland, Me., in 1828; was elected president of the Theological Institute of Connecticut, now Hartford Theological Seminary, in 1833 and inagurated May 13, 1834, when the corner-stone of the new edifice was laid in East Windsor, Conn.; resigned this position July 16, 1857, and died suddenly at the house of his daughter. In

all these positions Dr. Tyler was successful; and though much of his public life was spent in theological controversy, his Christian character was recognized even by his opponents, while his friends testify as to his genial temper, unaffected candor, genuine humility, and cheerful piety.

Dr. Tyler's name was conspicuous in connection with a theological controversy among the Congregationalists of Connecticut, which was occasioned by a discourse of Nathaniel William Taylor (q.v.; Concio ad clerum, General Association, 1828), professor in the divinity school of Yale College. On a visit to Connecticut in 1829 (he was then pastor at Portland), Dr. Tyler began a correspondence with Dr. Taylor (who had been a classmate at Yale), which passed into a public discussion, continuing for years, and finding its practical issue in the formation of the Pastoral Union of Connecticut (Sept. 10, 1833), and the establishment of the Theological Institute.

The germ of the controversy was the position, attributed to Dr. Taylor, "that no human being can become depraved but by his own act, and that the sinfulness of the race does not pertain to man's nature." In connection with this, regeneration was regarded as the act of man's own will or heart; and the primary cause of this right choice was found in self-love, or a desire for the greatest happiness. (Some of these positions have been disclaimed by Dr. Taylor and his friends.) He claimed to be in accord with the New England Calvinism, represented by the two Edwardses, Bellamy, Hopkins, and Dwight. His position on the doctrine of original sin was not Augustinian: over against Dr. Taylor he asserted depravity of nature and the federal headship of Adam, but did not accept immediate imputation. He denied the self-determining power of the will, or the power of a contrary choice, and would not limit the definition of sin to voluntary transgression of known law. He accepted the distinction of Edwards between natural and moral ability, and denied most resolutely the "happiness theory." By discriminating between an unlimited atonement and limited redemption, he sought to preserve the doctrine of individual election. Regeneration he regarded as "effected, not by moral suasion, or by the efficiency of any means whatever, but by the direct agency of the Holy Spirit, changing the moral disposition, and imparting a new spiritual life to the soul." The controversy, as was usual at that time, was carried on with speculative and dogmatic weapons, though both parties appealed to Scripture.

In later times Dr. Tyler became engaged in discussion with Dr. Bushnell, and his own orthodoxy was called in question before the Pastoral Union in 1856. From this charge he was almost unanimously exonerated.

Dr. Tyler contributed largely to the theological controversy above named; published many sermons and addresses, and contributed many articles to the religious periodicals of the day. Mention may be made of his Hist. of the New Haven Theology (Hartford, 1837); Memoir of Rev. Asahel Nettleton (1844); Treatise on the Sufferings of Christ (New York, 1845); Treatise on New England Revivals

(1846); Letters to Dr. Horace Bushnell (1847-48), and the posthumous Lectures on Theology, with Memoir by N. Gale (Boston, 1859). His style is forcible and clear, and his matter always manifests the old Puritan faith in a personal God of holiness.

M. B. RIDDLE.

Billography: See New England Theology, v., § 1, and consult: the Memoir by N. Gale, ut sup.; E. A. Lawrence, in New Englander, 1859; A. H. Quint, in Congregational Quarterly, 1860; A. E. Dunning, Congregationalists in America, pp. 312, 388, New York, 1894; W. Walker, in American Church History Series, iii. 358-361, 366, New York, 1894; idem, New England Leaders, pp. 400-436, New York, 1901; F. H. Foster, New England Theology, pp. 388-393, Chicago, 1907.

TYMMS, THOMAS VINCENT: English Baptist; b. at Westminster, London, Jan. 5, 1842. He was educated at Regent's Park College, London. He held Baptist pastorates at Berwick-on-Tweed (1865–1868), Accrington (1868–69), and Downs Chapel, Clapton, London (1869–91). From 1891 until his retirement from active life in 1904 he was president and professor of theology in Rawdon College, Leeds. He was Angus lecturer in Regent's Park College in 1903, and has written The Mystery of God (London, 1885), the essay on "Christian Theism" in The Ancient Faith in Modern Light (Edinburgh, 1897); The Christian Idea of Atonement (London, 1904); and The Private Relationships of Christ(1907).

TYNDALE, tin'dal, WILLIAM: Biblical translater and martyr; b. most probably at North Nibley (15 m. s.s.w. of Gloucester), England, in 1484; d. st Vilvoorden (6 m. n.e. of Brussels), Belgium, Oct. 6, 1536. He was descended from an ancient Northumbrian family, went to school at Oxford, and afterward to Magdalen Hall and Cambridge, and about 1520 became tutor in the family of Sir John Walsh, at Little Sodbury in Gloucestershire. He was in orders; but the record of his ordination has not yet been verified. Having become attached to the doctrines of the Reformation, and devoted himself to the study of the Scriptures, the open avowal of his sentiments in the house of Walsh, his disputes with Roman Catholic dignitaries there, and especially his preaching, excited much opposition, and led to his removal to London (about Oct., 1523), where he began to preach, and made many friends among the laity, but none among ecclesiastics. He was hospitably entertained at the house of Sir Humphrey Monmouth, and also pecuniarily aided by him and others in the accomplishment of his purpose to translate the Scriptures into the vernacular. Unable to do so in England, he set out for the continent (about May, 1524), and appears to have visited Hamburg and Wittenberg; but the place where he translated the New Testament, although conjectured to have been Wittenberg, can not be named with certainty. It is, however, certain that the printing of the New Testament in quarto was begun at Cologne in the summer of 1525, and completed at Worms, and that there was likewise printed an octavo edition, both before the end of that year. From an entry in Spalatin's Diary, Aug. 11, 1526, it seems that he remained at Worms about a year; but the notices of his connection with Hermann von dem Busche and the University of Marburg are utterly unwarranted conjectures; and,

it being now an established fact that Hans Luft never had a printing-press at Marburg, the colophon to Tyndale's translation of Genesis, and the title pages of several pamphlets purporting to have been printed by Luft at Marburg, only deepen the seemingly impenetrable mystery which overhangs the life of Tyndale during the interval between his departure from Worms and his final settlement at Antwerp. His literary activity during that interval was extraordinary. When he left England, his knowledge of Hebrew, if he had any, was of the most rudimentary nature; and yet he mastered that difficult tongue so as to produce from the original an admirable translation of the entire Pentateuch,* the Books of Joshua, Judges, Ruth, First and Second Samuel, First and Second Kings, First Chronicles, contained in Matthew's Bible of 1537, and of the Book of Jonah, so excellent, indeed, that to this day his work is not only the basis of those portions of the Authorized Version, but constitutes nine-tenths of that translation, and very largely that of the Revised Version. His Biblical translations appeared in the following order: New Testament, 1525-26; Pentateuch, 1530; Jonah, 1531. There is no general title of the Pentateuch; each book has its own title.

In addition to these he produced the following works. His first original composition, A Pathway into the Holy Scripture, is really a reprint, slightly altered, of his Prologue to the quarto edition of his New Testament, and had appeared in separate form before 1532; The Parable of the Wicked Mammon (1527); and The Obedience of a Christian Man (1527-28). These several works drew out in 1529 Sir Thomas More's Dialogue, etc. In 1530 appeared Tyndale's Practyse of Prelates, and in 1531 his Answer, etc., to the Dialogue, his Exposition of the First Epistle of St. John, and the famous Prologue to Jonah; in 1532, An Exposition upon the V. VI. VII. Chapters of Matthew; and in 1536, A Brief Declaration of the Sacraments, etc., which seems to be a posthumous publication. Joshua-Second Chronicles also was published after his death. All these works were written during those mysterious years, in places of concealment so secure and well chosen, that neither the ecclesiastical nor diplomatic emissaries of Wolsey and Henry VIII., charged to track, hunt down, and seize the fugitive, were able to reach them, and they are even yet unknown. Impressed with the idea that the progress of the Reformation in England rendered it safe for him to leave his concealment, he settled at Antwerp in 1534, and combined the work of an evangelist with that of a translator of the Bible. Mainly through the instrumentality of one Philips, the agent either of Henry or of English ecclesiastics, or possibly of both, he was arrested, imprisoned in the castle of Vilvoorden, tried, either for heresy or treason, or both, and convicted; was first strangled,

^{*}The only perfect copy is in the Grenville Library of the British Museum; one in the Public Library, New York, is defective, folios XLIV. and XLV., as well as two of the eleven woodcuts of the volume, are wanting; the missing woodcuts have been supplied in facsimile by H. Another copy there lacks Genesis. The copy in the Baptist College, Bristol, England, contains Genesis, edition of 1534, the other four books are of the edition of 1530.

and then burnt in the prison yard, Oct. 6, 1536. His last words were, "Lord, open the king of England's eyes." Excepting the narrative of Foxe, which is very unsatisfactory, and the opportune discovery of a letter written by Tyndale in prison, showing that he was shamefully neglected, and that he continued his literary labors to the last, no official records of his betrayal, arrest, trial, and martyrdom, have as yet been discovered. Indeed, less is known of Tyndale than of almost any of his contemporaries, and his history remains to be written. If the unknown and the mysterious excite and sustain interest, no theme can excel that attached to Tyndale. His life must have abounded in incident, variety, and adventure; and it culminated in tragedy. That his precious life might have been saved can not be doubted; and, although neither Cromwell nor Henry has been convicted of planning and conniving at his death, it is impossible to exonerate them from criminal indifference and culpable neglect.

Tyndale's place in history has not yet been sufficiently recognized as a translator of the Scriptures, as an apostle of liberty, and as a chief promoter of the Reformation in England. In all these respects his influence has been singularly undervalued. The sweeping statement found in almost all histories, that Tyndale translated from the Vulgate and Luther, is most damaging to the reputation of the writers who make it; for, as a matter of fact, it is contrary to truth, since his translations

are made directly from the originals.

Correspondence with Prof. Julius Cæsar of Marburg (Hand-book, pp. 110 sqq.) proves that Hans Luft never had a printing-house in that town and that Tyndale had no connection with its university. The Prolegomena in Mombert's William Tyndale's Five Books of Moses show conclusively that Tyndale's Pentateuch is a translation of the Hebrew original. The full titles of these works are given in the footnote.* As an apostle of liberty, he stands foremost among the writers of the period, whose heroic fortitude and invincible love of the truth were heard with a force superior to royal and ecclesiastical injunctions; and the very flames to which fanaticism and tyranny consigned his writings burnt them into the very hearts of the people, and made them powerful instruments in attaching and converting multitudes to the principles of the Reformation. It is not exaggeration to say that the noble sentiments of William Tyndale, uttered in pure, strong Saxon English, and steeped in the doctrines of the Gospel, gave shape to the views of the more conspicuous promoters of that grand movement, who, like himself, sealed their convictions with their blood.

A monument commemorating the life and work of Tyndale has been erected on the Thames Embankment, London. J. I. Mombert.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Besides the treatises on the history of the English Bible given in ii. 141 of this work, consult: R. Demans, William Tyndale, 2d ed., London, 1886; John Fox, Acts and Monuments, ed. G. Townsend, vols. i.-v. passim, London, 1843-49 (constit Index); C. Wordsworth, Ecclesiastical Biography, i. 187 sqq., London, 1819; J. Strype, Ecclesiastical Memorials, i. 2, pp. 363-367, London, 1822; J. Stoughton, The Pen, the Palm, and the London, 1822; J. Stoughton, The Pen, the Palm, and the Pulpit, London, 1858; H. Morley, English Writers, pp. 220-229, London, 1864; W. H. D. Adams, Great English Churchmen, London, 1879; F. L. Clarke, The Life of W. Tyndale, London, 1883; C. E. Heisch, William Tyndele, London, 1884; G. B. Smith, W. Tyndale and his Transletion of the English Bible, London, 1896; C. Tyler, The Story of William Tyndale, London, 1898; I. M. Price, The Ancestry of our English Bible, chap. xxi., Philadelphia, 1907; DNB, lvii. 424-430.

TYNG, STEPHEN HIGGINSON: Protestant Episcopal; b. at Newburyport, Mass., Mar. 1, 1800; d. at Irvington on the Hudson Sept. 4, 1885. graduated at Harvard College, Cambridge, Mass., 1817; was in business, 1817-19; studied theology, 1819-21; was rector at Georgetown, D. C., 1821-1823; in Queen Anne Parish, Prince George's County, Md., 1823–29; of St. Paul's, Philadelphia, 1829-1833; of the Church of the Epiphany, in the same city, 1833–45; of St. George's, New York City, 1845-78, when he retired as pastor emeritus. He was for years one of the leaders of the Low-church party in his denomination, and was famous for eloquence and Christian seal. He was prominent in the organization of the American Church Missionary Society and the Evangelical Education Society, and was a ready and polished platform-speaker, much in demand. He edited for several years The Episcopal Recorder and The Protestant Churchman, and was the author of Lectures on the Law and the Gospel (Philadelphia, 1832); Memoir of Rev. G. T. Bedel (1835); Recollections of England (New York, 1847); A Lamb from the Flock (1852); Christian Titles, a Series of Practical Meditations (1853); Fellowskip with Christ (1854); The Rich Kinsman, or the History of Ruth (1855); Memoir of Rev. E. P. J. Messenger (1857); The Captive Orphan, Esther, Queen of Persia (1859); Forty Years' Experience in Sunday Schools (1860); The Prayer-Book illustrated by Scripture (8 vols., 1865-67); The Child of Prayer: a Father's Memorial of D. A. Tyng (1866); The Reward of Meekness (1867); The Feast Enjoyed (1868); The Spencers (1870); The Office and Duty of a Christian Pastor (1874); and several volumes of sermons.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: C. R. Tyng, Record of the Life and Work of Stephen H. Tyng, and History of St. George's Church, N. Y., to the Close of his Rectorship, New York, 1890.

TYRE. See Phenicia, Phenicians, I., §§ 2-3.

TYRRELL, tir'el, GEORGE HENRY: English Roman Catholic; b. at Dublin Feb. 6, 1861; d. in London July 15, 1909. He matriculated at Trinity College, Dubin, in 1878, but in the following year left the Anglican Church for the Roman Catholic, and in 1880 entered the Society of Jesus. He then studied philosophy at Stonyhurst (1882-85) and theology at St. Beuno's, Wales (1888-92), and speedily became known as one of the ablest Roman Catholic writers in England. From an ultramontane and scholastic position he gradually advanced to an attitude of distinct Modernism (q.v.); but though admonished for his views on hell in 1900, he did not

^{*} J. I. Mombert, William Tyndale's Five Books of Moses called the Pentateuch, being a literal Reprint of the Edition of 1630, compared with Tyndale's Genesis of 1634, and the Pentateuch in the Vulgate, Luther, and Matthew's Bible with various Collations and Prolegomena (New York, 1884; this book is out of print); idem, English Versions of the Bible, a Handbook with copious Examples illustrating the Ancestry and Re-lationship of the several Versions and Comparative Tables (London, 1907).

come into serious conflict with his communion until 1906, when in his Much-Abused Letter (generally supposed to be to the late St. George Mivart) he denied that Roman Catholic theology is perfect and inerrant, and held that the visible Church is but a mutable organism subject to development and modification, he incurred the extreme displeasure of the ecclesiastical authorities. He had sought release from his obligations as a religious on the condemnation of the works of Loisy in 1904, and now, on his refusal to retract the above teachings, he was expelled from the Jesuit order in Feb., 1906. He was also forbidden to officiate in the archdiocese of Westminster, and declined the proffered right to exexise priestly functions in the archdiocese of Mechen on condition that he submit any future writings to the censor. When, finally, he sharply criticized the encyclical *Pascendi* in 1907, he incurred the minor excommunication. Theologically he described himself as a "liberal Roman Catholic." His works. some of which have gone through repeated editions and been translated into German and French, are ss follows: Nova et Vetera (London, 1897); Hard Swings (1898); External Religion (1899); Faith of the Millions (2 vols., 1901); Lex Orandi (1903); Lex Crelendi (1906); Oil and Wine (1907); Through Saylla and Charybdis (1907); A Much-Abused Letter (1907); Medievalism (1908); and Christianity at the Cross Roads (1909).

TZSCHIRNER, tshir'ner, HEINRICH GOTT-LIEB: German Lutheran; b. at Mittweida (10 m. ane of Chemnits), Saxony, Nov. 14, 1778; d. at Lepsic Feb. 17, 1828. He was educated at the University of Leipsic (1796-99), and in 1800 became privat-docent at Wittenberg, where he was soon appointed adjunct of the philosophical faculty. Before long, however, the death of his father led him to exchange his academic position for that of deacon of his native town, where he found leisure, despite his parochial duties, for writing, Leben und Ende merkwürdiger Selbstmörder (Weissenfels, 1805); Ueber den moralischen Indifferentismus (Leipsic, 1805), and began a Geschichte der Apologetik (1805). Largely because of the latter work, he was recalled to Wittenberg in 1805 as professor of theology, thus having occasion to prepare his De dignitate hominis per religionem Christianam adserta et declarata (Wittenberg, 1805) and De virtutum et vitiorum inter se cognatione (1805), the latter touching upon a theme more fully developed in his Ueber die Verwandtschaft der Tugenden und Laster (Leipsic, 1809). In his De sacris publicis ab ecclesia vetere studiose cultis (Wittenberg, 1808), moreover, he issued a prelude to his intended history of Christian worship, which his scademic duties forced him to relinquish. He lectured on natural theology, dogmatics, and homiletics, as well as on church history after 1806.

In 1809 Tsschirner was called to Leipsic as fourth professor of theology. His ability as a church historian was evinced by his preparation of the ninth and tenth volumes of J. M. Schröckh's great Christicke Kirchengeschichte seit der Reformation (Leipsic, 1810-12); while as a dogmatic and homiletic scholar he wrote Beurteilende Darstellung der dogmatischen Systeme, welche in der protestantischen Kirche gefun-

XII.—4

den werden (in Memorabilien, i., 1810-11), and Briefe veranlasst durch Reinhards Geständnisse (1811), in which he sought to prove that the only middle way between rationalism and supernaturalism was an ethical and critical rationalism which held the rational concept of morality to be the supreme principle of Christianity, and criticized the Scriptures on the basis of this concept, retaining all connected with moral requirements, and rejecting all temporal elements derived from the later Jewish theology.

In 1813 Tzschirner was for a short time chaplain in the Saxon army, after which he wrote Ueber den Krieg, ein philosophischer Versuch (1815). In the autumn of 1814 he was appointed archdeacon of Thomaskirche, Leipsic, and shortly afterward was made pastor of the same church and superintendent of the diocese of Leipsic (1815). In 1818 he was promoted to be second professor and canon of Meissen. Meanwhile the conditions of his country and his church had changed, and he was now obliged to combat not only unbelief and indifference, but the recrudescence of Roman Catholicism and Roman Catholic tendencies arising within the Protestant Church, and especially Pietism. While he planned a work on Der Fall des Heidentums, his interest in contemporary history led him to write Die Sache der Griechen die Sache Europas (1821). But the aims of the Roman Catholic hierarchy engaged his special attention, and he defended the Protestant cause in Protestantismus und Katholicismus aus dem Standpunkte der Politik betraciiet (1822); Die Rückkehr katholischer Christen im Grossherzogtum Baden zum evangelischen Christentume (1823); Die Gefahr einer deutschen Revolution (1823); and Zwei Briefe durch die jüngst zu Dresden erschienene Schrift: Die reine katholische Lehre, veranlasst (1826). He also wrote four treatises on the relation of the Church to marriage, urging a revision of marriage law, but rejecting civil marriage; while in his Gutachten über die Annahme der Preussischen Agende (1824) he advised the rejection of this unsatisfactory liturgy, unless its adoption was expressly recommended, at the same time urging a thorough reform of public worship. Besides two collections of sermons (1812– 1816), Tzschirner wrote Graci et Romani scriptores cur rerum Christianarum raro meminerint (1824-25); De perpetua inter Catholicam et Evangelicam Ecclesiam dissentione (1824); De causis impedita in Francogallia sacrorum publicorum emendationis (1827); and De religionis Christianæ per philosophiam Græcam propagatione (1827). After his death a number of his writings were edited by his friends: a selection of his sermons from 1817 to 1828 (3 vols., Leipsic, 1828); the first part of the uncompleted Fall des Heidentums (1829); the Vorlesungen über die christliche Glaubenslehre (1829); the academic programs under the title Tzschirneri opuscula academica (1829); and the unfinished Briefe eines Deutschen an die Herren Chateaubriand, de la Mennais und Montlosier über Gegenstände der Religion und Politik (1828). (P. M. Tzschirner†.)

Bibliography: H. G. Tzschirner, Skize seines Lebens, Leipsic, 1828; J. D. Goldhorn, Mittheilungen aus... H. G. Tzschirners... Amis- und Lebensjahren, ib. 1828; K. H. L. Pölitz, H. G. Tzschirner. Abriss seines Lebens und Wirkens, ib. 1828; J. A. H. Tittmann, Memoria H. G. Tzschirner, ib. 1828; ADB, xxxix. 62 sqq.

U

UBBONITES, ub'-bo-naitz: A term applied to a party of Anabaptists in a certain phase of their development. Ubbo Philipps (Ubbe or Obbe Philipzoon), b. at Leeuwarden (70 m. n. e. of Amsterdam) near the beginning of the sixteenth century, had become a Roman Catholic priest some time before Melchior Hoffmann (q.v.) began his propagandism in the Netherlands (1529). With multitudes of others he was persuaded that Hoffmann was a divinely inspired prophet (c. 1531), and was ready to follow him blindly in his exposition of the Old-Testament prophets and the Apocalypse and to expect speedy deliverance from the trials and persecutions that were being inflicted by Catholics and Protestants on true believers. His faith in Hoffmann was considerably shaken by his failure to go forth from his Strasburg prison in 1533, as he predicted he would, at the head of 144,000 enthusiastic believers who would set up Christ's kingdom on earth, and by his failure to keep his vow to live on bread and water until his liberation. When Jan Mathys, water until his liberation. weary of waiting for the fulfilment of Hoffmann's promises, proclaimed himself the Elias that should usher in the messianic kingdom and ordered the resumption of baptism which Hoffmann had suspended for two years, Ubbo, who, with many others, had been awaiting Hoffmann's orders, received baptism. With his brother Dirk and Jan David Joris (q.v.), he soon came to distrust Mathys with his sanguinary program and urged the infatuated people to desist from their plan of setting up the kingdom of Christ by violence in Munster. In this he had the cooperation of Menno Simons (q.v.), who did not definitely become an Anabaptist until 1536. When Ubbo, Dirk, and others, after the fall of Münster (1535), saw multitudes that had been under the influence of Hoffmann and Mathys disillusioned and anxious to follow wise Evangelical counsel, they persuaded Menno to assume the leadership, and Ubbo ordained him, his brother Dirk, and David Joris, who had not yet manifested his pantheistic tendencies. During the short period from 1534 to 1536 the quiet, non-resisting Anabaptists that repudiated Mathys and the Münster kingdom might properly be called Ubbonites. After Menno's leadership became established, the name Mennonites (q.v.) is more applicable to the same people. Ubbo afterward deeply regretted the part he had taken in the organization of the Mennonite movement. When Menno came into recognized leadership, his intolerance of opposition in matters of doctrine and discipline, his violent denunciation of other Christian parties, and the strife that occurred among the churches of the connection proved distasteful to Ubbo, and he felt constrained to sever his relations with the Mennonites. Shortly before his death (1568) he wrote an interesting account of his life among the Anabaptists and of the circumstances that led him to break with the party. Whether he united with the Reformed when he left the Mennonites does not clearly appear from his narrative.

His Bekentniss und Aussage is published in full in J. C. Jehring's Grundliche Historie von denen Begebenheiten, Streitigkeiten und Trennungen, so unter den Tauffgesinneten, oder Mennonisten von ihren Ursprung an bis aufs Jahr 1615 vorgegangen (Jena, 1720; contains lists of the writings of Dirk and Ubbo Philipps).

A. H. NEWMAN.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: H. C. Bergmann, De Ubbone Philippi & Ubbonitis, Rostock, 1733; A. H. Newman, Hist. of Anti-Pedobaptism, pp. 301, 304 sqq., Philadelphia, 1897.

UBERTINO, u"bār-tî'nō, OF CASALE: Italian Franciscan; b. at Casale-Monferrato (32 m. w. of Turin) 1259; d. about 1350. He entered the Franciscan order in 1273, and taught at various places in Italy, later in Paris (1289-98). After 1298 he devoted himself chiefly to propagating the views of Pierre Olivi, whose pupil he had been in the house of Santa Croce. After the death of Olivi Ubertino was recognized as the leader of the "spirituals," the strict party among the Franciscans which insisted upon the rigid rule of poverty (see Olivi, Pierre). On Oct. 1, 1317, he received permission from John XXII. to enter the Benedictine monastery of Gembloux, though it is doubtful whether he availed himself of this permission, as he was certainly living at Avignon during 1320-25. In 1325 he fled from Avignon to escape arrest in connection with the condemnation of the works of Olivi, and later he is said to have joined the Carthusians. Besides some minor works (in ALKG, iii.) and a defense of Olivi (ALKG, ii. 377 sqq.) he wrote Arbor vitæ crucifixæ (Venice, 1485), a defense of Olivi's doctrine in the style of the mysticism of Bonaventura and the apocalyptics of Joachim of Fiore. See Francis, Saint, of Assisi, III., §§ 4–5.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: J. C. Huck, Ubertin von Casale und dessen Ideenkreis, Freiburg, 1903; J. J. I. von Döllinger, Sektengeschichte des Mittelalters, ii. 508-526, Munich, 1890; Ehrle, in ALKG, ii. 377-416, iii. 48 sqq.: KL, xii. 168-172; F. X. Kraus, Dante, pp. 479, 738 sqq., Berlin, 1897.

UBIQUITY.

Preliminary History (§ 1). Luther's Doctrine (§ 2). The Reformed Doctrines; Brens (§ 3). Chemnits (§ 4). Formula of Concord (§ 5). The Two Schools (§ 6).

Ubiquity is the term applied to the non-spatial ("repletive") omnipresence of the body of Christ set forth by Luther in the eucharistic controversy. All statements of the Eastern Church which apparently involve the question of ubiquity from Origen to John of Damascus affirm, on the unity of the natures, the logical, not the real, trans-

r. Prefer of the qualities of one nature to the
liminary
thistory.

or "community," of names, not an

exchange of attributes. Augustine, with his local concept of the "right hand of God" as contrasted with the non-local view of John of Damascus, gained favor in the Middle Ages, and later

with the Reformed and with Melanchthon. He nowhere clearly expresses the realistic concept of the presence of Christ in the Eucharist, but confines the omnipresence to the divine nature of Christ. Scholasticism gained increasing interest in the question of omnipresence in proportion as the doctrine of the real presence gained the recognition of the Church and obtained its theory in the dogma of Transubstantiation (q.v.). Here Augustine remained the prime authority, and Hugo of St. Victor (q.v.) held that "Christ is humanly in heaven, divinely everywhere." Peter Lombard and Thomas Aquinas (qq.v.) followed John of Damascus (q.v.), in distinguishing between Christ as totus and totum, Christ being omnipresent in the former case in virtue of the unity of his person, but not in the latter as conception of both natures. Thus the omnipresence of the body was rejected. According to the anhypostasis of Leontius (q.v.) the Logos is essentially the person of Christ; deity follows humanity everywhere, but not vice versa. Radbertus (q.v.) taught that in each case the body was created anew from the bread by a special miracle. Arno of Reichersberg (q.v.) taught "a special power of Christ of being bodily present wherever he wished, not exercised until after death; and in like manner Peter Lombard taught the presence in one place of the exalted body of Christ, omnipresence of his divinity, and multipresence of his sacramental body. This remained, in all essentials, the teaching of scholasticism. The difficult problem now arose of explaining how the circumscribed celestial body of Christ, with its attributes of quantity and dimension, could replace the bread in the host. Albert the Great (see Albertus Magnus), distinguishing between a natural and a spiritual body, held that "the glorious body" of Christ was present in the host "in the fashion of the spiritual body." This, however, combined with the subintration theory (see Tran-SUBSTANTIATION, II., § 4), rendered uncertain not only the spatiality but also the actuality of the body of Christ in the host. Bonaventura (q.v.) and Thomas Aquinas accordingly sought to prove " the dimensive quantity of Christ's body" in the host, and to unite their teaching with the theory later taught by William of Occam (q.v.) as "definitive existence," namely, "whenever anything is in place so that the whole is in the whole and in any part whatsoever." The theory of Bonaventura and Thomas Aquinas, however, was self-contradictory in that the portion present in the host was conceived as at once quantitative and non-quantitative. Occam resolved this realistic doctrine of space and quantity critically. To him quantity was something substantial involving "circumscribed existence." "Definitive existence" (ut sup.) pertains only to nonquantitative things. The body of Christ in the host must, therefore, be conceived as non-quantitative, thus returning to the original position of scholasticism, except that the theory of subintration was replaced by a sort of condensation hypothesis, whereby, through divine omnipotence, a substance might be reduced to the mathematical non-extensibility of a point. But Occam proceeded still further, dialectically postulating, at least, the possibility of the "repletive existence" (and thus of the

ubiquity) of the body of Christ. He accordingly taught, (1) the actual "repletive existence" of God; (2) the local presence of the body of Christ in heaven; (3) the non-quantitative, definitive presence in many places of the body of Christ in the host; and (4) the possibility of the ubiquity of this body in the universe.

On this dialectic straining of the doctrine of the ubiquity of the body of Christ Luther based his doctrine. Luther's original eucharistic theory was based entirely on opposition to the Roman Catholic opus operatum. The essential part of

2. Luther's the Eucharist was held to be the word. Doctrine. faith being the right disposition. Luther affirmed his belief in the real presence and transubstantiation in 1519, but within a year he had replaced the latter by the teaching of the consubstantiation (of Occam), postulating, without any attempt at explanation, the substantial coexistence of the bread and the body of Christ in the Eucharist. When, however, Johann Carlstadt and Zwingli denied the real presence, Luther proceeded further than Occam; and in Wider die himmlischen Propheten von den Bildern und Sakramenten, in reply to Carlstadt, he set forth the initial statement of the synecdochical theory of the real presence, and the first intimations of the doctrine of ubiquity. Luther maintained that the "this" of the words of institution implied the presence of the body already in the unbroken bread. When Christ says, "This is my body," he takes the "whole" (bread and body) "for the part" (body); this is the synecdoche of Luther, later modified by Melanchthon. Luther introduced his teaching on ubiquity in his Sermon vom Sakrament des Leibes (Wittenberg, 1526), and developed it in his polemics against Zwingli and Ecolampadius. Dass diese Worte (das ist mein Leib) noch feststehen (1527), and Bekenntnis vom Abendmahl (1528). Maintaining the real presence as an immutable article of faith established by the Scriptures, Luther sought with equal zeal to defend the doctrine of the true reality of the body as well as to dispel all gross notions. He teaches that the body of Christ is exceptional and supernatural, different from ordinary human flesh and blood; that his flesh is born of the spirit, of a spiritual nature, and fit for spiritual food; and that the attributes of magnitude and extension do not apply to his body. Two deductions were then drawn: all things being present and permeable to Christ, he can enter and pass through them, being as energy without matter (as proved by the sealed tomb and the closed door); and the entire body of Christ may be in the smallest atom, though not circumscribed by it. This mode of "definitive existence" explains, however, only how it is possible for a corporeal being to be present in material substances without changing itself or them. For an answer to the further problem, how the body of Christ can be present simultaneously in heaven and in the host in countless celebrations of the Lord's Supper, recourse becomes necessary to the omnipotence of God, and Luther returns to the doctrine of the presence in an indefinite number of localities according to his will (Arno) taught by scholasticism. He continually emphasizes the necessity of the belief that with God all things are possible, and that, therefore, the heavenly body of Christ is miraculously present in the host. Such is wrought by the creative word and the command of God. Although satisfied that "definitive existence" and presence in as many places as Christ willed to be were sufficient to faith in view of the omnipotence of God, he brought still higher arguments to bear against his opponents, developing the one into "repletive existence," and the other into omnipresence. This was done by the symbolic interpretation of the "right hand of God" and by the logical consequences of the Communicatio idiomatum. Definitive existence and multipresence pertain, through divine omnipotence, also to angels and demons. The body of Christ, however, possesses a far higher supernatural character, especially as he was at once God and man. Luther then affirmed that "the right hand of God" everywhere followed the divine omnipotence, and he deduced that Christ's body was at the same time at the right hand of God, and in the Eucharist by his syllogism: The body of Christ is at the right hand of God; the right hand of God is everywhere; therefore the body of Christ is in the bread. The same conclusion he reaches also by his Christology, as is fully set forth in his larger Bekenntnis. Accordingly, the two natures of Christ in one person demand the participation of the exalted humanity of Christ in the omnipresence of God. Luther now sought to complete his demonstration of ubiquity by developing the communicatio idiomatum from the premise of personal union. That the real presence in the host naturally follows repletive existence is selfevident, but proved too much; for it imperiled the unique sacramental presence, making it superflu-To avert this Luther asserted that the sacramental, distinct from the ubiquitous, presence was such only by the word of God, whereby he binds himself to the bread for the reception of the communicant. This was a recourse to a particular act of the divine will or a retreat to a multiple presence subject to Christ's will. Luther's doctrine of ubiquity remains important only for Christology. There are, then, according to Luther, three demonstrable ways in which the humanity of Christ may anywhere be present: "circumscriptive or local existence," as it was on earth; "definitive existence, as it was during the resurrection through the sealed tombstone, and afterward through the closed door, and as it is also in the host; and "repletive existence," as the humanity is, in virtue of its personal union with God and exaltation to his right hand, everywhere and nowhere, also in the communion substances, yet in itself inapprehensible and inactive (wirkungslos). Luther did not restrict the body of Christ or the omnipotence of God to these three modes of being, but merely emphasized the ways human thought can and must establish the doctrine in accordance with faith and the Bible. Though transcending reason, if not contrary to it, yet here is primarily a matter of faith in the miracles of God in nature and grace.

Zwingli, on the grounds of humanistic and rationalistic criticism, denied ubiquity and the real presence, and opposed the communicatio idiomatum

with the disparity of the mode of existence of the two natures, maintaining the presence of Christ to be circumscriptive and local in heaven. 3. The Calvin advanced to the doctrine that Reformed the predicates of redemptive activity Doctrines; apply also really to the human nature of Christ, but recoiled from the doctrine of ubiquity. He held that the redemptive powers of the passion and resurrection of Christ are really imparted through the symbols of bread and wine. The believer receives, not the substance, but "the communion of the body of Christ" (I Cor. x. 16), mediated by the Holy Ghost. Melanchthon at first adhered to Luther's concept of the real presence, but always remained skeptical regarding the doctrine of ubiquity. The real presence he desired to see established on mandatory, not magical, grounds. His loyalty to the doctrine is shown by his stanch defense at the Marburg Conference (1529), as well as in art. 10 of the Augsburg Confession (1530-31). But after his dialogue with Œcolampsdius he inclined more and more to restrict this presence to Christ as God. As early as 1535, in a letter to Johann Brens, he adopted the figurative exegesis of the "is" in the words of institution, and he finally came absolutely to deny the doctrine of ubiquity, coming to prefer the "communion of the body of Christ" as the membership of the faithful in the body of Christ, later emphasized by Calvin. His increasing hostility to ubiquity led to the local view of "the right hand of God"; and the eucharistic presence of Christ was to him his " power in the believing." Melanchthon thus stood much closer to Calvin than to Luther. However favorable the prospects for Protestantism, they were definitely destroyed by the Stuttgart Synod (q.v.) in 1559, when the confession drawn up by Brens, and adopted, fastened the tenet of ubiquity as a symbol upon the church in Württemberg. The result was that in the bitter polemics with Heinrich Bullinger and Pietro Martire Vermigli (qq.v.), Brens in a series of writings erected on the basis of Luther's arguments an imposing Christological system. In his De majestate Domini nostri (1562) he reaffirms the two natures in one person upon the broader basis of the incarnation of the Son of God, and consequently the deification of the Son of man. This afforded a double point of departure for the demonstration of ubiquity: "the personal union," and the "deification." The first, which is indissoluble and effected by divine omnipotence, does not involve a mutation of humanity into deity nor a duplication of persons; it is the immediate ground of the communicatio idiomatum, which is not an interchange of specific properties in name only but in fact. To save the human nature from total elimination Brenz drew a distinction between essential and separable, accidental qualities. Deity being without accidental properties, humanity is composite with a constant substance but with such accidents as suffering, mortality, and locality, which may be discarded and replaced by hyperphysical qualities, as accidental accessories, however. Brens's weakness consisted in reducing local existence to an accident or negligible quantity, when it was the brunt of his contention. As to the second basis,

the exaltation, Brens argues the "assumption of humanity into deity," and the infinite domination of the latter. The incarnation is really deification, which transpired in utero; then was Christ raised to the right hand of God and to full divine majesty, as Lord of all creatures. The human nature is only passively endowed with this power through the grace of the hypostatic union. There is, therefore, a threefold ascension: at the instant of the incarnation, immediately after the resurrection, and, finally, a merely spectacular one. In the state of exinanition Christ lived, during his earthly period, a twofold existence; a divine-human in heaven dominated by his deity, and a human-divine on earth, dominated by his humanity. The "repletive existence," by virtue of the exaltation at the incarnation, is the real state also of his humanity, only temporarily interrupted or rather attended by the "circumscriptive existence." The "inanition," therefore, postulates only a figurative mode of existence of the man Christ; there was only a "concealment," not a real " kenosis of the function " of the divine properties. Nevertheless, deity was, in an indefinable manner, involved in the process by the communicatio idiomatum. God, although impassible, so appropriated the suffering and death of Christ, or was affected by the same, through the hypostatical union, as though he himself suffered and died. But to take part in suffering and mortality and be impassible at once is a contradiction; so is also an indissoluble union in one person of deity and humanity, both dwelling in bliss and reigning over all the world, and at the same time suffering, dying, and rising again on earth; or, that the man Christwas at once alive and dead. The communicatio proved incapable of logical conclusion. On the other hand, the humanity was imperiled, inasmuch as theman Jesus, invisible by his exaltation, i.e., incarnation, was only in loco subject to his condescension. With the proof of ubiquity, the real presence was also established for Brenz. The Maulbronn Conference (q.v.) of 1564 served to reveal the weakness of the Christology of Brenz, yet more enfeebled by Jakob Andrea (q.v.). The doctrine prevailed in Wurttemberg for the remainder of the century.

Martin Chemnits (q.v.) sought vainly to mediate between the Swabian followers of Brenz and the Philippists of Wittenberg, who rejected ubiquity and the "scholastic disputations" over the real presence. His teachings, however, re-

4 Chem-mained a mass of disparate elements nitz. of both factions (De duabus naturis in Christo, 1571). Like Melanchthon, following Aristotle's dictum, "properties do not pass out of their subjects," he held properties to be essential, not accidental; and locality was, therefore, an essential, not accidental, property of human nature. The genus majestaticum (see Christology, VIII., 1) thus negated was by degrees regained. Although conceding that human nature can appropriate divine properties only according to the finite human capacity, in the manner of a reenforcement, yet he argued that in Christ this capacity was so augmented by the "personal union" that the humanity possessed the divine attributes not in substance but efficient power. The humanity was

the automatic organ dynamically of the Logos; the humanity is permeated with deity, after the analogy of heat in the iron, by a process which he termed perichoresis. In the humiliation, the Logos, though never wholly quiescent, retreated to a "conceal-ment of function," and even to its "kenosis." Thus, at the same time, a compensation was rendered for the doctrine of inherent ubiquity, which as an intrinsic possession of the humanity was positively declined, and then regained as a sort of potential ubiquitous presence. This was in conflict with his other assertion of the hypostatic union according to which the humanity embracing all creatures is ever present in the Logos. Chemnitz loses himself, therefore, in distraction between an a priori ubiquity and an a posteriori potential multipresence, and in conflict with his Aristotelian dictum as premise. The logical result of his theories was that the humanity of Jesus was at once essentially circumscribed and potentially omnipresent.

The Formula of Concord (q.v.) presented a loose and incongruous combination of the views of Luther and Brens and those of Chemnits. Directly, it may be said, the potential ubiquitous presence is taught by the admission of the views of Chemnits just mentioned seriatim. While the full possession of the di-

vine majesty is ascribed to the human-5. Formula ity, omnipresence is never mentioned of Concord. as one of its attributes, being assumed

as implied in omnipotence; and the "repletive existence" is never expressly asserted of the humanity. Indirectly is taught the essential ubiquity of the body of Christ, by the adoption of large citations from Luther's eucharistic writings, not excluding the statements on ubiquity and the "repletive existence," particularly by falling back on Luther's idea of the "right hand of God" for a figure of the divine majesty. Moreover, the realistic communicatio idiomatum, as the basis of all Christology, was so carried through with strong emphasis on the integrity of the natures and their properties, the non-receptivity of the divine nature for human properties, and the separation of the two states, that the moderated views of Brenz as promulgated by Andrea and the advanced Melanchthonism of Chemnits could both accept it.

The inconclusiveness of the Formula proved itself in the reservation entered by Chemnitz with his signature, and the mutual efforts to advance the doctrine of ubiquity to the front on the part of the two Swabians, Leonhard Hutter (q.v.),

6. The Two who essentially reproduced the views Schools. of Brenz; and Ægidius Hunnius (q.v.), who, following Chemnitz (and perhaps even Luther), maintained an immanent universal presence of the humanity in the Logos, or a passive omnipresence. At the same time, he advanced beyond Chemnitz by raising the "internal presence," latent during Christ's humiliation, to an "external omnipresence" through his exaltation, alongside of which, however, was maintained the continuous spatial presence of the body of Christ in heaven, thus making permanent the dualism of the human existence of Christ which Luther and Brenz had restricted to his humiliation. Thus the doctrine of

ubiquity had attained to recognition, and only its

closer definition was left to theology. Henceforth, the doctrine was a factor in the kenotic controversies (see Kenosis; Christology). Like Chemnitz and Hunnius, the Giessen theologians taught, beside potential possession, the "kenosis of the use' of the divine properties on the part of the humanity and the "immanent presence," in Christ's humiliation, thus reserving the omnipresence of his humanity for his exaltation. The Tübingen theologians, on the other hand, logically maintaining the communicatio idiomatum even during the humiliation, granted merely a "concealment of the ' of the divine properties, asserting also for the humanity in the state of humiliation an omnipresence merely dissimulated. A" kenosis of function" is conceded only of the high-priestly functions of Jesus with reference to omnipotence. Thus, however, the humanity of Christ was imperiled. These two types continued to exist side by side, in modified forms, for Lutheran theology, with the former generally in the ascendancy. The rise of Pietism and rationalism retired Christological speculation to the background, and the Lutheran theology of the nineteenth century had scant interest in ubiquity. A. W. HUNZINGER.

Bibliography: The subject is to be pursued in the histories of doctrine, such as Harnack, Dogma, vi. 239, vii. 243, 262 sqq.; F. A. Loofs, Halle, 1908; and R. Seeberg, 2d ed., Leipsic, 1908; in the works on the history of Protestant theology, such as W. Gass, 4 vols., Berlin, 1854-1867; and G. W. Frank, 4 vols., Leipsic, 1862-1905; in the works on systematic theology, e.g., C. Hodge, ii. 408 sqq., iii. 670 sqq., 3 vols., New York, 1871-72; and W. G. T. Shedd, ii. 323-327, New York, 1889; and in those on Christology, e.g., I. A. Dorner, Person Christi, vol. ii., Berlin, 1854, Eng. transl., vol. iv., 5 vols., Edinburgh, 1861-63. Recourse should be had also to the articles mentioned in the text and the literature under them, such as Christology; Eucharist; Transubstantiation; and the like; under Lord's Supper to the works by J. H. A. Ebrard, Frankfort, 1845-46; K. F. A. Kahnis, Leipsic, 1851; A. W. Dieckhoff, Göttingen, 1854; and H. Schmid, Leipsic, 1868. Consult further: F. C. Baur, Die Lehre von der Dreienigkeit und Menschwerdung Göttes, vol. iii., Tübingen, 1843; M. Schneckenburger, Zur kirchlichen Christologie, Pforzheim, 1848; idem, Daratellung des Lutherischen und reformirten Lehrbergrifs, Stuttgart, 1855; H. Heppe, Geschichte der deutschen Protestanten . . . 1855-81, 4 vols., Marburg, 1855-89; A. Schweizer, Die protestantischen Centraldogmen in ihrer Entwickelung in der reformirten Kirche, 2 vols., Zurich, 1854-56; F. H. R. Frank, Theologie der Concordienformel, 4 parts, Erlangen, 1858-68; R. D. Hitchcock, in Journal of Christian Philosophy, ii (1883), 381 sqq.; K. G. Göts, Die Abendmahlsfrage in ihrer geschichtlichen Entwickelung, Leipsic, 1904; J. Köstlin, Luthers Theologie, 2d ed., 2 vols., Stuttgart, 1802; T. M. Lindsay, Hist. of the Reformation, pp. 4, 7, 67, 412-413, New York, 1907; Schaff, Christian Church, vi. 625-626, 628.

UDALL (UVEDALE), JOHN: Puritan; b. about 1560; d. in London toward the end of 1592. He studied at Christ's and Trinity Colleges, Cambridge (B.A., 1581; M.A., 1584); was presented to the living of Kingston-on-Thames before 1584, of which he was deprived in 1588 for bold and offensive preaching of Puritan doctrine, and issued three volumes of sermons, Amendment of Life, Obedience to the Gospell, and Peter's Fall (Kingston, 1584). A fourth volume, The True Remedie against Famine and Warres, appeared 1586. He was a friend of John Penry (q.v.) and gave him certain information which was used in the first Marprelate tract. Inde-

pendently he wrote The State of the Church of Englande Laide Open in a Conference (generally known as "The Dialogue" from its form) and A Demonstration of the Trueth of that Discipline which Christ hath Prescribed . . . for the Government of his Church, both printed by Penry's printer, Robert Waldegrave, in 1588 (reprinted by Edward Arber, The English Scholar's Library, nos. 5 and 9, London, 1879, 1880). He was suspected of complicity in the Marprelate tracts (q.v.) and summoned to London for examination, Dec., 1589; in July, 1590, he was brought to trial, charged with publishing " a wicked, scandalous, and seditious libel" (the Demonstration); was found guilty, and sentenced to death, but no desire was manifested to execute the sentence; in June, 1592, on the intercession of influential friends, he was pardoned by Queen Elizabeth. He was a good Hebrew scholar and translated from Latin into English the Hebrew grammar of Peter Martinius (Paris, 1567), adding exercises and a dictionary (The Key of the Holy Tongue, Leyden, 1593), and wrote a commentary on Lamentations (London, 1595).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: A New Discovery of Old Pontifical Practises for the Maintenance of the Prelates Authority and Histority . . . , London, 1643; W. Maskell, Hist. of the Marprelate Controversy, London, 1845; C. H. and T. Coope, Athena Cantabrigienses, ii. 148–150, London, 1861; E. Arber, An Introductory Sketch to the Martin Marprelate Controversy, London, 1879; DNB, lviii. 4–6; and the introductions to the reprints named in the text.

UGOLINI, u"go-li'ni, BIAGIO (BLASIUS UGO-LINUS): Italian Roman Catholic Christian antiquarian; flourished in the eighteenth century. Of his life nothing is known, but there is little doubt that he was a Jew by birth. In an open letter to C. B. Michaelis (Venice, 1748) he mentions the fact that he frequently associated at Venice with J. E. I. Walch (b. 1725) and his brother, C. W. F. Walch (b. 1726), and likewise requests Michaelis to give his greetings to the Halle professor, Sigismund Baumgarten (d. 1757).

Ugolini's fame rests upon his Thesaurus antiquitatum sacrarum, in thirty-four enormous folios (Venice, 1744-69). This contains first a reprint of numerous treatises on Biblical archeology by various authors, and then a series of studies by Ugolini himself: Altare exterius, de mensa et panibus propositionis (x.); Altare interius: De candelabro (xi.); De sacerdote castrensi [Deut. xx. 2 sqq.], (xii.); Sacerdotium Hebraicum (xiii.); De ritibus in cæna Domini ex antiquitatibus paschalibus illustratis (xvii.); De phylacteriis Hebræorum (xxi.); Trihæresium [Pharisees, Sadducees, and Essenes] (xxii.); De re rustica veterum Hebræorum (xxix.); Uxor Hebræa (xxx.); and De veterum Hebræorum et reliquarum gentium, præsertim Græcorum et Romanorum, funere et præficis (xxxiii.). All these treatises show a thorough knowledge of Jewish literature, as well as much other learning. A third portion of the Thesaurus consists of the text and Latin translation of ancient Jewish writings: thirty-one tractates of the Tosephthah (collection of pronouncements on matters of the law), twenty tractates of the Palestinian Talmud, three tractates of the Babylonian Talmud, four old Midrashim, and a number of tractates from the great Yad Hazakah of Maimonides. (H. L. STRACK.)

UHLHORN, ul'horn, JOHANN GERHARD WIL-IELM: German Lutheran; b. at Osnabrück (74 m. w.s.w. of Hanover) Feb. 17, 1826; d. at Hanover Dec. 15, 1901. He studied at the University of Göttingen, where he changed his early pietistic views for those of the mediating type of theology and opposition to the Tübingen school. He became lecturer at Göttingen in 1849 and privat-docent three years later. In the controversy which arose in the church of Hanover between the High-church neo-Lutheran orthodoxy represented by Petri and the faculty of Göttingen, who were charged with deserting their creed and tending toward union, Uhlhorn took the side of his university and edited the Göttingen Monateschrift für Theologie und Kirche. Within this controversy was another concerning home missions, which were welcomed by the mediating theologians, but bitterly opposed by Petri. To counteract the latter's influence, Uhlhorn was called, in 1855, to Hanover as assistant preacher at the castle church and assistant in the consistory. Here he rose rapidly, becoming second court chaplain in 1857, and first court chaplain and consistorial councilor in 1861. With his practical work in Hanover, however, his theological and ecclesissical views underwent a change, and he became more inclined to orthodoxy and an opponent of mion. He thus became a mediator between the degy and the faculty, and was one of the chief factors in easing the tension between the two. As court chaplain he restored the old forms of Lutheran worship and introduced liturgical vespers, while the castle church with its choir became a model for the liturgy. His affection for home missions, awakened at Göttingen, found expression in an association for the young, and still more in the foundation of the Henriettenstift, an institute for desconesses.

A new problem in the development of the Hanoverian Church was inaugurated by the catechism controversy of 1862. The old rationalistic catechism of 1790 was replaced, at the command of the king, by an orthodox catechism drawn up by Lührs and approved by the faculty of Göttingen. This act raised a storm, fostered by political liberals, which led to riots that endangered the lives of consistorial councilor Niemann and of Uhlhorn, who were regarded as the leaders of ecclesiastical reaction. The affair brought the orthodox party and the faculty together, and the question of a synodal organization was again raised. As a result came the system of vestries and synods which is still in force, and when Hanover became a Prussian province in 1866, the independence of its church was recognized by King William. On Apr. 17 of the same year it received its own national consistory, of which Uhlhorn remained a member until his death. In this capacity he used his powers to make the vestries and synods living and effective organizations, and took part unceasingly in the district synods, seeking and gaining the confidence of pastors and church officers.

For a considerable period Uhlhorn was also general superintendent of Hoya-Diepholz, and both here, in the national consistory, and elsewhere he labored to secure the practical freedom of synods

and individual churches from all interference, so long as the religious bodies concerned remained true to the principles of Lutheranism. He likewise advocated the independence of the national consistory from the government, but earnestly opposed union, which he feared would be harmful to true Lutheranism. As superintendent of Hanover, Uhlhorn labored in harmony with all under his control for the extension of churches both in the capital and in other centers of industry, in the colonies in the moors of East Frisia, and on the Lüneburger Heide. He was equally energetic in the cause of home missions. In the district synods he expressed his alarm at the rising power of Roman Catholicism, and urged his coreligionists to make every effort to avert its influence. From the first Uhlhorn opposed the Kulturkampf, which, he held, was bound to result in the defeat of the State and to the prejudice of Lutheranism. The struggle of the liberals against Rome he regarded as really a war on the Church as a whole and on all positive Christianity.

The religious decline in the eighth decade of the nineteenth century, complicated by the rise of social democracy, led Uhlhorn to redouble his efforts to avert the increasing estrangement of the masses from Church and religion. He seriously mistrusted the use of city missions, fearing that they would be harmful to organized pastoral activity, preferring to augment the number of theological chairs,

pastoral positions, and churches.

He refused several calls to universities, but in 1878 became abbot of Loccum, a dignity which carried with it the presidency of the district of Kalenberg-Grubenhagen, and by his zeal made the preachers' seminary connected with the ancient abbey a model institution. He likewise founded another seminary on the Erichsburg, and Loccum formed the pattern for seminaries in other Prussian provinces. At first an elected member of the Hanoverian national synod, Uhlhorn was made a perpetual member in 1878, and in this body he took an active part in the creation of laws important for the development of the national church. He took a lively interest in the home for fallen women at Hanover, the women's home at Hildesheim, the workmen's colony at Kästorf, and the institution for epileptics at Rotenburg, also seeking to inspire the national and the district synods with equal zeal for home missions. No less earnest and successful were his efforts in behalf of Sunday rest, especially in 1885, and when the government introduced Sunday laws, Uhlhorn endeavored to promote a better observance of the day. He also devoted special attention to the cause of the Lutheran seamen's mission (see Seamen, Missions to), as well as to German Lutherans in foreign countries, particularly in South Africa. To social problems Uhlhorn also turned his thoughts, discussing them in several lectures in which he reached the conclusion that the social question is economic, not religious, and does not, therefore, fall within the province of the Church, whose sole duty is to preach the word of God, which contains no revelation on matters of economics. While Uhlhorn's ideal was the independence of Church and State, he felt that the time was not yet ripe for such conditions, and accordingly opposed all efforts in that direction. He was likewise distressed by theological developments, for though he advocated freedom of research both in universities and in seminaries, and deprecated any direct influence of the Church in the selection of professors for the theological faculties, he felt that the new movements could work only destruction to the ancient faith and to the Lutheran confession. In 1894 and 1896 he defended the building of motherhouses for deaconesses, and elucidated the difference between Roman Catholic sisterhoods and Lutheran deaconesses. His last weeks were devoted to the preparation of a Lutheran liturgy for Hanover which was unanimously accepted by the national synod, thus completing the organization of an independent Hanoverian church with the exception of a catechism which is still a desideratum.

(F. Uhlhorn.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Uhlhorn's literary activity was constant, some of his works, however, were ephemeral, dealing with questions of his times. Of the more permanent works mention may be made of: Die Homilien und Recognitionen des Clemens Romanus, Göttingen, 1854; Das Basilidianische System, 1855; Urbanus Rhegius, Elberfield, 1861; Die modernen Darstellungen des Lebens Jesu, Hanover, 1866, Eng. transl., Modern Representations of the Life of Jesus, Boston, 1868; Das römische Concil, 1870; Der Kampf des Christenhums mit dem Heidenthum, Stuttgart, 1874, Eng. transl., Conflict of Christianity with Heathenism, New York, 1879; Die christliche Liebesthätigkeit, 3 vols., vol. i., In der alten Kirche, 1882, Eng. transl., Christian Charity in the Ancient Church, Edinburgh, 1883, vol. ii., Im Mittelalter, 1884, vol. iii., Seit der Reformation, 1890; and also his edition of Ein Sendbrief von Antonius Corvinus an den Add von Göttingen, Göttingen, 1853.

Adel von Göttingen, Göttingen, 1853.
For his life consult F. Uhlhorn, Gerhard Uhlhorn, Abt su
Loccum, Stuttgart, 1903; F. Düsterdieck, Zum Andenken

an G. Uhlhorn, Hanover, 1902.

UHLICH, LEBERECHT. See FREE CONGREGA-TIONS IN GERMANY, § 1.

> ULFILAS, ul'fi-las (ULPHILAS). Origin and Youth (§ 1). Prominence; Missionary Activities (§ 2). Later Years (§ 3). Theology (§ 4). Works; Bible Translation (§ 5).

Ulfilas, bishop of the Visigoths and the author of practically the sole remnants of the Gothic language, was born in the region of the lower Danube about 310, and died at Constantinople in 383. His name is variously given as Vulfila by Jordanes,

Gulfila or Gilfila by Isidore, Vulphilas

1. Origin by Cassiodorus, Ulfila by Auxentius

and Youth. and Maximinus, Oulphilas by Socrates,

Sozomen, and Theodoret, and Ourphilas by Philostorgius and Photius, all these representing the Gothic Wulfila, "Little Wolf." His grandparents came from the village of Sadagolthina, near Parnassus (probably situated on the River Halys) in western Cappadocia, and were among the Christians taken captive by the Goths when, in 264, they ravaged Cappadocia, Galatia, and Bithynia. In their pagan surroundings these Christian captives not only remained true to the faith, but also converted many of their captors and formed communities with at least some degree of organization. Ulfilas himself seems to have been born of a Gothic father and a woman of Asia Minor, was a serf by origin, but a Christian from his very youth. According to Auxentius, he became bishop

at the age of thirty, and then officiated seven years in the land of the barbarians and thirty-three in "Romania." He was well acquainted with Greek, since he was made a "reader," in which capacity he had not only to read the Scriptures during the services but in all probability also to translate and explain them to the Goths among his hearers. When about thirty years of age, he was chosen to accompany a Gothic embassy to the imperial court, where he became acquainted with Eusebius of Nicomedia, who, with other bishops there assembled, consecrated him to the episcopate apparently at Antioch during the synod of May 22-Sept. 1, 341.

But Ulfilas could labor only a brief time as "bishop of the Christians in the land of the Goths," for about seven years later the "irreligious and sacrilegious judge of the Goths" (apparently Athanarich, who termed himself almost exclusively "judge") inaugurated a persecution

2. Prominates a severe that the survivors were forced to seek refuge in Roman territory. At Missionary the request of Ulfilas, Constantius Activities. gave them shelter in the mountains near Nicopolis in lower Moesia, not far

from the modern Plevna, and appointed Ulfilas their judge." It would seem that Ulfilas now ranked only as a Chorepiscopus (q.v.), and he is known to have been present only at the synod held at Constantinople in Jan., 360, so that it would appear that the importance ascribed to him by Auxentius is exaggerated. Whether, in addition to his duties in the vicinity of Plevna, he found time to carry on missionary work among the Goths north of the Danube is uncertain. According to Socrates, during the reign of Valens, but before the persecution of 370-372, war broke out between the Gothic chieftains, Frithigern and Athanarich. The former, defeated, fled to Roman territory, and, aided by the emperor, returned and proved victorious. In gratitude he adopted the faith of Valens, and constrained his subjects to do likewise, while Ulfilas labored among the people of both Frithigern and Athanarich. But the latter would not tolerate the Christians, and in 370-372 persecuted them bitterly. After peace between Frithigern and Athanarich, Ulfilas may well have carried on missionary work, though it would appear that he made no extensive journeys, but rather supported the cause from his mountains near Plevna. He seems to have remained associated with Frithigern, and when, in 376, the greater part of the Visigoths sought a home on Roman soil, Ulfilas is said by Sozomen (Hist. eccl., vi. 37) to have accompanied their embassy to the court and there to have advocated their cause. Whether he maintained these friendly relations with the newcomers when they became involved in strife with the Romans is uncertain, but there is little doubt that, half-Roman by birth, and entirely Roman in religion and education, he took sides against the Goths.

Before Rome had concluded peace with the Goths (Oct. 3, 382), however, Ulfilas himself, through no fault of his own, had become involved in war with the land he had, in all probability, served in a political as well as in an ecclesiastical capacity, with whose bishops and churches he had been on the

most friendly terms for more than a generation; and before the issue was decided, he had passed The cause and progress of these events are wrapped in obscurity. From the 3. Later letter of Auxentius, the sole document dealing with the death of Ulfilas, it Years. would appear that, shortly after the council of Aquileia, Ulfilas and other bishops went to the imperial court, where, at their request, Theodosius promised to convene another synod for the settlement of the Arian controversy. This journey apparently took place in the autumn of 381 or the winter of 381-382, and somewhat later Ulfilas was summoned by the emperor to return to Constantinople to take part in a disputation on the problems at issue, or, in other words, to attend the synod convened at Constantinople by Theodosius in June, 383. Bishops of every shade of doctrine had already assembled when Nectarius, patriarch of Constantinople, succeeded in preventing the open debate promised by the emperor, who, instead, required each of the theological factions to present its own cred. This done, Theodosius gave his approval to the Nicene formula, tore up the others, and sent the bishops to their homes. It would accordingly seem that Ulfilas had reached the capital in June, 383,

fore the imperial decision was received.

According to Socrates (*Hist. ecd.*, ii. 41), Ulfilas, as a pupil of the Crimgothic bishop Theophilus, was primarily an adherent of the Nicene Creed, becoming an Arian only at the synod

had fallen ill shortly afterward, and, though able

to take part in the deliberations of his faction con-

cerning the formulation of their creed, so that he

himself drew up one for this purpose, had died be-

4 Theology, held at Constantinople early in 360. This account is followed in the main by Sosomen (Hist. eccl., vi. 37), while Theodoret (Hist. eccl., iv. 37) makes the Arianism of Ulfilas date from 376. The Acta Niceta, on the other hand, represent him as a true Catholic throughout his life, and as the founder of none but orthodox communities among the Goths. The creed drawn up by Ulfilas himself runs thus: "I, Ulfilas, bishop and confessor, have ever thus believed, and in this sole true faith I pass unto the Lord: I believe that there is one only God, unbegotten and invisible; and in his only begotten Son, our Lord and God, creator and maker of every creature, not having his like. Therefore, God is one, who is also God of our God. And in one Holy Ghost, virtue illuminating and sanctifying . . . neither God nor Lord, but the [faithful] minister of Christ, not equal, but subject and obedient in all things to the Son; and the Son subject and obedient in all things to God the Father." Of the following lines of this creed only the words "through Christ" and "by the Holy Ghost," as well as a few letters, have survived. It is clear, however, that Ulfilas was unconscious of ever having changed his theological position, and the statements of Socrates, Sozomen, Theodoret, and the Ada Niceta must, therefore, be rejected. On the other hand, the creed seems to contain no clue as to the anti-Nicene group in which Ulfilas is to be reckoned. But the very fact that Ulfilas avoids all reference to the essence shows that he was a ho-

This is borne out by a number of other moian. facts: Auxentius testifies that he "said the Son was like the Father . . . according to the divine Scriptures and traditions "; he was one of the fortysix bishops who condemned and deposed Ætius at Constantinople early in 360; his pupil Auxentius, his partizans Palladius of Ratiaria, Secundianus, Demophilus of Berea, and Maximinus, and his successor Selinas were all homoians, as was the entire Gothic church. It is true that the homoians first appeared as a distinct faction at a synod held at Sirmium in 357; but the rapidity with which they became dominant along the lower Danube shows that their views had there long met favor, so that they were speedily adopted officially by the majority of the bishops. The homoian rejection of every dogma that could not be proved from the Bible won the hearty support of such a conservative and traditionalist as Ûlfilas, who, as Auxentius tells, regarded the Nicene Creed as a "devilish innovation," sided with the anti-Nicene party at Antioch in 341, and, when the Ætians and homoousians began to draw apart, joined the homoians, whose watchword was "according to the Scriptures." Herein he could follow not merely his own inclination, but the example of almost all the bishops and churches of the Danube regions, where Arianism of this sort was so firmly intrenched that orthodoxy was forced to struggle with it until late in the fifth century.

Auxentius reports that Ulfilas "proved by sermons and treatises that there is a difference between the divinity of the Father and of the Son. He preached continually in the one and only Church of Christ in the Greek, Latin, and Gothic tongues, and he also left behind him a number

5. Works; of treatises and many interpretations
Bible in these same three languages." None
Translation of these productions has survived

under the name of Ulfilas, although it is not impossible that fragments may be included among the numerous remnants of Arian (or, rather, homoian) literature that are still extant. A number of works—the fragments of a homoian commentary on Luke (ed. A. Mai, Nova collectio, iii. 2, pp. 191-207, 10 vols., Rome, 1825-38) and of the Opus imperfectum in Matthæum, and the Gothic Skeireins aiwaggeljons that h Johannen ("Interpretation of the Gospel according to John")—have indeed been ascribed to him, but on insufficient basis. The sole fragment of Ulfilas now extant is his incomplete confession of 383, and even this was probably written in Greek, not in Latin, as it now stands. The fame of Ulfilas is chiefly due, however, to two facts: his creation of a Gothic alphabet from modifications and adaptations of the Greek, Latin, and runic alphabets; and his Gothic translation of the Bible. Philostorgius and Socrates exaggerate his services when they ascribe to him the absolute invention of this new script; but there is little doubt that he formed it expressly to commit to writing his version of the Bible. This was intended primarily for the liturgy, not for private devotion; and as there were then no lectionaries, he was obliged to translate the entire Bible. How far he was able to execute this plan is unknown. Philo-

storgius states that he intentionally omitted I, II Samuel and I, II Kings because their warlike contents rendered them too stimulating for so martial a people as the Goths; but this is improbable, and simply means that those four books were still missing from the Gothic Bible in the second quarter of the fifth century. Only the extant fragments of the Gospels can be referred with any certainty to the hand of Ulfilas; for these would naturally have been the first for him to undertake, while their uniformity of style points to a single author. In method he adhered strictly to his Greek original, sacrificing clarity to accuracy, and adopting a literary Gothic which disregarded the vernacular and admitted Hellenisms without scruple. The fragments are utterly devoid of poetic inspiration, and in their rigid form reveal the habits to which Ulfilas had become accustomed by his long years as an interpreting reader in the services. His work can not be compared with that of Jerome in the Vulgate; if any parallel be sought, it must be with the old Slavic version, which is of the same type and character. See BIBLE VER-SIONS, A, X.

The praises heaped on Ulfilas by Auxentius, Maximinus, and Philostorgius are essentially partizan in spirit; and even the exclamation of Constantius (recorded only by Philostorgius), that Ulfilas was "the Moses of our time," alludes only to his leading the confessors from Gothic territory during the persecution of 348-349. The best evidence of his importance is the endeavor of historians of the fifth century to claim him for orthodoxy, though it is difficult to say whether the motive here is because he was a bishop and a primate, or a confessor. Equally exaggerated are the modern assertions that his translation of the Bible enabled the Germans to be at once Christians and Teutons, that he created a school of Gothic Arian church-leaders, and that the Arian creed of the Gothic church and all her Teutonic offshoots were due to him. The time has not yet come for final decision on the importance of (H. BÖHMER.) Ulfilas in history.

Bibliography: The works named under Bible Versions, A, X., usually contain introductions on the life of Ulfilas, and for his work are important. Sources are: (1) Arian authors: Auxentius, Epistula de fide, vita et obitu Ulfila, included in G. Waitz, Ueber das Leben und die Lehre des Ulfila, Hanover, 1840, and in F. Kauffmann, Aus der Schule des Wulfila, Strasburg, 1899; and Philostorgius, Hist. eccl., ii. 5 (for editions see under Philostorgius, Hist. eccl., ii. 5 (for editions see under Philostorgius); (2) Orthodox authors: Socrates, Hist. eccl., ii. 41, iv. 24; Sozomen, Hist. eccl., iv. 24, vi. 37; Theodoret, Hist. eccl., ii. 5 (lal these are in Eng. transl. in NPNF, 2 ser., vols. ii.—iii.); Jordanis, Getica, li. 267, in MGH, Auct. ant., vi. (1882), 127; and Isidore of Seville, Chronicon, eccl., in MGH, Auct. ant., xi. (1894), 469, cf. pp. 270-271 (from the Historia Gotorum). Consult further: the work of G. Waitz, ut sup.; W. Krafft, Die Anfange des Christentums bei den germanischen Völkern, Berlin, 1854; W. Bessell, Ueber das Leben des Ulfilas und die Bekehrung der Goten sum Christentum, Göttingen, 1860; W. L. Krafft, De fontibus Ulfila Arianismi, Bonn, 1860; E. Bernhardt, Wulfila oder die gotische Bibel, Halle, 1875; C. P. V. Kirchner, Die Abstammung des Ulfilas, Chemnitz, 1879; P. Schaff, Companion to the Greek Testament, pp. 160-163, New York, 1883; C. A. A. Scott, Ulfilas, Apostle of the Goths, London, 1885; W. Streitberg, Gotisches Elementarbuch, pp. 9 sqq., Heidelberg, 1906; Stamm, Ulfilas, 11th ed. by F. Wrede, pp. xvii. sqq., 281 sqq., Paderborn, 1908; Cambridge Medieval History, i. 212-213, New York, 1911; ADB, xliv. 270 sqq.

ULLMANN, ül'-man, KARL: German Protes tant; b. at Epfenbach, near Heidelberg, Mar. 15, 1796; d. at Carlsruhe Jan. 12, 1865. He was educated at the universities of Heidelberg (1812-13) and Tübingen (1813-16). After a year as vicar at Kirchheim, near Heidelberg, he resumed his studies at Heidelberg in 1817, where he became privatdocent of theology 1819, associate professor 1821, and full professor 1825. In this period, besides studies on II Peter, the so-called III Corinthians, the cycle of the Christian feasts, and the Hypsistarians, Ullman published Gregor von Nazianz (Darmstadt, 1825; Eng. transl., London, 1851). In collaboration with F. W. K. Umbreit (q.v.), he founded, in 1828, the Theologische Studien und Kritiken for the defense of modern orthodox theology. In 1829 Ullmann was called to Halle, where he lectured primarily on church history, teaching also introduction, symbolics, and dogmatics, and seeking to counteract the rationalism still prevailing in the university. At the same time, in his Theologisches Bedenken (Halle, 1830), he defended unrestricted theological and ecclesiastical development. Of his other writings during this period, special mention may be made of his De Beryllo Bostreno ejusque doctrina (Hamburg, 1835). In 1836 Ullmann was recalled to Heidelberg, where he lectured on the same subjects as at Halle. Meanwhile his activity as author was transferred from church history to apologetics by Strauss' Leben Jesu, which gave rise to his Historisch oder mythisch (Hamburg, 1838); Ueber den Kultus des Genius (1840; Eng. transl., The Worship of Genius, London, 1840); Die Sündlosigkeit Jesu (1842; Eng. transl., The Sinlessness of Jesus, from the 7th ed., new issue Edinburgh, 1902); and Das Wesen des Christenthums (1845; Eng. transl., The Essence of Christianity, London, 1846). But his chief work was his Reformatoren vor der Reformation (2 vols., 1841-42; Eng. transl., Reformers before the Reformation, 2 vols., Edinburgh, 1874-77), in which he blended the biographies of Johann Wessel, Johann von Goch, and Johann von Wesel into a presentation of the theological preparation for the German Reformation. But with these works Ullmann's literary activity virtually came to a close, being replaced by his interest in the practical problems then confronting the Church, so that in the fifth decade of the century there was scarcely a question of the day which he did not discuss exhaustively in the Theologische Studien und Kritiken.

In conformity with the conditions and needs following the German revolution, Ullmann devoted himself mainly to the interests of the national church of Baden, which it became the task of modern orthodox theology to strengthen by sound reforms and to increase its influence on the life of the people and of the State. Ullmann turned the perilous crisis then confronting his communion into good. This he did, in the first place, by convening in semi-annual conferences those who were at once friends of the national church and also disposed toward reform. These "Durlach conferences" first brought Baden pietism and mediating theology into friendly relations, preparing the way for the solution of the problems confronting the national

church of the day. The change of rulers in Baden in 1852 increased the prospects of actual reform, and when, in the following year, the Evangelical prelature became vacant, it was but natural that Ulmann should be called to fill it. In 1853, accordingly, Ullmann became prelate, or the representative of the Evangelical church in the upper chamber. His actual administrative power, however, was but slight, and his activity was hampered, rather than aided, when he was appointed director in 1856. The chief exertions of the new prelate were directed to the execution of the reforms proposed in the Durlach conferences, and, accordingly, in 1855 the general synod was convened for the first time since 1843. Its subjects for consideration were a new formulation of the confessional status, a new national catechism, a new liturgy, and a new Biblical history. The catechism, prepared by Ullmann himself from Luther's smaller catechism and that of Heidelberg, found wide favor, so that, within a few years, it was adopted as the union catechism, with slight modifications, in the Rheno-Prussian Church. The new Biblical history was also adopted, s well as the liturgy, and even the new creed, though much debated, was finally accepted. From sttacks, urgent and persistent, caused by these measures, Ullmann was, by his position, protected for a time and against involuntary retirement; and be determined to remain at his post as long as he honorably could. At last, however, in 1861, after continued lack of sympathy with his views, he requested leave to resign. Consent was reluctantly given, and Ullmann retired from active life. In the long struggle his health had been seriously impaired, and his eager hopes for a resumption of literary work were frustrated. Henceforth until his death the major portion of his time and strength was devoted to the Theologische Studien und Kritiken. During these last years he prepared a memoir of his church administration, but the work was never completed. His principal writings are collected in Perthes' Theologische Bibliothek (5 vols., Gotha, 1863-67).

(W. BEYSCHLAG†.)

Bibliography: W. Beyschlag, Karl Ullmann, eine bio-gruphische Skizze, Gotha, 1866; A. Hausrath, Kleine Schijlen religionsgeschichtliches Inhalts, pp. 438–460, Leip-sic, 1883; G. Frank, Die Theologie des 19. Jahrhunderts,

VLRICH, ul'riH, SAINT: Bishop of Augsburg; b. at Augsburg 890; d. there July 4, 973. He was of noble birth and received his education at the monastery of St. Gall, returning to his native city a short time before the death of Adalbero of Augsburg (Apr. 28, 909). There the bishop appointed him chamberlain, but on the death of his patron Ulrich left Augsburg. When Hiltin died, however, Ulrich was consecrated bishop of Augsburg in his stead (Dec. 28, 923). As a spiritual lord he fortified his see city, and remained loyal to Henry I. and Otto I. In 955, when the Magyars ravaged the land, Ulrich succeeded in holding Augsburg against them until Otto could arrive with his army, and by his victory on the Lechfeld (Aug. 10, 955) annihilate the Magyar peril forever. He was now able to repair the ravages of war in his domains and to establish civil and religious order among his people. His bounty was equaled only by the devoutness of his private life and by the magnificence of his liturgy, while his desire to obtain relics led him on long journeys, from which he brought back to Augsburg dubious remains of the soldiers of the Theban Legion (q.v.) from St. Maurice in Valais and the head of St. Abundus from Rome. He thrice made pilgrimage to Rome (910, 954, 971) and showed much favor to monasticism, restoring monasteries and founding the nunnery of St. Stephen in

The grave of Ulrich gained a reputation as the scene of miracles, and his constant companion in his later years, Gerhard, composed a Vita Sancti Oudalrici (ed. Waitz, in MGH, Script., iv., 1841, 377-425) to which he was already able to add many signs and wonders. This biography was taken to Rome by Bishop Liutulf of Augsburg when he went there to gain for his predecessor the reverence of all Christendom; and in Feb., 993, John XV. issued a bull to the bishops and abbots of Gaul and Ger-

many canonizing Ulrich.

The name of Ulrich is attached to a short polemic against celibacy entitled Rescriptio beati Udelrici epistolæ in qua papæ Nicolao de continentia clericorum non juste sed impie, non canonice sed indiscrete tractanti ita respondit. This pseudonymous composition was condemned by Gregory VII. in 1079, and seems to have been occasioned by the requirement of celibacy by the Roman synod of 1074. The use of the name Ulrich was certainly intended to imply the bishop of Augsburg, though between 1059 (when the third canon of the Lateran Synod discussed the problem of the celibacy of the clergy) and 1074 there were three Italian bishops named Ulrich: Ulrich of Imola, of Benevento, and of Fermo. To Ulrich of Augsburg is also ascribed a Sermo synodalis parochianis presbyteris in synodis enuntiandus (cf. MPL, cxxxv. 1069). This sermon is, however, merely a slight revision of the common Commonitorium cujusque episcopi.

(A. HAUCK.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The sources: Vita by the monk Berno; ibliography: The sources: Vita by the monk Berno; other Vita, miracula, officium, translatio, etc., are to be found in ASM, v. 419-476; ASB, July, iv. 73-135; MGH, Script., iv (1841), 375-428; MPL, cxxxv. 1001-09, 1059-1080, cxlii. 1183-1204. Consult: P. Braun, Geschichte von dem Leben und den Wunderwerken des . . heiligen Ulrichs, Augsburg, 1796; T. Nelk, Lebensgeschichte des heiligen Bischofs Ulrich, Augsburg, 1831; O. Rommel, in Forschungen zur deutschen Geschichte, iv. 121-158, Göttingen, 1864; K. Raffler, Der heilige Ulrich Bischof von Augsburg, 2d ed., Munich. 1870: J. Koch. Geschichte und Augsburg, 2d ed., Munich, 1870; J. Koch, Geschichte und Cult des heiligen Ulrich, Bischofs von Augsburg, Halle, 1875; J. N. Stützle, Leben des heiligen Ulrich, 2d ed., Augsburg, 1880; B. Meyr, S. Ulrich und Afra; 222 Daten aus der Geschichte ihres Lebens und ihrer Kirche, ib. 1888; C. Bruckner, Studien zur Geschichte der sächsischen Kaiser, Basel, 1889.

ULRICH VON HUTTEN. See HUTTEN, ULRICH VON.

ULRICH OF WUERTTEMBERG. See BLAURER, Ambrosius; Brenz, Johann; Christopher, Duke OF WUERTTEMBERG; GRETER, KASPAR; GRYNÆUS, SIMON; MARBURG, CONFERENCE OF; PEASANTS' WAR, II, § 1; SCHNEPFF, ERHARD; TOUSSAIN, PIERRE; WUERTTEMBERG.

ULTRAMONTANISM.

Definition and Use of the Term (§ 1). Early Foundations (§ 2). Results Outside and Inside the Church (§ 3). Effects on Research and Theology (§ 4). Effects upon the People (§ 5).

A noteworthy definition of Ultramontanism by
F. X. Kraus (q.v.) runs as follows: "The distinctive marks of the ultramontane system
r. Definiare comprised in five points: (1) he is
tion and an ultramontanist who sets the conUse of the cept of the Church above that of reTerm. ligion; (2) who conceives pope and
Church interchangeably; (3) who believes the kingdom of God is of this world, and that
the power of the keys, as curialism affirmed it in

the Middle Ages, also includes temporal jurisdiction over princes and peoples; (4) who supposes that religious conviction can be coerced through material power, or who may be reduced to submission by such process; (5) who finds himself always ready to sacrifice a clear command of his own conscience to the claim of an alien authority " (by F. X. Kraus, reproduced in E. Hauviller's biography of Kraus, p. 100, Colmar, 1904). The term Ultramontani, at Italian seats of learning during the later Middle Ages, was a term applied to students "from over the mountains," e.g., to Germans. And the same designation was used in Rome of the French cardinals, when sharp opposition had developed in connection with the election of Clement V. But the same expression was current in Germany during the time of Henry IV. with reference to the followers of Gregory VII. because they served interests "beyond the mountains"; while in France the name occurs with reference to those with curial, not Gallican, aims. In the nineteenth century, the name became quite prevalent, at first in Munich as applied to the party of the elder Görres; afterward in North Germany on occasion of the church strife at Cologne. The controversial question is inevitable, whether the ultramontanists give the adequate expression to the essence of Roman Catholicism which they profess to do. This question can be clearly resolved only through detailed historical examination.

A preliminary question arises as to how far into the past Ultramontanism may be carried. As early as at the Council of Trent (q.v.) some genuine ultramontane aims were set up in the form of papal

assumptions; and if Ultramontanism

2. Early
Foundations.

all the line, still it achieved important results, especially in the canons of the sixth, fourteenth, and twenty-fifth

sessions. It was not accidental that these results were won by a Jesuit, since this is the sequel to the transformation of Roman Catholicism from what it had been down to the middle of the sixteenth century, through the genius and activity of the Jesuit order. To be sure this new "Roman type" of Catholicism furnishes nothing absolutely new; and, on another side, even without the direct cooperation of the Jesuits, a phase of papalism was espoused about the middle of the sixteenth century which can not be distinguished from Ultramontanism as defined by Kraus. For instance, in the bull

Cum ex apostolatus officio, promulgated by Paul IV., 1559, where "out of the fulness of apostolic authority" it is stated that " the pope, who is vice-gerent of God and of Christ on earth, and has the supreme power over kingdoms and peoples, and judges all can be judged by no one. . . . All hierarchs and all sovereigns and princes even to the emperor, the moment they fall into heresy or schism, are by that very fact, and without need of a particular judicial procedure, throughout and for ever forfeit of their position and its honors and revenues, also thenceforth and for ever unfit to be vested therewith" . . . (cf. Mirbt, Quellen, under no. 288). If this bull be combined with the bull In cana Domini (q.v.), there is a nearly integral configuration of the ultramontane papal principle. And far back of this it exists in fact in the bull Unam sanctam (q.v.) of the year 1302. What lies at loose ends in the Dictotus of Gregory VII. stands here compact, and papalism spans its highest arch on a religious foundation: "We declare all human creatures to be subject to the Roman pontiff. . . . Such is the indispensable condition of salvation." In such terms Ultramontanism is set up for a ruling principle alike in regard to the pope's political status, and in regard to the religious relationship of believing Roman Catholics toward the pope. True, J. Hergenröther, in Anti-Janus (Freiburg, 1870; cf. J. F. v. Schulte, Altkatholizismus, pp. 331 sqq., Giessen, 1887), has contended that this bull should not be regarded as infallible; and in Kirchenstaat, pp. 300 sqq., 751 sqq. (Freiburg, 1860), he has brought forward every available argument to the end of annulling its importance in respect to this question. But this was all in vain; the third of the distinctive marks of Ultramontanism enunciated by Kraus has its foundation in the bull of 1302; and thereon rests even in modern times the tendency not to separate the two jurisdictions, but to treat temporal matters constantly according to the synchronous interests of the Church.

The practical operation of the ultramontane tendency during the progress of time has been twofold, outside and inside the ecclesiastical system. On the former side, illustration is furnished

3. Results by the conflict between empire and Outside papacy. By degrees the ultramontane and Inside idea as to the superiority of the papacy the Church. was introduced into the sphere of secu-

lar affairs, and became part of the belief of the faithful, priests and laymen. So that Innocent III. could say without encountering opposition, "The Lord committed not only the Church but the entire secular era to Peter's administration." In answer to the question whether this idea belongs exclusively to the Middle Ages or is of present application, the answer must be that it is only in exceptional cases that such assumptions can still find actual enforcement. Yet even in more modern times the popes have often declared civil laws invalid, as in the case of the Austrian statute law of 1867, and the Prussian "Falk laws" or "May laws" of 1872-75,* although those laws neither hindered

^{*} These laws, which were carried through the Prussian diet by Dr. Falk, minister of public instruction in Prussia, transferred oversight of the schools from the Church to the State,

mas of the Church. Where, then, is the limit of "ecclesiastical interests"? The claim of power to release civil subjects from obedience to the civil government, for the sake of those interests, was still essentially maintained in 1805 by Pius VII. On the other side, the reaction of Ultramontanism upon affairs within the Church came still earlier to light. The triumph of Gregorian ideas eliminated the ancient episcopal trend; and, together with the freedom of the bishops, they abolished what independent arrangements there still existed in the national churches. The pope came to be not only supreme, but sole lawgiver; he bears, as Boniface VIII. expresses it, "all rights in the shrine of his breast" (book vi., cap. 1, De Const. I., 2). It was only transently, under stress of the times, that a sort of new episcopal régime took shape during the schism through the great reforming synods; this novelty, however, was condemned and terminated by the Fifth Lateran Council. The Council of Trent still found existent potent expressions of the episcopal drift, but the Vatican Council stopped them once for all. Similarly the Gallican policy, and everything like "Josephinism" or philosophic paternalism (see JOSEPH II.), was ended forever. The sole reaction against such despotism within the Church is nowadays found among the Old Catholics (q.v.). As concerning the suppression set afoot by Ultramontanism against freedom in scientific theology, the most important example is afforded by the history of German Roman Catholic the-4 Effects ology. In Döllinger's address of Sept. on Re- 28, 1863, before the Roman Catholic search and academic assembly in Munich (see Theology. Doellinger, Johann Josef Ignaz von, § 6), the points were brought forward that the sixteenth century indicates a flourishing period for Roman Catholic theology, whereas with the seventeenth century in Spain, and with the eighteenth in France, decay set in; and that although still high tasks were incumbent upon Germany's theology, these could not be even approached if her freedom of movement were denied. When Döllinger said this, he did not surmise how soon this refusal was to come, that even in the following year, by terms of the Syllabus errorum, again in 1870 through the definition of papal infallibility, all freedom was to be taken away from the theologians. Even before that definition was pronounced, on July 19, 1870, Döllinger had discerned what in effect became the fate of Roman Catholic theology in consequence of the dogma. "So then," he says at the close of his Pope and the Council (London, 1869), "the newly coined article of faith must plant and settle itself as foundation and cornerstone of the whole Roman Catholic doctrinal structure; the activity of the theologians must reduce itself to the secondary task of finding whether a papal utterance for a given doctrine is extant or not. . . . To what purpose any further toilsome delving in the Bible, to what end the labored study of tradition,

individual piety nor had anything to do with dog-

prohibited members of religious orders from teaching in the public schools, limited the episcopal powers over the clergy and clerical powers over the laity, changing, in fact, the eclementical law of the land.

if a single utterance of the infallible pope has power to demolish the conscientious theological work of a generation?" As regards the more modern Roman Catholic Biblical research, nobody will call attention, by way of refuting Döllinger, to the "Commission in behalf of advancing Biblical Studies," organized by command of Pope Pius X., as though this were an instrument for advancing such studies. For that this is merely an instrument for shackling them appears from the Motu proprio "Præstantia" of Sept. 18, 1907 (cf. Osservatore Romano of Nov. 21, 1907), as is elsewhere patent from "decisions" hitherto announced in relation to weighty matters of Biblical introduction (Mosaic composition of the Pentateuch [1905]; historic integrity of John's Gospel [1907]; authorship of the Book of Isaiah [1908]); [verbal agreement of the extant Greek text of Matthew with the lost Aramaic original (1911)]. But still far more comprehensive is the curb that was applied to more liberal, theologically technical verifications of results by the two pronouncements against the "Modernists" (see MODERNISM), namely, the decree of the Congregation of Inquisition, Lamentabili, of July, 1907; and the papal encyclical, Pascendi Dominici gregis (Eng. transl. in Programme of Modernism, pp. 149 sqq., New York and London, 1908), of Sept. 8, 1907. That the matters involved do not turn on theoretical exercises of the Curia's rhetoric appears from the extremely sharp measures devised against all "Modernists." For it was principally against Roman Catholic scientific "palpitations" in those countries that the entire procedure was directed, although the first man to use his pen against these decrees was an English scholar, George Henry Tyrrell (q.v.; he wrote in the London Times, Sept. 30, Oct. 1, 1907). He was then followed by individual Italian sympathizers in the Programma dei Modernisti (Rome, 1907; Eng. transl., Programme of Modernism, New York and London, 1908), and in Rinnovamento (Milan, since 1907); but the main focus of the cause is to be sought in France. In Germany, where, during the spring of 1908, the Internationale Wochenschrift published a series of articles elucidating the importance of the foregoing decrees, the number of deliberate and steadfast modernists among the Roman Catholic theologians is exceedingly small.

If Ultramontanism, therefore, has shackled the motions or aspirations of scientific freedom, the question still remains as to its effects upon the mass

of Roman Catholics. In this connection, the scope of this examination embraces that materializing and artificialism of religion which inheres in

Roman Catholicism, in so far as the devotional methods which for centuries past have been customary are employed to the end of increasingly extended propagation and fostering of the ultramontane spirit. Some of these devotional methods and devices were set forth by Reusch, both old and newly invented ones, in his *Die deutschen Bischöfe und der Aberglaube* (Bonn, 1879). These and countless others are utilized by Ultramontanism for the sake of advancing its political aims by exciting confessional passion. An advantageous vehicle for the fostering of the ultramontane spirit

in that country has been conspicuous for sixty years past in the regularly recurring Roman Catholic conventions, employing a comprehensive daily press, a calculated and apposite pamphlet press, and the literature of art and culture. The fraternizing cause has been developed on the largest scale through all kinds of industrial and professional associations, so that the "Chinese wall" that barricades Roman Catholicism against Protestantism becomes ever higher and higher. But that Roman Catholicism shall stand forth as an outward power along ultramontane lines is carefully provided for by imposing church feasts and processions. attitude of modern Jesuitical Roman Catholicism (i.e., of Ultramontanism) toward modern culture is negatively comprehended in the Syllabus errorum of 1864 (text and Eng. transl. in Schaff, Creeds, ii. 213-233), to which the decree Lamentabili, together with the encyclical Pascendi, forms a complement. In sum, the spirit out of which the reaction against Modernism has proceeded in the broadest sense is to be sought in the Spanish type of Roman Catholic "religiosity" that was embodied in Ignatius Loyola, then organized and systematized, until eventually it became instilled into the veins of Roman Catholicism at large and complete. K. Benrath.

Bibliography: J. J. I. von Döllinger, Kirche und Kirchen, Papstthum und Kirchenstaat, Munich, 1861, Eng. transl., The Church and the Churches, or the Papacy and the Temporal Power, London, 1862; idem, Das Papattum, Munich, 1869, 2d ed., 1892; idem, Kleinere Schriften, ed. Reusch, ib. 1890; Schrader, Der Papst und die modernen Ideen, Vienna, 1867; J. F. von Schulte, Die Macht der römischen Kürie, Prague, 1871; O. Mejer, Zur Geschichte der römischen Kürie, Prague, 1871; O. Mejer, Zur Geschichte der römischen Kürie, Prague, 1871; O. Mejer, Zur Geschichte der römischen kürie, Und Kirche nach. . . den Absichten des Ültramontanismus, Breslau, 1872; G. R. Badenoch, Ultramontanismus, Breslau, 1872; G. R. Badenoch, Ultramontanism, London, 1874; J. Fessler, True and False Infallibility of the Popes, New York, 1875; E. Michaud, L'État actuel de l'église catholique en France, Paris, 1876; J. Friedrich, Geschichte des vatikanischen Konsils, 3 vols., Bonn, 1877–82; idem, Ignaz von Dollinger, 3 vols., Munich, 1899–1901; G. Droysen, Geschichte der Gegenreformation, pp. 149 sqq., Berlin, 1893; P. von Hoensbroech, Der Ultramontanismus sein Wesen und seine Bekämpfung, 2d ed., Berlin, 1898; idem, Der Ultramontanismus in Deutschland, Leipsic, 1896; idem, Das Papsttum in seiner sozialen . . . Wirksamkeit, 2 vols., ib. 1900–02; F. W. F. Nippold, The Papacy in the 19th Century (transl.), New York, 1900; E. G. Man, Papal Aims and Papal Claims, London, 1902; Majunke, Geschichte des Kulturkampfs, 2d ed., Paderborn, 1902; J. Mausbach, Die ultramontane Moral nach Graf von Hoensbroech, Berlin, 1902; C. Mirbt, Der Ultramontanismus in 19. Jahrhundert, Leipsic, 1902; J. Oman, Vision and Authority; or, the Throne of St. Peter, London, 1902; L. K. Götz, Der Ultramontanismus als Weltanschauung, Bonn, 1905; F. Heiner, Der Syllabus in ultramontaner und antiultramontaner Beleuchtung, Mainz, 1905; C. Latreille, Joseph de Maistre et le papauté, Paris, 1906; G. Anrich, Der moderne Ultramontanismus in seiner Entstehung und Entwicklung, Tübingen, 1909; G

UMBREIT, um' bruit, FRIEDRICH WILHELM CARL: German theologian; b. at Sonneborn (3 m. n. of Gotha) Apr. 11, 1795; d. at Heidelberg Apr. 26, 1860. He was educated at the gymnasium at Gotha and at the University of Göttingen, in the latter institution coming under the influence of Eichhorn, who stirred in him an enthusiasm for oriental studies which never left him. Herder also affected him in the same direction, and so to study

orientalia was henceforth his life-work. He became privat-docent at Göttingen in 1818, extraordinary professor at Heidelberg in 1820, and ordinary professor in the philosophical faculty in 1823, and held this position along with the ordinary professorship of theology after 1829.

From Eichhorn he declared in 1852 that he had learned these three things: (1) that the Scriptures are a free field of investigation for Protestants; (2) this investigation must be pursued largely, if not exclusively, in the spirit of the orient; (3) benevolence and piety should be a part of religion, especially of the true German spirit. He agreed with DeWette that there were legendary recitals or myths in the Old Testament. But Delitzsch has borne witness to him as continuing the work of Herder in bringing out the human side of the Old Testament, without failing to recognize its divine element.

With Carl Ullmann he edited for many years the Theologische Studien und Kritiken. His separate publications were mostly on the Old Testament, but the New Testament had a share in his study. He defended the unity of Canticles (1820) against Herder, and thereby won Goethe's approval. His commentary on Job (1824) passed into a second edition in 1828 (Eng. transl., 2 vols., London, 1836-1837); and he issued commentaries on Proverbs (1826) and on the Prophets, except Daniel and Jonah (4 vols., 1841–46). But critical acumen was not among his gifts, emotion sometimes took the place of cool judgment. (A. Kamphausent.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Schenkel, in Allgemeine kirchliche Zeilschrift, 1860, part 6, pp. 11 sqq.; Mühlhäuser, in Neus evangelische Kirchenzeitung, 1860, no. 23; Zittel, in Allgemeine Kirchenzeitung, 1860, no. 54; Zwei Reden gehalten am Grabe [Umbreits], Heidelberg, 1860; J. Holtzmann, R. Rothe, and C. Ullmann, in TSK, 1862, part 3.

UNAM SANCTAM: The name of the bull of Boniface VIII. (q.v.) issued in 1302 containing the classic medieval expression of the papal claims to universal temporal sovereignty. The occasion of the bull was the contest of Boniface with Philip IV. (q.v.), in which the underlying question was whether the papacy should control the temporal affairs of European states. The claim had already been made for Rome by Gregory VII. (q.v.) in his struggle with Henry IV. of Germany, being expressed in the letter of that pope to Henry (text in M. Döberl, Monumenta Germaniæ selecta, iii. 18-22, Munich, 1889; Eng. transl. in Thatcher and McNeal, Source Book, pp. 147-150). But while in Germany the Curia had won decided victories, in France its demands had been resisted and national consciousness had been aroused. The occasion of the struggle between Boniface and Philip which led to the bull was the levy by the latter of taxes upon the clergy of France, to which the Roman reply was the bull Clericis laicos, forbidding laymen (including of course rulers of states) to levy subsidies from the clergy and prohibiting clergy from paying them without permission from Rome. Philip retorted by prohibiting export of money, plate, and the like from the realm, thus cutting off papal revenues derived from France. The immediate occasion of the bull Unam sanctam was the imprisonment in 1301 of the papal legate to France, Bernard Saisset, bishop f Pamiers, who had violently assailed the king and ras charged with treason. Boniface called a synod o regulate affairs in France, claimed papal summacy in temporal affairs in the bull Ausculta Fili 1301), and renewed the bull Clericis laicos for Pance. Philip gained popular support for his neasures and his policy in the first meeting of a state General, with pledges of the same in his resistance to papal aggression. Then was issued the Trans sanctars.

The contents may be expressed under five heads. 1) There is but one Church, outside of which there s no salvation—one body of Christ with one head; 2) that head is Christ or his representative the ope, and refusal of the pastoral care of this head is peo facto self-exclusion from the flock of Christ; 3) there are two swords, the spiritual and the temwal, one borne by the Church, the other for it, the inst by the priest, the second by the king under the irection of the priest; (4) coordination of members of the body involves the elevation of the spirtual power above the temporal and instruction of the latter by the former, and whoever resists this highest power ordained of God resists God; (5) the bull closes with the words: "We, moreover, prothaim, declare, and pronounce that it is altogether becessary to salvation for every human being to be subject to the Roman pontiff.'

The following steps were an assembly of French seeksiastics and nobles held in 1303 under Philip's guidance which charged Boniface with heresy and misconduct and demanded a general council to pass upon the charges. Boniface decided to issue a bull of excommunication and deposition against the king, but before its issue William Nogaret, whom Philip had sent to Rome in behalf of the project of the council, led troops against Boniface at Anagni and captured him. The populace arose, however, after three days and drove out the French, and the pope returned to Rome; but his death in the following October left Philip the virtual victor.

BRIJOGRAPHY: The text is given in Reich, Documents, pp. 191-193; translations are to be found in Thatcher and McMeal, Source Book, pp. 314-317; Robinson, European History, in 346-348; F. A. Ogg, Source Book of Mediaval History, pp. 385-388, New York, 1908; and Henderson, Documents, pp. 435-437. Consult the literature under BORFACE VIII.; PHILIP IV.; and POPE, PAPACY, PAPAL STREET, the comments in the source books named above; L. Totti, Pope Boniface VIII. and his Times, New York, 1911; and Hefele, Conciliengeschichte, vi. 347-351.

UNBELIEF. See AGNOSTICISM; ATHEISM; PANTERSM; RATIONALISM AND SUPERNATURALISM.

UNCIAL AND CURSIVE MANUSCRIPTS. See BIBLE TEXT, II.

UNCLEANNESS. See DEFILEMENT AND PURIFICATION, CEREMONIAL.

UNCTION. See EXTREME UNCTION.

UNDER-EYCK, ûn'der-aik' (UNDE REYCK, INTEREYCK, ONDEREICK), THEODOR: Early leman pietist; b. at Duisburg (15 m. n. of Dùs-ldorf) June 15, 1635; d. at Bremen Jan. 1, 1693. le was educated at Utrecht (1654-57), where he ceived the Puritanical and pietistic impressions hich characterized his entire life, and later resided r some time with Cocceius at Leyden. He then

made a tour of England and France, and also visited Geneva, and on his return in 1660 was appointed pastor at Mülheim-on-the-Ruhr, a name which he made proverbial for the Pietism of the Lower Rhine, completely transforming its distinctly Reformed character. Here his pastoral visits and his insistence on family prayers resulted in popular assemblies for the cultivation of piety, and the people flocked to sermons and conferences. In 1668, however, Under-Eyck, after declining a number of flattering calls, accepted the post of chaplain to the Landgravine Hedwig Sophia at Cassel. Here he enjoyed high favor and established Pietism. Lacking, however, as court chaplain, pastoral activity, and accordingly declining an invitation to become chaplain to Queen Charlotte Amelia of Denmark, he accepted a call to become head pastor of St. Martin's, Bremen, in 1670, where he was to spend the remainder of his life.

At Bremen Under-Eyck became the head of a party opposed to the official clergy of the city. In repeated attacks he was charged with Labadism, mutilation of the liturgy, Quakerism, and the like. The entire city was in an uproar, for no one could remain indifferent concerning him. The sternness of his preaching was terrifying, and sudden conversions of his declared enemies occurred. In 1680 he held daily three hours of private conferences and an hour and a half of catechising, which on Sun-day afternoons gathered the men about him to discuss Scripture. His wife, the daughter of the French Reformed pastor Hulsius of Wesel, was, if possible, still more active, holding repeated meetings daily with the women and children. The other clergy were bitterly hostile, but Under-Eyck could count on the support of the civic authorities, among whom he had warm friends; yet he was frequently careless of the Church's point of view, and neglected the meetings of the clerical conference, although he was alternating president of that body. In addition, he sought to find positions in Bremen for his partizans, succeeding in the case of no less than eleven. Sweeping measures were planned against the established church, the chief requirements being a disciplinary presbytery, the restriction of the Lord's Supper to believers, and the denial of baptism, except in special cases, to the children of unbelieving parents. Though these projects failed, Under-Eyck was successful in his exertions to promote catechization, and his ministry at Bremen was marked by an everincreasing prestige.

Under-Eyck was to the Reformed Church of Germany what Spener was to the Lutherans. Receiving his inspiration from Holland, he preserved his individuality, and systematically avoided all problems which did not bear on his one interest of personal salvation, the deepening and revival of personal faith, and the revelation of God in the sinner through devoted and carefully regulated obedience. With his zeal he united a wise restraint, and his teachings show no traces of a tendency toward separatism. His influence was far-reaching, establishing piety in the household and rendering the conventicle truly popular. He was apparently a hymn-writer as well, though here little is certain, and he seems to have inspired J(ohannes) D(eusing) to translate

three of the writings of Willem Teelinck (q.v.), which appeared at Cassel in 1693. The Thursday meetings at Mülheim, begun probably in 1661, lasted until 1740, making a deep impression on Tersteegen (q.v.), who helped revive them in 1750, after which they long continued, receiving fresh inspiration from Stursberg about 1840. In Bremen De Hase and F. A. Lampe carried Under-Eyck's ideals to victory. He likewise maintained lasting relations with the Hessian court.

The works of Under-Eyck are: Christi Braut unter den Töchtern zu Laodicæa (3 parts, Hanau, 1670), an attempt to supply a system of casuistics; Halleluja, das ist, Gott in den Sünden verkläret (part i., Bremen, 1678; never completed), a detailed scheme of the plan of salvation in the form of question and answer; Wegweiser der Einfältigen zu den ersten Buchstaben des wahren Christentums (Bremen, 1676), one of his two catechisms; Der einfältige Christ durch wahren Glauben mit Christo vereinigt (Eschwege, 1700), his second catechism; and Der närrische Atheist entdeckt und von seiner Thorheit überzeugt (2 parts, Bremen, 1689), an attempt to solve intellectual doubts. (W. G. Goeters.)

Bibliography: G. Arnold, Leben der Gläubigen, pp. 933-945, Halle, 1732; M. Goebel, Geschichte des christlichen Lebens, ii. 300 sqq., 3 vols., Coblenz, 1849-60; H. Heppe, Geschichte des Pietismus, i. 371 sqq., Leyden, 1879; A. Ritschl, Geschichte des Pietismus, i. 371 sqq., Bonn, 1880; J. F. Iken, Joachim Neander, pp. 61-76, 272-279, Bremen, 1880; ADB, xxxix. 279-280.

UNDERWOOD, HORACE GRANT: Presbyterian; b. in London July 19, 1859. He was educated at New York University (B.A., 1881) and at New Brunswick Theological Seminary. Since 1885 he has been a missionary in Korea under the auspices of the Presbyterian Board of Foreign Missions, and since 1909 has been principal of the John D. Wells Training School and president of the Korean Religious Tract Society (both at Seoul), as well as chairman of the board of Bible translators at Seoul since 1889. He has likewise been professor of homiletics, church government and discipline, etc., in the Presbyterian theological seminary at Pyeng Yang, Korea, and in 1907 was Deems philosophical lecturer at New York University. His theological position is conservative, and he has written English-Korean and Korean-English Dictionary (Yokohama, 1889), Korean Grammar (1889), Call of Korea (New York, 1908), and Religions of Eastern Asia (1910).

UNGODLINESS, UNGODLY: Words used in the English Bible versions and equivalent to the Gk. asebeia, asebēs (cf. asebein, "to live ungodly": II Pet. ii. 6; Jude 15), less frequently amartolos, and yet more seldom anomos, which in turn are the translations in the Septuagint for the Hebrew rasha'. The Hebrew word denotes in the first place only the impious and unrighteous in the moral sense. Every thing, however, morally evil, according to the Old-Testament conception as early as the Yahwistic narrative of the garden of Eden, is, in the final analysis, renunciation of God and disobedience to his will. And thus all impiety in Israel is continually represented as proceeding from ungodliness. The contrast between righteousness and ungodliness, moreover, becomes ever more marked in Israelitic and Jewish history until two classes of men are set op-

posite each other, of which the ungodly are described, particularly in the Psalms, from the point of view of the upright (i.e., the strict observers of the law), as originators of trespass and violence toward men; and, in relation to God, as despising his word and rebelling against him. The word asebeia also occurs frequently in the Old-Testament apocrypha, especially in the Book of Sirach; but in the New Testament it and kindred terms are relatively infrequent, because here unbelief comes more to the front religiously as the root and form of sin. Where they are used, they mean, for the most part, ungodliness, in the Old-Testament sense synonymous with sin in opposition to righteousness (Rom. i. 18, iv. 5, v. 6, xi. 26; I Tim. i. 9; Titus ii. 12; II Pet. ii. 5-6, iii. 7). In a sense somewhat modified by Christianity they refer to those who remain persistently impervious to the Gospel (I Pet. iv. 18); or to teachers of error (II Tim. ii. 16; Jude 4, 15). On the theoretical side ungodliness issues into Atheism (q.v.). F. SIEFFERT.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: H. Cremer, Biblisch-theologisch Wörterbuch der neutestamentlichen Gräcität, Gotha, 1889, Eng. transl., 3d ed., Edinburgh and New York, 1886; H. Schultz, Alttestamentliche Theologie, pp. 616 sqq., Göttingen, 1885; Eng. transl., London, 1892; R. Smend, Alttestamentliche Religionsgeschichte, 387 sqq., 477 sqq., Freiburg, 1893; C. Clemen, Die christliche Lehre von der Sünde, i. 68 sqq. Göttingen, 1897.

UNIATES. See ROMAN CATHOLICS, II.

UNIFORMITY, ACTS OF: The name of several acts of Parliament establishing the worship and ritual of the Church of England. The first, passed Jan. 21, 1549, set forth the penalties for the neglect to use the Prayer Book of Edward VI., which were, for the first offense, loss of the income of a benefice for a year, and imprisonment for six months; for the second, loss of all benefices, and imprisonment for one year; for the third, imprisonment for life. The second act was passed Apr. 6, 1552, and established the second Prayer Book. These acts were repealed under Queen Mary, in Oct., 1553. The third act, under Queen Elizabeth (passed, after a strong opposition, Apr. 28, 1559), established the new Prayer Book under penalties similar to those of Edward VI., subjected all who were absent from church without excuse to a fine of one shilling, and gave to the sovereign liberty to "ordain and publish such further ceremonies and rites as may be most for the advancement of the church," etc. A fourth act, part of the systematic repression of the Puritans known as the Clarendon Code, was passed May 19, 1662, and prescribed episcopal ordination for all ministers, and enforced the new revision of the Prayer Book. It required all ministers to give their unfeigned assent and consent to everything in the book, to read the Prayer Book service on some Sunday before the feast of St. Bartholomew (Aug. 24), and to swear " that it is not lawful, on any pretense whatsoever, to take up arms against the king." About 2,000 clergymen, some of them the most distinguished in England, unable to conform, were deprived of their livings. This act, the most far-reaching of all in its consequences, also formally disavowed the validity of all but episcopal ordinations, and marked the close of the efforts which had been going on ever since Elizabeth's accession to bring the

Church of England into closer connection with the Reformed communions of the continent. The Act of Uniformity was made practically inoperative, though not formally repealed, by the Act of Toleration (see Toleration, Act of) under William and Mary, May 24, 1689.

BRIY, MAY 22, 1009.

BRIUGRAPHY: The text is given in Gee and Hardy, Documents, pp. 358 sqq., 369 sqq., 458 sqq., in part also in Bobinson, Buropean History, ii. 250-259. Consult: H. N. Birt, The Elizabethan Religious Settlement, pp. 56, 86-20, London, 1907; S. R. Gardiner, Students' Hist. of Busland, pp. 429, 585, new ed., London and New York, 1806; J. H. Overton, The Church in England, 2 vols., London, 1897; J. Gairdner, The English Church in the 18th Century, pp. 262, 267, 302-303, 324, ib. 1903; W. H. Hutton, The English Church (1626-1714), p. 191, ib. 1903.

UNIGENITUS: A constitution issued Sept. 8, 1713, by Clement XI., condemning 101 propositions advanced by Pasquier Quesnel (q.v.) in his Réflexim morales sur le Nouveau Testament. The bull was an important step in the successful struggle with Jansenism (see Jansen, Cornelius), and marked a distinct victory of the Jesuits over the Assistinian tendencies of their opponents. constitution was confirmed by Clement in the bull Pestoralis officii (Aug. 28, 1718), by a decree of Inmoent XIII. (Jan. 8, 1722), by Benedict XIII. and the Roman synod of 1725, and by Benedict XIV. in the encyclical Ex omnibus Christiani orbis regionibus (Oct. 16, 1756). The reason for the condemnation of some of the propositions was simply the rigid Augustinian sense in which they were interpreted by the Jansenists, with denial of any possibility of the cooperation of free will, such as is taught by Semipelagianism. It is only when this is borne in mind that repudiation of many of the propositions becomes clear. It should also be noted that there was yet another side to the question-Januarism was really one side of Gallicanism (q.v.), so that there was a political as well as a doctrinal reason for its suppression.

In addition to the citations from the constitution cited in Jansen, Cornelius, § 5, the following condemned propositions may be quoted as indicative of the doctrines henceforth forbidden with the Roman Catholic communion:

When God does not soften the heart by the inner unction of his grace, exhortations and external graces avail only to harden it the more (5).

Grace is the operation of the hand of almighty God, hich nothing can hinder or retard (10).

When God wishes to save a soul, and touches it with the

inner hand of his grace, no human will resists it (13).

The grace of Jesus Christ is a strong, potent, supreme, invincible grace, seeing that it is the operation of almighty will, the sequence and the initiation of the working ot God incamating and revivifying his Son (21).

Faith is the primal grace, and the source of all others (27). The first grace which God grants the sinner is forgiveness

All whom God wills to save through Christ are saved infallibly (30).

Jesus Christ gave himself to death to liberate forever those first born through his blood, that is, the elect, from the hand of the destroying angel (32).

The grace of Adam produced only human merits (34). The sinner is not free except to evil without the grace of

the Savior (38).

The will which grace does not anticipate has no light except for erring, no ardor except for hurling itself headong, no strength except for wounding itself, is capable of ill evil, and incapable of any good (39).

No knowledge of God, even natural, even among pagan

philosophers, can come except from God; and without grace it produces only presumption, vanity, and opposition to God himself instead of the feelings of adoration, gratitude, and love (41).

The first effect of baptismal grace is to make us die to sin, so that the spirit, heart, and senses have no more life for sin than a dead man has for the things of the world (43). Charity is the only thing that talks with God; that alone

does God hear (54).

The prayer of the impious is a fresh sin; and what God grants them is a fresh judgment against them (59).

The baptised is still under the law like a Jew if he does

not fulfil the law, or fulfils it from fear alone (63).
God never afflicts the innocent; and afflictions always

serve either to punish sin or to purify the sinner (70).

The mark of the Christian Church is that it is catholic, comprehending both all the angels of heaven and all the elect and just of the earth and of all the ages (72).

The Church, or the whole Christ, has the incarnate Word

as its head, and all the saints as its members (74).

He who does not lead a life worthy of the Son of God and a member of Christ ceases to have within God as his Father and Christ as his head (77).

It is useful and necessary at every time, in every place, and for every class of persons to study and to know the spirit, piety, and mysteries of sacred Scripture (79).

The reading of sacred Scripture is for all (80) The sacred obscurity of the word of God is no reason for the laity to dispense themselves from its reading (81).

The Lord's Day ought to be sanctified by Christians by readings of piety, and above all of the sacred Scriptures; it is wrong to wish to restrain the Christian from this reading (82).

The fear of unjust excommunication ought never to hinder us from fulfilling our duty; we never go forth from the Church, even when, by the wickedness of men, we seem to be expelled from it, when we are afflicted because of love for God, Jesus Christ, and the Church herself (91).

The state of persecution and punishment which any one bears as a heretic, wicked, and impious man is often the final test and most meritorious, since it makes man more in conformity unto Jesus Christ (98).

Many of these propositions, and many others not cited here, will seem to the Protestant unobjectionable, and even praiseworthy; but fair judgment must not forget that the underlying spirit was antagonistic to the teaching of the Roman Church; and the attitude of rebellion which dictated the whole series would very likely, had the Unigenitus not served (though only after stubborn resistance) to check it, have proceeded to extremes which even the Jansenists little anticipated.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: H. Densinger, Enchiridion symbolorum, definitionum et declarationum de rebus fidei et morum, pp. 371-379, 10th ed., Freiburg, 1908; Reich, Documents, pp. 386-389; A. Schill, Die Constitution Uniquenitus, Freiburg, 1876; V. Thuillier, Fragment de l'hist, de la constitution Uniquenitus, Paris, 1901; G. H. Putnam, Central de l'hist, de la constitution Uniquenitus, Paris, 1901; G. H. Putnam, Central de l'hist, de la constitution Uniquenitus, Paris, 1901; G. H. Putnam, Central de l'hist, de la constitution Uniquenitus, Paris, 1901; G. H. Putnam, Central de l'hist, de la constitution Uniquenitus, Paris, 1901; G. H. Putnam, Central de l'hist, de la constitution Uniquenitus, Paris, 1901; G. H. Putnam, Central de l'hist, de la constitution Uniquenitus, Paris, 1901; G. H. Putnam, Central de l'hist, de la constitution Uniquenitus, Paris, 1901; G. H. Putnam, Central de l'hist, de la constitution Uniquenitus, Paris, 1901; G. H. Putnam, Central de l'hist, de la constitution Uniquenitus, Paris, 1901; G. H. Putnam, Central de l'hist, de la constitution Uniquenitus, Paris, 1901; G. H. Putnam, Central de l'hist, de la constitution Uniquenitus, Paris, 1901; G. H. Putnam, Central de l'hist, de la constitution Uniquenitus, Paris, 1901; G. H. Putnam, Central de l'hist, de la constitution Uniquenitus, Paris, 1901; G. H. Putnam, Central de l'hist, de la constitution Uniquenitus, Paris, 1901; G. H. Putnam, Central de l'hist, de la constitution Uniquenitus, Paris, 1901; G. H. Putnam, Central de l'hist, de la constitution Uniquenitus, Paris, 1901; G. H. Putnam, Central de l'hist, de la constitution Uniquenitus, Paris, 1901; G. H. Putnam, Central de l'hist, de la constitution Uniquenitus, Paris, 1901; G. H. Putnam, Central de l'hist, de la constitution Uniquenitus, Paris, 1901; G. H. Putnam, Central de l'hist, de la constitution Uniquenitus, Paris, 1901; G. H. Putnam, Central de l'hist, de la constitution Uniquenitus, Paris, 1901; G. H. Putnam, Central de l'hist, de la constitution Uniquenitus, de la constitution Uniquenitus sorship of the Church of Rome, i. 360 sqq., New York, 1906.

UNION AMERICAN METHODIST EPISCOPAL CHURCH. See METHODISTS, IV., 9.

CHRISTIAN SOCIAL: founded in 1889 within the Church of England with the object of directing the best thought among churchmen toward the study of social problems and of bringing the influence of that church, as a corporate body, to bear upon the usages and practise of the world of commerce and industry. The impetus in this direction had first been given forty years previously by F. D. Maurice, Charles Kingsley (qq.v.), and several other men of deeply religious convictions, who banded themselves together under the title of "Christian Socialists," this name being adopted because, as Maurice wrote, " It is the only title which will define our object and will commit

us at once to the conflict we must engage in sooner or later with the unsocial Christians and the unchristian Socialists."

The leaders of the earlier movement were chiefly Broad-churchmen, but the men who resuscitated it in the eighties were among the most practical and broad-minded of the newer High-church school, such as Brooke Foss Westcott, late bishop of Durham, Charles Gore, the present bishop of Oxford, and Henry Scott Holland (qq.v.). One of its main principles is that the personal responsibility of an individual Christian can never be put out of commission. It is not to be evaded, for example, by membership in a commercial company, either as a director or as a shareholder. One of its most characteristic objects is "to study in common how to apply the moral truths and principles of Christianity to the social and economic difficulties of the present time."

The union comprised at the end of 1910 sixty branches, situated in fifty-three towns and having 5,895 members. Its affairs are managed by an executive comprising several clerical dignitaries and ladies, with the bishop of Oxford (Dr. Gore) as its president. The executive submits from time to time such social problems as the questions of unemployment, of children's labor, or the poorlaw system for the study and consideration of the branches. These, again, report upon the facts

which they ascertain, and the conclusions which they reach, to a meeting of delegates of the whole union held annually. In studying these subjects, the local bodies investigate the conditions actually obtaining in their own towns. The union seeks to promote its views not so much by direct corporate action as by influencing local authorities and institutions through members of its own who serve on those bodies, and by raising the tone of public opinion generally. It directly promotes, however, the practise of exclusive dealing with firms known to accord reasonable pay and conditions of employment to their staff, and it has published a "white list" of tailors for both sexes in London and elsewhere. In this it discharges the functions of the Consumers' Leagues in the United States. Several branches have made tentative beginnings in the provision of suitable housing for the wage-carning classes. The union maintains a library and a central bureau of information for the use of its members. From time to time it issues reports and pamphlets on such various topics as commercial morality, tradeunionism, illicit commissions, investments, and practicable socialism, besides others of a more directly religious character. Lastly, it brings out a quarterly periodical entitled The Economic Review, in which articles of considerable value, written by well-known authorities upon the subjects dealt with, frequently C. H. D'E. LEPPINGTON. appear.

UNION OF THE CHURCHES.*

Anglican Position.
 Historical Survey.
 New-Testament Period (§ 1).
 Patristic Period (§ 2).
 Medieval Period (§ 3).
 Modern Period Through the Sixteenth Century (§ 4).
 Since the Sixteenth Century (§ 5).
 Anglican Platform.

Anglican Platform.
 General Attitude (§ 1).
 In the American Episcopal Church(§2)
 The Lambeth Conference (§ 3).
 Episcopal and Presbyterian Negotiations (§ 4).
 The Commission for a World Conference (§ 5).

ference (§ 5).
3. Principles of Unity.
Organic Union in Faith and Order

External Uniformity and Parity of Ministries (§ 2).
4. How Unity is to be Achieved.

4. How Unity is to be Achieved.
Trust in God and Christian Love
(§ 1).
Broad Investigation, Patience,

Broad Investigation, Patience, and Prayer (§ 2).

Angle-Swedish Negotiations.

5. Anglo-Swedish Negotiations.
II. Orthodox Catholic Position.
Recent Decline of Denominationalism (§ 1).
The Four Fundamental Principles

(§ 2).
Development of Order in the Primitive Church (§ 3).
Development of Doctrine to 787 (§ 4).

Growing Differentiation Between East and West (§ 5). The Final Schism (§ 6). Present Positions of Greek and

Latin Churches (§ 7).
Orthodox Catholic Church as a Solution (§ 8).

III. Protestant Position.
Efforts for Reunion with Roman
Catholicism (\$ 1).
Attempts at Anglican and Protes-

tant Union (§ 2). Present Protestant Situation (§ 3). IV. Roman Catholic Position. Unity of Faith, Government, and

Worship Requisite (§ 1).
Position Regarding Non-Roman
Communions (§ 2).
V. Supplement.

I. Anglican Position. — 1. Historical Survey: During the New-Testament period the union of Christians was insisted upon by our Lord and his apostles, and in terms and connections which make Christian union and Church unity mutually equivalent. "The Church" stands for the totality of Christians in their organic unity. Our Lord speaks of it in the singular number (Matt. xvi. 18), and nowhere do New-Testament writers speak of "churches" except as referring to local assemblies within one Church, having full communion with each other. Its ministers are given universal and permanent mission to make disciples of all who should believe and be baptized (Matt. xxviii. 19-20), and those who refuse to hear the Church are not to be regarded as faithful Christians

(Matt. xviii. 17-18). Christians were to become one flock, under one Shepherd (John x. 16), in a unity which is described under the organic fig-1. New-Testament ure of the vine and its branches (John Pariod xv. 1-6). That his followers might be Period. one was a subject-matter of prayer by Christ on the eve of his crucifixion (John xvii. 20-23); and only an organic unity can satisfy the terms of his prayer. The same conception of unity is found in apostolic teaching, particularly in St. Paul's epistles. All baptized Christians are members of one body, the Church (I Cor. xii. 13; cf. Eph. iv. 5), which is the body of Christ (Eph. i. 23; Col. i. 24). This body is one and possesses one Spirit, one Lord, one faith, one baptism, and one God and Father of all (Eph. iv. 4-6). To

An article from the Greco-Russian standpoint was arranged for but indefinitely delayed. It may appear later, Eng.

this body God supplies ministers for the perfecting of the saints, the banishment of confusion in doctrine, and the organic increase of the body in love (Eph. iv. 11-16; cf. Rom. xii. 4-5; I Cor. x. 17, xii. 12-31). Nevertheless the schismatic spirit soon began to show itself, especially between Jewish and Gentile Christians, and between local factions at Corinth. The dissensions at Corinth led St. Paul sternly to condemn the division of Christians under rival leaderships (I Cor. i. 10-17, iii. 3-9), and to emphasize the necessity of a common speech and mind, and of charity (I Cor. i. 10, xiii.). rel between Jewish and Gentile Christians threatened to cause a lasting schism, and this led to a conference of apostles, elders, and missionaries at Jerusalem, the result being a clear mutual understanding among the leaders of the Church, and a determination to insist only upon essential things, and not to require uniformity in non-essentials (Acts xv. 1-33). Thus was established an apostolic precedent for dealing with ruptures of Christian

The schismatic spirit soon revived, however, at Counth, and became the occasion of the "Epistle of Clement," written in behalf of the Roman Church about 95 A.D. (see CLEMENT OF ROME, §§ 3-4), in which it is declared that the ministry of the Church was arranged by the apostles with foreknowledge of the contentions that were to arise concerning the office of oversight (xliv.); and the rise of dissident faction is described as "detestable and unholy sedi-2. Patristic Period.

A.D., in his well-known "Epistles." The imperative need of unity is the chief burden of his letters, and it is made to depend upon loyalty to the bishop with his presbyters and deacons, who together constitute the marks of a real ekklesia (e.g., Ad Trallianos, iii.). He says in one representative Passage, " If any man followeth one that maketh a schism, he doth not inherit the kingdom of God" (Ad Ephesios, iv.; cf. Ad Philadelphenos, iii.). The rise of Montanistic and Gnostic sectarianism caused the obligation of Church unity to be emphasized by Various writers (e.g., Irenæus, Hær., IV., xxxiii. 1, 7; Clement of Alexandria, Strom., VII., xvii. 107; Dionysius of Alexandria, in Eusebius, Hist. eccl., vi. 45). Cyprian of Carthage (q.v.) wrote a treatise, De unitate ecclesia, in which he makes the episcopate the center of unity. The general sentiment of the ancients was registered in the Constantinopoli-tan Creed, "I believe . . . in one, holy, catholic, and apostolic Church." Various schisms arose, but the sentiment that schism is sinful prevailed throughout this period, and the main body of Christians, both East and West, with a few brief interruptions, succeeded in maintaining intercommunion and visible unity. Each local bishop was recognized as the center of unity within his jurisdiction, while the unity of the episcopate at large was secured by the development of provinces, each having its metropolitan, and of five patriarchates, severally centering in Rome, Constantinople, Alexandria, Antioch, and Jerusalem. Political circumstances gave to Rome the foremost place, and to Constantinople, as new Rome, the second place. Serious controversies were usually dealt with, however, by councils of bishops—provincial or general, according to necessity (see Councils and Synobs, §§ 1-3).

The claims which began to be made by the Roman see in the patristic period became in the Middle Ages a chief cause of permanent schism between the East and West; although other causes also were operative. The division of the Roman Empire, coupled with the decline of civilization, caused mutual isolation, accentuated racial differences, and 8. Medieval gave fictitious importance to every mutual divergence in practise and terminology. Frequent quarrels took place between Rome and Constantinople, and matters reached a climax in 1054 A.D., when a permanent schism began. The more prominent issues between the two Churches were (1) the claims of the papal see; (2) the insertion by the West of the Filioque clause in the Nicene Creed (see Filioque CONTROVERSY); and the use by the Westerns of un-

nent schism began. The more prominent issues between the two Churches were (1) the claims of the papal see; (2) the insertion by the West of the Filioque clause in the Nicene Creed (see Filioque Controversy); and the use by the Westerns of unleavened bread in the Eucharist. Attempts at reunion were made at the councils of Lyons (1274) and Florence (1439), which grew out of the need which the Eastern Empire felt of assistance in its struggle with the Turks. The motive was worldly, and although at each council important concordats were adopted by representatives of both churches, the fanaticism of the Eastern monastic clergy and populace made them abortive. The schism remains unhealed.

The sixteenth century saw the Protestant revolt, out of which has grown the multiplicity of religious bodies which now divide Christian allegiance in the Western world. Its well-known causes need not be described, but it took two forms—the Lutherans and Reformed (Calvinists and Zwinglians) developed presbyterial and congregational ministries; while the Anglicans retained the threefold ministry, although rejecting papal jurisdiction over themselves; and the Swedes retained an episcopate, but abandoned the diaconate. All of the revolting bodies except the Anglicans rejected the sacerdotal con-

4. Modern
Period
Through the
Sixteenth
Century.

ception of the ministry, and with varying completeness abandoned the sacramental doctrines of the medieval regarded as barriers between individual

souls and the pardoning grace of God. This revolt had an inevitable tendency to deaden—in some directions to destroy—belief in the visible Church as the mystical body of Christ, and as intended by Christ to be united forever in a visible intercommunion by a common faith and ministry. Accordingly, the spirit of dissent grew mightily; and in spite of efforts to stay the process, Western Christendom has become broken up into several hundred rival bodies.

Numerous attempts at reunion have since been made, but the world-wide aspects of the problem have not often been faced. Among these attempts the following are of chief historical importance:
(1) The Conference of Marburg, 1529 (see MARBURG, CONFERENCE OF), between Lutheran and Zwinglian theologians; an attempt to harmonize sacramental views, but defeated by the rigid position of Luther.

(2) The Wittenberg Concord (see WITTENBERG, CONCORD OF), 1536, really Lutheran, but accepted with explanations by the Swiss; soon rendered abortive through the same cause. (3) The Thirteen Articles, 1538, adopted by a conference of Anglican and Lutheran theologians in England but nullified in the following year by the reactionary Six Articles of Henry VIII. (see Six Articles, Act of the). (4) The Conference of Regensburg, 1541 (see RE-GENSBURG, CONFERENCE OF), agreeing that salvation is through the merits of Christ, but blocked by Luther's refusal to compromise, and rejected by the Diet of Regensburg in 1546. (5) The Interims of Augsburg and Leipsic in 1548 (see Interim), Charles V. making concessions to the Protestants in the former, and Melanchthon conceding much Roman Catholic ritual, polity, and doctrine as adiaphora in the latter; but neither was adopted, and from the Leipsic Interim developed the adiaphoristic and synergistic controversies (1550-55, 1550-70; see ADIAPHORA, AND THE ADIAPHORISTIC CONTRO-VERSIES; SYNERGISM). (6) The Philippist movement (see Philippists) to unite Lutherans and Calvinists, resulting in the crypto-Calvinistic controversy (1552-74) and leading to the crystallization of Lutheranism in the Formula of Concord (q.v.) of 1577. (7) Negotiations with the East were undertaken in 1575 by certain Protestant theologians of Tübingen, who approached Jeremiah II. (q.v.), patriarch of Constantinople; but both sides were soon convinced that the doctrinal and ecclesiastical cleavage between the two bodies was too great to permit union. Cyril Lucar (q.v.), patriarch successively of Alexandria and Constantinople, came in touch with Reformed theologians in 1612, and drew up a confession in the interests of closer relations, which was published in 1629; but the only effect was to bring persecution upon him, and an orthodox creed of Petrus Mogilas (q.v.) of Kief, adopted by all Eastern patriarchs in 1643, accentuated the failure of Cyril's efforts. (8) Georg Calixtus (q.v.), professor at Helmstädt after 1614, founded a Lutheran school which minimized the divergences of Lutheranism from papal doctrine, and advocated union on a basis of return to the symbols and conciliar decisions of the first five centuries. An abortive conference held at Thorn in 1645, arranged by Wladislaus, king of Poland (see Thorn, Conference of), produced the syncretistic controversies (see Syncretism) between the Calixtines and the conservative Lutherans. Secret travels of Cristoval Rojas de Spinola (q.v.), who sought to win Lutherans to the papal obedience (1676), were followed by negotiations for union (1691-94), in which Gerhard Molanus and Gottfried Wilhelm Leibnitz (qq.v.) represented the Protestants, and Spinola and Jacques Bénigne Bossuet (q.v.) the Roman 5. Since the Catholics, while further correspond-Sixteenth century.

Century.

Cathones, while talking the control of the control (9) A correspondence between Archbishop William Wake (q.v.) of Canterbury and certain Gallican theologians (from 1716) was prompted by a desire of the Gallicans to enlist the support of the English Church, through its return to the Roman

obedience, in their defense of national liberties; but Wake refused to entertain the idea of such return. (10) The English non-jurors' negotiations with the East (1716-25), given with some fulness in T. Lathbury's History of the Non-Jurors, London, 1862, ch. viii., came to no result; but the correspondence throws light on the conditions to be reckoned with in negotiations with Eastern Churches. (11) The Evangelical United Church of Prussia was constituted in 1817 by Frederick William III. through union of the Lutherans and Calvinists in one state Church (see Union, Ecclesiastical), but the union was only partially successful, and an old Lutheran reaction occurred, the dissidents in time obtaining recognition. (12) In America the Presbyterians, after suffering some disintegration, have achieved partial reunions. The Old School and New School Presbyterians were united in 1869, and the Presbyterian Church in the U.S.A. united with the Cumberland Presbyterian Church in 1906. The Council of Reformed Churches in the U.S. holding the Presbyterian System was constituted in 1907, while the Canadian Presbyterians were united in 1875 into the Presbyterian Church in Canada (see PRESBY-TERIANS, VIII., 3a, § 4, 12, § 3). A union of Methodists in Canada in 1874 and 1883 constituted the Methodist Church of Canada (see METHODISTS, IV., 10, § 3), and a large proportion of the Lutherans in the United States are more or less closely affiliated with a General Council (see LUTHERANS, III., § 8). (13) The Bonn Reunion Conferences, held in 1874 and 1875, were attended by theologians of the Old Catholic Eastern Orthodox, Anglican, Lutheran, and Reformed communions, and several propositions were agreed to, especially with regard to the Filioque controversy. (14) The Pan-Anglican Movement for unity, initiated by the American House of Bishops in 1886, will be considered in the next part of this article. It may also be well to refer to several movements which, although not reunion movements in the strict sense of the phrase, throw light upon the problem. The Uniate Movements (see ROMAN CATHOLICS, II.) represent various submissions of Eastern Christians to the papal see, the Uniates being given certain concessions, including marriage of the clergy. Members of various Protestant communions have formed alliances and federations, which leave these communions in possession of their denominational independence. They are not church unions, but are designed to reduce the evil effects of disunion, and to secure interdenominational cooperation on certain lines. Notable examples are the Evangelical Alliance (q.v.), founded in 1845, the Alliance of the Reformed Churches throughout the world holding the Presbyterian System, founded in 1875 (see AL-LIANCE OF THE REFORMED CHURCHES), and the Federal Council of the Churches of Christ in America, organized in 1906, and including members of thirty-four denominations. [A movement for the union of Canadian Methodists, Presbyterians, and Congregationalists is at present in an advanced stage of progress. Mention should also be made of the efforts of Old Catholics to se-cure union with the Anglican and Oriental churches. A. H. N.]

2. Anglican Platform: The Anglican communion possesses important points of contact and sympathy with all types of Christianity, whether they are called Catholic or Protestant. Its position is really unique in this regard: and the work of

1. General
Attitude. unique in this regard; and the work of mediating and of laboring for Christian reunion seems to be providentially assigned to the Anglican churches. Accordingly, the problem of unity has loomed large in Anglican thought and effort. The Anglican realizes than an adequate movement for reunion must be worldwide in its scope—embracing both Catholics and Protestants within its ultimate reference; but he also perceives that positive elements of truth are included in the contentions of the different communions, elements which are vital to Christianity, and which may not be surrendered or driven into neglect even in the interests of unity. A union obtained by compromise in such matters can not, he believes, be either permanent or blessed. Love must be paramount, but a love which encourages men to act contrary to their deeper convictions is surely unchristian.

The American Protestant Episcopal Church inherits the Anglican position and the advantages described above in relation to the problem of unity. Moreover, two circumstances have tended to accentuate these advantages: exemption from the

2. In the American Episcopal Church.

Church.

Amost every communion of Christen-

dom into its immediate neighborhood. Accordingly, the problem of unity has assumed peculiar and increasing importance among the members of that church, and in the deliberations of its general conventions. Since 1853 various joint committees have been appointed and continued on church unity, and on ecclesiastical relations with various churches, and these committees have engaged in much fraternal negotiation, and have helped to remove certain mutual misunderstandings. In response to a memorial, the House of Bishops issued in 1886 its well-known Declaration on Unity, to which was appended an expression of "our desire and readiness . . . to enter into brotherly conference with all or any Christian Bodies seeking the restoration of the organic unity of the Church, with a view to the earnest study of the conditions under which so priceless a blessing might happily be brought to pass" (Journal of the General Convention of 1886, pp. 79-80). This declaration mentions four particulars—the so-called Quadrilateral (text in Fundamental Doctrines of Christianity, § 4) -which have been widely understood to represent formal terms of unity, an acceptance of which would suffice to secure union with the Episcopal Church, although, as a matter of fact, these particulars were given as leading instances of what the bishops declared to be "inherent parts" of "the substantial deposit of Christian Faith and Order committed by Christ and his Apostles to the Church unto the end of the world, and therefore incapable of compromise of surrender." The document was expository. The bishops neither did nor could (except with the concurrence of the House of Deputies) offer formal terms of union; they simply declared what they believed to be fundamental principles, and left the discussion of terms to the future.

In 1888 the Lambeth Conference (q.v.) of the bishops in communion with the see of Canterbury adopted a resolution in which the American "Quadrilateral" was embodied, as follows: "That in the opinion of this conference, the following Articles supply a basis on which approach may be by God's

blessing made toward Home Reunion: 8. The 8. The
Lambeth
Conference. and New Testaments, as containing
all things necessary to salvation, and as being the rule and ultimate standard of faith. (B) The Apostles' Creed, as the Baptismal Symbol; and the Nicene Creed, as the sufficient statement of the Christian Faith. (C) The two sacraments ordained by Christ himself-Baptism and the Supper of the Lord-ministered with unfailing use of Christ's words of Institution, and of the elements ordained by him. (D) The Historic Episcopate, locally adapted in the methods of its administration to the varying needs of the nations and peoples called of God into the unity of his Church." The conference recommended brotherly conferences" in order to consider what steps can be taken either toward corporate reunion, or toward such relations as may prepare the way for fuller organic unity hereafter." Thus the whole Anglican episcopate adopted the American platform (Lambeth Conferences of 1867, 1878, and 1888, ed. R. T. Davidson, London, 1889, pp. 280-281). The claim that the historic episcopate was "committed by Christ and his Apostles to the Church unto the end of the world" has been much debated. Modern scholars consider that the episcopate originated by organic development rather than by formal appointment ab initio; but the manner of its origin is immaterial, if its development was determined in result by the Holy Spirit, and if the continuance of the episcopate is by Christ's will. The conviction that it is his will can alone justify making acceptance of the episcopate an essential condition of unity, and until non-episcopal bodies reach this conviction, they can not be expected to acknowledge that the historic episcopate is essential. In brief, an important difference of conviction must be removed before the "Quadrilateral" can become a generally accepted basis for the discussion of terms of unity.

The negotiations which followed between com, mittees appointed by the Episcopal and Presbyterian Churches (1887-96) represent in their 4. Bpisoo- lack of results what was inevitable. pal and The Presbyterian committee took the Presbyter- ground that no negotiations for union rian Nego- could be pursued by it except on equal tiations. terms with regard to the ministry, and the Northern General Assembly of 1896 in courteous terms suspended correspondence with the Episcopal commission until it might "be reopened by the acceptance by that [the Episcopal] Church of the doctrine of 'mutual recognition and reciprocity.'" This negative result accentuates the undeniable fact that, so long as certain existing differences touching faith and order continue, formal negotiations for unity between the bodies thus differing

will be abortive. Sincere Christians will not unite at the cost of convictions which they deem (whether rightly or not) to be vital. The problem of unity is inseparable from the problem of securing sufficient agreement concerning questions of faith and order for Christian communions to unite without sacrificing anything which they deem to be vital, and without sanctioning anything which they consider to be subversive of Christian principles. And yet the cause of unity is too vital, and too directly commended to our efforts by Christ, to be abandoned because formal negotiations for union are not yet practicable. The essentials of Christianity are too well attested, and too mighty in their practical and persuasive power, to be permanently obscured by the controversial issues and prejudices of our time. The work for unity must go on. Christ prayed for it, and declared that his followers should constitute one flock. God wills it; and what God wills he helps us to bring to pass by his Holy Spirit. Recent defeats mean simply that there must be further preparation; and formal schemes of unity must be deferred until efforts have been made to secure a better mutual understanding, and foster common growth into the larger mind of Christ. The only external procedure for promoting union which appears to be available consists of candid and loving conferences between leaders of different communions for the discussion of difference in faith and order.

The appointment of a joint commission for a world conference on faith and order by the General Convention of the Episcopal Church at Cincinnati Oct. 19, 1910, was dictated by these considerations, and its significance can best be defined in the terms of the report and resolution which that convention accepted and unanimously adopted: ". . . We be-

lieve that the time has now arrived 5. The Commission ily of Christ, led by the Holy Spirit, Conference. may be willing to come together for the consideration of questions of Faith and Order. . . . We would heed this call of the Spirit of God. . . . We would place ourselves by the side of our fellow Christians, . . . convinced that our one hope of mutual understanding is in taking personal counsel together in the spirit of love and forbearance. It is our conviction that such a conference for the purpose of study and discussion, without power to legislate or to adopt resolu-tions, is the next step toward unity. With grief for our aloofness in the past, and for other faults of pride and self-sufficiency, which make for schism; with loyalty to the truth as we see it, and with respect for the convictions of those who differ from us; holding the belief that the beginnings of unity are to be found in the clear statement and full consideration of those things in which we differ, as well as of those things in which we are at one, we respectfully submit the following resolution: Whereas, There is to-day among all Christian people a growing desire for the fulfilment of our Lord's Prayer that all his disciples may be one; that the world may believe that God has sent him: Resolved. . That a Joint Commission be appointed to bring about a conference for the consideration of questions

touching Faith and Order, and that all Christian Communions throughout the world which confess our Lord Jesus Christ as God and Savior be asked to unite with us in arranging for and conducting such a conference." Seven bishops, seven presbyters, and seven laymen were duly appointed to constitute this commission, and several members have since been added. The commission organized at once, and appointed a committee on plan and scope to which the executive business is largely given. The Rt. Rev. Charles P. Anderson, bishop of Chicago, is president of the commission; the Rev. William T. Manning, rector of Trinity Church, New York, is chairman of the committee on plan and scope; Mr. R. H. Gardiner, 11 Pemberton Square, Boston, Mass., is secretary; and Mr. George Zabriskie is treasurer. It is to be noticed that the commission is not authorized to retain in its hands the preparation for, and management of, the proposed conference, for this is left to the representatives in general of the commissions which consent to participate, and all are equally to share in the business. While the Cincinnati convention was sitting, the American Congregationalists and the Disciples were constituting similar commissions, and these are in cordial touch with the Episcopal commission. The Presbyterians have also welcomed the movement, and representatives of other bodies, including the Roman Catholic and Eastern Orthodox Churches, have shown interest. The undertaking will necessarily require several years for its achievement, but the signs are encouraging.

8. Principles of Unity: These can be briefly stated. Unity is inseparable from some form of corporate or organic union. Whatever passing expedients may be adopted to reduce the evils of sectarian division, real union is vital to the fulfilment of our Lord's prayer, and of New-Testament

1. Organic teaching—a union that will restore full

Faith and Order. intercommunion between Christian believers; that will eliminate rivalry between Christian ministries in their internal, religious, and sacramental functions, as well as in those external activities which existing federations seek to harmonize; and that will foster such world-wide harmony of working conditions as is needful for the growth of Christians in one mind and one faith. The New Testament, as has been stated, treats the Church not only as having one Lord, but as constituting one body, which upbuilds itself in love. Corporate union should, therefore, be consciously kept in view as the ultimate aim of all efforts for Christian unity. This is not generally realized; and to bring Christians to see that it is so is an important part of present labor for unity. Nor can this unity be secured except on the basis of a common faith and order—that is, substantial agreement concerning matters which are deemed essential to Christianity and to the fulfilment of Christ's will. This agreement can only be obtained, as the bishops of the Protestant Episcopal Church say in their declaration of 1886, "by the return of all Christian Communions to the principles of unity exemplified by the undivided Catholic Church during the first ages of its existence." If any principles can constitute a common faith and order, they must be these; and these surely constitute, as the bishops declared, "the substantial deposit of Christian Faith and Order committed by Christ and his Apostles to the Church unto the end of the world, and therefore incapable of compromise or surrender by those who have been ordained to be its stewards and trustees for the common and equal benefit of all men." The Anglican Church consistently adheres to this standpoint. The whole meaning of its initiation of the movement for a world conference on faith and order is to help to bring about the mutual understanding, and the friendly cooperation in study, which is necessary for the growth of all Christians into one mind concerning what has been received from Christ.

The impossibility of securing external uniformity in non-essentials, and the necessity that a truly Catholic religion should be practically adapted to every race, condition, and tempera2. External ment, should be clearly realized. Yet Uniformity there is an obvious limitation to this and principle. True unity requires deMinistries. cency and order, even in things not intrinsically vital. There must be visible hamony even in things of human ordering; so that

Christians can feel at home in the Church wherever they go; and so that the divergencies of use that remain shall not appear to represent a conflict of principles and ideals. The Supper of the Lord is the sacramental and working center of unity; and its general method should be at least as uniform, broadly speaking, as is consistent with the edification of diverse peoples and temperaments. The elasticity of the Church's devotional life is most fully to be attained in the devotions and usages which supplement and fill out this central service. The thorny question of parity of ministries ought not to be forced to the front until it is more ripe for settlement. In particular, mutual reciprocity in ministerial functions can not be pressed without imperiling the earlier stages of growth toward unity. For Christians of different bodies to confer successfully is possible only by treating ministerial claims as a subject for discussion and study, rather than as a mutually accepted platform; and to treat the subject in this mutually non-committal way is entirely consistent with faithfulness to conviction on the part of all.

4. How Unity is to be Achieved: This is certainly not by mere human effort and wisdom, nor on lines which can with certainty be described beforehand; but by the working of the Holy Spirit, in manners known only to God, and in God's own time. The certainty that Christian unity, and therefore union, is God's will, and the assurance that the Holy Spirit is the real cause of the growing demand for unity, show clearly that Christians ought to labor for the union of Christendom, and that such labor will not, in the long run, prove abortive. The most powerful human factor is love—love which is strong enough to bridge the gulfs that divide the Christian world, to over-

come denominational pride, to fortify patient cour-

lesy and persistent study in the face of polemical

War-cries, and to enlighten our minds to distinguish

what is essential truth, and incapable of compromise, from what is not.

Another important human factor would appear to be modern cosmopolitanism in religious investigation. In our day the results of Christian research in every land rapidly become common property. No doubt these results are often obscured

and given perverted explication from 2. Broad rationalistic standpoints, but the power Investigaof truth to accredit itself, and to pretion, vail against caricature, is to be counted Patience. and Prayer. on. Above all, the Holy Spirit can be reckoned upon, whose enlightening grace will enable sincere truth-seekers everywhere to profit by cosmopolitan scholarship, and to utilise it from a truly Christian standpoint for the attainment of increasing unity of faith. To doubt it is to doubt Providence. Time also is a vital factor. Reunion may indeed become possible sooner and more suddenly than was dreamed, but in any case it will come as precipitating and revealing results of much hidden growth, of workings that have gone on for generations. The point requiring emphasis is that unity can not be forced before God's moment; and until that moment arrives, efforts to formulate the precise conditions and terms of unity must serve as a hindrance rather than a help to the cause of unity. Prayer—unceasing and habitual prayer for unity, and for the Christian graces and illumination which make for it—is absolutely indispensable. Prayer is necessary to afford the human conditions of the Spirit's work, to develop love, and to enable us all to grow in one. The following prayer is widely used in certain communions, and might well be used by all Christians: "O Lord Jesus Christ, who saidst unto Thine Apostles, Peace I leave with you, My peace I give unto you; regard not our sins, but the Faith of Thy Church; and grant her that peace and unity which is agreeable to Thy will: Who livest and reignest God for ever and ever. Amen."

FRANCIS J. HALL. 5. Anglo-Swedish Negotiations: [After some preliminary unofficial negotiations in 1888 and 1897 between the Anglican and Swedish Churches, the archbishop of Canterbury, at the request of the Lambeth Conference in 1908, appointed a committee to inquire into the possibility of closer relations between the two communions, the initial basis being the fact that the Swedish Church, alone of Lutheran communions, has preserved an episcopate. report of this commission has declared that "the succession of bishops has been maintained unbroken by the Church of Sweden, and that it has a true conception of the episcopal office. . . . That the office of priest is also rightly conceived as a divinely instituted instrument for the ministry of the Word and Sacraments, and that it has been in intention handed on throughout the whole history of the Church of Sweden." It is, accordingly, recommended that at the next Lambeth Conference (or at a meeting of English bishops) a resolution be adopted which, like that regarding the Old Catholics of Germany, Austria, and Switzerland (adopted in 1888), will permit "members of the National Church of Sweden, otherwise qualified to receive the Sacrament in their own Church," to be admitted to Holy

.

Communion in the Anglican Church. It is also recommended that, in case Swedish churches are not available, the use of Anglican churches be permitted, with the consent of the diocesan, for marriages, burials, etc.; while Swedish ecclesiastics might profitably be permitted to give addresses occasionally in Anglican pulpits. It is the hope of the commission that there may ultimately be intercommunion between the two churches. On the other hand, it must not be forgotten that there are grave barriers still, even after Anglican acknowledgment of the validity of Swedish orders. Thus in Sweden the diaconate has been lost since the seventeenth century, and confirmation is administered (when administered at all) by the second order of the ministry, as in non-episcopal Lutheran bodies; while reference to the "holy Catholic Church" has been expunged from the Creeds. Though one may waive, as more than counterbalanced by other passages in the liturgy, the substitution in the ordinal, from 1809 to 1894, of "preaching office" (predikombet) for "priestly offices" (prestembet) (but see Bishop G. M. Williams, in The Living Church, xliii. 18-19), there can be little doubt that, as has been semiofficially declared by Swedish Lutherans, the Swedish communion regards the episcopate as " a good external order which ought to be retained, but which is not essential to the life of the Church, while the Swedish Church itself is classed as one of several "Lutheran Churches" (the alleged point of contact between the Anglican and Swedish Churches that both are Protestant may be due to the fact that katolsk in Swedish means only "Roman Catholic ").

In the United States this movement has encountered bitter opposition on the part of the various Lutheran bodies, especially in the Augustana Synod, an intensely antiepiscopal body (see Bishop Williams, in *The Living Church*, xliv. 165, 173, 201), to which the majority of Swedish immigrants naturally first turn.

The outcome of the efforts for Anglo-Swedish intercommunion it would be premature to forecast.]

II. Orthodox Catholic Position: One of the most promising signs of the times, in the present divided state of Christianity in Europe and America, is that this generation is witnessing the waning of active sectarian antagonism. The former constant strife of partizan polemics inseparable from denominational dissension, which has silenced again and again

irenic writers pleading for Christian

1. Recent charity, and urging the mutual apDecline of proach, recognition, and ultimate union
Denomina- of the several reformed communions
tionalism. in the West, is ending slowly but sure-

ly; and even the newer dissenting divisions of those same older communions, each of which, whether large or small, was organized as an evident consequence of minor doctrinal differences, magnified or overstated by implacable theological partizans during the continuation of the successive reforming movements since the sixteenth century, are striving to find in their common ecclesiastical descent, and in their similar statements of belief, an effective basis for cooperation and for union. Until the last decade of the nineteenth century, de-

cided and uncompromising denominationalism was the common characteristic of American Christianity; but during this period a movement as significant as it was spontaneous, the gradual restoration of ritual, became evident, and has resulted everywhere in the more and more general observance d the chief festivals and commemorations of the Western church year. That even various praiseworthy leaders in the several reformed communions, whose Puritan forefathers had rejected and repudiated those same Christian symbols and sacred historic ceremonies, should strive so successfully to regain more and more of their ecclesiastical inheritance gives promise of the coming of a second great spiritual renaissance. This revival of ritual, with the restoration of the church year to its former vitalising function in the parochial life of the people, could not fail to direct the attention of many earnest denominational scholars to the renewed study of the faith, government, and worship of the primitive Catholic Church; while the reexamination of these seemingly separate yet clearly connected subjects which were debated so defiantly in the past by the sectarian scholars of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries has been facilitated during the present period by searching studies of recently discovered documents that both simplify and at times solve successfully many perplexing ecclesiastical prob-lems. One of the most reassuring results of this recent reaction from post-Reformation prejudices and preferences is seen in the increasing consciousness of the defects and dangers of denominationalism, and there is also a general willingness on the part of these same separated communions to discuss fraternally, and to define irenically, the doctrinal differences which divide them, not only from each other, but also from their common mother, the Western or Latin Church, and from its elder

sister, the Eastern or Greek Church.

The well-known "Quadrilateral" of the Protestant Episcopal bishops of America, afterward affirmed in 1888 without change by the Lambeth Conference (q.v.; see also above, I., 2, § 3), called forth many essays discussing, from various denominational positions, the desirability or the necessity of Christian union. This joint Anglican proposal has been thus far seemingly unsuccessful, but it has certainly aided in directing the attention of the clergy and the laity, in both England and

America, to the necessity for Christian

2. The cooperation and eventual corporate
Four Fununion. In no other way can the
damental Church of Christ even regain, during
Principles. the present period, much less increase

in the future, its all but impotent spiritual influence over modern materialism, that significant symbol and dangerous defect of our complicated Western civilization. At the end of the first decade of the twentieth century, the memorable Edinburgh World Missionary Conference (1910) is evidence of the increasing interest in the searching historic study of the four ecclesiastical fundamentals of the "Quadrilateral," since summarized under the connected titles of "Faith and Order." Faith has always been defined to be generically the authoritative traditional teaching of Christ the Incarnate Loges,

later recorded by the inspired writers of the four canonical Gospels. But this fundamental Christian faith includes necessarily also the inspired teaching contained in the canonical writings of the chosen apostles, which was later expanded logically, and developed consistently into the orthodox doctrinal declarations of the undivided Catholic Church, deduced cautiously as they were, word by word, from these same sacred Scriptures, and defined authoritatively in the accepted conciliar creeds and ecumenically binding dogmatic decrees, and also witnessed continually by the orthodox hierarchical successors of the apostles in the traditional eucharistic liturgies used by the faithful throughout the then known world. The searching analytical study of the apostolic age will reveal clearly how these four historic fundamentals of the primitive Church emerged one by one, and were slowly but consistently coordinated by the inevitable strifes and schisms of that formative missionary period into energizing divine principles for maintaining unity in the faith, sacraments, and order of the expanding Christian Church. Nor was their divinely imparted influence less evident during the succeeding post-apostolic period, when their pervasive spiritual power, both of restaining doctrinal dissension and of controlling destructive division, continued to stimulate and strengthen both the clergy and the faithful to resist resolutely all adverse attacks both from within and from without, until the separate parochial units of the primitive Christian Church, each with its presiding bishop and college of presbyters, became compact and confederated through their participation in, and support of, the successive councils of the undivided Catholic Church.

When the apostles began their appointed work of proclaiming the Gospel of the risen and ascended Christ, by baptizing all nations and teaching them to observe all his commandments (Matt. xxviii. 19), there is already evident the latent presence of these four divine fundamentals: the unwritten, traditional Gospel, the Confession of Faith, "thou art the Christ, the Son of the living God" (Matt. xvi. 16; cf. Acts viii. 37)—soon expanded into fuller and more definite creedal forms—the sacraments of the Church—baptism, the eucharist, and remission of sins, and the unorganized hierarchy contained com-

plete in the apostolate (John xx. 193- Develop- 23)—this necessarily including the
ment of apostolic authority of declaring and
Order in the defining, from time to time, all parts
Primitive of that divinely revealed faith, implied
Church. in the plenary power to teach, to bind,

and to loose, conferred on them by Christ himself. Thus the apostolic Christian Church is seen to be constituted with every essential principle, element, and power needed day by day for its continuous growth and consistent divine development before even the first line of the New Testament had been written, and before the first public proclamation of the Gospel by the twelve chosen witnesses of the resurrection of the ascended Christ. But soon the various needs of the increasing number of believers required the appointment of the first deacons to assist the apostles in the care of converts (Acts vi. 3-6). Here is evidently, by divine

direction, both the institution of the diaconate, the lowest of the three orders in the primitive hierarchy, and the addition of ordination, conferred by the proper ordaining prayers with the imposition of hands on the clergy, to the apostolic sacraments of the Christian Church. Although the service of the deacons was at first restricted to the charitable work of the expanding Church, one of them, Philip, was impelled to preach the Gospel to the people of Samaria, whereupon the apostles in Jerusalem, hearing that the people of Samaria had accepted the Gospel, sent Peter and John to lay their hands on them that they might also receive the gift of the Holy Ghost (Acts viii. 5-17), thereby adding confirmation to the primitive sacraments of the Church; while in the general epistle of James (v. 14) is recorded the apostolic rite or sacrament of unction of the sick. The recognition of the converted Paul, the divinely designated apostle to the Gentiles, who had already completed the three orders of the hierarchy by the ordination of elders or presbyters in every church (Acts xiv. 23), occurred at the first council of the Church in Jerusalem, in which the apostolic power of the keys was used in the conflict of the Judaizing missionaries with Paul, whose authoritative teaching was confirmed unto all the churches (Acts xv. 1-29) by the assembled college of the apostles. This simple but divinely inspired decree was thenceforth to transform slowly and silently the expanding Judeo-Gentile Church into that homogeneous Christian Church which was later to carry the Gospel to the farthest boundaries of the known world.

In these historic accounts in the Acts and in the pastoral epistles of Paul are seen continually the energizing effects of the apostolic use of the four fundamentals of the undivided Church, the forming Scriptures of the New Testament, the expanding Creed, the constant administration of the primitive Sacraments, and the presence everywhere of the organized hierarchy of three distinct

4. Development of teled bishops or presbyters (Acts xx. Doctrine to 787.

To 787.

The third bishops or presbyters (Acts xx. 17, 28), and the local deacons, who cared for the spiritual and temporal needs of the faithful in the several

cities. Since, however, the preaching of that divinely revealed faith evoked from time to time the counter claims of sectarians seeking by their errors to attach followers to themselves, the apostolic witnesses were continually inspired to define more and more clearly the traditional teaching of Christ, until the simple creedal statement of Matt. xvi. 16 and Acts viii. 37 was already amplified in I Cor. viii. 6 and I Tim. iii. 16 (cf. also Heb. vi. 1-2). Its expansion continues by tradition from teacher to teacher in the Christian hierarchy, as is evident from the writings of the post-apostolic witnesses Ignatius (Ad Trallianos, ix.), Irenæus (Hær., I., x. 1), Tertullian (Adv. Praxean, ii.), Origen of Alexandria (De principiis), Gregory Thaumaturgus, Lucian the Martyr, and Eusebius of Cæsarea (qq.v.), until in 325 the orthodox Christian faith was formally defined in the first Nicene creed, which was later enlarged, and officially accepted, through its individual bishops as the hierarchical successors of the apostles, by the Catholic Church everywhere. From this time onward, the ecumenical councils of the undivided Church assembled again and again to declare and reaffirm the orthodox Christian creed, to define heresy and denounce error, to decide disputes relating to the hierarchy, ritual, and discipline, and to enact canons and decrees for the general government of the Church throughout the Roman Empire. Preceding this conciliar period from 325 A.D. to 787 A.D., and continuing concurrently with it, the two historic complementing halves of the expanding Christian Church, the Church of the East and the Church of the West, were already acquiring unconsciously their later fixed characteristic forms. Both are originally Greek in language, and possess and use in common the same four apostolic fundamentals for the propagation of the Gospel, and for the pastoral care of the faithful.

The Eastern Church, influenced by an environment permeated with Alexandrian mysticism, and also by the philosophical problems of the Greeks, especially the origin of the material world, the existence and nature of the invisible creating Deity, and the hidden source of evil, concentrated more and

Between East and West.

more consistently its theological teach-5. Grow- ing on the elucidation of the second ing Differ- question, and thereby eventually comentiation pleted for the entire Church of all ages the first part of the orthodox Catholic dogma of the ecumenical Christian faith, Christology, by developing cautiously and defining concisely the con-

nected doctrines of the incarnation, the person of Christ, and the Trinity. The Western Church was destined to become more and more different from its elder sister in the East through the influence of its own daughter, the Latin Church of North Africa, whose three illustrious teachers, Tertullian, Cyprian, and Augustine (qq.v.), influenced irresistibly by the legalism of Latin life and civilization, developed successively those distinguishing Latin doctrines of soteriology and the constitution of the Catholic Church which were to transform slowly and steadily the Greek Church of the West into the theocratic Latin Church ruled by the popes of Rome during the coming centuries of strife and struggle. To these directing ecclesiastical influences must be added that potent political factor which has had such far-reaching consequences through the centuries to the present day. When the Roman Emperor Constantine the Great (q.v.) became the victorious ruler of the West, and also the undisputed ruler of the entire East, his powerful personality as the historic convener of the Nicene Concil in 325, and the builder of the new capital of Constantinople in 326, could not fail to affect the ultimate destinies of both the eastern and western branches of that undivided Catholic Church which he now protected personally. While the existence of the successive bishops of Rome was obscured by the presence of the resident emperors, the ruler of the Roman Church was only one of the coequal heads of the confederated Christian communities constituting the Church of the West; and as long as Rome remained the imperial residence, the pope's ecclesias-

tical authority was historically subordinate to the prevailing secular power of the Roman emperors. Constantine's transfer of the center of all political authority from the old Rome of the Cæsars to the new Rome of Constantinople, on the other hand, could not fail to result in the slow but steady increase of the ecclesiastical authority of the bishop of Rome, that spiritual ruler now no longer obscured by, and subordinate to, the departed emperor of the East and the West. From this time onward, the rapid rise of the bishop of Rome from the primacy over the city and over the suburbican bishops to the primacy first over the other bishops of Italy, and then successively over all rival primates of the federated but independent Churches constituting the collective Church of the West is historical. The persistent influence of ancient imperial Rome, its traditions, its customs, and its laws all tended to impress, through the power of the bishops of Rome, the subordinate ecclesiastical relation to him of the primates of the several national churches in the West, in marked contrast with the coordinate apostolic equality of all the primates of the confederated national churches in the East. During the period of the councils, this papal authority of the bishops of Rome became more and more evident, for not only did the invasions of the barbarians from the north, and other favoring events of those troubled times, tend irresistibly toward the accomplishing of the ambitions of these successive rulers of the Roman Church, but their increasing ecclesiastical influence inspired the confident assertion of their primacy over the East as well.

From this time onward, the eventual separation of the two historic, complementing halves of the one "Holy Catholic and Apostolic Church" was foreshadowed; and it actually occurred when Photius (q.v.), patriarch of Constantinople, issued, in 866, the famous encyclical declaring the Latin Church to be heretical, and in the following year, with the concurrence of an assembled synod of Eastern bishops, formally excommunicated 6. The Final Pope Nicholas I. (q.v.). Although the

two churches were later seemingly Schism. reconciled, the controversy was revived under the Patriarch Michael Cærularius (q.v.), 1054 A.D., and became final through the conquest of Constantinople in 1204 by the Venetians, followed, as it was, by the intrusion of Latin bishops into the historic sees of the Eastern Church by Innocent III. (q.v.). All later attempts to reconcile the two historic halves of the one Catholic Church, as at the Council of Lyons (1274) and Council of Ferrara-Florence (1438-39), have finally failed; and Greek antagonism toward the Latin Church is more uncompromising than ever since the theory of the papal primacy has been expanded into its fullest possible form through the definite Vatican declarations in 1870, imposing on the entire Roman Church the doctrines of the universal episcopate of the pope, and his official infallibility when he declares ex cathedra any question of faith or morals.

The Eastern Church, in the course of its doctrinal development of the conciliar orthodox Christology, suffered the loss of several dissenting parts, most of excepting that first Arian schism, have conto exist unchanged century after century to sent time. The Syrian, the Coptic (inclue Abyssinian), and the Armenian Churches,

historically the national churches of those ancient countries, although they us of reject, under a misconception of meaning, the Chalcedonian canons (see in Christology, IV.), can not be conthes. clusively charged with the error of

monophysitism (see Monophysites). se primitive parts of the Christian Church in stare in communion with each other, and the Church, which is now represented in the hierf the Western patriarchate, has lately officially the imputation of this Christological error. reco-Russian Church, now numbering nearly 0,000 members, both by reason of its peculiar phical position in Europe, and its rapid exthroughout the North American continent, destined to become more and more the meinfluence between the non-Roman divisions Western Church and the federated Orthodox Churches of the East; just as the Syrian 1 of Antioch already occupies a similar posiward those other primitive national churches mutually recognize each other. The Russian 1-deserves great praise, not only for its sturdy on the subject of the validity of Western sacts, especially baptism by affusion, in opposithose Eastern prelates who doubt or deny piritual efficacy, but even more for its earnest to aid, in every way consistent with its trad orthodox teaching, the future recognition non-Roman communions of the West, and eventual coordinate confederation with the es of the East, in which it is deservedly the ating division. The Roman Church, by acg the dogmatic decrees of the Vatican Council 0, compelled its many ultramontane controists to prove the asserted apostolic origin of spal power, and the historical orthodoxy of odern addition to its preceding contradictory ions of the papal primacy and irreconcilable retations of the traditional apostolic teaching undivided Catholic Church.

these are, however, denounced as erroneous less no less uncompromisingly by the several lox Greek Synods than by the Old Catholic class of Europe and by the scholars of the led Western communions. Furthermore, as

a direct result of the Vatican decrees hodox of 1870, there are to-day in almost olic every country of Europe, and also in has America, Catholic bishops independation. ent of the Roman Church in both the

Latin and the Syrian successions, preover nascent autonomous national Catholic es, thus offering equally valid sacraments and to all Christians of the Latin rite who can sistently accept these and previous dogmatic rulings which they regard as additions to hodox Catholic faith. The proposed theses mion conference at Bonn, in 1874, presided the great opponent of infallibility, J. J. I. llinger (q.v.), and attended by the Old Cath-

olic leaders and theologians and by clerical and theological representatives from both the Russian and the Greek Churches, besides clergy from the Anglican communions and other reformed communions of the West, offering, as they do, an orthodox synopsis of the traditional Catholic teaching of the undivided Church, and also a definite basis of doctrinal union in theological essentials of dogma, with consistent freedom in all related non-essentials, are a determining force in aiding the coming recognition and future coordinate confederation of all non-Roman communions of the West, both with each other, and with the national Orthodox Greek, Syrian, Coptic, and Armenian Churches of the East. These theses, moreover, as an orthodox summary of the fundamental Christian faith of the undivided Catholic Church, can not fail to serve a double purpose. On the one hand, they indicate by contrast in which particular dogmatic declarations the differing reformed confessions of faith are deficient in over or under statement, or are in essential error in their respective interpretations of the traditional apostolic teaching of the primitive Christian Church. On the other hand, they indicate, with more or less certainty, the elements of a common future creed which will ultimately be developed, defined, and accepted, through a coming ecumenical council of the entire Catholic Church, by all Christian communions both in the East and in the West. The restoration, by the reformed non-episcopal communions, of that primitive apostolic hierarchy of bishops, presbyters, and deacons, rejected and repudiated too hastily by their Puritan forefathers, is necessarily a sine qua non of ecclesiastical recognition, not only by the several Orthodox Catholic bishops independent of the Roman Church in the western patriarchate, but also by the entire eastern episcopate, the Orthodox Russian and Greek churches, the Syrian, the Coptic, and the Armenian. The unexpected events of the present period foreshadow unmistakably the trend of the times. The continued disestablishment of the Roman Church in the Latin nations of Europe, aided by Modernism (q.v.), may result eventually either in fundamental reforms of its distinctive doctrines, especially the theory of the papacy; of ritual, especially the perversions of the sacraments and the cult of the saints; and in polity, especially the enforced celibacy of the clergy and the suppression of the diaconate; or it may, through the increasing loss of its political power, become eventually resolved into its former components, which were in the past separate and subordinate churches in the several divisions of the Western Empire, but which will be in the future independent and confederated national churches of the historic Western patriarchate, now including the American continent, in communion both with each other and with the confederated national churches of the Eastern patriarchates.

ERNEST C. MARGRANDER.

III. Protestant Position: Since the Protestant
Reformation repeated attempts have been made to
bring about the reunion of the churches. The Reformers were not at first willingly separatists from
the Roman Church; and in England the Nonconformists left the Established Church only after the

failure of their appeals for reform and a larger measure of liberty. Notwithstanding conflicting intolerances and denominational divisions, the instinct of church unity has always been

r. Efforts hidden in the heart of the Protestant for Reunion with seventeenth century an influential Roman though quiet attempt was made to Catholicism. reconcile the Protestant churches of

Germany with the Roman Catholic Church, when a Roman Catholic bishop of moderate spirit, Cristoval Rojas de Spinola (q.v.) was commissioned by the Emperor Leopold to make all practical efforts for the peace of the Church in the empire, and this was sanctioned by Pope Innocent XI. This endeavor was carried on through his ceaseless efforts and through a protracted correspondence between the philosopher Gottfried Wilhelm Leibnitz (q.v.) and some Protestant theologians and Jacques Bénigne Bossuet (q.v.), the famous French orator, and others, until, after some thirty years, it came to nothing. The political conditions of Europe, as well as theological differences, foredoomed it to failure, and since then no real effort to reconcile Roman Catholicism and Protestantism has been possible, even though the ideal of the one Church includes both.

In the sixteenth century the separation between the English Church and the Reformed churches on the continent was not so pronounced as it has since become, presbyters from the Reformed churches passing over to England being in several instances received without reordination and occasional intercommunion among the churches being also recognized. Two early archbishops licensed certain

Scotch presbyters to officiate as priests,
2. Attempts without raising the question of the
at Anglican regularity of their previous ordinaand Protestion. But in the seventeenth century
tant Union. the line of division was sharply drawn

in an age of civil and religious strife. Two counter-claims were set up, the presbyterial and the episcopal, each at that time claiming that its polity had explicit authority and existed by a certain divine right; and other separations have multiplied since. But in that age there were not wanting also men of more moderate views, such as John Hales (q.v.) of Eton, Lord Falkland, and a nurcemaion of scholars known as the Cambridge Platemints (q.v.), who believed in toleration and comprehension of diversities within the Church; and who supported the episcopal order not because they regarded it as possessed of superior authority by divine right, but because of its antiquity and apand other Presbyterian divines at the time of the Hantaration plended for reforms and liberty within the Church, and only when their petition had been mit maids were they compelled in good conscience by the Act of Conformity (1662) to become Nonconformints. Many individual instances also might In willward of ideas and projects for church unity, much an Archibishop James Ussher's (q.v.) plan for nymetical missionary, or the incessant labors of John limin (1, v.) and his fertile schemes for the reunion id all the churches of the continent and England.

These all have failed, for the times were not refor them, but they have not been in vain, and a remain for this twentieth century to bring to a tion. The times are favorable now as never being and this field, where so many have gone for sow, is already ripe for the harvest. The idea

church unity has taken strong hold.

3. Present all the churches, and it is to be to protestant future business of the Church to realistication.

it. The Christian civilization of the world demands it; political alliances

of Church and State no longer perpetuate strife, at least in the United States. Modern historical and Biblical criticism has set aside the claims of any church polity to exclusive divine authority, and has left the historic episcopate to justify itself not only by its undoubtedly natural and early development in the primitive Church, but also by its fitness for administrative use and efficiency in possible adaptations to other church polities. A movement has already been started of far-reaching scope and much promise for some real church unity. The General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church which was held in Cincinnati in Oct., 1910, appointed a commission to arrange for a world convention of all other Christian communions of evangelical faith upon questions of faith and order, to consider their differences as well as their agreements as a first step toward unity (see above, A, 2, § 5). At the same time the National Council of the Congregational Churches, in session in Boston, appointed a committee to consider any overtures of this kind from the Protestant Episcopal Church. This movement is receiving assent and support from other denominations, and after several years of preparation and conferences, which must necessarily intervene, the proposed world conference will be held. It will assume no powers of legislation, but the work aims at ultimate results of unity. The ideal of unity has been briefly but nobly set forth in this utterance of the Anglican Convention: "We must fix our eyes on the Church of the future, which is to be adorned with all the precious things, both theirs and ours. We must constantly desire not compromise, but comprehension, not uniformity, but unity." This ideal involves something more than external union or federation in some good work—a union outside the churches rather than unity of the churches. It aims at a comprehensive unity, in which denominational and temperamental diversities may be recognized; an administrative unity, by which wasteful competitions may be avoided; and a dynamic unity, through which the force of the whole Christian Church may be brought to bear wherever its light and power are needed in the world. Such unity will be organic in the sense of the Lord's words when he compared the relation of the disciples to himself and to one another to that of the branches and the vine; and according to the conception of the great missionary apostle when he described the Church as one body having many members. NEWMAN SMYTH.

IV. Roman Catholic Position: Church unity as understood by Roman Catholics postulates not merely an internal or spiritual union of Christian believers, but also an external or visible unity under

e visible head. It is reducible to three points: ity of faith, government, and worship. The faithl are subject to one teaching and ruling authority, d partake of the same sacraments and forms of mahip. Roman Catholics maintain that the sunder of Christianity wished the members of his nurch to be united in the one faith or belief derered in first instance to the apostles whom he at to teach all nations. It was, furthermore, his

intention that this doctrinal unity 2. Unity should be maintained in the Church of Faith, through all subsequent generations by Govern- the authority of the "Ecclesia doment, and cens," authority which is vested in Worship the bishops who are successors of the Requisite. apostles, and particularly in the bishop of Rome, who is the center of all unity,

and who, as the successor of Peter, inherits, in his micial capacity, the prerogatives implied in the metaphor of the foundation rock (Matt. xvi. 18) and in other familiar passages of the New Testament. To this supreme and infallible teaching sutherity, which secures unity of belief, is united also, according to the will of the Founder, and vested likewise in the bishops and pope, supreme authority to rule the faithful in all things pertaining to salvation, whence results unity of direction or government, and also unity of worship, since the latter fows logically from the other two. This cultural unity refers chiefly to the sacrifice of the mass and the use of the sacramental system. The faithful are united in the use of the same sacraments because they all accept the Church's teaching relative to their divine institution and efficacy. That the Roman Catholic Church possesses this threefold wity in a far greater degree than any other body of Christian believers can hardly be disputed, and it is scarcely less evident that it is due to the traditional recognition by Roman Catholics that the see of Rome is the one center of unity in the Christian world. Church union, therefore, from the Roman Catholic standpoint entails necessarily this unqualified recognition as one of the fundamental doctrinal principles concerning which no compromise is possible. Without acknowledgment of the supreme authority of the Roman see no unification with dissident Christian communions can be seriously entertained. Historically, this principle was formulated as early as the second century by St. Irenaus, who, though of Asiatic origin, asserts plainly the primatial rights of the Roman see "with which, because of its preeminence, all other churches must agree " (Hær., III., iii. 2).

Consistently with this principle, rejection of the teaching authority of the Roman see in doctrinal matters is ultimately construed as heresy, while revolt against her ruling authority constitutes ecclesiastical schism. The traditional concept of the Church from the beginning is that of a great visible organisation destined to be universal—a vast body of which Christ is the head. But a visible Church should have also a visible head, and, according to Roman Catholic belief, the prerogatives that this implies were bestowed by the Founder on Peter and his successors. In the controversies incidental to the heresies and schisms that marked the early centuries of Christianity the dissenting bishops and their followers were constantly blamed by the orthodox Fathers for disrupting the unity of the Church, and when they definitely withdrew or were

cast out, they were looked upon as 2. Position branches lopped off from the parent Regarding tree and deprived of its life-giving Non-Roman power. Such, indeed, has been the Commun- constant attitude of the Roman Cathions. olic Church in all subsequent ages

toward seceding sects or nations. She sincerely deplores the fact that Christendom is so hopelessly divided against itself, and in her liturgy she prays constantly for unity, continuing the prayer of her divine Founder that all his followers be one in him. But at the same time, this muchdesired unity must be such as Christ himself would have it-a unity the conditions of which must be submitted to her as judge, since she believes herself to be the divinely appointed custodian of his doctrine, the authentic interpreter of his will. If she shows herself rigid and uncompromising, it is because she feels the heavy responsibility of her divine mission. She longs to gather the scattered elements of Christendom under her wings, but however precious and desirable church unity may be, she does not deem herself free to accept it under conditions which in her esteem entail a sacrifice of principle or betrayal of her sacred trust. In matters pertaining to ecclesiastical discipline outside the domain of faith and morals, she is willing to make all reasonable concessions to dissident communions desiring to reenter the fold, but as regards the essential principles above stated she considers compromise to be impossible. That the efforts made in the past, notably in the ecumenical councils of Lyons (1274) and Florence (1438-45), to restore union with the Greek Church were not permanently successful is to be deplored, but Roman Catholics are confident that the impartial historian of these epochs will not make the Church of Rome responsible for the failure. The earnest desire and hope of the Church for Christian unity, as also the conditions under which she considers it possible of realization, are ably and fully set forth by the late Pope Leo XIII. in his encyclical letters " Præclara Gratulationis Publicæ" on the Reunion of Christendom (June 20, 1894) and "Satis Cognitum" on Church Unity (June 20, 1896). JAMES F. DRISCOLL.

V. Supplement: The question of the union of churches involves three points: (1) union of those churches which acknowledge the historic episcopacy, as the Greek, Roman, Anglican and Protestant Episcopal, and Orthodox Catholic; (2) union of those churches which do not base the validity of ordination on the historic episcopacy; (3) ultimate union of these two great classes in one.

In the first class, union is conditioned, first, by an adjustment between the Greek and the Roman churches by differences centering on the procession of the Holy Spirit from the Father and the Son, on the infallibility of the bishop of Rome, and on the immaculate conception of the Virgin Mary. The question concerning the procession of the Holy Spirit may be solved either by the Roman Church returning to the earlier ecumenical position which

does not teach the double procession, but which arose in the West in the ninth century, or by a restatement of the procession of the Holy Spirit from the Father through the Son instead of from the Father and the Son, or the Greek and Roman churches may agree on a double mission of the Spirit from the Father and the Son, leaving the inner-trinitarian process undefined as in the Nicene Creed. The Greek Church would also have to come to an understanding with the Nestorians, Armenians, Jacobites, and Copts. Secondly, the Anglican Church and its daughters, and the Old (Orthodox) Catholic bodies as well as the Greek will have to reconcile themselves to the supremacy of the pope and the dogma of the Immaculate Conception of Mary, unless, indeed, in both of these instances the Roman Church recedes from her unique position on these questions.

In churches of the second class, union is actually in process of realization. Since the great majority of these churches accept the first three positions of the "Quadrilateral," there is no fundamental impediment to their ultimately coming together. A union is therefore possible either by voluntary association for the prosecution of particular interests, as Bible and Tract Societies, Young Men's and Young Women's Christian Associations, and worldwide missionary conferences, in which even the first class may heartily cooperate. There may also be federated union (which is indeed taking place) first among churches having the same general source and name, as Baptist, Methodist, Presbyterian, where the branches become reunited to the parent stock, or where the religious sympathies are closest and the common spirit and aims are more nearly identical. In case, however, the doctrinal differences prevent the sort of union contemplated in the "Quadrilateral," the basis would have to be broadened so as to include Jewish congregations, Unitarians, Universalists, and Independents, and this might be defined by the general religious aim and the conduct of life. To many persons the actual difficulties confronting this class of religious communions seem not unsurmountable. This would require not necessarily uniformity of external organization, or abolition of denominations, but comprehension, each emphasizing the distinctive content of its faith. The problem presented by vested interests, as missionary societies, publishing-houses, and denominational colleges, is susceptible of satisfactory adjustment.

The union of the first and third classes offers a different problem. From the Anglican side a solution appeared in sight about 300 years ago. At that time:

"The Church of England recognized in various ways, directly or indirectly, the validity of Presbyterian ordination, and held communion with Lutheran and Calvinistic Churches on the Continent from the Reformation down to the Restoration in 1662, when the Ordinal was introduced in its present form.

"Archbishop Cranmer, the greatest Anglical liturgist, called Martin Butzer, a mediator between the Lutheran and the Swiss Reformers, from Strasburg to the chair of systematic theology in Cambridge, and Peter Martyr, a strict Calvinist, in the same capacity, to the University of Oxford, and consulted them freely in the preparation of the Articles of Religion and the Book of Common Prayer. The Elizabethan bishops, who, during their exile under Queen Mary. had

sought refuge in Zurich, Basel, and Geneva, wrote letter overflowing with gratitude for the hospitality and kindness received from the Swiss Reformers and preachers, and addressed them as spiritual fathers and brethren. Bullinger's Decades and Calvin's Institutes were the highest authorities in the universities of England, and the influence of Bera's editions of the Greek Testament, his text and notes, is manifest in the Authorized Version of King James. The 'judicious' Hooker, the standard writer on Church polity, expressed profound veneration for Calvin as 'the wisest man that ever the French Church did enjoy' (Preface to his Ecclesiastical Polity); and he expressly admitted an 'extrassionary kind of vocation where the Church must needs have some ordained and neither hath nor can have possibly a bishop to ordain; in case of such necessity, the ordinary institution of God hath given oftentimes, and may give, place. And therefore we are not simply without exception to urge a lineal descent of power from the Apostles by continued succession of bishops in every effectual ordination' (Ecclesiastical Polity, book vii. 14). Even James I., who hated the Presbyterians, sent five delegates, including three bishops in correct production of the Church will be Calvinistic Synod of Dort, who raised no question about the necessity of the episcopate for the being or the well-being of the Church'' (Philip Schaff, The Reunion of Christendom, pp. 21–23, New York, 1893).

The open door indicated in the above citation being now closed, the situation involves a radical contention all along the line. The problem presented is that of those who affirm and those who deny the exclusive divine legitimacy of particular organization and orders of the ministry. On the one hand, the double claim is advanced, that those only are validly ordained ministers whose ordination rests on the basis of the historic episcopacy, and that such a succession can be traced historically to its authentic source in the apostolic college. On the other hand, it is maintained that valid ordination consists in the immediate and orderly setting apart of suitable persons to the Christian ministry in a manner agreeable to the spirit and aim of particular churches. If, then, union between these two opposed camps is to take place, it can be effected only by coming to an understanding on this vital issue; either the episcopally ordained will have to revise their position as to the historic basis of episcopacy, or broaden their interpretation of ordination to include those of non-episcopal communions who are consecrated according to the usage of their denomination, or else the non-episcopal ministers and churches will have to confess that their ordinations are invalid, and so seek from episcopal sources "authentic" ordination. So far as these two views embody ultimate convictions, expectation that either party will surrender to the other appears to be utopian. The question of the existing parity of ministers is fundamental; it can not be postponed with the view of arriving at a different conclusion as result of further historical inquiry. At the same time one can not even imagine conditions in which non-episcopally ordained ministers will discredit and therefore nullify their ordination. Moreover, one does not see how a discussion is even conceivable between the two parties except on the basis of the equality of episcopal and non-episcopal orders; and this signifies that while there is something to adjust, there is nothing to adjudicate.

C. A. BECKWITH.

Bibliography: F. W. Newman, Catholic Union, London, 1854; W. White, Principles of Christian Union as laid down in the Word of God, ib. 1863; E. S. Foulkes, Christendom's Divisions, 2 vols., ib. 1865-67; G. Williams, The

Orthodox Church of the East in the 18th Century: a Cornce between the Eastern Patriarchs and the Nonng Bishops. With an Introduction on Various Projects of the Reunion, ib. 1868; E. B. Pusey, Eirenicon, part III., ib. 1870; H. Bannerman, Essays on Christian Unity, ib. 1871; J. J. I. von Döllinger, Lectures on the Reunion of the Churches, ib. 1872; H. P. Liddon, Report of . . . Reunion Conference . . . at Bonn, 2 vols., ib. 1875-76; T. H. Vail, The Comprehensive Church; or, Christian Unity and Ecclesiastical Union in the Protestant Episcopal Church, New York, 1879; W. J. E. Bennett, Foreign Churches in Relation to the Anglican, London, 1882; F. Myers, Catholic Thoughts on the Church, ib. 1883; B. Franklin, The Church and the Era, New York, 1884; J. Tullooh, Unity of Christendom, London, 1884; R. I. Woodhouse, What is the Church? ib. 1886; J. Justus, Freie Gedanken zur Beurtheilung der Kirche, Stuttgart, 1884; P. Schaff, Christ and Christianity. New York, 1885; D. G. Bannerman, Scripture Doctrine of the Church, Edinburgh, 1887; J. H., Christianity versus Ecclesiasticism, London, 1887; C. Wordsworth, Public Appeals on Behalf of Christian Unity, Edinburgh, 1887; H. Forrester, Christian Unity and the and Ecclesiastical Union in the Protestant Episcopal Church, Edinburgh, 1887; H. Forrester, Christian Unity and the Historic Episcopate, New York, 1889; R. Govett, What is the Church Norwich, 1889; C. Gore, The Mission of the Church, London, 1891; idem, Orders and Unity, ib. 1910; T. S. Hamlin, Denominationalism versus Christian Union, T. S. Hamlin, Denominationalism versus Christian Union, New York, 1891; M. Watson, Christianity and the Church, London, 1891; W. J. Dawson, The Church of Tomorrow, ib. 1892; E. Naville, Le Témoignage du Christ et l'unité du monde chrétien, Geneva, 1893; T. Rohleder, Politisch-religièse Grundlage für das einige Christentum, Esslingen, 1893; A. H. Bradford, The Question of Unity, New York, 1894; J. Hammond, The Christian Church, Oxford, 1894; 1894; J. Hammond, The Christian Church, Oxford, 1894; W. B. Carpenter, Some Thoughts on Christian Reunion, London, 1895; D. Dorchester, The Problem of Religious Progress, New York, 1895; Eastern Church Association, Russia and the English Church during the Last Fifty Years, London, 1895; C. W. Shields, The United Church of the United States, New York, 1895; W. Earle, The Reunion of Christianity made Practicable, London, 1896; H. H. Jeaffreson, The Church of the Living God, ib. 1896; A. J. Mason, The Principles of Ecclesiastical Unity, ib. 1896. Mason, The Principles of Ecclesiastical Unity, ib. 1896; T. Richey, Five Lectures upon the Church, New Haven, T. Richey, Five Lectures upon the Church, New Haven, Conn., 1896; V. Staley, Plain Words on the Holy Catholic Church, London, 1896; V. Charbonnel, Le Congrès des religions et le Suisse, Geneva, 1897; T. Fallot, Qu'est-ce qu'une église? Paris, 1897; F. J. A. Hort, The Christian Ecclesia, London, 1897; E. Montero Réos, Restablectmiente de la unidad religiosa en los pueblos cristianos, Madrid, 1897; E. A. Litton, The Church of Christ, London, 1898; W. R. Huntington, A National Church, New 1898; W. K. Huntington, A National Church, New York, 1891; C. Bigg, Unity in Diversity, London, 1899; P. F. Jalsquier, De l'église, Paris, 1899; J. B. Nichols, Evan-gelical Belief. Essay on the Conflict between Evangelical-iem and Sacerdotalism, London, 1899; R. Palmer, The Catholic and Apostolic Church, ib. 1899; H. Symonds, Catholic and Apostolic Church, ib. 1899; H. Symonds, Lectures on Christian Unity, Toronto, 1899; J. Boehm, Die Wiedervereinigung der christlichen Confessionen, Mainz, 1900; E. T. Green, The Church of Christ, in J. H. Burn, The Churchman's Library, London, 1900; E. H. A. Scherer, What is Catholicism? ib. 1900; N. Dimock, Christian Unity, ib. 1902, new ed., New York, 1910; A. J. Harvey, The Coming Unity. The Problem of the Churches, London, 1902; idem, Anglicanism and Reunion. Sermon Preached in Westminster Abbey on Trinity Sunday, June 14, 1908, ib. 1908; idem, The Road to Unity, ib. 1911; S. J. Jones, England and the Holy See, ib. 1902; A. T. Turberville, Steps toward Christian Unity, ib. 1902; The Encyclical Letters of Pope Leo XIII., New York, 1903; B. W. Archer, The Question of Reunion with Rome, London, 1903; W. R. Carson, Reunion Essays, ib. 1903; C. Harris, Christian Reunion from the Nonconformist and for Church Point of View, etc., ib. 1903; J. Hunkey, A Plea for Christian Unity, Atchison, Kan., 1903; F. X. Kiefl, for Christian Unity, Atchison, Kan., 1903; F. X. Kief, Der Friedensplan des Leibniz zur Wiedervereinigung der getrennten christlichen Kirchen, Paderborn, 1903; Earl Nelson, Home Reumion, London, 1905; A. Campbell, The Christian System in Reference to the Union of Christians, Birmingham, 1905; Father Paul James Francis and S. Jones, The Prince of the Apostles, Garrison, N. Y., 1907; A. Tanquerey, Synopsis theologica dogmatica fundamen-talis, Tournai, 1907; N. Smyth, Passing Protestantism and Coming Catholicism, New York, 1908; F. Spence,

Christian Re-union. A Plea for the Restoration of the
"Ecclesia of God," London, 1908; C. A. Briggs, Church
Unity: Studies of its most important Problems, New York,
1909; W. M. Brown, The Level Plan for Church Union.
With an Introduction on the Origin and Development of the
Historic Episcopate by G. W. Smith, and an Appendix on
the Chief Barrier to Christian Unity, by "Anglican Presbyter," New York, 1910; F. J. Firth, Christian Unity in
Effort: Something about the religious Faiths, Creeds, and
Deeds of the People of the United States and Elsewhere in
their Relation to Christian Unity in Effort, Philadelphia,
1910; R. de Bary, A New Rome. A Study of Visible
Unity among Non-Papal Christians, London, 1911; Church
Unity: A Criticism and a Correspondence, ib. 1911; A. C.
A. Hall, The Sevenfold Unity of the Christian Church, New
York, 1911; Lord Kinnaird (editor), The Problem of Unity,
London, 1911; W. Sanday, in Contemporary Review, 1911;
Report of the Commission appointed by the Archbishop of
Canterbury . . . on the Relation of the Anglican Communion
to the Church of Sweden and the Anglican Communion,
Milwaukee, 1911; J. Wordsworth, The National Church of
Sweden, London, 1911; Gr a general popular survey from
the Swedish point of view see N. Söderblom, "Canterbury
och Upsala," in Det nya Sverige, vol. iii.

UNION, ECCLESIASTICAL, IN GERMANY. Ecclesiastical Situation Before Union (§ 1). Literary Advocacy of Union (§ 2). Beginnings in Nassau, Prussia, and Elsewhere (§ 3) Development in Prussia (§ 4). Present Situation (§ 5).

By ecclesiastical union is meant the uniting of churches of diverse creeds into a single communion without change of denominational peculiarities, such union being distinctively Protestant, and in this discussion especially German. For such movements in England and America see Church Federation. The attempts to unite the Roman Catholic Church and other religions are not, strictly speaking, unionistic, since the Roman Church insists upon acknowledgment of the supremacy of the pope, which itself involves change of doctrine and loss of denominational characteristics.

The Reformation resulted in two confessions distinct in doctrine, organization, and worship, as opposed to each other as both were to the Roman

Church. In Switzerland, Holland,
1. Ecclesiastical Scotland, and France the Reformed became supreme; in the Scandinavian lands Lutheranism was triumphant; in Germany alone did the two exist side by side. Here the Lutherans were more opposed to union than were the

Reformed, the divergency being essentially doctrinal and eucharistic. Orthodoxy forbade all union. during the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, but when orthodoxy's supremacy was shaken by Pietism and broken by rationalism, thoughts of union, hitherto confined to individuals, gained wide currency. Pietism, laying all its stress on intensity of piety, personal experience, and Christian life, saw too clearly the virtues of other denominations and the faults of its own to have sympathy with denominational distinctions. Rationalism, as opposed both to orthodoxy and to Pietism, which were at one in their adherence to revelation, denied that religion was specifially Christian and was, therefore, indifferent to sectarianism. At the same time, the rationalists, when they advocated union, aimed at the furtherance of toleration and the consequent development of Christianity into a universal religion. Here began the revival of Biblical Christianity in

the early nineteenth century. This new piety, however, had no sectarian bias, Lutherans, Reformed, and Roman Catholics feeling themselves essentially one. The two Protestant bodies considered themselves as belonging to the same church, external differences were felt to be undesirable, and the denominational spirit that, a century earlier, had been maintained for truth's sake, was now held blameworthy, again for truth's sake.

This was manifest in the domain of literature. In 1703 Winkler [inspector at Halle], by his Arcanum regium [a plan of union which he suggested to Friedrich I., according to which no one could be installed as pastor who had not studied at Halle!], had roused a storm of protest; in 1803 such a work as G. J. Planck's Ueber die Trennung und Wiedervereinigung der getrennten christlichen Hauptparteien

2. Literary proval when it advocated the cautious Advocacy introduction of union into at least a limited area. Schleiermacher, in his Zwei unvorgreisliche Gutachten in Sachen

des protestantischen Kirchenwesens, zunächst in Beziehung auf den preussischen Staat (Berlin, 1804), urged the abandonment of sectarian antagonisms, though not of denominational distinctions. Such union, however, he deemed advisable only where its necessity was distinctly and generally felt, as in Prussia; and he maintained that it was to be effected without interference with doctrine or liturgy and should come about under the mandate of the State. Some years later appeared the Ueber die Vereinigung der beiden protestantischen Kirchengemeinden in der preussischen Monarchie of F. S. G. Sack (Berlin, 1812), who had, in 1798, proposed a joint liturgy for Lutherans and Reformed in Prussia. Unlike Schleiermacher, Sack held that a creed was necessary for the united church, the Apostles' Creed and the Augsburg Confession being suggested for this purpose; and he likewise substituted for State authority the consent of the clergy of the two churches and the approval of the great majority of their members.

Plans for union received an important impulse through the tricentennial of the Reformation in 1817. The beginning was made in Nassau, where,

at the suggestion of the government, a synod of thirty-eight clergy delegated by the State convened at Ildstein and determined that the most fitting cele
Prussia, and bration of the event commemorated would be the union of both Protestant bodies in the duchy under the name

of the Evangelical Christian Church. Their proposal was welcomed both by the synod and by the people, nor was it until later that a number of Lutherans separated from the national church and formed a distinct Lutheran church at Steeden. In Prussia the introduction of union was connected with the same event as in Nassau, though here there was a long preliminary development. Since early in the sixteenth century Lutherans and Reformed had enjoyed equal privileges in the electorate of Brandenburg; and the desire of reconciling the religious differences of their subjects and of uniting the Protestants in their domains had made the Hohensol-

lerns advocates of union. Frederick William III. was, therefore, only true to the traditions of his house when, in his proclamation of Sept. 27, 1817, he urged the union of Lutherans and Reformed in one new Evangelical Christian Church. The royal appeal was gladly followed, especially in the western portions of Prussia, encountering only sporadic opposition, even outside the kingdom. A series of smaller German states followed the example of Prussia. The first general synod of the Rhenia Palatinate at Kaiserslautern in 1818 resolved upon union; from 1817 to 1822 union was realised in a great portion of the grand duchy of Hesse, and in 1818 in Hanau and Fulda, exclaves of the electorate of Hesse; in Baden and Waldeck union was decreed in 1821; and of the Anhalt principalities Bernburg accepted union in 1820, Dessau in 1827, and Köthen in 1880, though in all these states the organization of the union and its relation to the doctrinal standards of the denominations varied.

In Prussia, meanwhile, efforts were being made, after 1814, to reorganize the church, and in 1817 and the following years a synodo-presbyterian system was actually introduced, but soon proved impracticable. The king was, according-

4. Develop- ly, obliged to take matters into his own ment in hands in greater measure than he had prussia. Originally planned. Under the conditions then provailing the realisation

tions then prevailing, the realisation of union was almost entirely restricted to the liturgy, especially as, from the very first, the acceptance of a common communion service was held to imply the acceptance of union. Hitherto, during the rationalistic period, caprice had been dominant in the liturgy, but Frederick William, filled with affection for time-honored usages and realising the advantages of orderly worship, now urged the necessity of a new liturgy for the Prussian church. Himself a fervent admirer of Luther, the liturgy was modeled essentially on Lutheran lines; and the king felt that, though unable and unwilling to force union, he could yet, in virtue of his ecclesiastical power, command the acceptance of a new liturgy. But the results were most unsatisfactory —too Lutheran for the Reformed, and suspiciously non-Lutheran for the Lutherans. Even Reformed presbyteries eager for union refused this liturgy: and opposition to the ritual led to opposition to union itself, and then to separation of a portion of the Prussian Lutherans from the united national church. Such a spirit of resistance to the new liturgy would not have arisen had there not been a momentous change in religious convictions. The power of rationalism, with its religious indifference, had been broken, and a return to the teachings of the Church was everywhere perceptible. As a consequence, various tendencies arose which construed the nature and purpose of union in very different ways. Some valued union as abrogating sectarianism; others, as representing the common elements of Protestant teachings; others still, as denying neither the validity of Lutheran doctrines in churches historically Lutheran, nor of Reformed teachings in analogous Reformed bodies. change here indicated is reflected in official utterances respecting union. In 1817 union meant the

establishment of a new Evangelical Christian Church by the amalgamation of two sundered Protestant bodies. In the cabinet order of Feb. 28, 1834, union shrogated nothing, and implied only a spirit of toleration which was unwilling to allow individual points of doctrine to form a barrier to external religious unity. Denominational tendencies within the union reached their climax in the cabinet order of Mar. 6, 1852, enacting that the supreme Protestant ecclesiastical council was empowered to represent the Evangelical national church as a whole, and to maintain and protect the rights of the different confessions and the institutions based on these confessions, adding that, in matters which could be determined only on the basis of one of the two confessions, decisions should be rendered not according to the votes of all members, but only of those belonging to the denomination concerned. The development of the organization of the national church in Prussia since 1873 has exercised no direct influence on union, since it was explicitly declared that this organization did not concern union or denominational position. Indirectly, however, it has doubtless strengthened union.

The men who proposed and the churches that accepted union committed no wrong, injustice first beginning when those of different convictions were

prevented from acting accordingly. 5 Present But the problem becomes more diffi-Situation. cult when the right or wrong of Protestant union is considered. This has been a moot question for over three centuries, and it is more than probable that it will never definitely be answered, for its solution depends not on objective facts, but on judgment concerning the value of unity and definiteness of the Church's teaching and on the uniformity of ecclesiastical ordinances. This judgment necessarily varies according to the individual, and absolute uniformity of thought and conduct is impossible, however great the general consensus of opinion may be. Both the advocates and the opponents of union had a certain degree of justification, and the fact that the opponents of the movement prevailed in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries was due to the conditions of the time. Though at the present there is little likelihood that union in Germany will extend beyond its present limits, the advocates of union seem to be in the ascendency. No national church denominationally Lutheran can maintain a hostile attitude toward the Reformed, and in almost every church the Reformed are admitted to the Lord's Supper as guests, the few exceptions being due to the objections of the pastors rather than of the congregations. Extended association with members of other denominations has tended to lessen sectarian distinctions by revealing the many points of mutual belief, and progress in theological thought has led to a complete transformation of the sectarian spirit prevailing in the sixteenth century. In proportion, therefore, as the points of agreement between the Lutherans and the Reformed have gained general recognition, decreasing stress has been laid

on the points of divergency. Nevertheless, the distinctive tenets of the two bodies, which are more

than eucharistic divergencies, still remain. Union

has obviously failed to remove them, and, in the present condition of affairs, they seem destined to remain permanently.

(A. HAUCK.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: K. I. Nitssch, Urkundenbuch der evangelischen Union, Bonn, 1853; J. G. Scheibel, Aktenmässige Geschichte der neuesten Unternehmung einer Union, Leipsic, 1834; idem, Mitteilungen über die neueste Geschichte der lutherischen Kirche, ib. 1835-36; K. W. Hering, Geschichte der kirchlichen Unionsversuche, 2 parts, ib. 1836-1838; A. G. Rudelbach, Reformation, Lutherthum und Union, ib. 1839; R. F. Eylert, Charaktersüge aus dem Leben Friedrich Wilhelm III., part iii., Magdeburg, 1846; J. Müller, Die evangelische Union, ihr Wesen und göttliches Recht, Berlin, 1854; F. J. Stahl, Die lutherische Kirche und die Union, ib. 1859; T. Wangemann, Sieben Bücher preussischen Kirchengeschichte, ib. 1859-60; idem, Die preussische Union in ihrem Verhältnis zur Una sancta, ib. 1884; idem, Die kirchliche Kabinetspolitik Friedrich Wilhelms III., ib. 1884; K. H. Sack, Die evangelische Kirche und die Union, Bremen, 1861; F. Brandes, Geschichte der kirchlichem Politik des Hauses Brandenburg, Gotha, 1872; C. O. Firnhaber, Die evangelische kirchliche Union in Nassau, Wiesbaden, 1895; E. Förster, Die Entstehung der preussischen Landeskirche, 2 vols., Tübingen, 1905-07.

UNION, HYPOSTATIC. See CHRISTOLOGY, VI., § 1; TRINITY, II.

UNITARIANS.

Modern Doctrinal Position (§ 1). Early and Medieval Unitarianism (§ 2). In Poland and Hungary (§ 3). British Unitarianism (§ 4). Unitarianism in America (§ 5). Genius of Unitarianism (§ 6).

Constituting an undogmatic religious fellowship,
Unitarians have no formal creed. Freedom in
church as in university is their funda1. Modern mental principle. Their ideal is the
Doctrinal cultivation of spiritual life in a free

Position. fellowship under the authority of reason and conscience. Their churches are constituted by a covenant of common purpose. the form of covenant recommended by the national conference in the United States being: "in the love of truth and the spirit of Jesus Christ we unite for the worship of God and the service of man.' Without the constraint of creed Unitarians agree in affirmations of faith. Having abandoned the doctrine of man's total depravity and moral inability, they assert the dignity, worth, and spiritual capacity of human nature. Affirming the pure humanity of Jesus they cherish an enthusiastic veneration for him as a supreme instance of man's religious experience of God and as an inspiring prophet of a free and spiritual religion of love to God and man. Having early declared sound reason and historical interpretation to be the standards for the use of Scripture, Unitarians have fully adopted the methods and conclusions of Biblical criticism and value the Bible thus studied as a classic record of man's religious experience. Having discarded the Calvinist limitation of divine grace, Unitarians reaffirmed the Gospel faith in the universal loving fatherhood of God and have related that faith to their view of human nature. Man is seen as bound to God by kinship of being, impelled by his own nature to seek communion with God and destined to enjoy God's constant indwelling presence with a consciousness like that of Christ. Salvation means the attainment of this divinely intended character of sonship to God in a perfect likeness to the divine

character of love, and it is sought by growth through the exercise of the soul's highest powers, in which God communicates himself to man. Denying all dogmatic limitations of the Church, Unitarians seek to realize as the chief end of human activity and the purpose of God's universal fatherhood a perfect brotherhood of good will. They devote themselves, therefore, to philanthropic activities and cherish faith in the progressive development of all man's higher possibilities. This faith in the possibility of a perfect humanity engages their energy in the promotion of culture and of higher social living as requisite for the fullest nurture of the religious spirit. Unitarians see in the life after death the further unfolding of the eternal life now experienced in obedience to the divine will revealed in the holiest human ideals.

In the early church Unitarian conceptions of God took the two forms of Sabellianism (see MONARCH-IANISM) and Adoptionism (q.v.). Only the latter

has analogies to modern Unitarianism. 2. Early and It conceived Jesus as a man invested Medieval with the spirit of God and exalted Unitarian- through death and resurrection to divine authority over the conscience of men. The believer was to be baptized

as Christ was baptized, and to be adopted as he was adopted into sonship to God. The ascendency of the Logos Christology after 270 A.D. meant the defeat of Adoptionism in the Greek churches, but it had a continued life among the Paulicians (q.v.) of Armenia, and through their colonization of the Danube country (eighth and tenth centuries) found connection with the anti-ecclesiastical Evangelical movements of the West from the eleventh century onward. In the West also the early Adoptionism had some continuity of life in spite of the establishment of Nicene orthodoxy. Augustine was reared in this view and never lost the influence of it. There are traces of it in early British Christianity, and it was wide-spread in Spain even after ecclesiastics had attempted to reconcile it with the Nicene theology. The condemnation of Felix of Urgel by the Frankish Church (799 A.D.) and the later complete assimilation of the Spanish Church to Roman standards prevented the further development.

In the Reformation era reaction against the trinitarian dogma had sporadic manifestations, but in Poland, partly under Italian influence

(e.g., Georgius Blandrata, q.v.), it was Poland and one element in a concerted movement Hungary. to revise dogma by reason. After 1565

this rational Biblicism was the theology of a strong group of Polish churches, and in 1575 obtained the leadership of Faustus Socinus and the impress of his theological scholarship (see Socinus, FAUSTUS, SOCINIANS). Under Sigismund I. and II. Poland enjoyed religious toleration, and the Polish Unitarian church (college at Racow after 1600) developed great activity. Jesuit aggression culminated in the suppression of the college and churches (1638), and finally (1658) in a decree for the expulsion of Socinians from the realm. The exiles were eventually absorbed in the churches of Germany, Holland, and Transylvania. Unitarianism found advocates in Hungary through the influence of the Italian Stancarus (1553) and the Hungarian Aran (1558), and its progress was promoted by the accession to the throne of John Sigismund (1558) after years of exile spent at the Polish court and by the arrival of Blandrata from Poland as court physician. The chief leader of the movement was Franciscus Davidis (q.v.), who in 1556 had become head of the Lutheran church and college of the Magyar capital of Koloszvár, and ten years later, when royal chaplain, adopted Unitarian doctrines. In 1568 Davidis was made bishop of the avowed Unitarian churches which by act of the diet at Torda in that year obtained freedom of worship in common with Lutherans, Calvinists, and Catholics. Court favor ended with the advent to the throne of Stephen Báthory, a Roman Catholic, and the diets of 1576 and 1577, restricted Unitarian synods to Kolossvár and Torda. Unitarian strength was indicated by the Synod of Torda in Mar., 1578, which comprised 322 clergymen.

Since 1571 Davidis had opposed prayer to Christ as an object of worship, but now, in 1578, met resistance from Blandrata, who had begun to retrest from Unitarian views. In 1579 the Roman Catholic viceroy Christopher Báthory placed Davidis under the surveillance of the magistrates and then, at the instance of Blandrata, condemned him to imprisonment for life as an innovator and blasphemer. Davidis' death (Nov. 15, 1579) in the dungeon of Déva established him as a heroic martyr in the sympathies of the Hungarian churches. Though they still had legal existence, the Unitarians suffered hardship. Under Austrian rule, in 1716, their publications were forbidden, their churches confiscated, and all public office denied to them. Since the statute of 1791, which recognized the liberty of the four religions of Transylvania, they have grown moderately in numbers, and are in close fellowship with their coreligionists in England and America. The college at Kaloszvár has 4 professors and 25 students of theology. The number of congregations is 116.

Some of the English martyrs of the sixteenth century suffered for Arian views, but the first noteworthy expression of the spirit . British and method of Unitarianism was The Unitarian- Religion of Protestants a Safe Way

to Salvation (London, 1638), by William Chillingworth (q.v.), and the first conspicuous application of this method with express Unitarian results was made by John Biddle (q.v.), who under the Commonwealth gathered a society in London and published his views. In 1662 he was imprisoned for the third time, and soon died of prison disease. His writings were collected and published by his disciple Thomas Firmin in 1691 (The Faith of One God). Although Unitarianism was excluded from the operation of the Toleration Act of 1689 (q.v.), while its advocates were threatened by the act of 1698 with loss of civil rights and imprisonment, Socinian and Arian views of the person of Christ found increasing favor in the course of the eighteenth century both in the Church of England and among dissenters. Noted instances of this tendency are Samuel Clarke (see Clarke, Samuel, 4), Nathanael Lardner, Isaac Watts, and Philip Doddridge (qq.v.). The first chapel with the Unitarian name was founded in Essex Street, London, in 1778 by Theophilus Lindsey (q.v.), who on the refusal of parliament (1772) to receive a petition for the relaxation of subscription to the Thirty-nine Articles (q.v.) had resigned his living in Catterick, Yorkshire. In his London Chapel he used Clarke's revision of the English liturgy. Lindsey was aided by the sympathy of Presbyterians, who had made their chapels built since 1688 free from dogmatic restrictions, and, seeking conformity with the Bible alone, had relinquished Calvinistic views and the doctrine of the Trinity. The decisive influence in this change was exercised by the eminent scientist, publicist, and theologian, Joseph Priestley (q.v.). As an avowed Socinian Priestley ministered to congregations in Leeds (1768-80) and Birmingham (1780-91). His expression of favor for the French Republic led to an attack by a Birmingham mob in 1791, who burned his chapel and destroyed his house, books, and scientific instruments. In 1794 he removed to Northumberland, Penn., where he organized a Unitarian church and where he died in 1804. His prolific authorship gave an impetus to the Unitarian cause. The successor of Priestley in Birmingham and of Lindsey in London (1795) was Thomas Belsham (q.v.), who sought to make "the simple and proper humanity of Christ "the acknowledged Unitarian view. Another notable leader was Lant Carpenter (q.v.), preacher in Bristol. In 1813 the legal disabilities of Unitarians were removed and in 1825 the British and Foreign Unitarian Association was formed by a union of Presbyterian and Baptist churches to which were later joined small Methodist groups like the "Christian Brethren." By the Dissenters' Chapels Act of 1844 the possession of ancient endowments and chapels was secured. The national conference, a purely deliberative body, was founded in 1881. In 1911 there were 378 ministers, and 374 churches, of which 295 are in England. Theological instruction is given in Manchester College, Oxford, and the Home Missionary College at Manchester. The Hibbert Fund, instituted by Robert Hibbert, a Jamaica planter (died 1849), has promoted scholarship and established relations with the theological liberalism of the continent. To this foundation are due the famous Hibbert lectures (q.v.) and the Hibbert Jour-nal (since Oct., 1902). Welsh Unitarianism began with the Arminian revolt from Calvinism of Jenkin Jones in Llwynrhydowen in 1726. His successors adopted Arian views. There are thirty-four churches in South Wales and a college at Carmarthen. Irish Unitarianism began in 1726, when the presbytery of Antrim separated from the general synod in order to establish worship without subscription to creed. In 1830 the Remonstrant Synod of Ulster was ormed on similar principles, and in 1835 an Assoistion of Irish Non-Subscribing Presbyterians mited these free churches. There are thirty-eight burches, chiefly in the counties of Antrim and lown. In Scotland there are seven churches, the dest (Edinburgh) dating from 1776.

In America the avowal of Unitarian views began 1785, when, at the persuasion of its pastor, James

Freeman (q.v.), King's Chapel, the oldest Episcopal church in Boston, omitted from the Book of Common Prayer all reference to the Trinity 5. Unita- and to the deity of Christ. The chief rianism in origin of American Unitarianism, how-America. ever, was in the Congregational parishes of Eastern Massachusetts, where Arminian tendencies began before the middle of the eighteenth century. Aversion to creedal control and a strict adherence to Biblical teaching differentiated these churches from those responsive to the new Calvinism of the school of Jonathan Edwards (q.v.). While individuals criticized the doctrine of the Trinity, the topic was not debated in sermons and publications, and the growing liberalism directed itself mainly against the Calvinist view of human nature. The division of Congregationalism came to pass through the efforts of Jedidiah Morse and others to organize the independent congregations into a denomination with a prescribed creed and a polity admitting close relations to the Presbyterian general assembly. This aggressive element founded the Andover Theological School (1808), secured the election of orthodox pastors in and near Boston, and began to refuse the fellowship of pulpit exchanges with the liberals. Its literary organ was The Panoplist (1805-20). Liberalism controlled Harvard University, had eloquent preachers in Joseph Stevens Buckminster and William Ellery Channing (qq.v.) and literary organs in the Monthly Anthology (1803 sqq.) and the Christian Disciple (1813 sqq.). While Morse's plan to Presbyterianize the church polity was rejected by his associates (1815), he provoked a crisis by a sensational exposure of the progress of Unitarian views and by summoning the orthodox to separate from the liberals (1815). As spokesman of the latter group Channing made a sharp protest against the system of exclusion and denunciation," but orthodox secession from liberal parishes began (about 80 divisions 1815-35) and new churches were founded with the avowal of Unitarianism. Recognizing the breach as inevitable, Channing boldly challenged his opponents by his Baltimore sermon on "Unitarian Christianity" (1819) and his Moral Argument against Calvinism (1820). In 1820 the first step to the association of liberals was taken by the beginning of the Berry Street conference of ministers in Boston. The American Unitarian Association was formed in 1825 for the work of church extension, but for a long time was feebly supported, as the free congregations were averse to the building of a denomination. During the Civil War the experience of Unitarians with the concerted task of organizing and conducting the Sanitary Commission gave new vigor and enthusiasm to the work of the Unitarian Association and led to the first representative convention of the churches in New York, 1865, with the formation there of the National Conference of Unitarian and other Christian Churches. Suggestions of a creed were rejected, but many were dissatisfied with what they regarded as an implied creed in the name of the conference and the preamble of its constitution. This discontent became a distinction of eastern and western views. A Western Unitarian Conference had been founded in 1852 with

very conservative utterances respecting the office of Jesus and the significance of miracles, but it had broadened its basis, and in 1875 welcomed "all who desire to work with it in advancing the kingdom of God." These differences were harmonized by the action of the national conference at Saratoga in 1894, which made its preamble declare: "these churches accept the religion of Jesus, holding, in accordance with his teaching, that practical religion is summed up in love to God and love to man."

In 1910 there were 504 societies in the United States and Canada, and the ministers enlisted in the fellowship were 538. There are theological schools at Meadville, Penn. (founded 1844) and Berkeley, Cal. (founded 1904). Students are also trained in the Harvard Divinity School, founded in 1817 and maintained as a Unitarian institution to 1878, when it became the undenominational theological school of Harvard University.

The latest phase of the Unitarian movement is the effort to increase cooperation among those in all lands "who are striving to unite pure religion and perfect liberty." The International Council organized for this purpose in Boston in 1900 has held congresses in London (1901), Amsterdam (1903), Geneva (1905), Boston (1907), and Berlin (1910).

Unitarian religious thought has had successive phases. It began as a method of inquiry, the method

of Socinians and Arminians. No truth
6. Genius
of Unitarianism.
of Socinians and Arminians. No truth
was allowed prior validity to the Bible,
the Bible was interpreted by reason
and conscience, and the results obtained from the Bible by this method

were held as historic revelation. The pioneer in a movement beyond this position was Channing. Refusing to characterize man by the sin which deprived him of his true being as man, he found the essence of human nature in the moral principle of disinterested justice and benevolence, which is sovereign over the whole self. Religion and virtue are the mind itself, are human nature, and nothing else. Therefore, "we must start in religion from our own souls. In these is the fountain of all divine truth. An outward revelation is possible and intelligible only on the ground of conceptions and principles previously furnished by the soul." "We have faculties for the spiritual as truly as for the outward world." A further development of this view with a polemic against dependence on miracle and mere Biblicism enabled Theodore Parker (q.v.) to inaugurate the freer critical historical valuation of the Bible and to rescue the movement from the rationalism of Locke's school, while the more poetic and romantic transcendentalism of Emerson operated as a powerful stimulus to independent spiritual intuition and emancipation from convention and formula. All these leaders infused into the movement an ardor of mystical communion with God, without ecstasy or loss of self, and at the same time an active passion for all philanthropic reforms. Others, among whom James Freeman Clarke (q.v.) was of greatest eminence, united the insistence on inner personal grounds for faith with more historic feeling for the Christian past. The most eminent philosopher of the Unitarian school was James Martineau (q.v.), who, with splendor of diction, speculative profunity, and intense ethical interest, elaborated a view of experience in which idealistic rationalism was blended with a refined spiritual mysticism. The most complete exposition of Unitarian theology in a form related to the traditional dogmatics is found in James Drummond's Studies in Christian Doctries (London, 1908).

Francis A. Christian

Bibliography: On Unitarian history consult: W. Tune, Jr., Lives of Eminent Unitarians, with a Notice of Dissenting Academies, 2 vols., London, 1840-43; O. Foet, Socinianismus, i. 263-287, Kiel, 1847; R. Wallace, Anti-Trinitarian Biography, London, 1850; J. Ferene, Elsies Unitarierspiegel, Vienna, 1879; G. Bonet-Maury, Le Origines du christianisme unitaire ches les Anglais, Pais, 1881, Eng. tranal., Early Sources of English Unitaries Christianity, London, 1884; J. Stoughton, Religios is England, 1800-50, i. 23, 211 sqq., ib. 1884; G. d'Alviella, Religious Thought in England, America, and India, ib. 1885; A. H. Drysdale, Hist. of the Presbyterians in England, i. 522 sqq., 622 sqq., ib. 1889; A. S. Dyer, Sketche of English Nonconformity, ib. 1893; J. H. Allen, in American Church History Series, vol. x., New York, 1894; A. Gordon, Heads of English Unitarian Hist., London, 1895; W. J. van Douwen, Socinianen en Doopagesinden, 1859-1626, Leyden, 1898; G. E. Evans, Midland Churcher, a Hist. of the Congregations on the Roll of the Midland Christian Union, Dudley, 1899; W. Lloyd, The Stery of Protestant Dissent and English Unitarianism, London, 1895; W. C. Bowie, Liberal Religious Thought at the Beginning of the 20th Century, ib. 1901; G. W. Cooke, Unitarianism in America, Boston, 1903; W. C. Tarrant, The Story end Significance of the Unitarian Movement, ib. 1906; F. B. Mott, Short Hist. of Unitarianism is deutschen Reiche, Tübingen, 1907; A. Rasmussen, Unitarismen, dens Historie of Theologi, Copenhagen, 1907; S. A. Eliot, Heralde of a Liberal Fatik, 3 vola, Boston, 1909; The Fifth World Cogress of Free Christians and Other Religious Liberals at Belin. . . 1910, Boston, 1910; Lichtenberger, ESR, xi. 263-271.

For the doctrines consult: The writings of Joseph Priestley, W. E. Channing, J. Martineau, and M. J. Savage (qq.v.) and the literature under the articles on them; J. Wilson, Concessions of Trinitarians, Manchester, 1842; J. R. Beard, Unitarianism, Exhibited in its Actual Condition, London, 1846; J. F. Clarke, Orthodoxy, its Truths and Errors, Boston, 1870; R. B. Drummond, Free Thought and Christian Faith, Edinburgh, 1890; R. Bartram, Religion and Life, London, 1891; J. Wright, Denials and Beliefs of Unitarians, ib. 1901; T. R. Slicer, One World at a Time, New York, 1902; W. G. Tarrant, Unitarianism Restated, London, 1904; J. E. Manning, The Religion and Theology of Unitarians, ib. 1906; R. T. Herford, Unitarian Afmations, 2d ed., ib. 1909; J. P. Hoff, The Unitarian' Justification, ib. 1910; E. Emerton, Unitarian Thought, New York, 1911.

UNITED AMERICAN FREEWILL BAPTISTS, COLORED. See MISCELLANEOUS RELIGIOUS BODIES, 19.

UNITED BAPTISTS. See Baptists, IL, 4 (g).

UNITED BRETHREN IN CHRIST.

- I. United Brethren in Christ (New Constitution).
 Origin (§ 1).
 Organisation and Work (§ 2).
- II. United Brethren in Christ, Old Constitution.
- I. United Brethren in Christ (New Constitution): A denomination of Evangelical Christians, Arminian in doctrine, founded by Philip William Otterbein (q.v.) in the latter part of the eighteenth century. Otterbein came to America in 1752 as a missionary of the German Reformed Church. His first charge was at Lancaster, Penn., where he experienced what he regarded as his first real change of heart, and his

ministry thenceforward assumed a deeply spiritual character. He began to hold frequent evangelistic services and instituted special prayer and expe-

rience meetings. In pursuing his evan-1. Origin. gelistic labors, he made numerous visits to places near and remote, often conducted largely attended open-air meetings, and invited to a hearty cooperation all spiritually-minded persons of whatever name or church. His labors resulted in the organization of numerous societies of converts, who, because of their warmer and more carnest spiritual life, frequently found it difficult to remain in harmonious connection with their parent churches. To supply these people with the ministration of the word, Otterbein appointed or approved for them teachers, who visited them at irregular intervals, expounded to them the Gospel, and encouraged them to continue faithful in their religious life. As the work extended, it became necessary to devise a regular system of supply; and conferences of ministers, chiefly for this purpose, began to be held. Finally, in 1800, at one of these conferences, these scattered societies were organized into one body; and the name "United Brethren in Christ" was adopted as the official title of the denomination thus formed. Otterbein and Martin Boehm, a Mennonite, were chosen bishops. The people thus organised spoke at that time almost exclusively the German language; at the present time that language is used by less than four per cent. of the congregations.

The government of the church is vested primarily in a general conference, holding quadrennial sessions. The power of the church is in its laity. The delegates are ministers and laymen in equal proportions, women being eligible since 1893, all thosen by popular vote. There are also annual

conferences, whose powers are chiefly
2 Organi- executive, in which each pastoral
atton and charge is entitled to one lay representWork. ative. The bishops are elected by the

general conference quadrennially, as are also the editors, publishing-house manager, and the several general boards with their executive officers. Ministers are appointed to their charges by a stationing committee for one year, appointments being renewable indefinitely. Presiding elders, elected by their respective conferences, have general supervision over districts or subdivisions of the annual conferences. A home, frontier, and foreign missionary society was organized in 1853; a woman's missionary board in 1875. The general conference of 1905 separated the home and foreign work, creating a board for each. The foreign missions of the church, begun in western Africa in 1855, have since extended to China, Japan, Porto Rico, and the Philippines. The number of missionaries in 1911 was 61, with 141 native preachers and teachers, with 55 in training for Christian work; communicants, 4,335; catechumens and adherents, 11,607. The Agregate funds contributed for the foreign work are something over \$1,250,000; for the home work, \$1,800,000. A general Sunday-school board was organized by the general conference in 1865, and a thurch-erection society and a general education board in 1869. On questions of reform, such as temperance and slavery, the historical attitude of the church has been that of strong radicalism, its position concerning slavery having prevented any considerable extension in the southern states before the war.

The denomination has ten colleges and one theological seminary (at Dayton, O.) with over 3,500 students, 65 of whom are in the theological seminary. The total membership in 1911 was 290,516; there were 2,030 itinerant ministers and 475 local ministers; the number enrolled in Sunday-schools was over 360,000. The denomination is found chiefly in Pennsylvania, Maryland, northern Virginia, western New York, Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, Iowa, and Kansas, but extends westward in nearly parallel lines to the Pacific coast and in recent years has entered a number of the southern states. The publishing-house at Dayton, O., issues twentysix weekly, monthly, semimonthly, and quarterly periodicals, with an aggregate average circulation for the year ending Apr. 1, 1911, of 525,250 copies.

II. United Brethren in Christ, Old Constitution: The general conference of the United Brethren in Christ in 1885 took measures for revising the confession of faith and amending the constitution of the church. A commission consisting of the six bishops and twenty-seven ministers and laymen was appointed to formulate the proposed changes and additions and submit them to popular' vote. The result was overwhelmingly in favor of the several measures, and at the next general conference in 1889 this result was declared by the presiding bishops, with the announcement that thenceforth the conference would transact business under the amended constitution and the revised confession of faith. Fourteen delegates, with one bishop, then withdrew from the conference, and proceeded to hold the "General Conference of and for the United Brethren in Christ," elsewhere in the same city, electing general officers and boards, and transacting such other business as would pertain to a general conference. Under the claim that they with their followers were the true church of the United Brethren in Christ, they held that the rightful ownership of the property of the denomination belonged to them. Years of litigation followed, resulting finally in defeat in the courts. organization had at its beginning a following of between 15,000 and 20,000. Its year-book shows a membership of 18,317, with 304 itinerant and 75 local ministers. The Sunday-school enrolment is 19,386 scholars. The church has three collegiate institutions, a home and foreign missionary society, and a woman's missionary board, with missions in West Africa. Its publishing-house is located at Huntington, Ind., and it issues a church weekly, a missionary monthly, Sunday-school literature, and other publications. The doctrinal standards and the general polity are essentially the same as those of the United Brethren in Christ. D. Berger.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: A good list of literature is prefixed to D. Berger. Hist. of the United Brethren in Christ, in American Church History Series, xii. 310-314, New York, 1897, cf. Berger's work with same title, Dayton, Ohio, 1897. Consult besides the above: H. G. Spayth, Hist. o he Church of the United Brethren, Circleville, Ohio, 1851; J. Lawrence,

Hist. of the United Brethren in Christ, Dayton, 1890-93; E. L. Shuey, Handbook of the United Brethren in Christ, ib. 1893; the Year Book, an annual. Special phases are treated in: C. Newcomer, Life and Journal, Hagerstown, Md., 1834; L. Davis, Life of Bishop David Edwards, Dayton, 1883; A. W. Drury, Life of Rev. Philip William Otterbein, ib. 1884; idem, Life of Bishop J. J. Glossbrenner, ib. 1889.

UNITED EVANGELICAL CHURCH: A religious body organised in Naperville, Ill., Nov. 30, 1894, with 55,000 members. Its constituency had been a part of the Evangelical Association (q.v.) and its separate organization was due to a "division brought about by an unwarranted assumption of power exercised by those in official position, in that they refused to submit to the findings of duly constituted trial conferences, assumed to expel ministers and members without trial, and refused to arbitrate the differences existing between the parties in the controversy." Its doctrine is similar to that of the Methodist Episcopal Church. Its conferences are general, annual, and quarterly. The annual conferences are the seat of authority. The general conference has only such powers as are conferred upon it by the discipline. Bishops and presiding elders are eligible for two consecutive terms of four years. Laymen are fully represented in all the conferences. No member can be deprived of his rights without due process. Local church property is held for the benefit of the congregation.

The church embraces 10 annual conferences, 997 organized congregations, 737 ministers, 69,066 members, 911 Sunday-schools with an enrolment of 106,934. Its property has a value of about \$3,600,-000. Its annual income is now over \$700,000. Three educational institutions are maintained: Albright College at Myerstown, Penn., Western Union, at Le Mars, La., and Dallas, at Dallas, Ore. The publishing-house, located at Harrisburg, Penn., issues fourteen separate periodicals with a combined circulation of 147,632. Missionary operations are under the direction of the board of home and foreign missions. Auxiliary to the general board is the woman missionary society, with a membership of 6,685, and receipts amounting to \$13,714.36 in 1905. The receipts of the general board in 1905 were \$98,110.74, and its expenditures \$96,323.69. maintains three mission stations in Hunan, China, located at Changsha, Siangtan, and Liling.

W. F. Heil.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Consult the works mentioned in Evangel-IGAL ASSOCIATION, especially A. Stapleton's Annals, and the Evangelical, the Zeitschrift, and other periodicals of the denomination.

UNITED FREE CHURCH OF SCOTLAND. See PRESBYTERIANS, I., 2.

UNITED FREE CHURCHES. See METHODISTS, I., 7.

UNITED NORWEGIAN LUTHERAN CHURCH. See LUTHERANS, III., 6, § 2.

UNITED ORIGINAL SECESSION CHURCH OF SCOTLAND. See PRESBYTERIANS, I., 6.

UNITED PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH OF NORTH **AMERICA.** See Presbyterians, VIII., 6.

UNITED SOCIETIES IN SCOTLAND. See PURVES, JAMES.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, RELIGIOU HISTORY OF.

I. Historical Review The Period of Settlement (§ 1). Development Since 1776 (\$ 2). The Problem of Immigration (§ 3).

II. Separation of Church and State. The General Government and the Church (\$ 1). Effects upon Religious Life (§ 2). Attitude of Some States (§ 3).

III. Voluntary System of Church Support.

IV. Leading Denominations.

V. Theological Education.

VI. Development. VII. Statistics.

I. Historical Review: The religious history of North America opens with the landing of Columbus

(1492), whose first act was to raise the 1. The banner of the cross and dedicate the Period of new world to Christ and the Church Settlement. For more than 300 years, under the de-

voted lead of Spanish and French monks, the effort to convert the native Indians to the Roman Catholic faith continued, often with brilliant success, though frequently marred by religious intolerance and cruelty peculiar to the spirit of the age (see Indians of North America, MISSIONS TO THE; HOME MISSIONS; and ROMAN CATHOLICS). The Protestant era in America begins with the settlement of Virginia in 1607, followed in 1620 by the landing of the Pilgrims in Massachusetts Bay. From then on, America was, on an immensely larger scale, what Geneva was under Calvin, a refuge for persecuted Protestants of all lands. Puritans, Presbyterians, Quakers, Baptists, Huguenots, Salzburg Lutherans, Moravians, Lutherans, and Reformed refugees from the Palstinate, Mennonites, and others, emigrated thither in order to find a quiet place to practise their religion, and showed in their new home predominantly a religious earnestness and a tolerance which sprang not from indifferentism, but from bitter experience of unrighteous persecution. English Roman Catholics, also, who then were subjected to severe penalties in England, found in Maryland an asylum. These were joined by the Dutch Reformed in New York, and the English Episcopalians in Virginia, the two Carolinas, and Georgia, who, however, had not come for conscience' sake. Thus the American colonies were made up of almost all branches of European Christianity, mostly Protestants, with a small number of Roman Catholics. Of course these churches were all weak; but they were strong enough to produce a people able to defend themselves against the demands of Great Britain, and under the leadership of George Washington, by the aid of France, to carry on a successful war of seven years' duration, which issued in their complete in dependence of the British crown.

With the peace of 1783, or even with the declaration of independence in 1776, the colonial period of the country closed. The nation was

2. Develop- then composed of thirteen colonies, ment Since loosely bound together, and number ing scarcely three million inhabitants.

The representatives of the free people, assembled in Philadelphia in 1787, drew up a constitution, modeled, indeed, upon that of England, but further developed upon its principles. A sharp

line was drawn between Church and State. Upon this constitution they stood united as a compact nation, with a sovereign national government. At their head was a president, elected every four years. The happy issue of the war of independence compelled such churches as the Episcopal and the Methodist, which had formerly been united with the English bodies, to form separate organizations, on the basis of universal civil and religious liberty. Favored by the uncommon fertility of the soil, the exhaustless mineral wealth, numberless avenues of trade, and free institutions which afforded the fullest play to individual enterprise, and at the same time guaranteed complete security to person and property, the United States has ever since, but particularly during the last fifty years, advanced in a way unparalleled in history. The number of inhabitants has grown since 1800, when it was 5,000,-000, until, according to the official census of 1910, it was 91,972,267, exclusive of Porto Rico and the Philippines. The number of states in the same period has increased [mostly by the organization of the Northwest Territory (1787), the Louisiana Purchase (1803), Florida (1820), and California and New Mexico (1848)], from thirteen to forty-eight; and besides these there is Alaska, as well as the District of Columbia (the seat of the national govemment).

Up to 1840 the total immigration, from all sources, had not exceeded half a million. Then began the flood. During the next 25

3 The years, the United States received Problem of 6,000,000 foreigners, mostly from Irelandigraliand and Germany. Between 1865 tion. and 1885 more than 7,000,000 were

added to the foreign population. Their quality had not improved. The Irish and German tides were ebbing, while those of southern and easten Europe were both increasing and threatening. One hundred and sixty American cities, each with a population of more than 25,000 and an aggregate population of 20,000,000, show 53.7 per cent, or more than one-half, foreign-born or of foreign parentage. In this sense it is true, as sometimes declared, that American cities are more foreign than American; all of which constitutes a serious religious problem. Yet hand in hand with the increase in the number of states and inhabitants go industry, wealth, and general culture. The United States has not had to struggle through 2,000 years, out of barbarism to civilization, as the countries of the old world have done. It fell heir to their progress, but with it have come the old world's evils. And the new world has also its troubles, arising from haste after wealth, from reckless speculation, and those misunderstandings between capital and labor which issue sometimes in blood. It is almost incredible how quickly the chaotic confusion of so many different peoples thrown together under one general government is reduced to order, how thoroughly the new dwellers are assimilated in the body politic. Thus it has come about that the type of American civilization is Anglo-Saxon, and the speech English.

The enormous increase of population adds proportionally to the field of labor and to the membership of the different churches. America is the land of church erection, of formation of congregations, and of every conceivable ecclesiastical and religious experiment, in which there are not missing the elements of fanaticism, hypocrisy, and humbug. It is the seed-plot of almost all branches of the Christian Church, and there is no check put upon their fullest development.

The religious life in the United States is in general like that of other lands; but it presents some peculiar features, which are stated in the following

paragraphs.

II. Separation of Church and State: A distinction must be made between the general government and the individual states. The general government has

been from the beginning limited to political affairs, and has nothing to do General with the internal arrangements of the Government several states, and especially with anyand the thing relating to religion. The constitution, adopted under Washington in

1787, provides, "No religious tests shall ever be required as a qualification to any office or public trust under the United States" (Art. vi. § 3). And even more emphatically speaks the first amendment, made by the first congress, 1789: "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof, or abridging the freedom of speech or of the press, or of the rights of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the government for a redress of grievances." In this way there was secured, on the one hand, the separation of the Church from the government, and, on the other, the free, unhindered exercise of religion in every way which does not endanger the State or public morals. The above-quoted articles are not only a declaration of independence of federal control, they are also a declaration of the independence of the Church from the civil power. They did not originate in indifference to religion, but, on the contrary, in so great a respect that their framers would separate religion permanently from the defiling influence of politics, and guarantee to the whole people in a solemn manner religious along with civil liberty. The two institutions, Church and State, were not set opposite each other as foes, but side by side as the two different spheres of the social life, in the conviction that each should restrict its jurisdiction to its own immediate concerns, because the attempt of one to rule the other was sure to issue disastrously. The power of the State is consequently, in the United States, reduced to narrower limits than in Europe, where it has control over the Church. The American status of the Church differs from the hierarchical patronage of the State by the Church, from the imperial and papal patronage of the Church by the State, and also from the pre-Constantinian separation and persecution of the Church by the heathen State: hence the United States presents a new phase in the history of the relation of the two powers.

This separation between Church and State is not to be understood as a separation of the nation from Christianity; for the State represents, in America, only the temporal interests of the people. The independent churches care for the religious and moral interests; and the people are religious and Christian as no other, and express their sentiments in different

ways—by the voluntary support of their very numerous churches and sects; upon kind; by attendance upon church, and regard for the ministry (who are second to none in dignity and in-

fluence); by a respect for the Sabbath which is not equaled elsewhere, except in Scotland (see SUNDAY, OBSERVANCE OF); by constant seal for home and foreign missions; by reverence for the Bible; by a steady stream of edifying books, tracts, and periodicals; and by public morals. Congress nominates chaplains, of different confessions naturally, and opens every sitting with prayer. The President appoints chaplains for the army and navy. Fast-days have been frequently observed in particular emergencies (see FAST DAY): thus in 1849, during the cholera; in 1865, on the assassination of President Lincoln; and in 1881, on the death of President Garfield. Thanksgiving Day (q.v.) is yearly celebrated in November in all the states, on the proclamation of the president and the concurrent action of the different governors. Indeed, religion has all the more hold upon the American character because it is free from political control. No one is forced to make a religious profession; that is a matter of personal conviction and voluntary

As far as the individual states are concerned, Church and State are now separated; but this has not been the case from the beginning. Nor is the separation the consequence of independence of Eng-

land. In some colonies it existed long
3. Attitude prior to that event; so it was (at first)
of Some in Maryland, founded in 1634 by the
States. Roman Catholic Lord Baltimore; in

Rhode Island, settled in 1636 by Baptists under Roger Williams (q.v.), and in Pennsylvania, which William Penn (q.v.) acquired in 1680 from the English crown in payment of a debt, making the region an asylum for his persecuted Quaker coreligionists and all other Christian brethren. Each of these three representatives of Christian toleration adopted it, not in consequence of vague philosophical theories, still less out of religious indifferentism, but because of bitter experience of intolerance and of practical necessity. And this toleration was limited to the different confessions of the Christian faith, and did not apply to infidels or blasphemers, who were excluded from civil rights. In the other and older colonies, Church and State were from the beginning closely connected. In Massachusetts and the other New England colonies, except Rhode Island, the Congregational form of Puritanism was the state religion; and civil rights, in imitation of Jewish theocratic principles, were dependent upon a certain religious adherence. Not only was the Roman Church excluded, but, until the close of the seventeenth century, all Protestants who could not accept the established creed were dealt with as strictly as the Pilgrim fathers had themselves been by the bishops of Old England. Massachusetts banished the Baptist Roger Williams and other Baptists, and the followers of the Antinomian Anne

Hutchinson (see Antinomianism and Antinomian CONTROVERSIES, II., 2); the Quakers were tried. and condemned to public scourging, ear-slitting, nose-boring, and even (by a vote of twelve to eleven in the Boston Legislature) to the gallows (see FRIENDS, SOCIETY OF, I., § 3). It should be remarked, however, that the Quakers in New England between 1658 and 1660 had acted fanatically. They had publicly denounced, in the churches and upon the streets, the civil and spiritual authorities. They thus provoked persecution and martyrdom by their impetuous seal. Four such fanatics (one a woman), who had already been banished as Antinomians, obstinately rushed into martyrdom, and were hanged in 1660. But the people were opposed even then to such treatment; and the authorities were obliged to defend their action in a published statement, in which they justified themselves by quotations from the Old Testament and by the English laws against the Roman Catholic Church. The Quakers, thus driven out, found a retreat in Rhode Island until the establishment of Pennsylvania. Gradually the bond between Church and State was in New England relaxed; but in Connecticut it was first broken in 1816, while in Massachusetts the last traces remained until 1833. In Virginia and other southern colonies the Church of England was the State Church, and all other denominations felt the pressure of the English laws against dissenters. Nevertheless, the latter increased, especially the Baptists, Presbyterians, Quakers, and, later, the Methodists; and it was from them that the first impulse in Virginia proceeded to separate Church and State. Even before the declaration of independence, the Presbyterians and Baptists presented petitions to the colonial legislature to that intent. The measure found a defender in Thomas Jefferson, who in the interest of free-thinking, not out of any sympathy with the dissenters or out of love for Christianity, favored putting faith and unfaith upon the same political level. Through the exertions of the dissenters, the liberal Episcopalians, and the unbelieving Jefferson, the principle of separation between Church and State was, in Dec., 1776, and, more completely, in 1779, 1785, and the following decade, carried through the Virginia legislature. See LIBERTY, RELIGIOUS.

Soon after the close of the War of Independence (1783), and the adoption of the national constitution by the several states, the connection between Church and State in Maryland, New York, and South Carolina, and the other colonies where the English Episcopal Church was the predominant State Church, was broken, and complete religious freedom proclaimed. Last of all, and only very gradually, did the New England states, where Puritanism was deeply rooted in the mass of the people, adopt the new order of things. Now the principle of entire separation is universally operative. Only among the Mormons (q.v.) in Utah are Church and State combined. But the Mormons are powerless to prevent other sects coming among them; more than 150 churches other than Mormon are found in the state, twenty-five of them in Salt Lake City.

III. Voluntary System of Church Support: There is in the United States no obligatory baptism or

confirmation. There are, on the contrary, thousands of grown persons who have not been baptized; but there are comparatively few who hold themselves entirely aloof from all church attendance and from all contributions for religious purposes. And the churches independent of State control are more particular as to the conduct and beliefs of their members than State churches are; so that the churches of America are more faithful to their avowed principles than the mother churches in Europe. The different churches are, almost without exception, dependent entirely upon voluntary subscriptions and contributions. The most prominent exceptions are Trinity Church (Episcopalian) and the Collegiate Church (Reformed Dutch), both in New York City, which have inherited property from the colonial period. But, speaking generally, the churches look to their membership for the means to carry on their work and for support of their ministers. The theological seminaries are the foundations of churches or individuals. The minister's salary is paid by the pewrents or collections. Voluntary payments support Bible, tract, and other societies, and send out colporteurs and missionaries in city and country. It is considered a general duty and privilege to support religion as a necessary and useful element of society. The average salary of ministers in the United States mabout \$800; of theological professors, \$1,500. A lew ministers in large cities receive from \$5,000 to \$15,000. The voluntary system has its drawbacks, especially in the new congregations formed of immigants who are accustomed to the European system of State support. But, on the other hand, it promotes liberality and individual enterprise; and the result is a yearly increase in churches, ministers, and exclesiastical organisations of all sorts, while the old are maintained with vigor. On the average, it is said, each minister serves a thousand souls; but, of course, there is great disproportion. This free, self-regulated, and self-supported Christianity and thurch existence is one of the most characteristic features, and one of the greatest glories, of the United States, and constitutes a new leaf in church history; but it has its antecedents in the first three centuries and in the history of dissenters and free churches in Europe.

IV. Leading Denominations: For denominational history and statistics see the articles on the denominations in this work. Almost all American denominations are of European origin; but those which in Europe are divided by geographical and political boundaries are in the United States found thrown together. In England there are as many sects as in the United States; but all Christians outside the Church of England are classed together as dissenters. In America, there being no State Church, there can be no dissenters. Churches of many denominations are found in all the large cities. Thus in the city of New York, which has a population of 4,766,883, there are 1,600 congregations, of different nationalities and creeds, each of which has its church or regular place of meeting. This is one church to 2,090 of the population. Twenty-five years ago the ratio was one church to 2,413.

The American denominations may be divided into three groups: (1) the Evangelical churches;

i.e., those which stand upon the principles of the Reformation theology, and accept the Bible as the sole guide of faith and life, and the confessions of the sixteenth or seventeenth centuries as a rule of public teaching. They embrace the great majority of the Christian population, and exert the strongest influence upon society. The Protestant Episcopal Church is the oldest, dating from 1607, the year of the settlement of Virginia; next come the Congregationalists, from the landing of the Pilgrims (1620); then the Reformed (Dutch), from 1628, the year of the formation of the first congregation in New York City. The first prominent Baptist in America was Roger Williams (q.v.), the founder of Rhode Island, 1636. The Quakers date from 1680; and the Methodists, from 1766. The German churches, in their organized state, date from the middle of the last century. Among them the Lutheran Church is by far the largest and most influential; then come the German Reformed, the Evangelical United, and the Moravians. A considerable number of Germans belong to the different branches of the Methodist Church, which also sends missionaries to Germany. (2) The Roman Catholic Church was a century ago inconsiderable, but, through the enormous immigration, now outnumbers any other single denomination. Yet it does not keep pace with the Roman Catholic migration, which is reported to form more than one-half of the total immigration to the United States. The emigration from Ireland is predominantly, that from Germany largely, and that from southern Europe almost exclusively Roman Catholic. (3) A third class consists of those denominations which reject the doctrines of the ecumenical creeds and the confessions of the Reformation churches, and strike out in new paths. Among these are the Unitarians, whose headquarters are in Boston and Cambridge, who are distinguished by high literary and social culture and active philanthropy; the Universalists, who teach as one of the three articles of their creed the ultimate restoration of all men to holiness and happiness; and the Swedenborgians, who believe in the divine mission of the great Swedish seer, and accept his revelations of the spirit-world.

V. Theological Education: This differs with the different denominations. It is carried on in Theological Seminaries (q.v.), endowed and supported by free gifts. Each denomination of importance has one or more, and in all there are 150. The faculties number from one to seventeen professors, and the number of students ranges from four to more than 300. The libraries (see Theological Libraries) comprise from a few hundred to over 100,000 volumes. The course of instruction lasts three or four years. Greater stress is laid upon practical gifts and moral and religious character than upon the ministerial training-schools of State churches.

VI. Development: Something of the growth of American religious sentiment under the voluntary system may be seen in the fact that, while in the year 1800 Evangelical church-membership embraced one in fourteen of the population, in the year 1909 it included one in four. Evangelical communicants

increased three and one-half times faster than the population in 100 years (1800-1900), and this in spite of the foreign flood. These figures take no note of the millions outside church-membership, old and young, especially the latter, who are brought under the healthful influence of religion in their home lives. An eminent authority estimates that fully 60,000,000 out of a population of 90,000,000 are either directly controlled or indirectly influenced in their daily lives by the churches of the land. The past twenty-five years especially have been marked, not only by large growth and wide diffusion of religious sentiment among the people, but by a significant change of emphasis in the claims of religion itself. The time has been when theology and the creeds formulated therefrom were the sole, or at least the predominant, standard of religious faith and practise. Under the change referred to, theological standards have by no means been abandoned; but they have, so to speak, been supplemented by practical forms of religious effort, to which has been given the significant term "Applied Christianity." This new point of view, or change of emphasis, is seen in the founding of chairs of social ethics in theological seminaries; in the widespread increase of institutional and mission churches which add to the preaching of the Gospel a practical sympathy and care for the neglected and the unprivileged; in the opening of social settlements in the lower wards of the great cities, where consecrated men and women, living on the ground, by personal ministry seek to alleviate distress and elevate the social and spiritual condition of the masses; in the multiplication of Young Men's and Young Women's Christian Associations, ministering to the physical, intellectual, social, and spiritual needs of their members and furnishing a refuge from the temptation of city and town; in the multiplied temperance societies and anti-saloon leagues, waging continuous, and of late most successful war in many states against intemperance and vice; and in institutes of social service, which seek to train the religious sentiment of the people into forms of religious service for the general betterment of society. All these forms of effort are the legitimate development of the religious life of the people, and they enjoy the cordial sympathy of the churches. If they are more numerous and active than they were fifty years ago it is because the need of them has grown with the growth of the population, and, especially, because the massing of foreign elements in great cities has awakened in the churches a lively sense of peril.

One of the most significant developments of applied Christianity is seen in the disposition of the churches to ally themselves with the struggles of the working classes against the tyranny of capital. For many years, and unconsciously on their part, the churches had allowed barriers to grow up between themselves and the laboring masses. Not that sympathy was wanting, but that it seemed to lack the means of adequate expression. It is today one of the most hopeful signs of the times that the leading ecclesiastical bodies of the United States, under a quickened sense of Christian brotherhood, not only pass resolutions of sympathy with the

working classes, but invite the leaders of labor to plead their cause before the great national councils and conferences of these bodies, and in several instances employ secretaries of labor to cooperate with their working brethren for the betterment of their condition. (Philip Schafff.) J. B. Clark.

VII. Statistics: The figures in the following tables have been compiled chiefly from the year-book

CHURCH STATISTICS IN THE UNITED STATES.

Denominations.	Minis- ters.	Church- es,	Mem- bers.
Adventists (7 bodies)	1,198	2,676	
Armenian Church Bahais Baptists (14 bodies)		56.750	1,280
Bantists (14 bodies) Brethren (Dunkers, 4 bodies). Brethren (Plymouth, 4 bodies) Brethren (River, 3 bodies). Buddhists (2 bodies). Catholic Apostolic (2 bodies) Christadubhisna	. 3,477	1,155	120,597
Brethren (River, 3 bodies) Buddhists (2 bodies)	216 15	74	3,165
	33 n	24 70	4,927 1,412
Christian Catholic Church i Zion—Dowie Christian Israelite Church	. 35	17	5,865 78
Christian Union	295	217	13,905
tion) Church of Christ Scientist	1,011	1,379	110,117 114,089
Church of God and Saints of Christ (colored)	of 75	48	1,823
Ship	509	595	41,475
Churches of the Living Go (colored) (3 bodies) Church of the New Jerusalem (101	68	4,276
bodies)	. 132	152 22	9,314
Congregationalists	6.033	6,033	2,272 735,563 1,417,462
(Greek, Russian, Servian, Syl	-		
ian, Rumanian, Bulgarian, bodies) Evangelical Bodies (2)	116	419 2,803	132,006 213,121
Evangelistic Associations (1	4 950	182	10,842
Free Christian Zion Church of Christ (colored)	20	15	1,835 113,772
Friends (4 bodies)	t 1,479	1,147	- Y
(2 bodies) German Evangelical Synod Independent Churches International Apostolic Holines	1,024	1,314 874	34,704 236,615 47,673
International Apostolic Holines Union	178	74	2,774
Jewish Congregations Latter Day Saints (2 bodies)	1,084	1,769 1,184	256,647
Lutherans (23 bodies and inde	0 790	13,936	2,273,691
Mennonites (14 bodies) Methodists (15 bodies)	40,187	61,038	6,114,780
Non-sectarian Churches of Bibl	6 120	132	17,926
Pentecostal Church of the Naza	545	470	20,501
Polish National Church Presbyterians (12 bodies)	13,492	16,570	15,472
Protestant Episcopal Church Reformed Bodies (4) Reformed Catholic Church	5,174 2,106	7,897 2,654	928,202 451,282
Reformed Episcopal Church	84	81	928,203 451,283 1,256 9,683 12,425,946
Roman Catholic Church Salvationists (3 bodies)	. 3,391	13,461 785	20,000
Schwenkfelders Social Brethren Society of Ethical Culture	15	17	728 1,269 2,040
Spiritualists Swedish Evangelical (2 bodies).	185 495	455 408	35,056
Temple Society	. 8	3 85	27,713 376 2,336
Unitarians	540 2,500	503 4,478	
Universalists Vedanta Society	673	882 4	52,751 340
Totals	169,350	218,146	34,177,827

EDWIN M. BLISS.

and other denominational authorities for 1911, and from the United States Census Report on Religious Bodies, 1906. It will be noted that no figures are given for members of Jewish congregations. The Census Report gave 101,457 heads of families, but that represented less than two-thirds of the synagogues, 35 per cent of the 1,769 organizations failing to give any such figures at all; and there is no substantial basis even for an estimate. For the membership of the Roman Catholic Church, the figures for population given in the Official Directory were taken and 15 per cent deducted to allow for children under nine years of age according to an agreement between the United States Census Bureau and the Church authorities.

It should be remembered that the total of membership represents solely the registered membership of the various religious organizations. It makes no account of Protestant children under about fifteen years of age, of Mormon children under eight years of age, of Roman Catholic children under nine years of age. It is exclusive of the entire Jewish population and of the great number of persons identified with Protestant churches, as attendants on their services and contributing to their support, but who are not enrolled in their membership.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The articles in this work on the various denominations and religious agencies, and the literature under them; The American Church History Series, 13 vols., New York, 1893-97, especially vols. i. and xiii.; The Stories of the Churches, ib. 1904 sqq.; United States Census: Special Reports, Religious Bodies, 2 vols., Washington, D. C., 1910; R. Baird, Religion in the United States of America, Glasgow, 1844; L. F. Bittinger, German Religious Life in Colonial Times, Philadelphia, 1907; N. U. Wallington, Historic Churches of America, New York, 1907; M. I. J. Griffin, Catholics and the American Revolution, vol. i., Ridley Park, Pa., 1907; W. H. Allison, Inventory of Unpublished Material for American Religious History in Protestant Church Archives and Other Repositories, Washington, D. C., 1910; F. J. Zwierlein, Religion in New Netherland . . . 1685-1664, New York, 1910; Susan A. Ranlett, Some Memory Days of the Church in America, Milwaukee, 1911.

UNITED ZION'S CHILDREN. See RIVER BRETH-REN.

UNITY OF THE BRETHREN (UNITAS FRATRUM).

I. History.

Antecedents (§ 1).

Beginnings at Herrnhut (§ 2).

Organisation under Zinsendorf

Development Elsewhere (§ 4).
II. German Moravian Towns.
III. Constitution, Ministry, Ritual, and
Usages.
IV. Doctrine.

V. Enterprises of the Church. Schools (§ 1). Missions (§ 2). Other Agencies (§ 3). VI. Statistics.

"Unity of the Brethren" (Unitas fratrum) is the proper designation of what is generally called the Mosvian Church.

I History: This church, which must not be confounded with the United Brethren in Christ (q.v.), is a resuscitation, in a new form, of the Bohemian Brethren (q.v.). At the beginning of Luther's Ref-

ormation, the Brethren numbered about 400 parishes and 200,000 members, were using their own hymnal and catechism, and employing two print-

ing-presses for the spread of Evangelical literature. In spite of frequent persecutions on the part of the Roman Catholics and Utraquists, they increased in number and grew in influence, until they obtained legal recognition (1609). One of the ends for which they labored was a closer fellowship among Protestants. They succeeded in effecting an alliance, based on the Consensus Sendomiriensis, among those of Poland (1570). This alliance, however, bore no abiding fruits. The Counter-Reformation, mangurated by Ferdinand II., overthrew the Brethren as a visible organization in Bohemia and Moravia (1627); but they continued in Poland and Hungary to the end of the seventeenth century. At the same time there was preserved in their original seats a "hidden seed," which kept up, as far as possible, the tenets and usages of the fathers, held religious services in secret, and prayed for a resuscitation of the church. Such prayers were heard.

In 1722 two families named Neisser, led by Christian David, "the servant of the Lord," fled from Moravia, and, by invitation of Count Zinzendorf (q.v.), settled on his domain of Berthelsdorf in Saxony. About 300 Brethren, in the course of the next seven years, emigrated from Moravia and Bo-

hemia to the same place. They built a town called Herrnhut (q.v.), and were joined by a number of other Protestants from various parts of

2. Beginnings at center of the renewed Brethren's
Herrnhut. church. In addition to the fact that

its nucleus consisted of decendants of the Bohemian Brethren, such a renewal was brought about by the adoption of the leading features of their constitution; by the introduction of their discipline, as set forth in the Ratio Disciplina of Amos Comenius, and of much of their liturgy as found in their German hymnals; by appropriating their doctrinal tendency in so far as to hold fast to essentials, but not to bind the conscience with regard to non-essentials; and, finally, by the transfer of their episcopate, which had been carefully continued in the hope of a resuscitation. On Mar. 13, 1735, David Nitschmann was consecrated the first bishop of the Moravian Church by Bishop Daniel Ernst Jablonsky, with the concurrence of Christian Sitkovius, these two being the survivors of the old succession. The resuscitation of the Brethren's Church was, however, not accomplished in accordance with a prearranged plan; nor was Herrnhut built with such an end in view. The renewal was the work of God, who gradually led both the Moravian refugees and Zinzendorf to recognize his divine will. When Zinzendorf permitted the Brethren to settle on his estate, he knew little or nothing of the church of their fathers; and the projects which he had formed for the extension of God's kingdom looked in a different direction. It was only after these projects had failed, that he was made to see that Herrnhut, to use his own words, constituted "the parish to which he had from all eternity been

ordained." By that time, however, there was gathered a body of Christians, not exclusively descended from the Bohemian fathers, but representing a union of survivors of the almost extinct church of the Bohemian-Moravian Brethren with representatives of German Pietism.

In the very nature of the case, therefore, a new and different development began. It was shaped by Zinzendorf. He had, indeed, declared that he would do all in his power to fulfil those hopes of a

renewal of the Brethren's church which
3. Organi- filled the heart of its aged Bishop
zation under Comenius; but at the same time he
Zinzendorf. was by conviction a Lutheran, and

had adopted Spener's idea in its deepest import, of establishing ecclesiola in ecclesia. This idea he carried out to extremes of which its originator had never thought. On the one hand. the Brethren were to constitute an independent church; and yet, on the other, they were not to interfere with the State Church, but to set forth within the same a union of believers representing the old Brethren, the Lutheran, and the Reformed elements, in one Unitas Fratrum. They were to serve as salt within the various confessional ecclesiastical bodies, but were to refrain from seeking to make proselytes for their church. Inner fellowship with the Brethren should neither involve nor demand separation from any existing Evangelical body. Accordingly, he did not allow the Brethren to expand as they had expanded in their original seats; but exclusive Moravian towns were founded, where no one but a member owned real estate, and the church controlled, not only their spiritual concerns, but also their industrial pursuits. In such towns a high type of piety was developed. A missionary spirit was fostered, which sent messengers of the Gospel to all parts of the heathen world, and found fields at home, through the so-called "Diaspora," on the continent of Europe, and, through domestic missions, in Great Britain and America. In their boarding-schools thousands of young people not connected with the Moravian Church received an excellent Christian education; and, during the long and dreary period of rationalism, vital faith in the essentials of the Gospel was cherished in such a manner that positive influences went forth from these centers wholly out of proportion to the paucity of the numbers of those identified with these settlements in the narrowest sense. At the same time there occasionally appeared a self-satisfied spirit, which, on the one hand, looked upon the Moravians as "a peculiar people" in a manner unjustifiable and beyond the warrant of holy writ, and on the other took acceptance with God for granted, as belonging of necessity to all the members of a church in which the Savior was preeminently the central figure of theology and of practical religion, and his name literally constituted a household word. For a brief period (1745-49), known as "the time of sifting," and in a few of the settlements, a far greater evil manifested itself. Fanaticism broke out among ministers and people. It did not lead them into gross sins, but gave rise to the most extravagant conceptions, especially as regarded the atonement in general, and Christ's wounded side in particular; to sensuous, puerile, and objectionable phraseology and hymns; and to religious services of reprehensible character. For such fanaticism Zinzendorf unwittingly furnished occasion by the fanciful and unwarranted ways in which, from his inclination to hyperbole and paradox, he expressed the believer's joy and the love which the pardoned sinner bears to the Savior. But, when he and his coadjutors began to realize the magnitude of the evil, they earnestly labored to bring back the ening ones to the sober faith and reverent love taught by the Scriptures. Such efforts were crowned with success, and the entire restoration of the church to spiritual health formed the best answer to the many attacks made upon it at that time and for a long period afterward, in part by earnest theologians, who taught the very same things as those the Brethren were aiming to promote, and in part by sourilous enemies.

Zinzendorf was consecrated a bishop in 1737, and during his lifetime practically stood at the head of the church, although he had many assistants; and synods, in which his influence was all-powerful, were often held. After his death, the synods assumed their proper position, and the executive administration was vested in elective

4. Develop- boards. The polity which he had inment troduced kept the Unitas Fratum
Elsewhere. numerically small; but it was gradually established in Saxony, Prussia,

Holland, Denmark, Baden, Switzerland, and Russia. In all these countries, except Switzerland, the exclusive system was introduced; on the part of their governments liberal concessions were granted. In the course of time the exclusive system was abolished, even on the continent of Europe, where it had originally been rendered necessary by the operation of ecclesiastical laws—at least in part. There are now twenty-four congregations on the continent of Europe. In Great Britain, the Moravians established themselves in 1738, chiefly through the efforts of Peter Boehler, who became God's instrument in leading John Wesley to a knowledge of the truth. In 1749 they were acknowledged by an act of parliament as "an ancient Episcopal Church." Four exclusive settlements were originally founded; but the rest of their churches, forty in number, never introduced the German polity. Here, too, the peculiarities of the old system have been practically abolished in the former settlements. Georgia was the colony in which the Moravians began their work in North America (1735); but they soon relinquished that field, and came to Pennsylvania (1740), where they built Bethlehem, Nazareth, and Litits, in which three towns the exclusive system was introduced. Subsequently, they established, on the same plan, Hope in New Jersey (which enterprise proved a failure), and Salem in North Carolina Their other churches were free from the trammels of this polity, which was totally relinquished in 1844. During the century in which it continued, it necessarily kept the church small in the United States of America also; since its relinquishment, the Moravians have increased rapidly, and during the last twenty years have doubled their membership. The number of their churches is ninety-one, besides seven congregations in Alberta, Canada, commenced in 1895 as a result of migrations from Russia.

IL German Moravian Towns: Although the exchaive system on the continent of Europe has been abolished, certain features of the former arrangements have been maintained. The membership, "according to difference of age, sex, and station in life," is divided into classes, called "choirs" (from choros). At the head of each choir stands an elder, or, in the case of a female class, a deaconess, charged with its spiritual interests. Special religious services are held, and an annual day of covenanting and praise is observed. Such classes, or choirs, are maintained in other Moravian churches also. Every settlement has a brethren's, a sisters', and a widows' house, which provide at moderate charges a modest home for the inmates, who are bound by no vows and are free to come and go at will. A sisters' house is inhabited by unmarried women who maintain themselves by work suited to their sex; and a brethren's house by unmarried men who carry on various trades. There are two superintendents for each house, one looking after the religious concerns of the inmates, the other managing the temporal sfairs. Religious services for all the inhabitants are held every evening in the church.

III. Constitution, Ministry, Ritual, and Usages: (1) In 1857 and again in 1899 the entire constitution of the Unitas Fratrum was remodeled. It embraces four provinces, the German, the British, and two American. They are administratively independent, but together constitute one organic whole in regard to doctrine, fundamental principles of discipline and ritual, and foreign missionary work. There is a general and a provincial government. A general synod meets statedly at Herrnhut, and s constituted of delegates from all provinces, as the from the foreign mission-field. Each province has also its provincial synod, which elects its executive board, known as a provincial elders' confrence. These four executive boards together with the mission-board jointly constitute the so-called directing board of the unity, a court of appeal and of supreme reference and counsel during the interrals between sessions of the general synod. The mission-board is elected by the general synod, to which it is responsible, and consists of five members, three of whom must be elected by and as such represent the chief nationalities entering into the membership of the Moravian Church, viz., the German, the British, and the American branches. (2) The ministry consists of bishops, presbyters, and deacons. Unordained assistants, whether men or women, are formally constituted acolytes. The Moravian episcopacy is not diocesan, but represents the entire Unitas Fratrum. In the bishops is vested exclusively the power of ordaining. They constitute a body whose duty it is to look to the welfare, and maintain the integrity, of the Unitas Fratrum in all its parts, and especially to bear it on their hearts in unceasing prayer before God; and, although they are not ex officio connected with the government, they are, as a rule, elected to the governing boards. (3) The ritual is liturgical in character. A litany is used every Sunday morning. Special services,

at which offices of worship are used, distinguish the festivals of the ecclesiastical year, certain "memorial days" in the history of the Moravian Church, and the annual days of covenanting of the choirs. The hymnology is rich, and church music very fully developed. Some of the best-known Moravian hymnologists are Zinzendorf, Countess Zinzendorf, Spangenberg, Louise von Hayn, Gregor, James Montgomery (q.v.), F. W. Foster, John Cennick (q.v.), Ludolf Schlicht, Benjamin La Trobe, John Swertner, Garve, and Albertini. Love feasts, in imitation of the agapæ of apostolic times, are celebrated. The pedilavium, or foot-washing, was formerly practised within limited circles, but was abrogated in 1818. At one time the lot was employed in the appointment of all ministers, and marriages were contracted in the same way. Its use has been abolished; its employment with regard to the marriages of members was done away with in 1818.

IV. Doctrine: The Moravian Church does not set forth its doctrines in a formal confession of faith. as was done by its Bohemian fathers; but the cardinal points are found in its catechism, in its Easter Morning Litany (Schaff, Creeds, iii. 799), and in its "Synodical Results," or code of statutes drawn up by the general synod. The Holy Scriptures of the Old and New Testament, venerated as God's Word, containing all the truths that declare the will of God for man's salvation, are held to be the only rule of faith and practise. The following truths are held to be clearly attested by Holy Scripture, and as such essential: the doctrine of the total depravity of human nature, the love of God the Father, the real Godhead and real humanity of Jesus Christ, our reconciliation to God and our justification by faith through the sacrifice of Jesus Christ, the Holy Ghost and his operations, good works as the fruit of the Spirit, the fellowship of believers, the second coming of the Lord, and the resurrection of the dead unto life or unto condemnation. On the other hand, Moravians hold that "it is not our business to determine what Scripture has left undetermined, or to contend about mysteries impenetrable to human reason" (A. G. Spangenberg, Exposition of Christian Doctrine, London, 1784; H. Plitt, Glaubenslehre, Gotha, 1863; idem, Zinzendorfs Theologie, 3 vols., Gotha, 1869-74).

V. Enterprises of the Church: There are in the four provinces 28 boarding-schools for young people not connected with the Moravian

r. Schools. Church, at which schools about 2,000 pupils of both sexes are annually educated. In 24 day-schools between 2,500 and 3,000 scholars are also under the influence of the church. Besides these are three colleges and theological seminaries.

Although three Protestant missions existed prior to the Moravian missionary work, such enterprises were all undertaken in connection with the planting of colonies. The Moravians were the first Protestants who went among the heathen

2. Missions with no other purpose in view than that of saving souls. In 1732 Leonard Dober and David Nitschmann (q.v.) inaugurated on the island of St. Thomas that work to which the

church still chiefly devotes itself, which God has wonderfully blessed. At various times missionsin the service of which large amounts of money were spent and many lives sacrificed, but which eventually proved unsuccessful-were undertaken in the following countries: Lapland (1734-36), shores of the Arctic Ocean (1737-38), Ceylon (1738-41), Algiers (1740), Guinea (1737-41 and 1767-70), Persia (1747-50), Egypt (1752-83), East Indies (1759-96), and the Calmuck territory (1768-1823). In 1900 the mission among the Eskimos of Greenland, commenced in 1733, was transferred to the care of the State Church of Denmark, there being no more professed heathen in this region. The field at the present day embraces the following mission provinces: Labrador (1771), Alaska (1885), Indians of North America (1734), St. Thomas and St. John (1732), St. Croix (1732), Jamaica (1754), Antigua (1756), St. Kitts (1775), Barbados (1765), Tobago (1790, renewed 1827), Trinidad (1890), Santo Domingo (1907), Demerara (1835, renewed 1878), Nicaragua (1848), Surinam (1735), South African Western Province (1736, renewed 1792), South African Eastern Province (1828), German East Africa (1891), Australia (1849), and West Himalaya (1853). The annual cost of this extensive work is about \$500,000. This amount is made up by the contributions of the members of the church, by gifts from friends of the cause, by grants from missionary societies in the home provinces, by the interest of funded legacies, and by the missions themselves through the voluntary donations and the profits of trade. London Association in aid of the Missions of the United Brethren, founded in 1817, is composed of members of various churches, not of Moravians, and contributes about \$80,000 a year. The Brethren's Society for the Furtherance of the Gospel among the Heathen, founded in England in 1741, works for the support of the mission in Labrador and owns a missionary vessel, which has now been annually sailing to that uncharted coast for 141 years without ever wholly failing in its mission. A similar society in Bethlehem, Penn., the Society for the Propagation of the Gospel among the Heathen, founded in 1787, undertakes the support of the mission among the Eskimos of Alaska and of that among the Indians of Southern California. converts are divided into four classes-new people (or applicants for religious instruction), candidates for baptism, baptized adults, and communicants. According to the latest statistics, the missions comprise 309 stations and 1,213 preaching-places. There are two theological seminaries for the training of native ministers in the field itself; 5 normal schools with about 90 scholars, 347 day-schools with 30,504 pupils, 578 teachers, and 235 monitors; 142 Sunday-schools with 24,357 pupils and 1,354 teachers; 407 missionaries, male and female; 102 native ministers and wives of ministers; 2,134 native assistants,

and 102,643 converts, in the care of the mission.

The Bohemian mission work was begun in 1870.

At first it advanced very slowly, on account of the restrictions imposed by the Austrian laws. In 1880 these restrictions were removed, and the Unitas Fratrum was legally acknowledged by that same government at whose hands it received its death-

blow in the Counter-Reformation. This mission embraces 5 chief stations, with about 25 filials.

3. Other missionaries are engaged, and the membership numbers 1,178. In 1881 the Moravians took charge, in Jerusalem, of

a hospital previously established for lepers. This institution is supported by contributions from the three provinces and the gifts of friends. The inmates number between 50 and 60. The Diaspora (from diaspora, in I Pet. i. 1) work is carried on by the German province, and has for its object the evangelization of the state churches on the continent of Europe, without depriving them of their members. Evangelists itinerate through the various countries of Germany, Switzerland, France, Denmark, Norway, and Sweden, and through Poland, Livonia, Esthonia, and other parts of Russia, visiting, preaching, and organizing "societies." This mission embraces 54 central stations, 61 laborers, and about 75,000 "society members."

VI. Statistics: The home provinces report 411 bishops, presbyters, deacons, and unordained assistants, male and female, in various departments of church work, not counting teachers; 42,791 souls. Foreign and Bohemian missions report 198 bishops, presbyters, and deacons; 60 unordained assistants; 234 female assistants; 2,134 native assistants; 103,810 souls. The Unitas Fratrum, therefore, numbers in all 888 bishops, presbyters and deacons, and other appointed workers; or, with native assistants, 3,037 workers, and 146,601 souls, and has, besides, about 75,000 souls in its Diaspora societies.

(E. DE SCHWEINITZT.) J. TAYLOR HAMILTON.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: D. Cranz, Alte und neue Brüderhistorie, Barby, 1772, Eng. transl., Ancient and Modern Hist. of the Brethren, London, 1780, the German continued by Hegner, the United Brethren, Bethlehem, 1848; J. Latrobe, Histori cal Sketch of the Church of the United Brethren, Bath, 1850; L. C. Schrautenbach, Zinzendorf und die Brüder-Gemeinde, Gnadau, 1851; E. W. Cröger, Geschichte der alten und Gnadau, 1851; E. W. Cröger, Geschichte der alten und erneuerten Brüder-Kirche, 5 vols., ib. 1851-85; L. T. Reichel, Hist. of the Moravians in North Carolina, Bethle-hem, 1857; J. Henry, Sketches of Moravian Life and Character, Philadelphia, 1859; A. Bost, Hist. of the Bohemian and Moravian Brethren, new ed., London, 1863; W. C. Reichel, Memorials of the Moravian Church, Philadelphia, 1870; J. M. Martin, Historical Sketch of Bethlehem and the Moravians, Bethlehem, 1873; E. de Schweinits, Hist.
of the Church Known as the Unitas Fratrum, ib. 1885;
idem, Moravian Manual, 3d ed., by J. T. Hamilton, ib.
1901; Schultze, Die Missionsfelder der erneuerten BrüderKirche, ib. 1890; J. T. Hamilton, Hist. of the Moravian
Church during the 18th and 19th Centuries, ib. 1900; idem. Hist. of the Moravian Missions, ib. 1901; idem, Twenty Years of Pioneer Missionary Enterprise in Nyasa-Land, Bethlehem, Pa., 1911; J. H. Clewell, Hist. of Wachovia in N. C.; the Unitas Fratrum or Moravian Church 1572-1902, New York, 1902; J. M. Levering, Hist. of Bethlehem, Pa., 1741-1892, Bethlehem, 1903; A. L. Fries, Moravians in Georgia, 1735-40, Winston Salem, 1905; G. Burkhardt, Georgia, 1730-40, Winston Salem, 1905; G. Burkaard, Die Brüdergemeine, 2 parts, Gnadau, 1905; O. Steinecke, Die Diaspora der Brüdergemeine in Deutschland, Halle 1905; H. Garst, Otterbein University, 1847-1907, Dayton, O., 1908; J. G. E. Heckwelder, A Narrative of the Mission of the United Brethren among the Delaware and Mohegan Indians from its Commencement in 1740 to the Close of the Year 1808, Cleveland, 1907; Missionsatlas de Brüdergemeinde, Herrnhut, 1908,

ERSALIST DISSENTERS: See Purves,

UNIVERSALISTS.

s and Organisa- II. History.

ne (§ 1).
rds; Conditions of wahip (§ 2).
(§ 3).

Universalism in the East
(§ 1).
In the West (§ 2).
In America (§ 3).
Present and Future of

the Church (§ 4).

trine and Organization: Universalism is acteristic doctrine of those who believe that will some time be induced to repent and m their sins, and that so all will be saved. es of this doctrine are found in nearly all

denominations of religion, Christian rine, and heathen. Some of these advocates differ from their parent religion or sect holding that the benefits of salvation will e enjoyed by all men. Even among those d as a Christian church and called Univerzarly every variety of doctrine is represented is to the distinctive and confident hope of I salvation. Yet a large majority of them definite system of doctrine which may be d as follows: the Bible contains a revelan God mingled with elements entirely hu-I fallible, and has authority such as experts their special line of activity. Other good ave the same kind of authority, but in a gree. God is a person of infinite excellenis nature is best expressed in the one word least the other attributes are entirely conith this one. As to the nature of Christ the dists are divided. The younger clergy comgard him as a man born of Joseph or some man father and Mary. The older Univerave commonly regarded him as a being so to speak, half-way between God and rn of God and Mary, according to a literal nding of the Gospels of Matthew and Luke. y Spirit is either God the Father himself or sonal. Miracles are the constant or occation of God in (human or other) nature for rment. Providence is therefore both genspecial. Salvation is from sin and its seand from all other forms of evil and unto sness, holiness, and a perfected humanity, constantly going on under the impulse of i other good spirits and agencies. This is alled moral salvation, and contains no elesubstitutional satisfaction or transfer of merit. Punishment is a sequence of sin, is appointed as a remedy therefor, and is cony one of the agencies of salvation both in d and in the next. The clergy have no : succession, but are merely the special f God in the salvation of men. The Church I is the great body of all professing Chriswhich body a humble member is the Uni-Church. Religion is right relations with d therefore includes right thoughts about ht feelings toward him, and right acts in ice of him and his children. Hence the

lists have always been zealous for doctrine

honor of God and for social reforms and

charities. The sacraments (baptism and the Lord's Supper) are chiefly sacred symbols and distinguishing marks of the Christian life and of membership in the Christian Church. Future life is substantially a continuation of the present life, but without the present body of the flesh. There will be no resurrection of this body, and no general judgment, no annihilation of the wicked, no endless punishment in the usual sense of the term, and no second coming of Christ, except in the improvement of souls and of the usual means thereof in this life and in that to come.

The Winchester Profession of Belief has been commonly regarded as the creed of the Universalist church, but in fact it has not been at any time adopted and used as a creed by more than a small

fraction of the local churches. In order to have the law of the church ards; Conconform to the consent and practise ditions of of the people, the general convention Fellowship. in 1899 thought best to adopt the following statement:

1. The profession of belief adopted at the session at Winchester, N. H., 1803, is as follows:

Article I. We believe that the Holy Scriptures of the Old and New Testaments contain a revelation of the character of God and of the duty, interest, and final destination of mankind.

Article II. We believe that there is one God, whose nature is Love, revealed in one Lord Jesus Christ, by one Holy Spirit of Grace, who will finally restore the whole family of mankind to holiness and happiness.

Article III. We believe that holiness and true happiness are inseparably connected, and that believers ought to be careful to maintain order and practise good works; for these things are good and profitable unto men.

2. The conditions of fellowship shall be as follows:

A. The acceptance of the essential principles of the Universalist Faith, to wit: 1. The universal fatherhood of God;

2. the spiritual authority and leadership of his son, Jesus Christ;

3. the trustworthiness of the Bible as containing a revelation from God;

4. the certainty of just retribution for

sin; 5. the final harmony of all souls with God.

The Winchester profession is commended as containing these principles, but neither this nor any other precise form of words is required as a condition of fellowship, provided always that the principles above stated be professed.

B. The acknowledgment of the authority of the general convention and assent to its laws.

The polity of the Universalist Church may be described as a composite of the Congregational, Presbyterian, and Episcopal systems. Primarily it is democratic, and is modeled somewhat after the constitution of the United States. In each state of the union there is a convention, meet-

3. Polity. ing yearly and made up of the ministers in fellowship and residing in the state, and lay representatives chosen by the parishes. Each of these conventions has jurisdiction within the borders of the state, in matters of fellowship, ordination, and local missions. Over all is the general convention of Universalists, which meets biennially, and is composed of delegates, clerical and lay, in definite proportions, chosen by the state conventions. This body has a national charter and a permanent board of trustees who have charge of general interests during the interim of the conventions. For the further administration of affairs and for the quickening of spirit a system of superintendency has lately been adopted. First

(in time), the several states appointed each a secretary or superintendent of churches as its servant in the promotion of religious life. Then the general convention appointed a general superintendent of all the churches in its communion. These officials correspond respectively to bishop and archbishop, especially in the original meanings of the words, and without formal authority except to counsel, advise, and encourage. Thus, on the whole, it will be seen that the Universalists are nearly related to the liberal Congregationalists. In popular estimate they are often associated with the Unitarians, but they differ from them in assigning more value to the Bible and to religious life, and in laying emphasis on the personality of God and the endless life of every soul, whereas the Unitarians (q.v.) include many who teach that God is impersonal and that the wicked will be annihilated, and some who doubt the future life altogether. More effectively, perhaps, the Universalists are separated from the Unitarians in having different traditions and in belonging mostly to a different social class, the middle class.

II. History: Pantheists of all times have held a form of universalism, but with an important difference from the modern meaning of the word; they have taught that all souls will be absorbed into the Infinite, and

I. Univer- will lose their personal identity. Christian

salism in the East.

Will lose their personal identity. Christian Universalists believe that every soul will live forever as an individual and will attain a proper development and final salvation. For this reason orthodox Hindus and Buddhists

and many of the speculative philosophers, even some that are called Christian, can hardly be included in this account. earliest Universalists, more strictly so called, were Zoroaster (whose date is variously estimated from 1500 to 500 B.C.) and his followers the Parsees, who remain in this faith unto the present day (see ZOROASTER, ZOROASTERANISM). Next in order of time were Jews, some of whom since shortly before the days of Christ were Universalists. Among Christians and those associated with the Church the first advocates of Univer-salism were some Gnostics (the Valentinians, Carpocratians, and Basilidians, about 130 A.D.; see GNOSTICISM; BASILIDES AND THE BASILIDIANS; CARPOCRATES AND THE CARPOCRATIANS; VALENTINUS, VALENTINIANS) although their doctrine as to individualism is not entirely clear. At the same time, or later, certain orthodox Christians who were the authors of the forged Sibylline Oracles (q.v.) were undoubtedly Universalists. The earliest system of Universalistic theology was by Clement of Alexandria (q.v.), who was the head of the theological school in that city until 202 A.D. His successor in the school was the great Origen (q.v.), the most distinguished advocate of this doctrine in all time. His mind had something of the largeness of Plato combined with Christian piety, and his influence was felt for many centuries throughout the East and to some extent in the The next great philosophical theologian in the East was Gregory of Nyssa (q.v.). Then came Theodore of Mop-suestia (q.v.), distinguished as the promulgator of the grammatico-historical exegesis (see Exegesis or Hermeneutics, III., § 3; and ANTIOCH, SCHOOL OF), and of a Biblical scientific theology containing a portion of the theory of evolution applied to the history of mankind. His influence for some centuries was more extensive than that of Augustine. Johannes Cassianus (q.v.) should also be mentioned. He was the author of Semipelagianism (q.v.). Under the instruction the author of Semipelagianism (q.v.). Under the instruction of these great teachers many other theologians believed in universal salvation; and indeed the whole Eastern Church (q.v.) until after 500 A.D. was inclined to it.

In the West this doctrine had fewer adherents and was never accepted by the Church at large. In the first five or six centuries of Christianity there were six 2. In the known theological schools, of which four West. (Alexandria [see Alexandria, School of], Antioch, Cæsarea, and Edessa or Nisibis) were Universalist, one (Ephesus) accepted conditional immortality; one (Carthage or Rome) taught endless pun-

ishment of the wicked. Other theological schools: tioned as founded by Universalists, but their actus on this subject is unknown. Doederlein says that portion as any man was eminent in learning in (antiquity, the more did he cherish and defend the ho termination of future torments." In the dark age salism almost disappeared, but in the ninth centur one great representative, John Scotus Erigena (se ERIGENA, JOHANNES), who was the chief Christian I of his time. In the Middle Ages, some of the lesses and probably Johann Tauler and Jan van Ru (qq.v.), and one leading scholastic, Albertus Magnu e Universalists. In the times of the Reformation salists were found among Anabaptists, Lollards, and tant mystics; and later there were increasing nu individual believers in this doctrine in all north countries, including such men as Kant, Schleie Ritschl and many of his followers, Archbishop 1 Tennyson, the Brownings, Wordsworth, and C [The ascription of universalism to many of the and dieval, and modern theologians and institutions w disapproved by many scholars of the present, probe majority. In many cases the expression of the present, proba majority. In many cases the expression of the "large or of doubt as to the endlessness of future punishmentate can fairly be claimed. A. H. N.]

In America because

In America before the time of organised leadism there were many representatives of this Sir Henry Vane, Jr., and other mystics; the (

Baptists commonly called Do 3. In some of the Moravians; severa copalians, especially William founder of the University of P

vania, and for many years president of the convention of the Protestant Episcopal (several leading Congregationalists, including Chauncy and Jonathan Mayhew (qq.v.). character and distinguished abilities of the go far toward extending to modern times a words of Doederlein above quoted. The Uni ist Church in America has not greatly pro First, its main idea was so popular in the period of the United States that the prop organize a church in its behalf frightened th churches and aroused sectarian jealousy. Se the Universalists by removing the fear of he supposed to reduce seriously the supports of ity. And finally the church was started amo lower classes of people, and therefore the power of social or caste distinction was against it. In those days the force of sectar was so great and the ministers had so mucl ence that when nearly all parties united to new church under a ban and to declare a l on everything Universalist, they were able to destroy the movement. That the conwas partly unconscious did not make it less ef though time has reduced its power. Of or Universalism in America the chief represen in order of time have been John Murray, E Winchester, the two Hosea Ballous, A. A. (qq.v.), T. J. Sawyer, and Orello Cone (q.v.), mention those still living. The Restorationi troversy arose early in the nineteenth cent occasion of a dispute over future punishme few of those who believed in future punishn the wicked seceded from the main body and themselves "The Massachusetts Associat Universal Restorationists." This association tained a feeble existence from 1831 to 1841 as formally dissolved. There was really no o for the secession, for the main body con

of the thirteenth century, when it seemed necessary to furnish a corporate counterbalance of teachers to the increased strength of the university students. Since, however, the teachers were chosen by the students, who paid them in cooperation with the city magistracy, they were so far from being independent that the rector scholarium was also rector studii and subjected even the professors to his jurisdiction. In the early fourteenth century the students of arts (including medicine) formed a third university alongside the other two; and when, in 1360, Innocent VI. founded a studium generale in theologia, the masters of theology formed a corporation, their students joining the miversity of arts.

Parallel with, and in imitation of Paris, the University of Oxford developed with the twelfth century, its peculiarity being that its chancellor, as the representative of the bishop, was anal-4. Early ogous to the chancellor at Paris, and

4 Early ogous to the chancellor at Paris, and "General" also exercised the functions of the rec-Schools. The chief "general schools" up to the middle of the thirteenth century

to the middle of the thirteenth century were Reggio, Modena, Vicenza, Padua, and Vercelli in Italy, and Orléans and Angers in France, all primarily legal schools, the Church itself being a great legal institution. Cambridge, like its parent Orford, possessed all four faculties. Medical schools were developed at Salerno and Montpellier, the latter also adding in the thirteenth century faculties of arts and law. Another group of universities was designedly founded, on the model of Paris or Boloma, by the pope or the secular prince, or both together; in this class belonged the institutions at Palencia, Salamanca, and Lisbon-Coimbra. These universities, which were national rather than international, numbered thirteen at the close of the Middle Ages.

Italy took the lead in the establishment of universities, but with the exception of Naples (founded with four faculties by Frederick II. in 1224) and Rome (established for theology and law by Innocent IV. in 1224-45), all owed their origin to the economic and political needs of the municipalities. They were devoted first to law and then to medicine, and during this period numbered twenty. In France Toulouse was the first university to be founded on the model of Paris (1229), and its establishment by the pope led to the theory that no university could be founded without the sanction of the pope or of his secular coregent, the head of the Holy Roman Empire. Toulouse was followed in the fourteenth century by Avignon, Cahors, Grénoble, and Orange, and by eight others in the succeeding century. The history of German universities begins with the foundation of the university of Prague by Charles IV. in 1348, followed by those of Vienna (1365), Heidelberg (1386), Cologne (1388), and Erfurt (1392). In 1402 Bishop John of Egloffstein founded the University of Würzburg, but it did not outlive him, being permanently reestablished by Prince-bishop Julius in 1582; and in 1409 the landgraves of Thuringia founded the University of Leipsic, while Rostock was established in 1419. Outside the bounds of Germany Prague and Vienna inspired the kings of Poland and Hungary to found the less successful universities of Cracow, Fünfkirchen, and Ofen-Pest, while the Netherlands received their first university in Louvain in 1425. A second period of founding universities in Germany began in the fifteenth century, inspired by the solicitude of princes anxious to render their power supreme through the introduction of Roman law rather than by love of learning. To this category belong Greifswald (1456), Freiburg (1457). Basel (1460), Ingolstadt and Treves (1472), and Tübingen and Mainz (1477). The last medieval universities founded in Germany were those of Wittenberg (1502), and Frankfort-on-the-Oder (1506). Outside Germany, universities were founded at Upsala in 1477 and at Copenhagen in 1478, at St. Andrews in 1413, Glasgow in 1450, and Aberdeen in

The German universities were governed by the masters of the four faculties, each faculty being headed by a dean, and the entire uni-

5. Organization. leaded by a dean, and the entire university by a rector who was originally elected by all the masters and scholars, but later by the "governing masters"

The offices rotated semiannually. the fourteenth-century universities had "nations, which included masters as well as scholars; but the "nations" disappeared in the fifteenth century, though still retaining a formal existence at Leipsic until 1830. The universities were impossible without generous foundations, their income often being derived from the incorporation of a collegiate church; the theologians and jurists were generally ecclesiastical prebendaries. The staff of teachers was not large; two to four theologians, three to six jurists, two physicians, and twenty to thirty teachers of the arts. Lectures and residence alike were had in the "colleges," or university buildings, whenever possible; and besides the salaried, or "governing," masters, there were unsalaried teachers, some of them seeking the experience required for further promotion, others waiting for a salaried appointment.

Public lectures were delivered by the salaried masters, while in the colleges and halls the salaried (public) and unsalaried (private) teachers combined for private instruction, this being either the training of the younger scholars for the lectures, or the

repetition of lectures previously de6. Instruc- livered publicly. Theological lectures tion and were based on the "Sentences" of Degrees. Peter the Lombard, juristic on the Corpus juris, medical on Hippocrates,

Galen, and Avicenna, and arts on Aristotle. The lectures were supplemented by public and private disputations. These were required weekly from the faculty of arts, while the teachers in the higher faculties were also bound to dispute in turn. Public inaugural disputations were required from the candidates for degrees. The whole course of instruction was shaped to give proficiency in teaching, and hence arose the degrees of "master" and "doctor," the former preferred in France and the latter in Italy. "Master" was also synonymous with the later "professor." The German universities accepted both titles, though "master" was finally restricted to the faculty of arts. After the

humanistic period the degree of M.A. became connected with that of Ph.D., and vanished in the eighteenth century. Originally the degrees of " master" and " doctor" could be gained only after possession of the lower degrees of "bachelor" and "licentiate." The latter, originally denoting merely the interval before receiving permission to assume the insignia of a doctor, developed, by the seventeenth century, into a special degree, since many remained licentiates to save the fees necessary for promotion to the doctorate. In the faculty of arts the licentiate was never popular, and in the eighteenth century the bachelor's degree also disappeared from most German universities, being replaced by the testimonium maturitatis from the gymnasium. Promotion to a degree was preceded by a public disputation in which the candidate was required to show his learning before the assembled university, while the doctor's degree was conferred with imposing ceremony. Possession of the doctor's hat conferred the privilege of teaching in any university, but this soon degenerated into an empty title which merely gave certain prerogatives in ecclesiastical and civil life, the degree later still even being sold, though such doctores bullati were never recognized by the universities. In virtue of their corporation rights, universities were empowered to choose their own teachers, to make and execute their own laws both in civil and in criminal matters, and to administer their estates. The teachers were exempt from civil duties and taxes, and as doctors ranked as nobles, this probably being due to the jurists after they had come to control the administration of the State by the introduction of Roman law.

Except in the oldest universities, where thousands of students flocked, the most of the German universities were obliged to be content

7. Students. with a few hundred scholars. The first students were chiefly clergy, nor was it until near the end of the Middle Ages, when juristic activity had fairly begun, that civilians sought university education. The faculty of arts was naturally the largest, and, while at first the theological faculty seems to have outnumbered the juristic, these conditions were reversed from the fifteenth century on. The medical faculty was relatively unimportant in Germany until the nineteenth century. The philosophical faculty is now the university proper, the other faculties being merely technical schools. No special preparation was required for matriculation in the Middle Ages; students began their university careers, with most unequal training, at the age of fifteen, or even younger, and their entire life was rigidly monastic. They heard two or three lectures daily, followed by private repetitions, exercises, and disputations. The lectures in the higher faculties were delivered free by the salaried professors, and it was only in the faculty of arts that, up to the beginning of the sixteenth century, special fees were required for individual lectures and exercises. Charges for tuition in the modern sense were unknown.

The scholastic organization of the medieval universities was shaken by humanism and destroyed by the Reformation, the result being reconstruction, on the Protestant side by Melanchthon and on the

Roman Catholic by the Jesuits. The universities of Wittenberg, Erfurt, Tübingen, Heidelberg, Beel, Leipsic, Frankfort, Greifswald, Ro-8. Posttock, Copenhagen, and Upsala became Reformation Protestant; and new institutions were Founda- called into being by the Reformation and Counter-Reformation: the Protestant foundations of Marburg (1527), Königsberg (1544), Jena (1558), Strasburg (1566; an academy until 1621), Helmstedt (1576), and Altdorf (1578; an academy until 1623); Roman Catholic institutions were Dillingen (1554), Braunberg (1565), Olmüts (1574), Würsburg (1582), and Gras (1586). Reformed establishments were founded at Herborn in 1580, at Geneva and Lausanne in Switzerland (both in 1536), and at Leyden (1575) and Francker (1585) in the Netherlands. A fourth university was founded at Edinburgh in 1583, and in 1591 the Roman Catholic University of Dublin was established. In Italy the Jesuits founded at Rome the famous Gregorian University in the Roman College, and the first institution of learning in the Americas was the Roman Catholic University of Lima (1551). In the seventeenth contury Giessen was founded in 1607 and Rinteln in 1621 as a Lutheran protest against Marburg, which had become Reformed, while the Roman Catholics established the Benedictine University of Salsburg (1662), the Jesuit academies of Paderborn (1615), Molsheim (1618), Osnabrück (1630; destroyed by the Swedes three years later), and Bamberg (1648), and the national Hungarian University of Tyrnau (1635; transferred to Ofen-Pest in 1777-83; now the University of Budapest). The Swedes founded the Livonian University of Dorpat in 1632 and the Finnish University of Abo (now at Helsingfors) in 1640, while the Dutch Reformed added the universities of Groningen (1614), Utrecht (1636), and Harderwijk (1648). The first North American university was that of Harvard (1636). With the Thirty-Year's War the establishment of denominational university ties practically ended, though the Protestants founded Duisburg (1655; Reformed), Kiel (1665), and Lund (1666), and the Roman Catholics Innsbruck (1672).

The organization of the universities remained essentially unchanged. At the same time, humanism gained recognition beside Aristotelianism, and in Protestant institutions scholasticism was supplanted by Lutheran and Melanch-

9. Changes thonian or by Calvinistic systems of Due to theology. The professors in the faculty Humanism of arts were now salaried, in great part and the Ref-from secularized property of the ormation. Church. Each "public professor"

was bound to lecture three or four times a week, his work being supplemented by heavy private instruction. The monastic life of the students ceased, though where no preparatory institution was connected with the university, each young scholar was required to choose a tutor to supervise his studies and character, this being the origin of the modern privat-docents. In the second half of the sixteenth century, moreover, the public lectures gave place, in great measure, to private lectures for which fees were required. During this and

the following centuries the universities lost their international character, while their entire faculties were obliged to subscribe to the denominational standard of the university to which they might be attached. From political, religious, and economic motives the universities passed under the control of the State, though their corporation rights and their autonomy were unmolested. The Protestant universities aimed to give their students practical training for the ministry, while the Roman Catholic universities left this work to the seminaries and entrusted their faculties with the scholastic defense of the ancient faith and polemics against the Reformation. The Protestant institutions, therefore, were forced to subordinate Biblical studies to dogmatics, the result being an intensification of religious antagonisms and the outbreak of the Thirty-Years' With the close of the struggle interest in theological controversy waned. Spener and Francke brought university theology back to the study of the Bible and to practical Christianity; national law received recognition beside Roman; natural science, mathematics, and modern philosophy all became factors of moment. German replaced Latin in the lectures, and German universities became the home of a general literary culture which they had never known before. French influence was also active, to the especial advantage of the jurists, who now became the leading faculty to the detriment of theology.

The innovator of the new state of affairs was Thomasius, who, with Francke, impressed his stamp on the lately founded University of Halle (1693),

until this institution yielded its presro. The tige to Göttingen (1734). In this Bighteenth period of transition to the period of Century. the Enlighteement (q.v.) belongs the

foundation of the Protestant University of Erlangen (1743), as well as of the last German Jesuit university, Breslau (1702), and the academy of Fulda (1734). In America Yale was now founded at New Haven (1701), while in 1721 the Dominicans established a Roman Catholic university at With the rationalism of the reign of Frederick the Great the universities ceased to transmit learning, believing themselves called to create it. Unrestricted philosophical theorizing received its first sanction at Halle. The universities were no longer denominational bodies for the benefit of the national church; the non-theological professors were officially dispensed from subscribing to the creeds (at Giessen, for example, on Oct. 31, 1777); and by the end of the eighteenth century Prussian law could claim them as institutions of a creedless State. The movement spread from the Protestant north to the Roman Catholic south. The Jesuits were charged with being behind the times, and, about the middle of the century, the courses of studies were radically revised at Ingolstadt and Vienna. Würzburg, Treves, Mainz, and Erfurt followed their example; only Cologne remained true to the past. The fate of the last-named, while Erfurt, Mainz, and Treves enjoyed a short revival, was to be supplanted by the rationalistically Roman Catholic University of Bonn in 1777. A new Roman Catholic university was founded, along more conservative lines, at Münster in 1773, while Joseph II. established a German university, unauthorized by the pope, at Lemberg in 1781. In France, during this period, the theological faculties were replaced by the episcopal seminaries advocated by the Council of Trent, while the faculties of arts, divorced from theology, became colleges corresponding to the German gymnasia, so that the university properly comprised only the technical schools of medicine and law. The Revolution officially suppressed all universities. In England the old universities preserved their medieval college organization. East of Germany ignorance prevailed, despite the exertions of Peter the Great and his successors. Moscow was indeed founded in 1755, but Dorpat was silent for a hundred years, first reviving early in the nineteenth century. In North America the eighteenth century saw the foundation of Princeton (1746), Pennsylvania (1749), King's College (1754; now Columbia University), and Rhode Island College (1763; now Brown University).

The early nineteenth century was controlled by the effects of the French Revolution. Not only had

this storm overthrown all the French universities, but also Treves, Mainz, Bonn, and Cologne. In 1794 Stuttgart was incorporated with Tübingen, and Germany.

the universities of Fulda (1802), Bamberg (1803), Duisburg (1806), Altdorf and Dillingen (1809), Salzburg, Rinteln, and Helmstedt (1810), Erfurt (1816); and Münster and Paderborn (1818). Frankfort was incorporated with Breslau in 1811 and Wittenberg with Halle in 1815. Ingolstadt was transferred, under rationalistic influences, to Landshut in 1800, and in 1826 became the University of Munich. Prussia, on the other hand, received two new universities: Berlin (1809-10) and Bonn (1818). The latter, like Breslau, has both a Roman Catholic and a Protestant theological faculty. Tübingen likewise received a Roman Catholic theological faculty in 1817. In place of the suppressed episcopal university at Münster the State founded a Roman Catholic academy with theological and philosophical faculties, which has been restored to university rank by the addition of a legal faculty. A like institution was established by the State at Braunsberg, while since the Franco-Prussian War the University of Strasburg has been founded (1872), which, like Breslau, Bonn, and Tübingen, has received a Roman Catholic theological faculty.

In Austria some universities, as those of Graz and Innsbruck, which were made lyceums under the reforms of Joseph II., have been restored to their

former rank; in 1875 the University of Czernowitz was established, while in 1882 the University of Prague split into a German and a Czech section.

In 1872 Hungary received her second national university in Klausenburg.

In 1832 and 1834 the old schools of Zurich and Bern were made German Swiss universities beside the ancient university of Basel, while in French Switzerland the Calvinistic academies of Geneva and Lausanne were transformed into universities in 1873 and 1891. In France Napoleon I. combined

tions.

all education in the huge organism of the University of France, but since 1896 the third republic has restored individual universities on the German model, the present state universities being those of Aachen, Besançon, Bordeaux, Caen, Clermont-Ferrand, Dijon, Grenoble, Lille, Lyons, Marseilles, Montpellier, Nancy, Paris, Poitiers, Rennes, and In England Durham University was Toulouse. established in 1832, followed in 1836 by the University of London, which was only an examining body until 1903, when it became also a teaching body, a similar course being followed by the University of Wales after 1893. Spain possesses the following universities, all of them several centuries old: Barcelona, Granada, Madrid, Oviedo, Salamanca, Santiago, Seville, Valencia, Valladolid, and Saragossa. Italy has a superfluity of universities in Bologna, Cagliari, Camerino, Catania, Ferrara, Genoa, Macerata, Messina, Modena, Naples, Padua, Palermo, Parma, Pavia, Perugia, Pisa, Rome, Sassari, Sienna, Turin, and Urbino. The University of Christiania was founded in Norway in 1811, while Belgium received the institutions at Ghent in 1816, Liége in 1817, and Brussels in 1834, Holland also establishing a university at Amsterdam in 1876.

During the nineteenth century, indeed, universities were founded throughout the world. Russia gained the institutions at Charkow, Kazan, War-

saw, St. Petersburg, Kief, Odessa, and 13. Other Tomsk; while on the Balkan penin-Founda- sula the University of Athens was established in 1837, the institutions at Jassy, Bucharest, Belgrade, and Sofia

following in the second half of the same century. Even Turkey founded a sort of university at Constantinople in 1900. India possesses universities at Bombay and Madras (both founded in 1857), and at Lahore (1882). In the Philippines the Dominican school which had existed for centuries was made the University of Manila in 1857. Japan has possessed a university at Tokyo since 1868 and at Kyoto since 1895; while there are Australian universities at Sydney (1850) and Melbourne (1853). For universities in the United States see below, §§ 14-16. For Canada mention may be made of the universities of Montreal and Toronto. In South America there has been a university at Montevideo since 1849, and the Argentine Republic also possesses one at Buenos Aires. In Africa mention should be made of the French academy at Algiers (1879), the Mohammedan school of al-Azhar at Cairo (1896), and the university of the Cape of Good Hope (1873), though the latter, like the universities in India, is only an examining body.

(E. Horn.)

Underneath the history of the university in America is the development, through the influence of the American college, of a national interest in higher education, in some of its local aspects perhaps less developed and provincial, but always sincere and often self-sacrificing and heroic. The historic beginning of higher education in America is found in the grant in 1636, by the General Court of Massachusetts Bay, of £400 for the establishment of a college; a few years later, the college received a bequest from John Harvard of half his estate besides half his excellent library. In these two transactions appears the dual economic foundation upon

versities. Foundations.

which have been reared all the institu-14. Amer- tions of higher learning in America, ican Uni- namely, the voluntary support of the State and private benefaction. State Economic aid has come in the form of exemption from taxation of property devoted to educational purposes; the grant of public lands to educational institutions;

appropriations from the general revenues; the levying of special taxes or the application of specified taxes to the support of schools, colleges, and universities. The private benefactions have included individual gifts running from paltry sums to millions of dollars and concerted movements for the raising of endowments and other funds. Perhaps no other phenomenon of the twentieth century will be more significant than the princely gifts to the higher education which have marked its first decade; with these gifts has come the accompanying recognition of the place of the university in the higher life of the American people, a recognition seen both in the share which falls directly to the universities and in the proportion of university officers who have been made trustees in charge of the disbursement of the gifts. The total private benefactions for the year 1907-08, as reported to the United States Commissioner of Education by 464 institutions of higher learning, amounted to \$14,820,955, while the gifts for the previous year were greater by more than eight million dollars. The total value of the property of the institutions reporting was \$576,899,342, nearly half of which consists of productive endowments.

The universities of America present most diversified forms of organization; they may be roughly divided into three classes according to the basis of control. (1) State universities, which

15. Types of are controlled ultimately by the state American governments, though the direct control Universities. is generally vested in a board of regents

or trustees, whose membership may be appointive or elective, according to the law of the particular state. The state university, especially in the western states, is a vital part of the public school system, over all of which it is exerting an increasing influence. Typical examples are the universities of Michigan, Illinois, Wisconsin, and California. (2) Quasi-public universities, which are controlled by boards of trustees, generally self-perpetuating, to membership in which, in theory at least, all men of reputable standing are eligible. Of this type are most of the older foundations in the eastern states (e.g., Harvard, Yale, and Princeton universities), where state-controlled universities have never attained to great importance. In many cases, the alumni have some representative share in the control of this type of university. (3) Denominational universities, which by their charters are controlled by organized religious bodies or which place some religious qualification for membership in the legal board of control. In this third group belong the University of Chicago, Brown University, and the Roman Catholic universities, representing three different forms of religious control.

While there have been some noticeable movements in certain institutions of the second group toward a closer relationship with the State, as in the case of Cornell University, a still more noteworthy phenomenon of recent years has been the transfer of colleges and universities from the third to the second of these groups, under the influence of the Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching. The question of the ethics and the wisdom of the change has been frequently raised, but the break from the earlier denominational relationship has usually been one of legal form rather than of actual severance of historic traditions. While ultimate control of all university activities remains in the boards which control the property, the faculty, the teaching force of the institution, generally has wide powers in all matters pertaining to education itself and the discipline of the students.

Probably no satisfactory definition can be made which will differentiate between the college and the university in America. The tests of European

usage are not available here. 16. Activi- American university need not comties of prise the four standard faculties of the American German university, nor is it made up Universities. necessarily of a group of colleges. Probably the most essential require-

ment of the American university is that it shall afford to those who have had a collegiate training the opportunity for research and advancement in higher learning; in proportion as this opportunity is present does the institution deserve in America the name "university." To Johns Hopkins University is generally given the honor of having first met this essential requirement, while Harvard University, under the lead of Dr. Charles W. Eliot, first adopted the elective system by which, with various local adjustments, the American college has in many cases been able to raise itself to the university standard, thus keeping the development of the American university in most vital relationship with the college. In recent years there has been a noteworthy movement on the part of the American universities to get closer to the people through various forms of social service. In this, the University of Wisconsin has perhaps gained the leadership, through its efficient schemes of university extension, involving the spread of pure culture, the application of the natural sciences, even the application of the social sciences through assistance rendered to the legislative and municipal bodies. In these various ways the universities are developing a life which is making them perhaps at the present time the most representative of all American institutions, combining freedom and responsibility, idealism and practicality.

Comprehensive statistics of the American colleges and universities may be found in the Annual Reports of the Commissioner of Education.

WILLIAM H. ALLISON.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: General works are: H. Rashdall, Universities INLIGHAPHY: General works are: H. Rashall, Unwerstres of Europe in the Middle Ages, 2 vols., Oxford, 1895; F. C. von Savigny, Geschichte des römischen Rechts im Mittelalter, 7 vols., Heidelberg, 1826–51; J. H. Newman, Historical Sketches, vol. i., London, 1873; H. Denifle, Die Universitäten des Mittelalters, Berlin, 1885; S. S. Laurie, Lectures on the Ries of Universities, London, 1886; E. Emerton, Mediaval Europe (814–1300), pp. 452–453, 465–471, Boston, 1896; J. R. Mott, Universities and Colleges as Related

to the Progress of Christianity, London, 1897; G. H. Putnam, Books and their Makers during the Middle Ages, i. 178 sqq., New York, 1897; idem, Censorship of the Church of Rome (consult Index), 2 vols., ib. 1907; O. Thatcher and F. Schwill, Europe in the Middle Age, pp. 597-601, ib. 1900; S. G. Williams, Hist. of Mediaval Education, 1b. 1900; S. G. Williams, Hist. of Medicard Education, Syracuse, 1908; D. C. Munro and G. C. Sellery, Medicard Civilization, pp. 145-147, 217-218, 348-367, et passin, New York, 1904; J. B. Mullinger, The Schools of Charles the Great, new ed., Cambridge and New York, 1911; J. M. the Great, new ed., Cambridge and New York, 1911; J. m. Stone, Reformation and Renaissance (c. 1377-1610), London, 1904; C. F. Thwing, Universities of the World, New York, 1911; F. A. Ogg, Source Book of Mediavad Hist., pp. 340-351, New York, 1908; F. P. Graves, A History of Education before the Middle Ages, ib. 1909; Schaff, Christian Church, v. 1, chap. xi.; Henderson, Documents, pp. 262-262

On the British Empire: R. Lethbridge, Higher Educa-On the British Empire: R. Lethbridge, Higher Education in India, London, 1882; W. R. Roberts, British Universities, Manchester, 1892; R. C. Jebb, The Work of the
Universities for the Nation, Cambridge, 1893; J. F. Willard,
The Royal Authority and the Early English Universities,
Philadelphia, 1902; L. Hutton, Literary Landmarks of
the Scottish Universities, New York, 1904; J. Kerr, Scottish Education. School and University from the Earliest
Times to 1908, Edinburgh, 1910; C. Innes, Fasti Aberdonenses, Aberdeen, 1854; C. H. Cooper, Annals of Cambridge, 4 vols., Cambridge, 1842-52; idem. Athena Cantebridge, 4 vols., Cambridge, 1842-52; idem, Athena Canta-brigienses, 2 vols., London, 1858-61; J. B. Mullinger, Hist. of the University of Cambridge, 3 vols., Cambridge, new ed., of the University of Cambridge, 3 vols., Cambridge, new ed., 1911; idem, a smaller independent work with same title, London, 1888; A. H. Thompson, Cambridge and its Colleges, New York, 1899; W. B. S. Taylor, Hist. of the University of Dublin, London, 1845; J. W. Stubbs, Hist. of the University of Dublin, Dublin, 1890; Sir A. Grant, Story of the University of Edinburgh, London, 1884; W. Stewart, The University of Glasgow, Glasgow, 1891; E. V. Vaughan, Origin and Early Designment of the English University. The University of Glasgow, Giasgow, 1891; E. V. Vaughan, Origin and Early Deselopment of the English Universities, Columbia, Mo., 1908; J. Coutts, A History of the University of Glasgow. From its Foundation in 1451 to 1909, London, 1910; A. à Wood, Athena Ozonienses, ed. P. Bliss, 4 vols., ib. 1813-20; H. C. M. Lyte, Hist. of the University of Oxford, Oxford, 1886; G. C. Brodrick, Hist. of the University of Oxford, London, 1887; A. Clark, The College of Oxford in 1902.

Colleges of Ozford, ib. 1893.

For the United States: A. Ten Brook, American State Universities, Cincinnati, 1875; P. de Coubertin, Universities transallantiques, Paris, 1890; A. Zimmerman, Die Universitäten in den Vereinigten Staaten Amerikas, Freiburg, 1896; J. L. Chamberlain and others, Universities and their Sons, 5 vols., Boston, 1899 (Harvard, New York University, and University of Pennsylvania); E. S. A. Robson, Report of a Visit to American Educational Institutions, London, 1905; J. Corbin, Which College for the Boy? Leading Types in American Education, Boston, 1908; E. E. Slosson, Great American Universities, London, 1910; J. H. Reynolds Fayetteville, Ark., 1910; W. C. Jones, Illustrated Hist. of the University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, Ark., 1910; W. C. Jones, Illustrated Hist. of the University of California, Berkeley, 1902; J. H. Van Amringe, Historical Sketch of Columbia College, New York, Amringe, Historical Sketch of Columbia College, New York, 1876; A. D. White, Scenery of Ithaca, New York, 1866 (on Cornell); J. G. Schurmann, A Generation of Cornell, 1868–1898, New York, 1898; W. T. Hewett, Cornell University, 4 vols., Ithaca, 1905; B. P. Smith, Hist, of Dartmouth College, Boston, 1878; F. Chase, Hist, of Dartmouth College, Cambridge, Mass., 1891; S. A. Eliot, A Sketch of the Hist, of Harvard College, Boston, 1878; F. O. Vaille and H. A. Chalf, The Harvard, Bosh 2, vols. ib. 1670. Clark, The Harvard Book, 2 vols., ib. 1879; S. B. Harding, Indiana University, Bloomington, Ind., 1905; J. W. Andrews, Historical Sketch of Marietta College, Cincinnati, 1876; E. M. Farrand, Hist. of the University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, 1885; B. A. Hinsdale, Hist, of the University of Michigan, ib. 1907; A. H. Wilde, Northwestern University, 4 vols., New York, 1906; H. Garst, Otterbein University, Dayton, O., 1908; T. H. Montgomery, Hist. of the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, 1887; J. Mac-Unspersity of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, 1887; J. Maclean, Hist. of the College of New Jersey, Philadelphia, 1877; J. F. Hageman, Hist. of Princeton and its Institutions, 2 vols., Philadelphia, 1879; K. P. Battle, Hist. of the University of North Carolina, Raleigh, N. C., 1907; M. Laborde, Hist. of the South Carolina College, Charleston, 1874; Van V. Raymond, Union University, 3 vols., New York, 1907; G. R. Fairbanks, Hist. of the University of the South, Jacksonville, Fla., 1906; T. Jefferson and J. C. Cubell,

Early Hist. of the University of Virginia, Richmond, 1856; M. M. Fisher, Hist. of Westminster College . . . to 1903, ed. J. J. Price, Columbia, Mo., 1903; A. L. Chapin, Historical Sketches of the Colleges of Wisconsin, Madison, 1876; F. B. Dexter, Sketch of the Hist. of Yale University, New York, 1887; H. A. Brann, History of the American College of the Roman Catholic Church of the U. S., ib. 1910.

R. B. Dexter, Sketch of the Hist. of Yale University, New York, 1887; H. A. Brann, History of the American College of the Roman Catholic Church of the U. S., ib. 1910.

For Germany consult: A. Tholuck, Das akademische Leben des 17. Jahrhunderts, 2 parts, Halle, 1853-54; P. Schaff, Germany, its Universities, Theology, and Religion, Philadelphia, 1857; F. Zarncke, Die deutschen Universitäten im Mittelalter, Leipsic, 1857; O. Dolch, Geschichte des deutschen Studententums, ib. 1858; K. von Raumer, Geschichte der Pädagogik, vol. iv., 4 parts, 4th ed., Gütersloh, 1872; M. Arnold, Higher Schools and Universities in Germany, London, 1874; J. Conrad, The German Universities, New York, 1874; J. Conrad, The German Universities, Glasgow, 1885; C. M. Thorden, Under the Shade of German Universities, Upsala, 1883; L. Caron, L'Allemagne universities, Upsala, 1883; L. Caron, L'Allemagne universities, Upsala, 1883; L. Caron, L'Allemagne universities, Diesen, 1888-96; F. Paulsen, Geschichte des gelehrten Unterrichte auf den deutschen Schulen und Universitäten, Berlin, 1902, Eng. transl., German Universitäten, New York, 1906; G. 1896-97; idem, Die deutschen Universitäten, Berlin, 1902, Eng. transl., German Universitäte de Bonn, Paris, 1879; J. G. L. Kosegarten, Geschichte der Universität Greifswald, 2 parts, Leipsic, 1857; J. F. Hauts, Geschichte der Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, 1886; J. Probst, Geschichte der Universität Guellen zur Geschichte der Universität Leipzige, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1857; K. von Prantl, Geschichte der Ludwig-Marimilians-Universität, 2 vols. Munnheim, 1862-64; E. Winkelmann, Urkundenbuch der Universität Leipzige, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1857; K. von Prantl, Geschichte der Ludwig-Marimilians-Universität, 2 vols. Munnheim, 1862-64; E. Winkelmann, Urkundenbuch der Universität Leipzige, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1857; K. von Prantl, Geschichte der Ludwig-Marimilians-Universität, 2 vols. Munnheim, 1862-64; E. Winkelmann, Tübingen, 1849; F. X. Wegele, Geschichte der Universität Würzburg, 2 vols., Würzburg, 1882.

For France consult: É. Beaussire, L'Université sous la troisième république, Paris, 1884; M. Fournier, Les Statuts et privilèges des universités françaises, 3 vols., Paris, 1890-92; C. Du Boulay, Historia Universitatis Parisiensis, ib. 1665; E. Dubarle, Hist de l'université de Paris, 2 vols., ib. 1844; C. Thurot, D. l'organization et l'enseignement dans l'université de Paris, ib. 1850; H. Denifle and A. Chatelain, Chartularium Universitatis Parisiensis, 4 vols., ib. 1889-97; L. Liard, L'Université de Paris, 2 vols., ib. 1909; MGH, Leges, ii. 114, cf. D. C. Munro in University of Pennsylvania Translations and Reprints, ii. no. 3, pp. 2-7 (on the University of Paris); A. Lefranc, Hist. du Collège de France, Paris 1892; L. Legrand, L'Université de Douai. Douay. 1888.

versité de Douai, Douay, 1888.
On other countries: F von Krones, Geschichte der . . .
Universität in Graz, Gras, 1886; W. W. Tomek, Geschichte der Prager Universität, Prague, 1849; R. Kink, Geschichte der . . . Universität zu Wien, 2 vols., Vienna, 1854; J. von Aschlbach Geschichte der Wiener Universität, 3 vols., ib. 1889; R. A. Renvall, Finlands Universitet, Helsingfors, 1891; J. Kirkpatrick, The University of Bologna, London, 1888; V. de la Fuente, Historia de las Universidades . . en España, 2 vols., Madrid, 1884-85; G. Reynier, La Vie universitaire dans l'ancienne Espagne, ib. 1902; W. Vischer, Geschichte der Universität Basel, Basel, 1880; H. Mayer, Geschichte der Universität Basel, Basel, 1890; C. Borgeaud, Histoire de l'université de Genève. L'Académie de Calvin dans l'université de Napoléon 1798-1814, Geneva, 1909.

UPHAM, FRANCIS WILLIAM: Layman; b. at Rochester, Stafford County, N. H., Sept. 10, 1817; d. in New York Oct. 17, 1895. He was graduated from Bowdoin (ollege, Brunswick, Me., 1837; admitted to the bar of Massachusetts, 1844; was professor of mental and moral philosophy and lecturer on history in Rutgers Female College, New York, 1867-70. He was the author of The Debate between the Church and Science, or the Ancient Hebraic Idea

of the Six Days of Creation; with an Essay on the Literary Character of Tayler Lewis (published anonymously, Andover, 1860); The Wise Men: who they were, and how they came to Jerusalem (New York, 1869); The Star of our Lord, or Jesus Christ King of all Worlds, both of Time and Space; with Thoughts on Inspiration, and the Astronomic Doubt as to Christianity (1873); Thoughts on the Holy Gospels: her they came to be in Manner and Form as they are (1881); and First Words from God (1894).

UPHAM, THOMAS COGSWELL: Congregationalist; b. at Deerfield, N. H., Jan. 30, 1799; d. in New York Apr. 2, 1872. He was graduated from Dartmouth College (1818) and from Andover Theological Seminary (1821); taught Hebrew in Andover from 1821-23; was pastor at Rochester, N. H., for a year; professor of mental and moral philosophy in Bowdoin College, Brunswick, Me., 1824-67; retired to Kennebunkport, Me., 1867, and lived without charge till his death. He was a voluminous writer, and did good service in his day, and deserves to be remembered as one of the earliest advocates of international peace by peace tribunals, an idea represented in The Manual of Peace, Embracing I. Evils and Remedies of War, II. Suggestions on the Law of Nations, III. Considerations of a Congress of Nations (New York, 1836; part III. was reprinted by the American Peace Society, Boston, 1840). Another useful service was in translating Jahn's Biblical Archaeology (Andover, 1823). He did much in philosophy, his work on the Will (Portland, 1834) and his text-book on Mental Philosophy (1839) being noteworthy. His interest in Madame Guyon led him to write her life and to bring out a translation of her Method of Prayer. Other books were his biography of Madame Catherine Adorna (4th ed., Boston, 1856); and Letters Written from Europe, Egypt and Palestine (Brunswick, 1855).

UR OF THE CHALDEES. See BABYLONIA, IV., § 3.

URBAN: The name of eight popes.

Urban I.: Pope 222-230. He succeeded Calixtus I., but nothing is known concerning his pontificate. The Liber pontificalis places his death on May 19 and the martyrology of Jerome on May 25. He seems to have been interred in the cemetery of Calixtus, where an inscription has been found which probably marked his grave; yet the Liber pontificalis buries him in the cemetery of Pretextatus.

(A. HAUCK.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Lüber pontificalis, ed. Mommsen in MGH, Gest. pont. Rom., i (1898), 22-23; Bower, Popes, i. 22; Platina, Popes, i. 31-43; DCB, iv. 1062-64; ASB, May, vi. 11-14; K. J. Neumann, Der römische Staat und die allgemeine Kirche, i. 314-316, Leipsic, 1890.

Urban II. (Odo de Lagny): Pope 1088-99. He was born of knightly descent at Chatillon-sur-Marne and early adopted a clerical career, receiving deep impressions from Bruno of Cologne (q.v.). After being archdeacon of Reims, he entered the monastery of Cluny, where he rose to be prior, but was called to Italy by Gregory VII. and created cardinal bishop of Ostia in 1078, and was elected to the papal throne (Mar. 12, 1088). Though he declared himself a follower of Gregory VII. in all

e was far less drastic, more politic, and so ly more successful. At first, however, the of the antipope Clement III. (see Guibert MNA) being more numerous than his own, bliged to withdraw from Rome (1089). He ynod at Melfi, southern Italy, on Sept. 10, ich condemned simony, lay investiture, and riage of the clergy. He returned to Rome, unable to hold the city; from 1090 to 1093 in exile, but meanwhile was not idle. He ods and devoted special attention to affairs any. For a time it seemed as though peace Emperor Henry IV. might be restored, but rial refusal to abandon Clement, the antid thus to end the schism frustrated such Urban strengthened his position with both an and his German allies by promoting a between the younger Guelf of Bavaria Margravine Matilda, his strongest supporter (1089), by assisting Conrad in rebellion his father (1093), and by availing himself mpress Adelheid's treason toward her hus-194). The result of all this was the fall of nd the consolidation of Urban's power. summer of 1094 Urban left Rome and trily traversed central and northern Italy, great synod at Piacenza (Mar. 1-7, 1095), ondemned simony and the marriage of denied the validity of the ordinations by and his adherents, and renewed the angainst them. He received an embassy from eror Alexius, imploring western aid against ems. Urban echoed the embassy's appeal. result was the beginning of the crusades, of which was proclaimed at a synod held n at Clermont, France (Nov. 18-28, 1095; ADES, § 1). The "peace of God" (see God) was declared to be universally bindthe regulations for the prevention of simony investiture were renewed and made more But most important was the enthusiasm 1 by Urban for the crusades, whereby the ame the real head of the western world. In g of 1096 Urban held synods at Tours and nd then returned to Italy, where the pres-Ienry and Clement was broken. Toward of the year Urban resumed residence in d in Jan., 1097, held a synod in the Lateran, ct. 3, 1098, one at Bari, which was of genortance for its decisions concerning the n of the Holy Ghost. A second Roman us held in St. Peter's on Apr. 24-30, 1099, tly afterward, on July 29, 1099, Urban

PRY: The Epistola et privilegia are in MPL, vol. 16, Regesta, pp. 657 sqq.; C. Grünhagen, Vita II., Halle, 1848; A. de Brimont, Un pape au ge, Urbain II., Paris, 1862; J. M. Watterich, um pontificum . vita, i. 571 sqq., Leipeic, von Reumont, Geschichte der Stadt Rom, vol. ii., 1868; M. F. Stern, Zur Biographie des Papstes . Berlin, 1883; G. Meyer von Knonau, Jahrbuch chen Reichs unter Heinrich IV. und V., Leipeic, .; J. Langen, Geschichte der römischen Kirchen, Bonn, 1893; L. Bernard, Le Bienheureux Urbain, 1896; F. Gregorovius, Hist. of City of Rome, qq., London, 1896; G. Richter, Annalen des Reichs im Zeitalter der Ottonen, Halle, 1898; pes, vii. 245-346; Bower, Popes, ii. 413-426;

(A. HAUCK.)

Platina, Popes, ii. 13-18; Milman, Latin Christianity, iii. 500-523, iv. 26-29; T. Ruinart, Vita... Urbans II., iii. MPL, cli. 9-266; Hauck, KD, vol. iii.; Hefele, Conciliengeschichte, vol. v.; and the literature under Causades.

Urban III. (Uberto Crevelli): Pope 1185-87. Born at Milan and created cardinal by Lucius III., he became archbishop of Milan in 1185, and pope Nov. 25 of the same year. The struggle with Emperor Frederick I. held over from the previous pontificate. Urban repeated his predecessor's demands and retained the see of Milan. Thereupon Frederick appropriated the estates of deceased bishops and the revenues of dioceses during a sedis vacantia (see SEDIS VACANS); Urban refused to crown Frederick's son, Henry VI., sought to weaken the allegiance of the German bishops, supported Cremona in its revolt, and, when Frederick reduced the city, consecrated Folmar archbishop of Treves in defiance of the emperor. Later he cited Frederick to appear at Verona, and threatened him with excommunication, but died at Ferrara on Oct. 19, 1187, before he could carry out his intention. (A. HAUCK.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The Epistola et privilegia are in MPL, vol. ccii. Consult: Jaffé, Regesta, ii. 854; J. M. Watterich, Romanorum pontificum... vita, ii. 663 sqq., Leipsic, 1862; A. von Reumont, Geschichte der Stadt Rom, vol. ii., Berlin, 1868; H. Pruts, Kaiser Friedrich I., vol. iii., Dansig, 1873; J. Langen, Geschichte der römischen Kirche, iv. 564 sqq., Bonn, 1893; W. von Giesebrecht, Geschichte der deutschen Kaiserzeit, vi. 114 sqq., Brunswick, 1895; F. Gregorovius, Hist. of the City of Rome, iv. 612-614, London, 1896; Bower, Popes, ii. 527-528; Platins, Popes, ii. 60-62; Milman, Latin Christianity, iv. 440-443; Hauck, KD, iv. 304 sqq.

Urban IV. (Jacques Pantaleon): Pope 1261-64. He was educated at Laon and Paris, was canon at Laon, canon and archdeacon at Liége, papal nuncio in Silesia, Poland, Prussia, and Pomerania (1247), archdeacon of Laon (1249), and in 1253 bishop of Verdun. Two years later Alexander IV. appointed him patriarch of Jerusalem, and on Sept. 4, 1261, he succeeded his patron on the papal throne. His first care was the restoration of papal supremacy in Rome and its vicinity. In Germany he sought to continue the confusion that already existed, being determined on the destruction of the Hohenstaufen line. In 1263 the crown of Naples and Sicily was offered to Charles, duke of Anjou. Before Charles entered Italy, however, Urban died at Perugia, Oct. 2, 1264. The sole ecclesiastical events of his pontificate were the general introduction of the festival of Corpus Christi (q.v.), and the negotiations for union with the Greek Church. (A. HAUCK.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: His "Registers" were edited by G. Guiraud, 2 vols., Paris, 1901; cf. MGH, Epist., iii (1883), 474 sqq. Consult: F. von Raumer, Geschichte der Hohenstaufen und ührer Zeit, iv. 422 sqq., Leipsic, 1841; C. de Cherrier, Hist. de la lutte des papes . . . de la maison de Souabe, iii. 113 sqq., Paris, 1858; J. B. Magnan, Vie du pape Urbain IV., Paris, 1863; E. Georges, Hist. du pape Urbain IV., Paris, 1865; A. von Reumont, Geschichte der Stadt Rom, vol. ii., Berlin, 1867; F. Schirtmacher, Die letzten Hohenstaufen, Göttingen, 1871; O. Posse, Analecta Vaticana, pp. 15 sqq., 128 sqq., Innsbruck, 1878; F. Tenckhoff, Der Kampf der Hohenstaufen um die Mark Ancona, Paderborn, 1893; F. Gregorovius, Hist. of the City of Rome, v. 343 sqq., London, 1897; K. Hampe, Urban IV. und Manfred, Heidelberg, 1905; Bower, Popes, ii. 571-574; Platina, Popes, ii. 94-97; Milman, Latin Christianity, vi. 80-91.

Urban V.: Pope 1362-70. He was born at Grisac (in the neighborhood of Mende), southern France,

entered the Benedictine order, becoming abbot of St. Germanus at Auxerre and of St. Victor at Marseilles. He was repeatedly employed as papal legate by Clement VI. and Innocent VI., and was enthroned pope at Avignon on Oct. 28, 1362. He was one of the last popes to interest himself in the crusades, but his attention was practically absorbed In upper by more urgent matters nearer home. Italy Bernabo Visconti was developing his power, and when he refused to obey the summons of the new pope, he was placed under the ban and made the object of an unsuccessful crusade (Mar. 3, 1363). The pope deemed it advisable to return to Italy, and, despite the protests of the French cardinals and the French court, Urban left Avignon on Apr. 30, 1367, and landed in Italy near Corneto on June 4, entering Rome on Oct. 16. Italy, however, remained in disorder; Perugia rebelled (1369) and was reduced only by force; even the visits of Joanna of Naples and of Charles IV. to Rome and the conversion of the Greek Emperor John Palæologus to the Roman Church could not hide the fact that the object of the pope's return had not been attained. Urban therefore resolved to go back to Avignon. Despite the warning of St. Bridget of Sweden that he would die if he returned to Avignon, and against the pleas of the Romans, by Sept. 24, 1370, Urban was again at Avignon, where, on Dec. 19 of the same year, he died. While Urban protested repeatedly against various ecclesiastical abuses, he lacked the strength necessary for the conditions that confronted him. He made important architectural improvements on the Lateran Hill in Rome, in the churches of SS. Peter and Paul, and in the papal palace at Avignon, besides founding a college at Montpellier for students of medicine. (A. HAUCK.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: For original documents consult the Turin ed. of the Bullarium Romanum, iv. 519 sqq., 1859; A. Theiner, Codex diplomaticus, ii. 4038 sqq., Rome, 1862; and Lettres des papes d'Avignon, vol. v., Paris, 1906. The Vita by Aymeric with other documents is in E. Baluze, Vita paparum Avenionensium, i. 363-424, Paris, 1693; and in Muratori, Scriptores, iii. 2, pp. 610-642. Consult further: Creighton, Papacy, i. 55-56, 115, 355; Pastor, Papes, i. 54, 95-99, 126; J. B. Magnan, Hist. d'Urbain V. et de son siècle, Paris, 1862; A. von Reumont, Geschichte der Stadt Rom, ii. 937, Berlin, 1867; M. Prou, Relations politiques du pape Urbain V. avec les rois de France, Paris, 1888; M. Souchon, Die Papstwahlen von Bonifaz VIII. bis Urban VI., pp. 66 sqq., Brunswick, 1888; Louise Guiraud, Les Fondations du pape Urbain V. à Montpellier, 3 vols., Paris, 1889-91; E. Wernnsky, Geschichte König Karls IV., iii. 266 sqq., Innsbruck, 1892; Württembergische Geschichtsquellen, ii. 448 sqq., Stuttgart, 1895; C. Locke, The Age of the Great Western Schism, pp. 26, 72-75, 299, New York, 1896; J. H. Albanis, Actes anciens et documents concernant . . Urbain V., vol. i., Paris, 1897; J. P. Kirsch, Die Rückkehr der Päpate Urban V. und Gregor XI., Paderborn, 1898; Bower, Popes, iii. 109-116; Platina, Popes, ii. 160-162; Milman, Latin Christianity, vii. 209-218.

Urban VI. (Bartolomeo Prignano): Pope 1378–1389. He was born at Naples about 1318, studied canon law, became archbishop of Averenza, and of Bari in 1377; and was enthroned as pope Apr. 9, 1378. A man of the utmost personal integrity and a firm opponent of all abuses, Urban yet had the unfortunate faculty of antagonizing all with whom he came in contact. He soon alienated the support of the college of cardinals, and the French members formed a conspiracy against him, asserting that the

cardinals had been forced by the populace to elect Urban, and that the election was, therefore, invalid His opponents went to Fondi and elected Cardinal Robert of Geneva pope on Sept. 20, 1378, under the name of Clement VII. Clement was supported by all the cardinals except four Italians, as well as by Joanna of Naples, by France, and, eventually, by Scotland, Savoy, Castile, Aragon, Navarre, and Loraine. Urban created a large number of new cardinals, and was supported by Catharine of Sienna (q.v.), Catharine, the daughter of Saint Bridget of Sweden (q.v.), Charles IV., England, the northern and eastern lands, and a great part of Germany.

Urban being master of Rome, Clement hasten to Naples, but so unfavorable was his reception that he determined to go to Avignon, and on June 10, 1379, landed at Marseilles. Meanwhile Joanna sought to make terms with Urban, but the pope declared her deprived of her kingdom, and crowned the heir of Naples, Charles of Durazzo, king of Jerusalem and Sicily. But then, suspecting that some of his cardinals were conspiring with Charles for his deposition, he put the latter under the ban and Naples under an interdict. Charles, in his turn, besieged the pope in Naples; but Urban was finally set free and reached Genoa, where he remained until Dec., 1386. Thence he went to Lucca, and from there to Perugia, but, after an unsuccessful attempt to restore the papal power in Naples, was forced to return to Rome in Oct., 1388. There he remained until his death on Oct. 15, 1389, his only acts of moment being to make the thirty-third year the year of jubilee and to introduce the feast of the Visitation of the Blessed Virgin Mary.

(A. HAUCK.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Sources are: The Turin ed. of the Bullarium Romanum, iv. 580-601; Theodorici de Nyem de schismate, ed. G. Erler, Leipsic, 1890; and the Vita in Baluze, Vita paparum Avenionensium, Paris, 1693. Consult: Creighton, Papacy, i. 64-67, 69 sqq., 363-365; Pastor, Popes, i. 118-145 et passim; A. von Reumont, Geschichte der Stadt Rom, ii. 1015, Berlin, 1867; Lindner, in ZKG, iii (1879), 409-428, 525-546; G. Erler, Dietrich von Nicheim, Leipsic, 1887; M. Souchon, Die Papstwahlen von Bonifas VII. bis Urban VI., pp. 81 sqq., Brunswick, 1888; L. Gayet, Le Grand Schisme d'occident, vol. i., Paris, 1889; R. Jahr, Die Wahl Urbans VI., Halle, 1892; Sauerland, in Historisches Jahrbuch der Görres-Gesellschaft, xiv (1893), 820-832; C. Locke, The Age of the Great Western Schism, pp. 85-102, New York, 1896; N. Valois, La France et le grand schisme, vol. i., Paris, 1896; F. P. Bliemetzrieder, Das Generalkonzil, pp. 1 sqq., Paderborn, 1904; Hefele, Conciliengeschichte, vi. 727-807; Bower, Popes, iii. 124-142; Platina, Popes, ii. 166-176; Milman, Latin Christianity, vii. 233-263; KL, xii. 446-450.

Urban VII. (Giovanni Baptista Castagna): Pope 1590. He was born at Rome in 1521, was elected pope Sept. 15, 1590, but died on the twelfth day following.

K. Benrath.

Bibliography: L. Arrighi, Urbani VII. vita, Bonona, 1614; A. Chacon (Giaconius), Vita et res pesta pontificum Romanorum, iv. 201 sqq., Rome, 1677; Ranke, Popes, ii. 32 sqq.; Bower, Popes, iii. 325; KL, xii. 450-451.

Urban VIII. (Maffeo Barberini): Pope 1623-44. He was born at Florence in 1568, and was repeatedly employed by Clement VIII. and Paul V. on diplomatic missions to the French court. In 1605 he was created cardinal, and succeeded to the papal chair at the age of fifty-five. He had received a humanistic training, showed constant predilection for

literature, and even left some poems. He gave two nts to the Society of Jesus, Ignatius of Loyola and Francis Xavier, and canonised Philip of Neri. The time of his pontificate is wholly covered by the Thirty-Years' War (q.v.); and toward this his policy was naturally directed. According to Gregorovius (Urben VIII., p. 7, Stuttgart, 1879), he "waived the Roman Catholic principle in the case of that war," and turned his attention solely to the question of political domination. So as to limit the power of the emperor, when the house of Gonzaga came extinct, he favored the accession of Mantua to the French line of Nevers, and this transfer was confirmed in 1630. In the great war itself, his favor for the opponents of the house of Austria was undesiable, though this was consonant with the sharpest antipathy toward the Protestants (cf. his brief of June 28, 1631, in which he exults over the destruction of Magdeburg by Tilly, and his rejoicing over the death of Gustavus Adolphus).

Urban VIII. was the last pope who was able to expand the Papal States (q.v.), which he did by the aquestration of Urbino as a vacated tenure. He also erected fortifications at threatened points, as at the north boundary of the legation of Bologna, where he built the fortress Castelfranco, named Fortema Urbano; fortified Castle Sant' Angelo at Rome; and completed and secured the port of Gvita Vecchia. He was also the last pope who used repotism on a large scale. If he did not make sovcreigns of the Barberini, he made them the richest landed proprietors in the Papal States, and this position they attempted to improve by an unsuccenful war on the duke of Parma. It is significant of this pope that he celebrated the memory of Countess Matilda of Tuscany, who laid the foundation for the temporal sovereignty. He had her ashes removed from S. Benedetto near Mantua, and reared for her a magnificent monument in St. Peter's, Rome. K. BENRATH.

RILLOGRAPHY: A. Nicoletti, Vita di Papa Urbano VIII. (§ vols. of MS. in the Barberini Library, Rome; cf. on it Ranke, Popes, iii. 400-407); A. Chacon (Ciaconius), Vita d res gesta pontificum Romanorum, vol. iii., Rome, 1677; A. von Reumont, Beiträge sur italienischen Geschichte, v. 117-171, Berlin, 1857; idem, Geschichte der Stadt Rom, ii. 2, pp. 611-622, ib. 1870; J. Hergenröther, Katholische Kircke und christlicher Staat, pp. 712 sqq., Freiburg, 1872; P. Gregorovius, Urban VIII. im Widerstreit zu Spanien und dem Kaiser, Stuttgart, 1879; M. Brosch, Geschichte den Kirckenstaats, vol. 1., Gotha, 1880; Ehses, in Historiuche Jahrbuch der Görres-Gesellschaft, xi (1895), 336-341; 0. Klopp, Der dreiszigjährige Krieg, iii. 2, pp. 659-674, Padestorn, 1896; Ranke, Popes, ii. 263-271, 281 sqq., et passim; Bower, Popes, iii. 329-330; KL, xii. 451-452.

URIEL: An archangel, mentioned only in apocryphal and pseudepigraphical literature, chiefly in II Esdras and Enoch. He rules over the (angelic) host and over Tartarus (Enoch xx. 2), and accordingly is the divine guide for Enoch through the under-world. In this capacity Uriel tells Enoch where the fallen angels will have their abodes in hell, both for a period of 10,000 years and then for all eternity (xviii. 11-xix. 3), where the wicked of markind will dwell in hell, and where the righteous will have their homes in heaven (xxvii. 2-4), besides revealing to him various other divine mysteries (xxiii. 3-4). As an angel of the under-world, he,

together with Michael, Gabriel, and Raphael, will bring from Tartarus the souls of the dead for judgment at the Last Day, Uriel's division comprising especially the Titans, the giants who perished in the flood, and those who have died by drowning, who have been burned to death, or who have been devoured by birds, beasts, and creeping things (Sibylline Oracles, ii. 215 sqq.). According to the Life of Adam and Eve, xlviii., Uriel and Michael are commanded by the Lord to wrap the bodies of Adam and Abel in linen and to bury them in Paradise, this forming the model for burial to be followed by Seth and his mother. It is likewise probably as an angel of the under-world that he is sent to warn Noah of the impending deluge (Enoch x. 1-3).

Uriel also appears as an angel giving warning of the future in II Esdras, where he tells the signs of the times to come, although with much reluctance, since man's understanding is unable to comprehend the judgments of God, nor can Esdras himself perform such relatively simple tasks as "weigh me the weight of the fire, or measure me the blast of the wind, or call me again the day that is past " (II Esdras iv.-v.). Nevertheless, by divine command Uriel again appears to Esdras later and explains to him the meaning of a vision (II Esdras x. 28 sqq.). According to fragments of the lost Prayer of Joseph, Uriel was the angel who wrestled with Jacob, Uriel declaring that he had descended to earth and taken up his abode among men, who called him Jacob, and Jacob's reply being that he himself was "Israel, the archangel," below whom Uriel was eighth in rank (J. A. Fabricius, Codex pseudepigraphus Veteris Testamenti, 2d ed., i. 766, Hamburg, 1722); and the same book is said to have represented Jacob as conversing both with Uriel and with Raphael (ib. p. 768).

The name Uriel denotes "Fire of God" (cf. also the Hebr. proper names Uri, Uriah, Urijah, and Palmyrene Nurbel, "Fire of Bel" or "Bel is Fire"), and from this fact his connection with Gehenna, and consequently his aspect as an angel of the underworld, becomes obvious. In later Jewish mysticism he was believed to be the source of the heat of the day in winter and to be the angel of Sunday. His name is found in Greek magic papyri, and it was taught by a French rabbi of the thirteenth century that if Uriel's name is repeated ten times in one breath in the morning, the day will be lucky (cf. further, L. Blau, in JE, xii. 383).

The name of Uriel was also borne by a Kohathite chieftain (I Chron. vi. 24, xv. 5, 11) and by a man from Gibeah who was the grandfather of Abijah (II Chron. xiil. 2).

URIM, yūrim, AND THUMMIM, thum'im:
Media employed by the Hebrews in obtaining divine oracles. Concerning the nature and method
of employing them there is much doubt; even from
the time of Josephus and Philo an
The Basal abundance of conjecture concerning
Scriptural them is in evidence, but no satisfacPassages. tory solution. Two sets of data ap-

pear, those furnished by P and those by other writers. Until the nineteenth century P was the source generally employed to elucidate the

problem, and Ex. xxviii. 30, "Thou shalt put in the breastplate of judgment the Urim and the Thummim; and they shall be upon Aaron's heart, when he goeth in before Jehovah; and Aaron shall bear the judgment of the children of Israel upon his heart before Jehovah continually "(Am. R. V.), was the basal passage. The words "put in" might in that verse be replaced by " put on or upon," according to the Septuagint; but this and all other interpretations which identify the Urim and Thummim with the precious stones of the breastplate are excluded by the context of Ex. xxviii. 15 sqq.; cf. especially Lev. viii. 8. In both these passages the objects are introduced as something at hand and well known, not as new objects prepared for the purpose. In the parallel, Ex. xxxix. 20 sqq., the objects are not mentioned. From the fundamental passage their function seems purely symbolical—Aaron bears the "judgment" of the children of Israel upon his heart; this is not diminished by the practical purpose involved in the passage Num. xxvii. 21. In any case use of the objects for obtaining oracles is indicated. Outside P, mention is made of these objects in Deut. xxxiii. 8; Ezra ii. 63 = Neh. vii. 65; the original text of I Sam. xiv. 41, and xxviii. 6 (Urim alone). In the passage from Deuteronomy it was formerly the custom to refer " thy holy one" to Aaron on the basis of Ex. xxviii. 30. Against this construction is to be noted: the oracle is directed to Levi, restricting it to Aaron is pure eisegesis; and in the context of the oracle regarding Levi it is the Levites as a whole and their functions which the oracle has in mind, so that the carrying of the Urim and Thummim belongs to the priestly stock as such, without limitation to the high priest. But of the nature and use of the objects this passage gives no further knowledge. Out of I Sam. xxviii. 6 is gleaned that by the Urim direct answer to a question asked of God might be had, as also by dreams or through the prophets. I Sam. xiv. 41, in which the Septuagint has preserved the correct text, to be rendered: "O Yahweh, God of Israel! Why hast thou not answered thy servant this day? If to me or to my son Jonathan falls the blame, give Urim; if to the people, give Thummim." To this reading the Vulgate gives testimony [cf. also S. R. Driver, Notes on the Hebrew Text of the Books of Samuel, p. 89, Oxford, 1890]. Granting the correctness of the Septuagint reading, this passage shows that by the use of these objects an alternative was presented, that the issuing of one of them indicated an affirmative, of the other a negative; if neither came out, that indicated divine unwillingness to answer. The context (verses 36 sqq.) implies the presence of a priest, though the passage does not show that the management was exclusively in priestly hands.

When it is noted that in the reports concerning the throwing of the lot the matter is brought into connection with the priests and the ephod, it seems at least probable that in these cases reference is to the use of Urim and Thummim (cf. I Sam. xxiii. 6, 9, xxx. 7 sqq.; note that in xiv. 18 "ephod" is to be read for "ark"; Driver, ut sup., p. 83). But in what way Urim and Thummim were brought into connection with the Ephod (q.v.) absolutely

nothing is known; the earlier narrators are a concerning these matters because they could are knowledge on the part of their matters because the third connection are the later writers because the third

Connection ers, the later writers because the thin with the had been forgotten. It does not not be the beautiful to the part of their received the part of t

that P does not put the Urim Thummim in relation with images and introdu the ephod as an article of priestly dress. The object seem to have been used without the ephod and with out priestly accessory by David (II Sam. ii. 1, v. 1) 23) and by Samuel (I Sam. x. 20 sqq.; cf. the method in I Sam. xiv. 41-42; Josh. vii. 16); possibly Hea. iv. 12 and Mic. iii. 11 assume the use of Urim Thummim. The answer seems sometimes to have been a simple affirmative, as often in the cases ready cited; sometimes with additional directions (Judges xx. 27; I Sam. xxx. 7 sqq.); sometimes negative with further statement (11 Sam. v. 23). Where names appear in the answer, the case may have been put as an alternative (Josh. vii. 16 sqq.; Judges i. 1, xx. 18; I Sam. x. 20 sqq.; II Sam. ä. The latest mention appears in Ezra ii. 63 = Nel. vii. 65, in which the expectation is expressed of a priestly possessor of the objects. In the fifth century B.C. the management of the objects was no longer known, while the synagogue reckoned them among the five things which the second temple did not possess, and the Talmud declares that with the preexilic prophets the use of the Urim and Thummim ceased. In P, therefore, Urim and Thummim are objects which are found in a pocket attached to the high-priestly ephod or cloak and employed by the high priest in obtaining expressions of the divine will. The occasional references make them the means of casting the lot and getting answers in affirmative or negative form. The ephod, employed in casting the lot, is here not a cloak, but an image overlaid with metal or put on with a cloak. Often a priest is the assumed keeper, but others appear to exercise the same function (Saul, David); and the privilege of consulting the oracle was not merely in public interests, but also in private (cf. Judges xviii. 5-6; I Sam. xxii. 10, xxiii. 11-12, xxx. 7-8).

It appears, then, that either Ex. xxviii. 30 is the original and only legitimate account of the Urim and Thummim—in which case the other reports and the practises named are gross misunderstandings of the real situation—or the very old narratives,

such as Judges xvii.-xviii. and I Sam.

Development in custom untrammeled by written law.

Use. The In the latter case the situation in P is Meaning of a step in evolution in which the atthe Names. tempt is made to rescue the lot from

superstitious or idolatrous usage. Then these objects became representative of Israel's God and the handling of them was restricted to the high priest. But Ex. xxviii. 30 is to be regarded as idealistic in its representations. Investigations regarding the meaning of the names have not resulted very satisfactorily. When it is supposed that both words are abstract plurals, not much progress is made. If from I Sam. xiv. 41 it be gathered that Urim means "revelation (of guilt)," Thummim would mean "revelation of innocence." Other

gs suggested are "illumination and truth," tness and righteousness," but they appear as mechanical reproductions of the Hebrew illuminative renderings.

Septuagint in its translation of the fundapassage shows that the correct tradition of uning was already lost, and this impression

is strengthened by Philo, Josephus, y of and the Talmud. Philo makes the eta- breastplate to contain two virtues, "interpretation and truth" (De vita

Mosis, iii. 11). Josephus (Ant., III., while not mentioning Urim and Thummim, it through the precious stones of the highbreastplate God revealed the coming of vichis hosts; on account of this the Greeks had that breastplate the "oracle." Josephus' ion, that through the shining of these stones ine oracle was given, reappears in various 1 the Jewish traditions, including the conof the quadriliteral name of God or of other ames which inspired the priest in the dethe message. New attempts to explain the were made by referring to Diodorus Sicuxlviii. 75) and Ælian (Varia hist., xiv. 34), port that Egyptian priests, who acted as employed an image of truth cut in halves. nect this image with Urim and Thummim very popular; and later the image was nat of Tme, goddess of justice, while later images were thought of—those of Ra and Knobel would even derive Urim and Thumm the Egyptian, making them to be Hebran words. But this line of explanation is rejected. Buxtorf and Spencer would make be a little image which the high-priest held r. into which the answer was supposed to be ed. The usual Protestant explanation is objects were purely symbolical, while the epended for the answer upon internal illun. The connection of the Urim and Thumth the lot led Michaelis to think of three nes, one of which signified "yes," another and the third no answer at all. This view ained the prevailing one, but with various itions. It rests upon the terminology conwith the "throwing" of the lot which "came r "fell." But interpreters hesitate as to "Urim and Thummim" designates the general, or the means for casting the lot, or ed and a rough stone. One view makes them polished, partly rough dice, thrown by the ad interpreted by him in accordance with a Others think of two stones, one inscribed and the other "no." It may be that the interpretation of Ezek. xxi. 21-22 gives which it appears that arrows were shaken ro before the sacred image, as the Urim and im were shaken before the ephod; and it is uded that on one of the lots thus thrown ie "Jerusalem" was inscribed (verse 22). staves, of different colors and inscribed, ribed as existing in the Kaaba, and as being the purpose of casting lots and influencing 3. The latest phase of interpretation refers jects to a Babylonian origin. The Urim

and Thummim are then in the midst of the twelve stones (connected with the zodiac) in the relations of opposites, yes and no, life and death, light and darkness. They are carried on the breast as were the Babylonian tables of fate. But it is unthinkable to derive a usage in the time of David and Samuel from Babylonian practise, and neither David nor Samuel seems to have had in mind either the zodiac or the opposites named. If any connection with Babylon is to be assumed, the analogy holds only so far as the manner in which the objects were carried—on the breast. [The articles EPHOD, and Lots, Hebrew Use of, should be read in connection with the above discussion.]

(E. KAUTZSCH†.)

(E. KAUTZSCH†.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: A. F. Kirkpatrick, in his commentary on I Sam., pp. 217-218, London, 1880; Dosker, in Presbyterian and Reformed Review, 1892, pp. 717-736; Caldemeyer, in News Jahrbücher für deutsche Theologie, iii (1893), 107 sqq.; Wellhausen, Heidentum, pp. 132 sqq., ed. of 1897; T. W. Davies, Magic, Divination, Demonology, p. 75, London, 1898; R. Smend, Lehrbuch der alttestamentlichen Religionageschichte, pp. 319, 414, Freiburg, 1899; P. Haupt, in JBL, xix (1900), 58-59, 70-73; W. Muss-Arnolt, in American Journal of Semitic Languages, xvi (1900), 193 sqq.; T. C. Foote, in JBL, xxi (1902), 27 sqq.; K. Marti, Geschichte der israelitischen Religion, p. 45, Strasburg, 1903; B. Stade, Biblische Theologie des A. T., p. 129, Tübingen, 1905; G. Wildeboer, TSK, 1905, part D. 129, Tübingen, 1905; G. Swiideboer, TSK, 1905, part 3, pp. 195 sqq.; Bensinger, Archaologie, pp. 347 sqq.; Nowack, Hebrauche Archaologie, ii. 93-94, 119-120; DB, iv. 838-841; BB, iv. 5235-37; JE, xii. 384-385. The commentaries on the passages cited, especially the excursus in Kalisch's commentary on Exodus, London, 1855; and the literature under EPHOD.

URLSPERGER, @rl'-spar'ger, JOHANN AUGUST: German Lutheran and founder of the Deutsche Christentumsgesellschaft (see Christentumsge-SELLSCHAFT, DIE DEUTSCHE); b. at Augsburg Nov. 25, 1728; d. at Hamburg Dec. 1, 1806. He was educated at the universities of Tübingen (1747-50) and Halle (1751-54); traveled for a year, became assistant to his father, Samuel Urlsperger (q.v.), at Augsburg, and rose to be first pastor there, retiring in 1776 because of illness. In spite of the pressure of pastoral duties, he devoted himself all his life to the demonstration that comprehension of the Trinity is the key to the comprehension of the entire Christian religion. In the course of his studies and sermons he became convinced, in 1767, that Col. ii. 2-3 contained the key of all knowledge, and between 1769 and 1777 he published seven large treatises on the being of God, in which, without any tendency to Sabellianism, he sought to escape the Athanasian confusion of the Trinity of the divine essence with the Trinity of revelation. Though his sole object in setting forth his doctrines of the Trinity was to reestablish the old dogma and to defend it against frivolous attacks of the neological school, Urlsperger was sharply criticized, only to be completely vindicated on appeal to the University of Tübingen. In his teaching he distinguished sharply between the Trinity of essence and the Trinity of revelation. Such concepts as procession appertain to the latter, not to the former; and in like manner, although, absolutely speaking, there can be in the triune nature of God no first and no last person, nor any which can be considered the origin of deity, there is, in the Trinity of revelation, a distinct subordination of the Son and the Holy

Ghost to the Father. The truth that the one God is triune in his very essence, without necessarily being Father, Son, and Holy Ghost, is "the mystery of God." The procession of God from himself in revelation is construed by Urlsperger as the transit from the infinite to the finite, the Son blending the two. With the exaltation of Christ the purpose of the economic Trinity was fulfilled and ceased to be. The Son, subjecting himself to the Father, ceases to be the Son, though remaining, as before his procession, a divine person. And the Holy Ghost, also proceeding from the Father to be with the Son, is the power which effected the procession of the divine Son by birth.

Feeling himself isolated in theological position, Urlsperger sought to get in closer touch with the few who entertained similar views with himself. With this end in view, and also to organize a society for the defense of Christianity along the lines of the English Society for Promoting Christian Knowledge and the Swedish De Fide et Christianismo, he undertook, in Aug., 1779, the tour of sixteen months which resulted in the foundation of the Deutsche Christentumsgesellschaft. Remaining in Holland for a time on his way home, Urlsperger reached Augsburg in Nov., 1780, where he received word of the foundation of the first society at Basel. The English branch, on the other hand, soon succumbed, and even the Basel branch, with its affiliations, quickly turned to works of practical piety rather than to a theoretical defense of Lutheran principles. Though such a step was diametrically opposed to his original idea, even if closely akin to the plans of his early days as a theological candidate at Frankfort, Urlsperger accepted the changes with faith and hope, never losing confidence in the success of the society. (H. Anstein.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Gradmann, Das gelehrte Schwaben, pp. 694-704, Nuremberg, 1802; J. G. Meusel, Das gelehrte Teutschland, x. 759-761, Lemgo, 1803; ADB, xxxix. 355-361.

URLSPERGER, SAMUEL: German Lutheran; father of the preceding; b. at Kirchheim-unter-Teck (22 m. e. of Tübingen) Aug. 31, 1685; d. at Augsburg Apr. 19, 1772. He was educated at Tubingen, and after traveling extensively and holding several other pastoral positions, he became court chaplain and consistorial councilor at Stuttgart in 1714. In this capacity, though lacking the strength of character to protest openly against the moral conditions prevailing at court, he was active in behalf of the new missions in Malabar. In Nov., 1717, he was converted to Pietism by Francke and incited to rebuke the duke, who punished him by securing his suspension till 1720; in 1723 he became senior pastor of St. Ann's in Augsburg. For fortytwo years Urlsperger retained his post, forming devotional societies within his church and taking an active part in philanthropic work. The influence of Urlsperger was destined to spread beyond Augsburg. In 1731 the archbishop of Salzburg expelled all Protestants from his domain (see SALZBURG, Evangelicals of), and when the emigrants began to pass through Augsburg, Urlsperger aided them with money as well as by the influence of his sermons and pamphlets, and also appealed for financial assistance for them to England, and large sums

of money passed through his hands. He had a in many German cities to supervise and provide in the needs of the emigrants, and brought his is ence to bear at the courts of Stuttgart, Hand and Mecklenburg, and especially of Wernigerodess Copenhagen. His duties were further augme when he was appointed confidential agent in Oglethorpe's projected colonization of the Salsh refugees in Pennsylvania. Urlsperger provided in the minutest details of the transportation, and ga special attention to securing proper religious is struction for the emigrants. Thus, under his super vision, Ebenezer, as he named the colony, became a center of Protestant faith and German industry, and developed into an important factor in the religious life of the new world. On the other hand, he can in sharp conflict with Count Zinzendorf (q.v.), deeming the antichurchly Pietism of Herrnhut a dangerous foe. Urlsperger's declining years was cheered by the deep affection in which he was he and by the devotion of his son, Johann August Urlsperger (q.v.). In 1764 he retired from active life. He was the author of several hymns, and of Ausführliche Nachrichten von den Saltzburgischen Emigranten die sich in Amerika niedergelassen habm (3 parts, Halle, 1738-52) and its continuation, Amerikanisches Ackerwerk Gottes (1766).

(BERNHARD KOCH.)

Bibliography: A. Stein, Samuel Urisperger, Halle, 1898; J. A. Urisperger, Wohlverdientes Ehrengedichtnis des . . . Samuel Urisperger, Augsburg, 1873; J. G. Meusel, Des selehte Teutschland, xiv. 213-215, Lemgo, 1815; E. R. Koch, Geschichte des Kirchenliede, ii. 166-173, 6 vols., Stattgart, 1866-72; L. Renner, Lebensbilder aus der Pietiemseit, pp. 332 sqq., Bremen, 1886; ADB, a.v.

URSA'CIUS: Bishop of Singidunum (Belgrade). The date and place of his birth and death are unknown. His significance comes from the fact that with Valens, bishop of Mursa, he was a leader of the anti-Athanasian party in the fourth century. Both Ursacius and Valens, in all probability, imbibed their Arian views from Arius himself; they cheriabed especial animosity against Athanasius, against whom they brought false and reckless charges of theft, sacrilege, and murder. When it was convenient, they altered their declared opinions, at one time to the extent of professing orthodoxy; but for the most part they led the homoian party. They yet managed to retain the favor of the Emperor Constantius. See Arianism.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Hefele, Conciliengeschichts, vol. i., Eng. transl., vol. ii., and Fr. transl., vol. ii., consult Index in each case under "Ursacius" and "Valens"; DCB, iv. 1067; and the literature under Ariamsm, especially Gwatkin.

URSINUS, ūr-sīn'us: Antipope to Damasus (q.v.). On the death of Liberius (Sept. 24, 366), two of his deacons, Ursinus and Damasus, were elected to succeed him, the former apparently being enthroned Sept. 24 and the latter Oct. 1, 366. Ursinus seised the Basilica Julii across the Tiber, and the efforts of Damasus to dislodge him led to such tumults that the prefects interfered and exiled Ursinus with two of his deacons. Seven presbyters of his party, however, continued to hold services in the Basilica Liberii, whereupon there was a second scene of bloodshed on Oct. 26. The faction of Ursinus now begged the emperor to convene a synod to decide the mat-

and when Valentinian deemed peace restored, permitted Ursinus to return to Rome (Sept. 15, 7). On Nov. 16, however, the turbulent situan made it necessary to banish Ursinus again with clergy, whereupon his adherents worshiped in cemeteries without priests. On Jan. 12, 368, the peror permitted the clergy of Ursinus to reside where outside of Rome, but a few months later was obliged to forbid them to approach within renty miles of the city. Every effort was made, vever, to avoid all unnecessary severity. In 378 Roman synod thanked the emperor for recogniing the authority of Damasus, but at the same time pressed apprehension of the clergy of Ursinus, erticularly of a converted but relapsed Jew named mc. In his reply the emperor declared that Urainus had long been confined in Cologne and that his entreaties for release had been ignored, while all disturbers of the peace were forbidden to assemble within a hundred miles of Rome. Nevertheless, in 381 the Synod of Aquileia again complained of Ursinus, and even after the death of Damasus in Dec., 384, the banished antipope was still an obset of apprehension. The two rivals, Damasus and Uninus, seem to have been equally orthodox, the cause of the schism probably being ambition and its attendant passions. Ursinus died after 385.

Gennadius has the following: "Ursinus the monk wrote against those who say that heretics should be rebaptized. . . . He considers that after the simple confession of the Holy Trinity and of Christ, the imposition of the hands of the Catholic priest is sufficient for salvation" (De vir. ill., xxvii., Eng. transl. in NPNF, 2 ser., iii. 391). This Ursinus is doubtless the antipope, and the polemic mentioned by Gennadius is probably the pseudo-Cyprianic De rebaptismate, which modern scholarship places in the third century. Whatever the authorship of the work in question, it is known that during the time of Ursinus a certain deacon named Hilarius demanded the rebaptism of all who had been baptised by Arians, and it is probable that Gennadius was rightly informed when he stated that Ursinus polemised against such tenets.

(G. A. JÜLICHER.)

Besigography: Besides the literature under Damasus I. (q.v.), consult: Liber postificatis, ed. L. Duchesne, i. 212 sqq., Paris, 1886, and Mommsen, MGH, Gest. post. Rom., i (1898), 37; Collectio Avellana, 1-13, ed. Gunther in CSEL, xxxv. 1; Rufinus, Hist. sccl., xi. 1; Ammianus Marcellinus, "Roman Hist.," XXVII., iii. 11-13, ix. 9, Eng. transl. by C. D. Yonge, pp. 441, 457, London, 1887; DCB, iv. 1068-70.

URSINUS, ZACHARIAS: German Reformed; b. at Breslau July 18, 1534; d. at Neustadt-on-Hardt (21 m. s.s.w. of Worms) Mar. 6, 1583. He received his first training in the Elisabethschule at

Educa- tention and cip Rarly we Career. Kr

Breslau, and was matriculated at Wittenberg Apr. 30, 1550, where a municipal allowance and some support by well-to-do patrons, including Johann Krafft (q.v.), afforded him his means of subsistence. He studied here until

1557, and became closely associated with Melanchthon, the vindictive attacks to which the latter was exposed filling him with aversion for the quarrelsome disposition of many theologians. This antipathy

was increased when, in Sept., 1557, just as he was beginning an extensive academic journey, he witnessed the shameful contentions between the Protestants present at the religious conference in Worms. From Worms Ursinus went, by way of Strasburg, Basel, and Lausanne, to Geneva, where Calvin received him kindly, and he then remained for some time in Paris to study Hebrew under Jean Mercier. On his return Ursinus visited Zurich, after which he returned to Wittenberg, where, in Sept., 1558, he received a call from the Breslau Council to teach in the Elisabethschule. Here he gave open expression to his theological convictions, which ranged him, as he had discerned on his journey, on Calvin's side in regard to the doctrine of the Lord's Supper: and being attacked as a " sacramentarian," he made a clear exposition of his tenets in his Theses complectentes . . . summam veræ doctrinæ de sacramentis (Breslau, 1559). The work was prohibited in Breslau, and Ursinus was dismissed. Provided with traveling expenses by Krafft, he started for Zurich toward the end of June, 1560, by way of Wittenberg, Heidelberg, and Basel, reaching his destination Oct. 3.

In the following year, when Elector Friedrich III., the Pious (q.v.), was seeking to obtain a capable Reformed theologian for the directorship of the Heidelberg Collegium Sapientiæ, which had been transformed into a sort of theological seminary, Peter Martyr Vermigli (q.v.) recommended Ursinus, who, after considerable wavering, accepted the call, taking office Oct. 13, 1561. Here, besides the guidance of the institution, he had to supply the chair of dogmatics from Aug., 1562, to 1568; and in addition to all this he was obliged, beginning with 1563, to deliver a catechetical sermon every Sunday and to collaborate in preparing the new Palatine liturgy. His part in the drafting of the Heidelberg Catechism and his preliminary works for this purpose (the Summa theologiæ and the Catechismus minor) have already been indicated in Heidelberg Catechism, § 2. It was Ursinus who had to conduct the philo-

sophic vindication of the Catechism against the vehement attacks of Lu-Heidelberg. theran theologians, this constraining him, much against his inclination, to engage in ever new theological feuds. It was Ursinus, in like manner, who was obliged to undertake the advocacy of the Palatinate party in connection with the embittered literary disputes at the Maulbronn colloquy (see MAULBRONN). In 1566, he sought to confute, in his Augsburger Konfession . . . mit ihren eigenen Worten in Fragstück gestellt, and in his Articul, in denen die evangelischen Kirchen im Handel des Abendmahls einig oder spänig sind, the assertion that the Palatines had fallen away from the Augsburg Confession, and were, therefore, to be excluded from the religious treaty of peace. It was with reluctance that Ursinus had become a contestant in this dispute, and he longed for the time when he could retire from the arena. His official position alone claimed his powers beyond rightful bounds, and, owing to the frequent lack of an assistant, he was often compelled to take sole charge of the seventy pupils. In Feb., 1568, he was relieved of his dogmatic lectures by the call of Zanchi (q.v.),

but the overpressure still continued, the result being impaired health and increasing melancholy. In Aug., 1571, he was called to a theological professorship at Lausanne, but could not accept because the elector would not release him. Before long there arose new heated contentions within the Palatinate church itself, and Ursinus, who took a very pessimistic view of the prevalent ecclesiastical and moral conditions in the Palatinate, deemed it absolutely necessary that a church discipline should be introduced there after the pattern of the one ruling in Calvinistic churches abroad. He boldly promulgated this conviction in his Monita Ursini, which he submitted to the elector May 26, 1568, but while Olevianus (q.v.) and Zanchi concurred with him, other influential men, especially Thomas Erastus (q.v.), spoke decidedly against the project. Within a short time Ursinus withdrew from the strife, hopeless of practical results from the inauguration of the church discipline under Palatinate conditions. Prompted, however, by the attitude of Pastor Adam Neuser of Heidelberg, and of Inspector Johann Silvanus of Ladenburg, who belonged to the most zealous opponents of the church discipline, and who not only combated the doctrine of the Trinity, but also sought alliance with the sultan of Turkey, Elector Friedrich nevertheless procured the introduction of the discipline, on July 13, 1570, and of the presbyteries. The report of the Heidelberg theologians, leading to the execution of Neuser Dec. 23, 1572, bears the signature of Ursinus, as well; and when, in 1573, Jakob Andreä (q.v.) rejected the Heidelberg theologians on the ground that their teaching led to Islam, they defended themselves in their Bekanntnuss . . . von dem einigen Gott in dreyen Personen, of which, no doubt, Ursinus was one of the chief authors.

After the death of Friedrich III., Ursinus had to leave Heidelberg. On Oct. 3, 1577, the Collegium Sapientiæ was dissolved, since none of the sixty-three pupils would accept the Lutheran Smaller Catechism; and a week later Ursinus was dismissed. He found a new sphere of labor, however, at Neustadt-on-Hardt, together with Daniel Toussain (q.v.), Zanchi, and others, in the Collegium Casimi-

The Johann Casimir, Friedrich's younger Closing son. He began his functions on May Years. 23, 1578, with lectures on Isaiah, and here, in 1581, he wrote his last fairly considerable work, De Libro Concordiæ Admonitio Christiana, which he later revised and expanded in

Considerable work, De Lioro Concorde Admonitio Christiana, which he later revised and expanded in Reformed doctrinal concept at the signing of the Formula of Concord (q.v.). The bodily powers of Ursinus were already well-nigh completely broken when he entered upon his duties at Neustadt, and at the close of 1582 his sufferings reached an acute stage, which soon terminated his life.

J. NEY.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: M. Adam, Vila Germanorum theologorum, pp. 529-542, Heidelberg, 1620; K. Sudhoff, K. Olevianus und Z. Ursinus, Elberfeld, 1857; J. F. A. Gillet, Crato von Crafitheim und seine Freunde, 2 vols., Frankfort, 1860; M. Göbel, Geschichte des christlichen Lebens in der rheinischwestphälischen . . . Kirche, i. 393 sqq., Coblens, 1862.

URSULA AND THE ELEVEN THOUSAND VIRGINS: The center of a noteworthy medieval cycle

of legend in the Roman Catholic Church. In the developed form of the legend St. Ursula was the daughter of Deonotus or Diognetus, a Christi king in Britain, and received her name as the antagonist of the bear, i.e., the devil (cf. I Sam. xvi. 34). Wooed by a heathen prince, she consented to marriage on condition that he become a Christian, and that he allow her three years for pilgrim with her ten maidens. In eleven triremes, et with a thousand virgins, she went to the harbor of Tila on the coast of Gaul, then up the Rhine to Basel, where she left the fleet and completed the pilgrimage to Rome by land. Returning by the same route, Ursula and her virgins reached Cologne, where they were all massacred by Huns under the King Ezzel. Ursula refused to become the wife of Ezzel, and was killed with an arrow which became her constant attribute in Christian art. Immediately after the massacre, the Huns were routed by a celestial host of 11,000, and then the citizens of Cologne buried the slain virgins on the bank of the Rhine.

The earliest mention of the legend of Ursula and the 11,000 virgins is contained in the martyrology of Wandalbert of Prüm, written at Cologne about 848 (ed. E. Dümmler, in MGH, Poet. Lat. avi Car., ii. (1884), 569 sqq. The legend is, therefore, not older than the ninth century. The tradition takes its rise from the late fourth- or early fifth-century inscription of Clematius (ed. F. X. Kraus, Die christlichen Inschriften der Rheinlande, No. 294, 2 vols. Freiburg, 1890-94). According to this, Clematius, a man of senatorial rank, received a series of visions in which heavenly virgins admonished him in regard to their martyrdom, of which he had been ignorant. Clematius then restored the ruined basilica on his estates that commemorated these martyred virgins, warning the citizens of Cologne that no bodies except those of the virgins who there had suffered martyrdom were to be buried in the basilica. This belief in the martyrdom of an indefinite number of unnamed maiden martyrs, who had suffered at an unknown time and in unknown fashion, forms the kernel of the legend of St. Ursula. Thus, as in the additions to the martyrologies of Bede (ASB, Mar., ii. 25) and Ado (MPL, exxiii. 431), arose the number of 11,000, probably from a combination of the "thousands" with the eleven names. [Ursula et XI M ("Ursula and eleven M[artyrs]") was read "Ursula and eleven thousand (M being mistaken for millia, thousands").] The account of the virgin martyrs of Cologne was blended in the tenth century with the Cyrmo-Breton legend of the migration of women from Britain to Armorica during the reign of the Emperor Maximus, as narrated by Geoffrey of Monmouth (Historia regum Britannia, v. 15-16), thus giving the voyage of the virgins and their massacre. In the twelfth century the legend became history, being found in a number of chronicles. The two completely developed recensions of the legend are the Historia sanctæ Ursulæ et sociarum ejus (Analecta Bollandiana, iii. 7 sqq.) and the Passio sands Ursulæ et sanctarum undecim millium virginum (ASB, Oct., ix. 157 sqq.). The day of St. Ursula and her virgins is Oct. 21. (A. HAUCK.)

Binizography: The Passio and various other early forms of the legends, with commentary, ed. V. de Buck, are in ASB, Oct., ix. 75-246, and this material was issued separately as De S. Ursula et undecim millibus sociarum, Brussels, 1858 (replies to Schade, below); other materials were ed. by J. Klinkenberg, in Jahrbütcher des Vereins von Allertunsfreunden in Rheinlande, 1xxxviii. 79-95, 1xxxix. 105-124, xciii. 130-179, Bonn, 1890-92. Consult further: L. Reischert, Lebengeschichte und Märtyrertod der heiligen Ursula, Cologne, 1837; O. Schade, Die Sage von der heiligen Ursula, Hanover, 1854 (began the modern critical investigation of the legend): P. Heber, Die vorkarolingischen christlichen Glaubensboten am Rhein, Frankfort, 1858; E. M. J. Heinen, Leben, Fahrt, und Märtyrtod der heiligen Ursula, Cologne, 1858; J. H. Kessel, St. Ursula und ihre Gesellschaft, ib. 1863 (also replies to Schade); J. B. Dutron, Le Légende de S. Ursula, Paris, 1866; Legend of St. Ursula and her Companions, London, 1869; G. Beetemé, S. Ursula et ses enze mille vierges, Brussels, 1870; G. Floss, Annalen des historischen Vereins für den Niederrhein, xxvi. 177-196, Cologne, 1874; Rottberg, KD, i. 111-123; Friedrich, KD, i. 141-166; DNB, Iviii. 53-55.

URSULINES, or'siu-lains: A Roman Catholic female order for the instruction and education of girls, established at Brescia in Italy in 1535 in honor of St. Ursula (q.v.) by Angela Merici (q.v.). Her rule is tertiary in type, and provides for the care of the sick and the instruction of the young, as well as for personal development and sanctification. The members of the new order resided with parents or kinsfolk, the discipline regarding fasts and meditations was not strict, nor was the vow of celibacy required, though the three monastic vows were recommended. A" mother" was to be chosen for life, eight "matrons" were to preside over the eight districts of Brescia, eight teachers were to be subordinate to the matrons, and eight supervisors to the teachers. In the course of time the Ursulines became a formal order living according to the rule of St. Augustine, the first step in this direction being the bull of confirmation of Paul III. (June 9, 1544). The spread of the Ursulines in Italy was due especially to the patronage of Cardinal Borromeo, who, in 1581, secured a reconfirmation of the order from Gregory XIII. In 1574 the Ursulines entered southem France, beginning monastic life in 1594. Thence in 1608 they extended to the Parisian suburb of St. Jacques, where a second large nunnery was built for them in 1611, the rule of which, drawn by Jesuits, served as the model for all regular Ursulines. It required a fourth vow of instruction of young girls. The habit was black with a leathern girdle, a black veil lined with white linen and a long veil of thin black material, and, in church, a black sleeveless mantle; and the discipline was mild. The order spread to Switzerland, Germany, Austria, and Hungary. In the second half of the eighteenth century convents were founded at Pereira in Portugal and Cork in Ireland, and in 1670 there was a Greek convent at Naxos. Meanwhile the order had entered America—Quebec (1639), New Orleans (1727), and Brazil (1751). At the time of their greatest expansion, early in the eighteenth century, the Ursulines had 20 independent congregations with 350 numeries and between 15,000 and 20,000 nuns. There were also tertiary Ursulines in Italy and Switzerland without solemn vows, but still more under the influence of the Jesuits than the regulars.

The Revolution destroyed all the Ursuline convents in France, though in 1806 Napoleon restored

them as an educational society. A new series of congregations soon arose, among them the Sœurs de St. Roche, with their mother-house at Felletin, and the Ursulines of Jesus with 400 sisters and over fifty daughter-houses. The Bavarian convents were secularized, though those at Landshut, Straubing, and Würzburg were revived. In Prussia the most of the nunneries were destroyed by the Seven-Years' War, the Napoleonic wars, and by secularization. During the Kulturkampf the Ursulines were driven from Prussia, but were readmitted in 1887. There are now 36 Ursuline convents in Germany and 28 in Austro-Hungary, where they are the strongest female congregation. The 134 Ursuline convents in France were suppressed by the Associations Law of 1904. The order has two nunneries in Switzerland, 24 in Belgium, 15 in Holland, 8 in Great Britain, 2 in Spain, 3 in Portugal, and 17 in Italy, 24 in North America, 5 in South America, 3 in Asia and Java, 2 in Africa, and one in Australia. The total number of sisters is about 4,500.

(G. GRÜTZMACHER.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Besides the literature under Merici, Angella, consult: (Paula de Pomereu), Chroniques de l'ordre des Ursulines, 3 vols., Paris, 1673 sqq.; Journal des illustres religieuses de l'ordre de St. Ursule, 5 vols., ib. 1684; M. Hamel, L'Année spirituelle historique... des ... Ursulines, ib. 1689, ed. Clermont-Farrand, 1891; C. St. Foix, Annales de l'ordre de S. Ursule, ed. Clermont-Ferrand, 5 vols., ib. 1858; idem, Vie des premières Ursulines de France, ed. the same, 2 vols., ib. 1856; Die ersten Schwestern der Ursulinerinnen, Paderborn, 1897; Handbuch der Klosterfrauen aus der Gesellschaft der heiligen Ursula, 2d ed., Brealau, 1904; Helyot, Ordres monastiques, iv. 150 sqq.; Heimbucher, Orden und Kongregationen, ii. 273–287.

URUGUAY: South American republic; bounded on the north by Brazil, on the east by Brazil and the Atlantic, on the south by the Atlantic and the Rio de la Plata, on the west by the Argentine Republic; area, including the islands, 72,151 square miles; population in 1907 estimated at 950,000. As a result of the war of independence between what is now the Argentine Republic and Spain, what is now Uruguay, then known as the Banda Oriental, came into possession of Brazil; during 1825-28 the inhabitants fought for independence, which they finally won and the republic was organized in 1830. Ethnically the people are mestizos, Indians, and settlers from Europe, Brazil, and the Argentine Republic. The population is almost entirely Roman Catholic, which is the state religion, though there is toleration for other faiths. The country forms a Roman Catholic diocese, erected July 15, 1878, with Montevideo, the capital, as see city; it has as suffragan bishoprics Melo and Salto, and there are 40 parishes with 18 subordinate parishes, served by 130 priests. The Protestants number about 5,500. Among these are Swiss Germans, who have two organized churches, one in Montevideo and the other in Nueva Helvetia, each of the communities having a school, and combining in the Evangelical La Plata Synod under the Berlin Superior Church Council. The Anglican church has about 1,800 adherents. The Methodists are also in the country, being derived from the United States. There is a church of the Waldensian settlers, using the French language. Education is well cared for, being free and compulsory, with nearly 900 schools, and there is a university at the capital, with faculties in law, medicine, and mathematics.

(Wilhelm Götzt.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: F. Bausa, Historia de la Dominacion espanola en el Uruguay, Montevideo, 1880; R. P. Lomba, La Republica Oriental del Uruguay, Montevideo, 1884; E. J. M. Clemens, La Plata Countries, Philadelphia, 1886; H. Rumbold, The Great Silver River, London, 1888; F. Vincent, Round and About South America, New York, 1890; Uruguay; its Geography, History, Industries, Liverpool, 1897; A. H. Keane, in Stanford's Compendium of Geography and Travel, London, 1901; T. C. Dawson, The South American Republics, New York, 1903; P. F. Martin, Through Five Republics, London, 1905.

USHER, ROLAND GREENE: Protestant Episcopal layman and historian; b. at Lynn, Mass., May 3, 1880. He received his education at the Grafton High-school and at Harvard University (B.A., 1901; M.A., 1902; Ph.D., 1905); was Rogers Fellow from Harvard, 1902-04, studying in Europe; assistant in history at Harvard, 1904-07; instructor in history at Washington University, St. Louis, 1907-10, and assistant professor there after 1910. Ecclesiastically he places himself with the Broadchurch party of his denomination. He has issued The Presbyterian Movement in the Reign of Queen Elizabeth (1582-89). Edited with Introductions and Notes for the Royal Historical Society, 3d series, vol. viii. (Camden, 1905); and The Reconstruction of the English Church (2 vols., New York and London, 1910).

USSHER, JAMES: Archbishop of Armagh; b. in Dublin Jan. 4, 1581; d. at Reigate (22 m. s. of London), Surrey, Mar. 21, 1656. His father was clerk of the Irish court of chancery; his uncle, Henry Ussher (archbishop of Armagh 1595-1613), and his maternal grandfather, James Stanyhurst, were founders of Trinity College, Dublin, and their young relative became one of its earliest scholars (1594). His father wished him to be a lawyer, but the son preferred divinity, and was free to follow his inclination after the father's death in 1598. He was graduated B.A. probably in July, 1597, became

fellow 1599, M.A. Feb., 1601, and the same year was made catechist and first Previous to proctor of his college, and preacher at Being Christ Church, and was ordained dea-Archbishop. con and priest in December. In 1605 he became chancellor of St. Patrick's Cathedral and rector of Finglas, County Dublin,

Cathedral and rector of Finglas, County Dublin, and was graduated B.D. and appointed professor of divinity in 1607. From c. 1611 to 1620, when he exchanged it for Trim, he also held the rectory of Assey, County Meath. He proceeded D.D. in 1614 (incorporated D.D. at Oxford, 1626), and was chosen vice-chancellor of Trinity College in 1615 and again in 1617, and vice-provost in 1616. He visited England to buy books for the college library in 1602, and again in 1606, and thereafter triennially, spending a month each in Oxford, Cambridge, and London. He became well and favorably known to the foremost scholars and statesmen of England. In 1615 Ussher drafted the 104 articles of the Irish Church (see Irish Articles), which are anti-Romanist and strongly tinged with Calvinism. In 1621 he resigned his professorship to take up the work of a poor, unremunerative, and badly organized diocese, James I. having nominated him bishop of Meath and Clonmacnoise. He attempted to win the Roman Catholics by his sermons, and possibly by more energetic measures; at any rate, the Roman Catholic Archbishop Hampton interposed a remonstrance. From Dec., 1623, till early in 1626 Ussher was in England, working on his book on the antiquities of the British Church and much of the time suffering from ill-health. He was appointed archbishop of Armagh in Mar., 1625.

His views and tendencies appear in the fact that his name stands first in a list of twelve Irish bishops who signed a protest against toleration of popery in

Views 1627, for the removal of grievances set by the non-conforming Puritans. As Tendencies. vice-chancellor, he continued to have much to do with the affairs of Trinity

College. In 1628 he began a correspondence with William Laud (q.v.), which lasted till 1640; although they differed in theology, the two men had much in common, and their relations were cordial. Moreover, Ussher's acts always showed him alive to the duty of allegiance to constituted authority. In June, 1634, an old dispute between Armagh and Dublin for the primacy of Ireland was settled in favor of the former by Lord Strafford. The Irish convocation met the next month and adopted the Anglican articles without repealing the Irish articles. Ussher thereafter required subscription to both sets, and this course was followed till the Restoration. He opposed the adoption of the English canons # inconsistent with the independence of a national church, and the outcome was the adoption of 100 canons drawn up by John Bramhall, bishop of Derry, and "methodized" by Ussher. They make no concession to Puritan scruples.

In 1640 Ussher went to England and never returned to Ireland. He lived in Oxford and London, as a guest at St. Donat's Castle, Glamorganshire, Wales, and lastly with an old friend, Elisabeth Mordaunt, dowager countess of Peterborough, at her houses in London and Reigate. The Irish rebellion of 1641 well-nigh impoverished him, and the troubles in England brought him distress of

Life in the continent, but declined the offer England. The continent that the contemplated retiring to the continent that the contemplated retiring to the continent that the contemplated retiring to the continent, but declined the offer of the contemplated retiring to the continent, but declined the offer of the contemplated retiring to the continent, but declined the offer of the continent that the continent th

I.) of a pension in France with religious freedom, made through Richelieu by the queen regent. He preached often and boldly. Soon after the opening of the Long Parliament (Nov., 1640) he drafted a modified scheme of episcopacy as an effort to compose the religious differences (first correctly printed at London in 1656, after Ussher's death, as The Reduction of Episcopacy unto the Form of Synodical Government Received in the Ancient Church), which was accepted by the Puritans, and which was used by Charles I. in 1648 and by Charles II. in his "Declaration" in Oct., 1660. He attended Strafford to the block, having previously advised the king to go cautiously in assenting to the condemnation of the earl. In 1642 Charles granted him the bishopric of Carlisle in commendam, and in 164 parliament gave him a pension of £400 annually the first payment was not made till 1647. vited to sit in the Westminster Assembly inded by preaching against its legality. 1647 he was offered a seat in the assemenever attended. None the less the influis writings is apparent in the assembly's a the crisis drew near between king and it, Ussher fearlessly denounced the attihe latter and proclaimed the doctrine of ght. Cromwell sought his advice and without according, pecuniary relief. At death he made a treasury grant of £200 he expenses of an elaborate public funeral inster Abbey.

's contemporaries rightly held him too a good administrator, but all parties found omething with which they could agree an his Calvinistic theology, the churchman

his reverence for antiquity, the royalist his steadfastness for the king. All d respected his goodness and sincerity, s. felt the charm of his personal gifts, and marveled at his learning (characterelden as "miraculous"). He wrote much of first editions of his books in the DNB umbers) on topics suggested by the cons of his time, but with a thorough and exact iginal sources which still makes much of of first-rate value—notably his contribuhe history of the creed and to the Ignatian and in the field of early British and Irish istory. His chronology was taken into the the Authorized Version and is still printed h Bibles. His complete Works, with life, lished at Dublin in 17 volumes, 1847-64 xiv. ed. Charles Richard Elrington, vols. ed. James Henthorn Todd, index by Willres). There are many editions of separate both English and foreign editors, the more t being A Discourse of the Religion Ancientsed by the Irish (Dublin, 1623; enlarged 1631); An Answer to a Jesuit in Ireland 1625); Gotteschalci et Predestinatian a Con-Historia (1631), in which he published for time Gottschalk's "Confessions," which btained from Venice; Veterum Epistolarum rum Sylloge (1632); Britannicarum Ecclentiquitates (1639; enlarged London, 1677); i et Ignatii Epistolæ (Oxford, 1644); Apmatiana (1647); De Romanæ Ecclesiæ Symstolico Diatriba (1647); Annalium Pars 650), and Pars Posterior (1654), which in e combined into the Annales Veteris Testan English translation, with additions, was d at London in 1658 as the Annals of the the Beginning of the Emperor Vespasian's

G, us'sing, HENRY BRAEM: Danish and theologian, son of the philologist and gist Johan Louis Ussing; b. at Copenhagen 1855. He was graduated from the Metroschool of that city (1873), and from the ty of Copenhagen (candidate in theology, ntinuing his studies in Germany, France, d England. In 1882 he was appointed passiby; in 1883 at Hvidovre and Valby, sub-

urbs of Copenhagen, his present charge. In 1883 he published an apologetic work, Den kristelige Vished, which gained for him the university degree of Lic. theol., and the resultant right of delivering lectures at the University of Copenhagen, of which right he has made much and valuable use. He is an able preacher and a thorough scholar, who has made, especially through periodicals, valuable contributions to practical theology. The Scandinavian students know him as one of their most faithful directors at their conventions, and in Sunday-school circles his name is highly cherished. He was a delegate at the centennial celebration of the Sundayschool in London, 1880. Since 1891 he has been coeditor of Indre Missions Börneblad. His Vor Gudstjeneste (1888) and Tanker til Overvejelse om Menighedsliv og Kirkeliv (1890) show the Scriptural conception of liturgy and a firm grasp of the problems of congregational life. The literary work, however, which especially has brought him fame is Evangeliets Sejrsgang ud over Jorden (1902). The best collection of his sermons is Troens gode Strid (1904; on the epistles of the old church year).

JOHN O. EVJEN.

Bibliography: C. F. Bricks, Danak biographisk Lexikon, xviii. 120-121, 19 vols., Copenhagen, 1887-1905.

USTERI, üs'te-rî, LEONHARD: Swiss Protestant; b. at Zurich Oct. 22, 1799; d. at Bern Sept. 18, 1833. He was educated in his native city and at the University of Berlin (1820-23), coming in the latter institution under the special influence of Schleiermacher. Returning to Zurich he published his Commentatio critica in qua evangelium Joannis genuinum esse . . . ostenditur (Zurich, 1823), and began a private course for his young friends on the Pauline epistles, these lectures forming the basis of his most important work, the Entwickelung des paulinischen Lehrbegriffes mit Hinsicht auf die übrigen Schriften des Neuen Testamentes (1824). The work is, however, antiquated, even in its basal concept of the derivation of the Pauline system from the antagonism between Christianity and the pre-Christian period; and it is, moreover, less a development than a presentation of individual Pauline doctrines in accordance with a scheme previously adopted. At the same time the author rightly recognized two points since claimed by others: Paul's search for righteousness, after his conversion, solely in the grace of God and in fellowship with Christ; and the gradual extension of the apostle's purview and activity from the knowledge of Christ as the Redeemer and the Son of God. The work merited its fame. and served to prepare the way for a renewed and deepened knowledge of the great apostle to the gentiles.

Just as the Entwickelung was leaving the press, its author accepted a call to Bern as professor of classics and Hebrew, as well as director. at the gymnasium. Here he spent the remainder of his life, also teaching for a time at the university as privat-docent. In addition to his official duties, he found time to prepare an edition of Wolf's lectures on the first four books of the Iliad (2 vols., Bern, 1830) and of Plutarch's Consolatio ad Apollonium (1830), and to write a Commentar über den Brief Pauli an die Galater (1833), which, though not entirely satisfac-

tory, was to be the first of a series which should embrace all the Pauline writings; but this plan was cut short by death. [He also translated into modern literary German and arranged under appropriate heads extracts from Zwingli's writings, M. Hudreich Zwingli's sāmmtliche Schriften im Auszuge (2 vols., Zurich, 1819).] His theory of myth as applied to the Gospels makes him the immediate predecessor of Strauss. He held the Reformation to be essentially a revival of the scientific spirit, and regarded the essence of the primitive Church as enthusiasm for truth and brotherly love. (E. GÜDER†.)

USUARDUS: French Benedictine; flourished in the ninth century; d. at St. Germain-des-Prés, Paris, Jan. 13, probably 875. In 858 he was one of two monks deputed by his order and by Charles the Bald to bring from Valencia the relics of St. Vincent, but on their way they learned that these relics had meanwhile been obtained by the bishop of Saragossa, and they accordingly changed their route to Cordova, where they were enabled to secure the bodies of Saints George and Aurelius, as well as the head of St. Natalia. In Oct., 858, Usuardus and his companion were again on French soil, only to find that, during their absence, a Norman inroad had driven the monks from St. Germain-des-Prés to Emant, in the diocese of Sens, whence they were unable to return until 863. The success of Usuardus in obtaining relics of the saints, together with his knowledge of church history, led Charles to commission him to draw up a martyrology, and it is to this work, which is based, with considerable personal control and investigation of his sources on the martyrologies of Ado, Bede (as revised by Florus), and the pseudo-Jerome, that his lasting fame is due. Usuardus' martyrology, which was completed about 875, quickly secured great popularity, and was followed in the majority of the churches and monasteries of France, Italy, England, and Spain, naturally with the addition of various saints specially honored in each specific district. Still greater tribute was shown it when in 1580, Gregory XIII. directed that this martyrology be revised and improved, the result being the issue, in 1583, of the Martyrologium Romanum, the fourdation of the present Roman martyrology. The first edition of the martyrology of Usuardus appeared at Lübeck in 1475, and was repeatedly republished until superseded by the critical edition of J. B. Sollier (Antwerp, 1714; often reprinted, and also accessible in ASB, June, vi.-vii., and in MPL, cxxiii.-cxxiv.).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: J. C. F. Bähr, Geschichte der römischen Liberatur im karolingischen Zeitalter, p. 501, Carisruhe, 1846; A. Ebert, Allgemeine Geschichte der Literatur des Mittelaturs, ii. 355, 386, Leipsic, 1880; A. Longuon, in Noiss et documents publiés pour le Société de l'hist. de Francs, pp. 19 sqq., Paris, 1884; Historisch-politische Blütter, cris (1895), 489 sqq., cxvii (1896), 177 sqq.; Ceillier, Autorisches, xii. 611-612; KL, xii. 512-513.

USURY (INTEREST).

I. Among the Hebrews.
Biblical Enactments (§ 1).
Talmudic and Later Usage (§ 2).

II. In the Christian Church. Early Ecclesiastical Legislation (§ 1). Completion and Basis of Ecclesiastical Theory (§ 2). General Results (§ 3). Views of the Reformers (§ 4). Modern Practise (§ 5).

I. Among the Hebrews: By usury is generally meant the employment of another's need to exact from him in return for some service (usually a loan) a disproportionately large remuneration, and the word suggests something morally blameworthy. In the Bible the word covers the meanr. Biblical ings attaching to the words "interest" Enactments. and "tribute." The Hebrew words are neshekh, marbith, tarbith, and the Greek is tokos. The laws of the Pentateuch, which so frequently have a philanthropic character, declare that aid to a fellow countryman who is in need is a duty of love (Deut. xv. 17 sqq.). Hence it is forbidden to an Israelite to take from a fellow Israelite interest of any kind in return for a loan (Ex. xxii. 25-27; Lev. xxv. 35-37; Deut. xxiii. 20), whether of money or food; but from one who is not an Israelite it is permitted by the Deuteronomic law to take interest (xxiii. 20; cf. xv. 6, xxviii. 12). The distinction is not difficult to understand. In the first place, from gentiles there could be no expectation of receiving material help without payment for the service, since these did not display disinterestedness toward their own people. In Egypt loaning for interest seems to have been introduced by Bocchoris of the twenty-fourth dynasty (718-712 B.C.), and the rate was 30 per cent for loans of money and 331 per cent for grain. But the Asiatics who traded in Egypt exacted interest from the natives at a much earlier date. In the earliest time

the Romans demanded only the return of what was lent (Nonius Marcellus, v. 70). In the second place the position of commerce among the gentiles was essentially different from what it was or was intended to be among the Hebrews, the latter not being wholly devoted to it as were, e.g., the Phenicians (see Phenicia, Phenicians). Among the Babylonians as early as 2,000 B.C. the customary rate of interest was for money 20 per cent, for grain 25 or 33 per cent, and the same rate appears in the New-Babylonian contracts. In the Old Testament the subject is considered in relation to need, and not in connection with commercial transactions. But the legal requirements were carried out in practise only in part. The taking of usury is very often condemned (Prov. xxviii. 8; Ezek. xviii. 13, xxii. 12; Ps. cix. 11); compare the praise of abstention from the practise (Ezek. xviii. 8, 17; Ps. xv. 5, xxxvii. 26). Oppression of the poor is frequently bewailed (Ecclus. xiii. 22-23), especially that arising from insistence upon rights conferred by making a loan (Ezek. xviii. 12; Amos ii. 8; Job xxii. 6, xxiv. 3; cf. Ex. xxii. 26; Deut. xxiv. 6, 10-13). But there is no mention of the rate per cent for loans; for according to Geiger, Guthe, and others, Neh. V. 11 is to be read " and the debt of the money, and of the corn . . ." instead of "the hundredth of the money. . . ." While this rate of interest (one per cent) for a month is from the modern standpoint conceivable, it would be low for that period in t it was wide-spread in Greece, as well thly reckoning, and was customary in Empire after the year of the city 704, tinian set the rate at 6 per cent for 12 for grain. No punishment is menaking usury, either in Bible or Talmud. and also forbids the taking of interest brews. An exception is found in Baba where Rab Jehuda affirms that to the who know the law) it is permitted to pay interest, since that class knows that usury is forbidden and so make a : "present" [in place of interest]. The same rabbi, following Rab Jehuda, declared that it is permitted a man to lend for interest his children and in order to let them feel the impresyment of interest. But, the passage s is wrong, since they may become acthe practise. In the Mishna (Baba between Israelites and gentiles the taerest is plainly permitted, though in ng Talmudic discussion the privilege is nited; and it appears from the tract 4a, that an ideal held forth is to take from gentiles, where in remarking upon 5, it is added "who takes no usury ntile." But the views of later times is, and apologetic expressions defending e are not wanting. It may be menthe prohibition against taking usury tile is reckoned by Maimonides as no. the commandments, and in the enumercommands this prohibition is no. 613. again, that one must be guided by his he matter; others, that it is commendo one take interest. In the Shulhan de'a, clix. 1, is the following: "The nits to loan to a gentile for usury. ave forbidden it except so far as it is or maintenance of life or in the case nan or so far as concerns a gain forby rabbis. But now it is permitted." 7 forbids taking usury from Israelites; ve also forbidden bargaining for gain or r loans of money or wares. Even yet regard the taking of interest from Jews n, even when the debtor is rich, and gain is regarded as a present (L. Stern, riften der Thora, welche Israel in der zu beobachten hat, p. 215, 4th ed., Frank-In the training which commerce and have in the present brought about, comrance of the prohibition is not possible; ways have been devised in order to keep f the law, as when a sort of partnership pon. An example of the formula em-1ch a case is given in B. H. Auerbach, r israelitischen Religion, p. 108 (2d ed., i3). The same authority lays down the from a gentile the Jew is to receive only rate of interest, such as is permitted ie law or the custom of the land; a rate

nate to the value as judged by the use of the loan is thievery and sinful. But

tions have not prevented loud outcries

concerning Jewish usury, though in many cases these had no basis in fact, since orthodox Jews regard this as heinous sin. (H. L. STRACK.)

II. In the Christian Church: The term usury, being originally equivalent to "fruit," "growth," "increase," and being applied to personal profit or gain, was also used to express the profits derived from money loans. The term, therefore, tallies with the Greek tokos (from tekō, "to bear," "to bring forth."

In ancient times interest was paid monthly and grew to vast amounts, insomuch that when paid by the poor, who were practically constrained to accept

Icans, the operation proved highly oppressive. The New Testament, Ecclesias—while not expressly forbidding the retical Legis—ceipt of interest, yet commends gratuitous lending, in token of neighbor-

tuitous lending, in token of neighborly love (Luke vi. 34, 35). In the early days of the Church, the taking of interest was reproved, as by Tertullian, Cyprian, Ambrose, Basil the Great, Gregory of Nyssa, Chrysostom, and others. It was only from the enemy, one who may also be slain in war, that interest could be taken rightfully. But as a general rule the practise was prohibited for all Christians, without distinction of persons (canon 20 of the Synod of Elvira, 310 A.D.). But as the fruition of interest was permitted by civil law, church legislation was confined to regulations forbidding the clergy to enjoy the same, under pain of dismissal (Synod of Arles, 314; Council of Nice, 325, canon xvii.). And in the year 325, again, when Constantine decreed anew that in the case of profits an interest so great as one-half of the loaned amount might be drawn, and in the case of capital, the hundredth part, that is, one per cent a month, or 12 per cent yearly (Theodosian Code, chap. 1., de usuris, iv. 33), that ecclesiastical prohibition had reference only to the clergy (Council of Laodicea, 372 A.D., canon 4; Third Synod of Carthage, 397 A.D., canon 16). But this nowise prevented the teachers of the Church from enjoining upon all Christians the duty of lending without interest, as did Augustine and Jerome. Their example was also followed by Pope Leo I., in a brief of the year 447 to the bishops of Campania, Picenum, Tuscany, and all Italian provinces. Nevertheless, the synodical prohibitions continued to be directed only against the clergy (as at the Second Synod of Arles, 443 A.D., canon 14, and that of Tarragona, 516 A.D., canons 2, 3). The Greek Church, however, so far deferred to temporal legislation, which still permitted the taking of interest though under certain restraints, as to relax even the universal interdiction governing the clergy. For in the East they prohibited only the matter of promising interest on loans, whereas they allowed the exaction of interest when the refunding of borrowed capital was immoderately delayed. This was the import of a ruling by Photius (Nomocanon, tit. IX., xxviii., in conjunction with Justinian's Novella, CXXXI., xii.) whereby, for pious ends of the Church, legates were allowed to draw interest arrearages. This construction was also favored by later commentators (cf. Balsamon's commentary on the passage cited from Photius). In the Frankish realm, the interdiction at first affected only the clergy, though it soon became extended to cover laymen as well. In agreement with this are the decretals of the later popes and the synodical rulings.

The leading idea in all cases is that in both the Old Testament and the New the taking of interest is generally forbidden, as being "avarice and wickedness." Thus Alexander III. de-

wickedness." Thus Alexander III. de
2. Complection and 1179, in canon 25: "Wherefore none may be dispensed in favor of drawing Ecclesiasinterest." He had previously ruled tical Theory, that the profits derived from the pledged article must be deducted from

the loaned capital itself; only the actual object in pawn must be returned to the owner, excepting the case of a church benefice, which might then be acquired from the hands of a layman and so recovered to the Church. As a general thing it was provided that when interest accrued, it should be assigned to the debtors or to their he'rs; but if no such claimants existed, it should go to the poor, and that this should be done alike by the creditor himself and by his heirs. The oath rendered by a debtor, pledging him not to reclaim interest, by no means annulled the obligation about refunding the same; and this held where the payment of interest was voluntary, and not expressly stipulated. The church penalties threatened against receivers of interest are suspension for the clergy and excommunication for laymen, together with the usual consequences of refusal of church burial and exclusion from judicial hearings. Procedure against usurers was to be instituted not only on grounds of a formal accusation, but also as a direct official duty. Against Jews who had taken interest of Christians every means of procedure was to be set afoot. Antecedent rulings were augmented by Gregory X. at the Council of Lyons, 1274, canons 26, 27. He forbade the harboring of foreign usurers, even the leasing to them of habitations. Usurers were to be expelled from the land within three months, under pain of suspension in case of prelates, excommunication in the case of other persons, interdict for colleges and corporate bodies, and in the event of resistance at large, interdict upon the given country. Notorious usurers, besides incurring the penalties earlier stated, were also to be debarred as testamentary witnesses, and their own wills were to be invalid. At the Council of Vienne, 1311, Clement V. decreed in addition that those municipal statutes which allowed the taking of interest and embodied regulations accordingly were to be null and void; whereas authorities who should draw up such measures or give sentence in accordance with them were to be liable to the ban. For the purpose of providing proof against usurers, these were to be held answerable for submitting their account books. Finally the pope declared, "If any lapse into the error of obstinately and presumptuously affirming that it is no sin to practise usury, we decree that he be punished quite as a heretic."

This ruling essentially terminates the canonical construction of the matter, and even stamps the same with a certain dogmatic sanction. This attitude is supported not only by the medieval doctrine

of the unfruitfulness of money (an economic theory treating money simply as medium of exchange or measure of value), but also by the interpretation which the schoolmen gave to the related passages of Holy Scripture (Alexander of Hales, pars III., quest. 86, art. 2; Thomas Aquinas, II., 2, quest. 87, art. 1 ad 2, quest. 105, art. 3 ad 3). The objection borrowed from the context in Matt. xx. and Luke xix. is met from the said standpoint. The matter of lending under direct promise of interest has in all times been reproved from the point of view of canon law. Benedict XIV. simply repeated as much in consonance with the earlier law, in his brief Vix pervenit of Nov. 1, 1745, while the Curia still maintains that position.

The high rate of interest prevalent in the Middle Ages rendered life exceedingly burdensome to the poor, if they needed a loan; so that the canonical regulations against taking interest at

3. General all were highly acceptable to the com-Results. mon people. It is assumed that no usury exists where the object at issue is an ecclesiastical benefice or tenure, such as is not supposed to rest in lay hands, in the nature of the case. The same is true in respect to the purchase of a fixed annuity or ground-rent, something essentially distinct from an interest-bearing loan in that the buyer (and creditor) could not lay claim to the principal, whereas the rate of interest itself was moderate. The same applies in the case of deferred interest charges, in so far as the "interest" here in question represented proper compensation. Finally, there was no usury involved in moderate interest paid to loan-houses (Montes pietatis, q.v.), to the benefit of the poor (Lateran Council of 1517, sess. X.; Tridentine Council, sess. XXII., c. 8 de reform.). Moreover, practical requirements were met by other exceptions, and the canon law was either evaded or else modified with manifold qualifications. In the same direction, even the popes allowed usury in the case of the Jews. But, on the other hand, the notion of usury became extended to every line of trade in which a positive profit was the object in view; particularly was this true of exchange business. The canonical prohibition of usury continued to be supported, in the main, by subsequent civil legislation.

The Reformers, in turn, in agreement with the primitive Church, rejected the taking of interest. Luther pronounced against it in his sermons on usury, 1519 and 1524, and in 1540 issued an admonition to pastors to preach against that practise. To his mind, the notion of usury and of interest are one and the same. But Luther extends the notion

of usury to the purchase of ground4. Views rents, though on this point he was not of the always consistent. For instance, when Reformers. Jacob Strauss, Evangelical pastor at Eisenach (1523), denounced all interest as void of obligation, Luther and Melanchthon declared, in a formal opinion requested of them, that usury was a great evil and contradictory to love; but that yet not every one should be allowed to withdraw at will from an assumed obligation, or to refuse payment save under forcible compulsion—a course upheld by Strauss. Whether they would

exact usury or accept it should be left free to the creditors' conscience; only the rate ought not to exceed four or five florins to the hundred; and the interest, again, ought not to be redeemable. Not that the question at large was thus by any means resolved, for many minds were still in doubt and wrest over the admissibility of interest in the shape of rent and income (cf. Instruktion und Befehlch derauf die Visitatores im Kurfürstenthum Sachsen elgefertigt seyn, 1527; E. Sehling, Die evangelischen Kirchenordnungen, i. 142 sqq., Leipsic, 1902). Mehachthon also was not consistent in the matter of judicially defining the admissibility of taking interest, nor did he always adhere to the view that was first held regarding the absolute reprehensibleness of the said practise. Calvin, however, adopted a different standpoint. He gave utterance to his views on various occasions (as in Sermon no. 134, in CR, xxviii. 121), and also delivered a special reply to a formal inquiry addressed to him, wherein he allowed the taking of interest in seven contingencies (CR, x. 245 sqq.). Calvin's views have since then been reflected by other Evangelical theologians, regarding the propriety of taking interest; as by Wilhelm Amasius in his work De conscientia et ejus jure vel casibus; by Spener, in his Theologische Bedenken, ii. 227 sqq. (4 vols., Halle, 1700-02); and in modern times by F. V. Reinhard, System der christlichen Moral, iii. 27 sqq. (5 vols., Wittenberg, 1788-1815); C. F. von Ammon, Handbuch der christlichen Sittenlehre, iii. 194 sqq. (3 vols., 2d ed., Erlangen, 1838); R. Rothe, Theologische Etkik, iii. § 1, p. 233 (Wittenberg, 1871). Indeed, even Roman Catholic authors reflect similar views (d. B. Phillips, Lehrbuch des Kirchenrechts, p. 637, Regensburg, 1862: "Forasmuch as the interest prohibitions in the canon law presuppose wholly different social conditions from those of the later age, they have ceased to be valid ").

The force of these reasons was the less to be withstood when supported by the rulings of the Roman law, the authority of which gained wider and wider recognition. There thus grew up a custom contra-

dictory to the canon law; transferring

5. Modern the usual 5-per-cent rate of interest

Practise. common to rents and incomes to loans,
with direct pledge of interest; and

with direct pledge of interest; and also occasionally raising the rate to 6 per cent. Dating from the latter third of the sixteenth century, this custom was also legalized in the several German sovereignties and also by the terms of the final decree of the imperial diet of 1654. Thenceforward the notion of " usury " in the sense of " avarice and wickedness" is no longer applied to the drawing of interest in general, but denotes illegal interest, especially that in excess of the legal rate. This alone is viewed as a properly penal transaction; whereas the likewise frequently interdicted practise of drawing interest on interest, or arrears of interest exceeding the principal itself, is accounted, under the civil law, as something merely impracticable. In the broad sense, usury also includes the purely artificial enhancement of the price of commodities in the general market. This practise moved the Reformers to open protest, Luther among them. The contemporary German imperial law has a more circumscribed conception of usury. The same, or a similar practise, according to the laws of May 24, 1880, and June 19, 1893, occurs only where one takes advantage of the straitened circumstances. thoughtlessness, or inexperience of another, in the case of a loan, or postponement in settling an account due on demand, or some other legal transaction with reciprocal bearings, all tending to the economic ends of borrowing and lending, i.e., where the creditor contrives to extort and secure for himself or some intermediate third party such pecuniary profits as not only transcend the usual interest rate, but also reach glaring disproportion in comparison with the service rendered. Usury of this kind is requited with penal severity, and the transactions involved are null and void by terms of the civil code, § 138, division 2.

The judicial estimation of usury from the standpoints of Church and State has been divergent.
And though the Evangelical church has rejected
the inflexible attitude of the Church of Rome in
this matter, still, the Evangelical church can not
assent to the repeal of all usury laws. At all events,
it may not desist from counseling the members of
its communion respecting the duty laid upon them
in the words of the Lord (Luke vi. 34, 35).

E. SEHLING.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: For the Biblical side consult: J. D. Michaelis, Syntagma commentationum, ii. 1 sqq., Göttingen, 1769; idem, Mosaisches Recht, ii. 87 sqq., 6 vols., 2d ed., Frankfort, 1771-75, Eng. transl., Commentaries on the Laws of Moses, 4 vols., London, 1814; J. L. Saalschüts, Das mosaische Recht, pp. 183-184, 277-278, 856-857, Berlin, 1853; M. Duschak, Das mosaisch-almudische Strafrecht, pp. 46-50, Vienna, 1869; H. Ewald. Antiquities of Israel, pp. 181-185, Boston, 1876; J. M. Rabbinowics Legislation civile du Thalmoud, iii., pp. xxi.-xxxiii., Paris, 1878; A. Bertholet, Die Stellung der Israeliten und Juden su den Fremden, Freiburg, 1896; J. Hejel, Das alttestamentliche Zineverbot im Lichte der ethnologischen Jurisprudenz, ib. 1907; Bensinger, Archdologie, pp. 292-293; DB, i. 579-580; EB, iii. 2727-28, 3791-93; JE, xii. 388-391.

On the relation of the Church to usury consult: Bingham, Origines, VI., ii. 6, XVI., xii. 13; W. Endemann, Die nationalokonomischen Grundsätze der kanonistischen Lehre, Jena, 1863; idem, Studien in der romanisch-kanonistischen Wirtschafts- und Rechtslehre, 2 vols., Berlin, 1879-1883; M. Neumann, Geschichte des Wuchers in Deutschland, Halle, 1865; F. K. Funk, Geschichte des kirchlichen Zinsverbotes, Tübingen, 1876; W. Cunningham, Christian Opinion on Usury, London, 1884; R. F. Crawford, Letters on Usury, ib. 1889; L. Goldschmidt, Universalgeschichte des Handelsrechte, i. 137 sqq., Stuttgart, 1891; W. Blissard, The Ethic of Usury and Interest, London, 1892; L. Caro, Der Wucher, Leipsic, 1893; E. W. Mason, Forgotten Teaching and Neglected Discipline of the Church as to Usury, Leicester, 1900; F. Schneider, in Festgabe für Heinrich Finke, Münster, 1904; F. Schaub, Der Kampf gegen den Zinsuncher, Freiburg, 1905; DCA, ii. 2006-08.

UTENHEIM, u'ten-huim, CHRISTOPH VON: Bishop of Basel; b. of a noble Alsatian family probably about 1450; d. at Delsberg (or Delémont, 29 m. n. of Bern) Mar. 16, 1527. In 1473 he was rector of the newly founded University of Basel, where, though a nominalist, he became closely associated with a circle of humanists and realists, and the same year provost of St. Thomas's in Strasburg. In 1494 Jacques d'Amboise, abbot of Cluny, made him vicar-general of the Cluniac monks in Alemannia, with special charge of the monastery of St. Alban's until an administrator should be appointed. In 1499 he was chosen auxiliary bishop of Basel, and

in 1502 became full diocesan. Utenheim called Wimpfeling (q.v.) to Basel to prepare synodal statutes, these being rather a collection and revision of existing statutes than an independent work. Wimpfeling gladly accepted the task, and the synod assembled on Oct. 23, 1503, when the clergy were commanded to observe the statutes. The bishop himself delivered a short address, referring to the scandal caused among the people by the unspiritual conduct of the clergy, urging them to a better life, and ascribing the corruption of the Church primarily to the omission of synods and the neglect of statutes, amelioration being expected from semiannual synods after the ancient fashion as renewed by the Council of Basel. The statutes show that this effort was only one of many to elevate spiritual life by regulating the minutest details of the life of the clergy. The spirit of the reforms attempted in the statutes is indicated in the books recommended to the clergy for reading: the writings of Johann Gerson, especially his De arte audiendi confessiones, and the Resolutorium dubiorum missæ of Johannes de Lapide.

The attempted reform was unsuccessful. holding of regular synods failed; the clergy did not wish to be reformed; and while in the Alsatian portion of the diocese they received the support of the nobility, the gradual loss of the political power in the Swiss portion rendered the bishop's ecclesiastical control but slight. The canons secured exemption from episcopal authority and immediate control by the pope and their dean. In the statutes an endeavor was made to check pilgrimages to places which Utenheim believed had received sanctity from false visions, but this prescript was misconstrued and the papal commissary of indulgences to Germany nullified the efforts. In his endeavor to secure capable men to aid in the administration of his diocese, Utenheim called not only Wimpfeling, but Wolfgang Capito (q.v.), who in 1515 became preacher at the cathedral as well as teacher in the theological faculty. In 1515-16, through the influence of Capito, Œcolampadius (q.v.) was also attached to the cathedral staff. All this, however, by no means proved any sympathy on the part of Utenheim with the Protestant Reformation, though the bishop of Basel was an ardent humanist. It is thus readily explicable that Christoph von Utenheim, with his desire for reforms within the Church, eagerly read and heartily approved the earliest writings of Luther, but that when the logical consequences of the German Reformer's course became manifest, he turned away decisively, and that the events which transformed ecclesiastical conditions in Basel took place without his aid and against his will. A stronger nature than the scholarly bishop's would have proved too weak to stem the tide, and in 1519, weighed down by age and sickness, Utenheim received a coadjutor in Niklaus of Diesbach. The city council now made a determined effort to renounce its allegiance to the bishop, but in 1522 it showed itself willing to meet with him when certain humanists gave a blasphemous dinner on Palm Sunday. Not only were the offenders threatened with dire punishment if they repeated their scandal, but the priests were forbidden to introduce new doctrines into their preaching of the Gospel. The secular priest of St. Alban's, Wilhelm Reublin (q.v.), who had inveighed against the hierarchy and the institutions of the Church, and had carried a Ribb instead of relics at the procession of Corpus Christi, was expelled from the city by requirement of the bishop despite all protests. While still evidently inclined toward reforms, Utenheim repeatedly emphasized his conviction that changes were to be introduced gradually and in accordance with the voice of the Church herself. In Basel accordingly he sought to check the new movements which were shattering the foundations of the Church, and when, in 1522, Œcolampadius returned to the city and preached the tenets of Luther, Utenheim forbade the clergy and the members of the university to hear him. To the last he was desirous of reform, though only of such as should proceed from the bishops and leave the basis of the ancient Church unimpaired. The view, frequently expressed, that Utenheim was an Evangelical, as contrasted with a Roman Catholic, bishop has no foundation. In Feb., 1527, he wrote from Pruntrut, where his predecessors had mostly resided, to the chapter, requesting them to relieve him of his duties, but before a new bishop could be chosen, he had passed (EBERHARD VISCHER.) awav.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: J. J. Hersog, Beitrage zur Geschichte Basts, pp. 33 sqq., Basel, 1839; Basler Chroniken, ed. W. Vischer and A. Stern, Leipsic, 1872 sqq.; K. Pellican, Chroniken, ed. B. Riggenbach, Basel, 1877; C. Schmidt, Hist. interactive de l'Alsace, Paris, 1879; J. Knepper, Jakob Wimpfeling, Freiburg, 1902; R. Wackernagel, in Basler Zeitrick, Ger Geschicht, and Allenbandender in 1900. schrift für Geschichte und Alterthumskunde, ii (1903), 171

UTILITARIANISM.

I. Definition. II. History. Cumberland, Berkeley, and Hume (§ 1).

Bentham, Mill, and Spencer (§ 2).

III. Doctrines of Utilitarianism.

IV. Criticism.

I. Definition: Utilitarianism may be considered from two different points of view, viz., from abstract ethical theory, or from a practical relation to social and political institutions. In England, where utilitarianism has had its worthiest exponents, it is usually viewed from the practical side, and is tersely defined in the well-known formula, greatest happiness to the greatest number." On the continent and in America, where utilitarianism is known chiefly as one among numerous ethical theories, it is considered to be synonymous with hedonism, and is defined as the doctrine that actions derive their moral character from their consequences; or, that actions are right when they promote happiness, wrong when they produce misery. The ethical value of an action depends on, and is derived from, its utility. An action may, however, be useful to the individual alone; or, to society. This distinction in the extent of utility leads to another. The individual generally considers those actions useful which produce pleasure, which is egoistic hedonism. But if he looks upon his actions not so much from the point of view of single pleasures as from that of happiness, he finds that the latter is closely connected with the happiness of nen; and if he acts with a view to proppiness in general, he is an altruistic r, properly speaking, a utilitarian.

ry: Utilitarianism is historically and y connected with the classical Cyrenaic ean schools of philosophy. The doctrine hools was, however, chiefly egoistic heda a doctrine of altruistic hedonism, utilis said to have had its origin with the blicist Cesare Marchese de Beccaria (1735-94). This doctrine has, however, seen connected with English philosophy, and has not only produced the earliest exponents of this system, but also the dvocates of the practical bearings of this

Cumberland (1631-1718) was the first r to propound a system of utilitarianism. The keywords to his doctrine are the r- statements that feelings are by nature both egoistic and altruistic; and that man is fitted for society by the 1. latter. Rationality emphasizes the altruistic feelings in this respect in first, by enabling one to recognize his as indissolubly connected with that of I thus leading to objectively moral conultimately egoistic motives; second, by ie to recognize and desire the good in and "Good" is defined by Cumberland as perfects both mind and body. Cumberwever, somewhat ambiguous concerning which have a tendency in that direction, more frequently of happiness as the ppiness is pleasure depending (1) upon ded normal activities of mind and body; tranquil frame of mind, which is condietimes by external circumstances, somee feeling that one has acted consistently, by the consciousness that one has acted mon weal; and (3) upon the knowledge s are happy. George Berkeley (1685-e father of "theological utilitarianism." indicates the attempt to reconcile ultiish motives of action with morality. If t is the ruling principle of human nature, shown that the interest of the individual 10ral action. But this can not always be be the case, particularly if supernatural disregarded, since no man is able to preonsequences of his actions. Divine omlone can do that and formulate rules of ch will tend toward the well-being of all all nations, and, therefore, toward the of the individual. Supernatural sanchus necessary to produce moral actions. ne (1711-76) boldly argued that men ally continue to approve of any quality nature which does not at least appear to seful or agreeable. A moral distinction only on the ground of utility and pleaslessness and pain. Usefulness and agreeust, however, be extended to others than he consideration of others must become t of humanity which may be reasonably the ultimate cause of all moral phenomena. It may happen that by acting in accordance with this sentiment, the individual becomes the loser; but mental tranquillity and consciousness of integrity—so necessary for happiness—will nevertheless be cultivated and cherished by every true man. Hume freed utilitarianism from the dogma that the motive of the agent is always, in the last analysis, egoistic, and defended the altruistic tendencies of human nature.

Jeremy Bentham (1748-1832) emphasized the pleasurable aspect of actions as motives, but chiefly those which give pleasure to the doer.

2. Bentham, He distinguished thirteen kinds of Mill, and pleasures with their corresponding Spencer. pains, viz.: sense, wealth and privation, skill and awkwardness, amity and

enmity, reputation and disgrace, power, piety, benevolence and malevolence, memory, imagination, expectation, and association. Only two of these classes—benevolence and malevolence—have reference to fellow men; all others concern only the individual. John Stuart Mill (1806-73) rounded out the system of utilitarianism, freed it from its narrowness, and made it acceptable to statesmen and theologians. By his insistence upon the "acquired character" of moral feelings he emphasized their social nature as no one had done before, and thus gave this system of ethics an importance in English life which hardly any other philosophy has enjoyed. This great influence is due to his claim that disinterested public spirit should be the prominent motive in the performance of all socially useful work, and that, e.g., even hygienic precepts should be inculcated not chiefly on grounds of prudence, but because "by squandering our health we disable ourselves from rendering services to our fellow creatures." Herbert Spencer (1820–1903) introduced the principle of slow racial development into the concepts of utilitarianism. It had always proved insuperably difficult for utilitarians to show how the abstract principle of general happiness could arise from that of personal happiness, since experience demonstrates that actions for the general welfare frequently conflict with personal in-terests and happiness. Spencer tried to show that this transformation is next to impossible in the individual, but that it is probable in the race by slow and gradual accretions which the individual inherits as he does other traits favorable in the struggle for existence. The habit of acting with a view to other people's happiness is an advantage to any race or nation; and it is, therefore, probable that with growing intelligence the principles of benevolence were developed and eventually inherited by the individual, who practises them as naturally as he does those of personal interest.

HI. Doctrines of Utilitarianism: The connection between utilitarianism and hedonism is close, and many defenders of the former have had difficulty in disentangling their system from the latter; some of them have more or less openly espoused hedonism, and have attempted to free it only from its grosser implications. An outline of Bentham's system in its most complete form (*Principles of Morals and Legislation*, London, 1789) makes this clear. He starts with the hedonistic and utilitarian propo-

sition that the desire for pleasure and the fear of pain are the only motives which can influence the human will, and that the attainment of the greatest possible happiness is, therefore, the supreme interest of every individual. Society consists, however, of individuals, and it must be animated by the same desire for happiness; this takes the form of the attainment of the greatest possible happiness for all its component members. This happiness to one and to all can, however, be gaged only by the consequences resulting from actions as the experience of the individual and of the race has registered Experience shows pleasurable actions to be useful on the whole, painful actions to be useless, except as warning signals. The principle of utility or of the greatest happiness is, consequently, the only test of morality, since the latter means usefulness in the broadest sense. The moral character of an action is to be ascertained by a calculation of the pleasures and pains involved in the elements which constitute it. Pleasure and pain may be greater or less according to intensity, duration, certainty or uncertainty, nearness or remoteness, strength of expectation, fecundity, purity, and extent, i.e., number of persons affected. Pleasure and pain have different sources or sanctionsphysical, political, moral, and also religious, since God himself wills his children to be happy. The moral faculty, with which ethics is alone concerned, is constituted by good-will or benevolence, the love of amity, the love of reputation, and the dictates of religion and of prudence. Ethical systems not in agreement with utilitarianism may be divided into two classes, those of asceticism which disapprove of actions in proportion as they tend to augment happiness, and approve of them as they tend to diminish it; and those of sympathy. Stuart Mill is the best representative of the newer utilitarianism. He maintains in his Utilitarianism (1863) that the criterion of morality, the foundation of morality, and the chief good are identical. From this basis he argues that the steadiness and consistency of the moral beliefs of mankind are mainly due to the tacit influence of utilitarianism, because this doctrine sets before men as chief aim the greatest happiness not of the individual, but of the race. But utilitarianism rests on a distinction of pleasures into kinds-high and low, noble and ignoble. If men make this distinction, they are led to recognize the power to sacrifice their own greatest happiness for that of their fellow men, because actions of this kind may be more useful to the race. In every-day life man does not, as a rule, calculate the consequences of his actions, because conscientious feeling has invested utility with obligatory force—sufficient in the main to lead to right action. Justice is a form of utility, and means originally the animal desire to repel a hurt or to retaliate; but becomes widened so as to include all persons by the human capacity for enlarged sympathy and the conception of intelligent self-interest.

James Mill (1773–1836) contributed a few other elements. Useful actions are of four kinds—acts of prudence, fortitude, justice, and benevolence; the first two include acts primarily useful to us, secondarily to others; the last two, those which are

primarily useful to others, and secondarily ourselves. The moral feelings are a complete growth, of which the ultimate constituents appleasurable and painful sensations, e.g., distributed the constituents are pleasurable and painful sensations, e.g., distributed the constituents are pleasurable and painful sensations, e.g., distributed the constituents are pleasurable and painful sensations are proportional interest and in eventually been detached from its original roots.

IV. Criticism: Utilitarianism as a theory of in is inadequate (1) from the point of its motive, from that of its fundamental principle. Morality based on the conception of duty. Utilitarians : gard pleasure and self-interest as the original rote of morality. But duty can never be developed from these roots. It is easy to show that virtue is used; but impossible to prove virtue a derivative of una ity. When utilitarians approach this crucial point, they appeal either to the will of God (John Austin), or to the authority of the law (Alexander Bain), or to conscientious feeling (John Stuart Mill). The theory fails, thus, both in regard to sufficiency of motive and of logical consistency. The fundamental tal principle of utilitarianism is the calculability of actions. Man is to act with a view to the please urable or painful effects of his acts, both to himself and to others. That might be a good rule to follow were man omniscient. Since he is limited in his foresight, he must act in many cases according to law and precept-either divine or human. But the moment he does so, he abandons the utilitarian principle, and obeys some rule either of man or of God. The principle "The greatest happiness to the greatest number," if taken as guide, does not afford basis for computation of pleasure and pain, since one can not know what will give pleasure or pain to others. Pains and pleasures differ not only with different individuals, but with different classes and stages of civilization. It was the impossibility of making fixed standards of variable pains and pleasures that caused Herbert Spencer to say: "Hence if the method of egoistic hedonism is unsatisfactory, far more unsatisfactory for the same kindred reasons is the method of universalistic hedonism, or utilitarianism" (Principles of Ethics, i. 155, London and New York, 1910). RUDOLPH M. BINDER.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: E. Albee, Hist. of English Trilitarianism, London, 1902; F. E. Beneke, Grundlinien des natürlichen Systems der praktischen Philosophie, 3 vols., Berlin, 1837-1840; A. Bain, The Emotions and the Will, London, 1859; W. E. H. Lecky, Hist. of European Morals, 2d ed., 2 vols., ib. 1869; J. Grote, Ezamination of Utilitarian Philosophy, Cambridge, 1870; H. Bleckley, A Colloquy on the Utilitarian Theory of Morals, London, 1873; J. S. Blackie, Four Phases of Morals, Edinburgh, 1874; A. Comte, Positise Philosophy, 2 vols., 2d ed., ib. 1875; L. Stephen, Science of Ethics, ib. 1882; jdem, The English Utilitarians, 3 vols., ib. 1900; T. H. Green, Prolegomena to Ethics, Oxford, 1883; G. P. Best, Morality and Utility, London, 1887; H. Calderwood, Handbook of Moral Philosophy, 14th ed., ib. 1888; H. Sidgwick, Method of Ethics, 4th ed., ib. 1892; G. von Gisycki, Introduction to the Study of Ethics, ib. 1891; G. F. James, T. H. Green und der Utilitarianus, Halle, 1894; S. Chapman, The Esthetic Element in Morality and its Place in a Utilitarian Theory of Morals, New York, 1895; A. Germain, Du beau moral formel, Paris, 1895; W. Wundt, Ethical Systems, New York, 1897; F. Paulsen, A System of Ethics, ib. 1899; J. S. Mill, Utilitarianism, Liberty, and Representative Government, latest issue, London, 1910.

UTRAQUISTS. See Huss, John, Hussitzs, IL, §§ 3-7.

30GAERT, ai"ten-bö'gärt, JAN (HANS): leader of the Dutch Remonstrants (q.v.); th Feb. 11, 1557; d. at The Hague Sept. He came of Roman Catholic ancestry, sarly education he received at home school of St. Jerome in his native city; rned to the study of law, entering the notary, and a remarkable future seemed 1578, when he was offended by the conached to an offer, it being demanded that ttendance upon the sermons of the evanclined Huibert Duifhuis. He thereupon 1 the Roman Catholic Church. He was ime at Arnheim in the service of a of Count John of Nassau, and then to Utrecht with the intention of becomtor. Here the strife between Duifhuis artisans and the Calvinists (called Conhad already broken out. In 1580 Uy-; was sent at the city's expense to study theology and came into connection ; but his sympathies were not in that rather they inclined to Arminius. On his Utrecht in 1584 he found the strife beidherents of Duifhuis and the Consistorials er, the upper classes siding with the but the Consistorials called him to a pasrhich he did not feel at home, as the connot favor the expression of his own senti-1 the course of the controversy between , the magistrates decided to retire honthe preachers of both parties and install their places, and so Uytenbogaert was, nt of position.

nvitation of Prince Maurice he went to e in 1591, where he was soon installed alloon congregation, and there his preachttended by the prince and the nobility. the high favor of the prince and of Louise r, and undertook the education of the nce Frederik Hendrik, as well as the ourt preacher. The appointments were te of Oldenbarneveld, who expected to of the great influence which Uytenbogaert y gained. The latter undertook the ed-Oldenbarneveld's two daughters, and in al matters was the adviser of the grand , but the friendship and cooperation of ert and Oldenbarneveld eventually cost his influence with the ecclesiastics. Yet time he was the recognized head of an al party, and to him was attributed pracrything that was done, while his counsel ntly sought. Yet as the head of his party dogmatician, and in his pastoral work he I piety and the renewing of the life; but sized freedom of thought and speech, in opponents thought they saw the overhe Church and of the republic. His ins used time and again for peace, as in the minius (q.v.) in 1591, but in several of s he was accused of attempting to subnurch to the State. On the death of Artenbogaert became the head of the Armincompacted into a party, to whom was the name Remonstrants. Forty of these

as pastors met at the invitation of Oldenbarneveld and under the leadership of Uytenbogaert, Jan. 14, 1610, the result of which meeting was the famous "Remonstrance" (see REMONSTRANTS) to the States of Holland. At the same time came Uytenbogaert's first writing, Tractaet van 't Ampt ende Authoriteyt eener Hoogher Christelicker Overheydt in Kerckelicke Saecken (The Hague, 1610), which called forth a series of answers and focused the strife which the conferences of 1611 and 1613 could not abate. The Calvinists began to institute their own services, the favor of Prince Maurice was lost to Uytenbogaert, and he at last declared he would no longer go to hear the latter's preaching. In 1617 the States decided for a synod, against the wish of the Remonstrants. Uytenbogaert lost courage, in Mar., 1618, asked to be relieved of his charge, and when, Aug. 29, 1618, Oldenbarneveld, Grotius, and Hogerbeets were arrested, Uytenbogaert fled to Rotterdam and thence to Antwerp. On May 24, 1619, he was publicly banned from the republic and his goods confiscated, the reason assigned being that he had introduced new views, contrary to those of the accepted Reformed doctrine. In October he sent a document to Prince Maurice in his own defense, Schriftelijcke Verantwoordinghe . . . of de openbaere Klock inluydinghe Edicte . . . (1619), and continued from afar to direct the affairs of the Remonstrants. In Oct., 1621, he removed to Rouen. When Maurice died, Apr. 23, 1625, and was followed as stadholder by Uytenbogaert's pupil Frederik Hendrik, affairs looked more favorable for the Remonstrants, and Uytenbogaert returned, reaching Rotterdam unheralded Sept. 26, 1626. But Frederik would not espouse openly the cause of the Remonstrants, though he granted his protection to his old teacher. Uytenbogaert began to preach quietly at The Hague, and regained possession of his own house. For the rest of his life he worked for his cause by his writings and by personal effort.

Although Uytenbogaert's literary activity began late in his life, the results were fruitful. A list of his works is given in the appendix to the third edition of his autobiography (see bibliography, below); most of them were polemics, drawn from him by the stress of the times. Yet two of his productions, historical in nature, are of permanent value. The first is his autobiography, brought down to May 13, 1638. It was not intended for the public eye, and was edited by Rijckewaert. It is apologetic in character, and gives valuable information concerning the persons and events of his times. The second work was suggested by another which he issued anonymously under the title Oorspronck ende Voortganck der Nederlantsche Kerckelijcke verschillen tot op het Nationale Synodus van Dordrecht (1623), and aimed to be a history of the Church, especially in the Netherlands. It was called De Kerkelicke Historie, vervetende verscheyden ghedenckwaerdige saken, in de Christenheyt voor-gevallen, appeared in 1646, and covered the period 400-1619. The work is excellent in character, uses various sources, and is not uncritical. While the tone is moderate, the great fault is that in fact it is a defense of the Remonstrants.

Uytenbogaert was one of the great men of his

times. While he was not a notable scholar, he was a man of learning and earnest in his pursuit of knowledge. He had a talent for organisation, the sense of practicality, and a ready eloquence. His diplomatic ability was such that, had his sphere been that of politics, he would have won eminence as a statesman. His piety was earnest, and he died in peace with his conscience. (S. D. van Veen.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Sources are: The autobiography, Johannis Wienbogaeris Leven, n.p., 1645, 3d ed., 1647; and his Brisven en onusigegeven Stukken, ed. H. C. Rogge, Utrecht, 1868-75. Consult: H. C. Rogge, Johannes Wienbogaeri en sijn Tijd, 3 parts, Amsterdam, 1874-76; idem, in Godgeleerde Bijdragen, vol. xxii.; idem, in Jaarboeken van wetens. Theologie, new series, vol. i.; J. M. Schröckh, Christiche Kirchengeschichte seit der Reformation, v. 226-276, 35 parts, Leipsic, 1772-1803; J. L. Motley, John of Barnsveld, 2 vols., New York, 1874 (often reprinted); and the literature under Arminius, Jakobus; Episcopius, Simon; and Remonstrants.

UZZIAH, Uz-zai'a (AZARIAH): Ninth king of Judah, son and successor of Amasiah (q.v.). His dates, according to the old chronology, are 808-756; according to Kamphausen, 777-736; according to K. Marti (EB, i. 797-798), 789-740. His name appears in various forms in the Hebrew: 'Uzziyyahu (II Kings xv. 32, 34; II Chron. xxvi. 1 sqq., xxvii. 2; Isa. i. 1, vi. 1, vii. 1), 'Uzziyyah (II Kings xv. 13, 30; Hos. i. 1; Amos i. 1; Zech. xiv. 5), 'Azaryah (II Kings xiv. 21, xv. 1, 7, 17, 23, 27; I Chron. iii. 12), and 'Azaryahu (II Kings xv. 6, 8); the meaning is "Yahweh is my strength" or "Yahweh hath helped." There is no satisfactory explanation of the employment of the two names; the Septuagint does not follow strictly the forms in the Hebrew. Both names have parallels in form and meaning in Assyrian and Phenician.

The narrative in II Kings xiv. 21-22, xv. 1-7 makes Uzziah succeed to the throne at the age of sixteen, assigns to him a reign of fifty-two years, gives him a good character, even though the high places were not removed, states that he restored the possession of Elath (on the eastern arm of the Red Sea) to Judah and so implies the reconquest of Edom, and that he became a leper, on account of which his son Jotham acted as regent. II Chron. xxvi. agrees with Kings so far as this narrative goes, but adds: (1) that Uzziah warred successfully against the Philistines, Arabians, and Meunim, and that the Ammonites became tributary; (2) that he strengthened the fortifications of Jerusalem; (3) developed a strong military establishment; (4) engaged extensively in pastoral, agricultural, and viticultural pursuits; and (5) that, puffed up with pride in his achievements, he became vain and entered the Temple to burn incense, (according to the Chronicler) an exclusively priestly prerogative, and that, in spite of priestly remonstrance, he persisted in his purpose and was stricken on the spot with

leprosy. While the Chronicler's explanation of the cause of the leprosy may be regarded as a late midrashic legend, the details regarding Uzziah's military measures receive incidental and weighty corroboration (cf. J. F. McCurdy, in The Expositor, Nov., 1891). The success of the Assyrians in their assaults on the Syrian powers would naturally result in such measures of defense as stronger fortifications and increase in munitions and forces, and in the creation of such engines of war as are attributed to Uzziah. Moreover, the control of Philistine teritory shown by Hezekiah only a few years later must have dated from this reign (cf. II Kings xviii. 13 sqq.). The Taylor cylinder of Sennacherib speaks of Arabians as forming part of the garrison of Jerssalem during Sennacherib's attempts against the city, which is explained by Uzziah's conquest over a part of the Arabian territory, going well with his command of the region south to Elath. The prosperity of Judah which appears even during the weak reign of Ahaz must be traced to this reign; and the power of Judah at the beginning of the Sennecharib campaigns is explicable on these grounds. Uzziah's force of character and foresight and wisdom doubtless prolonged the life of the southern kingdom, and his achievements thus make him one of the most important kings of Judah.

The passage in the annals of the great Tiglath-Pileser (most accessible in Eng. transl. in DB, iv. 844; see Assyria, VI., 3, § 9, cf. VI., 2, § 1) which refers to "Azariah of Yaudi" is now by most scholars held not to refer to the subject of this sketch but to a king Azariah of a territory called Yaudi (the writing of which might easily be read as the Assyrian equivalent of "Judah") not far from Alexandretta Bay in northwestern Syria. The places named in connection with the confederation against the Assyrians of which the document speaks are regarded as too remote from Judah to permit Azariah of Judah to take the leadership in such a confederation (cf., however, J. F. McCurdy, History, Prophecy, and the Monuments, i. 413-415, New York, 1894). A matter of some interest is the occurrence in Uzziah's reign of an earthquake which was so severe as to serve as a sort of date of reckoning (Amos i. 1; Zech. xiv. 5).

GEO. W. GILMORE.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Besides the pertinent sections in the literature under Ahab; and IRRAEL, HISTORY OF, consult: E Schrader, Keilinschrijen und Geschichtsforschung, Gissen, 1878; H. Winckler, Alttestamentliche Forschungen, i. 23, Leipsic, 1893; J. F. McCurdy, in Expositor, Nov., 1991, pp. 388 sqq.; idem, History, Prophecy, and the Monuments, i. 348–351, 413–415, New York, 1894; T. K. Cheyna, Introduction to the Book of Isaiah, pp. 4, 16 sqq., London, 1895; C. F. Kent, Student's O. T., ii. 282 sqq., New York, 1905; Schrader, KAT, i. 54 sqq., 262; DB, iv. 843–845; EB, iv. 5240–44; JE, xii. 393–394.

V

US. See WATT, JOACHIM VON.

ES, va-gan'tiz or gan'tès (Clerici vaagi): A term applied in early canon law ergy who led a wandering life either behad no benefice or because they had dechurch to which they had been attached. s the fifth and sixth centuries measures against them, as when the Council of forbade ordination without appointment c church, or when the Council of Valencia eatened the vagantes with excommunienalty extended by the Synod of Arles ose who should give them shelter. Nevervagantes still flourished, and frequently ops and other clergy in the discharge of s or became chaplains in the castles of s, thus making their profession a trade ering with the orderly conditions and ns of the regular clergy. In 789 Charnewed the Chalcedon injunctions, and le the entertainment of any clergy who produce letters from their bishops. But measures failed, and in the ninth cenal synods (e.g., Mainz, 847, and Pavia, ought to check the vagantes, and their ake possession of benefices already conthers, while such prelates as Agobard of his De privilegio et jure sacerdotii, also em. In the twelfth century Gerhoh of g (q.v.) again complained of them in his nonia, but matters became far worse in ng century, when the Synods of Mainz chaffenburg (1292), Treves (1310), and (1284) declared against the vagantes, waria they were expressly excluded from peaces of 1244, 1281, and 1300.

ar type of vagantes arose in France in century, later spreading to England and These were the roving minstrels, mostly udents or wandering clergy, first called ntes or ribaldi ("rascals"), and later, rly thirteenth century, chiefly known as goliardenses, terms apparently meaning foliath," i.e., "sons of giants." rs of poetic form, but many councils of ath and fourteenth centuries sought to e goliards and their excesses. These eem practically to have suppressed the France by the end of the thirteenth cenin Germany they survived until late in h century under various names. Hugo devoted a special chapter of his Renner h and other vagantes, while in England luded to them in no complimentary (A. HAUCK.)

r: Bingham, Origines, VI., iv. 5, VII., ii. 12, 9; G. J. Planck, Geschichte der christlich-kirch-lschaftsverfassung, i. 375, ii. 100 sqq., 5 vols., 103-09; W. Giesebrecht, in Allgemeine Monats-Wissenschaft und Literatur, 1853, pp. 10-43, Grimm, Kleinere Schriften, iii. 1 sqq., Berlin, Iubatsch, Die lateinischen Vagantenlieder des

Mittelaltere, Görlits, 1870; J. von Pflugk-Harttung, Diplomatisch-historische Forschungen, pp. 50 aqq., Gotha, 1879; W. Meyer, in Festschrift der Göttinger Gesellschaft der Wiesenschaften, Göttingen, 1901; Neander, Christian Church, vol. iii. passim.

VALDES, val-des', JUAN and ALFONSO DE.

Alfonso on the Sack of Rome (§ 1).
Juan's "Mercury and Sharon"; Alfonso (§ 2).
Juan's Relations with Rome, and with Giulia Gonsaga (§ 3).
Later Writings (§ 4).
Theological Views (§ 5).

The Hispano-Italian reformers, Juan and Alfonso de Valdés, were born as twins at Cuenca (84 m. s.e. of Madrid), Castile, about the end of the fifteenth century, Juan dying at Naples in the summer of 1541, and Alfonso at Vienna early in Oct., 1532. Alfonso, in 1520, accompanied the young

King Charles to his coronation at r. Alfonso Aachen, and then went to Worms, on the Sack where he witnessed the burning of of Rome. Luther's writings, which he, unlike the

majority, considered but the beginning of the tragedy of the Reformation. A few years later he was imperial secretary to the high chancellor, Mercurino Arborio da Gattinara. and when the Spanish monks raged against Erasmus, Alfonso warmly defended the Basel scholar. In May, 1527, Rome was stormed and sacked by an imperial army, though without imperial sanction, and the pope himself was made prisoner. Alfonso voiced the sentiment of the court in a dialogue on the catastrophe between Lactantius, a cavalier of the emperor, and an archdeacon just come from Rome to Valladolid. Lactantius, through whom Alfonso expresses his own views, declares that the pope, as a disturber of the peace and as faithless to his word, brought the sack of Rome upon himself. He advocates the surrender of the papal temporal power and asserts that, since the exposure of ecclesiastical corruption by Erasmus and the sedition incited by Luther had alike failed to reform the papacy, God had turned to other means of conversion and had found them in the sack of Rome. The archdeacon himself concludes the dialogue with the hope that the emperor would now take the reformation of the Church in hand. The papal nuncio, Count Baldassare Castiglione, and Alfonso's fellow secretary, Juan Aleman, both sought to have this "ultra-Lutheran" document condemned to the flames, but the archiepiscopal grand inquisitor declared that the dialogue contained nothing heretical.

Meanwhile, probably in Dec., 1528, Juan had written his dialogue "Mercury and Sharon," a piece full of biting satire on false Christians. At the same time, Spain is declared more happy than Germany, where Lutheranism had given birth to many other sects. The justice of the punishment of Rome is maintained, and the absolute need of "Lactantius" were printed anonymously, probably in 1529, repeated editions following; modern editions are by Usóz i Rio in Reformistas antiguos españoles,

vol. iv. (Madrid, 1850), and by E. Böhmer in his Romanische Studien, parts vi., xix. (Halle, 1871–81).

In the year of Castiglione's death, Alary, force accompanied the empower to

"Mercury Italy and Germany. At Bologna he and attended the coronation of Charles by Sharon"; Clement VII., and there received Alfonso. papal favors. At this period, while

a follower of Erasmus, he by no means understood the attitude of Luther, and his position with regard to the Reformation was that of the politician. He constantly acted as a tactful mediator between the emperor, the papal legate, and Melanchthon, taking care that the emperor should be well informed of Protestant doctrines, but deeming the Augsburg Confession too bitter for its opponents to accept it. In Oct., 1531, he wrote from Brussels the imperial congratulations to the Swiss Roman Catholics for their victory over the Zwinglians at Kappel. In 1532 he was one of the agents in securing the imperial sanction of the Protestant rights of possession until the next council of the Church on condition of securing their aid against the Turks. Early in October of the same year, however, Alfonso died at Vienna.

Juan de Valdés remained in Spain when his brother Alfonso left it with the emperor. In 1531-

1532, however, he was in and near
3. Juan's Rome, where he was made Cameriere
di spada e cappa at the papal court.
with Rome The pope and the emperor at Bologna and with
Giulia The pope promised to hasten the decision concerning the marriage of the emperor's aunt with Henry VIII of

emperor's aunt with Henry VIII. of England, who had repudiated her. This decision, rendered Mar. 23, 1534, was in favor of the queen, whom Juan had defended in his "Mercury," the pope, desiring to prove his amicable intentions. gave Juan a place at his court, though himself assailed in Valdés's dialogue. Juan's duties were merely nominal, but he remained at Rome until the pope's death (Sept. 25, 1534), when he went in the service of Cardinal Ercole Gonzaga to Naples, where he passed the remainder of his life. There, in the latter part of 1534, he wrote, at the earnest request of friends, his one non-religious work, the Dialogo de la lengua (Madrid, 1737; latest ed., E. Böhmer, in Romanische Studien, vi. 339–420). At Naples Juan de Valdés became the spiritual guide of one of the most distinguished and beautiful women in Italy, Giulia Gonzaga, widow of Vespasiano Colonna, duke of Trajetto. Equally distressed by personal sorrow and by spiritual unrest, she poured out her heart to Juan one day in Lent, 1536, when he was escorting her home from a sermon by Bernardino Ochino (q.v.). For her consolation he wrote the Alfabeto christiano (Eng. transl. with the same title, by B. B. Wiffen, London, 1861), in which he maintained that Christian perfection consists in loving God above all things and one's neighbor as oneself. Such perfection is not the exclusive possession of monks and nuns, but is common to all in proportion to their faith and love of God. In 1534 Giulia seems to have retired to the Franciscan nunnery of Santa Chiara, though she did not take the vows.

Apparently before the end of 1536 Valdés and Giulia his translation of the Psalter from the Ribrew, with an introduction address

4. Later to her, and probably his execution with an introduction adversariate to her, and probably his execution, the Psalms (El Salterio traducido, E. Böhmer. Bonn. 1880: the common

E. Böhmer, Bonn, 1880; the comm tary on Ps. i.-xli.-all that are known-ed. in Ris ta cristiana, Madrid, 1882-84; Eng. transl. by I Betts, London, 1894). In the following year he a her his commentary on Romans and First Corint ians (Geneva, 1556-57; Madrid, 1856 [Reformid antiguos españoles, x.-xi.]; Eng. transl., Londo 1883). He likewise translated and explained the remaining Pauline epistles, except Hebrews, but all traces of these writings have been lost. From the epistles Valdés turned to the Gospels, and in 1540 he seems to have completed his El Evangeli segun San Mateo, which he sent Giulia together with a general introduction (Madrid, 1880; Eng. transl., London, 1882). Concerning his furth work on the Gospels nothing is known. In addition to his exegetical activity, Juan de Valdés wrote more briefly on a variety of individual problems of religion, his Considerazioni (110 in number, published in Italian translation at Basel in 1550; ed. E. Böhmer Halle, 1860; Eng transl., *The Hundrel* and Ten Considerations of . . . J. Valdesso, Oxford, 1638; thirty-nine were edited in the original Spanish by E. Böhmer, in his *Trataditos de Juan de Valde*, Bonn, 1880). This latter work also contains all the minor Spanish writings of Valdés: seven letters (collections of at least thirty letters and of thirtythree responses to questions are known to have existed, though only one response, in Italian, has survived), and his De la Penitencia cristiana, de la fe cristiana, y del bivir cristiano. In addition to the response already noted, there is extant, in Italian only, the Modo che si dee tenere ne l'insegnare e predicare il principio della religione cristiana (Rome, 1545; ed. E. Böhmer in his Sul Principio della dottrina christiana: cinque trattatelli, Halle, 1870; reprinted, Rome, 1872), this collection also containing, besides the Italian version of the De la Penitencia, the Della giustificazione, Della medesima giustificazione, Che la vita eterna è dono de Dio per Gesti Cristo, and Se al cristiano conviene dubitare ch'egli sia in grazia di Dio (Eng. transl., in The Spanish Reformers. Three Opuscules, London, 1882), Seventeen Opuscules, the introductions to the Psalms, Romans, I Corinthians, and the Gospels, the seven didactic letters, "consideration" cix., and the five tractates."

The basal principles of the Gospel were summarized from the Bible by Valdés in his *Instruction cristiana para los niños* (ed. E. Böhmer, Bonn, 1883).

Children should know, he there main-5. Theolog- tains, that God is their Father through ical Views. human birth and Christian regenera-

tion, and that Christ, in whom the sins of all the world were punished, is the Lord who redeems them from sin, death, and hell. After his ascension Christ sent the Holy Ghost, through whom God began to fulfil what he had promised Abraham. The union of all those who receive the Gospel and are baptized in the name of the Trinity is the Church, and the characteristic of the Chris-

ove. The Christian life should be constant (though only for what is promised in the asting, and feasting—a Christian Sabbath; r those will be saved who have so accepted pel that it becomes efficacious in their lives have taken refuge in baptism as Noah did rk. In his doctrine of the Trinity Valdés is y orthodox, also holding that Christ is the lod through generation, while the Christian on of God through regeneration. Of conre speaks at length in the Alfabeto, declaring sinner receives forgiveness not because he s, but because he believes in Christ. In ting on I Cor. xi. Valdés sharply assailed ses then existing in the celebration of the et in the Alfabeto he maintained that the spiritual benefit should be gained from the m of the Blessed Sacrament, and advocated ring of mass whenever possible. He prohe greatest faith in the Scriptures and in vine inspiration, yet looked beyond the lethe spirit from which the letter proceeded, his faith freed from the letter by the very tencies in certain details which it seemed to have. In his treatise on penance, faith, Juan set forth his views on preaching and th discipline. Those of evil life and those here to vain ceremonies and superstitious nces should be excommunicated after three s. Then there would be a Church very like apostolic times and almost a pattern of ife. He abstained from all criticism of the Catholic Church. There was, however, at e a strong tendency toward Evangelical s in Italy. A general council was in prosd among the adherents of Valdés were papal ans, bishops, and archbishops, while his circle included Vermigli, Ochino, and Car-(qq.v.). It was not till a number of years it his books were forbidden.

(K. Benrath.)

LPHY: E. Boehmer affixed Cenni biografici sui... Valdés to the ed. of the Considerazioni of 1860, added a sketch also to the Germ. transl. of Reformers, London, 1874. A Life is also prefixed Eng. transl. of the Commentary on Romans, Lon-83. Further works on the subject are B. Wiffen, I Writings of Juan de Valdés, London, 1889; E. Alfonse et Juan de Valdés, Strasburg, 1869; W. in TSK, 1886, 1871; M. Carrasco, A. et J. de Geneva, 1880; W. Schlatter, Die Brüder A. und aldés, Basel, 1901; J. Heep, Juan de Valdes, seine a, sein Werden, seine Bedeutung, Leipsic, 1909.

NS, va'lens: Roman emperor 364-378; b. 28; d. in the battle of Adrianople Aug. 9, was the son of Gratian, a soldier who had way from a low to a high station in milies, and was the brother and colleague of ianus I. (q.v.). Both brothers had been up in the camp; as officers they had in the Iulian made manly confession of Christian crates, Hist. eccl., iv. 1; Sozomen, Hist. 1; both in Eng. transl. in NPNF, 1 ser., Valentinian was called by the soldiers to to succeed Jovian, and soon called as Valens, to whom was assigned the East. 8 were difficult at the time. The Goths

on the Danube were awaiting the moment to assail the empire. While preparing for this emergency, Valens was confronted by the rebellion and usurpation of the throne by Procopius, who was at length overthrown and executed, and his partizans severely punished.

Valens was soon drawn into ecclesiastical affairs. The general trend had improved the conditions for adherents of Nicene orthodoxy, and the two parties of Homoousians and Homoiousians were drawing together in union against Arianism, under the leadership of such men as Athanasius, Basil the Great, Eusebius of Emesa, and Gregory Nazianzen (qq.v.). Valens was on the other side, though whether this was his early choice or was due to the influence of his consort Albia Dominica and of Bishop Eudoxius is not known. At any rate, Eudoxius was in high favor. Valens in an edict of 365 renewed the deposition by Constantius of the bishops who returned under Julian; among those affected adversely were Athanasius and Meletius of Antioch (qq.v.). There resulted new attacks upon orthodox leaders and churches, but little real harm came of them, as systematic direction was lacking, personal and local relations seeming to dominate. The Pretorian prefect Domitius Modestus was recognized as the enemy of the orthodox (Basil, MPG, xxxvi. 557). But Valens had no well-settled ecclesiastical policy, and practical and political cares crowded fast upon him. Ecclesiastical persecution took the form of deposition, banishment, and confiscation of goods; that matters went so far as the infliction of capital punishment is improbable, and such stories as the deliberate burning of a ship with thirty clerics on board seem unlikely. Yet the actions of Valens called up anticipations of evil and evoked courageous opposition-though even here exaggeration appears in the tradition (Socrates, iv. 26; Sozomen, vi. 16; Theodoret, iv. 19). The Novatians were involved in the danger because of their agreement in Christology with the Nicene party, but they escaped because of the influence of a certain Marcian, formerly a soldier of the palace and then instructor of the emperor's daughter. An edict of 370 or 373 has been mistakenly interpreted as an attack upon the monks, but certainly had to do with political matters pure and simple. The relation of the emperor to orthodoxy seemed the more unpleasant because his toleration of paganism was apparently open. Theodoret (Hist. eccl., iv. 24) implies that the edict of Valens during his stay at Antioch in the winter of 373-374, giving general toleration, was responsible for an outburst of paganism. But in view of the fact that the population of Antioch was nearly entirely Christian, this information must be mistaken; yet the two rulers handled Hellenism with great care and were repressive only on special occasions. The reason for this was not religious indifference, but the certainty that the old religion was in its last stages.

Meanwhile the Gothic danger had grown, and in the defeat and death of the emperor in the battle of Adrianople the orthodox saw the judgment of God. Yet Valens had performed his royal duties with great conscientiousness and constant regard for the right as he saw it. He was earnest in seeking the welfare of the populace and in maintaining order, and his life was one of fidelity to the morality of Christianity and the Church. He was hampered by lack of education. But the Church saw in him only an anti-Christian persecutor, and has left a tradition of him which is far from the truth.

(VICTOR SCHULTZE.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Besides the sources named in the text in the ecclesiastical histories by Sosomen, Socrates, and Theodoret, a valuable source is Ammianus Marcellinus' "Roman History," xxvi. 4 sqq., Eng. transl. in Bohn's Classical Library, London, 1887. Consult further: L. S. Le Nain de Tillemont, Hist. des empereurs, vol. v., 6 vols., Paris, 1720-38; H. F. Clinton, Fasti Romani. The civil and literary Chronology of Rome and Constantinople, i. 476, ii. 119, Oxford, 1845-50; J. V. A. de Broglie, L'Église et l'empire romain, au v. siècle, 6 vols., Paris, 1856-66; Gibbon, Decline and Fall, chap. xxv.; H. Richter, Das weströmische Reich, Berlin, 1865; H. Schiller, Geschichte der römischen Kaiserzeil, ii. 348 sqq., Gotha, 1887; V. Schultze, Geschichte des Unterganges des griechisch-römischen Heidentums, i. 186 sqq., Jena, 1887; Schaff, Christian Church, iii. 60-61, 638; Neander, Christian Church, vol. iii. passim; W. Smith, Dictionary of Greek and Roman Biography and Mythology, iii. 1202-05, London, 1890; and in general the works on the history, secular and ecclesiastical, of the period.

VALENS OF MURSA. See URSACIUS.

VALENTINE, val'en-tain: The name of several saints honored as martyrs in the early Church and in the Middle Ages.

1. Near Rome, on the Via Flaminia, is the cemetery of St. Valentine, a Roman priest, whose name is found under Feb. 14 in medieval martyrologies. He was confused, if not originally identical, with Valentine, bishop of Spoleto, or with Valentine, bishop of Terni, though the Bern manuscript of the Martyrologium Hieronymianum places the latter under Apr. 14, and does not designate him bishop. The acts of both the priest and the bishop Valentine are late and untrustworthy.

2. The oldest Carthaginian martyrology records a Valentine under Nov. 13, but of this martyr, who was apparently an African, nothing more is known, except that the Bern manuscript already mentioned places him under Feb. 14.

3. There is mention of another Bishop Valentine, who labored in Rhætia in the first half of the fifth century. According to Eugipius (Vita Severini, xli.), he was abbot and bishop of the Rhætians and died on Jan. 6 of an unknown year. Churches were dedicated to him in Noricum, and his grave was at Mais, near Meran in Rhætia. In 768 his remains were brought to Passau. The " Acts" of this saint, which date from about the beginning of the eleventh century, describe him as coming from the east to the vicinity of Passau, where he long labored as a missionary bishop. Since his sermons here made scant impression, he besought Leo I. to translate him to some other sphere of activity. The pope twice refused, but at length permitted Valentine to retire to the Tyrolese Alps, where he died shortly afterward. Such is the gist of a lead tablet which, claimed for the fifth century, can scarcely be older than the twelfth. (A. HAUCK.)

Bibliography: On 1 ASB, Feb., ii. 753-754, cf. ib. Jan., i. 368, and 369-372; A. Roschmann, Glaubwürdige Nachrichten von . . . Valentin, Ulm, 1746; K. Schanz-

hofer, Valentins . . . Reisen, Aufenthalt und in Mais, Botzen, 1794; Valentin, der . . . erst von Passau, Mainz, 1889; Rettberg, KD, i. 2: 133, Hauck, KD, i. 360.

VALENTINE, MILTON: Lutheran; Uniontown, Md., Jan. 1, 1825; d. at Get Pa., Feb. 7, 1906. He was educated sylvania College, Gettysburg, Pa. (A.B. where he was a tutor (1850-52); he dained to the ministry (1852); pastoral s Winchester, Va. (1852-53); missionary ghany, Pa. (1853–54); pastor at Greenst (1854–55); principal of Emmaus Institu dletown, Pa. (1855-59); pastor of St. M Lutheran Church at Reading, Pa. (1859fessor of ecclesiastical history and church the theological seminary at Gettysburg, Pa 1868); president of Pennsylvania College 1884); professor of systematic theology as man of the faculty of the Lutheran th seminary at Gettysburg (1884-1903). associate editor of The Lutheran Quan 1871-76, 1880-85, and also after 18 was the author of Natural Theology, or Theism (New York, 1885); Theoretical Eth cago, 1897); and Christian Truth and Life delphia, 1898).

VALENTINIAN, val"en-tin'i-an: The three Roman emperors.

1. Valentinian L: Emperor 365-375. parentage see Valens. He was born at (probably near the modern town of Mika Lower Pannonia, Hungary) in 321; d. of of apoplexy at Bregetio (probably near Pr 34 m. e.s.e. of Vienna) Nov. 17, 375. He wa emperor by the army after the sudden a Jovian (see Jovianus, Flavius Claudiu combined with the sturdy qualities of a soldier the superiority of a clever strates was devoted to the welfare of his kingde civil office he carried over military strictnes ing strict obedience. While he had a certai ness of disposition, he sought the compan cultured and himself made essays in poet life was conducted according to the ethical 1 Christianity. In contrast with his predece was predisposed against the interference State in religious and ecclesiastical dispute ing into these only when his duty as chief state was clear or when peace and order sailed (Ambrose, Epist., i. 21, in MPL, xv. This was the case in the double choice of after the death of Liberius and in the which made ecclesiastical jurisdiction inde of civil. Just as he refrained from influen brother's course by taking sides with the a of Nicene orthodoxy, so he did not enter the lists against Arianism—indeed, his seco sort Justina was an Arian. His edict for the Montanists to set aside baptism was n mild (Theodosian Code, XVI., vi. 1), but tl ures against the Manicheans (see Man CHEANS) were severe (Theodosian Code, XV His guiding principle was tolerance of all 1 The reason for this was not religious indi

a quite modern view of the relation of the State religion. Yet this did not stand in the way of porting by authority measures which increased influence of the actual religion and church of State, Christianity; thus collection of taxes on unday was abolished (ib. XI., vii. 1), and actors ho were baptized on their supposed death-bed and recovered were freed from the claims of their retched caste (ib. XV., vii. 1). The emperor in a scree of amnesty at an Easter festival expressed Christian feelings (ib. IX., xxxviii. 3). But on other hand, he restrained the rich from taking cherical orders to escape civil duties (XVI., ii. 21, XVII., xviii. 19), and was inexorable in denouncing and punishing the faults of the clergy and monks (1b. XVI., ii. 20-21), especially in an edict of July 370, followed by directions to bishops and nuns. which Jerome remarks, "I do not complain of the law, but I grieve that we merit it " (Epist., lii. 6). He often expressed himself with tolerance toward heathenism at the beginning of his reign. To the (heathen) priesthood their old rights were confirmed, and the haruspices were not really asaniled; only nocturnal magic and sacrificial rites were strongly forbidden, but on the ground of the peril to political institutions. The altar of Victory remained in the court where Julian had restored it. But these favors to Hellenism were rooted in the facts that the course of the restoration of heathenism had shown that this religion had no future and that it was undesirable to set any fraction of the population in a position of unrest and opposition. As to the family relations of Valentinian it may be said that he divorced his first consort Valeria Severa, who bore him Gratian, because she abused her imperial position, and married Justina, who bore him Justinian II. He was buried in the Church of the Apostles at Constantinople.

2. Valentinian II.: Emperor 375-383. This emperor was the son of Valentinian I. and his second consort Justina. After the death of his father he was proclaimed by the soldiers in the camp, though he was but four years old and his elder brother Gratian was the legitimate heir. In fact Gratian was till his death the real ruler, as is shown by his calling Theodosius (q.v.) to the coregency and by the fact that the laws for the Western Empire until 383 issued from him. After Gratian's death Theodosius yielded to Valentinian the lands of his brother, but kept in his own hands decisions of all weighty matters. Under his mother's influence Valentinian took the Arians under his protection. Auxentius was the Arian bishop of Milan, against whom Ambrose (see Ambrose, Saint, of MILAN) at once took up the fight. An edict of Jan. 23, 386, insured toleration for the [Arian] adherents of the Synod of Ariminum (Theodosian Code, XVI., i. 4), and other enactments were intended for the benefit of the Arians. But Theodosius succeeded in halting this policy and indeed changing it to a contrary tenor (ib. XVI., v. 15). Paganism made an attempt under Valentinian to win back the rights lost under Gratian, this taking place under the leadership of Symmachus and Prætextatus at Rome, and the matter of the restoration of the altar of Victory was again in the foreground; but this and XII.—9

a later attempt in 392 were resultless. Valentinian was murdered in his twentieth year at Vienne at the instigation of Arbogast; he died unbaptized, and his body was brought to Milan, where Ambrose delivered the oration (extant in MPL, xvi. 1557 sqq.). This was one of rhetorical and somewhat exuberant praise, showing that Ambrose had won great influence over the emperor, whose youth and inexperience made necessary the guidance of others; he was therefore not really responsible for the administration. He was, moreover, not strong in physique, and arduous labor was irksome.

3. Valentinian III.: Emperor 425-455. Under Flavius Honorius (q.v.) the Western Empire declined rapidly. Germans and Huns flowed over the boundaries and elected their usurpers. In this situation the clever and resolute Galla Placidia, daughter of the great Theodosius (q.v.), became influential. She had been married to the general and (later) coregent Constantius, to whom she bore, in 419, Flavius Placidius Valentinianus. In 425, after the death of Honorius, this son obtained the crown through the help of Theodosius II., though until her death in 450 his mother as guardian carried on the business of State. His reign is notable for the decree of June 6, 445, which states: "Let that be a law to all-whatever the authority of the Roman see has sanctioned or shall sanction" (Mirbt, Quellen, p. 65). The contest with Manicheism was continued, though the conflict with heathenism was practically won. Valentinian was murdered in 455, and with him ended the western branch of the Theodosian (VICTOR SCHULTZE.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The sources are the same as for Valens (q.v.). Consult: L. S. Le Nain de Tillemont, Hist. desempereurs, vol. v., 6 vols., Paris, 1720-38 (not to be overlooked); H. F. Clinton, Fasti Romani. The civil and literary Chronology of Rome and Constantinople, 2 vols., Oxford, 1845-50 (important; summarises legislation); J. V. A. de Broglie, L'Église et l'empire romain au iv. siècle, 6 vols., Paris, 1856-66; H. Richter, Das weströmische Reich, Berlin, 1865; E. von Wietersheim, Geschichte der Völkervanderung, vol. ii., Leipsic, 1881; M. Rade, Damasus, Bischof von Rom, Freiburg, 1882; T. Förster, Ambrosius, Bischof von Mailand, Halle, 1884; H. Schiller, Geschichte der römischen Kaiserzeit, vol. ii., Goths, 1887; V. Schultze, Geschichte des Unterganges des griechisch-römischen Heidentums, vol. i., Jena, 1887; G. Rauschen, Jahrbücher der christlichen Kirche unter dem Kaiser Theodosius dem Grossen, Freiburg, 1897; S. Dill, Roman Society in the Last Century of the Western Empire, London, 1898; Mirbt, Quellen, pp. 62 sqq. (for the citation of passages from the edicts which concern Christianity); W. Smith, Dictionary of Greek and Roman Biography and Mythology, iii. 1207-14, London, 1890 (good and full for the secular and civil sides of these reigns); Neander, Christian Church, vol. ii., passim; DCB, iv. 1073-75. For the legislation of these emperors an important work is J. Gothofredus, Codex Theodosianus cum perpetuis commentariis, vol. vi., Leipsic, 1743.

VALENTINUS, val"en-tai-nus: Pope 827, between the pontificates of Eugene II. and Gregory IV. The Liber pontificalis gives as the length of his reign only fourteen days, and affirms that he was a Roman by birth, and was ordered deacon by Paschalis, who later raised him to the archdeaconate.

(A. HAUCK.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Liber pontificalis, ed. L. Duchesne, vol. ii., Paris, 1892; Mann, Popes, ii. 183-186; Bower, Popes, ii. 208; Platina, Popes, i. 213-214.

VALENTINUS AND HIS SCHOOL.

Valentinus.
 Life and Works (§ 1).
 Doctrines (§ 2).
 The Valentinians.

According to Irensus (§ 1). According to Hippolytus (§ 2). Secundus; Ptolemy (§ 3). The Fall and Redemption According to Ptolemy (§ 4). Heracleon (§ 5).
Marcus (§ 6).
Colorbasus (§ 7).
Sources and Estimation (§ 8).

I. Valentinus: The events of the life of Valentinus, the most important of the Gnostic teachers, are little known. According to an ancient document cited by Irenæus and preserved by r. Life and Eusebius (Hist. eccl., IV., xi. 1), he came to Rome during the pontificate Works. of Hyginus; developed his chief activity under Pius; and remained at Rome until the pontificate of Anicetus, thus placing his sojourn at Rome about 136-165. Tertullian (Adversus Valentinos, iv.; cf. De præscriptione, xxx.) makes him the victim of disappointed ambition for the throne of St. Peter, a marturius (confessor) being preferred to him. The only predecessor of Anicetus who was a confessor was Telesphorus, but during his pontificate Valentinus was not at Rome, and Tertullian's statement remains of little value. Clement of Alexandria (Strom., VII., xvii. 106-107) essentially accords with Irenæus, placing the activity of Basilides (q.v.), Valentinus, and Marcion (q.v.) in the period of 120-160. Epiphanius (Hist. eccl., xxxi.) adds that he had heard that the home of Valentinus was in the Phrebonite coastland of Egypt; and that he had been educated in Alexandria, whence he had gone to Rome to disseminate his teachings. Thence he had gone to Cyprus, where he had lapsed from the faith. The last part of this statement is contradicted by the more probable report of Tertullian and Irenæus that this took place already at Rome. Statements of the opponents of Valentinus imply that he wrote only occasional treatises. The only work evidently dogmatic as shown by its title was "On the Three Natures," a fragment of which may be that preserved by Photius (Bibliotheca, ccxxx.). All the other known writings of Valentinus were of a practical character; sermons, hymns, and letters. Fragments of the sermons are preserved by Clement of Alexandria (see below), who has also transmitted fragments of three letters (see below). Tertullian ranked his psalms with those of David (De carne Christi, xvii., xx.), a few of which are cited by Hippolytus (Philosophumena, VI., xxxvii. 290). Perhaps the newly recovered Odes of Solomon (see Solomon, Odes of)

The teachings of Valentinus are known only as represented by his opponents where they are scarcely distinguished from those of his pupils. Evidently his doctrines sprang from the soil 2. Docof Hellenistic syncretism, and their trines. ultimate basis was Platonic dualism, which separated the divine world of ideas from the material world of phenomena. In the intermediate abyss stands man partaking of both and the problem is how to bridge the chasm so as to attain the higher goal and be released from the material. The cosmos is the imperfect image of the eon, the ideal prototype, and the creator of the cosmos is the demiurge, who is termed God and Father, and is an image of the true God. According

are Valentinian.

to a citation from a reputed sermon (Clement Alexandria, Strom., IV., xiii., Eng. transl., AND ii. 425-426) Valentinus held that Wisdom was the 'artist" who ordered matter, but it is more proable that the artist who sought to imitate the li ments of the face of God, and covered the detest of his work with the name, was really the demices. It is not improbable that Clement made this city tion from a writing elaborated by the Valentinian, as shown by internal resemblance, possibly a conmentary on Gen. i. 27, where the extract from Valentinus' sermon also appeared. Valentinus in the fragment of his sermon simply represents that the world is a picture of the invisible God, though imperfect. Yet, the mere picture bears the name of the invisible God, reflecting honor, and inducing faith in mankind. In a fragment of one of the ka ters of Valentinus (Strom., II., viii. 36), he says that as idols, though made by human hands, are objects of awe to man because divinity is believed to dwell within them, so created man is feared by the angels as containing the seed of the higher mture implanted in him by the creator and divinely proclaimed to exist there. The angels accordingly fear man as the dwelling-place of the preexistent 'man" (God); and to escape their terror, they corrupt the work of the Creator by seducing it to sin. Here again Clement seems to have drawn from a Valentinian interpretation of Prov. i. 7, containing a citation from the letter of Valentinus. Doubtless this Valentinian interpretation or writing is one with the other mentioned above, which cited from the sermon of Valentinus. In another fragment of a sermon of Valentinus (Strom., IV., xiii. 89), man is represented from the first a child of immortality, taking death upon him that he might destroy death so that it should no more have power over him, but that, himself being undestroyed, he might rule over creation and all that is transitory. The origin of sin and evil in man is set forth in the longest of the fragments (Strom., II., xx. 114), in which he compares the human heart with an inn, wherein disorderly guests break holes in the walls, and they defile it with offal, because it is not their property; so the demons (the passions) invade the heart until the "good Father" drives them out, and the heart is sanctified and gleams with light. A fragment of a letter to Agathopus (Strom., III., vi. 59) is concerned with Jesus, who lived ascetically, and wholly consumed his food without corruption within his body, apparently thus gaining his divinity. A brief fragment of a homily "On Friends' (Strom., VI., vi. 52), important for a knowledge of Valentinus' theory of the Church, alludes apparently to a spiritual community, not to an external organization. Valentinus, according to Hippolytus (Philosophumena, vi. 42), ascribed the source of his teachings to a vision in which he saw a new-born child, who, in answer to his question, declared himself to be the Logos, and whose " tragic narrative '

the fountain of his doctrine. From these its no coherent presentation of the system ntinus can be constructed, and they are renhe more difficult since they have been set w context and overladen with the exegesis ter Valentinian school; nor is it even known r they are especially characteristic of the th's teachings.

he Valentinians: The description of Valenmas given by Irenseus (Har., I., xi. 1; Eng. ANF, i. 332) can scarcely represent the gs of its founder, corresponding in no points is authenticated statements. According to se system was a genealogy of eons. At the head was a dyad, "the Ineffable"

rding and "Silence," from whom emanated eus. a second dyad, "the Father" and
"Truth." From this tetrad proceeded
"and "Life," and, again, "Man" and h." These four pairs form the first octad. powers" emanate from the Logos and and twelve from "Man" and "Church." ysticism is clearly a play on the number the number of the days in the Egyptian One of the twelve emanations fell and sepand from her proceeded the further work ion. She separated by a first boundary the or highest ground of the universe, where the unbegotten Father, from the pleroma, re the begotten eons. A second boundary es the " Mother " from the pleroma. Christ emanation of the eons, but was born of the , remembering the pleroma, by a shadow, ze he was male, he cast the shadow from him urned to the pleroma. "Mother," deprived piritual potency, remained with the shadow, w brought forth "Demiurge," or the "Al-" and with him "Left-Hand Archon." s regarded sometimes as an emanation of s, he "who was separated from their mother ited to the rest "(cf. ANF, i. 332); someof Christ; and sometimes of the syzygy,
"and "Church." The Holy Ghost is an
ion of "Truth" (Epiphanius reads th"), and his work is the proving and ng of the eons whom he enters unperceived, t they bring forth fruits of truth. This tion is closely paralleled by one found in a of unknown origin, reported by Epiphanius xxxi. 5-6).

different is the account of Valentinianism by Hippolytus (*Philosophumena*, vi. 29 sqq.), peatedly alludes to the doctrinal divergencies ridual teachers of the school. At the head he places the "monad," or "father,"

places the "monad," or "father," rding non-sexual, inconceivable, the ultilipmate cause of all being. Originally us. self-sufficient and alone, but not loving solitude, and having the power of ion, this "monad" was led to create an obaffection. Thus emanated "Mind" and

anection. Thus emanated Mind and ," a dyad which became the source of the the pleroma. From this dyad emanated "and "Life," and from these "Man" and th." "Mind" and "Truth" produced the number ten, in ten eons; and in imitation

of the first dyad the second caused the emanation of twelve eons. Thus there were, in all, twentyeight eons, the number of the days in the lunar month, a fact pointing to the Oriental origin of this form of the system. The twelfth and last of the eons of the second line was the female, "Wisdom." who, seeking to imitate the mode of emanation employed by the Father, produced an abortion in the shape of formless matter. This produced horror and alarm among the eons or the pleroma, and the Father, in pity, sent them to aid. "Mind" and "Truth" emanated Christ and the Holy Ghost, and this new syzygy separated the abortion of Wisdom from the eons, thus removing the cause of alarm. The Father likewise emanated an eon, "Cross," which marks the limit of the eons (also called "Boundary" or "Participator"), beyond whom is the octad, and "Wisdom" outside the pleroma, whom Christ made a perfect eon. The thirty eons now determined on an emanation of a common progeny of the pleroma to present to the Father, and the result was Jesus. Lower Wisdom wistfully longed for her authors, Christ and the Holy Ghost. The eons found Jesus to be compassionate, who entered into a syzygy with lower "Wisdom," and relieved her of her sufferings by converting these into hypostases. From fear, the "psychic being," came the demiurge; from sorrow came matter; from the disorder of ignorance the demons; and from need sprang repentance and the ascent of the soul. The soul belongs to the middle sphere, under the ogdoad, the heavenly Jerusalem, and above matter. The souls come from the demiurge, who gave them bodies of demonic matter, even as he created the world. The law and the prophets likewise came from him. All the psychic have a veil upon their hearts which blinds them to the higher world of spirits; and when this veil was to be removed, the historic Jesus was born of the Virgin by the lower wisdom entering and the demiurge overshadowing her. He cures the sufferings of souls, just as Christ healed those of lower wisdom. A similar description is given by Clement of Alexandria ("Extracts from Theodotus," xxix.-xlii.). The Valentinian school later fell into an Oriental and an Italian branch; to the former belonged Axionicus and Bardesanes (q.v.), and to the latter Ptolemy and Heracleon. The Occidental division was so wide-spread in Italy and southern Gaul that Irenæus first planned his Adversus Hæreses against the Valentinians alone. The Oriental Valentinians were found especially in Egypt and Syria. By the second half of the fourth century the sect seems to have been restricted to Egypt, Manicheism elsewhere absorbing its remnants.

Of the chief followers of Valentinus, Irenæus mentions Secundus ($H\alpha r$., I., xi. 2). Philastrius ($H\alpha r$., xl.) ascribes to him a docetic Christology which his source, the Syntagma of Hippolytus, had assigned to the Valentinians. According to Irenæus, Secundus divided the first ogdoad into

3. Secundus; a male and a female tetrad, the former Ptolemy. being light and the latter darkness; and he did not reckon the fallen power among the thirty eons but among their fruits, doubtless the higher wisdom. Ptolemy, whose career is

utterly unknown, was still alive when Irenæus wrote against the Gnostics (c. 180). The only extant fragment of his writings, except for his valuable epistle to Flora (Epiphanius, Hær., xxxiii. 5 sqq.), is a citation from an exegetical work in Irenæus (I., viii. 5; Eng. transl., ANF, i. 328-329). The epistle to Flora is a reply to a question concerning the origin of the Old-Testament law; and is distinguished for its calm, clear method of proof on a religious basis, as well as a simple theology instead of the abstruse series of eons. While the Church taught that the law came from God the Father and others maintained it to be the work of the devil, Ptolemy held it to be partly from God, partly from Moses, and partly from the Jewish elders. The portion derived from God was subdivided into (1) the pure legislation unmixed with evil and fulfilled by the Savior; (2) the law mixed with evil, as the law of retaliation, destroyed by the Savior; and (3) the typical or symbolical, as the laws on the Sabbath, circumcision, feasts, and fasts, whose literal meaning the Savior abrogated in favor of a spiritual signification. The lawgiver can not be the perfect highest God, nor the devil; but the demiurge. The ultimate reality is the unbegotten unchangeable good principle, essentially immortality and light; simple, absolute, the perfect God, whom the Savior called his Father. Of the two potencies produced by him, the demiurge is also God, but neither good nor evil, but merely just (hating evil). His righteousness is not perfect, yet he is the image of the perfect God. He created the world in which he exercises his providence, and he gave the law, so far as it was not the work of man. The second potency is the devil, who also is "God," but not to be identified with the demiurge. He is the adversary who creates destruction; his sphere is unrighteousness; his nature darkness and destruction, material and multiform. The problem how the supreme God, capable by his nature to produce only what is like himself, could have created such imperfect beings is left unanswered, partly on account of a breach in the text. Possibly this was conceived as a procession of eons, by self-depotentiation (Harnack). As to soteriology, redemption is given in the Savior, who alone knows the "Father of all." His function was to reveal the Father to man, and through this alone has he enabled man to grasp the mystery of the The Christological formula, "of the same substance with the Father," which triumphed at Nicæa, owes its origin to the Gnostic Ptolemy. Irenæus, discussing this school at great length (Har., I., i.-viii.; Eng. transl., ANF, i. 316-339), used certain "memoirs," whether by Ptolemy or by one of his pupils is unclear. In the upper world, or pleroma, rule thirty eons. At their head is the source of all being ("Primal Beginning," "Primal Father," "Abyss"), in whom "Consciousness" (also called "Grace" and "Silence") is immanent. Like a seed he places in "Silence" the concept of causing a beginning of the universe to appear, whereupon she bears "Mind" (or the "Only Begotten," "Father," "Beginning of All "), together with "Truth." These four—"Abyss" and "Silence," "Mind" and "Truth"—form the first tetrad, the source of the universe. The "Only Be-

gotten" emanates as the beginning of the pleroma "Logos" and "Life," and they, in their turn,
"Man" and "Church." This is the first ogdond. which may also be regarded as a tetrad since the pairs may be combined as androgynous. Ter further eons, or five syzygies, emanated from "Lo gos" and "Life," and twelve from "Man" and "Church," the last being "Wisdom." The first emanation, the "Only Begotten," alone was able to comprehend the "Primal Father," who was to impart this to the other eons; but "Wisdom,' seized by a passionate desire to comprehend the "Father," would have been absorbed by his sweet ness had she not been checked by "Boundary," which watches over all outside the indescribed magnitude of God. To prevent a repetition of this "Only Begotten" emanated another syzygy, Chris and the Holy Ghost, who complete the number o the eons. In thankfulness for the instruction give them by this syzygy, the eons resolved to collec their best, and thus arose Jesus ("Savior," "Christ," Logos," "The All").

The drama of the fall opens with "Thought' (Enthymēsis), which, as the determination to penetrate the depths of the Father, parter that Fall from "Wisdom" and is now by note.

4. The Fall from "Wisdom" and is now hypostaand Retized. This is also called 'Achamoti
demption (Hebr. abstract plural, hokhmoti,
According "wisdom"), and had sunk with the
to Ptolemy. "passion" she had evoked in "Wisdom" from the pleroms into the

dom," from the pleroma into the "void," without form or figure, like an untimely birth. Christ took pity on her and gave her a substantial, although not an intellectual form. She, retaining an "odor of immortality," still longs for the pleroma and the light of "Logos," which she strains to reach, only to be checked by "Boundary," throwing her into passion, fear, and ignorance. Newertheless, from her desire toward her creator originate the orderly arrangement of the world, and the souls; while from the aggregate of passions came the substance of matter. From the soul-material 'Achamoth forms the demiurge, who, in virtue of 'enthymēsis, creates likenesses of the eons. Thus arise seven heavens or angels, over whom is the demiurge, and above him 'Achamoth, thus affording a copy of the heavenly ogdoad. From the sorrow of 'Achamoth, moreover, comes end which becomes the devil, or "world-ruler," and his evil angels, the demons. Man comes from the demiurge, being formed first of matter and then receiving his psychic element from the creator, finally acquiring his "fleshly mantle." Unknown to the demiurge. 'Achamoth placed the pneumatic seed in man, so that he constitutes a trichotomy, as follows: matter, which is transitory; the psychic, endowed with free will; and the pneumatic, the salt and light of the world. No longer combined in one person, these three natures result in three classes of men: the pneumatics, who are worthy of perfection and may share in the pleroma; the psychic or animal, who are mentally swayed between the good and the evil, and if they incline toward th former will attain to the intermediate place; an the material, who perish. Only the psychic nee

redemption, which is fulfilled by Christ. According

o some, he had received his material and psychic ide from the demiurge, and his pneumatic elements from 'Achamöth; and at his baptism the Savior, bescending from the pleroma, entered him so that the became a copy of the original tetrad. The hurch, primarily an organization of psychics, is taled by the demiurge, hence in it there is no perset gnosis. Perfection will come when all pneumatic mankind shall possess perfect knowledge of 3 and 'Achamöth, who, accompanied by the preumatics as angels of light, shall then enter the pleroma as the bride of the Savior. The demiurge will then go to the intermediate place hitherto occupied by 'Achamöth, where the psychics will find rest, while the material world will be destroyed by fire.

The Valentinian of whose writings larger fragments have been preserved (through Origen) than of any of his fellows is Heracleon, of whose life and fortunes almost nothing is known, although Clement of Alexandria terms him the most distinguished of

the Valentinian school (Strom., IV., ix. 5 Hera- 71). He evidently flourished about 200, possibly at Rome, as is apparent cleon. from certain Latinisms in his works; and Hippolytus makes him the leader of the Italian Valentinians. Origen had "notes" by him in which passages of the Gospel of John were briefly explained. According to Heracleon, God, as a pure and invisible spirit, can be honored only spiritually. His counterpart is the material, destructive, demonic principle that has only desires, not will. Between the two spheres is the soul, which is not immortal, but is capable of salvation. It comes from the demiurge, and is distinct from the pneumatic seed. The "pneumatics," essentially akin to God, are the "elect," led by the Logos to the highest wisdom, and destined to salvation. The psychics can perceive only through the senses, and may be convinced only by miracle, and can attain no more than right faith; and material men, or hylics, have lost their relation with God. The "Savior," the image of the pleromatic Christ, originated all the cosmos (not the eons). He proceeded from the "majesty" and became incarnate, his superiority being proclaimed by John the Baptist, the representative of the demiurge. He advanced from the uttermost ends of hylic world, where he neither wrought nor spoke, to the psychic realm, where, through the power of the Holy Ghost, he banished evil and put it to flight by the cross. The demiurge is a subordinate prince ruling over the comparatively small domain of the middle, or psychic, realm. To this comes the Savior, who forgives those who live in ignorance and sin contrary to their true nature, while those who will not thus be led to fellowship with God fall under the judgnent of the demiurge, or executioner. The souls orm syzygies, each with its reaping angel, the end wiew being the union of all "pneumatic natures" with the "pneumatic Church," which constitutes syzygy with the Savior. Judaism, like the world, as the work of the demiurge, as was the law, which sults in death as annihilation for sin. The fragents of Heracleon are especially important as owing how small a factor the speculation concerng cons practically was, though such was tacitly presupposed. What is vital to Ptolemy and Heracleon is the ascent of the soul to the pleroma, and it is clear that their interest was primarily ethical and religious.

Marcus was apparently a contemporary of Irenæus (I., xiii. 5; ANF, i. 335), and developed his activity in Asia Minor; though his pupils came to the West and spread his teachings as far as Gaul. Irenæus used writings of Marcus, without mentioning their titles, and Clement of Alexandria seems to

have known and utilized some (Strom., 6. Marcus. VI., xvi. 140-141). Irenæus likewise expressly states that the sect had a number of apocryphal writings, which they fabricated themselves (I., xx. 1). Close similarity of the system of Marcus to that of the school will save a detailed analysis. Neopythagorean influence and the widely prevalent juggling with numbers and letters are prominent. More important are excerpts from the liturgy of Marcus, which give a glimpse into the sacramental doctrines of the sect (I., xxi.). According to them, baptism by water had only psychic power, the perfect capability of entering the pleroma requiring "redemption," a fact too intangible to be described. Many of the sect were accustomed to construct a bridal chamber in which the mystic marriage of the soul took place. Others performed baptism with such phrases as: " In the name of the unknowable Father of all, in Truth the mother of all, in him who descended on Jesus, in the union, redemption, and communion of the powers," or the Aramaic: "in the name of Achamoth, be immersed"; again: "The name hid from all divinity and dominion and truth, which Jesus the Nazarene put on in the zones of light, Christ the lord of him who liveth through the Holy Ghost, to angelic redemption." After suitable responses, anointing with oil of balsam followed. Sometimes the immersion was omitted, and the candidate, with similar invocations, was simply anointed with water and oil together. Others still rejected all sacramental forms, holding it to be impious to attempt to represent the ineffable and inconceivable. The gnosis was perfect salvation, which was restricted to the pneumatic man, and there was also a salvation of the dead. With proper invocations, the head of the deceased was anointed with water and oil, or simply with oil of balsam; so that the inner man, unseen by the demons, might arise and the soul pass to the demiurge. One elaborate mystical formula made progress possible through the realm of angels, and another through the realm of the demiurge; while another prayer was addressed to the higher wisdom, who withdrew the pneumatic man from the judge (the demiurge). The celebration of the Eucharist resolved itself into a magical jugglery. According to Irenæus, who may have given a one-sided, colored effect, when the mystagogue pronounced the prayer of thanksgiving over the chalice of mixed wine, and extended the epiclesis, the ordinary wine changed to red. This was represented to mean that the "higher Grace" had dropped some of her blood into the chalice that the communicants should rejoice to partake of her. Again, Marcus gave the chalice to the assisting prophetess, who made the prayer of thanksgiving.

He himself took a larger cup, in which he poured the contents of the smaller. He then invoked Grace, whereupon the cup overflowed through the influx of Grace. Marcus felt himself to be a prophet and believed himself to be able to communicate his powers to others, the ritual being given by Irenæus (I., xiii. 3); and he likewise solicited the services of women of position and wealth as prophetesses, with high-sounding declamations. Despite the fantastic speculations of Marcus, his religious earnestness is unmistakable, and his prayers show that his central thought was to raise the inner man to the pleroma, not by mystic plunging into depths possible only to the pneumatic, but by turning away from the material and evil world.

The history of the Valentinian Colorbasus is wrapped in obscurity. In referring to him, Epiphanius $(H\alpha r., xxxv.)$ merely repeats comments of Irenseus on the school of Marcus without mentioning to what branch of the school his remarks apply; and Theodoret $(H\alpha reticarum fabularum, i. 12)$ gives

an excerpt from the results of Epi-7. Color- phanius. Philaster (Hær., xliii.) conbasus. tents himself with saying that Colorbasus "likewise declared that the life and generation of all men consist in letters and in the number of the elements and of the seven stars.' The source of all information on Colorbasus is apparently the problematical Hær., I., xiv. 1, of Irenæus, according to which Marcus declared himself to be "the matrix and receptacle of the Silence of Colorbasus." This may have been a technical expression of the school which is not mentioned elsewhere. Attempts have been made to explain it as representing the Hebr. kol 'arba', or "all four" (Heumann); or, kol 'arba', or "voice of four" (F. C. Baur), in allusion to the higher tetrad, without, however, any further support. That Colorbasus was the name of a historic personage is an unquestioned possibility; for the name Kolorbasios occurs elsewhere (A. Hilgenfeld).

With all its variations Valentinian Gnosticism is in great part founded on Platonism as understood by later generations. The infinite Spirit, to whose realm the spirit in man has an inalienable right, draws the spirits of men back to him, since their longing for the higher world has never been quenched, and their struggle for escape from the terrestrial as from a prison has never

8. Sources ceased. The Eros of Plato finds its and counterpart in the "Wisdom," or Estimation. 'Achamöth, of Valentinus, while the cons are, in the last analysis, simply

cons are, in the last analysis, simply the Platonic ideas. Pythagoreanism is present in the symbolism of numbers and antitheses; while Stoicism is represented in certain technical terms, as well as in the functions assigned to "seed" and "passion," and in the concept of the cosmic conflagration. The religious side of the system was no less syncretistic than the philosophical, though as to its scope present results are inconclusive, and the sources have scarcely been touched. The pagan syncretism of the Semitic East, though not yet sounded, the Egyptian religion, the popular faiths of Greece and Rome, all contributed, yet at least some of the Valentinian leaders successfully with-

stood the bewildering maze, and sought to lead the pagans, confused by countless religious teaching to God by a simpler and safer way through the pason of Christ. The authorities to whom they pealed were the words of Jesus and his apost Marcus asserted the possession of prophetic gits and of special inward illumination by the higher Wisdom revealing the supernal mysteries, but but pagans and early Christians did the same. An inpartial verdict is impossible from merely the hatile orthodox writings; but, at all events, these Gnostics sought only to be Christian teaches, preachers, and prophets. The Church, however, judged differently, and it was soon forgotten that the Gnostics had assisted to render the reception of Christianity possible in the cultured world. Its dualism threatened to substitute ditheism for montheism; and its obliteration of all history, which became but a type and symbol, a mere casual factor in the eternal, spiritual drama of emanation and redemption, endangered the firm foundations of the Christian faith. The battle of the Church against Gnosticism was justified, yet the move ment proved to involve propitious germs that later unfolded in the Church. How far Valentinus funished a prototype for the organization of the Church is not fully disclosed by the dearth of information, but the distinction of pneumatics and prochics reechoed far in a dual ecclesiastical ethica The most pronounced influence of his school was through scientific and edifying literature, such a apocryphal gospels and apostolic adventure in romantic form for the man of average culture, ods for the more educated, sermons for edification, exgetic and systematic treatises for the theologian, an array with which the Church at the time had nothing in comparison. To what degree ecclesiastical literature that first deserved the name of scientific was influenced by Valentinus and his school is best seen in Clement of Alexandria and Origen (qq.v.). Not only did Clement's polemics purely or impurely absorb of the character whom he attacked, but he borrowed of him illustrations, analogies, and courses of thought for his own occasions. The commentaries of Origen were doubtless composed in part to replace Gnostic exegesis (cf. John), and even his sermons may have been more or less inspired by antipathy to the "soul-destroying" homilies of the Valentinians. Even the hymn of Clement may not have been uninfluenced by Valentinian poesy. Thus the Church reshaped the weapons of its enemies to defeat them; but the memory of the Valentinians was retained so long, that after the last remnants had long vanished, they still formed the subject of legislation (Codex Theodosianus, X., v. 65, § 2). (ERWIN PREUSCHEN.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Consult the literature under GNOSTICESS, particularly the works of Matter, Neander, Baur, Mansel, Hilgenfeld, King, and Ans; also: G. Heinrici, Die valestinische Gnosis und die heilige Schrift, Breslau, 1871 (best); DCB, iv. 1076-99 (indispensable); W. Möller, Geschichte der Kosmologie in der griechischen Kirche, pp. 407-442, Halle, 1860; K. Kessler, Ueber Gnosis und alfbabylonische Religion, in the Verhandlungen of the 5th International Congress of Orientalists, ii. 1, pp. 288-305, Berlin, 1882; T. Zahn, Geschichte des neutestamentlichen Kanons, i. 718-763, ii. 953-961, Leipsic, 1888-89; A. Hilgenfeld, in ZWT, 1880, pp. 280-300, 1883, pp. 355-384, 1890, 1-63;

xoke, in TS, i. 4 (1891); C. Schmidt, in TU, viii. Harnaek, Dogma, passim, consult Index; idem, i. 174-184, ii. 1, pp. 291-296; idem, in SBA, 516-520; F. Torm, Valentinianismens Historic Copenhagen, 1901; E. C. H. Peithmann, Diesner, 2 parts, Bitterfeld, 1903; E. de Faye, Init Pétude du gnosticisme, pp. 81 aqq., Paris, 1903; hmitt, Gnosic, Leipsic, 1903; Bardenhewer, Gepp. 331-337; idem, Patrologic, pp. 68-69, Eng. Louis, 1908; P. Wendland, Die hellenistisch-Kultur in ihren Besiehungen zu Judentum und m, pp. 161 aqq., Tübingen, 1907; C. Barth, Die tien des Neuen Testaments in der volentinianischen 1 TU, xxxvii. 3 (1911); Ceillier, Auteurs sacrés, q., ii. 540, iv. 171, 510; Schaff, Christian Church, 82; Neander, Christian Church, i. 417-434 et end, in general, works on the church history of

IAN, vo-li'ri-on, PUBLIUS LICINIUS: mperor 253-260. Valerian came of dislamily, and was trained in both military functions. He came to the purple during y times which closed the usurpation of being made emperor in Rhætia by the 253. He attempted to meet the difficult at home and the warlike conditions on rs, but his age (sixty years) did not permployment of the necessary energy. The insecurity and hesitation in the face of fluences.

n had been nominated censor by Decius, ed to revive this important office in the nd the choice was ratified by the Roman But Valerian declined a position which ith it really imperial power on the ground unctions belonged to the emperor (Gibbon, nd Fall, i. 247-248).] In the matter of ty Valerian had occupied such a position cius that Christian tradition rightly saw e instigator of the Decian persecution (see LAIUS MESSIUS QUINTUS TRAJANUS). But away from that policy and gave to Chrisronted signs of favor; at his court Chrise so numerous that the court seemed like h of God" (Dionysius, in Eusebius, Hist. , x. 3; NPNF, 2 ser., i. 298). This situanbles that in the reign of Diocletian, and opment was similar; in both cases an antiparty gained the ear of the emperor. ian leader was the General Marcus Fulvius s, a man of great military reputation, and a the Egyptian mysteries, which explains his His political reasons are in doubt; he may ed at the purple, and perhaps attempted to his plans by causing political unrest. At he induced the emperor to issue a rescript ich forbade the Christians to hold assemto use the cemeteries, also sending the to banishment. Macrianus was evidently t the Christian organization; the heads--were to be removed while the rank and not to meet. How the emperor was won the heathen party is not known; but the the edict, comparatively mild, reveals the earlier good-will for the Christians.

a new rescript was issued: bishops, presnd deacons were at once to be executed; s of senatorial or equestrian rank were to be and their property confiscated, and, if umacious, were to suffer death; women

were threatened with confiscation of property and banishment; the Christians of the court were to be put in chains at forced servitude on the imperial domains. As a result the two great Christian communities at Rome and Carthage lost their leaders. Bishop Sixtus of Rome fled to the catacombs, but was captured and executed (see Sixrus II.); and Cyprian also lost his life the same year. Rome also suffered loss in the death of Saint Laurence (q.v.). The Spanish church lost Bishop Fructuosus of Tarragona (q.v.), and both his deacons. In the part of the empire under Gallienus the persecution spread and was thought of as general. As a matter of fact, persecution broke out only in limited foci of action, and there did not destroy Christianity; for a united and general persecution there was neither time nor strength. Perhaps Valerian did not stand forcefully behind the rescript. Yet none of the persecutions of the Christians has raised so many unanswered questions as this.

Valerian fell into the hands of the Persian king, by whom he was held a prisoner till his death. The two sons of Macrianus attempted to seize the throne, but he and they soon fell. Gallienus caused the persecutions to cease. (VICTOR SCHULTZE.)

PETECULIORS TO CESSE. (VICTOR SCHULTZE.)
BIBLIOGRAPHY: Sources are: Eusebius, Hist. eccl., VII., x.-xi., Eng. transl. in NPNF, 2 ser., i. 298-302; and the Acta proconsularia, in Cyprian, Opera, ed. Hartel, ii. 839, in CSEL. Consult: L. S. Le Nain de Tillemont, Hist. des empereurs, vol. iii., 6 vols., Paris, 1720-38; H. Schiller, Geschichte der römischen Kaiserseit, i. 2, pp. 811 sqq., Gotha, 1883; W. Smith, Dictionary of Greek and Roman Biography and Mythology, iii. 1216-17, London, 1890; P. J. Healy, The Valerian Persecution, ib. 1905; Gibbon, Decline and Fall, chaps. x., xvi.; Schaff, Christian Church, ii. 62; Neander, Christian Church, ii. 136-140 et passim; DCB, iv. 1100-02; the literature under Cyprian; Sixtus II.; and Persecutions of Christians.

VALERIAN, SAINT: Bishop of Cemelium (near the modern Nice), southeastern Gaul, and homilist; d. about 460. He seems to have been a kinsman of Eucherius of Lyons, but the only details known of his episcopate are that he attended the Councils of Riez (439) and Vaison (452), protested with eighteen other Gallic bishops against Leo the Great in behalf of the primacy of Arles (see Arles, ARCHBISHOPRIC OF), and opposed the claims of Theodore of Fréjus. He was, therefore, an adherent of Hilary of Arles and of Faustus. Valerian is chiefly important, however, for his homilies. Up to 1612 the only one known was the De bono disciplinæ, formerly ascribed to Augustine, but proved to be Valerian's by Melchior Goldast, who edited the homily (Geneva, 1601). In a Corbey manuscript J. Sirmond found nineteen other homilies which he ascribed to Valerian (Sancti Valeriani episcopi Cemeliensis homiliæ viginti; item epistola ad monachos, de virtutibus et ordine doctrinæ apostolicæ, Paris, 1612). These homilies are adorned with all the artifices of the Gallic school of rhetoric, including alliteration; the author is at his best in descriptions, and his style is modeled on that of Seneca. The homilies are also important historically as supplementing Salvianus (q.v.). In theology Valerian avoids dogmatic controversy, and in his doctrine of grace he follows Faustus of Riez (q.v.), his point of view scarcely differing from that of Cæsarius of Arles (q.v.). He is primarily a moralist, his chief thought being the advancement of discipline, of

work for its own sake with respect to God, Christ, and the martyrs. The rapid decline of his see city is one of the chief causes which consigned many of the homilies of Valerian to an unmerited oblivion, or ascribed them to others, such as Petrus Chrysologus or Eucherius. (F. Arnold.)

Glogus of Eucherius.
Gr. Arnold.
Bibliography: L. Duchesne, Fastes episcopaux de l'ancienne Gaule, i. 290, 296, Paris, 1907; Histoire littéraire de la France, ii. 328-329; Tillemont, Mémoires, xv. 125;
N. Schack, De Valeriano seculi quinti homileta Christiano, Copenhagen, 1814; T. Raynaud, in MPL, lii. 757-836;
Gallia Christiana, iii. 1268, Paris, 1876; A. Malnory, S. Césaire évéque d'Arles, pp. 43, 70, 251, Paris, 1894; Ceillier, Auteurs sacrés, x. 154-159, viii. 444, 605; DCB, iv. 1103; KL, xii. 558-560.

VALESIUS, va-li'shi-us, HENRICUS (HENRI DE VALOIS): French historian and scholar; b. at Paris Sept. 10, 1603; d. there May 7, 1676. Educated at the Jesuit school in Verdun and at the Collège Clermont in Paris, he went, in 1622, to Bourges to study law, which he abandoned in 1630 to devote himself to scholarship. The first results were his editio princeps of the tenth-century compend "On Virtue and Vice" (Polybii, Diodori Siculi, Nicolai Damasceni . . . excerpta ex collectaneis Constantini Augusti Porphyrogenetæ, Paris, 1634), and his edition of Ammianus Marcellinus (1636). His life-work, however, was a critical edition of the Greek church historians, comprising the writings of Eusebius, Socrates, Sozomen, Theodoret, and Evagrius, with excerpts from Philostorgius and Theodorus Lector (3 vols., Paris, 1659-73; ed. W. Reading, Cambridge, 1720 and often). His minor writings were edited by P. Burman the younger under the title H. Valesii emendationum libri quinque et de critica libri duo (Amsterdam, 1740), which also contains his orations and a biography by his brother Hadrian. (G. LAUBMANNT.) Bibliography: H. Valesius, De vita Henrici Valesii, Paris, 1677; KL, xii. 560-563.

VALETON, JOZUA JAN PHILIPPUS: The name of two Dutch Reformed Old-Testament scholars.

1. Jan Valeton the Elder: b. at The Hague Aug. 28, 1814; d. at Utrecht Feb. 8, 1906. He was educated at the University of Levden (1832-39), and was then pastor at Waalsch until 1844, when, after the publication of his doctor's dissertation, Taalibii Syntagma Dictorum Brevium et Acutorum (Leyden, 1884), he was appointed professor of Old Testament at the University of Groningen. In 1876 he left Groningen to accept a similar position at Leyden, where he was the colleague of Abraham Kuenen (q.v.), and there he remained until advancing years forced him to retire from active life. His theological position may be described as that of a liberal conservative. In addition to a number of contributions to theological periodicals, he was the author of Schets der hebreeisch spraakkunst (1850).

2. Jan Valeton the Younger: Son of the preceding; b. at Groningen Oct. 14, 1848. He was educated at the universities of Utrecht and Geneva, after which he was pastor successively at Verit, Gelderland (1872–75), and at Bloemendaal, near Haarlem (1875–77). In 1877 he was called to his present position of professor of Hebrew and Old Testament at Utrecht. He has twice been president of the Association (or Synod) of the Province

of Utrecht (1892, 1903), and was the prime move in the establishment of a college for training future missionaries; he has also exhibited keen intension the Students' Christian Movement connects with the Dutch universities, and has delivered may addresses at their gatherings. He is also a member of the Literary Association of the Netherlands and of the Royal Academy of Sciences. Like his father, he is theologically a liberal conservative. He accepts the chief position of the Reuss-Graf-Kuene-Wellhausen School of Old-Testament criticism, but is an uncompromising defender of the divinity of Christ and of his literal resurrection, though his resons are rather the needs of the Christian life than the evidence of documents and the like.

Besides many contributions to Dutch and German theological periodicals, and in addition to a large number of addresses, etc., he has written Vietal voorlezingen over propheten des Ouden Verbondu (Utrecht, 1886, 2d enlarged ed., 1908; dealing with Isaiah, Jeremiah, Ezekiel, and the "Deutero-Isaiah''); Amos en Hosea, een hoofstuk uit de geschielenis van Israel's godsdienst (Nijmegen, 1894; German transl. by F. K. Echternacht, Giessen, 1898); Christus en het Oude Testament (1895); Vergangliches und Ewiges im Alten Testament (Berlin, 1895); De Psalmen (3 parts, Nijmegen, 1902-05); Het Oude Testament en de critik (1906); Het Oude Testament in het licht van wetenschappelijk onderzoeg (1907); Oud-testamentische voordrachten (1909 sqq.); and the section on the Israelites in P. D. Chantepie de la Saussaye's Lehrbuch der Religionsgeschichte (3d ed., Tübingen, 1905). T. WITTON DAVIES.

VALLA, vāl'lā, LAURENTIUS (LORENZO): Italian humanist and critic; b. at Rome 1405; d. there Aug. 1, 1457. His father was a consistorial advocate in Rome, and an uncle provided Lorenzo with a humanistic training before he turned to the ology. He was consecrated as priest in 1431. His first writing, De voluptate ac de vero bono, was not printed until 1483. Meanwhile there appeared Quastiones dialectica; De libero arbitrio; and De elegantiis Latini sermonis, a declaration of war against the usual didactics and Latinity of his time. In 1435 or 1436, Valla entered the service of King Alfonso V. of Aragon; and while under his patronage he composed, about 1440, the celebrated Declamatio de falso credita et ementita Constantini donatione, which showed the so-called " Donation of Constantine" (q.v.) to be a forgery. By 1442, when he accompanied Alfonso to Naples, rumors were already abroad that his views were in opposition to the Church. But the king still protected him against the Inquisition, so that the judicial proceedings against him were suspended (cf. Valla's Opera, pp. 195, 356). At Naples Valla composed Collatio Novi Testamenti, though this was not published until sixty years later (ed. Erasmus, Annotationes in N. T., Paris, 1505), being "the first fruit of the newly awakened philological studies in behalf of exegesis" (cf. Mancini, Vita, pp. 238 sqq.).

An attempt of Valla's to return to Rome in 1444 miscarried through the fanaticism of the priests, and his Apologia, addressed to Eugenius IV., failed to secure favor. It was not until 1447, under Nicho-

V., a friend to humanists, to whom Valla dedised the first part of a Latin translation of the That, that he obtained an appointment at Rome. But at once strife broke out between him and the resident humanists, which, so far as Poggio was concerned, did not cease even with the death of Valla. But the latter's didactic industry and literary prodetiveness, his perspicacious philological and historical criticism (cf. his Declamatio), his efforts to the science from the fetters of scholastic tradition, engreat and lasting merits. Certainly Valla ranks as precursor of modern intellectual freedom, even though the ascription, procursor Lutheri, rather malignly applied to him by Bellarmine, fits him only in limited measure. His writings, besides those already named, are abundant; and several of them, such as the Elegantia and the Declamatio, have undergone repeated editions. Luther's opinion of him was "The like of whom neither Italy nor the whole Church produced in many centuries' (Responsio ad Lovan. theol., Briefwechsel, iv. 189).

Mancini, a recent biographer, thus measures him:

"It was his misfortune to clash with Poggio who persecuted him without rest or surcease even beyond his grave. He thus had against him Poggio's followers, and all who wrote in sympathy with the Curia. What availed it that he cultivated Christian principles and served the truth? A father of modern criticism, he exercised the thorny office, and for the sake of bending it to his personal interests, but to elevate humanity. In the process he did not always observe the right measure in his own defense; he answered with insult where he might have silenced the adversary by compelling force and sharpness of demonstration. Hence, brilliant embodiment of the Italian intellect though he was, he did not find the recognition that was his due, in his own time; though now there is justly conceded him a place among the great ones whose achievements have richly furthered human culture."

K. Benrath.

Bibliography: Two incomplete editions of the writings of Valla were published, Basel, 1540 (1543) and Venice, 1592; Ulrich von Hutten issued the Donatic Constantini in 1519; J. Vahlen edited the Tria Opuscula, Vienna, 1869. Accounts of the life have been given by J. Vahlen, Vienna, 1864, Berlin, 1870; J. Clausen, Copenhagen, 1861; C. G. Zampt, in Zeitschrift für Geschichtswissenschaft, iv. 397 sqq.; G. Mancini, Florence, 1891; M. von Wolff, Leipsie, 1893; L. V. Schwahn, Berlin, 1896. Consult further: G. Tiraboschi, Storia della Letteratura italiana, vi. 3, 11 vols., Modena, 1772-95; D. G. Monrad, Die erste Controverse über das Glaubensbekenntnis, Gotha, 1881; A. Gaspary, Geschichte der italienischen Litteratur, vol. ii., Strasburg, 1888; L. Amabile, Inquisisione ti Napoli, i. 73 sqq., Castello, 1892; G. Voigt, Die Wiederbelebung des klassischen Attertums, i. 460 sqq., 3d ed., Berlin, 1893; Pastor, Popes, vols. iv.-v. passim; Creighton, Papacy, iii. 170-173.

VALLOMBROSA, ORDER OF. See GUALBERTO, GIOVANNI.

VALTELLINA, vol"tel-lt'na, REFORMATION AND COUNTER-REFORMATION IN: Valtellina, or the upper valley of the Adda in Northern Italy, early became a coveted possession. In 774 Charle-

magne gave it to the monastery of St. Denis at Paris, but before long it was the bone of contention between the bishops of Como and of Chur, whose dioceses here met. The former prelate

already had estates in Valtellina, and in 1006 received from Henry II. half of the county; accordingly, in 1190, he laid claim to the temporal sovereignty, and fifteen years later subdued Bormio.

In 1336, however, Bormio again came into the possession of Chur, but in 1350 was taken by the Visconti and remained part of Milan until 1512. In 1404, the fugitive Mastino Visconti presented Valtellina to the diocese of Chur. In the struggles for the duchy of Milan the allies expelled the French from Valtellina in 1512, and remained there as conquerors until 1797, except for a short time after the "Valtellina massacre" (see below). Ecclesiastically Valtellina remained dependent on the bishop of Como, who was originally under the jurisdiction of the archbishop of Aquileia, and later under that of the archbishop of Milan. The bishop of Chur (placed under the archbishop of Mainz in 843), therefore, had little power in Valtellina, though for a time after 1530 he was given an annual compensation for his loss of jurisdiction.

Valtellina received the "new doctrine" of the Reformation from the south, so that it remained

free from the Teutonizing influences of The Reformation. rich. In Grisons, of which Valtellina

then formed part, the religious and social reform was accomplished under the influence of the Ilanz Articles of 1524 and 1526 (see Ko-MANDER, JOHANN), and at Davos in 1526 the diet granted religious freedom to all, with the exception of the Anabaptists. Italian Protestants, driven from their country by the commencement of the Counter-Reformation, took advantage of this toleration and settled in large numbers in the valley of the Adda and elsewhere, many availing themselves of their asylum to wage war on the Roman Catholic Church. After 1523, in like manner, a number of Waldenses and other Protestants fled from Milan to Valtellina, only to be expelled by the allies at the request of the inhabitants. Reformation and Counter-Reformation followed fast in Valtellina. In the second decade of the sixteenth century there were officials with Protestant tendencies there, though the great Protestant movement did not take place until after the issuing of the bull Licet ab initio in 1542. The stream of fugitives into the Rhætian valleys included many restless spirits who disturbed both religious and political conditions. In 1529 an Italian preacher was brought from Valtellina to Ilanz for examination of his teachings, and in 1544 two Calabrian monks, Francesco and Hieronimo, were expelled from the Engadine for Anabaptist doctrines Chiavenna was the home of the Neapolitan Camillo Renato, an antitrinitarian antipedobaptist, and of Laelius Socinus (q.v.), until a church order made it impossible for adherents of heterodox doctrines to remain. A certain Tiziano was banished for antitrinitarianism and antipedobaptism, despite his retractions, and the ex-monk Franciscus Niger of Bassano was not free from suspicion, though he was in close harmony with the position of the Zurich reformers. [Niger was also an antitrinitarian antipedobaptist. A. H. N.] On the other hand, there were among the fugitive Italians many of unquestioned standing in the eyes of the leaders of the Rhætian Reformation. At first the Italian refugees in Valtellina were permitted only to reside there, not to preach. In 1538, however, the latter privilege was granted them, and in 1544 additional favor was shown Protestant teachers and preachers, though it was still necessary to guard against erroneous doctrines. The Grisons, who were chiefly Protestant, supported the Reformation in Valtellina for political reasons. The result was religious antagonism, the Roman Catholics of Valtellina, both those who had remained true to the ancient faith and those who had been won back by the Jesuits, uniting with the other Roman Catholics of Switzerland, and these in their turn with Austria and Milan. Political and religious antitheses between Valtellina and the Grisons continually became intensified. Valtellina, which had welcomed the Grisons in 1512, was now oppressed by them, and the religious rights of the Roman Catholics were grievously curtailed. In 1551 it was rumored that Austria and Spain planned to invade the region, but Maurice of Saxony came to the aid of the Protestants, who, four months after the treaty of Passau, received a new edict of toleration despite the protests of the pope and of Spain. In the following year, to check the recrudescence of disturbing doctrines, the synod adopted the Confessio Rhætica, which was accepted by the Italians in 1553. The pope, aided by Austria and Spain, now sought to induce the Grisons to consent to the introduction of the Inquisition into Valtellina, and when this effort failed, the Capuchins were sent, while officials of the Inquisition were ever on the watch along the Milan border. In 1557 the edict of equal toleration for Protestants and Roman Catholics in Valtellina was renewed after the Jesuits had already firmly established themselves in the district toward the end of the fifth decade.

The most powerful factor in the crushing of Protestantism in this district, however, was the great archbishop of Milan, Cardinal Carlo Borromeo (q.v.),

The Counter-Reformation. while the external dangers confronting the adherents of the new tenets were complicated by internal doctrinal disputes. In 1564 Philip II. made an unsuccessful demand of the Grisons for the surrender of Protestant heretics,

and in 1579 Borromeo established at Milan the Collegium Helveticum, largely to provide priests for Valtellina, whereupon the Grisons renewed their exclusion of foreign priests. Another powerful agency in the reorganization of the Roman Catholic Church here was found in the resumption of ecclesiastical visitations, interrupted since 1532. Two visitations were made by Giovanni Francesco Bonhomini in 1578 and by Borromeo himself in 1580. Nine years later Feliciano Ninguarda, bishop of Como, made a more extensive visitation, since, as a native of Valtellina, the Grisons were unable to forbid him to exercise pastoral activity in the Adda valley. In 1639, moreover, the chapter of Milan conferred upon the bishop of Como plenipotentiary powers for ecclesiastical visitations and for the execution of papal bulls. Closely associated with the Reformation and Counter-Reformation here were the fortunes of the school established by the Grisons for the education of both Protestants and Roman Catholics (apparently the first school of this character) at Sondrio, though Milanese opposition forced its transference to Chur in 1585. In 1584 an armed foray from Milan was planned for the destruction of the Valtellina Protestants and their school, but it was betrayed and failed. In 1621, however, the Spaniards invaded the region, killed 600 Protestants (the so-called "Valtelling massacre"), and with one blow ended the school and the domination of the Swiss Grisons. For nineteen years the latter strove in vain to recover their subjects, but in 1639 Valtellina passed under the control of the chapter of Milan, which forbede all exercise of the Reformed religion. Every effort, even with the help of England and Prussia, to secure mitigation was in vain. Temporary relief was given by the edict of toleration of Joseph II., but in 1796 Napoleon entered Milan, and in the following year made Valtellina part of the Cisalpine republic, since which time its fortunes have been those of upper Italy. (C. CAMENIBCEL)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Sources are: Ridgenossische Abschieß, vols. iv.-v., ed. J. Strickler, Brugg, 1873 sqq.; the Belasione of Giacomo and Girolamo Soranso, included in N. Barossi and G. Berchet, Relasioni degli stati surgei, Venice, 1856 sqq.; E. Roth, Mery de Vic et Padesian, in Quellen sur Schweizergeschichte, vol. v., Basel, 1881; the Historia Rastica, in the same, vol. ix., ib. 1890; Win, Akten der römischen Curie, in the same, vol. xvi., ib. 1890; as well as Bullinger's correspondence in vols. xvii.-xx. of the same; Nuntiaturberichte aus der Schweiz, ed f. Steffens and H. Reinhardt, vol. i., Solothurn, 1906; d. Alberti, Antichità di Bormio, and F. F. Ninguards, Alidella visita pastorale diocesana, in "Publications of the Como Historical Society," Como, 1890 sqq.; Paolo Sarji, Breve Relatione di Valtellina, in Appendix, vol. ii. di is Opere, Verona, 1758, and in U. Martinelli, La Campusad del marchese di Cauvres, Città di Castello; also Sarji Hist. of the Council of Trent, London, 1676. Consult also the literature under Borromeo, Carlo (biographies di him contain much from the sources); Komanner, Jonans; and the works on the Reformation in Switserland; C. Camenisch, Carlo Borromeo und die Gegenreformation is Velltina, Coura, 1716; C. Cantu, Rivolusione delle Velltina, Coira, 1716; C. Cantu, Rivolusione delle Vellt

VAMVAS, vām'vās, NEOPHYTOS: Greek Orthodox; b. in Chios in the latter part of the eighteenth century; d. at Athens 1855. He was first a monk, apparently in Patmos, and later returned to Chios for further study, completing his education at Paris. In 1813 he was appointed teacher at the gymnasium of his native island, and during the Greek war for independence was secretary to Prince Demetrius Ypsilanti. He was then a teacher at the Ionic Academy in Corfu (1828-33) and at Syra (1833-37), and from 1837 until his death was professor at the University of Athens. A representative of liberalism in Church and State, Vamvas became known to the West by being involved in the struggle of the British and Foreign Bible Society in Greece. This society determined, in the second decade of the nineteenth century, to translate the Old Testament without the Apocrypha from Hebrew into Romaic, and Vamvas was engaged to assist in the work as a Greek scholar. In 1833 the Greek Church became independent, and the eleventh pers

its statutes required the synod to protect trine and guard against proselytizing. Une circumstances, a storm of protest arose a translation which not only undermined pority of the Septuagint, but also lacked crypha, especially as there was an earnest educate the people to use the Old Testathe Septuagint and the New Testament in inal Greek instead of a Romaic version. became the controversy that in Apr., 1835, rnment forbade the use of the new translaschools and churches, thus restoring the y of the Septuagint. The orthodox party satisfied, however, and Vamvas was deas the chief translator for the English. He n a "Brief Answer" (Athens, 1836), dethe translation and his work on it on both and scientific grounds, and referring pointbuses existing in the Greek Church, particuthe ignorance of the clergy. He was now o defend his alleged attacks on the Septuaore the synod, which condemned both his Answer" and his pamphlet "On the Modk Church" (Athens, 1839), and sought in have the government proceed against him. the entire affair ended disastrously for the ciety, Vamvas was instrumental in aroufore active study of the Bible among his

as was likewise active in other departments gy. Besides a work on the inspiration of ptures, he wrote a "Handbook of the of the Sacred Pulpit" (Athens, 1851), but most famous for his " Elements of Ethics a rationalistic philosophy of religion and of ethics. The great ethical principles he be God and the human conscience, and he duties into those toward God, toward self, ard man. The proof of the existence of as the introduction to the duties toward the nd the demonstration of the immortality ul that of the duties toward self; while the f human society forms the preface to the (PHILIPP MEYER.) ward man.

PHY: J. Wenger, Beiträge zur Kenntnis des geigen Geistes . . . der griechischen Kirche, Berlin, R. Nicolai, Geschichte der neugriechischen Littera-128, Leipsie, 1876; A. D. Kyriakos, Geschichte der ischen Kirche, 1453–1898, ib. 1902.

BUREN, JAMES HEARTT: Protestant al missionary bishop of Porto Rico; b. at wn, N. Y., July 7, 1850. He was educated (A.B., 1873) and at Berkeley Divinity Middletown, Conn. (graduated 1876). He ained to the priesthood in 1876, and was f St. Peter's, Milford, Conn. (1876–78), Seymour, Conn. (1878–80), St. Paul's, Od, N. J. (1880–84), St. Paul's, Newburyss. (1884–90), and St. Stephen's, Lynn, 890–1901). In 1902 he was consecrated the missionary district of Porto Rico. He en Latin Hymns in English Verse (Boston,

OYCK, van doik, CORNELIUS VAN ALEN: d Dutch medical missionary; b. at Kin-N. Y., Aug. 13, 1818; d. at Beirut Aug.

13, 1895. He was educated at Kinderhook Academy, and in medicine at Jefferson Medical College, Philadelphia (1839); appointed missionary of the A. B. C. F. M. for Syria, 1839; sailed from Boston for Beirut Jan., 1840; was ordained by Syrian Mission in council, Jan. 14, 1846; principal of Missionary Seminary, 1848-52; then missionary in the Sidon field till 1857; translator of the Bible into Arabic from 1857, and manager of the Mission Press, 1857-80; physician to St. John's Hospital, and professor of pathology in the Syrian Protestant College, Beirut, till 1882; after that, physician to St. George's Hospital. He was "broad Calvinistic" in his theology. He taught Hebrew in Union Theological Seminary, New York City, while superintending the printing of his translation of the Arabic Bible at the American Bible Society, 1866-67. He translated into Arabic the Westminster Assembly's Shorter Catechism (Beirut, 1843); Schönberg-Cotta Family (1885); and was the author in Arabic of various text-books in mathematics, astronomy, and medicine. He was noted for his mastery of the Arabic language and literature.

VAN DYKE, HENRY JACKSON: Presbyterian; b. at Germantown, Pa., Nov. 10, 1852. He was educated at Princeton College (A.B., 1873) and at Princeton Theological Seminary (graduated 1877). In 1878 he studied at the University of Berlin, and upon his return to the United States held pastorates at the United Congregational Church, Newport, R. I. (1879-82), and the Brick Presbyterian Church, New York City (1883-1900); became professor of English literature in Princeton University, 1900. His writings include: The Reality of Religion (New York, 1884); The Story of the Psalms (1887); Sermons to Young Men (1893); The Christ Child in Art (1894); The Other Wise Man (1896); The Gospel for an Age of Doubt (1896); The First Christmas Tree (1897); The Builders, and other Poems (1897); The Lost Word (1898); The Gospel for a World of Sin (1899); The Toiling of Felix, and other Poems (1900); The Poetry of the Psalms (1900); The Friendly Year (1900); The Ruling Passion (1901); The Open Door (Philadelphia, 1903); Music, and other Poems (New York, 1904); The School of Life (1905); Essays in Application (1905); The Spirit of Christmas (1905); Days off, and Other Digressions (1907); The Music-Lover (1907); Counsels by the Way (1908); House of Rimmon (1908); Out of Doors in the Holy Land (1908); White Bees and Other Poems (1909); Spirit of America (1910); and Complete Poems (1911).

VAN HORNE, DAVID: Reformed (German); b. at Glen, Montgomery Co., N. Y., Dec. 11, 1837. He was graduated from Union College, 1864; and at the New Brunswick Theological Seminary, N. J., 1867; was pastor of Reformed Church (Dutch), Greenwich, N. J., 1868; of Reformed Church (German), Dayton, O., 1868-75; of First Reformed Church (German), Philadelphia, 1875-88; professor of systematic theology in and president of the Heidelberg Theological Seminary, Tiffin, O., 1888-1907; since 1907 he holds the same position in the New Central Theological Seminary, Dayton, O. His theological position is conservative. His publica-

tions embrace an edition of the Heidelberg Catechism (Philadelphia, 1881, 9th ed., Cleveland, 1908); History of the Reformed Church in Philadelphia (Philadelphia, 1876); Tent and Saddle Life in the Holy Land (1885); The Church and the Future Life (Cleveland, 1904).

VAN KIRK, HIRAM: Disciple of Christ; b. at Washington Court House, O., Feb. 13, 1868. He was educated at Hiram College, Hiram, O. (A.B., 1892), Yale Divinity School (B.D., 1895), and the University of Chicago (Ph.D., 1900), where he was fellow (1898-1900). He was pastor of the Jefferson Street Church of Christ, Buffalo (1890-91), Central Christian Church, Nevada, Mo. (1896-97), and Christian Church, Jefferson City, Mo. (1897-1898); instructor in the Disciples' Divinity House, Chicago (1898-1900), and since 1900 dean and professor of Biblical theology in Berkeley Bible Seminary, Berkeley, Cal. He has also lectured on oriental history in the University of California since 1902, and was secretary of the Board of Education of the American Christian Missionary Society in 1898-1900. In theology he is "a moderate in his doctrinal positions and active in the practical administration of his denomination." He has written The Rise of the Current Reformation; or, a Study in the History of Theology of the Disciples of Christ (St. Louis, 1906).

VAN MANEN, van-ma'nen, WILLEM CHRIS-TIAN: Dutch theologian: b. at Noordeloos, near Gorkum (22 m. e.s.e. of Rotterdam), Holland, Aug. 8, 1842; d. at Leyden July 12, 1905. He received his early education in the schools at Benschop and at Ijselen; entered the University of Utrecht in 1859, studying especially under Opzoomer and Doedes (D.D., 1865, for his dissertation: Onderzoek haar de echtheid van Paulus' eersten brief aan de Thessalonicensen); was pastor of the Dutch Reformed Church at Abbenbroek (1865-70), at Winkel (1870-75), and at Zierksee (1875-84), showing himself a good preacher and taking a prominent part in the ecclesiastical and theological controversies of the time. In Oct., 1884, he became professor of theology in connection with the Dutch Reformed Church at the University of Groningen, and entered upon his office by delivering an inaugural address on Dec. 11, 1884, his subject being Het persoonlijk karakter der leerstellige godgeleerdheit ("The personal character of a professorship of theology"); the next year he became professor of old (not early) Christian literature and New-Testament exegesis at Leyden, and inaugurated his work in this his last earthly home by an address on De leerstoel der Oud-christelijke letter-kunde (" The Chair of Old Christian Literature"). The very title of the chair indicates the point of view to which Van Manen had brought himself. He had now for some time argued against the prevalent habit of distinguishing between the canonical writings of the New Testament and early Christian literature. He embraced the whole down to about 180 A.D. under one category, "Old Christian Literature," preferring "old" to "early" owing to the previous use of the latter in a different sense.

Van Manen was not a popular preacher, yet he

was always listened to with respect and even almiration by thoughtful hearers. His sermons were clear, but too closely reasoned and too full of mutter to make it easy for the common man to follow them. That fondness for controversy which never left him showed itself even in his student days when (i.e., in 1864) he joined issue with one of his teachers -Van Ooztersee-on the question of the genuineness of II Thessalonians. In later years he had controversies with Jacobus Cramer, A. Kuyper, and many others. Though at first he vigorously assailed the advanced views of Loman and other members of the Groningen school on the books of the New Testament, he afterward adopted these views, carrying them to a farther point than his predecessors Loman, Steck, and others. He wrote largely for religious magazines—Vaterlandsche letteroefeningen, Gottesdienstig album, Theologische Tijdschrift; of this last he was editor from 1890 to the time of his death.

In 1903, at the very zenith of his power and isfluence, probably owing to his excessive industry and zeal, he was suddenly laid low by a paralytic seizure, from which he never sufficiently recovered to attempt any further work, literary or academic though his name remained among the editors of the Theologische Tijdschrift to the end of 1905. After a lingering illness in which the once strong mind gave way more and more, he died, greatly regretted by colleagues and by a large number of scholars in all lands. Even those who rejected his opinions admired his industry, courtesy, kindness, and transparent honesty. He had a tall, imposing figure, and was broad of shoulder, his large head being covered

with a goodly quantity of curly hair.

His principal works were the following: (1) Handleiding voor de Oud-christelijke Letterkunde (1890; the substance of this work is given by the author himself in the article "Old Christian Literature" in EB, vol. iv.; there is a brief analysis also in the Hibbert Journal, i. 193). Van Manen denies in this work that the so-called "Epistles" of the New Testament are letters proper: they are rather dogmatic and practical treatises by unknown authors, one of them coming from the pen of the Apostle Paul. (2) Paulus. This, his greatest work, is divided into three parts: (a) De Handelingen der Apostelen (1890; on the Acts); (b) De Brief aan de Romanen (1891; on Romans); (c) De Brieven aan de Korinthiers (1896; on I and II Corinthians). Of this work, which discusses more fully most of the problems dealt with in the former work, an analysis is given by Thomas Whittaker in his Origins of Christianity (pp. 67 sqq., 2d ed., London, 1909). Especially worthy of notice are his articles "Paul," " Philippians," and "Romans," in EB, and those in The Expository Times, vol. ix., defending himself against Samuel Davidson. Though few theologians accept the rationalistic conclusions to which Van Manen came, Prof. T. K. Cheyne, of Oxford, speaks of him as "the man whom future readers of the Bible will bless for having set Gospels and Epistles in intelligible time relations." It is significant that in May, 1904, the Rationalistic Press Association in London elected him an "Honorary Associate." T. WITTON DAVIES.

HY: ThT, 1905, p. 385 (obituary); E. U. Mey-ThT, 1906, pp. 193-252 (on Van Manen's life my works); R. Steck, in Protestantische Monatex (1905). October; Piper, "Die hollandsche itik" in Protestantische Monatsschrift, vols. x.-, 1906.

ELT, JOHN ROBERT: Methodist Episnear Todd's Point, Shelby County, Ky., 862. He received his education at Illinois University, Bloomington, Ill. (B.A., 1882; 5), Boston University (S.T.B., 1887; Ph.D., arrett Biblical Institute, Evanston, Ill., Iniversity of Halle; he entered the minis-Methodist Episcopal Church in 1887, servnois till 1891, when he became professor atic theology in the Iliff School of Theolver, Col.; in 1901 he returned to the pasd served in Illinois and Pennsylvania till e went to his present position of professor phy and Biblical literature in Cornell Colint Vernon, Ia. He is a member of the e for preparing a Sunday-school hymnal se of his denomination. His theological s that of a moderate conservative; he holds reme authority of the Christian revelation ble, but concedes full liberty to the procriticism. He has been largely influenced r in his theological thinking.

IL. See TIL, SALOMON VAN.

SELBY FRAME: Presbyterian; b. at knox Co., Ill., Nov. 17, 1864. He was edu-Lake Forest University (B.A., 1885), Theological Seminary (1888-90), McCorological Seminary (1891), and Berlin Uni-893-95); and, after holding a pastorate, Kan. (1891-93), was professor of Greek as College (1895-1900) and of English Wooster University (1900-05). Since 1905 een professor of church history in Lane al Seminary.

ALS: A people of Teutonic stock, disd in secular history for their great is from the northeast of Europe to the d then to the extreme southwest of the world, and in church history for their enacity to Arianism. In the time of Pliny and Tacitus the Vandals were settled be-Elbe and the Vistula, but by the period eat Marcomannic war (166-181) they had the territory represented by the modern A century later Aurelian found it necessary t the middle Danube against them; but), hard pressed by their northern neighbors, ved protection from Constantine the Great nia, though forced to recognize Roman ty. About 407 the Vandals, together with isian Alans and a Swabian tribe, left Pand, after ravaging Gaul, sought new homes where they settled first in the north, in Gali-123), and then in the south, in Bætica, the Andalusia (423-429). Their Arian Chrisey received from the Emperor Valens.

Genseric, Vandal king since 427, landed e 80,000 followers, of whom 50,000 were on the coast of northern Africa. The Vanom properly dates, however, from Oct. 19, 439, when, utterly disregarding the terms of the peace made at Hippo Regius on Feb. 11, 435, Genseric stormed and sacked Carthage, which he made his capital. From 440 until 475 he harried the Mediterranean coasts almost annually, and in June, 455, pillaged Rome itself. He ruled northern Africa from Mauretania to Cyrene, and also Corsica, Sardinia, the Balearic Isles, Iviza, Formentera, and part of Sicily.

The African Vandal kingdom, unprecedentedly isolated in the extreme south of the ancient world, suffered more than any other Teutonic Arian domain on the Mediterranean from a twofold internal antithesis, national and religious. In his new home Genseric found two ruling estates, the ecclesiastical nobility, or bishops, and the secular nobles, or possessores. Both were systematically crushed as main supports of Catholic power, but when, in token of allegiance, the Vandal king demanded Arian rebaptism and disciplined loyal Catholics, the persecution was political rather than religious. When, on the other hand, he came into better relation with the two divisions of the Roman Empire, he was lenient toward his orthodox subjects, and at one time the African Bishop Victor of Cartenna could present him with an impassioned refutation of Arianism without ill consequences. On Jan. 25, 477, the aged Vandal king died at peace with all his foes. Except for his religious persecutions, Genseric was a ruler of a high degree of statesmanship, and his personal integrity and purity were irreproachable. The taint of immorality alleged against him by Sidonius Apollinaris (Panegyricus, v. 327 sqq.) is refuted by the activity of his life, for until about 474 he led almost all his expeditions in person. He was equally ready to recognize nobility in others; while among his acts of toleration to his orthodox subjects may be mentioned his permission, at the request of Valentinian III., for the Catholics of Carthage to elect Deogratias as their bishop after their community had been desolate for years (Oct. 24, 454).

Genseric was succeeded by his unworthy son, Huneric (477-484), who at first spared the Catholies out of fear of Byzantium, and even permitted them to choose Eugenius bishop of Carthage in 481, only to persecute the orthodox with ever-increasing barbarity after 482. Guntamund (484-496) spared the Catholics, and his successor, Thrasamund (496-523), contented himself with banishing the most important bishops. Hilderic (523-530), the son of Huneric and the West Roman Princess Eudocia, granted absolute religious freedom. Catholic synods were again held on African soil at Junca (523), Sufes (524), and Carthage itself (525). Hilderic's policy, however, allying him with Byzantium, then ruled by Justinian, and estranging him from his natural allies, the Ostrogoths, led to his fall. His aged cousin, Gelimer (or Geilamir), a fervent Arian, had him dethroned and put to death. In 533-534, Gelimer himself succumbed to Belisarius at Decimum and Tricameron, and North Africa with the islands became, under the name of the Exarchate of Carthage, a Byzantine province until it fell a prey to Islam in 709. The last Vandal king, a romantic character, received rich estates in Galicia,

where he was prevented from accepting patrician rank, the highest honor that Byzantium could bestow, only by his refusal to abandon the Arian faith.

From the neighboring Moorish tribes Genseric received his so-called testament or law of succession, whereby the son did not regularly follow the father, the heir to the throne in each case being the eldest descendant in direct line from Genseric himself. The aim, as in the corresponding rule of the Osmanli Turks, was to prevent degeneration of the ruling stock, but among both peoples it proved unsuccessful. (Franz Görres.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Sources are: Victor of Vita, Hist. persecutionis Africans provincia, ed. M. Petschenig, in CSCE, vol. vii., and in MGH, Auct. ant., iii (1879), 1-58, Germ. transl. by A. Mally, Vienna, 1884; Procopius, De bello Vandalico, in Opera, ed. J. Haury, i. 307 sqq., Leipsic, 1905, Germ. transl. by D. Coste, ib. 1885; Prosper Tiron, Epitoma chronica, ed. T. Mommsen, in MGH, Auct. ant., ix (1892); Victor Tonnennensis, Chronicon, ed. T. Mommsen, in MGH, Auct. ant., xi (1893). Consult further: F. Papencordt, Geschichte der vandalischen Herrschaft in Afrika, Berlin, 1837; F. Dahn, Die Könige der Germanen, vol. i., Munich, 1881; idem, Germanisch-romanische Urgeschichte, i. 147-222, 2d ed., Berlin, 1899; Stadler von Wolffersgrün, Die Vandalen, Bosen, 1883-84; F. Wrede, Ueber die Sprache der Wandalen, Strasburg, 1886; W. Pötssch, Victor von Vita, Döbeln, 1887; L. Schmidt, Aelteste Geschichte der Wandalen, Leipsic, 1889; G. Boissier, Etudes d'hist. religieuse, in Revue des deux mondes, ix (1890), 145-172; T. Hodgkin, Italy and her Invaders, vols. ii.-iii., Oxford, 1892; A. Schwarze, Entwicklung der afrikanischen Kirche, pp. 153-183, Göttingen, 1892; F. Görres, in ZWT, xxxvi. 1 (1893), 494-511; idem, in Historisches Jahrbuch, 1911, ii. 223-332; F. Ferrère, De Victoris Vitensis libro, Paris, 1898; F. Martroye, L'Occident à l'époque byzantine. Gothe et Vandales, Paris, 1904.

VANE, SIR HENRY, JR.: Statesman and religious enthusiast; b. at Hadlow (18 m. s.e. of London) 1613; beheaded on Tower Hill, London, June 14, 1662. His father, of the same name, was a privy councilor of Charles I. About the age of fifteen the son was converted to Puritanism, and when, shortly after, he became a gentleman commoner of Magdalen Hall, Oxford, he refused to take the oath of supremacy and allegiance. After leaving the university he traveled on the continent, returning to England in 1632. As the son of a courtier and the possessor of great talents, he was naturally equipped for places of preferment, but his hostility to the doctrines and ceremonies of the Church of England was unconquerable. To enjoy greater freedom of worship he emigrated to New England in 1635, and was enthusiastically received at Boston in consideration of his high birth and the sacrifices he was making for the sake of conscience. He became governor of Massachusetts Bay Colony the following year, but failed of reelection because of the religious disputes in which he became involved. Among other things he lent the protection of his position to Mrs. Anne Hutchinson (see Antinomianism and Antinomian Controversies, II., 2) in the controversy she occasioned by her energetic preaching concerning the "covenant of grace" and the "covenant of works." Apart from being an upholder of freedom of religious opinion, he naturally sympathized with the mystical teaching that the Holy Spirit dwells in a justified person and that the revelation of the Spirit in the soul of a believer is superior to the ministry of the Word. Vane's interference in ecclesiastical affairs increased the discord and the agitation which was fraught with real danger to the infant colony cost him his popularity. In Aug., 1637, he sailed for England to play a considerable part in the events that resulted in the overthrow of the monarchy.

In Jan., 1639, through his father's influence, Vane was made joint-treasurer of the navy with Sir W. Russell. He was also elected a member of parlisment and soon forged to the front as a leader of the anti-court party. He procured the condemnation of Strafford and carried up the impeachment of Laud from the commons, and on the breaking out of the Civil War was a zealous supporter of Parlisment. He attended the Westminster Assembly of Divines (q.v.) and pleaded passionately for full liberty of conscience for all religions. When the English parliament became apprehensive of the security of its position by reason of the progress of the royal arms, Vane was one of the commissioners it dispatched in 1643 to Edinburgh for a closer union with the Scottish nation; and it was due to his force of persuasion that there was then framed the Soleme League and Covenant (see Covenanters, § 4). The covenant made ample provision for the presevation of Presbyterianism in Scotland. As far, however, as the establishment of religion in England and Ireland was concerned, the language of the doument through an artifice of Vane's was so worded as to bear an interpretation to accord with the timents of the Independents. These were willing # first to take shelter under Presbyterianism, but # the victory of the parliamentary forces became sured, they appeared a distinct party. They held to the immediate operation of the Holy Spirit, rejecting any distinction between the laity and clary they abolished all ceremonies and denying the next of interposition of the magistrate in religious con-Vane vainly attempted to bring about a compromise with the royalists. His opposition to a state church was unrelenting, and by it he lost his influence with the Presbyterians, who wished to have their system of doctrines enforced upon the nation and were able to defeat his attempts at compromise in parliament in 1646. Vane was also distrusted by the Levellers (q.v.) because, although no one strove more zealously to vindicate the privileges of parliament against the encroachments of the crown, he did not consider it essential to freedom to overthrow the monarchy and constitution. He became a member of the council of state in 1649, but refused to take the oath approving of the king's execution, swearing only to be faithful to the new government. He directed the navy and took an active part in colonial and foreign affairs. Cromwell and Vane had been on terms of intimate friendship, but a permanent breach between them was caused when Cromwell forcibly dissolved the Long Parlisment in 1653. Vane then withdrew from active participation in public affairs and in seclusion indulged those theological reveries which in their extravagance and pious fanaticism contrast strikingly with his ability in matters of finance and civil polity. His religious writings are free from political allusions and never betray the personality of the author. The retired Man's Meditations, or the Mystery and Power of Godliness (1655) voices in its last chapter a belief in the coming of s real theocracy on earth, in which Christ will reign for a thousand years as a temporal sovereign and the saints will have the power of the keys. After this millennium Satan will again be let loose to war against human nature; at the end of the struggle, after the saints have been transported to the heavenly mansions, there will take place the fael judgment. In 1656 Vane attacked the Commonwealth in His Question Propounded and Resolved s favoring the selfish interests of the army, and in consequence was imprisoned in the Isle of Wight for four months. After Cromwell's death Vane served spin in parliament. At the Restoration Vane was excluded from the Act of Indemnity and imprisoned in the Tower. He was brought to trial in 1662 and endemned to death, his undaunted behavior on that occasion being represented to the king as a studied vindication of rebellion. Besides political and religious works other than those mentioned shove, Vane also published a number of his speeches. His theory of civil government is set forth in a treatise, The People's Case Stated (printed in Trial # Sir H. Vane, 1662); and though his doctrine is democratic he does not go as far as writers like Milton, who claim that the best form of government is **Contrasted with the clearsof his political works is the almost unintelligible character of his religious writings. His followers were called "Seekers" (q.v.) because, besides being sverse to forms and fixed opinions, they were waiting for some "new and clearer manifestation."

BELICGRAPHY: The earliest life (of comparatively little worth) was by G. Siloss, London, 1662. Later ones are by C. W. Upham, in J. Sparks, American Biography, 1 ser., val. iv., Boston, 1834; J. Forster, London, 1840; and J.E. Hosmer, Boston, 1883. Consult further: A. Wood, Afanse Ozonienses, ed. P. Blies, iii. 578, 4 vols., London, 1813-20; C. Dalton, Hist. of the Family of Wray, ii. 93-17, ib. 1881; W. A. Shaw, Hist. of the English Church. ... 1640-60, passim, 2 vols., ib. 1900; W. Walker, Ten New England Leaders, pp. 77-78, New York, 1901; DNB, hii. 116-129.

VAMMUTELLI, vān"nu-tel'li, SERAFINO: Cardinal; b. at Genassano (24 m. e.s.e. of Rome), Italy, Nov. 26, 1834. After the completion of his studies in the Collegium Capranica, Rome, he became a beneficiary of St. Peter's and professor of theology in the Vatican Seminary. He was sent to Mexico as auditor of the papal nuncio, and later accompanied the same prelate to South America and to Munich. In 1869 he was consecrated titular archbishop of Nicæa and sent as apostolic delegate to Peru and Ecuador, whence he was recalled, in 1875, to become papal nuncio at Brussels. Five years later he went in a similar capacity to Vienna, and in 1887 was created cardinal priest of Santa Sabina, which was exchanged for San Gerolamo degli Schiavori in 1889. He was appointed secreary of memorials in 1892, and later of briefs and he Index; was then at the head of the Congregaion of Bishops and Regulars; and was made, in 899, grand penitentiary and grand secretary of the loly Office. In 1893 he was elevated to be carinal bishop of Frascati, but in 1903, on the death of Cardinal Parocchi, was translated to the suburbicarian see of Porto and Santa Rufina.

VANNUTELLI, VINCENZO: Cardinal, brother of the preceding; b. at Genazzano (24 m. e.s.e. of Rome), Italy, Dec. 5, 1836. He was educated at the Collegium Capranica and the Gregorian University, Rome, and, after being ordained to the priesthood in 1861, was a professor in the Vatican Seminary. After diplomatic service in Holland and Belgium from 1863 to 1869 he was appointed apostolic prothonotary and under-secretary of state; later (1878) auditor of the Sacra Rota Romana, in 1880 was preconized titular archbishop of Sardes and was sent to Constantinople as apostolic delegate and patriarch-vicar, and in 1883 went to Moscow as envoy extraordinary at the coronation of the czar. After another official visit to Russia, he was appointed nuncio in Lisbon, where he concluded the concordat between the Vatican and Portugal, and also reorganized the Roman Catholic hierarchy in the East. In 1890 he was created cardinal priest of San Silvestro in Capite, and in 1900 was elevated to be cardinal bishop of Palestrina. He was for a long time prefect of the Propaganda, and since 1902 has been prefect of the Congregation of the Council, while he is also archpriest of the Liberian patriarchal church of Santa Maria Maggiore.

VARAGINE, JACOBUS DE. See JACOBUS DE VARAGINE.

VASZARY, va-sa'rt, CLAUDIUS FRANZ: Cardinal; b. at Keszthely (96 m. s. of Pressburg), Hungary, Feb. 12, 1832. He entered the Benedictine order in 1847 at Martinsberg, where he received his education, and in 1855 was ordained to the priesthood; was professor of history at the gymnasium of Gran (1861-69); was rector of the similar institution at Raab (1869-85); became archabbot of Martinsberg (1885), with a seat in the Austrian Upper House; was consecrated prince-archbishop of Gran and primate of Hungary (1891); and was created cardinal-priest of Santi Silvestro e Martino di Monti (1893).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Der Papet, die Regierung und die Verwaltung der heiligen Kirche in Rom, pp. 185, 187-188, Munich, 1904.

VATABLUS, vā'ta-blus, FRANCISCUS (FRAN-COIS WATEBLED, GASTEBLED, OUATEBLE): French Hebraist and theologian; b. at Gamaches (85 m. n.w. of Paris), Picardy; d. at Paris Mar. 16, 1547. He was for a time pastor at Bramet in Valois, after which Francis I. appointed him professor of Hebrew at the Collège de France, later making him also abbot of Bellozane. He died in the Roman Catholic faith. During his lifetime Vatablus published nothing, his Latin translation of Aristotle's Meteorologica appearing at Lyons in 1548 and his version of the Parva Naturalia being appended by G. Duval to his edition of Aristotle (Paris, 1619). From the lecture-notes of the numerous scholars of Vatablus, Robert Stephens drew the material for the notes which he added to his edition of the Bible of Paris, 1545, though it would seem that to the annotations of Vatablus he added others from various sources. The notes of Vatablus on the Psalms, incorporated in the Liber Psalmorum Davidis printed by Stephens in 1557, were reedited, with the notes of Hugo Grotius, by G. J. L. Vogel in his Francisci Vatabli annotationes in Psalmos (Halle, 1767). The Sorbonne sharply assailed the Stephens edition of 1545 as heretical and inclining toward Lutheranism; while the Salamanca theologians, on the contrary, esteemed the work so highly that they issued a revision of it in their Latin Bible of 1584.

H. L. STRACK.

Bibliography: A. Calmet, Bibliothèque sacrée, iv. 1 sqq., Paris, 1730; C. G. Jöcher, Allgemeines Gelehrten-Lexikon, iv. 1466, 10 parts, Leipsic, 1750-1819; Biographie universelle, lxvii. 569 sqq.; Lichtenberger, ESR, xii. 307.

VATICAN.

Outline History (§ 1).
Papal and Other Official Apartments (§ 2).
Libraries and Museums (§ 3).
Minor Portions and Gardens (§ 4).
Church of St. Peter (§ 5).
The Crypts of St. Peter's (§ 6).
Vatican and Quirinal (§ 7).
The Vatican Guards (§ 8).

The name Vatican is applied both to the palace of the pope at Rome, and to the papal administration in its official relations with temporal powers. The term is derived from the situation of the palace on the Vatican Hill (on the right bank of the Tiber), which, even as late as the time of Aurelian, formed no part of the city of Rome. During the classical period it was notoriously insalubrious (Tacitus, Hist., ii. 93), and even its wine was regarded as poisonous. Nevertheless, Caligula commenced the

building of a circus there, and Nero completed it. Here occurred the martyrdom of many early Christians, and

here, according to tradition, St. Peter himself suffered crucifixion; to this is due the selection of the Vatican as the residence of the successors of St. Peter. The earliest traces of the Vatican palace thus far known were comprised in an episcopia erected by Symmachus (498-514), and successive pontiffs added to the structures until Nicholas III. (1277-80), who was the founder of the Vatican in its historic form. It had been a residence of the popes since the pontificate of Leo IV. (847-855), who enclosed it with strong walls; and after the exile at Avignon (1308-78), during which the older palace of the Lateran had been burned, the Vatican became the chief papal palace. Pope after pope added to the buildings, or substituted new for old, until the result was marvelous. To Nicholas V. (1447-55) is due the foundation of the famous Vatican Library; Sixtus IV. (1471-84) built the renowned Sistine Chapel, with Michelangelo's frescoes of the Prophets and the Last Judgment; Julius II. (1503-13) commenced the celebrated Vatican Museum; Leo X. (1513-21) employed the services of Raffael, and Paul III. (1534-49) and Julius III. (1550-55) of Michelangelo. The real palace of the popes was built by Sixtus V. (1585-90), though it was not completed until the pontificate of Clement VIII. (1592-1605); and among other noteworthy popes to whom important parts of the present Vatican are due were Urban VIII. (1623-44), Pius VI. (1775-99), and Pius VII. (1800-23). The most ancient portion, however, is not in the Vatican itself, but in the old crypt of St. Peter's, where are portions of the basilica erected by Constantine the Great, as well as the oldest monument of all, the tomb of St. Peter, constructed by popes Linus and Anacletus (67–86).

The Vatican palace itself is a congeries of buildings measuring, according to the usual estimates, some 1,151 feet long by 767 broad (though these figures are probably under the true dimensions), and covering an area of 13½ acres. The number of apartments is enormous, and must be at least 1,000. Within the palace precincts are twenty courtyards, of which the most important are the Cortile di San

Damaso, at the main entrance to the

2. Papal Vatican, and the Cortile della Sentineland other la, architecturally one of the most Official impressively medieval portions of the Apartments. entire Vatican. Besides some 200

Apartments. entire Vatican. Besides some 200 minor stairways, there are eight grand flights, the most noteworthy being the Scale Fig. (forming the main approach to the palace) an the Scala Regia, or state stairway, commenced by Urber VIII. (1623-44) and completed in the pontificate of Alexander VII. (1655-67). The actual apartments of the pope are on the east side of the Cortile de San Damaso, and are only some twenty-two in number. This portion of the palace includes the pope's library, study, bedroom, private reception room, and chapel, the Hall of the Grooms (Sala dei Palsfrenieri), the Sala Clementina (where a detachment of the famous Swiss Guards is stationed), the Sala dei Bussolanti (a sort of cloak-room for those admitted to a papal audience), the Anticamera d'Onore (where, on the papal throne, the pontiff receives important bodies of visitors and hears the Lenten and Advent sermons), and the Anticamera Segreta (which only privy councilors and cardinals may enter). On the west of the same court are the Box Apartments, forming the official residence of cardinal secretary of state, and comprising the Sala dei Pontifici, the Sala dei Misteri, the Camera della Vita dei Santi, and the Camera delle Arti e Scienza (where the cardinal secretary holds his audiences). These rooms, which were built at the command of Alexander VI. (1492-1503) and adorned with exquisite frescoes by Pinturicchio (notably the Annunciation, Resurrection, and Disputation of & Catharine), have beyond them the study of the cardinal secretary (the Sala dello Credo, so called from the frescoes of the twelve apostles, each holding s scroll bearing his portion of the Apostles' Crestly and above them are four rooms frescoed mainly by Raffael, while to their right is the exquisite chape of Nicholas V., which contains the masterpieces of Fra Angelico, executed by him between 1450 and Running left from the Borgia Apartment are the Sala del Papagello, where the pope is vestel before pontificating at St. Peter's, and the Sala di Paramenti, the robing-room of the cardinals before great functions; while, still to the left, and seprated from the Borgia Apartments by the Cortin del Papagello, are the Sala Ducale and the Regia, from the latter of which access is gained the famous Sistine Chapel, as well as to the Capelli Paolina, with two fine frescoes by MichelangeloCracifizion of St. Peter and Conversion of St. Paul -and serving as the parish church for the 2,000 or more persons lodged in the Vatican. Through the Sala Ducale and Sala Regia the pope and cardinals pes to St. Peter's, a staircase behind the Sistine Chapel leading from the palace to the church, while of the Sala Regia (so named because the pope there meived ambassadors from foreign princes) opens spilery containing the Leonine Chapel, with windows opening on the right into St. Peter's and on the left into the piassa of the same church. Here the newly chosen pontiff gives his first papal blessing not only to the faithful, but to all the world; and in this same chapel (hence called also Capella del Beatificatione) are announced beatifications and canonisations.

To the right of the group of buildings thus far maidered, and enclosing the Cortile Belvedere as is as the magnificent Sala Sistina (the great hall # the famous Vatican library), are the gallery of muriptions on the one hand, and the Sala delle None Aldobrandini (with a fine collection of Roman frescoes), the Hall of Papyri (mostly from Revenue, from the sixth to the eighth centuries), the terra-cotta room, the Christian Museum (with valmble relics from the catacombs), the Hall of Aristides, the Hall of the Obelisk, and the Hall of the Bonsventura. The Sala Sistina, cutting off the Cartile del Belvedere to the right, and with the Hall

of Manuscripts at one end, opposite 3. Libraries the entrance, is the great repository of the famous collection of manuscripts; Enseums.

and on the floor beneath, in the Leonine Library, is the papal collection of printed books. This world-renowned library owes inception mainly to Nicholas V. (1447-55), while Sixtus V. (1585-90) gave it a permanent endow-ment, after Sixtus VI. (1471-84) had already still father increased its store of treasures. In the we of its history the library, the manuscripts sione of which number over 35,000, has absorbed many other collections, among them the Palatine Mary (presented by Maximilian of Bavaria in (added in 1746, and including the collection which had been bequesthed to the Ottoboni collection in 1690 by the sovert Queen Christina of Sweden, who thus restored to the Roman Church the treasures taken her father, Gustavus Adolphus), the library of Ordinal Mai (given by Pius IX. in 1856), and the Amberini library (purchased by Leo XIII. in 1902). by ond these accessory libraries, which have rooms their own, is the Museum of Pagan Antiquities Luco Profano), established by Pius VI. (1775–99) ocunterbalance the Christian Museum, already entioned, which was established by Benedict JV. (1740-58). The entire opposite wing, sepa**ted from the rooms just enumerated by the Giar**be della Pigna (the pigna, or bronze cone from e atrium of Old St. Peter's, occupying a position its extreme right), is devoted to the Museo Chiamonti, which consists of a magnificent collection sculpture gathered by Pius VII. (1800-23), who no gave the Vatican not only the Gallery of Iniptions (Galleria Lapidaria) and the Braccio 10vo, forming the left boundary of the Giardino della Pigna and containing another rich collection of sculpture, but also the Egyptian Museum, at the extreme right of the same Giardino, above which is the valuable collection of the Etruscan Museum. In this same portion of the Vatican are located, among others, the Gallery of Statues, the Cabinet of Masks, the Hall of Busts, and the Galleries of Tapestries, Candelabras, and Maps.

In addition mention should be made of the archives (now in a room facing the Vatican gardens), of the Vatican press (occupying a position between

4. Minor Portions and Gardens.

the Sala Sistina and the Braccio Nuovo), of the workshops of mosaics and tapestries, and of the treasury of the Sistine Chapel, situated just behind that chapel, and filled with interesting

personal mementos of pontiffs, the collection still valuable, even though Napoleon, one of the many who have tried to carry off the richest treasures of the popes, robbed it of all its gems and gold. One part properly within the Vatican precincts no longer belongs to the pope-the mint, which was seized by the Italian kingdom. And allusion should also be made to the gardens of the Vatican, only a few acres in area, and somewhat frigidly classic, although the effect is relieved by the fine Casino of Pius IV. (also called the Casino Borromeo), built by Pirro Ligorio in 1560.

Within the precincts of the Vatican is the famous Church of St. Peter (officially known as the Basilica di San Pietro in Vaticano), the largest church in the world. The ground plan is that of a Latin cross, 6131 feet long and 4461 feet wide, with a nave 1521 feet high and 871 feet wide, while the height to the top of the cross on the dome is 448

5. Church of feet. The style of architecture is St. Peter. pseudo-Roman, and the effect of the interior is unfortunately marred by strong contrasts of light and shadow, and the true proportions are somewhat dwarfed; but notwithstanding these defects the church remains one of the noblest in Christendom. The approach is through the Piazza di San Pietro, enclosed by neoclassic colonnades, and with a famous Egyptian obelisk in the center: still the best view of the church is not obtained here, but from the Vatican gardens. In its present form the Church of St. Peter owes its origin chiefly to Nicholas V., but the first pope really to start the new structure was Julius II., his architect being Bramante, who was succeeded by Raffael; he was followed, in 1546, by Michelangelo, who was in charge until his death in 1564. The cupola was completed about 1590, and the church was dedicated in 1626, after Carlo Maderna had made the final changes involved in transforming the ground plan from a Greek to a Latin cross soon after 1605, his work being marred by the unfortunate front on the Piazza di San Pietro. interior of the church contains, besides the high altar, with a fine bronze baldachino ninety-five feet high, a large number of altars, shrines, tombs, statues, fonts, etc.

Beneath the present church are the remains of old St. Peter's—the old and new crypts (Grotte Vecchie and Grotte Nuove). The former contains, as already noted, portions of the basilica erected by

Constantine the Great, with its five aisles, and having a large forecourt and baptistery, the former containing the famous Cantharus, or fountain of lustration, the pine-cone core and peacocks of which are now in the Giardino 6. The Crypt della Pigna, as noted above, and the of St. basilica, containing the chair of St. Peter's. Peter, added by Damasus I. in 366. Adrian I. (772–795) richly embel-lished the basilica, as did Leo IV. (847–855); but the humanistic Nicholas V. and Julius II, deemed the old basilica's usefulness outworn, and through the influence of the Florentine architect Alberti and the active plans of Bramante the present church was begun. Among the most sacred relics of St. Peter's are the Volto Santo (the napkin with which Christ wiped his face on the way to Calvary); the lance of St. Longinus, with which his side was pierced; a piece of the True Cross; the head of St. Andrew; and the great bronze doors of the main entrance, which come from the old basilica. Noteworthy, too, are the Colonna Santa (said to be the very column of the temple of Jerusalem against which Christ leaned while disputing with the doctors; in the Capella della Pietá), the chair of St. Peter and the tomb of Innocent VIII., and the tombs of Sixtus IV., Paul II., and Matilda of Tuscany (in the Chapel of the Holy Sacrament, where the body of a dead pope lies in state until burial), and the tomb of Gregory the Great (in the Capella Clementina). It is, however, in the Grotte Vecchie that the most tombs are to be found: the Holy Roman Emperor Otto II., Christina of Sweden (the convert daughter of Gustavus Adolphus, who gave her throne for her faith), the English pretenders James III., Charles III., and Henry IX. (Cardinal York), and the popes Gregory V. (996-999), Adrian IV. (1154-59; the one English pontiff), Boniface VIII. (1294-1303), and the great builders of the present Vatican-Nicholas V. (1447-55) and Paul II. (1464-71). In the Grotte Nuove, which are far later than the Grotte Vecchie, and which, indeed, were constructed to support the dome of the present church, are to be found not only the chapels of S. Maria Prægnantium and Santa Maria della Boc-

in the chapel of the tomb.

Outside the Vatican gates a few places are still reckoned in the papal domains, such as the Palace of the Holy Office, the Armory, Castello Gandolfo, a few palaces and churches in Rome, and a villa in the Alban Hills. But to all intents and purposes, the pope is a prisoner in the Vatican, for though he would unquestionably be personally safe, should he go without its walls, the construction which would be placed upon such an act, in view of the secular

ciata, as well as the Shrine of the Holy Lance, the tomb of Junius Bassus, and the frescoes of the old basilica and those of Mina da Fiesole for the mausoleum of Paul II., but the crowning gem of all—the very tomb of St. Peter. This lies almost below the high altar, and, though now concealed from view, was seen by Clement VIII. in 1602 or 1603. He was,

however, unable to have it permanently revealed, owing to the Roman belief that he who touched this sacred tomb would be struck dead; but, with singular appropriateness, Clement himself now lies buried

power which in 1870 seized his domains, is thought incompatible with the position of the Roman Church. Though the king of Italyist 7. Vatican facto ruler of Italy, the pope regards himself (and is regarded by the faithful) and as the de jure potentate. There are, Quirinal. therefore, in Rome two courts, the Quirinal, or royal, and the Vatican, or papal. These countries which maintain an official connection between the State and the Roman Church accordingly accredit ambassadors to the Vatican as well as to the Quirinal; and delicate questions have arisen in connection with the visits of foreign potentates to Rome in view of the presence of two ruling powers in the same capital; while still more distressing contratemps have come about through the ignorance of those with a quasi-official position regarding the true status of the pope as the head of Christendon

and as a temporal sovereign.

The Vatican naturally possesses its own police and military. The police force consists of about 120 carabinieri (the force being collectively known as the Gendarmeria Pontificia); and the military of the Palatine, Swiss, and Noble Guards. The Palatines are practically a militia, recruited from the

8. The bourgeoisie and tradesmen, founded by Pius IX., and numbering some 400.
Vatican Better known are the Swiss and Noble Guards. The Swiss Guards are about 120 in number and form the real mili-

tary force of the Vatican, mounting guard at the great bronze doors of St. Peter's, in the Cortile della Sentinella, and in the antechamber of the pope's private apartments. They were first organized some four centuries ago. The Noble Guards, formed by Pius VII., are recruited from the Roman nobility that remained faithful to the pope after the erection of the temporal kingdom of Italy, and now consist of fifteen officers and forty-eight privates. Originally they were the pope's bodyguard, attending him in his carriage, accompanying him on his journeys, and being present at functions of state.

For the governmental side of the Vatican see Curia, and for the relations between the Vatican and the Quirinal, see ITALY, I., § 2.

and the Quirinal, see ITALY, 1., § 2.

Bibliography: D. Sladen, The Secrets of the Vatican, new ed., Philadelphia, 1911; L. De Sanctis, Rome Christian and Papal, New York, 1856; P. M. Letarouilly, Le Vatican et la basilique de Saint-Pierre de Rome, 2 vols., Paris, 1882; E. Muentz and A. L. Frothingham, Il Tesoro della Basilica di S. Pietro in Vaticano, Rome, 1883; F. Wey, Rome, its Monuments, Arts, and Antiquities, London, 1887; H. Lemaire, Basilique de Saint-Pierre, Paris, 1888; P. Batiffol, La Vaticane, de Paul III. à Paul V., Paris, 1890; R. Lanciani, Pagan and Christian Rome, Boston, 1892; F. Gregorovius, Hist. of the City of Rome, London, 1894 sqq.; G. Goyau, A. Pératé, and P. Fabre, Le Vatican, Paris, 1895, new ed., 2 vols., ib. 1901; A. S. Barnes, St. Peter in Rome; and his Tomb on the Vatican Hill, London, 1900; D. A. Mortier, Hist. de la basilique vaticane, Tours, 1900; C. E. Clement, The Eternal City, 2 vols., London, 1901; E. M. Philipps, The Frescoes in the Sixtine Chapel, London, 1901; E. Steinmann, Die sixtinische Kapelle, 2 vols., Munich, 1901–05; P. J. Chandlery, Pilgrim Walks in Rome, New York, 1903; Mary K. Potter, The Art of the Vatican, London, 1903; Der Papst, die Regierung und die Vervaltung der heiligen Kirche in Rom, Munich, 1904; J. P. Kirsch and V. Luksch, Illustrierte Geschichte der katholischen Kirche, pp. 482 sqq., Munich, 1905; A. J. C. Orbaan, Sixtine Rome, London and New York, 1911; KL, xii. 600–807.

VATICAN COUNCIL.

sies Aroused (§ 6). Church and State; Infallibility (§ 7).

Third Session, Sunday Apr. 24, 1870.

3. Fourth Session, Monday July 18,

Debate on Infallibility (§ 2). Close of the Contest (§ 3).

1870. The Program (§ 1).

L Antecedent History. Preliminary Canvass and Committess (§ 1).
Reception of Proposal; Topics Suggested (§ 2). pinions in Religious Quarters (§ 3). Attitude of the European States

(§ 4). Il. Proceedings of the Council. 1. From the Opening, until Mar. 6, 1870.

sies of Procedure (§ 1). First and Second Sessions (§ 2).

I. Antecedent History: The first adducible proof that Pius IX. intended to call an ecumenical coundispeared Dec. 6, 1864, at a session of the cardinals of the Congregation of Rites. He then L Prelimi- directed them, and soon extended this my Can- order to include all the cardinals resiwas and dent in Rome, to present their views

Committees. on that project, in the form of written opinions; and early in Mar., 1865, a committee of cardinals was appointed to examine the opinions. The majority of the cardinals agreed that a council was necessary, though there was not mire concord as to the matters to be treated. After hat, the convening of a council was no longer an pen question. So during April and May, and by vivice of the college of cardinals, the prefect of the Propaganda, Cardinal Caterini, addressed to thirtyix bishops of various nations a formal request sub rchissima secreti lege, to set forth in explicit terms he matters which seemed to them most worthy of maideration before the council, with regard to their ficesan interests. Pius IX. had himself outlined he list of these confidential advisers; he also made he first public announcement of the prospective wencil, on June 26, 1867, in his address to such princes of the church as had assembled in Rome or the jubilee festival. The preparation of the nuncil devolved upon an extraordinary congregaion of the college of cardinals, briefly known as the "Central Committee." Its members included Carfinals Patrizi, Reisach, Panebianco, Bizarro, Cabrini, and, later, Barnabo, Bilio, Capalli, de Luca. Their preliminary labors in 1865 were occupied with misting distinguished theologians and canonists as apert advisers of the council. These invitations we guided by the propositions advanced by the uncies and by the various bishops. Only the ultramontane trend received such marked prefermee herein, at the outset, that when the resultant elections became known, they were sharply conbated. Besides the central committee there were bossory committees appointed: (1) on dogmatics, n church discipline, (3) on religious orders, (4) on oriental churches and missions, (5) on eccleissical polity, and (6) on ceremonies. The labors these committees were subject to the central mmittee's revision. There were ninety-six adters actively engaged. The question as to who ould be invited to the council at large occasioned olonged inquiries and incidental scruples. Obtion was raised against inviting the Roman Cathe princes. The bull Atterni patris, subscribed by B IX. and the cardinals present in Rome, was

Prolonged and Resultless Debates Final Efforts of Minority (§ 4). Vote on Infallibility (§ 5). New Rules of Procedure (§ 4). 4. Prorogation of the Council. Alinement on Infallibility (§ 5). Minority's Difficulties; Controver-

III. Decrees of the Council. 1. Drafts and Motions.

2. Substance and Import of the Council's Resolutions. The Decrees (§ 1). The Pope as Bishop (§ 2).

Logic of Infallibility (§ 3). The Pope and Councils (§ 4). 3. Adoption of the Resolutions.

IV. Concluding Remarks.

published on June 29, 1868; and convened the council to meet at Rome on Dec. 8, 1869. As the council was to be ecumenical, the bishops of the churches of oriental rites were also invited; and in a subsequent bull, all Protestants and others outside the Roman Catholic pale were summoned, on occasion of the council, to rejoin the Roman Catholic Church. Howbeit, the orientals declined the summons, without exception, and on the Protestant side the invitation was disregarded. The papal invitation found some accordant response within the Anglican church; yet here, too, there was counterbalancing opposition. Thus the Curia's hope of inducing the schismatic orient and the world of Protestant heresy to some recognition of the Curia's contemplated measures came to naught.

The reception accorded to the impending council in Roman Catholic circles was not everywhere alike and underwent great fluctuations. Little could be

deduced from the terms of convocation 2. Receprespecting the problems to be solved, tion of because the sweeping phraseology embraced the entire sphere of Christendom's interests. Yet this very lati-Proposal; Topics Suggested, tude allowed the Curia complete

freedom of action. Moreover, because no ecumenical council had assembled in the past three centuries, the present design took on the mists and halo of the extraordinary. Features of this kind at once insured popular favor for the plan of a council, and evoked approval on every side. Nevertheless, an increasingly powerful reaction set in among liberal Roman Catholics, when once the illusions began to dissolve which at first had enshrouded the motives for convening the council. What especially illumined the horizon in advance, was a now famous article in the Civiltà Cattolica, a review conducted by Jesuits. This article appeared in the form of correspondence by way of France, under date of Feb. 6, 1869, and purported to reflect the views of many Roman Catholics in France that the council would be brief, seeing that its majority stood unanimous. There were named as topics of procedure: confirmation of the Syllabus (q.v.), promulgation of the infallibility of the pope. and dogmatization of the doctrine as to the bodily assumption of Mary. The impression produced by this article was enhanced by the fact that Archbishop Dechamps of Mechlin was warmly praised in a papal brief, dated June 26, 1869, for his pamphlet on L'Infaillibilité et le concile général (Malines, 1869), wherein he requested that doctrine's formal definition. Thenceforth the conviction gained wider currency

that in quarters of chief control there was a determined purpose to have proclaimed the doctrine of papal infallibility. No success attended the attempts at smoothing the agitation over; but rather an opposition took shape whose extent and vigor had been hardly anticipated. A mighty intellectual and social agitation was then pervading Roman Catholic Europe; and for many months the religious question occupied the central position of public interests.

In Germany, the commotion started when Döllinger's articles on the council and the Civiltà appeared (in Augsburger allgemeine Zeitung, Mar. 10-15, 1869), which kindled men's minds in 3. Oninions every quarter. Associations were then

3. Opinions every quarter. Associations were then in Religious formed for repelling Ultramontanist Quarters. efforts. Rhenish laymen assembled at

Coblenz, and forwarded an address to the bishop of Treves, wherein exception was taken to the views espoused in the article of the Civiltà, and the demand was put forth that the impending council leave no doubt on the point that the church had parted company with the wish of reinstating the theocratic civil forms of the Middle Ages; that the training of the clergy should not run counter to the whole trend of the times; that a more comprehensive, vital, and systematic plan of associating the laity in Christian and social affairs be inaugurated in the parish congregations; and, lastly, that the Index librorum prohibitorum should be repealed. On the other hand, the general convention of Roman Catholic associations in Germany adopted a resolution at Düsseldorf, Sept. 8, in which the utmost confidence was expressed toward the council. When the German episcopate met in council at Fulda, on Sept. 1, 1869, this body issued a common pastoral letter, which was intended to exercise a quieting effect: the council, so it ran, could announce no new doctrine not contained in Holy Scripture and apostolic tradition; whereas the suspicion that freedom of deliberation might be prejudiced at the session was as unfounded as the supposition that the pope was the instrument of a faction or party was insulting. But besides this letter, which was intended for full publicity, quite another message, couched in a different tone, was addressed to the pope by resolution of the same body. For the news that the impending council was to be approached from various quarters in behalf of a proposition covering the pope's infallibility was shown to have evoked great excitement, and this, too, among men of proved loyalty to the Church. It was urged, moreover, that the like apprehensions were shared by the writers themselves. Which document, subscribed by fourteen bishops (only a few held aloof), met with a very unfavorable reception at the hands of Pius IX. In France there broke forth a vehement conflict. The work of Bishop Maret, dean of the Roman Catholic faculty of Paris, against infallibility (Du concile général et de la paix religieuse, 2 vols., Paris, 1869), and the writings of Bishop Dupanloup of Orléans, leavened by the same spirit, attracted manifold replies, including those from Archbishop Manning of Westminster and Archbishop Dechamps of Mechlin. Count Montalembert arrayed himself with the opposers. In AustriaHungary, as contrasted with Germany, and make without significance touching the mental status of the clergy in those countries, there prevailed a general unconcern. In Italy, Count Ricciardi enterthin himself toward opposing the Vatican Council with an "ecumenical council of freethinkers," which actually convened at Naples, in Dec., 1869, but was a feeble affair. Hence, even before the council assembled, the most momentous of the topics afterward presented for its definitive resolutions was already the theme of radical controversy; while the prospects for a smooth acceptance of the projected dogma kept shrinking month by month.

The impending council did not fail to occupy the attention of civil governments in Europe. On Apr. 9, 1869, the Bavarian cabinet president, Prince Chlodwig of Hohenlohe-Schillingsfürst, addressed to Bavaria's diplomatic representatives a circular dispatch, drafted by Döllinger, requesting them to

inform themselves with reference to
4. Attitude the intentions of the governments
of the whereto they were accredited, as touching the council. And they were to put
States. the question, whether it were not er-

pedient to adopt, in advance, a common or identical course of procedure whereby the holy see could be advised of their contemplated bearing in relation to the ecumenical council? However, Hohenlohe's suggestion found but little response; and the answers, at best, were either negative or evasive. The ill-success of his proposal was attributed by Hohenlohe himself, in the main, w the Austrian government and its repellent attitude, since Austria both rejected his proposals and denied the imminence of any danger, save that emotional tension was to be feared in increasing degree, should there be an appearance of restricting the freedom of the Roman Catholic Church. Prussia deemed preventive measures not in season, and simply declared herself to be ready to safeguard the rights of the State, in the event of contingent resolutions that should encroach upon the civil prerogative or jurisdiction. The position of France in relation to the Curia, since France was the power that was able to determine the further continuance of the States of the Church, was different from that of other governments. But while the attitude of France was an uncertain factor under the influence of the French negotiations with Austria and Italy, still France decided in favor of prolonging the occupation; and even declared, in a note of instruction to the French envoy Banneville at Rome, dated Sept. 19, 1869, for the prospective definition of the doctrine involved when the pope spoke ex cathedra, with express recommendation, however, that the utmost wisdom be applied in drafting the terms thereof. Opposition to the Curia's policy was not to have been expected from England, Spain, and Portugal. So the various governments forbore to exert any pressure upon Rome in the direction advised by Hohenlohe; and except Russia, which forbade Russian prelates the journey to Rome, they laid no obstacles in the way of attending the council.

II. Proceedings of the Council.—1. From the Opening until Mar. 6, 1870: The Curia had observed silence in regard to the council's tasks. That

lgation of infallibility had been long in n in that quarter and that the attainment I was the chief object of the entire couneen demonstrated by Friedrich (see bib-This is a point especially to be noted, derath, in his opposing work, affirms the rith great certitude, and since a correct ling of the course of the convention dethe detail that the Vatican Council be as the product of ultramontanist growth teenth century. From the beginning of l, the question of infallibility stood cenint of general interest, and acted in a way, as touching party tactics. That ty was resolved to vote in the affirmative sonable doubt; although there was some y as to whether the opposition would essive, and to what extent, if considerfact, it was stronger than had been and prevented the council sessions ing that expeditious course which had confidently predicted by the Civiltà's

ates who had already reached Rome were in a preliminary synodical assembly in Chapel, Dec. 2, 1869. Pius IX. then deaddress, the names of the officers were announced, and these officers were sworn in. In the next place, copies of the order of business were distributed, with the heading Multiplices inter. 27, 1869. As presidents were named le Reisach, de Lucca, Bizarri, Bilio, and y this order of business, which he issued tion by the council in the premises, Pius l for himself a determining influence over tion. The most important rulings were as 1 § 2 the pope claimed it as his exclusive fine the objects of the council's proceedsynodical delegates are permitted, of make motions, yet with extreme limitathe pope was to decide whether they aid before the council; § 3 obligates the f the council to silence in regard to the s; §§ 7 and 8 touched upon the synodical assemblings, the congregations general, blic sessions. In the congregations gendirectors were named by the pope, the ecrees laid before the council were to be id voted upon, but only in a provisory he public sessions, deliberations were no rder, but only the final votes. The result as certified by the pope, in personal atand was to be proclaimed as his decision, council approving." The votes were to placet or non placet. In the event of no reement, the contested proviso, together roffered objections thereto, were to be standing committees, and these were to by the council on written ballot. § 9 attending ecclesiastics to quit the counits termination, except by permission. chamber, and this alike for the congreeral and the public sessions, they made ight transept of St. Peter's Church, this off by a lofty wooden partition. From the very first day, however, this area proved unfit on account of its defective acoustics. The first public session took place Wednesday

Dec. 8, 1869, with the opening on a festival. Undue

2. First and session for Thursday Jan. 6, 1870.

Second Second To what extent the question of in-Sessions. fallibility dominated the council quite from the start appeared from the election of the various committees. The chief promoters of the quorum actively in favor of the definition at issue met in private conferences, and then agreed on the plan that no one be elected of whom it were known that he opposed the definition of papal infallibility. In the next place, lists of the proposed candidates were prepared and lithographed. And all these propositions found acceptance with the council. The ratified order of business provoked some contradiction directly after the work of the council began; but all motions presented before the pope in favor of changing that fixed routine were set aside. The council's debates began only with the fourth congregation general, Dec. 28, and turned on the "schedule of faith." The discussion assumed an unexpectedly prolonged course, for the topic was criticized in many quarters. The premature appointment of the second public session for Jan. 6 occasioned the leaders of the ouncil no small embarrassment. In fact, such a thing as passing upon the "schedule" in the way of a conciliar decree was then and there impossible. So, too, the hope had to be abandoned of seeing the question of infallibility accepted by the council at this session, as though by acclamation and independent of discussion, since Archbishop Darboy of Paris notified Cardinal de Luca, Dec. 27, that in the event of such abruptness, 100 bishops would straightway leave Rome. Accordingly, the second public session, Jan. 6, 1870, had to be occupied by taking the synodical delegates' formal deposition in support of the Council of Trent. The insignificance of this second session is to be explained by the fact that it nowise marks a critical juncture in the council's history. The proceedings extended till Jan. 10. The project under consideration appears to have found unqualified approval with not one of the thirty-five speakers; but rather there prevailed great dissension respecting the degree of requisite amendment in the case. The result of the proceedings in six congregations general was, on Jan. 10, to refer the issue, along with its proffered objections, to the deputation on faith.

In the following weeks (till Feb. 22) the council deliberated in nineteen congregations general (numbered 11-29) concerning schedules of discipline and questions of church life. And though these pro-

3. Prolonged and Resultless Debates.

ing the discussion of the "schedule concerning bish-

ops, synods, and vicars general," the objection was raised that the proposition touched only upon the duties of bishops, but not on the necessary reform of the college of cardinals and the Curia. mand was also made, that the papal office be made accessible to others than Italians. In like manner, it was proposed to internationalize the Roman congregations and to decentralize the ecclesiastical administration. There was, furthermore, criticism of the manner of treating impediments to marriage, dispensations, and taxes. When the matter of provincial synods came up, some remarkable conditions were debated before the Curia. There was even a demand expressed in the direction of national synods, and of regularly recurrent ecumenical councils. After these "schedules" had been discussed by thirty-seven speakers, they were referred, at the sixteenth congregation general, Jan. 25, to the deputation on discipline for revision. From Jan. 25 to Feb. 8, thirty-eight speakers discussed the "schedule concerning the life and character of the clergy, including such details as the spiritual exercises, the common life of the priests, celibacy, defects in the Breviary, and the propriety of clerical beards. The proposition was referred to the deputation on discipline. From Feb. 10 to 22 (general congregations 24-29), the council was occupied with the schedule "concerning a small catechism," the pope having expressed his intention of having a small catechism prepared, in order to abate the diversity of instruction regarding the elements of the faith. This catechism was then to be translated into the various national tongues, while the bishops retained the liberty of dispensing catechetical instruction independently thereof. However, while the idea of unifying such instruction had strong indorsement, it also encountered vehement opposition, quite variously prompted. This schedule was also referred to the deputation on discipline.

A noteworthy landmark in the history of the council is supplied by the publication, during the twenty-ninth congregation general, on Feb. 22, of

the papal decree dated Feb. 20; which must be designated a new order Rules of Procedure. of business. The most important of its rulings, which comprised fourteen heads, were the following. Strictures on a "schedule" shall henceforth no longer be made orally, but in writing; and this, too, within a period of time to he determined by the presidents when the given mohedule is proposed (§ 1). Such strictures are to Im accompanied with suggested amendments (§ 3), and shall be tendered before the secretary of the conneil, who refers them to the competent deputathom (§ 4). Coupled with a summary report on the provincely tendered strictures, the schedule, as amounted by the committee or deputation in charge, good to the council for oral discussion (§ 5). Speakura digramming from the question in debate shall be nulled to order by the presidents (§ 10). In case the subject of debate be exhausted, then the presidents, on willian motion of ten synodical delegates, may just the question before the congregation general, He to whother the discussion shall still be protracted; und the majority decides (\$ 11). Majority vote also dualities the matter of adopting a proposition (§ 13).

The voting is done orally, by placet or non place, though a conditional placet is also admissible, the given condition being in writing (§ 14). What prompted this change in the order of business was the tedious routine of the council's proceedings, which in the course of three months had brought not a single schedule to formal conclusion. That this new order of business was adapted to expedite the transaction of business proper is evident; yet the advance was only contingent in that the council might have to pay for the abridgment of its proceedings by disadvantages of another kind. Protests were lodged against the altered order of business under the leadership of Archbishop Darboy d Paris, by fifty bishops on Mar. 1, by twenty-two other bishops, led by Cardinal Schwarzenberg, on Mar. 4, and by fourteen bishops, predominantly German, on Mar. 2. However, these protests accomplished nothing, not even a written acknowledgment. Yet the object of altering the order of business was not simply the better dispatch of the council's labors; it especially hinged on the point of carrying the definition of infallibility through the channels of parliamentary resolution, after it was seen that the measure could not be adopted by acclamation.

A fortnight after the council opened, there were conferences in progress on the part of a small coters of those favoring the definition, touching their man-

ner of procedure. Petitions for mo-5. Alinetion of the definition were subscribed ment on Infallibility by about 480 bishops. Not until the news of these arrangements transpired did the opponents of definition actively unite. Their deliberations began Jan. 8, and in five counter-addresses, which were subscribed by 136 bishops, the pope was besought to make no proposition to the council on the subject of infallibility. But the committee on motions resolved to commend to the pope the acceptance of definition. Through these memorials for and against the question of definition, the presence of two parties at the council had become altogether patent. What occasioned great surprise was the relative status of the two alinements, broadly surveyed. The process of "ultramontanizing" the Roman Catholic Church had advanced quite too far, and the Ultramontane trend of the council was much too pronounced for any doubt as to the issue of a dogmatic decision on the subject of infallibility. The sensation was the strength of the minority, the impressive gravity of whose opposition stood all the more enhanced by the dignity of not a few personalities on the minority side, as by the partizan grouping along lines of nationality. Among the German bishops there were thirteen opposers of the definition, whereas only four of the German bishops advocated the definition; among the Austro-Hungarian bishops the majority were on the opposing side; in the case of the French bishops, one-third of them sided with the opposition. Several of the bishops from the United States opposed it. Among those members who disclosed special zeal in favoring infallibility, Archbishop Manning of Westminster, and Bishop Senestrey of Regensburg stood forth with prominence. Their strength was in the firm assertion of the newity of defining the given doctrine; while the rength of the minority was their theological erudim and intelligence. That was no accident which rayed the Spanish bishops, without exception, on a side of the majority, and three-fourths of the erman episcopate on the minority side; this relave attitude was conditioned by the level of a theological training of the clergy in both rentries.

It was a serious obstacle to the minority, that the ope took aggressive and open stand against that

minority's formulated position. Howty's Diffity's Diffipended upon the question whether or
attes; Controversies

Aroused.

minority's formulated position. Howtell the decision of the contest depended upon the question whether or
not the minority possessed the inherent strength and sufficient confidence
in its course to assert and course its will

in its cause to assert and carry its will. it was precisely this internal compactness which he minority lacked. All that held their imposing may together was the sheer denial of the question if defining the infallibility of the pope on grounds f expediency, not the disavowal of the doctrine tself, though many of the minority had espoused his extraneous position. Accordingly, the minorty's platform was one of negation simply. But the where of its action was thereby seriously restricted, md it lacked the momentum that produces positive walts. It could collectively utilize merely a secional extract of all that cogent material which scienis scholarship was elaborating in support of the effict against the doctrine itself. The opposition ment needs collapse forthwith when situations sourced wherein considerations of expediency and questions of tact and fitness lost their value, or wen contradicted its very existence. Lastly, the minority was handicapped by the lack of a commading leader.

The drafting and circulation of the memorials with reference to the matter of infallibility was accompanied by extensive discussions in a periodical my, proceeding from members of both parties at the council. Much attention was aroused in France by the controversy on the Honorius question (see HONORIUS I.) between Auguste Joseph Alphonse Catry, French acamedician and sometime oratorian, and Archbishop Dechamps, and by the pamplet Ce qui se passe au concile, against which the ouncil deemed it necessary to protest, the more because the article showed expert knowledge of the ituation. Still stronger was the agitation in Germany, where the scientific training of the clergy was to advanced for a surrender to the new dogma without resistance. On Jan. 19 Döllinger pubhed his signed article on infallibility in the Augsbuyer allgemeine Zeitung, and this evoked wide comment.

On Jan. 21 there had been distributed among the synodical members the schedule entitled Schema constitutionis dogmaticae de ecclesia Christi. This stated, that the Church is the mystical body of Christ (chap. 1); that in this allone can the Christian religion be duly practised (chap. 2); that the Church the one perfect society (chap. 3); that corporate dies detached from the Church can not be designed as part or parcel of the Church (chap. 5);

that only through the Church, and consequently in the Church, can salvation be obtained (chaps. 6, 7); that the Church is imperishable and indefectible (chaps. 9, 10); that the Church possesses a peculiar power and authority (potestas, chap. 10); that in this body Christ has instituted the primacy of the bishop of Rome (chap. 11), which involves the possession of temporal sovereignty (chap. 12); in case of disharmony between Church and State, the State is to blame (chap. 13). The civil rulers, too, are bound to the law of God, and the decision as to how this is to be administered appertains to the supreme teaching function of the Church (chap. 14). The closing chapter claims for the Church the province of instructing the young, freedom in the sphere of training the clergy, and exemption of the clergy from military service, unrestricted franchise for the religious orders, etc. Under the head of canons may be read (No. XX.): "If any one says that the supreme rule of conscience in respect to public and social affairs is vested in the law of the body politic, or in the public opinion of men, or that the judgments of the Church do not reach over the said affairs (by which judgments the Church pronounces concerning what is lawful, or illicit and unlawful), or that something is lawful to be done by force of the civil justice which is unlawful by the divine justice or law of the Church, let him be anathema." When, in spite of the injunction to secrecy, this proviso came to be known by the press of all Europe, the civil governments were admonished to be vigilant, and were urged to defend the civil organism, now menaced by the doctrines of a vanished era. On Feb. 10, the Austrian Count Beust notified the Austrian ambassador to advise the cardinal secretary that the publication of any such ruling, prejudicial to due respect for the law of the land, was forbidden in Austria and would be visited with legal penalties. In a dispatch of Feb. 20, communicated to the other powers, Count Daru, French minister of foreign affairs, repelled the schedule's express encroachments upon the civil jurisdiction, and demanded that before the council proceeded to draft resolutions upon questions relating to civil statecraft, the holy see should give the French government opportunity to convey to the council the French conception herein. Antonelli, however, answered coldly, and nothing was ultimately achieved by these protests, since more active measures were not initiated. The change in the French ministry on Apr. 18, by which Ollivier became minister of foreign affairs, obviated all danger of direct coercion upon the council from a French quarter. And the same political considerations which decided Napoleon III. in favor of great reserve, were of controlling weight with Bismarck, while England also maintained her policy of reserve and self-restraint. In the council's proceedings, the grand stroke fell on Mar. 6, when a supplementary article to chap. 11 of the schedule De ecclesia was addressed to the members of the council. This appendix bore the heading, Romanum pontificem in rebus fidei et morum definiendis errare non posse, "The Roman pontiff can not err in defining matters of faith and morals." The time of the Curia's evasive policy was past, and the council faced a clear situation.

2. Third Session, Sunday Apr. 24, 1870: Before the congregations general had resumed their sessions, attempts were made by the majority to accelerate the opening of the proceedings. The minority demanded that this difficult matter be not presented under the order of the day until it was carefully examined by the members of the council. The pope himself was approached, first in an audience, next in a memorial dated Apr. 22, with the outcome that the desired proceedings were not further postponed.

The congregations general from Mar. 18 to Apr. 19 were occupied with deliberations over the revised schedule De doctrina catholica. Within the main committee on this business, a subcommittee of three members had been appointed, who, in turn, delegated the substance of their labor to Bishop Martin of Paderborn, and he utilized the aid and support of Professor Kleutgen. The entire deputation's transactions eventually reached the result that only the first part of the schedule, that under the head De fide catholica, was referred to the congregation general; whereas the second part of the schedule did not come up for action at all. In the general debate beginning on Mar. 18, and inaugurated by the report of Archbishop Simon of Gran, the projected revision met both approval and censure. Among the speeches delivered in course of the special debate, the one by Bishop Strossmayer, on Mar. 22, created a tempest. The designation of Protestantism as a "pest," in the discussion then forward, is believed to have provoked a very vigorous retort by way of Berlin. That strong influences were brought to bear, indeed, against such definition and sentence of Protestantism is evident from the circumstance that the offending passage was altered by the deputation on faith, so as to modify the sense advocated by Strossmayer. So the revised text no longer derived naturalism from Protestantism, etc.; while the term pestis was replaced by impietas. After these alterations, the preliminary part of the schedule gained formal adoption. At the fortyfifth congregation general, on Apr. 12, the entire schedule came up for action, and was adopted by a vote of 575, while eighty-three voted placet juxta modum; not until Apr. 23 did the minority decide, and this chiefly owing to the efforts of Cardinals Rauscher and Schwarzenberg, in favor of voting placet. At the public third session, which occurred on Apr. 24, with an attendance of 667 ecclesiastics. the Constitutio de fide catholica was unanimously adopted; the ratification of the same was at once confirmed" by the pope.

3. Fourth Session, Monday July 18, 1870: Worthy of note here are the attempts of some bishops of the minority to enlighten, along literary lines, their fellow synodical delegates in regard to the momentous difficulties opposing their definition. But owing to censorship of the press, these writers were obliged to produce their articles away from Rome. Cardinal Rauscher thus wrote Observationes quædam de infallibilitatis ecclesiæ subjecto; from Bishop Hefele there appeared Causa Honorii papæ; Cardinal Schwarzenberg prompted the tract composed by his counselor (Prof. S. Mayer, of Prague): De summi pontificis infallibilitate; while Bishop

Ketteler distributed his Quæstio, which on arriving in Rome was seized by the post-office, and liberated only after vigorous effort. The impression produced by these writings was not inconsiderable in its way; although it had no decisive effect upon the council.

So far back as at the congregation general of Apr. 29, the proposal of a "schedule dealing with the bishop of Rome" was formally announced. Among

the majority this step was hailed wit 1. The program. joy, though seventy-one members lodged vigorous but vain protest on the ground that the doctrine of infallibility was treated irrespective of prior determination of the doctrine of the church on that subject. The statements which the members were to tender by Mar. 25 concerning the schedule De ecclesia had already largely been turned in; and on Apr. 27 proceeding were begun in regard to the draft of the new schedule, which proceedings were completed on May & The new schedule, together with the report of the deputation on faith, was referred to the synodical delegates on May 9. The title of this ran: Constitute dogmatica prima de ecclesia Christi; and the document comprised four chapters, besides introduction: (1) "On the institution in the blessed Peter of the apostolic primacy"; (2) "On the perpetuity of Peter's primacy in the bishops of Rome"; (3) "On the force and reason of the Roman bishop's primacy"; (4) "On the Roman bishop's infallibility." There were three collateral canons. This new schedule was based on chap. 11 of the former scheme de ecclesia Christi, and the supplementary chapter of Mar. 6.

On May 13, the general debate began at the fittieth congregation general, being inaugurated by the report of Bishop Pius of Poitiers. This debut

occupied fourteen congregations general, and occasioned sixty-four speechs. On general theoretical grounds in favor of formulating a dogma, it is held not only to be necessary that such doctrine be contained in the divine revelation, but that the weal of the Church requires its definition; accordingly the question of opportuneness or seasonable expediency persistently came forward in the debate. Bishops on the minority side denied the expediency outright, while the majority attempted to demonstrate the necessity of the dogma, and, above all, to justify the same by reference to conditions at the time. That whole countries yearned for the institution of the

necessity of the dogma, and, above all, to justify the same by reference to conditions at the time. That whole countries yearned for the institution of the definition was asserted widely, and its expediency was postulated largely on the defection from the non-Catholic churches of such men as Cardinal Manning in England, Archbishop Schæpann of Utrecht, Holland, Archbishop Maddalena of Corfu, of the schismatic Greeks. But neither side could work conviction on the opposing side; the debate might have lasted months longer without effect. So, on June 3, a motion was adopted for closing the debate, though forty enrolled speakers were thus deprived of the floor, a fact which evoked a futile protest presented by eighty-one synodical delegates. The special debate, beginning on June 6, turned on the introduction, while discussion over the first and second chapters, ut sup., was soon dispatched, and

these portions were adopted with but slight alterations. Greater difficulties came to light over the third chapter, wherein the nature and the meaning of the primacy were defined. In this case the statement that the pope enjoys" the full power of feeding, ruling, and governing the universal Church" provoked the demand for some supplementary statement as to limitations. There were also differences of opinion regarding the propositions embraced in the measure projected declaring the pope to be the supreme judge in the sense that an appeal to an ecumenical council from his ultimatum was thereby precluded; because the recognition of this charge involved a direct rider to the issue of infallibility. Finally, some scruples were aroused on the point that the pope's power of jurisdiction was desimated as episcopalis, ordinaria et immediata. Subsequently, when the deputation on faith turned in its report, on July 5, over the proffered forms of amendment, still further sharp disputes occurred over the third canon, which had been modified and revised in a manner not provided by the original motion. Chap. 3, together with the appertaining canon, was formally adopted on June 11.

It was with blunted force that the council took up on June 15 the special debate on chap. 4. The address of the Dominican, Cardinal the Contest peculiar attention. The speaker did not contest the pope's infallibility, save that he attributed this purely to the decisions of the pope, not to his person. He also asserted that the pope is bound by the antecedently tendered counsel of the bishops, who testify to the tradition of the Church. Cardinal Guidi was directly summoned to the Vatican, where Pius received him austerely, and quashed the appeal to the tradition of the Church with his now famous retort: "I am tradition." Early in July the conviction permeated the council that what could be said for and against the proposition had already been said. The tale of speakers foregoing their turn to debate increased from day to day, so that on July 4 the synodical debate could be closed; by this time fifty-seven had moken on the pending topic, chap. 4, and sixtyone had refrained from debating. The great contest now rapidly reached its end; chap. 4 was adopted on July 13, whereupon the entire schedule was brought to vote. The result caused great surprise, not because the schedule was adopted, since this was foregone, but for the reasons that of the 601 ecclesiastics in attendance, only 451 voted placet (i.e., yes), whereas 88 voted non placet, and 62 in the form placet juxta modum (i.e., yes, with a qualification). Eighty who were in Rome or in the neighborbood did not vote at all.

In view of the impending decision, the opponents of the definition made a last attempt to influence the result. In his memorial La Dernière Heure du concile, Archbishop Darboy addressed an appeal to

the members of synod; but his theses aroused such intense emotion among the majority and the leaders of the council, and were to them so irksome, that it was deemed necessary to protest against his pamphlet. On the evening of July 15 a delegation

of six bishops of the minority (Simon, Ginoulhiac, Darboy, Scherr, Ketteler, and Rivet) was received by Pius IX. What they requested fell far short of the desires hitherto expressed by the minority, for they now restricted their petition to the two points that the passage on "plenitude of power," in chap. 3, be stricken out, and that in chap. 4 the statement about papal infallibility be supplemented, so as to read that the pope shall support his position upon the witness of the Church. Ketteler prostrated himself before the pope, and besought him, "O that the father of the Catholic world might grant peace to the Church and the episcopate by some small concessions, and so restore that unity now lost." While Pius made no definite admissions, his demeanor produced new hopes. That these were fallacious appeared by the very next day. For the result was to intensify the sharp edge of the decree in its final shape by rendering the definitions absolute of themselves, and not contingent upon the consensus of the Church, which amended form was adopted by the eighty-sixth congregation general, on July 16, without parliamentary deliberation.

With the appointment of the fourth public session for July 18, when the final vote should occur, the contest over infallibility entered upon its last stage. The minority was really in a desperate

5. Vote on quandary. Firm party organization Infallibil- it neither commanded nor could proity. cure. Indeed, a compact front was now the less possible, seeing that after proclamation of the dogma the base of reckoning had assumed the shape of an immediate, imminent, and instant fact. For in the present contingency, the exercise of that ordinary right of stoutly adhering to the form of voting was opposed by considerations of pious loyalty toward the person of the pope, who had left no doubt on the point that he attached the utmost weight to the adoption of the pending dogma. In circumstances of this kind, there was no other becoming exit for the minority than that of absenting themselves from the session, and this policy was commended and facilitated by the Curia itself. For while up to this point the synodical delegates had been forbidden to quit Rome, on July 16 the members of the council were granted a general leave of absence. Whereupon, on July 17, fiftyfive bishops of the minority forwarded a note to the pope, in which they reaffirmed their vote of July 13, and stated that in deference to him they intended to stay away from the session. The danger that any considerable number of bishops would not submit to the forthcoming dogma was accordingly set aside before taking shape at all. At the public session on July 18, 535 ecclesiastics were present, and all voted placet save Bishop Riccio of Cajazzo and Bishop Fitzgerald of Little Rock. The pope then announced the definition, and proclaimed the confirmation of the decrees. At the same session, the two opposing bishops tendered their submission.

4. Prorogation of the Council: Three further congregations general assembled after the fourth session; but no important matters engaged the attention of the council, attendance on which dwindled from about 1,050 to 104. Active interest in behalf

of the ecclesiastical concourse was now sealed in the past. On July 26 the synodical delegates received copies of the Schema super apostolicis missionibus, on which no action was taken. The revised Schema de sede episcopali vacante was the subject of a brief debate on Aug. 23, and was adopted Sept. 1. Then followed the repeal of the States of the Church, and this furnished an adequate occasion for dissolving the merely vegetating convention, to say nothing of dealing a blow against the Italian government. In the bull Postquam Dei munere, dated Oct. 20, Pius IX. declared that in consequence of the "sacrilegious invasion" of the city of Rome conditions had set in which implied the lack of the necessary freedom, security, and quiet for the council's deliberations. For this reason, as also with due regard to the fact that the state of affairs produced by the great convulsions abroad in Europe required the presence of the bishops in their dioceses, he ordered the prorogation of the council. On the other hand, the Italian government took issue with the assertion that the new régime in Rome prejudiced the council's freedom.

III. Decrees of the Council.—1. Drafts and Motions: The committees charged with preparing the measures to be laid before the council elaborated a great number of preliminary drafts of decrees on doctrine and discipline. A first set of these outlined the dogmatic schedules, a second group dealt with discipline, a third with the monastic orders, a fourth with oriental rites and with missions. Not a few bishops availed themselves of their right to propose motions with reference to the subjects to be treated by the council. Yet none of these motions came up for action, although, for that matter, the same was true of most of the drafted measures emanating from the Curia. Still again, of the few propositions which underwent complete advisory action before the assembled convention, only two took the shape of decrees.

2. Substance and Import of the Council's Resolutions: The two most momentous decisions of July 18 read as follows (chap. 3, at the close): "Now

1. The Dettherefore, if any one say that the Roman pontiff has only the function and office of inspection or direction, but not the full and supreme power of jurisdiction over the Church universal; not merely in things pertaining to faith and morals, but also in those which pertain to the discipline and government of the Church as diffused throughout the world; or that he has only the chiefer parts, the more potent attributes thereof, yet not, indeed, the entire plenitude of this supreme power; or that such his authority is not ordinary and immediate, whether alike over all and sundry churches, or over all and sundry the pastors and faithful; let him be anathema." Chap. 4 concludes: "The sacred council thus approving, we teach, and so define as a dogma divinely revealed: that the Roman pontiff, when he speaks ex cathedra: that is, when in the discharge of his office as pastor and teacher of all Christians, and in virtue of his supreme apostolic authority, he defines a doctrine on faith or morals, to be observed by the entire ecumenical Church; thereby using the divine assistance to him vouchsafed by promise to blessed Peter: he then brings to bear that potential infallibility wherewith the divine redeemer desired and willed that his Church be instructed in such definition of doctrine on faith or morals; and therefore the like definitions by the Roman pontiff are absolute, or unalterable in themselves, as by intrinsic force, and not by consension of the Church. Now, therefore, if any were to presume (which may God avert) to contradict our definition; let him be anathems (Latin text in Mirbt, Quellen, 3d ed., pp. 367-368).

The former of these definitions deals with the relation of the episcopal authority to the papal. Even 2. The Pope had been obliged to surrender many rights to the papecy, although the Council of Trent (Sessio XXIII., chap. 4; in Schaff, Creeds, ii. 189; Mirbt, Quellen, 3d ed., pp. 246-247) still attributed to them the rule of God's Church. Indeed, the Vatican itself now denies that the proclamation of the Roman bishop's ordinary and immediate power of jurisdiction over the entire Church infringes the episcopal power. Be this as it may, from the proceedings of the council it altogether clearly transpires that all attempts to formulate some direct expression of the independency of the episcopate were quashed and thwarted. Inasmuch as the pope is here accredited with an episcopate the scope of which is universal, thus allowing him to act in every diocese at all times (wherein he assumes the right of the bishop in ordinary), the status of the diocesan bishop is reduced in power; and this the more because in the popele has not only a collateral bishop, but also one who by his very position as occupant of the primacy, represents the source of all those vested rights which accrue to a bishop in virtue of episcopal function.

The second definition postulates the inerrancy of the pope's doctrinal decisions, and accordingly claims for them a binding force and lasting validity

8. Logic of lic Christian. The context of the pas-Infallisage defining infallibility implies that bility. Peter's successors have no new commission in the way of disclosing a new doctrine, but rather are charged, under the assistance of the Holy Ghost, sacredly to preserve and faithfully to expound the revelation, or deposit of faith, as transmitted through the apostles. There is this further proviso involved, that the decision at issue must have proceeded ex cathedra, that is, in exercise of the pope's function as pastor and teacher of all Christians; it must contain some doctrine on faith or morals: and is defined as a doctrine to be observed by the entire ecumenical Church. But it is to be noted that marks are not given by which it can be certainly discerned, in a concrete instance, whether the inerring decision is present. The postulations in discussion are in only a very limited measure restrictions upon papal authority; for whether a decision belongs to the deposit of faith, falls to the province of faith or of morals, is a cathedra, and what range of operation it shall enjoy all depend exclusively upon the pope's own construction. Nevertheless, the pope is bound to this extent, that, by the proclamation of his infallibility, all papal doctrinal decisions of past cenzries are brought under the head of infallible pronuncements, and hence can not be reversed. This whice then especially comprises those decisions rhose debated resistance is menaced with the anahema, acknowledgment of which was required in roof of faith; or even, as in the case of the bull ham Sanctam (q.v.) of Boniface VIII., directly *upas a condition of salvation. These doctrinal ecisions among themselves, when judged from the latform of the dogma of infallibility, are presumed possess an indispensable inner harmony. It is gnificant, in this connection, that the labor of allecting such papal decisions as are to be "judged" fallible has been essayed in a private way only, ut on the part of the pope himself (the sole cometent authority, according to the dogma) no simiw attempt has been made, nor is it likely to be. here consequently prevails and is likely to prevail meh obscurity over the infallible character of papal lecisions, whether pronounced since or before the latican Council. Indeed, the papacy itself is conemed in the maintenance of this very status. For, n the one hand, the very vagueness of construcion of decisions, where such vagueness occurs, rads to cast the halo of inerrancy over all papal lecisions on subjects of faith or morals, insuring for hem the respect that infallibility warrants; while, m the other hand, liberty is retained for subsepuntly waiving an enacted decision, if necessary, m not of ex cathedra force. There thus ensues the peculiar situation, that some of the papal decisions maith and morals have a directly binding validity in Roman Catholic Christians, yet, not being issued in exercise of the supreme doctrinal office, they can od claim infallibility; while certain other papal beisions on faith and morals have the prestige of fallibility because they were devised on the basis the doctrinal office purely. Since, furthermore, be pope, as a mere individual, is not exempt um lapsing into error, the case may occur herein he, erring as a private individual in mat-78 of faith itself, aims to exercise the supreme docinal office under the very influence of his error. ut notwithstanding his individual fallibility, he can of succumb to error in his pontifical teaching. The octrine of the pope's infallibility discloses a prosect of quite complicated speculations, all of which in be avoided, however, through the belief that eritable popes have not erred and can not err.

An important consequence of the erection of the ogms of papal infallibility is a fundamental alteration in the status of ecumenical councils. The demand urged at Constance (1414–18),

Mand urged at Constance (1414-18), that the general council be viewed as the exponent of the Church, did not win, the conclusion being that this vadity inhered in the council as convening in union with the pope. The Vatican Council affirmed that temenical councils were employed by the Fathers preparing definitions, but were not the sole edium to this intent. This verdict finds its fountion altogether in the fact that, under the conciliar finition of the new dogma, the quality of infallity is ascribed to the pope alone. Accordingly, ecumenical council has come to be superfluous the matter of defining decrees of the faith; it has

lost its constitutive significance, and has become an advisory organ of the Church, one that in future may be drawn into requisition, but need not be called at all. So it no longer possesses any independent importance; but it has value to give brilliant and striking expression to the ecumenical character of Roman Catholicism, to attest before all nations the superior might of the papacy, or to assume a delegated responsibility for grave practical decisions and assist in bearing the brunt thereof.

8. Adoption of the Resolutions: It was only with reference to the bishops of the council's minority that there could be any question as to whether the recognition of the new dogma would meet with obstruction. At Rome they had boldly uttered their scruples, had freely criticized the order of business, and had not suffered themselves to be intimidated by the incident that the presidents of committees interrupted their addresses. The most serious menace to the free action of the council, however, arose from abroad through Ultramontanist agitations. Archbishop Darboy and Archbishop Schwarzenberg quite sharply complained over the intemperate animosity of the Ultramontanist press against the minority bishops. It lay far from the minority's purpose to wield a radical opposition. Indeed, their very weakness inhered in the fact that they themselves blunted the sharpness of their resistance by halting half-way. Alike from the platform of Holy Scripture, by appeal to the history of the Church, and with logical demonstration, they charged on their opponents with no feeble spirit. Every critical review of the Vatican dogma must avail itself of the minority's writings and speeches on the subject, which are a mine of erudite knowledge. Yet their deductions are wanting in full carrying-power, because in their fundamental conception of the essence of the Church and of the Roman primacy they were at one with the majority. Hence the contest against the infallibility of the pope could be waged only with halved force. Then the battle was all the more difficult because Ultramontanism. and that enhanced esteem for the pope which rose to the height of a papal cult, had made great progress. Furthermore, when Pius IX., in the year 1854, defined the doctrine of the Immaculate Conception of Mary, this already presupposed that he had the inherent right to establish a precept of faith. This being admitted, there arose at once a prejudice in favor of the Vatican's transactions thereafter. For assent to the course of action which the pope here initially pursued was admissible only under the condition that he had acted as a trustworthy organ of the inerrantly pronouncing Church in the sphere of faith and the issues thereof. But if this attribute were tacitly conceded to him in a specific instance, it was difficult to contest its immanency with him as a general principle. And, in fact, the greater proportion of the minority bishops shrank from any real quarrel with the doctrine of infallibility; they were willing to let it pass for a scholastic opinion, they objected merely to its dogmatization. So the opposition here at stake was greatly restricted in its practical force or scope of action. Indeed, the Curia correctly discounted the potential resistance. The protesting episcopate

firmly withstood all attempts at intimidation; but bowed before the threatening alternative, submission or schism. The Roman Catholic Church experienced one of her most brilliant triumphs, and lost not a single bishop. Thanks to the ultimate assent of the minority bishops to the Vatican dogma, the great crisis which had been evoked was overcome. The accident, nevertheless, was not to be forestalled, that the demurrer to the Vatican's "new Catholicism" led to the formation of the Old Catholic Church (see Old Catholic).

IV. Concluding Remarks: The Council of the Vatican marks the beginning of a new period in the history of the Roman Catholic Church. The power of the papacy became enhanced, and the process of centralizing the ecclesiastical administration at Rome has made still further progress. It was a peculiarly happy dispensation for the papacy, that immediately after the council's decrees were passed, the Italian unification movement put an end to the Papal States (q.v.), for thus it came about that Pope Pius IX. could enjoy the privileges of the martyrs, while for his successors the "Roman question" proved an agitation cause of the first rank, and an inexhaustible source of pious demonstrations, while above all the papacy was released from a task notoriously beyond its proper capacity. But now the Roman Catholic Church, as ruled by the infallible pope, has gained in point of solidity, unity, and compactness; the process of thoroughly Romanizing the inner life of the Church was lightened; and the same is true in the application of discipline. In short, the Roman Catholic commonwealth has been fundamentally strengthened. To all this be it added, that hitherto the papacy has wisely avoided stamping its decisions in particular concrete cases as of ex cathedra scope. On the political side purely, the council at first produced no further effect than that Austria, on July 30, 1870, "served notice" on the concordat of 1855 (see Concordats, etc., VI., 2, 6). Later came the outbreak of the Prussian Kulturkampf, or ministerial conflict over issues between State and Church (see Ultramontanism). Finally, Roman Catholic France has in 1906 accomplished the separation of Church and State (see France, I.,

The definition of the dogma of the universal episcopate, as a corollary to the infallibility of the bishop of Rome, has fairly closed the history of the growth and institution of the Vatican dogma. Since then, these doctrines belong to the sphere of revealed truths of the faith, and will never be revoked by the Roman Catholic Church; on the contrary, they will gain increasing appreciation. Nevertheless, it may be said of this dogma that its official reception alone does not afford it the full warrant of becoming respected and effectual for all times to the extent desired by the voting council. The history of dogma furnishes not a few examples of permutation and fluctuation, even downright depreciation, in the value of particular dogmas, though the fact of a virtual neglect of their inner substance does not necessarily result in their formal repeal or alteration. CARL MIRBT.

Bibliography: Use as sources: Collectio Lacensis, vol. vii., Freiburg, 1890; Janus, Der Papst und das Concil, Leipsic, 1869, Eng. transl., The Pope and the Council,

London, 1869; J. Friedrich, Documenta ad illumentum Concilium Vaticanum, Nördlingen, 1871; idea Tagebuch während des vatikanischen Konsils, ib. 1811. E. Friedberg, Sammlung der Aktenstücke zum erden wekanischen Konsil, Tübingen, 1872; C. Martin, Omnin Concilii Vaticani . . . documentorum collectio, Padebon, 1873; Vatican Council, Decrees of, ed. with Introduction by V. J. McNabb, London, 1907; Pomponio Leto, Ottoma a Roma durante il Concilio Vaticano, Florence, 1873; Tornath, Constitutiones dogmatica . . . Concili Vaticani, Freiburg, 1892; Mirbt, Quellen, 3d ed., pp. 358-361; Quirinus, Romische Briefe vom Concil, Munich, 1870, Extransl., Letters from Rome on the Council, London, 1801. L. Veuillot, Rome pendant le concile, 2 vols., Paris, 1872.

For the history and significance of the council consult.

Friedrich, Geschichte des vaticanischen Konzils, 3 vols.

Bonn, 1877–87 (most comprehensive): T. Granderals, Geschichte des vatikanischen Konzils, 3 vols., Freiburg.

1903–06 (employed the complete records of the council; d. C. Mirbt, in Historische Zeitschrift, ci., 1908, pp. 523–500): F. Bungener, Rome and the Council in the 19th Century.

Edinburgh, 1870; J. Fessler, Das vaticanische Concilium, dessen äussere Bedeutung und innerer Verlauf, Vienna, 1871; J. F. von Schulte, Die Macht der römischen Päpste, 2d ed., Prague, 1871; idem, Die Macht der römischen Päpste, 2d ed., Prague, 1871; idem, Die Stellung der Concilium, Päpste, und Biechöfe und die päpstliche Constitution was 18. Juli, 1870, ib. 1871; T. Fromman, Geschichte und Kritik des vaticanischen Concils, Gotha, 1872; J. Langen, Das vatikanische Dogma von dem Universal-Epishopa, 4 parts, Bonn, 1876; H. E. Manning, True Story of the Vatican Council, London, 1877; idem, Vatican Council and its Definitions, ib. 1887; É. Ollivier, L'Epise at 18th au concile du Vatican, 2 vols., Paris, 1879; E. Gessoni, Hist. du concile du Vatican, 4 vols., Paris, 1879; E. Gessoni, Hist. du concile du Vatican, 4 vols., Paris, 1887; L. J. I. von Dollinger, Briefe und Erklärungen über die vaticanischen Decrete, 1869–87, 2 parts, Munich, 1890, Eng. transl., Declarations and Letters on the Vatican Decrees, 1869–87. Edinburgh, 1891; and his other writings (see the artiele); J. M. L. Monsabré, Conférences de Notre Dame. Cencile et jubilé, Paris, 1890; H. Sauvé, Le Pape et le concile de Vatican, Laval, 1890; T. Moaley, Letters from Rome en the Occasion of the Œcumenical Council, 1869–70, 2 vols. London, 1891; F. Nippold, Handbuch der neueten Kirchengeschichte, vol. ii., Elberfeld, 1893; J. M. A. Vacant, Études sur les constitutions du concile du Vatican, 6 the Vatican Council, 1000, 1903; A. Machuca Dies, Los sacrosanctos ecuménicos Concilios de Trento y. Vaticana, Madrid, 1903; C. S. Isaneson, The Story of the Later Pope, pp.

VAUDOIS. See WALDENSES.

VAUGHAN, ven, BERNARD: English Jesuit; at Courtfield, Herefordshire, Aug. 20, 1847. He was educated at Stonyhurst, and in 1868 entered the novitiate of the Society of Jesus, being ordained to the priesthood in 1876. After twenty years as rector of the Church of the Holy Name, Manchester, he went, in 1900, to London, where he has since been attached to the staff of the Church of the Immaculate Conception. He is widely known not only for his vigorous work in the East End slums of London, but also as a preacher who unflinchingly assails vice even among the most powerful classes of society. He was cathedral preacher at the Eucharistic Congress in Montreal in 1910, and among his many published sermons and addresses may be mentioned Ten Lectures in Free Trade Hall: Reply to the Bishop of Manchester on "Roman Claims" (Manchester, 1896); Sins of Society (London, 1906); Socity, Sin, and the Saviour (1907); Socialism: is it Liberty or Tyranny ? (1909); and Life Lessons from Blassed Joan of Arc (1910).

VAUGHAN, CHARLES JOHN: Church of Enghad; b. at Leicester Aug. 6, 1816; d. at Llandeff (28 m. w. of Bristol) Oct. 15, 1897. He was educated at Rugby under Dr. Thomas Arnold (q.v.), where he was a classmate of Arthur Penrhyn Stanlay (q.v.), and at Trinity College, Cambridge (B.A., 1838; fellow, 1839; M.A., 1841; D.D., 1845); was erdained in 1841, and became almost at once vicar d St. Martin's, Leicester; became head master at Harrow, 1844, into which school he infused new life and vigor, holding this position till 1859; after declining the bishopric of Rochester in 1860, he became vicar of Doncaster. There he assumed, in addition to his pastoral labors, the task of fitting university graduates for the ministry, and this was the work which is regarded as most distinctive of the man. Over 450 students thus passed through his hands, receiving the impress of his deeply religious spirit. He became master of the Temple in 1869, and in 1879 also dean of Llandaff, dividing his time between the two offices. He was a leader in the foundation of University College at Cardiff (1883-84), being made president in 1894, when he resigned his mastership in the Temple.

Vaughan was a voluminous writer, editor, and commentator of books of the New Testament, and semonizer. He issued by way of texts and commentaries Romans (Greek text and notes, London, 1859, 5th ed., 1880); Philippians (1862; 4th ed., 1882); Revelation (2 vols., 1863; 5th ed., 1 vol., 1882); Philippians (1885); and Hebrews (1890); wrote Memorials of Harrow Sundays (1859; 5th ed., 1880); Hotes for Lectures on Confirmation (1859; 9th ed., 1876); Epiphany, Lent, and Easter (sermons; 1860); Lemons of Life and Godliness (sermons; 1862); Wads from the Gospels (1863); The Church of the lint Days (3 vols., 1864-65); and The Young Life Apipping itself for God's Service (1872); besides a very considerable number of volumes of sermons not named above, and works of more general interest, such as The School of Life (1885).

VAUGHAN, HERBERT: Cardinal archbishop Westminster; b. at Gloucester Apr. 15, 1832; d. \$ St. Joseph's College, Mill Hill (8 m. n.w. of Lonon), Middlesex, June 19, 1903. He was of the aughans of Courtfield (an estate in Herefordshire, m. s. of Ross), a very old family, always stanchly coman Catholic. His mother, a convert from vangelicalism before marriage, was excessively debut and daily asked in prayer that all her children wight be priests and nuns—and, in fact, her five aughters all entered convents, while of her eight was six became priests (three bishops). Herbert be oldest child) studied at the Jesuit College, tonyhurst, Lancashire (1841-47); with the Beneictines at Downside, near Bath (twelve months); a Jesuit college at Brugelette, Belgium (three ars), and at the Accademia dei Nobili Ecclesiastici, me (from 1851). His health was poor, and he s ordained priest (at Lucca, Oct. 28, 1854) eightmonths in advance of the regular time because

it was believed he could not live to reach the canonical age. After some months of travel, he returned to England (autumn, 1855) as vice-president of St. Edmund's College, Ware (near Hertford), at that time the chief Roman Catholic school and theological seminary of the south of England. Avowedly a disciple of Dr. Manning (later cardinal), and one of six who joined him in introducing the Oblates of St. Charles in England, he became involved in controversies of the time, which made his position at St. Edmund's delicate and ultimately forced his retirement (autumn, 1861). Another period of illhealth followed, during which he was animated by fervent zeal for the cause of foreign missions. The very characteristic outcome was a tour of the Americas (California via the Isthmus of Panama, and Peru, Chili, and Brazil via Cape Horn), Dec., 1863-July, 1864, to beg (literally) for funds to establish a missionary college in England. He came home with £11,000 cash, and founded St. Joseph's College at Mill Hill, opened Mar. 1, 1866, with one student and one professor (Vaughan). He acted as rector of St. Joseph's until 1872, when he was made bishop of Salford (Manchester). His interest in St. Joseph's, however, never abated; he continued its practical head long after he became bishop, served as superior-general of its missionaries, and chose to go there to die. The first graduates (four in number) were sent to the negroes of the United States. Vaughan accompanying them to Baltimore (Nov., 1871), and then making a tour of the southern states to study conditions there. He established feedingcolleges in Lancashire, Holland, and the Tyrol, and lived to see his missionaries—who go forth as priests, vowed never to leave their field of labor, even for a temporary visit home—at work not only in the United States, but also in the Philippines, Uganda, Madras, New Zealand, Borneo, Labuan, the Kongo basin, Kashmir, and Kafiristan. In 1892 he succeeded Manning as archbishop of Westminster (enthroned May 8; invested Aug. 16), and was made cardinal at Rome Jan. 19, 1893.

Cardinal Vaughan is classed as an Ultramontane. He was accounted hard, narrow, and intolerant. Undoubtedly he was a man of strong convictions and pushed theories to their logical conclusions with a rare consistency. He was impetuous to a fault. His virtues—devotion to duty, sparing neither self nor others, energy, resolution, and administrative ability—were such as to emphasize his limitations. It may be doubted if his place in life was that for which he was best fitted either by natural gifts or training. The characterization of him as an ' siastical Cecil Rhodes" is not inapt. He would have been preeminent as an empire-builder or leader in the commercial world. He lacked the broad sympathies, the adaptability, the scholarship, and all the finer intellectual powers and graces so desirable in a prelate. Yet he organized his Manchester diocese to an exemplary efficiency, and in fourteen years reduced its debt by £65,000. He built the cathedral of Westminster in the short space of a decade. His determination was proven early in his Manchester incumbency by a successful contest with the Jesuits, who attempted to work in his diocese independent of his jurisdiction. A little later, when

Cardinal Manning and the bishops undertook to have the relations between the regular and secular clergy in England definitely defined, it was Vaughan who presented the cause of the latter at Rome, and, again, his force and tenacity prevailed after a contest which lasted for months. He was deeply interested in educational work, founded many parochial schools (and strove with no small measure of success to get public money for their support), and established St. Bede's College at Manchester (an excellent Roman Catholic commercial school) -motived throughout by the desire to prevent children of Roman Catholic parents from falling under Protestant influence. Similarly, in rescue and reformatory work-which he pursued with most commendable zeal and efficiency—it was ever the fear lest some of his communion might be swerved from their faith through service rendered by Protestants which spurred him to his greatest exertions. During the years 1894-97 he was forced to take note of a movement looking to the reunion of the Anglican Church with Rome, and it has been said that the condemnation of Anglican orders by the bull Apostolica cura, which was the result and end of the movement, was chiefly due to his efforts. He certainly approved of the condemnation, and did all in his power to promote patient investigation of the question at Rome—as he also exerted himself to inform his brethren that the English High-churchmen were but a faction of the English Church. On the larger question involved he could have but one opinion—to settle anything by compromise was foreign to his nature. He was very successful as a writer of popular manuals of devotion and instruction, and wrote much for the Tablet (the leading Roman Catholic newspaper of England, of which he was proprietor from 1868), and the Dublin Review (which he controlled from 1878), but only on topics closely connected with the sphere of his duties. He prepared an elaborate essay on the education and training of the clergy as an introduction to the Life of the Blessed John Baptist de Rossi by E. Mougeot (London, 1883), and an unfinished treatise on the same subject appeared after his death under the title The Young Priest (London and St. Louis, 1904), while he also wrote The Year of Preparation for the Vatican Council (2 parts, London, 1869-70); Peter-Tide; or, St. Peter's Month (1880); On the Holy Sacrifice of the Mass (1884); The Reunion of Christendom (1896); and Vindication of the Bull "Apostolica Cura" (1898).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: J. G. Snead-Cox, The Life of Herbert Cardinal Vaughan, 2 vols., London, 1910.

VAUGHAN, ROBERT: Congregationalist; b. in England near the border of Wales Oct. 14, 1795; d. at Torquay (29 m. e. of Plymouth) June 15, 1868. He early displayed a marked taste for history, but prepared for the ministry under the guidance of William Thorpe, pastor at Castle Green, Bristol; he was ordained to the charge of the congregation in Angel Street, Worcester, 1819; thence went to the charge of the church at Hornton Street, Kensington. He commenced a literary activity during this period, issuing his Life and Opinions of John de Wycliffe, Illustrated principally from his Manuscripts (2 vols., London, 1828), and Memorials of the Stuart Dynasty

(2 vols., 1831). In 1834 he took the chair of history in London University, and the same year delivered the Congregational lecture on Causes of the Corruption of Christianity (1835). His next works were The Protectorate of Oliver Cromwell and the State of Europe during the Early Part of the Reign of Louis XIV. (2 vols., 1838), and The History of England under the House of Stuart (1840). He next assume the labors of president and professor of theology in the Lancashire Independent College, in 1843, entering upon his duties with the inaugural lecture on Protestant Nonconformity in its Relation to Learn and Piety (1843). He was the founder in 1845 The British Quarterly, and for twenty years its ditor, publishing some of his essays contributed to # in the work Essays on History, Philosophy, and The ology (2 vols., 1849). For the Wyclif Society he edited Tracts and Treatises of John de Wycliffe. with . . . Memoir (1845), and issued also John & Wycliffe, D.D.: a Monograph (1853). He resigned his presidency of Lancashire College in 1857, acted as minister to a congregation at Uxbridge, Middlesex, and then retired to devote himself to literary work. He accepted in 1867 a call to a church # Torquay, but his death speedily brought an end to his activities.

The works named above by no means exhaust his literary productions, and mention may be made here of his Thoughts on the Past and Present State of Religious Parties in England (1838); Congregationalism; or the Polity of Independent Churches, visual in Relation to the State and Tendencies of Modern Society (1842); The Modern Pulpit Viewed in its Polition to the State of Society (1842); The Credition of Scepticism (1856); and English Nonconforming (1862).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Robert Vaughan, a Memorial, London, 1988; J. Waddington, Congregational History, iv. 318 sqq., v. 8 sqq., ib. 1878-80; J. Stoughton, Religion in England deing the First Half of the Present Century, ii. 278, ib. 1884; W. Urwick, Nonconformity in Worcester, pp. 120 sqq., 356, ib. 1897.

VEDANTA: A school of Indian philosophy. See India, I., 1, § 2.

VEDANTA SOCIETY. See MISCELLANEOUS Ra-LIGIOUS BODIES, 23.

VEDAS. See Brahmanism I., §§ 2-4.

VEDDER, HENRY CLAY: Baptist; b. at De Ruyter, N. Y., Feb. 26, 1853. He was educated at the University of Rochester (A.B., 1873) and at the Rochester Theological Seminary (graduated, 1876); was a member of the editorial staff of The Examiner (1876-92); also editor of the Baptis Quarterly Review (1885-92); editor in chief of The Examiner (1892-94); and since 1894 has been professor of church history in Crozer Theological Seminary, Chester, Pa. He has written Baptists and Liberty of Conscience (Cincinnati, 1885); A Short History of the Baptists (Philadelphia, 1891); The Dawn of Christianity (Philadelphia, 1894); Tolk with Baptist Young People (1895); American Writers of To-day (New York, 1894; new ed., 1910); A History of the Baptists of the Middle States (Philadelphia, 1898); The Baptists (New York, 1908); Balthasar Hübmaier, the Leader of the Anabaptists (1905); Short History of the Baptists (Philadelphia,

*hristian Epoch Makers: Story of the great ry Eras (1908); and Church History Handvols., 1909-10).

INMEYER, fê'zen-mai"er, GEORG: Gerheran; b. at Ulm Nov. 20, 1760; d. there 832. He was educated in his native city, early manifested his interest in the history eformation period, and at the University f (1786-89), where he became an instructor In October of 1791 he returned to Ulm as late for a gymnasial position, which he ie next year, and in Feb., 1793, was made of rhetoric, which position he held, occassisting as a preacher, until his retirement ive life in 1826, after which he still served ss municipal librarian. In the theological rsies of his time Veesenmeyer took no part. I was essentially that of the historian and stient investigator of the less-known facts acters of the period of the Reformation. ritings of Veesenmeyer, though extremely s, are mostly of brief compass. Many of concerned with the local history of Ulm, rs deal with classical problems. Omitting r, his writings of chief theological interest lows:

annalium manuscriptorum inedita (a portion of m's annals on the Peasants' War; Altdorf, 1788); ibus doctrina de sancta cana in ecclesia Ul-9); De recto et vario historia reformationis sacro-1790); Beitrage zur Geschichte der Litteratur und n (Ulm, 1792); Versuch einer Geschichte der der ulmischen Kirche (1792); Nachricht von Hans s, erstem evangelischen Pfarrer in Leipheim (1794); on Conrad Same, des ersten ordentlich berufenen Reformators, Leben, Verdiensten und Schriften elletaneen von Melanchthone Verhältnissen, in nit den Ulmern stand (1797); Von dem ehemaligen der Juden in Ulm (1797); De Ulmensibus Erasmi 7-98); Nachricht von Ulrich Krafts Leben (1802); er Geschichte des ulmischen Katechismus (3 parts, Versuch einer Geschichte des ehemaligen Domini-s in Ulm (1803); Nachricht von Lorenz Walter 06); De Johanne Boemo Aubano (1806); Versuch en des ehemaligen Franziskanerklosters in Ulm : schola Latina Ulmana ante et sub Reformationis mpus (1817); Litterarische Nachricht von Luthers tie Empfehlung des Schulwesens betreffend (Stutt-); Litterargeschichte der Briefsammlungen und riften von Dr. Martin Luther (Berlin, 1821); Samm-Aufsätzen zur Erläuterung der Kirchenlitteratur-, Sittengeschichte besonders des sechzehnten Jahr-Ulm, 1827); Litterarisch-bibliographische Nacheinigen evangelischen katechetischen Schristen und n vor und nach Luthers Katechismen (1830); and trage zur Geschichte des Reichstags zu Augsburg ler Augsburgischen Konfession (Nuremberg, 1830). (T. KOLDE.)

E, fê'gê (TEN LOE), JOHANNES: Brother mmon Life; b. at Münster in the first half fteenth century; d. there Sept. 24, 1504. red his early education in his native city—from the Brethren of the Common Life is but he entered their house in Münster Later he studied at the university of Co-lacharius Welinck, rector of the Münster ouse, sent him to Rostock to organize the in that city, where they had a settlement 2. Veghe is mentioned as rector pro temostock under date of Jan. 13, 1470, but in Münster in Sept., 1471, and in 1475 he ath rector of the Münster house. Under

his rule the Münster community prospered, and the union with the affiliated houses in other cities was regulated and strengthened. In 1481, finding the duties of his position with the many journeys made necessary by visitations and colloquies too arduous for his strength, Veghe resigned and was made confessor and rector of the sister-house at Niesink near Münster. Münster in Veghe's time was a center of humanism not only for Westphalia, but for all Germany. Under the scholarly bishops, Henry of Schwarzburg (1454-94) and Conrad of Rietberg (1497-1502), and under the efforts of Provost Rudolf of Langen (b. 1438; d. 1518) in behalf of education it became the home of a number of noteworthy men all permeated with the spirit and learning of the Renaissance. Veghe occupied a prominent position in this circle and the references to him in their writings show the esteem in which he was held. His uprightness and comprehensive learning are especially praised (cf. Franz Jostes, Johannes Veghe, pp. xxvi.-xxvii., Halle, 1883). The numerous citations in his sermons testify to the extent and breadth of his study, covering the classics, Church Fathers, and mystics.

Veghe's writings, which have been the subject of painstaking study in recent years, include two religious poems (published by B. Hölscher in his Niederdeutsche geistliche Lieder, pp. 132-133, Berlin, 1854, and by Jostes, ut sup., p. 392) and a collection of twenty-four sermons (published by Jostes, ut sup.) made by the sisters in Niesink, before whom they were delivered apparently in the year 1492. These last are rather long, and do not follow the scholastic model of a theme developed artistically; instead they are free addresses springing spontaneously from religious experience, with earnest exhortation intermixed. This was indeed the chosen manner of the Brethren of the Common Life, whence they preferred to call their discourses "collations" rather than "sermons." Veghe takes his subject usually from the Gospel for the day and proceeds in a style which is popular without overstepping the bounds of good taste. He makes skilful use of Bible stories, introduces incidents from saints' lives less often, and deals sparingly in other stories and anecdote. He draws illustrations from familiar things of nature and experience, his comparisons are apt and striking, and at times he displays a genial humor. The Church he regards from the point of view introduced among the Brethren by Gerhard Groote and familiar from the Imitatio Christi of Thomas à Kempis. Veghe's sermons are truly Scriptural; yet the Roman doctrine of the Church is very evident in their contents. He speaks of the merit of one's own works in the current fashion; concerning indulgences he says that no indulgence can be won for departed souls; but faith which is counted for righteousness is nowhere emphasized. If indulgences are futile, still mercy, which is the greatest and most meritorious of works, with prayer, penitence, alms, and the mass can help the miserable souls in purgatory. Without the grace of God man can not be saved; but the grace of God is insufficient without man's individual accomplishment. For other writings by Veghe (the "Vineyard of the Soul," "Consolation of Mary," "Spiritual Hunt," and "Flower-garden") consult Jostes in the Historische Jahrbücher for 1885, Krause in the Rostocker Zeitung for 1885, L. Schulze in ZKG for 1890, and A. Böhmer, in Aus dem geistigen Leben und Schaffen in Westfalen, pp. 111 sqq. (Münster, 1906). (L. Schulze.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: To the literature named in the text add H. Triloff, Die Traktate und Predigten Veghes, Halle, 1904.

VEHICLES, HEBREW: War-chariots (see WAR) were known by the Hebrews long before they used them, these vehicles being employed by the Egyptians (Ex. xiv. 6 sqq.) and the Canaanites (Josh. xi. 4; Judges i. 19); they were constructed in whole or part of iron (Josh. xi. 9). After the time of Saul, trade in horses and vehicles sprang up between Israel and the Hittites and Syrians, though the most of the trade seems to have been with Egypt (I Kings x. 28; II Chron. i. 16), a horse costing 150 shekels and a chariot 600. The import of these things was opposed by the prophets (Isa. xxx. 2, 16; Ezek. xvii. 15) as evidence of greater trust in man than in God (Hos. i. 7), so that in Messianic times they were not to be used (Zech. ix. 10). In post-exilic times the war-chariot was used by Syria (Dan. xi. 40). During peace the use of war-chariots was a prerogative of the great (Gen. xli. 43; II Sam. xv. 1; I Kings i. 5). Probably the horses of the sun (II Kings xxiii. 11) belonged to chariots.

Vehicles for riding and transport of goods differed greatly from chariots of war. In spite of the fact that in very early times routes for commerce traversed Palestine, the region was not suited for vehicles, though clumsy carts or wagons with two or four wheels were probably in use from an early time, with wheels either solid or with six or eight spokes, and drawn by oxen (Num. vii. 3; I Sam. vi. 7, 10) by a yoke attached to the pole. Probably the wagons of Num. vii. 3 were vehicles with removable body (cf. the description of the bases of brass in the Temple, I Kings vii. 27-37). The threshing-wagon of Amos ii. 13, cf. Isa. xxviii. 27, may have been an instrument with rollers underneath (cf. the illustration in Benzinger, Archäologie, p. 142). The carriage for personal use had either two or four wheels, and sometimes contained (R. ZEHNPFUND.) seats.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: A. Jeremias, Das Alte Testament im Lichte des alten Orients, p. 206, Leipsic, 1906, Eng. transl., The Old Testament in the Light of the Ancient East, 2 vols., London and New York, 1911; F. Sengstake, in Globus, lx., no. 5; DB, i. 357, 372; EB, i. 724-731; JE, iii. 666-667.

VENABLES, GEORGE: Church of England; b. at Hampton Gay (6 m. n. of Oxford) Apr. 23, 1821; d. at Burgh Castle (17 m. e. of Norwich) Dec. 30, 1906. He was educated at St. Edmund Hall, Oxford, and was ordered deacon in 1850 and ordained priest 1852. He was curate of Nether Warton and Deddington, Oxfordshire (1850-53), and Broadwater, Sussex (1853-54); and vicar of St. Paul's, Chatham, Kent (1854-58), Friezland, Yorkshire (1858-69); St. Matthew's, Leicester (1869-74), and Great Yarmouth (1874-86). After 1888 he was rector of Burgh Castle, Suffolk. He was also chaplain of Shoreham Union in 1853-54, rural dean of Flegg in 1878-86, select preacher at Cambridge in 1883, and honorary canon of Norwich after 1881.

Among his numerous writings special mention my be made of his How did they get there? or, The No. Conforming Ministers of 1662 (London, 1862); Ow Church and our Country; or, From A.D. 62 to A.D. 1862 (1862); Counsel for Communicants (1865); The Churchman's Manual (1871); Unity and Uniformity (1892); Considerations on the Epistle to the Episians (1893); Thoughts at the Eventide concerning the Church of the Anglican Communion (1898); The (1902); The True and Visible Unity of the Church (1903); My Church (1905); and Who and What an I? (1906).

VENANTIUS FORTUNATUS. See FORTUNATUL

vi''nā-tō'ri-us JAEGER), THOMAS: German Protestant and humanist; b. at Nuremberg about 1488; d. there Feb. 4, 1551. He seems to have received his hamanistic training in Italy, probably at Padus; in 1522 he was called as preacher to the Neues Spital at Nuremberg, and from 1533 until his death was preacher at St. James's in the same city, except during the summer of 1544, when he introduced the Reformation at Rothenburg-on-the-Tauber. Ventorius was, primarily, a humanist, the last among the clergy of Nuremberg. Even his Catechies minor, hoc est de instituenda juventute in fide Christiana (Nuremberg, 1535) is essentially humanistic in spirit, and he edited the Plutus of Aristophanes (Nuremberg, 1531) and the first edition of the works of Archimedes (Basel, 1544).

The first independent theological production of Venatorius was his Axiomata quadam rerum Christianarum (Nuremberg, 1526), a compend of Evangelical doctrines in which special stress is laid on the permanent signification of baptism, while the Reformed theory of the Lord's Supper is energetically rejected. In 1527 he wrote his Pro baptisms et fide parvulorum against the Anabaptists, and in 1527 a purely devotional work, Ein kurz Unterick den sterbenden Menschen ganz tröstlich. Venstorius is best known, however, for his De virtute Christians libri tres (Nuremberg, 1529), through which he became the real founder of Protestant ethics. With a careful avoidance of savage polemics, Venatorius discussed the theory of the sacraments in his Kurts unterrichtung von beyden sacramenten, dem Tauff mit Nachtmal Christi (Nuremberg, 1530); and in Sept., 1530, he published his Ermanung zum Creutz in der zeyt der verfolgung, apparently with allusion to the prospective decision of the Diet of Augsburg. A series of exegetical lectures seems to have been the basis of In divi Pauli apostoli priorem ad Timotheum epistolam distributiones viginti (Basel, 1533), which is dogmatic rather than exegetical in nature. His one polemic work is the De sola fide justificante nos in oculis Dei, ad Johannem Hanerum epistola apologetica (Nuremberg, 1534), in which he defended the Lutheran point of view. (T. KOLDE.)

Bibliography: J. C. E. Schwarts, in TSK, 1850, pp. 79 sqq.; T. Kolde, in Beitrage sur bayerischen Kirchmerschichte, xiii. 97 sqq., 157 sqq., Erlangen, 1905.

VENEMA, vê-nê'mu, HERMANNUS (HARM): Dutch Reformed; b. at Wildervank (14 m. s.e. of Groningen), Holland, 1697; d. at Leeuwarden May 25, 1787. He was educated at Groningen (1711-14) ad Francker (1714-18), and in 1719 became pasar at Dronrijp near Francker. On the death of the rounger Vitringa Venema was appointed to succeed in at Francker, and this position he held until his nthement in 1774. Venema was especially distingained as an Old-Testament exegete, his chief work being his Commentarius in Psalmos (6 vols., Leuwarden, 1762-66); while among his writings m the prophets special mention may be made of in Dissertationes ad vaticinia Danielis emblematica (1745); his commentary on Jeremiah (2 vols., 1765); Sermones vice commentarii ad librum protierum Zacharia: (1787); his commentary on Malachi (1788); and his lectures on Ezekiel (ed. J. K Verschuir, 1790). Of importance also for the period was his Institutiones historiæ ecclesiasticæ Veteris ac Novi Testamenti (7 vols., Leyden, 1777-1783), in which he showed himself an impartial tadent of original sources.

Venema was independent in theology, constructing his system on the two bases of reason and the lible. There is an Eng. transl. of his Inedited Intibutes of Theology (Edinburgh, 1850). He was beened the leader of the tolerants, and was the may Dutch professor to defend the Mennonite Jan liberts when the latter was charged with Socinian-im. Venema was himself suspected of heretical indencies, and was obliged to defend his orthodoxy in his Korte verdedigung van syne eere en leere (Leeuwarden, 1735) and Justa cum viro clarissimo Antinio Driessenio expostulatio (Francker, 1736); and the charges being renewed, he was again forced to write in his own defense Exercitationes de Christi was divinitate (Leeuwarden, 1755), by which he secured immunity from further attack.

(S. D. VAN VEEN.)

MALOGRAPHY: The Blogium by J. H. Verschuir was published at Francker, 1787, in Dutch transl. by J. Bakker, as Lefreds op Herman Venema, Amsterdam, 1801. Constit. B. Glasius, Godgeleerd Nederland, iii. 489-496, Boisbue, 1851-56; C. Sepp, J. Stinstra en zijn tijd, Amsterdam, 1865; W. B. S. Boeles, Frieslands Hoogeschool en la Rijks Athenaum te Francker, ii. 399-407, Leeuwarden, 1800

VENEZUELA: South American republic: bounded on the north by the Caribbean Sea, on the by the Atlantic and British Guiana, on the both by Brazil and Colombia, and on the west by Colombia; its area is estimated at 363,728 square viles; its population (1908) is estimated at 2,661,-69. It became independent of Spain in 1823, but emained a part of the united republic which then mbraced also Colombia and Ecuador. As a sepaate country it began its existence in 1829, though why to pass through a period of internal unrest and ivil wars. In 1864 it became the United States of lenesuela. The population is very largely of a sixed race, the pure whites forming only about 10 er cent, negroes numbering 120,000 (slavery was bolished in 1833), and there are 325,000 Indians, 70,000 of whom are civilized. Nearly all are of the oman Catholic faith, which is the state religion, ith toleration for other forms. The organization the Roman Catholic Church came relatively late, ough in 1637 Caracas was the seat of a bishopric the whole land, and in 1803 it was made the metolitan city; it now has five suffragan sees, viz.: Barquisimeto (erected 1847, received a bishop 1868); Calabozo (erected 1863, received a bishop 1881); Sto. Guayana (erected 1791); Merida (erected 1777), and Zulia. There are 428 parishes. The Anglican communion is represented, as is the Presbyterian, with two congregations, the Methodists with one, the Reformed Church of the Netherlands with one, and the German Lutheran with one. Education is free and compulsory, with 2,000 public schools, 59 high schools and colleges, five teachers' seminaries, two universities, and three lesser-developed high schools. Yet most of the population can neither read nor write. [A concordat was negotiated between Pius IX. and the president of Venezuela July 26, 1862.] (WILHELM GÖTZ†.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: R. M. Baralt and R. Días, Resumen de la Historia de Venezuela, 3 vols., Curaçao, 1887; W. Sievers, Venezuela, Hamburg, 1888; F. Tejera, Manual de Historia de Venezuela, Caracas, 1895; W. E. Curtis, Venezuela, London, 1896; T. C. Dawson, The South American Republics, part 2, New York, 1904; J. Humbert, Les Origines vénézuéliennes, Bordeaux, 1905; W. L. Scruggs, The Colombian and Venezuelan Republics, Boston, 1905.

VENI, CREATOR SPIRITUS: An early hymn of disputed authorship. George Fabricius (1564) assigns it to Ambrose; Thomasius and Daniel, to Charlemagne; the Encyclopædia Britannica (11th ed., xiv. 185-186), to Charles the Bald; and Mone, Wackernagel, and March, to Gregory the Great. It is first mentioned in the ASM in an account of the removal of the relics of St. Marculfus, 898 A.D. The Anglican Church retains it in the offices for ordering of priests and consecrating of bishops; the Roman Church, additionally, in the consecration of the pope and coronation of a king. It is found, generally, in the German breviaries and missals of the thirteenth to the fourteenth century. Its true author is doubtless Rabanus Maurus (q.v.), pupil of Alcuin, bishop of Mayence, and poet-laureate of the time of Charlemagne. The arguments in behalf of this view are: (1) The hymn can be attributable only to a scholar, a theologian, and a poet. (2) Its latest date is restricted by the considerations just offered, and its earliest date depends on the doctrinal point of the procession of the Holy Spirit from both the Father and the Son. This was affirmed (by adding Filioque to the Creed) by the Council of Toledo, 589, and reaffirmed by the Synod of Aquisgranum (Aachen), 809 A.D. (3) The word "paracletos" in the hymn is scanned differently from Prudentius and Adam of St. Victor, who in the usual manner make the penultimate syllable short. This would tend to establish the author as a person who pronounced Greek by quantity rather than by accent, and certainly shows him to have understood that language. (4) The hymn (divested of its modern stanza, Da gaudiorum, etc., and of Hincmar of Reims' doxology, Sit laus, etc.) was found by Christopher Brower (1559–1617) in "an approved and very ancient manuscript." Brower was a Jesuit and the antiquarian and rector of the college at Fulda, and he published the poems of Rabanus Maurus as an appendix to those of Fortunatus (Cologne, 1617). Wackernagel (i. 75) admits that this assignment deserves " some notice," though he prefers the Gregorian authorship. (5) But this hymn does not appear among the eight which are included in the

works of Gregory the Great and does appear in those of Rabanus Maurus (MPL, cxii., 1657). (6) Charlemagne was not scholar enough to have composed it without Alcuin's help (Wackernagel, i. 75). (7) The hymn is really a paraphrase of Rabanus Maurus' own chapter on the Holy Spirit (MPL, cxi., 25); and in his hymn Eterne rerum conditor, et clarus, etc., Rabanus Maurus scans "paracletos" as in the Veni, Creator. The best-known English translations are "Come, Holy Ghost, all quickening fire," by John Cosin (1627), and "Come, O Creator Spirit blest," by Edward Caswall (1849).

SAMUEL W. DUFFIELDT.

Bibliography: S. W. Duffield, Latin Hymn-Writers and their Hymne, chap. xii., New York, 1889; Julian, Hymnology, pp. 1206-11; H. A. Daniel, Thesaurus hymnologicus, i. 213, iv. 124, 5 vols., Leipsic, 1841-56; R. C. Trench, Sacred Latin Postry, pp. 184-186, London, 1864; P. Wackernagel, Das deutsche Kirchenlied, i. 75, Leipsic, 1864; Seven Great Hymne of the Medieval Church, pp. 134-139, New York, 1868; D. T. Morgan, Hymne of the Latin Church, pp. 163-154, 263-264 (London), 1871 (Eng. transl. and Latin text); N. Smith, Hymne historically Famus, pp. 15-17, Chicago, 1901; D. J. Donahoe, Early Christian Hymne, pp. 107-108, New York, 1908 (Eng. transl.).

VENI, SANCTE SPIRITUS: A sequence of uncertain authorship. It is part of a manuscript of the eleventh century in the British Museum, and is also in another manuscript of about 1100. Durand and the earlier writers ascribed it variously to Robert II. and to Hermannus Contractus. English translations are by J. D. Chambers (1852), and by Ray Palmer, "Come, Holy Ghost in love" (1858).

VENIAMINOF, vé"nt-dm'inof, IVAN: Bishop of Alaska, archbishop of Kamchatka, and metropolitan of Moscow with the name of Innocent. See Eastern Church, IV.

VENN, HENRY: Church of England; b. at Barnes (a suburb of southwest London) Mar. 2, 1724-25; d. at Yelling (12 m. w.n.w. of Cambridge) June 24, 1797. He entered St. John's College, Cambridge, 1742, but changed to Jesus College (B.A., 1745-46; M.A. and fellow, 1749); was ordered deacon, 1747, and ordained priest, 1749; held several minor curacies; became curate of Clapham, 1754; vicar of Huddersfield, Yorkshire, 1759, whence he removed, in 1771, to become vicar of Yelling. Henry Venn stands alongside of the foremost workers in the Christian ministry in England of the eighteenth century. He was upon intimate terms with Whitefield and Lady Huntingdon, and his sympathies were broad and Evangelical. At Huddersfield he leavened the irreligious mass of the working population with Gospel truth, and was among the first to carry the Gospel with success to the manufacturing classes. He was an indefatigable preacher, delivering often eight or ten sermons a week. His most popular work was The Complete Duty of Man (London, 1763 and often). He wrote also Mistakes in Religion (1774, etc.), a collection of essays on the prophecy of Zacharias (Luke i. 68-79); and many sermons, including one on the death of Whitefield (1770).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: John and Henry Venn, The Life and a Selection from the Letters of . . . Henry Venn, London, 1834, new ed., 1870; J. Telford, A Sect that Moved the World, ib. 1907; DNB, Iviii. 207-208.

VERBECK, var-bek' (originally VERBEEK) GUIDO HERMAN FRIDOLIN: Missionary in Japan; b. at Zeist (5 m. e. of Utrecht), Holls Jan. 23, 1830; d. at Tokyo, Japan, Mar. 10, 1888. He was the fifth of the eight children in a well-todo household, was educated at the Moravian school in Zeist, graduated from it in 1848, and studied the at the Polytechnic Institute in Utrecht and become an engineer. For a short while he worked in the foundry at Zeist. In 1852 he emigrated to America, had a brief experience of foundry and engineering work, but after a serious illness turned definitely to the foreign missionary service, entered Aubu Theological Seminary in 1856, and graduated with the class of 1859. He was ordained by the prebytery of Cayuga Mar. 22, 1859, received as a me ber of the Reformed (Dutch) classis of Cayuga the next day; married Apr. 18, 1859, and sailed from New York May 7, 1859. He went out as a missionary of the Reformed Dutch church to Japan, and entered the harbor of Nagasaki on Nov. 7, 1859. In his student days he had mastered Germ French, and English, and to these he quickly added Japanese, and that not in any halting fashion, but so completely that he spoke it better than most natives. He identified himself with the Japanese, and as he had come before the opening of the comtry to Western influences he witnessed those changes which have brought Japan into the family of progressive nations, and was himself an important agent in rendering the transition easy and radical. His first work was Bible distribution, as he was not allowed to preach to the Japanese; indeed it was death to a Japanese to become a Christian. In 1800 he was principal of a school for foreign languages and sciences in Nagasaki, attended by samurai, whom he influenced religiously as well as intellectaally and thus he formed the men who a little later were to play a prominent part in new Japan. The school became famous, and gave him personally such a reputation that in 1869 he was summoned by the government to Tokyo to help it solve its educational problems. When the Imperial University at Tokyo was established he naturally was made the head of it. From 1863-78 he was attached to the Japanese senate. Under the pressure of in multifarious and heavy work, teaching, preaching both in Japanese and English, translating books on law and political economy, on international law, and other topics, consulting with government of cials, dealing with foreigners and natives, living in short a full life although never robust, he broke down in 1878 and came to America for recupertion. He returned the next year and resumed work He taught in the union theological seminary in Tokyo and in the school for nobles, and took part in Bible translation. He could not be restrained; there was so much that he could do that he was perpetually working beyond his strength. On May 16, 1889, he had a slight attack of paralysis on is right side. He kept on and died in the harness

He was commonly spoken of as "Verbeck of Japan," and thus his devotion to that people we set forth, but also the curious fact that having left Holland a minor and having failed to obtain not uralization in the United States while a resident

of that country, he could not be naturalized there later, whereas in Japan there was no way in which a foreigner could be naturalized. Consequently he was in a sense a man without a country. In 1891 he applied to the Japanese government to be made a citizen, and in reply the government in view of his services took him and his family under its protection and gave him the right to travel freely throughout the empire in the same manner as the subjects of the same, and to sojourn and reside in any locality.

Verbeck with Samuel Robbins Brown (q.v.) and James Curtis Hepburn (q.v.) formed the trium virate who are held in grateful memory by the Japanese people. They spent their lives in the service of that people, and brought to them the knowledge of Western science and above all of Christianity.

**Bullography: W. E. Griffis, Verbeck of Japan, New York, 1900; C. C. Croegan, Pioneer Missionaries of the Church, pp. 90-101, ib. 1903; R. E. Speer, Servants of the King, pp. 75-87, ib. 1909.

VERBESSERUNGSPUNKTE, far-bes'er-rungzpunk'te: Certain requirements introduced into
Hesse by the Landgrave Maurice in 1605 for the
amendment of religious conditions and the cessation of sectarian strife, and summarized as follows:
(1) dangerous and unedifying controversies on the
person of Christ must end, and ubiquity must be

held to mean concretely that Christ is everywhere, not abstractly that the humanity of Christ is everywhere;

(2) the Decalogue must be taught secording to the words of Christ, and the images viving from Roman Catholicism must be removed; and (3) in the Lord's Supper the bread be broken after institution. On the death of landgrave Philip in 1567, Hesse was divided among four sons, but by his will ecclesiastical organizaion and doctrine were to remain unchanged. At inst this was observed, but in 1575, at the instance i his wife, a Württemberg princess, Louis, who and received Upper Hesse and Marburg as his ineritance, called Ægidius Hunnius (q.v.) to a proemorial chair; and at the general convention at Treysa (1577) it became evident that a new, ultrautheran tendency was gaining ground. It was were decided, however, that, until final decision, he use of the new phrases concerning the doctrine If the two natures of Christ should be discontinued; hat their personal union was to be discussed only n the concrete; that the dogma of the Communizio Idiomatum (q.v.) should not be set forth; and that all polemics should be prohibited. The gensylvand held at Marburg in 1578, however, delerred decision, and with the last general synod (1582) ecclesiastical harmony had become imposible. Louis and his brother William, landgrave of Hesse-Cassel, adhered to views diametrically opxeed, the latter inclining more and more to Reormed tenets and appointing many of the Philipists expelled from Saxony to high positions in the hurch. Under Maurice, successor of William in 592, things took a new turn. Heartily weary of uitless dogmatic controversies and desirous of a w reform, especially with regard to added emasis on soteriological and practical preaching, the

new landgrave, a man highly endowed, energetic. eloquent, and well trained even in theology, was led to reactionary measures which caused him to seek to banish Lutheranism. Since the general synods had ceased (1582), important church affairs had been referred to the chancery and thus to the sovereign. The authority of the superintendents, moreover, had lately been considerably reduced, and in 1599 Maurice established at Cassel a consistory combined with the chancery to examine, install, and supervise pastors, this being replaced, in 1610, by an independent consistory at Marburg. Until the death of Louis in 1604, Maurice could proceed but slowly, hindered by the attachment of the ignorant populace to the images and the defense of the patronage on the part of the nobles, though in the mean time he sought to place his sympathizers in places of high ecclesiastical authority. When, however, his uncle Louis died and Maurice received the Marburg half of Upper Hesse, he sought first to reform this stronghold of Lutheranism, and, ordering controversies to cease, forbade (June 16, 1605) the teaching of the doctrine of Ubiquity (q.v.). When the Marburg theologians protested, he not only admonished them to obey the conclusions of the convention at Treysa and succeeding general synods, but also issued for strict observance the Verbesserungspunkte already noted.

The theologians, readily perceiving that these articles were but the entering wedge of a much more comprehensive reformation, again protested, but in vain. After fruitless efforts to win over their four leaders, Johann Winckelmann, Balthasar Mentzer, Heinrich Leuchter, and Konrad Dietrich,

the landgrave deposed them. Open riot was the result, and the citizens were awed into submission only by force of arms. After an eloquent ap-

peal from Maurice, all pictures were removed from the churches by his order, and early in August the Lord's Supper was administered according to Reformed usage. In Dec., 1605, with a view to more sweeping measures, Maurice convened the superintendents and provincial governors at Cassel. This convention proposed, (1) the issuance of a mandate authorizing superintendents and civil officials to introduce the Verbesserungspunkte; (2) the admission to the Lord's Supper of those also who did not accept the Hessian teaching; (3) the introduction of a new liturgy and a new catechism based on the Lutheran; and (4) the establishment of a consistory in Marburg to consolidate the reforms. Notwithstanding all this, opposition only increased, nor did even the deposition of ten clergy in Upper Hesse act as a deterrent. On Jan. 16, 1607, therefore, the landgrave convened diocesan synods at Cassel, Eschwege, Marburg, and St. Goar, where there was a strong sentiment in favor of the Verbesserungspunkte, and, on Apr. 12, a general synod at Cassel. This busied itself with the reform and the harmonizing of worship and doctrine, resolving upon the universal introduction of the catechism ordered in 1605, and now revised (Kinderlehre für christliche Schulen und Kirchen in Hessen, 1607). It also ordered a hymnal, and a creed of six articles was adopted which officially published adhesion to

the Reformed Church (Christliches und richtiges Glaubensbekenntnis, Cassel, 1607). Immediately after the synod Maurice proceeded to enforce its enactments, but was met with repeated opposition in the refractory districts, specially at Schmalkald, where it lasted ten years, and the images could only be removed by the military. To secure the fruits of the Reformation Maurice in the following years gave much attention to education; and his representation at the Synod of Dort (1618) led to the introduction of the Heidelberg Catechism.

Political and military struggles went hand in hand with this religious strife, and led in many parts, especially in Upper Hesse, to a Lutheran

reaction. Louis of Darmstadt, the co-Reaction. heir of Upper Hesse, laid claim to the entire principality on the ground that Maurice had violated the religious provisions of the will of Philip. He allied himself more closely to the Lutheran estates and the emperor and fought on their side in the Thirty-Years' War. He invited the professors expelled from Marburg to Darmstadt, and, to offset Marburg, he founded, in 1605, a gymnasium at Giessen, and in 1607 a Lutheran university, while in 1607 he also required all the clergy to be bound by the unaltered Augsburg Confession and the Schmalkald Articles. In 1623 the inherited domain of Maurice was declared by imperial judgment to be forfeit, and the electors of Cologne and Saxony, aided by the troops of Tilly, carried the sentence into effect. The Reformed professors and pastors were deposed, and two years later the Lutheran university was transferred from Giessen to Marburg. In 1627, Maurice, broken by his reverses, abdicated in favor of his son, William V., and the latter, in the same year, was forced to cede to Louis George II., the successor of Louis V., Upper Hesse, Schmalkald, and Katzenelnbogen, where the Reformed preachers were suppressed and Lutheranism was introduced. After the defeat following the death of Gustavus Adolphus, Lower Hesse was placed under the administration of George II., while William died a fugitive. The widow regent, however, Amelia Elizabeth, defeated George in several battles, and by the treaty of Apr. 14, 1646, confirmed by the peace of Osnabrück, Hesse-Cassel resumed possession of the Marburg half of Upper Hesse, Schmalkald, and Katzenelnbogen. peace guaranteed the status quo in religious matters, the districts named remaining Lutheran. A Lutheran university was established at Giessen in 1650, and a similar Reformed institution at Marburg in 1653. On Dec. 27, 1657, Landgrave William VI. issued for entire Hesse-Cassel a church order which was essentially Reformed, though with all possible consideration for his Lutheran subjects; but in Upper Hesse this order enjoyed less general usage than the Darmstadt church order of 1562.

(CARL MIRBT.)

Bibliography: C. von Rommel, Geschichte von Hessen, vols. vi.-vii., Cassel, 1837-39; H. Heppe, Die Einführung der Verbesserungspunkte in Hessen, ib. 1849; idem, Kirchengeschichte beider Hessen, 2 vols., Marburg, 1876: A. F. C. Vilmar, Geschichte des Confessionsstandes der evangelischen Kirche in Hessen, pp. 164 sqq., 2d od., Frank-fort, 1868; E. Holsommer, Die kirchlichen Verbesserungspunkte des Landgrafen Moritz . . . von Hessen, Marburg, 1910.

VERCELLONE, vār"chel-lō'nê, CARLO: Biblical scholar; b. at Biella (55 m. w. of Jan. 10, 1814; d. in Rome Jan. 19, 1869. tered the order of the Barnabites at Genoa studied philosophy at Turin and theology at taught at Alexandria, Turin, Perugia, and became president of the College of the Bar at Rome in 1847, and held that position death. He devoted himself to the textual c of the Vulgate, and his fame rests upon his lectiones Vulgata Latina editionis Bibliorum Rome, 1860-64), epoch-making in the study Vulgate, the prolegomena being especially v his edition (the best) of the simple Clementi gate, 1861, and, with Cozza, his edition of th Vaticanus (5 vols., 1868-81).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: A sketch of the life and works, | Sergio, appeared Rome, 1869; another is in the edition of the Codex Vaticanus, vi., pp. xiv.-xv. xii. 678-680.

VERDEN, BISHOPRIC OF: An ancient diocese, doubtless established in the eighth of It would seem that the region about Vere given to the monastery of Amorbach as a field, and that Charlemagne conferred the bishop on the abbot of the monastery (i.e. Patto," probably the same as Bishop Pa d. June 2, 788) as the head of the mission original see city of the diocese is as uncertai date of the creation of the bishopric. Saxon later than the thirteenth century describe cese as founded at Bardowiek and transfe Verden in 814, but these documents are too be authoritative. The same holds true of sertion of the Saxon chronicle that the orig city was Kuhfeld in Salzwedel. It seen probable, therefore, that the diocese was esta at Verden from the very first.

To the diocese of Verden belonged the of Mosidi, Bardengau, Drevani, and Oste They were inhabited partly by Wends, among paganism survived up to the thirteenth of while among the Germanic population it app vanished in the course of the ninth.

(A. HA

BIBLIOGRAPHY: G. G. Leibniz, Script. rer. Bruner ii. 211 sqq., 3 vols., Hanover, 1707-11; C. G. Pfa 211 sqq., 3 vols., Hanover, 1707-11; C. G. Pla Aeltere Geschichte des vormaligen Bisthums Verden Hamburg, 1830; F. Wichmann, Untersuchunge teren Geschichte des Bistums Verden, Göttinge Hauck, KD, ii. 390-391. Lists of the bishop MGH, Script., xiii (1881), 343; Gams, Series epii pp. 320-321; Hauck-Herzog, RE, xx. 499-500.

VERGERIO, vār-jār-î'ō, PIETRO PAOL former; b. at Capodistria (8 m. s. of Triest tria, in 1498; d. at Tübingen Oct. 4, 156 studied jurisprudence in Padua, where he d lectures in 1522; he also practised law in Padua, and Venice. In 1526 he married Contarini, whose early death was at least a cause of his entering upon an ecclesiastical Here his advancement was so rapid that as 1533 he was papal nuncio to King Ferdi Germany; and he was there again in 1535 ness connected with the council. eagerness in the cause of the council brou into a personal encounter with Luther at berg, which he himself reports (cf. H. I

Romana, pp. 128 sqq., Schaffhausen; 1861; ensburg, in Nuntiaturberichte, i., 539 sqq., 198). Although Vergerio achieved little in of his appointed task, which was to induce estants to send delegates to the council, twice dispatched him across the Alps; and le rewarded him, first with the bishopric as in Croatia, next with Capodistria. In 1540, Vergerio again entered active diplovice; he was at Worms at the religious e as commissioner for King Francis I. ratores principum . . . in F. Hubert, Verblizistische Thätigkeit, Bibliography, no. 9. a. 1893). It was in memory of the council edicated the tract De unitate et pace ecclesia. linal Contarini (q.v.), beside whom he also at Regensburg in 1541 (see REGENSBURG, NCE OF), he was charged with having too much to the Protestants. He then rereturn to Capodistria and pursue thoroughdies. Vergerio had yet no thought of withrom the Roman Catholic Church, nor did ep the line of reformatory attempts within rch, such as were espoused by Contarini 8 (cf. K. Benrath, Geschichte der Reformamedig, p. 47, Halle, 1887). But suspicion ened; so that Dec. 13, 1544, a denunciaergerio was lodged with the Venetian In-

and although after due examination vas released, Cardinal Cervini took advane fact that Vergerio was not yet formally to prevent his participation in the counich he had labored so many years. Verto return from Riva, and began a publivity which turned more and more against n Catholic Church. In connection with ria of Francesco Spiera (q.v.) of Dec. 7, zerio directed a sharp reply to the suffrap of Padua; and instead of responding to summons, by the Nuncio Della Casa, to fore the tribunal in Venice, on May 1, left Italy forever. The experiences at ick-bed had brought Vergerio to inward The twelve treatises which he produced n 1550 supply information regarding his position. Meanwhile the second trial had lucted in Venice, and was confirmed at ly 3, 1549. Vergerio was convicted of herrty-four points, deposed from his episcoy, and made subject to arrest. At that ever, he was in the Swiss Grisons, and beve in a brisk round of polemics (cf. Huup.). His themes were the papacy, its d policy; the jubilees; saint and relic and the like. Vergerio continued in the Il 1553, when he heeded a call from Duke er of Württemberg to write and travel in Evangelical doctrine. While he never loot in Italy, in 1556 he made his way to ad incidentally conferred with Duke Al-Prussia. He was in Poland in 1559 with ld object of meeting the moves of the pomano, and of working counter to Joasco (q.v.). In vain he sought permiske part in the religious conference at 560, and he was not allowed to appear

at the Council of Trent as the duke's delegate. During all these years he continued his polemical authorship, and worked toward the publication of his Opera, though but the first volume appeared (1563). A just appreciation of the man is difficult. That Rome saw in him only the apostate is a matter of course. But the Protestants, in turn, had to complain of his vanity, his excessive pragmatism. Open honest simplicity is not to be sought in Vergerio. Yet it is to his merit that he accomplished the transition to which his conscience and outward conditions impelled him, whereas most of his countrymen at the last moment faced about " (Kausler and Schott, in Vergerios Briefwechsel mit Herzog Christoph, Tübingen, 1875). K. BENRATH.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: A review of the writings of Vergerio will be found in Niceron, Hommes illustres, xxxviii. 69 sqq.; Weller, in Serapeum, vols. xix (1858), and xxvi (1866), and F. Hubert, Die publizistische Thätigkeit Vergerios, pp. 259 sqq., Göttingen, 1893. Some of his tracts were reprinted in Biblioteca della Riforma, Florence, 1883. Eighty of his letters to Bullinger are in Quellen zur Schweizergeschichte, vol. xxiii., Basel, 1902, forty-three to Duke Albrecht are in Sixt (see below), those to Duke Christoph are in Kausler and Schott's work named in the text. A number unprinted are in various libraries and other repositories in Venice, Mantus, Zurich, and Munich. Consult: J. Sleidanus, De statu religionis et reipublica, in the ed. of his Opera, Frankfort, 1786; Bayle, Dictionary, v. 451-461 (useful for its quotation of sources); C. A. Salig, Hist. der augsburgischen Confession, ii. 1148-1200, Halle, 1730; F. Meyer, Die evangelische Gemeinde in Locarno, 2 vols., Zurich, 1836; C. H. Sixt, P. P. Vergerio, 2d ed., Brunswick, 1871; C. Cantu, Gli Eretici Italia, parts i.—iii., Turin, 1865-66; idem, Italiani illustri, vol. ii., Milan, 1875; A. Dittrich, Regesten und Briefe des Cardinals G. Contarini, Braunsberg, 1881; L. A. Ferrai, Il Processo di Pier Paolo Vergerio, in Archivio storico italiano, xv (1885), 201 sqq., xvi (1886), 25 sqq.; P. Stancovich, Biografia degli uomini, 2d ed., Capodistra, 1888; E. Comba, I Nostri Protestanti, ii. 395-476, Florence, 1897; Cambridae Modern History, ii. 233, 394-395, 588, New York, 1904; Schaff, Christian Church, vol. vii. passim; the works of Friedensburg (i. 1533 sqq.) and Benrath named in the text; the introduction to the Quellen zur Schweizergeschichte, vol. xxiii., ut sup.; KL, xii. 769-776.

VERMIGLI, ver-mî'lyî, PIETRO MARTIRE: Italian Reformer; b. at Florence Sept. 8, 1500; d. at Zurich Dec. 12, 1562. He entered the Augustinian cloister near Fiesole at the age of sixteen, and studied afterward in Padua and Bologna; after 1525 he was frequently employed as Lenten preacher and lecturer. Early in his career he became prior of the great convent of S. Pietro ad Aram, in Naples, where he joined the devout circle that gathered about Juan de Valdés (q.v.), to which band came, in 1538, Bernardino Ochino (q.v.). Both Vermigli and Ochino at first taught and preached without coming into open conflict with the traditional system; yet their tone, like that of Valdés, was already Evangelical. In 1541 Vermigli became visitator in his order, and in 1542 was dispatched to Lucca as prior of San Frediano. There he introduced strict discipline, while in behalf of better equipment of the novices he summoned such capable teachers as Celio Secondo Curione (q.v.); at the same time he issued his first Evangelical tract, Una semplice dichiarazione sopra i dodici articoli della fede cristiana (reissued in Biblioteca della Riforma italiana, vol. i., Florence, 1883), for which he was summoned before the chapter of his order in Genoa. He preferred to quit his native land that he might be able

to live in his faith. He went to Basel and then to Strasburg, where he assumed the professorship of Hebrew, and addressed a statement to his fellow believers in Lucca (De fuga in persecutione). He taught for four years in Strasburg, till 1547, then at Oxford; but after the accession of Mary Tudor to the throne, he accepted an invitation to return to Strasburg. Meanwhile his wife had died at Oxford.

When news of this reached Strasburg, Vermigli was involved in conflict over the doctrine of the Lord's Supper with Westphal (q.v.). Moreover, he had already left Strasburg for Zurich, where he lived, beside Ochino, as the most highly esteemed Vermigli furmember of the Italian congregation. ther took part in the dogmatic conflicts of the age in a pronouncement on Stancaro's doctrine as to the merit of Christ, and against Bibliander's lax doctrine of free will (1560). He also controverted the doctrine of Ubiquity (q.v.), much in favor with Lutherans, in his Dialogus de utraque natura in Christo. He took prominent part in the conference at Poissy, 1561, and brought with him to Zurich a note of acknowledgment from Catherine de' Medici.

K. Benrath.

Bibliography: Works, other than those named in the text, worth noting are his Tractatio de sacra Eucharistia and Disputatio de eodem sacramento, London, 1549, Eng. transl., A Discourse or Traictise of Petur Martyr Vermill, 1562; and his commentaries on Romans, 1561, and on several books of the Old Testament. A worthy memorial is the ed. of Vermigli's Loci communes by Masson, London, 1576, and elsewhere often, Eng. transl., The Common Places... of Peter Martyr, London, 1583. Consult further: N. Taillepied, Hist. des vies... de... Pierre Martyr, Douay, 1580 (Roman Catholic); the Oratio by Simler, Zurich, 1562; F. C. Schlosser, Leben des... P. M. Vermigli, Heidelberg, 1807; C. Schmidt, Peter Martyr Vermigli, Elberfeld, 1858; Cambridge Modern History, ii. 302, 390 sqq., 477, 502-503, 508, New York, 1904; KL, xii. 789-793.

VERNON, AMBROSE WHITE: Congregationalist; b. in New York City Oct. 13, 1870. He was educated at Princeton (B.A., 1891), Union Theological Seminary (1894), and the universities of Berlin, Halle, and Göttingen (1894-96). He was pastor of the First Congregational churches at Hiawatha, Kan. (1896-99), and East Orange, N. J. (1899-1904); pastor of Church of Christ, Dartmouth College, N. H.; professor of Biblical literature in the same college (1904-07); and professor of practical theology in Yale Divinity School (1907-09). Since 1909 he has been pastor of Harvard Church, Brookline, Mass. He has written The Religious Value of the Old Testament (New York, 1907), and has edited the series Modern Religious Problems (1909), Songs for the Chapel (in collaboration with C. H. Morse, 1909), and Hymns of the Kingdom of God (in collaboration with H. S. Coffin, 1910).

VERONA, PETER OF. See PETER MARTYR.

VERONICA, ve-ren'i-ca or ver''ro-ni'ca: The traditional name of a pious woman of Jerusalem, who, according to the legend in its most common form, when Christ passed by her on his way to Golgotha, took off her head-cloth, and handed it to him in order that he might wipe the blood and sweat from his face; and, when he returned the cloth, his features had become impressed upon it (see Jesus Christ, Pictures and Images of, III.,

1, § 2). A modification of the legend identifier Veronica (or rather Berenice, according to Johanna of Malala, in Chronographia, x. 306-308; in CSHB) with the woman "diseased with an issue of blood" (Matt. ix. 20-22). Another represents her as spring from royal blood, a grand-daughter of Herod the Great, evidently confounding her with Berenies, the niece of Herodias. The manner in which the portrait was brought to Rome is generally represented as follows: the Emperor Tiberius was set; and, having heard of the wondrous cures wrought by the portrait, he sent for Veronica. She obeyed the call, and went to Rome, and, as soon as the emperor had touched the cloth, he was cured. Veronica remained in Rome, and, when she died, bequeathed the relic to Clement, the successor of Peter. In the beginning of the eighth century, Pope John VII. asserted that the Church of St. Maria Maggiore was in possession of the miraculous portrait; but it was shown only to kings and princes, and only under special conditions. Both Milan, however, and Jaen in Spain, claim to have the genuine head-cloth of Veronica. It is worth noticing that in the thirteenth century (Gervasius of Tilburg, Otia imperialia, xxv.; Matthew of Paris, on the year 1216), it was not the possessor of the cloth, but the cloth itself which was called "Veronica, this being based on the word-play vera icon, "the true picture." Most probably the legend is a growth; first came the story, which is even likely-Christ may well have received this kindness from a pitying bystander; then the legend that the cloth had upon it the "true picture" of Christ's face: then the name of this became the name of the person giving it.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: ASB, Feb., i. 449-457; W. Grimm, Dis Sage vom Ursprung der Christusbilder, Berlin, 1843; Elsemont, Mémoires, i. 471-472; K. Pearson, Die Fronies ein Beitrag zur Geschichte der Christusbilder im Mittelahr, Strasburg, 1887; J. Palme, Die deutschen Veronicologischen des 12. Jahrhunderts, Prague, 1892; E. von Dobechtis, in TU, iii (1899); idem, Christusbilder, Leipsie, 1899; idem and L. Cust, in Burlington Magazine, Sept., 1961; W. Weale, in Dietsche Warande, new series, iii. 600-615; C. G. N. de Booys, in Tijdschrift voor Nederlandsche Tusten Letterkunde, vol. xx., 1901; DCB, iv. 1107-08.

VESPASIAN, ves-pê'zhi-an, TITUS FLAVIUS: Roman emperor 69-79. He was born in a little Sebine village of noble family on his mother's side 9 A.D.; d. there June 23, 79. In the confusion and turmoil which followed the death of Nero, Vespasian was proclaimed emperor by the army in Egypt July 1, 69. His officers subdued Italy for him, then in the possession of Vitellius, when he left Titus in charge of the forces and went to the capital. Although he held high civil offices, his genius lay in the direction of military affairs. Serious in nature, he gave himself to the unreserved performance of his duties. Posessed of a good education, he was a man of order and discipline, and gave the impression of an up right, painstaking, and benevolent man, though tainted with sensuality. He married Flavia Domatilla, who bore him Titus, Domitian, and Flavis Domatilla. His attitude toward the Christians is unknown; the statement that he was not pleased at slaughter and lamented even just punishmen (Suctonius, "Vespasian," chap. xv.) has been con strued to mean that he continued to assail Chris

tanity. Possibly in the attack on the Davidic house (Baselius, Hist. eccl., III., xii.; NPNF, i. 146) some Oristians suffered, but the motive was purely policial, and no sure records of martyrs exist. His mign was significant in Jewish history, the capture of Jewalem taking place then through the operations of Titus. The Christians had earlier left the city (Eusebius, Hist. eccl., III., v. 3; NPNF, i. 128) and settled at Pella. (VICTOR SCHULTZE.)

ENGLOSSIUS, PLUS. CCCK., III., V. 3; NFNT, 1.

188) and settled at Pella. (VICTOR SCHULTZE.)

BREMORLPHY: Sources are the "Lives" of Sustonius (good ed by M. Nisard, with Fr. transl., Paris, 1883); Dion Casius, Hist., chap. Ixvi.; Eusebius, Hist. eccl., III., v., zi, Eng. transl. in NPNF, 1 ser., vol. i.; Epiphanius, Her., xxxix. 7. Consult in general the works on the labory of the period, including those on the Apostolic Asy; L. 8. Le Nain de Tillemont, Hist. des empereurs, ii. 1 zqu. 6 vols., Paris, 1700-38; H. Schiller, Geschichte der rimiches Kaiserseit, i. 2, pp. 499 squ. Gotha, 1883; W. M. Ramsay, Church in the Roman Empire, pp. 256-258 et pasim, London, 1893; W. Smith, Dictionary of Greek and Roman Biography and Mythology, iv. 1246-48, ib. 1890 (good for the secular side); DCB, iv. 1116-1117; and the works under Perbecutions of the Christians.

VESPERS: The principal evening service of the Breviary (q.v.). In signification it was held to correspond to the evening sacrifice of the Old Testament, and also to commemorate the descent from the cross, the interrelation of the canonical hours being given in the mnemonic verses:

"Matine bindeth Christ, who purgeth our evil away;
Prime sees him spat upon, and terce condemns him to death;
Sext him doth crucify, nones pierceth his side;

Vespers takes him from the cross, at compline he rests in the tomb."

And a third magnine is given yearners by the

And a third mystic meaning is given vespers by the fact that it is recited about the hour of the day when the Last Supper was celebrated.

Vespers was the first canonical hour to be added to the original three, terce, sext, and nones (Dan. vi. 10; Acts ii. 15, iii. 1, x. 9), which alone were known to Clement of Alexandria, Tertullian, and Cyprian, while vespers and matins were known by the time of Chrysostom. By the time of Jerome there were six hours, three in the day and three in the night. In the course of the fifth century compline, originally recited about nine in the evening, was added, thus making the complete number of seven canonical hours, which later became eight when the first hour was divided into matins (about 3 A.M.) and prime (about 6 A.M.), as is found in the rules of Benedict of Nursia, Columban, Isidore, and the majority of monastic writers of the sixth and seventh centuries. From that time vespers was recited about 6 P.M., which is the present usage in the Roman Catholic Church. Until compline became a distinct hour, twelve psalms were usually sung at vespers, but later this number was reduced to seven, four being sung at vespers and three at compline. Benedict required also the reading of a chapter of the Bible, a responsory, the hymn of St. Ambrose with the versicles, the Magnificat, Kyrie eleison, Lord's Prayer, and collects.

Many of the older Lutheran liturgies retained matins and vespers, but these all proved unsuccessful. In the nineteenth century, however, many successful efforts were made for the restoration of vespers on Sundays and festivals. [In the Anglican Church the ancient hours of vespers and compline are combined in the service for daily evening prayer

(cf. J. H. Blunt, Annotated Book of Common Prayer, pp. 17–18, 178, New York, 1903).] See Breviary; Canonical Hours. (O. Zöckler†.)

Bibliography: Besides the works of Bäumer and Batiffol named under Brbylary, consult: H. M. Sengelmann, Vesperglocke, Leipsic, 1855; I. Hengstenberg, Ueber Vespergottesdienste, Berlin, 1861; Evangelische Kirchenseitung, 1860, pp. 349 sqq., 487 sqq.; M. Herold, Vesperale oder die Nachmittage unserer Feste und ihre gottesdienstliche Bereicherung, Nördlingen, 1875; K. von Lilliencron, Litterarisch-musikalische Geschichte der evangelischen Gottesdienste, pp. 1523-1700, Sleswick, 1893; KL, xii. 869-871.

VESSELS, SACRED.

The Chalice or Cup (§ 1).
The Paten (§ 2).
The Pyx or Ciborium and Monstrance (§ 3).
Spoons; the Holy Spear; the Colum (§ 4).
Sacred Vessels in the Wider Sense (§ 5).

The expression sacred vessels (vasa sacra) denotes those used in the Lord's Supper, or, in wider sense, all the vessels and utensils of the church service. First in order comes the chalice or cup (Lat. calix; Gk. poterion), which was used from the very beginning. No examples from early Christian

times are extant, but it is known that

1. The there were various forms, the chief
Chalice being the bulging two-handled cantharus, beside which the simple cup

and bowl were also used (cf. V. Schultze, Archäologie der altchristlichen Kunst, pp. 125-126, Munich, 1895). Precious and ordinary metals, clay, and glass are mentioned as material. In course of the two art eras of the Middle Ages, a uniform style was developed. The Romanesque chalice has a conical circular base upon which rises, interrupted by a bulging knob (nodus), a short stem, supporting a hemispherical bowl (cuppa). Base, shaft, and cup are ornamented freely. Noteworthy German examples are the "Bernward" chalice in St. Gotthard's Church at Hildesheim (twelfth century), with Old- and New-Testament scenes; a chalice in the Church of the Holy Apostles at Cologne, with fine filigree work and figures of the apostles; above all the chalice of the abbey at Wilten, in Tyrol, the surfaces of which are entirely overlaid with engraved and beaten designs and adornments (cf. H. Otte, Kunstarchāologie des deutschen Mittelalters, i. 215 sqq., Leipsic, 1883). The Gothic in its aspiration toward elegance and vertical construction supersedes the hemisphere by a coniform cup, designs the base after foliage patterns, usually with six leaves, employs a polygonal stem, and ornaments the same with diagonally arranged bosses (rotuli). The engraver's art is restricted. The Renaissance increases the height of the chalice, makes the bowl wider, and applies its decoration richly. The baroque and rococo styles carry this tendency to extremes. The Lutheran Church retained the traditional forms, or favored their further development; whereas the Reformed churches undertook to restore the chalice to " apostolic" simplicity, even allowing the wooden cup. The Greek Church, so far as is known, has generally adhered to the plain forms of about 800. The medieval Church of the West, so long as it retained communion in both kinds, distinguished between ministerial chalices" (calices ministeriales) for the

use of the laity (ministerialis=" of lower condition or status") for more convenient handling, often provided with two handles (calices ansati), and priestly chalices for the daily observance of the mass. The wine was usually consecrated in the latter cup, and then poured into the larger cup, already partly filled with unconsecrated wine. Only on extraordinary occasions, as at episcopal masses (whence the designation "pontifical chalice"), were vessels used which had come to the Church by way of costly gifts. For the use of the newly baptized, moreover, they had so-called "baptismal chalices (calices baptismales). Precaution against spilling the consecrated wine, elicited, from about the ninth century, the use of the suction tube (fistula, pipa) of precious metal or glass; it had a handle attachment and was offered to the communicants by the deacon. On occasion of festival masses the pope still uses the same, and in some instances the practise was retained for some time in the churches of the Reformation. To satisfy ecclesiastical uses the chalice had to be consecrated, and when so set apart it was marked with an engraved cross. In the matter of the material, various church ordinances came into existence, the object of which above everything else was to exclude unworthy materials (wood, lead), or brittle stuff (earthenware, glass; cf. Hefele, Conciliengeschichte, iii. 639, iv. 554, 756, v. 688, vi. 491). Silver and gold ranked as excellent materials. Inscriptions, such as dedications, Old- and New-Testament quotations, religious and dogmatic statements, were often employed, preferably about the The practise in primitive Christian worship of having the wine supplied by members of the congregation required larger jars (scyphi, amæ) to receive the same, which appear to have resembled in form the ancient mixing jars (V. Schultze, ut sup., p. 126). Even after this custom died out, it remained necessary, so long as the laity received full communion, to keep the wine in readiness in larger vessels of clay, stone, or metal, which the subsequent legendary accretion often resolved into waterpots of the marriage in Cana. Upon exclusion of the cup from the laity, these vessels naturally decreased in size, and merged into the eucharistic vials (ampullæ). Even at an early period, art appropriated these objects, creating specimens costly both in material (silver, gold, sardonyx, agate) and workmanship (enamel, chasing). The duplication of the vessels finds its reason in the prescribed mixing of the wine with water; hence it happens, toward the close of the Middle Ages, that eucharistic vials are found distinguished by the letters V (vinum, "wine") and A (aqua, "water").

The vessel which serves to receive the consecrated bread during the communion is the paten (Lat. patena, Gk. patanē, "plate"). The use of

ordinary bread at the earlier, or more ancient, celebration of the eucharist, implies that this was a real platter, of considerable size and weight. So it

remained in the early Middle Ages, as the wafer was much larger down to the twelfth or thirteenth century than in later times. The material of the paten was probably at first terra-cotta or glass; but in the era following Constantine heavy gold and silver

patens are heard of in the treasure of Roman bid ops and in other connections. In the cathedral treasury at Halberstadt there is a magnificent gildel silver paten, sixteen inches in diameter, with riche decorated figures and other ornamentation, brough by Bishop Conrad to Halberstadt from Byzanting in 1215. Noteworthy specimens of German origin are also extant, such as the one in St. Gotthard's Church at Hildesheim, with a filigree setting of pearls and precious stones. Most of these elaborate specimens are associated with ministerial chalien. In the Gothic period the paten becomes smaller and less ornamental. It has also very little depth in this period. The rim not infrequently contains inscriptions relating to the communion. In the Greek Church, for protection of the consecrated bread when it is veiled, two metal strips (asteristo), put together in the form of a cross and provided with bent feet, are placed over the paten (Gk. diskos; cf. design in D. Sokolow, Darstellung des Gottesdienstes der orthodox-katholischen Kirche des Morgenlandes, p. 11, Berlin, 1893).

For holding the consecrated as well as the unconsecrated bread, whether in church or on occasion of the administration of communion abroad, vessels of various forms and sizes were used under the general designation of pyxis, capsa, arca; also ciborisms and suspensio, from their place beneath the altar canopy (ciborium). The simplest form is that of

the cylindrical wafer caskets, with flat
3. The or arching cover, of metal or ivory,
Pyx or some few of which have come down
Ciborium from Christian antiquity (cf. Victor
and Schultze, ut sup., pp. 274 sqq.). In
Monstrance. the second half of the Middle Ages
the pyx was much elaborated: reging

the pyx was much elaborated; resting upon a cup-like base, it copied the structural plan of a tower (turris, turriculum). In the later Middle Ages this development reached its culmination in the stone or metal tabernacle erected at the north end of the choir, on the wafer side of the altar, being sometimes executed with admirable artistic skill; its structural pattern was the Gothic tower (superior examples of this kind in the Ulm Cathedral; in the Church of St. Lawrence in Nuremberg, by Adam Kraft; and elsewhere). This development was anticipated in the cucharistic shrines of earlier ages. The consecrated element was enclosed in a compartment of lattice-work.

During that stage of its development when processions and public display of the Host became prominent, the festival of Corpus Christi led to the construction and use of a vessel that should at once augustly and visibly present the blessed sacrament to the eye. Thus the monstrance came into being (monstrantia, ostensorium, custodia, tabernaculum). There was, however, no need of a new invention, and the makers confined themselves to copying the transparent reliquaries and ciboria, which were already at hand, being occasioned by quite a similar purpose. For the base the Gothic chalice was imitated in the diversity of its standard forms. Its knob (nodus) likewise recurs, but with a greater tendency toward sumptuous elaboration. Upon the like support there mounts an artistic superstructure, designed like the transept of a church having three to five naves. The free plane surfaces are of both simpler and richer disposition. To gown all, there are one or more turrets (hence the designation turricula). The effect of richness is chanced by settings of pearls and precious stones. The Greek liturgy prescribes the presentation of

the elements mingled in the chalice, for which purpose there was in use, from quite early

4. Smons: times. a metal spoon (labis. labida).

4 Spoons; times, a metal spoon (labis, labida), the Hody the handle of which ends in a cross.

Spen; the Western Church inventories and donation records of the same time frequently mention spoons (cochlearia),

which may have served partly for mixing water and wine, partly in administering to the poor, being still in use for that purpose in Spain. To the Greek rites exclusively belongs the sacred spear (hē hagia leach), with which the bread is divided in the process of preparation. The Western liturgy no longer provides occasion for the colum (colum vinarium, coldorium—a strainer with a long handle, used as the wine was poured), which was widely employed in the first half of the Middle Ages, before the withdrawl of the cup from the laity.

Of mored vessels in the more comprehensive sense the following may be briefly mentioned: vessels for

the sacred oil (oleum catechumenorum, 5 Sacred infirmorum; chrisma) of various de-Venels in signs; stationary censers with double the Wider covers, and the swinging thurible with Same. chains, occasionally of beautiful artis-

tic finish; the sprinkling-utensils used by the priest at mass, which freely affect animal forms, as the bear, griffin, or bird, together with their appertaining basins; lastly, holy-water vessels (nasa histralia), in the form of simple or decorated little metal pails. The entire category of these lesser and greater articles, comprehended under the designation of sacred utensils, is instructive alike in relation to the history of worship and to that of ecclesastical art. As the order of divine service became renovated according to the Evangelical conception in the sixteenth century, most of these objects muturally fell out of use; also in the Roman Church the subsequent development ran partly in other channels. VICTOR SCHULTZE.

Beniography: Bingham, Origines, II., xix. 17, xx. 4, VI., vi. 13, VIII., vi. sqq.; the articles on the several vessels in the dictionaries, as in DCA; and the literature under Symposium; and Worship.

VESTMENTS AND INSIGNIA, ECCLESIASTICAL.

- I. Introduction. II. In the Roman Cath-
- 2. Special Vestments and Insignia.
- olic Church.

 1. Ordinary Vestments.

 III. In the Greek Church.

 IV. In Protestant Churches.

I. Introduction: The clerical vestments and adornments in Roman Catholic use are almost entirely of ancient and secular origin. Until recent years their historical foundation was sought in the Old-Testament worship; but now research has discovered a different origin; yet this fact has not entirely abrogated the symbolism which attaches to before the age of Constantine knew no distinction between secular and religious dress, although it may be understood that the latter was dignified

and rich; this is proved by representations in the catacombs. But the growth of the authority of the clergy, within and without the Church, the increasing esteem for the liturgy and its progressive development, and, not least, the continuous specialization of official dress, all combined to favor the use of richer and more varied materials and the marking of differences of rank among the clergy like that which obtained among secular officials; still, there was no question of a class distinction. The ecclesiastical garb first became peculiar in a strict sense when, under the influence of the migration of the Germanic tribes, the costumes as well as the forms of the ancient world passed away and the more convenient medieval dress was substituted, while the Church—and for a longer or shorter period, the upper classes and the higher officials also-clung to Roman or Greek fashions. Under the influence of the discovery by the liturgists of a supposed connection of the liturgical costume with that of Old-Testament worship, and then through the effect of custom and of the fashions of the beginning of the Middle Ages, a development was initiated, which did not indeed do away with the traditional usage, but transformed it more or less. Nevertheless, the history of ecclesiastical vestments in the Middle Ages shows no sharp divisions. The Renaissance and rococo periods, on the other hand, strongly asserted their peculiar taste. In the Greek Church the movement was much less marked. The Evangelical churches broke with the mode of dress which expressed the priestly and hierarchic character of the clergy, and found a modest substitute. Monuments are in this investigation a safer guide than literary sources. Yet a positive chronology can not, in many cases, be fixed for the historical evolution, and this is explained by the fact that this evolution did not everywhere follow along the same lines.

II. In the Roman Catholic Church.—1. Ordinary Vestments: A starting-point is found in the vestments worn by the priest at the celebration of the mass. The assumption of the separate garments takes place according to ecclesiastical rules in a fixed order, which this discussion follows: (1) The Amice (amictus, humerale, more rarely superhumerale) is an oblong linen cloth (at least thirty-two inches long and twenty-four wide), which is first placed upon the head and then brought down and drawn about the neck where it is fastened with cords. Originally it served as a head-covering for the priest; at present only a few orders wear it over the head on the way to and from the altar. The existence of the amice can be proved only since the end of the eighth century, and it is probably referable to some ancient priestly ceremonies. Its reference to the ephod of the Old Testament (q.v.) is purely arbitrary, as is the symbolical interpretation [faith] of liturgical writers; the attempt to explain it as a neck-cloth to protect the garment which rests upon it from perspiration is unsatisfactory. As long as the amice was worn upon the head or even projected above the other garments, embroidery or other ornamentation might be shown on it; but it gradually became hidden beneath the other vestments, so that at present only a cross is required;

this is kissed by the priest when he assumes the vestment. (2) The Alb is identical with the light tunic of antiquity, more precisely with the white tunic with sleeves (tunica manicata) which came down to the feet (tunica talaris, poderis, Gk. poderes, chiton). Even into the Carolingian period this was ordinarily worn by the clergy as a part of the ordinary dress. The exclusion of the tunic from daily use raised the alb to the dignity of a specific liturgical garment. Apart from its cut and color, its origin is recalled by the strips of purple or of cloth of gold which were sewed on (clavi, lorum; hence the names albæ monolores, dilores, trilores), with other ornamental pieces of colored stuffs (paraturæ, paruræ), in the form of a square or an oblong; as there were five of these, a connection was found with the five wounds of Christ (cf. the designations plage, plagulæ). In addition, further ornamentation, even complete pictures, came to be applied. After the sixteenth century a strong reaction set in; laces and edgings came into use. Recently linen lace is required and linen is also prescribed for the garment itself. The alb is worn by the clerics ranking not lower than subdeacon. [The symbolism is purity and innocence.] (3) The Cincture (cingulum, cinctorium, balteus) is required by the form of the alb. Linen is preferred, although wool and silk are not excluded. In the Middle Ages the cincture was often a splendid decoration of the higher clergy, and was richly ornamented with gold, silver, and precious stones. (4) The Maniple (mappula, manipulus, fanon) is a narrow strip of material similar to the stole (see below), worn over the left forearm or upper arm; formerly, the ends hung down freely, now, however, they are sewed together. The material was originally linen, but at present it is the same as that of the chasuble (see below). The rich ornamentation of the maniple usual in the Middle Ages, when it was longer, has now almost disappeared. Not more than three crosses are required, while one satisfies the rubric. It is worn by bishops, priests, deacons, and subdeacons, and, as a rule, only during the office of the mass. The origin of this vestment, the liturgical use of which can be proven from the eighth or ninth century, is not certain. It is commonly regarded as having been originally a handkerchief; recently an attempt has been made to connect it with the arm-bands worn by the assistants at the heathen sacrifices. [The symbolism is strength, endurance.] (5) The Stole (orarium) is a long narrow strip of fabric, which, hanging from the neck, falls down right and left over the breast. During the celebration of mass, the bands are crossed in front, the bishop alone wears them hanging parallel; the deacon, who may wear the stole at greater functions, may only bear it on the left shoulder. The material is usually the same as that of the chasuble. The ornament tion was generally confined to embroidered Latin crosses; in the episcopal stoles, however, it was often very elaborate. The little bells which are sometimes found on the lower edge are based on Ex. xxviii. 33 sqq. The name stola, which was introduced only at a later period and does not apply to the article, obscures its origin, since this name designated an

article of female apparel. The parallel orwins sudarium shows clearly that the stole comes from the handkerchief which was worn around the neck or the arm in ancient times. [The symbolism is patience.] (6) The Chasuble, the special priestly was ment for the mass, was at first a long sleevelen mantle provided with an opening in the center to admit the head. It was originally worn in ancient times by people of the lower orders, but it gradeally found entrance into other circles and so reached the monks and the clergy. The historical development of the alb raised this article, about the begining of the Middle Ages, to the rank of an exclusively liturgical garment for the priesthood, after it had been used for a time in other than clerical circles. This dedication to liturgical purposes necessitated some modifications; for instance, the mantle was shortened, and it was provided with drawing-strings and slits at the sides. During and after the Rensissance the chasuble was deformed into the present tasteless, stiff, bass-viol form, so that both parts, loosely connected, lay on the breast and the back. In the earlier Middle Ages wool was almost exclusively the material. The influence of Gothic art led to the more frequent use of silk and this became the rule in the fifteenth century. In the beginning white was in general use, but gradually a gradation of colors for various times and festivals was established (see Symbolism, Ecclesiastical, II., § 7)-The ornamentation was confined in older times to a band edging the head-opening and running down on breast and back. Additions were the furcated cross, leaf patterns, armorial bearings, figures, and scenes. Hand in hand with this went the costly decoration with gold, silver, and jewels. The chasuble now in common use is distinguished by a Latin. cross on both sides. Common fabrics—linen, cotton, or especially coarse woolen stuffs—are now forbidden. [The symbolism is charity.] (7) The Cope (Pluvial) was in antiquity an open mantle with a hood, cappa, and came in from secular use. It seems to have been especially worn by the canons in the choir (cappa choralis); it recommended itself for processions also as a protection against inclement weather (cappa pluvialis, pallium pluviale, whence the designation pluviale). It found its way into liturgic use and became obligatory for special services, e.g., vespers (vesper-mantle). It also developed into an episcopal robe of state (cappa pontificalis) with elaborate ornamentation. The cope resembles the chasuble, but is open in front and is held together on the breast by a clasp. Toward the Middle Ages the hood gradually disappeared and was finally transformed into a small piece of cloth with decoration (clipeus), which hung down the back. On the other hand, a train was later added to the episcopal cope. (8) The Dalmatic was introduced from Dalmatia, and resembled the tunic, though it was more elaborate; it was much favored by the higher classes. When it passed out of general use, toward the beginning of the Middle Ages, the Church retained it as a vestment for deacons and bishops especially, to whom its use was eventually confined. The sleeves and skirt were shortened and the sides were more and more cut out. On the other hand, the strips which were sewed on (clavi) and the color

remained. The episcopal dalmatic espens often the object of costly art-workmanhe Tunicle (tunicella), which is assigned to leacon, differs but little [if at all] from the 1. (9) The Surplice or Cotta, a convenient for liturgical purposes, permissible to all y, was created from the alb (which became d to use at mass) by shortening and simpli-

d to use at mass) by shortening and simpli-The designation superpelliceum comes e old custom, especially common in monass, of wearing a linen garment over the fur cessitated by the long services. The malinen. Alongside of the comfortable, wideurplice there exists as a variety the closelochet (rochetum, from roccus, "coat"), a of the higher clergy, although it was worn regions by the common clergy also. Lay nts (sacristans, choir-boys) are also perhe use of the surplice. The decoration was y modest and usually confined to an emd hem. From the Renaissance period laces d. The symbolism is like that of the chas-10) The Biretta (birretum) used to protect l, which was rendered especially sensitive onsure, was small and soft at first, and was rger only after the fifteenth century, when iven its present stiff, four-cornered shape. scial Vestments and Insignia: The ponbes of the bishops include the above-menrestments. The higher orders have vestnd insignia as follows: (11) The episcopal d stockings. At the beginning of the Middle : shoes (sandalia, calceamenta) belonged to eral liturgical attire; from the tenth or century, these and the stockings combined m (caliga)—of linen, later of silk—are a ive of the bishops. The usual color is vio-?) The gloves (chirotecæ, manicæ) are not o have been in use before the twelfth cenntil the fourteenth century they were of red silk, after this the liturgical colors aphe rim was gradually enlarged to resemble let. The oldest and most characteristic it is the circulus aureus on the upper part ılm, a gold-embroidered or metal disk, with (lamb, cross, etc.) and precious stones. e sixteenth century, the woven glove came and the shape was developed mainly after el of the dress glove. (13) The Ring (aniscopalis) can be proven to have been among opal insignia from an early period. At the e bishop wears it over the pontifical glove ourth finger of the right hand. Other clerlitaries who are privileged to wear a ring r it aside on this occasion. According to ring should consist of a simple gold circlet ingle stone, but numerous rich and elabosimens are found. (14) The Rational (raof. Ex. xxviii. 30) is a light shoulder-cloth of form which is made up of several strips of ornamented with hollow plates on the s or on the breast, or on shoulder and breast, warded by the pope to individual bishops cial distinction. It is worn immediately chasuble and only at the pontifical mass. ot be determined whether it is patterned after an ancient garment; it is, however, certain that the breast-plate of the high-priest and the Ephod (q.v.) were factors in its evolution. (15) The Pectoral Cross (crux pectoralis), which arose from the custom of wearing a cross upon the breast, which according to common opinion acquired a peculiar prophylactic power by means of a relic, was restricted in the Middle Ages to the bishops, who employed this cross, even apart from ecclesiastical ceremonies, as one of the insignia of their dignity. The material is gold. (16) The Miter (mitra, mitrē, infula) is the liturgical head-covering of the bishops, including the pope. It is not possible to prove its existence with certainty before the tenth century. The form has passed through many variations. At first it was a round cap fitting the head closely with a brow band and ribbons falling down on the back of the neck. The miter soon developed into a biretta with edges turned up sharply; it then received a tall peaked termination and finally assumed an oval form. An ornamental band, decorated in special cases with precious metals and stones, surrounds the lower rim, a second vertical one divides the breadth. The fabric is also embroidered with designs and figures. The material is silk; only at councils are linen miters prescribed for the bishops, in order to distinguish them from the cardinals. (17) The Crozier (pedum, pastorale, virga) had its origin in the conception of the pastoral office of the bishops in connection with the idea of domination. This emblem is unknown to Christian antiquity, only at the beginning of the Middle Ages are traces of its use encountered. At first it seems to have been a staff with a straight handle, but at an early period alongside of this appeared the crook bent like a chamois-horn. In the course of the Romanic period, this takes on a bolder curve and is combined with designs and figures; the termination of a snake's or dragon's head was much favored. As material, ivory was used; in the Gothic period, gilded copper was substituted for the staff and precious metal for the crook. At the same time, Gothic art applies its architectural symbolism and gives the preference to figure-decoration, to scenes from the life of Mary and from the legends of the saints. Fine goldsmith-work now appears. The Renaissance and the rococo periods retain the fundamental form, but the characteristic taste of these periods was asserted in many essential details. The small linen cloth which is attached to the staff just below the crook (pannisellus, sudarium) was probably intended originally for a handkerchief; later it disappeared from the episcopal staff and remained on the abbot's staff, as a distinguishing mark (abbots, as also abbesses, bore the crozier). This emblem, however, is only permitted to the bishop within his diocese. Bishops' and abbots' croziers, from the Middle Ages, have been preserved in great numbers, even from early Romanic times, when the custom existed of laying them in the graves of their owners. (18) The Pallium (q.v.) consists of a white woolen band about three inches wide, interwoven with six black silk crosses; it encircles the shoulders, one band falling upon the breast and the other upon the back. Gold pins fasten it to the vestment beneath. It is

worn regularly only by the pope, primates, patriarchs, and archbishops over the chasuble, although certain specially privileged bishops also wore it. The pallia are made by nuns in S. Agnese near Rome, and are supposed to obtain a special consecration by being deposited in the grave of St. Peter. [(19) The Manteletta or Chimere is an episcopal garment which bishops wear when out of their own jurisdiction, in order to cover the rochet, which is one symbol of episcopal authority.] The dignitaries named above also enjoy the privilege of having a cross borne before them (crux archiepiscopalis), the crucifix side being turned toward them. (20) The Mozetta is a vestment which is the usual state dress of a bishop when not performing sacred functions. It is a short cape or cloak, open in front but susceptible of being buttoned over the breast, and has a small hood behind. It may be worn by the pope, by cardinals, bishops, abbots, and others to whom it is permitted by custom or papal privilege, as by canons in England. It is worn over the rochet, but when the prelate is out of his jurisdiction, he either wears it over the manteletta or not at all. By cardinals this vestment and the rochet are worn only in the churches from which they take their titles, except at Rome during a papal vacancy or at conclaves. The pope has five of these vestments. From the first vespers of the Ascension during the hot season he wears one of red satin except on vigils or penitential occasions, when the material is of red serge or camlet. The rest of the year the material is of red velvet, except on penitential occasions, when the material is of red woolen cloth; but from Holy Saturday till the second Saturday after Easter the mozetta is of white damask. The cardinals have four mozettas, of red or purple silk, violet silk, rosecolored silk, and violet serge. The cardinals are distinguished by purple garments and by a flat broad-brimmed hat from which hang, on the sides, bands with tassels. The proper costume of the pope is the episcopal, although it is in part more richly made and differs in some respects. For instance, instead of the crozier, he bears a tall cross with two or three arms. (21) A special distinction is, however, the Tiara (regnum, triregnum). This is the princely emblem of the pope and is, therefore, worn when his princely authority is to be manifested; in liturgical and ecclesiastical functions he wears instead the episcopal miter. The tiara does not appear before the eleventh century, and then at first only in the form of a peaked hat edged with embroidery; later it becomes taller and assumes a conical form. Although the tiara has a certain similarity to the miter, it is distinguished from the latter by having only one point. The difference is still more marked at the coronation. Even into the thirteenth century, a single circlet (regnum) surrounds the tiara, but under Boniface VIII. (1294-1308), a second was added, and finally a papal inventory of 1315 names three. It is possible that even in the time of Boniface VIII. the triple crown had appeared; in any case, this evolution was not far removed from his pontificate. (22) Lastly, brief allusion may be made to the liturgical comb, which the priest used for arranging his hair before the celebration of mass. This is also given to the bishop

at his consecration as his personal property therefore often found in bishops' graves; terial is ivory, often richly carved. Christ tiquity knows nothing of this article.

III. In the Greek Church: The history of gical and clerical vestments in Greek Chrirecords but little change. The results alre tained by the end of Christian antiquity whittle enriched. This fact corresponds with a servative character of the Greek Church. The theless, it appears that in the course of the Ages slight Latin influences were active. This, the relationship or correspondence the two churches can be explained from the mon origin of clerical vestments.

The liturgical vestments of the priest a posed of the following articles: the Stichs long, white, flowing garment of heavy gold-er ered silk, which corresponds to the alb or t matic of the Latins; the Zone, a girdle for a in the sticharion, more richly decorated that West; the Epimanikia, gauntlets, which se purpose of fastening the sticharion at the the Peritrachelion (Epitrachelion), a silken ornamented with golden crosses or in some way, which encircles the neck, its fringed end ing down to the feet; it is the stole of the and like the latter of antique origin; for t trachelion of the deacon, the Hellenized Lati orarium was employed; the Phelonion, the vestment properly so called, had the same as the chasuble, but here the earliest form mained. With a simple opening for the h hangs in folds about the body. It is con made of silk, is richly embroidered with cross is subject to the liturgical change of color Hypogonation, a sack of a square form with or a sacred image as ornament, worn at t side, is only an honorary distinction, and de belong to the ecclesiastical costume. The lit vestments of the bishops were the same; I culiar to them are the Omophorion, the Gre lium, quite similar to the Latin, and the a stiff sack, hypogonation, worn on the right origin of the latter, which the bishops n at consecration, is doubtful. The bishop's is decorated with a valuable cross and with dallion bearing a sacred image (panagia). Hi is indicated by the staff (rhabdos), whose c turned upward. Further, one of the insithe bishop is the miter, a low cap reseml crown, covered with artistic embroidery, p stones, and gold ornaments; above it rises: The metropolitans and the patriarchs wear of the phelonion the Sakkos, a richly embro close-fitting garment with wide sleeves. Th liturgical dress of the priests and bishops of a long, black coat of many folds and a cyl hat, which is lower in the case of the lesser The bishops up to the patriarchs inclusiv besides this a large mantle (mandyas), open and fastened by clasps; the hem is adorn cross-stripes and the corners with pieces of cloth sewn on. The patriarch has also the two crosses; his hood-like head-covering rea monastic class from which he comes. Over

broad flat hat, on the upper surface of ight blue cross is seen (cf. the rather limction of representations in D. Sokolow, and des Gottesdienstes der orthodoxen kathoirche des Morgenlandes, p. 25, Berlin, 1893). The menian church shows great variety and note in her ecclesiastical vestments. Still mon characteristics appear everywhere in church has simpler forms (A. J. Butler, ent Coptic Churches of Egypt, 2 vols., Ox-4). For the Nestorian Church in Syria rcy Badger, The Nestorians and their Ritdon, 1852).

Protestant Churches: The Reformation, exclusion of the hierarchy and the respecial acts of worship, was forced to a part of the liturgical vestments and signia. While there was no need of a break with the past, this step was taken siasts whenever they were able to accomand the Reformed Church also pursued way more or less radically. In 1523 in his Auslegung und Grund der Schlusswe it as his judgment that cowl, cross, tonsure are not " neither good nor bad, "bad," so he abolished them as soon de. Luther, on the other hand, saw in ternals things indifferent in themselves, only in his time but long after, the ment was still used. The Interim (q.v.) usage new support and procured for it spread. However, the general trend of ent was in another direction, and in fact p the gown worn by the middle classes, itle covering the whole body, which varied al, color, and cut according to rank and Luther preached for the first time in the vn of the scholars on the afternoon of 24. In the altar picture by Lucas Cranach idtkirche at Wittenberg he is represented t in the pulpit. Calvin and Zwingli also I their functions wearing the gown. With went the biretta, which had driven out the dress of the burghers and appears in ariety of forms. The clergy wear it as a e form of a rich low cap, which gained a he head by means of a stiff lower projecwas sometimes also provided with an upim. In the seventeenth century the Spanne began to influence the gown and led to ete change. The mantle is transformed mple, long coat, buttoned up in front. ruff was introduced and has maintained to this day in some parts of Germany. d coat collars were reduced in secular as erical usage to two linen strips resting on t and called beffchen (from the Low Ger-, diminutive beffken), which have been pre-the present time. In the eighteenth cencloak of the French abbés found its way nan use. The head is covered by a small, p. Although there is a certain general t in the vestments of Germany and Swit-

ing des 26. Artikels (ed. Egli and Finsler, II., 251): Lutten, Krütz, hembder, platten nit nun weder gut under sy sind allein bös." zerland, considerable differences also show themselves. However, since the surplice of to-day was prescribed in Prussia by a royal ordinance of Jan. 1, 1811, in which way the gown came to life again, unity was much favored, and it is again as complete as in the sixteenth century. At the same time the stiff biretta, resembling a hat, reappeared. The surplice still survives as a relic of the Middle Ages in some Evangelical churches of Germany. On the other hand, in the Danish, Norwegian, and Swedish churches, it is a permanent part of the liturgical dress. The Anglican church has kept in closer touch with the past (see RITUALISM).

VICTOR SCHULTZE. Bibliography: Notable patristic contributions to the subject are: Isidore of Seville, Officiorum libri II., and Etymologiarum. libri xx.; Walafrid Strabo, De ecclesiasticarum rerum exordiis et incrementis; Amalarius of Metz, De ecclesiasticis officiis; Rabanus Maurus, De sacris ordinibus, sacramentis divinis et vestimentis sacerdotalibus; Pseudo-Alcuin, De divinis officiis, in MPL, ci. 1174 sqq.; Ivo of Chartres, Sermo de significatione indumentorum sacerdotalium, in MPL, clxii. 519 sqq.; Hugo of St. Victor, Sermo de vestibus sacris, in MPL, clxxvii. 927 sqq.; Honorius of Autun, Gemma anima, in MPL, clxxii. 543 sqq.; and Innocent III., De sacro altaris mysterio, in MPL, ccxvii. 780 sqq. Consult further: E. von Muralt, Lexidion der morgenländischen Kirche, Leipsic, 1838; J. M. Neale, Hist. of the Holy Eastern Church, London, 1850; F. Bock, Geschichte der liturgischen Gewänder des Mittel-F. Bock, Geschichte der Inturgischen Gewänder des Mittelalters, 3 vols., Bonn, 1859-71; A. W. Pugin, Glossary of Ecclesiastical Ornament and Costume, London, 1859; H. Weiss, Kostümkunde, Stuttgart, 1859 sqq.; K. J. Hefele, Beiträge zur Kirchengeschichte, Archäologie und Liturgie, vol. ii., Tübingen, 1864; A. Dolby, Church Vestments, their Origin, Use, and Ornament, London, 1868; W. B. Marriott, Vestiarium Christianum: The original and gradual Descentage of the Dress of the Mark Mariotan in the contraction of the Press of the Mark Mariotan in the contraction of the Press of the Mark Mariotan in the contraction of the Press ual Development of the Dress of the Holy Ministry in the Church, ib. 1868; R. Garrucci, Storia della arte cristiana, Prato, 1873 sqq.; J. A. Martigny, Dictionnaire des anti-Prato, 1873 sqq.; J. A. Martigny, Dictionnaire des anti-quités chrétiennes, part iii., new ed., Paris, 1877; J. von Hefner-Alteneck, Trachten, Kunstwerke und Gerütschaften vom frühen Mittelalter, 2d ed., Frankfort, 1880 sqq.; A. Kretschmar and C. Rohrbach, Die Trachten der Völker, 2d ed., Leipsic, 1880-82; M. H. Bloxam, Principles of Gothic Ecclesiastical Architecture, vol. iii., London, 1882; C. Rohault de Fleury, La Messe. Études archéologiques, C. Rohault de Fleury, La Messe. Études archéologiques, 8 vols., Paris, 1883 sqq. (indispensable, especially vols. vii.-viii.); A. P. Stanley, Christian Institutions, chap. viii., London, 1884; V. Thalbofer, Handbuch der katholischen Liturgik, i. 856 sqq.. Freiburg, 1887; A. Riegl, Die ägyptischen Textilfunde, Vienna, 1889; R. Forrer, Die Gröber- und Textilfunde in Achmin-Panopolis, Strasburg, 1891; idem, Römische und bysantische Seiden-Textilien aus... Achmim-Panopolis, ib. 1891; W. Lockhart, The Chasuble: its Form and Size, London, 1891; L. Clugnet, Dictionnaire gree-français des noms liturgiques... dans l'église greeque, Paris, 1895; O. J. Reichel, English Litur-l'église greeque, Paris, 1895; O. J. Reichel, English Liturl'église grecque, Paris, 1895; O. J. Reichel, English Litur-giegl Vestraget, 1816. gical Vestments in 13th Century, London, 1895; J. K. Boyle, Ecclesiastical Vestments: their Origin and Significance, 1896; R. A. S. Macalister, Ecclesiastical Vestments, their Development and History, ib. 1896; J. Braun, Die lit-urgische Gewandung im Occident und Orient, Freiburg, 1901; Historic Dress of the Clergy, London, 1897 S. Tyack, W. Durand, The Sacred Vestments, an English rendering of the Third Book of the Rationale divinorum officiarum, with notes by T. H. Passmore, ib. 1899; J. M. B. Clauss, H. Wilson, Why and Wherefore, Milwaukee, 1909 (deals with ornaments, vestments, and ritual); Mann, Popes, i. 413–419 (on the pallium).

VESTRY: A term originally applied to that portion of a church (usually a special room or even a separate building) where the sacred vestments and the like were kept, and where the clergy robed for divine services. Since, however, meetings were often held there by those of the parishioners concerned with the business of the parish, the word came to be applied to the body entrusted with the temporal affairs of the parish, even when meeting in some place which had no connection with the church property. The laws governing the duties and rights of vestries differ much in England and America, on account of the far closer relation between Church and State in England, though it is only in connection with the Anglican communion that the vestry, properly speaking, exists.

In England a distinction is drawn between general and special vestries, the former including all rated for poor relief, even though not living within the parish bounds, while the special vestry is a smaller body chosen from the general vestry, and corresponds to the American connotation of the term. The duties of the English vestry are to elect church-wardens, to nominate proper persons for appointment as overseers of the poor, to adminster the parish property, and frequently to levy taxes for and superintend the performance of paving and lighting the parish, and they are also empowered, in case an old burying-ground be deemed inadequate or dangerous to health, to make provision for the acquisition of a new one.

In the United States the constituency and duties of the vestry vary considerably; in some dioceses it is not even required that vestrymen be communicants. In general the vestry consists of the incumbent of the parish as presiding officer, two wardens, and a number of vestrymen. Their duties are concerned almost exclusively with the administration of the finances of the parish, and the rector (or other incumbent, as vicar, priest-in-charge, and the like) can make no disbursements or enter into any contracts involving the parish finances without their approval. They are bound to pay the incumbent the salary agreed upon, and it is out of their power to remove an incumbent after he has been duly accepted. On the other hand, the choice of a new incumbent, when the rectorate has fallen vacant, is practically under the control of the vestry, subject to the approval of the bishop of the diocese, who, either in person or through a bishop or priest appointed by him, is the actual institutor of the new incumbent. At the institution of a new incumbent, according to the American Office of Institution, the two wardens (or two vestrymen appointed by them) stand on the right and left of the altar, the senior warden holding the keys of the church, which, after the reading of the bishop's letter of institution, he gives to the new incumbent with the words: " In the name and behalf of-Parish [or Church] I do receive and acknowledge you, the Rev. A. B., as Priest and Rector of the same; and in token thereof, give into your hands the keys of this Church."

In case an incumbent fails to meet with the approval of the vestry and parish, complaint is to be lodged with the bishop of the diocese; but if the vestry can not themselves remove their incumbent, neither can he resign his charge without their con-

sent. During the absence of the incumbent the vestry have power to engage substitutes, and they are also empowered to elect the parish delegates to the diocesan conventions, while legally they are responsible for all the finances of the parish and for its debts, and must at any time show their minutes and other records and accounts to the bishop or any other person authorized to see them.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Bingham, Origines, VIII., vii. 7; S. Willerforce, Hist. of the Protestant Episcopal Church, London, 1856; M. Hoffmann, Ritual Law of the Church, London, 1872; H. M. Baum, Rights and Duties of . . . Verymen in the American Church, Philadelphia, 1879; B. E. Paddook, in Journal of the General Convention, 1833, Appendix x.; DCA, ii. 2013-14.

VETTER, fet'ter, PAUL: German Roman Catholic; b. at Oberdettingen (a village near Bibernel, 23 m. s.s.w. of Ulm), Württemberg, July 14, 1830; d. at Tübingen Sept. 21, 1906. He was educated at the University of Tübingen (Ph.D., 1872), and, after being lecturer at the Wilhelmstift in Tübingen and parish priest at Weiler, near Rothenburg, was in charge of the courses in Old-Testament exgens in the Roman Catholic faculty of the University of Tübingen (1890-93), being professor of the same subject there after 1893. He wrote Chosene Magni explication precum missæ e lingua Armiess in Latinam versa (Freiburg, 1880); Der apolrypte dritte Korintherbrief (Tübingen, 1894); and Mark des Buches Job (Freiburg, 1897).

VEUSTER, vv-stê', JOSEPH DE (better known as FATHER DAMIEN): Roman Catholic; b. st Tremeloo (16 m. n.e. of Brussels), Belgium; d. a the island of Molokai, Hawaii, Apr. 5, 1888. He became a novice of the order of the Fathers of the Sacred Heart of Jesus and Mary in 1858 and was admitted in 1860. In 1863 he was sent as its missionary to Hawaii and was there ordained a prist in 1864. He served on the islands of Hawaii and Molokai, and when the government segregated the lepers on the latter island he chose to live in the leper settlement, and acted not only as priest but as nurse, and in these services displayed both courage and devotion. He began this life in 1873 and remained immune to the disease until 1888, when he contracted it and soon died of it.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Auguste Pamphile de Veuster, Life sei Letters of Father Damien, the Apostle of the Leptrs. Rédél with Introduction by his Brother, Father Pamphile, Lordon, 1889; E. Clifford, Father Damien, 1889; Frances E. Cooke, The Story of Father Damien, 1889; Eugène Hubert, Hommage national au Père Damien, Le Père Damien, l'apôtre belge des lépreux de Molokai, Louvain, 1889; Parline Craven (Mme. A. Craven), Le Père Damien, 1890, 5th ed., 1899; R. Butaye, Leven van Pader Damienan, Brugge, 1890; H. H. Lauscher, Pater Damian. New het Fransch vertaald, met notas des Vertalers, Diest, 1890; R. L. Stevenson, Father Damien, an open Letter to the Re. Dr. Hyde of Honolulu, Sydney, 1890, London, 1890; Pater Damien, der Held von Molokai, Freiburg, 1891, 2d ed. 1899; H. F., Pater Damiaan, de Apostel der Melaatscha, Ghent, 1895; C. W. Stoddard, Father Damien, the Morty of Molokai, San Francisco 1901; Père Tauvel, Father Damien, translated from the French, London, new ed., 1904; Miss M. Quinlan, Damien of Molokai, London, 1909.

VIATICUM: Holy Communion administered to those in immediate danger of death, the term meaning literally "provision for a journey," and translating the Greek ephodion. In early times it was

AND THE RESERVE THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O

med for spiritual provision for the two great jourpers of life and death—baptism and the last communion, the word being employed in the former sense by Basil the Great (Hom., xiii.) and Gregory Nationsen (Oratio, xl. 11). Before long, however, the word became restricted to the last communion. Thus the thirteenth canon of the first Council of Nices (325) states that " concerning the departing, the ancient canonical law is still to be maintained, to wit, that, if any man be at the point of death, he must not be deprived of the last and most indispenable Viaticum. But, if any one should be restored to health again who has received the communion when his life was despaired of, let him remain among the who communicate in prayers only. But in general, and in the case of any dying person whatmerer asking to receive the Eucharist, let the bishop, after examination made, give it him." The visticum is repeatedly mentioned in later synods (eg., the alleged canons of the Synod of Carthage of 398, 76-77; Orange [441], canon 3; Vaison [442], canon 2; Agde [506], canon 15; Gerunda [517], canon 9; and Toledo [675], canon 11). The earlier mode of administration was evidently under both kinds, and intinction was also permissible; or, if the condition of the sick or injured man required it, either the bread or the wine might alone be given. In other words, the method of administration was and is, so far as may be, similar to the modes of communicating those in perfect health.

The ordinary requirement of fasting communion is dispensed with in the reception of the viaticum, which is now given before the sacrament of extreme unction (q.v.), although in the Middle Ages the reverse order was observed. Like extreme unction, it may be given more than once, and if there is recovery, the recipient is required to attend mass as before. The minister is the parish priest or some one deputed by him, though in case of sudden accident the nearest priest is to administer it. In earlier times this was not the case, for during persecutions it was given even by laymen (Eusebius, Hist. eccl., iv. 44), and Leo IV. (847-855) expressly forbade priests to send it by laymen or women (Mansi, Concilia, xiv. 891), while the Synod of Ansa (994) permitted none but priests to give it.

The elements administered in the viaticum are those customarily reserved after mass (see Reservation of the Sacrament). They are borne by the priest, wearing a purple stole, to the place where the sick or injured man may be, and, if possible, his confession is heard, with the ordinary absolution. There are also several versicles and responses, with a number of brief prayers; but the special form of the rite is the sentence, "Receive, brother, the Viaticum of the Body of our Lord Jesus Christ; may He preserve thee from the wicked enemy, and bring thee unto life everlasting. Amen."

In the Anglican Church the viaticum, though unmentioned under that name, is practically implied by the offices for the Visitation of the Sick (q.v.) and communion of the sick (see Lord's Supper, V., § 2), and about it has really centered in great part the long struggle within that communion regarding reservation of the Sacrament (q.v.). Unlike the

Roman use, however, the regular order for the celebration of the Eucharist is followed in general, with such deviations only as are appropriate to the special conditions which would naturally prevail in the communion of the sick. There is also in the Anglican offices, from the First Prayer-Book to the modern English and American uses, a special rubric providing that if, for any valid reason, the sick man be unable to be communicated physically, he does, if possessing true penitence and faith, receive the elements, "profitably to his soul's health, although he do not receive the Sacrament with his mouth."

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Bingham, Origines, XV., iv. 9; most Roman Catholic manuals of devotion contain the viaticum office, e.g., Manual of Prayers, pp. 476-481, Baltimore, 1888; F. Procter and W. H. Frere, New History of the Book of Common Prayer, pp. 626-629, London, 1910.

VICAR: An official representative or substitute, especially in ecclesiastical affairs. According to the teaching of the Roman Catholic Church, as Christ appointed Peter his representative (Matt. xvi. 18-19), this power of representation passed to the bishops of Rome for all time, so that very early this bishop was "vicar of St. Peter" (or, "of the apostolic see"), "vicar of Christ," or "vicegerent of God on earth." As "the successor of Blessed Peter, prince of the apostles, and vicar of Jesus Christ," the pope also has vicars—all patriarchs, primates, archbishops, and bishops (qq.v.); and, in a narrower sense, the Curia (q.v.), papal legates and nuncios (see LEGATES AND NUNCIOS, PAPAL), and the like. These vicars, in turn, have their own substitutes. Thus archbishops and bishops have, in their sacerdotal capacity, vicars in suffragans (see Weihbischof) and Coadjutors (q.v.); and in their jurisdictional capacity Vicars-general (q.v.) and vicars forane [the latter corresponding to the Anglican rural deans], as well as collegiate bodies and canons (see Chapter, § 3), while in case of vacancy of a see (see SEDES VACANS) the cathedral chapter administers it, though within a week it must choose a definite temporary head. Rectors of parishes likewise have vicars or curates, and may also have, if need be, perpetual or temporary vicars assigned them. The vicar's powers of representing his rector are, however, limited in many respects.

[In the Anglican Church the vicar is an incumbent of a parish, the tithes of which belong to a religious house or chapter, or to a layman, the vicar receiving only the smaller tithes or a fixed salary, so that in some cases he is termed a vicar-stipendiary. An archbishop or bishop may be assisted in the discharge of his non-episcopal functions by a vicargeneral, this office being represented in the American church by a rural dean (see Dean) or archdeacon (q.v.). Also, the chapels of a parish church are served by vicars, a rector presiding over the parent church, the best instance in the United States being Trinity Church, New York City.]

In the Lutheran Church the consistory and superintendents (qq.v.) are the vicars of church administration. Pastors also have vicars, appointed either at the pastor's desire or by the governing officials, and either temporarily or permanently, as circumstances require. The term is likewise applied loosely to any representative or assistant of

a pastor; while permanent vicars are placed in charge of self-supporting communities which peculiar conditions prevent from attaining parochial rank. (E. SEHLING.)

Bibliography: A. J. Binterim, Denkwürdigkeiten, i. 2, pp. 415 sqq., Mains, 1825; DCA, ii. 2015-16.

VICAR-GENERAL: In the Roman Catholic system, the representative of the bishop in the exercise of his jurisdiction. Such representatives have been appointed since the thirteenth century, at first apparently in connection with the efforts of the bishops to break down the independent power of the Archdeacon (q.v.). The appointment of a vicargeneral is at the discretion of the bishop, though in case of incapacity to conduct the affairs of the diocese he may be required by the pope to appoint one, or one may be appointed for him by the Congregation of Bishops and Regulars at Rome. Qualifications for the office are the possession of orders, or at least of the tonsure, the age of at least twentyfive years, knowledge of canon law (with a degree, if possible), legitimate birth and unmarried condition. He represents the bishop in his ordinary jurisdiction; but in certain specially important matters needs a definite authorization. From him, as the representative of the bishop, appeal lies not to the bishop, but to higher tribunals. His office is vacated by death or resignation, by the loss of jurisdiction on the part of the appointing bishop, or by the latter's revocation of his appointment. While in theory this last is perfectly free to the bishop, yet if he does it without any cause whatever, the vicargeneral has recourse to the Congregation of Bishops and Regulars, which may compel his restoration.

(P. Hinschiust.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: P. Hinschius, Kirchenrecht, ii. 205, Berlin, 1871; E. Friedberg, Lehrbuch des . . . Kirchenrechts, p. 169, Leipsic, 1895.

VICELIN, vî"cê-lin': Apostle to the Wagrian Wends; b. at Hameln-on-the-Weser (26 m. s.w. of Hanover) toward the end of the eleventh century; d. at Oldenburg (32 m. n. of Lübeck) Dec. 12, 1154. He studied at Paderborn, where he became the assistant of Master Hartmann at the monastery school; later he was made head of the school at Bremen, where he distinguished himself for severity and ability. He then seems to have resided in France for a time (probably between 1123 and 1126), after which he was ordained priest and returned to his canonry at Bremen, and there found his life-mission when Archbishop Adalbero bade him preach the Gospel to the Wends.

The situation in the northern Slavic region belonging to the province of Hamburg seemed not unfavorable to such an enterprise, for, about 1093, after the reign of the pagan Kruto, Henry, son of the murdered Prince Gottschalk, had succeeded in regaining his father's dominions, ruling for thirty years, from Wagria to Hither Pomerania. Between him and the neighboring Christian princes, especially the dukes of Saxony, friendly relations prevailed, but though himself a Christian, he did not imitate the missionary zeal of his father, whose fate he seems to have feared for himself. Notwithstanding this passive attitude of Henry, Adalbero felt that the time had come for the reestablishment

of missions to the Wends, among whom peace at last reigned, and he accordingly sent into the Wendish lands Vicelin and the canons Ludolf of Verden and Rudolf of Hildesheim. They received a friendly reception from Henry, who assigned them the church, apparently in ruins, at Old Lübeck; but hardly had they returned home to organise the labors, when the sudden death of the Wendish rule (1127) destroyed their plans. Henceforth the life of Vicelin was a struggle against overwhelming odds. A slight consolation came when, shorth afterward, the archbishop appointed Vicelin paris priest at Wipenthorp, the modern Neumunster, near the Wagrian boundary, where he found need of missionary labors among his own flock, who, though nominally Christians, were pagan in belief and practise. Other priests soon gathered about him, and from their number he sent Ludolf and Volcward to Lübeck under the rule of Zwentipolch, the eldest son of Henry, who was distinctly friendly to Christianity. At Lübeck the missionaries were gladly welcomed by the little group of German merchants there, but in 1128 the men of Rugen detroyed the city, and the two priests barely escaped to Wipenthorp. In the struggles which the fall of Henry's line involved, the plans of Vicelin was hopeless, in spite of the warm friendship of the Danish Cnut Lavard, regent of the Wends.

In 1134 Vicelin interested the emperor in Wendish missions, and at his request the castle of Sign berch was built in the western Wagrian district to form a center for the mission and to protect Holstein against Wendish inroads. The pagan Prince Pribislaw, who had seized the Wagrian and Obotritian districts after the murder of Lavard by his own kinsmen, was required to care for the church st Lübeck; but just as prospects again seemed favorable, they were blighted by the sudden death of Lothar. Pribislaw seized Sigeberch and burnel the monastery at its foot, while the monks fled to Neumunster. At the same time Pribislaw's capital, Lübeck, was destroyed by his enemy Race, and the Christians were expelled, thus leaving Wagria de-

titute of all Christian influence.

Meanwhile Neumünster had flourished, and is 1141 Vicelin became provost of the Augustinian monastery. About this time, too, the cherished dream of his life again seemed hopeful. Henry d Badewide, made count of Holstein by Albert Bear, now proceeded against the hordes of Pribis law; the Wendish lands were utterly devastated by furious Holsteiners in 1138-39. Only in the extreme north did a few Wends survive. Wagria and Poler bia were thus opened to German colonisation; the Christianity that there found entrance formed center for the missionary plans of Vicelin, still further strengthened by the building of a German Lübeck; and the friendly relations between Count Adolf of Schauenburg and Niklot, despite the ter's aversion to Christianity, guaranteed peace in Wendish Mecklenburg. These prospects, however, were blasted by the senseless crusade against the Wends in 1147, which rendered futile all hopes of peaceable conversion to Christianity (see WENDS, Conversion of). Still Vicelin did not despair, and in his zeal even accepted episcopal dignity, only w involved in the investiture strife between sishop, Hartwig of Stade, and his secular rry the Lion of Saxony. On Sept. 25, 1149, ras consecrated bishop of Oldenburg withrence between Hartwig and Henry. Henry, declared that the investiture of bishops in territory belonged to him as suzerain, and Molf of Schauenburg to exact a tithe from bishop. At first Vicelin hesitated to obey gal demands, but eventually yielded, and in ived investiture from Henry at Lüneburg. rw gave him Bosau as an episcopal estate; the other hand, Vicelin had incurred the le enmity of Hartwig, and as Oldenburg inaccessible for him, he began to build his Bosau.

death of Conrad III. (1152), Hartwig resprotests against the claims of Henry the rethe new king, Frederick Barbarossa. In din was required by the archbishop to achim to the diet at Merseburg, and there avestiture from the king. But the bishop and by age and infirmity, and declined to ring a new outbreak of the controversy, and to his diocese, where he was stricken iplegia in the summer of 1152, though he muntil the end of 1154.

(E. Schäfer.)

PRY: Sources for a life are the Presbyter Helkronica Slavorum, ed. J. M. Lappenberg in MGH,
xxi (1869), 1-99; the material brought together
ngebek in Script, rer. Danicarum, iv. 433-445;
vm., in Zeitschrift der Gesellschaft. . . für schles. . laussburgische Geschichte, viii (1878), 302d N. Beeck, in Quellensammlung für schleswig —
suburgische Geschichte, iv (1875), 127-204. Conther: W. von Bippen, Kritische Untersuchung
Versus de vita Vicelini, Lübeck, 1868; C. Schirren
zur Kritik ällerer holsteinischer Geschichtsquellen,
241 sqq., Leipsic, 1876; H. Höhlbaum, in Forzur deutschen Geschichte, xvii (1877), 211-229;
nt, Die Vizelinskirchen, pp. 114-122, Kiel, 1884;
ver, Vicelin. Ein Beitrag zur Kritik Helmolds,
1887; G. F. Maclear, Apostles of Medizval
pp. 240-250, London, 1888; L. Nottrott, Aus
denmission, Halle, 1897.

R: The name of three popes and two anti-

L: Pope 189-199. According to Jerome, Latin by birth. The outward condition hurch in Rome, when Victor became its s most prosperous; internal affairs, howe less peaceful. The presbyter Florinus ng excitement with writings that smacked inianism, and Victor was obliged to expel the Church. At about the same time the Blastus declared that Easter (q.v., I., 3, was to be celebrated on the fourteenth of d since he could cite the precedent of sevthes in Asia Minor, he caused a schism in The Italian bishops, convened at Rome by the first Roman synod of which anything decided in favor of the Roman usage; but ıld not check the schism until he had won hurches in Asia Minor, which, accordingly ned with excommunication, and also adcircular letter to all Catholic churches eir opinions in the matter. These suptor's position, but the churches of Asia [.-12]

Minor refused to submit and were excommunicated. Many of the bishops, however, disapproved this course, and Irenæus of Lyons sought, probably unsuccessfully, to induce the bishops of Gaul to take joint action against the tope, and thus to compel him to revoke his anathema. But the hegemony of the pope was now, for the first time, publicly demonstrated, and by this victory the movement headed by Blastus was also crushed.

Additional problems soon arose. Theodotus of Byzantium sought to gain adherents to his Christology, and although Victor excommunicated him for denying the divinity of Christ, his followers formed a sort of community and even attempted to make a bishop of their own. It is debated whether Victor was the pope who, according to Tertullian (Adv. Praxeam, i.), was ready to accept Montanistic doctrines and was dissuaded only by Praxeas of Asia Minor, who persuaded him to adopt his own Christological ideas. It is equally problematical whether Victor is to be identified with the Victorinus who, according to the pseudo-Tertullian ($H\alpha r$., viii.), sought to propagate the heresy of Praxeas at Rome. The only fact certain is that modalism was not officially taught at Rome during Victor's pontificate.

According to Jerome, Victor wrote several works, including one on the date of Easter, but he may not be credited with the pseudo-Cyprian Adv. aleatores. It is possible that he was the author of the Muratorian fragment. (H. BÖHMER.)

torian Iragment.

Bibliography: Eusebius, Hist. eccl., V., xxii.-xxviii.; Catalogus Liberianus, in Harnack, Litteratur, i. 146; Liber pontificalis, ed. Mommsen in MGH, Gest. pont. Rom., i (1898), 18, and ed. L. Duchesne, vol. i., Paris, 1886; ASB, July, vi. 534-542; J. Langen, Geschichte der römischen Kirche, i. 179, 182 sqq., Bonn, 1881; A. Harnack, in TU, v. 1 (1889), 110 sqq.; idem, Litteratur, i. 595-596, ii. 2, pp. 370-381; idem, Dogma, vols. ii.—iii. passim; K. J. Neumann, Der römische Staat und die allgemeine Kirche, i. 295, Leipsie, 1890; F. Gregorovius, Hist. of the City of Rome, i. 398, 424, 487, London, 1894; A. Schöne, Die Weltchronik des Eusebius in ihrer Bearbeitung durch Hieronymus, pp. 181-201, Berlin, 1900; Schaff, Christian Church, ii. 216-218; Neander, Christian Church, vol. i. passim; Ceillier, Auteurs sacrés, i. 531-544, vi. 83-84; Bower, Popes, i. 17-19; Platina, Popes, i. 35-36; Milman, Latin Christianity, i. 64, 70.

Victor II. (Gebhard): Pope 1055-57. He was according to tradition a scion of the ancient line of the counts of Dollenstein and Hirschberg. In 1042 he was consecrated bishop of Eichstädt, and for ten years his influence was dominant at the German court. In 1053 he administered the duchy of Bavaria for the minor Henry IV., and defended the rights of the Empire against the deposed Duke Conrad, Bishop Gebhard of Regensburg, and the rebellious counts of Scheyern. In Sept., 1054, Henry III. designated him pope at the diet of Mainz, but Gebhard accepted, at the diet of Regensburg, in Mar., 1055, only on condition that he retain his German bishopric and his position as a prince of the Empire, and that the emperor restore to the Curia certain dioceses and castles to replete the papal finances, which the Norman expeditions of Leo IX. had seriously impaired.

On Apr. 13, 1055, Gebhard was enthroned. His pontificate was marked by withdrawal from the policy of secular expansion, and by a strengthening

in Italy of the political power of the German emperor. At Whitsuntide, 1055, he held a great reform synod at Florence, at which the emperor seems to have presided, and he reprimanded the monks of Monte Cassino for choosing an abbot without consulting Henry. The Romans were naturally opposed to a pope who thus favored the imperial aims, and in Sept., 1056, Victor returned to Germany. On Oct. 5 he was at the emperor's deathbed, and at Aachen enthroned the new King Henry IV., besides settling the Lotharingian troubles at the diet of Cologne in December and the conditions in Bavaria at the diet of Regensburg in Jan., 1057. In the following month he returned to Italy; early in the summer he was engaged in adjusting ecclesiastical affairs in Tuscany, but on July 28, 1057, he died at Arezzo. (H. Böhmer.)

Bibliography: Liber Pontificalis, ed. L. Duchesne, vol. ii., Paris, 1892; Jaffé, Regesta, i. 549-553; the Vita by Boso is in J. M. Watterich, Romanorum pontificum . . . vita, i. 185-187, Leipsic, 1862; Mann, Popes, vi. 183-206; C. A. C. von Höfler, Dic deutschen Papste, ii. 208-268, Regensburg, 1839; R. Baxmann, Die Politik der Papste, ii. 252-262, Elberfeld, 1869; M. Lefflad, Regesten der Bischöfe von Eichstadt, part 1, Eichstadt, 1871; J. Sax, Die Bischöfe und Reichsfürsten von Eichstadt, i. 39-43, Landshut, 1884; J. Langen, Geschichte der römischen Kirche, vol. iii., Bonn, 1892; F. Gregorovius, Hist. of the City of Rome, iv. 94-99, London, 1896; ADB, xxxix. 670-674; Hauck, KD, vol. iii. passim; Ceillier, Auteurs eacrés, xiii. 239-241, 298; Bower, Popes, ii. 361-363; Platina, Popes, i. 276; Milman, Latin Christianity, iii. 275-290; Schaff, Christian Church, v. 1, p. 15.

Victor III. (Daufari, Desiderius): Pope May 9-Dec. 16, 1087. A descendant of the ancient ducal house of Benevento, he was born in 1026 or 1027, and even as a boy showed a determined inclination for monastic life. In 1047 he was forced to marry, but on his wedding day fled to the hermitage of Santari, whence he was brought back by compulsion. In the following year he again fled, and was finally allowed to take the cowl at St. Sofia near Benevento under the name of Desiderius. But St. Sofia was too lax for him, and in 1051-52 he went to Tremite San Nicolo in the Adriatic, whence, early in 1053, he retired to the hermits of Majella in the Abruzzi. In May of the same year, however, Leo IX. recalled him to the south, and for nearly eight months he was a companion of the captive pope at Benevento, where Desiderius became a sympathizer with the ideals of the reform party. In Apr.-May, 1055, he was in Florence to advise with Victor II. concerning the fate of Benevento. He accompanied the pope to the Roman marches, but in December he seized the opportunity once more to retire. Late in 1056 or early in 1057, he was provost of the Benedictine abbey at Capua, a daughter-house of Monte Cassino. On Nov. 30, 1057, Stephen IX. appointed him abbot of Monte Cassino. At Stephen's death, Apr. 10, 1058, he hastened to Campania, where, on Apr. 19, he took possession of his abbey, Monte Cassino. This he speedily restored, morally, strategically, as well as architecturally, while through his zeal for learning a little school grew up in the monastery. His activity extended to other monasteries as well, so that he reformed the daughter-houses of San Liberatore in the Abruzzi and St. Benedict in Capua, established two new houses in Capua and near Fondi, as papal vicar for monasteries in southern Italy reformed the abbeys of &biaco, Tremite, and others, and made an attempt to reestablish monasticism in Sardinia.

The success of Desiderius was due in no measure to his ecclesiastical and political activity in behalf of the Curia. As early as Mar. 6, 1050. Nicolas II. had created him cardinal of S. Caelli in Trastevere, and in this capacity he attended th Lateran synod of the same year. In June he entern tained the pope at Monte Cassino, and accompanied him to Melfi in July. There he induced the Norman Richard of Capua and Robert of Guiscard to accent their territories as fiefs from the pope, so that here forth he was considered indispensable to the Current Desiderius worked for peace among the Normani princes, and associated with those who had placed under the ban, nor was it until 1078 that effected an alliance between the Curia and Rob Guiscard. He renewed his policy, however, with Henry IV. in 1082, and even went to Rome to deavor to negotiate peace for him with the posse; but when he failed, he sided with Gregory, whom, after Henry's victory, he sheltered in Monte Cassino, being one of the faithful few at the pope's death-bed at Salerno (May 25, 1085).

At the preliminary conferences concerning a new pope the name of Desiderius was prominent, but he sought no such dignity and succeeded in defering the election until the end of May, 1086. He was then finally elected, but four days later, together with the cardinals, was driven from Rome by the imperial prefect of the city. In his flight he laid aside his pontifical robes and returned as abbot to Monte Cassino. In Mar., 1087, as apostolic vicer he convened the Gregorian cardinals to a new election at Capua. Here again the majority declared for him, although a small minority, headed by Hugo, archbishop of Lyons, demanded that he justify his association with Henry IV. In diagust Desiderius left the assembly, but on the following day (Mar. 21, 1087) he appeared in pontifical regalia, dreading to give the papacy to the ultra-Gregorians even more than to become Gregory's successor. To avoid the schism which threatened his pontificate, he sought to win over the Gregorians, confirming the ban on Henry and strictly renewing the prohibition of lay investiture at a synod at Benevento in Aug., 1087. At the same time, he renounced Gregory's dreams of temporal power and sought only to retain Rome. But Rome was in the hands of the antipope, and it was only after Gisulf of Salerno and Jordan of Capua had stormed the city that he could be enthroned as Victor III. (May 9). Almost immediately the antipope renewed his attacks, and on June 20 was again in possession of St. Peter's. Had not Victor retained the abbey of Monte Cassino, he, like Gregory, would have died in exile, for on Sept. 16, 1087, he passed away.

Brief as was the pontificate of Victor III., it was epoch-making in two respects: as inaugurating the break with the temporal policy of Gregory VII.; and as showing the power of the pope to rouse the Christians to war against Islam by his simple word; for shortly before his death he urged a crusde against the Moors of northern Africa which was successfully carried out within the year. Victor

author of three books of dialogues on the of St. Benedict, a poetic epitaph on Abbot is, and information concerning two miraso IX., all written in a clear and simple. ASM, IV., ii. 425 sqq.; MPL, cxlix).

(H. BÖHMER.)

JEY: The early Vita from the Chronicon Casicold iii., with commentary, is in ASB, Sept., v., and in MPL, exlix. 918-962, cf. ASM, vi. 2, -625. Other sources are Aimé de Mont-Cassin, v. de li Normant, iii. 49, ed. O. Delarc, Rouen, leter the Deacon, ed. Muratori, in Scriptores, vi. Consult further: Jaffé, Regesta, i. 655-656; Popes, vii. 218-244; L. Toeti, Storia della Badia la Cassino, vol. i., Naples, 1841; J. M. Watterich, rem pontificum ... vita, i. 310 sqq., Leipsio, Hinsch, in Forschungen zur deutschen Geschichte, B. Göttingen, 1867; A. Caravita, I codici e le arti Cassino, parts i.-iii., Monte Cassino, 1869-71; en. Geschichte der römischen Kirche, iii. 162 sqq., 892; L. von Heinemann, Geschichte der Normanteritätien, i. 172 sqq., Leipsic, 1894; F. Gre-1, Hist. of the City of Rome, iv. 564-572, Lon-6; Ceillier, Auteurs socrés, ix. 241, 300-301, 317-415-418; Bower, Popes, ii. 410-413; Platina, 12-13; Milman, Christian Church, iii. 501-508; RD, vol. iii. passim; Schaff, Christian Church, v. 70.

Two antipopes: Gregory Conti nd Octavian (1159-64). The former of selected in the middle of Mar., 1138, by in Pierleoni to succeed Anacletus II., but 9 of the same year, at the instance of Ber-Clairvaux, he submitted to Innocent II. ned his claims. Octavian, the scion of one st powerful Roman families and cardinal ilia, was elected to the papal throne Sept. y four or five cardinals, the clergy of St. nd the Roman people. Although he rely on the support of Emperor Frederick ter remained neutral until the Council of Feb., 1160, when he declared for Victor. nperial efforts to gain recognition of Vicgland, France, and even in Germany were and after the summer of 1163 Alexander ht to gain Germany for himself. During iations Victor died at Lucca Apr. 20, 1164. (H. Böhmer.)

PRT: Jaffé, Regesta, i. 919, ii. 418-426; Moritz Die Wahl Alexander III. und Victor IV., 1159, n. 1871; J. Langen, Geschichte der römischen ii. 439 sqq., Bonn, 1892; Bower, Popes, ii. 470, Platina, Popes, ii. 39-42, 50 sqq.; Milman, Latin ity, iv. 289; Schaff, Christian Church, v. 1, p. ack, KD, vol. iv. passim; and the literature under T. II. and ALEXANDER III.

R OF ANTIOCH: Presbyter and exegete ddle of the sixth century. The numerous cribed to him and scattered through the ena to Jeremiah show that the author of a must have excerpted from the complete ry on the prophet by Victor (ed. M. in his commentary on Jeremiah, 3 vols., i23). His commentary on Mark (ed. P. Rome, 1673; F. C. Matthäi, Moscow, A. Cramer, Catenæ Græcorum patrum, i. Oxford, 1840) exists in three recensions, h may be traced to a single source. Vicin the prologue to this work that he d to collect interpretations of the best exand his commentary on Jeremiah con-

tains verbal repetitions from Chrysostom, Jerome, and the scholia of Severus and Olympiodorus. His exegetical method is that of the Antiochian school, primarily grammatical and historical, so that his tendency is practical and ethical, although allegory is not absolutely excluded. (N. Bonwetsch.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: M. Faulhaber, Die Propheten-Catenen nach römischen Handschriften, pp. 107 sqq., 133, Freiburg, 1899; H. von Soden, Die Schriften des N. Ts. in ihrer erreichbaren altesten Textgestalt, i. 574 sqq., 826 sqq., 888 sqq., Berlin, 1902.

VICTOR OF CAPUA: Bishop of Capua and harmonist of the Gospels; d. Apr. 2, 554. The only detail known concerning his life is that he was consecrated bishop Feb. 24, 541. On July 27, 1480, his bones were found beneath the high altar of the church of the monastery of Mons Virginis. Of his writings only scanty fragments survive. Bede, in his De ratione temporum, xlix., cites from his De pascha, directed against the Cursus paschalis of Victorius. This must have been written early in 550 to prove that in that year Easter should be celebrated on Apr. 24, not Apr. 17. A number of scholia apparently translated by Victor from a Greek catena, and concerned with Polycarp, Origen, Diodorus of Tarsus, Severianus of Gabala, and a certain Geronticum, have been edited by J. B. Pitra (Spicilegium Solesmense, i. 265 sqq., Paris, 1852) from a Paris manuscript which also contains fragments from a work Reticulus seu de arce Noe (ib., pp. 287 sqq.). The Capitula de resurrectione Domini, apparently extant in the ninth century, is now lost. A catena on the four Gospels which F. Feuardent (Irenæi quinque libri, pp. 240-241, Paris, 1639) found in an ancient Verdun manuscript under the name of Victor of Capua is probably identical with the work from which Pitra edited his scholia. which in the Paris manuscript bears the name of Johannes Diaconus.

Far more important than these writings were Victor's endeavors to prepare a Latin harmony of the Gospels. The oldest manuscript of this work is preserved at Fulda, ordered from Victor himself and completed at Capua before Apr. 12, 546. This manuscript (ed. E. Ranke, Codex Fuldensis, Marburg, 1868) contains a harmony of the Gospels, the Pauline epistles, including Hebrews, Acts, the canonical epistles, and the Apocalypse. Of these the first is the most important, since through it the West gained its first knowledge of Tatian's Diatessaron (see Harmony of the Gospels, I., §§ 1-4). It is clear, moreover, that the anonymous harmony which Victor says, in his preface, that he found by chance, and which proved to be by Tatian, must have been in Greek, and that Victor translated or revised it. His work consisted essentially in reproducing the Greek original through the Latin translation of Jerome, a task demanding great patience as well as a thorough knowledge of the Bible. But though he termed his work a translation, he actually divided the Vulgate Gospels into portions which he then rearranged according to the model before him. His work was most valuable, and the Germans first learned the Gospel in their own tongue from the Old High German translation of the harmony of Victor. (ERWIN PREUSCHEN.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: ASB, Oct., viii. 81-83; F. Ughelli, Italia sacra, vi. 306-307, Venice, 1720; J. B. Pitra, Spicilegium Solesmense, pp. 1.-liv., 265-277, 296-301, Paris, 1852; J. L. Jacobi, in Zetischrift für christliche Wissenschaft und christliches Leben, pp. 246 sqq., Berlin, 1854; T. Zahn, in Patrum apostolicorum opera, ii., pp. xlvii. sqq., ib. 1876; idem, Forschungen zur Geschichte des neulestamentlichen Kanons, i. 1 sqq., Erlangen, 1881; idem, Geschichte des neulestamentlichen Kanons, ii. 535 sqq., Leipsic, 1891; F. Piper, in ZKG, i (1877), 239-240; DCB, iv. 1123-26.

VICTOR OF CARTENNA: Christian author of the fifth century. The only source of information is Gennadius (De vir. ill., lxxvii.), supplemented by the Notitia provinciarum et civitatum Africæ (ed. M. Petschenig, in CSEL, vii.). According to these, he was bishop of Cartenna in Mauretania Cæsarea and was the author of a defense of orthodoxy against Arianism, a book on public penance, a "Consolation" to a certain Basil, and a collection of sermons. About 484 he seems to have been succeeded as bishop by Lucidus. Unfortunately all his writings have perished, and the attempts to ascribe to him the De panitentia publicani sometimes included in earlier editions of Ambrose of Milan are valueless. The De panitentia has with more probability been ascribed to Victor of Tunnenna (q.v.); while the "Consolation" included in the works of Basil the Great is clearly not by Victor, since it contains absolutely no allusion to Basil. (Franz Görres.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Gennadius, De vir. ill., lxxviii., Eng. transl. in NPNF, 2d ser., iii. 398; F. Görres, in ZWT, 1906, pp. 484-494; Tillemont, Mémoires, xvi. 611-612; F. Papencordt, Geschichte der vandalischen Herrschaft in Africa, passim, Berlin, 1837; DCB, iv. 1122; SBA, 1861, pp. 529-530; Ceillier, Auteurs sacrés, iv. 493, v. 512, x. 468-469.

VICTOR OF TUNNENNA: Bishop of Tunnenna (Tonnonna, Tonnenna, Tunna) in the province of Africa Proconsularis, and historian; d. probably at Byzantium after 565. The details of his life are known only from the fragment of his chronicle (MPL, lxviii.; MGH, Auct. ant., xi. 1 (1894), pp. 184-206). According to this, he was exiled, after many persecutions, to Alexandria in 555, whence he was taken, in 564, to Byzantium. He closes his history with the accession of Justin II. in 565, after which nothing is known concerning him. He was a determined opponent of the theology of Justinian and an advocate of the three chapters (see THREE CHAPTER CONTROVERSY). His work, which was a continuation of the chronicles of Jerome and Prosper of Aquitaine, began with the creation, but only the portion from 444 to 565 (567) has survived. With all its historical, chronological, and theological limitations, his work is the only supplement to Jerome and Prosper which possesses human interest.

Certain other works have been ascribed to this Victor, and there is a slender manuscript support for his authorship of the pseudo-Ambrosian *De pænitentia publicani* (MPL, xvii. 1059-94), earlier assigned to Victor of Cartenna (q.v.).

(G. A. JULICHER.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: F. Papencordt, Geschichte der vandalischen Herrschaft in Afrika, pp. 359-365, Berlin, 1837; Holder-Egger, in NA, i (1876), 289 sqq.; T. Hodgkin, Invaders of Italy, vol. iii. passim, 4 vols., London, 1880-85; A. Ebert, Geschichte der christlich-lateinischen Literatur, i. 586, Leipsic, 1889; G. von Dzialowski, Isidor und Ildefons als Literarhistoriker, pp. 62-64, Münster, 1898; Ceillier, Auteurs sacrés, v. 512, x. 469, xi. 302; DCB, iv. 1126; KL, xii. 909-911.

VICTOR OF VITA: Bishop of Vita (apparently his native city) in the African province of By cena, probably from before 477 to after 484. Information concerning him is drawn almost exclusively from his one extant work, the Historia persecutionis Africanæ provinciæ (MPL, lviii.; ed. C. Halm, in MGH, Auct. Ant., iii. 1, 1879; and M. Petschenig, in CSEL, vol. vii.), an account of the sufferings of the Catholics under the Vandal kings Geiserich and Hunnerich. The history seems to have been written during the lifetime of Hunnerich and published after his death, between 485 and 489. It is in three books, the first devoted to the reign of Geiserich and the latter two to the eight years of Hunnerich. The vocabulary is meager, the style mediocre, and the theological ability only average; but the work is generally trustworthy, and, at least in the reign of Hunnerich, the author was an eye-witness of what he described. The work is, therefore, valuable for its material concerning the political, religious, social, and liturgical comditions of Vandal Africa about 480.

Appended to the history both in the manuscripts and the editions is a Passio septem monachoruse, dating from 483 or 484 and ascribed to Victor, though certainly not by him. His work receives a valuable supplement in the Notitia provinciarise et civitatum Africa (ed. Halm and Petschenig, urt sup.), a list of all the Catholic bishops of the several Vandal provinces of Africa officiating in 484 and bidden to attend a religious colloquy at Carthage on Feb. 1 of that year. Victor of Vita is noted there as "not coming." (G. A. JÜLICHER)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: ASB, Aug., iv. 628-632; M. Petschenig, in the Sitzungsberichte of the Vienna Academy, philosophical-historical class, xevi (1880), 637-732 (the best introduction); J. Liron, Dissertation sur Victor de Vite, Paris, 1708; F. Papencordt, Geschichte der vandalischen Herschaft in Afrika, pp. 368-370, Berlin, 1837; F. X. Krus, Realencyklopädie der christlichen Altertümer, i. 259-262, 279, Freiburg, 1880; A. Auler, in Historische Unternebungen A. Schafer gewidmet, pp. 253-275, Bonn, 1882; W. Pötzsch, Victor von Vita und die Kirchenverfolgung in Vandalenreiche, Döbeln, 1887; A. Ebert, Geschichte der Literatur des Mittelalters, i. 454-458, 2d ed., Leipsic, 1889; W. S. Teuffel, Geschichte der römischen Literatur, § 470, Leipsic, 1890; P. Ferrère, De Victorie Vitensis libro, Paris, 1898; A. Schönfelder, De Victore Vitensi, Brealau, 1999; Ceillier, Auteurs sacrés, x. 448-464 (contains an excellent bibliography); MPL, Iviii. 395-434; DCB, iv. 1122-23; KL, xii, 911-913.

VICTOR, CLAUDIUS MARIUS: Christian poet of the fifth century. According to Gennadius (De vir. ill., xli.), he was a rhetorician of Marseilles and died between 425 and 450. The work which has been transmitted under his name is a Biblical epic, entitled Alethia, a free paraphrase of Genesis in hexameters, not without poetic beauty. Originally comprising, in all probability, twelve books, only three are extant, going to the destruction of Sodom. The sole edition of value is by K. Schenkl (CSEL, xvi.). The earlier editor J. Gagneius (Lyons, 1536) added as a fourth book the "epigram" of an otherwise unknown Paulinus (ed. also K. Schenkl, ut sup.), a poetic penitential sermon apparently written about 408, lamenting that in Gaul, after the ravages of the Vandals and Alans, the care of souls was considered less important than the restoration of vineyards and houses. (R. SCHMID.)

FHT: A. Bourgoin, De Claudio Mario Victore, 833; 8. Gamber, Le Livre de la Genèse dans la tine du v. siècle, Marseilles, 1884; A. Ebert, Gese Literatur des Mittelalters, i. 320-321, 369 sqq., 1889; W. S. Teuffel, Geschichte der römischen r, pp. 1186-87, Leipsic, 1890; M. Manitius, Geschichteiteinteinteiner Poesie, pp. 164 sqq., 180 uttgart, 1891; H. Maurer, De exemplis quæ C. victor in Alcthia seculus sit, Marburg, 1896; swer, Patrologie, pp. 394-395, ‡Eng. transl., St. 908; KL, xii. 909; DCB, iv. 1121-22.

RINUS, vic"to-rai'nus, OF PETTAU: f Poetovio (the modern Pettau, 128 m. s. a) and the earliest exegete of the Latin probably born in Pannonia; martyred ording to the martyrologies, on Dec. 2). g to Jerome (De vir. ill., lxxiv.), he was quainted with Greek than with Latin, and nany other works wrote commentaries on .., Lev., Isa., Ezek., Hab., Eccles., Cant., , as well as "against all heresies." Jerome 10 (ib. xviii.) that Victorinus was a chiliast, he eleventh book of his commentary on the declares that the bishop of Pettau was ent, in his "frequent expositions," of Jews (MPL, xxv. 339).

commentaries Victorinus knew and emuch works as those of Papias, Origen, Ired Hippolytus. Stylistically his writings ward and overladen with Hellenisms. Benine commentaries listed by Jerome in ir. ill., the same author twice mentions a ary of Victorinus on Matthew, in which he t the "brothers of the Lord" were such rness, not by nature" (MPL, xxiii. 201,)). Of his exegetical writings the commenhe Apocalypse alone has survived; for the de fabrica mundi (ed. M. J. Routh, Reliquiæ . 451-461, Oxford, 1846) is not part of the ary on Genesis, but an independent work eek of creation, the "queen of all weeks." number seven is prominent; the true sabhe seventh millennium when Christ shall h his elect. The original text of the comon the Apocalypse, as represented in the manuscript Codex Ottobonianus Lat. 3288 completely revised by Jerome, the latter g represented by the editio princeps (Paris, printed in the Maxima bibliotheca veterum ii. 414-421, Lyons, 1777). Here the chil-Victorinus is expurgated, his harsh Latin hed down, and many minor theological ns are made. The material substituted by or the expunged passages was taken largely commentary of the Donatist Ticonius on alvose.

ext stage in the evolution of the text of is was the addition, frequently in mechanon and with many repetitions, of a fuller, still incomplete, text of the Apocalypse ditions were also made, such as the replace-366 as the mystic designation of the auture Antichrist by specific names. This recenugh still unedited, is found in a series of pts and was used by the Spanish presbyter f Libana in compiling his commentary on alypse (ed. H. Florez, Madrid, 1770). The is represented by the eleventh- or twelfth-

century manuscript ccxlvii. of the library of Monte Cassino (ed. in the Florilegium Casinense, pp. 1-12, appended to the Bibliotheca Casinensis, vol. v., Monte Cassino, 1894), this recension also forming the basis of the extremely rare editio princeps (Bologna, 1558), reprinted in MPL, v. 317-344. The editor of this recension constructed a mixed text from the other recensions, removed various sources of confusion, and even departed from the original form of the commentary to bring it into harmony with the running text of the Apocalypse, besides making many additions, some of which imply an African origin.

The commentary on the Apocalypse and the De fabrica mundi are the only works that can certainly be ascribed to Victorinus of Pettau. The Adv. omnes hæreses, assigned to him by Jerome and by Optatus of Mileve (De schismate Donatistarum, i. 9) is by some identified with a treatise of the same title appended to the De præscriptione of Tertullian; but the style deviates widely from the genuine writings of Victorinus, and a passage of the Apocalypse (ii. 6) common to the two has a divergent wording. The antimarcionistic character ascribed to the Adv. omnes hæreses of Victorinus by Optatus is not borne out by this pseudo-Tertullian work, but rather by the pseudo-Tertullian poem Adversus Marcionem libri quinque. A number of passages in this poem correspond so closely with passages in the commentary on the Apocalypse that the two would almost seem to be by the same author, and it is still a problem whether the assumption that the common source of both is the Greek commentary of Hippolytus on the Apocalypse suffices to explain the resemblance between the two works. It is at least clear that the poem is not by Commodian, as is sometimes maintained. The attempt has also been made to ascribe to Victorinus the Anonymi chiliastæ in Matthæum cxxiv fragmenta (ed. G. Mercati, Studi e testi, xi. 23-45, Rome, 1903), but it is now recognized that the author of this fragment stands in close relation to the so-called Ambrosiaster. An equally fruitless effort has been made to ascribe to this Victor the following treatises also contained in Codex Ottobonianus A: a treatise on Gen. i. 5 (cf. MPL, viii. 1009-14); Ad Justinum Manichæum contra duo principia Manichæorum (cf. MPL, viii. 999-1010); and De physicis (cf. MPL, viii. 1295-1310). Both style and matter, however, are totally different from those of Victorinus of Pettau. The first two may belong to Caius Marius Victorinus (q.v.). Whether the De physicis is to be attributed to him is doubtful; at all events, it was not written by Victorinus of Pettau. (J. HAUSSLEITER.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The critical ed. of the Opera is in CSEL, vol. xxix.; Eng. transl. of the "Creation" and "Commentary on the Apocalypse" in ANF, vii. 341-360. Consult: Jerome, De vir. ill., Ixxiv., Eng. transl. in NPNF, 2 ser., iii. 377; ASB, Nov., i. 432-443; J. de Launoy, Opera, ii. 1, pp. 634-649, Geneva, 1731; F. Chamard, S. Victorin, évêque et martyr, Poitiers, 1876; Harnack, Litteratur, i. 731-735, ii. 2, pp. 426-432; idem, in ZWT, xix (1876), 114; idem, Dogma, ii. 237, 296, 358, iii. 78, v. 29; J. Haussleiter, in ZKW, vii (1886), 239-257; idem, Der Aufbau der altchristlichen Litteratur, pp. 35-37, Berlin, 1898; idem, in Festreden der Universität Greifswald, no. 9, Greifswald, 1901; F. Kattenbusch, Das apostolische Symbol. pp. 212-215, Leipsic, 1894; J. R. Harris, in The Expositor, 1895, pp. 448-455; L. Atzberger, Geschichte

der christlichen Eschatologie innerhalb der vornichnischen Zeit, pp. 566-573, Freiburg, 1996; W. Machols, Spuren binitarischer Denkweise im Abendlande seit Tertullian, Jena, 1902; M. Schans, in I. von Muller's Handbuch der klassischen Altertumswissenschaft, 2d ed., viii. 437-439, Munich, 1905; W. Bousset, Die Offenbarung Johannis, pp. 53-55, Göttingen, 1906; Bardenhewer, Patrologie, pp. 156, 198-199, Eng. transl., St. Louis, 1908; idem, Geschichte, ii. 593-598; Schaff, Christian Church, ii. 861-864; DCB, iv. 1128-29; KL, xii. 925-926.

VICTORINUS, CAIUS MARIUS: Philosopher, rhetorician, grammarian, and theologian; b. in Africa; d. probably at Rome about 363. At Rome he gained distinction as a representative of Neo-Platonic scholasticism and as the commentator and translator of Aristotelian and Neo-Platonic writings. Some time previous to 357, he became an open convert to Christianity and an ardent defender of Nicene orthodoxy in his De generatione verbi divini, directed against the Arian Candidus, and in the four books Adv. Arium, written in 357-358. When he wrote his commentaries on the Pauline epistles (probably more than the three which have been preserved) is uncertain. The edict of Julian forbidding Christians to teach obliged Victorinus to resign his professorship of rhetoric, and as he seems to have become a convert when an aged man, he probably died shortly afterward. Victorinus was the author of many other philosophical and theological treatises, all of which have perished except those already mentioned (ed., with some doubtful works, MPL, viii.).

Even as a Christian Victorinus remained essentially a philosopher, almost undisguisedly developing the entire system of Plotinus in his dogmatics and by its aid seeking speculative support for the Nicene doctrines. His theological writings exercised no lasting influence; the commentaries contained dangerous expressions, and his trinitarian doctrine was too speculative and inaccurate dogmatically to win followers. (R. SCHMID.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Augustine, Confessions, viii. 2-5; R. Schmid, Marius Victorinus und scine Bezichungen zu Augustin, Kiel, 1895; J. de Launoy, Opera, ii. 1, pp. 645-646, 10 vols., Geneva, 1731-32; J. E. B. Mayor, Clue to Latin Literature, pp. 172-173, London, 1875; H. Usener, Anecdoton Holderi, pp. 59-66, Bonn, 1877; G. Koffmane, De Mario Victorino, Breslau, 1880; G. Geiger, Caius Marius Victorinus Afer, 2 parts, Metten, 1888-89; A. Ebert, Geschichte der Literatur des Mittelalters, i. 124-125, 315-316, Leipsic, 1889; W. S. Teuffel, Geschichte der romischen Literatur, pp. 1031-35, Leipsic, 1890; Harnack, Dogma, v. 29, 33 sqq., 279-280; idem, in Zeitschrift für Theologie und Kirche, 1891; M. Manitius, Geschichte der christlichlateinischen Poesie, pp. 113 sqq., Stuttgart, 1891; M. Schanz, in I. von Müller's Handbuch der klassischen Altertumswissenschaft, viii. 137 sqq., Munich, 1904; Bardenhewer, Patrologie, pp. 366-367, 417, Eng. transl., St. Louis, 1908; DCB, iv. 1129-38; KL, xii. 926-927.

VICTRICIUS, vic-trf'shi-us: Bishop of Rouen; d. before 409. He is said to have been a soldier and to have escaped execution as a deserter by miracle after he became a Christian. He went as missionary to the Morini and Nervii and became bishop about 393. He wrote a book, De laude sanctorum (in MPL, xx. 437-458), in the first chapter of which he states that at the call of his fellow bishops he went to Britain "to make peace" and accomplished his mission. "if not as he ought, yet as best he could." Nothing more is known of the incident, and Victricius account is highly rhetorical. It is

interesting as an illustration of the relations between the old British and the Gallic churches and in comparison with the mission of Germanus of Auxerre (q.v.) some thirty years later.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: ASB, Aug., ii. 193-197; Acta sonctorus Belgii, i. 374-436, 6 vols., Brussels, 1783-94; A. Le Flagusi, in Mémoires des antiquaires de Normandie, vol. xxii., p. xxiv.; Histoire littéraire de la France, ii. 752-754; MPL, xx. 437-438; DNB, iv. 1140.

VIENNA, CONCORDAT OF. See Concordats and Delimiting Bulls, VI., 2, § 6.

VIENNA, PEACE OF: Treaty concluded in behalf of Hungary June 23, 1606. Under Emperor Rudolph II. (1576-1608), the greater part of Hungary had accepted the Reformation. But from the time of the importation of the Jesuits by the archbishop of Colocza, George Draskovich, in 1578, these proceeded to operate against Protestantism. Persecutions opened in 1603 under Count Belgiojoso of Kaschau, imperial commander in upper Hun-When, therefore, the diet at Presburg in 1604 drafted a complaint in twenty-one articles, charging violation of the religious freedom, and forwarded a copy of these resolutions to the emperor in Prague, Rudolph answered, under the instintion of his bishops and the Jesuits, in the form of a twenty-second article, which summarily rejected the grievances of the estates, renewed all mandates of the Roman Catholic religion, and threatened the penalties prescribed for heresies by the Roman canon law, against the future bearers of religious grievances before the national diet. The Protestant persecutions were resumed with fresh seal, notably under General Basta, to which opposition was first offered by the Reformed magnate, Stephen Botskai, at the head of the Protestants in Transylvania. The disturbance spread to Hungary, and made such inroads that the Archduke Matthias was constrained to conclude the Peace of Vienna, repealing art. 22 of 1604, and guaranteeing complete religious freedom. Nevertheless, this by no means terminated the persecutions in Hungary in those (E. Sehling.) times.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Geschichte der evangelischen Kürche in Ungarn, pp. 145 sqq., Berlin, 1854; Die Lage der Protestanten in der oesterreichischen Monarchie, 1855; Jahrbuch der Gesellschaft für die Geschichte des Protestantismus in Oesterreich, iv (1883), 96 sqq.; Cambridge Modern History, ii. 720-721, New York, 1905.

VIENNE, vî"en': The second oldest seat of Christianity in Gaul (10 m. s. of Lyons), the ecclesiastical metropolis of Gaul after 445, and the place of several synods. [Vienne and Lyons were closely associated in the persecution under Marcus Aurelius (177 A.D.), when the aged Bishop Pothinus and one of its deacons, Sanctus, suffered martyrdom. When Irenaus succeeded Pothinus, he probably ministered to the church at Vienne as well as to that at Lyons (cf. the letter of the brethren at Vienne and Lyons to brethren in Asia and Phrygia, giving an account of the persecution, in Eusebius, Hist. eccl., V. ii., Eng. transl. in NPNF, 2 ser., i. 212-218). A. H. N. The first bishop of whom anything definite is known was Verus, who attended the Synod of Arles. The first synod of Vienne, said to have been held about 474 and to have sanctioned the rogations of Bishop Mamertus, seems never to have taken other synod in 870 confirmed the privierred on a monastery, and the third, in d all laymen under the ban who inthe rights of the Church or injured the 1 907 a synod settled a dispute between s over monastic revenues, and another repared resolutions against simony, the f priests, etc. Archbishop Guido (later 1.) in 1112 convened a synod which denst lay investiture, and seven years later i. is said to have held the seventh synod though the historicity of this is disputed. eld by Archbishop Petrus in 1124, sought the possessions of the Church, though it bable that this synod existed only in the itention of Calixtus II. to hold it. The a bishop of Valence was the occasion for ning of a synod in 1141, and in 1164 Cologne convened the Burgundian bishnne in an unsuccessful effort to induce cognize the imperial Antipope Paschalis an. 14, 1200, the cardinal legate Petrus nd to execute the ban on Philip Augustus and a provincial synod was convened in ich no details are known.

assemblage of real importance at Vienne teenth ecumenical council convened by . in 1311. The bull of invitation to this gnans in calis, was dated Aug. 12, 1308, stances compelled deferment until Oct. The subjects proposed for its considerahree: a verdict on the Templars (q.v.), secused of grievous crimes; the aid to be Ioly Land; and the reform of church disow many prelates assembled is uncertain, number 114, besides abbots and procuraby William of Nangis, seems probable. conferences concerning the Templars proseedings until Mar., 1312; and the order ed suppressed at the second session of (Apr. 3). It was apparently at the same t Clement declared his predecessor, Bonito be a lawful pope, innocent of the acdleged against him. The third session sed the council, at which the pope seems en the tithes for six years to the kings of gland, and Navarre for the purpose of a he synod likewise went deeply into the reform, the results being the decrees repared by the council, incorporated in d Clementines, and published by John final synod was held at Vienne in 1557. zerned chiefly with matters of discipline.

(A. HAUCK.)

7: KL, xii. 932-946; B. de Richebourg
Hist. de l'église de Vienne, Lyons, 1761; D. de,
Hist. de l'église de Vienne, Lyons, 1761; D. de,
Hist. de la sainte-église de Vienne, 4 vols.,
17-48; Gallia Christiana, xvi. 1 sqq., Paris,
hampier, Du royaume des Allobroges, avec l'an'ienne, Lyons, 1894; K. Schotmüller, Der Un'i Templerordens, 2 vols., Berlin, 1887; W.
Der Streit der Bisthümer Arles und Vienne um
us Galliarum, Hanover, 1890; idem, in NA,
263 sqq.; La philosophie du concile de Vienne,
1; H. Basin, Vienne et Lyon gallo-romains,
1; P. Fournier, Les Royaumes d'Arles et de
38-1378, Paris, 1891; L. Duchesne, Fastes
de l'ancienne Gaule, i. 145 sqq., Paris, 1894;

M. Heber, Gutachten und Reformvorschläge für das Vienner Generalkonsil 1311-12, Leipsic, 1896; Lichtenberger, ESR, xii. 368-370. The reports of the synods are in Mansi, Concilia, xxv. 367 sqq., and Hefele, Concilienge-schichte, passim.

VIGILANTIUS, vij"i-lan'shi-us: Presbyter of Aquitaine; b. at Calagurris (probably the modern Martres, 142 m. s.s.e. of Bordeaux), doubtless before 370; d. after 406. He seems to have been possessed of some property, and had already been ordained to the priesthood when, with a letter of introduction from Paulinus Nolanus, he visited Jerome at Bethlehem some years previous to 404. According to the letter of Jerome to Paulinus (Epist., lviii.), he had received Vigilantius with all kindness, but for some reason his guest had secretly left him. On his way back to Gaul, and while in Italy, Vigilantius either addressed a letter to Jerome or submitted some treatise for his approval which his former host construed as an allegation that he was infected with the heresies of Origen. whereupon Jerome replied to Vigilantius in a letter of extreme bitterness (Epist., lxi.). In 406, after Vigilantius had returned to Aquitaine, two neighboring priests, Riparius and Desiderius, who felt their parishes infected by his proximity, wrote to Jerome asking him to prepare a refutation of his former guest. At the same time they sent, by a certain monk Sisinnius, the writings of Vigilantius. These Jerome had never seen before, although by 404 he had received from Riparius a summary of the views of Vigilantius. Sisinnius reached Bethlehem late in the autumn of 406 and intended to remain until the following Epiphany, but suddenly felt it to be his duty to leave for Gaul by way of Egypt sooner than he had expected. Jerome was accordingly obliged to dictate his Apologia adversus Vigilantium in a single night.

This Apologia forms almost the sole source for knowledge concerning Vigilantius. He had raised his voice against the prevailing cult of martyrs, or saints, the homage paid their graves, the prayers addressed to their relics, the building of and pilgrimage to churches erected especially in honor of them, the burning of candles to them, the holding of vigils at their tombs, and the singing of halleluiahs to them, since he deemed all this a concession to paganism. Vigils (q.v.) brought with them the danger of immorality; and the singing of halleluiahs should be restricted to Eastertide, that the populace might not forget the difference between the Redeemer and his redeemed saints. He inveighed against indiscriminate charity and against giving all to monks in pagan lands while the poor at home were left to starve. He had scant sympathy with monastic life, as being destructive to the care of souls, and he seems to have opposed the enforced celibacy of the clergy.

By charging Jerome with Origenistic heresy Vigilantius roused the implacable anger of his quondam host, especially as all question of the latter's orthodoxy had apparently been removed by the personal meeting of Jerome and Vigilantius. Jerome retorted with a counter-charge of yielding to heresy (Epist., lxi. 1, Eng. transl. in NPNF, 2 ser., vi. 131), and as early as his Apologia adversus libros

Rufini (probably early in 402) he was obliged to defend himself for having declared that Vigilantius had been contaminated by his associates in Alexandria. This charge Jerome repeated in 404, implying that Rufinus and his Egyptian friends had been the cause of Vigilantius' heresy. As a matter of fact, however, Vigilantius had learned to know and admire Origen at least as early as his journey through Egypt and Palestine, and he had not attacked Jerome because of abhorrence of anything savoring of Origenistic teachings, but had contradicted him as an independent and perhaps self-opinionated person, identifying—in the fashion of the Origenistic Controversy (q.v.)—the errors of his opponent with those of the great and dangerous Origen.

Little is known of Vigilantius except for the statements of Jerome. Gennadius, however, states that Vigilantius was a presbyter in the diocese of Barcelona, which would imply that after 406 he was transferred to Spain. Paulinus of Nola, writing to Severus, probably about 395, mentions (Epist., v.) a fellow countryman named Vigilantius whom he had sent to Campania with a letter. [It seems probable that Vigilantius was a protégé of Sulpicius Severus, and that as a messenger of the latter he first came into relations with Paulinus of Nola. A. H. N.] It has been held that this was another Vigilantius, a baptized slave; but the term puer seems to refer merely to the relative youth of Vigilantius at the time, as compared with the age of Paulinus; and between this letter and the journey of Vigilantius to Palestine with a letter of introduction to Jerome sufficient time may well have elapsed for his ordination to the priesthood. The general education of Vigilantius seems to have been good, though his theological training was less perfect. Certain incautious expressions, as dubbing those who venerated relics "idolaters," betray the impetuous Gascon; his polemics were, however, not personal or partizan, but were inspired by his belief that religion was imperiled.

While, during his lifetime, Vigilantius was protected by his sympathizers, his permanent achievements were scanty. In his De dogmatibus ecclesiasticis (xl., lxxiii.) Gennadius states that only the followers of Vigilantius and Eunomius rejected the veneration of relics and the building of and pilgrimage to churches in honor of the martyrs. Later the name of Vigilantius vanishes altogether, even by the time of Isidore of Seville. His motives were not dogmatic; he perceived and assailed a series of what he deemed abuses in the religious life of the Church of his time, considering these to be superstitions that formed the chief barrier to the victorious progress of Christianity. He assailed neither monasticism nor the merit arising from almsgiving nor celibacy in themselves, but only as leading by excess of emphasis to the opposite extreme. He feared that the veneration of martyrs would lead to depreciation of Christ, though some of his arguments, such as the inability of the dead to intercede successfully for the living, seem to have been afterthoughts. His arguments were without effect; he underestimated the religious needs of the multitudes, and was not himself high enough above their level to achieve even temporary succe great mass of Catholic Christians.

(G. A. J

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Jerome, Epist., liii., lviii., lx transl. in NPNF, 2d ser., vi. 96 sqq., 119 s 212 sqq.; idem, "Against Vigilantius," E NPNF, ut sup., pp. 417-423; Gennadius, xxxvi.; W. S. Gilly, Vigilantius and his Ti 1844 (thorough); Tillemont, Mémoires, xii. 1269, 287-289; Histoire littéraire de la Franc C. W. F. Walch, Histoire littéraire de la Franc C. W. F. Walch, Historie der Ketzersien, iii. 6 sic, 1766; Lindner, De Joviniano et Vigil doctrina iv. et v. saculo antesignanis, Leips Schmidt, Vigilantius, sein Verhaltnis sum i onymus, Münster, 1860; P. F. Lucius, Die! Heiligenkults, pp. 327-329, Tübingen, 1904; tionary, v. 470-474; Ceillier, Auteurs sacrés, KL, xii. 953-956; DCB, iv. 1141-43.

VIGILIUS, vi-jil'i-us: Pope 537-555 of a Roman patrician family, and wa during the pontificate of Boniface I seems to have been an opponent of Gotl to have worked against it

Early appointed apocrisiary of t Pontificate. Constantinople. On the Agapetus (Apr. 22, promised Empress Theodora that, if he pope, he would oppose the Council of and intercede for the deposed patrial mus, Severus, and Theodosius (see Mone When he arrived in Rome, however, he verius (q.v.), the candidate of Theodal enthroned, but the intruder was remov aid of Belisarius, to whom Vigilius had l mended by Theodora, and on Mar. 29, 5 ascended the papal throne. How far filled his promise to the empress is un letter addressed by him to the patrian mentioned is preserved by Liberatus (A 1041) and Victor of Tunnenna (q.v.), while strictly enjoining silence upon th presses his sympathy with them, saying not confess two natures of Christ, but composed of two natures." But accor Liber pontificalis he wrote Theodora fla to make peace with heretics. The latte cation is obviously apocryphal, and the ity of the former letter is more than Several years passed before Vigilius volved with the government at Constant cerning dogmatic problems. On Sept. the insistance of Justinian, the pope for obliged, in two letters to the emperor an arch Menas (Litteris clemantiæ and Lice to subscribe to the Chalcedonian creed: thematize the monophysite patriard besides this is known of the early years tificate. The deacon Arator, in his Epista ium (MPL, lxviii. 73 sqq.), praises him tivity during the siege of Rome; and an probably contemporary, states that he i graves of the martyrs Alexander, Vitali tialis. On Mar. 6, 538, he directed Cæsai (q.v.) to inform Theudebert, king of A penance to be done because of his mar on June 29, 538, he issued certain inst Profuturus, bishop of Braga; on Oct. informed Auxanius, the successor of (Aries, that he could not send him the pallium without first informing the emperor; on May 22, 545, he conferred the pallium on Auxanius, and on Aug. 23, 546, on Auxanius' successor, Aurelian.

By 546 Vigilius was no longer in Rome, for in the mean time the "Three-chapters Controversy" (q.v.) had broken out. His situation was grave from the first, and became still more critical Three-Chapters appear in person at Constantinople. Controversy. In 544 or 545 Vigilius accordingly left.

Controversy. In 544 or 545 Vigilius accordingly left Rome, never to return; whether he was detained by force, as the Liber pontificalis states, is uncertain; and, after a sojourn of some length in Sicily, he reached Constantinople, by way of Illyria and Greece, late in 546 or early in 547, Pelagius (q.v.) remaining in Rome as his representative. In the mean time he had bitterly reproached Menas for subscribing to the edict and had approved the course of Stephanus in breaking off religious fellowship with him, an example followed by many of the clergy and laity, as well as by the African Church. Though he was officially received by Justimin with the highest respect, the gravity of the situation soon became evident. The first step made by the pope is problematical. Theophanes implies that soon after his arrival he condemned the three chapters, but this is highly improbable, since he renewed religious fellowship with Menas, the two being reconciled at the instance of Theodora on June 29. In the mean time, however, Justinian had even threatened to imprison the pope. To this period probably belong the two letters in which Vigilius promised the emperor and empress to condemn the three chapters, these letters to be kept secret for the time being, but later coming to light at a critical moment. The emphasis laid on the rights of the Curia in these documents was plainly intended to create the impression that the pope was the arbitrator in the matter, but as a matter diact he had tied his own hands. Nevertheless, be convened seventy bishops, and in three sessions debated whether the edict concerning the three chapters was contradictory to the Council of Chalcedon. When, however, Facundus, the most eloquent and learned of the faction under condemnation, desired to speak, Vigilius adjourned the sesand required each of those who took part to whait a written opinion. These opinions, under nonophysite influence, were rendered in the deand Vigilius had them presented to the emperor immediately. The pope now took a decure step, and on Easter Even, 548, sent Menas his Judicatum, in which he unreservedly condemned the three chapters and as unreservedly accepted the Chalcedonian creed. The publication of this document by his nephew and deacon Rusticus roused a turnult of opposition throughout the West. This opposition seems to have produced an impresat court, for otherwise Justinian would scarcely we returned his Judicatum to Vigilius or have thought of referring the entire matter to a great mod. On Aug. 15, 550, however, he exacted from the pope an oath to proceed in the condemnation of the three chapters, though in conference with the emperor Vigilius secured a promise that no further action should be taken until the synod had been convened. In the summer of 551 Justinian unexpectedly anticipated the decision of the synod and in a new edict renewed the condemnation of the three chapters.

Vigilius now assumed the offensive instead of the defensive, probably under the influence of Pelagius, who about this time arrived from Rome. The pope correctly saw his chief enemy in Theo-

Vacillating dorus Ascidas, whom he excommunicated in the middle of July. But Vigil-

ius no longer felt safe, and fled from the Domus Placidia, the residence of the apocrisiary, to the basilica of St. Peter at Hormisda, where, on Aug. 17, he pronounced Theodorus deposed and his adherents, including Menas, excommunicated, though these sentences were to remain secret until their effect upon Justinian and those condemned should be ascertained. The attempt to tear him from sanctuary failed, but on assurance of safety from Justinian the pope returned to the Domus Placidia, only to leave it again on the night of Dec. 23 and take refuge in the chapel of St. Euphemia at Chalcedon. Even there he was exposed to peril, probably in consequence of the publication of his ban on Theodorus and his open letter of Feb. 5, in which he complained of the treatment he had received in Constantinople. His excommunicated opponents, however, now laid before the pope a defense of their tenets with a corresponding creed; and after the death of Menas the new Patriarch Eutychius sent Vigilius a courteous notification of his accession (Jan. 6, 553). Hereupon the pope declared himself ready for a synod, though he desired that it be held in Italy or Sicily. The emperor finally informed the pope that he must either attend the synod or have it opened without his presence. Vigilius remained true to his refusal to appear, declaring that he would give his opinion in writing. On May 5, 553, the synod convened, and on May 14 Vigilius completed his Constitutum de tribus capitulis, in which he rejected all community of spirit with the followers of Theodore of Mopsuestia and yet declined to condemn the three chapters. The document was to be presented to Justinian on May 25, but he refused to receive it, and his commissary laid before the synod the secret letters mentioned above, in which Vigilius had promised to condemn the three chapters. At the same time the command was given to strike the pope's name from the diptychs, and the final decision of the synod in its eighth session (June 2) was in harmony with the imperial wish concerning the three chapters. Whether Vigilius was condemned to banishment is uncertain, but at all events he could scarcely return to Italy, where Justinian's power was at its zenith. Nevertheless, efforts seem to have been made at Rome to secure his return, and the result was the complete recantation of Vigilius. He announced his change of position in a letter to the Patriarch Eutychius on Dec. 8, 553, and explained it at length on Feb. 26, 554, in his Constitutum Vigilii pro damnatione trium capitulorum. By his recantation he gained return to Rome, formal sanction being given by Justinian on Aug. 13, 554; but he died at Syracuse, on his way home, June 7, 555,

being buried in the church of San Marcello on the Via Salaria.

Vigilius was no uncompromising adherent of what he deemed right; and though it is true that the justice of the condemnation of the His three chapters is a moot question, that

Character. the dogmatic verdict can not be rendered simply from the attitude of the Africans and their sympathizers, and that ecclesiastical polity had some reason to sacrifice Theodore for Chalcedon, all this does not justify his instability. And while his policy was, in its last analysis, the essentially papal principle of refusing to allow the State to dictate to the Church, he lacked ability to attain his ends by other than surreptitious means. When, under the influence of Pelagius, he demanded a free council on western soil and declined to attend the synod at Constantinople, he was on the right path, dangerous as this might prove when opposed to the policy of an emperor like Justinian; but his past was against him, and his recantation might have been expected.

(G. KRÜGER.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Original documents are in MPL, lxix. 15-178; CSEL, xxxv. 230-320, 348 sqq.; Jaffé, Repesta, i. 117-124; MGH. Epiet., iii (1891), 57-68, and Auct. ant., xi (1893), 200 sqq. Consult further: Liber pontificalis, ed. L. Duchesne, i. 296-302, Paris, 1886; J. Basnage, Hist. de l'église, i. 517-547, Rotterdam, 1699; J. Punkes, Papst Vigilius, Munich, 1864; L. Duchesne, Vigile et Pélage, in Revue des questions historiques, xxxvi (1884), 369-381; B. Constant, in Pitra's Analecta novissima, i (1885), 370-461; J. Langen, Geschichte der römischen Kirche, i. 341-382, Bonn, 1885; Revue des questions historiques, xxxvii (1885), 540-578, 579-593; A. Knecht, Die Religionspolitik Justinians I., Würsburg, 1896; L. M. Hartmann, Geschichte Italiens im Mittelalter, i. 382-394, Leipsic, 1897; H. Grisar, Geschichte Roms und der Papste im Mittelalter, i. 502-507, 574-580, Freiburg, 1900; Bower, Popes, i. 345-370; Platina, Popes, i. 128-130; Milman, Latin Christianity, i. 462-470; Hefele, Conciliengeschichte, vols. ii.—iii, passim; DCB, iv. 1144-51 (full, names sources); KL, xii. 956-959; and the literature under Three-Chapters Controversy.

VIGILIUS OF THAPSUS: Bishop of Thapsus (the modern Dimas or Ras Dimas, 90 m. s.e. of Tunis); flourished in the latter part of the fifth century. He is to be identified with the "Vigilius Tapsitanus," who with others was cited by Hunerich to appear at Carthage on Feb. 1, 484, to give an account of his faith; and probably also with the "Vigilius Tapsensis," who, according to the testimony of manuscripts, wrote three books against Eutychianism. No other details of his life are known with certainty, but it seems probable that after the disastrous termination of the visit to Carthage he was banished; at least, he must have been deposed like all the other Catholic bishops. According to Theodulf of Orléans (De Spiritu Sancto, MPL, cv. 273), and Æneas of Paris (Adv. Græcos, MPL, cxxi. 717), Vigilius composed his works against Eutyches at Constantinople. More might be stated concerning the episcopal career of Vigilius could he be identified with the Vigilius to whom Celsus addressed his De Judaica incredulitate (Cyprian, ed. G. Hartel, Vienna, 1871, III., iii. 119-132). He would then seem to have been a monk suddenly raised to the episcopate to end the controversies concerning the choice of a bishop at a time of persecution when bishops stood in imminent peril of death. This identification, however, is uncertain, and his own writings contain nothing concerning the events of his life.

Perceiving the spread of Eutychianism in the East, Vigilius wrote at the exhortation of his "holy brethren" the Libri quinque contra Eutychetem (MPL, lxii. 95-154) formerly ascribed to Vigilius of Trent (q.v.). In his refutation of Eutyches he proceeds from the Catholic principle of the mean between the extreme and mutually antagonistic views of heretics. The date of the work is uncertain, but should probably be set not long after the Council of Chalcedon. In the fifth book Vigilius alludes to his polemics against Sabellius, Photinus, and Arius. This work, in three books, and entitled by the first editor of Vigilius (F. Chifflet, Victoria Vitensis et Vigilii Tapsensis provincia Bizacens episcoporum opera, Dijon, 1664) Contra Ariano, etc., dialogus (MPL, lxii. 179-238), is in the form of a debate between Athanasius (Vigilius himself), Arius, Sabellius, and Photinus before the judge Probus (God). Sabellius and Photinus advance arguments which prove mutually destructive, so that only Athanasius and Arius remain, the victory being awarded the former by the judge. From this work an extract was made, apparently in the Carolingian period, in which only Arius and Athanasius debate, an introduction being provided on the basis of the "Church History" of Rufinus (MPL, bii. 155-180). It is also very probable that the Liba contra Felicianum et Arianum de unitate Trinitatio ad Optatum (MPL, lxii. 333-352) was written by Vigilius.

Vigilius of Thapsus was the author of a number of other works now lost. In his Dialogus (ii. 45) he mentions a polemic "against Maribadus," probably the deacon Marivadus, who enjoyed the special favor of Hunerich. Chifflet wrongly identified this lost work with the Idacii Clari Hispani contra Varimadum Arianum Liber et difficillimorum quorumque locorum de Trinitate declaratio (MPL, lxii. 351-434), first edited by J. Sichardt in his Antidotum (Basel, 1528). Vigilius also replied to the attack of the Arian bishop Palladius on Ambrose (Dialogus, ii. 50). This work has likewise vanished. Chifflet wrongly ascribed to Vigilius the De Trinitate libri duodecim (MPL, lxii. 237-334), but of these only books i.-viii. belong together, ix.-xii. being by another author, while even the first eight books represent a revised and enlarged second edition of the books i.-vii. It is generally conceded that both these recensions were written in Spain, not Africa or Italy, at the end of the fourth or the beginning of the fifth century. In all probability books ivii. of the De Trinitate were written by Gregory of Elvira (q.v.), the author of the pseudo-Ambrosian De fide (MPL, lxii. 449-468), whose seven books De Trinitate, written before 383, had been suspected of Sabellianism, and who had accordingly composed the De fide and revised his seven books, besides adding an eighth. The Libellus fidei, the ninth book of the De Trinitate, must be by the same author. Books x.-xii. of the De Trinitate have not yet been sufficiently studied. The concluding portions of the first and second parts are repeated word Sando of Nicetas of Remesiana (ed. A. E. Burn, Cambridge, 1905); and the twelfth book has been ascribed by the Benedictines to Athanasius. This last is a collection of Scriptural passages demonstrating the divinity of the Holy Ghost, and it is now generally held that it can not be a translation from the Greek. It shows affinities, however, with the pseudo(?)-Athanasian De incarnatione Dei Verbi et contra Arianos (MPL, xxvi. 981-1028).

Several other works have been ascribed to this Vigilius: Solutiones objectionum Arianorum (MPL, kii. 469-472); Collatio beati Augustini cum Pascentio Ariano (MPL, xxxiii. 1156-62); Altercatio ecdicia et synagogæ (MPL, xlii. 1131-40); Liber contro Fulgentium Donatistam (MPL, xliii. 763-774; this certainly of African origin); Conflictus Cabolici et Serapionis de Deo trino et uno (MPL, lii. 239-322; usually attributed to Arnobius); and a number of others, one of which, the De conflictu withum et vitiorum (MPL, xl. 1091-1106), was certainly written by Ambrosius Autpertus, while the rest admit of no final decision. In his Institutio divisarum litterarum (ix.; MPL, lxx. 1122), Cassiodorus mentions an African Bishop Vigilius as the author of an excellent treatise on the thousand years of the Apocalypee, but it is uncertain whether this author was Vigilius of Thapsus.

(GERHARD FICKER.)

Britograffy: S. A. Morcelli, Africa Christiana, i. 307, ii 216, 235, Brixen, 1816-17; F. Kattenbusch, Das aposhilde Symbol, passim, Leipsic, 1894; G. Ficker, Studien is Vigilius von Thapsus, Leipsic, 1897; Bardenhewer, Petrologie, 3d ed., p. 537, Eng. transl., St. Louis, 1908; J. Quitt, in J. Strzygowski, Byzantinische Denkmäler, iii. 83-100, 111-112, Vienna, 1903; H. Leolercq, L'Afrique circliense, ii. 203, Paris, 1904; M. Schanz, Geschichte der inmischen Litteratur, i. 280, 348-349, Munich, 1904; Ceilier, Auteurs sacrés, x. 472-485; DCB, iv. 1143-44; KL, ii. 959-962.

VIGILIUS OF TRENT: Bishop of that see; d. at Trent June 26, 400. The actuality of his existence and that of his circle is of great importance in the history of the Christianizing and Catholicizing of the Rhætian Alps district. His predecessor Abundantius, the first known bishop of the place, took part in a synod at Aquileia (q.v.) in 381 which Trent about that time with his mother Maxentia and his brothers Claudianus (Confessor; ASB, Mar. i. 427) and Majorianus (Martyr; ASB, Mar., ii. 398); and though he was but twenty years old, he was consecrated bishop, and received the insignia from Ambrose of Milan with the letter of induction (Epist., xxix., in MPL, xvi. 982). He built the churches of Gervasius and Protasius at Trent, and carried on energetic missions in his diocese and in those of Verona and Brixen. Great excitement attended the martyrdom of the three missionaries who hailed from Cappadocia, Sisinnius, Martyrius, and Alexander, of whom Vigilius speaks in two letters, one to Simplician of Milan, successor of Ambrose, and the other to Chrysostom at Constantinople. Because of these letters he appears in the work of Gennadius (De vir. ill., xxxviii., Eng. transl. in NPNF, 2 ser., iii. 392). Vigilius himself suffered a martyr's death. The dogmatic writings ascribed to him are not his, but beong to Vigilius of Thapsus (q.v.), with whom he was confused. (F. Arnold.)

WAS COMMESCU.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: ASB, June, v. 165-168, cf. the material collected in ASB, May vii. 143 sqq., under the names of Sisinnius and Alexander; J. G. Sulser, Die Wiederauffindung der Urne des glorreichen Märtyrers Vigilius Bischofs... von Trient, Trent, 1863; Tillemont, Mémoires, x. 542-552; Benedict, Count of Giovanelli, in Beiträge zur Geschichte von Tirol und Vorarlberg, iv. 1-152, Innsbruck, 1828; KL, xii. 962-964.

VIGILS: Services, originally consisting of hymns, prayers, lessons, and processions, held on the eve of high festivals, for which they form the preparation. Religious meetings were held at night even in the primitive Church, but the only night in the church year which then was wholly passed in fasting and vigil was Easter eve. Somewhat later the eve of Whitsunday was observed with special solemnity. In the fifth and sixth centuries the vigil of Easter was deemed the most appropriate time for baptism, the Eucharist, and ordination, and next in honor were the vigils of Whitsunday and Christmas. After the twelfth century special vigils preceded the feasts of the Virgin. After the fourth century vigils were celebrated with magnificence, and occasionally were marred by conduct which rendered it necessary in places to debar women from them. By the end of the same century vigils in honor of individual martyrs seem also to have been fully developed. In the Middle Ages vigils proper were celebrated only in the monasteries, the celebration of the vigils in the churches being either included in matins or vespers or transformed into fasts. In the Roman Catholic Church the vigil is now celebrated chiefly on the morning before the festival, except at Christmas and Easter Eve. Nominal vigils occur at Epiphany, Ascension, Whitsunday, the Annunciation, and the Purification of the Blessed Virgin, St. John's Day, All Saints', St. Laurence's Day, and the days of the apostles Matthew, Peter, Jude, James, Simon, Thomas, and Andrew. Services among Protestants which correspond in some degree to vigils are the Moravian observances at Good Friday and Easter, and the very common "watch-meetings" lasting until midnight on the last night of the year.

[The Anglican Church has the following vigils, on which abstinence is enjoined: the evens of the Nativity, Purification, Annunciation, Easter, Ascension, and Pentecost, and the days of Saints Matthias, John the Baptist, Peter, James, Bartholomew, Matthew, Simon and Jude, Andrew, Thomas, and All Saints. If any of these feasts falls on Monday, the vigil is held on Saturday. The celebration of the midnight Eucharist at Christmas, frequent in the Anglican communion, is not a vigil.]

(O. Zöckler†.)

Bibliography: Bingham, Origines, VII., x. 1, XIII., ix. 4, XVI. xi. 17, XX., vii. 9; J. C. W. Augusti, Denkwürdigkeiten, i. 131, vii. 170 sqq., viii. 138-139, ix. 413, x. 319, 12 vols., Leipsic, 1717-31; S. Bäumer, Geschichte des Breviers, passim, Freiburg, 1895; O. Zöckler, Askese und Mönchtum, i. 168-169, 2d ed., Frankfort, 1897; K. A. H. Kellner, Heortologie, Freiburg, 1901; DCA, ii. 2017.

VILATTE, JOSEPH RENÉ (ARCHBISHOP MAR TIMOTHEUS): Old Catholic; b. in Paris Jan. 24, 1854. After service in the Franco-Prussian War, Villatte passed two years in Canada as teacher and lay assistant to a French mission-priest, followed

by one year in the House of the Christian Brothers at Naumur, Belgium, and a second devoted to private preparation for the priesthood, before entering the Seminary of St. Laurence, Montreal, Canada. Several anti-Roman lectures of ex-Father Chiniquy, heard in the interval between the third and fourth years, caused spiritual conflict from doctrinal doubts. Unable to continue consistently his seminary studies, an invitation of the president of The Presbyterian College, Montreal, was accepted, and two years' study there convinced him both of papal additions to the primitive Catholic faith, and of defective Protestant interpretation of its traditional teachings. Unwilling, however, to leave the Roman Church, he now entered the monastery of the clerics of St. Viator at Bourbonnais, Ill., but after six months' stay, continuing inner conflicts impelled him to seek counsel from Chiniquy, who advised him to begin mission-work among the French and Belgians of Green Bay, Wis., and send a statement of his doctrinal difficulties to Père Hyacinthe of Paris (see LOYSON, CHARLES JEAN MARIE AUGUSTIN HYACINTHE). The latter replied urging a personal conference regarding Roman Catholic reform in America, and a proposed ordination as priest by Bishop Eduard Herzog (q.v.) of Bern. Circumstances forced Vilatte, however, to follow Hyacinthe's alternative advice to consult with the Episcopal Bishop Brown of Wisconsin, who wished to ordain him in the Protestant Episcopal Church, but Vilatte, adhering to the original counsel of Hyacinthe, later left America for Bern, and was ordained to the priesthood by the Old Catholic Bishop Herzog in 1885.

Vilatte's missionary activity among the French and Belgians in Wisconsin soon won many adherents, including several ex-Roman priests as assistants. Reports of his successful movement in America led the Old Catholic priests and bishops of Holland to submit a proposal, which was accepted, to attach the clergy and missions to their hierarchy instead of remaining in quasi-connection with the Episcopal diocese of Fond du Lac. The successor of Bishop Brown, hoping to avert the prospective separation, addressed Archbishop Heykamp of Utrecht, asserting the orthodoxy of Anglican teaching and the validity of its episcopal succession, and concluded with the proposal that Vilatte be consecrated abbot-bishop with monastic jurisdiction only, instead of with the anticipated diocesan authority of a Catholic bishop. The bishops of Holland still insisting, as a necessary condition of conferring the episcopate, on the cessation of all ecclesiastical relations with the Episcopalians, the required separation was formally effected. But the promised consecration was withheld, and soon after the Russian Bishop Vladimir of Alaska, approving the confession of faith and the official acts of Vilatte in seeking to obtain a bishop for the Old Catholics of America, intervened and referred their status to the Holy Synod for determination.

While awaiting its decision, Vilatte also consulted with Archbishop Alvarez of Ceylon who, as the leader of a large number of Portuguese Roman Catholics, had received archiepiscopal consecration from the legate of the Patriarch of Antioch, assisted

by two Syrian metropolitans. Alvarez, likewis approving Vilatte's confession of faith and officia acts, offered to come to America and consecrate him bishop; but after a number of months' waiting without a decision from the Holy Synod on his status, Vilatte left America for Ceylon to receive the offered episcopate. After a careful consideration of his ecclesiastical position, the Patriarch of Antioch authorized his elevation to the hierarchy, and his consecration as archbishop of the archdioces of America, which was conferred in May, 1892.

Soon after Vilatte's return to America, Polish Roman Catholic priests in Detroit, Cleveland, Chicago, and other cities placed themselves and their parishes under his jurisdiction, and new missions were begun in other places for which Vilatte ordained priests as needed. The steadily increasing growth of this movement gave hope for the organization of a coherent Polish Catholic Church in America. After successive annual conferences of the priests and delegates from their parishes, the proposal to elect a Polish suffragan bishop was approved, and in 1897 Father Kaminski of Buffalo was chosen. Father Kozlowski of Chicago, the disappointed candidate, unwilling to acquiesce in the result, called in that city a second convention of his partizans, which elected him as rival bishop, but when he sought confirmation, Vilatte was consistently compelled to refuse him recognition. Failing after repeated attempts to secure the promise of consecration, Kozlowski left America for Europe, and was later consecrated rival bishop by Hersog of Bern. Factional strife among the Polish priests soon destroyed all prospect of an organized Polish Catholic Church, and Vilatte, becoming finally convinced that deliberate defiance of the canonical authority of their Roman ordinaries, rather than Catholic reform, was the impelling motive of the movement, advised them either to accept fully and freely the Old Catholic principles, or to return to the Roman Church. The evident unwillingness to accept required doctrinal reforms left Vilatte no alternative but to withdraw his approval of their movement; and in 1898 he consecrated Father Kaminski of Buffalo as suffragan bishop for those priests and parishes which accepted them. Soon after this, Vilatte left America for Paris to consult with advisers regarding his future course, interrupting his journey to ordain to the priesthood Father Ignatius (see Lyne, Joseph Leycester) and another monk of Llanthony, Wales. Being advised in Paris to visit Rome, after a retreat at the Benedictine monastery at Ligugé, Vilatte personally offered his acceptance of the plea of the pope to Eastern prelates for union with the Holy See; but after the solemn recognition of his episcopal character by the Holy Office, followed by months of waiting for \$ decision on his status, and a required retreat in the Trappist monastery of Mt. Mellary, Ireland, later developments compelled him to recall his accept ance on his return to Rome.

His presence in Paris impelled Paolo Miraglia, the leader of Roman Catholic reform in northern Italy, to write to him regarding the movement and concerning consecration to the episcopate. After care ful consideration, the request was granted, and or

0, Miraglia was consecrated in Piacenza onarius for Italy. Returning to America, is chosen in 1902 as the permanent archiseat, and a mission begun by Father n 1903 Vilatte was urged by several lerical adherents to come to England to proposed Catholic reform. The new seemed to promise success, and after red of the acceptance of the required by their designated leader, a married exeleric, he was first successively ordained ibdeacon, deacon, and priest, and then consecrated as a Catholic bishop. This opal consecration conferred by Vilatte is noteworthy because the bishop-elect was e two preceding priests, a celibate. The of Vilatte was followed by Archbishop recht in consecrating several years later Mathew of England, who had married ordination in the Roman Church. In the abolition of the concordat concluded oman Church by the Emperor Napoleon, is summoned to Paris by a league of men, directed by Mon. Henri de Houx, f different parishes in various cities, who ous of detaching themselves from the urch, and accepting the associations law. ed during a part of 1907, assisting their y movement for the eventual organizaindependent French Catholic Church. after the death of Father Ignatius of , the two senior surviving Anglican monks nim to ordain them in succession to their bbot. Their petition for the priesthood roved, the ceremony was performed in Canada, where Vilatte was then staying isitation of his mission-stations in that erica. During the last two years, Vilatte preparing for the establishment of a ter of missionary activity and the buildnastery for the training of celibate clergy th for which land is to be selected and immigrants both from America and r whose spiritual and secular welfare the re already active.

ERNEST C. MARGRANDER.

AGNON, vîl"gā"nyēn', NICOLAS DU-: Founder of a French Protestant colony b. in Provence about 1510; d. at Beauvais ours, 45 m. s.s.w. of Paris) Jan. 15, 1571. ntered the order of the Knights of Malta, in the African expedition of Charles V., hronicled in his Caroli Quinti imperatoris n Africam ad Arginam (Paris, 1542). In scorted Mary Stuart from Scotland to d in 1554 Henry II. appointed him vice-Brittany. He won the approval of Coligny of founding a French colony in South s a refuge for the Protestants, and gained ation of the king by pointing out that the glory of France would be promoted by n in those lands side by side with the and Portuguese. Receiving two ships ention of 10,000 livres, he secured many rom the Reformed, since he promised

that religious worship in the new colonies should be conducted according to the usage of Geneva; and he was also joined by a number of soldiers and adventurers. Sailing from Havre, Villegagnon reached the bay of Rio de Janeiro in Nov., 1555. He built a fort on an island in the bay, but provisions ran low and the soldiers and workmen were hard to control. Desiring to offset them by the more tractable Calvinists, Villegagnon sent letters to Coligny and Calvin, asking for more pious Protestants and also for preachers. Pierre Richer and Guillaume Chartier were commissioned the first Protestant missionaries in America, and they were joined by eleven others. At Paris the company, headed by Philippe de Corguilleray, Sieur du Pont, was increased by many more colonists, including a certain Cointa of the Sorbonne. In Nov., 1556, they embarked at Honfleur, under the command of Villegagnon's nephew, Bois le Conte, and in Mar., 1557, the three ships arrived, with nearly 300 colonists. But disputes arose over the Lord's Supper, Cointa and Villegagnon making requirements contrary to Genevan usage, branding Geneva as evil, and finally withdrawing from participation in religious services. A delegation headed by Chartier left for Geneva (June, 1557) to obtain the final decision of Calvin, the administration of the Lord's Supper meanwhile being discontinued. Then Villegagnon, relieved of the presence of the energetic Chartier, attempted to impose the doctrine of transubstantiation, and finally forbade all religious services. At this juncture, while the Protestants were holding secret meetings, a neutral ship arrived, and a number of colonists declared their intention of leaving. These Villegagnon drove from the island, confiscating all their possessions; and finally they set sail in a neutral Breton ship on Jan. 4, 1558. The ship proved unseaworthy, and five of the colonists in a small boat reached a French village on the coast, where Villegagnon happened to be. He received them on condition that they would hold no converse on religion, but later ordered them brought before him, and as they persisted in their religious beliefs, he had them executed as heretics (Feb. 10, 1558).

In the mean time, the ship carrying the other colonists, after many disasters, on May 26, 1558, made the Breton harbor of Blavet, where many of the survivers died or were made seriously ill by being fed too generously after semi-starvation. The remainder pushed on a few days later, and scattered at Nantes, the most of them returning to their families. Shortly afterward the Brazilian colony broke up entirely; Villegagnon returned to France; the Portuguese destroyed the fort, put to death as heretics those who remained, and carried the French guns in triumph to Lisbon. Villegagnon finally retired to the estates of the Knights of Malta at Beauvais, where he died loathed by Protestants and suspected by the Roman Catholics. The colony is noteworthy as the first missionary enterprise of the Protestant Church, and as the first attempt of Calvinism to plant a colony in the New World.

(Eugen Lachenmann.)

Bibliography: A list of the works of Villegagnon may be found in the *British Museum Catalogue*, under "Durand de Villegagnon," and in Hauck-Herzog, *RE*, xx. 646.

Consult J. de Léry, Hist. d'un voyage faict dans le terre du Brésil, Geneva, 1577, extracts from this in English are in S. Purchas' Pilgrimes (numberless reprints and editions); J. Crespin, Hist. des martyrs, new ed. by D. Benoit, 3 vols., Toulouse, 1885-89; F. Bourquelot, Mémoires de Claude Haton, Paris, 1857; M. T. Alves Noguoira, Der Monchsritter N. D. de Villegaignon, Leipsic, 1887; A. Heulhard, Villegagnon, roi d'Amérique, Paris, 1897; T. E. V. Smith, in Papers of the American Society of Church History, iii (1891), 185-206; Lichtenberger, ESR, xii, 385-387; and literature under Branz respecting the early history.

VILMAR, fil'mār, AUGUST FRIEDRICH CHRIS-TIAN: German Lutheran; b. at Solz (near Rotenburg, 78 m. n.e. of Frankfort) Nov. 21, 1800; d. at Marburg July 30, 1868. In 1818-20 he studied

Religious doubt from rationalism, and from Struggles. doubt to pass to unbelief. In Dec., 1823, he was appointed rector of the

municipal school at Rotenburg, where he remained until 1827, when he went to Hersfeld as fourth teacher and collaborator at the gymnasium, being promoted third teacher in 1829. During these years he renounced rationalism, and for a year or two professed the opinion that the world is the feeling of God. He made further progress through reading first the Church Fathers, especially Tertullian and Irenzeus, and then Tholuck's Lehre von der Sünde, and arrived at unwavering faith in Christ by his fortieth year, realizing that all he sought was to be found in the Lutheran Church, a process begun by the careful study of the Augsburg Confession and its Apology.

In 1831 Vilmar was elected from Hersfeld to the newly created diet of the electorate of Hesse, and in December of the same year he was appointed a member of the ministerial committees for religion and instruction. From Oct., 1832, to the end of Apr., 1833, he was assistant reporter in the minis-

try of the interior and nominal second Services to teacher at the gymnasium of Hanau; Education. he was director of the gymnasium at

Marburg, 1833-50, being a member of the committee on gymnasial affairs 1836-50; in 1850 he was transferred to the ministry of the interior as consistorial councilor, and from 1851 to 1855 also discharged the duties of the aged superintendent Ernst; in 1855 he became professor of theology at the University of Marburg. In the reports drawn up by Vilmar in the name of his committees for the Hessian Diet in 1831-32 he appealed effectually for the elevation of the national university, for the foundation of new professorships, and for the better equipment of institutions of learning. He also transformed the condition of the public schools, and may truly be termed the reformer of the gymnasia of Hesse. His views on gymnasial instruction are set forth in his twenty-four Schulreden über Fragen der Zeit (Marburg, 1846). During this period he published works dealing with Germanic linguistics, among them being Deutsche Altertümer in Heliand (1845); Vorlesungen über die Geschichte der deutschen National-Literatur (1845); Geschichte der deutschen National-Literatur (Marburg, 1846); Handbüchlein für Freunde des deutschen Volksliedes (1866); Ueber Goethes Tasso (Frankfort, 1869); Lebensbilder deutscher Dichter (ed. K. W. Piderit, Mar-

burg, 1869), and Luther, Melanchthon, Zwin (Frankfort, 1869). Of far greater importance, in t present connection, were his services in the reform tion of religious instruction in the gymnasi Deeming that the gymnasium was designed to tra up Christian leaders of the nation, and that reli ious instruction should assume a distinctive churchly character, Vilmar set forth his views a series of contributions to Hengstenberg's Evange ische Kirchenzeitung in 1841 (ed. J. Haussleite under the title Ueber den evangelischen Religionsu terricht in den Gymnasien, Marburg, 1888). Heal prepared for use in the gymnasia a Kleines eva gelisches Gesangbuch (Marburg, 1838); taking pa also in the struggle on behalf of the old hymnal as well as in the preparation of the Deutsches even gelisches Kirchengesangbuch (Stuttgart, 1855).

The Church, Vilmar believed, was about to ente upon a new era, when there would be full recognition of the absolute unity of the visible and the invisible church, and of the communion of saints with one body on earth, foreshadowing the church of the Apocalypse, the New Jerusalem. With such a conviction, Vilmar found before him two tasks: The

first of these concerned the creed of Services to the church of Hesse, Vilmar maintainthe Church, ing that its future depended on its about the church of th

solute fidelity to the confessions of the Church from the Apostles' Creed to the unaltered Augsburg Confession. To prove that the creed of the so-called Reformed church of Lower Hesse was this unaltered Augsburg Confession cost Vilmar immense toil. The second task was Vilmar's decided advocacy of the freedom of the Church from the State. In 1839 Vilmar took part in the Hessian confessional controversy, in which the attempt was made to discard the Augsburg Confession. Against such an endeavor Vilmar wrote his Verhältnis der evangelischen Kirche in Kurhessen zu ihren neuesten Gegnern (Marburg, 1839). In like spirit, after the faculty of Marburg had required the use of the Heidelberg Catechism in the schools and had designated the doctrines set forth in the Hessian Catechism # Reformed '' (1855), Vilmar sought to prove, especially in his Geschichte des Konfessionsstandes der evangelischen Kirche in Hessen (Marburg, 1860), that the church of Lower Hesse was termed "Reformed " not because of the doctrines prevailing in it, but because of the form of worship introduced by the Landgrave Maurice in the Verbesserungpunkte (q.v.) in 1605, although after the middle of the seventeenth century the theology of Hesse-Cassel had adopted the strict predestination of the Reformed. In Die Gegenwart und die Zukunft der niederhessischen Kirche (1867) he urged that the struggle against impending union be begun with the strongest emphasis on Lutheranism; and the failure to follow this counsel of Vilmar proved: fatal error in the conflict between the Hessian churches.

In 1848-50 Vilmar exercised a profound influence on political affairs. Essentially a conservative and devoted to his sovereign, he not only supported his elector manfully, but also made the Hessische Volksfreund, which he founded in 1848 and edite alone until the middle of 1851, a center for all the

of the land. A number of his contributhis periodical were reprinted by Vilmar himself under the title Zur neuesten Kulturgeschichte Deutschlands (3 parts, sm. Frankfort, 1858–67).

Vilmar has rightly been characterized inently acquainted with his native land and ent admirer and protector of the relics of her lis researches into Hessian history are emin his Hessisches Historienbüchlein (1842) sische Chronik (1855), and he was also the of the admirable Idiotikon von Kurhessen But dearer to him than all else was his of which he was acting superintendent, as noted, from 1851 to 1855. His power as a rmay still be seen in his Predigten und geistden (1876), while his visitation of churches lischarge of his duties gave rise to many summunications of importance.

e death of Superintendent Ernst, Vilmar was his successor. The election was subject, to the approval of the sovereign, and

this the last prince elector of Hesse for refused. Vilmar, though elected supernts intendent, was now appointed protors fessor of theology at Marburg (Oct.

27, 1855). Unwillingly he entered 1 office which he would have welcomed a of a century before. Yet he became the fluential professor in the university. His 1 was set forth in Die Theologie der Thatvider die Theologie der Rhetorik (1856), and ses, in the spirit of practical religion there ided, he conducted his theological pupils a three-years' course which covered the lible. This course of lectures was edited by I C. Müller under the title Collegium Biblivols., Gütersloh, 1879-83); and most of his ctures were also edited posthumously: K. zrit preparing the Die Augsburgische Kon-(Marburg, 1870), Lehre vom geistlichen Amt Christliche Kirchenzucht (1872), Pastoral-(Gütersloh, 1872), and Dogmatik (2 vols., nd C. C. Israel those on Theologische Moral 1871). Vilmar lectured also on homiletics, ogy, and the literary history of the theolthe Reformation period. Besides his prol activity, Vilmar was the soul of the conof the Lutheran pastors of both Hesses, were held alternately at Marburg and rg from 1857 to 1866. He further aided the these conferences by editing the Pastoralsche Blätter (12 vols., Stuttgart, 1861-66), to ne contributed a series of articles edited by er under the title Kirche und Welt (2 vols., oh, 1872). But despite the companionship pupils, Vilmar felt more and more isolated ne at Marburg, nor could he overcome his the events of 1866. His melancholy conincreased, and a few months after the death econd wife, he was found dead in bed from a d stroke of apoplexy. (J. HAUSSLEITER.) LAPHY: An autobiographical sketch is presented in

LAPHY: An autobiographical sketch is presented in land's Hessische Gelehrten. . . Geschichte, i. 119-assel, 1863, and further original matter in the form respondence is in E. Stengel, Private und amtliche ungen der Brüder Grimm, 2 vols., Marburg, 1886.

Consult further: J. H. Leimbach, A. F. C. Vilmar, Hanover, 1875; R. F. Grau, Vilmar und Von Hofmann, Erinnerungen, Gütersloh, 1879; E. R. Grebe, A. F. C. Vilmar, Cassel, 1900; idem, A. F. C. Vilmar als Oberhirts der Diocese Cassel, Marburg, 1904; ADB, xxxix. 715-722.

VINCENT OF BEAUVAIS: French Dominican and polyhistor of the thirteenth century; b. probably about 1190; d. apparently in 1264. Of his life almost nothing is known. He was a monk in the Dominican monastery of Beauvais, and probably studied in Paris, where he was attached to the Jacobin monastery. Possibly he was identical with the Dominican subprior Vincent of Beauvais who is mentioned in 1246. For a time he resided in the Cistercian monastery of Royaumont, where he was reader to the king; here possibly he was employed to supervise the education of the king's children, as is suggested by the fact that he wrote a work De institutione filiorum regiorum sive nobilium; and with this is probably connected his consolation to Louis on the death of his eldest son in 1260.

Vincent was a prolific author. In 1481 five of his writings were published at Basel in one volume: Tractatus de gratia dei or Liber gratia in four books on the eternal and temporal generation of Christ, his life, passion, resurrection, ascension, the sending of the Holy Ghost, and the blinding of the Jews; Liber de laudibus Virginis gloriosa, patristic excerpts on the Virgin; De sancto Johanne evangelista; De eruditione seu modo instruendorum filiorum regalium; and Consolatio pro morte amici, or, more correctly, Epistola consolatoria ad Ludovicum Francorum regem super morte filii ejus. Several works are extant only in manuscript.

The chief work of Vincent was his Speculum triplex (Strasburg, 1473; Nuremberg, 1483-86; Venice, 1484, 1493-94, 1591; Douai, 1624). It consists of three parts, the "natural, doctrinal, and historical mirror," to which the spurious "moral mirror" was added as a fourth part long after the author's death. The Speculum is the most comprehensive of all medieval encyclopedic works, and its author was perhaps the best-read scholar previous to the invention of printing. In his prologue Vincent declares that, despite the active pursuit of learning, especially in his own order, "sacred history" had been neglected; and that he would endeavor to remedy this deficiency by collecting everything worthy of remembrance. The prevailing point of view, therefore, is historical, not systematic. The chief source is the Bible (supplemented by the Apocrypha), to which are added papal decretals, the canons of general councils, and the works of recognized doctors of the Church. For secular matters use is made of such works as the chronicles of Eusebius, Jerome, Prosper, and Sigibert, the histories of Pompeius Trogus, Orosius, Suetonius, Rufinus, and Cassiodorus, the acts of martyrs, and the records of saints and monks. Philosophers and poets are likewise considered, as well as the writings of scientists and physicians from Aristotle, Pliny, and Hippocrates to Avicenna, Razi, and Constantinus Africanus.

The first part, or Speculum naturale, in thirty-two books, is based on the scheme of the six days of creation. It treats of all that Vincent had read

concerning angels and demons, light, and color (i.ii.), astronomy and astrology, space, time, motion, air, echo, rain, lightning, and clouds (iii.-iv.), the sea, tides, healing springs, minerals, plants, and gardens (v.-xiv.), birds and fishes (xvi.-xvii.), reptiles, mammals, and the anatomy, physiology, and psychology of man (xviii.-xxviii.), sin (xxix.), generation (xxxi.), and the geography of the three divisions of the world with their importance for the history of man (xxxii.). History itself is divided, in Augustinian fashion, not only into sections " before the law," " under the law," and " under grace," but also into six ages, corresponding to the six days of creation, and to six ages of man according to his varying attitude toward the divine law, these being infancy (from Adam to Noah), boyhood (to Abraham), adolescence (to David), youth (to the exile), manhood (to Christ), and old age (to the Last Day), the seventh age being the eternal rest of the saints. The second part, the Speculum doctrinale, in seventeen books, forms an encyclopedia of science. After an introduction (i. 3), the origin and division of sciences are discussed, and a vocabulary of unusual terms is appended (i. 10 sqq.). Then follow the elements of grammar (ii.) and a compend of logic, rhetoric, and poetry (iii.). Passing to "practical learning," Vincent takes up virtues and religion (iv.), social life (v. 38), "economics" (marriage, education, friends, house, agriculture, etc.; vi.), then political science (vii.), jurisprudence (viii.), and crimes (ix.-x.); the mechanical arts (xi.), medicine (xii.-xiii.), special diseases (xiv.); "natural philosophy" (the elements, minerals, trees, zoology, etc.; xv.) and mathematics, music, geometry, astronomy, and metaphysics (xvi.). The concluding book treats of theological science. The third portion, or Speculum historiale, is introduced by a brief presentation of the doctrine of God, the heavenly hierarchy, matter, creation, man, the fall, sin, the fourteen articles of faith, the three theological and the four cardinal virtues, and the seven gifts of the Holy Ghost. Early history is summarized from the Bible (i.). The second book extends from Moses to the period of the kings, including the account of Hercules, the Trojan war, Lycurgus, Romulus, the seven wise men, etc.; and the third treats of Æsop's Fables, the fall of Babylon, Hippias, Pythagoras, Heraclitus, Ezra, Nehemiah, Socrates, Plato, Aristotle, etc. Books iv.-vi. recount the history of Philip, Alexander, and the Diodochi to Augustus and Herod. The seventh book begins with Tiberius, and is devoted especially to the birth of Christ; and the eighth develops the essence of Christianity and the seven sacraments. The ninth book contains accounts of Nero, Simon Magus, and the Roman primate Clement, legends of the apostles, and histories of the martyrs, etc. The tenth book is a record of the emperors from Vespasian to Commodus, the destruction of Jerusalem, John, Pliny, and the Church Fathers. The eleventh book extends to Origen and Tertullian; the twelfth book contains the history of the Diocletian persecution, and the thirteenth and fourteenth the record of the period of Constantine, with the Church Fathers of the fourth century. The fifteenth and sixteenth books tell of India (according to the legend of Barlaam and Josaphat, q.v.), Persia, Rome, France, England, the Vandals, Ostrogoths, and Huns; while books xvii.—xxiv. are devoted to the period from Theodosius to the Carolingians, with thorough discussion of the principal ecclesiastical authors. Books xxv.—xxx. recount the events from the reign of Henry II. to the author's own time. The thirt-first book contains an account of the council held at Lyons in 1245, the sending of Dominicans to the Tatars in 1245, and the crusade of St. Louis with his captivity in Damietta until 1250. The last date given is 1253, but the Speculum was completed in thirty books in 1244, the thirty-first being added nine years later.

The method of Vincent was to take his data from some chronology, as that of Eusebius, and to fill in with material drawn from biographies or similar historical sources. There are few attempts at analysis or interpretation, the Speculum being rather a gigantic chronicle or work of reference. His purpose was to gather together all the learning of his time, and its gigantic and all-embracing scope is characterististic of the endeavor of religious endition to establish the supremacy of the Church of every side, even learning being made a means of grace, and knowledge serving to promote piety.

(R. Serregg.)

Bibliography: Histoire littéraire de la France, xviii. 445-515; J. Quétif and J. Echard, Scriptores ordinée praisetorum, i. 212-240, 300 sqq., Paris, 1719; A. Touron, Histore, and illustres de l'ordre de S. Dominique, i. 184, Paris, 1743; J. F. Eckhardt, Nachricht von seltenen Bedern der Bibliothek zu Eisenach, pp. 31-83, Eisenach, 1716; F. Schlosser, Vincens von Beauvaie, 2 vols., Frankfat, 1819; J. B. Bourgeat, Études sur Vincent de Beauvais, Paris, 1856; E. Boutaric, Ezamen des sources du speulen. . de Vincent de Beauvais, Paris, 1863; idem, Vincent de Beauvais et la connaissance de l'antiquité classique au xiii. siècle, ib. 1875; A. Stöckl, Geschichte der Philosphie des Mittelalters, ii. 345 sqq., Mains, 1865; T. Debarraux-Bernard, Étude bibliographique sur Viscent de Beauvais, Paris, 1872; W. Gass, in ZKG, i (1876), 385-396, ii (1877), 332-365, 510-536; H. Brosien, in NA, ir (1879), 437-439, 463, 500; B. Hauréau, Hist. de la philosophie scolastique, ii. 1, pp. 186 sqq., Paris, 180; iden, Notices et extraits, v. 110-113, ib. 1892; L. Kellner, Shizen und Bilder aus der Erzichungsgeschichte, i. 184 sqq. Ussen, 1880; R. Friedrich, Vicentius von Beauvais de Padagog, Loipsic, 1833; C. Giambelli, Di Viscense Bebvacense, Rome, 1886; M. de Wulf, Hist. de la philosophie médiévale, pp. 381-382, Louvain, 1900, 2d ed., 1905; Els. 119 sqq.

VINCENT OF LÉRINS: Presbyter of Lérins (5 m. s. of Cannes); flourished about the middle of the fifth century. According to Gennadius (De vir. ill., lxv.), he was deeply versed in the Bible and in dogmatic theology, wrote against heretics, and died during the reign of Theodosius II. and Valentinian III. Eucherius, in his Instructiones (CSEL, xxi. 66), describes him as " preeminent in eloquence and learning" and as one of the instructors of his 800. Gennadius was acquainted with only a single work by Vincent, written under the pseudonym of Peregrinus ("pilgrim"), the Adversum hardice, commonly known as the Commonitorium or Commonitoria (eds. are by J. Sicard, Basel, 1528, poorly reprinted by J. Coster, Antwerp, 1560, and Leyden, 1572; S. Baluze, Paris, 1663, 1684, and Augsburg, 1757, this last taken into MPL, l. 637 sqq.; Klüpfel, Vienna, 1809; Pusey, Oxford, 1838; insbruck, 1880; with Eng. transl., Hud-1880, and London, 1885; G. A. Jülicher, 1895). His work was apparently written ee years after the Council of Ephesus, 434. According to his own statements, ed it simply to aid his weak memory, not ation; but nevertheless he found it adlay the book before a wider circle, devlistic faults.

int in the transmission of the Commoniwever, remains uncertain. According to iii., Vincent, after exhausting the theme t Commonitorium, desired to begin anew stration of the "rule of the faith of the from the history of a council (the Counesus). Instead of this, chap. xxix. marks I the second book, and the remainder of is devoted to a brief recapitulation of said in both books. This was the condiich Gennadius found the Commonitorium, explained by saying that the main pore second book had been stolen from the its rough draft, whereupon Vincent had marized the contents of this second book, ided a new chapter to the first part, and ole appear as one book. In the work of imself there is no trace of such a reason apitulation, and the statement of Gennabest a hypothesis to explain the absence ond book. The explanation seems to be nt had both books completed before wrioncluding sections, but foresaw that the the second book and the mass of docud in it would lead many readers to skip d accordingly made a mere excerpt of the ak, since for the first book he might count ttention. The first book was then trantire, but only the excerpts of the second

portance of the Commonitorium rests on pment of a single thought contained in tilated first book. The purpose was to rinciples whereby the right could be disin the struggle between orthodoxy and combine in right relation the two great of the authority of the Scriptures and the f the Church Catholic, and to secure certhe correct determination of both. The nould never be uncertain as to what the tradition actually prescribe. But while contains only truth and all things neceslvation, it is evidently open to misinteras is shown by heretical and false of every kind. The fundamentals of ogma, therefore, can be decided only in : with the authority of the interpretation rch; and in a famous sentence he deonly that is truly and properly Cathoh is believed everywhere, always, and These three elements decide in favor of e teachings of the Church against every resy. If a new heresy arises, the good hould hold to the universal teaching of h as opposed to a single deviating the universal character be lacking bepostasy in many parts of the Church at

the same time, then appeal must be made to ancient teachings in the Church, in which case the heresy in question will usually be found to be opposed to the entire ancient Church. If, however, similar errors were represented in early times by single theologian or by a portion of the Church. then the final appeal must be taken to the prevailing majority of teachers in churches in early times. Vincent was firmly convinced that the Church Catholic had been, from the very first, the possessor of truth, and of the whole truth necessary for salvation; and heretics without exception are innovators seeking to destroy a portion of the inheritance of the Church. In matters of faith he held that the surest decisions must ever be sought in the writings of the ancients.

Vincent did not write his Commonitorium because of a purely academic interest in the establishment of a canon of Catholic faith, but tacitly to assail the Augustinian doctrine of Predestination (q.v.). Although Augustine is never mentioned by name, and while Pelagius and Julian of Eclanum are rejected with horror, the language employed is essentially Semipelagian, and the entire work is filled with allusions to Augustine and his system of polemics. He would not, indeed, rob that great master of his fame. Nevertheless, he was keenly aware of the dangers of Augustine's teachings, and therefore called upon all Christendom to bethink themselves before it should be too late, giving them a canon disastrous to the new-fangled doctrine of predestination, for whose support no ancient Father of the Church could be cited.

Thus Vincent devised his canon and wrote his book to aid in the controversy then raging among the Gallic theologians concerning free-will or grace. There is little doubt that he belonged to the majority who emphasized the necessity both of the human will and of divine grace as opposed to the strictly Augustinian Prosper of Aquitaine. In a brief treatise by Prosper Pro Augustini doctrina responsiones ad capitula objectionum Vincentianarum (MPL, xlv. 1843-50, li. 177-182) are contained sixteen theses evidently composed by a Semipe-lagian in criticism of the doctrine of predestination. Since Prosper combated these theses as set up by Vincent, they can scarcely have been posited by any other Vincent than the monk of Lerins. They seem to have been promulgated before their author wrote the pseudonymous Commonitorium. It is not impossible that Vincent did not intend them to be made public, but that Prosper in some way gained possession of a copy of them.

It has also been suggested that Vincent, if not the author of the Capitula calumniantium Gallorum, closely akin to the Objectiones Vincentianæ and answered by Prosper in another work (MPL, xlv. 1835-44, li. 155 sqq.), at least inspired and influenced them. Such a hypothesis, however, even if granted, adds little to the information given by the Commonitorium alone, that Vincent was one of the Gallic clergy who, in the fifth century, were decidedly opposed to the innovations of Augustine. He can scarcely have restricted himself to the halfhidden allusions in his Commonitorium to what he considered a deadly heresy, but none of his possible

anti-Augustinian polemics has survived, and even Gennadius did not know of their existence.

(G. A. JÜLICHER.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Gennadius, De vir. ill., lxv., Eng. transl. in NPNF, 2d ser., iii. 396; F. X. Elpelt, Des heiligen Vincent von Lerin Ermahnungsbuch, Leben und Lehren, Breelau, 1840; Hefele, in Beiträge zur Kirchengeschichte, Archäologie und Liturgik, i (1864), 145-174; Poitel, De utroque Commonitorio Lerinensi, Nancy, 1895; H. Koch, in TQS, 1899, pp. 396 sqq.; idem, Vincenz von Lerin und Gennadius, in TU, 3d ser., 1, part 2, 1907; Vincenti Lerinensis Commonitoria, in Florilegium patristicum, ed. G. Rauschen, Bonn, 1906; F. Brunetiere and P. de Labriolle, Vincent of Lerins, Paris, 1906; KL, xii, 985-986; DCB, iv. 1154-58; Schaff, Christian Church, iii. 344, 613-614, 862-863; Neander, Christian Church, vol. ii. passim; the literature under Semipelagians, and the works on the church history of his period.

VINCENT OF SARAGOSSA, SAINT: Spanish saint and martyr of the early fourth century. According to tradition, he was born of a noble family at Osca (the modern Huesca, 180 m. n.e. of Madrid), was deacon of Bishop Valerius of Saragossa, and on the outbreak of the Diocletian persecution was taken with his bishop to Valencia to defend the faith before Datian, where he spoke with such eloquence that while the bishop was merely banished, he was exposed to the most horrible tortures, thrown into a dark hole, and made to lie on sharp stones and pointed sherds, whereupon angels turned his couch of pain to a soft bed. He then began to preach to the multitudes attracted by the miracle, and even Datian, declaring himself conquered, commanded that Vincent be taken from his place of torture. When, nevertheless, he died, the rage of Datian broke forth afresh. He ordered the corpse to be thrown to wild beasts, when angels and even ravens protected it. The body was then sunk into the sea in a sack filled with stones, but it emerged and was borne by the winds to a haven, where the faithful buried it, later reverencing the relics by founding a chapel. This legend (contained in its fullest form in ASB, Jan., ii. 394-397; in briefer recension, Analecta Bollandiana, i. 263-270; and in entirely different form, MPG, cxiv. 735-756) is at least as old as the fourth century, as is shown by the four sermons of Augustine in honor of Vincent (Sermones, cclxxiv.-cclxxvii.; MPL, xxxviii. 1252-68) and the references of Prudentius in the fifth hymn of his Peristephanon (MPL, lx. 378-411) and Paulinus of Nola (xix. 153).

At an early period the veneration of the saint spread from Spain and Africa to France. Gregory of Tours states that the Frankish kings Childebert and Chlotar were driven to raise the siege of Saragossa by a sight of the tunic of Vincent (MGH, Script. rer. Merov., I., ii. 133), and the anonymous author of the Liber historiæ Francorum (ib. pp. 283-284), writing about 727, records that to the princes the garment was given by the bishop of the city. The relic was honored in the church of St. Vincent built at Paris by Childebert, the later church of St. Germain des Près. The Aquitanian monastery of Castres claimed to possess the body of Vincentius after 864. On the other hand, Stephen, the precentor of Lisbon, declared that the body was brought from Valencia to Lisbon in 1175 (ASB, Jan., ii. 408-413; Analecta Bollandiana, i. 270-278); and the Epistola Hermanni abbatis Sancti Martini Tornacensis de corpore Sancti Vincenti diaconi (Analeta Bollandiana, ii. 243-246) shows that it was venerated in Valencia as late as 1145. Portions of the saint's remains were also reverenced elsewhere, his heard at Le Mans, one arm at Vitry-le-François, and the other at Bari in Apulia, etc. Basilicas of St. Vincent are mentioned by Venantius Fortunatus, arad the French cathedrals of Châlons-sur-Saone, Macoura, Viviers, and St. Malo were named in his honeser. Rome has three churches named after him.

Vincent is honored as the patron saint of stolers goods, as well as in perils at sea; while wine growers deem sunshine on his day (Jan. 22) a good omes His attribute is a gridiron with pointed nails (the latter distinguishing him from St. Lawrence), sometimes also the raven protecting his corpse.

(G. KRÜGER.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Tillemont, Mémoires, v. 215-231, 673-637
P. B. Gams, Kirchengeschichte Spaniens, i. 376-382, Regensburg, 1862; J. E. Stadler and J. N. Ginal, Heliocallerikon, v. 705-708, Augsburg, 1882; P. Allard, Le Pesecution de Diocletien, i. 40, 237, 244, 249-250, Paris, 1890; H. Leclercq, L'Espagne chrétienne, pp. 82-85, Paris, 1906; KL, xii. 999-1001; DCB, iv. 1152.

VINCENT DE PAUL, SAINT: Founder of the Roman Catholic orders of the Lazarists and the Sisters of Mercy (qq.v.); b. at Ranquines (a village near Dax, 125 m. w. of Toulouse), Gascony, Apr. 24, 1576; d. at Paris Sept. 27, 1660. He received his early training from the Franciscans at Dax, and then studied in Toulouse 1597-1600. In 1605, while on a voyage to Narbonne, he was captured by corsairs and taken to Tunis, where he fell into the hands of a French renegade whom he succeeded in restoring to the Church, who also brought him back to France. Vincent then went to Rome, and there won the favor of Cardinal d'Orsat, who employed him on a mission to Henry IV. He thus came to Paris early in 1609, and became chaplain to Margaret of Valois. Here, while aiding a troubled theologian to escape from doubt, Vincent himself became involved in a skepticism from which he was freed only by vowing to devote his entire life to the poor.

In 1612 Vincent was made parish priest at Clichy, and in the following year became chaplain and tutor to the family of Philip Emanuel, count of Gondy, finding wide scope for activity among the peasantry of the estate. In 1617, his pupils no longer requiring his care, Vincent became parish priest at the wretched town of Chatillon-les-Dombes, where he founded the first confrérie du charité for the personal aid of the poor by women. Meanwhile the Count and Countess de Gondy induced Vincent to return to their house in 1618. He now founded a number of sisterhoods like that at Chatillon, and gave special attention to the galley slaves, for whom he established a hospital. In 1619 King Louis XIII. appointed him royal almoner of the galleys of France. At Macon in Burgundy, in 1623, he found an enormous number of beggars, for whom, with the aid of the civil and religious authorities, he established an organization which did away with mendicancy.

In 1624 Vincent formed the beginnings of his body of mission priests for the care of the poor. He received as the mother-house of his order the

Collège des bons enfants. His order soon gained powerful aid in the gift of 45,000 livres from the Count de Gondy (1625), the royal approval be-stowed by Louis XIII. (1627), and the papal sanction of Urban VIII. (1631); and in 1631 he received from the canons of St. Victor the house of St. Lazarus in Paris, which became the center of activity. At first the priests were sent chiefly to the country districts, but Vincent did not forget the cities, and some of his priests were detailed to visit the soldiers, the blind, the sick, the poor, and the laborers. In 1635 he established a seminary for his order, based on Jesuit lines. Especially admirable were the exertions of the order during the terrible campaign in Lotharingia, where, within ten years, Vincent was able to send no less than 400,000 thalers. He extended his activity to the shepherds of the Roman Campagna, as well as to the provinces of France, to Tunis, Algiers, Ireland, Genoa, Madagrear, Poland, Corsica, Piedmont, etc. He was also spiritual councilor of state, and in 1634 he established a sisterhood of matrons for the care of the sick in the Hotel Dieu of Paris, and in 1657 founded for the poor of Paris a great hospital which later became the Salpetrière. During the closing years d his life he was an invalid, but he bore his sufferings with the humility and fortitude that characterised his entire life. He was beatified by Benedict XIII. in 1723, and canonized in 1737. By a breve of May 12, 1885, Leo XIII. declared him the patron of all Roman Catholic charitable organizations in any way connected with him throughout the world. (EUGEN LACHENMANN.)

RELIGGRAPHY: The "Letters" were issued in 2 vols., Paris, 1882. His life has been a frequent theme for biographers, accounts being written by: L. Abelly, Paris, 1866 and often elsewhere, Germ. transl., Regensburg, 1866 (Abelly's Vertus de S. Vincent de Paul was issued is a new ed., Paris, 1897); D. Acami, Venice, 1753; P. Cellet, new ed., 4 vols., Paris, 1818; M. Orsini, Paris, 1852; H. Bedford, London, 1856; M. U. Maynard, 4 vols., Paris, 1860; F. A. P. Dupanloup, Paris, 1863; G. Rouquette, St. Vincent de Paul et les sœurs de charif, Paris, 1865; T. S. Preston, New York, 1866; A. J. Ansart, The Spirit of St. Vincent de Paul, New York, 1867; C. A. Jones, London, 1873; R. F. Wilson, Edinburgh, 1873; E. Alcan, 2 vols., Paris, 1879; R. de Chantelsuse, St. Vincent de Paul et les Gondi, Paris, 1882; Cavallier, St. Vincent de Paul et les Gondi, Paris, 1889; Cavallier, 1885; J. Morel, Tours, 1888, reissue, 1908; H. Debout, Paris, 1889; J. B. Jeannin, Paris, 1890; H. Simard, Lyons, 1894; J. B. Boudignon, 3d ed., Paris, 1897; E. Bougaud, 3d ed., 2 vols., Paris, 1898, Eng. transl., London and New York, 1908; E. de Broglie, 5th ed., Paris, 1899, Eng. transl., of an earlier ed., London, 1898.

VINCENT FERRER (VINCENTE FERRER), SAIRT: Spanish Dominican preacher and leader of the flagellants; b. at Valencia Jan. 23, 1350; d. at Vannes (84 m. n.w. of Nantes) Apr. 5, 1419. He extered the Dominican order Dec. 5, 1374, and in

Lide as a Monk.

his monastery quickly won recognition by asceticism and application to the study of philosophy and theology.

Except for a visit to Toulouse in 1377,

Except for a visit to Toulouse in 1377, be remained in the cloister at Valencia until 1380, when he went to the universities of Barcelona and erida, studying at each two years. A fruit of his addies there was his (unpublished) Tractatus de oderno ecclesiæ schismate, which was occasioned

by the schism of 1378. In this treatise he took the side of Clement VII., who had been elected at Fondi, and declared Urban VI., who had been chosen at Rome, an apostate and an enemy of the Church. In 1384 Vincent returned to Valencia, where he taught and preached until 1391. Such was his distinction in these duties that he served as councilor to John I. of Aragon and confessor to his queen, Yolanda, until 1395, when he was summoned to Avignon by Benedict XIII. as grand penitentiary and magister sacri palatii, but returned to his monastery at Valencia 1398. His distress at the continuance of the schism was intense, and seems to have been instrumental in leading him to adopt a roving prophetic and apostolic life. This is shown by his apocalyptic treatise De eversione Europæ, in which he laments bitterly over the decay of ecclesiastical discipline, order, and morality, and prophesies the speedy coming of Antichrist. While it is not proved that Benedict endeavored to dissuade Vincent from his resolve and offered him various bishoprics and even a cardinalate, it is true that he appointed him apostolic preacher with the title of legatus a latere and gave him full power to loose and bind on his journey.

In 1399 Vincent began the travels which were to occupy the remainder of his life, and within a short time he was accompanied by multitudes who came

to form a regular itinerant community Activity as with their own usages and rules. Their an Itinerant first requirement was the self-castiga-Preacher. tion in which their leader surpassed

them all, which they performed by scourging themselves on their bared shoulders with thick knotted cords to the accompaniment of such cries as, "God have mercy!" (see FLAGELLATION, FLAGELLANTS). Vincent was accustomed to preach in almost every place which he visited, frequently delivering two and three sermons in a single day, which were transmitted by his hearers, for Vincent himself never committed them to writing. These addresses were ethical rather than dogmatic, although the preacher adhered closely to orthodox Roman Catholicism. He was one of the most successful missionaries to the Jews of his time, particularly in Valencia, Toledo, and Valladolid. The scene of his activity was the Spanish peninsula, northern Italy, and France. Various miracles are recounted in his honor by his earliest biographer and fellow Dominican Pietro Razzano, who wrote about a generation after Vincent's death.

Vincent frequently took part in the affairs of his time, both ecclesiastical and secular. In 1412 he exercised a decided influence for Catalonia, Aragon, and Valencia in the election of a king held in the

Catalonian castle of Daspe. Four His Clo- years later he was invited to attend sing Years. the Council of Constance, but declined.

In the later years of his life he withdrew from association with the flagellants, although his activity as a preacher suffered no diminution, and in Feb., 1418, he is said to have had some 70,000 auditors in Nantes. The closing months of his life were spent in Brittany. Until the Revolution the anniversary of his burial in the cathedral of Vannes was celebrated on Sept. 6, but since that

time it has been held on the first Sunday of Sep-Vincent was canonized by Calixtus III. on June 29, 1455, although the bull of canonization was first published by Pius II. on Oct. 1, 1458. His chief works, in addition to those already mentioned, are his Tractatus de vita spirituali (Magdeburg, 1493); De fine mundi et tempore Antichristi (Venice [?], 1475); and his sermons, delivered in the Spanish dialect of Valencia, and repeatedly translated into Latin (first at Lyons, 1490).

(O. Zöcklert.)

(O. ZÖCKLER†.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Besides the account by Raszano in ASB, April, i. 475-529, lives have been written by V. J. Antist, Valencia, 1578; F. Dingo, Barcelona, 1600; V. Gomes, Valencia, 1618; B. Guyard, Paris, 1634; F. Gavalda, Valencia, 1688; M. Marchese, Naples, 1669; L. Coelho, Lisbon, 1713; S. T. Miguel, Valencia, 1713, new ed. Madrid, 1856; G. M. F. Ferrarini, Milan, 1732; A. Teoli, Naples, 1738, new ed., Rome, 1826; A. Valdecebro, Madrid, 1740; P. Fuesi, Oedenburg, 1749; L. Heller, Berlin, 1830; L. Donin, Vienna, 1844; anonymous, Bologna, 1850; M. A. Bayle, Paris, 1855; A. Ferrante, Turin, 1876; and M. S. Hogan, London and New York, 1911. Consult further: P. Fages, Hist. de S. Vincent Ferrer, 2 vols., Paris, 1804; A. Pradel, St. Vincent Ferrer, ... his Life, Spiritual Teaching, and Practical Devotion, London, 1875; O. Zöckler, Zur Würdigung des römischen Mirakelglaubens, in Beweis des Glaubens, 1897, pp. 257-269; J. Rohr, in Historisches Jahrbuch der Görresgesellschaft, 1898, i. 32 sqq.; KL, xii. 978-983.

VINCENT, BOYD: Protestant Episcopal bishop of southern Ohio; b. at Erie, Pa., May 18, 1845. He was graduated from Yale (A.B., 1867) and Berkeley Divinity School, Middletown, Conn. (1871); was curate of St. Paul's, Erie (1871-72); rector of Cross and Crown Church, in the same city (1872-1874), and of Calvary Church, Pittsburg, Pa. (1874-89); became bishop coadjutor of southern Ohio (1889); and on the retirement of Bishop T. A. Jaggar (1904) became diocesan of the see. He has written God and Prayer: A Discourse on the Reasonableness of Prayer (New York, 1897).

Bibliography: W. S. Perry, The Episcopate in America, p. 311, New York, 1895.

VINCENT, van"sān', JACQUES LOUIS SAMUEL: French Protestant; b. at Nîmes Sept. 8, 1787; d. near there July 10, 1837. After studying at Uzès and Montpellier, he pursued a theological training at Geneva (1806-09), and in 1809 was chosen assistant pastor in his native city, where he remained until physical infirmity compelled him to retire to his suburban estate. His greatest services were the reestablishment and promotion of theological studies in the Reformed church in France. Napoleon I. had indeed given the church a care-free existence by including it in the concordat of 1801 (see Concor-DATS, etc., VI., 1), but its theological status was at low ebb, and the seminary at Lausanne was a school for martyrs, not scholars. Vincent was one of the first to perceive the need of remedying the deficiencies, and to the preparation of a French theological literature for French Protestantism he devoted a large portion of his activity. Feeling especially attracted by English moral philosophy he translated, in 1817, Paley's work on that subject, and two years later reproduced the thoughts of Chalmers under the title Preuves et autorité de la révélation chrétienne. The problem of authority afforded him the material for his first independent book, in which he crossed swords with the Abbé de Lamennais, showing in his Observations sur l'unité religieuse (Paris, 1820) that while Ultramontanism (q.v.) absorbs the individual in the mass, Protestantism should preserve and increase the freedom and responsibility of each separate person. To the reply of De Lamennais Vincent answered in his Observations sur la voie d'autorité appliquée à la religion (1821), by which he sttracted the attention of the cultured circles of France and inspired his coreligionists to assume the defensive.

Vincent now sought to acquaint French Protes tantism with German theology, writing at first for F. Monod's Archives du christianisme, and then editing the Mélanges de religion, de morale et de critique sacrée (10 vols., 1820-24), for which he wrote nearly all the articles. In 1829 he published a sketch of the theory of Protestantism in his Vues sur le protestantisme en France. Originally conservative in his dogmatic views, Vincent was led by German the ology to a position akin to that of Schleiermacher and to a warm sympathy for living piety irrespective of ecclesiastical or dogmatic guise.

In 1830, after the publication of his Vues, Vincent was the leading man in the Reformed Church of France. He declined calls to Montauban and to Strasburg, but after the revolution of 1830 was elected president of the consistory of Nîmes, a position from which his republicanism had caused the ministry to exclude him two years before. In the latter years of his life he retired more and more from public duties, though in 1831-33 he lectured on the modern literature of Spain and Italy. Besides the works already mentioned, he was the author of Méditations religieuses (Paris, 1829), and in his memory the Liberals founded at Nimes in 1892 the École Samuel Vincent for the promotion of the preparatory studies of candidates for the (EUGEN LACHENMANN.) ministry.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The posthumous editions of Vincent's Vass BLIOGRAPHY: The postnumous editions of vincents and his Méditations usually contain sketches of his life. Consult further: A. Michel, Samuel Vincent, son traps et ses opinions, Strasburg, 1864; J. Corbière, Samuel Vincent, sa conception religieuse et chrétienne, Geneva, 1873, M. Blanc, Samuel Vincent, Montauban, 1890; L. Maria, Paris, P Le Réveil religieux à Genève et en France, 2 vols. Paris, 1892; G. Filhol, La Pensée religieuse de Samuel Vinces. Montauban, 1899; Lichtenberger, ESR, xii. 393-397.

VINCENT, JOHN HEYL: Methodist Episopal bishop; b. at Tuscaloosa, Ala., Feb. 23, 1832. He was educated at the academies at Lewisburg and Milton, Pa., and at the age of eighteen began to preach. After teaching school at Catasaqua, Pa., in 1850, and being a circuit preacher in Lusene County, Pa., in 1851, he was assistant in the city mission at Newark, N. J., in 1852. In 1853 he joined the Newark Conference and received his theolog ical training at the Wesleyan Institute at Newark N. J., being pastor at that city in 1852-53, and s Franklin in 1853-54 and Irvington in 1855-56 (bot) near Newark). He was ordained deacon in 1855 an elder two years later, and in 1857 was transferred t the Rock River Conference, Ill., holding pastorat in that state at Joliet (1857-58), Mount Mon (1858-59), Galena (1859-61), Rockford (1862-6 and Trinity Church, Chicago (1864-65). In 186 1867 he was Sunday-school agent of his denomit

inding the Northwest Sunday School Quar-1864; was corresponding secretary of the School Union and editor of the Methodist al Sunday-school publications (1868-88), 874 was one of the founders of the Chau-Assembly, while in 1878 he established the qua Literary and Scientific Circle, of which ne chancellor. In 1888 he was elected bishop 900 was placed in charge of the European his denomination with residence at Zurich, 304 retired from active life. He has written School Institutes and Normal Classes (New 866); The Church School and its Officers The Chautauqua Movement (1886); The ook for the Mothers of our Land (in collaboith Josephine Pollard; 1886); Better Not: on of Certain Social Customs (1888); The School and the Sunday School Normal Guide Studies in Young Life (1890); Our Own (1890); To Old Bethlehem (Meadville, Pa., The Modern Sunday School (New York, and Family Worship for Every Day in the 305).

ENT, MARVIN RICHARDSON: Presbyb. at Poughkeepsie, N. Y., Sept. 11, 1834. educated at Columbia (A.B., 1854), and ing an instructor in the Grammar School of a College (1854-58), was professor of Latin (N. Y.) University (1858-60). He then ene Methodist Episcopal ministry, but in 1863 a Presbyterian; was pastor of the First rian Church, Troy, N. Y. (1863-73), and Church of the Covenant, New York City 3). Since 1883 he has been professor of stament exegesis and criticism in Union cal Seminary, New York. Aside from serd discourses he has written The Minister's k (1882); In the Shadow of the Pyrenees Word-Studies in the New Testament (3 vols.,); Students' New Testament Handbook (1893); of Hildebrand (1896); Critical Commen-Philippians and Philemon (1897); History ztual Criticim of the New Testament (1899); Gospel of Luke in the Temple Bible (Lon-2). He likewise translated J. A. Bengel's of the New Testament (in collaboration with wis; Philadelphia, 1862) and the Inferno of New York, 1904).

CULTIVATION OF THE. See WINE,

JAR BIBLE. See BIBLE VERSIONS, B, IV.,

s, RICHARD: Westminster divine; b. at in Leicester County, England, about 1600; 1,1655-56. He was educated in Magdalen Cambridge; became teacher of a school at in Warwickshire after finishing his course inversity, and afterward rector of Wedding-was appointed a member of the Westminembly of Divines in 1643 from Warwickd was very influential in matters of church ent and the sacraments. He was chair-he committee of accommodation with the lents. He often preached before Parlia-

ment. During the session of the Westminster Assembly he was, in 1643, made minister of the parish of Clements Danes, near Essexhouse; but, this proving too large for him, he removed to the rectory of Walton in Hertfordshire, and soon after became pastor of Lawrence Jewry, London. In 1644 he was also appointed master of Pembroke Hall, Cambridge, and held the position until 1649, when he was turned out for refusing the "engagement" [of allegiance to the existing government]. 1653 he was appointed by parliament one of the committee of divines to draw up the fundamentals as a basis of toleration. He died on Sabbath evening, from bleeding at the nose, which was brought on by excessive labor in preaching and administering the Lord's Supper. During his life a number of sermons were published, e.g., Impostures of Seducing Teachers Discovered, Commons Sermons, Nov. 30, 1642; Author, Nature, and Danger of Heresy, Commons Sermon, Apr. 23, 1644. After his death a number of posthumous works were published by his friends, e.g., Treatise of the Right Institution, Administration, and Receiving of the Sacrament of the Lord's Supper (4to, pp. 376, London, 1657); God's Drawing and Man's Coming to Christ (4to, pp. 335, 1662). His funeral sermon was preached by Thomas Jacombe, entitled Enoch's Walk and Change, and published 1656, with introductory remarks by Simeon Ashe and Edmund Calamy, followed by poetic epitaphs from William Spurstone, Matthew Newcommen, Matthew Poole, and others, all speaking of him in the warmest terms. He is represented as "a man of extraordinary ability, a smart disputant, well studied, a perfect master of the Greek, a real orator; his ministry solid, pithy, quick, and searching, having a clear head. He could dive deep into a knotty controversy, and was not afraid of men. He was a man of gracious, tender spirit." Fuller says of him, " He was most charitably moderate to such as dissented from him, though most constant to his own principles." C. A. Briggs.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Thomas Fuller, Church Hist. of Great Britain, xi. 215, London, 1856; idem, Hist. of the Worthies of England, p. 134, ib. 1862; Samuel Clarke, Lives of Sundry Eminent Persons, i. 48-49, 2 parts, ib. 1833; J. Reid, Memoirs of the Westminster Divines, pp. 191 sqq., Paisley, 1811-15; DNB, Iviii. 369-370, where reference to scattering notices is given.

VINET, vi"nê', ALEXANDRE RODOLFE: Swiss theologian; b. at Ouchy (2 m. s. of Lausanne) June 17, 1797; d. at Clarens (14 m. s.e. of Lausanne) May 4, 1847. He was educated at the gymnasium and academy of Lausanne,

Early Life. where his patriotic Le Réveil des Vaudois, long a popular song, was written
when he was seventeen. In 1817 he was appointed
instructor in French at the gymnasium and normal
school at Basel, and in 1819, after passing his theological examination at Lausanne, was ordained to
the Reformed ministry. At this period he was
filled with religious doubts, and his faith was essentially one of authority and custom. In Basel,
however, he came in contact with very different
tendencies, though the pietism which he found
there was long his antipathy because of its narrowness and because it seemed to reduce the facts of

revelation to mere symbols. The revival in Vaud,

originating with English Methodists, at first exercised little influence on Vinet; but all these factors were at work within him, and during an almost fatal illness in 1823, his entire point of view was changed, and he resolved to devote his life to Christ in thanksgiving for redemption.

The year 1823-24 marked the beginning of Vinet's literary activity as well as of his new religious life, and in his first contribution to the journal of the

Career at Basel.

Paris society for Christian morals he advanced the view that ethics can not be divorced from dogma. A specific turn was given his energies by the law

which, on May 20, 1824, officially sanctioned intolerance in the canton of Vaud, and in his pamphlet Du respect des opinions he set forth the kernel of all the theories he was subsequently to advance on religious liberty. In 1826 he was enabled to give wider currency to his views by winning the Lambrecht prize of 2,000 francs offered by the Paris society for Christian morals with his Mémoire en faveur de la liberté des cultes, thus establishing a reputation with the leading French Protestants as a thinker and author. In the following years the opposition to which dissenters were exposed in Vaud led Vinct to write much on freedom and conscience, his attitude even causing him to be involved in a suit, resulting in a nominal fine and suspension from all ecclesiastical functions in his canton for a year. The liberal revolution in Vaud in Dec., 1830, gave his energies a fresh impulse, though he was unable to secure the proclamation of religious liberty, to say nothing of the separation of Church and State. Meanwhile Vinet had been appointed associate professor at Basel. His critical essays first appeared in the Protestant Le Semeur of Paris, a number of them being reprinted under the title of Essais de philosophie morale et de morale religieuse (Paris, 1837). During this period, moreover, it was customary for the professor of literature at Basel to preach frequently in the French church, and in this capacity Vinet won the highest praise. His sermons, carefully revised and characterized at once by classic form and by a union of warmth and culture, were issued under the title of Discours sur quelques sujets religieux (Paris, 1831; Eng. transl. of parts of this work and of his Nouveaux discours, Christian Philosophy, London, 1846), their themes being dogmatic and apologetic. In the uprising that led to the separation of the city of Basel from its territory, Vinet was made a member of the committee to inform the general public of the condition of affairs in Basel, and was entrusted with a diplomatic mission to Lausanne. In return for these services, a new chair of French literature and rhetoric was founded for him in the university.

In 1837 he accepted a call to the Academy of Lausanne as professor of theology; and during this period of his life passed through a crisis Life at which resulted in an ever-increasing Lausanne. Opposition to the theology of the Reveu, with its intellectualism and antinomianism. This change of position found full expression in his Nouveaux discours sur quelques sujets religieux (Paris, 1841). Soon after settling in Lausanne, Vinet, as a member of the committee

on church reorganization, found opportunity for practical activity in behalf of his ideals, especially for voluntary admission of members to the Church and for the inclusion of laymen in ecclesiastical government. He advocated the retention of the Helvetic Confession, but the laws governing the Church, adopted in 1839, were so repugnant that he resigned, a year later, from the clergy of Vaud. These experiences seem to have confirmed Vinet in his insistence on the separation of Church and State, and in his Essai sur la manifestation des convictions religieuses et sur la séparation de l'église d de l'état (Paris, 1842; Eng. transl., Essay on the Profession of Personal Religious Conviction, . . . London, 1843) he wrote a classic on this theme. Nevertheless, he remained a simple member of the national Church; nor was he induced even by his position as professor of practical theology to make a propaganda for his theories. In 1845 the situstion changed, and the February revolution in Vand resulted in intense opposition to Protestantism in every form. When, therefore, the government, instead of heeding Vinet's demand for liberty of worship in the reorganization, repressed such liberty still more, he resigned his theological professorship in 1846. A consequence of this revolution was the Free Church in Vaud, though it would be incorrect to regard Vinet as its founder, even though he were the author of the concept of the freedom and dignity which were its due. Vinet approved the course of the 150 clergy who left the national church rather than obey the State in its attempt to make them recommend the new constitution to their congregations, and accorded them his warmest sympathy; he also set forth the principle unconsciously adopted by them, that the freedom of the Church can be won only by complete separation from the State, in his anonymous Considérations présentées à Messes. les démissionaires. His activity as a publicist in articles for the periodical and daily press and in pamphlets now increased, the ripest of his productions of this type being his Du socialisme consideri dans son principe (Geneva, 1846). Vinet naturally joined the Free Church which was soon founded, often preaching for its congregations and acting as a member of the committee on organization. He devoted much thought to the preparation of a confession of faith in which he sought to avoid all theological subtleties and polemics. He continued his activity to the last months of his life, delivering private lectures on practical and exegetical subjects.

Whether appearing as the apostle of the separation of Church and State, as the critic and historian of French literature, or as the Christian thinker, Vinet was, first and foremost, an apologist, ever seeking to reconcile the modern spirit with the Cos-

pel. Holding, as he did, that the high-Significance est element in man is conscience, and as a Writer, that this is the seat of reason, the instrument of religious feeling, compul-

sion in matters of religion would naturally be violence to conscience. While, moreover, the individual is, in a sense, higher than the social organism, which is made for man, "society forms a field for the activity of the individual, affords scope for the exercise of his virtues, and sets up a barrier to

ness. Thus man becomes master of himportion as he devotes himself to his fele more free the more social he is, receives e demands less, and is more himself the longs to himself." Thus the individual y grow in unison, attaining mutual perthe fulfilment and the service of duty. this doctrine of individualism to the inet became an advocate of the separaurch and State, the step urged in the only s works which do not bear the mark of ssays. Here he maintained that religion r between God and man alone, while the uld have sole control of social morals, nprize security of person and property decency. In 1831 Vinet was still a true national Church, but by the time of the n of his Essai sur la manifestation, etc., ut ?), his attitude had changed. The tenets in his first essay of 1826 are here carried er, with special attack upon the theory tate is the entire man. Vinet maintained, strary, that the State is based on identical mon to all, while the foundation of the human individuality of conscience. Iny being thus considered a part of the innce of Christianity, Vinet deemed the Church and State as heresy, and allowed nly to a church independent of the State. cal system he never evolved, though from eded great ideas destined to bring forth for theology and for the Church. Thus e a sort of second Pascal, and there is, little cause for surprise that Vinet's pos-studes sur Blaise Pascal (Paris, 1848; Eng. udies on Pascal, Edinburgh, 1859) should st study yet written on that philosopher. logetics he laid stress on the way in which I perfectly answers the needs of the heart. rmly convinced, moreover, that the most apologetic is psychological. But the ical method of apologetics was the weakell as the strength, of Vinet; for though es not make faith, the neglect of histors leads to the peril of subjectivity and to rationalism. Vinet has even been rationalist, but, in spite of occasional his letters and in his conversation, he skeptic. Had his attitude toward the 1 clearer, the charge of rationalism might ly be refuted. Lack of precision is charof his apologetics and of his theology in ut the reality which he ascribes, in all his to the fall and to original sin, as well as at facts of salvation and the miracles, is icient to prevent rationalists or modern from claiming him as one of their numentire character of his works demonstrates I clearness that he presupposed as absoessary the facts of revelation. Christianor him primarily a history and a fact, nust have been to gain currency. e works of Vinet on practical theology humous. His Théologie pastorale (Paris, g. transl., Edinburgh, 1852) is especially

or its rich utilization of French Roman

Catholic literature. Here he denies any priestly character to the clergy, terms preaching a work of love and a mystery, and regards re-Homiletic ligious instruction as an act of worship. and Other In his Homilétique ou théorie de la prédication (Paris, 1853; Eng. transl., Works. Homiletics, Edinburgh and New York, 1853, new ed. 1880; often republished, since it was long a text-book in theological seminaries in the United States of America), he shows himself relatively indifferent to his text, deciding upon the themes of his sermons before choosing their texts. Both theoretically and practically he regarded almost exclusively the synthetic sermon, and sharply reproved any neglect of artistic embellishment. In citations he especially affected German writers on the theory of homiletics and the French preachers, whose works he had studied exhaustively. The results of these latter studies are embodied in his third work on practical theology, Histoire de la prédication parmi les Réformés de France au dix-septième siècle (Paris, 1860), a publication of great value. The strength of Vinet's own sermons lies in their masterly control of the psychological method; their weakness in their neglect of Biblical foundation. Of Vinet's five homiletic volumes only one was based on sermons actually delivered by him, the remainder containing, for the most part, apologetic or ethical studies in rhetorical form, presented to a relatively small circle of students. The inner life of Vinet is clearly mirrored in his poems, a large number of which have justly been incorporated in French Protestant hymnals. In addition to the works already mentioned, Vinet was the author of the following: Chrestomathie française, ou choix de morceaux tirés des meilleurs écrivains français (3 vols., Basel, 1829-30); Études évangéliques (Paris, 1847; Eng. transl., Gospel Studies, Glasgow, 1849); Méditations évangéliques (1849; Eng. transl., Evangelical Meditations, Edinburgh, 1858); Études sur la littérature française au dix-neuvième siècle (3 vols., 1849-51); Nouvelles études évangéliques (1851); Histoire de la littérature française au dix-huitième siècle (2 vols., 1853; Eng. transl., Hist. of French Literature in the 18th Century, Edinburgh, 1854); Liberté religieuse et questions ecclésiastiques (1854); L'Éducation, la famille et la société (1855); Moralistes des seizième et dix-septième siècles (1859): Poètes du siècle de Louis XIV. (1861): Mélanges (1869); and Lettres (2 vols., Lausanne, (ARNOLD RÜEGGT.)

Bibliography: Biographical sketches are by E. Scherer, Paris, 1853; E. Rambert, 3d ed., 2 vols., Lausanne, 1876; Laura M. Lane, New York, 1890; E. de Pressensé, Paris, 1890, cf. his Contemporary Portraits, London, 1879; H. Lecoultre, Paris, 1892. On Vinet's activities and thought consult: F. J. Stahl, Kirchenverfassung nach Lehre und Recht der Protestanten, pp. 279 sqq., Erlangen, 1840; F. Chavannes, A. Vinet, notice et mémoires, Paris, 1847; idem, A. Vinet... comme apologiste et moraliste chrétien, Leyden, 1883; J. F. Astié, Esprit d'A. Vinet, 2 vols., Lausanne, 1861; idem, Le Vinet de la légende et celui de l'hist., ib. 1882; A. F. Langlois, A. Vinet considéré comme predicateur, Strasburg, 1864; J. Widmer, A. Vinet envisagé comme apologiste, Lausanne, 1875; J. Cramer, A. Vinet, moralist et apologiste chrétien, Lausanne, 1884; L. Molines, Études sur A. Vinet, Paris, 1890; J. B. Roy, L'Individu et la société d'après les ... ouvrages d'A. Vinet, Lausanne, 1893; V. Rivet, Étude sur les origines de la pensée religieuse de Vinet, Paris, 1896; E. Combe, Vinet inter-

prète du N. T., Paris, 1897; A. Rüegg, A. Vinet, Gedanken und Betrachtungen, Heilbronn, 1897; A. Schumann, Vinet, sein Leben, seine Gedankenwelt, seine Bedeutung, Leipsic, 1907.

VINSON, JOHN: Elder and founder of the Church of the Living God (see Living God, Church of the); born on his father's farm in Madison Co., Ind., July 9, 1851. He was educated at the normal school at Alexandria, and was subsequently a teacher in the public schools of his state, completing his education by private reading. He was converted in 1885, and began his public ministry as an exhorter, later receiving ordination and serving as pastor and evangelist in different parts of Indiana. His further work is bound up in that of his denomination

VINTON, ALEXANDER HAMILTON: Protestant Episcopal bishop; b. in Brooklyn Mar. 30, 1852; d. at Springfield, Mass., Jan. 19, 1911. He was educated at St. Stephen's College, Annandale, N. Y. (A.B., 1873), General Theological Seminary (graduated, 1876), and the University of Leipsic. He was ordained priest in 1877; was curate of the Church of the Holy Communion, Norwood, N. J. (1878–79), and the Holy Comforter Memorial, Philadelphia (1879–84); rector of All Saints', Worcester, Mass. (1884–1902). In 1902 he was consecrated first bishop of the diocese of Western Massachusetts.

VIRET, vi"rê', PIERRE: Swiss Reformer; b. at Orbe (15 m. n. of Lausanne), Switzerland, May 4, 1511; d. at Orthez (90 m. s. of Bordeaux), France, Apr. 4, 1571. He began to study at Paris for the priesthood, but renounced the Roman Catholic faith and returned to his native town. He was ordained by Farel in 1531, and preached in Orbe and elsewhere. In 1533 he went to Geneva as assistant to Farel, and after the introduction of the Reformation in that city to Neufchâtel, and thence to Lausanne, where his work led to the definite introduction of the Reformation. After the fall of the party hostile to the Reformation at Geneva, Viret labored there until the return of Calvin in 1541. At Lausanne, besides preaching, he lectured on the New Testament in the seminary founded by the citizens of Bern in 1537.

Viret began his literary activity with the Epistre consolatoire (Geneva, 1541). He made several journeys in the interests of the Reformation, and in 1549 he received a close friend in Beza (q.v.), who was then appointed professor at Lausanne. To this period belong some of his chief works: Du devoir et du besoing qu'ont les hommes a s'enquérir de la volonté de Dieu par sa parolle (Geneva, 1551; against the newly opened Council of Trent); two treatises on clerical duties and the Lord's Supper: De vero verbi Dei, sacramentorum et ecclesiæ ministerio (1553), and De origine, continuatione, auctoritate atque præstantia ministerii verbi Dei et sacramentorum, etc. (1554); the historical Des Actes des vrais successeurs de Jésus-Christ et de ses apostres et des apostats de l'église papale, etc. (1544); and two letters to Frenchmen condemned by the Inquisition, one at Lyons and the other at Chambery. Viret was involved in many troubles with the government of Bern, and it was only in 1549 that he was confirmed in his position after clearing himself of the charge of holding Butzer's eucharistic doctrines. Matters were brought to a climax by Viret's refusal to celebrate the Lord's Supper without excluding all those who were recogninized as unworthy to communicate, and in 1559 and his colleague Jacques Valier were suspended. Viret was then appointed preacher at Geneva, arad during this period wrote Du vray ministère de La vraye église de Jésus Christ, et de vrais sacremes d'icelle, et des faus sacremens de l'église de l'Antichra et (Geneva, 1560); Familière et ample instruction la doctrine chrestienne, et principalement touchant Za divine providence et prédestination (1559); and La Metamorphose chrestienne (1561). In 1561 he was called to Nimes, but in the following year the French Reformed were obliged to surrender their church to the Roman Catholics, and Viret retired to Montpellier. Thence he was called to Lyones, and on Aug. 19, 1563, he presided over the fourth French national synod as head of the consistory of Lyons. He carried on many controversies with monks and Italian antitrinitarians, and developed an extensive literary activity, publishing no less than nine works between 1563 and 1565, among them his chief work, Instruction chrestienne en la doctrine de la loy et de l'évangile, . . . (3 vols. Geneva, 1564), containing a system of morals and politics. In 1565 he was obliged to leave Lyons, whereupon he went to Orange, and after 1566 presided over the academy established by Jeanne d'Albret (q.v.) at Orthez. In the war of 1569 he was taken prisoner by the Roman Catholics, but was soon released.

Viret was highly esteemed by his contemporaries for his preaching. He left also an instructive and interesting body of correspondence, covering the period 1532-67. (C. SCHNETZLER.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: T. Beza, Icones, Geneva, 1580; J. Soot, Calvin and the Swiss Reformation, pp. 312-317 et passin, London, 1833; C. Cheneviere, Farel, Fromest, Vird, Geneva, 1835; A. Sayous, Études littéraires sur les écrivains français de la réformation, i. 181-241, Paris, 1841; E. and É. Haag, La France protestante, vol. ix., Paris, 1859; C. Schmidt, Leben und ausgewählte Schriften de Väter . . . der reformierten Kirche, ix. 39-71, Elberfeld, 1861; J. Cart, Pierre Viret, Lausanne, 1864; P. Godet, P. Viret, Lausanne, 1892; Cambridge Modern History, ii. 293, 368, New York, 1904; C. Schnetzler and J. Barnaud, Notice bibliographique sur P. Viret, Lausanne, 1905; Pierre Vinet d'après lui-même. Extraits de ses curre, Lausanne, 1911; T. Barnaud, P. Viret, sa vie et son œure, St. Amans, 1911; H. Vuilleumier, Notre Pierre Viret, Lausanne, 1911; Schaff, Christian Church, vii. 250-252; Lichtenberger, ESR, xii. 402-408.

VIRGIL, ver'jil: Bishop of Salzburg; b. in Ireland, probably in the first or second decade of the eighth century; d. at Salzburg Nov. 27, 784. After having risen to be abbot of the monastery of Aghaboe (in the modern County Queens), he joined the court of Pippin in 743, who sent him to Odilo, duke of Bavaria, in 745. Between 746 and 748 he was appointed bishop of Salzburg, but having scruples about receiving consecration, he administered only the temporal affairs of the diocese. Virgil's relations with his archbishop, the famous Boniface, soon became strained. Boniface directed Virgil and his colleague Sidonius, later bishop of Passau, to rebaptize all who had been baptised by a Bavarian priest because the latter had been un-

(§ 8).

grammatical in the use of the baptismal formula. Virgil and Sidonius, considering this unjustifiable, appealed to Pope Zacharias, who decided against Boniface. Two years later (748) Boniface in his turn lodged complaints against Virgil and Sidonius with the pope, though Virgil was the special object of stack, being charged with intrigue against Boniface and also with holding to the spherical form of the earth. It is uncertain whether he was ever brought to trial, and he certainly was never condemned. On June 15, 767, Virgil received consecution, and was thereafter insistent in maintaining his episcopal rights and dignity. Besides founding many other churches in his see, Virgil built one in hour of St. Rupert at Salzburg, in which he him-

self was buried. Virgil was active also in the conversion of the Alpine Wends, for whom he appointed a bishop in partibus, named Modestus. By his compatriots Virgil was called the "geometer," and he was interested in history, inspiring Aribo of Freising to write the Vita Corbiniani, himself composing the Monumenta necrologia monasterii S. Petri Salisburgensis (ed. S. Herzberg-Fränkel, in MGH, Nec., vol. ii., 1890). In 1233 he was canonized by Gregory IX. (A. HAUCK.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Consult the literature under Salzburg, Archdiocese of; the Vita in MGH, Script., xi (1854), 86-95, and in ASM, III., ii. 309-318; Rettberg, KD, ii. 223 sqq.; Hauck, KD, i. 568-569; F. J. Buss, Winfrid-Bonifacius, ed. R. Ritter von Scherer, pp. 293 sqq., Gras, 1880; KL, xii. 1002-05.

Summary (§ 30).

VIRGIN BIRTH.

Historical Outline of Attitude Toward the Doctrine (§ 1).

Modem Demand for Reopening the Discussion (§ 2).

Infancy Narratives Integral in the Gospels (§ 3).

The Evidence in Matthew (§ 4).

The Evidence in Luke (§ 5).

The Genealogies in Matthew and Luke (§ 6).

The Accounts in Relation to Joseph and Mary (§ 7).

Problem of Oral or Written Sources

The Angelic Appearances (§ 9).

The Magi and Herod (§ 10).
Fact Contained in Legend (§ 11).
Relation of Isa. vii. 14 (§ 12).
Development of Sonship Idea (§ 13).
Attitude of the Disciples (§ 14).
No References in Mark or Paul (§ 15).
Silence of the Rest of the New Testament (§ 16).
Ascetic Influence (§ 17).
Views of Ebionites, Ignatius, Aristides, and Justin (§ 18).
Melito, Irenæus, Gnostics, and Tertullian (§ 19).
Clement of Alexandria, Origen, and Hippolytus (§ 20).

(§ 22).
Arguments from Classical Antiquity
(§ 23).
Arguments from Ancient Messianic
Longings (§ 24).
Arguments from Folk-Lore (§ 25).
Criticism of the Legendary Theory
(§ 26).
Is the Dogma Essential to Christianity?
(§ 27).
Dogmatic Bearing on Sinlessness (§ 28).
Dogmatic Bearing on Incarnation (§ 29).

Legendary or Mythical Theory (§ 21).

Arguments from the Old Testament

The doctrine that Christ was born of the Virgin Mary through the operation of the Holy Ghost received its first authoritatively formulated statement in the earliest Roman Creed, not later than 150 a.d., and probably earlier (in its earliest form dated by Harnack about 140, by Zahn about 120, and by Kattenbusch about 100; cf. Apostles' Creed). So far as its Scriptural basis is concerned, this rests exclusively on the narratives in Matthew and Luke, and a consideration of it involves an inquiry concerning (1) the nature and origin of the narratives as they appear in those gospels; (2) their relation to the rest of the New Testament; (3) the position of early church writers; (4) supernatural birth stories in comparative religion; (5) and dogmatic bearings of the subject.

matic bearings of the subject. The traditional doctrine of the Church is found in the great confessions, e.g., in the Apostles' Creed, " I believe . . . in Jesus Christ, . . . who was conceived by the Holy Ghost, born of the r. Historical Virgin Mary"; and in the Nicene Outline of Creed, "who . . . was incarnate by the Holy Ghost of the Virgin Mary. Attitude Toward the This remained for nearly 1,500 years Doctrine. the common, well-nigh undisputed tradition of the Church, even among the Arians and the Socinians (cf. the Racovian Catechism). In the latter part of the eighteenth century an assault on the doctrine was made by Thomas Paine (Age of Reason) and by Voltaire (Examen important de milord Bolingbroke, ch. x.), and most of the Deists and Rationalists (see Deism and Ra-TIONALISM AND SUPERNATURALISM) declared for the natural explanation of Jesus' birth. In the nine-

teenth century Schleiermacher, while affirming the

natural paternity of Joseph, accounted for the

archetypal nature of Jesus' consciousness through a creative divine deed in his birth, by means of which the original idea of man became realized. Paulus and Strauss sought a natural explanation for the event; De Wette treated the stories as myths-poetic symbols of religious ideas; and according to Renan Joseph was Jesus' father. In the last half of the nineteenth century the traditional view was elaborated by F. K. L. Steinmeyer, Die Geschichte der Geburt des Herrn und seiner ersten Schritte mit Bezug auf die neueste Kritik, Berlin, 1873; F. L. Godet in his Commentary on Luke, Eng. transl., 2 vols., Edinburgh, 1875; B. Weiss in his Life of Jesus, Eng. transl., 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1884; C. Gore, Incarnation of the Son of God, London, 1891, and Dissertations on Subjects connected with the Incarnation, 1896. Representing the critical position are C. L. A. Sydow, Die wunderbare Geburt Jesu, Berlin, 1873; H. Usener, Religionsgeschichtliche Untersuchungen, i., Bonn, 1889; P. Lobstein, The Virgin Birth of Christ, Eng. transl., London, 1903; Hillmann, Die Kindheitsgeschichte Jesu nach Lukas, JPT, 1891; H. J. Holtzmann, Lehrbuch der neutestamentlichen Theologie, 2 vols., Freiburg, 1897; and P. Rohrbach, Geboren von der Jungfrau, Berlir, 1898. The discussion became acute, however, when C. Schrempf of Württemberg in 1892 declined to assent to the Apostles' Creed, especially to this article. This became the immediate occasion of a vigorous and heated discussion in Germany, echoes of which were heard across the channel and in America. Of the works pro and con, only a few are mentioned. For the doctrine appeared A. H. Cremer, Zum Kampf um das Apostolicum, 7th ed., Berlin, 1893; Th. Zahn, Das apostolische Symbolum, Leipsic, 1893; G. Wohlenberg, Empfangen vom heiligen Geist, geboren von der Jungfrau Maria, 1893; and J. Hausleiter, Zur Vorgeschichte des apostolischen Glaubensbekenntnisses, Munich, 1893. In opposition were A. Harnack, Das Apostolicum, Leipsic, 1892; W. Herrmann, Worum handelt es um das Apostolikum? Magdeburg, 1893; and F. H. Kattenbusch, Das apostolische Symbol, Leipsic, 1894 (3d ed., 1900).

Aside from the particular discussions referred to, two or three conditions of present-day thought have made necessary a reopening of the question of the virgin birth, with presuppositions different from those which were possible to earlier scholarship. There is, first, the scientific spirit with its evolu-

tionary view of the world, its deeper 2. Modern study of biology and the processes of Demand for life, and its conviction that all events Reopening are related to one another by a law of the Discussion. Secondly, the historical spirit sub-

jects all alleged facts to far more searching scrutiny than was hitherto possible, as a result of which many events previously supposed to have been supernaturally caused are brought within the range of human historical explanation; and the hope is expressed that all will ultimately be drawn into the same category. In addition, many special disciplines have focused attention on this subject, such as New-Testament criticism and comparative religion. Two other impressive facts have secured recognition in recent times, and these have profoundly influenced Christian thinking. One is, that this doctrine formed no part of the original preaching or message of Christ or his apostles; the other, that nowhere else in the New Testament, outside of the early chapters of Matthew and Luke, is there any use of this doctrine, or direct or even indirect reference to it. These omissions in themselves constitute no valid objection to the fact of the virgin birth; this fact must stand or fall according as it is authenticated by the narratives in which it is embedded. On the ground that the Scriptures as a whole and in every part are inerrant and infallible, a question might indeed arise, but it would be concerned, not with the virgin birth as a fact, but with the exposition and defense of the nature and basis of the alleged inerrancy. With this position, however, this article is not concerned.

Since, then, this article of the Creed rests on the narratives in Matthew and Luke, attention must first be directed to them. It may be laid down as a safe proposition that these narratives are an integral part of the First and Third Gospels (cf. J. Weiss, "There were never forms of Matthew and Luke without the Infancy narratives," Theologische Rundschau, 1903, p. 208). In every one of the early complete manuscripts of the Gospels the chap-

ters containing these narratives are present. The oldest uncials, such as Narratives the Sinaitic, the Vatican, Codex Eph-Integral in raemi, and Codex Bezæ, include these the Gospels. chapters: the Alexandrian, mutilated in the first part of Matthew, has Luke

i. and ii. The same is true of the versions—the Latin in Tertullian's time, the Syriac, Peshito, Curetonian, Egyptian (Coptic), and the one discovered at Mt. Sinai in 1892, and also Tatian's Diatesseron (with

the exception of the genealogies). The Gospel of the Ebionites, depending upon the Gospel of the Hebrews, which in turn depended upon our Matthew, omitted the first two chapters (cf. B. F. Westcott, Introduction to the Gospels, p. 465, London, 1895), and the Gnostic Marcion began his Gospel according to Luke with the third chapter. From certain characteristics of style this argument is confirmed, for in Matthew a comparison of i. 22, ii. 5-6, 15, 17, 23, with his frequent reference to fulfilment of Old-Testament prophecy betrays the same use of the Scripture throughout (cf. F. C. Burkitt, Evangelion da-Mepharreshe, pp. 258-259, Cambridge, 1904). In Luke also the author's peculiar Greek style, which is everywhere evident in this Gospel and in the Acts, shines through in the first two chapters (cf. A. Plummer, Commentary on Luke, New York, 1896; A. Harnack, Lukas der Arzt, p. 73, Leipsic, 1906, and Appendix ii.).

A further question arises, however, whether every part of the narrative is equally attested or integrally related to the whole, and at two points this quetion becomes critical. In Matt. i. 16 the Sinaitico-Syriac version reads, "Joseph, to

4. The whom Mary the Virgin was betrotted, Evidence in begat Jesus, who is called Christ."

Matthew. Concerning the verse in Matthew sev-

eral suppositions are possible. One is, that the Codex Sinaiticus gives the original form of the genealogy, in which the natural paternity of Joseph is affirmed in the same formula as that of the others mentioned hitherto. This would harmonize with all the remaining references of the Gospel which allege the fatherhood of Joseph as the husband of Mary (cf. i. 19-20, 24, xiii. 55), and it agrees with the common belief of the time, i.e., until apparently between 60 and 70, that Jesus was the son of Joseph. If the genealogy was originally prepared for Jewish Christians, it represented what they had already believed concerning the parentage of Jesus, and, moreover, it establishes the only relation of Jesus with David which this Gospel claims. The verse itself (i. 16), as it appears with variant readings in some cursives (e.g., 346 of the Ferrar group), in seven Latin codexes previous to Jerome, and in the Curetonian Syriac, shows that it has been the subject of considerable difficulty and disturbance to the copyists. It is possible that it was due to a very obvious error of a copyist, or it may have had an Ebionite source (cf. Academy, 1894-95, passim). A contradiction appears on the face of the Sinaitico-Syriac version, for in the same verse this says that Joseph begat Jesus and that Mary is called the Virgin. H. B. Swete suggests that the virginity of Mary may not have been asserted in the original text; and he intimates that, if it was asserted, the contradiction would be no greater than is contained in Luke, who relates the birth of Jesus from the Virgin, and yet names Joseph as the father and Joseph and Mary as the parents of Jesus (Luke ii. 33, 41; see Swete, The Apostles' Creed, pp. 52-53, Cambridge, 1898). The genealogy of Matthew may have ended originally with Joseph, and its connection with Jesus may have been carried forward by the Evangelist (cf. C. Gore, Dissertations, pp. 292 sqq.; also The Academy, 18941895; Burkitt, op. cit., pp. 260 sqq.; V. Bartlett, DB, iii. 203). In any event, until Syriac specialists have pursued the subject much further, or until other versions are discovered which agree with the Smatter-Syriac text, judgment must be suspended as to the exact form of the original genealogy.

With reference to the narrative in Luke, the testimony of the manuscripts is even more decisive in favor of the virgin birth than it is in Matthew, since no manuscript can be cited which radically conficts with the Gospel as we now have it. The suggestion is, however, made to eliminate i. 34-35,

which contains the only direct evidence
5. The for the virgin birth in Luke (cf. Harlevience in nack, ZNW, 1901, pp. 53 sqq.; Usener,
Luke. "Nativity," in EB). Reasons assigned
for this elision are—(1) the verses do

to the total variable with the context, e.g., verse 36 is saturally connected with verse 33; "Son of the saturally connected with verse 33; fighest" (i. 32) is Messianic, whereas in verse 35 Son of God " signifies true origination; the Sinaico-Syriac appears in ii. 5 to prefer the reading, with Mary his wife"; Joseph seems to be treated the husband of Mary, and thus as the father of sons. (2) The verses do not agree with the Daidic descent of Jesus-" as was supposed' 3), or with Mary's conduct—her incredulity as to repossible birth of a son to one already betrothed . 34), and with her words in ii. 48. On the other and, Gunkel maintains that verses 34-35 are transtions of a Hebrew original: "Behold thou art neciving now" (cf. Zum religionsgeschichtlichen entindnis des Neuen Testaments, p. 68, Göttingen, MS). If, as Briggs suggests, the conception and theophany coincide, the announcement has egun already to be realized in the womb of the irgin, which vacates any question of Joseph's part ster in the transaction (The Messiah of the Gospels, . 50, New York, 1894). It is further urged that nextraordinary conception by Mary simply paralto that by Elizabeth is implied in verse 36. The enealogy is also appealed to; as the creation of he first Adam is referred to the immediate action (God, so the second Adam owes his existence to he power of the Holy Spirit—a consideration which onfirms" Son of God" in i. 35. Finally, the wholly abordinate position of Joseph throughout the narative in Luke is alleged as due to the miraculous with, as set forth in this Gospel.

With reference to the genealogies, Matt. i. 1-17 and Luke iii. 23-38, it is evident that they are enirsly independent of each other. If Matthew's compel was composed first, say, in 70-75, and Luke's n'8-93 (Harnack), Luke might have been expected bountain traces of Matthew's treatment, but nothing of the kind is to be alleged. Two names only

in the two genealogies as far back as

6. The David are the same; the number of

6 mailogies generations is different. Matthew

1 Matthew traces the ancestral course back in

1 matthew three groups from Joseph through

David to Abraham; Luke in an un-

David to Abraham; Luke in an unthen series carries the line past David and Abran to Adam, the son of God. The special point agreement between the genealogies lies in their mation that both Joseph and Jesus were de-

scendants from David (cf. Matt. i. 20, ix. 27, xii. 23, xv. 22; Luke i. 27, 32, 69, ii. 4, iii. 23). The line of each from David down is a different one; for Matthew, through Solomon, for Luke, through Nathan, a fact to which Celsus called attention (cf. Origen, Contra Celsum, ii. 32), but both naturally lead to Jesus through Joseph; except on such an interpretation, they are wholly lacking in point. The New Testament offers no proof that Mary was of the lineage of David, although this might be involved in such passages as Acts ii. 30, Rom. i. 3-4, and Heb. vii. 14, if we were sure that the respective authors were cognizant of the virgin birth. Davidic descent of Mary was affirmed by tradition (Justin, Dialogus, xxiii. 45, 100; Irenæus, III., xxi. 5; ANF, i. 452-453; cf. also the Protevangelium of James, x., and The Gospel of the Nativity of Mary), and it has also been defended by modern writers, as Godet, Bernard Weiss, and Edersheim. Mary may have been of the house of David, but so far all attempts to bring her into the genealogies have proved ineffectual. It has been alleged that she was a kinswoman of Joseph, which is, of course, possible, but of which there is no evidence. All of this goes to confirm the supposition that the genealogies—two chosen from perhaps several in existence—originated in a circle which still believed that Joseph was the father of Jesus, and that the evangelists either found these genealogies in their present form, or so modified them in their reference to Jesus that the paternal relation of Joseph became putative— Joseph has by marriage taken the place of a father -and hence not inconsistent with the supernatural conception of Jesus. In the case of Matthew, at least, there is no good ground for surmising that he constructed the genealogy (but cf. R. H. Grützmacher, The Virgin Birth, p. 48, New York, 1907), which traced the family-tree of Joseph to David, only to abandon the irresistible conclusion that Joseph was the natural father of Jesus. The same position would be valid as against the conjecture that Matthew's genealogy was compiled by our Lord's relatives, unless, indeed, this is conceived as taking place while they still believed that Jesus was the natural son of Joseph. From what source arose the tradition that Jesus was supernaturally conceived does not appear in the genealogies themselves.

Concerning the relation of the nativity stories to Joseph and Mary, it has been customary to associate Matthew with Joseph and Luke with Mary, as the respective source of each. The main reason for connecting Matthew with Joseph is found in i. 18 sqq. and ii. 19 sqq. The particular difficulty which besets this position arises from the probable time of Joseph's death, and the keeping alive of the tradition originating from him in a circle wholly unknown to the apostles for more than fifty years. That he was not alive during Jesus' ministry is commonly accepted (cf. Mark iii. 31, vi. 3; John xix. 27; Acts i. 14), but how long his death took place after Jesus' appearance as a child in the temple (Luke ii. 45 sqq.) and before Jesus' baptism (Luke iii. 21-22) there is no means of ascertaining. That the testimony of Joseph to the circumstances of Jesus' birth might be needed may well be imagined; but that he gave such a document to Mary as a protection of her good name, that she passed this on to the family of Joseph, and that from them it came

7. The into the hand of the First Evangelist Accounts to be worked over by him according to in Relation his purpose is an interesting conjecto Joseph ture, but is nothing more (cf. C. Gore, and Mary. ut sup., pp. 28-29). If this were true, it is inconceivable that both Peter and Paul, in their contact with the chief persons of the church at Jerusalem, heard nothing of it. An indication that the nativity story of Matthew was employed by catechists appears perhaps in the division of the sections and the length of these to aid the memory of pupils (cf. A. Wright, Commentary on the Fourth Gospel, p. 113, New York, 1890). That Mary is the center of interest in Luke's narrative of the infancy is true (cf. i. 27, 36, 40-44, 56-57, ii. 48, 50-51), and this has led to the surmise that the final source of the story was a woman. It is characteristic of Luke, as compared with the other synoptists and with John, to introduce and emphasize the place and ministry of women in relation to the Gospel (cf. vii. 37 sqq., viii. 2-3, x. 38, xxiii. 27, 29, 55), and the same feature marks the Acts. This fact might of itself be enough to account for the large part that Mary plays in the infancy narrative. Out of the traditional material at his disposal, the author was especially attracted to that portion which centered in Elizabeth and Mary, and he has preserved this interest in the record. Nowhere else in the entire Gospel is there disclosed a more delicate reserve or a rarer literary skill than in the handling of the details of this story. The particular content and form of the narrative have, however, led to the opinion that it is to be traced to a woman. W. M. Ramsay identifies her with Mary (Orr, Virgin Birth of Christ, pp. 244, 246, New York, 1907), while W. Sanday deems it more likely that Joanna, Chuza's wife (Luke viii. 3), was the intermediary (ib. p. 246; cf. J. Adderley, Critical Questions, p. 139, 2d ed., London, 1906). If Mary was still living when Luke visited Palestine in 57 or 58, she may herself have communicated the account to him, or some intimate of hers may have been the immediate source (cf. W. M. Ramsay, Was Christ Born in Bethlehem? p. 88, London, 1898), or Luke may have become aware of the story from the church in Jerusalem of which James was then head, and where Mary resided with John (H. B. Swete, ut sup., p. 50). But there is absolutely nothing elsewhere in the New Testament to warrant such conjectures. If, as Harnack thinks likely, Luke came in contact with Mary as well as with James in his visit to Jerusalem (Lukas der Arzt, p. 3), it is unaccountable that in his infancy story no place is left for the journey to Egypt (cf. Luke ii. 39).

A further question is closely connected with that just raised, whether Luke availed himself of a written or of an oral source. The almost universal judgment has been that he used a document or documents of Aramaic or Hebrew origin, perhaps about 80 A.D. or earlier, the general view advocated by Weiss, Godet, Ryle, and James (Psalms of Solomon, London, 1891), Sanday (Book by Book, London, 1892), and Gore (ut sup., p. 14). In support

of this position, reference is made to various fatures—the Hebraic diction as compared with classic Greek, the archaic quality, the coloring

8. Problem of Jewish national hopes, Judeo-Chrisof Oral or tian sentiment, similarity to the Psalms Written of Solomon (70-40 B.c.), use of "Spini" Sources. as prophetic impulse or impersonal

power of God, the theophany to Mary corresponding to Old-Testament divine manifestations, and the naive simplicity of the story in contrast with the prologue and the remainder of the Gospel. It is thus maintained that these stories of the infancy of John and of Jesus—appear to be more primitive than anything else in the New Testament, except parts of the book of Revelation. They arose in a Jewish circle and were first circulated in a restricted Jewish-Christian community in the sixties; their background was far removed from Greek influences, which, passing away in that early period, never recurred. Sanday assigns the forties as the more probable date of their appearance (in Orr, ut sup., pp. 440 sqq.); G. H. Box proposes "as early as the middle of the first catury" (DCG, art. "Virgin Birth"); and J. Weis, who allows to them no historical value, places them ten years later (Schriften des Neuen Testaments, p. 383, Göttingen, 1906). The last seems the earliest possible date for the story becoming public; and the fact that Paul, although a close companion of Luke, was to the last ignorant of it goes to show that Luke was himself not cognizant of it earlier than the sixties. Another surmise is that there was no written story of the infancy of which Luke availed himself, but only a number of Hebrew (not Aramaic) poems concerning events associated with the infancy, from which the Evangelist selected such # suited his purpose (Matt. i. 20-21 is to be included in this grouping). These poems were the works of several Christian poets who attributed to the argels, and to the various fathers and mothers, the songs which they themselves had composed. The Evangelist is to be credited with the prose-setting to the poems, and also as vouching for their essential trustworthiness (Briggs, ut sup., pp. 41 sqq.), and it has been further conjectured that these hymns were composed and used for liturgical purposes in Palestine. On the other hand, the view is presented that an independently written infancy narrative falling utterly into oblivion is most improbable; and it is also highly improbable that Mary wrote any such document or gave publicity to that which was so intimate and precious to herself, or, indeed, that any one else gave it written form. Ramsay holds it more likely that Luke came into possession of the story by oral communication either from Mary herself or from some one, probably a woman, whose intimacy with Mary furnished the key to the secrets there disclosed, in which case the information is equal to first-hand authority (cf. ut sup., chap. iv.). On this hypothesis differences of style in various sections of the first two chapters are accounted for by the deliberate literary aim of the writer, and in part also by the different form in which the material came to him.

That there are legendary elements in the nativity stories has been alleged. The angelic appearances to فوه الشروانية في إيرانيون إنفاؤه في ساري عالمه حص

Joseph (Matt. i. 20, ii. 13, 19), to Zachariah (Luke i. 11 sqq)., to Mary (Luke i. 26 sqq.), and to the shepherds (Luke ii. 8 sqq.) are here in point. There is, indeed, an absence of the crass supernaturalism of the Apocryphal Gospels of the Infancy; there is

the same reserve in respect to the 9 The miraculous which characterizes the Angelic Ap- highest moments of the Old-Testament pearances prophetic idealism. But the reference to Gabriel (Luke i. 26) shows that the writer has drawn upon Jewish angelology for the intermediaries between God and the chief actors. To those who believe in angels and in the possibility of their appearance to human beings these accounts present no difficulties. The authors of the Gospels accepted without question the belief of the period, that messengers from God in the guise of angels actually appeared to men and conversed with them in the language with which they were familiar, as one person talks with another. It may, however, without disturbing the credibility of the story as a whole, be possible to interpret these experiences as real divine communications of a purely inward character, yet by the imagination translated into outward form according to subjective notions of the period (cf. Gore, ut sup., pp. 21 sqq.). This view is at bottom only a particular application of Briggs' suggestion given above. The inward reflection, due to divine revelation, is the essential thing; its outer form is a matter of comparative indifference. This, however, is free modern interpretation, not ancient belief.

With reference to the Magi and Herod's slaughter of children in Bethlehem, there is no improbability in the historical supposition of these, irrespective of other records, as containing a basis of fact. Astrologers of the East, whether from Arabia, Pessa, Babylonia, or even Egypt, in their reading of the stars may have believed that they saw signs which pointed to the coming of a Jewish Messiah, and may have journeyed to Jerusalem to verify their prognostications. The Jewish Scriptures were widely circulated among cultivated Jews everywhere, and in the ferment of theosophical speculation, of political unrest, and of religious mysteries and dreams of a world-deliverer, symptoms of deep,

unsatisfied longing, the spirit of truest 10. The sincerity and of most brilliant hope, Magi and centered in the prophetic promise of Herod. the Jewish people. This spirit had widely penetrated and powerfully moved many inquiring minds, and the Magi may have been among those thus influenced. But, allowing for a basis of fact here, has this basis been built upon by legend? Since the first century, this has certainly been the case. According to Ignatius (Eph. x.; about 110 A.D.), the star gives light to sun, moon, and stars, which circle around it as a choir. The Magi (in reliance upon Ps. lxviii. 31-32, kxii. 10; Isa. xlix. 7, lx. 1 sqq.) have been designated as kings, limited to three, on account of their threefold gifts, and even their names have been given as Caspar, Melchior, and Balthazar. The presents also have had to do service: gold as to a king; frankincense as to a God. Several features of the story may have been suggested by the Old

Testament. Num. xxiv. 17 shows that the Jews believed in a Star of the Messiah. In the East stars were everywhere associated with the birth of great men—Mithridates, Cæsar, Augustus (cf. Suetonius, Augustus, xciv. sqq.; W. Soltau, The Birth of Jesus Christ, p. 38, London, 1903). It was a universal custom to come into the presence of princes with presents (Gen. xliii. 11; I Kings x. 2), and the Jews expected that the greatest of those outside of Israel would offer both themselves and their gifts to the Messiah (Isa. xlix. 7, lx. 1-10; Rev. xxi. 24). What part these and other familiar and intensely active religious ideas played in the final form of the narrative it is impossible to say. Soltau believes that he has come upon the real source of the story in the journey of Tiridates, a Parthian king, in the year 66 A.D., accompanied by Magi to offer homage to Nero (ut sup., pp. 39-41, 72-73). As to Herod's part in the story, the indiscriminate slaughter of twenty children would be quite in accord with his known character and deeds. It is, however, significant that Josephus, who reports other acts of cruelty, does not mention this (cf. Ant., xv. 7-8, xvi. 11, xvii. 2); and it is hard to understand why one with the distrustful, jealous, and bloodthirsty spirit of Herod should risk defeat either by suffering strangers to ascertain for him a fact which he deemed to be the most serious menace to his ambition, or by delaying to put into execution an effective plan for thwarting Jewish expectation (see Inno-CENTS, FEAST OF THE HOLY). The journey into Egypt, which in Matthew is indissolubly bound up with this event, is simply unhistorical, if Luke's narrative is trustworthy: Jesus had long since arrived in Nazareth when the visit of the Magi to Bethlehem and the slaughter of the infants are alleged to have taken place (cf. Luke ii. 39). In the story of the Magi and Herod some ideal truths are clearly evident: the world-wide significance of the Messiah as the satisfaction of the desire of all nations, typified also in John xii. 20 sqq.; the inevitable conflict between the Messiah and Jewish and other wicked powers of the world; the safety of the Christian cause; and the ultimate confusion and defeat of hostile forces.

If the theory of legend were altogether excluded from the nativity stories, one would have to accept the contradictory supposition, that the narratives are wholly historical. A third hypothesis is conceivable, that a husk of legend contains a kernel of

fact. In this latter case, the legendary aspect may be assigned to Greek and Contained other foreign influences or to the Jewin Legend ish spirit. If it is a mark of legend that events occurred, not in the way they are described, but with other accompaniments than those which time has associated with them, then there is no reasonable doubt that the nativity stories contain legendary accretions. This legendary material has been found, not in Greek or other outside influences, but in the circle of Jewish ideas. In addition to considerations already proposed in this paragraph, attention may be directed to the birthstories of great men in the Old Testament, as Isaac (Gen. xvii. 15 sqq., xviii. 9 sqq.), Samson (Judges xiii.), and Samuel (I Sam. i.). The point is not that

the women involved were virgins, but, in the case of the first, the utter natural impossibility alleged, and in the case of the last two the improbability that they should give birth to a child. The New Testament contains a story like that of Samuel in the birth of John (Luke i. 5-25). In none of these instances is the conception wholly miraculous, in the sense that natural fatherhood is excluded. Yet it is miraculous in this, that it took place contrary to the customary course of nature; second causes are not excluded, but are simply ignored as efficient, and the power and word of God are alone accounted mighty. Associated with the providence and power of God, and, indeed, as due to this, are the singular prerogative, virtue, holiness, and mission of the "child of promise." Lobstein, who furnishes this line of suggestion, sees in the birth of Jesus a further instance of the same kind as those just referred to, only the unique greatness of Jesus involves that he be even physically an immediate creation of divine power (cf. Lobstein, ut sup., pp. 66 sqq.).

The relation of Isa. vii. 14 to the question of the virgin birth has given rise to two exactly opposite conclusions: On the one hand, it is claimed that the belief that Jesus was born of a virgin sprang from this passage (cf. K. T. Keim, *History of Jesus of Nazara*, i. 82 sqq., London, 1873; Harnack, *Dogma*, i. 100; Lobstein, ut sup., pp. 73 sqq.). On the other hand, Orr holds that Matthew already

12. Relation knew of Jesus' birth from a virgin, and of Isa. rightly discovered in this passage its vii. 14. Messianic import (J. Orr, ut sup., pp. 131 sug.: cf. W. J. Beecher. Prophets

131 sqq.; cf. W. J. Beecher, Prophets and Promise, p. 334, note, New York, 1905; L. M. Sweet, The Birth and Infancy of Christ, p. 70, Philadelphia, 1906). The crucial word in the verse under consideration is 'almah, which by both parties is accepted as meaning "a young woman of marriageable age." There is another Hebrew word, bethula, which signifies "virgin" in the strict sense. The first question, then, is whether 'almah (LXX., parthenos) is to be translated "virgin," as in the R. V., or, according to the margin, "maiden." the other passages where the word occurs, the R. V. renders the word in Gen. xxiv. 43; Ex. ii. 8; Prov. xxx. 19 by "maid" or "maidens"; Ps. lxviii. 25 by "damsels"; Cant. i. 3 and vi. 8 by "virgins" (marg., "maidens"). The primary idea of the word is only that the young woman has reached a marriageable age-she may or may not be a virgo intacta (cf. Cant. vi. 8). In Isa. vii. 14 the meaning of the prophet is perfectly clear. Ahaz, king of Judah, had demanded a sign from the prophet as to the outcome of the attacks of Israel and Syria, and had received this as an answer: "The Lord shall give you a sign; behold a young woman shall conceive and bear a son, and shall call his name 'God with us!'" The point of the prophetic words lies, not in their emphasis upon virginity nor in the foretelling of a miraculous birth from a virgin, but in the nearness of a definite event which would synchronize with delivery from danger by God's power and presence, symbolized by the name of the coming child. Moreover, in the whole scope of Jewish literature outside of the Scriptures, whether apocryphal or apocalyptic, there is no trace of an

exposition of this passage as signifying "virgin," or of an expectation that the Messiah was to be miraculously conceived (cf. V. H. Stanton, Jenia) and Christian Messiah, p. 377, London, 1887). Jens contemporary with Justin, Tertullian, and Jerome interpreted 'almah in Isa. vii. 14 as a young woman (cf. Justin, Dialogus, xliii., lxvi.-lxvii.; Tertullia, Adv. Judæos, ix., Adv. Marcionem, iii. 13; Jerome, Adv. Helvidium, v. 2). The medieval passages cited by F. P. Badham in the Academy, June 8, 1895 (pp. 485-487), are without critical support. We have therefore, to look to the Septuagint as the source from which Matthew derived his idea of the "Virgin," which he appears to have done with deliberate intent. The opinion of Lobstein is that the new faith in Christ was led to an imaginative interpretation of the beginning of the person of Christ which should correspond to its experience of his divine character, and in this procedure hit upon this passage from the Septuagint, which offered to religious feeling its precise formula. On the other hand, On and those in agreement with him maintain that in reporting the virgin birth Matthew, following his custom of seeking in the Old Testament for either predictions or illustrations of what he narrates, deliberately selected this passage, and was justified in finding a fulfilment of the prophet's word, not alone to Ahaz, but in a far distant period when the child "Immanuel" should be finally established upon the throne of David. In the first case, faith and prophecy have given rise to a symbolic myth; in the second, the narrative of a fact seeks its parallel or its divine intimation in a word of prophecy.

According to Lobstein, the idea of the person of Christ as the Son of God underwent a development in the early Christian community (see Son or God).

The first stage was the ethical or theo13. Develoratic sonship which is the common opment of presentation of the Synoptic Gospels. Sonship The term "Son" is equivalent to "Son of God," and that in the Messianic

of God," and that in the Messianic sense (cf. Mark iii. 11, v. 7, xiii. 32, xiv. 61; Matt. xi. 27, xvii. 25-26). This was followed by another step, due to Rabbinic or Alexandrian speculation, seen in Paul's doctrine of a celestial being who was manifested in Christ on earth; in the Apocalypse, where an Alexandrian influence is evident; and, finally, in John, where the Logos idea culminates in one in whom is gathered up the meaning of humanity and the world; this is the metaphysical Sonship. Midway between the earliest and the latest conception arose that of the first two chapters of Matthew and the nativity stories in Luke—a real divine paternity for Jesus, even that of physical generation (cf. Luke i. 35, with Matt. i. 20; Lobstein, ut sup., pp. 58 sqq.). Bornemann designates the three stages differently: (1) supernatural birth; (2) preexistence (Paul); and (3) Logos doctrine (John; cf. his Unterricht im Christentum, p. 92, Berlin, 1891). This, of course, presupposes that the story of the virgin birth is a myth; and, on the ground that it arose early, it would have to come to an understanding with the question of sufficient time for the myth to develop.

The attitude of the inner circle of the disciples is of interest. They apparently regarded Jesus as the son of Joseph and Mary (Matt. xiii. 55; Mark vi. 3; Luke iv. 22; John i. 45, vi. 42)—a judgment which is based on the common tradition preserved in all the Gospels. However, it would perhaps be true to say that they had formed no opinion on the subject, since it had never presented it—14. Atti-self to them as a problem. There may

14. Attiself to them as a problem. There may take of the be a wide difference between an attitude Disciples. A given attitude may represent only a traditional

and unreflective aspect of feeling or action; a mature judgment is the result of critical inquiry, and rests on reasons more or less explicit and well founded. No one would claim that Jesus' followers had in this respect any other attitude toward him in relation to Joseph and Mary than they had toward his brothers. Even Peter, in his great confession at Caesarea Philippi (Acts x. 34 sqq.), neither affirmed nor denied anything concerning the natural somehip of Jesus as related to Joseph and Mary.

Concerning the virgin birth the remainder of the New Testament is silent. Mark, the oldest Gospel, makes no allusion to it, and apparently knows nothing of it. This silence is, however, explained on the hypothesis that the infancy narrative lay outside the scope of his design, which was to report the common apostolic testimony from the beginning of the Baptist's ministry to the ascension (Swete, ut mp., p. 48; Orr, ut sup., pp. 106 sqq.), so that it is implied that Mark had knowledge of the fact, although the aim of his writing precluded any report of it. That his home was in Jerusalem, that the

church met in his mother's house (Acts xii. 12), and that he often saw Jesus' laterances mother contain no presumptions of in Mark value on this subject. Paul is our or Paul. earliest witness to the tendency of the

early Church to arrive at an explanation of the deeper origin of the person of Christ. In his conception are two elements which he has made no attempt to coordinate or fathom. First, of the concrete person of Jesus he affirms all the moral qualities which constitute true and perfect humanity. Secondly, he alleges that a superhuman, preearthly being became incarnate, who thus lived and died under the identical conditions in which human life is passed (II Cor. viii. 9; Phil. ii. 5 sqq.). If he had reflected upon the way in which this celestial being " took upon himself the form of a servant," he has left no trace of it (cf. Rom. i. 3-4; I Cor. viii. 6, xv. 45, 47; II Cor. viii. 9); and the claim is made that it was not necessary for Paul to be aware of the mode of Jesus' birth, since his knowledge embraced only a portion of the Gospel (but cf. R. J. Cooke, The Incarnation and Recent Criticism, New York, 1907). Yet it is inferred that there is an allusion to the virgin birth in Gal. iv. 4, on the ground that Paul mentions only a law in genend, while instead of mother or the name of the mother, he uses the term "woman," and refers Jesus' true humanity exclusively to "female deseent" (Grützmacher, ut sup., pp. 30-31). That Paul speaks of Christ as the "heavenly man," and asserts his perfect sinlessness, is alleged as further

evidence in the same direction (Swete, ut sup., pp. 54-55; cf. Orr, ut sup., p. 116). On the contrary, birth from a woman and under the law signifies that Christ was real man, subject to the conditions of flesh and the discipline of law (cf. Job xiv. 1; Matt. xi. 11; see also Lightfoot, Galatians, ad loc., London, 1865; Lobstein, ut sup., pp. 52-53). Rom. viii. 3 does not necessarily exclude the paternal agency in the generation of Jesus. For Paul the peculiar character of Jesus depended wholly upon the inner nature of his being, and, as far as can be seen, not at all upon an exceptional mode of his entrance into human conditions. There is, indeed, little or nothing in the language of the Apostle inconsistent with the virgin birth of Jesus, but the argument from silence is of no value. The fact that he does not contradict it, but that his association with Luke appears to presuppose some knowledge of the fact, rests upon an assumption that Luke was himself cognizant of the story during the lifetime of the Apostle—an assumption unsupported by evidence.

The Gospel of John is also silent as to the virgin birth. In his prologue John is occupied with two ideas: first, the essential, eternal divine nature of the being who became incarnate, secondly the true humanity of the Word in the earthly life. Several reasons are alleged to show that John, who is thus supposed to be the author of the Fourth Gospel, was not ignorant of the virgin birth: (1) he wrote

at a time when this was generally be16. Silence lieved in the Christian community;
of the Rest (2) he must have been acquainted
of the New with the other Gospels containing the
Testament. nativity stories, and must have silently

accepted, perhaps presupposed, them; (3) in his residence at Ephesus he was a contemporary and antagonist of Cerinthus, who taught that Jesus was the natural son of Joseph and Mary; (4) Mary, whom Jesus entrusted to the care of John, probably lived in his house until her death (Orr, ut sup., p. 109); (5) in his Gospel John accords Mary special prominence, probably due to his knowledge of her supreme privilege (Swete, ut sup., p. 48); (6) John vii. 42 is an undoubted proof that John knew of Jesus' birth at Bethlehem (Sanday, ut sup., p. 97); (7) John i. 13 is also adduced in support of the virgin birth, especially if an exceedingly ancient reading is followed: "who was born not by mixing the blood of a man and a woman, and not by the will of a man "-a type of the new birth of believers (T. Zahn, in Orr, ut sup., pp. 271-273; cf. p. 111); (8) "Only begotten" (monogenous) in John i. 14 refers not to the eternal generation of the Son, but to his human birth (Allen, Interpreter, Oct., 1905, p. 52). The seventh point is not warranted by textual criticism, and the sixth may be allowed without involving any conclusions concerning the mode of the birth. The remaining points presuppose that John wrote the Gospel. In any case, no dogmatic use is made of the nativity story either for the person of Christ or for the contents of Christian belief. The same affirmation must be made as to the remainder of the New-Testament writings. Neither the Acts nor the Epistle to the Hebrews, nor the Epistles of James, Peter, and John, nor the Revelation draws any conclusions from the miraculous conception, nor contains any, even remote, reference to it.

The infancy narratives have been traced to prejudice in favor of virginity. Attention is drawn to preference of celibacy to marriage in the Apocryphal books, in Paul's epistles (I Cor. vii.).

books, in Paul's epistles (I Cor. vii.), 17. Ascetic and in Revelation (xiv. 4), and also Influence. among the Essenes, and in Philo—a spirit which early became influential in the Church (cf. W. Baldensperger, Das Selbstbewusstsein Jesu, p. 117, Strasburg, 1888, for legend concerning the virginity of Moses' mother). It is to be admitted that there are ascetic elements in the Gospel of Luke which have apparently colored some of the words of Jesus in comparison with Matthew and Mark (yet see Matt. xix. 10–12), but in Luke celibacy is not exalted as the supreme ideal, and certainly not with reference to the family in

which Jesus was brought up.

The history of the doctrine of the virgin birth can not here be fully sketched, but only indicated for two centuries after its appearance. With the exception of the Ebionites and certain of the Gnosably by the middle of the second century, and probably by the close of the first, this belief was nearly universal (cf. Harnack, Das apostolische Glaubensbekenntniss, p. 24, Berlin, 1896). Jewish Ebionites (cf. the Gospel of the Ebionites, a corruption of the Gospel to the Hebrews)—the only ones in the Chris-

r8. Views chapters of Matthew—held that Jesus of Ebionites, was naturally born of Joseph and Mary, Ignatius, and became Messiah in virtue of his Aristides, legal piety. Yet among Jewish Chrisand Justin. tians this rejection was not universal,

for the Nazarenes acknowledged the virgin birth of the Messiah, and the remainder of the old Ebionites seem later to have shared this view (A. Hering, ZKT, v. 67). Others, such as Valentinus, Basilides, and the Docetæ described by Hippolytus, $H\alpha r$., vi. 35, vii. 26, viii. 9 (ANF, vol. v.) based their acceptance of the virgin birth on the Gospel of Luke. The first mention of this belief is in Ignatius, though Polycarp (a contemporary of Ignatius), Hermes, and Barnabas are silent concerning it. Ignatius says that Jesus was " truly born of a Virgin," one of the three mysteries of renown wrought in the silence of God, but now proclaimed to the world (Ad Smyrnæos, i.; Ad Ephesios, xix., cf. also vii., xviii.; Ad Trallianos, ix.; all in ANF, vol. i., cf. also Lightfoot, The Apostolic Fathers, S. Ignatius and S. Polycarp, i. 315-414, London, 1885). In the newly recovered Apology of Aristides (126-140 A.D., ed. J. Rendell Harris in TS, i.; cf. Harnack, Litteratur, i. 96), we read of Jesus Christ that, "born of a Virgin, . . . he took flesh' (ii.), and Harris adds that early in the second century " the virginity of Mary was a part of the formulated Christian belief" (ib. p. 25). With Justin Martyr the virgin birth is a subject of frequent reference (cf. Apol., i. 32, 46, 63; Dialogus, xxiii., xlv., c., cv., cxiii., exxvii., in ANF, vol. i.). It was a second presentment of God to be born of a virgin; hagion pneuma is not the Holy Spirit, but the Logos. He connects this with the crea-

tion story of Gen. i. 26, and with the theophanies of the Old Dispensation; he associates this birth with salvation, destruction of the serpent, and deliverance from death to believers. The legend of Perseus and other sons of Jupiter (Apol., i. 21-22; Dialogus, lxvi.) were referred to the deceiving power of demons, who fabricated the stories to match the virgin birth of the prophets (Dialogus, lxx.). The conception is to be explained by no intercourse of the virgin with any one, whether human or divine, but to the Spirit and Power of God, i.e., his Word. He relies on prophecy, especially Isa. vii. 14, liii. 8 (cf. Dialogus, xlii., lxvi., lxxi., lxxxiv.); he repels the suggestion that Hezekiah is referred to in this passage, maintains that parthenos can mean only a virgin, which forbids the notion of paternal generation, claims that other portions of the prediction were fulfilled in Herod and the Magi with their gifts (ib. lxvii.lxviii.), and parallels this unique story by the creation of Eve and of all living beings at first. For those who could not accept the virgin birth, Justin urges that at least they see in Christ the Messiah (ib. xlviii.).

Melito, bishop of Sardis, in his discourse on "The Cross," iii., and on "Faith," iv.-v., attempts to reconcile the birth stories of Matthew and Luke with the prologue of John; Jesus, who preexisted, was carried in the womb of the virgin. Ireness held that the messiahship of Jesus was proved, not be the prover and explication but by

by his power and exaltation, but by 19. Melito, his birth (Hær., I., xxx. 12; in ANF, Irenaeus, vol. i.); and relied on Gal. iv. 4, which he refers to the divine agency se causing birth from a Virgin (ib. III., and Tertullian. xvi. 3; cf. xxii. 1), and on John i. 13 as denying human agency in Jesus' birth (ib. III., xix. 2). Prophecy was also appealed to (Dan. ii. 34; Isa. xxviii. 16)-Joseph had no part, but only God, in Jesus' birth. Adam was formed by the Word of God, and it was fitting that the Word, who recapitulated Adam, himself should be formed as man by God (ib. xxi. 10). He declares that the entire Church (Gaul, Germany, Spain, Egypt, Libya, and the East) has received from the apostles "the faith in God . . in Jesus Christ . . . the birth from a virgin" (ib. iii. 4). At this time the Church encountered the storm of Gnostic speculations regarding the person of Christ thich also involved his birth (see GNOSTICISM, § 6). Some, such as the adherents of Carpocrates and Carinthus and the early Ophites, rejected the virgin birth altogether (ib. i. 25-26; cf. Hippolytus, Har., v. 26, vii. 32-33, ANF, vol. v.). According to Cerinthus, at the baptism Christ as a dove descended upon him (ib. I., xxvi. 1-2; see Cerinthus); others alleged that his body was of celestial substance, taking nothing from Mary as he passed through her (ib. III., xxii. 2; d. V., xix. 2, and see Valentinus), or that he was the son of the Demiurge upon whom the dispensational Jesus descended (cf. ib. I., xxvii. 1), or that he was a transfigured man, but neither truly born nor truly incarnate (cf. Basilides), to all of which Irenæus opposed the teaching of the Fourth Gospel in John i. 14 (cf. ib. III., xi. 3). ġ.

Tetallian continued the polemic against the Chostics, much of the argument centering in a defence of the true body of Jesus as derived by human birth from Mary, yet without human patemity (cf. Adv. Valentinum, xxvii., Adv. Praxeam, i.; for Eng. transl. of Tertullian's writings cf. ANF, vols. iii.-iv.). Matt. i. 16; John i. 14; and Gal. iv. 4, are used to repel the Gnostic charge that Jesus was begotten in but not of Mary (De carne Christi, n.). He appeals to prophecy, Isa. vii. 14 (Adv. Judgos, ix.; De carne Christi, xvi.; Adv. Marcio-nem, iv. 10, iii. 12); Isa. xi. 1-2 (cf. Adv. Judgos, ix; De carne Christi, xxi.; Adv. Marcionem, iii. 20); Isa. liii. (Adv. Judæos, xiii.); Ps. cx. 3 (LXX.), and xxii. 9-10. In his use of the New Testament he relies first on Mark and John, and then on Matthew and Luke (Adv. Marcionem, iv. 2). The story of Eve is analogous to the birth from Mary (De carne Christi, xvii.; cf. xvi.)—a new order d birth, the divine Word entering the earthly body, even as at first the earthly part of Adam was quick-end by the breath of God. He bases an argument on the veracity of Jesus, who claimed to be the Son of Man, and, since God was his Father, human fatherhood was precluded (Adv. Marcionem, iv. 10). This is connected with the doctrine that "a god is born of a god" (Ad Nationes, ii. 3; cf. Apol., xi.; De carne Christi, v. 18). Luke ii. 23, " every male that openeth the womb shall be called holy to the Lord," referring to Jesus, could only signify that, since he opened the womb, his mother was a virgin (ib. xxiii.). Tertullian knew of no salvation to one who denied the virgin birth of Jesus (Adv.Marcionem, iv. 36). He attempts no analysis of the human nature, which is thus derived from his mother apart from a human father.

Cement of Alexandria taught unequivocally the virin birth—the only virgin mother (Pædagogus, i.6)—and appears inclined to the notion of a miraculous birth as well as a miraculous conception (Strom, vii. 16; Eng. transl. in ANF, vol. ii.). He uses as prophecy Isa. ix. 6, where, by reference to Deut. xxii. 23—24, he concludes that the Hebrew word 'almah signifies "virgin." In his commentary on Matt. (x. 23) he speaks of

the body which Jesus received from

2. Clement the Virgin by divine conception and
of Alexanbirth, a fact confirmed by the babe
dris, Origen, leaping in Elizabeth's womb. His
and virgin birth showed him to be more
Hispolytus, than a man (commentary on John, i.

34), and he also seems to attribute credibility to the Gospels of Peter and James, which allege that the brethren of Jesus were sons of Joseph by a former wife, in order to preserve the honor of Mary in virginity to the end, i.e., that she might not know intercourse with man after the Holy Ghost came upon her. Jesus was thus the first fruit of virginity (commentary on Matt., x. 17, 23). This doctrine of the perpetual virginity of Mary was advanced a further stage by Origen, whose principal discussion of the virgin birth appears in reply to Celsus, who had assailed this doctrine. First, he refutes the charge of Celsus that Jesus was an illegitimate son of Mary and a soldier named Panthera, and that as a result of this infidelity Mary, being

driven out by Joseph, wandered into Egypt and there brought up her son to learn the art of miracleworking (Adv. Celsum, i. 28, 32; Eng. transl. in ANF, vol. iv.; cf. Pseudo-Matt., xix.-xxiv.). Secondly, he finds an analogy of the virgin birth of Jesus in that of animals, especially the female vulture, which preserves succession of its race without sexual intercourse (ib. i. 37). Thirdly, he argues that the Greeks themselves hold to the origination of the human species as such from the spermatic elements in the earth (ib. i. 37). Fourthly, he appeals to the legend that Plato was the son of Apollo before Ariston had had marital relations with his mother, as explained by the fact that persons of transcendent wisdom and power were naturally referred to a divine paternity (ib. i. 37). Finally, when Celsus scouts the notion of a virgin birth, comparing it to the incredible myths of Danae, Mclanippe, Auge, and Antiope, Origen replies that this is the language of a buffoon (ib. i. 37). Origen, moreover, suggested that birth from a virgin would correspond with the burial of Jesus in a new tomb (ib. i. 39). Hippolytus maintained the perfect purity and perpetual virginity of Mary (Adv. Veronem), and his theory of the incarnation alleged that God, by undefiled conception in the Virgin, incorporated with himself a rational soul and sensible body, who thus became perfect God and perfect man. His reliance on Scripture was inconsiderable, and though in the Old Testament he used Ps. cix. or cx.; Prov. xxx. 29; Dan. iii. 26, and vii. 14, he made no allusion to Isaiah. As a result of this brief historical survey, it is evident that by the middle of the third century the virgin birth had become a settled and undisputed article of faith in the Church.

Over against the theory of the virgin birth as a trustworthy historical event is a hypothesis which for the past seventy-five years, since Strauss, has attracted to itself an increasing number of advocates—the mythical or legendary view. Several conditions have been favorable to the development of this idea, among which are—(1) the modern

view of the world, which finds no place
21. Legendary or
Mythical
Theory.

view of the world, which finds no place
for miracles in the traditional sense;
(2) the significance of Christ, sought
not in any physical basis or metaphysical substratum of his being, but in
the moral and spiritual character of

his personality; (3) the history of all people, and especially comparative religion, showing that myth and legend have sprung up in connection with the beginning of every great religion, and (4) historical and textual criticism, laying bare not only different strata of composition in the writings of the New Testament, but also the presence of material which, if not foreign to, is at least derived from other than the essential Gospel sources.

The legendary theory seeks in one or more of several directions for its material and justification. (1) In prophecy and the Old Testament, i.e., in a purely Jewish circle. It has been shown that Harnack and others find the source of the doctrine that Christ was born of a virgin in the prophecy of Isa. vii. 13 sqq. (see § 12), and it is maintained that the constraining motive for this interpretation lay

in the impulse to match the story of his wonderful life and resurrection with an account of his birth not less wonderful. The counterpart 22. Argu- of the birth-story of Samson and Samments from uel is that of John; and inasmuch as

the Old Jesus, both in his work and his con-Testament. sciousness, was greater than John, his conception must be referred to a more immediate and marvelous divine agency. That the passage in Isaiah had not before received the interpretation which the narrator gives to it is held to be no objection to the legendary theory; for neither the Evangelist nor other early Christians were bound by rules of scientific exegesis. If, contrary to all precedent, parthenos ('almah) may have been interpreted as " virgin " as foretelling an actual virgin birth, then it is not impossible that some Christian thinker, seeing an explanation of the divine character of Christ, hit upon this passage, and found in it a suggestion which at once gave rise to a new idea of the origin of his earthly existence. Two classes of objection are urged against this position. On the one hand, the peculiar character of the nativity stories renders it improbable that such a legend arose on Jewish soil; (1) there is an utter absence of foreign elements-oriental thought or Greek pantheism; the story is intensely Jewish; (2) Jewish monotheism is in the highest degree transcendental, involving the separateness and total unlikeness of God and man; (3) asceticism, i.e., marriage and virginity, is foreign to the Jewish religion, and is not found either in the Gospels or the infancy stories; (4) since prophecy was so applied only after the event, it could not have been the cause of the belief; (5) "Son of God" had only an ethical or official (Messianic) reference in the First and Third Gospels, and could not, therefore, be defined by metaphysical or physical qualities (cf. C. J. H. Ropes, "Born of the Virgin Mary," Andover Review, Nov., 1893). These objections are not, however, wholly convincing, for while the coloring is intensely Jewish, the event itself is absolutely unique in Jewish history. The legend may contain foreign elements which lie unnoticed, but far back and deep down in the past of Israel's religious contact with other peoples. Moreover, God's creative activity in forming man may be again called into play for the miraculous generation of the man from heaven. It is also objected that more time is required for the formation of legend than the documents of the New Testament appear to warrant. This is met by the reply, first, that there is, beyond contradiction, mythical material in the story in its existing form, without doubt much older than the manuscripts of the First and Third Gospels, and that it is arbitrary to draw the line short of the central event itself, if the evidence looks that way. Secondly, the formation of myths is a relative affair, depending upon enthusiasm, poetic imagination, and other conditions, the presence or absence of which, and the degree of their activity, will hasten or retard legendary growth. Finally, in the absence of compelling proof for the data as to the time at which the nativity stories originated-and expert judgment may be cited for both an early and a late origin—it is inept to declare that, if a myth

were in process of formation in any important section of the Church, Paul must have heard of it. For the same difficulty arises concerning his ignorance of the birth-story as a fact. A mystery of a similar kind concerns the origination and extension of the baptismal formula in Matt. xxviii. 19-20. That Paul and Luke, and apparently Peter, never heard of this is demonstrable; and yet it takes its place in Matthew's Gospel as authoritative, having its alleged source in Jesus' last words. If authentic it must have been preserved, and if not authentic it must have arisen, in some group of disciples removed from the great centers of Christian tradition. In any event, the particular place where the nativity story enters the consciousness of the Christian community, whether true or legendary, is inevitably a matter of conjecture. Among Christian at least, whether Jewish or gentile, the virgin birth once it was announced, never became a subject of doubt or inner apologetic, but only of more convincing faith in their Redeemer. Matthew's so count appears to have an apologetic interest; but among Christians, it was in the highest degree honoring to Mary as blessed among women; Joseph was singled out for his devout faith, unquestion ing obedience, and tender care for Mary; and it gave to Jesus a beginning which corresponded with his earthly glory and his exaltation to the right hand of God.

(2) In the stories of classical antiquity parallels are sought which religious faith has only to paraphrase in reference to Christ. In an early narrative of the Buddha we read: "the knowledge of his birth was made known by rejoicing

23. Argu- deities to a hermit named Asia, who ments from thereon repaired to Suddhana's pal-Classical ace, saw the child in his glory sur-Antiquity. rounded by deities, etc., and announced

to the Sakyans that the child was to be a Buddha" (Coppleston, Buddhism, p. 34, London, 1892). The journey of the Armenian king, Tiridates, accompanied by Magi, to Rome to initiate Nero into the mysteries of the Mithras-meal, with bended knee and lifted hands calling him Lord and worshiping him even as Mithras, finds its parallel in the Matthew story (cf. Pliny, Hist. nat., xx. 6; Dio Cassius, xxxii. 1 sqq., xliii. 1-2, 5, 7). The birth of Amenophis III. of Egypt is described on the walls of the temple of Luxor as from a virgin and the god of Thebes, i.e., Ammon-Ra (cf. A. H. Sayce, Religions of Ancient Egypt and Babylonia, P. 45, Edinburgh, 1902). Asshurbanipal is described as one whom the gods Asshur and Sin formed in the midst of his mother (cf. Records of the Past, 1st series, i. 57; cf. Nebuchadrezzar: "When the god of gods made me, Marduk, he prepared well my birth in the mother," i.e., mother's womb, ib. v. 113; see Cheyne, Bible Problems, pp. 235-236, London, 1904). The story of King Sargon of Agade, about 2,800 B.C., relates of himself that he was of vestal mother (Cheyne, ut sup., p. 86; Grützmacher ut sup., pp. 57-58). Among the Greeks Speusip pus related how Plato owed his birth to a unio of his mother Perictione and the phantasm of Apoll (cf. Diogenes Laertius, De Vitis Philosophorus also Jerome, Adv. Jovinianum, i. 42). Alexand

was desirous that he be known, not as the natural son of Philip, but as the son of Zeus, as announced in the temple of Jupiter-Ammon, begotten by a serpent cohabiting with his mother Olympias (Soltau, ut sup., p. 46; Jane E. Harrison, Prolegomena to the Study of Greek Religion, 2d ed., Cambridge, 1908). Pythagoras is reported as a son of Apollo; Apollonius of Tyana as a son of Zeus (Usener, ut sup., i. 70 sqq.). Others who were alleged to have been born in this way were Æsculapius, Dionysus, Hercules, and Hermes; while one may also refer to the fabled Antiope, Auge, Danae, and Melanippe. These births are assigned to intercourse with a god who assumed various forms—an ox, a bird, a serpent, a lover, or a god who appeared in a shower of gold (Tertullian, Apol., xxi.). The Church Fathers were not unwilling to use these legends in their apologetic, and even found them of value in recommending strange and miraculous things to their hearers (cf. Justin Martyr, Dialogus, lxvii., lxx.; Apol., i. 21, 22, 54, 64; Origen, Contra Celsum, i. 37; Tertullian, Apol., xii. 15). Turning to Roman antiquity, there is found the tradition of Romulus and Remus descended from a vestal virgin, having the god Mars for their father. The Emperor Augustus gave out that he was the son of Apollo, since his mother, Atia, having fallen asleep in the temple of Apollo, was visited by the god in the form of a serpent, and her son, born in the tenth month, was held to be son of Apollo (Suetonius, Augustus, xciv.). A similar story appears concerning Scipio Africanus (Gellius, Noct. Att., vi.). In respect to these instances it is to be noted that the mother is not always claimed as a virgin; in some cases she is already a mother of other children for whom no supernatural conception is alleged. Yet it is equally to be noted (a) that a divine paternity is affirmed—a god has taken the place of the human father; and (b) the generative act on the part of the god was always physical, sometimes the fabled deed of an animal, often phantastic, and always impossible. The wide-spread belief of divine paternity is, however, more significant than even the form of the conception.

(3) The legendary theory of the virgin birth seeks in ancient, international redemptive ideas a source of the Christian belief. Harnack has declared that Christian tradition is "free from heathen myths, so far as these had not already been received by wide circles of Jews" (cf. Dogma, i. 100, note); and he holds that this does not apply to the virgin birth. The theory in question believes that this

statement is true, and, in opposition

24. Arguto Harnack, claims that it does not
ments from apply to the virgin birth. It assumes
Ancient a primitive mythological tradition of
Messianic a world-wide Redeemer, which had
Longings.

become international, to be traced
ultimately to a Babylonian source. It

assumes among the Jews an intense Messianism long before the Christian era, which was far more absorbing and definite than is ordinarily supposed, of which Dan. vii. 13 sqq. is a symptom, itself the outcome of development. It also assumes that certain Jewish Christians had borrowed this story, which had thus originated outside of Judaism, but

had become current in Jewish-Christian circles, and, transforming it in the interest of Judæo-Christian Messianism, had applied it to Christ's virgin birth. The myth in question appears in its Judæo-Christian dress in Rev. xii. 1 sqq.—the woman arrayed with the sun, etc. Of its earlier form, in case there was such a myth, no clear trace has been found. H. Gunkel has investigated the passage and shown its dependence upon the Babylonian myth of Ishtar, the queen of heaven, and her son, the sun-god who conquered the monster Tiamat-primeval chaos (cf. his Schöpfung und Chaos in Urzeit und Endzeit, pp. 379-398, Göttingen, 1895, and his Zum religionsgeschichtlichen Verständnis des Neuen Testaments, ib., 1903), and T. K. Cheyne has arrived at the conclusion that the myth enshrined in the book of Revelation was the source of the birth story in Matthew. To the writers of Matthew i. 18-23, however, the woman became a humble Jewish maiden; the son no longer the destroyer of the chaos-monster, or ruling all nations with a rod of iron, but the Savior of his people; his capital not Babylon but Jerusalem; the dragon with devouring jaws, Herod plotting the death of innocent children; the mother's flight changed from flight into the wilderness into the holy family's flight into Egypt (ut sup., pp. 71 sqq.). Parallel to this story is the North Arabian myth of Dusares, "the only begotten of the Lord," worshiped at Petra and Elusa, his mother being the virgin (parthenos)—one independent of the marriage tie (see Nabatæans, II., § 3). It has affinity also with an Egyptian myth-Hathor or Isis, mother of the gods, and of the young sun-god, Horus; the dragon represented by Typhon. Other affinities are suggested: Persian or Zoroastrian, where Saoshyant, the Savior, is born of a virgin who had not had intercourse with a man (cf. Dinkart, VII., viii. 55 sqq., ix. 18 sqq., x. 15 sqq. [SBE, xlvii. 105 sqq.]). The Greek affinity is discovered in the myth of the pregnant Leto pursued by the dragon Pytho, to whom a prophecy had come that Leto's son would destroy him; she, however, under the protection of favoring gods, gave birth to Apollo, who four days afterward slew the dragon (cf. Cheyne, ut sup., pp. 198-205).

(4) The legendary theory seeks still deeper in folk-lore for the source of its suggestion, where one discovers a fusion of religious, social, and physiological elements. It is now recognized that "stories of supernatural birth may be said to have a currency as wide as the world" (E. S. Hartland, Stories of Primitive Paternity, i. 1, London, 1909; cf. J. E. Carpenter, The Bible in the Nineteenth Century, p. 490, London, 1903). The heroes of all nations have had an extraordinary entrance upon earthly life,

from which masculine agency is essen-25. Argu-tially excluded. Conception is attribments from uted to every cause but the actual one. Folk-lore. It is referred to the forces of nature, such as the sun, wind, rain, wells,

fires; to contact with magical substances, such as amulets, images, vestments, and stones; to vegetable substances, such as mandrake, or to animal substances, such as absorption of a portion of a

dead man. Among many peoples the belief is general that a previously existing soul, whether human, animal, or vegetable, spontaneously, without union of the sexes, enters the body of a woman and causes pregnancy, whence a new being reappears in a new form. Such beliefs or theories can be explained in part only on the ground of wide-spread ignorance of the invariable physiological conditions of reproduction. As the cause of death, so also the cause of birth remained hidden. The relation of the mother to the offspring is constant and unequivocal, while that of the father, owing to economic or religious conditions, is often indifferent and not well understood. Even where knowledge of the laws of reproduction have become more extended and better established, tradition still maintains its hold in popular myths concerning the birth-stories of great men in primitive times (cf. Hartland, ut sup., and his *Legend of Perseus*, 3 vols., London, 1894–96). Nowhere, perhaps, has comparative religion discovered a more impressive instance of virgin birth than in the Eleusinian Mysteries. The supreme moment of the solemn celebration of these rites was marked by the marriage of the sacred mother and the birth of the sacred child. The mother was Brimo, a maiden, a goddess of the underworld, the Thessalian Kore or Demeter, the goddess of the fruits of the cultivated earth. At night, in deep darkness, and in perfect chastity, the mimetic marriage was enacted by the hierophant and the chief priestess of Demeter. Immediately afterward the hierophant came forth into a blaze of torches, and with a loud voice cried to the initiates that the great and unspeakable mystery was accomplished: " Holy Brimo has borne a sacred child, Brimos," "the mighty has borne the mighty, and holy is the generation that is spiritual, heavenly, from above, and mighty is he who is so engendered" (Philosophumena, p. 170, Paris, 1860; cf. Harrison, ut sup., pp. 525, 548 sqq.; Tertullian, Ad Nationes, ii. 7). Since the begetting and the birth were both symbolical, the mystic rite was performed without physical contamination, the mother" remaining a maiden still. Thus at the very heart and culmination of the ceremonies at this sacred shrine in ancient Greece, centuries before its appearance in the Septuagint, the dogma had been created, " A virgin shall conceive and shall bear a son.'

The legendary theory has a vast background and makes an impressive showing. The point is not so much that birth from a virgin is alleged—this is seldom the case—as that the conception is supernatural. That the stories are some-

anatural. That the stories are some-26. Criticism of the integral part of the religions in which Legendary they are found; a spiritual religion Theory. would transform the supernatural

agency into forms of action worthy of a spiritual being. The most vigorous advocates of this theory do not, however, claim that they have more than presumptive evidence for their view; the historical connection between the universal myth of supernatural birth and the stories of the New Testament has not yet been traced.

It remains to consider the dogmatic bearings of

the virgin birth. To the tenet of the Luthersa church of Germany, "that the Son of God 'conceived by the Holy Ghost, born of the Virgin Mary' is the foundation of Christianity," Harnack replies: "It is a dangerous but fallacious dilemma that the idea of the God-man stands or falls with the virgin birth" (Das apostolische Glaubensbekenntniss, p. 39), and he adds, "If this were the case, ill would fare Mark, ill Paul, ill John, ill Christianity." Ropes (ut sup., p. 695) declares that "Good Christian mea may take opposite sides of this question, without giving up that which is vital and cardinal to the faith." It formed no part of the preaching or mes-

sage of the apostles, and no doctrinal use is made of it in the New Testament. On the supposition that the writers of the New Testament outside of the First and Third Gospel knew of the virgin birth, they never availed themselves

of it in the formulation of any doctrine. Other theories of the person of Christ were both suggested, and were more or less constitutive in the earliest Christian teaching (see Son of God). The divine element in Christ has been explained as an endowment conferred at his baptism. Paul, John, and the author of the Epistle to the Hebrews make very significant use of both the fact and the nature of the preexistent element in Christ's person. It has been contended that between preexistence and the nativity account in Matthew and Luke there is an irreconcilable contradiction, since both of these Gospels speak as if, by the action of the Spirit of God, a new individual in all respects came into being (cf. A. Réville, Histoire du dogme de la divinité de Jésus-Christ, p. 30, Paris, 1869; On, ut sup., pp. 208 sqq.). It is true that the Kenosis theories (see Christology, Kenosis) have been proposed, and with elaborate and ingenious refinement have been made to serve as mediators between the Pauline and Johannean conscious preexistence, on the one hand, and on the other, the narratives of the infancy and the development of Jesus; but instead of elucidating, they have made still more perplexing the profound mystery of the person of Christ, and are falling into disfavor.

The dogmatic use of the virgin birth involves two considerations—sinlessness and incarnation. Its bearing on sinlessness rests on two postulates, that contamination derived from Adam's sin through natural generation is inevitable, and that there was in Jesus Christ a divine, preexistent element which

is not in us; hence his human nature
28. Dogdiffered from ours, and, accordingly,
matic Bear- he was not affected by Adam's sin.
ing on In the position that sinlessness de-

Sinlessness. pended upon the virgin birth, there is assumed the Augustinian doctrine of the fall of man, and also the invariable hereditary taint of sin transmitted through ordinary processes of human birth. Of this basis of sinlessness the New Testament knows nothing. Paul finds the secret of Jesus' character in the peculiar nature of his person in relation to preexistence (cf. Phil. ii. 5 sqq.; Rom. viii. 3, ix. 5; Gal. iv. 4; II Cor. viii. 9). For John the Logos doctrine offered the key to the supreme grace and truth of Christ. In the ear-

lier preaching, the clue to the perfect fulfilment of both the royal and the prophetic hopes of Israel in a person of divine excellence is found in the divine designation of Jesus as the Messiah. Schleiermacher suggested that the exclusion of Joseph from participation in the conception of Jesus does not relieve the difficulty (Der christliche Glaube, § 97, 7th ed., Gotha, 1889; cf. Strauss, Das Leben Jesu, i. 153-154, Tübingen, 1835), for Mary was likewise subject to original sin, and must have contributed of her sinful principle to Jesus. Moreover, Schults has shown that the Scriptures represent woman as weaker and more susceptible to temptation than is man (Die Lehre von der Gottheit Jesu, p. 593, Gotha, 1881). To avoid this general conclusion, different positions have been taken: (1) that in the conception Mary was wholly passive; hence no sinful impulse was communicated from her to the new life; (2) Jesus was born not of (ek) but through (dia) Mary, a docetic position of certain Gnostics (cf. Tertullian, Adv. Valentinum, xxvii., ANF, vol. iii.); (3) by the dogma of the Immaculate Conception (q.v.), Mary, although born of a human father and mother, was herself miraculously preserved from both hereditary and actual sinfulness. Yet from the common Protestant point of view it is objected that the assumptions underlying these positions are invalid; the laws of natural generation are themselves ordained by God, and, accordingly, are not sinful. Even if the conception was as alleged, still during the period of gestation her influence was normal with the unborn child (Lobstein, ut sup., pp. 84 sqq.). Calvin maintained that Jesus was perfectly immaculate, not because man had no part in his conception, but because he was sanctified by the Spirit so that his generation was as pure and holy as it would have been before Adam's fall (Institutes, II., xiii. 3-4).

A further dogmatic use of the virgin birth grounds the incarnation on it. While one can not a priori affirm that such a birth was a necessary form of divine action, nor that the doctrine of the incarnation is historically traced to such a birth, yet this would seem the more congruous to the event (cf. W. N. Clarke, Outline of Christian Theology, pp. 289 sqq., New York, 1898). The affirmation is further made that, given an eternal preexisting

being who is born without changing
29. Dogor taking a new personality, but merematic Bear- ly by assuming a new nature and ening on tering new conditions of experience,
Incarnation. this can not be thought of as occurring

by the ordinary process of generation, since this involves the beginning of a new personality. Denial of the virgin birth, therefore, is tantamount to the reduction of Jesus to the rank of a purely human personality, however intimate his relation with God (cf. Gore, Dissertations, pp. 64-65). In addition it is maintained that the spiritual miracle in the person of Christ requires a corresponding physical miracle, and since this goes down to the ultimate ground of Mary's nature, a second miracle of the same sort with reference to Joseph would be unnecessary; while the mode of the event symbolizes the unique character of the person (Orr, ut sup., pp. 223 sqq.). On the other hand, many of

those who deny the virgin birth deny not only the virgin life (cf. A. B. Bruce, Apologetics, p. 410, New York, 1892), but also the traditional theory of the incarnation; the latter, however, not because of denial of the virgin birth. The Nicene Creed connected the incarnation with the virgin birth, but this was for the sake, not of basing the incarnation on the birth of Christ, but of showing its reality, i.e., the reality of his human nature as against Gnostic interpretations and tendencies (cf. A. C. McGiffert, Apostles' Creed, New York, 1902). That view of the incarnation which seeks the proof of Christ's divinity in his ethical and spiritual revelation of God naturally lays less stress upon the virgin birth than upon the character of his consciousness and the impression he makes upon men.

It has been urged that in the doctrine of the virgin birth the divinity of Christ is lowered from a spiritual to a natural basis, his full humanity sacrificed, and an illusory wall reared between the natural and supernatural (cf. Lobstein, ut sup., pp. 106 sqq.). Those who hold that the idea of the virgin birth is an amalgamation of Jewish Messianism and Hellenistic Logos doctrine, or who maintain that the most exalted Christology owes nothing to this tradition, have no dogmatic interest in this question (cf. Biblical World, x. 1 sqq.). One may ignore the inquiry into origins, or may declare this to be a secret hidden in the personality of Jesus (cf. A. Ritschl, Rechtfertigung und Versöhnung, iii. 426, Bonn, 1874; A. Harnack, What is Christianity? 3d ed., London, 1904).

The conclusions may be thus summarized: (1) The first and third Gospels are our sole authority for the virgin birth of Jesus. (2) The stories as they appear in these Gospels are independent of each other and

are from different sources, but whether they were written or oral, and whether mary.

Matthew's account is dependent on

Joseph and Luke's on Mary, does not appear. (3) The writings of Paul and John contain no indisputable reference to these stories—they neither presuppose, nor contradict, nor draw conclusions from them; they do, however, involve a superhuman and pre-earthly being who became incarnate in Jesus. (4) With unimportant exceptions the entire early Church in the interest of Jesus' real humanity and divine nature acknowledged the virgin birth. (5) The connection proposed between the story of the virgin birth and stories of supernatural births in the Old Testament, in classic antiquity, in the wide-spread hope of a world Redeemer, and in folk-lore, has not been established. (6) The doctrine has important bearings on the incarnation and sinlessness of Jesus, but it is not essential either to these or to Christian experience. (7) The story itself, in comparison with all other stories of supernatural births, is one of unique and incomparable beauty, befitting the creative entrance of Jesus into our earthly lot, to live the life of God under human conditions: he who knows the mystery of the beginnings of life, and remembers with what meaning this story has been invested by men of deepest insight through the Christian centuries, will not tear it from the Gospels, but will with the holy Catholic Church confess, "I believe in . . . Jesus Christ,

. . . who was conceived by the Holy Ghost, born of the Virgin Mary." C. A. Beckwith.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The following may be added to the very abundant literature noted in the text: A. Hoben, The Virgin Birth, Chicago, 1903; B. W. Randolph, The Virgin-Birth of Our Lord, London, 1903; The Virgin-Birth one of the Principal Foundations of the Christian Faith... by a Bibliophile (Edinburgh), 1905; Doctrina patrum de incarnatione Verbi. Ein griechisches Florilegium aus... 7. und 8. Jahrhunderten, Münster, 1907; E. R. Hendrix, The Religion of the Incarnation, New York, 1907; R. J. Knowling, Our Lord's Virgin Birth and the Criticism of To-day, 3d ed., London, 1907; G. Krüger, Das Dogma von der Dreieinigkeit und Gottmenschheit, Tübingen, 1905; F. Weston, The One Christ, an Inquiry into the Manner of the Incarnation, London, 1907; T. J. Thorburn, A Critical Examination of the Evidences for the Doctrine of the Virgin Birth, ib. 1908; E. Petersen, Die wunderbare Geburt des Heilandes, Tübingen, 1909; G. S. Streatfield, The Incarnation, New York, 1910; J. J. Lanier, The Church J. Universal, ib. 1911; D. Völter, Die evangelische Erzählung von der Geburt und Kindheit Jesu (1911).

VIRTUE: An ethical concept almost synonymous with morality, denoting, in its original Greek sense, every excellence which affords worth to a person or a thing and secures recognition, thus incidentally signifying honor and reputation. In the discourses of Socrates the term is yet in its plastic state, but appears at the same time in its ethical application, and this coincides with the usage of the Sophists. After Plato, and especially Aristotle, virtue came to denote that quality of man whereby he is adapted for true

moral action. The more popular History of parenetic or descriptive ethics became, Concept. as in the early Middle Ages, a mere enumeration of virtues and vices; and in the period of the Enlightenment the concepts of morality and virtue so coincided that even an individual act might be termed virtue, and Kant distinguished the moral as virtue in distinction from the legal. Schleiermacher, therefore, seeking to delimit virtue from the good and duty, defined it as "the power of reason in nature morally united with it, and in the human individual in particular." In like manner R. Rothe distinguished virtue as the productive power from the good as the moral product, and from duty as the form of the moral process. More generally accepted is the definition of C. F. Schmid, that the good is the character of the will of the human subject. Underlying all these definitions is the view that man in his activities can but acquire a fixed character determinative of conduct, and such a character is either true or perverted, virtue or baseness; and they presuppose the concept of the good or morally true as already given. Aristotle presumes to derive the nature of the ethical itself from virtue; namely, by the Hellenic concept of the mean between extremes. The formal distinction of virtue from the subethical or brutal and the super-ethical, or heroic, as well as his "heap" of virtues, amidst which, only, he sought the classconcept, were the more urgent upon him as empiricist. The other followers of Socrates assumed with their leader that virtue was one, an idea which Plato systematized. Following his anthropological trichotomy he differentiates virtue into "wisdom, courage, and temperance." Justice, bringing these into the equilibrium of the good, completes the character, and determines the social relationship of the

individual. Through Ambrose the four receive the permanent appellative of "cardinal" '; and Augustine demonstrated from them the "love of God," so that the "brief and true definition of virtue" sounds, "order is of love." Love, however, practically is unfolded in faith, hope, and charity, later the theological virtues. Thus the sevenfold character of the virtues became traditional, making way for the seven spiritual gifts paralleled by the seven deadly sins. Scholasticism inheriting this scheme continued to lay emphasis on the unity of virtue, and to see in love the basal Christian virtue. and through Thomas Aquinas the scheme has descended canonically to the present. Venatorius, however, substituted faith for love. Melanchthon, developing "civil justice" on a scheme of justice, truth, and moderation, led, by reference to the Decalogue, to the postulation of the precedence of the concept of duty, a scheme commonly accepted by Protestantism, especially by Wolff. This chaos was opposed by Schleiermacher with a reconstruction of the Platonic tetrad of virtues: inner virtue is wisdom in cognition, and love in action; in terms of time, cognition is prudence, and action is perseverance. The Socratic doctrine of the unity of virtue is closely connected with the identification of virtue and understanding. This intellectualistic determinism was completed by Stoicism, which not only derived the primary virtues from the mere moral concept, but maintained that virtue was present a priori without the necessity of a gradual approach. The empiricist Aristotle, without overlooking the "determinism of consequence," emphasizes caprice while he recognizes that perfection is to be attained only by practise. Orthodox Semi-pelagianism had the aid of the "infused grace," following Aristotle in the doctrine of virtue. The antithesis persisted later. C. Wolff triumphantly favored the intellectual determinism, while Rousseau rings the appeal, "back to nature." Such underlying presumptions give rise, here and there dignified by Kant's sternness of duty, to the enthusiasm for the self-sufficient striving of virtue, antagonized, as they are, by the Biblical Evangelical theology.

In fact this concept of virtue has no Biblical connection, except in the Hellenistic portions of the Apocrypha (Wisdom iv. 1, v. 13, viii. 7; IV Macc.). In I Pet. ii. 9 and Phil. iv. 8 the term arete (Gk. "virtue") denotes the laudable in general; in II Pet. i. 3 it implies a manifestation of divine power;

and only in II Pet. i. 5 does it refer to any specific virtue. If Schleiermacher did not employ the concept of virtue in his system of theological ethics, of Virtue. neither did he construct this as a system of duty. Herein he followed the

example of G. Calixtus and of the Pietists, who set forth the process of origin and the demonstration of the Christian life, thus affording a substructure for the theory of duty. Here is the point of departure taken up by the ancient Church in dependence upon available scientific forms, and here the doctrine of virtue may be developed in thoroughly Christian style. Social ethics must not crowd out the presuppositions, training, and development of

Christian character; the scientific treatment of virtue will then afford a satisfactory presentation of its unity and origin. At the same time the ground is won also for asceticism and the consideration of the means to virtue. The latter has been defined s "all that has an advantageous influence for the actual exercise and accomplishment of acts in acord with duty." It may include everything, then, within the ethical horizon, even temptation and offenses as tests, and involve the help of God, moral motives, fate, nature, vocation, and every personal relation. Means they become so far as they are used for a special purpose, the practise of virtue or morality, i.e., ascetics. With such was concerned the ancient Church in dependence upon the applied ethics of the Stoics. Ascetics has been defined by Rothe as conduct designed simply to gain personal virtue, without regard to any other end whatsoever lying outside the acting subject. Against the admission of such a view to scientific ethics it is objected that every moral act must have reference to society as well as to the individual; and that duty comprehends life as a whole, and no moment in its course can be conceived as involving merely mediate obligation. But certainly duty demands at every moment the performance of what is most expedient to the purpose. One is bound therefore to the exercise of the means of virtue, if it be fitting. That social relations are to take the place of these modes of activity can be claimed only if the training and purification of personal character are overlooked, in which also one discharges some of his social indebtedness. In character-culture pedagogy and asceticism are materially identified, for self-culture follows training as maturity supersedes immaturity, and what argues for the means of pedagogy argues for the means of asceticism as well. Finally, self-culture has to rid itself of the unethical false culture. Reactionary efforts and preventives are indeed indispensable; but they become superfluous in an ideal self-unfolding. Christian ethics in its intense rebound from immoral corruption, leading to a total disentanglement from a sin-ridden world, swung to the untrue pole of social seclusion and futile performances. On the contrary, only modes of conduct are valid for means to virtue which are justified and required for Christians in general, and their special place is to be perceived in that they afford an advantage to conduct in the building of character and alienation of evil, not otherwise to be gained. Moderation is requisite of itself; it must be raised to abstinence if an evil is to be conquered. The difficulty is that the pedagogy from without the individual possesses no knowledge of the situation within, while the individual himself is not sufficiently master safely to treat himself. Hence Christian asceticism presupposes sanctification, which God affords continually, and in this the means to virtue and grace are provided (Titus ii. 11-12). In the last analysis religious and ethical means to virtue are brought to the same plane; religion and ethics are not exclusive circles, but among ethical means to virtue the most important are the religious. The means to virtue may, accordingly, be more strictly defined as moral modes of conduct with special reference to the development of personal character,

and with particular regard to the imperfections of the individual, different in each one. Since, however, individuals are not absolutely different, the means to virtue may be reduced to categories, though this can properly be done only in connection with the theory of character-culture, or virtue, as a whole, and in this sense ascetics becomes a necessary part of ethics. An enumeration of the means to virtue seems unnecessary; since a classification is self-suggestive, according to the various aspects of the development of character. Protestant ascetics is essentially different from Roman Catholic. The latter makes the individual means laws imposed by the Church, and forcing them from their vital moral relations considers the acts meritorious in themselves, thus transforming them from means. Finally, it develops classes to the abuse of the individual as well as society on the whole, based on the distinction between the legitimate secular and the perfect spiritual life (see Consilia Evangelica). On the other hand, Protestants variously represent a point of view by which they regard Christian ethics as the fruit of the inner law so that discipline and means to virtue are ignored as such.

(M. Kähler.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Consult the literature under ETHIOS.

VISHNU. See HINDUISM.

VISIGOTHS. See Goths, § 6.

VISITATIO LIMINUM SANCTORUM APOSTO-LORUM: The visiting of the church of SS. Peter and Paul at Rome, and also of the Curia, in compliance with either a vow or the law of the Roman Catholic Church. Such visitations in consequence of vows were frequent in the Middle Ages; but the popes were compelled to limit such visits, and in 1478 Sixtus IV. issued a special papal reservation on the subject. The papal reservation is no longer set forth in the quinquennial faculties.

The most important form of the visitation is that required by law for the exercise of the necessary supervision over the Church. By a Roman synod of 743 all bishops residing near Rome were required to visit the pope each year about the middle of May, while those whose sees were distant were enjoined to write annually concerning the condition of their dioceses. After 1079 this duty was made incumbent on all metropolitans by Gregory VII., and was soon extended to all bishops, though intervals of varying length were accorded in proportion to the distance of their dioceses from Rome.

In the bull Romanus pontifex (Dec. 20, 1584) Sixtus V. enacted that the bishops of Italy and the neighboring islands, Dalmatia, and Greece should visit every three years; those of Germany, France, Spain, Portugal, Belgium, Bohemia, Hungary, England, Scotland, and Ireland every four years; those of the remainder of Europe, northern Africa, and the islands east of the American continent every five years; and those of all other lands every ten years. This was confirmed by Benedict XIV. in his constitution Quod sancta (Nov. 23, 1740), and he extended the requirement to all possessing quasiepiscopal jurisdiction. It is generally held that titular bishops are also bound to make the visitation.

The visitation should be performed in person at

the designated intervals; but if this is impossible, the prelate concerned may be represented by a special, properly qualified plenipotentiary. The visitation comprises three parts, attested by the Congregatio super statu ecclesiarum: the visit to the "church of the apostles" (the church occupied by the pope and the Curia; normally St. Peter's, Rome), and an oral and written statement of the affairs of the diocese of the bishop concerned.

(E. FRIEDBERG†.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: J. H. Bangen, Die römische Kurie, pp. 177 sqq., Münster, 1854: A. Lucidi, De visitatione liminum, Rome, 1878; P. Melcher, De canonica diacesi visitatione, Cologne, 1893; Sägmüller, in TQS, lxxxviii (1900), 69, 91; KL, xii. 1011-13.

VISITATION, ORDER OF THE: A Roman Catholic order founded by St. Francis of Sales (q.v.) and named in honor of the visitation of the Virgin (Luke i. 39 sqq.). While, however, Francis termed himself the father of the order, he designated as their mother their real founder, Jeanne Frémiot Françoise de Chantal, with whom he was bound by a sort of spiritual union. According to the biographers of both, Francis saw in a dream her who was to aid him in establishing a female religious order, later recognizing the lady of his vision in Mme. de Chantal. She, in her turn, though having no dream, received a manifestation of the bishop who was destined to be her spiritual guide and friend. While preaching at Dijon in the Lent of 1604, the attention of Francis was attracted, in his very first sermon, to a lady who listened to him with especial devoutness. At the close of his sermon he learned that she was the Baroness de Chantal, daughter of Frémiot, the Burgundian president of parliament, sister of the archbishop of Bourges, a widow of some years' standing, and then residing, not altogether happily, on the estate of her fatherin-law with her four small children. She was profoundly dissatisfied with her confessor, and immediately recognized in Francis her true spiritual guide. The pair met at her father's house, but not till later did she reveal her sufferings to Francis, and afterward she made a full confession. Among other things, she spoke of her desire to pass the remainder of her life in the Holy Land, to which Francis at first gave no response, and she also begged him to take her under his spiritual guidance. After several days he consented to become her spiritual guide, though cautioning her against haste and against the danger of the intrusion of any earthly element in their relations. He then left Dijon with the promise to write to her frequently. The bond thus formed became ever closer, though at first Mme. de Chantal bitterly reproached herself for her course, especially fearing that she had transgressed the laws of the Church by placing herself under the guidance of the bishop, though the latter pointed out that St. Theresa also had had a special spiritual mentor in addition to her confessor. But she long remained in doubt, her faith wavered, it was difficult for her to subject her unbelief to the Church, and her meditations seemed fruitless. In this feeling of vague unrest there seems to have been an unconscious element of personal affection for Francis of Sales. He became to her something more than a priest and a confessor, and though she could give this indefinable quality no specific name, she felt it estranged her from the Church. But she did not cease from pious meditations and works of asceticism, nor did she abandon the thought of retiring from the world. Francis, with whom she often discussed the subject, no longer kept her wavering between hope and fear. After the middle of 1605 he repeatedly implied that her spiritual regeneration was nearing perfection, and he urged her more and more to contemplate as her final step complete self-renunciation and perfect submission to God. Though as late as Aug., 1606, he had not decided whether she should become a nun, in a personal interview he received her vow of celibacy and obedience, and approved her determination to bring up her daughters in convents.

The first definite intimations of the purpose of Francis to establish a community of female religious under the direction of himself and Mme. de Chantal date from 1607. He planned to locate the community at Annecy, the seat of the bishop of Geneva since the Reformation, so that his association with Mme. de Chantal should become still closer, though the ostensible reason was that there she might be nearer her married daughter, the baroness of Thorens. In the spring of 1610 Mme. de Chantal, abandoning her father and her children, went to Annecy, where, in the night before the dedication of the house of the new order, she seemed to see her father and children invoking divine wrath upon her, her distress being increased by the fear that she had led astray the mind of Francis. After three hours of agony, however, she conquered her temptation, and henceforth the mystic bond between the bishop and his spiritual child became even more strong. Mme. de Chantal was no less devoted to Francis than he to her, giving him constant proofs of her solicitude both for his body and his soul. On the other hand, her affection for her children so diminished that, when her son was about to visit her in Annecy, Francis was obliged to admonish her to give him cordial greeting. She died at Moulin Dec. 13, 1641, was beatified by Benedict XIV. in 1751, and canonized by Clement XIII. in 1767.

The order of the nuns of the Visitation was established in the summer of 1610, when, on Trinity Sunday, Mme. de Chantal and two others received their habit from the hands of Francis of Sales. The order had no solemn vows, no monastic seclusion, and no habit, except a black veil and black clothing. Though Mme. de Chantal had exercised extreme asceticism, this was not made incumbent on the order, and only the recitation of the shorter office of the Virgin was required of the sisters. Retreats were always permitted to women not belonging to the order; and in imitation of the Virgin's visit to St. Elizabeth the nuns were obliged to visit the poor and the sick. In conformity with the usage of the earlier Church, all the houses of the order were to be subject to their diocesan, and every year the sisters interchanged their rosaries, breviaries, crucifixes, etc. The congregation, as it was at first called, increased rapidly, but Francis soon found himself obliged to impose a more rigorous rule of Augustinian type, in which form the order was

officially recognized by Paul V. in 1618, and confirmed by Urban VIII. in 1626. The order had no special head, but was placed under the control of the diocesan. A simple black habit with a long black veil and a black head-band was required, and conventual seclusion was introduced, thus rendering it no longer possible to visit the poor and sick. On the other hand, there was no intensification of seceticism. At the death of Francis the order had thirteen houses, to which Mme. de Chantal added eighty-seven. The order reached its greatest prosperity in the eighteenth century, when it had about 200 houses; and about the middle of the nineteenth century it had approximately 100 houses with 3,000 nuns in France, Italy, Switzerland, Austria, Poland, Syria, and North America. At the end of the nineteenth century it had 164 convents with about 7.000 nuns: eight in Germany, four in Austria, two in Switzerland, and one in Spain. Other convents are to be found in Italy, Portugal, England, Syria, and North America, but by far the greater number were in France. In consequence of the change in the character of the order in 1618, the chief activity of the nuns of the Visitation became the education of girls, especially of higher Roman Catholic society. During the Jansenistic troubles nuns of this order were sent to Port Royal to take the place of the expelled Cistercian nuns.

(EUGEN LACHENMANN.)
The order was introduced into America at
Georgetown, D. C., in 1799. There were in 1911
twenty-one houses or academies, with 795 sisters
or postulants, 27 professed religious, and 1,935
pupils.

RIBLIOGRAPHY: On the foundress consult her Lettres indilies, ed. C. Barthélemy, 2 vols., Paris, 1860; the Acta
bestificationis et canonizationis, Rome, 1732; Sainte J. F.
Frimud de Chantal, es vie et ses œuvres, 8 vols., Paris,
1874-79; H. de Maupas du Tour, La Vie de . . . mère
J. F. f. de Chantal, Paris, 1644; E. Bougaud, Hiet. de Ste.
Chantal et des origines de la Visitation, 13th ed., Paris,
1899. Other accounts are by: G. Beaufils, Annecy, 1751;
C. A. Saccarelli, 2 vols., Augsburg, 1752; W. H. Coombes,
2 vols, London, 1830; G. Hettenkofer, Augsburg, 1836;
F. M. de Chaugy, 3 vols., Vienna, 1844; E. M. de Barthélemy, Paris, 1860; Emily Bowles, London, 1872; Cecilia
A. Jones, London, 1874.

On the order consult: Helyot, Ordres monastiques, iv. 309 sq.; the Annecy ed. of the works of St. Francis of St. St. Constitutiones, Paris, 1622, 1645, etc.; C. Menetrier, Projet de l'hist. de l'ordre de la nisitation, Annecy, 1701; L. Clarus, Leben der besten Mitter. des Ordens von der Heimsuchung Mariens, 2 vols., Regensburg, 1861; H. Heppe, Geschichte der mistitischem Mystik in der katholischem Kirche, pp. 43-8, Berlin, 1875; St. Jane Frances Frémyot de Chantal. He Exhortations . . , Clifton, 1888; Heimbucher, Orden und Kongregationen, ii. 288-295; KL, x. 1558-61.

VISITATION OF THE SICK: One of the occasional offices in the Book of Common Prayer. Its Scriptural basis is found in James v. 14-15 (cf. also Mark vi. 13), and its necessity, even though the ministrations of the clergy were not explicitly requested, is insisted upon by the canons of many councils, while in the English Church canon lxvii. is devoted to the clerical obligation to visit the sick.

The office as found in the Book of Common Prayer is derived chiefly from the corresponding office in the Sarum Use, and possesses peculiar interest historically in its retention of more than one old usage which Puritanism strove in vain to dis-

lodge. For a correct understanding of the office (which now differs con-Opening Part of siderably in the American Book from the Office. the English) from the Sarum Use to the present time, it seems best to take as the standard of discussion the office as contained in the First Prayer Book of Edward VI. (1549). Omitting the requirement of the Sarum Use, that on the way to the house of the sick the seven penitential Psalms with their antiphon should be recited, the priest, after saying, "Peace be in this house, and to all that dwell in it," recites Ps. cxliii. (omitted in all later Books; the sprinkling with holy water, required by the Sarum Use, is also omitted, even in the First Book) with the anthem "Remember not Lord our iniquities," etc., followed by the Kyrie, the Lord's Prayer, and several versicles and responses. Then come two of the nine collects of the Sarum Use, followed by the exhortation of the sick " after this fourme, or other lyke," with provision for curtailment if the person visited be very ill. The articles of the Apostles' Creed are next rehearsed, and the sick man is examined as to his forgiveness of all his enemies and his discharge of all debts, and is admonished of his duty to make his will and to be charitable to the poor, the special wording of these portions being left to the discretion

of the priest.

Then follows one of the most vital survivals of the old Use, against which Protestant objection has been most strenuously made. The rubric in the first Edwardine Prayer Book reads: "Here shall the sicke person make a speciall confession, yf he fele his conscience troubled with any weightie matter. After which confession, the priest shall absolue hym after this forme, and the same forme of absolucion shalbe used in all pryuate confessions"—the form being "Our Lord Jesus Christ, who hath lefte power to his Churche to absolue all sinners, which truely repent and beleue in hym: of his great mercy forgeue thee thyne offences: and

by his autoritie committed to me, I Absolution. absolue thee fro all thy synnes, in the name," etc. This declaratory absolution, which is also employed in the various unofficial uses for private confession in the Anglican communion, was retained even in the strongly Protestantized second Edwardine Prayer Book (1552) and was included in the proposed Scotch Book of 1619. On the rise of the Commonwealth the Puritans in 1640 (and again at the Savoy Conference of 1661) sought to change this to "I pronounce thee absolved," but they were unsuccessful, and the ancient form, found in the Uses of Sarum and York, is still retained in the English Book, although the "Sealed Book" of 1661 added to the rubric " if he humbly and heartily desire it " (the form retained in the present English Book). In the strongly Protestantized Irish Book (1877) confession is optional, which is true only in a qualified sense of the English Books ("here shall the sick person be moved to make a special confession," etc.), and the form of absolution is the imprecatory one of the Communion Office. The same form was chosen in the ill-starred " Proposed Book" of the American Church (1786), but three years later that communion took the step of expunging from the office any allusion to both confession and absolution, which have thus far been unrestored in the United States.

The declaratory absolution is followed by a prayer of absolution, derived from the York and Sarum Uses, and also found in the Gelasian Sacramentary, but the two following collects in the older uses were omitted in all English Books and their derivatives.

In the Sarum Use the visitation office
Old Office here ends, and that of unction begins.
for Unction, The opening Psalm of that office (lxxi.,
and for which the American Book substiConcluding tutes Ps. cxxx.) is still retained, folPortions. lowed by another noteworthy survival
—the sole instance of the Antiphon

(q.v.) in the Anglican ritual: "O Saucour of the world saue us, which by thy crosse and precious bloud hast redemed us, helpe us we beseche the, O God" (used also in various unofficial special offices for the Passion Service on Good Friday). After another collect, expanded from one in the Gregorian Sacramentary for the visitation of the sick, the First Prayer Book has the rubric: " If the sicke person desyre to be annoynted, then shall the priest annoynte him upon the forehead or breast only, makyng the signe of the crosse, saying thus" (followed by a prayer of noteworthy beauty, omitted in all later books). This unction, which, despite the Scriptural warrant of James v. 14, was offensive to Puritanism, disappeared in the second Edwardine Book, and has never been restored. With the recitation of Ps. xiii. the first Edwardine office closes, the second Book ending abruptly just before unction; but in 1661 the Aaronic blessing was added, together with four occasional prayers (for a sick child, etc.), to which the American Book adds three more, one of which is also included in the Irish Book.

The office for the visitation of the sick is immediately followed in all Books by that for the Communion of the Sick (q.v.), with which are inseparably connected the various questions regarding the very ancient practise of Reservation of the Sacrament (q.v.), at least so far as communion of the sick is concerned, a use which even the Calvinistic Thirty-nine Articles did not forbid (cf. Art. xxv.).

As regards the practical use of this office, it is to be observed that it is a formal rite to be employed but once for a person in severe illness; it does not form part of ordinary visits to the sick-room. "It is a solemn recognition of the person over whom it is used as one who is in the fellowship of the Church, and for whom the Church, by its authorized Minister, offers prayer to God; and it is also a solemn recognition of the fact that the sicknesses

Practical ture are a consequence of sin, a part of that heritage of death which came upon us through the Fall " (Blunt, p. 460). It is to be used, moreover, only

over those who have had the training of the church, particularly as its employment is prefatory to the reception of the Eucharist. To dissenters the visitation office would, in all probability, be unintelli-

gible and even terrifying, unless they were resolved to be reconciled with the church and to accept her last consolations. These latter remarks would apply with doubled force to those who have led irreligious or wicked lives, in which cases the office is applicable only after much instruction and much progress toward true penitence. Otherwise, the sick man might view "the comforts of the Office more prominently than would be advisable for those who do not fully appreciate the necessity of repentance toward the attainment of pardon and true peace" (Blunt, ut sup.). Through a false and un-Christian fear of solemn preparation for death the use of the visitation office is well-nigh abandoned. This is most regrettable. There is no implication of death in the office; indeed, the American Book has a "Thanksgiving for the beginning of a Recovery" (similarly the Irish Book). And even if such implication of approaching death be seen, the true churchman will have no fear of death, though he may well dread it without the final blessing and absolution of the church and the last solemn rite of the Eucharist.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: J. H. Blunt, Annotated Book of Common Prayer, revised ed., pp. 460-471, London, 1903; F. Procter and W. H. Frere, New History of the Book of Common Prayer, 26th ed., pp. 622-626 (with abundant references to older literature and copious bibliography), London, 1910.

VISITATION OF THE VIRGIN MARY, FEAST OF THE. See MARY, MOTHER OF JESUS CHRIST, III.

VITALIAN, vai-tê'li-an: Pope 657-672. was born at Segni, and on July 30, 657, was enthroned as the successor of Eugenius I. He announced his accession to the Emperor Constans II., thus signalizing the resumption of friendly ecclesiastical relations between Rome and Constantinople; the emperor in return confirmed the privileges of the Roman church. Vitalian was unsuccessful, on the other hand, in his attempt to assert jurisdiction over Maurus, bishop of Ravenna, whom he cited to appear at Rome, only to meet with refusal. Vitalian thereupon deposed Maurus, who in his turn pronounced the ban on the pope. Vitalian seems to have been influential in England, where Theodorus, archbishop of Canterbury, actively promoted the interests of Rome and sought to secure uniformity with the Roman Church. Vitalian died Jan. 27, 672. (A. HAUCK.)

Jan. 27, 672.

Bibliography: The letters are in MPL, lxxxvii. 999 sqq. Consult Liber pontificalis, ed. T. Mommsen in MGH, Gest. pont. Rom., i (1898), 186–189; Bede, Hist. eccl., iv. 1; Jaffé, Regesta, i. 235–237; Agnellus, Vita pontificum Ravennatum, chaps. 110 sqq., Modena, 1708, also in MGH, Script. rer. Langob. (1878), pp. 349 sqq.; Mann, Popes, ii. 1-17; J. Langen, Geschichte der römischen Kirche, ii. 539, Bonn, 1885; Bower, Popes, i. 459–466; Platina, Popes, i. 156–158; Milman, Latin Christianity, ii. 281–282; KL, xii. 1015–18; DCB, iv. 1161–63.

VITALIS, ORDERICUS. See ORDERICUS VITALIS. VITICULTURE. See WINE, HEBREW.

VITRINGA, vi-trin'Hd, CAMPEGIUS: Dutch Reformed, Old-Testament scholar; b. at Leeuwarden, Frisia, May 16, 1659; d. at Francker Mar. 31, 1722. He was educated at the universities of Francker (1675-78) and Leyden (1678-79), and in 1681 became professor of oriental languages at the

Alexander Control

former university. Two years later he succeeded his teacher Marck in the theological faculty, and in 1693 the professorship of church history was also added to his duties; at considerable financial sacrifice he remained at Francker until his death, declining repeated invitations to Utrecht. Theologically he was a child of his communion, ardently devoted to the doctrine of absolute predestination, and his views of the Scriptures and their inspiration were in accord with post-Reformation orthodoxy. In textual criticism, on the other hand, his attitude was more free. His importance as an exegete lies especially in the care and accuracy with which he applied the entire exegetical apparatus to determine the true meaning of his text, with due regard also for its historical background.

The chief work of Vitringa, and that on which his fame rests, was his commentary on Isaiah (2 vols., Leeuwarden, 1714-20), which forms the basis for the commentaries of J. E. Leigh (6 vols., Brunswick, 1726-34), J. J. Rambach (ed. E. F. Neubauer, Züllichau, 1741), and A. F. Büsching (2 vols., Halle, 1749-51). Vitringa planned a similar work on Zechariah, but did not live to complete it, though the prolegomena and the commentary as far as Zech. iv. 6 were edited by H. Venema (Leeuwarden, 1734). The same scholar edited also Vitringa's posthumous Commentarius ad canticum Mosis Deut. xxxii. (Haarlem, 1734). On the New Testament Vitringa wrote Anakrisis Apocalypsios Joannis apostoli. (Francker, 1705), in which prophecy is applied to polemics against the Roman Catholic Church. His Latin lectures on the interpretation of the parables were edited in Dutch, with his cooperation, by J. d'Outrein under the title Verklaeringe van de evangelische parabolen, etc. (Amsterdam, 1715); in this work the personages of the parables are made to apply to historical figures. Lectures by him formed the basis of the Dutch exegesis of Galatians and Titus (Francker, 1728) and of the first eight chapters of Romans (1729). His Observationum sacrarum libri sex (Francker, 1683-1708) were chiefly exegetical in character, and based on public disputations.

In the department of Biblical history and archeology Vitringa wrote his Archisynagogus observationibus novis illustratus (Francker, 1685), in which he sought to trace the names and functions of the officers in the primitive Church to the Jewish synagogue. He thus became involved in a controversy with Rhenferd, in the course of which he composed his De decem viris otiosis (Francker, 1687). Another controversy gave rise to his Anleidinge tot het rechte verstand van den tempel, die de prophet Ezechiel gezien en beschreeven heeft (2 vols., Francker, 1687), in which he maintained that Ezekiel's temple corresponded exactly to Solomon's, and was perfectly copied by Zerubbabel and Herod; while to the criticisms of the younger Cocceius he replied in his t'Rechte verstand van den tempel Ezechiels verdeedigt en bevestigt (Haarlem, 1693). The chief work of Vitringa, next to his commentary on Isaiah, was his De synagoga vetere libri tres (Francker, 1694; Eng. transl., The Synagogue and the Church, London, 1842), in which he amply atoned for the deficiencies of his earlier Archisynagogus. He also wrote Hypotyposis historiæ et chronologiæ sacræ (Leeuwarden, 1698; enlarged ed., Franeker, 1708); and Geographia sacra, the latter unskilfully edited by D. G. Werner (Jena, 1723).

Vitringa wrote also on Biblical theology, dogmatics, and polemics. Here belongs his Doctrina Christianæ religionis per aphorismos summatim descripta (Francker, 1690), to which, after the fourth edition (1702), was appended his Hypotyposis theologiæ elencticæ graviores exhibens controversias quæ super Christianæ religionis doctrina ecclesiæ reformatæ cum diversis ejusdem sectis intercedunt. Against Roell, who defended a sort of tritheism, Vitringa wrote his Geloove der kercke angaande de geboorte des Sons ende de tydelicke Dood der geloovige (Francker, 1695); and he was also the author of Typus doctrinæ propheticæ in quo de prophetis et prophetiis agitur hujusque scientiæ præcepta traduntur (appended to the Hypotyposis historiæ et chronologiæ sacræ after 1708); Typus theologiæ practicæ sive de vita spirituali ejusque affectionibus (Francker, 1716; setting forth the right imitation of Christ); and the "Meditations on the Miracles of Jesus Christ" (Francker, 1725), in which the fulfilment of the types and prophecies contained in the miracles of Christ is sought in the history of the Church. In the domain of practical theology his principal work was Animadversiones ad methodum homiliarum ecclesiasticarum rite instituendarum (Leeuwarden,

Two of Vitringa's sons also lived to write on theology. Horatius, though dying at the age of nineteen (Oct. 8, 1704), was the author of Animadversiones ad Johannem Vorstium de Hebraismis Novi Testamenti (ed. L. Bos, in his Observationes miscellaneæ, Francker, 1707); and Campegius (b. at Francker Mar. 23, 1693; d. there Jan. 11, 1723; professor of theology at Francker after 1715) wrote an Epitome theologiæ naturalis and Dissertationes sacræ, both of which were edited after their author's death by H. Venema (Francker, 1731).

(E. KAUTZSCH†.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The funeral oration by A. Schultens, printed in the Basel edition of the commentary on Isaiah, and the brief Vida by T. de Hase, printed in the Jena ed. of the Observationes sacræ, formed the material included in Niceron, Mémoires, xxxv. 30 sqq. Other editions of the commentary on Isaiah contain the results of the working over of this material, with corrections. Consult also L. Diestel, Geschichte des A. T. in der christlichen Kirche, pp. 436 sqq., Jena, 1869.

VITUS, SAINT: See HELPERS IN NEED.

VIVEKANANDA, vi"ve-ka-nān'da, SWAMI: Vedantist; b. at Calcutta Jan. 21, 1863; d. at Belur (near Calcutta) July 4, 1902. He was educated at the university of his native city, where he also studied law, and, after teaching for a short time in a private college in Calcutta, renounced the world to become a teacher of the Vedanta. In 1893 he left India for the United States as a delegate to the Parliament of Religions at the World's Fair at Chicago, and in the following year he founded the Vedanta Society in New York City. He lectured before this organization and its branches until 1900, when he returned to India to supervise the education of the monks in the monastery of Belur, training them as teachers of the Vedanta. He issued Karma

Yoga (New York, 1896); Vedanta Philosophy (addresses at Harvard; 1896); Raja Yoga (London, 1896); From Colombo to Almora (Madras, 1897); My Master (biography of Ramakrishna; New York, 1901); Jnana Yoga (1902); besides the posthumous volume of selections from his speeches and writings (Madras, 1905); Inspir d Talks, Recorded by a Disciple (New York, 1909); The Science and Philosophy of Religion; a comparative Study of Sankhya and other Systems (1909); and The East and the West (Madras, 1909). A memorial edition of his Complete Works is in course of publication (London, 1907 sqq.).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: A Short Account of the Life and Teachings of the Swami Vivekananda (Dacca, 1904); Mary E. Noble ("Sister Vivedita"), The Master as I saw him; being Passages from the Life of the Swami Vivekananda, New York, 1910.

VIVÉS Y TUTO, vi'ves-i-tū'tō, JOSÉ CALASAN-TIO: Cardinal; b. at San André da Llevaneras (a village in the diocese of Barcelona), Spain, Feb. 15, 1854. At the age of fifteen he entered the Capuchin order in Guatemala, and for many years labored in North and South America, as well as in France and Spain. In 1896 he became definitorgeneral of the Capuchins, and in 1899 was created cardinal-deacon of San Adriano al Foro. He is prefect of the Congregation for the Affairs of Religious.

VOCATION. See CALLING.

VOELTER, fel'ter, DANIEL ERHARD JO-HANNES: German theologian; b. at Usslingen (7 m. e.s.e. of Stuttgart), Württemberg, Sept. 14, 1855. He was educated at the universities of Tübingen, Göttingen, and Berlin (Ph.D., Tübingen, 1882), and was connected with the University of Tübingen as lecturer in the theological seminary (1880-84) and as privat-docent (1884-85); since 1886 he has been professor of the New Testament at the Evangelical Lutheran Seminary and the University of Amsterdam. He has written Die Entstehung der Apokalypse (Freiburg, 1882); Der Ursprung des Donatismus (1883); Die Ignatianischen Briefe (Tübingen, 1892); Das Problem der Apokalypse (Freiburg, 1893); Petrusevangelium oder Aegypterevangelium ? (Tübingen, 1893); Die Visionen des Hermas (1900); Der Ursprung des Mönchtums (1900); Aegypten und die Bibel (Leyden, 1903); Die Offenbarung Johannis neu untersucht und erläutert (Strasburg, 1904); Die apostolischen Väter, i.ii. (Leyden, 1904-10); Paulus und seine Briefe (Strasburg, 1905); Der erste Petrusbrief, seine Entstehung und Stellung in der Geschichte des Urchristentums (1906); Mater Dolorosa und der Lieblingsjünger des Johannesevangelium (1907); Das messianische Bewusstsein Jesu (1907); Die Entstehung des Glaubens an die Auferstehung Jesu (Strasburg, 1910); and Die evangelische Erzählung von der Geburt und Kindheit Jesu (1911).

VOETIUS, vō-f'shi-us, GISBERTUS (GIJSBERT VOET): Dutch Reformed; b. at Heusden (25 m. s. of Utrecht) Mar. 3, 1589; d. at Utrecht Nov. 1, 1676. He was educated at the University of Leyden (1604-11), and in 1611 was made pastor of the village of Vlijmen; in 1617 he accepted the position of minister in his native town, where he preached

eight times a week, devoted himself to the study of Arabic, and was privat-docent in various branches of theology, logic, physics, metaphysics, and oriental languages. In 1618 he was a delegate to the Synod of Dort, where he exercised a strong influence against the Remonstrants. For a time he preached also at Gouda against the Arminianism which had there taken root, and when, in 1630, the Roman Catholic stronghold of Bois-le-Duc was wrested from the Spanish, he eagerly devoted himself to promoting the Reformed cause there. In 1634 he accepted the professorship of theology and oriental languages at the newly founded academy of Utrecht, where he passed the remainder of his life. In 1637 he served also as pastor of the Utrecht congregation. He had already written, while still at Heusden, his Proeve van de cracht der godtsalichest (Amsterdam, 1628) against Daniel Tilenus, formerly professor of theology at Sedan. In all his teaching he laid no less stress on orthodoxy of belief than on uprightness of life. His vast learning excited admiration, and his zeal for knowledge was insatiable. He lectured on theology, Hebrew, Arabic, and Syriac, and urged his students to hold meetings for personal devotion. Throughout his life he was a bitter and uncompromising foe of Arminianism; as professor at Utrecht he continued his attacks in his lectures and disputations, as well as in his Thereiles heautontimorumenos (Utrecht, 1635) and Calechisatie over den catechismus der Remonstranten (1641). His exegesis was designed simply to give a philological demonstration of the truth of the accepted doctrine of his church rather than the religious and Christian truths taught in the Bible. He was inferior as an exegete, and his dogmatics bore an essentially scholastic character. These traits appear strongly in his Selectæ disputationes theologica (5 vols, Utrecht, 1648-69; selected disputations ed. A. Kuyper, Amsterdam, 1887). The least devistion from rigid Calvinism was inadmissible in his opinion, and his tendency was, accordingly, prevailingly polemic. He was as Calvinistic in his theory of the relations of Church and State as in his theology, and constantly opposed all forms of patronage, maintaining that the Church should be entirely independent of the State, views set forth in his *Politica ecclesiastica* (3 vols., Amsterdam, 1663-76; selected treatises cd. F. L. Rutgers and P. J. Hoedemaker [2 parts, Amsterdam, 1885-86]. A bitter enemy of the Roman Catholic Church, 88 evinced in his Desperata causa papatus (Amsterdam, 1635), written against the Louvain Professor Cornelius Jansenius, bishop of Ypern after 1636, Voetius became involved in a long controversy with Maresius over a question of toleration (cf. his Specimen assertionum partim ambiguarum aut lubricarum, partim periculosarum [Utrecht, 1642]). Both antagonists, however, united against a common foe, Johannes Cocceius (q.v.). The more liberal tendencies of Cocceius, combined with an exegesis of greater independence and a relative depreciation of practical Christianity, aroused the wrath of Voetius. The resulting controversy racked the Dutch Reformed Church till long after the death of the two protagonists, when a truce was patched up between the factions, so that at Amsterdam, for example, & f rotation was adopted whereby an adhercetius should first be made pastor, then a of Cocceius.

troversy of exceptional bitterness was Voetius against the Cartesian philosophy, deemed incompatible with Reformed the-Ie had kept silent while Henricus Reneessor of philosophy at Utrecht from 1637 and adopted the Cartesian method in all es; but his wrath became public when a se was pursued by Renerius' successor, Regius (De Roy). Voetius was able to legius to cease lecturing on philosophy, ed a majority vote from the Utrecht faculling the use of the new system of philosostruction. He himself polemized against , and had Martinus Schoock, professor of physics at Groningen, prepare an attack Admiranda methodus novæ philosophiæ s Cartes (Utrecht, 1643). Descartes rehe Epistola ad celeberrimum virum Gisberum (Amsterdam, 1643), whereupon Voetius his attacks, at the same time denying n with the polemic ostensibly written by He was even able to have Descartes cony the magistracy of Utrecht as a slanderer ator of libelous writings. When, however, r was taken up officially by the academic Groningen, Schoock revealed Voetius' y in the Admiranda methodus. ed to make amends to the philosopher, rinting, publishing, and selling of all wrior against Descartes were forbidden on 1645, though Voetius still continued his 1 this "fanatic and fantastic philosophy." cable was the struggle with Jean de Labawhich occupied the closing decades of ife. He had originally been the friend of and had been instrumental in securing his Geneva to Middelburg in Zealand, besides ng his efforts to inject new life into the doxy of the Dutch Reformed. When, the activity of Labadie assumed a sepandency, Voetius became his opponent. A n De ecclesiarum separatarum unione et ω (Amsterdam, 1669), defended under his dealt a severe blow to Labadie, and the dened continually.

Cocceius, Voetius founded no school in sense of the term. His true importance practical nature of his theology and in lopedic theological learning. In addition rks already mentioned, his chief produce: Exercitia pietatis (Gorinchem, 1644); ymous Erpenii bibliotheca Arabica cum (Utrecht, 1667); Diatribe de theologia nd especially his Exercitia et bibliotheca eologia (1644), the last an outline of a course in theology of impracticable diffuportion of his correspondence has also d by A. C. Duker under the title Eenige en brieven van en aan Voetius (The Hague, (S. D. VAN VEEN.)

HY: The funeral orations by C. Gentman and us were published at Utrecht, 1677. Consult: n, Trajectum Erudium, pp. 396-397, Utrecht, Ijpeij (Ypey). Geschiedenis van 'e kristlijke

Kerk in de achstiende Euw, viii. 122 sqq., 12 parts, Utrecht, 1797–1811; M. Goebel, Geschichte des christlichen Lebens in der rheinisch-westphalischen. .. Kirche, vol. ii., 3 vols., Coblens, 1849–60; A. C. Duker, Schoolgezag en eigen Onderzoek, Leyden, 1861; idem, Gisbertus Voetius, 2 vols., ib. 1897–1907, new ed., 1910; G. H. Lamers, in Stemmen voor Waarheid in Vrede, 1879, i. 607–624.

VOGEL, fö'gel, KARL ALBRECHT VON: German Lutheran; b. at Dresden Mar. 10, 1822; d. at Vienna Sept. 11, 1890. Completing his education at Leipsic in 1844, he taught for two years at Dresden, and then studied for a semester at Berlin, after which he returned to Dresden, teaching there for another two years, besides being tutor to Prince Theodore of Thurn and Taxis; he studied again at Jena in 1848, and a final year at Berlin, becoming in 1850 privat-docent at Jena. Four years later appeared his chief work, Ratherius von Verona und das zehnte Jahrhundert (2 vols., Jena, 1854), which gained him in 1856 the appointment of associate professor, when he lectured on church history and on the New Testament; in 1861 he became professor of New-Testament exegesis in the Protestant theological faculty at Vienna, where, however, relations were less satisfactory than he had hoped. As a delegate of the faculty he was present at the jubilee of the University of Bonn in 1868, and in 1871 and 1877 he attended the general synods, and was otherwise active in church work. In 1871 he was dean of his faculty, and in his closing years (1887-90) was president of the board of examiners for Protestant theological candidates.

Vogel found his chief delight in works of practical piety. For a time he was interested in the thankless task of Jewish missions in Vienna, and after 1883 was active in conducting a Sunday-school founded by his wife at their home. He was also chairman for a time of the Lower Austrian section of the Gustavus Adolphus association, and established the women's branch of this organization, introducing deaconesses into the Austrian capital. Besides the work already mentioned, and a collection of sermons (Weimar, 1859), mention may be made of his Peter Damiani (Gotha, 1856); Der Kaiser Diokletian (1857); and Beiträge zur Herstellung der alten lateinischen Bibelübersetzung (1868).

(GEORG LOESCHE.)
BIBLIOGRAPHY: J. Günther, Lebensskizzen der Professoren
der Universität Jena, p. 46, Jena, 1858; the funeral oration by A. Formey, Vienna, 1890; Evangelische Kirchenzeitung für Oesterreich, 1890, pp. 312-313; ADB, xl. 94.

VOGTHERR, fot'har, GEORG: German Reformer; b. at Hall (35 m. n.e. of Stuttgart) Mar. 11, 1487; d. at Feuchtwangen (26 m. e. of Hall) Jan. 18, 1539. In 1517 he became vicar at the collegiate church in Feuchtwangen, where he was the only one of the staff who dared to remain when the Peasants' War raged in the vicinity of the city in 1525. In the following year he was deprived of his benefices for his maintenance of Protestant teachings, and was forced to support himself by manual labor and as a notary. When, in 1528, Margrave George the Pious introduced Protestantism in his principalities of Brandenburg, Ansbach, and Brandenburg-Kulmbach, Vogtherr was appointed to the collegiate staff in Feuchtwangen, where he became municipal pastor and superintendent in 1535.

(F. VOGTHERR.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: C. F. Jacobi, Geschichte der Stadt und des . . . Stifts Feuchtwangen, Nuremberg, 1833; A. Steichele, Das Bistum Augsburg, iii. 381 sqq., Augsburg, 1872; K. Schornbaum, Stellung des Markgrafen Kasimir von Brandenburg zur reformatorischen Bewegung, passim, Nuremberg, 1900; F. Vogtherr, Geschichte der Familie Vogtherr, pp. 23-43, Ansbach, 1908.

VOGTHERR, HEINRICH: Younger brother of the preceding and one of the first artists to devote his talents to the Reformation; b. at Hall (35 m. n.e. of Stuttgart) in 1490; d. at Vienna in 1556. By 1522 he was an artist at Wimpfen on the Neckar, where he published, under the pseudonym of Henricus Satrapitanus Pictor, two devotional Protestant tracts in 1523 and a pamphlet in 1524. In 1525 he removed to Strasburg, and by 1527 had written five hymns which enjoyed wide and continued popularity (reprinted by P. Wackernagel, Geschichte des deutschen Kirchenliedes, iii. 504-509, Leipsic, 1870). After 1527 he devoted himself to art, especially religious and ecclesiastical woodcuts. To him are doubtless to be ascribed the pictures in J. B. Levit's edition of the New Testament (Strasburg, 1527) and in Luther's version of the Old Testament published at Strasburg and Durlach in 1529-32. His "Redeemer" and the woodcut "Temptation of the feeble-minded " have also been preserved. His most important production was his purely secular Kunstbüchlein, written in collaboration with his son of the same name (1538). Vogtherr in 1539 resumed his poetic activity with a Christliches Lossbuch nach ordnung eines Alfabets. Being not only an artist and poet, but also a skilful oculist, Vogtherr was called to the court of Charles V. at Vienna in 1550 as court painter and court oculist. These positions he retained until his death. (F. Vogtherr.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: G. H. A. Rittelmeyer, Die evangelischen Kirchenliederdichter des Elsasses, pp. 26-27, Jena, 1855; K. Goedeke, Grundriss zur Geschichte der deutschen Dichtung, ii. 157, 173, 369, 1161, Dresden, 1862; J. D. Passavant, Le Peintre-Graveur, iii. 285-286, 344 sqq., Leipsic, 1862; P. Wackspraged Des deutsche Kirchenied iii. 556

1862; P. Wackernagel, Das deutsche Kirchenlied, iii. 556 sqq., 5 vols., Leipsic, 1864-77; F. Vogtherr, Geschichte der Familie Vogtherr, pp. 60-82, Ansbach, 1908; ADB, xl. 192-193.

VOIGT, ANDREW GEORGE: Lutheran; b. at Philadelphia Jan. 22, 1859. He studied at the University of Pennsylvania (B.A., 1880), the Lutheran Theological Seminary, Mt. Airy, 1880–82, and the University of Erlangen, 1882–83; was pastor at Mt. Holly, N. J., 1883–85, and at Wilmington, N. C., 1898–1903; professor of theology in Newberry College, S. C., 1885–89 and 1891–98, and at Thiel College, Pa., 1889–91, and professor of theology and dean at the Lutheran Theological Seminary, Mt. Pleasant, S. C., since 1903. He became president of the United Synod of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in 1906. He has contributed the commentary on Ephesians to The Lutheran Commentary (New York, 1896), and has written Why We are Lutherans (1896).

VOIGT, HEINRICH CARL GISBERT AUGUST: German Protestant; b. at Stade (23 m. w. of Hamburg), Prussia, June 29, 1860. He was educated at the universities of Königsberg, Leipsic, and Berlin (1878-81), and held various pastorates (1883-94); became privat-docent at Berlin, 1892; associate professor of church history at Königsberg (1894);

the same at Kiel (1899); and at Halle (1901). Among his writings are: Eine verschollene Urkurde des antimontanistischen Kampfes: die Berichte des Epiphanius über die Kataphryger und Quintillianer untersucht (Leipsic, 1891); Adalbert von Prag (Berlin, 1898); Der Verfasser der römischen Vita des heiligen Adalbert (Prague, 1904); Die ältesten Berichte über die Auferstehung Jesu Christi (Stuttgart, 1906); Brun von Querfurt (1907); Die christliche Kirche des Mittelalters an der deutschen Seeküste (1907); and Die Geschichte Jesu und die Astrologie (1911). He was also editor of the Altpreussische Monatschrift (1901–08).

VOIGT, HEINRICH JOHANN MATTHIAS: German Protestant; b. at Oldenburg Aug. 2, 1821; d. at Charlottenburg (a suburb of Berlin) June 19, 1892. He studied at Halle, Berlin, and Göttingen; became rector in Delmenhorst, then pastor at Stade, 1855; and in 1864 ordinary professor of theology at Königsberg. He was the author of Die Lehn des Athanasius von Alexandrien (Bremen, 1861); and Fundamentaldogmatik, Gotha, 1874.

VOLCK, fölk, JOHANN CHRISTOPH WIL-HELM: German Lutheran; b. at Nuremberg Nov. 18, 1835; d. at Rostock May 29, 1904. He was elucated at the universities of Erlangen (Ph.D., 1859) and Leipsic, and in 1860 became privat-docent in the theological faculty of the former institution. In 1862 he was called as associate professor to Dorpat, where he was promoted to a full professorship in the following year. He remained there thirtysix years, exercising an important influence on Livonian Lutheranism not only as a teacher but also by practical work, by his membership in the synods, and by establishing a German gymnasium, as well as by striving to prevent the Russification of the university. Lecturing on Semitic philology as well as on theology, Volck continued the course laid down in his doctor's dissertation, Calendarium Syriccum auctore Cazwinio (Leipsic, 1859), by an edition of Ibn Mālik's Lāmiyat al-af'āl (2 vols., 1864-66). In theology he had already written Mosis canticum cygneum denuo illustratum (Nördlingen, 1861), and Vindiciæ Danielicæ (Dorpat, 1866), in which he maintained that Daniel was prior to Zechariah; he now wrote his first large work, Der Chiliasmus seiner neuesten Bekämpfung gegenüber (Dorpat, 1869). To this same period belongs his De summa carminis Jobi sententia (1869); a vigorous defense of Deut. xxxiii. in his Der Segen Moses (Erlangen, 1873); Ueber die Bedeutung der semitischen Philologie für die alttestamentliche Exegese (Dorpat, 1874); Zur Erinnerung an J. C. K. v. Hofmann (Erlangen, 1878); Ueber den Charakter semitischen Völker und ihre Stellung in der Welt- und Kulturgeschichte (Dorpat, 1884); De nonnullis locis Veteris Testamenti ad sacrificia spectantibus (1884); Inwieweit ist der Bibd Irrtumslosigkeit zuzuschreiben? (1885); Die Bibel als Canon (1885); and Zur Lehre von der heiligen Schrift (1885). The study on the inerrancy of the Bible, though thoroughly orthodox, produced great excitement in the Baltic Church, and was important for the development of the position of the Livonian Lutherans. Volck collaborated with B. Oettli in preparing the poetic hagiographa for O. Zöckler's Kurzgefasster Kommentar (Munich, 1889); supervised the eighth, ninth, and tenth editions of Geenius' lexicon of the Old Testament (Leipsic, 1878-86); edited J. C. K. von Hofmann's Die heilige Schrift Neuen Testaments (3 parts, Nördlingen, 1881-86) and the theological correspondence of F. Delitssch and Von Hofmann (Leipsic, 1893). During his later years at Dorpat he also wrote Was lenen wir aus der Geschichte der Auslegung der keligen Schrift (Dorpat, 1894); Heilige Schrift und Krist (Erlangen, 1897); and Die Urgeschichte nach Gen. 1-11 (Barmen, 1897).

In 1898, in accordance with the university statutes, Volck was retired. He accepted an honorary professorship at Greifswald, but was called to Rostock in 1900, where he labored until his death. To this final period belong his Alttestamentliche Heilsgeschichte (Gütersloh, 1902); Zum Kampf um Bibel und Babel (Rostock, 1903); and the posthumous Lebens und Zeitfragen im Lichte der Bibel (ed. Hunsinger, Wismar, 1906).

The theological position of Volck remained essentially the same throughout his life. He was antagonistic to the Wellhausen school while in sympathy with honest and unprejudiced historical criticism of the Old Testament. He regarded the Bible as an organic whole from beginning to end, held together by the bond of the divine outworking of the plan of salvation, and attested by its influence on the history of the Church and on the personal religious life of the faithful. (J. KÖBERLE†.)

Bibliography: Zum Gedächtnie an Prof. Dr. Wilhelm Vold, Leipzic, 1904.

VOLF, volf, PETER RUDOLF: Danish clergyman; b. at Naur (162 m. n.w. of Copenhagen) Aug. 25, 1838. He was graduated from the gymnasium in Odense, 1857, and from the University of Copenlagen, 1864. As a student he was attracted to Semitic philology and was awarded, in 1861, an "Accessit" for a prize essay in this branch. It was also in his student days that he perceived the necessity of energetic missionary work in the large, partly dechristianized cities. The keynote in his scientific career became Old-Testament research; in his practical work, home missions. In 1859 he traveled in England, and in the year following published a translation from the works of the Scotch Free Church theologian Thomas Guthrie (q.v.): Hovedstaden, dens Synder og Sorger. After teaching some years in the Danish School for Missions, he was chaplain in Releup-Maalev, 1867-70; and in Farum-Värlöse, 1870-74. But his greatest labors are connected with the Church of St. Stephen in Copenhagen, whose pastor he was, 1874-99. He gained the conblence of the poor and was instrumental in calling forth many congregational activities, up to that time little known in Copenhagen—the parish misson, Princess Thyras Asylum, the Martha Society, and the "Carmel" hall for home missions. He was member of the board for home missions, 1878-99, and for a long time its president.

During these years of practical activity he was not, however, forgetful of theology proper. In 1875 he was made Lic. theol., having presented his thesis on the "Integrity of the Book of Zechariah." He subsequently lectured for some semesters at the Uni-

versity of Copenhagen, and was member of the censor committee on examination of candidates for the theological degree. In 1888 he was given by the University of Rostock the degree of Th.D. for the dissertation Die 70. Wochen Daniels. He wrote a learned commentary on Zechariah, and popular expositions of Isaiah, Hosea, and Joel (1902). He is author also of Indre Missions Historie (1870); and of biographies of Johann Heinrich Wichern and Johann Albrecht Bengel (1904). His attitude to civil marriage and marriage of the divorced, both muchdiscussed questions in State churches, as well as his conception of a church are made known in his Ægtesskabsskilsmisse og fraskiltes Vielse (1885); Tör Kirken önske borgerlig Ægteforening (1902); and Folkekirken, dens Begreb, Opgave og Forfatning i korte Hovedtræk (1901). Since 1899 he has been JOHN O. EVJEN. provost in Storehedinge.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: C. F. Bricks, Dansk biographisk Lexikon, xix. 154-155, 19 vols., Copenhagen, 1887-1905.

VOLIVA, WILBUR GLENN: Christian Catholic Apostolic; b. near Newtown, Fountain Co., Ind., Mar. 10, 1870. He was educated at Hiram College, Hiram, O., at Union Christian College, Merom, Ind. (B.D., 1897), and later studied theology privately at Stanfordville, N. Y. (1893-94). He was ordained to the ministry in the Christian Connection (New Light) denomination in 1889, and held pastorates at Linden, Ind. (1889-92), and Urbana, Ill. (1892-93), after which he was supply in Albany, N. Y. (1893-1894), and York Harbor, Me. (1894-95). He united with the Christian (Campbellite) Church in 1895, and was pastor of the Christian church at Washington Court House, O., in 1897-99, but in the latter year joined the Christian Catholic Apostolic Church in Zion, and was elder in charge of North Side Zion Tabernacle, Chicago (1899-1900), whence he was transferred to Cincinnati (1900-01). In 1901-06 he was overseer of the work of his denomination in Australia, but in the latter year returned to Zion City, Ill., as assistant to J. A. Dowie (q.v.), on whose death, in 1907, he became general overseer of the Christian Catholic Apostolic Church.

CONSTANTIN-FRANÇOIS CHASSE-VOLNEY, BŒUF, COMTE DE: French historian; b. at Craon (168 m. s.w. of Paris) Feb. 3, 1757; d. at Paris Apr. 25, 1820. After several years' traveling in the East he wrote his Voyage en Egypte et en Syrie (2 vols., Paris, 1787; Eng. transl., Travels through Syria and Egypt in 1783, 1784, and 1785, 2 vols., London, 1787, also 1788, and New York, 1798), which earned a great reputation for him; and in 1794 he was made professor of history in the normal school of Paris. As a man of the Revolution, he became a senator in 1794, but was accused of royalism and imprisoned under Robespierre, whom he opposed. His life was saved by the ending of the Revolution. Later, as an adversary of Napoleon, he was made a peer of France in 1814. In literature he is known also as the author of a number of antichristian or antireligious writings: Les Ruines (Paris, 1791, often reprinted, and translated into several foreign languages; into English, The Ruins: or, a Survey of the Revolutions of Empires, London, 1795, and often, New York, 1796), a work which called forth many replies; La Loi naturelle (1793; Eng. transl., The Law of Nature, or Principles of Morality Deduced from the Physical Constitution of Mankind and the Universe, Philadelphia and London, 1796); and Histoire de Samuel, inventeur du sacre des rois (4th ed., 1822). His Œuvres complètes in 8 vols. appeared Paris, 1821, and Œuvres choisies in 6 vols., in 1827. Bibliography: Brief Sketch of the Life of C. F. Volney, London, 1840; Lichtenberger, ESR, xii. 419-420.

VOLTAIRE, völ"tar', FRANÇOIS MARIE AROUET DE: French writer and deist; b. in Paris Nov. 21, 1694; d. there May 30, 1778. He was educated by the Jesuits in Collège Louis-le-Grand in Paris, where he learnt "nothing but Latin and nonsense." His father intended him for the law, but his natural talent, no less than the levity of his disposition, drew him with irresistible

Earlier force into literary life—the theater, the pamphlet, the salons, where the efforts were short, and the triumphs rapid.

He had wit, taste, a wonderful talent for turning everything into verse, and a still more wonderful talent for dropping innuendoes, malicious or lewd, according to circumstances. He wrote small poems, satirical or complimentary, and said smart things at the supper-tables of dukes and abbés. In 1713 he obtained a diplomatic position as secretary to the French ambassador to Holland. But at The Hague he was most ridiculously taken in by a lady of semi-standing-a certain Madame du Noyer, whose daughter he fell in love with and tried to allure into an elopement. He was discharged, and sent back to Paris; and Madame du Noyer repaid herself for her troubles by publishing his love-letters. In 1714 he competed for the prize of the academy, but failed to obtain it. In 1716 some vicious lampoons on the regent and the Duchess of Berri were generally ascribed to him, and brought him to the Bastile, where, in the study of Homer and Vergil, and the preparation of his first tragedy, Œdipe, he spent eleven months. Soon after his release, the tragedy was brought on the stage with great success; and the success was followed up with still greater energy. The Henriade, a large epic on Henry IV., which he had begun in the Bastile, he printed, though he had not succeeded in obtaining the approbation of the royal censor, and it at once made his fame and his fortune. But Voltaire's ambition was always a little ahead of his powers: Artémise failed completely; Marianne, partially. The Chevalier de Rohan, in order to avenge himself for some insolent repartee, had him beaten in the street by his footmen. Voltaire challenged him; but later was put in the Bastile, and released only on the condition that he immediately leave for England.

From 1726 to 1729 he resided in London; and acquaintance with English character and institutions, English literature and philosophy, exercised a profound influence on him. It so-

Maturity. bered his temper a little; it gave him a taste for science and its methods of research; and it developed his sense of the social value of truth. He was much struck by Newton's great discovery as expounded to him by Dr. Samuel Clark in 1726; and by the effect on the English

mind of Newton's death the following year. Later by his Élémens de la philosophie de Newton (1738), and La métaphysique de Newton (1740), he contributed much to make the views of Newton accepted, not only in France, but on the continent in general From Locke he derived his whole psychology; from the English Deists (see DEISM), he learned how to attack the traditional, supernaturalistic, dogmetic claims of the prevailing beliefs, and he used the weapons of the Deistic writers in his onslaught upon the credulity and abuses of the Roman Catholic Church; from English history and institutions he gained his social and political ideas. There is a direct and demonstrable connection between the revolution of 1789 and his Letters Concerning the English Nation (London, 1733, Fr. eds. later), one of the brightest and most characteristic of his polemical writings. He also made a painstaking study of Shakespeare and Milton and the other great English writers. On his return to France in 1729, he soon found out that Paris was still unsafe for him. In 1734 his Lettres were publicly burnt by order of the parliament as subversive to the State, the Church. and public morality. From that time until 1749 he made his home chiefly at Cirey, in the house of Madame du Châtelet, a lady for whose mathematical and philosophical talent he felt great respect. Whatever may be said of their personal relations in other respects, she stimulated and held him up to his highest capacity for literary production. During this period he wrote some of his best tragedies—Zaire, Alzire, Mahomet, Mérope; completed Charles XII. and began Siècle de Louis XIV.; and sent out a sorre or more of polemical pamphlets, witty, malicious, indecent to an incredible degree, and an astonishing number of letters to all the most prominent persons in Europe. At the middle of the eighteenth century he stood as the greatest literary celebrity which the European civilization had ever produced, far exceeding Erasmus both in fame and power. And when, in 1750, he set out for Berlin, on the invitation of Frederick II., it was not a pensioner threading his way to the table of his patron, but the king of the pen coming to visit the king of the sword. Voltaire and Frederick admired each other. But Voltaire admired in Frederick only the general, and Frederick wanted to be admired as a poet; while, in Voltaire, Frederick admired only the poet, and Voltaire wanted to be admired as a statesman. Ludicrous conflicts arose, almost from the hour of their first meeting; and soon the conflicts grew into a continuous warfare. At last in 1752 the climax was reached when, under an assumed name, Voltaire held up to ridicule the president of the Berlin Academy. In March of the following year he was permitted to leave the city only to be arrested, by command of the irate king, at Frankfort, where he underwent irritating humiliations, which indeed he had provoked, for which also he took ample vengeance in a scurrilous lampoon on Frederick's private life. Thus ended the strange friendship which on account of the idiosyncrasies of the two concerned contained all the elements of a comic tragedy.

The last part of his life Voltaire spent at Ferney, an estate he bought in the county of Gex (1758).

itly situated near the Swiss frontier; and is period some of the best features of his personal character came to light. fe. There were forty-six miserable peasants at Ferney when he bought the then he died, there were 1,200 well-to-do ts engaged in watch-making, silk-weaving, industries, and it was he who built their ought their tools, and sold their producis defense of Jean Calas the Huguenot IAUT, PAUL) and protection of Sirven lumanity and courage wholly admirable, unwearied endeavors to rehabilitate s and fortunes of these and of La Barre at Lally add only luster to his repujustice and fair play. But his writingsig them are some of his most prominent 'ssai sur les Mœurs et l'Esprit des Nations, ire Philosophique—show that his polemical ad become intensified almost to the burstthat his whole mental energy had concenelf around the famous motto, Ecrasez l'inrush the infamous one"), with which he ry letter he sent to his friends. L'infâme iginally, the Roman Catholic Church, then h which has the support of the State for ement of its doctrine and discipline, and ame to mean all religion, so far as it claims tural origin. On this point his hatred is It pervades all his writing, from Can-Le Diner du comte de Boulinvilliers to La nd L'Orphéline de la Chine; and in his mphlets, newspaper articles, letters, it not only below his dignity, but beneath This was not the estimate of his own time. vent to Paris in 1778, he was received with usiasm and such ovations as the world y ever seen before. But the excitement roduced was too much for his strength;

made his mark in literature as a poet. . Mahomet, and Mérope were considered cme of tragic art. To the public for which Voltaire wrote, tragic art was only a y maze of intricate conventional rules; but he mastered those rules so comy. pletely that his audience sat enchanted, transported, and gazed upon his trag-pon clouds of "woven wind" floating in ne. Of more solid worth are his historical is true merit lies in his respect for facts, he may very well have been indebted to nd Locke. For history as an organic with inner laws of development he had onception than others of his age. In part him, history has since his day taken its sential to all liberal education. As to his 7, strictly speaking Voltaire was no phit all. The higher methods of extracting ad never learned, and he was by natural i incapable of that sustained effort of rithout which systematic views can not Nevertheless, he is the true representa-"Age of Reason"; and the great boast e was just its philosophy. Voltaire was

took too big a dose of opium, and died in

not an atheist. He could sneer as heartily at the atheists as at the fanatics. His deism was partly a reaction against the corruption, cruelty, bigotry, and superstition of both Roman Catholics and Protestants in his day. As a Deist he started from the three well-known premises of Deism: God, the world, and between them no relation which can be represented under the form of divine revelation or special providence. But to Voltaire God is, because he is a necessity of thought: " if he were not, we would have to invent him." Of a personal relation between himself and God there was no trace; and, what is still worse, he did not understand that such a relation could truly exist. Of his general conception of God he often spoke with an undercurrent of cold indifference, illuminated now and then with sparks of cynicism, which, to men of strongly marked religious disposition, has made his works an abomination. His method was to attack not so much the principles as the alleged facts of Christianity, or to show the irreconcilability of one Christian notion with other necessary beliefs. He understood nothing of the deeper truths of the Gospel or the lives of its adherents. His criticism, so far as it related to the ultimate nature of Christianity, was literary, superficial, negative, and transitory. The immortality of the soul had no vital place in his thought. The world, on the contrary, was a very serious affair to Voltaire, and a thing he understood.

As a critic, he stands in the very front rank. His instinct of truth was sharp and vivid. With that instinct he combined a never equaled power of illuminating statement. In the service of his vanity, envy, and malice, and used to cover up deliberate falsehoods and lies, his wit is often shocking. But the directness, clearness, and precision of his statement of a fact or an idea has still more often made truth irresistible; and without entering into the details of his activity, his victories, and his defeats, it may be generally said that his criticism developed in modern literature a sense for that which is simple, natural, and clear. See Deism, II., § 1.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The "Works" have been repeatedly published—30 vols., Geneva, 1768-77; 70 vols., Kehl and Paris, 1785-89; 54 vols., ib. 1800; 52 vols., with Condorcet's Vie de Voltaire, ib. 1877-85; they appeared in Eng. transl., 25 vols., London, 1761-65; and a splendid edition, with Life by Morley, was issued in 42 vols., London and New York, 1901. For full list of works by and on Voltaire consult: G. Benegesco, Voltaire: bibliographie, 4 vols., Paris, 1882-90. On his life and works consult: L. M. Chaudon, Historical and Critical Memoirs of the Life and Writings of M. de Voltaire, London, 1786; M. J. A. N. Caritat, Marquis de Condorcet, Vie de Voltaire, Kehl, 1789, Paris, 1822, 1895, Eng. transl., 2 vols., London, 1790; E. M. G. Lepan, Vie politique, littlraire et morale de Voltaire, Paris, 1817, 2d ed., 1819; Henry, Lord Brougham, Lives of Men of Letters, vol. iv., London, 1845; J. M. Quérard, Ferney-Voltaire, Paris, 1848; J. Janin, Le Roi Voltaire, 3d ed., Paris, 1861; M. U. Maynard, Voltaire, sa vie et ses œuvres, 2 vols., Paris, 1868; B. H. C. K. van der Wyck, Voltaire, Amsterdam, 1868; J. Morley, Voltaire, London, 1872, new ed., 1886; H. Beaune, Voltaire au collège, sa famille, ses études, ses premiers amis, Paris, 1873; E. B. Humley, Voltaire, Edinburgh, 1877; R. d'Argental, Histoire complète de la vie de Voltaire, Neuchâtel, 1878; E. Noel, Voltaire, sa vie et ses œuvres, Paris, 1878; G. Norga, Voltaire, sa vie, ses œuvres, Paris, 1878; J. Parton, Life of Voltaire, 2 vols., London, 1881; G. Renard, Vie de Voltaire, Paris, 1883; R. Kreiten, Voltaire, 2d ed.,

Freiburg, 1885; V. Mahrenholts, Voltaire's Leben und Werke, part 2, Oppeln, 1885; E. Champion, Voltaire, Paris, 1893; F. Espinasse, Life of Voltaire, London, 1892; E. Faguet, Voltaire, Paris, 1895; S. G. Tallentyre, Life of Voltaire, 2 vols., London, 1903, new ed., New York, 1910; J. C. Collins, Voltaire, Montesquieu and Rousseau in England, London, 1909.

On his philosophy etc. consult: E. Bersot, La Philosophy

on his philosophy, etc., consult: E. Bersot, La Philosophie de Vollaire, Paris, 1848; L. L. Bungener, Vollaire et son temps, 2 vols., Paris, 1850, 2d ed., 1851, Eng. transl., Edinburgh, 1854; J. B. Meyer, Voltaire und Rousseau, in ihrer socialen Bedeulung, Berlin, 1856; A. Anot, Études sur Voltaire, Paris, 1864; J. Barni, Histoire des idées morales, pp. 211-349, Paris, 1865; D. F. Strauss, Voltaire: sechs Vorträge, 5th ed., Bonn, 1878, Fr. transl., Paris, 1876; H. Martin, Voltaire et Rousseau et la philosophie du dix-huitième siècle, Paris, 1878; Mousainot, Voltaire et L'Église, Neuchâtel, 1878; J. Stephen, Horæ sabbaticæ, 2d series, pp. 211-279, London, 1892; R. Urbach, Voltaire's Verhältniss zu Neuton und Locke, Halle, 1900; P. Sakmann, Voltaire's Geistesart und Gedankenwell, Stuttgart, 1909.

VOLUNTARY MISSIONARY SOCIETY IN AMERICA. See Miscellaneous Religious Bodies, 21.

VOLUNTARYISM: The conviction or the system which holds that churches should be supported, not by the State or other secular authority, but by the voluntary contributions of church attendants themselves. This system practically involves entire separation of Church and State, since the State, ceasing to grant endowments to the Church, to pay salaries to the clergy, and to subvention any project for distinctly religious purposes, thereby forfeits whatever claim it might allege to control or influence the Church, as by patronage, interference with liturgy, and the like. Voluntaryism thus represents the religious counterpart of civil Secularization (q.v.), but while voluntaryism may plead religious reluctance to contribute to the support of institutions which the contributor conscientiously disapproves, it would not be easy to find ethical justification for secularization in the manner in which it is usually carried out.

The principles of voluntaryism are to be seen in action wherever the Church and State are separate (cf. Church and State). It has become a vital interest practically only in England, where dissenters have long voiced their unwillingness to pay for the support of the established Church of England. Their objections are doubtless conscientious, and, at the other extreme, it is felt by many High-churchmen that voluntaryism would be far better for the spiritual welfare and growth of the Church of England than the present system, which presents such unedifying spectacles as appointments to high ecclesiastical position—and even to the episcopate—often from considerations that seem distinctly political, especially as those making such appointments may be dissenters, Roman Catholics, or even non-Christians; and this would also obviate such abuses as the trial of distinctly ecclesiastical cases (e.g., those involving alleged ritualism or refusal of communion to one who, in defiance of the law of the church, has gone through the form of marriage with his deceased wife's sister) by so-called ecclesiastical courts composed of laymen.

The term voluntaryism (better, "voluntarism") is also sometimes applied, in scholastic philosophy, to that theory of the will which, derived from

Augustine (q.v.) and taught by such scholastics as Anselm of Canterbury, Bonaventura, Duns Scotus, and Henry of Ghent (qq.v.), teaches, with Anselm, that the sovereign will of God rules the world, while the nature of the will is freedom, and maintains in general the primacy of the will and its independence of thought (see Scholasticism, II., § 2, III., 3, §§ 1–2, 4, § 1; Duns Scotus, § 4).

OLUNTEERS OF AMERICA: thropic, social, and Christian movement. It was inaugurated in Mar., 1896, in response to a number of requests on the part of American citizens, and was subsequently incorporated Nov. 6, 1896, under the "Membership Act" of the state of New York. It is organized in military style, having as its model the United States army, but, in conjunction with military discipline and methods of work, it possesses a thoroughly democratic form of government. Its constitution and by-laws are framed by a grand field council, which represents the minor councils of officers throughout the country annually. Though only fifteen years old, the Volunteers have representatives and branches of their benevolences and cause in almost all the principal centers of the United States. They have about forty principal homes and institutions of benevolence, many of which are Volunteer property, and are open for poor and deserving people in different sections of the country. During the year 1911, 41,905 beds have been provided for all classes of women in the Volunteer women's homes, and 7,332 persons have been received under the care of, and permanently aided by, the organization. The Volunteer commissioned workers called upon and aided in their visitation 26,308 families. This work was done primarily in the poorer sections of the large cities. In the different permanent philanthropic homes and institutions 398,304 lodgings have been given, while 413,-648 free meals were provided, and 230,622 meals were distributed to persons who paid for them, many doing so by work. In their latest undertaking, the Volunteer Hospital, located at No. 93 Gold Street, New York City, there have been 1,280 ambulance calls, 358 major operations, 7,001 days' treatment given to patients in the surgical and medical wards, 13,943 new cases treated, 19,684 old cases treated, and a total during the year of 33,627 cases of all kinds surgically and medically treated in the institution. The Volunteer Prisoners' League has embraced upward of 75,000 members since its insuguration. It has leagues in about twenty-five state prisons, and over 70 per cent of those having left the prisons are through the "Hope Halls" living reformed and honest lives. Through the fresh-sir branch of the work many thousands of mothers and children have been taken from crowded cities to \$ change in the open air amid hills and rivers, lakes and dales. Through the regimental reports from Volunteer centers, it is calculated that 837,130 persons were gathered at the indoor services, while 2,108,534 persons were listeners in open-air stands Through these services 4,534 persons were led to testify that they would live a new life.

In addition to the Volunteer reading-rooms, thou sands of copies of Christian books are circulated the state prisons, jails, hospitals, soldiers' and chi

mes. The Volunteers also conduct sewinglo hospital-nursing, have temporary finanf departments, and provide Thanksgiving stmas dinners. The headquarters is at No. Twenty-eighth Street, New York City.

I, võrst, KONRAD (CONRADUS VORS-Dutch Arminian; b. at Cologne July 19, at Tönning (47 m. e. of Kiel) Sept. 29, 1622. ied at Düsseldorf (1583-87), and then ene college of St. Lawrence in Cologne; he lied for two years to prepare for a mercanbut in 1589 again altered his intention and at the University of Herborn until 1593, went to Heidelberg and there received the al doctorate in 1594; in 1595 he went to d Geneva, where his disputations De sacra-Basel, 1595) and De causis salutis (1595) im the offer of a position as teacher; inwent to Steinfurt. There his De prædes-(Steinfurt, 1597); De sancta Trinitate and De persona et officio Christi (1597) had upon him the suspicion of Socinianism, but he successfully defended his orthodoxy e theological faculty of Heidelberg. He ach honor in Steinfurt that in 1605 he ree additional appointments of preacher and to the consistory. After the death of Are accepted, in 1610, a call to Leyden, where instrants hoped to find in him one of their porters. He reprinted in 1610 his Disputaem de natura et attributis Dei (Steinfurt, Tractatus theologicus de Deo sive de natura is Dei, and in the same year published his arminus (1610). His statements in the on God, the divine attributes, predestina-Christ led the contra-Remonstrants to m of Socinianism and gross heterodoxy. lelberg theologians condemned the book, n Vorst replied in his Protestatio epistolica cologorum Heidelbergensium (The Hague, lis opponents won over James I. of Engo caused Vorst's book to be burned in Oxford, and Cambridge, and informed the neral, through his Ambassador Rudolph , that he would consider them his enemies olerated the presence of such a heretic. ote in reply his Christiana ac modesta red articulos quosdam nuper ex Anglia transeyden, 1611), but the States-General were dismiss him, though continuing his salary, n he settled as an exile in Gouda, about 2. In the previous year he had seriously imself by reediting Socinus' De auctoritate riptura, though he later claimed to have rant of the authorship of the work.

s on Vorst continued without intermission t pleaded his cause with bitter intensity in of polemics, especially Catalogus errorum cinationum D. Sibr. Lubberti (Steinfurt, Prodromus plenioris responsi suo tempore d declarationem Sibrandi Lubberti et minis-ovardensium iteratam cautionem (Leyden, esponsum plenius ad scripta quædam eris-2); and Parænesis ad Sibrandum Lubberida, 1613). Finally, in 1619, he was d as a heretic by the Synod of Dort and

banished. He accordingly fled from Gouda and remained in hiding, chiefly in or near Utrecht, until 1622, when refuge was afforded him by the duke of Holstein. Shortly before his death he is reported to have drawn up a confession of faith in which he openly professed Socinianism.

Vorst was the author of over forty works, and after his death his Dutch friends published his commentary on the Pauline epistles (Amsterdam, 1631). His son Willem Hendrijk (d. Oct. 1, 1652), who was deeply versed in Rabbinical literature, was Remonstrant preacher at Leyden after 1642, and was also suspected of Socinianism. Another son, Guernerus, was also a Remonstrant preacher at Doccum in 1632, but was banished for five years in 1634. In the following year he returned, only to be arrested and rebanished, after which he was a preacher at Hoorn (1641), Leyden (1653), and Rotterdam (1658), where he became pastor emeritus in 1680 (d. Mar., 1682). He edited his father's Doodsteek der calvinistische prædestinatie. Descendants of Vorst were preachers in Dutch Remonstrant churches as late as 1716. (S. D. VAN VEEN.)

Bibliography: The oration of M. Walther was published at Fredirickstein, 1624. Consult: B. Glasius, Godgeleerd Nederland, iii. 550-557. 's Hertogenbosch, 1856: A. Schweizer, in Theologische Jahrbücher, 1856-57; C. Sepp, Het godgeleerd Onderwijs in Nederland, i. 181-214, Leyden, 1873; H. C. Rogge, in De Gids, 1873, vol. ii.: J. Reitsma, Honderd Jaren uit de geschiedenis der Hervorming en der Hervormde Kerk in Friesland, pp. 342-362, Leeuwarden, 1876; Bayle, Dictionary, v. 507-514.

VOSS, GERARD JAN: Dutch humanist and theologian; b. near Heidelberg in the spring of 1577; d. at Amsterdam Mar. 17, 1649. He was educated at the universities of Dort and Leyden (1595-98). where he wrote his first work, Oratio panegirica de felici expeditione exercitus fæderatæ Belgicæ, ductu principis Mauritii (Leyden, 1597). In 1599 he began to lecture at Leyden on Aristotle, but within the year was called to Dort as rector of the Latin school; in 1615 he became regent of the college of the States-General at Leyden, and seven years later professor at the university of the same city, while from 1632 until his death he was professor at the University of Amsterdam. At Dort he published, in 1606, his six books of Institutiones oratoria. At Leyden he abstained from the controversies between the Arminians and their adversaries, the Gomarists, thus drawing a storm of indignation upon himself, so that, in 1619, the curators of the university decided that both Voss and his assistant, Kaspar Barlæus, should be removed from their positions. Voss' Theses theologica de variis doctrina Christiana capitibus (Leyden, 1615) and Historiæ de controversiis quas Pelagius ejusque reliquiæ moverunt libri septem (Amsterdam, 1618) were regarded as containing views out of harmony with those of the contra-Remonstrants, especially as Voss was known to be in sympathy with some points in the five articles of the Remonstrants. By resigning Voss escaped suspension, and the curators appointed him, in 1622, professor of oratory and chronology, transferring him to the chair of Greek three years later. In 1632 Voss accepted a call to the new university of Amsterdam, his inaugural address, De historia utilitate, following the lines laid down in his De historicis

Gracis libri quatuor (Leyden, 1624) and De historicis Latinis libri tres (1627). A complete edition of the works of Voss was published at Amsterdam in 1695-1701. As a grammarian he won distinction by his Ludolphi Lithocomi syntaxis Latina ex recensione Vossii (1618), which remained for more than two centuries the standard Latin grammar in Holland. In historical theology he treated the history of dogma, his chief works here being Dissertationes tres de tribus symbolis, apostolico, Athanasiano et Constantinopolitano (Amsterdam, 1642) and Libri quatuor de theologia gentili et physiologia Christiana, sive de origine et progressu idololatriæ deque naturæ mirandis quibus homo adducitur ad Deum (1642); De baptismo disputationes viginti (Amsterdam, 1648). His Tractatus theologici appeared posthumously (1701). His letters were edited by P. Colomies under the title Vossii et clarorum virorum ad eum epistolæ (Augsburg, 1691). His "Works" were collected in 6 vols., Amsterdam, 1695-1701.

Of the eight children of Voss who reached maturity, Matthaeus (b. about 1610; d. Jan. 20, 1646) was historian of the States-General of Holland and Zeeland; Dionysius (b. Mar. 11, 1612; d. Oct. 24, 1633) declined, in 1632, a professorship of history and rhetoric at Dorpat, and in the following year was appointed historiographer to the king of Sweden; and Gerard (b. 1619; d. Mar. 27, 1640) edited an excellent critical edition of Velleius Paterculus. The only son to survive his father was Isaac (b. 1618; d. Feb. 21, 1689), who was at first librarian at Amsterdam, and in 1648 became Greek tutor and librarian to Queen Christina of Sweden. 1670 he went permanently to England, where he died as canon of Windsor. (S. D. VAN VEEN.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: C. E. Jöcher, Allgemeines Gelehrtenlexikon, iv. 1716 sqq., Leipsic, 1751; H. Tollius, Oratio de G. J. Vossio, Amsterdam, 1778; J. G. de Crane, De Vossiorum Juniorumque familia, Francker, 1820; M. Siegenbeek, Geschiedenis der Leidsche Hoogeschool, i. 108, ii. 110, Leyden, 1829-32; Illustris Amstelodami Athenæi Memorabilia, ed. D. J. van Lennep, pp. 79 sqq., Amsterdam, 1832; KL, xii. 1122-24.

VOSSIUS, vesh-i'us, GERHARD: Roman Catholic provost of Tongern, papal prothonotary; b. about the middle of the sixteenth century; d. at Liége Mar. 25, 1609. He was enabled to make researches in the libraries of Italy which resulted in the accumulation of materials on patristics. As a result he acquired great reputation by his edition and Latin translation of the sermons of Chrysostom (Rome, 1580); an edition of part of Theodoret's Works (1585); his editions of the Gesta et monumenta Gregorii IX. (1586); of the works of Gregory Thaumaturgus (Mainz, 1604), and Ephraem Syrus (Rome, 1589-98), of St. Bernard's De consideratione (with commentary; 1594), and other patristic works. Of his personal life nothing further is known. Bibliography: J. F. Foppeus, Bibliotheca Belgica, i. 362, Brussels, 1739; KL, xii. 1122.

VOTAW, CLYDE WEBER: Congregationalist; b. at Wheaton, Ill., Feb. 6, 1864. He was educated at Amherst College (A.B., 1888), Yale Divinity School (graduated, 1891), and the University of Chicago (Ph.D., 1896); he was reader and tutor in Biblical literature in the University of Chicago (1892-96), instructor in New-Testament literature

(1896-1900); assistant professor of Biblical Grek (1900-06), becoming associate professor of New-Testament literature (1906). In 1905-07 he was also acting professor of New-Testament interpretation in Chicago Theological Seminary. He is associate editor of The Biblical World, and has written Inductive Studies in the Founding of the Christian Church (Hartford, Conn., 1892); The Use of the Infinitive in Biblical Greek (Chicago, 1896); Inductive Studies in the Primitive Era of Christianty (Chicago, 1898); The Apostolic Age (New York, 1905); and Best Books for Old and New Testament Study (with J. E. McFadyen; 1909).

VAWS

I. In the Old Testament.
II. In the Church.
Basal Ideas (§ 1).
New-Testament Indications (§ 2).

Ethics of the Vow (§ 3). Roman Catholic Doctrine (§ 4). Evangelical Views (§ 5).

L. In the Old Testament: The Hebrew word for "vow," nadar, is probably connected with the word nazar, "dedicate"; for a vow of abstinence the word is 'issar. The vow, common to the Hebrew and other religions, takes in the Old Testament two forms: (1) a gift to God for a wish granted, a danger escaped, or a difficult undertaking accomplished; or (2) a promise to abstain, until some purpose is accomplished or for some definite time, from some enjoyment or pleasure. This abstinence may be conceived as a self-applied stimulus, or it may be a voluntary sacrifice made to conciliate the deity's good will. The first form is the most common in the Old Testament. Instances are: Jacob (Gen. xxviii. 20 sqq.), Jephthah (Judges xi. 30 sqq.), Harnah (I Sam. i. 11), Absalom (II Sam. xv. 8), cf. also Ps. lxvi. 13; Job xxii. 27. For a vow of abstinence [imposed by another, the taboo] cf. I Sam. xiv. 24; Ps. cxxxii. 3 sqq. The latter has close parallels in Arabic custom and in the Koran. A vow of this sort is implicit in II Sam. xi. 9 sqq. Finally, here belongs the Naziritic vow of abstinence from drink and from trimming of the hair, again paralleled in Arabic custom and in that of other peoples.

The positive vow, as the cases show, may involve very varied issues and circumstances. The most common form is a definite offering promised for a definite benefit. In the case of Jephthah (q.v.) it was a human sacrifice [probably so in intent] for a victory over the enemy; usually it was some other object or service. The severest form of the vow was the ban (see Law, Hebrew, Civil, and Cintinal). Often, particularly in the Psalms, the vow of sacrifice is descriptive of the thanks of the pious for answer to prayer.

It was a natural consequence, as vows were made in the service of religion, that they should come under religious regulation, as in the Pentateuch (Lev. xxii. 17 sqq.; Num. xv. 1 sqq.; cf. Esck. xlvi. 12; especially Lev. xxvii. and Num. xx.). Provision was made for the redemption of the vowed object in case its use were forbidden by legislation, and that according to a definite tariff. Such case arose from vow of house or field which in the jubile year would ordinarily return to the original owner or his heir, and of unclean beasts or of person Num. xxx. provides for the nullifying, or the san

tioning by silence, by the father or husband of daughter's vow or wife's.

The making of a vow is regarded in religious law as not an absolute religious duty (cf. Deut. xxiii. 22 sqq.). But the caution is often repeated that once made it must be kept. For the making of vows that can not be performed a penalty is provided in Lev. v. 4 sqq., but cf. Eccles. v. 4 sqq. The New Testament did not reach a high ethical standard in the matter (but cf. Matt. xv. 5), since it did not consider the cases in which the paying of a vow conflicted with higher duties. Yet the case of Jephthah might have induced such consideration.

(F. Buhl.)

Il In the Church: Connected with the idea of a personal God with whom his creatures have personal relations is the conception of services and gifts which they may offer to him, and thus also of religious acts by which they pledge

religious acts by which they pledge certain services expressly to him. This is the most general notion of a religious vow (cf. the short definition of Thomas

Aquinas, "A promise made to God"). In a narrower sense, the word conveys the idea of the promise of something which the promiser does not strictly owe to God, or which he is not already bound to give or perform. The impulse to make such a promise may come from the desire to show gratitude and devotion to God by offering him something of special value; or it may be thought of as a means of advancing in communion with God and in the achievement of perfection; or without such definitely religious motives, it may be offered as giving some sort of a right to receive a desired favor in exchange.

While the Old Testament (see I, above) presents was, under certain conditions, a natural part of a religious life, it tells nothing that is necessarily decisive for Christian ethics; nor does the New Testament contain any positive teaching on the

subject. From the mouth of Christ

2. New-there is only a sharp word for those

Testament who vow to the temple service that

Indications, with which they should have sup-

ported their parents (Matt. xv. 4; Mark vii. 10). The epistles are silent as to vows. In Acts (xxi. 23-26) it is stated that Paul on one occasion took part in the fulfilment of a vow made by certain brethren of Hebrew birth; but the circumstances do not make it a commendation of vows * such to other Christians, since what Paul did came from loving care for the brethren, not out of my conviction of the intrinsic value of a vow. The reference to a vow in Acts xviii. 18 is obscure. In my case it was not a real Naziritic vow such as the old covenant provided for (see Nazirites), since bis could be performed only at Jerusalem, but merely a private vow. It is possible, if the person in question was Paul and not, as the order of the words would suggest, Aquila, that he felt the need, amid the severe conflicts which beset him in Corinth, devoting himself the more to God by an outward expression analogous to that of the Nazirites; but no more than this purely symbolic meaning can be educed from it. Acts v. 1-4 can not be cited in is connection, as nothing is said of Ananias having sde his offering in the form of a vow.

Views on the subject in general must therefore be formed from the universal principles of Christian ethics as contained in the New Testament and attested by the Christian conscience. The idea of a gift which the pious soul feels compelled to consecrate to God is of the very essence of Christianity. But this gift is nothing less than that of the whole person, will, and life (cf., e.g., Rom. vi. 11, 13, vii. 4; Gal. ii. 20; II Cor. v. 16). This self-dedication to God takes place at baptism, together with the reception of divine grace and the entry upon a new life. The promise made then (and at confirmation) may fairly be called a vow in the usual meaning of the word; but nothing is promised which is not already obligatory. It is justified as the formal expression of the internal impulse called forth by the appeal of redemption (I John iv. 19; Rom. viii. 14 sqq.).

The concrete individual development of the moral life leads to the conception of various special objects of solemn promise, and to that of special vows.

Two kinds of duties and promises may
3. Ethics of be distinguished: (1) the general eththe Vow. ical duties imposed by the community
and accepted by the individual, and

(2) special acts or manners of ethical conduct which the individual takes upon himself, either to make progress in the spiritual life or to express a particular sense of obligation toward God. The first class of duties are imposed both by Church and State, as well as by voluntary associations, and solemn promises are required from their members. But these (e.g., the marriage vow) hardly come within the definition, being made rather to the community than to God (see OATH). As to the second class, an examination on approved ethical principles will show that a Christian may, of his own free impulse, undertake to promise to God certain special acts or manners of life which are not of universal obligation, either divine or human. In such a course the logical limits of freedom and obligation must be preserved in their due proportion; and it is true of such promises that they are implicitly involved in the general or baptismal vow to love and serve God with all one's heart. It must be remembered also that all action is conditioned by a variety of subjective and objective circumstances which may alter from time to time. What seems now a positive duty may some day be superseded by a more pressing one, and man must then be free to follow the higher call. There may be cases in which a vow to remain unmarried should be taken by an Evangelical Christian; but if he is to make it unconditionally, he must be absolutely sure that he will never be placed in a position in which it would be better for him to be married. An unconditional vow of the sort may amount to tempting God, with no promise of a blessing in return; and the same may be said of the pledge required by total-abstinence societies. If the formal expression of the resolve becomes a burden on the conscience, it exposes the soul to an additional danger; in that case such special and formal vows will be required only seldom and under extraordinary circumstances in the life of Evangelical Christians. In most cases their place will better be taken by an earnest laying before God of the impulses of devotion, with a prayer to be kept firm in purpose. Any civil compulsion to the observance of vows should of course be excluded; in cases where one's relation to an association or to another person is confirmed in the form of a vow addressed to God, the principles which govern all contracts will naturally be enforced, while the obligation as taken in the sight of God will be left to the conscience of the individual.

The view of Roman Catholics on this whole matter is entirely different. They distinguish from the duties to which the ordinary Christian is bound an-

other and higher class of duties, im-4. Roman posed not by divine command but by Catholic an "Evangelical counsel" whose non-Doctrine. observance is in itself no sin, but the

following of which brings a special reward and greater perfection. These counsels cover especially voluntary poverty, obedience, and celibacy, and are connected with the doctrine of works of Supererogation (q.v.). Roman Catholic theologians divide vows into personal and real, the latter concerning property, and they give to the former the higher place. Again, a vow may be for life or for a definite time. It may be solemn (publicly pronounced before the church and accepted by it, as in the case of monastic vows and of the tacit vow of celibacy made at ordination to the sub-diaconate), or simple. Older Roman Catholic theologians used to attempt to demonstrate the existence of a precedent for vows in the practise of the apostles and of the mother church at Jerusalem, especially in its community of goods. It is possible to trace back Christian vows in the sense of voluntary promises as far as the doctrine of works of supererogation can be traced; and this can not be ignored in the "Shepherd" of Hermas. The resolve, amounting to a vow, of life-long celibacy occurs first among women (as early as the Apostolic Constitutions, iii. 2 and iv.; Ignatius, Ad Polycarpum, v.). The history of further development is that of monasticism in general. To the doctrine of works of supercrogation, on which this system of vows rests, organized Christianity adhered, and so undertook to regulate and enforce the making and observance of vows, finally drawing even simple or private vows within its jurisdiction. By present Roman Catholic practise dispensations from vows can be granted by the pope alone in five cases, in others by the bishop. The Church will not permit vows which prejudice the rights of a third person, or those made by minors without the consent of their parents. It attempts to compel observance of vows by force, especially in the case of the monastic vow, and employs the aid of the secular power when possible.

The medieval view of vows, represented most thoroughly by Thomas Aquinas, was combated even before the Reformation by Johann Pupper von Goch (q.v.) in De libertate Christiana (probably 1473) and Dialogus, maintaining that 5. Evangel- God has given but one law and proical Views. posed but one sort of perfection to all Christians. Luther took his stand on the all-embracing character of the baptismal vow, to which other vows were derogatory. Carlstadt was the first to advocate the release of monks from

their vows; but Luther ended by going to the root

of the matter and declaring monastic vows not merely invalid but sinful and idolatrous (cf., eg., his De votis monasticis, 1522). Special vows, in the sense given in the earlier part of this article, he was willing to tolerate, though he thought little of them. The Augsburg Confession and Apology and the Schmalkald Articles (qq.v.) declared against monastic vows quite in his spirit. While Calvin placed the baptismal vow above all, and asserted Christian liberty against the Romanist conception of vows he yet insisted on the utility of voluntary special vows, by which a Christian might at times reenforce the weakness of his will or express in a signal manner his gratitude to God. Some Lutheran theologians, such as Chemnitz and J. Gerhard, have leaned to this view much more than Luther himself; but through all their diversity in detail, modern ethical and religious teachers on the Protestant side have adhered more or less to the general line of argument briefly sketched in the beginning of this article.

(J. Köstlint.)

Bibliography: On 1 consult: J. L. Saalschütz, Das mosaische Recht, i. 358 sqq., Berlin, 1846; A. Edersheim,
Jesus the Messiah, ii. 17-21, New York, 1896; Smith,
Rel. of Sem, 2d ed., pp. 481-485; Nowack, Archāologie,
ii. 168-169, 263-266; Benzinger, Archāologie, pp. 387388 et passim; DB, iv. 872-873; EB, iv. 5252-55; JE,
xii. 451-452; DCG, ii. 810-811; KL, v. 246-249; the
talmudic tracts Nedarim, Arakim, and Shekalin, iv. 6-6
On 2 consult: Bingham, Origines, VII., iii. 7-8, iv. 2,
XVI., vii. 9; Schönen, in TQS, 1874, pp. 195 sqq., 47
sqq.; F. Daab, Die Zulässigkeit der Gelübde, Güterlob,
1896; Virgines Christi. Die Gelübde der gottgeweikten
Jungfrauen in den ersten drei Jahrhunderten, in TU, xxii
2, 1907; and literature under the articles to which reference is made in the text.

VOYSEY, CHARLES: English theist; b. in London Mar. 18, 1828. He was educated at St. Edmund Hall, Oxford (B.A., 1851), and was Church of England curate at Hessle, Yorkshire (1851-58), incumbent of Craighton, St. Andrews, Jamaica (1858-60), and curate of Great Yarmouth (1860-1861), and of St. Mark's, Whitechapel (1861-63). He had already manifested a change of theological position, however, and in 1863 was ejected from the curacy of St. Mark's for denying the doctrine of eternal punishment. In the following year, after being for a few months curate of Victoria Dock Church, North Woolwich, he became curate of Healaugh, Yorkshire, where he remained seven years (1864-71). Here again his unorthodox views involved him in difficulties, and in 1871, after a legal contest of two years, in which the case was brought before the judicial committee of the privy council, he was deprived of his living for his sermon entitled Is every Statement of the Bible about our Heavenly Father strictly True? (preached in 1865). He then founded the Theistic Church in London, of which he is still the head. He has written The Sling and the Stone (collections of his weekly sermons; 10 vols., London, 1872-93); The Mystery of Pain, Death. and Sin (1878); Lectures on the Bible, and the Theistic Faith and its Foundations (1881); Theism, or, the Religion of Common Sense (1894); Theism as a Science of Natural Theology and Natural Religion (1895); Testimony of the Four Gospels concerning Jesus Christ (1896); and Religion for all Mankind (1903).

VULGATE. See BIBLE VERSIONS, A, II., 2.

W

HENRY: Church of England; b. in Lon-10, 1836. He was educated at Brasenose xford (B.A., 1860); was ordered deacon lordained priest (1862); was curate of St. erwick Street, London (1861-63), and of , Westminster (1863-69), and lecturer of Chapel (1870-72); chaplain (1872-80) her (1880-96) of Lincoln's Inn, London; St. Michael's, Cornhill (1896-1903), and has been dean of Canterbury. He was turer (1874-75), professor of ecclesiastical King's College, London (1875-83), and of the same institution (1883-96); select t Cambridge in 1878, 1890, and 1901, and in 1880-82, Bampton Lecturer at the latsity in 1879, examining chaplain to the of Canterbury in 1883-1903, honorary the queen in 1884-89, and chaplain-in-or-1889-1901, and honorary chaplain to the 901-03, prebendary of Consumpta-per-it. Paul's Cathedral in 1881-1903, rural e East City in 1900-03, and dean of Cannce 1903. Besides editing A Dictionary n Biography, Literature, Sects, and Docthe time of the Apostles to the Age of Charlecollaboration with Sir William Smith; 4 don, 1880-86; in part rewritten, rereissued in one volume as A Dictionary in Biography and Literature to the End of lentury, London and Boston, 1911, in colwith W. C. Piercy); The First Principles rmation; or, The Primary Works of Luther ration with C. A. Buchheim; 1884); The Commentary on the Apocrypha (2 vols., d the second series of Nicene and Post-Nirs (in collaboration with P. Schaff; 14 York, 1890-1900), he has written Chrisd Morality (Boyle lectures; London, e Foundations of Faith (Bampton lectures; e Gospel and its Witnesses (1883); The Sturual of the Evidences of Christianity (1886); ral Points of Our Lord's Ministry (1890); y and Agnosticism; Reviews of some recent the Christian Faith (1895); The Sacrifice 1898); Confession and Absolution (1902); Criticised (1902); The Bible and Modern on (1903); Appeal to the First Six Cen-5); Principles of the Reformation (1910); ecy, Jewish and Christian (1911).

RNAGEL, vāc'ker-nā"gel, KARL ED-IILIPP: Hymnologist and educator; b. June 28, 1800; d. at Dresden June 20, studied at Berlin and Breslau, devoting pecially to mineralogy and crystallogie also entered upon his hymnological le became involved in the political troubles 2, and had to leave Breslau for Halle and luremberg (in 1823), where he taught in a nool until it was closed for lack of support. e obtained his doctor's degree and was lerlin as teacher in the Technical School.

In 1839 he went to Stetten in Württemberg as teacher, in 1845 to Wiesbaden as professor in the Realgymnasium, and in 1849 to Elberfeld as director of the Realschule. In 1861 he resigned and lived thenceforth in retirement in Dresden, occupied with literary work and hymnological studies, so far as his strength permitted. He was one of the prominent founders of the German Evangelical Church Diet (see Church Diet). Wackernagel's work and achievements in the domain of pedagogy, as well as in mathematics and the natural sciences, especially crystallography, were important. As an advocate of a Christian national education he opposed the rationalistic pedagogy, and published a series of "German Reading Books," which were much used, and a significant treatise, Ueber den Unterricht in der deutschen Muttersprache (Stuttgart, 1843), in support of his views. In like manner he held that in the field of the sciences everything is "spiritually ordered," and he had no sympathy with the empirical point of view which notes only sensuous phenomena. From his youth a deep interest in the poetry and song of the people led him to comprehensive studies in German history and literature. His religious bent forbade his passing over the pearls of German folk-songs—the hymns. In this field no one before him had made so far-reaching, thorough, and methodic investigation, and no one had brought greater natural gifts to the undertaking. The first ripe fruit of his labors was Das deutsche Kirchenlied von Martin Luther bis auf Nicolaus Herman und Ambrosius Blaurer (Stuttgart, 1841), a collection of 850 hymns from the oldest and best texts, and a treatise on the sources whence they were derived. In the preface a history of hymnology is attempted on broad lines, and the principles on which it should be studied and written are discussed. Further study brought so much new material to light that Wackernagel determined on a complete recasting of his work. After thirteen years' preparation he published Bibliographie zur Geschichte des deutschen Kirchenliedes im 16. Jahrhundert (Frankfort, 1855), in which he described 1,148 song-books and sheets (against 187 in the first edition; the number was augmented by 620 more in a supplement in 1877). The second part, under the title Das Kirchenlied von der ältesten Żeit bis zu Anfang des 17. Jahrhunderts, mit Berücksichtigung der deutschen kirchlichen Liederdichtung im weiteren Sinne und der lateinischen von Hilarius bis Georg Fabricius und Wolfgang Ammonius, followed in five volumes (Leipsic, 1864-77). It presents 6,783 hymns. Wackernagel also published Die Lieder Paul Gerhards (Stuttgart, 1843); a new edition of Luther's hymns (1848); Johann Hermanns geistliche Lieder (1856); Gesangbuch für Kirche, Schule, und Haus (1860); and Beiträge zur niederländischen Hymnologie (Frankfort, 1867).

(L. SCHULZE.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: L. Schulze, Philipp Wackernagel nach seinem
Leben und Wirken, Leipsic, 1879; R. Wackernagel, Wühelm Wackernagel, Jugendjahre 1806-33, Basel, 1885;
ADB, vol. xl.

WADDING, LUKE: English Franciscan, historian of the Franciscan order; b. at Waterford (63 m. e.n.e. of Cork), Ireland, Oct. 16, 1588; d. at Rome Nov. 18, 1657. He studied theology in Lisbon and Coimbra, Portugal; became a Franciscan 1607; was ordained priest in 1613; went in 1617 to Salamanca, where he became president of the Irish College; went to Rome, 1618, as chaplain to the Spanish ambassador, and remained there the rest of his life. In 1625 he founded there the College of St. Isidore for Irish students of the Franciscan order. From 1630 to 1634 he was procurator of his order at Rome, and from 1645 to 1648 vicecommissary. He was an ardent advocate of the Irish cause in the war of 1641, and sent officers and arms to Ireland. He was one of the councilors in the settlement of the Jansenist controversy, and pronounced an opinion in favor of these doctrines; but, on the appearance of the bull of Innocent X. (Cum occasione, 1653), he retracted. His works include Legatio Philippi III. et IV., regum Hispaniæ, ad Paulum V., Gregorium XV., et Urbanum VIII. pro definienda controversia immaculatæ conceptionis B. Mariæ Virginis, Louvain, 1624 (a history of the controversy to decide which the bishop of Cartagena went to Rome as an ambassador, which was consequently the occasion of Wadding's Roman residence); Apologeticus de prætenso monachatu Augustiniano S. Francisci (Madrid, 1625); especially noteworthy is his work on the Annales ordinis Minorum (8 vols., Lyons and Rome, 1625-54; later ed., 16 vols., vol. xvii., Index, Rome, 1731-36)—this is the great history of the Franciscan order; Wadding brought it down to 1540; it has been continued by De Luca to 1553 (vol. xviii., 1740), by Ancona to 1564 (vol. xix., 1745), by Asculano to 1754 (vol. xx., 1794), by De Cerreto to 1584 (vol. xxi., 1844)-Scriptores ordinis Minorum, 1650, new edition with Sbaraglia's corrections, 1806 (a bibliography of the order); Immaculatæ conceptionis Virginis Mariæ opusculum (1655); Vita Clementis VIII. (later edition, 1723). He also edited the "Sermons" of Anthony of Padua (1624), the Opuscula of Francis of Assisi (Lyons, 1637), the works of Duns Scotus, with a "Life" (12 vols., 1639), and superintended the publication of the posthumous Hebrew Concordance of Marius de Calasio (4 vols., Rome, 1621), to which he contributed an essay upon the Hebrew language.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: A "Life" was written by his nephew, F. Harold, prefixed to the Annales, and separately issued at Rome, 1731; and by J. A. O'Shea, Dublin, 1885. Consult further: C. Anderson, Historical Sketches of the Native Irish, London, 1830; C. P. Meehan, Rise and Fall of the Irish Franciscan Monasteries, London, 1877; KL, xii. 1141-44.

WAEIJEN, wê"ai'yen, JOHANNES VAN DER: Dutch Reformed theologian; b. at Amsterdam July 13, 1639; d. at Franeker Nov. 4, 1701. He was educated at the universities of Utrecht and Leyden (1655–59), and took courses at Heidelberg, Geneva, and Basel. In 1662 he became preacher at Spaarndam, whence he was called to Leeuwarden in 1665. In 1672 he served as an army-chaplain, but later in the same year was called as pastor to Middelburg. Hitherto he had been generally regarded as an advocate of Voetius (q.v.), whom he sought to recon-

cile with Maresius by his Epistola ad amicum de reconciliatione D. G. Voetii et D. S. Maresii (1669). At the same time he showed himself to be no Coccian by his polemical treatise against Welzogen, Proper et genuina Reformatorum sententia præsertim in negotio de interprete Scriptura (Amsterdam, 1669); and he also opposed the Cartesian philosophy and the Labadists, the latter in his Ernstige betuiging van J. van der Waeijen en H. Witsius aan de afdwalende kinderen der kerke tegen de gronden van Labadie (1670). In Middelburg, however, his position was radically changed, as was shown by his anonymous Het lijden van Christus in Gethsémané (Middelburg, 1674), and his Over Ps. XVIII. 24 (1675). The latter treatise involved him in a controversy with A. Hulsius, to whom he replied in his Disputatio van Hulsius over Ps. XVIII. beantwoord door J. v.d. Waeijen (1675). His zealous advocacy of Cartesian and Cocceian tenets led to his suspension from office on Dec. 11, 1676, at the instance of the stadholder William III. Waeijen was then settled at Amsterdam, but in 1677 was appointed professor of Hebrew at Francker, receiving at the same time a professorship in theology, though there was no vacancy in the latter faculty. He entered upon office with an oration De ecclesiae ex utraque Babylone exitu et eorum inter se convenientia (Franeker, 1678), and shortly afterward was appointed aulic councilor. In 1680 he resigned his professorship in Hebrew to devote himself entirely to teaching theology, and in the same year was appointed university preacher.

Regarded as the head of the Frisian Cocceians and exercising a very considerable influence, Wacijen had also to be a prolific writer. Among his dogmatic works were his Summa theologiæ Christiana, pars prior (Francker, 1689); Varia sacra (1693; also containing exegetic studies); and Theologia Christianæ enchiridion (1700). Of his exegetic investigations only the Disputatio continens analysis epistolæ ad Galatas (Francker, 1681) can be mentioned. Waeijen also served three terms as Rector magnificus of Francker, and his abilities as an orator may be judged from his three addresses in this capacity: De incremento cognitionis expectando tempore novissimo (Francker, 1686); De semihorio silentii (1688); and De numero septenario (1696). His homiletic capacity is shown by his posthumous Methodus concionandi (Francker, 1704). In his polemics, however, Waeijen was more caustic and magniloquent than convincing. To this category belong his attacks on F. Spanheim the younger, Epistola apologetica ad Philalethium Eliezerum (Willem Anslacr) adversus nuperas Frid. Spanhemii litteras (Francker, 1683); B. Bekker, De betooverde wereeld van Balthazar Bekker onderzogt en weederlegt (1693); on P. van Hattem, Brief ter wederlegginge van sekere brief bij Pontiaan van Hattem met een voorrede, daar in eenige gedachten noopens de so genaamde Hebreen (1696); on J. Clericus, Dissertatio de logo, vocabulo non ex Platone primum repetito et in religionem illato (1698); on P. à Limborch, Limborgianæ responsionis discussio (1699); and on J. Spencer, Johannis Spenceri dissertatio de hirco Azazel excussa, principe, de Hebræorum ritibus maximam partem ex Egypto arcese, breviter quoque confutato (in his Varia 65-622). (S. D. van Veen.)

r: The funeral oration by A. Schulting was
Francker, 1702. Consult: E. L. Brimoet,
Frisicarum libri duo, pp. 557-577, Leeuwarden,
Glasius, Godgeleerd Nederland, iii. 570-576,
nbusch, 1856; C. Sepp, Het godgeleerd Onderderland gedurende de 18. en 17. Eeuw, Leyden,
W. B. S. Boeles, Frieslands Hoogsechool en het
naum e Francker, ii. 286-274, Leeuwarden, 1889.

MANN, vāh'en-mān, JULIUS AUGUST: itheran; b. at Berneck (50 m. n.n.e. of Nov. 23, 1823; d. at Tübingen Aug. 27, cated at the seminary of Blaubeuren and at Tübingen (1841-45), he served as short time, then was lecturer at Blau-6-49) and Tübingen (1849-51) on Würtsurch history and other departments of In 1852 he became assistant pastor in and first assistant 1857; in 1861 he acofessorship of church history at Göttinras, however, too diverse in his interests ate himself on any one field of investiwas he the author of any independent gnitude. On the other hand, he was a ter for theological periodicals and ency-Thus he contributed extensively to the für deutsche Theologie, of which he was editor for many years, while for the first edition of the Herzog RE he wrote sixty-seven articles, and for the second 144 (including revisions); he also contributed extensively to the ADB.

Wagenmann took an active interest in the practical affairs of his church and his university. As a professor he frequently preached, while after 1873 he was a member of the central committee of the Gustav-Adolf-Verein (q.v.). In 1878 he received appointment as consistorial councilor.

(N. BONWETSCH.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Schwäbischer Merkur, Oct. 11, 1890; ADB, xl. 477 sqq.

WAGENSEIL, võh'en-sail, JOHANN CHRISTOPH: Apologist; b. at Nuremberg Nov. 26, 1633; d. at Altdorf (11 m. s.w. of Nuremberg) Oct. 9, 1705. He was made professor at Altdorf—first of history (1667), next of Oriental languages (1674), and finally of ecclesiastical law (1697). He wrote the famous works, Sota, hoc est liber Mischnicus de uzore adulterii suspecta (Altdorf, 1674; a translation, with notes, of the Mishna tractate upon the treatment of a wife suspected of adultery), and Tela Ignea Satanæ, sive, arcana et horribiles Judæorum adversus Christum Deum et Christianum religionem libri (Altdorf, 1681; a translation and refutation, in Latin; of certain antichristian Jewish writings).

WAGER OF BATTLE, DUEL.

of Battle, peal to Deity (§ 1). tions Using It (§ 2). Progress toward its Abolishment (§ 3).
II. The Duel.
History (§ 1).

Attitude of the Churches; Difficulties (§ 2). Ethics of the Duel (§ 3).

of Battle: The wager of battle is a form q.v.), the usual means of which is the pat, though occasionally the combat is The character of the ordeal as an appeal y for decision in a disputed case is fully as is illustrated by the meeting between Menelaus and Paris (Iliad, iii. 276-323). In this there were sacrifice to Zeus, formal and punctilious arrangement of the field and placing of the combatants, appeal to the lot for precedence, and he god to decide by sending the guilty to hat the case as described by the poet was d as isolated but as conducted in accordhe custom of the times, is clear from the he marshals appear to act after a wellhod of procedure. So wherever trial by ployed, this same characteristic of appeal discovered. When the nations using it ristianity, the combat remained, but unto a different arbiter. Each party to the rted the justice of his cause by oath on s, or on an approved relic; defeat was evidence of perjury, to punishment for posed the loser, and he was disqualified or giving evidence or serving in court.

for which this custom is demonstrable he western Aryan peoples, with the postion of the Romans. Thus that the tis shown by the Senchus Mor and by 5.8) attributed to St. Patrick (extracts nchus are given in Haddan and Stubbs, ., ii. 339 sqq.; the canon is in the same .329). Among the Teutons particularly

the wager was at home. The holmgang (so named

the wager was at home. The holmgang (so named because it was usually fought on a holm or small island) was with the northern Teutons

2. The a recognized method of settling a disNations pute or acquiring a right, and the vicUsing it. tor sacrificed an ox at the conclusion.

When the laws of the Teutons were collected into codes, the judicial combat was conspicuously present, as in the Gundobaldic, Bavarian, Lombardic, Frankish, and other early collections, but not in the Anglo-Saxon and Anglo-Danish. The Slavic peoples constantly settled disputes by this means. It was so thoroughly implanted in the Lombardic legal practise that even

planted in the Lombardic legal practise that even Liutprand was unable to make headway against it. It was sanctioned by Charlemagne (with reservations against it in certain cases); Louis-le-Débonnaire permitted it between an ecclesiastic and a layman, and Emperor Guy restored the privilege complete as between ecclesiastics; Otho the Great defended and enforced its use, and sent champions (see below) to enforce his claims in his dispute with Pope John XII., and in 971 ordered the confiscation of the estates of those who refused to employ it: champions became a part of the suite of ambassadors in order the better to enforce the claims of rival powers; Otho II. in 983 substituted it for the sacramental oath; Henry II. allowed it, as an appeal, to murderers; the Guelph line of monarchs is reputed to be founded on the confiscation of the duchy of Bavaria because its duke refused the combat, and his title was thereupon bestowed upon Welf, son of Cunigunda; Henry the Lion of Bavaria lost his possessions because of default in the wager of

battle; to the dukes of Austria was granted (1156) and confirmed (1245) the right of representation in the judicial duel; trials for crimes were often settled by the arbitrament of the sword, even the judges who pronounced decision being subject to challenge from the party against whom the case was decided, unless the guilt was clear, a forcible reversion of justice being thus accomplished. As in the case of other ordeals, the wager of battle was employed by the Church. A notable instance of this is the dispute between Hildebrand and the church in Castile, when the pope attempted to replace the Mozarabic liturgy by the Roman; a double ordeal is asserted for this occasion, the combat and the ordeal of fire, and the Spaniards were victorious. It became common even for high ecclesiastics to trust their cause to the lists.

But while civil and ecclesiastical powers so largely had recourse to this means, a more advanced sentiment attempted to curb the combat and eventually to abolish it. Not the least incitement to these efforts was the abuse which arose from the employment of champions. This employment arose in the attempt to make more equal the chances of contestants, to prevent the powerful from overriding the weak. Substitutes were permitted

3. Progress for the aged, the infirm, minors, criptoward its ples, women, ecclesiastical institutions, Abolishment. Gradually this office became a profession, in many cases adopt-

ed by desperadoes who assumed no greater risks in the combat than they were wont to undergo in their ordinary life. Agobard (q.v.) opposed the judicial combat in his Liber adversus legem Gundobardi and Liber contra judicium Dei; Atto of Vercelli (see ATTO, 3) declared it inapplicable to the clergy and indecisive for laymen; in 1080 a synod at Lillebonne required the sanction of a bishop to be given a churchman who would engage; Ivo of Chartres (q.v.; d. 1116) rebuked a bishop for ordering the combat in his court; Pope Innocent II. forbade clerics to enter the lists (1140); Clement III. repeated the prohibition; Celestin III. (1191-98) deposed a priest for the offense, and Innocent III. (1215) confirmed this position; Innocent IV. interfered in 1245 to save the chapter of Notre Dame from being forced to engage. The judicial combat was first formally forbidden in Iceland in 1011, in Denmark in 1074. Restrictions and final abolishment may be traced as follows: by Henry IV. at Pisa, 1081; by Bishop Godfrey at Amiens, 1105; by Baldwin VII. at Ypres, 1116; by Centulla I. at Lourdes, 1138; by Philip Augustus at Tournay, 1187; by Alphonse de Poitiers at Riom, 1270; by Charles IV. at Worms, 1335; while the Council of Trent (session XXV., De reform., xix.) prohibited all potentates from allowing it. In spite of this gathering denunciation and prohibition, how persistent the practise was may be seen from the fact that in 1518 Henry II. of Navarre ordered recourse to it, at Pau; in 1538 Francis I. granted the appeal to arms and the default of the defendant resulted in his being sentenced to death; in Béarn it remained in the code till 1789; Julius had, in 1505, to forbid trial by battle in Italy; in Russia it was not abrogated till 1649;

in 1567 Bothwell offered to justify by the combat his murder of Darnley (J. Knox, Hist. of Reformation in Scotland, ed. Laing, ii. 560, Edinburgh, 1895). In Germany throughout the Middle Ages the matter was complicated by questions of birth and standing though in case of homicide the combat was obligatory; a Jew might not decline the challenge of a Christian, though it is not clear that he might offer the challenge. Among the bills considered by the English Government when restricting the powers of the province of Massachusetts Bay was one which in 1774 contained a clause that took away the "appeal of death," and this article had to be eliminated before final passage was granted, since it was regarded as a step toward denying the same privilege to Englishmen. This right was not abolished GEO. W. GILMORE. in England till 1819.

II. The Duel: A duel which took place in Germany in 1896 between two men of rank (Von Kotze and Von Schrader) called out a number of investigations and a large interest in the origin and development of the duel,

1. History. and also strenuous opposition to the institution as well as defense of it. Von Bülow attempted to show that its origin was not Germanic, but Spanish and French, that it was derived neither from the wager of battle nor from the tournament. If, however, the duel be defined as a combat between two persons in defense of the honor due their position, in which is involved definite disregard of public justice, then it is difficult to show that it is un-Germanic. While it can not go back to the wager of battle as its direct source, yet in the general disposition to assume the power to right a wrong, to take vengeance, or even to show one's prowess on the foe, even a sort of noble courage in the case of a wrong-in all this the wager of battle

of the Middle Ages was the predecessor of the duel. The wager of battle, however, embraced all classes and was not hemmed in by an exclusiveness which characterizes the duel. The disappearance of the former proved the occasion of the latter, but the motives were entirely different; in the wager of battle men sought their rights, while defense of the honor of position is the essence of the duel. The latter institution began to be common about 1500, especially in Spain, Italy, and France, whence it spread elsewhere, and the Romance languages became the vehicle in the sixteenth century of a literature on the duel. In the same century, also, the monarchs began to issue edicts against this practise, which were continued in the two following centuries. But & complete end of the practise was not brought about by these means. In Great Britain a duel between two officers in 1843 caused the authorities to incorporate strong regulations against the practise with trial as for murder in case of fatal issue of the combat. An organization against dueling was formed which included in its membership a large number of the nobility, and of high officers in the army and navy, and in that country the duel has become practically extinct as a barbarous custom. In Germany since the time of Frederick the Great attempts have been made to supersede the duel by a court of honor. William I. on May 2, 1874, and William II. on Jan. 1, 1897, issued regulations to this end, the court of g invoked first to avert the combat and it is not reached, to have the conditions rvation of one of the court. Anti-duelations have been formed looking to the ition of the custom.

nan Catholic Church has taken strong minst the duel (Council of Trent, sess. p. xix.); Benedict XIV. refused churchly 10se even who showed signs of repentance meeting-place, and the ban falls upon the attending physician; even stu-e dents' duels are included under the censure. The Evangelical church has ; never through its organs approved the s. duel. During the Reformation period the duel was not so much in evidence a pronouncement from Luther. Among ed the matter of Christian burial was not a test, and the care of the surviving duelithin the reach of the cure of souls. It is it while much was said in the Protestant f suicide, so little was said of the duel. ent of 1896, already referred to, evoked g expressions of condemnation as traverslaw and the divine order. The difficulhe greater in that men of serious lives he duel as a means of righting wrongs and g with weapons. For the duel is a serithe character of the weapons, however, hostility of the meeting. The jurists disbetween two species of duel, that in deonor and that the purpose of which is t. In the first case a man of honor feels nor has been assailed, and challenges the 1 order to wipe out the offense; in turn ged is in the position where he must devn honor, which would be lost by refusal the challenge. The event is one which e is entirely sundered from the ethical f the participants. In the second species purpose of the challenger is to punish the for some unbearable breach which may sed over; he is placed in the position of a e honor might be impugned if he did not means. Yet the means is inconclusive lt; there is no guaranty that the guilty e the punishment, while the challenger he position of judge and avenger; yet to the code both the challenger and the from the very process itself are recogrotecting their honor. This last is the sole significance of the practise. Thus far the s of duels are identical; the thing at issue or of the participants, which is reckoned ence to standing in a certain circle and so ence to ability to give "satisfaction." usive decision concerning the duel takes nt the value of that derived from position which underlies the entire existence of of the duel. The sixth commandment is . not final, for self-defense, war, capital punishment, and exposure to danger it; nor is the monopoly claimed for public isive, since the demand for one's rights and finds new forms not comprehended under public law; no more decisive is the fact that in the duel the innocent often suffers and the guilty goes free, for this occurs in public administration of justice; and the Christian idea of honor does not come into the account, since it is conceivable that love for one's neighbor may involve one legitimately in the duel. Abstract and applied ethics are different things. The Christianity of the individual is bound up with a nature in which are ingrown native instincts and prejudices, while the individual moves in an environment in which values are fixed by custom. Hence it results that he has to take account of an honor of position as well as of that honor which is his as a Christian. Each class has something of this, and sometimes with opposite results. A pastor is by a duel made unfit for his office, an army officer may not refuse a duel on pain of losing his position and the honor due to it; yet both have as Christians the same honor. The same conduct can not be exacted of these two men in their diverse associations. For the officer in the army honor of position is a vital thing. If the conditions of life are wrong, the task is to change them; if honor of position is unwarranted, it is to be set aside, and the way is to be prepared for abolishing the duel. Christianity has to deal with analogous conditions, such as the compulsory oath, religious education, baptism, and the like; in the mission field polygamy has to be tolerated. The reason for these things is the imperfection of the state of society. So with society in Germany, where class distinctions are sanctioned at least tacitly by the Church, out of which distinctions grows the duel. Indeed, the latter is rather a symptom. To abolish dueling there is necessary a revulsion of public sentiment, which must work against what is at present an exceedingly strongly entrenched feeling. Even those who maintain the code of honor must work for the alleviation of the duel, for the removal of false positions and the improvement of the code. In the duel, in its very operation, the moral vagabond assumes the position of the morally upright, the innocent stands on the same plane as the guilty. Could this alleged equality, but real inequality, be abolished, the conception of the honor of position would be purified and a way opened for a conservative estimate of the duel which would lead to its inclusion within the strict path of Christian (M. RADE.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Lists of literature on the subject are: Hauck-Herzog, RE, xxi. 759-760 (giving titles of books, mainly in German, issued during the controversy in Germany, 1896 sqq., concerning the duel, decided in favor of the practise by public opinion and the emperor): C. A. Thimm, Bibliography of Fencing and Duelling, London, 1896; G. E. Levi and J. Gelli, Bibliografia del Duello, Milan, 1903. An excellent review of the history of the wager of battle is H. C. Lea, Superstition and Force, pp. 93-216, Philadelphia, 1878. Literature which deals with the subject will be found under Ordeal. Consult further: Thatcher and McNeal, Source Book, pp. 388-400; J. Milligan. Hist. of Duelling, 2 vols., London, 1841; A. Steinmets, The Romance of Duelling, London, 1841; A. Steinmets, The Romance of Duelling, London, 1880; B. C. Truman, The Field of Honour, New York, 1884; J. Gelli, Il Duello nella storia della giurisprudenza, Florence, 1886; A. von Oppenheim, Das Wesen des Duello, Vienna, 1887; C. Thuemmel. Der gerichliche Zweikampf und das heutige Duell, Hamburg, 1887; J. Cockburn, Hist. of Duels, 2 vols., Edinburgh, 1888; G. Neilson, Trial by Combat, Glasgow, 1890; G.

Letainturier-Fradin, Le Duel à travers les âges, Paris, 1892; Vidal de Saint-Urbain, Le Duel sous l'ancien régime et de nos jours, Dijon, 1892; C. de Smedt, Le Duel judiciaire et l'église, Paris, 1895; A. Wiesinger, Das Duell vor dem Richterstuhle der Reitgion, Gras, 1895; H. Pierquin, La Juridiction du point d'honneur sous l'ancien régime, Paris, 1904; H. Fehr, Der Zweikampf, Berlin, 1908; C. L. Brace, Gesta Christi, chap. xiv., new issue, London and New York, 1911.

WAGNER, vah'ner, CARL JULIUS IMMANUEL: German Evangelical; b. at Greifenberg (125 m. n.w. of Berlin) Oct. 5, 1847. He served as field chaplain during the Franco-Prussian war; taught in private families and in secondary schools, 1871-73, passing meanwhile his theological examinations; was assistant preacher for the German Reformed congregation in Budapest, 1873-76; pastor of the German Evangelical Church at Sydenham, London, 1876–90; traveling preacher for the Innere Mission, 1890-93; pastor at Pritzerbe (Havel), 1894-1904; and since 1904 has been in charge of the Westdeutscher Verein für Israel. He is the author of Charles Haddon Spurgeon. Lebensbild (Berlin, 1893); Was sagt Christus von den Juden? Ein Beitrag zur Lösung der Judenfrage (1893); Volkserholungen im Lichte des Evangeliums (Darmstadt, 1893); Die Sittlichkeit auf dem Lande (Leipsic, 1895); Zur Frage der Sittlichkeit unter der Landbevölkerung (1897); Auf zum Kampf wider die ländliche Unzucht (Hanover, 1898); Angelikas Weihnachten (Darmstadt, 1904); and Jean Baptist Harth (Leipsic, 1904).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: A. F. Sanborn, in *Review of Reviews*, xxx (1904), 329-331; G. King, in *Outlook*, 1907, pp. 198-204.

WAGNER, CHARLES: French Protestant; b. at Wibersviller (20 m. n.e. of Nancy), district of Château Salins, Lorraine, Germany, Jan. 3, 1852. His father was the pastor of the village Lutheran church. Two years afterward he became pastor at Tiefenbach, some sixty miles eastward, and there Charles Wagner got his elementary education. From 1866 to 1869 he studied in Paris and took the degree of B.A. He then went to Strasburg for theological study, but ended his studies at Göttingen in 1875. He served for a year at Barr, at the foot of Mount St. Odile in the Central Vosges Mountains. Up to this time his associations had been with Lutherans and the German language. But in 1876 he left Germany and began ministerial service in connection with the liberal wing of the French Protestant Church. He was first pastor at Remiremont, 50 m. s.e. of Nancy. In 1882 he went to Paris. Beginning in a modest way, he won prominence and fame. Besides his strictly pastoral and preaching duties, he interested himself in the uplift of the working classes. With Paul Desjardins he founded "The Union for Moral Action," and cooperated in the university extension courses. He is the author of the following books: Justice (Paris, 1889; crowned by the French Academy); Jeunesse (1892); Vaillance (1893); La Vie simple (1895; crowned by the French Academy); Le Long du chemin (1896); L'Evangile et la vie (1897); Auprès du foyer (1898); Sois un homme (1899); L'Ame des choses (1900); L'Ami (1902); Histoire et farciboles (1904); Pour les petits et les grands (1907); Par la loi vers la liberté (1908). The following are the titles of the English translations of his works, arranged chronologically; places of publication, London and New York: Youth (1893); Courage (1894); The Simple Life (1903); The Better Way (1905); By the Fireside (1904); The Voice of Nature (1904); The Busy Life (1904); My Appeals America (1905); The Gospel of Life (1905); On Life Threshold (1905); Justice (1905); The Upright Life (1905); Towards the Heights (1906); Wayside Talks (1906); Home of the Soul (1909).

WAHABEES, wa-ha'biz: Adherents of a reforming sect of Mohammedans. The name is derived from that of the founder, Mohammed ibn Abd al-Wahab (b. in 1691 at Horemeleh, a town in the Nejd, Central Arabia; d. in 1787). In his early days he traveled extensively, perhaps as far as India; and, comparing Mohammedan life, practice, and theology with his reading of the Koran, he concluded that the essence of the faith was no longer held, its primitive faith no more maintained, and that most Mohammedans were idolaters. He therefore determined to attempt a reform which should do away with the accretions of creed and custom, and restore the religion to its primitive purity and simplicity. He began his preaching when he was about forty, polemizing against appeal to Mohammedan walis or saints, pilgrimages to the shrines, and paying honor there by prayers to or through the saints by dedicatory offerings. He emphasized abstinence from liquors and particularly from tobacco. With this went hatred of the Turks, the natural effect of which was that political consequences attended the results of the religious aspirations as the movement ultimately spread over nearly the whole of Arabia, excepting only its extreme borders, and even surging over into the Euphrates valley.

Interested in the movement was Ibn Saoud, who became patron of the founder of the sect and lent his arms to second the religious propagands. He reaped his reward in the founding of a kingdom which for a time covered central Arabia. His son, who succeeded in 1765, assumed the titles of imam and sultan. The progress of conquest went side by side with the preaching for half a century. By 1804 Mecca and Medinah were in the hands of the Wahabees, and pilgrimages to those places were permitted only to adherents of the sect. This was a direct challenge to the Sublime Porte, and, besides, aroused the animosity of the entire Mohammedan world. As a consequence the Turkish Government entrusted the curbing of Wahabee power to the Egyptian Mehemet Ali. Piratical operations on the part of some Wahabees brought about also intervention by the British government in the region of the Persian Gulf in 1810 and 1819. The campaigns covered eleven years, and not till 1818 was the political power of the Wahabees disintegrated. The remoteness of the Nejd, the focus of Wahabee feeling, permitted about 1840 a renascence of Wahabee politicalism, though on a much smaller scale. This region is still devoted to Wahabism, remaining nominally Turkish but practically independent, and ruled by two powerful sheikhs.

The essential contentions of the Wahabees, spart from those mentioned above as contained in the preaching of the founder, are rejection, as not binde decisions in canon law made by the orthoand also of ijma (see Mohammed, MohamM, V., § 1) except as embodied in the agreethe "companions" (of the prophet). The
that upon each Mohammedan devolves the
l privilege of constructing his own doctrine
Koran and from tradition in its strictest
s exceptes the Wahabees are extreme literThe theological influence of the sect is wideled, and even in India has been felt as a pomplication. But that influence is on the
the direction of purity and makes for the
nt of Mohammedanism and against its
cism. Geo. W. Gilmore.

PHT: D. B. Macdonald, Development of Muslim, Jurisprudence, and Constitutional Theory, pp. 83-285, New York, 1903; idem, Aspects of Islam, 285, ib. 1911; and the literature under Arabia; HAMMED, MOHAMMEDANISM.

, WILLIAM: Archbishop of Canterbury; ndford (16 m. n.e. of Dorchester), Dorset, 1656-67; d. at Lambeth Palace, London, 1736-37. He was educated at Christ Oxford (B.A., 1676), and after being orent to Paris in 1682 as chaplain to Viscount Here Wake came into close touch with m, for it was in that year that the famous deri Gallicani (see Gallicanism, § 2) was d, and it was thus that he gained his lastst in the French church, and came to inpopes of its ultimate union with the Anglith (see Unity of the Churches, A, 1, 1865 he returned to England with Viscount ind was later preacher at Gray's Inn (1688non of Christ Church, Oxford (1689-1705), erk of the closet and chaplain in ordinary m and Mary (1689), rector of St. James's, er (1693-1706), and canon residentiary and Exeter (1703-05). On Oct. 21, 1705, he ecrated bishop of Lincoln, and in Jan., the death of Thomas Tenison (q.v.), he was to the archdiocese of Canterbury.

ge of marked latitudinarianism Wake was er of the true principles of the Anglican her noblest attitude toward those without Toward Protestants, on the one hand, he teous and willing even to make certain ions in the Prayer Book to remove some honest scruples; and though he opposed elief and the repeal of certain clauses in the ion and Test Acts (qq.v.), his motive was ition to those things themselves, but alarm suspicious alliance with Bolingbroke and sts. In like spirit, he was eager for union Gallican church, to form, with the Anglipendent national churches; but submission he would not dream of. It was with union that he carried on a long correspondence is Ellies Du Pin (q.v.); it was on the ret of submission, set forth by Piers de Girarthe negotiations finally met with wreck. h noting that from this long correspondig the defense of Anglican orders by Pierre Le Courayer (q.v.). Wake himself was a champion of the historic position of the Church, and in a period which cared little

for such things he ardently advocated the value of patristic studies. As the more important of his writings the following may be noted: Exposition of the Doctrine of the Church of England (London, 1686), Defense of the Exposition of the Doctrine of the Church of England (1686), A Second Defense of the Exposition (2 parts, 1687-88; all these forming Wake's defense of Anglicanism against Jacques Bénigne Bossuet [q.v.]), Genuine Epistles of the Apostolical Fathers, S. Barnabas, S. Clement, S. Ignatius, S. Polycarp, the Shepherd of Hermas, and the Martyrdom of St. Ignatius and St. Polycarp (2 parts, 1693; 5th ed., 1817; reprinted in Lord Avebury's Hundred Best Books, 1893), The Authority of Christian Princes over their Ecclesiastical Synods Asserted (1697), Principles of the Christian Religion Explained in a brief Commentary upon the Church Catechism (1699; 13th ed., 1812), State of the Church and Clergy of England in their Councils, Synods, Convocations, Conventions, and other their Assemblies, historically deduced from the Conversion of the Saxons to the present Times (1703; a work that is still of value). A number of his polemics against the Roman Catholic Church are accessible in E. Gibson's Preservative against Popery (3 vols., London, 1738; new ed., by J. Cumming, 18 vols., 1848-49), Nature of Idolatry (ed. Cumming, vi. 148 sqq.), Real Presence and Adoration of the Host (x. 1 sqq.), Discourse of Purgatory and of Prayers for the Dead (xi. 1 sqq., 82 sqq.), and the Exposition and its defenses (xii. 47 sqq.). His correspondence with Du Pin was edited by "F. G." under the title D'un Projet d'union entre les églises gallicane et anglicane (Oxford, 1864).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: DNB, Iviii. 445-446 (with further literature); J. H. Overton, in Lincoln Diocesan Magazine, 1891; J. H. Lupton, Archbishop Wake and the Project of Union (1717-20) between the Gallican and Anglican Churches (London, 1896); J. H. Overton and F. Relton, English Church from the Accession of George I. to the End of the Eighteenth Century, London, 1906, pp. 21-29.

WALA: Abbot of Corbie. See Adalhard and Wala.

WALÆUS, wā-lê'us, ANTONIUS (ANTOINE DE WAELE): Dutch Reformed; b. at Ghent Oct. 3, 1573; d. at Leyden July 9, 1639. He was educated at the University of Leyden (1596-99); preached and lectured for a time at Geneva, and toward the close of 1601 returned to Leyden, where he was made one of the city preachers; he accepted a call to Koudekerke near Middelburg in 1602; was made chaplain to Prince Maurice, 1604; went as preacher to Middelburg, 1605, where in 1609 he was also appointed professor of dogmatics; he attended the Synod of Dort (1618-19) as representative of the States General of Zeeland, where he became a person of importance, being selected as one of the framers of the Canons of Dort; in 1619 he was appointed professor of theology at Leyden; in 1625 he collaborated in issuing the Synopsis purioris theologia, and was active in the new translation of the Bible made under the auspices of the States General.

Walæus was a Contra-Remonstrant and an opponent of Arminianism, but was more irenic in temperament than many of his contemporaries. His dogmatic position is shown by his Synopsis, Enchiridion Religionis Reformatæ, and his unfinished Loci

communes theologici: In the controversy on the proper observance of the Sabbath he wrote Dissertatio de Sabbatho, sive de vero sensu atque usu quarti pracepti (Leyden, 1628). Thirteen years earlier, he had opposed the views of Uytenbogaert (q.v.) on church government in his Het ampt der kerckendienaren, midtsgaders de authoriteyt ende opsicht, die een hooghe christelicke overheydt daer over toecompt (Middelburg, 1615). Walæus rendered valuable service also to Christian ethics by his Compendium ethicae Aristotelicæ ad normam veritatis Christianæ revocatum (Leyden, 1627). He did much for missions in the East Indies by opening, as early as 1622, a seminary in his house to train preachers. His name is still perpetuated by the "Walæus Seminary" in Leyden. His collected works were published after his death (2 vols., Leyden, 1647). (S. D. VAN VEEN.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: G. Bates, Vita selectorum aliquot virorum, London, 1681; C. Sepp, Het godgeleerd Onderwijs in Nederland gedurende de 16. en 17. Eeuw, Leyden, 1873-74; J. A. Grothe, in Berichte van de Utrechtsche Zendingsvereenigung, vol. xxiii., Utrecht, 1882; J. D. de Lind van Wijngaarden, Antonius Walaus, Leyden, 1891.

WALAFRID, va'la-frid (WALAFRIED, WALAHRID), STRABO: Theologian of the first half of the ninth century; b. in Swabia about 808; d. at Reichenau, an island in Lake Constance, Aug. 18, 849. He was at an early age admitted to the monastery of Reichenau, where he made great progress in his studies; later (826–829) he studied under Rabanus Maurus (q.v.), at Fulda; thence he went to the court of Louis le Débonnaire, becoming chaplain to the Empress Judith and tutor to her son Charles (the Bald). As a partizan of Lothair he received the abbey of Reichenau in 838, but was soon obliged to leave it; he was, however, reinstated in 842.

Walafrid's poems entitle him to rank as one of the classical writers of the Carolingian period. They include epigrams, eulogies, hymns, and two long poems on saints; the larger poem, written when Walafrid was eighteen years of age, describes a vision of the monk Wettin at Reichenau in 824, and is the earliest instance of versified "visions," which later became so popular. While at court Walafrid wrote De imagine Tetrici, inspired by the equestrian statue of Theodoric the Great before the palace at Aachen. His epistles, in hexameters or distichs, to princes and prelates are also of interest. His Liber de cultura hortorum is a poetical description of the cloister garden. Walafrid revised the biographies of the St. Gall abbots Gallus and Othmar. Special consideration is due to his De exordiis et incrementis rerum ecclesiasticarum (written 840-842, printed in Hettorp's Scriptores, Cologne, 1568), a compendium of Christian archeology in thirty-two books, still interesting because of its occasional addition of vernacular terms for the objects discussed. He took a middle course between superstitious iconolatry and Greek iconoclasm; his eucharistic doctrine was evidently not the transubstantiation of Paschasius Radbertus (q.v.), his famous contemporary. His chief renown was won by the great exegetic compilation in which he had the major part, the Glossa ordinaria. This, for nearly five centuries, served as the main source of Biblical science for the West, and was reissued again and again, usually with the work of Lyra, until the

seventeenth century. In the oldest edition (4 vols., n.p., n.d.) the Latin text of the Bible is surrounded by the glosses, a rich collection of citations from the Church Fathers elucidating the text. Between the lines of the text are brief scholia, written by Anselm of Laon in the twelfth century. Walafrid's own glosses are, in general, apt and scholarly. They include explanations of the names and problems which occasion them, though the majority are devoted to mystical-allegorical exegesis; several glosses, even from the same author, may be given on a single pas-The names of many of the authors cited are sage. given, the most frequent being Jerome, Gregory, Isidore of Seville, and Bede; Ambrose and Chrysostom are quoted more sparingly. Other names predominate in individual books; as Cassiodorus in the Psalms, Origen in Numbers, and "Esicius" (Hesychius) in Leviticus. Many glosses appear without These, it has been suggested, the author's name. were written by Walafrid himself, since his name ("Strabo") is frequently appended to glosses, especially in the first part of the work; these anonymous glosses have also been ascribed to his teacher Rabanus Maurus. (A. HAUCK.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Walafrid's Carmina, ed. E. Dümmler vith commentary, etc., are in MGH, Poet. Lat. evi Carol., ii (1884), 259-473; and the Opera are in MPL, cxiii. and cxiv. Consult: Historie littéraire de la France, v. 59-76. J. C. F. Bähr, Geschichte der römischen Literatur im kwiingischen Zeitalter, pp. 100-105, 217-219, 398-401, Carbruhe, 1840; C. P. Bock, Die Reiterstatue des Ongothenkönigs Theodorich. . . zu Aachen, pp. 1-160, Bonn, 1841; J. König, in Freiburger Diöcesan-Archiv-Organ . . de Erzdiöcese Freiburg, iii (1889), 317-464; A. Ebert, Migemeine Geschichte der Litteratur des Mittelalters, ii. 145-166, Leipsic, 1800; idem, in the Sitzungsberichte of the Saxon Academy, 1878, pp. 100 sqq.; NA, iv (1879), 270 sqq., xxi (1895), 301 sqq. (by Dümmler), x (1835), 166-169 (by J. Hümer), xxii (1896), 755, xxviii (1903), 507 (by P. von Winterfeld), xxvi (1901), 745 (by M. Manitius); J. von Schlosser in the Sitzungsberichte of the Vienna Academy, cxxiii (1891), 167-175; Hauck, KD, ii. 634 sqq.; Ceillier, Auteurs eacrés, xii. 410-417; Schaff, Christies Church, iv. 729-733; KL, xii. 1177-80.

WALCH, valh: A family of German theologians of the eighteenth century.

1. Johann Georg Walch: b. at Jena June 17, 1693; d. there Jan. 13, 1775; was educated at the University of Leipsic (1710-13), and at first devoted himself chiefly to classical studies. In 1718 he was appointed associate professor at Jena for philosophy and antiquities, becoming full professor of oratory in 1719, and professor of poetry in 1721. He took part in the philosophic movements of the time, writing his Gedanken vom philosophischen Naturell (1723), and aiding his father-in-law, Buddeus (q.v.) to attack the philosophy of Christian Wolf. In his Philosophisches Lexikon (1726) the dawning of rationalism may be discerned, and his acceptance of "natural theology," though with adherence to Lutheran doctrines, is also evident in his Einleitung in die Philosophie (Latin ed., 1738) and Observationes in Novi Testamenti libros, quarum prima pars ea continet loca quæ ex historia philosophiæ illustrantur (1727).

In 1724 Walch became associate professor of the ology, full professor in 1728, senior professor in 1750, and in 1754 ecclesiastical councilor for Saxe-Weimar. He wrote extensively on theology. First editing a compend of Buddeus' Institutiones dog-

723), he prepared for his own lectures an in die christliche Moral (Jena, 1757), and in die dogmatische and in die polemische rheit (2 vols., 1752-57). Further servhalf of theological literature are his ediosius' Introductio in notitiam scriptorum orum (1723); the still important Biblioogica selecta (4 vols., 1757-65); and Bibstristica litterariis adnotationibus instructa Ie edited the works of Luther (24 vols., 0-52) with valuable introductions and the of many documents of the Reformation fention should also be made of his Introibros symbolicos ecclesia Lutherana (Jena, l his edition, in German and Latin, of the s Konkordienbuch (1750). Inspired by Walch wrote, in 1724, his Theologische in die vornehmsten Religionsstreitigkeiten, 1 expanded into five volumes, under the rische und theologische Einleitung in die reitigkeiten, welche sonderlich ausser der -lutherischen Kirche entstanden (1733-36). ne time he began independently his still vork, Historische und theologische Einleit-: Religionsstreitigkeiten der evangelisch-lu-Kirche (5 vols., 1730-39). He was the o of Miscellanea sacra (Amsterdam, 1744); xlesiastica Novi Testamenti variis observalustrata (Jena, 1744); and Historia conrecorum Latinorumque de processione Spiti (1751). Though in early life inclined etism, and ever seeking to be just and imwas strongly opposed to the Moravians, trines were condemned by him in the opinted by his sovereign in 1747 (ed. J. P. 1751).

nn Ernst Immanuel: Eldest son of the b. at Jena Aug. 25, 1725; d. there Dec. He was educated at the university of his r, where he became privat-docent in exe-46, which resulted in his Einleitung in die der Evangelisten (Jena, 1749). In 1750 he nted associate professor and in 1755 full of logic and mathematics; in 1759 he beessor of oratory and poetry, in 1768 senior of the philosophical faculty, and in 1770 icilor. Walch devoted himself first to though after 1760 his interest in natural came predominant. He ever retained, an active interest in orthodox theology, spirit wrote Dissertationes in Acta Aposparts, 1756-66); Antiquitates nautica ex di Romano (1767); Antiquitates symbolica, ıboli apostolici historia illustratur (1772); mous Observationes in Matthæum ex Græcis ibus (1779); and the following works on as of the Christians: Marmor Hispania vexationis Christianorum Neronianæ inmentum (1750); Christianorum sub Dioı Hispania persecutio ex antiquis inus illustrata (1751); and Persequutionis um Neroniana in Hispania . . . uberior (1753).

tian Wilhelm Franz: Younger brother eding; b. at Jena Dec. 25, 1726; d. at Mar. 10, 1784. He was educated at the

University of Jena, where, after lecturing on exegesis, philosophy, and history until 1747, he was appointed associate professor of philosophy in 1750. He now accepted a call to Göttingen as full professor of the same subject, but from 1754 until his death was a member of the theological faculty, first as associate (1754-57) and later (1757-84) as full professor. He was able to find time for voluminous works and numerous occasional academic pamphlets; and he was active in the administration of the university. He became the senior professor of his faculty in 1766, and six years later was appointed British consistorial councilor. In his lectures he used many of his own text-books, among them his edition of his father's Theologiæ dogmaticæ epitome tabulis analyticis expressa (Jena, 1757); Compendium historiæ ecclesiasticæ recentissimæ (1757); Grundsätzs der natürlichen Gottesgelahrheit (1760); Grundsätze der Kirchengeschichte des Neuen Testaments (Göttingen, 1761); and Breviarium theologiæ symbolicæ ecclesiæ Lutheranæ (1765). He was a collector of data rather than an original thinker, but his work is still of value, especially in the domain of church history. His theological attitude was, in general, a moderate Lutheranism. His Geschichte der evangelisch-lutherischen Religion als ein Beweis, dass sie die wahre sei (Jena, 1753) is little more than the application of a narrow concept of divine providence to the origin and development of the Lutheran Reformation. His accuracy of investigation and his abhorrence of mere hypotheses are better seen in his more noteworthy works on church history, especially the Entwurf einer vollständigen Historie der Ketzereien, Spaltungen und Religionsstreitigkeiten bis auf die Zeiten der Reformation (11 parts, Leipsic, 1762-85). He maintained that there is no "necessary truth" in history, but only "chance changes of chance things," and that deductions from historical facts are admissible only when "physical or moral necessities" are present, these principles being urged both in his Gedanken von der Geschichte der Glaubenslehre (Göttingen, 1765), and Kritische Nachricht von den Quellen der Kirchenhistorie (Leipsic, 1770). He sought to find causes and sources partly in the tendencies, prejudices, and capabilities of persons, and partly in the external circumstances conditioning them; and his final judgment was based on the problem which side represented the truth and on the moral characters of the personages involved. In presenting his conclusions, moreover, he seldom failed to apply a lesson to the conditions of his time. Similar principles underlie Walch's Entwurf einer vollständigen Historie der römischen Päpste (1756; Eng. transl., Compendious Hist. of the Popes, London, 1759); Entwurf einer vollständigen Historie der Kirchenversammlungen (1759); Bibliotheca symbolica vetus (Lemgo, 1770); and Neueste Religions-Geschichte (in collaboration with others; 9 parts, 1771-83). His polemic against Semler and Lessing, the Kritische Untersuchung vom Gebrauch der heiligen Schrift in den vier ersten Jahrhunderten (Leipsic, 1774), is still of value as a collection of material. Besides his important Monumenta medii ævi ex bibliotheca regia Hannoverana (2 vols., Göttingen, 1757-64) and Philologische Bibliothek (1770 sqq.), Walch also wrote among other works: Antiquitates pallii philosophici veterum Christianorum (Jena, 1746); Historia canonisationis Caroli Magni (1750); Wahrhaftige Geschichte der seligen Frau Katharina von Bora . . . wider Eusebii Engelhards Morgenstern zu Wittenberg (2 parts, Halle, 1751–54); Historia Adoptianorum (Göttingen, 1755); Historia Protopaschitarum (1760); De symboli Athanasiani particulis quibus necessitas fidei catholica commendatur (1774); and Pseudoparakleton historia (1781).

Bibliography: On 1: A Jubelgedächtnis dedicated to him was published Jena, 1768. Consult: J. E. I. Walch, Leben und Charakter des . . . Johann Georg Wauch, Jena, 1777; J. G. Meusel, Lexikon verstorbener . . . Schriftsteller, xiv. 360 sqq., Leipsic, 1815; J. M. H. Döring, Die gelehrten Theologen Deutschlands, iv. 630 sqq., Neustadt, 1835; G. Frank, Die jenaische Theologie in ihrer geschichlichen Entwickelung, pp. 71 sqq., Leipsic, 1858; ADB, xl. 650 sqq.; KL, xii. 1182-83. On 2: ADB, xl. 652 sqq. On 3: G. Less, Dem Andenken des . . . C. W. F. Wauch, Göttingen, 1784; J. N. Pütter, Versuch einer akademischen Gelehrtengeschichte der Universität, Göttingen, i. 121 sqq., ii. 28 sqq., Göttingen, [1765; F. C. Baur, Die Epochen der kirchlichen Geschichtsschreibung, pp. 145 sqq., Tübingen, 1852; ADB, xl. 646 sqq.; KL, xii. 1183-85.

WALDECK-PYRMONT, val'dec-pir'mont: principality of the German empire consisting of Waldeck—a small state in North Germany lying between Hesse-Nassau and Wespthalia-and Pyrmont (about thirty miles to the north), surrounded by Hanover, Lippe, and Brunswick; area 433 square miles, population (1905) 59,127, of whom 56,341 are Evangelical Christians, 1,890 are Roman Catholics, 259 are of various denominations, 629 are Jews, and 8 are not placed as to religious belief. No conversions to Roman Catholicism are reported, but three have joined churches other than the national church. The Old Lutherans, numbering about 520, have several congregations but only two ministers in the principality, and the relations with the state church are friendly. The total number of comchurch are friendly. The total number of com-municants is 40,984. In type of theology the principality is conservative, holding fast to the old ideals. Philanthropy flourishes in the form of the Sophienheim at Helsen, and a hospital and deaconess' home at Arolsen, the gifts of the late Princess Helene. Religious influence is marked also in connection with education, Luther's Catechism being

The church order of the Lutheran type dates from 1556, undergoing revision in 1640 and 1731, and the Reformed religion has never been strong, even the rationalistic movement having little real influence here. Consistorial direction partakes a little of the Episcopal type. Changes were made in 1873 which brought the administration into line with the German states, progressive changes have been made since, and further advance is under discussion. The consistory is in two parts, each consisting of a layman and two clergymen, and there are four superintendents. The synod has sixteen members, two elected by the district synods, and two appointed by the prince, and meets every three years. The district synods meet yearly, and are composed of equal numbers of clergy and laity. The sanction of the prince is required for legal measures.

Under the influence of rationalism the old church

order of service went to pieces. A liturgy was introduced in 1888, but has not met general acceptance. It is hoped that the present confusion will be ended and uniformity brought about by use of the treasures of the past. (Victor Schultze.)

Bibliography: L. Curtze, Geschichte der evangelischem Kirchenverfassung in dem Fürstentume Waldeck, Arobeca. 1850; idem, Die kirchliche Gesetzgebung des Fürstentume Waldeck, ib. 1851; E. Friedberg, Die geltenden Verfassungsengesetze der evangelischen deutschen Landeskirchen, pp. 82-8 sqq., Freiburg, 1885; I. Freiensen, Staat und katholische Kirche in den deutschen Bundesstaaten, Stuttgart, 1901; V. Schultze, Waldeckische Reformationsgeschichte, Leipsie. 1903.

WALDEN, JOHN MORGAN: Methodist Episscopal bishop; b. at Lebanon, O., Feb. 11, 1831. H.e. was educated at Farmers' (now Belmont) College: near Cincinnati, O. (A.B., 1852); was principal of the preparatory department of the same institution (1852-54), and was engaged in editorial work until 1858. Prominent in his advocacy of temperance reform as early as 1847, he was also bitterly opposeto slavery, and in 1857 founded at Quindare, Kan. . . a paper to promote free state principles, while in the same year he was a member of the Topeka (Kan _) legislature, and in 1858 was elected to the Leavernworth Constitutional Convention. Returning to Ohio in 1858, he entered the Methodist Episcopen I ministry, and held pastorates in the Cincinnati cornference until 1864, while from 1862 to 1866 he was corresponding secretary of the Western Freedmen "s Aid Committee, in which capacity he took an active part in sending teachers to the freedmen in the Mississippi Valley. In 1866-67 he was corresponding secretary of the Freedmen's Aid Society of his denomination, of which he has since been president, and from 1868 to 1884, after being presiding elder of the East Cincinnati district in 1867-68, was agent of the Western Methodist Book Concern, Cincinnati. In 1884 he was elected bishop, and in this capacity has visited the churches and missions of his denomination throughout the United States, Europe, and Asia.

WALDEN, ROGER: Archbishop of Canterbury; b. some time before the middle of the fourteenth century; d. at Much Hadham (7 m. n.e. of Hertford), Hertfordshire, Jan. 6, 1406. Of his early life and training nothing is known, but in 1371 he was incumbent of St. Heliers, Jersey, and was later rector of Fenny Drayton, Leicestershire, and Burton in Kendale, Westmorelandshire. In 1387-95 he was archdeacon of Winchester, but his talents were preeminently secular, and he held also a number of political appointments. He was later secretary to Richard II., in 1395 became treasurer of England and dean of York, and in 1397 was appointed by the pope to the archbishopric of Canterbury, succeeding the banished Thomas Arundel (q.v.). On Arundel's return the pope quashed his appointment, and for a time Walden was confined in the Tower on a charge of conspiracy against Henry IV. He was soon released, however, and in 1405 was formally consecrated archbishop, but lived to enjoy this honor only a few months.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: DNB, lix. 24-26.

WALDENSES.

History.
and the Poor Men (§ 1).
mbard Humiliati (§ 2).
sion (§ 3).
rd Secession (§ 4).
Method, and Government of
Poor Men.
ter and Rule (§ 1).
ing and Scripture (§ 2).
is; Government (§ 3).
icient Waldenses.
imbard-German Branch bethe Reformation.

In Italy (§ 1).
In Germany, Bohemia, Poland, and Hungary (§ 2).
Internal Development (§ 3).
Organization (§ 4).
Persecutions (§ 5).
V. The Romance Waldenses after the Reformation.
Entrance into the Reformed Body (§ 1).
Literature (§ 2).
The Waldensian Reformed (§ 3).
VI. Present Conditions.

State of Affairs in 1848 (§ 1).
Education in the Piedmont Valleys
(§ 2).
Philanthropic Work and Statistics
(§ 3).
Waldensian Emigration to France
(§ 4).
The Waldensians in North and South
America (§ 5).
Missionary Work in Italy (§ 6).
Waldensian Churches in Italy (§ 7).
Educational and Philanthropic
Work in Italy (§ 8).
Missionary Work Outside Italy (§ 9).

History: Under the name Waldensesvariants Valdesii [the modern Vaudois], Leonistæ (of Lyons), Insabbatati, Sab-Xabatati, Ençabots (sabot, "shoe"), , Sotularii, and Cotularii—Roman Cath-" shoe "), nical writers after about 1180 opposed body of preachers whose origin they to a Lyons merchant named Valdes 'aldo), Valdesius, Valdexius, or Gualdensis. While, however, at first only o the French members of the organiza-; tion called their body Societas Valden. sana, or Socii Valdesii, the official name of the society was Pauperes spiritu 1 Spirit "); or, later, Pauperes Christi; or ruperes, with or without the additions de or de Lombardia. The society itself gave y no information concerning its founder, it he was a man of reckless determination, he died before 1218; and the sole source of e consists, therefore, of Roman Catholic s of the twelfth and thirteenth centuries, wo anonymous writers of Laon and Passau en of Bourbon. According to the anonyter of Laon, Waldo heard, one Sunday in pril of the famine year (1176), a traveling inging on the street the last stanzas of the of St. Alexis [who had given away his and gone on a pilgrimage to the Holy d thereby had won great peace]. He im into his house and on the following asked a theologian the shortest and best od. The answer was that of Christ to the ig man. Waldo, giving a portion of his to his wife, sold the remainder, bestowing er part of the proceeds on the poor; and ing the balance upon the street, he begged soon afterward took a formal vow of povthe following year he was joined by others and gradually the "poor men" began to the sins of both themselves and others. In of 1179 Waldo went to the Lateran Coun-1e, where Alexander III. confirmed his vow y, but forbade him and his companions to iless expressly invited by the priests. This bserved by the Waldenses, but finally they the mandate, only to be involved in ruin fault. Stephen of Bourbon, on the other ribes Waldo's conversion to his curiosity. f the Gospels, he had two priests translate him. In like fashion, he later obtained r versions of many other books of the Bible

and of the sayings of the saints. He now resolved to practise apostolic poverty, sold his property, threw the money in the mire, and began to preach in the streets. He was soon joined by many uncultured men and women, but all being unlettered, they taught many errors. They were accordingly forbidden to preach by Jean aux Blanches-Mains, archbishop of Lyons, but they persisted and were banned and expelled. In 1179 they were cited to appear at Rome, where, proving obstinate, they were declared to be heretics. The anonymous writer of Passau relates that the sudden death at a meeting at Lyons of one of the majores so shocked Waldo that he gave his property to the poor; taught them to imitate the voluntary poverty of Christ and the apostles, and forthwith began to translate the Bible into the vernacular. It is clear, moreover, from the account of Walter Map, that the followers of Waldo, when examined in connection with the Lateran Council, displayed utter ignorance of the simplest Christian teachings so that they were at once forbidden to preach. The anonymous writer of Laon, furnishing the most elaborate, immediate, and probable source, followed by Stephen, it may be concluded that the Waldenses originated according to the facts stated by the former; that, turning voluntarily to the Lateran Council (1179), the pope refused them the privilege of preaching; that, continuing, Pope Lucius III., instigated by Archbishop Jean of Lyons, issued against them, from Verona, the bull Ad abolendam, Nov. 4, 1184; and that the archbishop expelled them from Lyons toward the end of 1184, or at the beginning of 1185.

Meanwhile the Waldenses had gained a momentous advance elsewhere. In the spring of 1179 the Lombard Humiliati (q.v.) likewise sought at Rome to have their statutes confirmed and to be allowed to preach and hold religious gatherings.

They were, however, also refused, and the similarity of their aims and fortunes led to a fusion of Waldenses Humiliati. The latter recognized Waldo as leader, and assumed the name

Pauperes spiritu, and the customs of apostolic living and preaching abroad, and impressed on their new allies their distinctive custom of uniting those brethren who felt themselves unfitted for preaching and pastoral care into ascetic companies of laborers. A second branch of Waldenses was thus established in Lombardy, their chief center being Milan, where in 1209 they numbered over a hundred. They were also in Cremona (1210), Bergamo, and, at least as

missionaries, in a number of towns in northern and northwestern Italy. They were in Strasburg (1211), Bavaria and Austria (1218), and in the diocese of Treves and the region surrounding Mainz (1231). The determined effort to suppress heresy, then made throughout middle and southern Germany, was directed primarily against them. Meanwhile, the French Waldenses had extended their territory, so that it became necessary to take measures against them in Toul (1192), Metz (1199-1200), and Liége (1203). They were also present in Flanders at the beginning of the thirteenth century, but the south remained their chief field of operations. In Languedoc they engaged the attention of the bishops as early as the ninth decade of the twelfth century, and they soon caused commotion in Aragon and Catalonia. Here and in Languedoc they were, in all likelihood, most widely spread, numerous, and influential about the close of the twelfth and the beginning of the thirteenth century. They were drawn chiefly from the laity of the bourgeois and peasant classes, though a few priests and men of culture, and even monks, were to be found among them.

The papal ban (1184) had empowered the authorities of both Church and State to proceed against the Waldenses. In 1194 Alfonso II. of Spain issued an

edict that all who should harbor, give food and drink, or even listen to the Waldenses should be punished by confiscation of property and prosecuted for

lèse majesté, while any injury might be inflicted on the Insabbatati save death and mutilation. In 1197 Pedro II. renewed this edict, with the added clause that Waldenses should be burned wherever taken, this forming the first public document in which death by burning was prescribed by the State for How far the mandate was enforced is unheresv. certain, but in Germany about eighty members of the sect were burned at Strasburg in 1211. In their chief missionary centers, France and Italy, they were treated with more leniency. At Milan Archbishop Philip seems to have contented himself with razing their school, and in Pinerolo a vain effort was made to induce the inhabitants to refuse to receive them. In France only some of the bishops at first proceeded against them, and these with such moderate measures as summoning before the courts or burning their translations. Not until the Albigensian war broke out in southern France were bloody persecutions inflicted. Seven were burned at Maurillac in 1214. Throughout this first generation of the sect zealous efforts were made to reclaim them gently, or at least to refute their peculiar tenets; and Bernard of Fontcaud, Alanus ab Insulis, and Eberhard of Bethune then composed their works against the adherents of Waldo. In Languedoc there were attempts to reconcile them with the Church by means of religious colloquies at an unknown place previous to 1191, and at the castle of Pamiers in 1206. At the latter the Waldensian Duran of Huesca agreed to submit, provided he might retain his habit and his mode of life, and the Church was soon able to form from reconciled Waldenses a new brand of poor preachers, the Pauperes Catholici (q.v.), who, it was vainly hoped, would render valuable service in combating the Waldensian heresy.

At a very early date dissensions arose. Waldo vainly demanded the dissolution of the associations of laborers. He permitted the dissolution of marriage in case one wished to join his ranks, while the Lombards were of the opinion that the consent of the wife was necessary. The Lombards, because of his is sistence, desired to become independent

4. Lombard of him, and have a leader of their own.

Secession. The result was a crisis, which reached its climax about 1210, and a final rupture took place between the two bodies, the Lombards choosing their own leader in the simple and unlettered Giovanni di Ronco. These internal dissensions probably explain why, at this period, the set made so slight a resistance to Roman Catholic effort for their conversion, and why it now lost so man the members, particularly of the more cultured class.

This loss, and the considerable success of the Paul

This loss, and the considerable success of the Pauperes Catholici made the more moderate spirits in both factions anxious for reunion, and the death of both leaders opened the way. In May, 1218, therefore, six delegates from both sides met at Bergamo. Generous concessions were made to the Lombards, but two points the Waldenses would not yield: Lombard recognition of Waldo and his otherwise unknown colleague Vivet as "blessed"; and the surrender of the distinctively Lombard sacramental doctrine, for which only toleration, not acceptance, had been asked. The Lombards refused to comply on these two points, and negotiations were accordingly broken off, never to be resumed. Both were guilty of narrowness, yet the final cause of the division is to be found in the fact that the Lombards were already an organized community with fixed regulations and self-consciousness when they joined

the Waldenses.

II. Ideal, Method, and Government of the Poor Men: That the purpose of Waldo was a return to apostolic poverty, with a general revival of apostolic life based especially on Matt. x., is firmly established. The dearth of direct information concerning his regulations finds, however, a certain degree of compensation in two indirect

1. Character sources: the statements regarding the and Rule. French and Lombard "poor men" in later times; and the authentic data afforded by Innocent III. concerning the Pauperes Catholici, to whom the pope left, so far as possible, their old usages and organization. Inasmuch as all regular intercourse was broken off permanently, it may be considered a rule that all institutions and practises found in later times common to both Waldenses and Lombards date from before the schism. The "society" of the "poor in spirit" was primarily nothing but an ascetic association of men and women who renounced the world, formally vowed to practise apostolic poverty and the apostolic calling, and wore as an outward symbol the apostolic habit. They alone, later called in the Lombard-German group also "masters," "apostles," and even lords," were members of the "society"; the recent converts and "friends" who remained in the world had no share in their privileges and duties. By the excommunication of the society its character changed long before the schism; and Waldo, who had already claimed recognition as a bishop, and

who had asserted the power of consecrating the Eucharist, prepared the way for the transformation of his following into a sect or antichurch, a tendencypresent already in 1184. Under the pressure of persecution even the "friends" felt themselves actaries, and became increasingly merged with the main body of Waldenses, although the distinction between the two classes was never forgotten by Roman Catholic writers. The condition for admisson to the "society" was, from the first, "conversion," in its monastic sense of renunciation of the worldly state and vocation and personal property. and the dissolution of a previous marriage. Reception into the community seems originally to have followed directly; but even before the schism a period of probation was required of one or two years in the Geman-Lombard division, and of five or six in the French. This period was devoted especially to comnitting the New Testament to memory, as well as ther books of the Bible; and at its conclusion the monthly was ceremonially admitted, making at first, robably only among the "brothers and sisters," he following vows: perfect poverty, rigid obedience f the precepts of the Gospel, and the wearing of the postolic habit. Previous to the schism the vow of clibacy seems also to have been exacted, while later with Lombards and Waldenses admitted only the mmarried. Finally, the novice pledged himself to complete submission to his superiors. The "aposolic habit "apparently consisted at first of a simple roolen cloak. Originally the "poor men" went mrefoot, but at least before 1194, they began to war a sandal, cross-tied and supplied with a small neckle or shield on the instep, whence their nickumes. Considerable significance was attached to he andal, and to proffer it and put it on came later » be a part of the solemn rite of reception. Thus uttred, the "poor men" roamed, two by two, as randering preachers from city to city, imitating lake x. 1. They were forbidden to earn their living y their own labors, receiving their food and other recessities from their friends (cf. Matt. x. 10 sqq.; I br. ix. 7 sqq.), and at first returning alms given a money. From the very first they attached high raine to abstinence, fasting on Mondays, Wednesby, and Fridays; and they were equally devoted o prayer, though, except for the blessing at meals, bey used only the Lord's Prayer (in Biblical strict-Es). At first they utterly disregarded the canonal hours, but later they prayed seven times daily. It a very early time, moreover, probably under the whence of the Cathari (see New Manicheans, II.), by refused every form of oath (cf. Matt. v. 34 99), abhorred every falsehood as a mortal sin, and undemned shedding of blood, even in a righteous wor in capital punishment (cf. Matt. v. 21 sqq., vii. aq.). They held their chief duty to be preaching, bough after being excommunicated they began to w confessions and to celebrate the Lord's Supper, well as to ordain by prayer and laying on of hands. # before the schism they had apparently deterned to celebrate the Lord's Supper only once a w, on the evening of Maundy Thursday (q.v.), en it should be celebrated by a bishop. In France ras apparently the custom, from an early time, to take of fish as well as of unleavened bread and wine at this celebration, and the power of healing the sick was soon attributed to all these elements.

The preaching of the "poor men" was very simple, normally consisting only of exhortations to repentance and the recitation of long passages from the Bible in the vernacular. From the beginning

of the thirteenth century, at latest,
2. Preach- they laid special stress on the prohibiing and tion of oaths, falsehood, and the shedScripture. ding of blood (cf. Matt. v. 21 sqq., vii.

1 sqq.). The heresies alleged by their opponents to exist among them only served to intensify their emphasis upon the preaching of repentance and the assertion of their undertaking against the hierarchy, holding, namely, that, (1) masses, alms, and prayers do not avail the dead; (2) purgatory does not exist; (3) episcopal indulgences are invalid; (4) obedience is due only to those good priests who live the apostolic life; and (5) that merit is more essential to consecrating, blessing, binding, and loosing than office or ordination." The poor men" doubted the efficacy of sacraments, especially the Eucharist, administered by unworthy Roman Catholic priests; and they held that prayer is more efficacious in the closet than in the church, besides contesting the peculiar sanctity of the sacred places of the Church. For all their doctrines and distinctive usages they at first gave formal proof by reference to the Bible: e.g., for lay preaching to James iv. 17; Rev. xxii. 17; Mark ix. 38-39; Phil. i. 15; Num. xi. 29; for the admission of women as preachers to Titus ii. 3-4, and the example of Anna (Luke ii. 36-38). While they did not avoid citing Roman Catholic writers occasionally, from the very first they adhered with the extremest rigidity to the minutest and most literal precepts of the Bible. They laid special stress from the beginning on the possession of the Scriptures by the laity in the vernacular. As early as 1179 Waldo seems to have had almost the entire Bible in Provençal, and this was very likely used by his adherents in Catalonia. Aragon, northern France, and Lorraine, and even Lombardy. In Germany, on the other hand, the Bible was translated anew. Many misunderstandings were more than probable; yet, in spite of not always realizing what the text meant, entire books were memorized and orally repeated. Even among the "friends" were some, who, though illiterate, could repeat the words of Christ, the forty Sunday gospels, and even Job and the entire four Gospels.

At first the Waldenses went about publicly in their apostolic habit, preaching in the streets, markets, and even churches. These practises they were able to keep up in Languedoc till late in the thirteenth century, but elsewhere persecution soon

3. Missions; obliged them to lay aside their habit and Government. to prosecute their activity in secret.

They now went disguised as pilgrims, palmers, artizans, or laborers of various kinds, sometimes carrying different costumes with them. Wherever they could find a hearing, they sought to convert some from the world, i.e., to induce them to join them, while their other adherents, or "friends," they urged to hold regular conventicles, and particularly to abstain from oaths and the shedding of blood. In Lombardy the "friends" were at first

advised to enter one of the associations of laborers at Milan and elsewhere, and these associations and conventicles, sometimes erecting their own buildings, formed initially the fixed centers of Waldensian missionary activity. To these were added in the German-Lombard section, in the thirteenth century, studia or "hospices," in which the "converts" were trained and the preachers entertained. The laborers' associations, special objects of mistrust, apparently disappeared before 1218, but the other two institutions of conventicles and studia long lived on. [In the Rescriptum (of 1218) of the Poor Men of Lombardy to the Poor Men of Lyons, the former still plead for the toleration of the Congregationes laborantium on condition that abuses and vices be abolished. A. H. N.l Until the secession of the Lombards the government of the Waldenses rested in the hands of Waldo, who was regarded as bishop and supervising head. It is evident that after 1184 and before 1210 the society resolved to create anew the three orders of bishops, priests, and deacons. It then recognized Waldo as bishop, and he ordained other "poor men" as presbyters and deacons. The reason for this step was doubtless distrust of the sacramental ministrations of Roman Catholic priests, and these three offices were retained in accordance with the "law of God" in the Bible. Waldo was clearly præpositus or rector and bishop until the secession, after which the Lombards apparently continued the monarchical system; and till the end of the fifteenth century they had a summus pontifex, who, after the second half of the fourteenth century, resided in Apulia or middle Italy. There is mention of several Lombard bishops in Lombardy and Germany about 1266. In France, about 1218, there is no evidence of a monarchical rector, only of two "procurators" chosen annually. Toward the end of the thirteenth century, however, a major minister chosen for life was to be found in France, together with other bishops, or majores, who conferred ordination, but exercised no administrative functions. From all this it is evident that the episcopal dignity conferred by ordination was at first not necessarily joined with the rectorate, which was subject to the election of the assembly and its regulations. Yet it was deemed important that the rector possess also consecration as bishop, which seems always to have been the case in Lombardy. The first exact information concerning the powers and duties of these incumbencies is contained in French sources of the late thirteenth and early fourteenth centuries. The deacon (also called minor; in Germany junior) was simply the servant of the presbyters, bishops, and rectors; and when the "poor men" went out in pairs, one was usually a presbyter and the other a deacon. Originally the deacon also had the right to preach and hear confession. The presbyter was empowered to preach in the district assigned him by the rector, to hear confession, and to pronounce the blessing at meals. Later he could also confer ordination if no bishop were present. In the Lombard-German Waldenses all consciousness of distinction between the orders of bishop and priest had vanished in the fifteenth century. The bishop, later called major or majoralis in France, likewise had the right to cele-

brate the Lord's Supper and to confer ordination. The rector or propositus, later called major omniums or major minister in France, had, in addition to him episcopal functions, the prerogative of convening and conducting the assembly which met once our twice annually; and in France he might also preach everywhere and grant absolution. The rite of ordination for all three grades was simply confession of sins (lacking among the Lombard-Germans), the Lord's Prayer, and laying on of hands. In France all "poor men" were at least deacons about 1320 In addition were the "sisters"; but these were never very numerous, and in France, about the beginning of the fourteenth century, it was resolved to admit no more sisters, since they could hold no spiritual office; while in the Lombard-German district the lived in the hospices by the close of the thirteent. century, having given up itinerant preaching. There was likewise a controversy between the Lombards and Waldenses concerning the "ministers," but Bergamo it was decided that these officials should be chosen by the assembly either from the recent comverts or from the "friends," and either for a term or for life. [The question at issue in 1218 between the Poor Men of Lombardy and the Poor Men of Lyons was whether prepositi (or bishops) should be appointed by the former. Waldo, considering his own headship sufficient, had positively refused to allow the appointment of such officials either by the Italians or the French in his own lifetime or even after his death. It was agreed between the parties that prepositi might be appointed for life (eternaliter) or rectors for a time, as might seem more useful or conducive to peace. A. H. N.] These "ministers" were evidently not part of the three spiritual orders of the Waldenses, but were chosen by the assembly to conduct the conventicles of "friends" and the associations of laborers, and to aid the itinerant apostles. It thus becomes clear that before 1218 the attempt was made to organize the "friends" of the Waldenses. Majores, bishops, presbyters, and deacons had no fixed residence, but once or twice each year all, or all the older members, of the sect seem to have convened in a commune, or assembly, called by the Roman Catholics "council" or "chapter." So long as Waldo was recognized by the Lombards, this assembly was overshadowed by him, but after the schism it became a prominent feature in the administration of the society in Lombardy, as it did in France after the death of Waldo. This assembly decided on the admission of new members; chose presiding officers and "ministers"; determined who should receive ordination to the various grades of its clergy; exercised discipline; considered the general condition of the sect, and received a report from each member concerning the state of the work in his missionary district; and later ruled concerning the use of the alms and funds contributed by the "friends." As the missionary field of the sect grew, it became no longer possible to convene all members, so that from more distant regions three or four delegates were considered sufficient.

III. The Ancient Waldenses: After the schism of the Lombards the old Waldenses were restricted to their early missionary districts in Aragon, Cata-

hois France, and Lorraine. [It is not likely that either party had regard to national or geographical bounds. A. H. N.] In the two regions first named persecutions by Church and State continued, and in the thirteenth century all traces of the Waldenses vanished from Spain, and in the thirteenth century they disappeared from Lorraine and Flanden. In the Franche Comté, Provence, and Languedoc, however, they were so numerous in 1248 that Count John of Burgundy deemed himself able to cope with them only by means of the Inquisition. They were in conflict with the Church in Valentinois and Provence until the second quarter of the fourteenth century; but as late as the first quarter of the mme century their great missionary district was Languedoc, where repressive measures failed to diminish their activity or to disperse their "friends," who were sometimes able to form, both there and in Provence, small congregations with cemeteries of their own, as at Montauban, Montcucq, and Gourdon. After the inquisition of Peter Cella (1241-42), however, the "poor men" and their "friends" wee gradually dispersed even in Languedoc, so that by the beginning of the fourteenth century they had become a secret organization, and dedied in the course of this and the following century. The internal conditions of the sect during its period of decline are revealed fairly well by the protocols of the Inquisition, and by Bernardus Guidonis. The meety preserved, so far as possible, its old customs and regulations. As consequences of their conflict with the Church and the Cathari, the Waldenses had shandoned their apostolic habit, and the Church they regarded as the "Church of the wicked" and a "house of lies" because its members were permitted to take oaths and its priests were not bound to apostolic poverty. They denied the right of excumunication and enforcing obedience, and contested the right of Roman Catholic priests to administer the sacraments. They also denied the mincles of the saints, and rejected their invocation, though not the cult of the virgin; and they observed m feasts only Sundays, the days of the virgin, and sometimes the days of the apostles and evangelists. Nevertheless, to escape suspicion, they attended church industriously, sought the favor of priests and monks, and did not hinder the "friends" from conlession to Roman Catholic priests. No longer a preaching association with a missionary activity within the Church, the French central affiliation became a sect or anti-church prevented from schism and independence only by the untoward circumw Waldenses, and only the descendants of parents who were "believers" were eligible for the "poor" class or the perfecti. The training imposed for the order of "poor men" consisted successively of five or ix years of study, ordination as deacons, and about we invariably by ordination as deacon, which was regarded as more important than the profession of rows. Women were no longer admitted to this orier. The powers and duties of the officers were losely defined with a major minister at the head bosen for life. A catechism, apparently transmitted ally from generation to generation, consisting of seven articles on God, seven on man, the Decalogue, and the seven works of mercy, was arranged.

IV. The Lombard-German Branch before the Reformation: The Lombards successfully advanced into Italy, Germany, Bohemia, Poland, and Hungary. In Italy, Milan remained their headquarters and Lombardy their chief missionary district. By 1235, however, the persecution of heresy had begun, on a large scale, though how far the "poor men," who had imitated and borrowed much from the Cathari, despite their opposition to them, were affected is uncertain. At all events, their organization was not destroyed by 1266, when

1. In Italy. the assemblies could be held more frequently in Lombardy than anywhere else. Yet by that time the greater amount of money for the support of the clergy came from Germany, thus showing that the German Waldenses were then more numerous and stronger than the Lombard. In the course of the fourteenth century the Lombards seem to have died out in their original center; but as early as the previous century the "poor men" had found asylum in the Alpine valleys of western Piedmont and the neighboring Dauphiny. A tradition of the fifteenth century would have them come from France, crossing the Cottian Alps. However, the resemblance and close connection with the German Lombards, contradicts that tradition. Doubtless the movement entered not by migration but by missionary proselyting among the inhabitants on both declines of the Cottian Alps, who were originally sprung from an East Provençal stock. The dialect of the Waldensian literature supports this view. Precisely when this mission began is uncertain, but the sect was widespread in the valleys on both sides of Mont Génèvre by the beginning of the fourteenth century. By the end of this century Waldenses occupied not only the so-called Waldensian valleys, but they were to be found in the numerous villages in the valleys of Susa and the Sangone, and in the cities of the neighboring plain, Pianezza, Castagnola, Moncalieri, Carmagnola, Chieri. In the course of the same century there were also two southern colonization districts in Calabria and Apulia. The first group of towns were said by Waldensian tradition of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries to have been founded about 1315 or 1370 at the request of a Calabrian noble, by Waldenses from the Cottian Alps. The accuracy of this tradition is questionable, though the names Borgo d'Oltremontani and Guardia Piemontese, where Waldensian is still spoken, show that these towns owed their origin to the Waldenses. About 1400 some of them are said to have been driven from Provence to Apulia, where they founded the four towns of Monteleone, Faito, Cella, and La Motta Montecorvino, while a century later others were said to have founded the city of Volturara; but it is shown again that Cella and Faito had been in existence in the twelfth century, and had received Provençal colonists in 1345 or 1347, but not Waldenses. However, they were certainly both numerous and influential in Apulia in the fourteenth century, so that about 1380 their summus pontifex was residing there, and was still receiving moneys from Piedmont in the middle of the fifteenth century. In their travels from Calabria and Apulia to the Alpine valleys, the Waldensian apostles evidently made missionary efforts in central Italy, thus explaining the communities found in the fifteenth century in the States of the Church, including Umbria, Tuscany, and Romagna. These communities seem to have been especially numerous in the duchy of Spolato, and small Waldensian conventicles were also to be found in Camerino, Ancona, Perugia, Bologna, Lucca, and Florence. Even Rome contained one, but the conventicles then existing at Genoa and elsewhere in Liguria were apparently survivals of the old Lombard mission. The most remarkable proof of the energy of the Italian Waldenses, however, is, that in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries they carried their propaganda into the territory of the French "poor men." The occasion was likely the colonizing, by the Barons Bouliers, of a few Waldensian families of Saluzzo on the north bank of the Durance in France, who may have been tracked by the apostles. It is uncertain whether they found remnants of old French communities in their labors in Provence, Valentinois, Vivarais, Venaissin, Auvergne, Limousin, and Bordelais; but at all events they were able to gather a series of conventicles in Auvergne, Valentinois, and near Trévoux, north of Lyons, and even to hold an assembly in Lyons, May 31, 1492.

In Germany occurred the first execution of Waldenses en masse, at Strasburg, in 1211 (ut sup.); and in 1231-33 took place there the first general persecution. Nothing was now heard of them for a long time in central Germany, but in upper Germany they soon again attracted attention. They were encountered in Constance in 1243, and in Hall in Swabia in 1248 they dared openly to defend the ex-

communicated Emperor Frederick II.

2. In and to brand Pope Innocent IV. as a
Germany, heretic. In Bavaria and in Upper and
Bohemia, Lower Austria they spread so quickly,
Poland, and despite incessant bloody persecution,
Hungary. that about 1260 the Inquisition found

Waldensian schools in forty-two parishes of Upper and Lower Austria; while in 1315 heretics were found in thirty-six places between St. Pölten and Traiskirchen, the "poor men" themselves then estimating the number of their followers in the duchy of Austria at more than 80,000. Meanwhile they had also found their way into Bohemia, Moravia, Silesia, Meissen, Brandenburg, Pomerania, and Poland. By the end of the fourteenth century they were in a series of places in Hungary, and even in Transylvania. Half a century later the sect was first noticed in the duchy of Saxe-Wittenberg and in the district of Magdeburg, and twenty years later in what is now Mecklenburg-Schwerin. In southern Bohemia the Waldenses formed entire villages in the German colonies near Neuhaus, about 1340, and in Moravia they were so numerous that the Church almost despaired of overcoming them. In Brandenburg, Pomerania, and Mecklenburg no less than 443 persons were accused of the Waldensian heresy in 1393-94; and the sect seems to have been a regular concomitant of German colonization in the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries. The Waldenses were equally active in the interior of Germany. In the last decade of the fourteenth century the Inquisition discovered them in many towns beside Erfurt, Mainz, Nuremberg, and Regensburg, and in all Upper and Lower Austria, Salzburg, and Styria. In Swabia, Augsburg was an early center of the sect, and they were found in Ulm, Donauworth (twentysix executed in 1393), and other towns. On the Upper Rhine among the notable places which they occupied were Strasburg, Hagenau, and Speyer; and in Switzerland, Basel, Solothurn, St. Gall, Ben, Freiburg, Neuchâtel, Lausanne, Vaud, and other. Records are wanting of their presence only in the Tyrol, in the Rhine valley north of Bingen with its lateral valleys, Lower Saxony, Frisia, Holstein, and, for a long time, the Netherlands. The Waldensians drew their recruits chiefly from the lower classes. In Upper Germany they were especially influential among the cloth-makers, but only a few of the degy or of the cultured classes joined their ranks. Among their patrons and adherents, however, were not seldom those of knightly position or high office, so that as diligent artizans and colonists they received open favor in the margravate of Saluzzo, the Montagne du Luberon, Apulia, and Calabria. Among their "friends" were representatives of the higher classes, especially in the cities of Swabia, Franconia, and Bavaria, as well as in Bern and Freiburg in Switzerland.

The Lombard Waldensians developed their organization from an ascetic band of preachers to an antichurch or sect as quickly as their French brethran.

As early as 1260 they and their
3. Internal "friends" formed, even in Germany,
Development. a loose but practically organized secret
church, which considered itself the only

Church of Christ, occasionally termed entrance to its number true baptism, and thus implied what it explicitly declared in the fourteenth century, that outside of it there was no salvation. It accordingly declined all the claims, hierarchy, and worship of the Roman Catholic Church, designating it, as early as about 1240, as the great beast of the Apocalypse, and declaring that it had ceased to be the Church of Christ when Pope Silvester, the first anti-Christ, received the donation from Constantine. The Waldenses protested against all privileges of rank, clerical prerogatives, the titles of pope and bishop, priestly despotism, all incomes and endowments of churches and monasteries, the division of the land into dioceses and parishes, against councils and synods, the whole system of ecclesiastical courts and penalties and of marriage law, the celibacy of the clergy, and the like. They also rejected, at least after the fourteenth century, monasticism in all its forms; the system of religious instruction; the mystical interpretation of the Scriptures; all ordinations and acts of worship not explicitly directed by the Bible; all church fasts and feasts excepting Sundays and sometimes Christmas, Easter, Ascension, Whit sunday, and the feasts of the apostles; the blessing of all articles such as candles, palms, water, and the use of articles thus blessed; the blessing and dedication of churches, cemeteries, pilgrims, and the like; the churching of women; and pilgrimages, processions, organs, bells, spires, canonical hours, the whole Latin liturgy, and all else appertaining to the externals of worship. More emphatic was their conden-

nation of the cult of images, relics, saints, and the Virgin, but most productive of offense were their gree strictures upon the sacraments of the Church. Beginning about 1240, with the denial of the efficacy of moraments administered by evil priests, the radical faction, assuming that all Roman Catholic priests were evil, proceeded to renounce Roman Catholic baptism as unnecessary; infant baptism as worthless; confirmation and extreme unction sperfluous; and the Eucharist, ordination, and penance as administered by the Church, futile. "friends," with the moderates, did not always follow to these extremes, and the Waldenses only very seldom attacked belief in the sacraments itself. This extreme radicalism of the Lombard Waldenses was due, in all probability, to the influence of the Cathari; and the similarity of the two sects occasionally led to their formal confusion, as in the sect of the Piedmontese Martino de Presbytero, which occupies a prominent place in the acts of the Inquisition in 1388. Dogma was not yet the prominent feature in Waldensian preaching, which was mostly content with inculcating abstinence from oaths, falsehood, war, and capital punishment. Masses, prayers, and offerings for the dead were declared futile, and purgatory was denied. Foremost was the admonition of the two ways (Matt. vii. 13-14). In Italy and Germany, for preaching and the instruction of the elders, there were, in addition to the Bible, (1) an anthology entitled, Verba sanctorum Augustini, Hiermymi, Ambrosii, Gregorii, Chrysostomi, et Isidori (such a collection was already in the hands of Waldo); (2) Liber electorum (probably called also Liber jus-(3) the "Thirty Steps of Augustine," a Exectate on the virtues and vices; (4) Septem articuli Fide, perhaps identical with the seven articles on God the French Waldensian catechism (ut sup.); and (5) a "Rule," with data concerning the origin of the Sect, apparently transmitted only orally. The German Waldenses of the thirteenth century possessed also vernacular poems, which seem never to have been committed to writing. In the fifteenth century the German Waldenses had interpretations of the Gospels and Pauline epistles in the vernacular, though these were probably from the work of some Roman Catholic author and restricted to the lessons of the Church. The Italian Waldenses evidently possessed a number of books previous to 1368, but after that date had scarcely more than the Bible and the Liber electorum. In the sixteenth and seven-teenth centuries the Waldenses of the Cottian Alps had a regular Bibliotheca Waldensis, but of its contents it is known that a small portion alone dated from the pre-Reformation period. To this portion belonged at least a tractate Vertucz; the Doctor and Vergier de consollacion, both anthologies; Glosa pater noster, an exposition of the Lord's Prayer, probably translated from some Roman Catholic author; and Cantica, a translation of a Roman Catholic commentary on Canticles in seven books (the first of which is lost), with a few specifically Waldensian additions. This commentary was probably prepared in the Cottian Alps or in Provence toward the end of the fifteenth century, as also the *Penilenca* and *Pecca*. An essentially Waldensian work was the great *Nobla leycon* (ed. E. Montet, Paris, 1888), a poem of 479 duodecasyllabic verses, written by an author of some theological training, probably in the Cottian Alps after 1231. It is a missionary sermon in verse after the order of the minstrels, reviewing the contents of the Bible under the threefold head of "the law of nature, the law of Moses, the law of Christ." The other didactic poems were probably likewise of the thirteenth century: namely, La Barca; Lo Novel Sermon; Lo Novel Confort; Lo Payre Eternal; Lo Despreczi del mont; L'Avangeli de li quatre sementz; and the corrupt L'Oraczon. In Germany, as among the French Waldenses, the Lord's Supper was celebrated in the fourteenth century annually on Maundy Thursday, but in the following century this usage disappeared and the masters were confined mostly to hearing confession. In the Cottian Alps, on the other hand, as well as in Provence, Apulia, Calabria, and middle Italy, the independent celebration of the Lord's Supper lasted longer. In the fifteenth century the Waldenses of the Cottian Alps and middle Italy no longer all received the Eucharist from Roman Catholic priests, but took the bread consecrated by their "barbs" (clericals). But after the great persecution of 1487-1494, it was received only from the priests of the Church, except at clerical ordinations the communion was celebrated in the ancient Waldensian fashion down to the sixteenth century.

Waldensian organization underwent an important change in the fourteenth century, when the German branch separated from the Italian, ceasing to have official relations with the Italian bishops and rector,

and regulating its affairs henceforth by its own assemblies, which were held by preference in the large cities at the time of the annual fairs. The Germans did

not, however, elect a rector, for in Germany his influence had always been weak and the masters had become accustomed to act on their own responsibility. In all probability there was no general Waldensian assembly in Germany, and no general organization. At the same time there was frequent intercommunication between all the conventicles of Germany, nor were relations with the Lombards entirely broken off. In Italy the strong central organization was maintained until the Reformation period. In the fourteenth century the three orders of clergy were found both in Italy and in Germany, but in the following century they disappeared from both lands. [It seems hardly probable that so radical a change should occur in the polity of so conservative a body within so brief a time. A. H. N.] The only ordination then known was that received at reception into the sect, precedence within the body being determined solely by seniority. At the same time the position of the "juniors" corresponded in a sense to that of the French deacons, and the "seniors" to the French presbyters. In Germany all members of the sect were termed masters, while in Italy they were called "barbs" (East Provençal barba, "uncle"). The mode of the life of the Waldenses, who received in Italy a new name at their ordination, was practically that of the early period. The system of training was carefully regulated. In Germany the pupil must study with a master for a year or two. He was then ordained, but must still

work under the supervision of a master from six to nine years before he could hear confession. In Italy, the chief source of recruits in the fifteenth century being the peasantry, the candidates must first learn to read and commit to memory the Gospels of Matthew and John, as well as several of the New-Testament epistles. This consumed two months of each winter for three or four years, after which the candidate studied and practised manual labor for a year or two in one of the sister houses. He was then ordained, but must still act for years as the assistant of an older "barb." The sisters seem to have been used in missions in Germany as late as the fifteenth century; while in Italy they then lived as virgins in the houses and hospices which sheltered the "barbs" and their pupils. There were also "friends" who, in Germany, raised contributions for the masters, and in Italy also occasionally aided the "barbs" in hearing confession, and in preaching. The masters were well supported by the collections and the small confessional gifts. The Waldenses never ceased to be itinerant preachers; so that in Germany, toward the end of the fourteenth century, they changed their scenes of activity every year or two, and in Italy, as late as 1530, every two or three years. They held an assembly regularly each year. Meetings in Germany and the Cottian Alps occurred almost invariably at night, in a private house or barn, and admission was by a countersign. In Germany, Apulia, Calabria, and other Piedmontese colonies, the Waldenses attended Roman Catholic worship regularly; and only where they were in the majority, as in the Cottian Alps, did they and their "friends," before 1487, dare for years not to confess and commune in the Roman Catholic churches, which they there avoided altogether.

To understand the inner history of the Waldenses in Germany and Italy it must constantly be borne in mind that they were outlawed from 1231, and had to be prepared at every turn for a fresh persecution. After the great persecution in 1231 they

seem to have been disturbed only lo-5. Persecutions. seem to have been disturbed only locally, about 1260, in Bavaria and Austria, and perhaps also in Bohemia, Moravia, and the neighboring Hun-

garian districts. At the beginning of the fourteenth century the persecution started anew in the same districts, spreading, by 1313, to Silesia, and, about 1330, to Poland, Hungary, Brandenburg, Thuringia, and Franconia; but the next general suppression, including also Switzerland, was inspired by Gregory XI. (1370-78). Here such energy was displayed by the inquisitors Petrus Zwicker and Martin of Amberg that these regions long remained unaffected by the Waldenses. It was not until the third decade of the fifteenth century that the surviving associations again dared to make their presence known, being encouraged in such places as the Swiss Freiburg by the long respite, and inspired elsewhere by the Hussite propaganda. In Bohemia, Moravia, and the neighboring Austrian districts they seem to have been incorporated with the Hussites, so impressing their peculiar tenets as to produce a distinct body, the Bohemian Brethren (q.v.). These seem to have sought to attract to themselves all the Waldensians in Austria, Moravia, and Bohemia, though

with imperfect success, for some of the Waldens even then would not surrender their formal union with the Church. This conservative party seem gradually to have died out. In Swabia and Fan conia the Saxon noble, Johann Drandorf (burner 1425), and Peter of Turnau (burned 1426), south to attach the regular Waldenses to the Hussites more successful was the Hussite Bishop Friedrick Reiser (burned at Strasburg, 1458), especially a Nuremberg, Würzburg, Schweinfurt, Heilsbrom Strasburg, Basel, and other parts of southern and central Germany. Yet though many of the Wal denses thus recognized the Hussites as brethren, the did not themselves become Hussites, their adherence consisting merely in deeming Wyclif, Huss, and Jerome of Prague to be Christian teachers, allowing Reiser and the Bohemian Nikolaus Pilgram to ordain priests, from whom they received the communion in both forms. However, they surrendered absolutely none of their own tenets, and Reiser's propaganda accomplished no more than the endeavor of Peter Chelcicky to convert the Hussites to Waldensian doctrines. Nevertheless, the union between the two sects became so close that when, in 1479, a fresh attempt was made to suppress the Waldenses in Uckermark and Neumark, they decided to emigrate to Bohemia and Moravia; some settled in Fulnek and Weisskirchen in Moravia, and others in Landskon in Bohemia. From this time nothing more is heard of German Waldenses, and it can only be conjectured that the sect still lingered on in Egerland and Voigtland. None the less, the influence of the Waldenses lived on, both in the tenets and customs of the Bobemian Brethren, and in the theories. of the Anabaptists, for whom they were the forerunners throughout Upper Germany and Austria. In Lombardy the persecutions, which began in 1231, did not achieve their ends until the close of the fourteenth century. In the valleys on the eastern slopes of the Cottian Alps the Inquisition began its work at latest by the end of the thirteenth century, and on the western side by 1289; but real severity first began in 1332. The instigation of Gregory XI. took effect also here. In the French valleys the soul of the movement against the Waldenses was the Minorite Francesco Borelli, who had 169 burned at one time on July 1, 1380; but the Dominicans in the Piedmontese valleys were less zealous, besides being checked by the secular offcials. Equally fruitless was the effort of the Spanish Dominican Vincente Ferrer (q.v.) in 1403 to win back the inhabitants of the Vals Louise, Argentière, and Freissinières. In 1412, therefore, the Inquisition resumed its activity in the western valleys, though with little success; but in 1434 it was replaced in Bardonnèche, Oulx, Exilles, and elsewhere by the secular arm, so effectively that the Waldenses emgrated in large numbers. In France, on the con trary, they were protected for a time by Louis XI who sought to check all exercise of ecclesiastical dis cipline; but against the chicanery of the incens archbishop of Embrun and the offended provinci boards of Dauphiny his attempted protection w vain and the accession of Charles VIII. brought w it a fresh persecution transcending in extent a horror all that had hitherto befallen the Walden of the Cottian Alps. A crusade was now preach em at the direction of Innocent VIII., and e auspices of the archdeacon Alberto de of Cremona, papal legate for the terri-Charles I. of Savoy, the assault was opened ously in the dioceses of Vienne, Sitten, and , and in Piedmont, Dauphiny, and the marf Saluzzo. In the Val Angrogna successnce was offered and Charles was induced, r 1489, to suspend the war in Saluzzo and ; but in Dauphiny greater success was obhere from 1488 the crusading army coerced enses of the Vals Pragelas, Cluson, Freis-Louise, and Argentière. Those who reyal either sought refuge in the high valleys nd Bardonnèche, or returned secretly to homes after the storm had subsided, so 195 fresh processes were resumed against al Pragelas, and in 1506 in the Vals Argen-Freissinières. In Saluzzo the widow of ave expelled the Waldenses from the upper the Po in 1509, but they returned three r and even gained absolution from Leo X. iny only the Val Louise was really cleared aldenses. In Piedmont they had proved , and they were not even disturbed in their 1 Provence, Calabria, Apulia, and middle Lombardy they had completely disapid they were practically destroyed in Gerritzerland, Hungary, and Poland. The Waldensians put to death can not be aply estimated, but was very high. More than the steadfast were those who reder pressure, only to return to their faith. had to be prepared for the worst, for most of death seemed to have fallen on these. e also guilty of violence, as in Austria the priests and monks, and in the Cottian Alps ial of the Inquisition (1374), and more freok bloody revenge upon renegade masters is who had turned spies and informers quisition.

Romance Waldenses after the Reformaer Apr., 1523, Guillaume Farel (q.v.) lathe Protestant cause for a time at Gap ny, and though he was soon expelled, the begun by him quickly reached the Walthe Cottian Alps. Within a few years, by of the "barb" Martin Gonin, a Proteson arose, especially among the Waldenses ice; and in the summer of 1530 two "barbs," George Morel of Val Freisce sinières and Pierre Masson of Burgundy, were sent across the Alps to d confer with Farel. Morel, who possessed a fair education, also conferred with Berthold Haller in Bern, with dius in Basel, and with Butzer and Strasburg; and on his return was so enerhalf of the Protestant cause that Farel and estants of French Switzerland were forited to visit his coreligionists at their at Angrogna in 1532. Farel accepted, ith Anton Saunier and Robert Olivétan. nated the assembly, as is shown by their n of their distinctive doctrines. The docction and the Zwinglian doctrine of the

Lord's Supper were officially adopted and the only distinctive tenet retained was the prohibition against war. They, accordingly, ceased virtually to be Waldenses, and became merged in the Upper German and Swiss faction of the Protestants. As a result, however, the Waldenses became divided into the Protestant and the old-school factions. In the Cottian Alps the Protestant faction prevailed without serious antagonism, but in Provence the old-school Waldenses did more than protest, for late in 1532 or early in 1533 the two "barbs," Daniel de Valence and Jean de Molines, went to Bohemia for help. The moral support of the Bohemian Brethren they received, but to no purpose; for the assembly of Val San Martino, Aug. 15, 1533, explicitly confirmed the resolutions of Angrogna. The Protestant party now proceeded to carry the Reformation through everywhere in closest harmony with Farel and his followers. The new faith spread most rapidly in the colonies of Provence and Venaissin, where, by 1535, some 10,000 Protestant Waldenses, exhausted by the persecutions of Church and State, were ready to emigrate to Protestant Germany. But in 1545 troops were sent against them by the president of parliament, Jean Maynier, seigneur d'Oppède, which destroyed twenty-two villages and put to death 4,000 Waldenses, only about an equal number escaping to Germany and Geneva. In the Cottian Alps, under Saunier's influence, the Waldenses decided in 1532 to have the Bible printed in French (see BIBLE VERsions, B, VI., § 3). In consequence the Waldenses of this district now received French pastors from the Academy of Lausanne, who gradually remodeled their services after those of Geneva; induced them to erect their own churches from 1555, as well as to receive communion in both forms (to the number of 6,000 at Angrogna); and in 1559 drew up at Turin a creed based on the Gallican Confession (q.v.). When, moreover, Piedmont was restored to Duke Emanuel Philibert by the peace of Cateau-Cambresius, Waldensian refusal to receive Roman Catholic priests caused the duke to send troops against them in Nov., 1560. Such was their persistence in petty warfare, however, that by the peace of Cavour (June 5, 1561) the duke was constrained to grant them limited toleration in a series of places in the valleys of Luserna, San Martino, and Perosa. The congregations of these valleys and of Cluson and the margravate of Saluzzo were accordingly able to form an organization modeled after the statutes of Geneva at the synods of Angrogna (1563) and Villar (1564); and on Nov. 11, 1571, they formed a league to resist all infractions of the peace of Cavour. In Calabria and Apulia the Waldenses were less fortunate, and it was not till 1556 that the former appointed their own pastors and administration of the sacrament. For this they were formally extirpated in 1560 by Spanish troops under the auspices of the grand inquisitor Michele Ghislieri (later Pope Pius V.). In eleven days in June, 2,000 persons were put to death, 1,600 were imprisoned, and others were condemned to the galleys. The Apulian Waldenses, who had thus far prudently held themselves in retirement, now fled in larger numbers to Geneva, though the majority, intimidated by the slaughter in Calabria, reentered the Roman Church.

After 1571 there remained of the old Waldensian communities within the bounds of the present kingdom of Italy only those in the margravate of Saluzzo and the so-called Waldensian valleys; and these were no longer Waldensian, but a part of the Calvinistic division of Protestantism.

When Daniel de Valence and Jean de Molines were defeated at the assembly of Val San Martino, on Aug. 15, 1533, they are said, on good authority, to have made away with all ancient Waldensian manuscripts and memoirs that they could secure. In the so-called Waldensian manuscripts, however, there is

extant an entire series of treatises

2. Literature.

extant an entire series of treatises
doubtless modeled on Czech originals.
Here belong the following: Ayezo es la
causa del nostre departiment de la gleysa

romana (based on the "Grounds of Separation' Luke of Prague, q.v.); De li sept sacrament, Purgatori, Dejuni, De las invocacions de li sant (all revisions of chapters of the Confessio Taboritarum of 1431); De la potesta dona a li vicari de Christ (literal translation of a portion of John Huss's Tractatus de ecclesia); Las Interrogacions menores (revision of the catechism of the Bohemian Brethren); a fragment of a treatise on anti-Christ; and probably the Epistola al Lancelau. All these were apparently translated and adapted by Daniel de Provence and Jean de Molines, who sojourned, on their mission, six months in Bohemia. Five of them are extant only as integral parts of the voluminous Tresor e lume de fe, preserved in manuscript at Geneva, Cambridge, and Dublin, and also containing the treatises Articles de la fe, Li Commandament, Penitenca, and De l'oraçon dominical. The first formulary of the Articles is not of Bohemian, but of Waldensian origin, while the remainder of the treatise, like Li Commandament, is demonstrably drawn from the Somme le roy of the Dominican Laurentius. It is to be concluded that manuscript Cambridge B was the original one, and among those which Daniel and Jean removed; that the prose works in the Waldensian tongue for a very considerable part originated from these two after Aug., 1533; and that the collection and preservation of fragments of the ancient Waldensian literature are quite or wholly due to these two "barbs," especially since, for a long time, the Reformed Waldenses had no interest in the ancient language and its literature.

The history of the Waldensian Reformed of Dauphiny and Provence forms part of the history of the Reformed Church of France; only the development of the Reformed communities in Piedmont, which have retained the name of Waldenses, need here be considered. Outside of the terri-

be considered. Outside of the territory covered by the peace of Cavour Waldensian they were gradually driven from the Reformed. valleys of De Queryas, Barcelona, Mattias, and Meana, and out of the eight localities in Saluzzo after its annexation to Savoy in 1603. Propaganda failing to render the government pliant, Charles Emanuel II. decided upon

ment pliant, Charles Emanuel 11. decided upon force in 1655, only to arouse such commotion in the Protestant world that, at Cromwell's request, Mazarin induced the duke, in August, to grant peace and amnesty. Feeling that the terms of the peace were not observed, the Waldenses rebelled in 1663, and within the year forced the duke solemnly to ratify

the above treaty. Shortly after the revocation of the Edict of Nantes, in 1686, Victor Amadeus II., in agreement with Louis XIV., issued a decree forbidding the Reformed faith in his dominions, requiring all the Reformed preachers and teachers to leave his territories within fourteen days; and empowering the Roman Catholic clergy to baptize and educate all Reformed children in the tenets of that church. The Waldenses again resorted to arms but were defeated. More than 3,000 fell in battle; over 5,000 were taken prisoners; their churches were razed; and their property was confiscated. At the intercession of the Protestant powers, the duke permitted some 2,500, who had been condemned to prison or the galleys, to emigrate, the great majority finding refuge in Ger-Though apparently exterminated in Pielmont, they did not abandon hopes of regaining their old homes, and in the summer of 1689, in the confdence of William III. of Orange, the preacher Henri Arnaud collected 800-900 Waldenses and Huguenots on the shores of Lake Geneva and marched by devious roads to Piedmont. Here in the mountains he waged so stubborn a contest against fifty times his number that the duke broke off his alliance with France and on June 4, 1690, freely permitted all Waldenses and French refugees to return to the valleys, besides releasing all their fellow sectaries who were still in prison or in the galleys. The Waldenses who had fled to Germany now flocked back to Piedmont, but on July 1, 1698, at the instance of Louis XIV. the duke issued a patent forbidding the Reformed in the valleys from having any religious association with French subjects and ordering all French refugees to leave the country within two months. In 1698-1699, therefore, over 2,500 Reformed were forced to emigrate, the majority finding a new home in Germany, especially in Württemberg. The scattered colonies joined in a synod numbering fourteen churches and 4,000 members in 1716. In Piedmont, meanwhile, repressive measures were still enforced despite the protests of Protestant powers, though it was only in the Val Pragelas that real severity was exercised. On June 20, 1730, the duke ordered that all who had been born or baptized in the Roman Catholic Church before 1686, or who had been Roman Catholics after 1696, but had subsequently apostatized, must either become Roman Catholic within six months or leave the country. The latter was preferred by 850, of whom 400 went to Holland, while the remainder were received in French or Waldensian colonies in Germany. During the Napoleonic invasion of 1799 the Waldenses had equal rights with Roman Catholics, and their clergy even received an annual subvention of 13,000 lire. the return of the house of Savoy, however, conditions changed; and in Jan., 1815, Victor Emanuel I. withdrew the subvention and renewed all previous restrictions, though in the following year he removed some of the most burdensome, and even gave each of the Waldensian clergy an annual stipend of 500 lire. Nevertheless, it was not until the act of emancipation promulgated by Charles Albert on Feb. 17, 1848, that the Waldenses permanently secured all civil rights. The history of the Waldenses. 1526-1848, is the account of a continuous strife with the house of Savoy, and that they were not annihi

due to their heroic steadfastness as well as al support of the Protestant world. Cromd them from total destruction in 1655 and a collection which reached the amount of ehimself contributing £2,000. William of tonly assisted their grand return in 1689, he French Revolution the crown of Great stained the preachers and teachers of idensian churches. Holland in 1731, for collected 308,199 florins, not to mention nts of money and asylum given by the rinces. Even in the first half of the century the Protestant powers entered lliance with Alexander I. of Russia in the Waldenses. From the sixteenth

the Waldenses. From the sixteenth sey were specially cherished and shielded thetic Protestant Europe; because they monly looked upon as the only survivals angelical primitive Christians of apostolic I to protect them was deemed a sacred

outbreak of the great persecution of 1654, 14 churches and pastors with 16,000 memis was reduced in 1699 to 13 churches and ,000 members; but this membership had to 19,710 in 1829. Their organization was that of Geneva. The highest governing the synod, and in the interim between government was conducted by a commit-The Table," consisting of three clericals lay deputies after 1823), led by a moderere was no liturgy until 1829, except the riss formularies. The language employed rvices was originally the east Provençal the Cottian Alps, but after the death of ty of their pastors from the plague in 1630 replacement by French ministers, French ituted for Waldensian. Schools were to n all Waldensian communities as early as in the eighteenth century a Latin school ed at Torre. The period of the Enlightis as prejudicial to religious life in the valwhere, nor was there a revival of spiritual the Waldenses until the third decade of enth century. With the proclamation of emancipation in 1848 the Waldenses not ed liberty, but aspired to fresh opportunihe first synod (Aug. 1-4, 1848), the evanof Italy was assumed as an aim, and the is made gradually to replace French by the language of instruction and worship. Waldensian theological school was founded 'ellice, but was transferred to Florence in the synod of 1855 the confession of 1655 ed and a new constitution was adopted. ensian Church is now an Italian church, s a Protestant propaganda not only t Italy, but also among Italian emigrants 3. The Waldensian colonies in Germany all distinctive characteristics. In Würt-Il the Waldensian congregations became ed in the national Lutheran Church in in only two localities in Württemberg, rres and Neu-Hengstett, does the Wallect partially linger to the present day.

(Н. Вёнмен.)

VI. Present Conditions: The conditions of the Waldenses on the eve of their emancipation in 1848 were most precarious. Although not persecuted openly by sword and fire, they were subjected to many wrongs and indignities. They were excluded from practising any liberal professions, such as those of medicine or law, and the humbler trades alone were open to them. Children under

were open to them. Children under

1. State of ten were frequently abducted; the
Affairs in universities were closed against stu1848. dents from the valleys; and Waldensian conscripts were kept in the lowest ranks. It was forbidden to open new places of
worship; most of the cemeteries were unenclosed.
The censorship of books circulating among them
was very strict, and the Waldenses were prohibited
from settling outside of their own narrow valleys.
The act of emancipation, promulgated Feb. 17,
1848, by King Charles Albert, brought this intol-

ing the dawn of a new epoch in their history. In their native valleys, the number of the Waldenses has not increased because the poverty of the soil and unbearable economical conditions, as well as new opportunities, have driven thousands to foreign lands, but their social and intellectual conditions are far better than before 1848. They pride themselves on saying that no Waldensian man, woman, or child over six years of age is illiterate, and that no beggar is to be seen in their valleys. Through the interest of General John Charles Beck-

erable state of affairs to a close and granted the Waldenses all civil and religious liberties, thus mark-

with (q.v., Appendix) a school is in 2. Educaevery hamlet, and for a higher education in the tion the Collegio Valdese in Torre Pel-Piedmont lice, founded by William Stephen Gilly, valleys. canon of Durham, who paid a first visit to the Waldenses in 1823, and

whose Narrative of an Excursion to the Mountains of Piemont roused wide-spread interest and gained to the cause Beckwith, who must be regarded as their greatest benefactor. He settled among them in 1843, and, after a most useful career spent in their behalf, died in Torre Pellice July 19, 1862. The Collegio Valdese, where boys and girls are admitted when they are through with the elementary schools, and where they receive instruction for eight years, opens the way to all the university careers. There are now about one hundred students with a staff of eight professors, and the institution is recognized by the Italian government. Torre Pellice is the capital of the Waldensian valleys, not only because the college is there, but also because there is the largest church, and in that city there is held every year, during the first week in September, the General Synod of the Waldensian Church. The house where the synod meets was built in 1889, when the Waldenses celebrated the bicentenary of the "glorious return" of their forefathers to their native valleys, and to its erection King Humbert I. of Italy contributed personally \$1,000. There is the synod hall; the library, which has over 40,000 volumes, many of them rare and valuable; the museum of Waldensian history, with interesting relics; the offices of the ruling body of the Church, called La Vénérable table vaudoise; and the offices of the Waldensian historical society, an institution founded in 1889. From the college many young men have gone forth, entering various branches of activity. Lawyers, physicians, professors, business men, and officers in the army and the navy may be found in many cities of Italy who have had their early training and inspiration in that institution.

The valleys are also the center of a great philanthropic activity. There are two general hospitals, one at Pomaretto, in the Val San Martino, and a larger one in Torre Pellice, toward whose erection even Czar Alexander I. contributed. The orphanage for girls in Torre Pellice can accommodate forty-five inmates, and in Luserna San Giovanni is the only home for incurables in Italy. It was founded some twenty years ago, and has accommodited.

dations for fifty patients. The condition of admission is that the patients thropic Work and The institution makes no distinction Statistics. of nationality or creed, and patients

come even from Switzerland or from Sicily. The orphanage for boys in Turin has thirty inmates, the homes for the aged in San Germano and San Giovanni contain some fifty people, and the deaconesses' institute in Turin, the aim of which is to train nurses for hospitals and kindred institutions, has a good number of pupils. All these institutions are partially endowed and supported by the voluntary contributions of the Waldenses. The Waldensian valleys, which form the first of the seven districts into which the whole Waldensian Church is divided, have seventeen churches with nineteen pastors: Prali, Rodoretto, Massello, Perrero-Manigha, Villasecca, Pomaretto, San Germano, Pramollo, Prarostino, Pinerolo, Toriyo, Luserna San Giovanni, Torre Pellice, Villar Pellice, Bobbio Pellice, Roria, and Angrogna. The latest statistics for the valleys give 19 pastors, 190 teachers, 3,932 children in the Sunday-schools, 12,213 church-members, and 96,400 francs as church contributions. French is spoken as well as Italian by the Waldenses, and two weekly papers are published in Torre Pellice, L'Echo des vallées and L'Avrisatore alpino. In the Italian Parliament one of the members is a Waldensian.

On account of the knowledge of French which even the less-educated Waldenses possess, it was natural, after the narrow limits of their valleys had been thrown open by the act of 1848, that they should make their way toward France in order to

better their economical conditions.

4. Walden- Very few settled in the great cities of sian Emi- Italy, but France, being a most regration to sourceful country, attracted them.

France. Thousands of Waldenses are to be found in Marseilles, Toulon, Cannes,

found in Marseilles, Toulon, Cannes, Nice, and even in Paris. Many a Waldensian who has been a waiter in fashionable restaurants and hotels in those cities is now at the head of important business firms. In order to keep together and help each other, these "children of the valleys" have organized, in all those cities, societies called Unions vaudoises, which celebrate two dates every year as most important, Feb. 17, commemorating the act of emancipation, and Aug. 16, the departure of their

forefathers, in 1689, from Praugins for their native valleys. In Marseilles the Waldenses attend the French Reformed churches; in Nice, there is a strong Waldensian church with a pastor from the valleys.

It was, however, across the ocean that the Waldenses had to develop the energies of their race and build up strong colonies. In 1859, through the interest of Frederick Henry Pendleton, chaplain of the British embassy in Montevideo, a group of Waldensian families settled in Uruguay and founded Colonia Valdense. They were followed by other, year after year, so that there are now no less than seven regularly organized churches, five in Uruguay and two in Argentina, viz.: Colonia Valdense, Comopolita, Artilleros, Belgrano, Lavalle San Salvador, Tarariras-Riachuelo, and Iris. The latest statistics for the seven colonies give 7 pastors, 1,716 church-members, 668 Sunday-school children, and 42,242 francs as church contributions. A college,

5. The waldense, has been founded in Colonia Valdense, with forty-two waldenses students, and the institution is helped in North financially by the government of Uruand South guay. Many groups of Waldense, America.

180 families, are scattered throughout Argentina and Uruguay, and are visited periodically by the pastors. A monthly paper in Spanish, La Union valdense, is published to keep the people together. In the United States there are three colonies distinctly Waldensian: at Wolf Ridge, near Gainesville, Tex., with some ten families; at Valdese, N. C., founded in 1891, with 42 families and over 200 people; and at Monett, Mo., with 25 families, founded in 1886. Through hard work and perseverance these farmers are now in prosperous circumstances. They have joined the Presbyterian Church, although the services in the churches at Valdese and Monett are still held in French. Groups of Waldensian families are to be found in Chicago, California, and elsewhere, and there are four families at Hawthorne, near Ottawa, Canada In New York, where there are no less than 350 of them, mostly young men and young women, they have organized Le Groupe vaudois and meet regularly for their services on Sunday afternoons. They have a pastor from their valleys. There are, altogether, no less than 12,000 Waldenses outside of the valleys of Piedmont.

General Beckwith is to be considered the promoter of the missionary work of the Waldenses. Having long been convinced that the Church of the valleys was the divinely predestined instrument for giving the Gospel to Italy, as soon as the political restrictions that had been so long im-

6. Mission-posed on the Waldenses were removed, ary Work he wrote to the "Table," the governin Italy. ing board of the Church, emphatically urging them to undertake active mis-

urging them to undertake active must sionary work. The first step taken by the Waldenses in this new field was to erect a beautiful church in Turin in 1853, having secured permission to build through Count Cavour, who was their friend. The clerical party strongly opposed such a grant, and it was for the Waldenses the first vioe enjoyment of their newly acquired reerty. The Waldensian church of Turin wo pastors and 700 church-members, and s yearly 63,000 francs. About the same ation was begun in Florence under the two pastors, but the grand duke of Tusptly banished those brethren, while seven und by the police studying the Bible in a use were exiled for a year. As soon as became part of the united kingdom of rever, the work was resumed, and the n faculty of theology, which had been in-1 Torre Pellice in 1855, was transferred e in 1860 in the famous palace that bemerly to Cardinal Salirati, and which secured through the interest of the mine Scotch church in Leghorn.

re now two Waldensian churches in Florof which is self-supporting), as well as gical faculty with three professors and students. The curriculum is for three n the students usually take a post-grade in some foreign university, as at Edinere they receive a scholarship; at Berlin,

where a bursary is provided by the Hohensollern family, or at Geneva. After one or two years as probationers under the care of an elder pastor, the candidates to the ministry are ordained at the age of twenty-five. In Florence, e Palazzo Salirati, is the printing-press of n work of the Waldenses, known as La Claudiana, which publishes a monthly agazine, La Rivista cristiana, and supurches with religious literature. In fifty ociety has circulated about 102,880 books 2,000,000 religious almanacs, and 2,773, New Testaments, and portions of the

In 1860, when southern Italy and ler Garibaldi, became part of the united f Italy, work was begun in Naples, Pa-I Messina with much success by Rev. ppia, a Waldensian pastor, who later bester of a Lutheran church in Paris, and var of 1866 was stationed in Milan and Then the Italian troops entered the city Sept. 20, 1870, a Waldensian colporteur hem with copies of the Epistle of St. Paul nans; and on the following Sunday the stant service in Rome in the Italian lanheld in a private house by Matteo .v.), president of the Waldensian commissions. On Nov. 25, 1883, a beautiful the Via Nazionale was dedicated. It can ate 500 people, and the congregation is ting. In 1911 a second Waldensian accommodate 1,200 people was built across the Tiber, through the generosity hy American lady. In Rome are the rs of the missionary work of the Wali there is published the largest Italian paper, La Luce (10,000 copies weekly), ies many Italian immigrants in America. acy was predominant in southern Italy the work of the Waldensian church in of the country has been especially educational, and many day schools, evening schools, and Sunday-schools have been established. In Falerna (Catanzaro) such schools provide for 250 children, in Pachino (Sicily) for 200, in Vittoria (Sicily) for 250, in Riesi (Sicily) for 700, in Grotte (Sicily) for 500, in Palermo for 200, etc. The work

of the Waldensian Church has been developed also along philanthropic lines. Hospitals have been started in Turin, Genoa, Milan, and elsewhere, and orphanages have been instituted in many cities. The Gould Memorial Home for Boys, founded in Rome by Mrs. Bliss Gould, wife of the physician

of the American embassy, under the care of the Waldensian Church, can accommodate fifty or sixty boys, the Comandi Home for Boys in Florence has some 150, the Ferretti Home for Girls in Florence has 40 inmates, and the Boyce Memorial Home for Girls in Bordighera has 40 or 50. Moreover, in all the principal cities of Italy, in connection with L'Union internationale des amies de la jeune fille, homes, called Foyers, have been opened to protect and help girls who would otherwise easily become the victims of the white slavers. Along temperance lines the Waldensian Church has started a strong movement in Italy and publishes a monthly paper advocating temperance, Bene sociale. The latest statistics for the mission field give 50 pastors. 18 evangelists, 9 teachers' evangelists, 47 teachers, and 12 colporteurs, or a total of 136 workers; \$24,000 church contributions, 12,000 church-members, and over 200 churches or stations, including one in Malta, two in Egypt, and one in Abyssinia. The missionary work of the Waldensian Church, in number of churches and stations, is now sixteen times larger than the mother church in the valleys of Piedmont. The churches in the principal cities of Italy are already self-supporting.

On account of the hundreds of thousands of Italian immigrants who come to America every year, some of them belonging to those churches or having been brought up in those schools in southern Italy or Sicily, the influence of the work is felt in this country. There are already 225 Italian Protestant churches in the United States and Canada, connected with various denominations, and having a total membership of no less than 12,000, some 100 of those churches having been started by Protestant immigrants or having been ministered

9. Mission- to by pastors or missionaries from ary Work Italy. About 80 pastors, missionaries, Bible women, and colporteurs Outside Italy. at work among the Italian immigrants in America were formerly connected with the Waldensian Church. The congregation of Grotte (Sicily) alone has started, through its members, three such churches in the United States. On the other hand, Italian immigrants returning to their native villages and towns in Italy are very often the means of initiating religious movements. Already 16 missionary churches under the care of the Waldenses have been organized in that way and through such agents. The Waldensian Church is not directly engaged in missionary work in heathen countries, although no less than 12 Waldenses,

under the Société des missions de Paris are preaching the Gospel in Basutoland and Barotseland, along the Zambesi River (South Africa), and there is one Waldensian missionary in China. Many pastors from the Waldensian valleys work in Switzerland and France, and there are now no less than 300 Christian workers in Italy, France, South Africa, South America, and North America who have been brought up in this church. See AMERICAN WALDENSIAN AID SOCIETY, in Appendix. ALBERTO CLOT.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Lists of literature are: A. Muston, Bibliographie historique et documentaire de l'Israel des Alpes, Paris, 1851 (valuable though incomplete); J. H. Todd, The Books of the Vaudois Preserved in Trinity College, Dublin, London, 1865; W. N. Du Rieu, in Bulletin de la commission de l'hist. des églises Wallonnes, iv. 2, The Hague, 1889; Bulletin de la société d'hist. vaudoise, xv. 160 sqq. (by J. Jalla), xvi. 48 sqq. (by W. Meille); and Hauck-Hersog, RE, xx. 799-806 (valuable, with running comment; an excellent list of fragmentary sources is given).

Among sources for various periods may be named: the "Anonymous of Passau," partly given in P. Despont, Bibliotheca patrum maxima Lugdunensis, xxv. 262-277, Leyden, 1677 (other documents also are in xxiv.), and in Preger's Beitrige sur Geschichte der Waldesier im Mittelalter, Munich, 1875; David of Augsburg, Tractatus de inquisitione harreticorum, ed. Preger, Munich, 1878; Bernard, in MPL, ceiv. 793-840; Alanus ab Insulis, in MPL, cex. 377-399; Stephan of Borbone (d. c. 1261), Tractatus de diversis materiis prædicabilibus, ed. A. Lecoy de la Marche in Anecdotis historiques, légendes et apologues, Paris, 1877; C. Seyssel, Adversus errores Waldensium, Paris, 1520 (Roman Catholic); T. Beza, Hist. eccl. des églises reformées au royaume de France, Geneva, 1580, new ed. by J. W. Baum and A. E. Cunits, 3 vols., Paris, 1883-89, also by P. Vesson, 2 vols., Toulouse, 1882-83; G. Miolo, Hist. breve e vera degl' afari dei Valdesi delle Valli, 1587, reproduced in Bulletin de la société d'hist. vaudoise, xvii. 26 sqq.; S. Lentolo, Hist. della grandi e crudeli persecutione fatte ai tempe nostri in Provensa, Calabria e Piemontie (written 1595), ed. T. Gay, Torre, 1906; J. P. Perrin, Hist. des Albigeois and . . . des Vaudois, Geneva, 1618-1619 (based on a large collection of first-hand documents, some of which are reproduced; there are several partial Eng. transls., London, 1624, 1655, 1712, and 1865); M. A. Rorenco, Breve narratione dell' introduttione degli heretici delle Valli, Turin, 1632; MGH, Script., xxvi (1882), 247-449; P. Gilles, Hist. eccl. des églises reformées recueillies en quelques vallées de Piemont, 1664, new ed., 2 vols., Pignerol, 1881; Waldenser Chronick, Schaffhausen, 1655; J. Léger, Hist. générale des églises teangéliques des vallées de Piemont ou Vaudoises, 2 vols., Leyden, 1669; W. Map, Liber de nugis curialium, distinctio, i. 37, ed. T. Wright for Camden Society, pp. 64 sqq., London, 1850, and in MGH, Script., xxvii (1885), 61 sqq.; La Noble Leçon, ed. E. Montet, Paris, 1888; Rescriptum heresiarcharum Lo

On the history the following may be used: E. Comba, Valdo ed i valdesi avanti la riforma, Florence, 1880; idem, Peter Waldo, London, n. d.; idem, Hist. des Vaudois d'Italie, part 1, Turin, 1887, new ed., 1898, Eng. transl., Hist. of the Waldenses of Italy, London, 1880; idem, H. Arnaud, 1889; idem, Storia de' Valdesi, Florence, 1893; idem, in Bulletin de la société de l'hist. du protestantisme française, xliii. 7 sqq.; A. Muston, L'Israel des Alpes, ou hist. des Vaudois. 4 vols., Paris, 1851 (based on original documents and containing bibliography), Eng. transl., The Israel of the Alps: A History of the Persecutions of the Waldenses, London, 1852; A Collection of the Several Papers sent to the Protector . . . concerning the bloody and

barbarous Massacre committed on many Reformed . . . dwelling in the Valleys of Pied Duke of Savoy's Forces . . . Published by (his Highness, London, 1655; Sir S. Morelan tory of the Evangelical Churches of the Valley. tory of the Evangelical Churches of the Valley containing a... Description and a Faith of the Doctrine, Life, and Persecutions... sources), London, 1658; P. Allix, Some Rema Ecclesiastical History of the Ancient Churches (London, 1690; P. Boyer, Abrigé de l'hist. c. The Hague, 1691; idem, The History of the V. don, 1692; H. Arnaud, Hist. de la glorieus Vaudois dans leurs vallées. 1710, pass et Pie Vaudois dans leurs vallées, 1710, new ed., Pig partly translated in The Glorious Recovery by partiy translated in The Giorious Recovery by of their Valleys, from the Original by Henri A. Commander and Pastor, with a Compendious that People, previous and subsequent to that don, 1827; M. Schagen, Hist. der Cristenen Haarlem, 1765; T. Newton, Dissertation on the vol. ii. 243-249, 251-252, 293-317, Perth, 176 Hist. des Vaudois, 2 vols., Paris, 1796; W. History of the Waldenses, 2d ed., London, 1 Acland, A Brief Sketch of the History and P. tion of the Valdenses in Piemont, comi London, 1825; B. Bridge, A Brief Narrative the Valleys of Piedmont inhabited by the Vaud 1825; J. F. Martinet, Kerkelijke Geschiedenis sen, 3d ed., Amsterdam, 1826; J. L. Jackson, the Vaudois of Piemont, during an Excursion mer of 1825, London, 1826; J. R. Peyran, A Defence of the Waldenses or Vaudois [in Fre an Introduction and Appendixes by Rev. T. don, 1826; T. McCrie, History of the Progress sion of the Reformation in Italy, Edinburgh, Faber, Sacred Calendar of Prophecy, 3 vol 1828; idem, An Enquiry into the History a of the Ancient Vallenses and Albigenses, a agreeably to the Promises, the Perpetuity of the si agreeably to the Promises, the Perpetuity of the si-of Christ, London, 1838; W. S. Gilly, Narrati-cursion to the Mountains of Piemont in 1823, an among the Vaudois, or Waldenses, London, maps, plates, and copies of ancient manuscr Waldensian Researches during a Second Visit to of Piemont, London, 1831; idem, The Valde and Vigilantius, Edinburgh, 1841; W. Dieteri denser und ihre Verhällnisse zu dem brande sischen Staate, Berlin, 1831; S. R. Maitland Documents Illustrative of the History, Doctrin of the Ancient Albigenses and Waldenses, Lo A. Blair, History of the Waldenses, with an Sketch of the History of the Christian Churches of France and North of Italy, till these Church to the Pope, when the Waldenses continued as dependent of the Papal See, Edinburgh, 1833; putatio . . . de Valdensium secta ab Albigens guenda, Leyden, 1834; E. T. Mayerhoff, Di caractère de leurs doctrines primitires, Paris, Beattie, The Waldenses, or Protestant Valleys of beattle, The Waldenses, or Trocestal Valleys etc., London, 1838; G. Stanley, Researches o ogy and History of the Ancient Vaudois and London, 1838; W. Sims, History of Walden Earliest Period till the Present Time, 3 vols., 1839; E. Henderson, The Vaudois: comprivations made on a Tour to the Valleys of Pia don, 1845; R. Baird, Sketches of Protestanti Past and Present, including a Notice of the tory, and Present State of the Waldenses, 2d t 1847; C. U. Hahn, Geschichte der Waldenser, 2 gart, 1847; A. Monastier, Histoire de l'église puis son origine, et des Vaudois du Piémont jours, 2 vols., Paris, 1847 (an appendix contai cipal original writings, etc.), Eng. transl., A h Vaudois Church from its Origin, and of the Piedmont to the Present Day, London, 1848; 1 ham, An Historical Sketch of the Italian Vaud First Ages of Christianity to the Present Day don, 1847; J. J. Herzog, De Waldensium or 1848; idem, in Revue de théologie et philosophi 1850; idem, Die romanischen Waldenser, Hal prints documents); F. Bender, Geschichte de Ulm, 1850; A. W. Dieckhoff, Die Waldense alter, Göttingen, 1851 (epoch-making); E. Ba

edois of Piedmont, London, 1855; P. Heber user Karls des Grossen geistlicher Rath, und die Idenser, Basel, 1858; D. Costello, Piedmont and ols., London, 1859-61; M. Young, The Life of Aonio Paleario, 2 vols., London, 1860; Origin, Persecutions, and Doctrines of the Walm Documents, many now the first time collected London, 1870; J. P. Meille, General Beckwith: nd Labours among the Waldenses of Piedmont, 873; J. Goll, Quellen und Untersuchungen zur 873; J. Goll, Quellen und Untersuchungen sur der böhmischen Brüder, Prague, 1878-82; Jane ns. The Waldensian Church in the Valleys of from the Earliest Period to the Present Time, ondon, 1879; J. N. Worsfold, Peter Waldo, the f Lyons, London, 1880; J. A. Wylie, History of ses, London, 1880; W. Jones, Hist. of the Walzer of 2 yels. London, 1882. A. Deissmann. w ed., 2 vols., London, 1882; A. Deissmann, in der Grafschaft Schaumburg, Wiesbaden, 1884; Recollections of Two Hundred Years ago in the valleys, Edinburgh, 1886; E. Montet, Hist. Vaudois du Piémont, Geneva, 1886 (reprints K. Müller, Die Waldenser und ihre einzele bis zum Anfang des 14. Jahrhunderts, Gotha, Brunel, Les Vaudois des Alps françaises, Paris, K. Guthrie, Lecture on the Waldenses and their cturn, Edinburgh, 1889; A. Thomson, Letters Connection with the Bi-Centenary Commemora-"Glorious Return" of the Waldenses to their leys, Edinburgh, 1889; J. W. Brown, Italian London, 1890; J. Chevalier, Mémoire his-les hérésies du Dauphiné, Valence, 1890; H. udensertum und Inquisition, Freiburg, 1890 (relocuments); A. Bérard, Les Vaudois . . . du iècle, Paris, 1892; F. Rostan, The Waldensian ler Work of Evangelization in Italy, Torre Pel-T. Gay, The Waldenses, their Rise, Struggles, and Triumphs, London, 1895; Sofia V. Bonihort History of the Italian Waldenses who have he Valleys of the Cottian Alps from Ancient e Present, New York, 1897; C. Huck, Dogmen-Beitrag zur Geschichte der Waldenser, Frei-; W. B. Worsfold, The Valley of Light: Studies nd Pencil in the Vaudois Valleys of Piedmont, 899; G. Jalla, Compendia di storia valdese, 902; J. Gibson, The Waldenses, their Home and suc; J. Gloson, The waterless, their Home and dinburgh (1903); H. C. Lea, Inquisition of the es, 3 vols., New York, 1906, and in general he Inquisition; T. de Causons, Les Vaudois et a, 2 vols., Paris, 1907; Schaff, Christian Church, 33-507; KL, xii. 1185-95. lensian literature: F. J. M. Raynouard, Choix des troubadours, ii. 73-102, Paris, 1817; G. von , Die Katechismen der Waldenser und bühmischen rlangen, 1863; H. Haupt, Die deutsche Bibel-der mittelalterlichen Waldenser, Würzburg, 1885; Die Waldenser und die vorlutherische deutsche trung, Münster, 1885; J. Müller, in Monumenta padagogica, vol. iv., Berlin, 1887.

ENSTROEM, val'den-strom, PAUL swedish theologian and educator; b. at m. n.e. of Stockholm), Sweden, July 20, pursued post-graduate studies at the Uni-Upsala, 1857-62 (Candidate in Philos-; Ph.D., 1863); in 1864 he was ordained pointed lector in theology, Greek, and the gymnasium at Umea. Financially e State, he traveled, in 1867, in Prussia emberg, Germany, for the purpose of e German school system. In 1873-74 he the University of Upsala the symbolical ie Lutheran Church, publishing the rejustificatione quid statuant libri symbolici eranæ (Upsala, 1874). In the spring of s appointed lector in theology and Hegymnasium in Gefle. He has contribuus articles on pedagogy to Pedagogisk 366-73); after the death of Rosenius 38. he became the editor of Pietisten, in which most of his religious beliefs have found expression; in 1877-80 he was coeditor of *Vittnet*, a monthly periodical; and is the editor of the annual *Calendar Ansgarius*. He is prominent in politics, having been repeatedly elected a representative at the State diet, second chamber.

It is in the ecclesiastical field that he has exerted most of his influence. He is one of the foremost leaders of the Free Church movement in Sweden, and the father of a theological movement the supporters of which, found both in Sweden and in America, are called Waldenströmianere, though they prefer to be known as Missionsvänner. In a sermon, published in Pietisten, 1872, he gave impetus to the theological movement with which he is identified by proclaiming his novel idea of the atonement. He holds that the reconciliation through Christ is of us to God, not of God to us: not through grace on account of Christ, but on account of grace through Christ. The subject is God, the Father of Christ; the source is the love of God; the object is the whole world; the mediator is Christ, the only begotten God (Waldenström accepts and defends the reading δ μονογενής Θεός in John i. 18), the Son of God; the end is the restitution of men to God, not the reconciliation of God to men, which latter teaching, according to Waldenström, finds no support in Scripture.

This sermon called forth a storm of controversy. He then published (1873) Om försoningens betydelse, which was combated by theologians but met with the favor of many lay people who were opposed to State religion, the nucleus of his subsequent constituency.

Within the ranks of Evangeliska Fosterlandsstiftelsen (a society for foreign and home missions, founded 1856 as the result of the evangelical work of Carl Olof Rosenius; q.v.), the adherents of Waldenström soon brought matters to a schism. They submitted in 1878 a motion to annul the confessional basis of Fosterlandsstiftelsen by making adherence to the Augsburg Confession no longer obligatory for missionary workers. The motion failed to pass. The Waldenströmians consequently left the Fosterlandsstiftelsen and organized, Aug., 1878, Svenska Missionsförbundet, now consisting of 1,144 congregations with 91,000 members. In 1904, Waldenström became president of Missionsförbundet. Waldenström held his clerical position in the State church till 1882, when he resigned. His conflicts with the church authorities were caused by his manner of accommodating his idea of the Church to circumstances rather than by his doctrine of the atonement. When he once was called to serve a group of believers" by administering the Lord's Supper, the authorities refused him the use of the church. This furnished him the opportunity of attacking the Church for refusing to believing ministers the opportunity to serve people who for the sake of their conscience could not partake of the Lord's Supper except with believers.

For almost a generation Waldenström has been a leader of the Free Church movement in Sweden. His influence has also been felt in America, where his adherents number about 33,000. He visited America in 1889 and several times subsequently, the last

time in 1910, and described his first visit in Genom Norra Amerikas Förenta Stater (1890). Two other books, Nya färder i Amerikas Förenta Stater (1902), and Genom Canada, Reseskildringar från 1904 (1905) describe two subsequent tours in America. A visit to the Orient is described in his Till Oesterland. Skildringar, . . . hösten och vintern, 1894 (Stockholm, 1896).

To the writings already mentioned the following may be added: Brukspatron Adamsson eller hvar bor du? (1863, 5th ed., 1891); Forsök till granskning af M. Luther's lilla katekes med kort utveckling (1873); Fader vår eller bön och bönhörelse (1876); Predikningar öfver svenska kyrkans nya högmessotexter (4 vols., 1876–80); Barndopets historia (1880, 4th ed., 1883); En översättelse af Nya testamentet med förklarande anmärkninger (1883–94); Guds eviga frålsningsråd (3 vols., 2d ed., 1891); Kristi afsked från sina lärjungar (1894); Jesu pinas och uppståndelses historia (1897); Dop och barndop (1898); Frälsning för all verden (1902); Låt os behalla vår gamla bibel (1902); Bibelns evangelium och de eviga straffen, eller huru staar det skrifvet? (1904).

There have appeared in English: Blood of Jesus, What is its Significance? (Chicago, 1888); The Reconciliation—who was to be Reconciled, God or Man, or God and Man? (1888); and The Lord is Right: Meditations on Psalm xxv. (1889).

JOHN O. EVJEN.
BIBLIOGRAPHY: M. W. Montgomery: A Wind from the Holy
Spirit in Sweden and Norway, New York, 1884.

WALDHAUSEN, valt'hau-zen, KONRAD VON: Bohemian precursor of Huss (see Huss, John, Hussites), b. at Waldhausen, near Gran (70 m. w. of Vienna), c. 1320; d. at Prague Dec. 8, 1369. Of his early life and education little is known, but he must have entered the Upper Austrian monastery of Augustinian canons at Waldhausen while still a lad. He was ordained to the priesthood about 1343, and in his zeal for learning visited Bologna in 1349, being at Rome in the following year. Returning to Teutonic soil, he labored in various places, especially at Vienna, devoting himself primarily to preaching, for which he had a remarkable talent. At Prague his audiences were so large that he was obliged to deliver his sermons in the market-place instead of in the church of St. Gall; and his activity brought him into close relations with the Austrian court and Bishop Gottfried II. of Passau. The emperor summoned Konrad to Prague, where, at Easter, 1358, he became rector of St. Gall in the Old City. There he unsparingly castigated the immorality, luxury, and greed prevailing in high society, and also incurred the jealousy and antagonism of the mendicant friars whom he accused of simony, unseemly trade in relics, and shameless exploiting of the common people. In their turn they charged him with being a disturber of the peace and a renegade from his order. The Dominican general, Simon of Langres, sought in vain to arbitrate the dispute, but Konrad continued his attacks, and the matter was placed in the hands of the archbishop. The Franciscans now drew up twenty-four charges against Konrad, and in the autumn of 1360 the archbishop nailed the charges to the doors of two monasteries, bidding all who would bring accusation against Konrad to appear

before him. The monks were unable to sustain their charges, and the results of Konrad's preaching became manifest in a marked improvement in the morality of Prague. In 1361 he became rector of St. Thomas', and in the following year invoked the aid of the bishop of Passau. In 1363 he was made parish priest of All Saints' at Leitmeritz, but was still permitted to live in Prague. The Franciscans renewed their attacks, which finally attracted the attention of Konrad's duke, Rudolf IV. of Austria. He visited Prague in May, 1364, and soon satisfied himself of Konrad's integrity. Konrad, however, declined an invitation to return to Vienna in view of his association with the emperor, though he composed a refutation of the twenty-four charges of his opponents. Early in 1365 he was placed over the great Teynkirche in Prague, whence, with the pope's permission, he extended his reforming activity not only over the archdioceses of Bohemia and Salzburg, but also urged the emperor to intervene in the des perate conditions in Italy. His sermons, which have made some consider him a precursor of Huss (though he attacked neither the teaching nor the organization of the Church), have disappeared, those extant being merely some that he delivered before students to serve as sources and inspirations for young priests. (J. LOSERTE.)

Bibliography: F. Palacky, Geschichte von Böhmen, iii. l. pp. 161-164, 5 vols. Prague, 1836 sqq.; idem, Die Vorlaufe des Husitentums in Böhmen, pp. 16-17, Prague, 1889; GV. Lechler, Johann von Wichtf und die Vorgeschichte der Reformation, ii. 111 sqq., Leipsic, 1873, Eng. transl., John Wichtf and his English Precursors, 2 vols., new ed., London, 1884; E. H. Gillett, Life and Times of John Hun, i. 14-19, 25, 72, ii. 628, Philadelphia, 1861.

WALDO, PETER. See WALDENSES.

WALKER, CORNELIUS: Protestant Episcopalian; b. near Richmond, Va., June 12, 1819; d. at Washington, D. C., Jan. 23, 1907. He was educated at Richmond Episcopal High School and the Alexandria Theological Seminary, and was ordered deacon in 1845 and ordained priest in the following year; was minister and rector at Amherst Court House, Va. (1845-47); curate at St. Paul's, Richmond (1847-1848); rector at Winchester, Va. (1848-60), Christ Church, Alexandria, Va. (1860-61), and Emmanuel Henrico, Va. (1862-66); professor of church history in Virginia Theological Seminary (1866-76); and professor of systematic theology and homileties in the same institution (1876-98), where he was also dean. In theology he was an old-school evangelical Churchman, and wrote Biography of Rev. William Duval (Richmond, 1854); Biography of Rev. William Sparrow (Philadelphia, 1877); Biography of Charles W. Andrews (1877); Sorrowing not without Hop (sermons; New York, 1887); Outlines of Christian The ology (1894); and Lectures on Christian Ethics (1896)

WALKER, GEORGE LEON: Congregationalists b. at Rutland, Vt., Apr. 30, 1830; d. at Hartford Mar. 14, 1900. He studied law in Boston, Massintending to devote himself to legal practise. Let to prefer the ministry, he studied theology with he father, and at Andover Theological Seminary (1851-1858); was pastor of State Street Church, Portland Me. (1858-67); First Church, New Haven, Con (1868-73); was acting pastor at Brattleboro, Version of the control of th

and pastor of the First Church, Hartford, being made emeritus in 1892. He was a member of the American Board of Comfor Foreign Missions after 1877, and was nmission to prepare the Congregational 3). He wrote: False Ideas of God (1881; ons); History of the First Church in Hart-1883 (Hartford, 1884); Thomas Hooker, Founder, Democrat (New York, 1891); ets of the Religious Life of New England rew lectures); edited Diary of Rev. Daniel, with Notes (1894); and issued a large individual sermons.

IT: Congregational Year Book, pp. 45-46, Bos-

R, WILLIAM: Scotch Anglican; b. at y (17 m. n.w. of Aberdeen), Aberdeen-. 3, 1817. He was educated at King's berdeen (M.A., University of Aberdeen, was ordered deacon in 1832 and ordainwo years later; was curate of St. Andrew's, (1842-44); rector of Monymusk, Aber-(1844-1900); and dean of Aberdeen and ited dioceses (1896-1906). He has writ-Bishops Jolly and Gleig (Edinburgh, 1878); Deuteronomy (1880); Life and Times of riest John Skinner (Aberdeen, 1882); The srael (London, 1882); Life and Times of nSkinner(Aberdeen, 1887); Reminiscences hurchmen (Primus C. H. Terrot, Bishop M. d Professor G. Grub; Edinburgh, 1893); s of Scottish Church History (1897).

R, WILLIAM DAVID: Protestant Episionary bishop of North Dakota; b. in the W York June 29, 1839. He was graduated mbia College, New York City (1859), and General Theological Seminary (1862); as e took charge of Calvary Chapel, New (1862); was ordained priest (1863); recharge of Calvary Chapel until Feb. 1, a he resigned to enter upon his episcopate, he had been consecrated in Dec., 1883. In se of his ministry in Dakota he was the of the "cathedral car," by which the serven church are carried to places where they otherwise be rendered.

HY: W. S. Perry, The Episcopate in America, p. York, 1895.

R, WILLISTON: Congregationalist; b. d, Me., July 1, 1860. He was educated at bollege (A.B., 1883), Hartford Theological (graduated, 1886), and the University of 'h.D., 1888); was associate in history at wr College (1888-89), professor of Ger-Western church history in Hartford Theominary (1889-1901); since 1901 he has ssor of ecclesiastical history in Yale Uni-He has written The Increase of Royal ler Philip Augustus (Leipsic, 1888); The d Platforms of Congregationalism (New 3); A History of the Congregational Church-United States (1894); The Reformation 'en New England Leaders (Boston, 1901); in (New York, 1906); and Greatest Men of in Church (Chicago, 1908).

WALL, WILLIAM: English divine; b. in the neighborhood of Sevenoaks (20 m. s.e. of London), Kent, Jan. 6, 1646-47; d. at Shoreham (17 m. s.e. of London) Jan. 13, 1727-28. He was educated at Queen's College, Oxford (B.A., 1667; M.A., 1670); became vicar at Shoreham, 1674; and rector of Milton-next-Gravesend, 1708, the same year becoming chaplain to the bishop of Rochester. He is justly famed for his works on infant baptism, which include The History of Infant Baptism (2 parts, London, 1705, 3d ed., 1720, new and best ed., combining J. Gale's Reflections on Mr. Wall's History, and Wall's Defence, by H. Cotton, 4 vols., Oxford, 1836, 2 vols., 1862, reprinted, 1889); A Conference Between Two Men that had Doubts about Infant Baptism (London, 1706, frequently reprinted); and his Defence of the History of Infant Baptism (London, 1720; usually reprinted with Gale's work and the History). He wrote also Critical Notes (on the New Testament and the Old, 3 vols., London, 1730-34).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: T. Crosby, Hist. of the English Baptists, i. 6, 161, iii. 14, 42, 4 vols., London, 1738-40; DNB, lix. 97.

WALLACE, ALEXANDER GILFILLAN: United Presbyterian; b. at Bridgeville, Allegheny County, Pa., Mar. 2, 1829. He graduated from Jefferson College (B.A., 1847) and from Allegheny Theological Seminary; was pastor of the United Presbyterian Church at Bethel, Pa., 1854-68, at New Brighton, 1868-84, and at Sewickley, 1886-88; has been clerk of the United Presbyterian Assembly since 1868, and secretary of the Board of Church Extension of his denomination since 1870; he was also editor of The Evangelical Repository, 1886-90, temporary professor in Allegheny Theological Seminary, 1885-87, and was editorial writer, then associate editor, and finally has been senior editor of The United Presbyterian, since 1868. He has written The Scotch and Scotch-Irish in Colonial America (1909).

WALLACE, WILLIAM: Presbyterian foreign missionary; b. at Santa Fé de Bogotá, United States of Colombia, Apr. 5, 1864. He studied at Washington and Jefferson College (B.A., 1882), Western Theological Seminary, 1884–85, and Union Theological Seminary, New York, 1885–88, having meanwhile taught in private schools, 1881–85; was pastor at St. Peter, Minn., 1888–90; missionary superintendent at Zacatecas, Mexico, 1890–92; became director of the theological seminary at Tlalpam, 1893; was superintendent of missions for Guerrero, Mexico, 1894–95, and for Saltillo, 1895–1907; and has been president of the Presbyterian College and Seminary at Coyoacan since 1907. He is the editor of El Esforzador, the organ of the Mexican societies of Christian Endeavor, and stated clerk of the general synod of the Presbyterian Church in Mexico.

WALLOON CHURCH. See Holland, I., 1.

WALPURGIS, val-pur'gis (WALDBURGIS, WAL-PURGA, WALBURGA): German saint; b. in Sussex, England, early in the eighth century; d. at Heidenheim (32 m. s.s.w. of Nuremberg) before 786. The sister of Willibald, the first bishop of Eichstätt, and of Wunebald, the founder (c. 751), first abbot of the double monastery of Heidenheim, she went to (A. HAUCK.)

Germany about 750 and became abbess of the cloister on the death of her brother in 761. Her remains were removed by Bishop Otgar (847–880) to Eichstätt, and by her tomb arose the foundation of St. Walpurgis which Bishop Heribert formed into a nunnery in the eleventh century. In 893 Bishop Erchanbald carried some of her relies to the monastery of Monheim, north of Donauwörth. Several festivals were celebrated in her honor: Aug. 4 as the day of her leaving England; Feb. 25 as the day of her death; and May 1 [the date of an earlier non-Christian festival, marking the commencement of summer; it is on this date that, according to legend, the witches have their annual assemblage].

Bibliography: On the sources consult: T. D. Hardy, Descriptive Catalogue of Materials Relating to . . . Great Britain and Ireland, i. 1, p. 486, in Rolls Series, no. 26, London, 1862. A number of the earliest sources (Vita, miracula, etc.) are collected with commentary in ASB, Feb., iii. 511-569, part of the same materials being also in MPL, exxix. 867-894, exl. 1091-1102, and in MGH, Script. xv (1887), 535-555. Consult further: J. Lespagnol, Hist. notable de la conversation des Anglais, Douay, 1614; idem, Hist. de la vie et des miracles de S. Vaubourg,

Reims, 1612; E. L. Rochholz, Drei Gaugottinnen, Leipsic, 1870; A. Schneider, Walburga eine Zierde frommer Jungfrauen, Regensburg, 1880; F. Schanerte, Die heilige Aebtissin Walburga, Paderborn, 1892; J. Schlecht, in Sammelblatt des historischen Vereins Eichstätt, pp. 111-122, Eichstätt, 1893; DNB, lix. 9; Rettberg, KD, ii. 359;

Hauck, KD, i. 537 sqq.

WALSH, JAMES HORNIDGE: Church of Ireland; b. at Calverston, Mullingar (47 m. w.n.w. of Dublin), Ireland, Apr. 13, 1837. He received his education at Trinity College, Dublin (B.A., 1859; M.A., 1864; B.D., 1872; D.D., 1876); was made deacon, 1860, and priest, 1861; was curate of Dundrum, 1860–61, of Adare, Limerick, 1861–64, and of St. Stephen's, Dublin, 1864–66; rector of Chapel Russell, Limerick, 1866–70; of St. Stephen's, Dublin, 1871–1908, serving meanwhile as assistant to Archbishop King's divinity professor, 1877–83, canon of Christ Church, Dublin, 1893–1905, chancellor of Christ Church, Dublin, 1905–08; as prebendary of Croagh in Limerick Cathedral, 1870–1905, and as private and examining chaplain to the bishop of Limerick, 1899–1905. In 1908 he became dean of Christ Church, Dublin.

WALTER OF ST. VICTOR: French theologian of the twelfth century and prior of the monastery of St. Victor. Nothing is known concerning him except that he wrote an impassioned attack on the modernistic theology of his time, his work usually being termed Contra novas hæreses libri quatuor (the frequent designation, after a sentence in the introduction, Contra quatuor labyrinthos Francia, is incorrect). According to internal evidence, he wrote between 1180 and 1190, but of the other works attributed to him only the Magistri Walteri dialogus quærens quid sentiat Hugo de anima Christi can seriously be considered. The Contra hæreses is instructive for the history of the conflict aroused by the rise of a scientific theology based on dialectic methods. In the Christology of his opponents Walter discerned the Nestorian heresy; in their interpretation of the incarnation they denied the possibility of a change in the Godhead, assuming that the Logos, whose humanity they doubted, had for purposes of assumed the man Jesus like a mantle. It ing and unclear theories were offensive who held, with the Fathers, to one per natures, and maintained that Christ aborn of the Father and as man of the yet was one person.

Walter was in accord with the satisfact of Anselm, but rejected Berengar's Euclitrine; and he also taught the doctrine of the late conception. Philosophy and dialect came from the devil, and his opinion theology was equally uncomplimentary, lution of all problems being authority. tions of his chief work are contained in 1130 sqq. (R. 8)

Bibliography: Denifle, in ALKG, i. 404-417 in TSK, 1844, pp. 823-864; Histoire littérain xiv. 549 sqq.; H. F. Reuter, Geschichte der 1 klärung im Mittelalter, ii. 15 sqq., Sonders KL, xii. 1206-07.

WALTER, val'ter, FRANZ XAVER Roman Catholic; b. at Amberg (36 m. e berg), Bavaria, Feb. 7, 1870. He was the University of Munich (1888-93; T where he became privat-docent in 1899; was called to Strasburg as professor of ology, but in the following year returned in a similar capacity, which position he He has written Das Eigentum nach der Le igen Thomas von Aquin und des Soziali burg, 1895); Sozialpolitik und Moral (Propheten in ihrem sozialen Beruf und schaftsleben ihrer Zeit (1900); Sozialism derne Kunst (1901); Der Aberglaube mi Berücksichtigung der Phänomene des Hypn Spiritismus (Paderborn, 1904); Theorie in der Moral (1905); Kapitalismus, Sozi Christentum (Munich, 1906); Primiz, E Erstlingsfrucht des Priesters (1907); Die klärung der Jugend (1908); Das kirchli und seine Bedeutung für die Kultur und se fahrt der Gegenwart (1908); and Der Le Recht im Christentum (1910).

WALTER, JOHANNES WILHELM man Protestant; b. at St. Petersburg, 1 26, 1876. He was educated at the un Dorpat (1894-99), Leipsic (1899-1900) tingen (1900-01); became privat-doce torical theology at Göttingen (1901); s dinary professor of church history at Br In theology he belongs to the modern pos and has written Das Leben Roberts vo (Göttingen, 1901); Die ersten Wa Frankreichs (2 vols., Leipsic, 1903-06); der Religion nach Erasmus und Luther Absolutheit des Christentums und die Mis Franz von Assisi und die Nachahmung Ch and Frauenlos und Frauenarbeit in der G Christums (1911); and edited Erasmus bitrio διατριβή (1910). He is also ed Theologie der Gegenwart (1907 sqq.)

WALTERS, CHARLES ENSOR: Er odist; b. at Milborne Port (10 m. s.e. of Somersetshire, Dec. 18, 1872. He was Theological College, Richmond, Surrey d, 1895); became assistant to H. P. Hughes st London Mission, of which he was chosen ident on the death of Hughes in 1902. In was elected a member of the St. Pancras Council, and from that year until 1892 was of the Public Health Committee, while in as made a member of the St. Pancras Vescal manager of the London School Board. for of The Advance.

IER, val'ter, CARL FERDINAND WIL-German-American Lutheran, founder of of Missouri (see Lutherans, III., 5, § 1); genchursdorf (near Waldenburg, 37 m. s.e.) Oct. 25, 1811; d. at St. Louis, Mo., May He was educated at the University of Leip-33); was private tutor at Cahla, Altenburg and pastor at Bräunsdorf for a year His firm orthodoxy and resistance to alism prevailing about him, combined with essness of his endeavors to reform the I spiritual life of his congregation, led him e company of emigrants led by a pastor ephan. Early in 1839 he reached New and by February the party, which numut 800, reached Missouri, some settling in and the remainder in Perry Co., Mo. But ny months it was found that Stephan was of confidence, and it was mainly through s of Walther that his wavering comrades heir courage. In Feb., 1841, he was choof the Lutheran congregation at St. Louis, pt., 1844, began to edit the semimonthly The next step was the foundation nod of Missouri, and after a preliminary Fort Wayne, in 1846, the first convention rman Evangelical Lutheran Synod of Miso, and Other States was held at Chicago in 7. The synod took charge of the educatitution which had been founded at Altenin 1849 transferred it to St. Louis, Waloming the directing professor of the d seminary, though his old congregation hat he should preach thrice annually and general supervision over it.

r now became involved in a controversy e (q.v.), who was not in sympathy with the ic organization favored by the head of the Synod, and in 1851 this body determined Valther and Wyneken as delegates to Gereek to avoid any possible schism. Löhe or of the plan, and the delegates proceeded I the prominent Lutherans of the mother hough Walther himself remained chiefly in gathering material for his attack on the irch principles advocated by Grabau and lo Synod (see Lutherans, III, 5, § 2), : being Die Stimme unserer Kirche in der 1 Kirche und Amt (Erlangen, 1852). In l. Walther and Löhe met in personal connd the former, while recognizing the diffieconciling their views on ordination, was press lively hopes of reunion, though this estined to be realized. In 1853, to give rans a trustworthy text of Luther's version of the Bible, Walther founded the St. Louis Bible Society, of which he remained president until his death; and in 1855 he established the periodical Lehre und Wehre. He was also the leader of the Missourians at the conferences with the Buffalo Synod in 1866 and the Iowa Synod in 1867. In 1868-69 he conducted the conferences with the synods of Ohio, Wisconsin, and Illinois, which led to corporate union between these bodies and the Synod of Missouri, and in 1872 he was chosen president of the synodal conference of all western Lutherans in sympathy with the Missouri position. In addition to his other activities, Walther was a voluminous writer, his chief productions being as follows: Die rechte Gestalt einer vom Staate unabhängigen evangelisch-lutherischen Ortsgemeinde (St. Louis, 1863); Amerikanisch-lutherische Pastoral-Theologie vom Jahr 1872 (1872); a new edition of J. G. Baier's Compendium theologiae positivae (1879); and the homiletic collections: Amerikanisch-lutherische Evangelien-Postille (1871); Lutherische Brosamen (1876); Amerikanisch-lutherische Epistel-Postille (1882); and the posthumous Ansprachen und Gebete (1888) and Kasual-Predigten und Reden (1889.)

(ADOLPH SPAETH†.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: M. Günther, C. W. F. Walther, Lebensbild,
St. Louis, 1890; C. Hochstetter, Die Geschichte der Missouri-Synode, Dresden, 1885; C. W. Ernst, in The Walchman, Boston, June 7, 1887; H. E. Jacobs, in American Church History Series, vol. iv. passim, New York, 1893; G. I. Fritschel, Geschichte der lutherischen Kirche in Amerika, ii. 184 sqq., Gütersloh, 1897; J. Deinser, Wilhelm Löhe's Leben, vol. iii., 3d ed., Gütersloh, 1901.

WALTHER, JOHANN: German Lutheran musician and writer of hymns; b. near Cola, a small Thuringian village, 1496; d. at Torgau (31 m. e.n.e. of Leipsic) perhaps Mar. 25 (at least before Apr. 24), 1570. By 1524 he was at Torgau as bassist to Frederick the Wise, and during the same year he assisted Luther at Wittenberg in adapting the old music to Lutheran requirements, the results, first used in Luther's Deutsche Messe, appearing in the Geystliche gesangk Buchleyn (Wittenberg, 1524), while Walther himself attended the first German celebration of the Holy Communion, as rearranged by him and Luther, at the Wittenberg Stadtkirche on Oct. 29, 1525. In 1526 Walther was appointed choirmaster by Elector John of Saxony, and eight years later he was also made singing master to the school at Torgau. He went to Dresden in 1548 as choirmaster to Elector Maurice of Saxony, and on Aug. 7, 1554, was pensioned, whereupon he returned to Torgau and there passed the remainder of his life.

Walther's musical settings were for choral, not congregational, singing. In the Deutsche Messe his part was the responses of the choir and congregation, while Luther prepared the portions to be sung by the pastor. Walther also made two settings (in 1530 and 1552) for the passion music from Matthew and John. His hymns, ten in number, appeared chiefly in the Christliches Kinderlied D. Martini Lutheri (Wittenberg, 1566), and are conveniently collected by P. Wackernagel, Das deutsche Kirchenlied, iii. 187-206, nos. 219-229 (5 vols., Leipsic, 1864-77). Two of these have been translated into English: "Herzlich Lieb hab ich dich, mein Gott!" by A. T. Russell as "O God, my Rock, my heart on Thee";

and the far more popular "Herzlich thut mich erfreuen," by Miss Susanna Winkworth as "Now fain my joyous heart would sing," by B. H. Kennedy as "Soon will the heavenly Bridegroom come," by M. Loy as "The Bridegroom soon will call us," and by Miss H. R. Krauth as "Leap forth, my heart, rejoicing," together with one or two less important versions.

Bibliography: Julian, Hymnology, pp. 1231-32; Wackernagel, as noted in the text; and literature under Hymnology.

WALTHER, RUDOLF. See GUALTHER, RUDOLF.

WALTHER, WILHELM MARKUS: Evangelical; b. at Cuxhaven (60 m. n.w. of Hamburg) Jan. 7, 1846. He received his education at the universities of Erlangen, Marburg, and Göttingen, 1865-70; was pastor in his native place, 1870-95; and then took his present position of professor of church history and the history of dogma at the University of Rostock. He has given especial attention to the history of the final period of the Middle Ages and of the Reformation. Among his published works are: Luther vor dem Richterstuhl der Germania (Hamburg, 1883); Luther im neuesten rö-mischen Gericht (4 parts, Halle, 1885–92); Die Früchte der römischen Beichte (Brunswick, 1888); Die Bibelübersetzungen des Mittelalters (3 parts, 1889-91); Luthers Bibelübersetzung kein Plagiat (Leipsic, 1891); Die Bedeutung der deutschen Reformation für die Gesundheit unseres Volkslebens (1894); Melanchthon als Retter des wissenschaftliches Sinnes (1897); Ein Merkmal des Schwärmergeistes (1898); Das Zeugnis des heiligen Geistes nach Luther und nach moderner Schwärmerei (1899); Adolf Harnacks Wesen des Christentums für die christliche Gemeinde geprüft (1901); Das Erbe der Reformation in Kampfe der Gegenwart (3 parts, 1903-09); Denifles Luther, eine Ausgeburt römischer Moral (1904); Für Luther wider Rom. Handbuch der Apologetik Luthers und der Reformation den römischen Anklagen gegenüber (1906); Das älteste und das neueste Christusbild (Wismar, 1906); Heinrich VIII. von England und Luther (Leipsic, 1908); Pauli Christentum, Jesu Evangelium (1908); Zur Wertung der deutschen Reformation (1909); and a number of volumes of sermons. He has also contributed to the Weimar edition of Luther's works (vols. xix.,

WALTON, BRIAN: English Biblical scholar; b. at or near Seymour or Seamer (31 m. n.e. of York), Yorkshire in 1600; d. in London Nov. 29, 1661. He was educated at Cambridge (B.A., 1619-20; M.A., 1623; D.D., 1639); was curate and also schoolmaster in Suffolk; in 1628 became rector of St. Martin's Orgar, London, to which was joined in 1636 the rectorship of Sandon, Essex, at which time he was perhaps chaplain to the king, and prebend of St. Paul's; in 1641 he was dispossessed of both rectories, being prosecuted for "subtile tricks and popish innovations," and in the next year was imprisoned; he fled to Oxford, and there formed the design of the great polyglot (see Bibles, Polyglot, IV.), by which he immortalized himself. After the surrender of Oxford (1646), he went to London with the materials he had collected, and in 1652 published

his prospectus to the polyglot. Subscriptions were placed at ten pounds a set; the six volumes peared 1654-57. As a help to the student of polyglot, he published Introductio ad lectionem lenguarum orientalium (London, 1655; republished venter, 1655, 1658). Owen thought that the polyglot, especially the prolegomena, contained this glot, especially the prolegomena, contained this injurious to Christianity. To him he addressed himself in his Considerator Considered; or a brief View of certain Considerations upon the Biblia Polyglotta, the Prolegomena, and the Appendix (London, 1660). Walton's polyglot is the first book in England published by subscription. The polyglot was placed on the Index. Walton was at the Restoration made chaplain to the king, and on Dec. 2, 1660, was consecrated, in Westminster Abbey, bishop of Chester.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: H. J. Todd, Memoirs of the Life and Writings of Brian Walton, 2 vols., London, 1821 (vol. ii. is a reprint of the Considerator); DNB, lix. 268-271; F. H. Reusch. Der Index der verbotenen Bücher, ii. 124-125, Bonn, 1885.

WALTON'S POLYGLOT. See BIBLES, POLYGLOT; WALTON, BRIAN.

WAMWAS. See VAMVAS.

WANDALBERT, van'dal-bert: Ecclesiastical author and monk of Prüm (a monastery 33 m. n.n.w. of Treves); b. in 813; d. at Prüm after 850. His life at the monastery fell under the third abbot, Markward, but prior to that it is practically unknown, though it is possible that he was bom in France. His literary activity must have begun when he was young, since his secular poems could hardly have been issued from the cloister, which he entered at least as early as 839. Markward urged him to work over and continue the early "life" of St. Goar (q.v.), out of which arose the Miracula S. Goaris presbyteri (with the Vita in two books, Mainz, 1489; taken later into ASM and ASB). Wandalbert's second work was his Martyrologium (first printed 1563 with the works of Bede, to whom it was long in part attributed; it is in L. d'Achery, Spicilegium, v. 305 sqq., 13 vols., Paris, 1655-77, in 2d ed., ii. 38 sqq., 1723; in MPL, exxi.; and in MGH, Poet. Lat. ævi Car., ii (1884), 567 sqq., written in verse and completed about 850. For this he drew largely upon martyrologies, especially that of Bede; but much of it is original. The preface in prose describes the different forms of verse employed by the author. This is followed by six lyrical poems, an invocation to God, beseeching the ability properly to praise the saints, then by an address to the reader admonishing him to emulate the virtues of the saints. Then follow dedications to the Emperor Lothair and his friend Otrich, an outline of the work and a survey of the divisions of the year. Beginning with January, the work contains accounts of one or more saints for each day throughout the year. The Martyrology closes with two hymns to Christ, the conclusio, and a hymn in Sapphic measure to all the saints. Connected with this work are poems in hexameter on the months and their signs, and on the various agricultural, pastoral, and horticultural occupations, and a poetic account of creation. These poems, which imitate the ancient classics, exhibit less of poetic genius than of painstaking effort at artistic writing. (A. HAUCK.)

- Latence

C. Oudin, Commentarius de scriptoribus BIBLIOGRAPHY: eclesatibus, ii. 149 sqq., Leipsic, 1722; Histoire littér-aire de la France, v. 377 sqq.; J. C. F. Bähr, Geschichte derrömischen Litteratur im karolingischen Zeitalter, pp. 114— 115, 229-230, Carisruhe, 1840; A. Ebert, Geschichte der Literatur des Mittelatters, ii. 185-191, Leipsic, 1880; Dümmlenges of the Göttingen Academy, new series, iii (1900), no. 3; Rettberg, KD, i. 465–482; KL, xii. 1211–12.

WANDERING CLERGY. See VAGANTES.

WANDERING JEW: A legendary character doomed to wander over the earth till the return of Christ. The story of the Wandering Jew is not, as has been plausibly supposed, a primitive Christian legend, but a literary product in the guise of a romance. The story first appears in Germany in 1602, in a small pamphlet entitled, Kurze Beschreibung und Erahlung von einem Jude mit Namen Ahasverus, welcher bei der Kreuzigung Christi selbst persönlich gewesen, auch das Crucifige über Christum hab helfen schreien und um Barrabam bitten, which pretends to report a conversation that took place at Hamburg in 1542 between the Wandering Jew and Paul von Eitsen, bishop of Sleswick. The Jew tells Von Eitzen that his name is Ahasuerus, that in the time of Christ he was a cobbler in Jerusalem, and that, because he knew no better, he had joined in the cry, "Crucify him"; further, that when Jesus, bearing the Cross, passed by the door of his house and was intending to lean against the wall to rest, he harshly scolded him away, whereupon Jesus gazed at him fixedly and said: "I will stop and rest, but thou fixedly and said: shalt go on." Since that time he had had no rest, but had wandered about the world. It is claimed further that Von Eitzen examined him in detail and found him possessed of wonderful knowledge, notably in oriental history. The Jew is then described with reference to his appearance and his humble temperament. Of his adventures it is related merely that he was in Palestine again a century after Christ's crucifixion, finding Jerusalem destroyed, though an appendix mentions that in the year 1575, or shortly before, he was in Spain. The report is Subscribed, "Datum Sleswick, June 9, 1594." This relation was then frequently reprinted in the seventeenth century. The title and date became altered, but the substance of the narrative continued the same, except for added moral observations and accounts of new apparitions of the Wandering Jew. From the time of the second series of editions the author's name purports to be Chrysostomus Dudulæus Westphalus, unquestionably a pseudonym. From about the beginning of the eighteenth down into the nineteenth century the story appeared in numerous popular editions in which the text became utterly degenerate. For example, the name "Von Eitzen" merged into "Litz." The story was early translated into French, Dutch, etc., with characteristic embellishments.

There can be no doubt as to the fact that the story of the Wandering Jew first became known in the year 1602; and it is probable that it originated then. Some of its features, however, bear marked resemblance to earlier narratives. For example, the story of Cartaphilus, Pilate's doorkeeper, as first related by Roger of Wendover (d. 1237) in his Flores historiarum, unquestionably has much in common

with the story of the Wandering Jew, while still other common traits occur in the legends of "deathless John," etc. Yet in its main outline the story of the Wandering Jew is so distinctive that it must be regarded as the independent invention of an individual. Had the author had any inkling of those earlier tales he would have referred to them in some way, as later editors expressly did. The object of the story is undoubtedly apologetic. How the author happened to designate the well-known theologian Paul von Eitzen as the man who saw the Wandering Jew can not be determined.

CARL BERTHEAUT.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: J. G. T. Grässe, Der Tannhäuser und der ewige Jude, Dresden, 1861; F. Bässler, Ueber die Sage vom ewigen Juden, Berlin, 1870; C. M. Blass, Der ewige Jude in Deutschland, Stockerau, 1870; F. Helbig, Die Sage vom ewigen Juden, ihre poetische Wandlung und Fortbildung, Berlin, 1874; C. Schöbel, La Légende du juif-errant, Paris, 1877; G. Paris, Le Juif errad, ib. 1880; M. D. Conway, The Wandering Jew, London, 1881; S. Baring-Gould, Curious Myths of the Middle Ages, ib. 1884; L. Neubaur, Die Sage vom ewigen Juden, Leipsic, 1884; idem, Neue Mittheilungen über die Sage vom ewigen Juden, ib. 1893.

WANDERING IN THE WILDERNESS.

The Basal Narratives (§ 1).

Methods of Studying the Narratives (§ 2). The Four Main Narratives (§ 3).
Sustenance of the People. Other Tribes (§ 4). Place Names (§ 5). Chronology and the Route (§ 6).

The accounts of the wandering of the Hebrews in

the desert are contained principally in the books of Exodus, Numbers, and Deuteronomy. Ex. xv. 22 tells of the start from the Red Sea, and xix. 1 of the arrival at Sinai; then the narrative of the wandering is interrupted by the collections of laws, except for the golden calf episode in Ex. 1. The Basal xxxii.-xxxiii., but is taken up and con-

Narratives. tinued in Num. x. 11-xiv., xvi.-xvii., xx.-xxi.; xxii. 1 states the arrival in the territory of Moab, and Num. xxxiii. contains a statement of the stations of the journey from Rameses in Egypt to the plains of Moab. Further, in Deut. i. 6-ii. 24 is a résumé of the events occurring on the march from Horeb to the Arnon, while x. 6-9 reviews a fragment of the journey and the separation of the Levites. Outside the Pentateuch are only short references to the wandering (Josh. xxiv. 7-8; Judges xi. 16-17; in the prophetical books and the Psalms, particularly Ps. lxxviii.), which, however, in the main depend upon the accounts in the Pentateuch but present some singularities. In its present form the Pentateuch contains about fifteen narratives of events during the wandering, excluding parallels, eleven of which deal with the mutiny of the people against Moses or Yahweh, in eight cases punishment follows, in four cases the murmuring ends in gifts from Yahweh; two accounts of successful war occur (Ex. xvii. 8-16; Num. xxi. 1-3). Deuteronomy views the events of the journey from the point of view of education; Amos regards the period as one of especial favor from Yahweh; Hosea dates rebellion of the people from the entrance into Canaan, as does Jeremiah; Ezekiel sees in the whole history of Israel, including the desert period, only disregard of Yahweh, which view governs the later historians of Israel, and so they account for the destruction of the

generation of Moses and Aaron in the wilderness. Some of the accounts suggest that other narratives than those now extant were in the possession of the Hebrews and emphasized Yahweh's providence (so Deut. viii., xxix.; Jer. ii.; Judges v. 11); the account of a holy war appears only in Ex. xvii. 8-16; Num. xxi. 1-3; but this idea influenced mightily the early religion of Israel.

It has long been the custom, and this custom is still followed in part, to employ these sources, as well as accounts in early and late literature of places and names, partly in a harmonistic method, using historical, geographical, and etymological learning, as

(e.g., the situation of Sinai), and without making clear the details of the journeying, by seizing now upon this and now upon that name which sounded like the Biblical name in the narrative, the material was used as if elastic to produce what was hoped to be a satisfying result; the processes of literary and textual criticism not being employed. Indeed, the question was not squarely met whether the conditions for the wandering of so numerous a people with all their possessions really existed. The newer method is to take account of the various threads and sources, to investigate the character of each, to take into consideration investigations into the natural conditions presented by the region, and so to reach conclusions which satisfactorily meet the case.

The account of J involves great difficulties. Moses, according to this narrator, led the people from the Red Sea to the wilderness of Shur, where they were three days without water (Ex. xv. 22); the Marah and Elim episodes are by E (Ex. xv. 23–27). J tells in Ex. xvi. of the gift of manna, in xvii. of the murmuring against Moses at Meribah, and in xxxiii. 1 sqq. of the command to leave Sinai; Num. x. 29–32

deals with the relations with Hobab the
3. The Midianite as guide, Num. xi. gives the
Four Main episode of the quails and the journey to
Narratives. Hazeroth and to Paran (xii. 16). From
Kadesh (?) Moses sent out the spies.

Kadesh (?) Moses sent out the spies, among them Caleb, who report the land as fruitful but impossible to take into possession (Num. xiii.), so that the people desire to return to Egypt (xiv. 3). The further course of the narrative of J is not clear. Num. xvi. tells of the rebellion of Dathan and Abiram, and xxi. 1-3 of the ban of the city of Hormah. After that comes the capture of the fortified cities east of the Jordan. E is somewhat clearer in his narrative. In Ex. xvii. 8-16 is recounted the victory over Amalek, in chap. xviii. the advice of Jethro to appoint judges; in Ex. xxxiii. 1 sqq. the command to leave Horeb is regarded as punishment for the worship of the golden calf, but the ark shows the way (Num. x. 33-36); Num. xi. 1-3 tells of the fire from Yahweh which destroyed some of the people, and other verses of the chapter deal with the seventy elders; in chap. xi. Miriam's leprosy is accounted for; Num. xiii. 26 tells that from Kadesh Moses sent spies, and Caleb alone entreats the people to trust Yahweh (xiv. 8-9); in xiv. 25 the people are commanded to return into the wilderness, while the people were defeated in their attempt on Canaan; Num. xx. 1b shows the people again in Kadesh where Miriam died, after which the people go by way of Edom to the Arnon (Num. xx. 14-21, xi. 4-9, 12-20). The Deuteronomist (i. 6-ii. 25) gives a short review of the course from Horeb to the Arnon, and (ix. 22) recalls Taberah, Massah, and Kibroth-hattaavah. The indications of the narrative of P are clearer. From Elim "all the congregation" went into the wilderness of Sin (Ex. xvi. 1), and when hunger assailed the people manna and quails were sent them, thence by way of Rephidim they passed to the wilderness of Sinai (Ex. xvii. 1, xix. 1), the separate stations not being named. After the giving of the law, they depart from the wilderness of Sinai, and twelve spies are sent forth, go from the wilderness of Sin, swing northward by way of the entrance to Hamath, and after forty days return to the wilderness of Paran. At their report the assembly expresses its disappointment in an outbreak aminst Moses and Aaron. The next rebellion is that of Korah against the exclusive priesthood of the Levites, whose right is vindicated by a miracle of destruction and the budding of Aaron's rod (Num. xvi.-xvii.). In the wilderness of Sin the people murmur against the leaders because of lack of water, which is brought them from the rock (Meribah), and thence they proceed to Hor, where Aaron dies (Num. xx.), and to the territory opposite Jericho (Num. xxi.). The omission of the stages of the journey is supplied by Num. xxxiii., which purports to be by Moses (verse 2), and, apart from the starting-point and finish, contains the names of forty places, orresponding to the forty years of the wandering, but twenty-two of these are new and do not appear elsewhere in the Pentateuch. Examination shows that the author of this chapter has used the Pentateuch in practically its present form, hence the chapter is one of the latest in the Pentateuch. It appears to be the work of a Jew of Jerusalem of the end of the fifth pre-Christian century, who used not only the Pentateuch but other sources, involving the journey of others or of himself in that region; and into his account insertions appear to have been made. The wandering according to this chapter appears in four stages: From Rameses to Sinai (3-15); from Sinai to Bene-jaakan (16-30a, 36b-41a, 30a-31); thence south to Ezion-gaber (32-35); and thence north by way of the Wadi 'Arabah to Abel-shittim in Moab (36a, 41b-49). From the dating given above, it follows that among the sources this piece takes not the first but the last place among the data for determining the course of the wandering. The attempt must fail which aims to show that a difference among the narrators reflects itself here; that in the first part of the catalogue of stations the ideas of P and J are followed in that the Hebrews went in a northeasterly direction to Moab, while in the second part the notion of E and D is repreduced, viz., that they went by a circuit which took them first southeastward by Ezion-gaber. The many new place names stand in the way of reconciliation; moreover, of the forty or more names only about one-fourth may with greater or less probabilxd, and these do not suffice to guide one the Hebrews took. Moreover, since the y is there, not much room probably is tions or subtractions (by later editors). ratives are not of equal value. That of st; in this, e.g., Joshua represents the raim, in E he is the servant of Moses; so sents Judah, while before the exile he still an independent tribe. D depends lie J and E are the earliest sources.

narratives naturally deal with the matsustenance of the people in the desert; recognized that for the assumed two

was a difficult problem.

ion was by miracle—God gave them l, and meat. Yet the natural situation was kept in mind. Water was alleged to be given only where it later existed. Manna is known, even by modern Arabs, as the sweet exudation of the Tamarix mannifera, which when perforated by an insect (coccus manniparus) gives forth a sort of gum in may be collected before the sun causes f. Ex. xvi. 21). These and other narraits sweet taste, are in accordance with able. On the other hand, some details oetical (Num. xi. 8). Similarly quails egion numerous, both as migratory and ling there. Moreover, they do not fly illy when fatigued, and may be caught nd. An Arab writer of the tenth cenof the numbers of quails and says that en induces illness (cf. Num. xi. 33). In nature of the wilderness and of the life ırately reproduced in many particulars ives. Other details have to do with the ther tribes with whom dealing was had. 29-32 originally spoke of Kenites (not it leads to the conclusion that the Kenth the Hebrews to Canaan (cf. Judges i. Sam. xv. 6). The war with the Amalekhidim (Ex. xvii. 8-16) may be put in rith Massa and Meribah (= Kadesh; cf. but of the situation of Rephidim apart thing certain is known, nor of the place of verse 15. The kernel and occasion .-xiv. is discernible as coming from the ne Calebite stem as dwelling near Hearrative explains the connection of the srael by its obedience to Yahweh. The ncerning Horman and the former name mologically clear, since Horman is conthe Hebr. herem, "ban"; but the hisent is put in question. In an entirely ss are Ex. xviii.; Num. xi. 14, 16-17, ch deal with the selection of laymen as aids in leading the people. Num. xi. in a grade lower than Moses, possessing of the divine spirit which rested upon riii. makes Jethro the teacher of Moses er. Some of the stories are closely conthe cultus (Ex. xvii. 8-16; Num. xxi. Kings xviii. 4). Other passages deal logical explanations of place names xv. 23; Massah and Meribah, Ex. xvii. 7: Taberah, Num. xi. 1-3; and Hormah, ut sup.). The narratives are partly etiological, partly etymological, and partly popular renarration of historical recollections from various standpoints, some also having their point of departure in pedagogical purpose (so the Korah narrative in its relation to priestly precedence, Num. xvi.). Complicating the discussion is the fact that the subject is the people of Israel as a whole as having the desert experience, though nationality was attained first in Canaan and only little tribes or stocks collected about Moses, with their possessions of flocks lingering where water permitted.

The names of places finding mention in the older narratives and in P are few—chiefly on the Egyptian border and in Edom and Moab. Stretches of territory were often named from adjacent places (e.g., the wilderness of Shur, Ex. xv. 22, from Shur, cf.

Gen. xvi. 7, or perhaps from an Egyptian border fortress Taru). Some explain Elim (Ex. xv. 27) by referring to Phoinikon a place of worship rich in

Phoinikon, a place of worship rich in springs named by Agatharchides (150 B.c.), and putting it into connection with the gods (Elim) of the place, while Marah (Ex. xv. 23) is derived from the name of the Maraniten, a tribe which held possession. A later identification is with 'Ain Hawara in the wadi of that name, and of Elim with the Wadi Gharandel two hours south. The wilderness of Sin (Ex. xvi. 1), which has nothing to do with the deity of that name, is to be located east and northeast of the present Ismailiyeh; according to Ezek. xxx. 15, Sin was the name of a fortress on the northern boundary of Egypt. Of the places in the desert Kadesh is known with certainty (see NEGEB). It figures strongly in all the sources, and it is possible that Massah is the notable spring 'Ain al-Kaderat, not far from Kadesh. The war with the Amalekites and the meeting with Jethro point to Kadesh. It has long been noticed that in the present accounts Kadesh was a station of the Israelites both before and after the giving of the law, and this probably embodies the correct historical tradition; indeed, this place may have been the objective of the march from Egypt, since it must have been known by the nomads for its abundant water supply. It is never reported that water was found at Sinai or Horeb, and a long stay there is not to be supposed. The natural situation implies that Israel staved long in Kadesh but it is not expressly stated in the older narratives, though it may be read between the lines. The location of the giving of the law might be conjectured for this neighborhood (cf. Judges v. 4), in accordance with the general situation, but this is obscured by the intimations regarding the law as given on Sinai or Horeb. What is in the foreground is the long halt at Kadesh, and this alone offers a reasonable ground upon which to construct the history of this period and of the founding of the religion. Taberah and Kibroth-hattaavah (Num. xi. 3, 34) were possibly not far from Kadesh, as was also Hazeroth (xi. 35), "courts, enclosures." Paran (q.v.), as represented, seems to have been more frequented by the Israelites than Kadesh, but this can hardly be historical. Horman, to be distinguished from the place of that name in the Negeb (q.v.), is

located by Palmer at al-Zebeta, by Robinson at al-Zafa on the border of Edom. The wilderness of Sin lay south of Kadesh, between it and the wilderness of Paran. The latter is made by the narratives the place of the long wandering, and is to be sought west of the Edomitic boundary. Of the period spent there hardly anything is known—there was placed the rebellion of Korah. In the later conceptions of the Hebrews, the double halt of their forefathers at Kadesh was the fast fact. Mount Hor, where Aaron died (Num. xx. 22-29), is, according to the context, to be sought not far from Kadesh, and not in the neighborhood of Petra; Deut. x. 6 sqq. puts his death at Mosera, which may possibly be Jebel Madara, northeast from Kadesh. Oboth (Num. xxi. 10-11, xxxiii. 43) is located by Wetzstein at the watering-places 'Ain al-Webe on the western slope of Wadi 'Arabah south of the Dead Sea; but Num. xxxiii. 43 places it near Phunon (Khirbet Fenan), on the opposite side of the wadi. The location is not certain, but both supposed sites indicate passage through the wadi. Ije-abarim (Num. xxi. 11, xxxiii. 44-45) shows the people already in Moab; it may correspond to Khirbet 'Aij, between Katrabba (Kafrabba) and el-Kerak.

Bound up in the texture of the narrative of P is a chronology which makes frequent mention of forty years. This period as the length of the wandering is surely older than the age of the au6. Chronol- thor of this document, appearing in E ogy and the and D (Josh. xiv. 7, 10; Deut. viii.

2, 4). In the present text this period is reckoned in various ways; from the march from Kadesh to the end of the desert (Num. xiv. 33, xxxiii. 38); or from the departure from Egypt (Ex. xii. 2 sqq., xvi. 1, xl. 1, 17; Num. x. 11; Deut. i. 3), which would make the period from the leaving of Kadesh thirty-eight years. Sometimes the reckoning is not completed, perhaps because it did not agree with other data (Ex. xix. 1; Num. xx. 1), but perhaps because the reckoning of forty years was a later conception. This conception is worked out into a schematic (i.e., unhistorical) form in Num. xxxiii., making the stations impliedly agree with the number of the years of the wandering. Of the events of these years little is known; the rebellion of Korah, the opening of the springs at Kadesh, and the death of Aaron are all. This lack of material best fits in with the supposition that the forty years were not in the original tradition. As to E and D it is to be noted that the former (Ex. xiii. 17 sqq.) declares that God did not lead the people by the way of the Philistines, but by the way of the Red Sea (q.v.); the other reports of the wandering are given in Deut. i.-ii. The road to the "mountain of the Amorites" (Deut. i. 19) leads out of the desert south of the Negeb, out of the desert of Paran, to the north via Kadesh toward Beersheba and Hebron. The way to the Red Sea (i. 40, ii. 1) led from Kadesh through the desert to Elath. The "way of the plain" (ii. 8) leads (verses 3-4) north through the region of the Edomites. Thence the march was eastward or northeastward after leaving the Wadi 'Arabah to the wilderness of Moab and the brook Zered (verse 13). See ISRAEL, HIS-TORY OF, I., § 4. (H. GUTHE.)

BIBLIOGRAPHT: E. Naville, in the Memoirs of the Egypt Exploration Fund, Nos. 1, 3, for 1883-84; C. Forster, Israel in the Wilderness, London, 1865; W. H. Barlett, Forty Days in the Desert on the Track of the Israelites, new ed., London, 1867; E. H. Palmer, The Desert of the Exodus, part ii, chaps, 1-5, 2 vols, London, 1871; S. C. Bartlett, From Egypt to Palesine through Sinai, the Wilderness, and the South County, New York, 1879; G. Ebers, Durch Gosen zum Sinai, Leipsic, 1881; H. C. Trumbull, Kadesh-Barnea, New York and London, 1884; M. J. Lagrange, in Revue bibling, in (1900), 66 sqq., 286 sqq., 447 sqq.; C. Steuernagel, Emvanderung der israelitieschen Stämme, Leipsic, 1900; E. Meyer, Die Israeliten und ihre Nachbarstämme, pp. 190, Halle, 1906; Bönhoff, in TSK, 1907, pp. 159 sqq.; A. Musil, Arabia Petraa (text), vols. i.—ii., Vienna, 1907-1908; O. A. Toffteen, Researches in Biblical Archeology, vol. ii., The Historic Ezodus, Chicago, 1909; L. Schneller, Durch die Wüste zum Sinai. In Moses Spuren vom Schiff, meer bie zum Nebo, Leipsic, 1909; EB, iv. 5256-61; JR, xii. 520-521; the literature under Sinai, and the commentaries on the Biblical books named in the text.

WAR AND CHRISTIAN SERVICE IN WAR

I. Theory and Ethics of War. Ethics of War (§ 1). Patristic and Medieval Views (§ 1)

Patristic and Medieval Views (§ 2).
Cleries and Military Service (§ 3).

II. Movements and Societies for Mitigation of Horrors of War.

Origin of Societies for Care of Wounded (§ 1). German Societies (§ 2).

- I. Theory and Ethics of War: Though war is undoubtedly an evil, it is not unmixed with good, and the view that condemns it unconditionally is one-sided. To base this view on the words of Jesus
- in the sermon on the mount (Matt. v. 39-44) is to misinterpret the passage of War. It is true that in the kingdom of heaven

there will be no place for war, and that the development of the work of salvation among men points directly to the abolition of war; but the future can not be anticipated. The Christian must bear with patience present evils and tribulations (Rom. xii. 11). Did not Moses say, "The Lord is a man of war" (Ex. xv. 3)? David confidently recommends his martial doings to the Lord (Ps. ix., xviii., lx.). There is no reason for restricting the validity of this view to the time of the old dispensation, for nowhere does the New Testament reject war unconditionally. John the Baptist did not ask of the soldiers that they abandon their profession (Luke iii. 14), nor did Jesus ask such a thing of the centurion of Capernaum (Matt. viii. 5-13), or Peter of Cornelius (Acts x.). God has given the sword to rulers that they may punish evil-doers and maintain law and order. It was from this point of view that Luther wrote Ob Kriegsleute auch in seligem Stande sein können. He maintained that unnecessary war is a sin, but that necessary war is a duty. The part of the individual Christian in war is a matter of duty to the ruler. It is not for him to decide whether or not the war is justified. This view of the Reformer has not been changed greatly by later Evangelical ethics. The right of intervention, which is now generally recognized, offers a difficult problem; and it is questionable whether, in matters pertaining to the kingdom of God, the sword should be drawn at all (Matt. xxvi. 52). Strategy in war has been recognized from time immemorial, and is justified, in that it serves to shorten the war and diminish loss of life. As regards the care of the wounded and the life and property of non-combatants, warfare is now conducted on more humane principles than formerly. Even Luther regarded robbing and burning as unavoidable. It can not be too strongly emphasized that the only proper purpuse of war is to restore peace and reestablish law and order, and that no more damage should be done to the enemy than is necessary for the accomplishment of this purpose. Recent attempts to secure a world-peace by disarmament are based upon economic considerations rather than upon Christian principles.

The early Christians abhorred war, partly on account of a misinterpretation of the words of Jesus to Peter, "for all they that take the sword shall perish with the sword" (Matt. xxvi. 52); partly because military service brought them

2. Patristic in contact with many idolatrous rites.
and MediThe State seemed to them an expreseval Views. sion of the godlessness of the world and

its hostility to Christ. In this spirit Tertillian treated the subject (De idol., xix.; De corona militis, xi., both in ANF, vol. iii.). Nevertheless, in spite of the reigning aversion, many Christians served in the Roman army (Tertullian, Apol., xlii.; Ad Scap., iv.); and when, under the reign of Constantine, the relation between State and Church became one of intimate friendship and alliance the objections of the Christians to war were gradually silenced. Augustine, who maintained intimate personal and epistolary interourse with many distinguished statesmen, such Marcellinus and Bonifacius, considered war a social benefit, and military service an employment of a talent agreeable to God (Epist., cevii. ad Bonif., and Epist., exxxviii. ad Marc.). In his book minst Faustus (XXII., lxxiv.) he exclaims, "What is there bad in war "? Later on, when it became the great task of the Church to convert the Germanic tribes no objections to war were heard. True, its horrors and cruelties were mitigated by the "Truce of God" (q.v.), the sanctity of sacred places (see ASTLUM, RIGHT OF), etc. Indeed, the Church instigated the wars of the Crusades, which were regarded as wars of God. Nor is the attitude which Luther assumed with respect to the Peasants' War and the war against the Turks different in principle from that which the Latin Church originally assumed with respect to the Crusades. In the ancient church the clergy were absolutely forbidden to particpate in war; and no one who had served in the army after he had professed Christianity was admitted to holy orders. During the Middle Ages it was not rare to find great generals among the bishops. Such a one was Christian of Mainz. After the decay of the feudal system the clergy were freed from all personal military service.

Now that military service is required of all in lemany, the question of military service by clerics

has again become a vital one, and has
3. Clerics occasioned much discussion. It has
and Miliry Service. consistent with service in the kingdom
of God, and that the obligations of the

ung clergyman to his church should take prelence of secular duties. From the point of view of the Church it is highly objectionable that the work of preparation of the theological student should be unnecessarily interrupted by a period of military service, which may prove both expensive and demoralizing. [For views traversing those of this article see Peace Movements.]

II. Movements and Societies for Mitigation of Horrors of War: Felddiakonie is the German term for voluntary service rendered to combatants in time of war. In its origin it partook of the nature of Christian ministration, but was also influenced largely by the spirit of secular humanitarianism.

The care of the sick and wounded in 1. Origin war presupposes three essential eleof Societies ments—the existence of a trained and for Care of devoted body of voluntary workers, Wounded. their harmonious cooperation with the

regular military sanitary department, and the recognition of their neutral character by international law. Up to the middle of the nineteenth century the fate of those wounded in battle was pitiful, and even the Crimean War, which witnessed the heroic labors of Florence Nightingale and the first beginnings of organized sanitary activity on the part of volunteers, deprived war of but few of its horrors in the field and the hospital. It was the Lombard War of 1859 that gave the great impulse to the movement. Stirred by the dreadful sights of the battle-field of Solferino Henri Dunant of Geneva began to plead the cause of the wounded soldier, and so eloquently as finally to convince the entire world of the necessity of radical improvement in that sphere. On Aug. 22, 1864, was concluded the Geneva Convention by which the sick and wounded in war together with the staff devoted to their care and all utilities appertaining to the work were declared inviolable under the sign of the Red Cross (q.v.) on a white field. But of more avail than the specific conditions of the Geneva convention itself was the impulse thus given to a great humanitarian movement which speedily came to constitute one of the most wide-spread fields of beneficent human activity. The basis had been laid for the foundation of numerous societies which may be divided into two general categories according as the moving spirit is one of Christian mission work or of secular humanitarianism and patriotism.

Of Protestant associations the Knights of St. John trace back to the time of the crusades. The bailly of Brandenburg in the grand priory of Germany was disbanded in 1812, and revived in 1852

as an Evangelical order devoted to the

2. German defense of religion and the performance
Societies. of works of mercy. Both in peace and
war it has been active in the care of
the sick through the erection and maintenance of
hospitals and the knightly protection of sisters
engaged in their work of mercy on the battlefield.
In 1898 the order counted 770 active and 1,747
affiliated honorary members, and maintained 48
establishments with 2,297 beds, attended exclusively by the members of the sisterhoods. In time of
war it can place 1,600 women nurses in the field.
Among Roman Catholic orders the first place belongs

to the Knights of Malta, divided into two associa-

tions, one in Silesia organized in 1864, and one in the Rhenish and Westphalian region founded three years later. Its staff includes about 1,500 sisters of mercy and a smaller number of brethren. The Knights of St. George are a Bavarian order founded in 1729 and reorganized in 1871. Non-religious bodies are the Associations for the Care of the Wounded and Sick in War of which the first was founded in Württemberg in 1863, followed within five years by others in all the principal German states. In 1886 a movement was set on foot for the organization of voluntary associations for the care of the sick under the auspices of Johann Wichern, director of the Rauhes Haus, whose exertions resulted in the establishment of branches throughout Germany and the creation of a body of 2,200 trained nurses with a reserve of almost double that number. The organic law conditioning the existence and character of all these associations is the sanitary ordinance of Jan. 10, 1878. For Red Cross Societies

see the article on that subject; see also Prace MOVEMENTS. (THEODORE SCHÄFER.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The subject is sometimes treated in dis-UBLIOGRAPHY: The subject is sometimes treated in discussions on ethics, as in R. Rothe, Ethik, §§ 1159-62, Wittenberg, 1869, and H. L. Martensen, Die christiele Ethik, iii. 280-292, Berlin, 1871, Eng. transl., Edinburgh 1882. The reverse of this subject with its appropriate bibliography is presented in the article Prace Movement. Consult further: G. W. MacCree, The Sword and the Olice, The Sword and The Olive, The Oliv Constit further: G. W. maccree, The Subra and Mother, London, 1881; J. F. Bethune-Baker, Influence of Christianity on War, Cambridge, 1888; M. Jachns, Ueber Krie, Frieden und Kultur, Berlin, 1893; A. F. Hamon, Psychologie du militaire professionnel, Brussels, 1894; Y. A. Novikov, La Guerre et see prétendus bienfaits, Paris, 1994; M. Antichlow Kries and Antich Bodin 1000. Novikov, La Guerre et see prétendue bienfaits, Paria, 1884;
M. Anitchkow, Krieg und Arbeit, Berlin, 1900, Eng. transl,
War and Labour, Westminster and New York, 1900; J.
J. Green, War. Is it Consistent with Christianity! London, 1901; W. Walsh, The Moral Damage of War, Ladon, 1902, new ed., Boston, 1909; J. Barr, Christianity
and War, Glasgow, 1903; K. Blutharsch, Die Unseke
der Völkerkriege und die Grundlage für die Weltfrielen,
Stuttgart, 1905; T. Kattenbusch, Das seitliche Reht
des Krieges, Glessen, 1906; D. L. Dodge, War Isconsistent with the Religion of Jesus Christ, new ed,
Roston, 1910. Boston, 1910.

WAR, HEBREW.

I. The Army.
Primitive Conditions (§ 1). The Standing Army (§ 2). The Personnel and Pay (§ 3).

II. Arms and Weapons. Offensive and Defensive Armor (§ 1).

Branches of Service (§ 2). III. Fortresse IV. The Conduct of War. V. Religious Significance of War.

I. The Army: Not till the royal period did the Hebrews possess a standing army, but from a much earlier time every male adult able to fight was liable to call for field service. Bedouins either on a raid or when attacked expect the help of

1. Primitive every member of the tribe. The state-Conditions. ment in P (Num. i. 1-2, xxvi. 2) of

twenty years as the age when war service may be required may express ancient custom and possibly tells the age at which men became members of the tribe with full rights. The Book of Judges describes conditions from this point of view. In case of an expedition for booty or conquest or of necessity for repelling attack the men capable of bearing arms assembled under a recognized head-the boldest of their number (Judges xi. 1 sqq.); in case the danger was great, messengers were sent to friendly tribes for help. An example of this last was Jabesh-gilead, the elders of which sent for help throughout Israel, when Saul made his stirring appeal and called for the people to come to the war (I Sam. xi. 3 sqq.). In case of victory, each man returned home with his booty. This method did not permit great wars and slaughter or great armies, but resembled the conditions under which at the present Bedouin raids occur. The numbers of men engaged were relatively small; Gideon had 300 men (Judges vii. 16), the Danites numbered 600 (Judges xviii. 11). Larger numbers are mentioned in the Song of Deborah (Judges v. 8, cf. iv. 14). But the methods which had sufficed against the Midianites were not adequate when the enemy was a warlike and relatively great and well-armed people like the Philistines. So Saul recognized the need of a standing army, and after the victory over the Ammonites in view of conflicts with the Philistines he retained 3,000 men under arms (I Sam. xiii. 1 sqq.), though it is not said that this was a permanent force. Yet he had a force as a body-guard, of which David was the leader (I Sam. xxii. 14), the members of which were noted warriors, selected by Saul from all Israel (I Sam. xiv. 52).

A step momentous in its consequences was the king's assumption of appointment of the leaders, the people's voice being no longer heard in the matter. While at first naturally the heads of the tribs and such men were first chosen by

2. The Saul, his own interest led to the placing Standing in responsible positions of those known Army. to be true to him, eventually to mem-

bers of the royal household, as Jonathan (I Sam. xiii. 1 sqq.), and under David near relations like Joab, Abner, and Amasa. Saul sought to bind David to himself by giving him his daughter Michal. The body-guard had a place in history which was noteworthy. Under David it was 400 strong at Adullam (I Sam. xxii. 2), and a little later numbered 600 (I Sam. xxiii. 13); at the time of the Philistine fight (I Sam. xxviii. 1 sqq.) it must have been a formidable force, as the times then went. This force became David's guard, known as "heroes" and "Cherethites and Pelethites" (I Kings i. 8, 38). The last designation has been taken to show that Philistines were in it; this is not certain, but David had a company of 600 under Ittai of Gath who were trustworthy in critical times (II Sam. xv. 19), and Benaiah was their general (II Sam. xxiii. 23). This body-guard was the kernel of David's army; whether the standing army included more is not known. The Chronicler (I., xxvii. 1 sqq.) divides the whole army into twelve corps of 24,000 each, which served each one month; but the report is untrustworthy. Still, regular organization of the army under David is clear, since Joab's office as general-in-chief was permanent. Considering the number and length of David's wars, it is improbable that the entire force available was always under arms—such a condition was often unnecessary, and economic conditions would not permit it. The numbering of the people by David probably had milisy purposes behind it. The organisation was by bounds, hundreds, and fifties (I Sam. viii. 12, xvii. 8, xviii. 13; II Sam. xviii. 1; II Kings i. 9, xi. 4, 9); such an organisation is attributed to Saul's ines, but it is doubtful whether this breaking up (the old tribal organisation occurred so soon. Real interests furthered the dissolution of tribal ties, ad tribal organisation was disregarded in Solomon's ivisions (I Kings iv. 7 sqq.), which may have had military basis. Obligation to bear arms and to my taxes rested on possession of the soil, so that then Nebuchadrezzar took away "the mighty men I valor" (II Kings xxiv. 14), naturally only "the morest . . . of the land" remained. In later times mong the officers of the army was the "scribe of he host" (Jer. lii. 25).

limitations to a call to war are placed by Deut. viv. 5, xx. 5-8, and certain prescriptions were obsered by Judas the Maccabee (I Macc. iii. 55). Which of these prescriptions is the older is difficult define, and the practicality is both questioned

(Wellhausen, Composition des Hexa-3 The teuch, p. 182, but cf. p. 359 of the 3d Personnel ed., 1899) and defended (Schwally, and Pay. Semitische Kriegsaltertümer, i. 74 sqq.).

Since the wars of Israel were wars of which, ceremonial impurity excluded from serv-2. At the time when these prescriptions were fitten, customs were still in memory which made em explicable, and some of them can be explained m present knowledge. In Maccabean times there re changes in the military establishment. Judas d, in addition to the groupings already men-ned, one of ten men (I Macc. iii. 55); Simon sed a force paid from his own resources (ib. r. 32); Hyrcanus enlisted foreigners (Josephus, 4, XIII., viii. 4), while Jews increasingly entered service of foreign kings (both Ptolemies and leucidæ; I Macc. x. 36; Josephus, Ant., XII., ii. . Under Alexander Jannæus and Alexandra form mercenaries held the Jews in check (Josephus, w., XIII., xiii. 5); Hyrcanus furnished troops to e Romans (ib. XIV., x. 2); under the Herods, the my was trained in Roman fashion, and Germans re among the forces. In case the need was urat the forces were summoned by the trumpet or the display of signal. Whether the forces carxi standards in early times is unknown, but pasges in P (Num. i. 52, ii. 2-34) speak of such both for ibs and families, though their character is not demined. Naturally in ancient times the commisrist was not specially governed; each man took hat he could, even in his own country (II Sam. ii. 27)—Jesse sent provisions to his sons through wid (I Sam. xvii. 17). Yet Judges xx. 10 (the p of which is not determined) speaks of regular vision for supply of food. Only the standing my and mercenaries received pay, and the warreward consisted in part in their share in the oty (Gen. xiv. 24; Num. xxi. 25 sqq.; Deut. xxi. , in which those who remained behind for cause red (Num. xxxi. 27; Josh. xxii. 8; I Sam. xxx. II Macc. viii. 28, 30).

L Arms and Weapons: From their nomadic the Hebrews brought into Canaan the chief pon of the Bedouins, the lance with wooden

shaft and bronze head. The sling was an early weapon, but the sword became common only after they reached Palestine. There they first met foes whose method of warfare was of a high 1. Offensive standard. Canaanitic weapons were and Defen- derived from the Hittites on the north, sive Armor. and the part of their equipment which most terrified the Hebrews was the chariots of iron, to the possession of which is attributed the ability of Canaanites to retain mastery of the plains (Josh. xi. 4; Judges i. 19; I Sam. xiii. 5). The chariots carried three men—driver, warrior, and shield-bearer who protected the others. The Philistines had cavalry also (I Sam. xiii. 5). Infantry were of two kinds, light and heavy armed. The latter had a round helm of bronze, coat of mail, bronze greaves, sword, throwing spear, and lance; the former were bowmen and slingers. This armament the Hebrews adopted from their foes. The Chronicler mentions light-armed Benjaminites, and says that they were ambidextrous with bow and sling (I Chron. viii. 40, xii. 2; II Chron. xiv. 8, xvii. 17; cf. Judges xx. 16). Judahites were heavy armed, carrying spear and shield, as were Gadites and Naphtalites (II Chron. xiv. 8; I Chron. xii. 8, 24, 34). The light-armed had bow or sling and a small shield. The bow was usually of a hard springy wood, though later it was of bronze (Ps. xviii. 34; Job xx. 24); as it was strung by placing one end on the ground and bending the other with the hand, it must have been large; yet another kind was strung by the hands alone. The string was of ox or camel gut. The arrow was of light wood with point of metal, and was carried in a quiver; sometimes the point was poisoned (Jer. li. 11; Isa. xlix. 2; Job vi. 4). Fire arrows were used against city and camp (Isa. l. 11). The sling was also the weapon of the shepherds, and was a strap of leather or such material, broader in the center where the missile, usually a smooth stone, was placed, this being discharged by loosing one end of the sling. The light-armed, at least the bowmen, carried a small shield only half as large as that of the heavy-armed, but the shape of neither is known. From Ezek. xxxix. 9; II Sam. i. 21; and Isa. xxi. 5 it seems clear that the shield was of wood covered with leather or of several layers of leather. Solomon's golden shields were merely for display; Rehoboam furnished instead those covered with bronze (I Kings xiv. 26). Apparently on the march the shields were carried by wagon. The heavy-armed had as weapon of attack the spear (hanith) used for thrusting, not throwing (I Sam. xvii. 7, xix. 9-10). How this weapon differed from that called romah is unknown (II Chron. xi. 12), but the *romah* later became the usual weapon. I Chron. xii. 8, 24, 34 distinguishes the hanith as the weapon of the Naphtalites, the romah as that of Judah and Gad. The weapon called kidhon probably differed from both as being a casting spear; Goliath had one besides his hanth (I Sam. xvii. 6, 45). The sword was of iron, its blade straight and often double-edged, and it was used both to cut and to thrust (I Sam. xiii. 19; Judges iii. 16, 21, xxi. 10). It was carried at the left by a girdle worn over the soldier's coat. The helmet (kobha' or kobha') in early times was worn not

by the man in the ranks but by the king or leader of the host (I Sam. xvii. 5, 38); the Chronicler (II., xxvi. 14) reports first of Uzziah that he equipped the army with helmets, and later it was a common article of defense. Saul and Goliath are reported to have had bronze helmets and coats of mail. Probably these were not wholly of bronze, but of leather covered with the alloy. The form is not known, but the monuments show that of Egyptians and Assyrians. Goliath's coat was of scales of bronze, while Saul's was probably of bronze also, since it was too heavy for David (I Sam. xvii. 38-39). From Assyrian sources it appears that the coat of the common soldier was a thick jacket of felt or leather somewhat strengthened with sheet iron; the charioteers wore the long coat reaching to the knees. In Græco-Roman times the metal coat was more common, in the Syrian armies the common soldiers wore interwoven coats of mail (I Macc. vi. 35). Other weapons of an uncommon sort are mentioned, but do not characterize the armament of the Hebrews (Job xli. 26; Jer. l. 23, li. 20; Prov. xxv. 18; Gen. xlix. 5; Ps. xxxv. 3).

Up till the time of Solomon the Hebrews had only infantry; David's course in the Syrian war when he captured chariots and horses was to disable the horses (II Sam. viii. 4). But Solomon 2. Branches introduced cavalry and chariots, and

1,400 chariots, and 40,000 chariot horses (I Kings x. 26), which were kept partly in Jerusalem and partly elsewhere (I Kings ix. 19). This marks the beginning of a great standing army over and above the body-guard of the king. Cavalry and chariotry thenceforth were a part of the Hebrew army, although a large part of the land was not suited to their evolutions. For this element of the army the prophets had no liking and frequently denounced reliance upon it (Hos. i. 7, xiv. 3). The chariots were doubtless like those of Philistines and Canaanites, two-wheeled, open behind, and prob-

ably carried three persons.

III. Fortresses: When the Hebrews crossed the Jordan, they found the land defended by numerous strong places and fortified cities which, with their high walls, made great impression upon the sons of the desert (Num. xiii. 28; Deut. i. 28), who were not able at once to reduce them. For a time they dwelt in the open, and in time of war fled to woods and caves for refuge (I Sam. xiii. 6). This condition changed in the kingly period, when Canaanitic fortresses fell into their hands, especially Jebus (II Sam. v. 9); they learned also to build their own fortifications, as when David refortified Jebus-Jerusalem, and when Solomon built Hazor and Megiddo on the roads to the north, Gezer, lower Beth-horon, and Balaath toward the west, and Tamar toward the south. Rehoboam erected no less than fifteen border fortresses on the west and south (II Chron. xi. 5 sqq.); Jeroboam fortified Shechem and Penuel in the north (I Kings xii. 25); Baasha attempted to fortify Ramah as an outpost against Judah, but Asa destroyed it and used the material to build Geba and Mizpah (I Kings xv. 16-22). Omri built Samaria on an isolated hill and made it so strong that it was able to hold out for

three years against the Assyrians (II Kings xvii. 5). The Maccabeans and Herods built many fortresses, among which especially worthy of mention are Beth-zur, Jotopata, Herodium (southwest of Bethlehem), Masada, and Machærus. Naturally, there fortresses stood on hills; and it was the custom for each great fortified city to have in or near it also a citadel (so Jerusalem, q.v.; Shechem, Penuel, and Thebez; Judges ix. 46, 51, viii. 9, 17). The primary fortification was an encircling wall, usually of the largest stones obtainable or workable, often not squared, and in ancient times set without mortar; it was so thick that not only the watch but considerable forces could occupy its crown (Neh. xii. 31 sqq.; I Macc. xiii. 45). There were also placed there catapults and other engines of war, beginning from the time of Uzziah (II Chron. xxvi. 15). Massive towers of great stones protected the corners, gate, and other portions of the walls. Battlements protected the defenders. The entrances were not simple openings in the walls, but quite roomy structures with towers and an upper story (II Sam. xviii. 33); the gates were usually double door of strong wood, probably covered with plates of bronze or iron and fastened with bars of the same metal (Deut. iii. 5; I Kings iv. 13). Commonly a city had but one gate, which was closed at evening (Gen. xxxiv. 20; Josh. ii. 5). Frequently there was a smaller outside wall.

IV. The Conduct of War: A preliminary to war was the consulting of the oracle (Judges i. 1, xx. 27-28; I Sam. xiv. 37) or of the prophet (I Kings xxi. 5 sqq.); there were sacrifices (I Sam. vii. 8 sqq., xiii. 9 sqq.) and consecration, since war was boly (see below). In great conflicts the war palladium, the ark, was present as a matter of course (I Sam. iv. 4 sqq.; II Sam. xi. 11); Deut. xx. 2 prescribes that before the fight the priest address the soldiery and inspire them with courage, and the priestly law requires the presence of the priest with his silver trumpet (Num. x. 9, xxxi. 6). This ordinance was observed by the Maccabees (I Macc. xvi. 8). If possible, the war began in the spring, that return might be had before the winter, when men stayed at home. Of the arrangement of the camp nothing is known; Num. ii. seems to indicate a triangular form, but how nearly this corresponded to actual custom is not clear. Tents are mentioned as being in the camps of Hebrews and Syrians (II Sam. ri. 11; II Kings vii. 7) in connection with protracted sieges of fortresses. The night was divided into three watches (Judges vii. 19); while the main force was away, a camp guard protected the camp. The maintenance of the purity of the camp was strictly enjoined (Deut. xxiii. 10 sqq.). The battle array was either in line or in three parts of center and two wings (I Sam. iv. 2, xvii. 8, 20-21; Judges vii. 16. 20, xx. 20, 30; Isa. viii. 8), with sometimes an ambush at the rear of the enemy (Josh. viii. 13-14). The attack was accompanied by a loud outer, (Josh. vi. 20; I Sam. xvii. 52). The art of war was not highly developed, though stratagem, in the way of surprise or rear attack, was employed, also the turning of the flanks (Josh. ii., vi. 22, viii. 2. 12; Judges vii. 10 sqq., 16 sqq., xx. 36 sqq.; II Sam. v. 23). The fight depended of en upon individual myery, strength, dexterity, and quickness. Occaionally a duel between chosen champions decided the battle (I Sam. xvii.; II Sam. ii. 14 sqq.). Though the Hebrews were behind the Assyrians in cruelty, their treatment of the conquered was harsh. While the latter cut off the heads and hands of the fallen a trophies, the former seem to have done this only in exceptional cases (I Sam. xvii. 5 sqq., xxxi. 9; II Sam. xx. 22); possibly it was an old custom to ent off the foreskins of the fallen foe (I Sam. xviii. 25, 27); not seldom the captive kings or generals were killed (Josh. x. 24 sqq.; Judges vii. 25), though the Hebrew kings bore a reputation for mildness (I Kings xx. 31). Sometimes the entire captive host was slain (Judges vii. 25; Josh. x. 24 sqq.), and severe practises of other kinds are known (Judges i. 6-7; I Sam. xi. 2). As a rule the captives became slaves, yet the usually mild Deuterenomy (xx. 13-14) enjoins the enslaving of women and children only. For examples of other horrors of war cf. II Kings viii. 12, xv. 16; Isa. xiii. 16; Hos. z. 14; Amos i. 13. The land of the enemy was nwaged, the trees cut down, the wells stopped up (Deut. xx. 19; Judges vi. 4; II Kings iii. 19, 25), while cities and villages were burned (Judges ix. 45; I Macc. v. 28). The subjected people were put under ransom of a large sum or under tribute (II Kings xviii. 14; Isa. xxxii. 18), for the payment of which hostages were taken (II Kings xiv. 14). Victory was celebrated with song and dance (Ex. m; Judges v.; I Sam. xviii. 6 sqq.). The burial of the fallen was a sacred duty (I Kings xv.); the host mourned fallen leaders (II Sam. iii. 31), whose reapons were buried with them.

V. Religious Significance of War: In common with other Semites, Hebrews regarded war as a saand thing, a concern of Yahweh (Ex. xvii. 16; Num. xi. 14; I Sam. xxv. 28); hence in Deborah's song those are cursed who remained away from the battle (Judges v. 23). Israel's foes are also Yahweh's (Judges v. 31; I Sam. xxx. 26). As "Lord of hosts" and "God of the armies of Israel" (I Sam. xvii. 45) Yahweh participated in the battle; and cast stones upon the enemy to assist his people (Josh. x. 11). His presence with the army was believed to be a literal fact, in common with the ordinary belief of the times, and he was represented by the ark, which by the enemy was taken as the presence of God himelf (I Sam. iv. 6-7). War was therefore one of the religious institutions of Israel; the warrior was obligated to perform certain cultic duties before batthe being consecrated to God (Josh. iii. 5; Isa. xiii. 3), men spoke of "sanctifying war" (Joel iii. 9, A.V. margin; Jer. vi. 4); and the warrior was to remain ceremonially pure during the war (Smith, Red. of Sem., p. 455; cf. II Sam. xi. 6 sqq.). From this standpoint has been explained the exemption from warlike duties of those newly married, or who and just built a house; and this, too, explains the act that the camp is sacred (Deut. xxiii. 10 sqq.). hus is explained also the custom of the ban; all oty belongs to Yahweh, hence the extreme form the ban was the killing of all which had life and rning of everything else (Josh. vi. 17; I Sam. vi. cf. Deut. xiii. 16-17). Limitations of the ban found in Deut. vii. 27 sqq.; while historical practise or prescription is found in Num. xxxi. 7 sqq., 17-18; Josh. viii. 2, 27-28, xi. 10 sqq.; Judges xxi. 11 sqq. In all probability practise was milder than theory, the desire for booty having its influence. The destruction of a part of the booty signifies consecration of that part to Yahweh, and parallel for the Hebrew custom is found in the Moabite Stone (q.v.), which declares that Mesha devoted 7,000 men to his god Chemosh (lines 3, 11, 12, 16-17).

(I. Benzinger.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: F. Schwally, Semitische Kriegaaltertümer, part i., Der heilige Krieg in Israel, Leipsic, 1901; J. L. Saalschüts, Mosaisches Recht, pp. 258-286, 641 sqq., Berlin, 1846-48; S. Spitzer, Das Heer- und Wehr-Gesetz der alten Israeliten, 2d ed., Pressburg, 1879; Benzinger, Archaologie, pp. 279-308; Nowack, Archaologie, i. 357-375; DB, i. 154-156, 346, 703, iv. 892-897; EB, i. 312-316, 605-607, ii. 1918, 2013, iii. 4463-65, iv. 5261-70, 5275; JE, ii. 120-122, xii. 463-466; and, for comparative purposes, A. Erman, Life in Ancient Egypt, pp. 520 sqq., New York, 1894.

WARBURTON, WILLIAM: Church of England bishop of Gloucester; b. at Newark-upon-Trent (17 m. n.e. of Nottingham) Dec. 24, 1698; d. at Gloucester June 7, 1779. His father, an attorney, had him educated for the law, which he probably practised 1719-23; but he had always a passionate liking for theology, and was ordained deacon, 1723, and priest, 1727; he became rector at Greaseley, Nottingham, 1726; was rector at Brant-Broughton, 1728-30; and at Frisby, 1730-56; became chaplain to the Prince of Wales, 1738; preacher to Lincoln's Inn, 1746; chaplain to the king, 1754; prebendary of Durham, 1755; dean of Bristol, 1757; and bishop of Gloucester, 1760. In the retirement of country life during the earlier years of his activity he prosecuted his studies with great diligence, and wrote those works which have perpetuated his memory. The first of these was The Alliance between Church and State; or the Necessity and Equity of an established Religion, and a Test Law demonstrated, from the Essence and End of civil Society upon the fundamental Principles of the Laws of Nature and Nations (1736), in which, while taking high ground, as the title indicates, he yet maintains that the State Church should tolerate those who differed from it in doctrine and worship. Soon thereafter came his great work, The Divine Legation of Moses, Demonstrated on the Principles of a Religious Deist, from the Omission of the Doctrine of a Future State of Rewards and Punishments in the Jewish Dispensation. Books i,-iii, appeared in vol. i. (1737-38); books iv., v., vi., in vol. ii. (1741); books vii. and viii. never appeared; book ix. was first published in his Works (1788; 10th ed. of the entire work, ed. James Nichols, 3 vols., 1846). The treatise was directed against the Deists (see Deism), especially their doctrine of the Old Testament and their stress upon the omission of mention of immortality in the Old Testament. Warburton turns the tables upon them by constructing, out of the very absence of such statements, a proof of the divinity of the Mosaic legislation. The first three books deal with the necessity of the doctrine of a future state of rewards and punishments to civil society from (1) the nature of the thing, (2) the conduct of the ancient lawgivers and founders of civil policy, and (3) the opinions and conduct of the ancient sages and

philosophers. The fourth book proves the high antiquity of the arts and empire of Egypt, and that such high antiquity illustrates and confirms the truth of the Mosaic history. The fifth book explains the nature of the Jewish theocracy. In the sixth book Warburton shows from the Old and New Testaments that a future state of rewards and punishments did make part of the Mosaic dispensation. The ninth book treats of the true nature and genius of the Christian religion. The general argument is that because the sacred books of Judaism said nothing respecting a future state of rewards and punishments, it must be divine, since it did really accomplish the punishment of wrong-doers without such a doctrine, and no other legislation has been able to do so without it. This it could do because the foundation and support of the Mosaic legislation was the theocracy which was peculiar to the Jews, and dealt out in this life righteous rewards and punishments upon individual and nation. An extraordinary providence conducted the affairs of this people, and consequently the sending of Moses was divinely ordered. The work is confessedly limited to one line of argument, is defective in exegesis, and does not do justice to the intimations of immortality among the later Jews; yet it is distinguished by freshness and vigor, masterly argumentation, and bold imagination. The excursuses are particularly admirable.

Warburton was a man of untiring energy, wide information, clear insight, and lively imagination. He had a noble, open, guileless heart; yet as a critic he was sharp, and often satirical. His writings, besides those already noted, embrace a commentary upon Pope's Essay on Man (1742; by this he won Pope's firm friendship); Julian (1750; on the numerous alleged providential interferences which defeated Julian's attempt to rebuild the temple); The Doctrine of Grace; or the Office and Operations of the Holy Spirit vindicated from the Insults of Infidelity and the Abuses of Fanaticism (2 vols., 1762; a work directed against the Methodists, which did not advance his reputation). His Works were edited with a biographical preface by Bishop Hurd (7 vols., 1788; new ed., 12 vols., 1811; the expense was borne by Warburton's widow). Supplementary to this edition are the Tracts by Warburton and a Warburtonian (1789); Letters (Kidderminster, 1808; 2d ed., London, 1809); Selections from the Unpublished Papers of Warburton (1841).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The Life by Hurd was issued separately, ed. IBLIOGRAPHY: The Life by Hurd was issued separately, ed. F. Kilvert, London, 1860. There is a life by J. S. Watson, ib. 1863. Consult further: J. Nichols, Literary Anecdotes of the 18th Century, v. 529-658, 9 vols., London, 1812-15; idem, Illustrations of the Literary Hist. of the 18th Century, ii. 1-654, 8 vols., ib. 1817-58; John Hunt, Hist. of Religious Thought in England, iii. 146-151, et passim, ib. 1873; L. Stephen, Hist. of English Thought in the 18th Century, passim, New York, 1881; M. Pattison, Essays and Papers, ii. 119-176, London, 1889: DNB. lix. 301-311. ii. 119–176, London, 1889; *DNB*, lix. 301–311.

WARBURTONIAN LECTURE: A lecture course founded by a testamentary bequest of £500 by Bishop William Warburton (q.v.) to prove "the truth of revealed religion in general, and of the Christian in particular, from the completion of the prophecies in the Old and New Testaments, which relate to the Christian Church, and especially to the apostasy of papal Rome." The lecture is to be preached annually, in the chapel of Lincoln's Inn, London, on the first Sunday after Michaelmas Term and the Sunday before and the Sunday after Hilary Term. and no lecturer may continue more than four year. A list of the lectures, so far as they have been published, is as follows:

1768-72. Richard Hurd, Introduction to the Study of the Prophecies concerning the Christian Church, and in Perticular concerning the Church of Papal Rome, London, 1772.

1772-76. Samuel Halifax, On the Prophecies community Christian Church and Papal Rome, London, 1776.
1776-80. Lewis Bagot, Twelse Discourses on the Prophecies Christian Church Enthlishment and subsequent Hist s concerning the first Establishment and subsequent History of Christianity, Oxford, 1780. 1782-86. East Apthorp, Discourses on Prophecies, 2 vols

London, 1786.

1801-05. Robert Narea, A Connected and Chronological View of the Prophecies relating to the Christian Church, Lan-1801-05.

1807-11. Edward Pearson, On the Subject of the Propi relating to the Christian Church, London, 1911.
1811-15. Philip Allwood, On the Prophecies relating to the

Christian Church, and especially to the Apostasy of Permal Rome, 2 vols., London, 1815. 1821-25. John Davison, Discourses on Prophecy: is which are considered its Structure, Use, and Inspiration, London. 1825

1838-36. Frederick Nolan, The Chronological Prephris as constituting a connected System; in which the principa Events of the Divine Dispensation are determined by file precise Revelation of their Dates, demonstrated, Land 1887.

1887-40. Alexander M'Caul, On the Prophecies, pre he Divine Origin of Christianity, London, 1846, and The Messiahship of Jesus, 1852.

1842-46. Frederick Denison Maurice, The Epistic is the

Hebrews, three Lectures, with a Preface, containing a Revew of Mr. Newman's Theory of Development, London, 1846. 1846–49. Benjamin Harrison, Prophetic Outlines of the

Christian Church and the Antichristian Power, as traced Visions of Daniel and St. John, London, 1849.

1849-58. Edward Bishop Elliott, The Christian Christi

Institution and Declension into Apostasy, London, 1886. 1854-58. William Goode, Pulfilled Prophecy a Proof of in Truth of Revealed Religion, with an Appendix of Notes, is-

cluding a full Investigation of Daniel's Prophecy of the Semin Weeks, London, 1863.

1866-70. Benjamin Morgan Cowie, The Voice of Gol, London, 1872.

1870-74. Edwin Hamilton Gifford, Voices of the Propiets Edinburgh, 1874.

1876-80. Stanley Leather, Old Testament Prophecy, in Witness as a Record of Divine Foreknowledge, London, 1880. 1880-84. Alfred Edersheim, Prophecy and History is Relation to the Messiah, London, 1885. 1886-90. Alexander Francis Kirkpatrick, Doctrine of the

Prophets, London, 1892.

1890-94. Francis Henry Woods, The Hope of Israel, Edinburgh, 1896.

1894-98. Henry Wace, Prophecy, Jewish and Christian, London, 1911. 1903-07.

Edgar Charles Sumner Gibson, The Old Testsent in the New, London, 1907.

1907-11. Michael George Glazebrook, The End of the Law. London, 1911.

A number of Warburtonian lecturers have never published the lectures which they delivered. Though not formally issued as a Warburtonian Lecture, the Propædia prophetica, a View of the Use and Design of the Old Testament, by William Rowe Lyall, London, 1840, formed, in its general argument, the substance of the course delivered by him.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: J. Darling, Cyclopadia Bibliographica, cols. 3102-3103, London, 1854; W. T. Lowndes, Bibliographica Manual of English Literature, ed. H. G. Bohn, p. 2884. London, n. d.; private information from Mr. Ernest C. Brown, of the British Museum, and Rev. John Harrington, Chaplain of Lincoln's Inn.

WARD, MARY. See English Ladies.

WARD, SETH: Church of England bishop of Salisbury; b. at Aspenden (18 m. n.e. of St. Albans), Hertfordshire, Apr. 5, 1617; d. at Knightsbridge, Salisbury, Jan. 6, 1688-89. He was educated at Sidney-Sussex College, Cambridge (B.A. 1636-37), where he became fellow in 1640 and mathematical lecturer in 1643, but in the following year he was deprived of his fellowship by the Puritans for refusing to subscribe the Solemn League and Covenant. He then resided in London, and at Aspenden, pursuing his mathematical studies and acting as a private tutor, until 1649, when, being willing to take the outh of allegiance to the Commonwealth, he was appointed Savilian professor of astronomy at Oxford, where he enunciated a clever, though unsuccentul, theory of planetary motion, and where he also became involved in a controversy with Thomas Hobbes (q.v.), the results of his astronomical studies being embodied in his In Ismaelis Bullialdi estronomia philolaica fundamenta inquisitio brevis (Oxford, 1653) and Astronomia geometrica; ubi methodus proponitur qua primariorum planetarum astronomia sive elliptica sive circularis possit geometrice checks (1656), and his points of disagreement with Hobbes being contained in his Vindicia academiarum (1654) and In Thomae Hobbii philosophiam exercitato epistolica (1656).

At Oxford Ward resided at Wadham College, and about 1649, on the formation of the Philosophical Society of Oxford, he became a member of that body, while he was later one of the original members of the Royal Society. In 1657 Ward was elected prinenal of Jesus College, Oxford, but was obliged to give place to an appointee of Cromwell; and two years later he was chosen president of Trinity, but was compelled within a year to resign since he did not possess the statutory qualifications. He now retired to London, where Charles II. appointed him vicar of St. Lawrence Jewry and rector of Uplowman, Devonshire, while in 1662 he was rector of St. Breeck, Cornwall. He had been precentor of Exeter since 1656, and in 1660 he was made a prebendary, and dean in the year following. In 1662 he was consecrated bishop of Exeter, and five years later was translated to Salisbury, while in 1671 he was appointed chancellor of the Order of the Garter. In 1672 he declined to become the successor of John Com (q.v.) in the see of Durham. Both as dean and as bishop Ward strongly opposed dissenters, suppressing their conventicles and ejecting them and their stalls from his cathedral, although, on the other hand, he was very willing to make certain concessions to win them back to the Church. He restored and beautified the cathedrals and palaces of both his sees, and founded several beneficent institutions, such as a college of matrons at Salisbury (1682) for widows of the Exeter and Salisbury clergy.

The chief theological works of Ward, besides many sermons, were Certain Disquisitions and Considerations representing to the Conscience the Unlawfullness of the . . . Solemn League and Coverant (Oxford, 1643; the first edition destroyed by the Puritans, the earliest edition extant being that of 1644), and Philosophical Essay towards an Exiction of the Being and Attributes of God, the

Immortality of the Souls of Men, and the Truth and Authority of Scripture (1652); and he also edited Samuel Ward's Dissertatio de baptismatis infantilis vi et efficacia (London, 1653) and Opera nonnulla (1658).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The primary life is by Walter Pope, London, 1698, on which cf. A. à Wood, Athena Oxonieneis, ed P. Bliss, i. p. clxx., and iii. 588, 1209, iv. 246, 305, 512, and Fasti, ii. 184, 4 vols., 1813–20, and the same writer's An Appendix to Pope's Life of Ward, ib., 1697. On the materials for a life of Ward cf. J. E. B. Mayor, in Notes and queries, 2 ser., vii. 269, and for a list of references DNB. lix. 336–340.

WARD, WILLIAM GEORGE: English Roman Catholic; b. in London Mar. 21, 1812; d. at Hampstead, London, July 6, 1882. He was educated at Christ Church and Lincoln College, Oxford (B.A., 1834), and was elected fellow of Balliol, where he also acted as lecturer in mathematics and logic. He took orders in the Church of England, and though he was at this time a pronounced latitudinarian, his combination of a severely logical mind with deep personal piety convinced him that there was no middle way between submission to ecclesiastical authority and absolute rationalism. It was at this period that he came under the sway of Tractarianism (q.v.), and he went far beyond the attack of J. H. Newman (q.v.) on the natural meaning of the Thirty-Nine Articles in Tract Ninety, Ward's own position being set forth in A Few Words in Support of No. xc. and A Few More Words in Support of No. xc. (both Oxford, 1841). The result was loss of his lectureships and tutorial position at Balliol, though he was appointed junior bursar in 1841 and senior bursar in the following year. Meanwhile his trend was more and more toward the Roman church, and in 1844 he published at Oxford, in reply to William Palmer, his Ideal of a Christian Church considered in Comparison with existing Practice, lauding the Roman communion as an almost perfect embodiment of Christianity, and by his comparisons with non-Roman communions incurring the extreme displeasure of English churchmen of all types. Declining to disavow the book either in whole or in parts specified as contrary to the Thirty-Nine Articles, Ward was formally censured by the vicechancellor and by the convocation of the University of Oxford and on Feb. 13, 1845, was degraded, a proceeding regarding the legality of which there was much room for doubt. Notwithstanding this doubt, Ward resigned his fellowship and on Sept. 5 of the same year was received into the Roman Catholic Church. In 1846 he removed to Ware, and from 1851 to 1858 was lecturer in moral philosophy in St. Edmund's College, his lectures being designed not only to meet the needs of his students, but also to prepare the way for a systematic monograph On Nature and Grace, although only the philosophical introduction was ever published (London, 1860). After residing for three years on one of his estates in the Isle of Wight, he returned to Ware in 1861, and from 1863 to 1878 was editor of The Dublin Review, which he transformed from a moribund condition to a powerful organ against all that savored of religious latitudinarianism, lending all his strength to the defense of Ultramontanism (q.v.). columns he supported the encyclical Quanta cura and the Syllabus errorum, and in his abhorrence of all that was not connected with the Roman church, he opposed the scheme of Cardinal Newman for the erection of a Roman Catholic hall at Oxford, while with equal energy he used his influence in behalf of the choice of H. E. Manning (q.v.) as archbishop of Westminster to succeed N. P. S. Wiseman (q.v.). His latter years were passed chiefly on his estate Weston Manor, Freshwater, Isle of Wight.

The chief works of Ward, besides those already noted, were The Relation of Intellectual Power to Man's True Perfection (London, 1862), The Authority of Doctrinal Decisions which are not Definitions of Faith (1866; essays reprinted from The Dublin Review), De infallibilitatis extensione theses quædam quæstiones (1869), Essays on Devotional and Scriptural Subjects (1879), Essays on the Church's Doctrinal Authority (1880; both volumes almost entirely consisting of reprints from The Dublin Review), and Essays on the Philosophy of Theism (ed. W. Ward, 2 vols., 1884; also reprinted from the same periodical).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The two books of highest importance are Wilfrid Ward's William George Ward and the Oxford Movement, London, 1889, and William George Ward and the Catholic Revival, ib., 1893. The literature under TRACTARIANISM should be consulted, especially the works of Browne and Moxley; also that under JOWETT, BENJAMIN; PUSEY, EDWARD BOUVERIE; and STANLEY, ARTHUR PENNHYN.

WARD, WILLIAM HAYES: Congregationalist, orientalist; b. at Abington, Mass., June 25, 1835. He was educated at Phillips Academy, Andover, Mass., and at Amherst College, Mass. (B.A., 1856); studied in Union Theological Seminary, New York City, 1856-57; in the Sheffield Scientific School, New Haven, Conn., 1857; was tutor in Beloit College, Wis., 1857-58; studied at Andover Theological Seminary, Mass., 1858-59 (graduated); was pastor at Oskaloosa and Grasshopper Falls, Kan., 1859-61; teacher in Williston Seminary, Easthampton, Mass., 1861; at Utica, N. Y., 1862-65; professor of Latin, Ripon College, Wis., 1865-67; associate editor New York Independent, 1868-70; has been superintending editor since 1870. He was director of the Wolfe expedition to Babylonia, 1884-85. He is the one authority on Assyrian, Babylonian, and Hittite seals. He edited (with Mrs. Lanier) Sidney Lanier's Poems (New York, 1884); has written a description of the seals in the J. P. Morgan collection (privately printed, New York, 1909); and The Seal Cylinders of Western Asia, published by the Carnegie Institution, Washington, D. C., 1910.

WARDLAW, RALPH: Scotch Congregationalist; b. at Dalkeith (6 m. s.e. of Edinburgh), Scotland, Dec. 22, 1779; d. at Easter-house, near Glasgow, Dec. 17, 1853. He was educated at the grammar-school at Glasgow, and matriculated at the university, 1791; entered the theological school in connection with the Associate Secession Church, beginning his studies at Selkirk in 1795; became a Congregationalist in 1800, joining the independent church in Glasgow; became pastor of the North Albian Street chapel of Glasgow, 1803; a larger chapel on West George Street was built in 1819, and Wardlaw continued to preach there till his death. From 1811 he was professor for many years

of systematic theology in the Glasgow Theological Academy. He was prominent in Scotland as a preacher, but his theological writings made him even more widely known; they embrace, besides his sermons and lectures on the Bible, Discourses on the Nature and the Extent of the Atonement of Christ (Glasgow, 1830); Christian Ethics, in the Congregational Lecture (London, 1834); National Church Establishment Examined . . . Lectures . . . in London (1839); Memoir of the Rev. John Reid: comprising Incidents of the Bellary Mission from 1830 to 1840 (Glasgow, 1845); The Headship of Christ, at Affected by National Church Establishments: a Lecture (1847); On Miracles (Edinburgh, 1852); Systematic Theology . . . ed. J. R. Campbell (3 vols., 1856-57). J. S. Wardlaw edited his Posthumous Works (8 vols., 1861-62).

Works (8 vols., 1861-62).

Bibliography: W. L. Alexander, Memoirs of the Life and Writings of R. Wardlaw, Edinburgh, 1856; DNB, iz. 353-354.

WARE, HENRY: One of the founders of Unitarianism in America; b. at Sherburne, Mass., Apr. 1, 1764; d. at Cambridge July 12, 1845. He was graduated from Harvard College (1785); was pastor of the First Church, Hingham, Mass. (1787-1805); was Hollis professor of divinity in Harvard College, 1805-16, and then in the divinity school, which was that year organized, until, in 1840, loss of sight compelled his resignation, though he continued to give instruction in pulpit eloquence till 1842. His significance historically, altogether spart from his own pleasing personality and scholarly attainments, lies in the fact that his election to the chair of divinity evoked a controversy which led to the separation of Unitarians from Congregationalists. His election was opposed on the ground of his "liberal" leanings, but the opposition was unsuccessful. The Rev. Dr. Jedediah Morse then published his True Reasons on which the Election of a Hollis Professor of Divinity was opposed at the Board of Overseers, which may be regarded as the commencement of the Unitarian controversy. Ware took no part in this controversy until 1820, when he wrote Letters to Trinitarians and Calvinists, occasioned by the Rev. Dr. Leonard Woods's Letters to Unitarians (Andover, 1820). This involved him in a controversy with Dr. Woods. Dr. Ware also published An Inquiry into the Foundation, Evidences, and Truths of

Religion (2 vols., Cambridge, 1842).
BIBLIOGRAPHY: W. B. Sprague, Annals of the American
Unitarian Pulpit, pp. 199-205, New York, 1865; J. H.
Allen, in American Church History Series, x. 187 sqq., b.
1894.

WARE, HENRY, JUN.: Unitarian, son of the preceding; b. at Hingham, Mass., Apr. 21, 1794; d. at Framingham, Mass., Sept. 22, 1843. He was graduated from Harvard College (1812); taught at Phillips Academy, Exeter (1812–14); took postgraduate studies and acted as sublibrarian at Harvard (1814–16); was pastor of the Second Church in Boston (1817–30); and Parkman professor of pulpit eloquence in the divinity school at Cambridge, 1830–42. He edited The Christian Disciple, the first Unitarian organ (1819–22); and published Hints on Extemporaneous Preaching (1824), On the Formation of the Christian Character (1831), and a considerable number of poems and occasional ser-

ir volumes of selections from his writings by C. Robbins (1846-47). He wrote a conmber of hymns, of which perhaps the best "All nature's works His praise declare," your glad voices in triumph on high." Y: J. Ware, Memoirs of Henry Ware, Jun., 2 m, 1845 (by his brother); W. B. Sprague, An-American Unitarian Pulpit, pp. 472–484, New 5; J. H. Allen, in American Church History 99-207, ib. 1894; Julian, Hymnology, p. 1233. BENJAMIN BRECKINRIDGE: n; b. at Lexington, Ky., Nov. 5, 1851. duated from the College of New Jersey) and from Princeton Theological Semistudied also at the University of Leip-1); was supply at the First Presbyterian altimore, Md. (1877-78); professor of nent language and literature in Western Seminary, Allegheny, Pa. (1878-87); 7 was called to his present chair of dipolemic theology in Princeton Theoinary. In theology he belongs to the e school. Besides his work as editor of terian and Reformed Review from 1890 1 of St. Augustine's Anti-Pelagian Wri-'NF, 1st series, New York, 1881), he has roduction to the Textual Criticism of the vent (1886); On the Revision of the Conaith (1890); The Gospel of the Incarna-Two Studies in the History of Doctrine e Right of Systematic Theology (Edini); The Significance of the Westminster s a Creed (New York, 1898); The Acts al Epistles (Philadelphia, 1902); The ed unto Salvation (sermons; 1903); and Glory; Study of the Designations of our N. T., with especial Reference to his Deity . 1907).

M. WILLIAM: Archbishop of Canter-Walshanger near Oakley (14 m. n.e. of) about 1450; d. at St. Stephens, near , Aug. 23, 1532. He was educated at and at New College, Oxford (fellow,)., 1488); studied particularly civil and became advocate in the court of arches. al of the civil law school at Oxford. He ed in a series of important missions of amerce between 1490 and 1493, when he and became precentor of Wells, then ie rolls (1494); became rector of Barley, re, 1495, and of Cottenham, near Cam-); meanwhile in 1497 he went to Scotand of James IV. the surrender of Perkin nd was sent also on several missions to nt which lasted till 1502; he then besively keeper of the great seal (1502), ondon (1502), lord chancellor and archanterbury (1504), and chancellor of the of Oxford (1506). With the accession III. (1509), at whose coronation he offiffered no loss of position; but the growth 1 royal favor was bitter to him, and he great seal and the chancellorship to 5). He again received the offer of the r Wolsey's fall, but declined, pleading other reasons.

Warham was possessed of great learning, skill in state-craft, dignity, and honesty. He was, for his age, singularly abstemious, and, although primate, lived in all simplicity. He was the friend of Erasmus and Colet. But he was deaf to the cries for reform, blind to the corruptions of the Church, was an opponent of the Reformation, and considered it an offense to introduce the writings of the Reformers and to translate the Bible into the vernacular. He was subservient to the king, and though appointed counsel to Catherine of Aragon, refused to act, being charged by her with giving as a reason for avoiding the task the Latin motto, ira principis mors est, "a prince's wrath brings death."

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Sources are: Memorials of Henry VII., ed. J. Gairdner, London, 1858; Letters and Papers... of ... Richard III. and Henry VII., ed. J. Gairdner, 2 vols., ib. 1861 (nos. 10 and 24 in Rolls Series); State Papers, ... King Henry VIII., 11 vols., London, 1830-52; Calendar of Letters, ... State Papers, relating to ... Spain, vols. i.-iv., ib. 1862 sqq. Consult: A. à Wood, Athena Ozonienses, ed. P. Bliss, ii. 738-741, 4 vols., London, 1813-1820; W. F. Hook, Lives of Archbishops of Canterbury, new series, vol. i., 12 vols., London, 1860 sqq.; W. Clark, The Anglican Reformation, pp. 64-73, New York, 1897; J. H. Overton, The Church in England, i. 331-370, London, 1897; J. Gairdner, English Church in the 16th Century, passim, London, 1903; Cambridge Modern History, ii. 428, 436, 439, New York, 1904; DNB, lix. 378-383.

WARNE, FRANCIS WESLEY: Methodist Episcopalian; b. at Erin, Ont., Dec. 30, 1854. After graduation from Albert College, Belleville, Ont., he became, in 1874, a Methodist minister in Canada, where he also did missionary work in 1878–81. He then studied at Garrett Biblical Institute, Evanston, Ill., being graduated in 1887. In 1887 he went to Calcutta, India, as a missionary, and after having been pastor of Thoburn Church in that city, and presiding elder of the Calcutta district, was elected, in 1900, missionary bishop to India.

WARNECK, var'nec, GUSTAV ADOLF: German Protestant; b. at Naumburg (24 m. s.w. of Halle) Mar. 6, 1834; d. at Halle Dec. 26, 1910. He studied at the University of Halle (1855-58); in 1862 became assistant pastor at Roitzsch; served as archdeacon in Dommitzsch (1863-70); became inspector of missions at Barmen (1870); was pastor of Rothenschirmbach (1875-96), retiring on a pension in 1896, when he became honorary professor of missions in the University of Halle. After 1879 he was president of the Saxon provincial missionary conference founded by him in that year, and from 1885 to 1901 was secretary of the committee of German missions. In theology he was a conservative. Besides editing the Allgemeine Missionszeitschrift, which he founded in 1874, he wrote: Pontius Pilatus, der Richter Jesu Christi (Gotha, 1867); Briefe über innere Mission (Halle, 1871); Die apostolische und die moderne Mission (Gütersloh, 1876); Das Studium der Mission auf der Universität (1877); Missionsstunden (2 parts, 1878-83); Die gegenseitigen Beziehungen zwischen der modernen Mission und Kultur (1879; Eng. transl. by T. Smith, Modern Missions and Culture, Edinburgh, 1882, new ed., 1888); Die christliche Mission in der Gegenwart (Halle, 1879); Abriss einer Geschichte der protestantischen Missionen von der Reformation bis auf die Gegenwart (Leipsic, 1882; Eng. transl., by T. Smith, Outline

of the History of Protestant Missions, Edinburgh. 1554. new ed., 1901; Protestantische Beleuchtung der römischen Angriffe auf die evangelische Heidenmission Gütersloh. 1554; Die Mission in der Schule (1557; Der Romanismus im Lichte seiner Heidenmission (Leipsic, 1555); Die Aufgabe der Heidenmission und ihre Trübungen in der Gegenwart (Halle, 1591); Evangelische Missionslehre, ein missionstheoretischer Versuch (3 vols., Gotha, 1892–1903); Das Bürgerrecht der Mission im Organismus der theologischen Wissenschaft (Berlin, 1897); and Die gegenwartige Lage der deutschen evangelischen Mission (1905).

WARNER, ZEBEDEE: United Brethren in Christ; b. in Pendleton Co., Va., Feb. 28, 1833; d. at Gibbon, Neb., Jan. 10, 1888. Educated at Clarksburg Academy, he entered the ministry of his denomination in 1854, and was presiding elder in 1862-69 and 1880-85, as well as pastor of a church at Parkersburg, W. Va., in 1869-80, and he also taught theology for eight years in the Parkersburg conference. He was a delegate to the general conference seven times, and for two years was president of the eastern Sunday-school assembly of his denomination. He wrote Christian Baptism (Parkersburg, 1864), Rise and Progress of the United Brethren Church (1865), Life and Times of Rev. Jacob Bachtel (Dayton, O., 1867), and The Roman Catholic not a True Christian Church (Parkersburg, 1868).

WARREN, HENRY WHITE: Methodist Episcopal bishop; b. at Williamsburg, Mass., Jan. 4, 1831. He was educated at Wesleyan University, Middletown, Conn. (A.B., 1853), and after teaching classics at Wilbraham (Mass.) Academy (1853-1855), was ordained to the ministry in 1855; he held pastorates at Worcester, Mass. (1855-57), Boston (1857-60), Lynn, Mass. (1861-63), Westfield, Mass. (1863-64), Cambridge, Mass. (1865-67), Charlestown, Mass. (1868-71), Philadelphia (1871-1873, 1877-79), and Brooklyn (1874-76); in 1880 he was elected bishop. In 1862-63 he was a member of the Massachusetts Legislature, and in 1881 was a delegate to the Pan-Methodist Council in London. In theology he is conservative, although "with an open eye for results of recent investiga-tions and inspirations." Besides editing *The Study* from 1896 to 1900, he has written Sights and Insights: A Book of Observations and Travels (New York, 1874); The Lesser Hymnal (1876); Recreations in Astronomy (1879); The Bible in the World's Education (1892); Among the Forces (1899); and Fifty-two Memory Hymns (1908).

WARREN, WILLIAM FAIRFIELD: Methodist Episcopalian; b. at Williamsburg, Mass., Mar. 13, 1833. He was educated at Wesleyan University, Middletown, Conn. (A.B., 1853), and at the universities of Berlin and Halle (1856-58), traveling extensively in Europe and the East in 1856-58. He held pastorates at Ballardvale, Andover, Mass. (1854-56), Wilbraham, Mass. (1858-60), and Boston (1860-61); was professor of systematic theology in the Missionsanstalt, Bremen, Germany (1861-66); of systematic theology and acting president of Boston Theological Seminary (1866-1871); dean of the School of Theology of Boston

University (1871-73); first president of Boston University (1873-1903); and dean of the School of Theology of the same institution (since 1903). He has also been professor of the comparative history of religions, comparative theology, and the philosphy of religion in Boston University since 1873, this being the first chair of its kind in America. He has repeatedly served his church as delegate to various important conventions. In 1876 he was elected the first president of the Massachusetts Society for the University Education of Women; was a member of the university senate of the Methodist Episcopal Church (1892-1904); and of the Commission on organic law of the same denomination (1896-1900). In 1874 he negotiated reciprocity agreements between Boston University on the one hand, and the National University of Athens and the Royal University of Rome on the other, thus anticipating the similar movement of more recent years. He has written Anfangsgründe der Logik (Bremen, 1863); Allgemeine Einleitung in die systematische Theologie (1865); Paradise Found: The Cradle of the Human Race at the North Pole (Boston, 1885); The Quest of the Perfect Religion (1886); In the Footprints of Arminius (New York, 1888); The Story of Gottlin (Meadville, Pa., 1890); The Religions of the World and the World-Religion (Boston, 1892); Constitutional Questions before the Methodist Episcopal Church (Cincinnati, 1894); and The Earliest Cosmologies; The Universe as pictured in Thought by the ancient Babylonians, Egyptians, Greeks, Iranians, and Indo-Aryans (New York, 1909).

WASHBURN, GEORGE: Congregationalist; b. at Middleborough, Mass., Mar. 1, 1833. He was graduated from Amherst College (A.B., 1855), and Andover Theological Seminary (1860). He was treasurer of the American Board of Commissioner for Foreign Missions in Turkey (1860-68), with headquarters at Constantinople; professor of philosophy and political economy in Robert College, Constantinople (1869-1903); acting president (1871-77), and president (1877-1903). After a year in the United States he returned to service in Robert College in 1906. Theologically he "accepts the Nicene Creed and believes that the Old and New Testaments contain a revelation from God." His belief "centers in the person of Jesus Christ and in the work of his Spirit," but he does not believe that "the work of the Divine Spirit is limited by any human creed whatsoever." He has had an important part in the religious and political development of the Balkan peninsula, and has written extensively on topics connected with the region for varous important reviews and journals. He wrote Fifty Years in Constantinople and Recollections of Robert College (Boston, 1909).

WASHBURN, ROBERT HOOSICK: Methodist Episcopalian; b. at Hoosick Falls, N. Y., Apr. 9, 1869. He was educated at Union College, Schenetady, N. Y. (B.A., 1889), Drew Theological Seminary, and Boston University (S.T.B., 1892), and from 1892 to 1906 held various pastorates in New York and Vermont. Since 1906 he has been professor of Hebrew and church history in Kimball College of Theology, Salem, Ore., and in 1906-07

was also professor of philosophy in Willamette University in the same city. Theologically he is conservative, and besides being long a correspondent of The Northern Christian Advocate (Syracuse, N. Y.) has published a number of hymns and poems.

WASHINGTON, BOOKER TALIAFERRO: Afro-American educator; b. near Halesford, Franklin County, Va., 1858 or 1859. He was graduated from Hampton Institute, Hampton, Va., in 1875 and subsequently studied at Wayland Seminary, Washington, D. C. In 1880-81 he was a teacher at Hampton Institute, and since the latter year has been principal of the Tuskegee Normal and Industrial Institute, Tuskegee, Ala. In this position he has shown himself a master in the problem of the education and elevation of the negro race in America. He is a member of the National Municipal League, International Committee on the New Educational Movement, the American Peace Society, the Harmony Club of America, etc., and has written Future of the American Negro (Boston, 1899); Sowing and Reaping (1900); Up from Slavery (New York, 1901; new ed., 1910); Character Building (1902); Story of my Life and Work (1903); Working with the Hands (1904); Tuskegee and its People (1905); Putting the Most into Life (1906); Life of Frederick Douglass (Philadelphia, 1907); The Negro in Business (Chicago, 1907); and The Story of the Negro (New York, 1909), besides collaborating with W. E. B. Du Bois in The Negro in the South (Philadelphia, 1907).

WASSERSCHLEBEN, vās'er-shlê''ben, FRIED-RICH WILHELM HERMANN: German statesman and theologian; b. at Liegnitz (40 m. w.n.w. of Breslau) Apr. 22, 1812; d. at Giessen June 28, 1893. He studied at the universities of Breslau and Berlin; began to lecture at Berlin in 1838; became extraordinary professor at Breslau, 1850, and in 1850 ordinary professor at Halle, in both cases in the faculty of law; he removed to Giessen as professor in law in 1852, where he was rector in 1870-71 and chancellor, 1875-84. During life he held a State office, and so avoided unnecessary participation in political affairs, being on the commission which had supervision of the Hessian State Church. As a member of the national synod his voice had weight because of his researches into ecclesiastical law. His literary work commenced early. He published Beibüge zur Geschichte der vorgratianischen Kirchenrechtsquellen (Leipsic, 1839); Reginonis abbatis Prumiensis libri duo de synodalibus causis et disciplinis ecclesiasticis (1840); two works on Pseudo-Isidore (Breslau, 1841-44); the comprehensive Die Beichtordnungen der abendländischen Kirche nebst einer rechtsgeschichtlichen Einleitung (Halle, 1851); issued an edition of the Irish canons (Giessen, 1874); and besides these a host of smaller brochures dealing with various phases of church history and law. He was an advocate of entire freedom of the Church from the State (cf. his Die Parität der Konfessionen im Staate, 1871, and his Bemerkungen zu dem offizielen Entwurf einer Verfassung der evangelischen Kirche les Grossherzogtums Hessen, also 1871); while he entered into discussion of the relation of the State marriage and divorce. Among other works of Wasserschleben, showing the extent of the interests

which absorbed his attention, are: Das Prinzip der Successionsordnung nach deutschem, insbesondere sächsischem Rechte (Gotha, 1860); Das Prinzip der Erbenfolge nach den älteren deutschen und verwandten Rechten (Leipsic, 1870); and a collection of sources for German law in Sammlung deutscher Rechtsquellen (Giessen, 1860 sqq.). (A. B. SCHMIDT.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: J. F. von Schulte, Geschichte der Quellen . . . des canonischen Rechts, iii. 2 and 3, p. 247; A. Schmidt, in Ludoviciana, pp. 71 sqq., Giessen, 1907; ADB, xli. 236.

WATER OF JEALOUSY. See ORDEAL, § 7.

WATER, CONSECRATION OF, IN THE GREEK CHURCH: The consecration of water is a custom so early that its beginnings can not be traced. Cyprian (Epist., lxix. [lxx. in Oxford ed.]; ANF, v. 376) mentions the requirement that the water of baptism be purified and sanctified by the priest, a requirement enforced by a synod at Carthage in 256; the water then became a miraculous agency. The Apostolic Constitutions (vii. 43; Eng. transl. in ANF. vii. 477) preserve a prayer of thanksgiving for the water of baptism, the ceremony corresponding to the thanksgiving preceding the Lord's Supper, though the conception hardly invaded the realm of dogmatics. Yet Ambrose and Augustine, as well as Chrysostom, held that water so blessed was restricted in its use to sacramental purposes. After the ninth century Holy Water (q.v.) became a permanent institution, the consecration of it at first taking place at the usual baptismal seasons at Easter, Whitsuntide, and Epiphany, and then later consecration for the year took place at Easter or Whitsuntide. The Greek Church used Epiphany for this ceremony, in commemoration of the baptism of Christ, and it has long been a tradition that water so treated would never become foul. The practise still continues and is accompanied with great solemnity, while the streams and sources of the water are also the objects of blessings and ceremonies in which processions have their part. The Greek Church observes a "greater" and a "lesser" sanctification of the water. The former takes place at Epiphany, either in the church porch or at the stream, and the liturgy recalls the early ecclesiastical symbolism. Homilies and sermons at this period bear upon the subject, and the mystical doctrines of the church center much on this season. The lesser consecration takes place before a vessel of water and is attended with incensing and touching of the water with a cross. The liturgy invokes the endowment of the water with power to heal soul and body. This corresponds to the employment of holy water in the Church of Rome.

(PHILIPP MEYER.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Bingham, Origines, XI., x.; J. Goar, Euchologion, sive rituale Gracorum, pp. 353 sqq., 367, Paris, 1647;

J. M. Heineccius, Abbildung der alten und neuen griechischen Kirche, ii. 244-247, Leipsic, 1711; J. C. W. Augusti, Denkwürdigkeiten, ii. 208, Leipsic, 1818; DCA, i. 777-779.

WATER SUPPLY IN PALESTINE: With the exception of Galilee or the plain of Jezreel, Palestine is insufficiently supplied with water. Moreover, since the dry season lasts nearly six months, the inhabitants have ever been urgently pressed to husband their natural water supplies. The sources of supply are as follows:

(1) Artificial devices for the better economy of water, for protecting springs from choking or filth, may still be found at many places, especially since the Roman occupation. Thus, the fountain of Ras el-'Ain, near Tyre, is encompassed by a basin of masonry twenty-four and a half feet in height; thereby serving to bring the water to the proper conduit level. Similar contrivances exist in the plain of Gennesaret. The springs which feed Solomon's Pools are provided with reservoir chambers. (2) Wells (be'er) were artificial pits, in which either the surface water or that of some underground spring was stored. From the latter came the characteristic term, "wells," or fountains, of "living water" (Gen. xxvi. 19). They were frequently quite deep; thus, Jacob's well, below Mount Gerizim (John iv. 12), shows still a depth of over seventy-three feet. These wells were of more or less adequate masonry, the mouth being covered with stone slabs, while the aperture for drawing was also securely closed (Gen. xxix. 3 sqq.; cf. Ex. xxi. 33). The water was drawn up in a pitcher or bucket with a long rope, and there were troughs for the cattle (Gen. xxx. 38). Such wells were especially provided in the arid pasture country (Gen. xxix. 2 sqq.; II Chron. xxvi. 10); or about appropriate sites for caravans (Gen. xxiv. 62; Num. xxi. 16 sqq.; Deut. x. 6). The best-known among the many wells still preserved are those of Beersheba and Jacob's well. (3) Cisterns (bôr) are used for storing rain-water. They are capacious underground cavities. They existed in almost all the old cities: Megiddo, Taanach, Gezer (q.v.); and notably in Jerusalem, where, indeed, every house still has its own cistern. In earlier times, they were hewn out of the rock; later, they were also, sometimes, walled up with masonry. Natural cavities were preferred where available. Particularly renowned are the great cisterns about the square of the Temple, many of which probably date back to the time of Solomon's fortifications. The largest, called the "King's Cistern," is forty-two feet deep and 406 feet in circuit. (4) The "pools" (berekha) are uncovered artificial reservoirs. Where it was feasible, they were hown out of rock. Topographical depressions were utilized, as, in this case, the construction was simpler, and the water more easily collected. Yet again, the pools are formed by dams made by carrying two stout stone walls across the valley, and then excavating the intervening area down to rock bottom. This was the plan of the socalled "Solomon's Pools." The dams were filled with rain-water and with spring water, if such was available. In the case of Solomon's Pools, the water was conveyed in aqueducts from three remote springs. Some idea of the size of the dams may be gained from the dimensions of the nethermost of the three "Solomon's Pools," which is 580 feet long on the lower side, 206 feet broad, and 49 feet in maximum depth. Not a few of Palestine's numerous dams antedate the Israelitish era. (5) Of the aqueducts, the oldest are the tunnel of Siloam, and "Solomon's" conduit (see JERUSALEM, II., V., § 3). The latter work is probably Herodian. To this period, in turn, belong most of the other constructions of the kind yet surviving in ruins. The Romans, and, following their example, the contem-

porary Jewish princes, expended great p half of adequate water supplies. the most part, were above ground, m gutters, which ran along the surface and wide détours to avoid depressions. Ho principle of the siphon was also employed of the older aqueducts of Jerusalem for a lesser valley, a water-tight carrier being by laying a line of perforated (and cement stones across the gap. On the other han man conduits, borne by great aqueduct larger valleys. Hezekiah's tunnel of Silcourse, underground; and, all in all, a pl was maintained, the vertical differential entrance and exit being about one foot. I. BEN

BIBLIOGRAPHY: C. Schick, in ZDPV, i (1878), 1 Perrot and C. Chipiez, Hist. de l'art dans l'as iv., Paris, 1887; G. Ebers and H. Guthe, I Bild und Wort, i. 110-126, 150-154, Stuttgart, zinger, Archaologie, pp. 207-208.

WATERLAND, DANIEL: English and apologist; b. at Walesby (20 m. s. of] 14, 1682-83; d. at Twickenham (a weste of London) Dec. 23, 1740. He studied a lene College, Cambridge (B.A., 1703; M B.D., 1714; D.D., 1717); became mas college (1713), and vice-chancellor of th sity (1715); chaplain to George I. (1717 of St. Austin and St. Faith, London (172 cellor of York (1722); canon of Windso archdeacon of Middlesex and vicar of Twi (1730). His significance lies in his defens tarian orthodoxy against Samuel Clarke a Whitby, and in his check upon the advan tudinarianism within the Church of Engl was prolific as an author, his major works Vindication of Christ's Divinity (Cambrid an attack upon Clarke and Whitby, ut s which is to be placed his Answer to Dr. Reply (1720); these two works displayed ity as an apologist, and led to his next we Sermons . . . in Defense of the Divinity of Jesus Christ (1720; on the Lady Mover fo preached at St. Paul's); Critical History o anasian Creed (1723; assigns the symbol to and makes St. Hilary of Arles its author) tance of the Doctrine of the Holy Trinity (London, 1734); and Review of the Doctr. Eucharist as Laid down in Scripture and (Cambridge, 1737). Besides these a cor number of smaller publications is credited In all of these there appear a learning which and accurate, a style terse and vigorous, a position to mysticism and philosophy wh pelled him to have recourse to external evid his apologetics. His works were collected in Oxford, 1823-28, reprint in 6 vols., 1843, was prefixed a Life by Bishop William van I

Bibliography: L. Stephen, English Thought: Century, passim, New York, 1881; J. H. Ov Church in England, ü. 227, London, 1897; J. l and F. Relton, The English Church (1714-186) ib. 1906; C. S. Carter, English Church in the 18 pp. 31-33, ib. 1910; DNB, iix. 446-448.

WATKINS, HENRY WILLIAM: C England; b. at Ty-newydel, Monmouths

14, 1844. He was educated at King's College, London (B.A., University of London, 1868), and was ordered deacon in 1870 and ordained priest in the following year. He was curate of Pluckley, Kent (1870-72); vicar of Much Wenlock (1873-75); after which he was connected with King's College, London, as censor, tutor, and chaplain (1875-78), professor of logic and moral philosophy (1877-79), and professor of logic and metaphysics (1879-80). During this period he was also warden of St. Augustine's College, Canterbury (1878-80), and vicar of St. Gregory the Great, Canterbury (1879-80). He was canon of Durham and archdeacon of Northumberand (1880-82); also curate of All Saints, Newcastleon-Tyne (1881-82); in 1882 he became archdeacon of Auckland, but resigned this dignity within the year. Since 1882 he has been canon and archdeacon d Durham; also professor of Hebrew in the University of Durham since 1880. He was Bampton ecturer at Oxford in 1890, and has been an honorary ellow of King's College, London, since 1872 and of St. Augustine's College, Canterbury, since 1883, examining chaplain to the bishop of Durham since 1879, and commissary to the bishop of Sydney since 884. He has written the commentary on the Gospel of St. John for Bishop C. J. Ellicott's New Tesament Commentary for English Readers (London, 1877; reprinted separately, 1879); The Church in Northumberland: A Primary Charge (1882); and lodern Criticism considered in its Relation to the Fourth Gospel (Bampton lectures; London, 1891).

WATSON, FREDERICK: Church of England; h at York Oct. 13, 1844; d. at Cambridge Jan. 1 1906. He was educated at St. John's College, Cambridge (B.A., 1868; M.A., 1871; B.D., 1884; illow, 1871-78). He was ordered deacon in 1871 and ordained priest in 1872; was assistant curate st Stow-cum-Quy, 1871-75, and St. Giles', Cambridge, 1875-78; rector of Starston, Norfolk, 1878-1886; vicar of Stow-cum-Quy, 1886-93; after 1893 e was minister of St. Edward's, Cambridge, and being reelected to his fellowship at St. John's, was so lecturer in Hebrew and theology in that college. He was Hulsean lecturer in 1883, and an honorary canon of Ely. He wrote The Ante-Nicene Apologies Cambridge, 1870); Defenders of the Faith (1878); The Law and the Prophets (Hulsean lectures; 1883); The Book of Genesis a True History (London, 1892); and Inspiration (1906).

WATSON, JOHN ("IAN MACLAREN"): Presbyterian; b. at Manningtree (9 m. s.w. of Ipswich), Issex, Nov. 3, 1850; d. at Mt. Pleasant, Ia., May 6, 1907. He studied at the universities of Edinburgh (M.A., 1870) and Tübingen, and at New College, Edinburgh; was assistant at Burelay Church, Edinburgh (1874-85); minister of Logicalmond Free Church (1875-77); of St. Matthew's Church, Glasgow (1877-80); and Sexton Park Presbyterian Church, Liverpool (1880-1905). He was Lyman Beecher lecturer at Yale in 1906, and in 1906 again visited the United States, where he was taken ill and died. In theology he as liberal evangelical. He wrote: The Upper Room (London, 1895); The Mind of the Master 1896); The Cure of Souls (Yale lectures; 1896);

The Potter's Wheel (1897); Companions of the Sorrowful Way (1898); Doctrines of Grace (1900); The Life of the Master (1901); The Homely Virtues (1903); and The Inspiration of our Faith (1905). He is most widely known, however, for his sketch of Scotch life in the series of studies which was begun with his Beside the Bonnie Briar Bush (1894), and these are marked by an intense appreciation of the peculiar qualities which have ever made the Scotch favorite subjects for literary portrayal. Humor and pathos are blended, and he was in the front rank of the successors to Dean Ramsay and Dr. John Brown. Bibliography: W. R. Nicoll, "Ian Maclaren." Life of the Rev. John Watson, London, 1908-09; Sir E. Russell, in

Rev. John Watson, London, 1908-09; Sir E. Russell, in Hibbert Journal, July, 1907.

WATSON, RICHARD: The name of two English divines.

1. Bishop of Llandaff: Scientist and apologist; b. at Haversham, Westmoreland (40 m. s. of Carlisle), Aug., 1737; d. at Calgarth Park (37 m. s. of Carlisle) July 4, 1816. He studied at Trinity College, Cambridge (B.A., 1759; fellow, 1760; M.A., 1762; D.D., 1771); became professor of chemistry, 1764, having no prior knowledge of the subject, but fitting himself for the position by assiduous application and achieving a remarkable success both in teaching the subject and by his published contributions; was elected regius professor of divinity, 1771, acknowledging later that his qualifications for that chair were not great; became prebendary at Ely, 1774, and archdeacon there, 1779; rector of Northwold, Norfolk, 1779; of Knaptoft, Leicestershire, 1780; and bishop of Llandaff, 1782. Watson is especially noted for his versatility and power of concentration, for clearness in expounding scientific matters, for ingenuity in working out results, and for his interest in Biblical study as applied by the laity. He issued a number of publications dealing with chemistry, including Institutionum chemicarum, . . . pars metallurgica (Cambridge, 1768), which were collected in Chemical Essays (5 vols., London, 1781-87). Among his theological works may be noted A pology for Christianity, . . . Letters . . . to Edward Gibbon (1776; regarded as the antidote to Gibbon's fifteenth chapter, and frequently re-printed; for the character of this chapter see Gib-BON, EDWARD); A Collection of Theological Tracts (6 vols., Cambridge, 1785; an assemblage ot twentyfour works by many hands, the aim being the furtherance of Biblical study); and An Apology for the Bible in a Series of Letters Addressed to Thomas Paine (London, 1796; a work which had a wide popularity both in England and in America). He also gathered sermons and other writings, charges, etc., in his Miscellaneous Tracts on Religious, Political and Agricultural Subjects (2 vols., 1815). He contributed material for his life in his Anecdotes of the Life of Richard Watson, edited by his son (1817). He was a supporter of Wilberforce in the latter's crusade against slavery, and was interested in the extension of churches in London. He was a man of great breadth of thought and charity of action.

2. English Methodist: b. at Barton upon Humber (32 m. s.e. of York), England, Feb. 22, 1781; d. at London Jan. 8, 1833. He was educated at Lincoln Grammar School; apprenticed to a joiner at Lin-

coln in 1795; preached his first sermon 1796, and removed to Newark as assistant to Thomas Cooper, Wesleyan preacher; was received on trial at the conference of 1796, and into full connection as a traveling minister in 1801, having been stationed at Ashby-de-la-Zouche, Castle Donington, and Derby. Resenting a charge of Arianism, he withdrew from the Wesleyan connection, and joined the Methodist New Connection in 1803, being fully admitted to its ministry in 1807. He became assistant secretary of its conference in 1805, and secretary in 1807; he was first at Stockport, then from 1806 at Liverpool, where he engaged in literary work for Thomas Kaye. Resigning his ministry in 1807, he returned to the Wesleyan body, being reinstated, 1812. In 1808 he was engaged as editor of the Liverpool Courier by Kaye. In 1812 he was stationed at Wakefield, and at Hull 1814-16. In the Wesleyan movement of 1813 for foreign missions, and in particular for the evangelization of India, Watson drew up a plan of a general missionary society, which was accepted. Removed to London in 1816, and made one of the two general secretaries to the Wesleyan missions, he was resident missionary secretary in London, 1821-27, and again, 1832-1833. After holding an appointment at Manchester, 1827-29, he returned to London. At the request in 1820 of the conference he produced his Observations on Mr. Southey's Life of Wesley (London, 1820), and later his own Life of Rev. John Wesley (1831). Active in the antislavery movement, he was not, however, for immediate emancipation. He was a strong upholder of the connectional discipline, and desired to maintain friendly relations with the established church. In the pulpit his power lay in appeals on great occasions he had a commanding and deliberate delivery, and was noted as a platform speaker. His works embrace an exposition of St. Matthew and St. Mark (1831); A Defense of the Wesleyan Methodist Missions in the West Indies (London, 1817); Theological Institutes (3d ed., 3 vols., 1829); Conversations for the Young (1830); A Biblical and Theological Dictionary (1831); his Works, with Memoirs by T. Jackson, appeared (12 vols., 1834-37); and his Sermons and Outlines (1865). Vois., 1834-31; and his sermins and outstrees (1803).

Bibliography: On 1: Besides the Anecdotes, ut sup., consult: J. Hunt, Hist. of Religious Thought in England, iii.

351, London, 1873; L. Stephen, English Thought in the 18th Century, passim, New York, 1881; J. H. Overton and F. Relton, English Church (1714-1800), pp. 259-262 et passim, London, 1906; C. S. Carter, English Church in 18th Century, passim, London, 1910; DNB, lx. 24-27. On 2: Besides the Memoirs by T. Jackson, ut sup., consult: I Bunting Memorials of the Late Richard Watern sult: J. Bunting, Memorials of the Late Richard Watson, London, 1833; W. Willan, in Sermons and Outlines by Richard Watson, ib. 1865; DNB, lx. 27-29.

WATSON, THOMAS: Non-conformist divine; d. at Barnston (28 m. n.e. of London) 1686 (buried there July 28). He was educated at Emanuel College, Cambridge, and in 1646 was appointed to preach at St. Stephen's, Walbrook. He showed strong Presbyterian views during the civil war, with, however, an attachment for the king; because of his share in Love's plot to recall Charles II., he was imprisoned in 1651, but was released and reinstated vicar of St. Stephen's, 1652. He acquired fame as a preacher, but in 1662 was ejected at the Restoration; he continued, however, to exercise

his ministry privately. In 1672 after the declaration of indulgence he obtained a license for Crosby Hall, where he preached for several years, till his retirement to Barnston upon the failure of his health. Watson was a man of learning, and acquired fame by his quaint devotional and expository writings. Of his many works may be mentioned, Airanana, or the Art of Divine Contentment (London, 1653); The Saints' Delight (1657); Jerusalem's Glorgy (1661); The Divine Cordial (1663); The Godly Man's Picture (1666); The Holy Eucharist (1668); Hence Taken by Storm (1669); and A Body of Practical Divinity, . . . One Hundred Seventy Six Sermons on the Lesser Catechism (1692).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: E. Calamy, Nonconformist's Memorial, ed. S. Palmer, i. 188-191, London, 1775; Walter Wilson, Hist. and Antiquities of Dissenting Churches in London, 1810; A. à Wood, Athena Ozonismas, ed. P. Bliss, iii. 982, 1001, 1235, 4 vols., London, 1813-26; W. A. Shaw, English Church... under the Communealth, 1640-60, ii. 104-107, London, 1900; DNB, lr. 37-38.

WATT, vet (VADIAN), JOACHIM VON: Reformer of St. Gall; b. at St. Gall Dec. 28, 1484; d. there Apr. 6, 1551. As a humanist Watt was known by the name of Vadianus. He studied at the University of Vienna, where he took his degree in 1508, and in 1517 became teacher of rhetoric and poetics there. In 1518 Watt left Vienna to become dry physician of St. Gall. Following the medical profession he was also a member of the legislative comcil of his native town. Watt's ideas of reform emanated, much like the principles of his friend Zwingli, from Humanism, striving for a simple personal faith, instead of the traditional dogmatism of the church. He was an ardent admirer of Erasmus, whom he first met at Basel in 1522, while Zwingli in Zurich, with whom he had corresponded from 1511, exercised a leading influence over him. In 1520 he opened correspondence with Luther, and distributed his writings among friends. Watt next founded a "Biblical school" at St. Gall. His lectures in this school resulted in the publication of his religious-humanistic work Epitome trium terra partium Asiæ, Africæ et Europæ (Zurich, 1534). Meanwhile the Reformation movement had seized the city-Overstrained enthusiasm for communistic chilisen made some reservation advisable, particularly in consequence of the wish of the conservatives to avoid a rupture with the abbey of St. Gall. This sentiment controlled the smaller, or executive, courcil, while at the same time an Anabaptist idea of the kingdom of heaven continued to grow and excite many people, influenced in part by Kessler's Bible lectures. This more conservative party gained the support of the larger or legislative council, where Watt held the leadership, and opposed the radical element. A motion proposed to the joint session, to suspend public explanation of the Bible outside of the churches, made the radicals more determined in their effort for the recognition of their ideal of freedom. Provoked at their ill success and the preferment which Kessler had received at the hands of the council, they became outspoken Anabaptists. They secured the personal aid of Grebel and Blaurock, and, led by Uoliman, gained control of the radical element of the St. Gall populace. Uoliman was called before the council to justify the separatistic administration of the sacraments, but it was determined to reach a decision by a final debate, in which the cause of the Anabaptists was defeated, according to the opinion of the dominant element. Watt, to whom Zwingli had sent his treatise, Vom Tauf, Wiedertauf und Kindertauf, in 1524, was the center of this controversy and contributed a comprehensive work against the Anabaptists, which has been lost.

Watt now reorganized the church of St. Gall by measures which included the submission of the degy to the city council. When Watt finally was elected chief magistrate of the city in 1526, the victory of the St. Gall Reformation seemed assured. The success of the disputation of Bern (1528), in which Watt was moderator, gave occasion for the enforcement of the Reformation in the country region subject to the abbey. Wearied by the disputes rowing out of the question of disposal of this abbey, Watt gradually became less prominent in controversial issues. He now devoted his interests to the study of the history of his native city and the abbey to which the city owed its existence. After the battle of Kappel, in which Zwingli fell, 1531, Watt witnessed the restoration of Roman Catholicism in the abbey, and political derangement in the city. He continued his work for the welfare of the church for twenty years. To bring about an agreement concerning the views of the Eucharist, he wrote his Aphorismorum de consideratione eucharistiæ libri VI (Zurich, 1535). In his writings Pro veritate carni triumphantis Christi and Epistola ad Zuiccium, together with the Antilogia ad Gasparis Schwenkfeldii argumenta conscripta (1540), directed against Schwenkfeld, he again defended the Swiss Christology. But the study of the historical past was of more interest to him than theological analysis. His Grosse Chronik der Aebte des Klosters St. Gallen (3 vols., 8t. Gall, 1575-79), a historical justification of the Reformation, may be considered one of the most important controversial works on the history of the Swiss and the German reformation.

(H. HERMELINK.)

Brillouraphy: The German historical writings by Watt were edited by E. Götzinger, 3 vols., St. Gall, 1875–79; the Ferrago is in M. Goldast, Rerum Alamannicarum scriptera, iii. 1-80, ed. H. C. Senkenberg, Frankfort, 1730. His letters were collected by E. Arbenz, for the Historicker Verein of St. Gall, Mitteilungen, vols. xxiv.—xxv., xvii.—xix. Other sources are Johann Kessler's Vita, revised at St. Gall, 1865, and his Sabbata, ed. E. Götsinger, for the St. Gall Verein, 1866–68, and in a new ed., 8t. Gall, 1901. Consult: T. Pressel, Joachim Vadian, Eberfeld, 1861; R. Stähelin, in Beiträge zur vaterländischen Geschichte, xi. 191–262, Basel, 1882; E. Arbenz, in Neigdriblätter des historischen Vereins, St. Gall, 1886, 1895, 1905; E. Egli, Die St. Gallen Täufer, Zurich, 1887; K. Dändliker, Geschichte der Schweiz, ii. 424 eqq., Zurich, 1894; idem, Short Hist. of Switzerland, pp. 137, 154, 156, London, 1899; E. Götzinger, in Schriften des Vereins für Reformationsgeschichte, 1 (1895); W. D. McCrackan, Ries of the Swiss Republic, pp. 93, 264, 2d ed., New York, 1901; 8. M. Jackson, Huldreich Zwingli, passim, 2d ed., New York, 1903.

WATTS, ISAAC: Founder of English hymnody; b. at Southampton, England, July 17, 1674; d. at Moke Newington (4 m. n.e. of Charing Cross, London) Nov. 25, 1748. He obtained an excellent eduation at Southampton grammar-school, then, joining the dissenters, he studied at an academy at

Stoke Newington, where he acquired his accuracy of thought and habit of laborious analysis; leaving the academy in 1694, he spent two years at home, beginning his hymn-writing. He was private tutor, 1696-1701; became assistant pastor in the chapel at Mark Lane, 1699, and sole pastor, 1702; because of frequent attacks of illness, Samuel Price had assisted him from 1703 and was chosen copastor 1713; his illness increased with time, but the congregation refused to part with one who had become so famous and beloved. Watts was one of the most popular writers of his time; the Hora Lyrica (London, 1706) won him fame as a poet, but it was his hymns that so distinguished him. His poetry by giving utterance to the spiritual emotions made hymnsinging an earnest devotional power; the success of his hymns was tremendous, the two staple volumes were the Hymns (1707) and the Psalms of David (1719). The various pieces numbered about 600, of which quite a number are still in general use. His best pieces rank among the finest hymns in English. Watts was also the founder of children's hymnology, writing the Divine Songs (1715). For an estimate of his place in hymnody, see Hymnology, IX., § 3. He was opposed in 1719 to the imposition of the doctrine of the Trinity on independent ministers. He held a theory which he hoped might close the breach between Arianism and the faith of the Church; he maintained that the human soul of Christ, created before the world, had been united to the divine principle in the Godhead known as the Sophia or Logos, and that the personality of the Holy Ghost was figurative rather than literal. He held liberal views on education, and his learning and piety attracted a great many. His works, outside his hymns, embrace The Knowledge of the Heavens and the Earth Made Easy (London, 1726); An Essay towards the Encouragement of Charity Schools (1728); Reliquiæ Juveniles (1734); Philosophical Essays (3d ed., 2 pts., 1742). His Works appeared ed. D. Jennings and P. Doddridge (6 vols., London, 1753; with Memoirs by G. Burder, 6 vols., 1810-11; 9 vols., Leeds, 1810-11); and Posthumous Works (2 vols., London, 1779).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Lives have been written by T. Gibbons, London, 1780; S. Johnson, London, 1785, 2d ed., 1791; T. Milner, London, 1834; E. Parton Hood, London, 1875. Consult further: Walter Wilson, Hist. and Antiquities of the Dissenting Churches, 4 vols., London, 1808-14; R. E. A. Willmott, Lives of the Sacred Poets, London, 1870; S. W. Duffield, English Hymns, pp. 61-64, New York, 1886; N. Smith, Hymns historically Famous, pp. 49-55, Chicago, 1901; Julian, Hymnology, pp. 349-350, 920, 1236-1241; DNB, lx. 67-70.

WAYLAND, FRANCIS: Baptist preacher and educator; b. in New York Mar. 11, 1796; d. at Providence, R. I., Sept. 30, 1865. He was graduated from Union College in 1813; studied medicine for three years; uniting with the Baptist church, he studied at Andover Theological Seminary, 1816–17; was tutor in Union College, 1817–21; pastor of the First Baptist Church in Boston, 1821–26; professor in Union College in 1826; president of Brown University, 1827–55; pastor of the First Baptist Church in Providence, 1855–57; and subsequently devoted himself to religious and humane work. He is widely remembered as a college officer. The text-books

which he prepared for the use of his own classes came into general use. In the reorganization, brought about by him, of the courses of study in Brown University in 1850, he did much to reform the general system of college education. By his lectures on psychology, political economy, and ethics, and by his personality he exerted great influence on his pupils; he delivered weekly chapel sermons, and gathered the students together for Bible instruction. He was one of the founders and the first president of the American Institute of Instruction, for many years presiding over and taking an active part in its deliberations. He did much to secure the founding of free public libraries.

Eminent as an educator, Wayland stands hardly less distinguished as a preacher. He was admired for his broad and deep thought, and grace of expression. Some of his discourses, as, for example, his sermon on The Moral Dignity of the Missionary Enterprise, are prominent in the annals of the American pulpit. In all his course of public service he never ceased to be an earnest and effective preacher of the Gospel.

Besides sermons, addresses, and discourses his works embrace Elements of Moral Science (New York, 1835); Elements of Political Economy (1837); Limits of Human Responsibility (Boston, 1838); Domestic Slavery Considered as a Scriptural Institution, in a Correspondence (1845); Memoir of the Life and Labors of the Rev. Adoniram Judson (2 vols., 1853); Elements of Intellectual Philosophy (1854); Notes on the Principles and Practices of the Baptist Churches (1857); Letters on the Ministry of the Gospel (1863); and the Memoir of the Christian Labors . . of Thomas Chalmers (1864).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The funeral sermon by G. I. Chace was published, Providence, 1866; and his *Life and Labors*, by his sons F. and H. L. Wayland, 2 vols., New York, 1869.

WAYLAND, HEMAN LINCOLN: Baptist; b. at Providence, R. I., Apr. 23, 1830; d. at Wernersville, Pa., Nov. 7, 1898. He was graduated from Brown University 1849; studied at Newton Theological Institution, Mass., 1849-50; taught in the academy at Townshend, Vt., 1850-51; was resident graduate at Brown University, 1851-52; tutor at University of Rochester, N. Y., 1852-54; pastor of the Third Baptist Church, Worcester, Mass., 1854-1861; chaplain of the Seventh Connecticut Volunteers, 1861-64; missionary to the colored people at Nashville, Tenn., 1864-65; professor of rhetoric and logic in Kalamazoo College, Mich., 1865-70; president of Franklin College, Ind., 1870-72; and editor of The National Baptist, Philadelphia, from 1872. He was the author, in collaboration with his brother, of A Memoir of the Life and Labors of Francis Wayland (2 vols., New York, 1867); and independently of Charles H. Spurgeon: his Faith and Works (Philadelphia, 1892).

WAZO, wa'zō: Bishop of Liége; b. near Lobbes (a village near Charleroi, 32 m. s. of Brussels) or near Namur (34 m. s. of Brussels) between 980 and 990; d. at Liége July 8, 1048. His importance issues from his efforts in the cause of education, his relations to Emperor Henry III. of Germany, and his views on the connection between the world and the Church and on the treatment of heretics. In every

situation and practical emergency, he proved him self a man capable of independent thought and de cisive action. He received his elementary instruc tion in the cloister schools at Lobbes and Liege taught in the latter and became its head in 1008 greatly extending its fame and influence; in 101' he became dean of the cathedral chapter, retaining the directorship of the school until, probably, 1030, his resignation being due to differences be tween himself and other authorities over disciplin and administration. He incurred the enmity of th peasants, and did not enjoy the protection of Bisho Reginard himself. The relaxation of strictness i the canonical life under his episcopate reacted un towardly upon the school. From these unfavorabl conditions, Wazo fled to his friend, Abbot Poppo c Stable, who procured him a call to the royal chape of Conrad II. (1030). Here he soon won good stanc ing in part by a brilliant victory in a debate wit the emperor's Jewish physician respecting a passage in the Old Testament. After the death of Prov ost Johannes, he was himself elected provost an archdeacon, with Bishop Reginard's assent (1033 and in 1042 he was elected bishop, in which office he justified the confidence felt in his ability. Du ing the insurrection of Duke Godfrey of Lorain Wazo stood faithful to the king in various crise which successively arose in the affairs of the king dom. Yet his course did not win entire approva At the Diet of Aix-la-Chapelle, 1046, during the consideration of the case of Archbishop Widgar Ravenna (who had been invested by the king tw years previously, but had neglected to underg episcopal consecration), Bishop Wazo contested the competency of that assembly to pronounce in th case of an Italian bishop; and when the king r minded him of the duty of obedience, he define his position in the pointed terms, "Obedience wow to the pope, to you—fidelity." With this tl other bishops agreed. Shortly afterward, whe Wazo protested against an indiscreet transaction a convention, and so made appeal to the fact of t anointing with holy oil, Henry III. rebuffed hi with the retort, "So am I anointed with holy oil, a1 I thereby obtained the authority to rule." The Wazo answered the emperor, "Quite a differe thing is that boasted anointing of yours; for wh by it you are endowed with the power to slay, \nabla so help us God, receive the power to make alive It was Wazo, finally, who contested the legality the deposition of Gregory VI. at Sutri in 1046, a the induction of Clement II., this protest occurri after the latter's death (Oct., 1047), and resting the fundamental argument, "Certainly neither vine nor human laws allow this; we have alike t words and the writings of the holy Fathers, ever where prescribing that the supreme pontiff is j dicially amenable to none save God alone." Hen in Wazo the great reform party, which acquired co trolling influence over the Church in the secon third of the eleventh century, was beginning to ϵ body in its schedule of operations certain defin maxims of ecclesiastical polity.

An incident moving him to evince good judgm and conscientious dealing was furnished by question of Bishop Roger II. of Châlons, who, be alarmed by the sudden outcropping of Neo-Manichean heresies in his diocese, asked Wazo whether they were to be combated by the edge of the secular sword or not. Wazo answered in a somewhat extended written opinion, counseling moderation and leniency. In the matter of his diocesan administration, it is worthy of note that, during the dire famine of the year 1043, Wazo had a supply of grain hought up and judiciously distributed, not only to the utterly destitute, but also to the "prouder" poor. In like manner he tided the peasants over their straits, lest they should be constrained to sell their cattle. Moreover, he gave constant attention to the cathedral school's affairs. He won warm praise from Anselm; while the epitaph transmitted by a writer of the thirteenth century lavished upon him this lofty tribute, "Sooner doom will crack than another Wazo arise." CARL MIRBT.

BRUGGRAFRY: Anselm, Gesta episcoporum Leodiensium, ed Köpke, in MGH, Script., vii (1846), 189-234; H. Breslau, Jahrbacher des deutschen Reichs unter Konrad II. Leipsie, 1879-84; E. Steindorff, Jahrbacher des deutschen Reichs unter Heinrich III., 2 vols., ib. 1874-81; A. Bittner, Wazo und die Schulen von Lüttich, Breslau, 1879; U. Chevalier, Repertoire des sources historiques du meyen des, bio-bibliographie, p. 2332, Paris, 1887; E. Voit, Egberts von Lüttich Fecunda ratis, pp. xxix. sqq., Halle, 1889; E. Sackur, Die Cluniacenser, ii. 294 sqq., 304 sqq., ib. 1894; KL, xii. 1229-30.

WEAVER, JONATHAN: Bishop of the United Brethren in Christ; b. in Carroll County, O., Feb. 23, 1824; d. at Dayton, O., Feb. 6, 1901. He was educated in common schools and Hagerston Acadeny, 0.; began preaching when twenty-one; was pastor, 1847-52; presiding elder, 1852-57; general agent for Otterbein University, 1857-65; and bishop after 1865, becoming bishop emeritus in 1893. He is recognized as one of the strong figures of his church, and assisted in carrying it through a crisis which threatened disruption. He was the author of Discourses on the Resurrection (Dayton, O., 1871); Miniterial Salary (1873); Divine Providence (1873); The Doctrine of Universal Restoration carefully Examined (1878); Practical Comment on the Confession of Faith of the Church of the United Brethren in Christ (1894); Heaven; or, that better Country (1899); and Christian Theology (1900); and edited Christian Dodrine. A comprehensive View of doctrinal and practical Theology, by thirty-seven different Writers

Bibliography: H. A. Thompson, Biography of Jonathan Weser, Dayton, 1902.

WEBB, ALLAN BECHER: Church of England; b. at Calcutta Oct. 6, 1839; d. at Salisbury June 12, 1907. He was educated at Corpus Christi College, Oxford (B.A., 1862; fellow of University College, 1863-67); was ordered deacon in 1863, and ordsined priest in 1864; was curate of St. Peter's-in-the-East, Oxford (1863-64); vice-principal of Cuddesdon (1864-67); rector of Avon Dassett, Warwickshire (1867-70); was consecrated bishop of Bloemfontein (1870), and was translated to the diocese of Grahamstown (1883); he was assistant bishop of Moray and Brechin (1898-1900), as well as provost of Inverness Cathedral, and since 1901 has been dean of Salisbury. He has written Presence and Office of the Holy Spirit (London, 1881); Sisterhood Life and Woman's Work (1883);

The Minister of the True Tabernacle: Thoughts and Suggestions for the Eve of Ordination (1888); The Priesthood of the Laity in the Body of Christ (1889); Life of Service before the Throne (1897); Unveiling of the Eternal Word (1898); With Christ in Paradise (1898).

WEBB, ROBERT ALEXANDER: Presbyterian; b. at Oxford, Miss., Sept. 20, 1856. He was educated at Southwestern Presbyterian University, Clarksville, Tenn. (A.B., 1877), and at the Columbia (S. C.) Theological Seminary (graduated, 1880). After holding pastorates in his denomination at Bethel, S. C. (1882–87), Davidson, N. C. (1887–88), and Westminster Church, Charleston, S. C. (1888–1892), he became professor of systematic theology in Southwestern Presbyterian University (1892), and of apologetics and systematic theology (1908).

WEBB, THOMAS: Methodist pioneer, layman; b. in England about 1724; d. at Portland, England, Dec. 20, 1796. He was a man of wealth and position, and an officer in the British army; he was present at the storming of the French fort of Louisburg, Nova Scotia, in 1758, was one of the survivors of Braddock's defeat in 1755, and was present at the scaling of the Heights of Abraham at Quebec in 1759. He was converted under the preaching of John Wesley at Bristol in 1765, united with the Methodists, and soon after became a local preacher; about 1766 he was in charge of the barracks at Albany, when an attempt was being made to found Methodism in New York; he visited the city, became exceedingly active and acceptable as a preacher, and aided financially and in other ways in securing the site for the John Street Church; visited Philadelphia and organized there a Methodist church, in 1769 contributing to the purchase of St. George's Church in that city. In the interest of religion and Methodism he visited Long Island, New Jersey, Delaware, and Maryland. In 1772 he went to England in order to secure ministers for the denomination, returning the next year with three men for work in America. On his return to England he settled at Portland, but continued active as an openair preacher, and was also known for his philanthropic efforts in behalf of French prisoners of war and for the soldiers and sailors stationed at Portsmouth. His activities were commended by John Wesley, though Charles had a less favorable opinion of his work.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: C. Atmore, Methodist Memorial, Bristol, 1801-02; A. Stevens, Hist. of the Religious Movement . . . Called Methodism, i. 427, iii. 99, New York, 1858-61; idem, Hist. of the Methodist Episcopal Church, vol. iv., passim, ib. 1864; W. B. Sprague, Annals of the American Pulpit, vii. 5-7, ib. 1861; J. Porter, Comprehensive Hist. of Methodism, pp. 247-250, 261, Cincinnati, 1876; J. M. Buckley, in American Church History Series, v. 103-107 et passim, New York, 1896.

WEBB, WILLIAM WALTER: Protestant Episcopal bishop of Milwaukee; b. at Germantown, Pa., Nov. 20, 1857. He was educated at the University of Pennsylvania (1877-79), Trinity College, Hartford, Conn. (A.B., 1882), and Berkeley Divinity School, Middletown, Conn. (graduated, 1885). After being curate of Trinity Church, Middletown (1885-86), and of the Church of the Evangelists, Philadelphia (1886-89), he was rector of St. Eliza-

beth's, Philadelphia (1889-92); professor of dogmatic and moral theology in Nashotah House, Nashotah, Wis. (1892-97), and president (1897-1906); was consecrated bishop coadjutor of Milwaukee (1906), succeeding to the full administration of the diocese within the year. He was also canon of All Saints' Cathedral, Milwaukee (1892-1906), and president of the Standing Committee of the diocese of Milwaukee (1896-1906). In theology he is a High-churchman of the Anglo-Catholic school, and has written Guide to Seminarians (New York, 1889), and The Cure of Souls (Milwaukee, 1892, 2d ed., 1910).

WEBB-PEPLOE, HANMER WILLIAM: Church of England; b. at Weobley (47 m. s.w. of Birmingham) Oct. 1, 1837. He received his education at Marlborough College (1848-51), Cheltenham College (1851-56), and Pembroke College, Cambridge (B.A., 1859; M.A., 1878); was ordained deacon 1863 and priest the same year; was curate of Weobley, 1863-66; chaplain of Weobley Union, 1863-76; vicar of Kings Pyon cum Birley, 1866-76; and of St. Paul's, Onslow Square, 1876 sqq.; and has been prebendary of St. Paul's Cathedral since 1893. Among his other services are those he has rendered as Cambridge University select preacher, 1896; president of the Barbican Mission to the Jews, and of the London Clerical and Lay Union; chairman of the Council of the National Church League; vicepresident of the Church Missionary Society, Protestant Reformation Society, Missions to Seamen, and the Spanish and Portuguese Church Aid Society; and chairman of the Waldensian Church Mission. He is "a stanch upholder of the Protestant and Evangelical position of the Church of England as bequeathed to us from the Reformation; a strong believer in the absolute inspiration of every part of the Bible . . ., and an earnest upholder of the divinity of Jesus Christ and of his birth by the Holy Ghost, and of the atonement made by him for the sin of the world." He has written: I Follow after (London, 1894); All One; Sermons (1896); Life of Privilege (1896); Victorious Life (1896); Calls to Holiness (1900); Within and Without (1900); Titles of Jehovah (1901); Four Remarkable Letters of St. Paul's (1903); He Cometh (1905); Consider him; or, Sketches of the Four Gospels (1906); and The Beautiful Name (1910).

WEBER, vê'ber, LUDWIG: Lutheran pastor; b. at Schwelm (28 m. n.e. of Cologne) Apr. 2, 1846. He received his education at the gymnasium in Marienwerder and at the universities of Bonn, Berlin, and Erlangen; was pastor at Iserlohn, 1871-73; at Dellwig, 1873-81; and at Gladbach from 1881 to the present. He describes himself as a "positive Biblical Lutheran." He is the author of Der lebendige Gott in seiner Schöpfung (Bonn, 1886); Behandlung der socialen Frage auf evangelischer Seite (1888); Ansprachen für evangelische Arbeiter-, Bürger-, und Volksvereine (Hattingen, 1890; greatly enlarged, Gütersloh, 1891, and often republished); Christus ist unser Friede (Göttingen, 1892); Geschichte der sittlich reliögisen und sozialen Entwickelung Deutschlands in den letzten 35 Jahren (Gütersloh, 1895); Friede sei mit diesem Hause. Predigt- und Andachtsbuch

(Dresden, 1899-1900); Die religiöse Entwickelung der Menschheit im Spiegel der Weltlitteratur (Gütersloh, 1901); Soziales Handbuch (Hamburg, 1907); Alkohol und soziale Verhältnisse (1908); and a long series of occasional lectures published in various collections.

WEBER, SIMON: German Roman Catholie; b. at Bohlingen (a village near Radolfzell, 17 m. n.w. of Constance), Baden, Jan. 1, 1866. He was educated at the University of Freiburg, St. Peter's seminary for priests, Rome, the College of St. Thomas Aguinas, Rome, and the Academy of St. Apollinaris. Rome (D.D., Rome, 1894); was vicar of Offenburg, Baden (1891-94); curate at Wollmatingen, Baden (1894-96); privat-docent at the University of Freiburg (1896-98); became associate professor of apologetics in 1898, and of the New Testament in 1908. Besides preparing the fifth edition of C. H. Vosen's Das Christentum und die Einsprüche seiner Gegner (Freiburg, 1905), he has written Jesus taufte, Untersuchung zu Joh. iii. 22 (Offenburg, 1895); Evangelium und Arbeit, Erwägungen über die wirtschaftliche Segungen der Lehre Jesu (Freiburg, 1898); Der Gottesbeweis aus der Bewegung bei Thomas von Aquin (1902); Die katholische Kirche in Armenien, ihr Begründung und Entwicklung vor der Trennung (1903); Christliche A pologetik in Grundzügen (1907); and Die katholische Kirche die wahre Kirche Christi (1907).

WEBER, VALENTIN: German evangelical; b. at Aschaffenburg (22 m. s.e. of Frankfort) Apr. 1, 1858. He received his education at the University of Würzburg, 1877-81; served as chaplain, 1881-86; was prefect at the Julianum of Würzburg, 1886-88; traveled for the next two years, and then was prefect in Aufsees-Seminar at Bamberg; became gymnasial professor at Straubing, 1891; and took up the duties of his present position as professor of New-Testament exegesis at the University of Wiraburg, 1896. He is the author of Kritische Geschichte der Exegese des 9. Kapitels . . . des Römerbriefes bis auf Chrysostomus und Augustinus (Würzburg, 1889); Die Addressaten des Galaterbriefes. Beweis der reinsūdgalatischen Theorie (Ravensburg, 1900); Die Abfassung des Galaterbriefs vor dem Apostelkonzil. Grundlegende Untersuchungen zur Geschichte des Urchristentums und des Lebens Pauli (1900); and a commentary on the epistle to the Galatians (1901).

WEDDING CUSTOMS. See MARRIAGE, I., § 11.

WEED, EDWIN GARDNER: Protestant Episcopal bishop of Florida; b. at Savannah, Ga., July 23, 1847. He was educated at the University of Georgia and the University of Berlin, after which he was graduated from the General Theological Seminary in 1870. He was ordered deacon in the same year and was advanced to the priesthood in 1871; was rector of the Church of the Good Shepherd, Summerville, Ga., until 1886, when he was consecrated bishop of Florida.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: W. S. Perry, The Episcopate in America, p.

295, New York, 1895.

WEEK: Properly a period of seven days in which each day has its definite place; in a wider sense the week is a subdivision of the month which may not contain exactly seven days. The week in

its proper sense is now in general use among Christian peoples, but in antiquity was found only among the Hebrews, and about the Christian era among the astrologers of the East. The Hebrew week was based upon the Sabbath of Yahweh (see Sabbath); the astrological week depended upon the conception that each day in turn was controlled by the "seven planets," the sun, moon, Mars, Mercury, Jupiter, Venus, Saturn. In the first Christian centuris these two conceptions were combined in such a way that Saturn's day coincided with the Sabbath. The seven-day week was not found among other ancient peoples than the Hebrews, but smaller divisions of time based on a division of the month were the Greek and Egyptian, by which the month fell into three parts, and the Indian, into two. The Avesta calendar divided the month into two parts of fourteen and sixteen days each, possibly these subdivided into two periods of seven and eight days each. The Chinese had a sixty-day period. The Mexicans divided the year into eighteen months of twenty days each, and the Romans had a sort of eight-day period, the eighth being market-day. Yet even the Babylonians did not have a seven-day week, though the seventh, fourteenth, twenty-first, and twenty-eighth days were "evil days," when fresh bread, fresh roasted meats, fresh clothing, and the like were unlawful for "the shepherd of the great people" (the king?). But of a week proper there was no knowledge, as is shown by the incommensurshility of the week and the month. In Cappadocian tablets appears a week of five days, and in Babylonian tablets there are traces of an astronomial division of the month into six and the year into seventy-two five-day periods.

While, then, a regularly ordered week of seven days was in antiquity limited to the Hebrews, the employment of seven-day periods was much wider, owing to the setting of special mystical value upon the number seven. Thus the continuation of festivities in Babylonia for seven days is an instance; and such a period is of frequent mention in the Old Testament for the Hebrews (e.g., Gen. vii. 4, l. 10; Er vii. 25; Josh. vi. 4, 15, etc.). Among the Persians and in ancient India the seven-day duration was common for celebrations; the same is true of the ancient Germans, where it was very usual, while seven-day and seven-year periods were known to the early Greeks. But the Hebrew week does not range itself with these. It is not probable that the seven-day period of Babylonia is to be traced to a quartering of the month first, and then to a relationship with seven. A favorite method of explaining the seven-day period is by referring it to the seven planets; but the reckoning of just seven planets is less common than the high estimation placed upon the number seven. In Babylonia the reckoning of seven planets can not be proved for a high antiquity; and a connection of the Hebrew week with the planets is untenable. Nor can the holiness of the number seven be connected with the Pleiades. Yet that the valuation of this number was heightened by the number of planets known and of the Pleiades is clear. The basis of the value placed on sevens must have a more general ground. .his is found in the number itself and its qualities

it is a number in itself representing a comprehensible magnitude not too large yet large enough for common life relationships. Four, five, six, are too small, too common, to carry the idea of mystical holiness; eight (twice four) and ten (twice five) are too common and too obviously transparent; nine approaches the value placed on seven as the square of a sacred number; eleven is too large. But seven is a prime number, its magnitude easily comprehensible yet large enough to be useful. A heightening of the value may have come about through the coincidence of the seven-day periods of the moon, and through observation of like periods in sickness, to say nothing of the planets and the Pleiades. With the planetary week the Hebrew week had originally no connection; indeed, an early age for the relation of the week to the number of planets is not yet proved and does not appear in the cuneiform tablets, certainly not in the order now followed of sun, moon, Mars, Mercury, Jupiter, Venus, Saturn. But other principles of arrangement are discoverable, for instance, that of assumed distance from the earth. The planets were also connected with certain hours of the day in turn. While Dio Cassius attributed the conception that the planets ruled the days to the Egyptians, in reality it came from Babylonia, the motherland of astrology. Rising there in the century before Christ, it spread into the Roman Empire. In the cuneiform tablets nothing has yet been found of the regularly alternating governing of the days by the planets, nor of the arrangement of the planets according to their distance from the earth. The Babylonian arrangement is often moon, sun, Jupiter, Venus, Saturn, Mercury, and Mars; earlier still, moon, sun, Mercury, Venus, Mars, Jupiter, and Saturn. The planetweek arose then among the astrologers of Hellenistic times.

The Jews designated other days than the Sabbath by numbers (cf. Matt. xxviii. 1; Acts xx. 7), and outside of the Sabbath only the sixth day as the day of preparation received a special designation, the Greek equivalent being prosabbaton (in the title of Ps. xcii. and Mark xv. 42), alongside of which stood the term paraskeuē, and this appears in a rescript of Augustus releasing the Jews from the necessity of appearing before the court on that day. The Christians, who took over the Jewish week, gave to the first day, on which they assembled to break bread, the name "the Lord's day" (Hē kyriakē hēmera; e.g., Ignatius, Ad Magnesios, ix.; Didache, xiv. 1); but in general they designated the days by numbers, using the Jewish terms as above for the sixth and seventh days. The names given to the days from the planets, which came into common use in the first pre-Christian century, were avoided by the Christians; Justin (I Apol., lxvii.) and Tertullian employed them only in order to make their meaning clear to the non-Christians whom they addressed. Not till after the middle of the third century did the ordinary designation become common among Christians, and then for two centuries more only in the West and in Egypt. But the astrological conception of control of the days or of planetary influence upon them found entrance also, the idea being not that heathen deities were powerful, but that manticism was possible by this means. Still the official language of the Church avoided the names derived from the planets, except that dies solis ("day of the sun") was used, and the use of numerals was constant. In ordinary life, however, even Christians employed the common designation derived from the names of the planets. (W. Lotz.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: C. L. Ideler, Handbuch der . . . Chronologie, i. 279 sqq., Berlin, 1825; E. Schrader, in TSK, 1874, pp. 343-353; E. Mayer, in ZDMG, xxxvii (1883), 453-455; F. Hommel, Aufstize und Abhandlungen, pp. 373 sqq., Leipsic, 1892 sqq.; H. Winckler, Altorientalische Forschungen, ii. 91 sqq., 354 sqq., iii. 179 sqq., Leipsic, 1898-1902; idem, Religionageschichlicher und alter Orient, pp. 58 sqq., ib. 1906; P. Jensen, in Zeitschrift für deutsche Wortforschung, i (1900), 150-160; G. Schisparelli, Die Astronomie im Alten Testament, pp. 114-121, Giessen, 1904, Eng. transl., London, 1905; J. Meinhold, Sabbat und Woche im A. T., Göttingen, 1905; F. K. Ginsel, Handbuch der . . Chronologie, i 94, Leipsic, 1906; A. Jeremias, Das A. T. im Lichts des alten Orients, pp. 182-188, Leipsic, 1906, Eng. transl., 2 vols., London, 1911; J. Hehn, Siebenzahl und Sabbat bei den Babyloniern und im A. T., Leipsic, 1907; Schrader, KAT, pp. 620 sqq.; Bensinger, Archbologie, passim (consult Index under "Woche," "Wochenfest"); and literature under Moon; Sabbat+; and Year.

WEEKS, FEAST OF. See PENTECOST, I.

WEGSCHEIDER, vên'shai-der, JULIUS AUGUST LUDWIG: German rationalistic theologian; b. at Küblingen (20 m. e. of Brunswick) Sept. 17, 1771; d. at Halle Jan. 27, 1849. He received his preliminary education in the Helmstedt Pädagogium and at the Carolinum in Brunswick; was tutor in the family of a Hamburg merchant (1795-1805), and during this period studied Kant, to whom were devoted his first writings, Ethices Stoicorum recentiorum fundamenta cum ethicis principiis, quæ critica rationis practicæ secundum Kantium exhibet, comparata (Hamburg, 1797;) and Versuch, die Hauptsätze der philosophischen Religionslehre in Predigten darzustellen (1797). Wegscheider was principally attracted by Kant's rational analysis of religion and morals, and wrote on this subject Ueber die von der neuesten Philosophie geforderte Trennung der Moral von der Religion (1804). In 1805 Wegscheider became privat-docent at the University of Göttingen; in 1806 professor of theology and philosophy in Rinteln, and in 1810, after the suppression of this university, professor of theology in Halle. Here he was influential and popular as a teacher almost until his death.

Of Wegscheider's works on New-Testament subjects, the Versuch einer vollständigen Einleitung in das Evangelium des Johannes (1806) defends the authenticity of the Fourth Gospel; as does his Der I. Brief des Apostel Paulus an den Timotheus (1810) that of Timothy. His principal work, however, is Institutiones theologiæ Christianæ dogmaticæ, addita dogmatum singulorum historia et censura (1815), the standard dogmatic work of rationalism. The volume is not distinguished by originality of thought, and is based on the Lineamenta institutionum fidei Christianæ of Wegscheider's teacher Henke, and upon Ammon's Summa theologiæ Christianæ. Its value consists in its clear presentation of rationalistic dogmatics and in the consequent yet moderate assertion of rationalistic premises. Wegscheider judges the traditional material of Christian dogma-

tics by the standard of reason, rejecting everything as untrue that does not stand this test. He held that there were several types of doctrine contained in the Bible, suited to different periods, and that one of these, of more simple and sane character, is good for all time. To him the most important part of dogmatics is that relating to the concept of God. No single proof of God's existence is sufficient to enforce belief; but taken together they do away with all doubt, so that nothing more absurd than atheism can be conceived. A supernatural revelation was impossible, there could only be a mediate one. Jesus is the supreme messenger of God, founder of his kingdom, and a sublime example for markind. But his resurrection is to be taken simply as a resuscitation from a trance (though this idea is cautiously insinuated); the Biblical authors wrote "not without inspiration," but they often accommodated themselves to the prejudices of their time and even shared them. The conceptions to be rejected by the "more liberal doctrine" of the present are miracles, angels, devils, original sin, and a sensuous eschatology. Wegscheider was uninfluenced by idealism, and rejected the ideas of God advanced by Fichte, Hegel, and Schelling. He was accused of heresy but sequitted. After Tholuck's work began at Halle in 1826, Wegscheider's popularity waned. In his later years he was interested in the Friends of Light (see FREE CONGREGATIONS IN GERMANY).

(HEINRICH HOFFMANN.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: W. Steiger, Kritik des Rationalimus is Wegscheiders Dogmatik, Berlin, 1830; W. Gass, Geschicht der protestantischen Dogmatik, iv. 458 sqq., Berlin, 1867; G. Frank, Geschichte der protestantischen Theologia, ii. 337-338, Leipeic, 1875; K. von Hasse, Geschmelle Wertz, viii. 66 sqq., 337 sqq., ib. 1892; W. Schrader, Geschicht der Friedrichs-Universität zu Halle, ii. 24, 127 sqq., 165 sqq., Berlin, 1894; J. F. Hurst, Hist, of Rationalism, red., New York, 1902; ADB, vol. xli. Some of the literature under Rationalism will also furnish information.

WEIDNER, REVERE FRANKLIN: Lutheran; b. at Center Valley, Pa., Nov. 22, 1851. He was graduated from Muhlenberg College, Allentown, Pa. (A.B., 1869), and the Lutheran Theological Seminary, Philadelphia (1873); was Lutheran pastor at Phillipsburg, Pa. (1873-78), and also professor of English, logic, and history in Muhlenberg College (1875-77); pastor in Philadelphia (1878-82); professor of dogmatics and exegesis at Augustana Theological Seminary (Swedish Lutheran), Rock Island, Ill. (1882-91); professor of dogmatic theology in Rock Island and Chicago (1891-94); and since 1891 president and professor of dogmatic theology in the Evangelical Lutheran Theological Seminary, Chicago. In theology he describes himself as an "Evangelical Lutheran, strictly confessional and very conservative." He has written Luther's Small Catechism (Philadelphia, 1880); Commentary on the Gospel of Mark (1881); Theological Encyclopaedia and Methodology (3 vols., Chicago, 1885-91, new ed., 1911); Biblical Theology of the Old Testament (1886); Introduction to Dogmatic Theology (1888); Introductory New Testament Greek Method (New York, 1889); Studies in the Book (5 vols., Chicago, 1890-1903); Biblical Theology of the New Testament (2 vols., 1891); Christian Ethics (1891); Examination Questions in Church History and Christian Archæology (1893); Annotations on the General Epistles (New York, 1897); Annotations on Revelation (1898); Theologia: or, The Doctrine of God (Chicago, 1903); Ecclesiologia: or, The Doctrine of the Church (1903); and The Doctrine of the Ministry (1907).

WEIGEL, vai'gel, VALENTIN.
Life (§ 1).
Writings (§ 2).
Doctrine of Space and Time (§ 3).
Theory of Knowledge (§ 4).
Doctrine of God (§ 5).
Relations with Christianity (§ 6).

Valentin Weigel, the German mystic and pantheist, was born at Naundorf (near Grossenhain, 50 m. e. of Leipsic) in 1533, and died at Zschopau (51 m. s.e. of Leipsic) June 10, 1588. He studied at Leipsic and also at Wittenberg in 1564.

where he appears to have given instruction to students. In 1567 he was called as pastor to the town of Zschopau, and, while engaged in the visitations incidental to his superintendency, often officiated as adjunct pastor in several parishes. In this work he acquired an enviable repute by his preaching, cure of souls, administration, and care of the poor. Though he was charged in 1572 with holding impure doctrine, he cleared himself promptly and successfully, and subscribed the Formula of Concord without hesitation. It transpired only after his death that he was wholly at variance with the doctrine of his church, an attitude which developed during his pastoral office, the logical consequences of which he strenuously denied.

The first impressions of Weigel's writings appeared at Halle, 1609–14; additional writings and new editions were issued at "Neustadt," 1618 (Neustadt

may be either Magdeburg or Halle), and 2. Writings. again at the close of the seventeenth century at Amsterdam and Frankfort. It is possible that Weigel's writings have undergone alterations in even their manuscript stage, and that Particular portions among the printed works ascribed to him may have been derived from other hands. The following writings may be pronounced genuine: (1) Gnothe Seauton (Neustadt, 1615; only the first Part; the second and third parts are spurious);
(2) Ein schön Gebetbüchlein (1613); (3) Ein nützliches Tractātlein vom Ort der Welt (1613); (4) Der Gulclene Griff (Halle, 1613); (5) Dialogus de Chrisliarzzemo (Neustadt, 1616; his most important and best work). The following are not genuine: Studie much in vogue for knowledse of Weigel's theories; Von der Gelassenheit tains a slightly altered edition of a writing by Carlstadt: cf. Wernle in ZKG, 1903, p. 319); the 80 Called Theologia Weigelii. On the other hand, a work cited with notable frequency, Kirchen- oder Horaspostil/ Uber die Sontags und fürnembsten Fest/ Englien durchs gantze Jahr (1609), probably embedies genuine sermons of Weigel's. Notwithstandin these uncertainties of authorship, the number the genuine and printed writings of Weigel's is ple enough to afford a truthful picture of his views in all principal questions. In accord with his im of deriving everything from the "inner ht," and his contempt for all books, Weigel

effaced, almost beyond recovery, the historical sources and points of contact for his reflections. Moreover, he possessed the faculty of largely recasting what he acquired, imparting to the same an air of originality. What dependency he acknowledges is toward ancient and medieval writings-Plato, Dionysius the Areopagite, Thomas à Kempis, Tauler, Eckart, and Theologia Germanica (q.v.); the last is by far the most frequently cited. With reference to the Reformers and the earliest confessional documents his pronouncements are generally quite unfriendly. Osiander, Schwenckfeld, Münzer, and others, he declines to know and likewise disclaims all affinity with them; but he adverts to S. Frank's Weltbuch. While he frequently cites Paracelsus, it is mostly upon astronomical and astrological speculations, medicine, and natural philosophy (cf. e.g., *Libell. disput.*, p. 26).

Weigel cultivated both philosophy and theology, and placed the two in very intimate connection. His fundamental trend in philosophy might be styled subjective idealism, treating his subjects with a lucidity far in advance of his time.

3. Doctrine His real significance for the history of of Space philosophy has not yet been fully realand Time. ized. He examined the problems of space and time, and furnished a subjectively idealistic solution. He treats of space in Vom Ort der Welt, chap. x. (Hall, Saxony, 1613); and his conclusion is comprehended in the proposition: "for outside the world is no place, with finite dimensions, . . . hence it is certain that the world stands at no local site; the world itself is a place and concept of all places and bounded things. Therefore it is only according to their contained bounds within the world that places are indicated, but never outside the world." The theological deduction drawn is that "neither heaven nor hell is a bounding physical place," but that "every one bears hell about in himself among the damned; likewise every one bears heaven about in himself among the saints " (chap. xiv.). In the same way, the local conception of Christ's descending into hell and his ascension to heaven must logically lapse (chap. xvi.). Weigel also contests, though not quite so decidedly and clearly, the reality of the time idea; for although the point is not certainly resolvable, how far genuine Weigelian thoughts exist in the treatise devoted to this question, Scholasterium Christianum, still the negative opinion appears implied.

His most incisive speculation dealt repeatedly with the question of the practical entity of knowledge, and emphasized the subjective root thereof.

For "the natural discernment passing 4. Theory from the eye to the object is active, of and not passive; and therefore all Knowledge. judgment is exercised in the act of discerning or knowing, and rests not in the thing discerned" (Kurtzer Bericht vom Wege und Weise all Dinge zu erkennen, B iii. 2 v.). "All knowledge emanates from the knower" (ib. B 1 v.). Everything inheres latently in man, in his personality and subjectivity. "Hence man is also everything himself; what he can and knows, to know and control his art, is his 'spirit' (Geist), or spiritual, intellectual faculty; and this 'spirit' or faculty

is man himself" (Gnothe Seauton, p. 39). Therefore there is but one discerning principle and one corresponding task, viz., to know oneself. As main support for his theory, he adduces the proper distinction of knowledge: "for if discernment emanated and issued from the object, and not from the seeing eye, then there must also follow similar and equivalent perceptiveness or discernment from an object itself: be the matter of eyes howsoever it would" (p. 28). From this natural knowledge and its conscious, practical entity, Weigel distinguishes a "supernatural" knowledge by the fact that man's part in the inception and outcome is aroused by means of the object. Only here, in turn, the process rests in the subject's productivity: save that this now becomes identified with the indwelling Spirit of God. Consequently, Weigel affiliates with those men who define the principle of religious knowledge and spiritual potency as the inward natural possession of every man; and he advocates the theory of the inner word, or of the spirit in its naturalistic form. Weigel deduces all the negative consequences of this view, such as rejection of the word of Scripture, mediating office, or channels of grace, the preaching office, external church fellowship, learned theological study with all its pains, but most of all, the conditioning of religious notions and piety about a defined historical point of departure, like that in Christianity. In place of this, he elaborated a pantheistic and gnostic theosophy on vast lines, merely assimilating his vocabulary to Christian terminology. His main outlines are as follows:

God and the All are coincident in the present. Not every existence of God before the world is to be necessarily denied, but God comes to himself, to personal and active being, primarily 5. Doctrine in and with the world. "Absolutely alone and for himself, apart from all of God. creatures, God is and continues impersonal, detached from time and place, void of energy, will, and feeling; and so he is neither Fa-ther, nor Son, nor Holy Ghost. God is eternity itself, apart from time; he hovers and abides in himself about all places; neither works nor wills nor desires, save that in, with, and through the creature he becomes personally effectual, volitional, desirous; he acquires emotion, or suffers the attributes of persons and feeling to be assigned to him " (from the manuscript: Von der Seligmachenden erkentnus Gottes). This immanency of God is differentiated only as the matter is one of good or of evil. of the outward world or of men, the kingdom of nature or that of grace. While ideas of chaos, or the negation of the cosmic order, as also the assumption of an eternity, or of a gradual emanation of the world through intermediate stages, do not appear sharply and consistently developed, evil is regarded as a necessary concomitant phenomenon of the creature state of being. The essence of sin is qualified, in one passage, as a "non-existent"; and again, as the independent will of the creature. Therefore the goal and purpose of the "redemp-

tion" is also to complement and complete the non-

existent with the divine perfect existence, and to

induct and restore the individual will back to the will of God (Vom Ort der Welt, chap. xvii.). More-

over, from the beginning God has implanted in man the requisite powers to this intent, so that the "redemption" simply fulfils itself in that process whereby the inner principle in man which is akin to God gains the ascendency over the creature element which is averse toward God. The necessary antecedent condition, and the best means of advancing the advent of this interior process of redemption is resignation, the suppression of the individual will a virtue which he extols and recommends in the usual formulas of medieval mysticism.

Nevertheless, this simple and consistent rational structure grows involved and confused by its assimilation to the central Christian ideas, the more so because these are stripped, as far as

6. Relations possible, of their historic origin and with Chris- external content. The divine principle tianity. in man, as imparted to every one by

nature, becomes identified with Christ, especially where fruitfully developed. Christ is an inward, natural factor, without historical import. Only Weigel allows the virtual existence of an external historic Christ, which, however, has no re-demptive significance. The formulas of the doctrine of the dual nature were so reconstrued by Weigel that he distinguishes a double "body" of Christ, according to his composite origin; though this, in Weigel's view, virtually covers the total phenomenon of Christ. "The one only Christ has two bodies; the divine body from the Holy Ghost, and the other body from the Virgin Mary, which is visible and mortal" (Postille, i. 214 sqq., cf. p. 38). Christ has his true flesh and blood "not from the earth, but from heaven; not from Adam, but from the Holy Ghost" (Dialogus, p. 12). Thus Weigel is enabled to emphasize the presence of the "body and blood of Christ" in the Communion. What concerns him is the inner presence of the eternal divine principle of Christ. The same parallel applies to his appliestion of the several concepts of spirit, regeneration, and faith; these all are but new, somewhat modified or qualified formulas for the same topical consideration; that is, for the inner evolutionary process of the divine element and its victory over the creature element. Thus in the moral domain he advocates the fundamental tenets of enthusiasts (Diologus, p. 76). All problems of a concrete phase in individual and social ethics are resolved on quietistic lines with rigorous consistency. He pronounces against all lawsuits, penalties, wars, trade, receiving of interest, and the like.

Weigel was not a renewer of Reformation ideas. With these, in fact, he had nothing to do; his few conceptions which are concordant with the Reformation explain themselves by their parallel relations in a mystical vein. Just as little does he belong to the line of adherents to historical Christianity, since of this he retained merely the husks. He belongs rather to the perpetual chain of thinkers along gnosticizing, mystic, and pantheistic lines; he also paved the way toward the modern elaboration and recasting of lines of thought in the direction of monistic idealism, and in terms of critical reasoning. Though his own times opposed him, his significance was not yet realized. Real opposition to him began

about the end of the sixteenth century.

Apparently he left no compacted school, though his opponents charged him with having that purpose. At any rate, "Weigelianism" soon united with the most heterogeneous anti-ecclesiastical and "enthusiastic" trends of both older and younger date, as with the admirers of J. Böhme, and also with the movement comprehended under the term "Rosicrucian" (see ROSICRUCIANS).

R. H. GRÜTZMACHER.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: A. C. Rotth, Nöthiger Unterricht von prophetischen Weissagungen, Leipsic, 1694; Vitam fata et scripta V. Weigelii ex genuinis monumentis comprobata . . . submittit . . . J. G. Reichelius, Wittenberg, 1721; G. Arnold, Kirchen- und Ketzenhistorie, vol. xvii., chap. xv., Frankfort, 1729; J. G. Walch, Religionsstreitigkeiten, vols. iv.-v., Jena, 1736; J. O. Opel, V. Weigel, ein Beiteng zur Litteratur- und Kulturgeschichte Deutschlands im 17. Jahrhundert, Leipsic, 1864; A. Israel, M. V. Weigels Leben und Schriften, Zechopau, 1888; R. H. Grütsmacher, Wort und Geist, § 19, Leipsic, 1902; ADB, vol. xii.

WEIGHTS AND MEASURES, HEBREW.

I. Measures of Length.

Basis and Development of System (§1).

Basi Hebrew Measure (§ 2).

Esskie's Two Cubits (§ 3).

The Cabit, Hebrew, Egyptian, Babylonian (§ 4).

Larger Measures of Length (§ 5).

II. Measures of Surface.

III. Measures of Capacity.

Dry and Liquid Measure (§ 1).

The Basis Sexagesimal (§2).

Absolute Values (§ 3).

IV. Weights.

The Shekel (§ 1).

The Talent; Absolute Values (§ 2).

Changes Introduced (§ 3).

I. Measures of Length: As in modern systems of measures, so in the ancient, measures of length furnished the basis. The original units of measurement were taken by man from his own body—fingerbreadth, hand-breadth, span, arm, foot, and step, and these are found among all peoples.

I. Basis and But such measures are only relative, bretopsince the bases are not of the same abment of solute length in different individuals.

Systems. There was therefore need for an arti-

ficial normalization in order to obtain from these relative measures an absolute, secure, and generally applicable measure. This normalization he naturally worked out in different ways among different peoples, so far as they have not borrowed me from another. And yet this process of borrowing has been very extensive. The various systems of weights, measures, and coinage known to us as used in the ancient world appear to go back to the same fundamental system. But whether this fundamenwisystem was of Babylonian or of Egyptian parestage is a question which has of late years once more come to the front; though it must not be forpotten that Egyptian culture was not uninfluenced by the Babylonian. The conclusion must be that the basis for the system of weights and measures med in Hither Asia was given in Babylonia; but win this does not exclude modification of this or that particular measure so as to agree more closely with Egyptian than with Babylonian norms. The system of Hebrew weights and measures can not be considered as a thing apart and by itself; it must be studied in connection with the varied systems in

As instruments of measurement there are mentioned in the Old Testament the measuring reed or rod (Hebr. keneh hammiddah, also shebet, Gk. kalama, kanon, Lat. pertica mensoria, Assyr. kanu; Reck. xl. 3, 5, xlii. 16 sqq.; Jer. x. 16, li. 19; Rev. xi. 1), and the line (Hebr. kaw, pethil pishtim, hebhel middah, Septuagint metron, schoinion, spartion;

Middh, Septuagint metron, schoinion, spartion;
II Kings xxi. 13; Ezek. xl. 3, xlvii. 3;
2. Basal
Hebrew
Heasure.
Heasure.

The schoinion of the relative size of these two instruments of measurement nothing is known, though they were doubtless related to some basal unit.

Heasure.

The schoinion of the relative size of these two instruments of measurement nothing is known, though they were doubtless related to some basal unit.

Heasure.

Heasure.

The schoinion of the relative size of these two instruments of measurement nothing is known, though they were doubtless related to some basal unit.

which was the norm and an ell (cubit) in length.

In fact, among Hebrews, as in Asia generally, the cubit was the unit of length, and was designated 'ammah. Whether this term originally meant the fore-arm is not certain; the term is found in the Siloam Inscription (q.v.), and corresponds to the Assyrian ammatu. The New-Testament term for the same is pēchos (Matt. vi. 27; Luke xii. 25; Rev. xxi. 17). This unit was employed as the basal measure in building-operations (as in the Tabernacle, the Temple, and the "house of the forest of Lebanon"; Ex. xxvi. 15 sqq.; I Kings vi. 2 sqq., vii. 2 sqq.), in the making of furniture and furnishings (I Kings vii. 23 sqq.), was applied to such materials as curtains (Ex. xxvi. 1 sqq.), and to ground measures (Ezek. xlviii. 1 sqq.); it is indeed designated the most general measure (Deut. iii. 11, "the cubit of a man," i.e., the common cubit), and upon it other units were based (Ezek. xl. 5, "a measuring reed of six cubits long by the cubit and a handbreadth." i.e., a handbreadth longer than the common cubit; the reed here is six cubits). The cubit divides into spans (Hebr. zereth, Ex. xxviii. 16; I Sam. xvii. 4; Ezek. xliii. 13), and this into handbreadths (Hebr. tephah, I Kings vii. 26, or tophah, Ex. xxv. 25, xxxvii. 12; LXX. palaistē); while the smallest measure is the fingerbreadth (Hebr. 'ebza', Gk. daktylos, Jer. lii. 21). In an ascending scale, it will be remembered, is to be placed the reed as above, which was equivalent to six cubits (Ezek. xli. 8). Mention is made once (Judges iii. 16) of a unit of measure called the gomedh (Judges iii. 16, "cubit"), the relation of which to the ordinary cubit is not at all defined, the Septuagint equating it with the span, the Syriac and Arabic versions with the cubit. Concerning the varied relations of the cubit to other measures (apart from the reed) nothing exact is given in the Old Testament; but there are available the rabbinic statements, and, what is of still greater importance, the analogy of the entire orient, so that it is with comparative certainty ascertained that the cubit contained six handbreadths or twentyfour fingerbreadths. The following table therefore results, showing a duodecimal basis:

Reed	1			
Cubit	6	1		
Span	12	2	1	
Handbreadth	36	6	3	1
Fingerbreadth	144	24	12	4

There are met in the Old Testament two different

cubits. To be sure, from the expression "cubit of a man" (ut sup.; Deut. iii. 11) one is not to expect a distinction such as between a "holy" 3. Ezekiel's and a "secular" cubit, for there is no Two Cubits. foundation in Scripture for acceptance of the fact of a "holy" cubit, the expression "cubit of a man" having no other meaning than "common cubit" (cf. for a parallel expression, Isa. viii. 1, "man's pen"). Yet it is seen with great definiteness from Ezekiel that in his time there was in use a cubit other than that employed in an earlier period. He speaks in xl. 5, xliii. 13 of the cubit employed in measuring his temple as being a handbreadth greater than that which was in common use and was known to his readers. Apparently the exact length of his cubit is defined either because it had wholly fallen out of use or was less commonly known. The whole passage leads to the conclusion that Ezekiel's use of the longer cubit implies that this was the measure after which Solomon's Temple was constructed. Similarly the Chronicler (II Chron. iii. 3) knew that the Temple was built "by cubits after the first (i.e., old) measure." Therefore there had been an earlier and greater cubit which was superseded by the later and lesser. Unfortunately nothing is known of when and how this supersession took place, when the lesser came into recognition alongside of the larger and when it came into universal use. It has been held that the small cubit was already very early in existence, reference being made to the Siloam inscription. According to this the Siloam tunnel is 1,200 cubits long, and Conder gives the measurement as 537.60 meters; this would give for the cubit a length of .448 meter [=17.6 inches], and this is a close approximation to the Egyptian cubit of .450 meter. However, 1,200 is a round number, and whoever knows the Siloam tunnel will regard neither the one nor the other measurement as giving so exact a result that a conclusion may be reached upon the question whether the cubit meant was the greater or the lesser. A full reserve is therefore becoming with reference to the absolute length of the older unit. And it does not follow that the lesser cubit of Ezekiel could not have been employed in the earlier period. The one indication apparently in possession is that the cubit of Ezekiel's time was divided into six handbreadths, the old cubit being one handbreadth larger, giving the proportion of 6:7; really, however, this is not absolutely certain, for the statement of Ezekiel may be taken to mean that the later cubit was a handbreadth smaller than the earlier, giving the relation of 5:6. And indeed the rabbis speak of a cubit applied to furnishings of the Temple which was five handbreadths in length and of one applied to the structure which was six in length.

These questions have interest because of the fact that for the definition of the absolute length of the Hebrew cubit recourse has to be had entirely to comparison with the Egyptian or the Babylonian cubit. No aid comes from the Old Testament. Just as from the Siloam tunnel no exact result is obtained, so fails the attempt by taking into account the brazen laver (which held 2,000 baths) to deduce the length of the cubit. No better results follow from the rabbinic assertion that the legal

cubit had according to tradition the len barleycorns laid side by side. On the o the size of the Babylonia 4. The Egyptian cubit is known. 7 Cubit, settled by the discovery at Hebrew, South Babylonia (see Babyl Egyptian, § 6) of a statue of King (Babylonian. Babylonia, VI., 3, § 3) wh upon its knees a measure wi sixteen times upon the statue. This mean as a little unit of the length of 16.5-16.61 [the equivalent of .65845 of an inch], an is doubtless the fingerbreadth which is mentioned in antiquity. Since in the Baby tem the duodecimal method rules, there v measure sixty times the length of the unit which would be 990-996 millimeters inches]; it will be noticed that there is a variation or error of six millimeters). Th ment thus given is in agreement with o the Babylonian brick had a measureme millimeters on one side of its square surfa systems of the orient that are known t two-thirds of the cubit; hence from the l could be inferred a cubit of about 495 1 [19.45 inches], and this is exactly half millimeters given above (or 38.9 inches). Babylonians had two systems, one of twice the other in proportions (as appe the table from Senkereh, where two sets o are given in which this relationship exist the Babylonian system is sexagesimal, it tant to note, in connection with the ques relationship of the Hebrew system to lonian, that there are indications of this k division in the Hebrew measures: the relonian and Hebrew, is of six cubits, as c the Egyptian. Taking the foot of twocubit into consideration, if Herodotus is a statement of a "royal" and a "commo the division of the cubit into twenty-fo breadths follows, each of 20.6 millimeters According to Herodotus, the "royal longer by three fingerbreadths than the " cubit, and the foot held to this cubit the 3:5, and this is the measure constant Babylonian structures, and its length is a millimeters (21.6 inches). A cubit from Phrygia measures 555 millimeters, and not greatly differ from the result of dedu the figures of Herodotus which would royal cubit 556.4-557 millimeters. The cubit does not differ much from the I royal cubit, and in Egypt also there appea system—a large "royal" cubit and the " one—the latter of six handbreadths or t fingerbreadths (=450 millimeters [17.68 the former of seven handbreadths or tw fingerbreadths (525 millimeters [or 20.632 At first glance one might be disposed the Egyptian and the Hebrew cubit; i relation of the large to the small cubit is as are the subdivisions. But, on the o the Babylonian and the Hebrew reed while the Egyptians have a "fathom"

tains only four cubits; also, the traces

decimal system exist in the Babylonian measures. It is therefore well as yet to be reserved in regard to the relation of the Hebrew to the Egyptian set of measures. It is of considerable significance that in the fifteenth century B.C. Babylonian culture was dominant in western Asia; on the other hand, while the Hebrew may be derived ultimately from the Babylonian, the supposition is not excluded that commerce with Egypt introduced modifications.

It is possible, then, to equate the Hebrew cubit with that of Gudea (of 495 millimeters, ut sup.; for Gudes see BABYLONIA, VI., 3, § 3), and after such a standard the Phenician owners of vessels seem to have reckoned the tonnage of their ships (their measurements reduce to a solid standard of 121.2, and the basis of a cubit of 495 millimeters gives as a result a solid standard of 121.28, and this can hardly beaccidental). The larger cubit would correspond to a smaller of 424-425 millimeters, but this is not in evidence at all elsewhere. If it could be assumed that Ezekiel's expression is inexact and that the small cubit is five-sixths of the larger, the latter would then be 412.5 millimeters long (the size of the early Italian cubit, which was derived from the Babylonian). But this does not furnish satisfactory proof. In modern times standards in different places do not exactly correspond, even with the advantages of scientific methods; still less can exact correspondence be supposed for antiquity. Moreover, the "royal" cubit may have been precisely defined, yet not followed with exactness in the provinces, and in the course of time the standards may have varied considerably.

In secending scale the Hebrews have above the cubit only the reed, which in name and proportions (six cubits) agrees with the Babylonian reed. All further designations for measures

5 Larger of distance indicate not measures in Measures the strict sense of closely defined of Length, length, but simple approximations like our term "hour's journey" (cf. the expresions in Gen. xxxv. 16, xlviii. 7, which the Septagint renders by hippodromos, "post-station," and the Syriac by parasang; owing to this last the expression has been taken to be equivalent to parasang, the Persian measure, = 5.67 kilometers [or nearly three and a half miles]; others take it as = 63 kilometers). Similarly the expression "a day's journey" which occurs so often in the Bible has no definite limits. The ordinary journey of a caravan means travel during about six to eight hours; Herodotus reckoned the day's march of Persians at 150 to 200 stadia, representing continuous travel for eight to ten hours, and of Romans at 160 stadia. The case is different with respect to the "Sabbath day's journey " (Acts i. 12; the expression does not occur in the Old Testament, though the rabbis had the expression tehum hasshabbath). From the prohibition to gather manna on the Sabbath or to go forth from the camp (Ex. xvi. 26 sqq.) and from the delimitation of the Levitical cities (Num. xxxv. 5) the rabbis concluded that 2,000 cubits was the utmost distance allowed for travel on the Sabbath. There was a tradition that the distance of the Tabernacle from the limits of the camp was 2,000 cubits. In the case of cities the starting-point of

measurement for the Sabbath day's journey was the outer wall; within, even were the city as large as Nineveh, it was permissible to travel without limitation. There were also casuistic methods of circumventing the rabbinic limitation to 2,000 cubits and extending it to 4,000, though the purpose for which this extension could be sought was defined within certain bounds. Similarly, a Jew who on the Sabbath was caught on a journey at a distance from a dwelling might travel more than 2,000 cubits to the nearest travelers' shelter. It seems not unlikely that this distance of 2,000 cubits corresponds to an early measurement or unit of distance; there was an Egyptian unit of 1,000 double steps, and the Talmud mentions a tradition that the Sabbath day's journey was 2,000 steps, while in the same collection pace and cubit are practical equivalents. With the inrush of Greek civilization after the time of Alexander the Great the stadion became a part of the oriental system (cf. II Macc. xi. 5, xii. 9; John vi. 19, xi. 18; Rev. xiv. 20); the Olympic stadion measured 192.27 meters [=629.7 feet], the Attic stadion, 177.6 or 197.3 meters, according to the length given to the Attic foot. The Romans introduced their mile, with a length of 1,478.7 meters [-approximately 1,600 yards].

II. Measures of Surface: As a surface measure there appears in the Bible only the yoke (Hebr. zemedh) a piece of land which a man might plow in a day with a yoke of oxen. It has been compared with the Egyptian measure which Herodotus (Hist., ii. 168) calls aroura, measuring 100 royal cubits square. But this and other comparisons with the Babylonian measures of surface are pure conjectures. A similar system of measuring land obtains among the modern fellaheen of Egypt and Palestine.

III. Measures of Capacity: While the measures for liquids (water, wine, and oil) and those for such things as meal and grain were not the same among the Hebrews, they belonged to the r. Dry and same system. The smallest unit, the

Liquid multiple of which made up other measures, was in Hebrew the log (Septuagint, kotylē; Lev. xiv. 10, 12, etc.), Measure. equivalent in volume, according to the rabbis, to six medium-sized hen's eggs. In the one passage in the Old Testament where this occurs, it is as a measure for liquids, but this does not exclude its use as a dry measure. The next measure in size mentioned in the Old Testament is the cab (Hebr. kab; Septuagint kabos), named in II Kings vi 25. Later data imply that this was used as a dry measure (Photius calls it a "measure for grain," and Hesychius one "for grain and wine"). According to Josephus, paraphrasing the passage, the cab equaled 4 log, which agrees with the Talmud when it makes a cab equal one-sixth of a seah and one-third of a hin. The latter collection divides the cab into halves, fourths, and eighths, and this in connection with II Kings vi. 25 suggests that the designation "log" was seldom in use. The omer (or homer) (Hebr. 'omer, Septuagint gomer; Ex. xvi. 16) seems to have been a measure for grain, and a gloss to the passage cited makes it equal the tenth of an ephah; it is then the equivalent of the 'issaron (Septuagint dekaton, Josephus, assarōn; Ex. xxix. 40), designated usually as "a tenth" or as the "tenth of an ephah." Josephus gives the omer as equivalent to seven Attic cotylæ (Ant., III., vi. 6). The corresponding measure for liquids appears as the tenth of a bath (see below, and cf. Ezek. xlv. 14), but no proper name is given for it. For liquids the most common measure is the hin, corresponding to the ephah for dry measure. Consequently the parts or fractions are often mentioned (one-half, one-third, one-fourth, one-sixth; Ex. xxix. 40; Num. xv. 4; Ezek. iv. 11). Josephus (Ant., III., viii. 3) and Jerome (on Ezek. iv. 11) define the hin as equal to two Attic choas, that is, to a sixth of a metrētēs [that is about one and one-half gallons]; this gives the equation 1 hin = 12 log - one-half seah = one-sixth bath, and the Talmud often defines the hin in this way. The corresponding dry measure is designated in Ezekiel (xlv. 13) as one-sixth of an ephah, and no proper name for this dry measure is known. The seah (Gen. xviii. 6 [A. V., "measure"]; Josephus, Ant., IX., iv. 5, saton; Septuagint, metron) seems to have been a dry measure, though the Talmud knows of it as also used for liquids. From the translation by the Sepsages the kor appears as a dry measure. Josephus regards the kor as the equivalent of ten medimne. The table of measures of capacity given herewith results from the preceding discussion.

From the last series one might easily receive the impression that here is not a pure sexagesimal system, but a crossing with the decimal system. Especially does the series 1 homer = 10

cially does the series 1 homer = 10

2. The Basis ephahs = 100 omers have this appearSexagesimal. ance. But an examination of the series
shows that the ephah or bath, the mid-

dle factor of the series, is in the Babylonian series purely sexagesimal, consisting of seventy-two units (the mina), and exactly so the kor consists of 720 minas, its position in the sexagesimal system making it not ten times the ephah but twelve times the maris, a unit which fell out of the Hebrew system; consequently the presence of what looks like the decimal system is quite fortuitous. The only remant of the decimal system left is the issaron, ut sup.; the measures indicated by asterisks in the table below and their relations show that the issaron was not an original part of the system and is mentioned in P only, though Ezekiel has the divi-

```
4 log = 1 cab

*7½ log = *1½ cab = *1 omer

12 log = 3 cab = *1½ omer = 1 hin

24 log = 6 cab = *3½ omer = 2 hin = 1 seah

72 log = 18 cab = 10 omer = 6 hin = 3 seah = 1 sphah

[360 log = 90 cab = 50 omer = 30 hin = 15 seah = 5 sphah = 1 lethekh]

720 log = 180 cab = 100 omer = 60 hin = 30 seah = 10 sphah = 2 lethekh = 1 homer
```

tuagint of ephah by "three measures" and of "third" in Ps. lxxx. 5 by the same word, it appears that the seah was equivalent to one-third ephah or 24 log. The dry measure most in use was the ephah, and it receives correspondingly frequent mention (Ex. xvi. 36; Lev. v. 11, etc.). The passages in the Bible indicate that in early times as in late it was in common use. Fractions of it which appear in the Old Testament are the third (Ps. lxxx. 5; disguised in the A. V. by the translation "measure") and the sixth (Ezek. xlv. 13). The liquid measure corresponding to the ephah was the bath (e.g., I Kings vii. 36; Septuagint batos, or metrētēs; Josephus, Ant., VIII., ii. 9, bados), and Josephus makes this equivalent to the Attic metrētēs (about nine gallons). while Ezekiel equates bath and ephah. A tenth is mentioned in Ezek. xlv. 14, corresponding to the tenth of an ephah, ut sup. A lethekh appears in Hos. iii. 2 (the only place where it is mentioned) as a dry measure (for barley), and is the equivalent of half a homer according to tradition (e.g., Septuagint hēmikoros; Vulg. corus dimidius); but it is doubtful whether a unit of this capacity existed; the Vatican manuscript has instead "bottle of wine," which better suits the context. The largest measure is the homer (Lev. xxvii. 16; Isa. v. 10); Ezekiel (xlv. 11) makes it the equivalent of ten baths and also of ten ephans, a conclusion from which is that the homer served both for liquids and for such things as seed, as was the case with the Assyrian imir. According to Ezek, xlv. 14, the kor and the homer were identical measures; and in a number of pas-

sion of the bath into tenths. In Ezekiel in the same connection there is met the division of the bath into sixths, but the early division of the ephah-bath was into thirds. The bath (for liquids) does not appear to have been divided into tenths; P speaks of the hin and its parts, which are not derived from the decimal system. In dry measure, conversely, the sexagesimal seah and cab disappear and in P are displaced by the tenth of an ephah; this is probably to be placed alongside of the introduction of certain coin-values and weights in the later period. For the original system both the issaron and the lethekh are to be stricken out. A distinction of the dry measure from liquid measure results in the tables on page 291, which exhibit purely sexagesimal features. To these the modern equivalents are added.

As an assistance toward finding the absolute value of the capacity of these measures Thenius (in TSK, 1846, pp. 72 sqq., 297 sqq.) started with the assertion of the rabbis already noted that the vol-

3. Absolute ume for the log was equivalent to that Values. of six eggs, from which he deduced that the modern equivalent of the log is .2945 liter and of the bath 20.1215 liters. But it is evident that such data afford no sure conclusion, and neither for cubit nor bath are secure data available. With regard to the origins of the Hebrew system, it is to be remembered that not merely the relative proportions of the different measures but the fundamental measure remained the same in the

adoption of the system by the Hebrews. The Egyp-

tian system can not be brought into connection here,

or its standards proceed in regular geometrical ntio-1, 10, 20, 40, (80), 160 hin. The Babylonian system rests upon a sexagesimal basis; even though to direct inscriptional data confirm this, all that a known of Persian, Phenician, and Syrian-Hebrew necessor of capacity is consonant with the supposition that all these systems are one in their main estures with the Babylonian, the source of them all. A means of calculation is afforded by the fact that a quite early times the Babylonians defined their necessors of capacity by the weight of water or wine.

lation to spices (Ex. xxx. 23), food (Exek. iv. 10), and Absalom's hair (II Sam. xiv. 26); always the mention is of the shekel or its multiple [or parts].

As an instrument of weighing the balance is named (Hebr. mo'zenayim, Lev. Shekel. xix. 36; Job vi. 2; Prov. xi. 1, etc.) also the Hebr. peles, or kaneh (Prov. xvi., 11; Isa. xlvi. 6). The weights were usually of stone (Lev. xix. 36; Deut. xxxv. 13, etc.), which lost less by abrasion and rust than metals, though lead is named in Zech. v. 7. The standard of reference was

```
DRY MEASURE.
   log -.506 liter [-
                           .4 quart
                                    2.024 liters [- 1.8 quarts]
 4 log -
            1 cab
            6 cab = 1 seah = 12.148 liters [= 11 quarts]
18 cab = 3 seah = 1 ephah = 36,44 liters [=
24 log —
72 log -
           18 cab
                                                                              pecks
                                          ephah = 1
720 \log = 180 \text{ cab}
                     - 30 seah - 10
                                                           homer = 364.4 liters [= 74 bushels]
                                               LIQUID MEASURE.
              .506 liter [ -
                                .4 quart]
   log -
 4 log -
                             2.024 liters [= 2.13 quarts]
                   cab
12 log -
            3
                    cab
                             1
                                    hin
                                            - 6.074 liters [- 6.78 quarts]
                                                      bath = 36.44 liters [= [10.1 gallons]
bath = 1 kor = 364.4 liters [-
                   cab
                         _
72 log -
          18
                             6
                                    hin
                                            - 1
720 log - 180
                                                                              -364.4 liters [- 101.4 gallons]
                         - 60
                                            - 10
                   cab
                                    hin
```

In the unit of the system was a measure (the wain maris) which would contain water the equivent in weight of a royal talent (which we would fix 1303 kilograms [=66.78 lbs.] were it not that the measure of water in the East is higher than the measure assumed in reckoning the standard ar; an approximate reckoning, taking this into count, places the value at 30.37 liters). Only approximate and theoretical conclusions may be wind for in this field. The maris was probably wided into six parts, resulting in the following bla.

It is not necessary to look very far in order to see at the incorporation of the decimal system here and 10 hin, 10 bath) is only apparent, and that exagesimal system rules; the basis is seen in the shekel, and in II Sam. xiv. 26 the royal shekel is named; by this is meant not a special standard differing from that in common use, but the implication is rather that of a normalized standard. The priestly codex speaks of a "shekel of the sanctuary" (Ex. xxx. 13, 24, and often). The shekel is divided into halves (beka'; Gen. xxiv. 22) and fourths (I Sam. ix. 8), which are met as pieces of silver money belonging in the system of weights, since in those times a system of money [coins] had not been worked out as distinct from the system of weights. On the other hand, the "third part of a shekel" of Neh. x. 32 is rather a value than a definite weight in common use, and it is to be regarded as in connection with the introduction of a system of money. In Esekiel (xlv. 12) there is mention of a gera ("grain") or

```
1 kapithe
  4 mina =
 12 mina -
             3 kapithe =
                         1 hin
 24 mina =
             6 kapithe =
                         2 hin =
                                 1 saton
            15 kapithe -
                         5 hin = 21 sata
                                             1 maris
            18 kapithe - 6 hin - 3 sata
72 mina -
                                             1) maris -
                                                        1 bath
120 mins = 30 kapithe = 10 hin = 5 sata
                                          _
                                             2 maris - 1 bath - 1 metretes
720 mina = 180 kapithe = 60 hin = 30 sata
                                          = 12 maris = 10 bath = 6 metretes = 1 kor
```

e relations of the mina. The identity with the strew system is clear, except that in the latter e measures of 160 and 120 log are missing; comison shows that one may equate the Hebrew (with the Babylonian mina. The other possibil-would be to equate the log with the sextarius, is would make the homer equal to 393.95 liters; the very complete agreement of the Hebrew and Babylonian systems render departure from the ition taken above unnecessary.

V. Weights: In this department also the data n by the Old Testament are scanty. Apart from section with the noble metals, which were hed out in payments, definition of weights is an found. Incidental mention is found in re-

the twentieth of a shekel as a money standard, belonging therefore to a mintage system; wherever it appears elsewhere, it is as part of a system by which payment is made on the basis of the shekel (not the silver shekel; cf. on these matters Benzinger, Archaologie, pp. 196 sqq.). As multiples of the shekel are named the mina (maneh) and the talent. It is interesting to note respecting the mina that before the time of Ezekiel it is not mentioned (I Kings x. 17, the minim of the Hebrew text is to be changed to me'oth, "three hundred" [shekels]), as shown by the figures in Judges viii. 26; I Sam. xvii. 5, 7; II Sam. xxi. 16, xiv. 26; II Chron. iii. 9, where the weights are given in shekels, not in minas. So in later times when the mention is of minas, the discussion is of

money, not of weight pure and simple (Ezek. xlv. 12; Ezra ii. 69). It may be concluded that in the earlier period the reckoning of weights by the mina was not the usual one. Of the relation of the mina to the shekel only late data are afforded; in Ex. xxxviii. 25 the free-will offerings for the sanctuary of 603,550 individuals at half a shekel each amounted to 100 talents and 1,775 shekels, according to which the talent equaled 3,000 shekels, that is, a talent is 60 minas and a mina is 50 shekels. This is the reckoning prescribed by Ezekiel (xlv. 12), where the connection with the apparently new division of the shekel into twenty gera and the mina into 50 shekels makes the impression that Ezekiel is recommending either a new or a not-general method of reckoning for universal recognition; and this suspicion is confirmed by the history of the development of the Babylonian system of weights.

The talent (Hebrew kikkar, "round," Septuagint and New Testament, talanton) is spoken of in con-

heavy talent is reckoned as equivalent to 60.600 grams [or 133.56 lbs.], and the light or small talent at half that; the heavy mina at 1,010 grams for about 2.214 lbs.], and the light mina at half that; and the heavy shekel at 982.4 grams [or a little less than 2 lbs.]. A reckoning is given by Lehmann (Zeitschrift für Ethnologie, 1889, p. 372) which makes the large mina from ten to twenty-two grams heavier. Alongside of the "royal" standard, then, was current a lighter "common" standard. From the three weights which are known as coming from about 2000 B.C. Lehmann reckons the value of the light mina at 491.2 grams, and of the heavy at 982.4 grams. The smaller corresponds exactly to the Roman pound, according to the ordinary reckoning the equivalent of 327.45 grams. It was this smaller mina which passed over to the people of Hither Asia and therefore to the Hebrews. Confirmation of the equivalents stated here is the remark of Josephus (Ant., XIV., vii. 1) that the gold mina

```
1 shekel = 16.37 grams
                                       .5778 os.]
                                  -982.4
                                           grams [- 2.165 lbs.]
  60 shekels - 1
                  mina
3,600 shekels - 60
                    minas=1 talent= 58.944 kilograms [-129.9479 lbs.]
               LATER AVOIRDUPOIS AND GOLD TABLE.
   1 shekel - 16.37 grams
                                        .5778 os.i
  50 shekels - 1
                    mina
                                   -818.60 grams
                                                          1.804 lbs.
3,000 shekels - 60
                    minas = 1 talent = 49.11 kilograms [= 108.29 lbs.]
```

```
JEWISH SILVER.
   1 shekel - 14.55 grams
                                  [-
                                       .5136 os.1
                                    -727.5
  50 shekels = 1
                                                            1.6 Ibs.1
                    mina
                                              grams
                     minas=1 talent= 43.659 kilograms [= 96
3.000 shekels = 60
                                                                 lbs.
                          PERSIAN SILVER.
   1 shekel = 5.61-5.73 grams
                                  [-
                                        .178 os.]
 100 shekels - 1
                                    = 561-573 grams
                                                            1.135 lbs.l
                     mina
6,000 shekels \Rightarrow 60
                     minas=1 talent= 34.380 kilograms [= 68.1 fbs.]
```

nection with gold (Ex. xxv. 39 and often), silver (Ex. xxxviii. 25, and often), copper, "brass" (Ex. xxxviii. 29), and iron (I Chron. xxix. 7, where all four metals are mentioned). The data in the Old Testament are too scanty to afford a secure basis for calculating either the relative or the absolute magnitude of Hebrew weights;

recourse must again be had to the Babylonian system, which unquestionably was at the basis of the Hebrew system. In the sixteenth century B.C., long before the settlement of the Hebrews in Palestine, all Syria and Palestine used the Babylonian weights, the tribute to the Egyptian overlord being so reckoned. In the inscription at Karnak there is evident the transference from Babylonian to Egyptian systems, with the former as the basis. Originally in the Babylonian system of weights the sexagesimal order prevailed, and a talent was 3,600 shekels or 60 minas. The weights, found by Layard, in the shape of a lion and a duck (cf. Benzinger, Archäologie, p. 195) show that, as in measures of length, two systems obtained, one of them double that of the other. The weights found in the excavations are usually inscribed as so many minas "of the king." The weighed two (Roman) pounds, the shekel therefore (one-fiftieth of a mina) was 16.37 grams, and consequently the mina of avoirdupois (of sixty shekell) would from this datum equal 982.2 grams, almost that given above. The Hebrew shekel may therefore be set down as 16.37 grams, the avoirduponis mina (if such was in use) at 982.2 grams, and that talent as 58.944 kilograms [ut sup., where equivalents in ounces and pounds are given].

But in the course of time this system underwent change. While the talent of sixty minas remained, there is found in use among Greeks, Persians, and

Hebrews the division of the mina in 3. Changes fifty shekels; but while the shekel reIntroduced tained its value, the mina and the
talent were correspondingly reduced.

This alteration seems to have come from a mintage system, in which reckoning was based upon the shekel. Since this was found more convenient in use, 3,000 being an easier number to reckon than 3,600, the same division passed over into the system of weights, and there came into use an avoirdupois talent of 3,000 shekels. There is here the beginning of that strife between the decimal and the sexagesimal system which has waged ever since.

or the former came from Egypt, mediated henicians. The influence of the decimal seen in the priest code, and first near the e exile this method made its way among ites. Inasmuch as the shekel always reie same, it is not with the change just that the priest code has to do when it the "shekel of the sanctuary." It has exted by some that a standard shekel was ne Temple. This is possible, but in any is not involved a "common" weight according to the rabbis only half as large, there is no other indication. Yet it is at the silver shekel of the coinage was reighing only 14.55 grams. Since in all e the adjunct "of the sanctuary" is given sion concerns payment to the sanctuary, e self-evident, if nothing more were said, expression "shekel," without reference to of mintage, would not be understood as o the shekel of avoirdupois. According to ling, the result will be the two tables w weights, as given on page 292.

systems the small mina (which was not the Hebrews) was half as large.

in commerce as currency, if the same sysed to gold and silver, great difficulty arose it of the ratio of value (1:13) which was in antiquity. This ratio was one which able as reduced to weight. Convenience, required another basis in the reckoning in silver and gold, a basis which would in easy subdivision with reference to the and on the other hand would fit well into n of weights. So for gold there was in use proportion as given in the last table. The twofold. There came into being a silver ich was a tenth of the value of the gold ut among Phenicians the silver shekel was ath of the value of the gold shekel. This eight for the Babylonian shekel (one-sixie small common mina) of 10.91 grams and ws of 14.55 grams (since they had not the na); the silver shekel of the Maccabees ween 14.50 and 14.65 grams. The tables and Persian silver above will afford comith the tables of weights.

(I. BENZINGER.)

PRY: R. Hussey, Essay on the Ancient Weights tey, and the Roman and Greek Liquid Measures, Appendix on the Roman and Greek Foot, Oxford, Böckh, Metrologische Untersuchungen über Gefünsfüsse und Masse des Altertums, Berlin, 1838; eau, Zur Geschichte der Israeliten, Dissertation 2, 1842; O. Thenius, in TSK, 1846, parts 1-2; er von Fennerberg, Untersuchungen über die Feld, und Wegmasse des Altertums, Berlin, V. Queipo, Essai sur les systèmes métriques et 2 des anciens peuples, 3 vols., Paris, 1859; L. Metrologische Voruntersuchungen zu einer Geles israelitischen Handels, 2 parts, Leipsic, 1863-em, Handelsgeschichte der Juden des Alterthums, qq., Brunswick, 1879; J. Brandis, Münz-, Masschwesen in Vorderusien, Berlin, 1864; F. Hultschcorum scriptorum reliquiæ, 2 vols., Berlin, 1864-em, Griechische und römische Metrologie, 2d ed., idem, in the Abhandlungen of the Royal Saxon of Sciences, iv (1899); B. Zuckermann, Das Massystem und seine Beziehungen zum griechirömischen, Breslau, 1867; J. Oppert, L'Étalon es assyriennes, Paris, 1875; C. R. Lepsius, in

the Abhandlungen of the Berlin Academy, 1882, nos. 39, 45; idem, Langenmasse der Alten, Berlin, 1884; C. Rodenbach, Metrologie. La Coudée, étalon linéaire des Egyptiens, Brussels, 1883; M. C. Soutso, Étalons pondéraux primitifs, Paris, 1884; idem, Recherches sur les origines de quelques poids antiques, ib. 1895; L. Borchardt, in SBA, 1888, pp. 129-137; C. F. Lehmann, in the Verhandlungen of the Berlin Anthropological Society, 1889, pp. 245-328, 1891, pp. 515 sq., 1893, pp. 25 sq., 1898, pp. 216 sqq., 420 sqq.; idem, in the Actes of the Eighth Congress of Orientalists, Leyden, 1889, sect. 1 B, pp. 165 sqq.; idem, in the Verhandlungen of the Berlin Physical Society, Berlin, 1889; H. Nissen, in Handbuch der klassischen Altertumswissenschaft, i (1892), 833-890; F. L. Griffith, in PSBA, xiv (1892), 433-450; W. Ridgeway, Origin of Metallic Currency and Weight-Standards, Cambridge, 1892; PEF, Quarterly Statements, 1892, pp. 289-290, 1897-99, passim; Mauss, in Revue archéologique, 1892-93; C. F. Howard, Tables of Hebrew Weights and Measures, Melbourne, 1896; R. Klimpert, Lexikon der Münsen, Masse, Gewichte . . aller Lander, Berlin, 1896; U. Wicken, Griechische Ostraka, i. 438-480, Leipsic, 1899; Clermont-Ganneau, in Recueil d'archéologie orientale, iv. 1-2 (1900), 18 sqq.; A. E. Weigall, in PSBA, xxiii (1901), 378-395; C. H. Wolnes, Assyrian Deeds and Documente, vol. ii., chap. iii., London, 1901; W. Shaw-Caldecott, Biblical Archaology, ib. 1902; Sir C. Warren, The Ancient Cubit and our Weights and Measures, ib. 1903; J. A. Decourdemanche, Traité des poids et mesures des peuples anciens et des Arabes, Paris, 1910; Schrader, KAT, pp. 337-342; Bensinger, Archaologie, pp. 188-204; Nowack, Archaologie, pp. 188-204; Nowack,

WEIHBISCHOF: A suffragan, or assistant bishop, differing from a Coadjutor (q.v.) in having no power of independent jurisdiction. Such suffragans first arose in the seventh century, when, the oriental bishops being driven from their dioceses by the Saracens, the thirty-seventh canon of the Trullan Council of 692, supplementing the older canons (Constitutiones apostolica, canon xxxviii.; Council of Antioch [341] canon xviii.), safeguarded the rights of these prelates. Later, in the ninth and tenth centuries, their services were utilized in Spain by allowing them to assist in episcopal functions, in other regions, and new bishops were also consecrated for the dioceses which were in the power of the unbelievers (Mansi, Concilia, xviii. 183, 219; cf. BISHOP, TITULAR). After the abrogation of the institution of the Chorepiscopus (q.v.), authority was accorded these bishops to discharge the duties of assistants in matters exclusively episcopal, thus doing away with the difficulty of securing such assistants or representatives, this difficulty arising from the eighth canon of the Nicene Council of 325, which allowed but one bishop to be consecrated for each diocese. The number of these exiled bishops increased, more especially in the fourteenth century, when the Latin dioceses founded in the orient after the Crusades had fallen into the hands of unbelievers, though bishops continued to be consecrated for these dioceses rather as a matter of principle than from any hope of soon regaining possession of the sees. Clement V., on account of the abuses which grew out of these conditions, made the nomination and consecration of such bishops directly dependent from the papal chair.

At first the auxiliary position of the suffragan bishops was only temporary, and they often changed the dioceses wherein they discharged their duties. Yet as early as the thirteenth century, the suffragans sought to obtain fuller powers, and they were successful in their efforts toward the end of the fifteenth century, when they were consecrated either for a long term or for life, with the assurance of a stated revenue.

These episcopi titulares (the title officially given them by Leo XIII. in 1881), formerly called episcopi in partibus infidelium (and also nullatenses, annulares), are bishops consecrated for a diocese formerly Roman Catholic, though at the time in the hands of unbelievers (though not of Protestants). They are appointed solely by the pope, and perform the same ceremonies and fulfil the same duties as do the regular bishops, and, since the Roman Curia holds strictly to the tradition that these suffragans really possess a diocese, they receive with their nomination a dispensation from residence in these dioceses. They have a seat and a vote in the general council, and are subject, like all other bishops, to the pope, and not to the diocesans in whose sees they reside.

The titular bishops are composed of the following classes: (1) Those who assist the diocesan bishops in the performance of episcopal functions (hence called vicarii in pontificalibus, episcopi auxiliares, or episcopi suffraganei). The suffragan can not, however, discharge episcopal functions merely by the direct nomination of the pope, for he must also be specifically commissioned by his diocesan both for special cases and for general assistance. By revocation on the part of the bishop of the diocese, or by the latter's resignation or death, the prerogatives of the suffragan cease, but not his stipend, which can be revoked only by the pope or the Congregation of the Council. If, however, the new bishop desires to retain the suffragan as an assistant, he is obliged to remain. (2) The Greek bishops residing in Rome, San Benedetto di Ullano, and Palermo, who ordain Greek Uniate priests throughout Italy. (3) The principal army prelates, when the army is exempt from ordinary episcopal jurisdiction, as is the case in Austria. (4) The apostolic vicars in the missionary fields. (5) Lastly, the apostolic nuncios and some of the Roman prelates are usually appointed titular bishops or archbishops; and this promotion is also accorded to other ecclesiastics as an honorary distinction. Since titular bishops can draw no incomes from their sees, they are often permitted by papal indult to retain benefices ordinarily incompatible with episcopal consecration.

The Hungarian titular bishops differ from the others in that they are priests, and receive the title of bishop only from the king. In England the position of the suffragans was regulated by Henry VIII. in 1534, but none were nominated after 1592 until 1870, when a suffragan was appointed. Since then the institution has been revived. [In the American branch of the Anglican communion there is, at the present time, considerable agitation in favor of the creation of suffragan bishops as distinct from the bishops coadjutor, and such have been created. See Protestant Episcopalians, II., 1.]

(A. HAUCK.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: L. Thomassin, Vetus et nova ecclesia disciplina, I., i., chaps. 27-28, Paris, 1728; A. H. Andreucci, De episcopo titulari seu in partibus infidelium, Rome, 1732; P. Hinschius, Kirchenrecht, ii. 171 sqq., Berlin, 1871; Archiv für katholisches Kirchenrecht, xlvi. 201 sqq., li. 146.

WEINEL, vai'nel, HEINRICH: German Protestant; b. at Vonhausen (a village near Büdingen 27 m. n.e. of Frankfort), Hesse, Apr. 29, 1874. He was educated at the universities of Giessen and Berlin (Ph.D., Giessen, 1898) and at the seminary for preachers at Friedberg, Hesse. He became privatdocent in the University of Berlin in 1899, in the following year went to Bonn as privat-docent and inspector of the Evangelical theological foundation there. In 1904 he became extraordinary professor of New-Testament exegesis at the University of Jena, and ordinary professor in 1907. Besides editing the collection known as Lebensfragen, Schriften und Reden (Tübingen, 1904 sqq.), he has written Die Wirkungen des Geistes und der Geister im nachapostolischen Zeitalter bis auf Irenaus (Freiburg 1899); Die Nichtkirchlichen und die freie Theologie (Tübingen, 1903); Jesus im neunzehnten Jahrhandert (1903); Die Gleichnisse Jesu (Leipsic, 1904); Paulus, der Mensch und sein Werk: Die Entstehung der Kirche, des Christentums und des Dogmas (Tibingen, 1904; Eng. transl. by G. A. Bienemann, & Paul, the Man and his Work, London, 1906); Die urchristliche und die heutige Mission (1907); Die Stellung des Urchristentum zum Staat (1908); Iben, Björnson, Nietzsche, Individualismus und Christentum (1908); Ist das liberale Jesubild widerlegt! (1910); and Biblische Theologie des Neuen Testaments (1911).

WEINGARTEN, vain'gar"ten, HERMANN: Church historian; b. at Berlin Mar. 12, 1834; d. at Pöpelwitz Sanitarium, near Breslau, Apr. 25, 1892. His determination to be a theologian, settled when he was but twelve years of age, was in part a result of influences arising in the family of his mother, her father being interested in the Berlin controversy concerning hymn-books, and her uncle being the missionary to the Hottentots, Leonhard Ebner, at whose house Hermann met many retuned missionaries. Hermann received his early education in Berlin, then went in 1853 to Jena and Berlin for his theological studies, at the latter place taking his licentiate in 1857. The same year he received permission to teach in the theological faculty of Jens, and in 1858 became teacher at the Joachimsthal Gymnasium, giving instruction in religion, Hebrew, German, French, and geography, and making a reputation as an excellent teacher; this post he combined with work as privat-docent at Berlin, and then became teacher at a Realschule in Berlin, going in 1873 as ordinary professor to Marburg, though he had in 1872 become subject to a nervous complaint from which he never recovered; in 1876 he was called to Breslau, where he labored till in 1886 he was stricken with paralysis, which practically ended his life-work.

His literary work began with his "programs" issued while he was at Berlin in 1861 and 1864. He was the author of Pascal als Apologet des Christenthums (Leipsic, 1863); Das Wunder der Erscheinung Christi (1867), a criticism of Strauss' Leben Jesu sir deutsche Volk, which can hardly become antiquated, so full is it of historical knowledge; Die Revolutionskirchen Englands (1868), in which a beginning was made of using in Germany the work of Carlyle; and especially of the Zeittafellen und Ueberblicke zur

chichte (Berlin, 1870; 6th ed. completely brought down to date by Carl Franklin eipsic, 1905—[the standard work of its rman]). His later works will not have the e of his earlier productions, nor do they or instance, his Ursprung des Mönchthums stantinischen Zeitalter (Gotha, 1877) being by the studies of Bornemann, Harnack, her, and others. He was the editor also d Rothe's Vorlesungen über Kirchenge-His lectures would probably richly repay is knowledge of English and French, his s diction, and his clearness of treatment i eminence as a writer and lecturer.

(F. ARNOLD.)

ANN, CHRISTIAN EBERHARD: Gerneran; b. at Hirschau (20 m. w. of , Württemberg, Sept. 2, 1677; d. at May 26, 1747. He was educated at Tüid was then deacon at Calw (1701-04), plain at Stuttgart (1704-07), and teacher history and philosophy in the gymnasium ne city (1707-21), until in 1721 he was Γübingen as professor of theology, being st of St. George's after 1729. He had a endency toward pietism, and an equal oward the Collegialism (q.v.) of C. M.) and the philosophy of G. W. Leibnitz tian Wolff (qq.v.). He was a distinreacher, and the author of some hymns aired at the time. His principal works oductio in memorabilia ecclesiastica his-Novi Testamenti, maxime vero sæculorum et novissimorum (2 vols., Stuttgart, 1718tiones academicæ de causis cur tot eximia nostra maxime ætate, ut plurimum sine eant (Tübingen, 1729); and Institutiones exegetico-dogmatica (1739).

HY: J. Brucker, Bildersaal heutigen Tages lebenlesteller, Augsburg, 1741; K. Klüpfel, Geschichte rsität Täbingen, pp. 150 sqq., Tübingen 1849; šekter, Festprogramm zur 4. Säkularfeier der it Täbingen, ib. 1877.

vais, ADAM: German Reformer; b. at 1 (48 m. n.e. of Stuttgart) about 1490; ept. 25, 1534. He came of a distinguished id was named after a relative who was Ansbach; he was educated at Mainz, and re 1512-21; he was enthusiastic in defense ism, and combined with this in his teaching ires on Genesis and on the "Sentences" ombard. At the end of 1521 he was called storate of Crailsheim, which work he unan Evangelical spirit, introducing a new der. He was in correspondence with those advice he sought, and soon won inthe margravate of Brandenburg; and was not the clerical superior, he was rethe real leader in his district. While the on was making headway in the region, f the Margrave Casimir was strongly Roolic, so that there was a reactionary tendst which progress was to be made. Weiss accord with Johann Rurer of Ansbach; h the latter was compelled to leave his flee, so strongly was the tide flowing against reform, Weiss determined to stay at his post, where he was enabled to continue his work.

After the death of Casimir, Sept. 21, 1527, Weiss stimulated the new ruler, Georg, to order a thoroughgoing carrying out of the Reformation, and was directed to perfect measures to that end, in company with Johann Schopper of Heilsbronn and Andreas Althamer (q.v.) of Ansbach. The next year he made attempts at a documentary foundation upon which to build the work of the Reformation and contributed the preliminary formulation to one of the earliest confessional statements of the period. Weiss acted as superintendent. In 1529 he accompanied the Margrave Georg to the Diet of Speyer as chaplain and councilor, and his work there was so appreciated that the margrave took him, with Brenz, Rurer, and others, to the Diet of Augsburg in 1530, where his advice was sought on the weightiest matters; he also preached there and won the regard even of the most influential Roman Catholic theologians.

In carrying out Reformation principles, Weiss was influential beyond the boundaries of the margravate of Brandenburg-Ansbach. He supported Johann Brenz of Hall (q.v.) from 1523 onward, and Hall itself sent for his advice in regard to important matters. He was in close relations also with Erhard Schnepf, Theobald Billican, Kaspar Löner (qq.v.), and with Leonhard Culmann, the poet and teacher of Nuremberg; in September of 1524 Johannes Poliander (q.v.) sought his friendship and intimacy. Carlstadt tried to win him over, in 1525, but failed. Weiss' early tendency was rather in the direction of Zwinglian teaching, but in the matter of the Lord's Supper he took wholly the side of Luther, whom he highly honored. Indeed, he regarded Luther's writings as a great treasury, while Luther wrote to the margrave, May 21, 1527, extolling the worth of Weiss and Rurer. Weiss was a prophet honored in his own country, and one of his abiding labors was the foundation of the church library.

(G. Bossert.)

Bibliography: G. Veesenmeyer, Kleine Beiträge zur Geschichte des Reichstags zu Augsburg, 1530, pp. 116 sqq., Nuremberg, 1830; Acta in Comitiis Augustanis quædam, in K. E. Förstemann, Urkundenbuch zur Geschichte des Reichstags in Augsburg, Halle, 1833-35; J. Hartmann and C. Jäger, Johann Brenz, 2 vols., Hamburg, 1840-42; G. Bossert, in Schwäbischer Merkur, 1879, no. 153; Theologische Studien aus Württemberg, 1880, pp. 190 sqq., 1882, pp. 183, 314 sqq., 1883, pp. 30 sqq., 1885, pp. 1 sqq.; H. Westermeyer, Die brandenburgisch-nürnbergische Kirchenvisitation 1628-33, Erlangen, 1894; Beiträge zur bayerischen Kirchengeschichte, v. 226 sqq., vii. 32 sqq., 241 sqq., Erlangen, 1898-1900; K. Schornbaum, Die Stellung des Markgrafen Kasimir von Brandenburg zur reformatorischen Bewegung 1524-27, Nuremberg, 1900; idem, Zur Politik des Markgrafen Georg von Brandenburg 1528-32, Munich, 1906; T. Kolde, Andreas Althamer, Erlangen, 1895; ADB, xli. 554.

WEISS, CARL PHILIPP BERNHARD: German Protestant; b. at Königsberg June 20, 1827. He was educated at the universities of Königsberg, Halle, and Berlin (1844–48); became privat-docent at the university of his native city (1852); associate professor (1857); and was divisional pastor there (1861–63); was professor of New-Testament exegesis at Kiel (1863–77), being also a member of the Kiel consistory (1874–77); since 1877 he has been

professor of New-Testament exegesis at the University of Berlin, being also member of the Berlin consistory (1879-80); supreme consistorial councilor and councilor to the department of public worship (1880-1899); president of the Central Committee for the Inner Mission of the German Evangelical Church (1887-96); vice-president since 1896. Besides editing the New Testament in Greek (11 parts, Leipsic, 1902; small edition, 3 vols., 1902-05) and German (2 vols., 1904), as well as preparing the sixth to the ninth editions of H. A. W. Meyer's commentary on Mark and Luke (Göttingen, 1878-1901), the sixth to the ninth editions of John (1880-1902), the sixth to the ninth editions of Romans (1881-99), the seventh to the ninth editions of Matthew (1883-1897), the fifth to the seventh editions of the pastoral epistles (1885-1902), the fifth and sixth editions of Hebrews (1888-97), and the fifth and sixth editions of the Johannine epistles (1888-1900), he has written Der petrinische Lehrbegriff (Berlin, 1855); Der Philipperbrief (1859); Der johanneische Lehrbegriff (1862); Lehrbuch der biblischen Theologie des Neuen Testaments (1868; Eng. transl., Biblical Theology of the New Testament, 2 vols., Edinburgh, 1882-1883); Das Markus-Evangelium und seine synoptischen Parallelen (1872); Das Matthäus-Evangelium und seine Lukas-Parallelen (Halle, 1876); Das Leben Jesu (2 vols., Berlin, 1882; Eng. transl., 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1883-84); Lehrbuch der Einleitung in das Neue Testament (1886; Eng. transl., Introduc-tion to the New Testament, 2 vols., London, 1889); Die Johannesapokalypse (Leipsic, 1891); Die katholischen Briefe (1892); Die Apostelgeschichte (1893); Das Neue Testament, textkritische Untersuchung und Textherstellung (3 vols., 1894-1900); Die paulinischen Briefe im berichtigten Text (1896); Der Codex D in der Apostelgeschichte (1897); Die vier Evangelien im berichtigten Text (1900); Die Religion des Neuen Testaments (Stuttgart, 1903; Eng. transl., New York, 1905); Die Geschichtlichkeit des Markus-Evangeliums (Gross-Lichterfeld, 1905); Die Quellen des Lukas-Evangeliums (Stuttgart, 1907); Die Quellen der synoptischen Ueberlieferung (Leip-1908); Morgenandachten über evangelische Texte (1909); Abendandachten über apostolische Texte (1910); Der Hebräerbrief in zeitgeschichtlicher Beleuchtung (Leipsic, 1910).

Bibliography: C. A. Briggs, Study of Holy Scripture, passim, New York, 1899: H. S. Nash, Hist. of the Higher Criticism of the N. T., ib. 1900.

WEISS, JOHANNES: German Protestant; b. at Kiel Dec. 13, 1863. He was educated at the universities of Marburg, Berlin, Göttingen, and Breslau (1882-88); became privat-docent at Göttingen (1888), and associate professor of New-Testament exegesis (1890); professor of the same subject in the University of Marburg (1895-1908); since 1908 he has held the same position at Heidelberg. Besides editing Die Schriften des Neuen Testaments neu übersetzt und für die Gegenwart erklärt (2 vols , Göttingen, 1906), he has written Der Barnabasbrief (Berlin, 1888); Die Predigt Jesu vom Reiche Gottes (Göttingen, 1893); Kommentar zum Lukas-Evangelium (1893); Die Nachfolge Christi und die Predigt der Gegenwart (1895); Beiträge zur paulinische Rhetorik (1897); Liber die Absicht und den literarischen Charakter der Apostelgeschichte (1897); Die Idee des Reicht Gottes in der Theologie (Giessen, 1900); Die christliche Freiheit und die Verkündigung des Apostels Paulus (Göttingen, 1902); Das älteste Evangelium (1903); Die Offenbarung des Johannes (1904); Die Aufgaben der neutestamentlichen Wissenschaft (1908); Christus, die Anfänge des Dogmas (1909); Paulus und Jesus (Berlin, 1909; Eng. transl., London, 1909, New York, 1910); Jesus im Glauben des Urchristentums (1910); Jesus von Nazareth, Mythus oder Geschichte? (1910); and the volume on Corinthians in the Kritisch-exegetischer Kommentar über das New Testament (Göttingen, 1910).

WEISS, NATHANAEL EMILE: French Reformed; b. at La Croix-aux-Mines, near Saint-Dié (45 m. s.e. of Nancy), Mar. 27, 1845. He studied at the Protestant Gymnasium of Strasburg (B.D., 1867); was tutor to the sons of Count de Maupeau (1867-69); pastor of the Reformed Eglise de la Glacière, Paris (1869-71); missionary agent to the Paris Sunday-school Society (1871-75); pastor at Boulogne-sur-Seine (1875-85). Since 1885 he has been librarian of the Sunday-school Society, Paris, and is also secretary of the Society for the History of French Protestantism. He has been a member of the consistory of the Reformed Church in Paris since 1879, and is an "advocate of what is called 'new theology.'" He puts his strength into the Bulletin of the Society for the History of French Protestantism, and is the acknowledged authority in this department of research. He has written Duplessis-Mornay comme théologien et comme charactère politique (Strasburg, 1867); Le Naufrage de la Ville du Havre et du Loch Earn (Paris, 1874); la Sortie de France de Claude Brousson (Orléans, 1885); and La Chambre ardente (Paris, 1889).

WEISS, PANTALEON. See CANDIDUS.

WEIZSAECKER, voits'sek"ker, KARL HEIN-RICH VON: German theologian; b. at Oehringen (35 m. n.n.w. of Stuttgart) Dec. 11, 1822; d. at Tübingen Aug. 13, 1899. He received his education at the seminary at Schöntal and at the University of Tübingen; became privat-docent at Tübingen. 1847; minister at Billingsbach, 1848; preacher to the court at Stuttgart, 1851; assistant in the Kultusministerium, 1856; associate in the consistory, 1859; professor at Tübingen as successor of Baur in church history, 1861; and chancellor of the university, 1890. As early as 1856 he began contributions to theology in his joint efforts in founding and editing Jahrbücher für deutsche Theologie, an activity which he extended later by contributions to such journals as the Theologische Studien und Kritiken and the Theologische Litteraturzeitung. He was the author of Zur Kritik des Barnabas-Briefes aus dem Codex Sinaiticus (Tübingen, 1863); Untersuchungen über die evangelische Geschichte (Gotha, 1864), a work which placed Weizsäcker in the front rank of writers on early Christianity; and Die christliche Kirche im apostolische Zeitalter (Freiburg, 1886, 3d ed., 1902, Eng. transl., The Apostolic Age, 2 vols., London, 1894-95), which was preceded by a series of special studies that prepared the way and appeared in various journals. In this work he turned the tide of criticism by insisting that in the Fourth Gospel careful distinction must be made between the historical and the philosophical elements, there being original apostolic reminiscences as fundamental as in the Synoptic Gospels; only in the development of these reminiscences they had become interwoven with a sublime philosophy. The hypothesis of the evolution of Christianity from a Pauline-Petrine opposition was undermined and positions determined for a new advance in historical investigation. But the new position taken by Weizsäcker was the union of a historico-personal and a mystical-idealistic element in the Fourth Gospel. He ever regarded himself as related in spirit and method to Baur, a thankful student of that master, and in this respect his Untersuchungen named above bears out his claims.

While Weizsäcker's scholarship is to be recognized, his practical ability should also receive acknowledgment. As pastor among peasants, in official service in the consistory and elsewhere, as professor coming into contact with students, as rector and chancellor of the university, he displayed ever a keen sense of the fitness of things and great wisdom in directing his course of action. He was no doctrinaire, but had an eye to the practical in life, with a humor and a fund of anecdote with which he brightened the intercourse into which he was thrown.

(H. HOLTZMANNT.)

Bibliography: A. Hegler, Zur Erinnerung an K. Weissäcker, Tübingen, 1900; G. Grützmacher, in Historische Vierteljahrschrift, 1899, pp. 566-568; E. Grafe, Die christliche Welt, 1899, pp. 749-753; R. Günther, in Monatsschrift für Pastoraltheologie, 1907, pp. 10-32, 64-73.

WELLAND, THOMAS JAMES: Anglican bishop of Down, Connor, and Dromore, Ireland; b. in Dublin Mar. 31, 1830; d. at Belfast July 29, 1907. He was educated at Trinity College, Dublin (B.A., 1854), and was ordered deacon in 1854 and ordained priest in the following year; was curate of Carlow (1854-56); perpetual curate of Painstown, County Carlow (1856-58); assistant chaplain of Mariner's Church, Kingstown, County Dublin (1858-62); derical secretary of the Jews' Society (1862-66); assistant chaplain of Christ Church, Leeson Street, Dublin (1866-70); incumbent of St. Thomas, Belfast, and chaplain of Malone Protestant Reformatory (1870-92), and in 1892 was consecrated bishop of the united dioceses of Down, Connor, and Dromore.

WELLDON, JAMES EDWARD COWELL: Church of England; b. at Tunbridge (16 m. s.w. of Rochester), Kent, Apr. 25, 1854. He was educated at King's College, Cambridge (B.A., 1877), and, after residing abroad for some years, was ordered deacon in 1883 and ordained priest in 1885. He was elected a fellow of his college in 1878; was master of Dulwich College, 1883-85; headmaster of Harrow School, 1885-98; select preacher at Cambridge in 1885, 1888, and 1893, and at Oxford in 1886-87; honorary chaplain to the queen, 1888-92, and chaplain in ordinary, 1892-98; and Hulsean lecturer at Cambridge in 1897. In 1898 he was consecrated bishop of Calcutta and metropolitan of India, but in 1901 resigned his see and was canon Westminster 1901-06, and since 1906 dean of Manchester. Besides translating Aristotle's Politics (London, 1883); Rhetoric (1886); and Nicomachean Ethics (1892), he has written Sermons Preached to Harrow Boys (3 series, London, 1887-1903); The Spiritual Life, and Other Sermons (1888); The Future and the Past (1888); Gerald Eversley's Friendship (1895); The Hope of Immortality (1898; 3d ed., 1905); The Revelation of the Holy Spirit (1902); The School of Faith (1904); Be Strong. Lessons for Young Lives (1907); and The Gospel in a Great City (1910).

WELLER, REGINALD HEBER, JR.: Protestant Episcopal bishop coadjutor of Fond du Lac; b. at Jefferson City, Mo., Nov. 6, 1857. He was educated at the University of the South, Sewanee, Tenn. (1875–77), and at Nashotah House (graduated 1884). He was ordered deacon in 1880 and priested in 1884, and was rector of Christ Church, Eau Claire, Wis. (1884–88), St. Matthias, Waukesha, Wis. (1888–1890), and the Church of the Intercession, Stevens Point, Wis. (1890–1900). In 1900 he was consecrated bishop coadjutor of Fond du Lac, Wis.

WELLHAUSEN, JULIUS: German Protestant; b. at Hameln (25 m. s.w. of Hanover) May 17, 1844. He studied at Göttingen (Ph.D., 1870); became privat-docent there (1870) in the theological faculty; professor in the same faculty at Greifswald (1872); associate professor of Semitics at Halle (1882) in the philosophical faculty; full professor of the same subject at Marburg (1885) and at Göttingen (1892). He is best known for his elaboration of the theory that the Pentateuch is post-exilic, and is, consequently, distinctly Jewish, rather than Hebraic or Israelitic. He has written De gentibus et familiis Judæis quæ I Chron. ii. 4 enumerantur (Göttingen, 1870), Der Text der Bücher Samuels untersucht (1871), Pharisäer und Saduzäer (Greifswald, 1874), Geschichte Israels (Berlin, 1878; 2d-6th eds., under the title Prolegomena zur Geschichte Israels, 1883-1905; Eng. tr. by J. S. Smith and C. A. Menzies, Edinburgh, 1885, 3d ed., 1891), Muhammad in Medina (1882), Skizzen und Vorarbeiten (6 vols., 1884-92), Abriss der Geschichte Israels und Judas; Lieder der Hudhailiten (1884), Composition des Hexateuchs (1885; 3d ed., 1899), Reste arabischen Heidentums (1887; 2d ed., 1897), Medina vor dem Islam; Muhammads Gemeindeordnungen von Medina; seine Schreiben und die Gesandtschaften an ihn (1887), and Die kleinen Propheten übersetzt mit Noten (1892; 3d ed., 1898); Israelitische und jüdische Geschichte (1894; 6th ed., 1907); Der arabische Josippus (1897); Die religiös-politischen Oppositionsparteien im alten Islam (1901); Das arabische Reich und sein Sturz (1902); Das Evangelium Marci übersetzt (1903; 2d ed., 1909); Matthæi (1904); Lucæ (1904), Johannis (1908); and Einleitung in die drei ersten Evangelien (1905); and he also prepared the sixth edition of F. Bleek's Einleitung in das Alte Testament (Berlin, 1893), and Psalms for the Polychrome Bible (New York, 1895).

WELLS, AMOS RUSSEL: Congregationalist-Presbyterian layman; b. at Glens Falls, N. Y., Dec. 23, 1862. He received his education in the public schools of Yellow Springs, Ohio, and Antioch College (B.A., 1883); was professor of Greek in his alma

mater, 1883-92, and in 1892 assumed his present position of editorial secretary for the United Society of Christian Endeavor. Has also been managing editor, since 1892, of The Christian Endeavor World, and is associate editor of Peloubet's Notes on the International Sunday-School Lessons. His position theologically is that of a conservative Calvinist. He is a prolific writer, having produced about fifty volumes or booklets, classified into stories, essays, devotional works, poems, books for young people's societies, on the Bible, and on the Sunday-school. Of these mention may be made (1) of the essay Sermons in Stones (New York, 1899); How to Work, How to Play, How to Study (3 vols., Boston, 1900); Into All the World (1903); Studies in the Art of Illustration (New York, 1903); Help for the Tempted (Boston, 1903); and That They All may be One (New York, 1905). (2) Among devotional works mention may be made of When thou hast Shut thy Door (New York, 1895); and The Cheer Book (1901). For young people's societies there are The Junior Manual (Boston, 1895); and Prayer Meeting Methods (1896). For the Sunday-school there are Sunday-School Problems (1895); Sunday-School Success (New York, 1897); Three Years with the Children (1900); Introduction to Bible Study (Philadelphia, 1909); and Why we believe the Bible (1910).

WELLS, LEMUEL HENRY: Protestant Episcopal bishop of Spokane; b. at Yonkers, N. Y., Dec. 3, 1841. He began his college education at Trinity, Hartford, but left in 1861 to enter the Union Army, in which he served three years as second and first lieutenant. He was graduated from Hobart College (1867), and Berkeley Divinity School, Middletown, Conn. (1869). He was ordered deacon in the same year and priested in 1871. Spending the year 1871-72 in study in Europe, he was curate at Trinity Church, New Haven (1872-73), and rector at Walla Walla, Wash. (1873-82). He then resided in the eastern part of the United States for a year and a half, but in 1884 became rector of St. Luke's, Tacoma, Wash., where he remained until 1889. In the latter year he accepted the rectorate of Trinity Church in the same city, and in 1892 was consecrated first missionary bishop of Spokane.

Bibliography: W. S. Perry, Episcopate in America, p. 343, New York, 1895.

710W 101A, 1000.

WELSH CALVINISTIC METHODISTS. See PRESBYTERIANS, IV., VIII., 8.

WELTZ, velts, JUSTINIAN, FREIHERR VON: Austrian pioneer advocate of Protestant missions to the heathen and missionary; b. at Chemnitz (38 m. s.w. of Dresden) 1621; d. in Surinam (Dutch Guiana) in 1688. Little is known of his life. He wrote at the age of twenty the tractate De tyrannorum ingenio et arcanis artibus, discussing the duties of rulers and subjects. In 1663 appeared, Vom Einsiedlerleben, wie es nach Gottes Wort und der alten heiligen Einsiedlerleben anzustellen sei, a work inspired with lofty religious and moral earnestness; and his Kurzer Bericht, wie ein neue Gesellschaft unter den rechtgläubigen Christen Augsburgischer Konfession aufgerichted werden könne (1663). His three principal works are: Eine christliche und treuherzige Vermahnung an alle rechtgläubigen

Christen der Augsburgischen Konfession, etc.; Einladungstrieb zum herannahenden grossen Abendmahl und Vorschlag zu einer christlichen Jesus Gesellschaft; and Wiederholte treuherzige und ernehafte Vermahnung, die Bekehrung ungläubiger Volker vorzunehmen, etc. (all 1864).

These three works were written to prove the necessity of missions, to dispose of the objections of opponents, and to give practical suggestions for the realization of his ideas. He presented the first and second of these works to the Corpus Evangelicorum at Regensburg, but failed to secure any results. He wrote his third work and went to Holland. At Zwolle he had himself solemnly ordained apostle to the heathens by the Lutheran preacher, Brecking, and went out into the field, where he found a loney grave. His ideas found no appreciative reception until Spener took them up. (G. WARNECK!)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: W. Grössel, Der Missionsweckruf des Barms. J. von Weltz in . . . Wiedergabe des Originaldructs von Jahre 1684, Leipsic, 1890; idem, Justinian von Weltz, der Vorkämpfer der lutherischen Mission, ib. 1891; G. Wunneck, Abriss einer Geschichte der protestantischen Missionen, pp. 30 sqq., 41 sqq., 8th ed., Berlin, 1905.

WENCESLAUS, ven'ces-laus, SAINT: Sponsor for Christianity among the Czechs; d. Sept. 28, 935 (or 929). The Czechs compose the branch of the Slavic family which penetrated farthest west. At the beginning of the Middle Ages, however, they were separated from central Germany by a more advanced Slavic line of Wends. The Bohemians came in contact with Christian territory only in the south, at the Bavarian frontier. This circumstance accounts for the fact that the Czechs came relatively late into contact with Christianity. According to the oldest narrative, fourteen Bohemian lords received baptism on Jan. 13, 845, from Louis the Fat. But the Frankish power was shattered in the East in the second half of the ninth century and the Czechs entered into relation with their eastern neighbors. The religious consequence was that Methodius (see Cyril and Methodius) or his pupils extended their activity into Bohemia. In 895 Spitignew I. once more recognized the suzerainty of Germany, and Bohemia became part of the bish-opric of Regensburg. But the people remained heathen, while the nobles were divided between heathenism and Christianity. Upon the death of Spitignew and Wratislaw, Dragomir, wife of Wratislaw, assumed control of the government and opposed German Christianizing influence. The power was wrested from her and given to her eldest son Wenceslaus. The legends portray him as a devout Christian, who invited priests into the country, built churches, and cared for all ecclesiastical concerns. But he was not able to convert the Czechs or to suppress the opposition which was headed by his younger and abler brother Boleslaw, by whom he was murdered (Sept. 28, 935?) at the entrance to the church at Bunzlau, whither he had gone as his brother's guest. Boleslaw was chosen count and the Czechs lapsed from Christianity, nothing resulting from an interference by Henry I. In 950 Boleslaw again recognized the suzerainty of the empire, and later Wenzel's body was transferred to the Veitskirche which he had built in Prague.

Since then his fame increased not only because of his services but because of his misfortunes, so that among the Czechs he is to-day, next to St. Nepomuk (see JOHN OF NEPOMUK), the best beloved of the saints. (A. HAUCK.)

Britography: Sources in the shape of the early Vita by Bishop Gumpoldus Mantuanus (967), Passio, and other Vita are collected in MGH, Script., iv (1841), 211-223, xv. 1 (1887), 572; MPL, cxxxv. 923-42; in Fontes rerum Bohemicarum, ed. F. J. Zoubek, i. 125-190, Prague, 1873; and with commentary in ASB, Sept., vii. 770-844. Further sources are: F. Miklosich and I. Fiedler. Stavische Bibliothek, ii. 270-281, Vienna, 1857; Abhandlungen der philosophisch-historischen Gessellschaft in Breslau, i (1858), 203-240. Consult: Dobrowsky, Wenzel und Bibliothek, Prague, 1819; F. Palacky, Geschichte von Böhmen, i. 195-210, Prague, 1836; E. H. Gillett, Life and Time of John Huss, passim (consult Index under "Wenzel"), Philadelphia, 1861; A. Frind, Kirchengeschichte Böhmens, i. 16-19, 40-41, Prague, 1862; H. Friedjung, Raise Karl IV. und sein Antheil am geistigen Leben seiner Zeil, pp. 150-161, Vienna, 1876; W. Vondrák, Zur Würdiung der allslovenischen Wenzelslegende, ib. 1892; J. Lippert, Sozialgeschichte Böhmens in vorhussitischer Zeit, 2 vols., Prague, 1896-98; H. G. Voigt, Adalbert von Prague, pp. 8 sqq., Berlin, 1898; idem, Die von . . . Christian serfusde Biographie des heiligen Wenzel, Prague, 1907; A. Bachmann, Geschichte Böhmens, i. 121 sqq., Gotha, 1899; H. B. Workman, Dawn of the Reformation, vol. ii. pssim (consult Index under "Wenzel"), London, 1902; J. Pekár, Die Wenzels und Ludmilla-Legenden, Prague, 1905; Hauck, KD, iii. 184 sqq.; Bretholz, in NA, xxix.

WENDELIN, ven'de-lin, MARKUS FRIED-RICH: German Reformed theologian; b. at Sandhausen near Heidelberg in 1584; d. at Zerbst (66 m. s.w. of Berlin) Aug. 7, 1652. He received his education at Heidelberg, following Ramus in his Discophy and Pareus in his theology; in 1609 he erved as private tutor in Geneva, and, after being Dessau in 1610, was called in 1612 as rector of the dymnasium illustre at Zerbst, a position which he Mtained till his death. The period of the Thirty lears' War was not one in which such an instiation could be expected to flourish, but it was mainained at a high level by the new rector, who proneed a number of works pedagogical in character. Theological in content were his De prædestinatione Frankfort, 1621); Christianæ theologiæ libri ii (Hanver, 1634), later translated into Dutch and Hunmian; Compendium Christianæ theologiæ (1634); Systema majus (1656), a kind of model Reformed ogmatics which called forth replies from Christoph Pranck and Johann Gerhard; though this was preeded by his Exercitationes theologicae vindices (1652). There was also a posthumous Collatio doctrinæ Christianæ Reformatorum et Lutheranorum (1660). Vendelin's significance rests in the fact that he was the first to set forth in systematic form on German wil the Reformed system of teaching, on the basis of Scripture and in an objective-synthetic method. This involved the setting forth of a communio apodematum as opposed to the Communicatio idio-natum (q.v.). (E. F. KARL MÜLLER.) BRIGGRAPHY: J. C. Beckmann, Hist. des Fürstenthums Anhalt, vii. 366 sqq., Wittenberg, 1710; F. Kindscher, Geschichte des . . . Gesamtgymnasiums zu Zerbst, 2 parts, Zerbst, 1871; W. Gass, Geschichte der protestantischen

WENDS, CONVERSION OF THE: The history Christian missionary work among the Wends is nely bound up with German political history, and

Dogmatik, i. 416 sqq., Berlin, 1854.

has to do with the period from the close of the eighth to the beginning of the thirteenth century. power of resistance of these tribes to the influence of the Germans is an essential element in the history. The southern Wends on the Thuringian borders of the German empire offered but little resistance to the advance of the Germans, but the northern Wends of Brandenburg and Mecklenburg carried on their struggle for liberty for centuries until the surviving remnants were subdued. The progress of Christian missions in the south and north was correspondingly different; in the one case there was a gradual but steady advance, in the other notable achievements followed by complete reverses, until the survivors were compelled to submit to Christianization. Three regions in which the movement was carried out show striking diversities in the course of events: Mecklenburg-Brandenburg, the Sorb district, and Pomerania-Poland. The history in the first is by far the most dramatic.

Charlemagne did not concern himself with the conversion of the Wends. But under Ansgar (q.v.), Wendish children were redeemed from slave-dealers in order to educate them as missionaries to their people. Despite the baptism of an Obotrite prince. Sclaomir (821), no further results were obtained, and the Wends withdrew from their alliance with the empire. Under Otto I. an attempt was made to advance from Hamburg on the west and Magdeburg on the east, under which had been placed the bishoprics of Havelberg and Brandenburg, founded in 938. For the western Wends a separate bishopric was founded at Aldenburg (Oldenburg in Holstein) in 968. Conditions were more favorable in Oldenburg, the land of the Obotrites, because the district was under a unified government. Havelberg and Brandenburg had to do with the fierce Leutizi. defeat of Otto II. at Crotone undid all the work. The weakness of the empire being shown, the Wends in 983 destroyed all traces of Christianity from Brandenburg to Oldenburg, and the three bishoprics were practically destroyed. Matters did not improve under the first Saxon king, Heinrich II. The series of bishops in Oldenburg remained nominally unbroken, and the Obotrite princes Uto and Ratibor consented to be baptized, but the people were unaffected. A hermit named Gunther tried in 1017 to work among the Leutizi, but soon returned to Bohemia.

This unproductive period was followed by the remarkableepisodein which Gottschalk (q.v., 2) figured. He was the son of Uto, and undertook the systematic Christianization of the people, with the help of the Saxon counts and especially of Archbishop Adalbert of Hamburg-Bremen (q.v.). His success was only superficial. Upon the archbishop's losing the imperial favor and entering into the dissensions of the Saxons, the Wends arose, caused a massacre of Christians at Lenz in which Gottschalk fell (1066), and destroyed all traces of Christianity. Of the three bishoprics, Oldenburg, Mecklenburg, and Ratzeburg, the names alone remained. A contributing cause of this calamity was largely the prince's own tactlessness in supporting missionaries who were foreigners and refused to learn the language of the people, so that the prince himself had to be their

interpreter. One result of the uprising was that the peaceful conversion of the Wends ceased to be thought of; annihilation was now the word. conditions were not bettered when, in 1067, Bishop Burchard of Halberstadt destroyed the chief sanctuary of the Leutizi and rode the sacred steed of Radigast into Halberstadt. Missionary activity was resumed when Kruto, the successor of Gottschalk, was slain by Heinrich, son of Gottschalk, who with Saxon assistance seized the rule. Heinrich proceded more cautiously than his father, though he was a Christian and had a church at Altlübeck, the only one in Mecklenburg. Constant wars with external foes prevented him from carrying out his plans. His assassination in 1127 caused missionary work again to cease. Under the powerful Niklot, the Mecklenburg country again relapsed into heath-The Wends found piracy, which they learned from the Danes, a more attractive occupation than agriculture or cattle-raising. This again showed that what was required for the safety of the kingdom was either thoroughgoing conversion of the Wends or their annihilation. This was the watchword in the Saxon crusade of 1147. Count Adolf of Holstein-Schauenburg and Heinrich of Badewide succeeded in tearing Wagrien and Polabien (East Holstein and Lauenburg) from the Wends, and the former was completely devastated and cleared of its Wendish population. German settlers took their place, to whose spiritual welfare the aged Vicelin devoted his last days.

When Bernard of Clairvaux was preaching a crusade to the Holy Land, the Saxons replied that they had heathens enough at home. Bernard thereupon began to preach with enthusiasm the crusade against the Wends. Niklot had been living in peace with the German princes. Adolf of Holstein being reminded of the alliance between him and Niklot, excused himself, whereupon Niklot attacked and captured Lübeck. The campaign thus inauspiciously begun by the crusaders ended in disaster. The German nobles were finally content to make a sorry peace with Niklot, upon his agreeing to let his people be baptized if they wished. Henry the Lion saw more profit to himself in the Wends as heathens, for so he received the tribute that would have gone to the Church. Upon his receiving the right of investiture for Wendland, he changed his policy, and appointed the Provost Evermod to Ratzeburg, Gerold to Oldenburg, Berno to Mecklenburg (1155). Berno became the Boniface of the Mecklenburg Wend country. He had, indeed, little success before Niklot's heroic death in 1160. Niklot's son Pribislaw was baptized and the Christianizing of the country proceeded rapidly. This was, however, due to the practical extinction of the original Wendish population. German colonists had taken their place. The Mecklenburgian Wends had defied conversion for four hundred years and had gone down without having as a people embraced Christianity.

The Sorbs on the southern borders of the German empire had quite a different history. As early as 782 a war of the Sorbs is referred to as an "uprising," showing their prior subjection to the empire. They lived together with Germans in the valleys of

Thuringia and were regarded as Christia time of Charlemagne. Advances across were begun by Count Otto of Saxony and cally continued by his son Heinrich I. 7 minzians, the eastern neighbors of the So subdued in 928. Emperor Otto I. under first missionary work among these souther Meissen, Zeitz, and Merseburg were made bishoprics of Magdeburg on Wendish s first bishops, Burkhard, Hugo, and Boso, secrated by Archbishop Adalbert in 968 southern Wends clung tenaciously to their language and religion, but the progress tianity was favored by the immigration of At the end of the century, there were a n churches, the oldest being at Zeitz and Be the twelfth century the episcopal cities ha German and had churches, so also had a n the fortified towns, but the mass of the p clung to heathenism although their sanctu public idol worship had been done away w gradual diminution of the Wendish populs the increasing immigration of German brought about the assimilation of the r Wends, which was completed in some par country only at the close of the fourteenth

In Poland, Count Miseco accepted Ch in the tenth century. A Polish bisho founded in 968 (Posen, under Magdeburg), the Polish population for a long time more heathen than Christian. Otto II lished the archbishopric of Gnesen, while Chrabry, the conqueror of the Pomera tablished the bishopric of Kolberg, with a bishop, Reinbern. After his death Pome lapsed, for a time was under Danish rule, the middle of the eleventh century became pendent heathen kingdom. In 1119 it : into the hands of the Poles. Even at t Prince Wratislaw, his wife, and some of tl were Christians, as were a part of the popu the Pomeranian cities. In 1120 heathe disintegrating, which explains its sudden o when Boleslaw III. conquered the Pon and made the acceptance of Christianity o conditions of peace.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Sources are: Thietmar of Chronicon, ed. J. M. Lappenberg in MGH, (1839), 733-871, and in Script. rer. Germ., Hanalso in MPL, exxxix. 1183-1422; Adam o Gesta Hammaburgensis ecclesiæ pontificum, ed. penberg in MGH, Script., vii (1846), 267-38. Script. rer. Germ., 2d ed., Hanover, 1876; Chronica Slavorum, in MGH, Script., xxi (1869) Germ. transl. by J. C. Laurent, 2d ed. by W. W. Leipsic, 1888; Arnoldus Lubecensis, Chronica in MGH, Script., xxi (1869), 115-250, and ed. penberg, in Script. rer. Germ., Hanover, 1868; Mecklenburgische Annalen bis . . 1066, Schw Mecklenburgisches Urkundenbuch, vol. i., Schw Consult: L. Giesebrecht, Wendische Geschicht Berlin, 1843; Wendisches Volksthum in Saund Sitte, Berlin, 1882; L. Nottrott, Aus der sion, Halle, 1897-98; Hauck, KD, iii. 69-14 iv. 554-625; E. Kreusch, Kirchengeschichte d lande, Paderborn, 1902; and the articles Ans BCHALK, 2; Otto of Bamberg; and Vickli literature under them.

WENDT, vent, HANS HINRICH: Protestant; b. at Hamburg June 18, 1853 educated at the universities of Tübingen (Ph.D., 1875) and Göttingen (lic. theol., 1877); became privat-docent of New-Testament exegesis at the latter university (1877), and associate professor (1881); was professor at Kiel (1883-85); professor of systematic theology at Heidelberg (1887-93); and since 1893 has been professor of the same subject at Jena. Besides preparing the fifth to the eighth editions of H. A. W. Meyer's commentary on Acts (Göttingen, 1880-99), he has written Die Begriffe Fleisch und Geist im biblischen Sprachgebrauch (Gotha, 1878); Die christliche Lehre von der menschlichen Vollkommenheit (Göttingen, 1882); Die Lehre Jesu (2 vols., 1886-1890; Eng. transl. by F. Wilson, 1892); Die Norm des echten Christentums (Leipsic, 1893); Der Erfahrungsbeweis für die Wahrheit des Christentums (Göttingen, 1897); Das Johannesevangelium (1900; Eng. transl. by E. Lummis, Edinburgh, 1902); The Idea and Reality of Revelation (London, 1904); System der christlichen Lehre (Göttingen, 1906); and Die Schichten im vierten Evangelium (1911). BRILOGRAPHY: C. A. Briggs, Study of Holy Scripture, pp. 498, 589, New York, 1899; H. S. Nash, Hist. of the Higher Criticism of the N. T., ib., 1900.

WENRICH OF TREVES: Schoolman and controversialist of the eleventh century. He is celebrated for his part in the struggle between Gregory VII. and Henry IV., in which, at the instigation and under the name of Bishop Dietrich of Verdun, he wrote a brief but pungent tract, published probably in 1081, in the shape of an open letter to the pope, whose policies he criticized with clever acuteness (found in MGH, Lib. de lile, i., 1890, pp. 284-299; with introduction, pp. 280-284). The tract made no ordinary stir, and moved Manegold of Lauterbach (q.v.) to issue his less able countertract, Liber ad Gebehardum (in MGH, Lib. de lite, i. 308-430, 1890). Wenrich contested the justice, force, and validity of the ban of 1080 against the German king, and asserted that such unwarranted ecxommunication segregates not the banned member, but his unjust judge. He also vigorously protested against the celibacy laws of Gregory VII. and warmly advocated royal investiture. He also charged Gregory VII. with complicity in setting up the rival kingdom in Germany, asserting that Gregory did violence to the papal dignity and used force in attaining his enda CARL MIRBT.

Ends.

CARL MIRET.

BRILLOGRAPHY: Besides the introduction in MGH, Lib. de
lik, i (1890), 280-284, consult: C. Mirbt, Die Publisistik
im Zeitalter Gregors VII., passim, Leipsic, 1894; idem,
Die Wahl Gregors VII., Marburg, 1782; G. Meyer von
Rnown zu, Jahrbücher des deutschen Reichs unter Heinrich
IV. Land V., iii. 408-415, Leipsic, 1900; G. Koch, Manesold Don Lauterbach und die Lehre von der Volkssouveränilit., Berlin, 1902; and the literature under Gregory VII.

WERDENHAGEN, vār'den-ha"-gen, JOHANN ANGELIUS VON: German layman and mystic; b. at Helmstedt (102 m. s.w. of Berlin) Aug. 1, 1581; d. at Ratzeburg (31 m. n.e. of Hamburg) Dec. 26, 1652. He studied under the humanists of the university of his native town, to whom he was one of the first to apply the term Rationistae because of their undue valuation and use of the reasoning faculty. In 1618, moreover, he defended Daniel Hoffmann, whom the humanists expelled from Helmstedt on account of his attacks on philosophy.

On the other hand, he assailed the Lutheran theologians, whether of the moderate school of Helmstedt, or of the stricter Lutheran school of electoral Saxony. He was a private lecturer at Helmstedt (1601-06); then, except for a brief interval as associate rector at Salzwedel, served as traveling companion to people of rank (1606-10), visiting various universities; was employed as diplomatic agent by the court of Brunswick (1612-16); became professor of ethics at Helmstedt (1616), but soon had to surrender this office because of participation in the controversies over Daniel Hoffmann. Thereafter, when he was a syndic of the city of Magdeburg, he fell into strife with the resident Lutheran canons, and lost his position in 1626. From that time till 1628, he was employed on various missions in behalf of the administrator. After sojourning several years at Leyden and The Hague, where he completed and published his chief writings, he entered in 1632 the service of Archbishop Johann Friedrich of Bremen, and later, that of the city of Magdeburg and of Duke August of Brunswick and Lüneburg. He spent his closing years, 1637-52, at Lübeck, acting as envoy for Emperor Ferdinand III. to the Hanseatic towns.

All his writings, even those which deal mainly with historical and philosophical problems, dwell upon the moral conditions of his times. He insists on the incompatibility of the Thirty Years' War with the precepts of Christ, and demands a better system of education. In Leyden he wrote under the pseudonym Angelus Marianus a brief tract: "Open Gateway of the Heart to the True Kingdom of Christ" (1632), which arraigns the Lutheran clergy for the injury done to the Church through their scholastic and polemical theology. In 1648 he wrote against the Jesuits and in favor of peace, and declared that Emperor Ferdinand III. trusted him more than he trusted them. CARL MIRBT.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: J. Möller, Introductio ad hist. ducatuum Slesvicensis et Holsatici, ii. 510 sqq., Leipsic, 1699; idem, Cimbria litterata, ii. 968-970, Copenhagen, 1744; G. Arnold, Kirchen- und Ketzerhistorie, iii. 88 sqq., iv. 468 sqq., 647 sqq., Frankfort, 1700; E. L. T. Henke, Georg Calixtus und seine Zeit, i. 247 sqq., Halle, 1853; E. Schlee, Der Streit des Daniel Hoffmann über das Verhältnis der Philosophie zur Theologie, pp. 46 sqq., Marburg, 1862; ADB, xli. 759 sqq.

WERENFELS, vār'en-fels, PETER: Swiss pastor; b. at Liestal (4 m. s.w. of Basel) May 20, 1627; d. at Basel May 23, 1703. He studied at Basel, became court preacher to Count Friedrich Kasimir of Ortenburg, near Passau, and for half a year conducted the Reformed church service at Strasburg. From 1655 till his death he labored at Basel, being pastor at the cathedral, and antistes and archdean of the Basel church. A theological professorship was connected with the office of antistes, and a result of this activity was a volume of Disputationes theologica. But his repute does not rest upon his achievements as a scholar or teacher. Two volumes of sermons, David's Pest-Artzney and Dominicalia, and numerous single addresses exhibit his homiletic ability. Werenfels was severely blamed for his attitude in the fight of a portion of the citizens of Basel against the oligarchy and its abuses. He had at first expressed sympathy with them, but changed his views when he saw that the movement was becoming revolutionary. When the government executed three of the ringleaders he preached a sermon admonishing his hearers not to become involved in affairs not their own. He was a protector of Huguenot refugees and of Waldenses. (EBERHARD VISCHER.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The one satisfactory account of Werenfels's

life is by A. von Salis, in the Beiträge sur vatertandischen Geschichte, published by the Historical and Antiquarian Society of Basel, new series, v. 1 sqq., Basel, 1901.

WERENFELS, SAMUEL: Swiss theologian: b. at Basel Mar. 1, 1657; d. there June 1, 1740. After finishing his theological and philosophical studies at Basel, he visited the universities at Zurich, Bern, Lausanne, and Geneva. On his return he held, for a short time, the professorship of logic, and in 1685 became professor of Greek at Basel. The next year he undertook an extensive journey through Germany, Belgium, and Holland, one of his companions being Gilbert Burnet (q.v.). In 1687 he was appointed professor of rhetoric, and in 1696 became a member of the theological faculty, occupying successively according to the Basel custom the chairs of dogmatics and polemics, Old Testament, and New Testament. He was thus in a manner compelled to manifest a many-sided activity.

In his De logomachiis eruditorum (Amsterdam, 1688) Werenfels shows how often controversies that divide even Christians are at bottom mere verbal disputes arising from moral deficiencies, especially from pride. He proposed to do away with such disputes by making a universal lexicon of all terms and concepts. In the Oratio de vero et falso theologorum zelo he admonishes those who fight professedly for purity of doctrine but in reality for their own system to show their zeal where the fruits of faith are wanting and Christian love has grown cold. He considers it the duty of the polemist not to combat antiquated heresies and to warm up dead issues, but to overthrow the prevalent enemies of true Christian living. His epigram on the misuse of the Bible is well known: "This is the book in which each both seeks and finds his own dogmas." He had a high conception of his duties as a theological professor, as shown in his address, De scopo doctoris in academia sacras litteras docentis. He believed that it was more important to care for the piety of candidates for the ministry than for their scholarship. It was his belief that a professor of practical theology is as necessary as a professor of practical medicine. He represented a theology that put doctrinal quibbles in the background and laid emphasis upon the pure doctrine which demands a Christian life of purity and love. He stood for the necessity of a special revelation of God, and defended the Biblical miracles as confirmations of the words of the divine evangelists. In his Cogitationes generales de ratione uniendi ecclesias protestantes, quæ vulgo Lutheranarum et Reformatorum nominibus distingui solent, he sought a way of reconciling the two branches of the Protestant Church.

Werenfels's writings went through many editions, as did the sermons he preached in French, which were received with great applause, and were translated into German and Dutch. During the last twenty years of his life he lived in retirement in

order to devote his whole time to the care of his soul's welfare, though his solicitude for students did not cease.

It is all the more surprising, on this account, that he thought proper to issue from his retirement and take part in the proceedings against Johann Jakob Wetstein (q.v.) for heresy, especially as he had himself in 1720 expressed the opinion that fallible man ought not to decide upon the regularity of another's faith. He expressed regret afterward at having become involved in the affair.

His Sylloge dissertationum theologicarum appeared first Basel, 1609; a further collection of his works is Opuscula theologica, philologica, et philosophia (Basel, 1718, new ed., 3 vols., 1782).

(EBERHARD VISCHER.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Letters by Werenfels are in E. de Bud,
Lettres inédites . . à J. A. Turrettini, vol. iii., Paris sal
Geneva, 1887, and in Museum Helveticum, part viii., Sarich, 1748. Consult: K. R. Hagenbach, Die theologisch
Schule Basels und ihre Lehrer, Basel, 1860; A. Schwiss,
Die protestantischen Centraldogmen, ii. 776 sqq. Zurich,
1856; L. Junot, in Le chrétien évangélique, xi (1868), 74

WERKMEISTER, värk'mai''ster, BENEDIKT MARIA VON (LEONHARD): German Roman Catholic reformer; b. at Füssen (57 m. s.w. of Munich) Oct. 22, 1745; d. at Steinbach (near Stuttgart) July 16, 1823. After preliminary education, by 1764 he had decided to become a monk, and that year entered upon his novitiate; but becoming interested in secular literature, especially in the works of Frederick the Great and Pope's Essay on Man, doubts entered his mind. Nevertheless, his first inclination triumphed and in 1765 he entered the order, assuming the name of the prelate Benedikt Maria. He continued his studies in theology and canon law at Neresheim and Benediktbeuren; was ordained priest in 1769; became master of novices and instructor of philosophy at Neresheim in 1770; held a similar position at the episcopal lyceum of Freising, 1772-74; and then returned to Neresheim as secretary to the abbot, keeper of the archives, librarian, and master of novices. Two works belong to this period in which the reforming tendencies of Werkmeister find expression: Unmassgeblicher Vorschlag zur Reformation des niederen katholischen Klerus nebst Materialien zur Reformation des höheren ("Munich," 1782); and Ueber die christliche Toleranz ("Frankfort and Leipsic," 1784). Both works appeared anonymously through the mediation of Protestants.

In 1784 Werkmeister became court chaplain to Karl Eugen, count of Württemberg. The count was filled with enthusiasm for reform and his wishes coincided with those of his chaplain. Soon after Werkmeister's assumption of his office he issued a modified liturgy, Gesangbuch nebst angehängtem öffentlichem Gebete zum Gebrauch der herzoglich württemburgischen Hofkapelle (1784), the hymns in which were borrowed from Protestant sources. This passed through several editions. The Latin vesper service was next altered to resemble the Protestant afternoon service. Werkmeister introduced the use of German in prayers, readings from the New Testament, and sermons. Gradually he worked into use the German mass and communion service. Only

missæ was said in Latin. Werkmeister's vere generally approved, but they were an attack in the Mainz Monatschrift von Sachen (1786, pp. 699 sqq.). Werkplied anonymously with Ueber die deuts- und Abendmahlsanstalten in der kathoofkapelle zu Stuttgart (1787). Further were answered in the Beiträge zur Verder katholischen Liturgie in Deutschland 39). The influence of the spirit of the ment (q.v.) on Werkmeister is further a collection of sermons, Predigten in den 84-91 (3 vols., 1812-15). His interest in s appears in Ueber den neuen katholischen us bei Gelegenheit einer Mainzischen Preisrankfort, 1789); while his fundamental iews appeared in Thomas Freykirch, oder Untersuchungen über die Unfehlbarkeit der n Kirche (1792), in which he denied the of that church. His reforms seemed be widely accepted. But the successor Count Ludwig Eugen, who had disap-Werkmeister's activity in his brother's urriage, did away with the liturgical reretired Werkmeister on a meager pension. Werkmeister had become secularized; ss, Abbot Michael Dobler gave him Neresheim. But in 1795 he was recalled Friedrich Eugen, Karl's second brother. as were restored, except the German mass. es of the court chapel became public in Werkmeister obtained the parish of In 1807, he was appointed member of h council; in 1816, chief councilor for nd in 1817, leading ecclesiastical councilor. (R. GÜNTHER).

HY: I. von Longner, Beiträge zur Geschichte der schen Kirchenprovinz, pp. 291 sqq., Tübingen, Brück, Die rationalisätischen Bestrebungen im en Deutschland, pp. 21 sqq., Mainz, 1865; J. B. ; Die kirchlichen Aufklärung am Hofe des Her-Eugen von Württemberg, Freiburg, 1906; KL, 32.

R, ver'ner, JOHANNES: German Prot-. at Ohrdruf (9 m. s. of Gotha) Sept. 30, was educated at the universities of Hei-Berlin, Jena (Ph.D., 1887), and Marburg , 1889); became privat-docent for church d systematic theology at Marburg (1889), sor of church history in 1894. Since 1900 ided in Leipsic as a private scholar. In e is "liberal." Besides being a collabora-Theologische Rundschau since 1898 and on gischer Jahresbericht since 1901, he has egels Offenbarungsbegriff (Leipsic, 1887); nismus des Irenœus (1889); Dogmenge-: Tabellen (Gotha, 1893; 3d ed., 1903); edition of K. von Hase's Hutterus Redisic, 1907). Since 1908 he has been one of s of Die Religion in Geschichte und Gegen-

R, KARL: Roman Catholic; b. at Hafower Austria, Mar. 8, 1821; d. at Vienna 88. He was a student at Melk, Krems, , and at the priests' institute in Vienna, then he gained his doctorate from Vienna

University. He was professor of moral theology in the Episcopal Seminary at St. Pölten, 1847-70, and of New-Testament theology in the University of Vienna, 1871-81; and was ministerial and consistorial councilor at Vienna, 1880-88. His works embrace System der christlichen Ethik (3 vols., Regensburg, 1852); Grundlinien der Philosophie (1855); Der heilige Thomas von Aquino (3 vols., 1858-59); Franz Suarez und die Scholastik der letzten Jahrhunderte (2 vols., 1861); Geschichte der apologetischen und polemischen Literatur der christlichen Theologie (5 vols., Schaffhausen, 1861-67); Gerbert von Aurillac (Vienna, 1878); Giambattista Vico als Philosoph und gelehrter Forscher (1879); Beda der Ehrwürdige (new ed., 1881); Die Scholastik des späteren Mittelalters (7 vols., 1881-87); Die italienische Philosophie des XIX. Jahrhunderts (5 vols., 1884-86).

Bibliogeaphy: J. Kopallik, in Wiener Diocesanblatt, 1897, pp. 145 sqq.; KL, xii. 1332-34.

WERNLE, vārn'le, PAUL: Swiss Protestant; b. in Zurich May 1, 1872. He was educated at the universities of Göttingen, Berlin, and Basel (lic. theol., 1896); became privat-docent for exegesis at Basel (1896), associate professor (1901), and professor of modern church history (1905). He is an advocate of "free theological science and Christocentric religion," and has written Der Christ und die Sünde bei Paulus (Tübingen, 1897); Paulus als Heidenmissionar (1899); Die synoptische Frage (1899); Die Anfänge unserer Religion (1901; Eng. transl. by G. A. Bienemann, The Beginnings of Christianity, 2 vols., London, 1903-04); Reichsgotteshoffnung in der ältesten christlichen Dokumenten und bei Jesus (1903); Was haben wir heute an Paulus? (Basel, 1903); Die Renaissance des Christentums im sechzehnten Jahrhundert (Tübingen, 1904); Einführung in das theologische Studium (1908, 2d. ed., 1911), Johann Hinrich Wichern (Basel, 1908); and Renaissance und Reformation (1911).

WERNSDORF, varns'dorf, ERNST FRIEDRICH: German theologian, second son of Gottlieb Wernsdorf (q.v.); b. at Wittenberg Dec. 18, 1718; d. there May 7, 1782. He studied at the University of Leipsic (M.A., 1742; D.D., 1756); was appointed professor of Christian archeology there (1752); and in 1756 he went to Wittenberg as professor of theology. His writings dealt with matters of Biblical, antiquarian, and Reformation history. His name has come into new prominence as once the owner of a manuscript of Luther's Tischreden, the document mentioned so early as 1769 by J. T. Lingke. It was doubtless through Wernsdorf's widow, who long survived her husband, that this manuscript came into the possession of Politz, with whose collection of books it subsequently found its way to the city library of Leipsic. GEORG MÜLLER.

Bibliography: J. G. Meusel, Lexicon der . . . teutschen Schriftsteller xv. 35-37, Leipsic, 1816; M. Hoffmann, Pfortner Stammbuch 1545-1893, p. 222, Berlin, 1893; E. Kroker, Luthers Tischreden in der Mathesischen Sammlung, pp. 17 sqq., Leipsic, 1903; ADB, xlii. 96-98.

WERNSDORF, GOTTLIEB: German theologian; b. at Schönewalde (48 m. s. of Berlin) Feb. 25, 1668; d. at Wittenberg July 1, 1729. He studied

at Wittenberg (M.A., 1689; D.D., 1700); lectured with success on logic, ethics, and history in the philosophical faculty of that university; was transferred, in 1698, as professor extraordinary in the theological faculty, his thesis treating De auctoritate librorum symbolicorum; became regular professor in 1706; in 1710 was appointed provost at the residential church, and, shortly thereafter, general superintendent at Wittenberg. He became, notably in his later years, universally revered among his theological pupils, being affectionately known as "Father Wernsdorf." While his lectures were not always distinguished by depth, they were marked by clearness, excellence of form, and especially by great earnestness in the admonitory portions.

His Disputationes academica were published by Christian Heinrich Zeibich (2 vols., 1736). Special mention may be made of his De primordiis emendata per Lutherum religionis (new ed., 1735), and of his most extensive production, Gründliche Reformationshistorie (Wittenberg, 1717), which comes down to

the Diet of Augsburg, 1530.

Consistently with his theological position, he belonged to the advocates of the more lenient orthodoxy. His anti-Calvinistic arguments appear in the Demonstratio quod juxta Calvini doctrinam Reformati nec sint nec jure haberi possint socii Augustanæ Confessionis. He took part in the contemporary controversies with Pietists and Mystics, as with the leading philosophers of the time. If, on the one hand, he opposed the one-sided emphasis of emotion in religion, on the other hand he strongly emphasized the element of inspiration, which he held to be mediately operative even in the symbolical books of Lutheranism.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: C. Coler, De Wernsdorfii in rem sacram et literariam meritis, Leipsic, 1719; J. A. Gleich, Annales ecclesiastici, i. 369, ii. passim, Dreeden, 1730; A. Tholuck, Der Geist der lutherischen Theologen Wittenbergs, pp. 259 sqq., Hamburg, 1852; ADB, xlii. 96-98.

WERNZ, FRANZ XAVER: General of the Jesuit order; b. at Rottweil (30 m. s.w. of Tübingen) Dec. 4, 1842. On the completion of his education he became, in 1862, a teacher at the school of Stella Matutina in Feldkirch-im-Breisgau, whence he was later transferred to the seminary at Ditton Hall, Lancashire, as instructor in canon law. In 1883 he was appointed to the faculty of the Collegium Romanum, Rome, of which he was made rector in 1894, being at the same time a professor at the Gregorian University. He was chosen general of the Society of Jesus Apr. 18, 1906. He has written Jus decretalium ad usum prælectionum (4 vols., Rome, 1898–1904; 2d ed., 1905 sqq.).

WERTHEIM BIBLE. See BIBLES, ANNOTATED, AND BIBLE SUMMARIES, I., § 4.

WESEL, vé'zel, JOHN OF: Reformer before the Reformation; b. at Ober-Wesel (26 m. w.n.w. of Mainz) in the early part of the fifteenth century; d. at Mainz after 1479. His family name is variously written Ruchrath or Richrath [Ruchard, Ruchrad, Ruchrath], and the family itself was native to the immediate region where John was born. He first appears in history as matriculating at the University of Erfurt (1441-42), where he took the bachelor's degree in 1442, the master's in 1445,

became licentiate in 1456 and the same year doctor of theology. He was rector of the university in 1456-57, and at the end of 1457 was vice-rector for a time. In his work on the councils Luther declars that John ruled the university with his books, and these Luther himself used in preparing for his master's degree. Bartholomæus Arnoldi of Usingen reports in a work first printed in 1499 that John's reputation still lived at Erfurt; he apologises also for differing in opinion from John, whose statements, he declares, do not always square with the truth, professes to give an example of this from John's commentary on the Aristotelian physics, and adds a cryptic remark to the effect that everything is not to be told to the public at large, though they may be clear to the learned. This can not be pressed so far as to mean that Arnoldi charged John with teachings contrary to those of the Church. Indeed, Johann von Lutter, many years a colleague of Weel at Erfurt, reports that Wesel often said from his chair that he would maintain nothing which was dissonant from the teaching of the Roman Church or the doctrines of its approved doctors (N. Paulus, Der Augustiner Bartholomäus Arnoldi von Usingen, pp. 8 sqq., Strasburg, 1893). Yet Wesel may have given utterance to somewhat bold expressions regarding the early Fathers of the Church. Toward the end of 1460 Wesel was canon at Worms; and early in 1461 he became professor at Basel, though only after protracted negotiations. Here, too, his stay was brief, for in 1463 he was preacher at the cathedral at Worms. But his sermons caused offense, now by pedantic and confusing speculation, now by bold attacks upon the Church, its sacraments, teachings, and tendencies. Bishop Reinhard was compelled to depose him, after warning him at Heidelberg in the presence of the theologians. Yet Diether von Isenberg, archbishop of Mainz, called him as pastor to the cathedral. Here, too, be aroused suspicions by relations with a Bohemian adventurer who had been accustomed to meet him at Worms and had followed him to Mainz, to whom he gave a little treatise for his companions in Bo-This came by a circuitous route into hemia. the hands of the archbishop, and, after it had been submitted to the professors of the university, brought punishment upon the Hussite and upon Wesel. The latter was put upon his defense before a board of theologians from Cologne and Heidelberg; he was then an old man of eighty, but it was reported that his answers before the inquisitors were indifferent, confused, suspicious, and evasive. On Sunday, Feb. 21, 1479, he recanted in the cathedral his writings were burned, and he was himself condemned to lifelong repentance in the August tinian monastery at Mainz, where soon afterward he died.

During the trial Wesel designated as his own four tracts: (1) Super modo obligationis legum humanarum ad quendam Nicolaum de Bohemia; (2) De potestate ecclesiastica; (3) De indulgentiis; and (4) De jejuniis. Of these only one can now be positively identified; the Disputatio adversus indulgentias is extant in a manuscript, in the royal library at Berlin, bearing the date 1478, and has been printed both by C. W. F. Walch in Monumenta medii ævi, i. 1, pp. 111-156

n, 1757) and by H. von der Hardt about ears earlier in Septem coronamenta supra lumnas academiæ regiæ Georgiæ Augustæ, ingar est, pp. 13-23. The central part is in the disputation-theses (chaps. 3-10), ong probably to the year 1475. The secment acknowledged by Wesel has been the Opusculum de auctoritate, officio et postorum ecclesiasticorum, which was pubhout place or date (possibly Zwolle, 1522). s in style fundamentally different from the ndulgences, professes to be by a layman, it be by Wesel. From the period of Wesel's it Erfurt there has come down in manustiones de libris physicorum Aristotelis, the t being at Erfurt, and a commentary on tences" of Peter Lombard, this being at rom his period at Basel there is 'a lecture nd a commentary on Aristotelis libros de : manuscripts having been copied in 1462seing found in the Munich library. In the the University of Würzburg there is a exchange of polemical writings between l John of Lutter, debating the question ne pope is the vicar of Christ and whether uncil have authority in case of deadly sin; ses Wesel took the negative.

urce for the teaching of Wesel only the adversus indulgentias can be used. His uring his examination would be pertinent, y were clear and consistent. Wesel stood eneral teaching of the Church of the Midnd with Augustine and Thomas Aquinas rines of sin, grace, forgiveness of sins, and In connection with the sacrament of penal was a Scotist and nominalist, holding riest can not principaliter et effective forgive mly through divine assistance, and the rgiving of sin is only a sacramental minhe penitent sinner. The one who alone n is God, who has called the priest to take in; the gift of grace in the sacrament of the remission of guilt and punishment in sion of divine punishment is not an accom-

Indulgences are a pious imposture upon il; yet so far as pilgrimages and alms and od works are done in love to God, they are lves useful and contribute to the obtainnal life. Remission is serviceable only in ecclesiastical penalties. Wesel taught of h that it is the aggregate of the faithful ether in love, known to God alone; it is of Christ, is ruled by the Holy Spirit, and essential to salvation can not err. As to he held that it alone is to be trusted, and thers nor general councils. To the test ent with Scripture all ecclesiastical dogeremonies are to be submitted. Contrary re are the Roman Church's teachings rendulgences, original sin, transubstantiailioque, feasts and fasts, long prayers, cerethe mass, holy oil, consecrated water, and A sentence at the end of the Paradoxa he man: "I despise pope, Church, and I love Christ. Let the word of Christ u richly." (OTTO CLEMEN.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: C. Ullmann, Reformers Before the Reformation, i. 277-374, Edinburgh, 1874, cf. his Johann Wessel, sin Vorpänger Luthers, Hamburg, 1834 (comprehensive, in cludes in the treatment the entire environment, and discusses the principal personages with whom Wessel was connected); N. Serrarius, in Moguntiar um rerum scriptores, ed. G. C. Joannis, i. 107 sqq., Frankfort, 1722 (for selection of "heretical" declarations of Wessel); G. Schadé, Essai sur Jean de Wesel, Strasburg, 1856; J. C. L. Gieseler, Text-Book on Church History, ed. H. B. Smith, iii. 461-465, New York, 1868 (quotes extensively from documents); N. Paulus, in Der Katholik, 1898, i. 44-57; idem, in Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie, xxiv (1900), 646-65, xxvii (1903), 601-602; J. Falk, Bibelstudien, Bibelhandschriften und Bibeldrucke zu Mainz, pp. 60 sqq., Mains, 1901; F. Kropatschek, Das Schriftprinsip der lutherischen Kirche, i. 407 sqq., Leipsic, 1904; O. Clemen, in Historische Vierteljahrschrift, iii. 521-523; Deutsche Zeitschrift für Geschichtsvissenschaft, new series, ii. 143-173 (by O. Clemen), 344-348 (by J. Haussleiter); Schaff, Christian Church, v. 2, pp. 681-682; Harnack, Dogma, vi. 170, 199, 222, 262, 268-269, vii. 16; ADB, xxix. 439-444; KL, vi. 1786-69.

For accounts of the trial consult: C. Du Plessis d'Argentré, Collectio de novis erroribus, vol. i., Paris, 1728 (contains the Paradoza—a collection of "heretical" sentences abstracted from Wesel's writings, Ezamen magistrale—an account of the trial, and the author's survey, by one of the Heidelberg representatives); this is found also in Eneas Sylvius' Commentariorum de concilio Basiless libri duo, n.p., n.d.; Ortuinus Gratius, Fasciculus rerum expetendarum et fugiendarum, pp. clxiii. sqq., Cologne, 1535.

WESLEY, CHARLES: One of the founders of Methodism; b. at Epworth (23 m. n.w. of Lincoln) Dec. 18, 1708, O. S. (Dec. 29, N. S.); d. in London Mar. 29, 1788. He was the son of Samuel Wesley, Sr., and brother of Charles Wesley (qq.v.). In childhood he declined an offer of adoption by a wealthy namesake in Ireland; and the person taken in his stead became an earl, and grandfather to the duke of Wellington. He was educated at Westminster School, London, under his brother Samuel, 1716; at St. Peter's College, Westminster, London, 1721; and at Christ Church, Oxford, 1726, where, with his brother John and one or two others, he received the nickname of "Methodist" in consequence of the method they employed in prayer and daily life. In 1735 he was ordained, and went with John Wesley to Georgia, returning 1736. May 21, 1738, he "experienced the witness of adoption, and at once joined his brother's evangelistic work. traveling much, and preaching with great zeal and success. He never held ecclesiastical preferment, and bore his share of the persecutions which beset the early Methodists. Apr. 8, 1749, he married Sarah Gwynne: by her he had eight children, two of whom became eminent musicians. John Wesley's expression, "his least praise was his talent for poetry," is unmeaning: whatever his other gifts and graces, it is because he was "the poet of Methodism" and one of the most gifted and voluminous of English hymn-writers that his fame and influence live. The Poetical Works of John and Charles Wesley, as reprinted by the Wesleyan Conference (London, 1868-72), fill thirteen volumes, or near 6,000 pages. Of the original publications, the earlier ones bore the names of both brothers, but most were the work of Charles alone. While in the books of joint authorship it is not always possible to distinguish with absolute certainty between the two, it is generally agreed that John wrote only the translations (almost wholly from the German, some forty in all) and a

very few originals. Their style is the same, save for a little more severity and dignity on John's part. Their first volume (or perhaps John's alone, for it bears no name), possibly also the first English Collection of Psalms and Hymns, appeared at Charleston, S. C., 1737 (cf. C. Evans, American Bibliography, vol. ii., no. 4207, Chicago, 1904; there is a copy in the Public Library, New York). A single copy was found in London, 1879, and reprinted 1882. The original contains some pieces by John, but apparently none by Charles, who perhaps had not then begun to write. Another small Collection was published in London, 1738; and in 1739 began the long series of original works in verse. The more extensive of these were Hymns and Sacred Poems (1739, 1740, 1742; three separate books); the same (2 vols., 1749); Hymns on God's Everlasting Love (1741); On the Lord's Supper (1745); For those that Seek and those that have Redemption (1747); Funeral Hymns (1746-59); Short Hymns on Select Passages of Holy Scripture (2 vols., 1762; 2,348 pieces); Hymns for Children (1763); For Families (1767); On the Trinity (1767). Besides these there are some twenty tracts, minor in size, but containing some of Charles Wesley's most effective lyrics, and a few elegies and epistles. The work of publication went on, though less vigorously in later years, till 1785, and that of composition till his death, at which he left in manuscript a quantity of verse, chiefly on Bible texts, equal to one-third of that printed in his lifetime. His huge fecundity hindered his fame; had he written less, he might be read more; but he had not the gift of condensing. His thoughts, or at least his feelings, flowed more readily in verse than in prose; he wrote on horseback, in a stage-coach, almost in "the article of death." His fifty-six Hymns for Christian Friends, some of them continuously and widely used, were dedicated to Miss Gwynne; and his last verse, taken down by her "when he could scarcely articulate," preserves something of the old fire. Nearly every occasion and condition of external life are provided for in the vast range of his productions, which have more "variety of matter and manner" than critics have commonly supposed; and, as to feelings and experiences, "he has celebrated them with an affluence of diction and a splendor of coloring never surpassed and rarely equaled." Temperament and belief alike inclined him to subjective themes, and, guiding his unique lyrical talent, made him preeminently "the poet of Methodism." To the wonderful growth and success of that system his hymns were no less essential than his brother's government. They are the main element in most Wesleyan collections, both English and American. In the newest official hymnal of the Methodist Episcopal Church and the Methodist Episcopal Church, South, no fewer than 121 of the 748 hymns are Charles Wesley's. The most widely used, in America at least, are "Oh for a thousand tongues to sing," "Jesus, lover of my soul," and "Love divine all loves excelling." Probably no school or system in any age or land has owned so mighty an implement in the way of sacred song, and no other hymn-writer has succeeded in voicing so felicitously the varied states of religious feeling. His productions are still esteemed as among

the most choice and helpful devotional literature and many of them seem to be wholly unaffected by the marked changes in religious thought and in the emphasis placed upon various doctrines. Non-Methodists long suspected and shunned this poetry, and still need to exercise discrimination in making selections from it. Its author was given not only to extravagances of expression (which were sometimes pared down by his brother's severer taste). but to unrestrained and often violent emotion. Withal he is too fluent, too rhetorical; his mannerism at times involves a lack of simplicity; his "fatal facility of strong words" is a fault both literary and religious. Yet his intensely sincere and fervent piety, his intellectual strength and acuteness, his unmistakably high culture, and the matchless spontaneity of his eloquence, place him easily near the head of British sacred lyrists. No collection is complete—probably for a century none has been formed-without his hymns; and they are now perhaps more generally and widely used than of old. He is entitled to rank not merely as a hymnwriter, but among Christian poets. Many of his pieces which are not adapted to public worship, and very little known, possess much literary and human interest; his autobiographic and polemic verses, e.g., are probably unequaled. He can not be adequately judged by his fragmentary appearances in the hymnals, not even by John Wesley's Collection for the Use of the People called Methodists (1780; supplement 1830); though that presents a considerable fraction of his writings, with much less abridgment and alteration than any other, and has nearly all the qualities claimed by its editor in his vigorous and memorable preface.

[A somewhat higher estimate than the above of the poetry and hymns of Charles Wesley is furnished by Canon Overton (Julian, Hymnology, P. 1258): "As a hymn-writer Charles Wesley was unique. He is said to have written . . . 6,500 hymns, and though . . . in so vast a number some are of unequal merit, it is . . . marvelous how many there are which rise to the highest degree of excellence. His feelings on every occasion of importance ... found their best expression in a hymn.... Nor must we forget his hymns for little children, a branch of sacred poetry in which the mantle of Dr. Watts seems to have fallen upon him. . . . The saying that a really good hymn is as rare an appearance as that of a comet is falsified by the work of Charles Wesley."]

(FREDERIC M. BIRD †.) Revised by H. K. CARROLL.
BIBLIOGRAPHY: Besides the preface to John Wesley's Callection for the Use of the People Called Methodist, using and The Early Journal of 1736-39, London, 1910, cosmit:
T. Jackson, Life of Rev. Charles Wesley, 2 vols., London and New York, 1842 (the authoritative work); D. Cremer, Methodist Hymnology. New York, 1848; C. Adams. Memorials of Charles Wesley, ib. 1859; F. A. Archibald, Methodism and Literature, Cincinnati, 1883; S. W. Duffield, English Hymns, pp. 346-351, New York, 1887; J. Telford, Life of the Rev. Charles Wesley, enlarged ed. London, 1900; N. Smith, Hymns historically Famous, pp. 69-83, Chicago, 1901; Julian, Hymnology, pp. 726-72, 1255-66; the literature dealing with the early history (METHODISTS, and that under Wesley, John; and Green, The Works of John and Charles Wesley. A B liography containing an exact Account of all the Publitions issued by the Wesley Brothers . . . in chronology Order, London, 1896.

WESLEY, JOHN.

(§ 1), ord and Georgia (§ 2). sion; Open-air Preaching (§ 3). Persecutions; Lay Preaching (§ 4). Chapels and Organizations (§ 5). Ordination of Ministers (§ 6). Advocacy of Arminianism (§ 7). Doctrines (§ 8). Personality and Activities (§ 9). Literary Work (§ 10).

sley, the father of the doctrinal and pracm of Methodism, was born at Epworth r. of Lincoln) June 28, 1703, and died in ar. 2, 1791. The Wesleys were of ancient Saxon lineage, the family history being L traced backward to the time of Athelstan the Saxon, when Guy Wesley, or was created a thane or member of parliain Wesley was the son of Samuel Wesley raduate of Oxford, and a minister of the England, who had married in 1689 Susanwenty-fifth child of Dr. Samuel Annesley, f became the mother of nineteen children; was appointed rector of Epworth, where fifteenth child, was born. He was chris-1 Benjamin, but he never used the second incident of his childhood was his rescue, of six, from the burning rectory. The his escape made a deep impression on his he spoke of himself as a "brand plucked urning," and as a child of Providence. The ation of all the children was given by Mrs. woman of remarkable intelligence and , apt in teaching, and wise and firm in In 1713 John was admitted to the Charchool, London, where he lived the studiodical, and (for a while) religious life in had been trained at home. In 1720 he rist Church College, Oxford (M.A., 1727), ned deacon in 1725 and elected fellow of ollege in the following year. He served as curate two years, and then returned to fulfil his functions as fellow. r of his return to Oxford (1729) marks the of the rise of Methodism. The famous b" was formed; and its members, incluand Charles Wesley, were derisively called sts," because of their methodical habits. John had enjoyed during his early years a deep religious experience. He went, ad says one of his best biographers, Tyerman, to Charterhouse a saint; but he became negligent of his religious I left a sinner. In the year of his ordinad Thomas à Kempis and Jeremy Taylor, to grope after those religious truths which the great revival of the eighteenth cenreading of Law's Christian Perfection and Il gave him, he said, a sublimer view of God; and he resolved to keep it, inwardly ardly, as sacredly as possible, believing s obedience he should find salvation. He rigidly methodical and abstemious life; e Scriptures, and performed his religious great diligence; deprived himself that he

e alms to give; and gave his heart, mind,

) the effort to live a godly life. When, in

ergyman "inured to contempt of the

and conveniences of life, to bodily

and to serious thoughts," was wanted

by Governor Oglethorpe to go to Georgia, Wesley responded, and remained in the colony two years, returning to England in 1738, feeling that his mission, which was to convert the Indians and deepen and regulate the religious life of the colonists, had been a failure. His High-church notions, his strict enforcement of the regulations of the church, especially concerning the administration of the holy communion, were not agreeable to the colonists; and he left Georgia with several indictments pending against him (largely due to malice) for alleged violation of church law.

As Wesley's spiritual state is the key to his whole career, an account of his conversion in the year of his return from Georgia may not be omitted. For ten years he had fought against sin,

3. Conver- striven to fulfil the law of the Gospel, sion; Open-endeavored to manifest his righteousair ness; but he had not, he wrote, ob-Preaching. tained freedom from sin, nor the witness of the Spirit, because he sought it, not by faith, but "by the works of the law." had learned from the Moravians that true faith was inseparably connected with dominion over sin and constant peace proceeding from a sense of forgiveness, and that saving faith is given in a moment. This saving faith he obtained May 24, 1737-38, at a Moravian meeting in Aldersgate Street, London, while listening to the reading of Luther's preface to the Epistle to the Romans, in which explanation of faith and the doctrine of justification by faith is given. "I felt," he wrote, "my heart strangely warmed. I felt I did trust in Christ, Christ alone, for salvation; and an assurance was given me that he had taken away my sins." Two or three weeks later he preached a remarkable sermon, enforcing the doctrine of present personal salvation by faith, which was followed by another, on God's grace "free in all, and free for ' He never ceased in his whole subsequent career to preach this doctrine and that of the witness of the Spirit. He allied himself with the Moravian society in Fetter Lane, and in 1738 went to Herrnhut, the Moravian headquarters in Germany, to learn more of a people to whom he felt deeply indebted. On his return to England he drew up rules for the bands into which the Fetter Lane Society was divided, and published a collection of hymns for them. He met frequently with this and other religious societies in London, but did not preach often in 1738, because most of the parish churches were closed to him. His friend, George Whitefield (q.v.), the great evangelist, upon his return from America, was likewise excluded from the churches of Bristol; and, going to the neighboring village of Kingswood, he there preached in the open air, Feb., 1739, to a company of miners. This was a bold step, and Wesley hesitated to accept Whitefield's earnest request to follow him in this innovation. But he overcame his scruples, and in April preached his first sermon in the open air, near Bristol. He said he could hardly reconcile himself to field-preaching, and would have thought, "till very lately," such a method of saving souls as "almost a sin." These open-air services were very successful; and he never again hesitated to preach in any place where an assembly could be got together, more than once using his father's tombstone at Epworth as a pulpit. He spent upward of fifty years in field-preaching-entering churches when he was invited, taking his stand in the fields, in halls, cottages, and chapels, when the churches would not receive him. Late in 1739 a rupture with the Moravians in London occurred. Wesley had helped them organize in May, 1738, the Fetter Lane Society; and the converts of the preaching of himself, his brother, and Whitefield, had become members of their bands. But finding, as he said, that they had fallen into heresies, especially quietism, a separation took place; and so, at the close of 1739, Wesley was led to "Thus," form his followers into a separate society. he wrote, "without any previous plan, began the Methodist Society in England." Similar the Methodist Society in England." societies were soon formed in Bristol and Kingswood, and wherever Wesley and his coadjutors made converts.

From 1739 onward Wesley and the Methodists were persecuted by clergymen and magistrates, attacked in sermon, tract, and book, 4. Persecu-mobbed by the populace, often in contions; Lay troversy, always at work among the Preaching. neglected and needy, and ever increas-

ing. They were denounced as promulgators of strange doctrines, fomenters of religious disturbances; as blind fanatics, leading the people astray, claiming miraculous gifts, inveighing against the clergy of the Church of England, and endeavoring to reestablish popery. Wesley was frequently mobbed, and great violence was done both to the persons and property of Methodists. Seeing, however, that the church failed in its duty to call sinners to repentance, that its clergymen were worldly minded, and that souls were perishing in their sins, he regarded himself as commissioned of God to warn men to flee from the wrath to come; and no opposition, or persecution, or obstacles were permitted by him to prevail against the divine urgency and authority of his commission. The prejudices of his Highchurch training, his strict notions of the methods and proprieties of public worship, his views of the apostolic succession and the prerogatives of the priest, even his most cherished convictions, were not allowed to stand in the way in which Providence seemed to lead. Unwilling that ungodly men should perish in their sins and unable to reach them from the pulpits of the Church, he began field-preaching. Seeing that he and the few clergymen cooperating with him could not do the work that needed to be done, he was led, as early as 1739, to approve tacitly, soon after openly, of lay preaching; and men who were not episcopally ordained were permitted to preach and do pastoral work. Thus one of the great features of Methodism, to which it has largely owed its success, was adopted by Wesley in answer to a necessity.

As his societies must have houses to worship in he began in 1739 to provide chapels, first in Bristol, and then in London and elsewhere. The 5. Chapels Bristol chapel was at first in the hands and Organ- of trustees; but as a large debt was izations. contracted, and Wesley's friends urged him to keep its pulpit under his own control, the deed was cancelled, and the trust became vested in himself. Following this precedent, all Methodist chapels were committed in trust to him until by a "deed of declaration" (see Meteodists, I., 1, § 6) all his interests in them were transferred to a body of preachers called the "Legal Hundred." When disorderly persons began to manifest themselves among the members of the societies, he adopted the plan of giving tickets to members, with their names written thereon by his own hand. These were renewed every three months. Those who proved to be unworthy did not receive new tickets, and thus dropped out of the society without disturbance. The tickets were regarded as commendatory letters. When the debt on a chapel became burdensome, it was proposed that one in every twelve of the members should collect offerings for it regularly from the eleven allotted to him. Out of this, under Wesley's care, grew, in 1742, the Methodist class-meeting system (see METHODISTS, I., 1, § 3). In order more effectually to keep the disorderly out of the societies, he established a probationary system, and resolved to visit each society once in three months. Thus arose the quarterly visitation, or conference. As the societies increased, he could not continue his practise of oral instruction; so he drew up in 1743 a set of "General Rules" for the "United Societies," which were the nucleus of the Methodist Discipline, and are still preserved intact and observed by most Methodist bodies. As the number of preachers and preaching-places increased, it was desirable that doctrinal matters should be discussed, difficulties considered, and that an understanding should be had as to the distribution of fields; so the two Wesleys, with four other clergymen and four lay preachers, met for consultation in London in 1744. This was the first Methodist conference (see METHODISTS, I., 1, § 5). Two years later, in order that the preachers might work more systematically, and the societies receive their services more regularly, Wesley appointed his "helpers" to definitive circuits, each of which included at least thirty appointments a month. Believing that their usefulness and efficiency were promoted by being changed from one circuit to another every year or two, he established the itinerancy, and ever insisted that his preachers should submit to its rules. When, in 1788, some persons objected to the frequent changes, he wrote, "For fifty years God has been pleased to bless the itimerant plan, the last year most of all. It must not be altered till I am removed, and I hope it will remain till our Lord comes to reign on earth.'

As his societies multiplied, and all these elements of an ecclesiastical system were, one after another, adopted, the breach between Wesley and the Church of England gradually widened. The question of separation from that church, urged, on the one side, by some of his preachers and societies, and most strenuously opposed on the other by his brother

Charles and others, was constantly before him, but was not settled. In 1745 he wrote that he and his coadjutors would make any conces-6. Ordina- sion which their conscience would tion of permit, in order to live in harmony with Ministers. the clergy; but they could not give up the doctrine of an inward and present alvation by faith alone, nor cease to preach in private houses and the open air, nor dissolve the societies, nor suppress lay preaching. Further than this, however, he refused then to go. "We dare not," he said, "administer baptism or the Lord's Supper without a commission from a bishop in the spostolic succession." But the next year he read Lord King on the Primitive Church, and was convinced by it that apostolic succession was a figment, and that he [Wesley] was "a scriptural episcopos as much as any man in England." Some years later Stillingfleet's Irenicon led him to renounce the opinion that Christ or his apostles prescribed any form of church government, and to declare ordination valid when performed by a presbyter. It was not until about forty years after this that he ordained by the imposition of hands; but he considered his appointment of his preachers an act of ordination. The conference of 1746 declared that the reason more solemnity in receiving new laborers was not employed was because it savored of stateliness and of haste. "We desire barely to follow Providence as it gradually opens." When, however, he deemed that Providence had opened the way, and the bishop d London had definitely declined to ordain a minister for the American Methodists who were without the ordinances, he ordained by imposition of hands preachers for Scotland and England and America, with power to administer the sacraments. He consecrated, also, by laying on of hands, Dr. Thomas Coke (q.v.), a presbyter of the Church of England, to be superintendent or bishop in America, and a preacher, Alexander Mather, to the same office in England. He designed that both Coke and Mather should ordain others. This act alarmed his brother Charles, who besought him to stop and consider before he had "quite broken down the bridge," and not embitter his [Charles'] last moments on earth, nor "leave an indelible blot on our memory." Wesley declared, in reply, that he had not separated from the church, nor did he intend to, but he must and would save as many souls as he could while alive, "without being careful about what may pos-ably be when I die." Thus, though he rejoiced that the Methodists in America were freed from entangenents with both Church and State, he counseled his English followers to remain in the established church; and he himself died in that communion.

Wesley was a strong controversialist. The most notable of his controversies was that on Calvinism. His father was of the Arminian school in the church; but John settled the question for him7. Advocacy self while in college, and expressed of Armin- himself strongly against the doctrines ianism. of election and reprobation. White-field inclined to Calvinism. In his first tour in America, he embraced the views of the New England school of Calvinism; and when Wesley

preached a sermon on Free Grace, attacking predestination as blasphemous, as representing "God as worse than the devil," Whitefield besought him (1739) not to repeat or publish the discourse. He deprecated a dispute or discussion. "Let us," he said, "offer salvation freely to all," but be silent about election. Wesley's sermon was published, and among the many replies to it was one by Whitefield. Separation followed in 1741. Wesley wrote of it, that those who held universal redemption did not desire separation, but "those who held particular redemption would not hear of any accommodation." Whitefield, Harris, Cennick, and others, became the founders of Calvinistic Methodism (see PRESBYTERIANS, IV., VIII., 8). Whitefield and Wesley, however, were soon again on very friendly terms, and their friendship remained thenceforth unbroken, though they traveled different paths. Occasional publications appeared on Calvinistic doctrines, by Wesley and others; but in 1770 the controversy broke out anew with violence and bitterness. Toplady, Berridge, Rowland, Richard Hill, and others were engaged on the one side, and Wesley and Fletcher chiefly on the other side. Toplady was editor of The Gospel Magazine, which was filled with the controversy. Wesley in 1778 began the publication of The Arminian Magazine, not, he said, to convince Calvinists, but to preserve Methodists; not to notice opponents, but to teach the truth that "God willeth all men to be saved." A "lasting peace" he thought could be secured in no

The doctrines which Wesley revived, restated, and emphasized in his sermons and writings, are present personal salvation by faith, the 8. Doctrines. witness of the Spirit, and sanctification.

The second he defined thus: "the testimony of the Spirit is an inward impression on the soul of believers, whereby the spirit of God directly testifies to their spirit that they are the children of God." Sanctification he spoke of (1790) as the "grand depositum which God has lodged with the people called 'Methodists'; and, for the sake of propagating this chiefly, he appears to have raised them up.' He taught that sanctification was obtainable instantaneously by faith, between justification and death. It was not "sinless perfection" that he contended for; but he believed that those who are "perfect in love" feel no sin, feel nothing but love. He was very anxious that this doctrine should be constantly preached for the system of Wesleyan Arminianism, the foundations of which were laid by Wesley and Fletcher (see Arminius, JACOBUS, AND ARMINIANISM).

Wesley was the busiest man in England. He traveled almost constantly, generally on horseback, preaching twice or thrice a day. He

9. Personality and amined and commissioned preachers,
Activities. administered discipline, raised funds

for schools, chapels, and charities, prescribed for the sick, superintended schools and orphanages, prepared commentaries and a vast amount of other religious literature, replied to attacks on Methodism, conducted controversies, and carried on a prodigious correspondence. He is believed to have traveled in the course of his itinerant ministry more than 250,000 miles, and to have preached more than 40,000 times. The number of works he wrote, translated, or edited, exceeds 200. The list includes sermons, commentaries, hymns, a Christian library of fifty volumes, and other religious literature grammars, dictionaries, and other textbooks, as well as political tracts. He is said to have received not less than £20,000 for his publications, but he used little of it for himself. His charities were limited only by his means. He died poor. He rose at four in the morning, lived simply and methodically, and was never idle, unless by compulsion. In person he was rather under the medium height, well proportioned, strong, with a bright eye, a clear complexion, and a saintly, intellectual face. He married very unhappily, at the age of forty-eight, a widow, and had no children. He died, after a short illness in which he had great spiritual peace and joy, leaving as the result of his life-work 135,000 members, and 541 itinerant preachers, owning the name Methodist."

Wesley's mind was of a logical cast. His conceptions were clear, his perceptions quick. His thought clothed itself easily and naturally in 10. Literary pure, terse, vigorous language. Work. logical acuteness, self-control, and scholarly acquirements made him a strong controversialist. He wrote with a ready pen. His written sermons are characterized by spiritual earnestness and by simplicity. They are doctrinal, but not dogmatic; expository, argumentative, practical. His Notes on the New Testament (1755) are luminous and suggestive. Both the Sermons (of which there are about 140) and the Notes are in the Methodist course of study, and are doctrinal standards (see Methodists, V., §§ 1-2). He was a fluent, impressive, persuasive, powerful preacher, producing striking effects. He preached generally extemporaneously and briefly, though occasionally at great length, using manuscript only for special occasions. As an organizer, an ecclesiastical general, and a statesman he was eminent. He knew well how to marshal and control men, how to achieve purposes. He had in his hands the powers of a despot; yet he so used them as not only not to provoke rebellion, but to inspire love. His mission was to spread "Scriptural holiness"; his means and plans were such as Providence indicated. The course thus marked out for him he pursued with a determination, a fidelity, from which nothing could swerve him. Wesley's prose Works were first collected by himself (32 vols., Bristol, 1771-74, frequently reprinted in editions varying greatly in the number of volumes). His chief prose works are a standard publication in seven octavo volumes of the Methodist Book Concern, New York. The Poetical Works of John and Charles, ed. G. Osborn, appeared 13 vols., London, 1868-72. Besides his Sermons and Notes already referred to, are his Journals (originally published in twenty parts, London, 1740-89; new ed. by N. Curnock, is to contain notes from unpublished diaries, 6 vols., vols. i.-ii., London and New York, 1909-11, which are of great interest; The Doctrine of Original Sin (Bristol, 1757; in reply to Dr. John Taylor of Norwich); an Appeal to Men of Reason and Religion (originally published in three parts; 2d ed., Bristol, 1743), an elaborate defense of Methodism, describing with great vigor the evils of the times in society and the church; a Plain Account of Christian Perfection (1766).

H. K. CARROLL.

Bibliography: A considerable amount of pertinent literature will be found under Methodists, especially that dealing with the early history of the movement. For a bibliography of the works of John and Charles, consult the work of R. Green named under Webley. Charles, also note the same author's Books against John Wesley, London, 1902. The best biography of John is that by Luke Tyerman, 3 vols., London, 1870, often reissued (full. impartial); the earliest, saide from mere pamphlets, is by J. Hampson, 3 vols, ib. 1791. Others are: T. Coke and H. Moore, London, 1792 (popular); J. Whitehead, 2 vols. ib. 1793-96 (deficient); R. Southey, 2 vols., ib. 1820, ed. Gurry, New York, 1847 (inadequate and misleading); Adam Clarke, The Wesley Family, London, 1823; H. Moore, 2 vols., ib. 1824 (faithful, trustworthy); R. Watson, ib. 1831 (clear and compact, intended for general readers); W. Jones, ib. 1833 (from the Calvinistic point of view); T. Jackson, ib. 1839 (unsatisfactory); I. Taylor, Wesley and Methodism, ib. 1851 (may be disregarded); R. Bickersteth, ib. 1856 (acceptable, from the Anglican point of view); M. Lelièvre, Paris, 1868, 3d ed., 1891, Eng. transl., London, 1871 (reliable, but lacking in breadth); Julia Wedgwood, London, 1870 (Unitarian); B. D. Urlin, ib. 1870; G. J. Stevenson, Memorials of the Wesley Family, ib. 1876 (excellent in abundance of materials); J. H. Rigg, The Churchmanship of John Wesley, ib. 1879 and 1887; F. Bevan, ib. 1891; J. Telford, ib. 1899; G. H. Pike, ib, 1903; F. Banfield, ib. 1900; R. Green, new ed., ib. 1905; John Wesley, the Methodist, New York, 1903 (useful and condensed); W. H. Fitchett, Wesley and his Century, London, 1906 (discriminating, luminous); E. Miller, ib. 1906; C. T. Winchester, New York, 1903 (impartial and judicial). Excellent sketches will be found in W. Walker, Greatest Men of the Christian Church, Chicago, 1908; H. M. Butler, Ten Great and Good Men, New York, 1908; L. P. Powell, Heavenly Herstica, ib. 1909; A. Leget, L'Angleterre religieuse et les ori

WESLEY, SAMUEL, SR.: Father of John and Charles Wesley; b. at Winterbourne-Whitchurch (28 m. w. of Southampton) Nov. (baptized Dec. 17), 1662; d. at Epworth (23 m. n.w. of Lincoln) Apr. 22, 1735. His early education was received among the dissenters; but in 1683 he renounced non-conformity, and entered Exeter College, Oxford (B.A., He was ordained deacon that year, and priest Feb. 24, 1689-90, and held various preferments, including a chaplaincy on a man-of-war, and the rectory of South Ormsby, Lincolnshire (1690), until Queen Mary gave him the living of Epworth in Lincolnshire (1695), in return for the compliment of his dedication to her of his Life of our Blessed Lord and Savior, Jesus Christ, an Heroic Poem (1693; ed. T. Coke, 2 vols., 1809). He was a man of learning, benevolence, devotional habits, and liberal sentiments. He wrote largely, and by this means eked out his salary, which was insufficient to support his large family. He had nineteen children, of whom, however, nine died in infancy. Of his poetical works mention may be made of: The History of the New Testament Attempted in Verse, 1701; The History of the Old Testament in Verse, 1704. His learned Latin Commentary on the Book of Job, Dissertationes in librum Jobi, in which he was, however, aided by others, appeared posthumously (1736). Other proce works are: The Pious Communicant rightly Prepared (1700); and the posthumous Letter to a Curate (1735; an excellent statement of clerical duties). His hymn, "Behold the Saviour of Mankind," written in 1709, has been widely used. H. K. CARROLL.

Bibliography: L. Tyerman, Life and Times of the Rev. Samuel Wesley, London, 1866 (a painstaking study; includes letters, and others are given in the same author's life of John Wesley); A. & Wood, Athena Oxonienses, ed. P. Bliss, iv. 503, and Fasti, ii. 403, 4 vols., ib. 1813-20; J. Dove, Biographical Hist. of the Wesley Family, ib. 1833; W. Beal, Fathers of the Wesley Family, 2d ed. ib. 1862; G. J. Stevenson, Memorials of the Wesley Family, ib. 1876; S. W. Duffield, English Hymns, pp. 64-65, New York, 1886; Julian, Hymnology, pp. 1255-56; and the literature under the articles on Charles, John, and Susannah Wesley.

WESLEY, SAMUEL JR.: Eldest son of Samuel Wesley, Sr.; b. in London Feb. 10, 1690; d. at Tiverton (55 m. s.w. of Bristol) Nov. 6, 1739. He was educated at Westminster and Christ Church College, Oxford (B.A., 1715; M.A., 1718); became head usher at Westminster School, 1713, and was ordained soon after; became head master of the Free School at Tiverton, 1733. He was a man of considerable learning, great talent, high character, and decidedly philanthropic in disposition and action. As an old-fashioned churchman, he had no sympathy with the "new faith" of his brothers, but he contributed generously for their education. His Poems on Several Occasions (1736; reprinted, with additions and Life, 1862) have much merit, and include one or two of our best epigrams, besides hymns to the Trinity, for Sunday, Good Friday, and Easter, and on the death of a young lady. These are of a high order, and show much of Charles Wesley's splendor of diction; they have been largely used in church hymn-books.

F. M. BIRD[†]. Revised by H. K. CARROLL.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Besides the Life in the Poems (ut sup.), and the literature under the articles on the other Wesleys, consult: Julian, Hymnology, pp. 1256-57.

WESLEY, SUSANNAH: Mother of John and Charles Wesley; b. in London Jan. 20, 1669; d. there July 23, 1742. Her father, Samuel Annesley, was a prominent non-conformist divine, but she renounced non-conformity in her thirteenth year, and joined the Church of England. In 1689 she married

Samuel Wesley (q.v.), and bore him nineteen children, of whom nine, however, died in infancy. She was a remarkable woman. Tyerman gives this account of her home discipline: "When the child was one year old, he was taught to fear the rod, and, if he cried at all, to cry in softened tones. The children were limited to three meals a day. Eating and drinking between meals was strictly prohibited. All the children were washed and put to bed by eight o'clock, and on no account was a servant to sit by a child till it fell asleep. The children were taught the Lord's Prayer as soon as they could speak, and repeated it every morning and every night. They were on no account allowed to call each other by their proper name without the addition of brother or sister, as the case might be. Six hours a day were spent at school, the parents being the teachers. They were not taught to read till five years old, and then only a single day was allowed wherein to learn the letters of the alphabet, great and small. Psalms were sung every morning, when school was opened, and also every night, when the duties of the day were ended. In addition to this, at the commencement and close of every day, each of the elder children took one of the younger, and read the psalins appointed for the day, and a chapter in the Bible, after which they severally went to their private devotions" (Life of Wesley, i. 17-18). It would be unjust to infer from this statement that Mrs. Wesley was a martinet. She was methodical in her ways, but she was a woman of lovely character, a tender mother, quick in perception, wise in judgment, and ever ready to extend the hand of helpfulness. She was very influential with her son John and her impress was made on early Methodism.

H. K. CARROLL.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: J. Kirk, The Mother of the Wesleys, London, 1872; Elisa Clarke, Susanna Wesley, ib. new ed., 1896; M. R. Brailsford, Susannah Wesley, the Mother of Methodism, ib. 1910.

WESLEYAN METHODIST ASSOCIATION. See METHODISTS, I., 6.

WESLEYAN METHODIST CONNECTION OR CHURCH OF AMERICA. See METHODISTS, IV., 4. WESLEYAN METHODISTS. See METHODISTS, I.

WESSEL, ves'sel, JOHANN (WESSEL HARMENSS GANSFORT or GOESEVOYRDT).

Life (§ 1). Writings (§ 2). Basal Religious Principles (§ 3). Christology (§ 4).
Doctrine of Justification (§ 5).
Doctrine of the Church (§ 6).

Penance, Confession, Absolution (§.7). Indulgences and Purgatory (§ 8).

Johann Wessel, or, better, Wessel Harmenss Gansfort or Goesevoyrdt, the pre-Lutheran Reformer and one of the Brethren of the Common Life, was born at Groningen, Holland, about 1419, and died there Oct. 4, 1489. While his name is a matter of some doubt, it is most probable that his baptismal name was Wessel, that he assumed

r. Life. the name of Johannes while living with the Brethren at Zwolle, that the name Harmenss comes from the local custom of carrying the father's name (in this case Harmen) with the addition meaning "son," that he Latinized his name Wessel as Basilius, while Gansfort is the name of a Westphalian village. Wessel's preparatory studies were carried on at Zwolle, and he matriculated at Cologne in Oct., 1449. His early days at Zwolle

had an abiding influence upon him, though that influence was not controlling; his predilections for the logical and the philosophical were strong, so that while the reverential tendencies of Zwolle affected him, the narrowness of conception there current repelled him. How far Wessel was influenced by the teachers at Cologne is not determinable, though his realism seems to have come through the Thomistic traditions fostered there. He seems to have found his way to Bernard, Augustine, and Plato, and then to have been influenced by Humanism (q.v.). He learned Hebrew and Greek. His interests were very wide, and he journeyed to Heidelberg and Paris to take part in the dispute between nominalism and realism, in the course of which he abjured realism for nominalism, a fact which may be of significance

for his later life, since nominalists were the antipapal party. It appears possible that he lived at
Paris for sixteen years, without other definite purpose than to teach and learn. His humanistic interests and his acquaintance with Cardinal Bessarion
led him to Rome, where he was found about 1470.
Thence he returned to Paris, where he influenced
such men as Reuchlin and Agricola, and where he
won the title of magister contradictionum by his
questioning spirit. A more restful place was sought
by him in Basel, and he declined an invitation from
the bishop of Utrecht to go to that place. By Apr.,
1479, he was back in his own home. He lived part
of the time at the Clarissa cloister at Groningen,
and part of the time with the Brethren at Agnetenberg near Zwolle.

He frequently visited the flourishing abbey of Adewert, and found a friend and protector in Bishop David of Utrecht. He was surrounded by a circle of admiring friends and pupils and enjoyed friendly intercourse with such older men as the abbot of Adewert, Heinrich von Rees, the philologist Rudolf van Langen, and Paulus Pelantius. He taught a religiously deepened and theologically directed Humanism. After a period of gloomy doubting that threatened to rob him of his entire faith, he was able before his death to say, "I know nobody but Jesus crucified." He was buried in the church of the cloister at Groningen, where a memorial stone was laid in 1637, replaced by another between 1730 and 1742.

The extant literary productions of Wessel date from the last decade of his life. They are chiefly short treatises in the form of apho-2. Writings. risms arranged under special theological topics. His intercourse with the "religious" at Groningen and Zwolle led him to compose two books as guides in practical religion, neither of them published, however, before his death. The one dealt with prayer, the other was the Scala After his death Cornelius Hoen meditationis. (Honius) of The Hague industriously collected Wessel's manuscripts. What he found was sent to Luther and Zwingli, so that a collection of the tractlike treatises appeared with the title Farrago uberrima (Wittenberg, 1522 and 1523). The fact that few of Wessel's productions have come down may be explained by the remark of the book-dealer Adam Petri, that the mendicant monks acted with fiery zeal against Wessel's papers.

Wessel's basic religious principles are essentially those of Augustine, through whom he reached the

Platonic conclusion that God is Abso-3. Basal lute Being; he is the necessary exist-Religious ence, as opposed to the finite and in-Principles. Cidental. The end of man is to raise himself to this stage of absolute being

by complete self-surrender and self-denial. But such elevation above everything earthly is impossible without divine mediation. God has sent down the fulness of his being through the son, the virgin, and the angels, who act as intermediaries. Nature is the ordinary expression of the will of God, while miracle is the will of the same God expressed in what is unusual. As far as his relations to his immediate physical environments are concerned, man

is left to his own counsel, wherein his personality is recognized in its specific value as against absolute being. Man is essentially in the image of God, bearing the trinitarian characteristics of mind or memory, intelligence, and will. The original state of man was less perfect than that of the angels, since he was on a lower stage. Hence the image of God required purification and perfection through the angels. The mind is to be purified by wise knowledge of God, intelligence is to be illumined by the sublime glorification of God, and the will is to be perfected through the blessed enjoyment of God. The Father works on the mind, the Word on the intelligence, and the Holy Spirit on the will. Evidently such a foundation, mingling together arbitrarily the metaphysical with the ethical, must have its effect upon the doctrine of sin. Sin is defined as an abiding below the ideal, remaining behind the goal of accomplishment. Distinction is made between sins of commission and omission, and the guilt which results from breach of the law which requires man to be perfect as God is perfect. Before the fall there were venal faults in a failure to attain the perfection required; in the fall there was additional the contempt of divine revelation. Wessel knew of a fall not only in the world of man but in that of angels: the former left an abiding degeneration; the latter had also its effects on man because of the intimate relations which existed between men and angels, the latter being mediaries, as stated above. The fallen angels also worked upon man, awakening self-love, in which original sin essentially consists. While man is not in a position alone to reach perfection, the conditions are always at hand for attainment of this, and Father, Son, and Holy Spirit cooperate to this end.

In Wessel's Christology the idea of completeness is put in the foreground as against the idea of re-

demption and reconciliation. Since
the creature from the beginning is in need of reconciliation with the absolute
God, the incarnation was determined upon and prepared. That apart from the fall the Word would have become flesh is affirmed. Why God became man is answered by the statements that it was in order that the community of the tri-

God became man is answered by the statements that it was in order that the community of the triumphant Church might not be deprived of its head, that the building of the holy temple might have its corner stone, that all creation might have its mediator, and that the whole army and people of God might have its king. The fall from life in God could be remedied and a return effected only through the flesh raised above every creature [through the incarnation]. The human in Christ was only the shell which the divine rulership and completeness was to fill. Wessel, in following out such a train of thought as the foregoing, was not satisfied with merely theoretical consequences. The individual character of the incarnation lay in the fact that in the whole life and particularly in the death of Christ existed the exposition of the content of the eternal Word. Thus the human side was at the fore in Wessel's Christology. The significance of the priesthood of Christ was also emphasized, and in this the self-emptying of the Word had its part in that as the sacrificial

lamb the sufferings of Christ and his death were an

equivalent which wrought satisfaction. There is not possible another victim for sin that is past, for when sin is remitted sin ceases; and when that takes place, righteousness begins. Wessel's doctrine of the saving value of Christ's death should not be contused with the theories of Anselm and Luther, although there are similarities of expression. The saving value of Christ's death consists in the absolute devotion of love which makes an immediate impression not only on sinners but upon all the imperfect, awakens love in them, draws them unto itself, and equips them with the Spirit, which in turn becomes a means of the full knowledge of God. There can be no doubt that Wessel derived the salvation of the individual from a divine and abso-

lute act of grace. As Christ was the 5 Doctrine first predestined one, so were all the of Justifica- members of the congregation of Christ tion. predestined. Wessel follows the tradition of Augustine and of other theologians before the Reformation. Faith is a gift of God, inclining the mind to accept the truth of the Gospel, and faith directs itself to the crucified Christ.

Wessel's conception of justification is the same as Augustine's, viz., an imparting of God's righteousness. Penitence is essentially contriteness of heart, a readiness to surrender self to the guidance of the divine revelation. It is a step in the process of the establishment of righteousness, and at a higher stage it becomes the right valuation of sin. In so far as penitence is pain, it is sorrow accompanying love because of inability to comprehend divine love in its full extent. The mystic love, which from the beginning operates in faith, can find satisfaction only in an ascetic liberation from the world. Victory over the world does not for Wessel mean the moral conquest and transformation of the world and of one's own life, but rather mystic indifference to the world as compared with knowledge and contemplation of God. In this regard Wessel lacked the true Reformation spirit. His significance for the Reformation of the sixteenth century lies chiefly in his criticism upon ecclesiastical life.

In the medieval view the Church was a kind of sanitarium able with its treasures of grace to provide for men eternal salvation. This f. Doctring ways Wessel rejected, and regarded the

6. Doctrine view Wessel rejected, and regarded the of the Church as a communio to which all belonged who were united to Christ in one faith, one hope, and one love. He

did not stress, as did Augustine and his followers in the Middle Ages, the fact of predestination; he substituted for "the predestined" the phrase "the saints." The external unity of the Church under one pope was not essential but incidental. In expressing this opinion Wessel shook the cornerstone of the medieval ecclesiastical structure. Regarding the external form of the Church as a matter of indifference, Wessel saw no necessity for transforming it and thus his position remained essentially negative. Wessel denied to the Church all authority in matters of faith and all capacity to impart salvation with certainty. Neither the pope nor the Church is infallible. Many popes "committed pestilential errors." That Christians should submit blindly to the mandates of ecclesiastics is "irra-

tional" and "full of blasphemy." Councils are not infallible organs of the Spirit, and their findings are subject to the judgment of the laity. Wessel anticipated the Reformation in that he based his position on the authority of Scripture, though he conceded a certain authority to the Church even when it did not fall in with the Spirit as operative in the Word. Alongside of the inner priesthood there is an external, sacramental priesthood. He grants the rights of papal jurisdiction and of legislation relating to the outer peace and safety of the Church; but this has the nature of a contract. A transgression of the common rights by the ecclesiastical authority might as in the case of civil superiors be met with deposition. Wessel refused any especial efficacy to the priesthood. The claim that salvation was dependent upon the sacraments and that the priests imparted the sacraments, was disposed of by discounting the value of the latter. That Wessel did not expressly dispute the seven sacraments was because he saw no particular significance in them. He did not regard baptism as having power to cleanse from sin, or participation in the communion as a means of receiving the Spirit. In the mass neither the "intention" of the celebrant nor the "judgment" of him for whom the mass was celebrated had any worth; everything depends upon the soul within, on love and internal character and longing, on spiritual hunger and thirst.

Wessel sharply criticized the medieval doctrine of Penance (q.v.). He was not able to see how there could be punishment after forgiveness;

7. Penance, imputation [of sin] comes to expression Confession, only in punishment, and when impu-Absolution tation ceases, there can be no punish-

ment. If God remits eternal punishment, why should he not remit the temporal also? It would be the greatest obstacle to piety if the pious had to carry constantly with them the thought of their own baseness. Corporal "contrition, affliction, chastisement, mortification," involved no more than a contrite body, not a contrite heart. The only real "satisfaction" (in the theological sense) is conversion. No duty can be imposed upon the converted other than that he sin no more, and that he love God with a pure affection. Similarly, confession is the consequence and not the condition of justification; it signifies hatred of sin. Indeed, it is better to praise God than to confess one's sins. Absolution is not within the power of the father confessor; it depends upon the inner disposition, which is unknown to the priest in the confessional. Absolution is an accompaniment, not the essence, of justification. It comes with the awakening of love. God alone can act upon the inner soul of man. Human efficacy, whether of priest or holy person, is excluded. The reception of the believer into the community of the saints is but the recognition of an already accomplished divine act. The activity of the priest in the sacrament is therefore merely ministerial. Penitence remains a purely ecclesiastical institution, and as such is not rejected by Wessel, but it is accompanied by abuses that must be opposed.

The most serious abuse associated with the Church's doctrine of penance was that of indul-

gences. Wessel attacked this error from many sides. The pope had not the power to separate sin from punishment, the person from his acts. There is to be no such distinction made 8. Indulgences and between temporal and eternal pun-Purgatory, ishments as was often made the basis of an argument in favor of the indulgence. Indulgences, moreover, introduce contradiction into the necessary connection of sin and punishment. Besides this, the pope can not step in between man and God, nor has he power over the merits of Christ nor over the efficacy of the saints' intercession. Wessel declined also the current doctrine of purgatorial fire. He believed in the necessity of a continuous development of Christian life after death, and would not hear of rendering satisfaction for sins in purgatory. While the soul may in the future be purified of dross still clinging to it from its earthly existence, such a process must be spiritual and enjoyable rather than one producing misery. Entrance into "purgatory" must accordingly be one step in a process of betterment, it must lead to a state of being superior to the first state of Adam, since the possibility of temptation is excluded. If there be "pain" in purgatory, that pain is sorrow rather than suffering—sorrow caused by the sense of unworthiness. It is the purifying pain of love of Christ.

While Wessel has been perhaps too enthusiastically praised by Ullmann (see bibliography) as a "Reformer before the Reformation," it is equally a mistake to consider him an orthodox churchman. That he foreshadowed the German Reformation is evinced by his teachings as set forth above. Yet in many respects Wessel's face was turned backward toward Augustine and Bernard.

(S. D. VAN VEEN.) BIBLIOGRAPHY: The only edition of the Opera was published at Groningen, 1614, reprint, Amsterdam, 1617. The earliest "Life" was by A. Hardenberg (A. Rizæus) and was prefixed to the Opera, ut sup. Consult further: H. von der Hardt, Memoria Chrysoloræ, Byzantini, Helmvon der Hardt, Memoria Chrysoloræ, Byzantini, Helmstadt, 1718; J. Wessel, G. H. Goetzi . . . commentationem . . . de Joanne Wesselo . . . tuebitur, Lübeck, 1719; J. M. Schroeckh, Christiche Kirchengeschichte, xxxiii. 278-295, 45 vols., Leipsic, 1768-1812; W. Muurling, Commentatio . . de Wesseli Gansfortii cum vita, Utrecht, 1831; idem, Oratio de Wesseli . . principiis atque virtutibus, Groningen, 1840; B. Bähring, Leben Johann Wessels, 2d ed., Bielefeld, 1852; O. Jaeger, J. Wycliffe und seine Bedeutung für die Reformation, Halle, 1854; J. Friedrich, Johann Wessel, Regensburg, 1862; J. J. Doedes, in TSK, 1870; P. Hoffstede de Groot, Johan Wessel Ganzevoort, Groningen, 1871; C. Ullmann, Reformers before the Reformation, ii. 263-615 (a critical account of the literature, 610-615, which the earnest student should not overlook), Edinburgh, 1877, cf. his Johann Wessel, ein Vorgänger Luthers, Hamburg, 1834; S. Kettlewell, Thomas à Kempis, and the Brothers of Common Life, 2 vols., London, 1882, 2d ed., abridged, chap. xiv., ib. 1885; Bayle, Dictionary, v. 543-547.

WESSENBERG, ves'sen-bārg, IGNAZ HEIN-RICH KARL VON: Liberal Roman Catholic; b. at Dresden Nov. 4, 1774; d. at Constance Aug. 6, 1860. He began his education in the Institut St. Salvator at Augsburg, then changed to Dillingen (where Johann Michael Sailer, q.v., was teaching), and then to the University of Würzburg, where he became acquainted with Karl Theodor von Dalberg, who was greatly to influence his life; he next attended the University of Vienna, spending the most

of his energies, however, in the library and in making the acquaintance of a circle of men highly placed in political position. In 1798 he went to Constance, where he had a prebend in the cathedral, pursuing, meanwhile, his studies in history and canon law. Here a poetical letter, Ueber den Verfall der Sitten in Deutschland (Zurich, 1799), indicated the general bent of his thought. He held a high ecclesiastical position next in Augsburg; by this time Dalberg was bishop of Constance, and he invited Wessenberg to his diocese as vicar-general. In this position he worked so effectively that he soon gained papal approval in a special brief. He sought to make conditions there higher and more ethical, worked for the foundation of seminaries for the priesthood, inaugurated ministerial conferences, attempted to improve the sermon and catechetical exercises, and aroused by these measures great hostility and caused complaint to Rome. On the death of Dalberg he was nominated as administrator of the diocese, but the false assertion that he denied the deity of Christ and other complaints caused the Curia to reject the nomination. At Rome the pope refused him audience, and his general reception was unfavorable. In 1827 he laid down his office and retired to private life at Constance, though he served in the Baden house of representatives and was honored by high and low.

Two leading ideas controlled Wessenberg's life: he desired to see a national German Catholic Church and the revival of councils, and these purposes gained for him the enmity of the Curia. He regarded the Gallican Church with its four articles of 1682 as an excellent model; and toward a church of this pattern in Germany he labored at the congress at Vienna in 1814, using his influence and his pen-Die deutsche Kirche, ein Vorschlag zu ihrer neuen Begründung und Einrichtung (1815)-but in vain. In his ecclesiastical and theological thinking he was midway between Sailer and Benedikt Maria Werkmeister (q.v.), excelling both in political insight and energy. He was especially anxious to see a return to the conditions of primitive Christianity. In his major work, Die grossen Kirchenversammlungen des 15. und 16. Jahrhunderts (4 vols., 1840), in spite of the mass of materials which he had read, there fail the notes of solid learning and scientific method. His brochures on practical theology display little depth of acuteness. So his Gott und die Welt, oder das Verhältnis der Dinge zueinander und zu Gott (2 vols., 1857) does not transcend the limits of a popularly philosophical presentation. He also was known as a poet (Santliche Dichtungen, 7 vols., Stuttgart and Tübingen, 1834-54). Other works were: Betrachtungen über die Verhältnisse der katholischen Kirche in Umfange des deutschen Bundes (1816); Die christlichen Bilder (1826-27); and Ueber Schwärmerei (1832). Where he shines is as a Christian character, to which were added the graces of a noble culture. These worked out into a liberal, patriotic, and broad Catholicism, which was, however, denied its fruition through the entrance into his region of a Jesuitical and Romanizing Catholicism. (K. Benrath.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Sketches of the life have been issued by J. Beck, Freiburg, 1862; Kreuz, St. Gall, 1863; Friedrich, in F. von Weech, Badische Biographien, vol. ii., Darmstadt, 1875; and in ADB, xlii. 147-157. Consult further: Das Leben I. H. von Wessenbergs, chemaligen Bisthumsverwesers in Constanz, Freiburg, 1860; O. Mejer, Zur Geschichte der römisch-deutschen Frage, vol. i. passim, ii. l, pp. 54-86, iii. 271 sqq., Rostock, 1871-74; E. Friedberg, Der Staat und die Bischofswahlen in Deutschland, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1874; J. Friedrich, Geschichte des vatikanischen Konsils, i. 179 sqq., Bonn, 1877; F. Nippold, Handbuch der neussten Kirchengeschichte, i. 523-531, ii. 543-546, Berlin, 1901.

WESSOBRUNN, vee'so-brun, PRAYER: A poem, followed by a prose prayer, found at the end of the second part of a manuscript collection, entitled De poeta, derived from the cloister of Wessobrunn, south of Munich. It is probably of Bavarian origin, and

was to all appearances composed in the eighth century. Possibly dependent upon Ps. lxxxix. 2, it pictures in nine alliterative lines the original chaos when only God and his angels existed. The first five lines have been incorrectly supposed to represent heathen cosmological conceptions, but there is no valid reason for disputing the unity and Christian origin of the entire poem. (E. STEINMEYER.) BIBLIOGRAPHY: The text is in K. Müllenhoff and W. Scherer. Denkmäler deutscher Poesie und Prosa, vol. i., 3d ed., Ber-

Denkmaler deutscher Poesie und Prosa, vol. i., 3d ed., Berlin, 1892 (there are also to be found titles of earlier literature on the subject). Consult further: J. N. Kelle, Geschichte der deutschen Litteratur, i. 74 sqq., Berlin, 1892; R. Kögel, Geschichte der deutschen Litteratur, i. I, pp. 269 sqq. et passim, Strasburg, 1894.

WEST INDIES.

Geography (§ 1). History and Population (§ 2). The Spanish Period (§ 3). Non-Roman Missions (§ 4). Moravians (§ 5). English Weslevans (§ 6). English Baptists (§ 7). Church of England (§ 8). Scotch Presbyterians and English Congregationalists (§ 9). Protestant Episcopalians (§ 10).

American Baptists and Other Protestant Organizations (§ 11).
General Present Conditions (§ 12).
Cuba (§ 13).
Porto Rico (§ 14).
Statistical Summary (§ 15).

The West Indies constitute an archipelago extending in an eastward curve from North to South America, and separating the Caribbean Sea from the Atlantic Ocean and the Gulf of Mexico. The principal groups from north to south are: (1) The Bahamas, consisting of some thirteen low islands with many keys and reefs; area, 5,450 sq. m.; population, 53,735; Nassau is the capital and chief port.

(2) The Greater Antilles, which include Cuba, the largest of the West Indies, with an area of 44,164 sq. m.; population, 1,820,239, most of whom

are white; Havana is the capital, and the commercial center of all the islands. Haiti, the next island, has a total area of 28,250 sq. m., and is divided into the two Republics of Haiti; area, 10,205 sq. m.; population, 960,000, nine-tenths of whom are negroes—and Santo Domingo; area, 18,045 sq. m.; population, 610,000, a mixed race descended from the aborigines and their Spanish conquerors. West of Haiti lies Jamaica, which, including its dependent islands, has an area of 4,424 sq. m., and a population of 716,394, a mixture of whites, blacks, and half-breeds; Kingston is the capital and leading city. (3) The Lesser Antilles, properly including two groups: the Caribbean and Venezuelan, or Windward and Leeward, Islands, of which the largest and best-known are the French island of Martinique, the British island of Barbadoes, and, in the extreme south, Trinidad.

The islands were discovered in 1492 and succeeding years by Columbus in his voyages to the New World. The Spanish first settled at Haiti, and later at Cuba, Porto Rico, and Jamaica, treating the natives with such cruelty that by the middle of the eighteenth century they were practically exterminated, and negro slaves were imported to work on the plantations. During the seventeenth century the Spanish were followed by the

the Spanish were followed by the French, English, and Dutch, who settled in the Bahamas and the Caribbean Islands. Little by little the islands were wrested from their first conquerors, and the opening of the twentieth century sees Cuba an independent republic, under the

protection of the United States; Haiti and Santo Domingo, independent republics; Porto Rico, a part of the United States; the Bahamas and Jamaica, crown colonies of Great Britain; and the remaining islands divided among Great Britain, France, Denmark, Holland, Sweden, and Venezuela. Among the population, the larger portion of whom are illiterate, only a remnant of the original inhabitants remain. It is estimated that fully 60 per cent of the entire population are mulattoes; in Cuba and Porto Rico the white race predominates, but in the other islands the colored race is in the majority, and in all there is a sprinkling of Chinese and Hindus. In Cuba, Porto Rico, and Santo Domingo, Spanish is the prevailing language; in Haiti it is French; in the British islands a Negro-English patois is spoken; the southern islands use a conglomerate of Dutch and Spanish, and in all fragments of aboriginal dialects are to be found; Roman Catholicism is the dominant religion.

In the journal of his first voyage Columbus states that, "In all those islands there is no difference of physiognomy, of manners, or of language, but they all clearly understand each other—a circumstance very propitious for the realization of what I conceive to be the principal wish of our Most Serene King, namely, the conversion of these people to the Holy Faith of Christ." In his will he desired his heirs "to spare no pains to put in this island of Española four good professors of theology . . . to convert to our Holy Faith the inhabitants of the Indies." Side by side with the passion for conquest in material things was that of spiritual conquest in the minds of these early Spanish explorers, and canversion, by any means, was the order. Conquest was first; lands were seized, and natives were enslaved; after that came the proselytizing. One of the first missionaries was Bartolomé de Las Casas (q.v.), who came to Cuba in 1502 and began a heroic struggle, not only with the heathenism of the islanders, but with the rapacity of their conquerors, and in this he had many associates of the Dominican order, though their efforts were of little avail to stem the tide. After the death of Las Casas, who was rightly called the "Apostle to the West Indies,"

conditions rapidly became worse. Still, some efforts were made to improve the condition of the natives,

and in 1556 the Jesuits established a mission at Havana, which was continued for six years, though with indifferent success; and at last they, too, were driven out by the determined oppo-

sition of the planters. During these and ensuing years the history of the West Indies is a dark record of slavery, piracy, and cruelty. The Church and the State were one, and the former had to bear the blame for both. No faith but Roman Catholicism was allowed, and the inquisition was introduced to extirpate heresy. The native population rapidly disappeared, and Africans, Chinese, and Hindus were either captured or lured into slavery to take their place. Nor was the pall lifted with the coming of the other Christian nations. England made penal colonies of her islands, and in the early days of her occupation "Barbadoed" became a significant term in London, for men and women, as well as boys and girls, were kidnaped and shipped to the islands; and all, Spanish, French, Dutch, and English, vied with each other in lust of land, slaves, and gold.

The English conquest of 1661 was followed by the entrance of the Church of England in 1662, but in its early history in the West Indies it did no missionary work, the clergymen devoting themselves wholly to the English residents in the islands. In 1703 the

Society for the Propagation of the 4. Non-Gospel began to render aid with books Roman and money, but the first organized Missions. Protestant missionary effort in the islands was that of the Unity of the Brethren, or Moravians, in 1732. The Wesleyan Methodist Missionary Society of England followed in 1786; the Baptist Missionary Society of England and the Church Missionary Society in 1814; the Society for the Propagation of the Gospel in 1818; the Scottish Missionary Society in 1824; the United Presbyterian Church of Scotland and the London Missionary Society in 1835; the American Missionary Association in 1847; the Protestant Episcopal Church in 1865; and the Southern Baptist Convention in 1886; while during all these years the Roman Catholic orders, including the Dominicans and Jesuits, have been more or less actively working.

The first Moravian missionaries to the West Indies were two artizans, Leonard Dober, a potter, and David Nitschmann (q.v.), a carpenter, who, while with Zinzendorf at Herrnhut, had met a negro slave, named Anthony, from St. Thomas, and had been profoundly impressed with the great need of the natives in that island for the Gospel. Amid great difficulties they made their way to the West Indies in 1732, ready themselves to become slaves, if need be, in their enthusiasm to help the oppressed. They were followed the next year by twenty-nine others,

5. Moravians. many of whom succumbed to the climate, while the planters opposed them on every hand. Nevertheless, a few slaves were baptized, and through one of them a great awakening spread over the entire island of St. Thomas. The planters became more

bitter in their opposition, punishing slaves who attended service and increasingly persecuting the missionaries, till, when Zinzendorf visited the island in 1739, he found several of them in prison, under a charge of being dangerous agitators. He secured their release, but laws were passed forbidding work among the slaves, and the banishment of the missionaries was attempted. Yet some few of the planters became friendly, and by their changed attitude greatly helped the work. In 1733 St. Croix was occupied, and subsequently became the principal station of the Moravians in the Danish Islands; the work was pushed as rapidly as possible to other islands, and St. John was occupied in 1741, Jamaica in 1754, Antigua in 1756, Barbadoes in 1767, St. Kitts in 1777, and Tobago in 1787. In the centenary jubilee of 1832, a total of 37,000 persons who had received baptism was reported. The West Indies Mission of the Moravians, with its 40,000 Christians, is becoming an independent Church province. It receives little outside financial support, schools have native teachers, and many of the churches possess native pastors, but the supervision of the work is still in the hands of the European missionaries.

There were in 1911 59 churches, with 16,363 communicants; 51 stations; 39 substations; 50 missionaries; and 854 native helpers.

After the Moravians, the English Wesleyans were the next to enter the field. A Mr. Gilbert, Speaker of the House of Assembly at Antigua, while on a visit in England, heard Wesley preach and was converted. He returned to Antigua in 1760, and at once began work among his slaves, some 200 of whom were converted. After his death the work was continued by two slave women until the arrival of John Baxter, a Christian shipwright, who continued the work alone for eight years, laboring in the dockyards for his support. About 2,000 slaves had become Christians, when, in 1786, Thomas Coke (q.v.), on his way to Nova Scotia with three missionaries, was driven by storm to

6. English Antigua, where he remained about

Wesleyans. six weeks, visiting several islands and locating missionaries in the new stations. The planters opposed the Wesleyans as bitterly as they did the Moravians, and in 1792 a law was passed prohibiting all but rectors of parishes to preach without a license, which no one who had not resided for twelve months on the island could receive; for the first infringement of this law, the punishment was fine or imprisonment; for the second, corporal punishment and banishment; if banished, the penalty for return was death. This law was in force but a short time when it was abrogated by the king, as contrary to the British Constitution, and in 1794 the missionaries again resumed work, the negroes responding joyously. By 1813 over 11,000 Christians were found in the Wesleyan missions alone. In 1820 the entire West Indies field was divided into four districts: Antigua, St. Vincent, Jamaica, and the Bahamas, and the work everywhere progressed rapidly, though not without opposition. The influx of immigrants had an unfavorable effect, those from Africa especially tending to demoralize the people by their heathen proclivities,

while new difficulties were experienced through the necessity of learning the languages of the Hindu coolies, this problem being met in part by the coming in 1852 of a missionary who understood the Tamil language, to work specially among them. The emancipation of all slaves in the British Islands in 1834, which was completed in 1838, was followed by a similar proclamation in the Danish possessions in 1848, and many important changes followed. Education now flourished, the governments made grants in aid of land to the missions, and for a time it seemed as if the work of evangelization was to be speedily accomplished. But with their freedom the former slaves deteriorated, and many returned to heathen practises, while the terrible Obi superstition held not a few in its grip, and the lack of moral fiber added to the difficulties of building up a Christian civilization. By the middle of the nineteenth century (1850), the Wesleyan Methodist Mission had 4 circuits with 52 stations and about 400 preaching-places; 79 missionaries and assistants; 146 native helpers; 48,589 church-members; and 259 Sunday- and day-schools, with 18,247 scholars. In spite of opposition from the planters, and notwithstanding the superstition of the natives, the work increased from decade to decade, and, with the exception of the Bahamas District, the West Indies are now an independent church province, being no longer classed as a mission field.

The Baptist Missionary Society of England began work in Jamaica in 1813, building on the foundations laid by a negro from Virginia, who had labored in Kingston since 1783. After his death the work was continued by one of his followers, and he applied to the Baptist Missionary Society.

plied to the Baptist Missionary Society 7. English for aid. By the advice of William Wil-Baptists. berforce (q.v.), missionaries were sent out in 1813; chapels were built and schools established; more missionaries were sent out; and by 1831 there were 14 English missionaries in charge of 24 churches and 10,000 communicants. This year the slaves rebelled against their masters, and missionaries were charged with having instigated the insurrection. They were arrested and their lives were threatened, but when brought to trial they were acquitted. Many of their chapels and schools had been destroyed, however, and two of their number, Knibb and Burchell, were sent to England, not only to ask for assistance, but to enter a vigorous protest against the traffic in slaves. Their mission was successful, the government indemnified the mission for the property which had been destroyed, and the abolition of the slave-trade in their possessions immediately followed. The work was resumed and greatly prospered, so that in 1842 the Jamaica Baptist Missionary Union was formed, including 132 almost entirely self-supporting churches. Other stations were occupied, missionaries were sent to Trinidad, the Turk Islands, Santo Domingo, and the Bahamas, and here also the people contributed largely to their own support. The society gradually discontinued its workers, so that by 1900 of the ten English missionaries on the field, all but two were independent of its aid. At this time there were 286 stations and substations, some 600 native helpers, 186 churches, and 38,341 communicants.

The Church Missionary Society of England entered the field in 1814, beginning work on Antigua,

and opening stations on Jamaica in 8. Church 1826, and on Trinidad in 1836. When, of England. however, the Colonial State Church was organized in 1839, the C. M. S. withdrew from the field. Early in the eighteenth century, General Christopher Codrington bequeathed two estates to the Society for the Propagation of the Gospel, to provide instruction for the negroes in the Barbadoes and other Caribbean Islands, with the stipulation that an institution be maintained where the "students shall be obliged to study and practice Phisick and Chirurgery as well as Divinity, that by the apparent usefulness of the former to all mankind they may do good to men's souls while taking care of their bodies." The college was formally opened in The college was formally opened in 1745, and the S. P. G. still administers the trust by which it is supported. In 1818 the society sent missionaries to the Barbadoes, and gradually extended its work to the other islands, but it also withdrew from the field in 1839, only continuing its trust of Codrington College.

The Scottish Missionary Society began a work at Jamaica in 1824, which was rapidly pushed to other islands. In 1835 the first missionaries of the United Presbyterian Church of Scotland were sent out, and Trinidad was occupied; while in the following year the two societies united in forming the Jamaica Presbytery. Three new stations were occupied 1837–40, and the work greatly prospered, until, in 1847, the Scottish Society gave the

9. Scotch
Presbyterians and decade the ill-health of the missionEnglish
Congregationalists.

in 1847, the Scottish Society gave the year of the United Presbyterian Church. During the next decade the ill-health of the missionthe people caused a time of deep distinguished in 1861 a revival brought renewed interest and a

great accession to the membership of the church. A seminary was established, with a department for training a native ministry which sends out capable colored pastors. Since 1900 the work has been carried on by the Committee of the United Free Church of Scotland, and at that time there were 60 churches in Jamaica and Trinidad, with a membership of 21,500, while the work was largely self-supporting. In 1835 the desire of the emancipated slaves for teachers led the London Missionary Society to send missionaries to Jamaica, in connection with their mission in British Guiana. In 1839 the West India Missionary Committee, consisting of residents of New England and New York, was formed to receive and forward contributions for the support of these missionaries; in 1843 the Jamaica Congregational Association was organized as a local missionary agency, though in 1847 the work passed into the care of the American Missionary Association. By 1867 the churches became self-supporting, and in 1876 the Congregational Association of Jamaica assumed full control.

In 1861 James Theodore Holly (q.v.) obtained permission from the Missionary Committee of the Protestant Episcopal Church to go to Haitl with a missionary colony; and he there established a work which, in 1865, was taken under the control

of the American Church Missionary Society. The missionaries were greatly hampered by war and pestilence, but nevertheless were so 10. Protes-successful that in less than a decade tant Epis- the Church in Haiti was recognized by copalians. the General Convention, and Holly was consecrated its first bishop. In 1883 the work practically became independent, though receiving some financial aid as one of the churches in communion with the Protestant Episcopal Church. In 1911 there were 11 priests, 2 deacons, 13 lay readers, 21 missions, 753 communicants, 189 day-school pupils, 358 Sunday-school pupils, contributions \$2,076.

After the Cuban rebellion of 1880, Captain Diaz of the insurrectos fled to New York to escape the Spanish forces. While there he was converted, and, after some time spent in study, returned to Cuba to preach the gospel to his fellow countrymen. He

persevered amid great persecution, but in 1885 the Southern Baptist Convention went to his assistance, he was ordists and other Protestant organizations.

During the next two years over 1,000 people were baptized; nine native pastors were at work; and dayand Sunday-schools were established.

Other churches were organized in various parts of the island, and seventeen preaching-stations were maintained. Over 800 persons applied for baptism in one year, but most of them were totally ignorant as to the meaning of the rite. Over 2,000 children were in the Sunday-school in Havana alone, and from 150 to 200 in each of the other churches. The work of Diaz is conspicuous in that it was the only organized Protestant work in Cuba previous to the Spanish-American War. Other organizations working in the remaining islands of the group to a greater or less extent were the Danske Evangelisk-Lutherske Statskirke (1665); the African Methodist Episcopal Church (1824); the United Methodist Free Churches of England (1838); the Presbyterian Church of Canada (1869); the American Baptist Missionary Union (1870); the Methodist Episcopal Church, South, the American Board of Commissioners for Foreign Missions, and the Presbyterian Church in the U.S. A. (1872); the Methodist Episcopal Church (1873); the Presbyterian Church in the U. S. (1874); the Christian Woman's Board of Missions (1876); the American Friends Board of Foreign Missions (1883); the Seventh Day Adventists (1890); the Christian and Missionary Alliance (1891); and the National Baptist Convention (1893).

Up to the time of the Spanish-American War (1898-99), Protestant missionary operations in the West Indies had been confined largely to the Bermudas, Haiti, Jamaica, and the Lesser Antilles; Cuba and Porto Rico being Spanish possessions

Cuba and Porto Rico being Spanish possessions which missionaries were forbidden to 12. General enter. As a result of the labors of the Present various organizations, the Bermudas Conditions. and the Lesser Antilles may be considered Christianized, though many of the people are weak and ignorant, and there is much room for future development on every line. Haiti

and Santo Domingo are outwardly Roman Catholic, but underneath the form of religion is a current of superstition, and African fetishism still holds many in its thrall. Jamaica is perhaps the most thoroughly Christian of any island in the group, owing to the dominance of England and the natural possibilities of the island. While none of these islands are now properly considered as mission fields, there is large opportunity for building up the weak church-members into strong Christian communities, and this is the present work which is engaging the missionary organizations of the various churches.

During the years that the missionaries were slowly working a transformation in these islands, Cuba and Porto Rico were debarred from all progress by the policy of Spain, even the priesthood being against civic reform and freedom of religious worship. The political rulers were in the islands solely for gain, and the religious leaders as a class were ignorant, avaricious, and indifferent to their holy office. Cathedrals were built, and there was a form of re-

ligion; all ecclesiastical functions were 13. Cuba. punctiliously performed; but pretically nothing was done, during the four centuries of Spanish dominion, for the betterment of the people. In 1790 there were but two schools, outside Havana, in the entire island of Cuba, as the archbishop refused to sanction more on the ground that popular education was unnecessary. In Porto Rico there was a system of education in the cities, but there were few schools of any kind in the rural districts, and fully 87 per cent of the people could neither read nor write. The people rose repeatedly against their conquerors, only to be the more oppressed. Promises of reforms and freedom were made only to be broken, and at last the long history of misgovernment culminated in the revolution of 1895, when a four-years' struggle ensued. The conflict was terminated only by the intervention of the United States, which sent an army to Cuba, the result being the withdrawal of Spain from the group, and ultimately the annexation of Porto Rico to the United States and the formation of the Republic of Cuba under the protection of the United States. In 1900 the Constitution of this new republic guaranteed that "All religious beliefs, as well as the practise of all forms of religion, are free, without further restriction than that demanded by respect for Christian morality and public order." As soon as this clause became effective the field was occupied by various American missionary organizations. The Southern Baptist Convention had been working in Cuba since 1886, but the missionaries were forced from the field by the war, and at its close they found themselves with one nominal church, of "forty scattered and unfindable members." Work was reopened with new vigor, and so prospered that it is said that over one-third of all the Protestants on the island belong to this one church. There are (1911) 2 missionaries, 26 pastors and helpers, 2 stations, 41 substations, and 18 churches, with 1,078 communicants. Other societies which have entered Cuba since 1898 are the Methodist Episcopal Church, South; the American Baptist Home Missionary Society; the Congregational

Home Missionary Society; the Presbyterian Church in the U. S. A.; the Foreign Christian Missionary Society; the Presbyterian Church, South; the Protestant Episcopal Church; the Seventh Day Adventists; and the Universalist Church.

In Porto Rico the Protestant Episcopal Church was already in the field, with a small chapel for the English-speaking residents, and they at once extended their work to reach the other races also. Other organizations are the American Missionary Association; the American Baptist Home Missionary Society; the Presbyterian Church in the U. S.A.;

the United Brethren; the General
Council of the Evangelical Lutheran
Church; the Methodist Episcopal
Church; the Christian Woman's Board

of Missions; the Seventh Day Adventists; and the Christian and Missionary Alliance. As the work developed, some plan of cooperation became necessary, and in 1902 representatives of the various missionary organizations met at Cienfuegos in Cuba to consider the question of comity. The Episcopal Church had already made the island a missionary diocese with a resident bishop, but the other communions decided that cities of 6,000 or more inhabitants should be open to all, while the rest of the island was divided among them, each denomination to care for a certain district, so that there should be no overlapping or friction, and give the best result. This division of the field had already been made in Porto Rico, and in both islands there was the most cordial cooperation among the various religious bodies at work. Centuries of Roman Catholic teaching made the task of the Protestant missionaries most difficult. The work was begun vigorously, however, largely along evangelistic lines, though educational and theological institutions for the training of leaders for the churches were at once planned. The multiplication of schools under government and independent auspices; the establishment of hospitals and dispensaries; and, above all, the services of the Christian minister, freely given, not only in solemnizing marriages and in the other sacraments of the Church, but in all lines of Christian activities, are slowly solving the problems of these islands. One happy result of the work of the Protestant missionaries has been to arouse the Roman Catholics to greater activity and new methods, and the once dormant though dominant church is establishing schools and colleges, and doing its share in the uplift of the people.

Bahama Islands: 7 societies; 37 missionaries; 266 helpers and pastors; 10 stations; 134 substations; 2 churches; 19,182 communicants; contri-

butions, \$4,622.

Cuba: 16 societies; 142 missionaries; 137 helpers and pastors; 50 stations; 176 substations; 118 churches; 9,173 communicants; con15. Statis- tributions, \$22,485.

tical Porto Rico: 15 societies; 167 mis-Summary. sionaries; 200 helpers and pastors; 52 stations; 274 substations; 120 churches, and 9,692 communicants; contributions, \$3,777.

Haiti and Santo Domingo: 9 societies; 17 missionaries; 139 helpers and pastors; 21 stations; 41

substations; 4 churches; 2,706 communicants; contributions, \$1,635.

Jamaica: 18 societies; 257 missionaries; 1,852 helpers and pastors; 277 stations; 426 substations; 384 churches; 138,333 communicants; contributions, \$174,057.

Lesser Antilles: 14 societies; 186 missionaries; 977 helpers and pastors; 54 stations; 189 substations; 104 churches; 80,787 communicants; contributions, \$79,193.

Total for the group: 806 missionaries; 3,571 helpers and pastors; 464 stations; 1,240 substations; 732 churches; 259,873 communicants; contributions, \$285,769.

THEODORA CROSBY BLISS.

Bibliography: Works of a general nature are: J. de Acosta, Natural and Moral Hist. of the West Indies, Louisian, C. H. Eden, The West Indies, ib. 1881; W. Moister, The West Indies, Enslaved and Free, ib. 1883; J. A. Froude, The Enclish in the West Indies, ib. 1888; C. E. Taylor, Natural and Moral Hist. of the West Indies, London, 1880; The English in the West Indies, ib. 1888; C. E. Taylor, Leaflets from the Danish West Indies, ib. 1888; A. M. Kol-lewijn, Geschiedenis van Nederlandsch West-Indië, Amersfoot, 1887; O. T. Bulkeley, The Lesser Antilles, London, 1889; C. W. Eves, The West Indies, ib. 1889; H. V. P. Bronkhurst, Geography of the West India Islands, Demerara, 1890; L. Hearn, Two Years in the French West Indies, London, 1890; C. P. Lucas, Historical Geography of the British Colonies, vol. ii., The West Indies, Oxford, 1894; J. Rodway, The West Indies, New York, 1896; L. Peytrand, L'Esclavage aux Antilles françaises avant 1789, Paris, 1897; R. T. Hill, Cuba and Porto Rico, with the rains, 1897; R. I. Hill, Cuba and Porto Rico, with the other Islands of the West Indies, New York, 1898; L. Llorens Torres, América. Estudios históricos y filológicos, Madrid, 1898; A. K. Fiske, The West Indies: a Hist. of the Islands of the West Indian Archipelago, New York, 1899; M. Halstead, Hist. of American Expansion and the Story of our new Possessions, ib. 1899; M. A. Hamm, Porto Rico and the West Indies, London, 1899; C. S. Walton, The Civil Law in Spain and Spainsh-America including Puerto Rico, Washington, D. C., 1900; A List of Books on the Danish West Indies, Congress Library, Washington, D. C., 1901; J. de Dampierre, Essai sur les sources de l'histoire des Antilles françaises, 1492-1664, Paris, 1904; F. Dodsworth, The Book of the West Indies, London, 1904; H. H. van Kol, Naar de Antillen en Venezuela, Leyden, 1904; F. A. Ober, Our West Indian Neighbors, New 1904; idem, Guide to the West Indies, ib. 1908; G. Weggener, Reisen im Westindischen Mittelmeer, Berlin, 1904; J. Henderson, The West Indies, London, 1905, new ed., New York, 1909.

On separate parts of the West Indies: J. H. Stark, Hist. and Guide to the Bahama Islands, Boston, 1891; G. Lester, In Sunny Isles: Chapters treating chiefly of the Bahama Islands, London, 1897; The Bahama Islands, ed. G. B. Shattuck, New York, 1905; N. D. Davis, Cavaliers and Roundheads in Barbadoes, ib 1888; J. Y. Edghill, About Barbados, London, 1890; J. H. Stark, Hist. and Guide to Barbados, Boston, 1893; A. Bachiller y Mornles, Cuba: Monografia historica, Havana, 1883; F. Vidal y Careta, Estudio de las razas humanas que han ido poblando sucesivamente la Isla de Cuba, Madrid, 1897; I. E. Canini, Four Centuries of Spanish Rule in Cuba, Chicago, 1898; R. Davey, Cuba, Past and Present, London, 1898; F. Matthews, The New-born Cuba, New York, 1899; E. Aubert, Les nouvelles Ameriques. Cuba, etc., Paris, 1901; H. Gannett, A Gazetteer of Cuba, Washington, D. C., 1902; H. H. S. Aimes, Hist. of Slavery in Cuba, 1511-1568, New York, 1907; I. A. Wright, Cuba, ib. 1910; Sir S. St. John, Hayti or the Black Republic, London, 1889; E. M. Bacon and E. M. Aaron, The New Jamaica, New York, 1890; F. Cundall, Bibliotheca Jamaicensis, ib. 1895; J. H. Stark, Jamaica Guide, Boston, 1898; B. P. Burry, Jamaica as it is, 1903, London, 1903; F. Dodsworth, The Book of Jamaica, Kingston, 1904; W. J. Gardner, Hist. of Jamaica, new ed., New York, 1909; A. D. Hall, Porto Rico, New York, 1899; R. A. van Middeldyk, The History of Puerto Rico, ib. 1903; S. Brau, Historia de Puerto Rico, ib. 1904; S. Hazard, Santo Domingo, Past and Present, London, 1873; D.

Hort, Trinidad, Historical and Statistical, ib. 1865; J. A. de Suze, Geography of Trinidad and Tobago, Trinidad, 1894. Specifically on the religious side are: H. B. Foster, Wesleyan-Methodism in Jamaica, London, 1881; W. Carlile, Thirty-eight Years' Mission Life in Jamaica, ib. 1884; E. Nuttall, The Churchman's Manual, Jamaica, 1893; J. B. Ellis, Hist. of the Church of England in Jamaica, Kingston, 1891; A. Caldecott, The Church in the West Indies, London, 1898. Consult also the more general literature under Missions.

WEST, SAMUEL: The name of two American Unitarian ministers.

1. Of New Bedford, Mass.; b. at Yarmouth, Cape Cod, Mass., Mar. 3, 1730 (O. S.); d. at Tiverton, Newport Co., R. I., Sept. 24, 1807. After a youth spent on his father's farm, he entered Harvard College (B.A., 1754), and after graduation spent several years in further study, much of his time being devoted to science, while in later years he developed a very marked interest in alchemy. In 1761 he was ordained to the ministry of the Congregational church at New Bedford, Mass., which he continued to serve, though at times much crippled financially, until age and impairment of mental powers forced him to retire in 1803. During the Revolution he served as a chaplain in the American forces at Boston, and took an active part as a member of the conventions for framing the constitution of Massachusetts and for adopting the constitution of the United States, his personal influence over his former classmate, Governor Hancock, largely securing the adhesion of his state to the American Constitution. Besides his addiction to alchemy, West devoted much time to the study of expected fulfilments of prophecy, and these traits, together with an almost incredible absent-mindedness, give a curious picture to the present day. Although he published a number of sermons, West is chiefly memorable for a polemic against Jonathan Edwards' doctrine of predestination, entitled Essays on Liberty and Necessity (2 parts, 1793-95).

2. Of Boston; b. at Martha's Vineyard, Mass., Nov. 19, 1738 (O. S.); d. at Boston, Mass., Apr. 10, 1808. After a youth of privation, he entered Harvard College (B.A., 1761), and on graduation was chosen chaplain to the garrison at Port Pownal, Penobscot, Me., where he spent a year. After acting as an occasional supply at Cambridge, where he had returned for further study, he was ordained in 1764 to the ministry of the church at Needham, Mass., where he remained, despite some friction owing to the delay of his congregation in paying him his salary, until 1789, when he assumed charge of the Hollis Street Church, Boston, where he labored regularly until 1801, after which increasing infirmity of age compelled him gradually to withdraw from active life. Brought up as a Calvinistic Trinitarian, West broke with Calvinism at an early period; his precise views on the Trinity are uncertain, but he was ranked as an opponent of the conservative school. His only publications were a number of sermons.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: W. B. Sprague, Annals of the American Unitarian Pulpit, New York, 1865, pp. 37-55; a biographical sketch of West of Boston by T. Thacher is also appended to the funeral sermon delivered by J. Lathrop, Boston, 1808.

WEST, STEPHEN: b. in Tolland, Conn., Nov. 2, 1735; d. at Stockbridge, Mass., May 15, 1819.

He was graduated from Yale College, 1755; pursued his theological studies with Rev. Timothy Woodbridge of Hatfield, Mass.; was called in 1757 to be the military chaplain at Hoosac Fort; in 1758 he was invited, by the commissioners for Indian affairs in Boston, to succeed Jonathan Edwards in the Indian mission at Stockbridge, and was ordained pastor of the church at Stockbridge in 1759; here until 1775 he preached to the Indians in the moming and in the afternoon to the white settlers; after that year he confined his labors to the latter. Early in this pastorate he adopted the views of Jonathan Edwards; he then preached a series of sermons, which were afterward published in the form of an Essay on Moral Agency (New Haven, 1772, 2d ed., 1794). He next published his Essay on the Scripture Doctrine of the Atonement (1785; 2d ed., with appendix, 1815). After he had passed his eightieth year he issued his Evidence of the Divinity of the Lord Jesus Christ, Collected from the Scriptures (1816). He attracted to himself many theological pupils, who resided in his house, and uniformly spoke of him in terms of the highest admiration. At least five of them became eminent as preachers and writers; among them may be noted Samuel Spring (q.v.), of Newburyport, and John Thornton Kirkland, president of Harvard College.

West was not only a man of great diligence in study, but was also noted for practical insight and activity. It was partly in recognition of this that in 1793, when Williams College was incorporated, Dr. West was named as one of the trustees, and at the first meeting of the board was elected vice-president of the institution. He was one of Samuel Spring's chief counselors in forming the Creed and Associate Statutes of Andover Theological Seminary. He was also a pioneer in the organization and operation of various missionary and charitable institutions.

Bibliography: W. B. Sprague, Annals of the American Pulpit, i. 548-556, New York, 1859; W. Walker, in American Church History Series, vol. iii, passim, ib. 1894; F. B. Foster, New England Theology, pp. 204 sqq., Chicago, 1907.

WESTCOTT, BROOKE FOSS: Church of England, bishop of Durham; b. near Birmingham Jan. 12, 1825; d. at The Castle, Bishop Auckland (9 m. s.s.e. of Durham), July 27, 1901. He was educated at Trinity College, Cambridge (B.A., 1848; fellow, 1849; M.A., 1851; B.D., 1864; D.D., 1870); was ordained deacon and priest (1851); assistant master at Harrow School (1852-69); examining chaplain to the bishop of Peterborough (1868-83); canon residentiary (1869-83); rector of Somersham with Pidley and Colne, Hunts (1870-82); honorary chaplain to the queen (1875-79); select preacher at Orford (1877-80); in 1870 became regius professor of divinity, Cambridge; in 1879, chaplain in ordinary to the queen; in 1882, fellow of King's College, Cambridge; in 1883, examining chaplain to the archbishop of Canterbury; in 1884, canon of Westminster; and in 1890, bishop of Durham. During 1881-83 he served as a member of the royal commission on ecclesiastical courts; and was also a member of the New Testament Revision Company (1870-81). He is one of the brightest examples of English scholarship and industry, and is as remarkable for the fine quality of his work as for the num-

umes which he produced. He will probngest remembered for his joint production on John Anthony Hort (q.v.) of The New in the Original Greek (2 vols., 1881). But of his studies was far wider than this, he New Testament canon, contributions phy, and exegetical work of the highest was hardly less noted as a preacher than ar. He was in demand as a speaker on national, industrial, and social interest, 12 almost alone succeeded in securing seta dispute between coal-miners and emhich threatened to wreck the industries of transportation in the United Kingdom. valued contributor to William Smith's of the Bible (1863) and to the same edi-Dean Henry Wace's Dictionary of Chrisaphy (1877-87). His independent publiome of which passed through numerous omprise:

of Gospel Harmony (Cambridge, 1851; Norrisian leneral Survey of the History of the Canon of the sent during the First Four Centuries (London, racteristics of the Gospel Miracles (1859); Intro-e Study of the Gospels (1860); The Bible in the M); The Gospel of the Resurr ection w of the History of the English Bible (1868); ife Manifold and One (sermons; 1872); Some e Religious Office of the Universities (1873); The (the Risen Lord (London, 1882); The Gospel ac-t. John (1882); The Historic Faith (lectures on Creed (1883); Epistles of St. John, Greek Text, Issays (1883); Revelation of the Father: Titles of 84); Christus Consummator: Some Aspects of the erson of Christ in Relation to Modern Thought 886); Social Aspects of Christianity (1887); Vicross: Sermons in Holy Week (1888); Epistle to: Greek Text, with Notes (1889); Gifts for the rom Strength to Strength: Three Sermons (1890); fe: a Study of Christian Doctrine (1892); Bishop 894): The Incarnation and Common Life (1894.); Christian Aspects of Life (1897); Some Lesrised Version of the New Testament (1897); Les-Work (1901); and the posthumous Words of Tope (1902); Christian Social Union Addresses terborough Sermons (1904); Village Sermons 1 The Two Empires; the Church and the World

HT: A. Westcott, Life and Letters of Brooke Foss 2 vols., London, 1903, abridged ed., 1905; Mrs. r. Secret of a great Influence: Notes on Bishop Teaching, ib. 1905; J. Clayton, Bishop Westaders of the Church, 1880-1800, ib. 1907; H. S. Brooke Foss Westcott, ib. 1910.

N, ves'ten, THOMAS: Apostle to the 1 Finns; b. in Trondhjem, Norway, Sept. d. there Apr. 9, 1727. The people to went live in the region, partly in Norway, 34 degrees north latitude; their present given as about 30,000, of whom 21,000 rway; but earlier they must have been erous. By the Norwegians they are called ich name they prefer; but the Swedes Lapps, with sinister suggestion. Their ows them related to the inhabitants of Christianity had earlier been imposed 1, but heathenism had remained their prectise. The character of the ministrations een such as to win them to a regard for beliefs. But before the time of Westen had been done for the Finns by the Daegian church. When Erich Bredahl, [.-21

bishop of Trondhjem, had been driven from his diocese in 1658, he became vicar of Trondenäs. whence he undertook several journeys to the Finns; some he won to Christianity; in 1703 the schoolmaster Isaac Olsen went to East Finnmark, where the provost Paus recognized his worth and made him teacher at Waranger, where he labored faithfully for fourteen years in all sorts of perils and dangers. Under Frederick IV. of Denmark and Norway in 1707 a commission was given to Paul H. Resen to investigate the condition of schools and churches in the north; the direction following this to the bishop of Trondhjem to better conditions was disregarded in fact. In 1714 the king directed a mission to the Finns to be undertaken, entrusting the task to the Collegium de promovendo cursu Evangelii, and the choice of an agent fell on Westen.

Preliminary training in the school of poverty and hardship had rendered Westen fit for the work. He had studied medicine at his father's command, but after his father's death (just as he was taking his degree) he studied theology under great privations; Frederick IV. appointed him a librarian without pay, 1707, and in 1710 he became pastor at Weö in Romsdalen; then in 1716 the Collegium made him vicar and chief of the mission to the Finns, and the same year he undertook his first journey among his people, while Bishop Krog of Trondhjem attempted to nullify his work. Westen settled missionaries. provided for houses of worship, gathered data, and laid the foundations for further work. On his return he founded a seminary for children of the Finns, at his own cost, and this had much to do with later success. Bishop Krog's hostility pursued him, but the king and the collegium supported him, so that new helpers came to his assistance in the persons of Arvid Bistok, Elias Heltberg, Martin Lund, and Erasmus Rachlew. With these in 1718 he began a new tour among the Finns, leaving his helpers settled in various places to do steady work, himself preaching, teaching, overcoming opposition wantonly placed in his way, and gaining the hearts of his people, who came to call him "the good man." The reports of Westen's labors caused a desire to hear from him in person, and he was called to Copenhagen, where to the king he related what was being done and what was necessary. He gained new helpers, and in 1722 began his third great missionary circuit. He found a thirst for knowledge and for the Gospel awakened, and established new schools, while the assistants gained the complete confidence of their people, who gave up their idolatry. On this journey Westen entered virgin territory, going among those who had sworn to kill him and his companions, and gained them for the Gospel.

From that time till his death Westen was permitted to see the fruits of his labors in the upbuilding of his people's faith. His travels and hardships had so undermined his health that he was unable to take long journeys, but he continued to make short visits to the nearer points, while his literary activities were continually employed in furthering the interests for which he had worked, though his story of the missions was not published and seems to have been lost. The opposition to which he was exposed by Bishop Krog increased and aggravated

the illness resulting from his labors; in his closing days he suffered also from temporal needs, having devoted so completely his income to his work that when he died a subscription was necessary for the expenses of his funeral.

(J. Belsheimt.)

Bibliography: H. Hammond, Den nordieke Missions Historic, Copenhagen, 1787; Christoterpe, 1833, pp. 299 sqq.; G. Plitt, Kurze Geschichte der lutherischen Mission, pp. 133 sqq., Erlangen, 1871.

WESTMINSTER ASSEMBLY: A synod of Calvinistic divines held in London 1645-52. This synod was the culminating act in the struggle between the Anglican and Puritan parties in the Church of England which had been in progress for more than a century, from the days of William Tyndale, and had been warmly fought during the reign of Elisabeth. It occupies the first place among the synods of the Reformed Church for the distinction of the men who composed it, the character of the documents it sent forth, and the size of the constituency which accepts these documents. It was never accepted, however, on the continent, where the canons and decrees of the Synod of Dort proved to be the most widely accepted Reformed symbols.

In spite of the attempts of Elizabeth to crush all Puritan dissent, Puritanism continued to be a strong force till the end of her reign. Under Elizabeth's

successor, James I., 1603-25, and his Summons son Charles I., 1625-49, the repressive and Object. policy was continued and a certain galling element introduced especially through the Book of Sports (q.v.), and under the regime of Archbishop Laud. To the difference which divided the two parties in matters of ritual and church government was added a wide difference in the matter of doctrine. The theology of both was strongly Calvinistic down to the close of Elizabeth's reign, as is shown by the Lambeth Articles (q.v.), which were issued in 1595 with the signatures of the archbishops of Canterbury and York and of other Anglican prelates. Arminianism began to infiltrate from Holland into England under James, and was adopted by Laud as a policy to be carried out in determining the appointment of bishops and other clergy. [The so-called Arminianism of Laud and other High-churchmen was rather the semi-Pelagianism of the Roman Catholic Church. A. H. N.] Through the insane measures of Laud Scotch Presbyterians were to be brought into close alliance with the English Puritans. Following in the line of James II.'s measures to crush out Presbyterianism and abolish permanently the General Assembly. Laud sought to force episcopacy and the ritual of the Anglican Church upon Scotland, and in 1633 made offensive display of the Anglican ritual at Holyrood House, Edinburgh. Laud's Book of Canons and a new liturgy based upon the Book of Common Prayer were to be made obligatory and courts of high commission were set up in every diocese to see that they were observed. The attempt to introduce the new order in Edinburgh, July 23, 1637, produced an uproar (see Geddes, Jenny), and the resistance of the people embodied itself in the National Covenant, Feb. 28, 1638, which bound

the people to defend their ecclesiastical liberties

against papal corruptions (see Covenanters, § 3).

The crisis in England was brought about by the summons of the long parliament in 1640, which Charles, because of his financial straits, was forced to convene. This parliament, although its leaden were Anglicans, was strongly Puritan in spirit. Petitions poured in upon it to institute ecclesiastical changes, including the "Root and Branch Petition" with 15,000 signatures asking that episcopacy be done away "with all its dependencies, roots and branches." In 1641 the house of commons ordered images, altars, crucifixes, and relics of idolstry removed from the church buildings. At the invitation of London ministers, a delegation from the South Assembly led by Alexander Henderson (q.v.) visited London to set up a presbytery. In 1642 parliament abolished episcopacy and the liturgy. The parliamentary and royalist armies were in the field. It was in the midst of this political and ecclesissical commotion that the Westminster Assembly was called. The "Grand Remonstrance" had been sent up to parliament demanding such a religious assembly to discuss and arrange matters ecclesiatical within the realm. Two bills convening the synod were suppressed by Charles. The third resulted in the gathering which held its first meeting July 1, 1643. The object of the assembly was declared to be " to settle the government and liturgy of the Church of England and for the vindicating and clearing of the doctrine of the said church from false aspersions and vituperations as should be agreeable to the Word of God and most apt to procure and preserve the peace of the church at home and bring it unto near accord with the Church of Scotland and other Reformed churches abroad."

The membership was fixed by parliament at 121 clergymen and 30 laymen, 20 laymen being chosen from the house of commons and 10 from the house of lords. The clergymen were taken two from each county, and two each from Oxford,

Member- Cambridge, and the Channel Islands, ship, Place one from each county of Wales, and of Meeting, four from London. To this number and was added the delegation sent by the Parties. Scotch Assembly. Among the eminent English divines who had no seat in the assembly were Richard Baxter and John Owen (qq.v.). Three delegates are said to have been invited from New England, John Cotton of Boston, Thomas Hooker of Hartford, and John Davenport of New Haven (qq.v.). The first meeting was held in Westminster Abbey, Dr. Twisse preaching the sermon (not extant) from John xiv. 18. Assembling at first in the chapel of Henry VII., the body adjourned to the Jerusalem Chamber (q.v.) in the deanery of Westminster, where it continued to six

In matters of doctrine, all the members were Calvinists, although they were divided between infralapsarianism and supralapsarianism. The Minutes of the assembly show that moderate Calvinism was represented by a body of eminent and weighty men. The leading difference was upon the subject of church government. There were five groups, representing four different types of opinion as follows:

(1) The Episcopalians, made up of four prelates, including Archbishop Ussher (q.v.), and five doctors

of divinity. Only one bishop was seen in the assembly and one doctor of divinity, Dr. Daniel Featley (q.v.), who, however, was expelled for offending against the assembly's law forbidding the divulgence of its proceedings. The prelates had been forbidden by Charles to attend. (2) The Erastians, including the great scholars, John Lightfoot and John Selden (qq.v.), who, with Erastus of Heidelberg, regarded the State as the final seat of authority in ecclesiastical matters. (3) The Independents, few in number but powerful in debate, including Philip Nye and Thomas Goodwin (q.v.), later Cromwell's chaplain, both of them returned from exile in Holland. This group, called "the five dissenting brethren," fought Presbyterianism with great tenacity and acumen. They withdrew after the Presbyterian polity was adopted. (4) The Presbyterians, who were preponderant from the beginning and gained in strength. In their number were William Twisse (q.v.), the prolocutor of the body; Herbert Palmer (q.v.), popularly regarded as the chief author of the Shorter Catechism; Stephen Mar-shall (q.v.), a great preacher and the chief gobetween for the assembly and parliament; and Joseph Caryl (q.v.), the author of the voluminous commentary on Job. (5) The Scotch commissioners, including the élite of the Scotch clergy, appointed by the assembly, namely, Alexander Hen-derson (q.v.), rector of Edinburgh University; Robert Baillie (q.v.), the Boswell of the assembly, principal of Glasgow University; Samuel Rutherford (q.v.), professor at St. Andrew's; and George Gillespie (q.v.), parish minister in Edinburgh. Rev. Robert Douglas, the fifth clerical delegate, did not attend. The two lay delegates were Lord Maitland and Sir Archibald Johnstone. Of the latter, his nephew, Bishop Burnet, said that he often prayed in his family two hours at a stretch. The Scotch were the most vigorous element in the assembly. They acted in agreement and demanded a place on each of the committees, a demand that was granted. They had a great advantage from the start. Parliament in its struggle with Charles had turned to Scotland for help. To the parliamentary delegation was added a delegation from the assembly which called upon the Scotch to take part in its proceedings. In accepting the invitation, the Scotch made their own terms which were embodied in the Solemn League and Covenant. This famous document, drawn up by Alexander Henderson, stated as its object to defend the Reformed religion in Scotland in doctrine, worship, discipline, and government, and "to secure a reformation of religion in England and Ireland according to the Word of God and the practise of the best Reformed churches," and to bring the two countries "into the nearest conjunction and uniformity in religion, confession of faith, form of church government, directories of worship, and catechising." The aim of the Scotch was to establish Presbyterianism in England and in its work the assembly followed the program laid down in the five particulars of the Solemn League.

The members were chosen by parliament, as were also the prolocutor and the two clerks. The assembly met every week-day except Saturday, and "commonly" sat from nine in the morning till one

or two in the afternoon. A table stood in the middle of the room at which were seated the prolocutor and the clerks. Benches ran down the room on

each side, the Scotch sitting in a body Mode of on the moderator's right. The mem-Procedure. bers of parliament sat on chairs near the fire. Usually sixty were present. No one was admitted to the sittings without an order from parliament. The rules provided that no question should be brought to a vote the day it was proposed, and that speakers should make their statements good from Scripture. There was freedom of debate and the speakers spoke as long as seemed to them good. Baillie declares that they "harangue long and learnedly." The body was divided into three committees, to one of which each member belonged, and through these committees the business was brought before the main body. Fast-days were frequently appointed on which religious services were conducted during the day without pause. Baillie speaks of such a day (May 17, 1644, the devotions lasting from nine to five) as the sweetest day he had experienced in England. Up to Feb. 22, 1648, the assembly held 1,163 sessions. The body then seems to have closed its appointed work, but continued an irregular existence as a board of triers for examining ministers until 1652, when it seems to have expired of inanition. Fuller says "it dwindled by degrees and vanished with the parliament."

The assembly produced five disciplinary and doctrinal statements. At the direction of parliament, it first set itself to revise the Thirty-nine Articles of Religion (q.v.). When it reached Article XVI. it was ordered by the same body to turn

Its Work. aside and devote itself to the preparation of a statement on church government which the Scotch regarded as the key to the situation. The result was the "Propositions concerning Church Government and Ordination of Ministers," which was sent to parliament in completed form Nov. 8, 1644. Parliament took many liberties with the document and sent it back to the assembly for amendment. It was not finished till 1648. By order of parliament the Confession of Faith was taken up in Aug., 1644, and presented to the commons Dec. 4, 1646. The assembly was instructed to add the Scripture proofs and the document was completed Apr. 29, 1647. The Scotch assembly approved it in 1647 and the Scotch parliament in 1649. The English parliament adopted it with some changes 1648. The "Directory for the Public Worship of God "was completed at the close of 1644 and approved by the English parliament for England and Wales Jan. 3, 1645, and a month later by the Scotch general assembly. It gave prescriptions for the conduct of public worship and other clerical functions and set aside the prescribed liturgical forms of the Book of Common Prayer. The two Westminster Catechisms, called the Larger and Shorter Catechisms, were prepared simultaneously with the Confession of Faith. It was at first proposed to prepare but a single one, but the assembly, yielding to the feeling expressed by Rutherford, that it is hard "to dress up meat and milk in the same dish," prepared two. The impression still

prevails that the assembly succeeded in putting much strong meat into both documents.

At the suggestion of parliament the assembly also adopted Rous' version of the psalms, a version which was produced by Sir Francis Rous, himself a member of the house of commons (see HYMNOLOGY, IX., § 2).

(1) Parliament nominated the members, proposed the business of the assembly, revised its work, provided the rules by which it was to conduct business, and appointed its officers. (2) The work of the assembly failed in the land which gave

Things to it birth. Under Cromwell, Independbe Noted. ency ruled, and, in 1660, episcopacy

was reestablished as the law of England and the Book of Common Prayer and the Thirty-nine Articles of Religion were again enforced. Puritanism produced Milton and the Pilgrim's Progress, but it has never since been an organized force till the last half-century. The Presbyterian Church of England has no historical kinship to the Westminster Assembly and is of recent organization. (3) The four outstanding principles emphasized by the assembly are the authority of the Scriptures, which is set forth in a noble form of statement, the sovereignty of God, the rights of conscience, and the sole jurisdiction of the Church within its own domain. The statement of the last two principles easily includes more than the framers intended. When the assembly insisted that "God alone is the Lord of the conscience," it did not mean to issue a brief of religious toleration. The Puritan churches of America were far from any such idea, and Baillie declared "that such a thing as tolerating all and any religion was so prodigious an impiety that this religious parliament can not but abhor the very naming of it." The assembly's assertion of the jurisdiction of the Church over its own affairs did not involve for the men of that day the idea of the separation of Church and State.

The Westminster symbols still remain the canonical books of the Presbyterian churches throughout the world (the word "Presbyterian" being taken in a narrower sense than the expression "Reformed Churches"), but not without important modifications not only in the text of the Confession of Faith, but also in the practical usages in worship and church government. The text of the Catechisms remains, but the Larger Catechism is now seldom committed to memory and little read. The Westminster symbolical books are the compact historical expression of the Puritan impulse of Great Britain, which was Calvinistic in doctrine and anti-episcopal in govern-DAVID S. SCHAFF.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Sources: The official manuscript records of the Westminster Assembly were long supposed to have perished in the London fire of 1666, but were discovered in London in the library of Dr. Williams in three folio vol-umes, and were edited in part by A. F. Mitchell and B. Struthers as Minutes of the Sessions of the Westminder Assembly of Divines, Edinburgh, 1874. This volume contains the doctrinal debates; the minutes containing the debates on church government and discipline are not yet debates on church government and discipline are not yet published. J. Lightfoot, Journal of the Proceedings of the Assembly of Divines (July 1, 1643-Dec. 31, 1644), in his Works, ed. Pitman, vol. xiii., London, 1824; G. Gillespie. Notes of the Debates and Proceedings of the Assembly of Divines and Other Commissioners at Westminster (Feb. 2, 1644-Jan. 3, 1645), in Presbyterian Armoury, ed. D. Meek, vol. ii., Edinburgh, 1844; the Journals of the house of lords and house of commons, 1643-49; R. Baillie, Letters and Journals, ed. from the Author's Manuscripts by D. Laing, vols. ii.-iii., Edinburgh, 1841-42; A. F. Mitchell and J. Christie, Records of the Commissions of the General Assen-

J. Christie, Records of the Commissions of the General Assemblies of the Church of Scotland 1646-49, 2 vols., ib. 1892.

Consult further: J. Reid, Memoirs of the Westminster Divines, 2 vols., Paisley, 1811-15; W. M. Hetherington, History of the Westminster Assembly of Divines, Edinburgh, 1843, 5th ed., revised by R. Williamson, 1890; C. A. Briggs, in Presbyterian Review, 1880, pp. 127-164; A. F. Mitchell, The Westminster Assembly, its History and Standards London, 1882, pages ed. Philadelphis 1897. Standards, London, 1883, new ed., Philadelphis, 1897; W. W. Henry, The Westminster Assembly, New York, 1897; Memorial Volume of the Westminster Assembly. 1897; Memorial Volume of the Westminster Assembly, 1647-1897, ib. 1897; Addresses at the Celebration of the 250th Anniversary of the Westminster Assembly, ib. 1898; Schaff, Creeds, i. 725-811; B. B. Warfield, in Presbyterian and Reformed Review, 1901, pp. 226 sqq.; W. Beveridge, Short Hist. of the Westminster Assembly, Edinburgh and New York, 1904; idem, Makers of the Scottish Church, ib. 1908. Note also Cambridge Modern History, iv. 356-363. 897, New York, 1906. The subject is illumined by accounts in T. Fuller, Church Hist. of Britain, century xviii. counts in T. Fuller, Church Hist. of Britain, century xviii., book xi., London, 1655 and often; D. Neal, Hist. of the Puritans, ed. J. Toulmin, 5 vols., Bath, 1793-97; J. B. Marsden, Hist. of the Early Puritans, and Hist. of the Later Puritans, 2 vols., London, 1850-52; A. P. Stanley, Memorials of Westminster Abbey, ib. 1868; T. McCrie. Annals of English Presbytery, ib. 1872; J. Stoughton, Hist. of Religion in England, vol. i., new ed., London, 1881; T. Leishman, The Westminster Directory, Edinburgh, 1901; W. H. Hutton, The English Church (1625-1714), pp. 124 sog., ib. 1903. The largest collection of 1714), pp. 124 sqq., ib. 1903. The largest collection of works relating to the Assembly, including sermons by its members before parliament, is in Union Theological Seminary, New York City.

WESTMINSTER STANDARDS.

I. The Westminster Confession. Origin of the Confession (§ 1). Description and Sources (§ 2).

(\$ 3). History in America (§ 4).

History in England and Scotland II. The Westminster Catechisms. History and Character (§ 1). The Shorter Catechism (§ 2),

The Westminster Standards—i.e., the Westminster Confession of Faith and the Westminster Catechisms—are the doctrinal formulas prepared by the Westminster Assembly of Divines (1643-49), and have been adopted by the churches of English, Scotch, and Scotch-Irish origin which follow the Presbyterian system.

I. The Westminster Confession: In its original form, as it left the assembly and was presented to parliament, the Westminster Confession consisted of thirty-three chapters. On giving up the attempt to revise the Thirty-nine Articles (q.v.), the assembly, at the injunction of parliament (Aug. 20, 1644),

appointed a committee to "prepare a joint Confession of Faith," the committee including such men as Thomas Gataker, Joshua Hoyle, William Gouge (qq.v.), and the Scotch commissioners in a body. Its progress was delayed by a contention between parliament and the assembly over the right of office-bearers to withhold the communion from those who seemed to them to be ignorant or scandalous, and on July 22, 1646, an order was sent to the assembly "desiring that it hasten the perfecting of the Confession of Faith and the Catechism because of the great use they might be for the suppressing of errors and heresies and for informing

the ignorance of the people." Nineteen heads were completed and sent to the house of commons Oct.

9, 1646, of which 500 copies were orr. Origin dered printed by the house. On Dec. of the 4, 1646, the whole work was finished Confession. and sent to the commons, and three days later to the lords, the assembly being authorized to have 600 copies printed. On Apr. 29, 1647, the house of commons ordered Scripture proofs added, and 600 copies of these were ordered struck off. Finally, in 1648, the Confession was approved by parliament with the exception of chapters xxx. and xxxi., and parts of chapters xx. and xxiv., these portions bearing on church censures, synods, marriage and divorce, and liberty of conscience. Thus amended, the document was printed in London under the title Articles of Christian Religion approved and passed by both Houses of Parliament after advice had with the Assembly of Divines. In spite of the action of parliament, the Confession has been uniformly printed in Great Britain as well as in Americs in the form in which it left the assembly, and in this form it was adopted by the Scotch assembly in 1647, and by the Scotch estates of parliament in 1649, the latter ordering that it and the two catechisms be published and printed.

The Confession opens with a definition of the Bible as the only rule of faith and practise, and with the proofs by which it attests its authority, and closes with a chapter on the last judgment. It is the clearest, strongest, most logical, and most careful symbolical statement of the Calvinistic scheme of Christian doctrine, and represents the rigorous philosophical type of creedal statement as compared with the Heidelberg Catechism and Bullinger's Second Helvetic Confession, or with the Thirty-nine

2. Descripis not so rigid as the Canons of the
tion and
Sources.

Sources.

Articles, while, on the other hand, it
as the Canons of the
Canons of the
Synod of Dort. It proceeds from the
idea of God's sovereignty and his decrees, and does not by distinct treat-

ment give sufficient prominence to the fatherhood and love of God. Its definitions, starting with the divine foreknowledge and election, may easily be interpreted to nullify the free offer of the Gospel to all men and to deny the readiness of God to redeem all sinners willing to repent. These objections have been met by the Declaratory Statements of the Scotch Churches and the Revision of the American Presbyterian Church (North).

For a long time it was the received opinion that the Westminster Confession bore the stamp of Dutch Theology and of Turretini (q.v.). Even the younger McCrie (Annals of English Presbytery, London, 1872, p. 177) took this position, but Mitchell (Westminster Assembly, Philadelphia, 1897, pp. 370 sqq.), Schaff (Creeds, i. 762 sqq.), and Briggs (Presbyterian Review, Jan., 1880) have shown this view to be untenable. The Confession is based upon a thorough study of the Scriptures, the Continental Reformed theology, the earlier English and Scotch confessions, and more particularly upon the Irish Articles of Archbishop Ussher (q.v.), several sections, such as those on the Scriptures, the Trinity, the decrees, the Lord's Supper, and the civil

magistrate, being drawn largely from the Irish statement, as well as such expressions as "the man of sin," applied to the pope. It must be also remembered that a large number of English catechisms, strongly doctrinal, had proceeded from Presbyterian and Puritan sources, and that William Twisse (q.v.), Gataker, and other members of the Westminster assembly were trained theological disputants and writers. As for subscription to the Confession, it remains a matter of doubt whether the English section of the Westminster divines intended anything more than that the document should be a norm of teaching. On the other hand, the Scotch insisted upon subscription, a course adopted by American Presbyterianism, though in a relaxed form.

In England, where parliament formally established Presbyterianism in 1647, the Confession was modified under the Protectorate, and was set aside when episcopacy, with the Thirty-nine Articles and the Book of Common Prayer, were restored under Charles II., in 1660. In Scotland the parliament of 1690 again "ratified and established the Confession of Faith as the public and avowed confession of this Church," and in the Act of Union of the two kingdoms in 1706-07 the Confession was declared "forever confirmed in the Church of Scotland," even as "the Presbyterian government" was declared to be "the only government of the Church within the realm of Scotland." The Scotch assemblies of 1690, 1699, 1700, 1704, etc.,

3. History required all ministers and probationers in England of the Gospel having license to preach, and and all ruling elders, to subscribe to Scotland. the Confession without amendment;

and this remained law in the churches of Scotland till 1879, when the United Presbyterian Church of Scotland took the initiative in adopting an explanatory statement, or Declaratory Act, intended to "set forth more fully and clearly" some doctrines of Holy Scripture in regard to whose statement in the Confession a demand had been made that they be freed from certain real or apparent inconsistencies with the Scriptural scheme. The act included seven clauses emphasizing (1) God's love to all mankind and the free offer of salvation to men without distinction; (2) that the doctrine of decrees is to be held in connection with the statement that God desires that all men should come to repentance, and that "he has provided a salvation sufficient for all, adapted to all, and offered to all in the Gospel"; (3) that the doctrine of native inability does not imply that men in the state of nature are not responsible to God's law and to the Gospel; (4) that it is not to be held that God may not extend his grace to persons outside the pale of the preached Word, or that all who die in infancy may not be saved; (5) that all intolerant and persecuting principles of action within the Church or by magistrates are disavowed, and that any statement in the standards teaching such principles need not be approved; (6) that the Church is to preach the Gospel to every creature; and (7) that liberty of opinion is to be allowed in matters which are not of the substance of the faith, such as the interpretation of the six creative days. The United Presbyterian Church was followed by the Free Church

in 1892, which passed a Declaratory Act that was substantially the same. In 1894, to remove objections made by the Highlanders, the Free-church assembly passed a supplementary act by which it was left open to office-bearers to take the Confession either with the Declaratory Act or in its original and unmodified form. The Church of Scotland, in 1889 and 1890, also modified the rigor of subscription by going back to the formularies of subscription enjoined prior to that imposed by the General Assembly of 1711; and on the union of the Free and United Presbyterian Churches into the United Free Church in 1900, the Declaratory Acts of both uniting bodies were approved. The English Presbyterian Church, through its synod in 1890, adopted twenty-four Articles of the Faith, this result being reached after the attempt to prepare a Declaratory Statement had been abandoned. To the Articles of the Faith was subsequently added an "Appendix" of six chapters, taking up matters which do "not enter into the substance of the faith," these being questions of polity, worship, and administration. In 1892 the Synod decided that acceptance of the Westminster standards by office-bearers should be modified by reference to the twenty-four Articles of the Faith, the aim in the preparation of which was, while retaining the essential features of Calvinistic doctrine, to lay the emphasis on the love of God in his Gospel.

In America Congregational Churches, through the Cambridge Synod and Platform of 1648, declared that the synod "had perused and considered with much gladness of heart and thankfulness to God the Confession of Faith published of late by the Reverend Assembly in England, and do judge it to be very holy, orthodox, and judicious in all matters of faith, and do therefore freely and fully consent thereunto, for the substance thereof," with the exception of matters of church "government and discipline" as set forth later on in the platform itself. In general these changes were in accord with the amendments made by the Savoy Declaration

to the disciplinary sections of the Con-4. History fession. The American Presbyterian in America. Churches early adopted the Confession

and the Westminster Catechisms. The Synod of Philadelphia, in its Adopting Act of Sept. 19, 1729, formally approved these standards by demanding the acceptance of them, either by subscription or by verbal declaration, "as being, in all essential and necessary articles, good forms of sound words and systems of Christian doctrine, and do also adopt the said Confession and Catechisms as the confession of our faith." In case a candidate had scruples about articles that the synod might regard as unessential, they were not to be a bar to his acceptance, and the same friendship and brotherly love were to be extended to such persons as if they had expressed no differences. This action was the result of a compromise between the Presbyterians of New England antecedents led by Jonathan Dickinson (q.v.), and those of Scotch-Irish antecedents, the latter demanding strict subscription. In 1736, the synod, returning to the subject, affirmed the acceptance of "the good old doctrines contained in the Confession without the least varia-

tion or alteration," except in chapters xx. and xxiii., which bear on the authority of the civil magistrate, since the new American Constitution here required some modifications; and the General Assembly, at its first session in 1789, approved a revision of articles xx., xxiii., and xxxi., and a small amendment in the Larger Catechism, while it also prefixed to the Form of Government a preamble in which the rights of conscience in religious matters were pronounced universal and inalienable, and declared that all religious constitutions should have equal protection from the law. The assembly laid upon ministers the duty "of adopting the confession as containing the system of doctrine taught in the Scriptures, and their approval of the government and discipline of the Presbyterian Church in these United States." The reunion of the two branches of the Presbyterian Church, the Old School and the New School, in 1869 was upon the basis of the Confession and other standards of the Church as interpreted in their historic sense. The Cumberland Presbyterian Church modified the Confession and Catechisms in 1814, especially in the statement of the decree of predestination, and again subjected them to revision in 1883. The incorporation of a large part of the Cumberland body in the Presbyterian Church of the United States of America in 1906 was on the basis of the acceptance of the Confession as then authoritatively held by the mother body. A movement toward revision of the Confession failed in 1889-93, but a second movement was successful, resulting in the Revision of 1903, by which chapters xxxiv. and xxxv. on the Holy Spirit, and the Love of God and Missions were added, as well as a Declaratory Statement of 250 words which modifies chapter iii. concerning the decrees of God, and declares that "Christ's propitiation was for the sins of the whole world," and that God is ready to bestow saving grace on all who seek it. With reference to chapter x. it also declares that all infants dying in infancy are included in the election of grace. Changes were likewise introduced with regard to the nature of the works of the unregenerate (chap. xvi. 7), in regard to oaths (xxii. 3), and in the wording of chapter xxv. in regard to Christ's sole headship over the Church. Here the epithet applied to the pope-"that man of sin" struck out. In 1887, the clause (chap. xxiv. 4) forbidding marriage with a deceased wife's sister had been struck out. The Presbyterian Church of the United States, commonly called the Southern Presbyterian Church, is now engaged in making a small number of changes.

II. The Westminster Catechisms: The Westminster Catechisms are two in number: a large Catechism for ministers, to be explained from the pulpit according to the custom then prevailing in the Reformed churches on the Continent; and a short Catechism, for the instruction of children. Both were presented to parliament for examination and approval in the autumn of 1647, and were printed under the title The Humble Advice of the Assembly of Divines now by authority of Parliament sitting at Westminster, concerning a Larger (Shorter) Catechism, etc. Parliament approved the books, with slight exceptions, Sept. 15, 1648; the Scotch Kirk

adopted them in July, 1648, and again (after a temporary repeal under Charles II.) in 1690. In its acts approving the Catechism, the

1. History Scotch Assembly declared the Larger and (July 2, 1648) to be "a directory for Character. catechizing such as have made some proficiency in the knowledge of the grounds of religion," and the Shorter (July 28, 1648) "to be a directory for catechizing such as are of weaker capacity," both being adopted as "being agreeable to the Word of God, and in nothing contrary to the received doctrine, worship, discipline, and government of this kirk." Anthony Tuckney (q.v.) had the chief share in framing the Larger Catechism, and Wallis, the mathematician, in giv-

Both Catechisms contain an exposition of the Ten Commandments and the Lord's Prayer, and an independent statement of the Christian system of doctrine after the Calvinistic type. The Apostles' Creed is not, as in other Catechisms, made the basis of the doctrinal expositions, but is appended "because it is a brief sum of the Christian faith, agreeable to the word of God, and anciently received in

ing the Shorter Catechism its severely logical finish.

the churches of Christ."

The Shorter Catechism has often been regarded as the ripest product of Puritan experience and theological thought. It closed the period of greatest catechetical fertility in England, when Puritan divines for a quarter of a century had been issuing catechetical manuals, as many as twelve or perhaps fourteen such divines, including Samuel Rutherford and Herbert Palmer (qq.v.), having sat in the

Westminster Assembly. Of some of 2. The these catechisms there are direct traces Shorter that use was made, the most influential Catechism. perhaps being the Chief Grounds of Christian Religion set down by the way

of Catechizing by Ezekiel Rogers, written before 1638, the date when the author emigrated to America. Back of this series of catechisms were John Craig's (q.v.) Scotch Catechism, and, more especially, Calvin's Catechism, whose first question determined the content of the first question and answer of the Shorter Catechism. The Shorter Catechism is, with Luther's Small Catechism and the Heidelberg Catechism, the most extensively used catechism in Protestant Christendom. It exceeds all other catechisms by the terse brevity and precision of the questions and answers, and differs from most by the following peculiarities: (1) it embodies the question in the answer, so as to make this a complete proposition or statement; (2) it substitutes a new and logical order of topics for the old historic order of the Apostles' Creed; (3) it deals in dogmas rather than facts, and addresses the intellect rather than the heart; (4) it puts the questions in an impersonal form, instead of addressing the learner directly; (5) and to this may be added the theological and metaphysical character of the answers. No ecclesiastical attempt has been made to revise the Westminster Shorter Catechism. In 1908 the General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church (North) appointed a committee to prepare a catechism "to be used for home instruction and in the Sabbath-schools, and to be simpler in language than the Shorter Catechism," but it was distinctly stipulated that it should not be "one of the standards of the Church."

PHILIP SCHAFF†. D. S. SCHAFF.
BIBLIOGRAPHY: As sources consult: A. F. Mitchell and J. Struthers, Minutes of the Sessions of the Westminster Assembly of Divines. . . . (Nov., 1644 to March, 1649), London, 1874; J. Lightfoot, Journal of the Proceedings of the Assembly of Divines, from January 1, 1643, to December 31, 1644, in Whole Works, ed. J. R. Pitman, vol. xiii. 1—344, ib. 1825; G. Gillespie, Notes of Debates and Proceedings of the Assembly of Divines and other Commissioners at Westminster, from Feb. 1644 to Jan. 1645, Edinburgh, 1846, cf. idem, Aaron's Rod Blossoming, London, 1646, Edinburgh, 1843; Journals of the house of lords and the house of commons from 1643 to 1649; State Calendars (James I., Charles I., Commonwealth, Charles II.), London, 1857 sqq.; W. Camden, The Annals. . . of King James I., viz. from the Year 1603 to . . . 1623, in W. Kennet, The Complete History of England, ii., ib. 1706; Acts and Proceedings of the General Assemblies of the Kirk of Scotland . . 1560–1618, ed. T. Thomson, 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1839–45; B. Whitelocke, Memorials of the English Affairs . . . from the Beginning of the Reign of Charles the First to Charles the Second, London, 1732; E. Sawyer, Memorials of Affairs of State . . Collected (chiefly) from the . . Papers of . . Sir R. Winwood, 3 vols., fol., ib. 1725; W. Laud, Diary, in vol. i. of Remains, ib. 1695, also in Library of Anglo-Catholic Theology, iii., 7 vols., Oxford, 1847–60; Mrs. L. Hutchinson, Memoirs of Colonel Hutchinson, with . . a Summary Review of Public Affairs, 7th ed., London, 1848; J. Rushworth; Historical Collections . . . from 1616 to 1648, 8 vols. fol., ib. 1721; E. Cardwell, Documentary Annals of the Reformed Church of England . . . from the Year 1648, to the Year 1716, 2 vols., Oxford, 1839; R. Baillie, Letters and Journals, ed. D. Laing, 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1841–43; A. F. Mitchell and J. Christie, Records of the Commissions of the General Assemblies of the Church of Scotland, 2 vols., ib. 1892–96 (covers the years 1646 to 1649); A. Peterkin, Re

On the history consult: T. Fuller, The Church History of Britain, from the Birth of Christ until the Year 1648, London, 1655, new ed., vols. v.-vi., Oxford, 1845; R. Baxter, Narrative of his Life and Times, published as Reliquiæ Baxterianæ, 1 vol. fol., London, 1696, an abridgment appearing later, ib. 1702, 2 vols., ib. 1830; E. Hyde (Clarendon), The History of the Rebellion, 3 vols. fol., Oxford, 1702-04, new ed., 7 vols., ib. 1849; D. Neal, History of the Puritans, or Protestant Nonconformists, from ... 1517 to ... 1688, 4 vols., London, 1732-38, new ed., 2 vols., New York, 1858; J. Reid, Memoirs of the Lives and Writings of those Eminent Divines who Convened in the Famous Assembly at Westminster, 2 vols., Paisley, 1811-15; W. M. Engles, A History of the Westminster Assembly of Divines, Embracing an Account of its most Conspicuous Members, Philadelphia, 1841; T. Carlyle, Life and Letters of Cromwell, 2 vols., London and New York, 1845; H. Hallam, Constitutional History of England, chap. vii.-xi., 5th ed., 2 vols., London, 1846; J. B. Marsden, The History of the Early Puritans, from the Reformation to ... 1642, ib. 1850; idem, The History of the Later Puritans, from ... the Civil War in 1642 to ... 1662, ib. 1852; J. Stoughton, Spiritual Heroes; or, Sketches of the Puritans, chap. vi., pp. 120 sqq., ib. 1850; idem, Church and State Two Hundred Years Ago. A History of Ecclesiastical Affairs in England from 1660 to 1663, ib. 1862; idem, Ecclesiastical History of England, 5 vols., ib. 1867-75; S. Hopkins, The Puritans during the Reigns of Edward VI. and Queen Elizabeth, 3 vols., Boston, 1859-1861; J. Tulloch, English Puritanism and its Leaders: Cromwell, Milton, Baxter, Bunyan, London, 1861; A. F. Mitchell, The Westminster Confession of Faith: a Contribution to the Study of its Historical Relations and to the Defence of its Teaching, 3d ed., Edinburgh, 1867, cf. his introduction to Minutes ... of the Westminster Assembly, ut sup.; idem, The Westminster Assembly, its History and Standards, London, 1883, 2d ed., Ph

Catechism of the Westminster Assembly and its Puritan Precursors; Rutherford's and other Scottish Catechisms of the Same Epoch, with Historical Introduction and Biographical Notices, London, 1886; A. T. Innes, The Law of Creeds in Scotland, ib. 1867; D. Masson, The Life of John Milton: Narrated in Connection with the Political, Ecclesiastical, and Literary History of his Times, vol. ii (1871), Bks. III.—IV., vol. iii (1873), Bks. I.—III., 6 vols., ib. 1859–80; T. M'Crie, Annals of English Presbytery from the Earliest Period to the Present Time, ib. 1872; J. B. Bittinger, The Reformation of Our Standards, in the Presbyterian Quarterly and Princeton Review, July, 1876, pp. 387 sqq.; W. M. Hetherington, History of the Westminster Assembly of Divines, 4th ed., Edinburgh, 1878; C. A. Briggs, Documentary History of the Westminster Assembly, in Presbyterian Review, 1880, pp. 127–164; T. Leishman in R. H. Story, The Church of Scotland, Past and Present, v. 307–426, London, 1890–91; idem, The Westminster Directory with an Introduction and Notes, ib. 1901; C. G. McCrie, The Public Worship of Presbyterian Scotland, § 4, 170–240, ib. 1892; idem, The Confessions of the Church of Scotland: their Evolution in History, Edinburgh, 1907; W. Carruthers, The Shorter Catechism of the Westminster Divines . . . with Historical Account and Bibliography, London, 1897; J. H. Overton, The Church in England, ii. 107–110, ib. 1897; W. H. Roberts, Westminster Standards and the Formation of the American Republic, Philadelphia, 1898; W. Lloyd, The Story of Protestant Dissent and English Presbyterians, London, 1899; W. A. Shaw, A History of the English Church during the Civil Wars and under the Commonwealth, 2 vols., ib. 1900; F. Procter and W. H. Frere, A New Hestory of the Book of Common Prayer, chap. vi., 158–164; ib. 1901; B. B. Warfield, in The Presbyterian and Reformed Review, 1901 (Apr., Oct.), 1902 (Jan., Apr., July, Oct.); W. Beveridge, A Short History of the Westminster Assembly, Edinburgh, 1904; P. Schaff, Creeds of Christendom, i.

For exposition or doctrinal discussion consult: W. Parker, The Late Assembly of Divines' Confession of Faith Examined . . . Wherein many of their Excesses and Defects, of their Confusions and Disorders, of their Errors and Contradictions, are presented, London, 1651; D. Dickson, Truth's Victory over Error; or, an Abridgment of the Chief Controversies in Religion, etc., Edinburgh, 1684, Glasgow, 1725 (a catechetical exposition of the Westminster Confession); idem, A Brief Sum of Christian Doctrine contained in Holy Scripture, and holden forth in the Confession of Faith and Catechisms of the Westminster Assembly, etc., Edinburgh, 1693. Other notable discussions of the Confession are by R. Shaw, ib. 1845; two by A. A. Hodge, Philadelphia, 1869, New York, 1888; J. Macpherson, Edinburgh, 1881; F. Makower, Verfassung der Kirche in England, Berlin, 1894; Von Rudloff, in ZHT (1850), 238-296; J. Stark, The Westminster Confession of Faith extincally Compared with the Holy Scripture and found Wanting, London, 1863; J. T. Goodsir, The Westminster Confession of Faith Examined on the Basis of the other Protestant Confessions, ib. 1868; W. Marshall, The Principles of the Westminster Standards Persecuting, Edinburgh, 1873; B. B. Warfield, Significance of the Westminster Standards as a Creed, New York, 1898; E. D. Morris, Theology of the Westminster Symbols, Columbus, O., 1901; J. Donaldson, The Westminster Confession of Faith and the Thirty-Nine Articles of the Church of England, London, 1905.

WESTON, HENRY GRIGGS: Baptist; b. at Lynn, Mass., Sept. 11, 1820; d. at Crozer Theological Seminary, Upland, Pa., Feb. 6, 1909. He received his education at Brown University (B.A., 1840), and Newton Theological Institute (1840–1842); was pastor at Washington and Richland, Ill., 1843–46; at Peoria, Ill., 1846–59; and of the Oliver Street Church, New York City, 1859–68; and was president of Crozer Theological Seminary after 1868. He edited The Baptist Quarterly, 1869–1877, and wrote Outline of Systematic Theology; and of Ecclesiology (Philadelphia, 1895; in collaboration

with E. H. Johnson); and Matthew: Genesis of the New Testament (New York, 1900).

WESTON, JOHN BURNS: Christian; b. at Madison, Me., July 6, 1821. He was educated at Antioch College, Yellow Springs, O. (A.B., 1857), after having entered the ministry of his denomination immediately upon his graduation from Bloomfield Academy, Bloomfield, Me., in 1843. He was pastor in West Newberry, Mass. (1843-47); in 1847-48 was managing editor of The Herald of Gospel Liberty (then called The Christian Herald); first head of the preparatory department and later professor of Greek in Antioch College (1857-81), of which he was acting president (1862-65); since 1882 he has been president and professor of Biblical literature and theology, psychology, and ethics in Christian Biblical Institute, Stanfordville, N. Y., removed in 1906 to Defiance, O. While at Antioch College, he was also associate editor of The Herald of Gospel Liberty. He has been a member of the Versions Committee of the American Bible Society since 1897. In theology he is in sympathy with general Evangelical liberal theology, and "prefers especially to state views in Biblical rather than creedal or traditionally theological terms." He has written Address on the Life and Character of Horace Mann (New York, 1886) and Principles or Principle -Which? (Dayton, O., 1894).

WESTPHAL, vest'fal, JOACHIM: The name of two Lutheran theologians.

1. Joachim Westphal of Hamburg: Polemical theologian; b. at Hamburg 1510 or at the beginning of 1511; d. there Jan. 16, 1574. He was educated in the school of St. Nicolai in his native city, then in Lüneburg, and entered the University of Wittenberg, where he became the pupil of Melanchthon and Luther. In 1532, on the recommendation of Melanchthon, he was appointed teacher at the Johanneum in his native city. In 1534 he returned to the University of Wittenberg, and in the following year removed with the university to Jena. After his return to Wittenberg in 1537 he lectured on philology. In 1541 he became preacher of the church of St. Catharine in Hamburg; then scting superintendent in 1562, and was elected superintendent in 1571.

He is best known for his participation in the theological controversies of his time. He took part in that on the descent into hell, also in the discussion concerning the Leipsic Interim (see INTERIM) and in that over the Adiaphora (q.v.). More important was that over the Lord's Supper. In 1552 he published Farrago confusanearum et inter se dissidentium opinionum de cæna Domini, ex Sacramentariorum libris congesta, a warning against those who deny the presence of Christ in the Lord's Supper. He points out to the adherents of Luther the alarming progres which the sacramentarians had made and tries to prove the falsity of their doctrine by its diversity In 1553 he issued Recta fides de cæna Domini, in exegetical discussion of I Cor. xi. and the words of institution; in 1555 Collectanea sententiarum D. Aurelii Augustini de cæna Domini and Fides Cyrilli episcopi Alexandria de prasentia corporis et sanguins

Christi. Calvin answered in Jan., 1555, with his Defensio sanæ et orthodoxæ doctrinæ de sacramentis. Thus there was opened a controversy which involved on the side of the Reformed Lasco, Bullinger, Ochino, Valerandus Polanus, Beza, and Bibliander; on the side of the Lutherans Timann, Paul von Eitzen, Schnepff, E. Alberus, Gallus, Flacius, Judex, Brenz, and Andrea. Westphal replied to Calvin in Adversus cuiusdam sacramentarii falsam criminationem iusta defensio, in qua et eucharistiæ causa agitur (1555), to which Calvin answered in Secunda defensio piæ et orthodoxæ de sacramentis fidei (1556), which was an attempt to draw to his side the Philippists of Saxony and Lower Germany. Other works of Westphal occasioned by this controversy are: Epistola Joachimi Westphali, qua breviter respondet ad convicia J. Calvini (1556); Confessio fidei de eucharistiæ sacramento, in qua ministri ecclesiarum Saxoniæ . . . astruunt corporis et sanguinis D. n. J.Christi præsentiam in cæna sancta, et de libro Calvini ipsis dedicato respondent (Magdeburg, 1557); Justa defensio adversus insignia mendacia J. a Lasco, quæ in epistola ad Poloniæ regem contra Saxonicas ecclesias sparsit (1557); Apologetica scripta Johannis Westphali, quibus et sanam doctrinam de eucharistia defendit et fædissimas calumnias sacramentariorum

diluit (1558); Confutatio aliquot enormium mendaciorum Johannis Calvini (1558); De cæna Domini confessio Johannis Westphali (1558); Apologia confessionis de Cæna Domini (1558).

2. Joachim Westphal of Eisleben: A contemporary of Joachim Westphal of Hamburg, with whom he is often confused, and belonging also to the Gnesio-Lutheran party. He was ordained preacher at Nausitz near Artern in 1553, then served as diaconus in Sangerhausen and finally as preacher in Gerbstedt in the county of Mansfeld, where he died in 1569. He wrote Faulteufel, wider das Laster des Müssiggangs (1563); Wider den Hoffahrtsteufel (1565); Willkomm Christi (1568); Geistliche Ehe Christi und seiner Kirche, seiner Braut (1568).

(G. KAWERAU.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Sources are the Briefsammlung, ed. C. H. W. Sillem, Hamburg, 1903; the letters of Melanchthon and Calvin in CR, vols. vii.—ix., xliii., cf. the prolegomena to vol. xxxvii., pp. ix. sqq.; and the Oratio of J. Methodius, Hamburg, 1575. Consult further: J. A. Fabricius, Memoria Hamburgenses, ii. 931 sqq., ib. 1710; A. Greve, Memoria J. Westphali, ib. 1749; J. Moller, Cimbria literata, iii. 641 sqq., 8 vols., Hamburg, 1710—46; K. Mönckeberg, Joachim Westphal und Johann Calvin, ib. 1865; Kruske, Johannes a Lasco und der Sakramentsstreit, Leipsic, 1901; H. Dalton, Miscellaneen, pp. 302 sqq., Berlin, 1905; ADB, xlii. 198 sqq.

WESTPHALIA, PEACE OF.

The Bohemian Succession (§ 1). The Counter-Reformation (§ 2). Gustavus Adolphus; the Peace of Prague (§ 3).

Territorial Awards (§ 4). Amnesty and Restitution (§ 5). Grievances and Religious Relations (§ 6).

Political Readjustments; Execution (§ 7).

The Peace of Westphalia is the treaty concluded in 1648, in the then Westphalian cities of Münster and Osnabrück, which terminated the Thirty-Years' War (q.v.). The immediate cause for the war was the state of religious affairs in Bohemia. Taking advantage of the discord be-Bohemian tween Emperor Rudolph II. and his Succession. brother Matthias, the Evangelical leaders there had secured from the former a letter-patent, July 9, 1609, in which they were assured the free exercise of religion according to their submitted confession, and specially the right to build new churches and schools in the roval towns and dominions. After the emperor had been forced to cede the possession of Bohemia to his brother, Matthias solemnly confirmed the liberties of the estates, together with the imperial patent. But the question whether new churches and schools were also lawfully to be erected in the ecclesiastical jurisdictions soon stirred up strife; and when the imperial commissioners decided against the Evangelical party, the anxiety sprang up that the emperor was designing to revoke the patent. An insurrection arose; the imperial counselors, Martinitz and Slawata, were thrown from the window of the castle chamber at Prague (May 23, 1618); and the insurgents organized a national government of their own, expelled the Jesuits, communicated with the Protestant estates in the other Austrian lands, and, aided by the Union, even attacked Austria. Union was a defensive alliance, formed, in 1608, of the Evangelical estates of Electoral Palatinate, Palatinate-Neuburg, Brandenburg-Ansbach and

Bayreuth, Württemberg, Baden-Durlach, Electoral

Brandenburg, Hesse-Cassel, Strasburg, Nuremberg, and Ulm. Upon the death of Emperor Matthias (1619), Ferdinand II. became his successor. Bohemians elected Elector Palatine Frederick V. as their king. To the support of Ferdinand rallied the Holy League of Roman Catholic estates organized by Duke Maximilian of Bavaria in 1609. Under his leadership Frederick was defeated at the battle at Prague Oct. 29 (Nov. 8), 1620, unaided by the Union, which had preferred to maintain peace with its fellow estates; and the greater part of Bohemia and Moravia were won back. After Frederick's flight and his sentence under the ban (1621), the Palatinate was gradually subdued by the imperial armies. Upon the investiture of Maximilian with the Electorate Palatine (1623), the court of Mansfeld and Christian of Brunswick undertook the war in northwestern Germany in behalf of the Palatinate, thus drawing the lower Saxon estates into the conflict. These chose King Christian IV. of Denmark (1625) for their joint commander. He allied himself with England and Holland, but in view of the successful results attending the armies of the League and the empire under Tilly and Wallenstein in 1629, he concluded the treaty of Lübeck with the emperor.

The Counter-Reformation went hand in hand with the military results. Specially from the time of their victory at Lutter (1626), the adherents of the League began to voice their demands for the enforced restitution of the ecclesiastical properties (see RESERVATION, ECCLESIASTICAL), which the Evangelicals had seized, as was alleged, contrary to the religious Peace of Augsburg (q.v.); and this was to be

effected by a general imperial decree instead of leglaistion by the diet or due process of law. Incipient scruples against strengthening the 2. The power accruing to the members of the Counter-League at the expense of the imperial Reformsstrength were gradually overcome, tion. partly by the presumption that some of

the properties might possibly be applied to strengthen the imperial family prestige, and partly by the persuasive representations of such a measure offered by the imperial confessor, Lammermann, and the papal nuncio, Caraffa, as a new and imposing advance on the side of the Counter-Reformation. Ferdinand II. issued the edict of restitution Mar. 6, 1629. Besides the provisions for the restoration of the spiritual possessions, it abrogated the declaration of Ferdinand I. at the religious peace of 1555, securing to Protestant subjects in the ecclaratical provinces religious peace, and proclaimed, in general, that the religious peace was to apply only to the Roman Catholics and the adherents of the unaltered Augsburg Confession, and that every other sect was prohibited in the empire.

To prevent Gustavus Adolphus of Sweden, who

To prevent Gustavus Adolphus of Sweden, who in 1630 had occupied the estuaries of the Oder, from forming an alliance with the Protestant estates, the execution of the edict was suspended, and a diet ap-

pointed to meet at Frankfort, Feb., 3. Gustavus 1631, to negotiate a mutual understand-Adolphus; ing. But the emperor revoked some of the Peace his concessions; rebuffed the League of of Prague. Leipsic, concluded by Elector Johann Georg of Saxony, 1631, with various

Evangelical estates for the peace of Germany; and even suffered Tilly to invade Saxony (1631), after the destruction of Magdeburg. The result was an alliance of the elector of Saxony and the remaining Protestant princes with Gustavus Adolphus. After the victorious battle at Breitenfeld Sept. 7 (17), 1631, the Swedish troops roamed over Germany; but in 1632 Gustavus Adolphus was forced by Wallenstein to vacate Bavaria, and after his fall at Lütsen (Nov. 6, 1632), the allies dispersed. When, in 1634, the main army of the Swedes was defeated at Nördlingen, the elector of Saxony abandoned the alliance with the Swedes, and concluded with Ferdinand II. the Peace of Prague, May 20 (30), 1635. By the terms of this treaty, all mediate foundations, cloisters, and estates which had been confiscated by the Protestants prior to the Passau treaty of 1552 were to remain in their hands; but the immediate endowments and all possessions confiscated after the said treaty were to be left for a term of forty years, and, if before the expiration of that term no other adjustment should be made, then they were to remain permanently in the status in which they were Nov. 27, 1627. Full amnesty was pledged between the emperor and the Roman Catholic estates on the one hand, and electoral Saxony and the states adhering to the Augsburg Confession on the other, from the year 1630, Bohemia, the Palatinate, and some princes, lords, and counts excepted. According to the imperial patent of June 12, 1635, this peace was to be extended over all Germany; but the restriction of the amnesty, the declaration of war by France against Spain and Austria, and the

new advantages gained by the Swedes prevented the cessation of hostilities. In 1640, Ferdinard III. summoned another diet at Regensburg which (1641) made no essential progress beyond the Treaty of Prague. Preliminaries were signed at Hambur Dec. 15 (25), 1641, providing that negotiations were to be conducted at Münster and Osnabrück. The emperor and the imperial deputation hesitated to sign the protocol until 1644, so that negotiation were not opened until Apr., 1645. At Omabrick affairs were negotiated between the emperor's delegates, the imperial estates, and Sweden; at Misster, between the emperor, France, and the other foreign powers. The negotiations at Ossabrick were concluded with the peace instrument of Aug. 8, 1648; at Münster, in that of Sept. 17, the man year. The joint subscription occurred at Minster Oct. 14 (24). Simultaneously Spain and the Gaman empire negotiated at Münster for peace with the United Netherlands and with France. While the negotiations with France led to no result, a treaty was concluded with the United Netherlands, on Jan. 20 (30), 1648, by which the independence of the Netherlands and their detachment from Ge-many were formally conceded. The independence of the Swiss Federation, as defined by the Pess of Basel, Sept. 22, 1499, was reconfirmed. In both treaties, only the emperor and the crowns of Frame and Sweden are named as contracting parties, each with its constituents, since the imperial estate diclaimed having waged war against the empire. These provisions pertaining to church affairs only at soject of detail here.

For war indemnities and restitution of strongicks in the hands of foreign powers, the awards were a follows: (1) Sweden obtained all coastwise Pomerania and Rügen, together with a portion of interior Pomerania, the town of Wismar, belonging hitherto to Mecklenburg, and the church foundations of

4. Territorial
Awards.

Bremen and Verden, as temporal ductions, and all these as hereditary imperial tenures, with seat and vote at imperial and district assemblies. (2) France was vested, without the privilege of invested.

titure or imperial estate, with the sovereignty over the bishoprics and cities of Mets, Toul, and Verdun, which had been occupied from 1552, yet under guaranty of the three bishoprics to the archbishop of Treves. It received, further, the sovereignty over Pignerol, the town of Breisach, the landgraviate of Upper and Lower Alsace, the district of Suntgon, and the government of ten imperial towns in Alesce. On the other hand, the other imperial estates in Alsace, in particular also the bishops of Basel and Strasburg, were expressly secured in their immediate relation to the empire, and their former freedom. The Roman Catholic religion was to be preserved in the ceded possessions and all religious innovations during the war removed. (3) Hesse-Cased was indemnified with the secularized abbey of Hersfeld. Estates which lost territory by these cessions or had to forego their claims had to be recompensed, which involved further changes in the ecclesiastical apportionment, affecting specially Brandenburg, Mecklenburg, Brunswick, and Line-

The amnesty granted under the Peace of Prague in 1635 was now declared to be universal in principle. It was further ordered that, so 5. Amnesty far as possible, all spiritual and temporal matters should be restored to and Restitution. their former status. Accordingly the state of affairs as existing in 1618 should have been resumed; but against the overtures to this effect on the part of Sweden, France, and those imperial estates which had formed an alliance with them, the emperor and the Roman Catholic party insisted on regarding the year 1630 as the limit; nor would they relent until certain exceptions from this date were stipulated and the appointment of certain regulative times, respectively, for specific prospective restitutions was conceded. For instance, Bavaria would have had to restore to the descendants of Frederick V. the Electoral Palatinate, thus taking away from the Roman Catholics the balance of power in the electoral college; it would also have raised a claim of 13,000,000 thaler in favor of Bavaria against Austria for war indemnity. By the limit of 1618, Baden-Durlach lost the Upper Mark. Most unfavorably did the terms of settlement affect the Protestants in the hereditary lands of Austria. The efforts of Sweden in their behalf, to make the amnesty apply on the basis of 1618, remained fruitless. Exceptions were accorded only to the dukes of Brieg, Liegnitz, Münsterberg and Oels, and the city of Breslau. To the other Silesian duchies was conceded merely the erection of three new Evangelical churches, the so-called "peace churches" near Schweidnitz, Jauer, and Glogau. Besides, the inhabitants of the Silesian domains and the nobles of Lower Austria were not subject, on account of their adherence to the Augsburg Confession, to confiscation of possessions or to banishment, and they were to be permitted to attend the Evangelical worship outside their territory in neighboring places. In the event of a voluntary emigration, they were allowed freely to visit their unsold real estate for supervision and attention to cultivation.

The peace negotiations also vitally turned on the point of eliminating the confusions and grievances which had grown out of the previous relations of the religious parties, or of forestalling a recurrence of the same. The imperial and the Swedish envoys

negotiated the Evangelical grievances 6. Griev- in general; pertaining to the relations ances and of the Lutheran and the Reformed, Religious Sweden conducted the transactions for Relations. the former; and for the latter, Brandenburg, seconded by the Dutch and

the Swiss. (1) The religious Peace of Augsburg and the Passau treaty were confirmed anew. (2) The peace instrument of Osnabrück expressly recognized the parity of the Reformed with the Roman Catholics and the adherents of the Augsburg Confession. However, the proviso in § 17 of the religious peace was reaffirmed, that no other religions than those mentioned were to be tolerated. (3) The legal equality of the two religious parties in the empire was expressly declared; and in application of this principle, it was ordered that a quota of members from both confessions should be chosen for the reg-

ular imperial deputations and for the imperial courts of justice. In matters affecting religion, or in a division of opinion between the Roman Catholic and the Protestant estates, the usual parliamentary vote by majority was to make way for an amicable adjustment among the estates of both religious parties. The terms thus stated, especially the principle of equal legal status for both religious parties, was to become practically applicable in accordance with the constitution and laws of the realm, and the consistent provisions of the treaty itself. Their execution was dependent on various actual antecedent conditions, subsisting in the diversity of relations between Roman Catholics and Protestants, and, within the latter, between Lutherans and Reformed. This led to the adoption of measures intended to regulate their mutual relations with reference to the standard principles first adopted. (1) With reference to ecclesiastical properties and institutions, Jan. 1, 1624, was agreed upon as the regulative day. The religious party having possession on that date were permanently to retain it, and all possessions of that date of which it was later deprived were to be restored. The advantages obtained through this measure by the Protestants were inconsiderable. Similar arrangements were made regarding the mediate foundations and cloisters. (2) On the "right of reformation," the religious Peace of Augsburg had sanctioned the right of temporal estates of the realm both to go over to the Evangelical religion and to allow the same to their subjects. This right had not been conceded to the subjects individually; but, at that time, the principle was recognized that the territorial lord was to decide on the religious confession of his domain inclusive of that of his subjects. Now the following extensions were added: (a) Evangelical subjects under Roman Catholic, and Roman Catholic subjects under Evangelical sovereignty were to be left free to exercise what manner of religion they had practised until some time in 1624, and in this they were to be left unmolested in the future.

From this it followed that Evangelical subjects in a Roman Catholic territory or Roman Catholics in an Evangelical territory who had exercised religion neither publicly nor privately in 1624, now remained amenable to the jus reformandi; and the same pertained to any who, after the publication of the treaty of peace, would be converts to any other religion than that of the territorial sovereign. In both instances the latter had the alternative right of tolerance or enforcing emigration. In the former instance, the subjects were to be allowed freedom of conscience, the right of household worship, and of attending worship abroad, as well as legal equality with the adherents of the authorized confessions. If, on the contrary, the territorial sovereign should command, or the subjects voluntarily choose, emigration, then all molestation was forbidden, and a five years' respite (or three years in case of a change of religion after the publication of the terms of peace) for emigration was conceded; neither should the testimonials of position and character be denied nor unusual reversions be demanded or emigration taxes be imposed. (b) With reference to the relation between Lutherans and Reformed, the status

at the time of the Peace of Westphalia subject to the treaties and privileges in power was to be prescriptive. In the future, if a territorial sovereign changed from the Evangelical state religion to another Evangelical confession, or succeeded to an Evangelical state having a different confession from his own, he was to have the right only to institute his court worship, and irrevocably to grant possible churches of his faith free religious exercise; but all this without altering the existing church order, and without disturbing the previous religious practise, church estates, and institutions. The congregations of the Evangelical state religion were to retain the appointment of their church and school officers, who should be subject to examination and ordination at the hands of a church board, subject to the approval of the sovereign, without obstruction. (3) The diocesan right and the spiritual jurisdiction of Roman Catholic officials, in cases of dispute among Protestants and between Protestants and Roman Catholics, were suspended, excepting (a) where Roman Catholics had been in obvious possession of the ecclesiastical jurisdiction in 1624, this might continue to be exercised in collecting revenues, tithes, and pensions; and (b) where the Protestant subjects of Roman Catholic estates in 1624 had acknowledged the ecclesiastical jurisdiction, the same should continue, without prejudice to confessional freedom and liberty of conscience. On the other hand, in the case of Roman Catholic subjects of Evangelical estates, the ecclesiastical jurisdiction of the Roman Catholic bishops was to continue intact, according to the peaceable exercise of it in 1624, provided, however, that the Roman Catholics in the given territory maintained public exercise of religion in the year stated. The spiritual jurisdiction over Evangelicals in Evangelical territories received no mention; it was presumed to be a privilege of the territorial government.

The interest of the foreign powers in securing for the estates of the realm the largest possible status independent of the emperor coincided with the similar aspirations of the estates, and the difference in religion did not so separate the estates as to induce

them to work at cross purposes in this
7. Political common object. The original absoReadjustlute sovereignty of the emperor had
ments; long ceased to be unquestioned, and
Execution. the rights acquired by the estates in
the course of time no longer submitted

the course of time no longer submitted to be defined as mere feudal investitures. Yet a distinct definition was not then attempted; under the adopted term jus territorialis the treaty expressly assured this right to the estates of the realm. In particular they were guaranteed the right of voting on all parliamentary deliberations concerning the affairs of the realm, and in concluding alliances with one another and with foreign powers for their self-preservation and security, reserving the rights of the emperor, the empire, and the peace of the land. The foregoing rights were also accredited in detail to the imperial cities. Likewise, the immediate imperial knighthood in point of religion was placed on a par with the estates of the realm. The peace was declared to be a permanent, universal law of the em-

pire; so that it was ordered to be embodied in the next imperial decree, as also in the imperial " tion capitulation," and every objection to and contradiction of it was nullified. Violation of the treaty was made subject to the penalty for breach of the peace. If any one was to suffer injury through the violation of another, and this should not be repaired within three years, whether amicably or legally, he was authorized to resort to arms and lay claim to the help of all parties to the treaty. The formal exchange of ratifications did not take place till Feb. 8, 1649; and the terms of execution were agreed upon by a joint deputation of the three electoral colleges at Nuremberg, June 16, 1650. The inclusion in the decree followed, Regensburg, 1654, and in the "election capitulations," as late as Francis II., pledging the maintenance of the treaty. Already at Münster, the papal legate, Cardinal Fabius Chigi, had protested against the treaty, Oct. 14 and 26, 1648; and Nov. 26 Pope Innocent X. promulgated the bull, Zelo domus dei, in which the measures of the treaty were declared null and void, because adopted without the approval of the papal see. This protestation, however, had no practical consequences. On the contrary, the treaty was repeatedly confirmed on subsequent occasions, although its execution was delayed by controversies on individual points. Its provisions on the relations of the religious parties were not abrogated by the dissolution of the empire in 1806; but rather, in view of parity and tolerance, they were enlarged and amplified by the national legislation. E. Sehling.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Sources are: J. G. von Meiern, Acta pacis publica, oder westphälische Friedenshandlungen und Geschichte, 6 vols., Hanover, 1734-36, with Register, Göttingen, 1740; idem, Acta pacis executionis publica, oder nürnbergische Friedens-Executions-Handlungen, 2 parts, ib. 1736; idem, Acta comitialia Ratisbonensia publica de 1853 et 1854, 2 parts, Leipsic, 1738; the documents reproduced in Instrumenta pacis Cas. Suec. et Cas. Gallic., with preface by Meiern, Göttingen, 1738, and Die Urkunden der Friedensschlüsse zu Münster und Osnabrück, Zurich, 1848. Consult: Cambridge Modern History, iv. 395-433, and very notable bibliography. pp. 865-869, New York, 1906; J. S. Pütter, Grist des westphälischen Friedens, Göttingen, 1795; G. H. Bougeant, Hist. du traiti de Westphälie, 2 vols., Paris, 1744; R. K. Freiherr von Senkenberg, Darstellung des westphälischen Friedens, Frankfort, 1804; K. L. von Woltmann, Geschichte des westphälischen Friedens, 2 vols., Berlin, 1808; M. Bernard, Four Lectures on Subjects Connected with Diplomacy, lecture 1, London, 1868; G. Bardot, Quomodo explanandum sit instrumenti pacis Monaster. cap. 86, Lyons, 1899.

WETTE, dê vet'te, WILHELM MARTIN LEBE-RECHT DE: German exegete and theologian; b. at Ulla (3 m. w. of Weimar) Jan. 12, 1780; d. at Basel June 16, 1849. He entered Jena in 1799, and obtained the doctorate in 1805, becoming privat-docent the same year. His earliest publica-

Life at Deuteronomy (Jena, 1805, republished in his Opuscula theologica, Berlin, 1830), and his Beiträge zur Einleitung in das Alte Testament 1806-07) proved his originality and independence. He as called to Heidelberg as extraordinary pro-

was called to Heidelberg as extraordinary professor in exegesis, 1807, and became ordinary professor in theology, 1809. While there he made, at first in conjunction with Augusti, but later alone, a translation of the entire Bible (Hei-

delberg, 1809-14, 4th ed., 1858), and wrote his Commentary on the Psalms (1811, 5th ed., ed. G. Baur, 1856), which is so exclusively critical that he felt it necessary to add an appendix "On the Devotional Use of the Psalms" (1837). He denies the Davidic origin of many psalms, and also that the historical Christ is prophesied anywhere in the collection, referring the so-called Messianic incidents and allusions to nearer historical events.

In 1810 he was called to the newly founded university at Berlin, where he came into touch with Schleiermacher, and the two labored for that "better day" in theology when the demands of faith and science should alike be met. In 1815 De Wette published his Commentatio de morte Jesu Christi expiatoria (Berlin), in 1814 his Lehrbuch der

hebrāisch-jūdischen Archāologie (4th At Berlin. ed. by Rābiger, 1864), in 1817 Historisch-kritisch Einleitung in . . . das Alts Testament (seven editions during his lifetime; 8th ed. by E. Schrader, Berlin, 1869, Eng. transl. by T. Parker, 2 vols., Boston, 1843; A Critical and Historical Introduction to the Canonical Scriptures of the Old Testament, 2d ed. 1850); in 1826 his Einleitung in das Neue Testament (6th ed., 1860, Eng. transl., by F. Frothingham, 1858).

His entrance into the sphere of dogmatic theology was made in the volume on the death of Christ. He followed this up by Lehrbuch der christlichen Dogmatik (2 vols., Berlin, 1813–16, 3d ed., 1831–40), Ueber Religion und Theologie (1815, 2d ed. 1821), and Christliche Sittenlehre (3 vols., 1819–23; Eng. transl., Human Life; or, Practical Ethics, by S. Osgood, 2 vols., Boston, 1838, reprint, 1856). This period was made bright with the friendship of Schleiermacher, Lücke, F. W. Krummacher, and Spitta. But he was opposed by Marheineke, who had followed him to Berlin and had lectured against him. De Wette's reply was in the anonymous Die neue Kirche und Glauben in Bunde (1815). The last work composed by him in Berlin was Kritischer Versuch über die Schriften des Lukas (1817).

Taking a great interest in public affairs, he wrote a letter to the mother of an Erlangen student, Karl

Dismissal von Kotzebue), in which, while exfrom Berlin, Call to he still cleared Sand's motives of suspicion on the ground that the deed

was prompted by pure patriotism. For this bold defense he was summarily dismissed from the university by the king (Oct. 2, 1819). He betook himself to Weimar, and there employed his enforced leisure in preparing the first complete edition of Luther's Briefe (1825-28, 5 vols., supplemental volume by Seidemann, 1856), by which, had he done nothing else, he would have proved himself a scholar. In 1822 he issued his first romance, Theodor, oder des Zweiflers Weihe (1822, 2d ed., 1828; Eng. transl. by J. F. Clarke, Theodore, or the Skeptic's Conversion, 2 vols., Boston, 1849), to which Tholuck replied in Dis wahre Weihe des Zweiflers (Hamburg, 1823); and his second, Heinrich Melchthal, in 1829, 2 vols. In 1822, quite unexpectedly, he was called to Basel, where he passed the rest of his days. He did excellent service in advancing the university, and won the hearts of many who had bitterly opposed his coming. There he composed his Vorlesungen über die Sittenlehre (Berlin, 1823-24, 2 vols.), and Ueber die Religion, ihr Wesen, ihre Erscheinungsformen und ihren Einfluss auf das Leben (1827). He also preached to a highly appreciative audience, and published five collections of sermons (Basel, 1825-29). Another series was published after his death (1849). In 1846 he issued the first part of his unfinished Biblische Geschichte, and in 1836 he began, and in 1848 he finished, his Kurzgefasstes exegetisches Handbuch zum Neuen Testament (3 vols., Leipsic), a work marked by brevity and precision and accurate scholarship.

The numerous works already mentioned make up only a partial list of De Wette's writings. Reviews, criticisms, essays, encyclope-

Varied Acdia and newspaper articles, sermons, tivities. addresses, pamphlets, works upon art (Berlin, 1846), even a drama Die Ent-

sagung (Berlin, 1823), and poems, came from his gifted pen. He was fond of society, and hospitably inclined; and, although deemed a rationalist and "heretic," he took a leading part in philanthropic movements. He founded (1825) a society in Basel to help the Greeks in their struggle against Turkish tyranny, to send missionaries to Greece, and to educate their children, and adopted a little Greek boy into his own family. He also founded the Basel branch of the Gustav-Adolf-Verein (q. v.).

The theism of the Kantian criticism forms the basis of De Wette's doctrinal system; but he leans visibly toward Jacobi's theory of religion as feeling. He makes a sharp distinction between knowledge and faith. The former has to do only with finite things; while the infinite must be grasped by faith under the form of feeling. The infinite is revealed

by the finite in a symbolical manner.

His PhiThe whole historical revelation is a losophy and symbol in which eternal and superTheology. sensuous ideas have found their expression. The miracle is a cross to the

pression. The miracle is a cross to the understanding, but as a symbol it shows its meaning. The dogma is inaccessible to the understanding, but opens itself to the intuition; for intuition is the only means of conception when the object is a symbol. All religious conception is consequently esthetic, and this esthetic elevation above the merely intelligible is to De Wette the only tenable form of supernaturalism. De Wette closely connected dogma with ethics, made ethical considerations decisive in judging other systems, and held fast to the personality of Christ. (G. Frankt.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: K. R. Hagenbach, Leichenrede, Basel, 1849; idem, Akademische Gedachtniserede, Leipsic, 1850; D. Schenkel, W. M. L. 'de Wette und die Bedeutung seiner Theologie für unsere Zeit, Schaffhausen, 1849; F. Lücke, W. M. L. de Wette, Hamburg, 1850; A. Wiegand, W. M. L. de Wette, Erfurt, 1879; R. Stähelin, W. M. L. de Wette nach seiner theologischen Wirksamkeit und Bedeutung, Basel, 1880.

WETTSTEIN, wet'stain or vet'stain (WETSTE-NIUS, WETSTEIN), JOHANN JAKOB: New-Testament scholar; b. at Basel Mar. 5, 1693 (old style); d. at Amsterdam Mar. 9, 1754. In 1706 he began to study philosophy at Basel; then, in 1709, he changed to the study of theology. At the suggestion of Johann Ludwig Frey, he began work on the criticism of the New-Testament text. In 1714 he undertook a journey by way of Zürich, Bern, Geneva, and Lyons to Paris and thence, in Aug., 1715, to England. searching for manuscripts of the New Testament. In Cambridge he made the acquaintance of Richard Bentley, who aided him in his researches and secured for him a position as fieldchaplain in a regiment of Swiss soldiers on service in England; in 1716 Wettstein removed to Holland, where his regiment had gone in the mean time, and in 1717 was called back to Basel as assistant preacher. After three years he became diaconus at St. Leonhard and thus colleague and successor of his father, who shortly before had become preacher in the same church. He soon became exceedingly popular as a preacher. During this period he continued his studies and resolved to publish a critical edition of the Greek New Testament. During his preparatory work on this edition the report gained currency that he intended to use the work to assail the doctrine of the divinity of Christ, and in 1730 he was in consequence dismissed from his office. Wettstein then went to Amsterdam, where Johann Heinrich Wettstein, a brother of his uncle, had founded a bookseller's shop. Here he published [anonymously] a separate edition of the Prolegomena, which he had intended to add to his edition of the Greek New Testament, under the title, Prolegomena ad Novi Testamenti graci editionem accuratissimam, e vetustissimis codd. mss. denuo procurandam, in quibus agitur de codd. mss. Novi Testamenti, scriptoribus græcis, qui Novo Testamento usi sunt, versionibus veteribus, editionibus prioribus et claris interpretibus; et proponuntur animadversiones et cautiones ad examen variarum lectionum Novi Testamenti necessariæ (Amsterdam, 1730). In 1731 Wettstein was offered the position of professor of philosophy at the college of Remonstrants in Amsterdam under the condition that he should clear himself of the suspicion of holding heterodox views. He therefore went back in the same year to Basel, where his case was again investigated with the result that the government on Mar. 22, 1732, rejected its former judgment, admitting Wettstein "to the office of preaching and the administration of all spiritual functions." his foes still pressed their case against him, and he returned to Amsterdam, where he was allowed to teach Hebrew and philosophy, but only under the conditions of not expressing Socinian views, not publishing his New Testament, of submitting such works as he desired to publish to the supervision of the Remonstrants, and of printing no apology for his cause. Wettstein submitted to these conditions. Nevertheless, his edition of the New Testament appeared in two volumes at Amsterdam, 1751-52, under the title, Novum Testamentum gracum editionis receptæ cum lectionibus variantibus codicum mss., editionum aliarum, versionum et patrum necnon commentario pleniore ex scriptoribus veteribus hebræis, græcis et latinis historiam et vim verborum illustrante opera et studio Joannis Jacobi Wetstenii. It is in very beautiful, but not always correct, print.

The text chosen was [for reasons of expediency] essentially the same as that of the Elzevir edition of 1624 or 1633. The readings preferred by Wettstein stand between the text and the list of variant readings. The principal value of the edition lies in the extensive prolegomena and in the commentary which in consequence of its comparisons from classical and Jewish literature is still a rich treasury. At the same time they reveal Wettstein's inclination to rationalistic explanations so that Tregelles justly said of them, "While some parts are useful, others are such as only excite surprise at their appearance on the same page as the text of the New Testament" (Account of the Printed Text, p. 76, London, 1854). Wettstein himself compared more than a hundred manuscripts, others compared others for him. (CARL BERTHEAUT.)

Bibliography: The funeral sermon by J. Krightout was printed at Amsterdam, 1754. Consult: J. G. de Chamfepié, Nouveau Dictionnaire, iv. 688 sqq., Amsterdam, 1756; Athena Raurica, pp. 379 sqq., Basel, 1778; J. D. Michaeli, Einleitung in die göttlichen Schriften des neuen Bunda, i 805 sqq., Göttingen, 1788; K. R. Hagenbach, Die theologische Schule Basels und ihre Lehrer, p. 65, Basel, 1805; S. P. Tregelles, Account of the Printed Test of the Greek N. T., pp. 73 sqq., London, 1854; E. G. E. Reus, Geschichte der heiligen Schriften des Neuen Testament, ii 145, 5th ed., Brunswick, 1874; C. R. Gregory, Prolegomen, iii. 1, 243 sqq., Leipsic, 1884; idem, Canon and Tet of the N. T., pp. 447–448, New York, 1907; P. Schaff, Conpanion to the Greek Testament and the English Version, pp. 82, 247–249, ib. 1883; G. Salmon, Introduction to ... N. T., pp. 488–544, London, 1892; F. H. Scrivener, Plais Introduction to the Criticism of the N. T., pp. 213–216 st passim, ib. 1894; ADB, xlii. 251.

WETZER, vet'zer, HEINRICH JOSEPH: Joint editor, with Welte, of the great Roman Catholic theological encyclopedia; b. at Anzefahr, Hessia, Mar. 19, 1801; d. in Freiburg (40 m. s. of Stratburg), Germany, Nov. 5, 1853. He studied theology at Marburg, also attending lectures on oriental philology, 1820-23, at Tübingen, and at Freiburg, 1824, where he obtained his doctorate; and, 1824-1825, he studied under De Sacy at Paris, where he discovered in the royal library a manuscript of the history of the Coptic Christians in Egypt, which he later translated and published. He became extraordinary professor of oriental philology in Freburg University, 1828, and ordinary, 1830. He joined Van Ess in his translation of the Old Testsment, Sulzbach, 1840. In 1846 he began the issue of the Kirchenlexikon (see this work, vol. i., p. xv.), with which his name and that of the coeditor, Benedikt Welte, are indissolubly connected. Wetser put all his time, strength, and learning at the disposal of the work. The encyclopedia was authortative, fair-minded, and impartial to a singular degree. He was the author of Restitutio vera chronologiæ rerum ex controversiis Arianis inde ab anno 👯 usque ad annum 350 exhortarum contra chronologiam hodie receptam exhibita (Frankfort, 1827). BIBLIOGRAPHY: KL, xii. 1418-1421.

WEYERMUELLER, voi'er-mul"er, FRIEDRICE: German Lutheran hymnist; b. at Niederbronn (% m. n.w. of Strasburg) Sept. 21, 1810; d. there May 24, 1877. He received his education at the school of his native town and at the hands of the pastor, gaining an excellent knowledge of German poetry. He began early to compose, and from 1838 dedicated

his talent to the service of God and his Church, though some of his poems were polemical and had reference to the controversies of the middle of the nineteenth century. In 1852 he became an associate of the consistory of Niederbronn, and his efforts greatly aided the cause of Lutheranism, he being a strong and strict follower of that type of religious activity and thought. His poems reflected this tendency, and were often aimed against Baptists, liberals, and the like. Those which were adapted to worship found entrance over a wide range of church hymnals.

(A. LIENHARD.)

Birliography: W. Horning, Lebensbild von F. T. Horning, pp. 326-341, 4th ed., Strasburg, 1885; Evangelischtutkerischer Friedensbote, 1877, nos. 52-54.

WEYMOUTH, RICHARD FRANCIS: English Baptist layman and New-Testament translator; b. at Plymouth Dock (now Devonport, 2 m. w.n.w. of Plymouth), Devonshire, Oct. 26, 1822; d. at Brentwood (17 m. e.n.e. of London), Essex, Dec. 27, 1902. He was educated at University College, London (B.A., 1845; D.Lit., 1868), and after spending two years in France he was an assistant master in a private school at Leatherhead, Surrey, later founding a successful school for boys at Plymouth. In 1869 he was chosen head master of a non-conformist school for boys at Mill Hill, London, where he remained until 1886, then retiring from active life to devote himself to his translation of the New Testament into idiomatic modern English, his residence being successively at Acton (until 1891) and at Brentwood (until his death). He was an active member of the Philological Society, and to its journal and other technical periodicals he contributed a number of studies on philological and theological subjects. Besides an edition of Grosseteste's Castell off Loue for this society (London, 1864) and a translation of Cynewulf's Elene (1888), as well as a work On Early English Pronunciation with Special Reference to Chaucer (1874), he is especially noteworthy for his Resultant Greek Testament (1886), exhibiting the text on which the majority of modern editors are agreed, and containing the variant readings of the more important of these editors. He will be remembered, above all, for his New Testament in Modern Speech (1903). This work he had practically completed in the rough draft before his death, but failing health compelled him to entrust the final revision and correction to E. Hampden-Cook (q.v.).

WEXELSEN, WILHELM ANDREAS: Norwegian Lutheran clergyman, educator, and statesman; b. at Klābu (a village near Trondhjem) June 5, 1849; d. at Trondhjem July 19, 1909. He was educated at the Cathedral School in Trondhjem (B.A., 1867) and the University of Christiania (cand. theol., 1872), and was then curate in Sparbu (1873-76) and Trondhjem (1876-77) and pastor in Kolverejd (1877-84) and Overhalden (1884-91). His efficiency as an administrator of municipal affairs led to his election to the Norwegian Storthing in 1882, and in 1891 he was appointed councilor of state and chief of the department for ecclesiastical affairs and public instruction. In 1892-93 he was connected with the Stockholm division of the council, and in 1896-97 was director of schools

in Trondhjem, being the same city's representative to the Storthing in 1896, while in 1898–1903 he was again chief of the department for ecclesiastical affairs and public instruction, succeeding Jakob Sverdrup (q.v.). From 1905 until his death he was bishop of the see of Trondhjem.

Wexelsen rendered important services to the public school system of Norway, doing much to foster the growth of the national spirit, and, through legislation, to ameliorate the conditions under which the teachers and clergy were obliged to work; and the also advocated noteworthy measures for the relief of the poor and for modifying the laws relating to marriage.

JOHN O. EVJEN.

WHATELY, RICHARD: Archbishop of Dublin; b. in London Feb. 1, 1787; d. in Dublin Oct. 1, 1863. He matriculated at Oriel College, Oxford, in 1805, was graduated B.A. in 1808, and took orders in due course. He was fellow of Oriel from 1811 till his marriage in 1821, and then held the living of Hales-

worth, Suffolk, till 1825, when he re-Life and turned to Oxford as principal of St. Character. Alban's Hall. In 1829 he was appointed Drummond professor of political economy at Oxford, but resigned two years

litical economy at Oxford, but resigned two years later to become archbishop of Dublin. He was consecrated Oct. 23, 1831, and enthroned the same day.

As a child Whately was delicate and precocious, exhibiting phenomenal powers of arithmetical computation. Attendance at a school near Bristol from the age of ten strengthened his body and gave him wider intellectual interests than he had found previously in his father's library and garden, so that he entered Oxford with nothing strikingly abnormal about him. He made a few friends at Oxford, but only a few, and set conventions at scorn to a degree that made him notorious. So he went through life singularly independent and self-contained, rough and brusk in manner, outspoken, rashly regardless of popular opinions or prejudices. His biting wit spared neither friend nor foe, and his great powers of argumentation were exercised with more assiduity than judgment. He was master of a lucid expression, and as a thinker and scholar was acute and versatile, though not profound, and hampered by striking limitations. It is said he read a few favorite authors-Aristotle, Thucydides, Bacon, Shakespeare, Butler, Warburton, Adam Smith, Crabbe, Scott-and no others. For nature, music, and art, as well as for historic antiquity, he had no sense whatever. Consequently he found only fatigue in travel, and avoided it as far as possible. He never learned German, and read French with difficulty.

Yet, if he thus exemplified English insularity, it should be added that he represented the type in no unworthy manner. As duties came to him he performed them well. At Oxford he proved himself a good teacher, knowing how to discover and develop the dormant capacities of his pupils, and in a short time he raised St. Alban's Hall from very low estate and made it a chosen home of reading men. He was a faithful parish priest. As archbishop he was scrupulously conscientious in the performance of his ordinary duties, and he grappled courageously and with fair success with the extraordinary difficulties of

his position. Personally unpopular, not liked as a preacher, harassed by political considerations and racial differences, he yet won his way

Career as by his impartial and kindly spirit to-Archbishop. ward the Roman Catholics by vigorous efforts continued for twenty years in behalf of popular education and the higher education at Trinity College, of which he was ex officio visitor, by his services in stemming the tide toward Rome, and by his interest in and self-sacrificing labor for all that tended to make Ireland better in body and soul. As primate of Ireland he sat in the house of lords and made many speeches noticeable for their independence, advocating a revision of the liturgy and the Authorized Version of the Bible, the abrogation of the prohibition to marry a deceased wife's sister, and the emancipation of Jews and Roman Catholics. His study of political economy led him to oppose the extension of the English system of outdoor relief to Ireland, even in the time of the potato famine, in which extremity he worked manfully to alleviate distress. He favored a gradual rather than a sudden emancipation of slaves, and in advocating the abolition of all legal punishment except such as was unmistakably deterrent in character, he showed himself in advance even of the early twentieth century. His efforts in this direction contributed much to the abolition of transportation.

His theology, always more or less under suspicion of heterodoxy, has been characterized as rational supernaturalism. He started with the assumption of a special revelation which makes known what reason can not discover, and it is then the function of reason to interpret revelation. The incarnation was a fact and an extraordinary act of revelation to make divinity more intelligible and to give a pattern of human perfection. The death of Christ

was sacrificial, but was not necessary, though it is the only ground of our salvation. The kingdom of Christ is a society, whose members may at the same time belong to other societies.

Thus the problem of Church and State is solved. Christ has himself given the plan for the society's government, but the execution of the plan lies with the society. The essentials of Christianity are of universal importance; the minor matters are only relatively important. There is no such thing as apostolic succession in the sense of its securing the transmission of the Holy Spirit and the efficacy of the sacraments; the true apostolic succession is the maintenance of apostolic principles. He was strongly opposed to Calvinism, and in his writings ever quietly fought against tractarianism. The Sabbath, he taught, was done away with by the abrogation of the Mosaic law, for Christ himself broke the Sabbath and left it to the Church to fix the day and its observance, precisely as in the case of other festivals.

Whately wrote much, but nothing of permanent value, and little that outlived himself. His first book was *Historic Doubts Relative to Napoleon Buonaparte* (London, 1819), in which he aimed to reduce to absurdity Hume's doctrine concerning miracles. It is witty and brilliant rather than sound, and is not free from suspicion of unfairness, since Hume had expressly put outside of his general principles

cases in which greater improbability is involved in skepticism than in belief. For once Whately had popular prejudice on his side, and the book went through more than twelve editions during his lifetime, being reprinted as late 7 1886 in Henry Morley's Universal Library (vol. xliii., London, 1886). The Use and Abuse of Party Feeling in Matter of Religion (Oxford, 1822) was the Bampton lecture for 1822. The Elements of Logic (London, 1826), and Elements of Rhetoric (1828), originally written as articles for the Encyclopædia Metropolitana, were for a time much used as text-books (9th ed. of the Logic, 1850; 7th ed. of the Rhetoric, 1840). Neither work can be called original or epoch-making, but both were admirably arranged and expressed, and the Logic revived the study of the discipline at Oxford. The Oxford lectures on political economy were published at London in 1831. Other noteworthy books were The Errors of Romanism Traced to their Origin in Human Nature (1830; 5th ed., 1856; abridged edition by his daughter, E. J. Whately, London, 1878) and an edition of Bacon's Essays with notes (1856).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Miss E. J. Whately, Life and Correspondent of Richard Whately, 2 vols, London, 1886, new ed., 1875; W. J. Fitspatrick, Memoirs of Richard Whately, 2 vols, ib. 1864; E. W. Whately, Personal and Family Gispan of Remarkable People, ib. 1889; J. H. Overton, The Chard in England, ii. 311–312, ib. 1897; E. Stock, The English Church in the 19th Century, ib. 1910; DNB, lt. 423-429, where reference is made to scattering notices.

WHEDON, DANIEL DENISON: Methodist Epispal; b. at Onondaga, N. Y., Mar. 20, 1808; d. st Atlantic Highlands, N. J., June 8, 1885. He was graduated from Hamilton College, Clinton, N. Y., 1828; studied law at Rochester and Rome, N. Y.; became a teacher in Oneida (N. Y.) Conference Seminary; a tutor in Hamilton College, 1831; professor of ancient languages and literature in Wesleyan University, Middletown, Conn., 1833; Methodist pastor, 1843; professor of rhetoric, logic, and history in the University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, 1845; again entered the pastorate at Jamaica, L. I. N. Y., 1855; was elected editor of The Methodist Quarterly Review, 1856, and reelected quadrennially until May, 1884, when his health, which had long been feeble, forbade his continuing in the position. He was a man of learning, literary ability, and great industry. He was the author of Public Addresses, Collegiate and Popular (Boston, 1856); The Freedom of the Will, as a Basis of Human Responsibility, Elucidated and Maintained in its Issue with the Necessitarian Theories of Hobbes, Edwards, the Princeton Essayists, and other Leading Advocates (1864); Commentary on the New Testament (5 vols., 1860-75); Essays, Reviews and Discourses, with a Biographical Sketch (1887); Statements Theological and Critical (1887); and edited the first seven volumes of a Commentary on the Old Testament (9 vols., 1880-1907). BIBLIOGRAPHY: Besides the sketch in Essays, Reviews, and Discourses, ut sup., consult J. M. Buckley, in American Church History Series, v. 386, 496, 500, New York, 1886.

WHERRY, ELWOOD MORRIS: Presbyterian missionary to India; b. at South Bend, Pa., Mar. 26, 1843. He studied at Jefferson (now Washington and Jefferson) College (B.A., 1862; M.A., 1875), and Princeton Theological Seminary (graduated,

ing meanwhile engaged in teaching, 1862ordained an evangelist and went to India eing stationed at Rawal Pindi, 1868-69, liana, 1869-83; was professor in the theoinary at Saharanpur, 1883-88; returned a and was district secretary of the Amert Society in Chicago, 1889-98, for two laging the bookstore of the society; in sumed his work in Lodiana. He is the the Nur Afshan "Light Disseminator" weekly paper in the Hindu language, of was editor for twenty-one years. He also his capacity of secretary of the World's of Missions at Chicago, 1893: Missions ad Abroad: Papers and Addresses presented orld's Congress of Missions . . . i), as well as Woman in Missions: Papers sses Presented at the Woman's Congress of . . 1893 (1894). He is the author of rehensive Commentary on the Qurán (4 lon, 1882-86); Zainab the Panjabi (1893); the Religion of the Turk (1894); The Mosversy (1905), and a number of lesser works subjects. He has also translated a numks in English on religious subjects into the guages of North India.

COTE (WHITCHCOTE, WHICHCOT), N: One of the leaders among the Camtonists (q.v.); b. at Stoke (11 m. n.e. of y), Shropshire, May 4, 1609; d. at Camly, 1683. He was admitted a pensioner uel College, Cambridge, in 1626 (B.A., A. and fellow, 1633), and was ordained in was appointed Sunday afternoon lec-'rinity College, a post which he held for ars, and through the work done there was n to his contemporaries. In 1643 he was to the college living of North Cadbury in hire, but in the following year was reambridge as provost of King's. The date pointment may be said to mark the rise movement, of a type distinct from either n or the High-church, and one which gave the Puritan leaders. There was all the e for this alarm in that Whichcote spoke aself alone, but represented, as he molded, it of a younger and more progressive genn fact, it was as a teacher that he showed

Though Smith and Cudworth and More ck to him as their intellectual master, ppeared as an author in his lifetime. In signed the living of North Cadbury, and ited to that of Milton in Cambridgeshire, retained till his death. At the Restorais ejected from his headship, but adhered rch when the Act of Uniformity (see UNI-ACT OF) was passed, held the cure of St. ackfriars, from 1662 until the church was the great fire of 1666, and that of St. Jewry, from 1668. Four volumes of his ere published at Aberdeen in 1751, and and Religious Aphorisms, London, 1753. it these his conceptions of human nature, and of the Church are seen to be in disast to the modes of thought prevailing

when he first formulated them; a broader and more philosophical spirit is evident in them. "God hath set up two lights to enlighten us in our way: the light of reason, which is the light of his creation; and the light of Scripture, which is after-revelation from him. Let us make use of these two lights; and suffer neither to be put out." In this one phrase he takes a higher range of thought than had been reached by any earlier English Protestant theologian, with possibly the single exception of Hooker. His Platonic temper is shown in the way in which he took up the idea of religion in its full breadth. moral and philosophical, and brought it into affinity with all the powers of humanity, showing that Christianity was unique, not in rejecting and casting aside, but in interpreting and completing what is otherwise good in man. It is in this realization of the unity of all the moral forces which govern civilization, this expansion and elevation of the whole conception of religion and of the moral rights of human nature, that Whichcote's great service to his age lay.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The funeral sermon by Archbishop Tillotson was published London, 1683. Consult further: The literature under Cambridge Platonists, especially the works of J. Tulloch and E. T. Campagnac; B. F. Westcott, in A. Barry, Masters of Theology, London, 1877; E. George, Seventeenth Century Men of Latitude, New York, 1908; DNB, lxi. 1-3.

WHIPPLE, HENRY BENJAMIN: Protestant Episcopal bishop; b. at Adams, Jefferson County, N. Y., Feb. 15, 1822; d. at Faribault, Minn., Sept. 16, 1901. He was educated at private schools, but, prevented by ill-health from entering college, engaged in business and in politics for several years; took a theological course under W. D. Williams; became deacon, 1849; priest, 1850; was rector of Zion Church, Rome, N. Y., 1850-57; of the Church of the Holy Communion, Chicago, Ill., 1857-59; and became bishop, 1859. He was a founder of . Seabury Divinity School, of St. Mary's Hall, and Shattuck Military School, at Faribault, Minn. He devoted a great deal of time and energy to the Indians, and was an authority on all Indian problems, often being called in to the aid of the government. He was the author of Five Sermons (New York, 1890); and Lights and Shadows of a Long Episcopate (1899, new ed., 1902).

Bibliography: Besides the autobiographic Lights and Shadows, ut sup., consult: W. S. Perry, The Episcopate in America, p. 145, New York, 1895.

WHISTON, WILLIAM: Mathematician and Arian theologian; best known to-day as the translator of Josephus; b. at Norton (16 m. w. of Leicester), Leicestershire, Dec. 9, 1667; d. at Lyndon (20 m. e. of Leicester), Rutland, Aug. 22, 1752. He was educated by his father (a clergyman who had been converted from Presbyterianism), at a school at Tamworth and at Clare Hall, Cambridge (B.A., 1690). He was ordained deacon in 1693, and then gave private lessons at Cambridge; but because of ill-health he exchanged teaching for the position of chaplain to John Moore, bishop of Norwich, and later (1698) received from Moore the vicarage of Lowestoft-cum-Kissingland, Suffolk, where he proved himself faithful and energetic in the performance of clerical duties. In 1701 he was appointed deputy to Newton's Lucasian professorship at Cam-

deputy to Newton's Lucasian professorship at Cambridge, and in 1703 succeeded Newton as professor and gave up his living. As Life and professor, Whiston lectured on mathe-Cambridge matics and natural philosophy, besides Career. engaging in scientific experimentation and being one of the first to popularize the theories of Newton. He advocated various reforms, both academic and general, perhaps with more zeal than judgment; and, making theological as well as scientific investigations, he became convinced that Arianism was the dominant faith

rious reforms, both academic and general, perhaps with more zeal than judgment; and, making theological as well as scientific investigations, he became convinced that Arianism was the dominant faith of the first two centuries and that the Apostolic Constitutions (see Apostolic Constitutions and Canons) was "the most sacred of the canonical books of the New Testament." This view he expounded in an essay (1708) which the Cambridge vice-chancellor refused to license, though it was printed later in his Primitive Christianity Revived. Remonstrances of friends only served to prove the depth of Whiston's conviction-or his stubbornness -and in Oct., 1710, he was deprived of his professorship. Proceedings for his prosecution, instigated by convocation, dragged along for four or five years, but were finally dropped after the death of Queen Anne.

Thenceforth Whiston lived in London. He had a small property and received many gifts from friends and public personages, which, he states, "with eclipses, comets, and lectures," provided him "such a competency as greatly contented him." His lectures were on various topics, e.g., meteors, eclipses, earthquakes, and the like (in which he generally saw the fulfilment of prophecy), the tabernacle of Moses and the temple at Jerusalem (illustrated by

Life in Palestine (which he believed to be imminent). He was one of the first (per-

haps the first) to present scientific experiments before popular audiences in London. He tried unsuccessfully to win a reward offered by parliament for the discovery of a means of determining longitude. A fund of £500 raised for him by subscription about 1740 he used for making a survey of the coasts. In 1715 he organized a society for promoting "primitive Christianity," which for two years held weekly meetings in his house in London and numbered among its members John Gale (a Baptist). Arthur Onslow, Thomas Emlyn (Unitarian), Thomas Rundle (afterward bishop of Derry), and Thomas Chubb (q.v.). Until 1747 he maintained communion with the Church of England, but then he joined the Baptists so that he might no longer hear the Athanasian Creed repeated. Among certain "new discoveries" of his later years were that anointing the sick with oil is a Christian duty, that the Tatars are the lost tribes, and that the millennium would begin in 1766.

In spite of his vagaries, Whiston was well liked by a large circle, including such men as Samuel Clarke, the philosopher, and Bishop Benjamin Hoadly (qq.v.: both of whom privately shared some of his views), as well as Addison and Steele, whom he knew well. His integrity and simpleminded honesty won respect, and so consistent was his practise of these virtues that a somewhat blust manner of commending them to others was generally received with good-nature. The chief of his many publications (for a list of fifty-two titles, "omitting a few occasional papers," cf. DNB, hi. 13–14) was his Primitive Christianity Revised (4 vols., London, 1711), which contains the Epistes of Ignatius, the Apostolic Constitutions, and discrete the contains the Christian of the product of the product

writings. the "Recognitions" of Clement, being added in 1712. His first book, A New Theory of the Earth (1696; 5th ed., with appendix, 1736), was the result of studies in the Cartesian philosophy and Newton's Principia, confirming the narrative of Genesis on Newtonian grounds and explaining the deluge by collision with a const. The Accomplishment of Scripture Prophecies (1708) was the Boyle lectures for 1707 (cf. The Literal Ascomplishment of Scripture Prophecies, 1724, an a swer to Collins' Grounds and Reasons). The Gauine Works of Flavius Josephus, the Jewish Historia, in English, with dissertations, appeared in 1737. That this has been reprinted innumerable times (as late as 1906, ed. D. S. Margoliouth) and is still the standard English translation of Josephus is due to other causes than the merits of the translator, for Whiston's scholarship was defective for the take even in his time, and the advance of knowledge since the early eighteenth century, as well as the better text now available, make a new translation much to be desired. Other of Whiston's more noteworthy works are: A Short View of the Chronic of the Old Testament and of the Harmony of the Pow Evangelists (London, 1702); An Essay on the Ra tion of St. John (1706); Prælectiones physics and matica sive philosophia clarissimi Newtoni 🖦 matica illustrata (1710; English, 1716); Ather Convicted of Forgery (1712); An Argument to Pront that All Persons Solemnly though Irregularly & Apart for the Ministry Are Real Clergymen (1714); The True Origin of the Sabellian and Athanana Detrine of the Trinity (1720); A Chronological I Containing the Hebrew, Phænician, Egyption 🛋 Chaldwan Antiquities (1721); Athanasian Porpora, Impositions, and Interpellations (by a "Love d Truth," 1736); The Primitive New Testonest, \$ translation of the Gospels and Acts from the Com Bezar, of the Pauline epistles from the Clement manuscripts, and of the catholic epistles from Codex Alexandrinus (1745); and Memoirs of Fiam Whiston, Written by Himself (1749; H. 1753).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Besides the autobiographic Memoir with consult: J. Nichols, Literary Ancedotes of the 18th Commit. 494-506. London, 1812; L. Stephen, Hist. of Britand in the 18th Century, 2 vols., New York. III. J. H. Overton and F. Relton, The English Chard (18th-1800), London, 1906; DNB, lxi. 10-14.

WHITAKER, OZI WILLIAM: Protestant propagation bishop of Pennsylvania; b. at New Sand Mass., May 10, 1830; d. at Philadelphia Feb. 1911. He was graduated from Middlebury Clege, Vt. (A.B., 1856), and from the General Telegical Seminary, New York City (1863—1863—1863—1864), and was rector of John's, Gold Hill (1863—65); of St. Paul's, Value wood, N. J. (1865—67); and of St. Paul's, Value Coppension of St. Paul's Coppension of St

City (1867-69). In 1869 he was consecrated missionary bishop of Nevada, serving until he became bishop coadjutor of Pennsylvania in 1886. A year later (1887), on the death of Bishop Stephens, he became bishop of the diocese.

Bubliography: W. S. Perry, The Episcopate in America, p. 201, New York, 1895.

WHITAKER, WILLIAM: Church of England; b. at Holme (19 m. n. of Manchester), England, 1548; d. at Cambridge Dec. 4, 1595. He studied at St. Paul's school in London, and at Cambridge (B.A., 1568; M.A., 1571; minor fellow, 1569; major fellow, 1571; B.D., Oxford, 1578); became canon of Norwich Cathedral, 1578; regius professor of divinity, 1580; chancellor of St. Paul's, London, 1580; master of St. John's College, 1586; and canon of Canterbury, 1595. He was a man of great learning, stanch in his Protestantism and Calvinism. Most of his works were polemical, among which may be mentioned Disputatio de sacra scriptura (Cambridge, 1588; Eng. transl., A Disputation on Holy Scripture against the Papists, especially Bellarmine and Stapleton, ed. for Parker Society, 1849); Responsionis ad decem illas rationes, quibus fretuo E. Campianus certamen ecclesiæ Anglicanæ ministrio obtulit in causa fidei . . . (London, 1583; Eng. transl., An Answere to the Ten Reasons of Edward Campian, the Jesuit, 1606). His Opera were collected and published in 2 vols., Geneva, 1610. See LAMBETH ARTICLES.

Bibliography: A Vita by A. Ashton with other biographic material is in the Opera, ut sup., i. 698-716; there is also An Account of the Life and Death. . in Whitaker's Cognet Cantio, London, 1772. Consult further: The Life by Gataker in Fuller's Abel Redivious, pp. 401-408, London, 1651; R. Churton, Life of A. Nowell, pp. 325-334, Oxford, 1809; C. H. and T. Cooper, Athene Cantabriginases, vol. ii., London, 1861; T. Baker, Hist. of the College of St. John, . . . Cambridge, ed. J. E. B. Mayor, 2 vols., Cambridge, 1809; W. H. Frere, The English Church (1658-1626), pp. 282-283, 342, London, 1904; DNB, lxi. 21-23.

WHITBY, SYNOD OF: An assembly convened by Oswy, king of Northumbria, in the spring of 664 to settle the differences between the Irish and Roman ecclesiastics in his realm concerning the date of Easter, the shape of the tonsure, and the like (see CELTIC CHURCH IN BRITAIN AND IRELAND). Oswy's marriage with Eansfled, daughter of the king of Kent, had brought the dispute to a crisis, as the king adhered to the Celtic usages brought to North England from Iona, while the southern princess, coming from the region of Canterbury, followed Roman custom and brought with her to the north a Catholic chaplain. The assembly met at Hilda's convent at Streamsshalch (Whitby, on the coast of Yorkshire, 40 m. n.n.e. of York). Oswy presided, and among those present were Alchfrid, king of Deira, Oswy's son; Agilbert, bishop of the West Saxons (a native of Gaul); Wilfrid, afterward bishop of York; Colman, bishop of Lindisfarne; Cedd, bishop of the East Saxons; and Hilda. Wilfrid spoke for the Roman party and Colman for the British. The latter claimed to follow St. John and Columba, whereupon Wilfrid asserted the supremacy of St. Peter and quoted Matt. xvi. 18, thereby convincing the king. In consequence of his defeat Colman and the Irish monks, with about thirty of the Angles, left Northumbria. His successor, Tuda, died in a short time of the plague and Wilfrid was then chosen bishop and the see was removed to York.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Bede, *Hist. eccl.*, iii. 25, in Plummer's ed., i. 183–189, ii. 189–192; Haddan and Stubbs, *Councils*, iii. 100–105; W. Bright, *Early English Church History*, pp. 222–232, 3d ed., Oxford, 1897; J. H. Overton, *The Church in England*, i. 59–63, 73 et passim, London, 1897; W. Hunt, *The English Church* (587–1066), pp. 109–115, 128, ib. 1899.

WHITBY, DANIEL: Controversial writer and commentator; b. at Rushden (14 m. n.e. of Northampton), Northamptonshire, Mar. 24, 1638; d. at Salisbury Mar. 24, 1725. He entered Oxford as a commoner of Trinity College in 1653 (B.A., 1657) and was elected fellow in 1664. Four years later he was appointed chaplain to Seth Ward, bishop of Salisbury, who almost immediately made him prebendary of Yatesbury and Husborn-Tarrant, and in 1669 perpetual curate of St. Thomas' and rector of St. Edmund's, Salisbury. He was installed precentor at Salisbury in 1672, and in 1696 was given the prebend of Taunton-Regis. His first book was Romish Doctrines not from the Beginning (London. 1664), and it was followed during the next twentyfive years by ten or a dozen similar works against the Roman Catholic Church. At first his writings were well received, but in 1682, in The Protestant Reconciler Humbly Pleading for Condescension to Dissenting Brethren in Things Immaterial, he expressed opinions concerning "things immaterial," which were accounted too liberal by the High-church party, and the University of Oxford ordered the book to be burned in the quadrangle, while Bishop Ward compelled the author to retract. A "second part" was then issued urging dissenters to conform. Whitby also wrote on Christian evidences, against Calvinism, on the Fathers, and on the Trinity. On the topic last named, he began with the orthodox doctrine (cf. Tractatus de vera Christi deitate adversus Arii et Socini hæreses [Oxford, 1691]), but his view changed, and his Last Thoughts (published posthumously by his direction, ed. A. A. Sykes, London, 1727; reprinted by the Unitarian Association, 1841) reveals him as a convinced Unitarian. His magnum opus was a Paraphrase and Commentary on the New Testament (2 vols., London, 1703), the fruit of fif-teen years' labor, which, combined with the work of Simon Patrick (q.v.), Richard Arnold, William Lowth (q.v.), and Moses Lowman in the popular Critical Commentary on the Old and New Testaments and Apocrypha (London, 1809), has had a longer life than it deserved (reprinted 1857). He is described as small and very thin physically, affable in manner, sincerely pious and unselfish, and possessed of a remarkable memory, which, with his other faculties (except eyesight), he retained unimpaired to the end of his life. On the day before his death he preached extemporaneously in church. He spent his life in his study, indulging in but one relaxation (tobacco), and was a child in all business matters.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: A Short Account of the Life, etc., was prefixed by Sykes to the Last Thoughts, ut sup. Consult: A. à Wood, Athena Oxonienses, ed. P. Bliss, iv. 671, and Fasti, ii. 198, 223, 332-333, 4 vols., London, 1813-20; DNB, lxi. 28-30. WHITE, HENRY JULIAN: Church of England; b. in London Aug. 27, 1859. He received his education at Christ Church, Oxford (B.A., 1882; M.A., 1885); was made deacon, 1885, and priest, 1886; was curate of Oxted, Surrey, 1885-86; missioner of St. Andrew's, Sarum, 1886-95; chaplain and theological lecturer of Merton College, Oxford, 1895-1905; and became professor of New-Testament exegesis in King's College, London, 1905. He also filled the offices of domestic chaplain to the bishop of Salisbury, 1887; fellow of Merton College and examining chaplain to the bishop of Oxford, 1897-1905; and examiner in theology at Oxford, 1903-05. He has collaborated with J. Wordsworth, bishop of Salisbury, and W. Sanday in the production of Old Latin Biblical Texts (Oxford, 1883 sqq.); of Novum Testamentum Latine (1889 sqq.; the critical edition of the Vulgate); contributed "The Codex Amatianus and its Birthplace" to Studia Biblia et Ecclesiastica (1890); has issued also Acta Apostolorum (1890), and Merton College in College Monographs (1906).

WHITE, JOHN HAZEN: Protestant Episcopal bishop of Michigan City; b. at Cincinnati Mar. 10, 1849. He was graduated from Kenyon College, (A.B., 1872) and from Berkeley Divinity School (1875). He was ordered deacon (1875), and priest (1876); he was curate at St. Andrew's, Meriden, Conn. (1875-77); curate at St. John's, Waterbury, Conn. (1877-78), as well as vice-rector and instructor of Latin in St. Margaret's School, in the same city; he then held the rectorship at the following churches: Grace Church, Old Saybrook, Conn. (1878-81); Christ Church, Joliet, Ill. (1881-89); St. John's, St. Paul's, Minn. (1889-91); was warden of the Seabury Divinity School (1891-95), and in 1895 was consecrated bishop of Indiana. When the diocese was divided in 1899, he took the northern portion of the former see, with the title of bishop of Michigan City.

Bibliography: W. S. Perry, The Episcopate in America, p. 367, New York, 1895.

WHITE, NEWPORT JOHN DAVIS: Church of England; b. at Dublin Feb. 16, 1860. He received his education at Rathmines School and Trinity College, Dublin (B.A., 1883; M.A., B.D., 1887; D.D., 1904); he was made deacon in 1885, and priest in 1886; was curate of Bowdon, Cheshire, 1885-87, and of St. John's, Birkenhead, 1888-90; private teacher of divinity in Trinity College, Dublin, 1890-1897; assistant lecturer in divinity and Hebrew in the same institution, 1897-1907; librarian of Archbishop Marsh's Library, Dublin, 1898; professor of Biblical Greek in Trinity College, Dublin, since 1906; and deputy for the regius professor of divinity, Dublin University, 1907. He has also been canon of St. Patrick's Cathedral, Dublin, since 1906. He has edited The Latin Writings of St. Patrick (in the Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy, Dublin, 1905); and G. Salmon's Human Element in the Gospels. A Commentary of the Synoptic Narrative (London, 1907); contributed to The Psalms of Israel: Lectures delivered in St. Patrick's Cathedral, Dublin, 1903 (1904); Elias Bouhéreau of La Rochelle (in Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy, 1908); and the commentary on the Pastoral Epistles in the Ezpositor's Greek Testament (1909); together with articles in Hastings, DB and DCG.

WHITE, THOMAS: English Roman Catholie, controversial writer under various pseudonyms (Thomas Anglus, Albius, Bianchi, Blacklow, Candidus); b. probably at Hutton (20 m. e.n.e. of London), Essex, 1593; d. in London July 6, 1676. He studied at the English College at St. Omer, at Valladolid (entered 1609), and at Douai; was ordained priest at Arras 1617, taught at Douai at different times (vice-president in 1650), was president of the English college at Lisbon 1633, and also lived in Paris and Rome. His last years were spent in England in literary work. He wrote much upon philosophical and theological questions, and developed a system of his own and applied it to religious doctrines, especially freedom, grace, and predestination, with an independence that brought him into conflict with those of his own faith; his works were put upon the index. At the same time he saw no way to solve the difficulties of Scripture except by permanent authority, and hence fell into controversy with Protestants. He ultimately submitted unreservedly to the Roman Catholic Church. He edited William Rushworth's Dialogues or the Judgment of Common Sense in the Choice of Religion (Paris, 1654), adding a dialogue of his own, and published An Apology for Rushworth's Dialogues (2 parts 1654), wherein his views are best set forth. Other works include Institutiones peripatetica (Lyons, 1646), and Institutiones sacræ (1652), from which twenty-two propositions were censured by the University of Douai in 1660; De medio animarun statu (Paris, 1653; Eng., 1659); The Grounds of Obedience and Government (London, 1655), in which, it was charged, he tried to flatter Cromwell to gain his favor for the Roman Catholics; Institutions ethicæ sive stateræ morum (2 vols., 1660).

Bibliography: [P. Talbot], Blackloanæ hareesis hist. et confutatio, Ghent, 1675; C. Plowden, Remarks on a Book Entitled "Memoirs of Gregorio Panzani," pp. 255-273. London, 1794; C. Dodd, Church Hist. of England; 285, 350-356, 5 vols., London, 1839-43; F. H. Reusch, Der Index der verbotenen Bücher, ii. 384, 411, Bonn, 1885. J. Gillow, Biographical Dictionary of English Catholics, v. 578-581, London, n.d.; Bayle, Dictionary, i. 338-340; DNB, lxi. 79-81; KL, i. 853-854.

WHITE, WILBERT WEBSTER: United Prebyterian; b. at Ashland, O., Jan. 16, 1863. He studied at the University of Wooster (B.A., 1881; M.A., 1884), Xenia Theological Seminary (graduated 1885), and Yale University (Ph.D., 1891); was pastor at Peotone, Ill., 1885–86; professor of Hebrew and Old-Testament literature in the Xenia Theological Seminary, 1890–95; taught in the Moody Bible Institute, Chicago, 1895–97; engaged in Bible work in India and England, 1897–1900; and became president of the Bible Teachers' Training School, New York City, 1900. He has written Inductive Studies in the Twelve Minor Prophets (Chicago, 1894); Thirty Studies in the Gospel by John (New York, 1895); Thirty Studies in Jeremiah (1895); Thirty Studies in Glatemiah (1895); Thirty Studies in Old Testament Characters (1900); and Thirty Studies in the Gospel by Matthew (1903).

WHITE, WILLIAM: Protestant Episcopal bishop; b. in Philadelphia, Pa., Apr. 4, 1748; d. there July 17, 1836. He was educated in the schools and College of Philadelphia, graduating in 1765; soon began his theological studies, completed in 1770, when he sailed for England to receive orders; was ordered deacon in the Chapel Royal, Westminster, 1770, and ordained priest 1772; became assistant minister of Christ Church and St. Peter's, Philadelphia, 1772, and soon after rector of the united parishes of Christ, St. Peter's, and St. James'. Upon the outbreak of the Revolution he sided with the colonies, and was chaplain to the Continental Congress, 1787-1801. He was active during the war in trying to sustain the life of the church, and later in obtaining the episcopate essential to reorganization. In 1785 he was chosen president of the general convention in Philadelphia, and in 1786 its first bishop, being consecrated in the chapel of Lambeth Palace, England, 1787. He exercised the episcopal office until his death, being in orders more than sixtyfive years, standing at the head of the American Church nearly half a century, and consecrating about twenty-six bishops. He was a man of large and comprehensive views, and of wisdom in his administration. His works embrace Comparative View of the Controversy between the Calvinists and the Arminians (2 vols., Philadelphia, 1817); and Memoirs of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America (1820; 2d ed., with continuation, New York, 1835).

Bibliography: W. B. Sprague, Annals of the American Pulpit, v. 280-292, New York, 1859; W. S. Perry, Hist. of the American Episcopal Church, 2 vols., Boston, 1885; idem, Episcopate in America, pp. xxii. sqq., 5-7, New York, 1895; C. C. Tiffany, in American Church History Series, vii. 217, 289 et passim, 564 sqq., New York, 1895; S. D. McConnell, Hist. of the American Episcopal Church, 7th ed., New York, 1897; and in general the literature under Protestant Episcopallans dealing with the early

history of that church.

WHITEFIELD, GEORGE: Calvinistic Methodist; b. in Gloucester, England, Dec. 27, 1714; d. in Newburyport, Mass., Sept. 30, 1770. He was the son of an innkeeper. At the age of twelve he was placed in the school of St. Mary de Crypt at Gloucester, and in 1732, after a year's intermission of his studies so that he might be drawer of liquor in the inn (kept by his mother since his father's death in 1716), he entered Pembroke College, Oxford. The religious impressions which he had felt on different occasions had been deepened while he was at school the second time, and at Oxford he fell in with the Wesleys, joined the "Holy Club," and observed its rules rigorously, being the first of the Oxford "Methodists" to profess conversion (1735). His health being impaired, he left Oxford for a year, returning in Mar., 1736, and was ordained deacon in the following June, taking his B.A. in the same year. He now spent much time among the prisoners in Oxford, preached in London and elsewhere, and speedily rose to great prominence as a pulpit orator.

Whitefield had been requested by the Wesleys to come to them in Georgia, and he finally resolved to go, though he did not sail until the beginning of 1738. He spent several months in Georgia, preaching with great acceptance, but in the same year returned to England to be ordained priest. Here he found many London churches closed to him because he was considered erratic and fanatical, but he preached in such as would receive him, and also visited and worked among the Moravians and other religious societies in London. Early in 1739 he held a conference with the Wesleys and other Oxford Methodists, and in February went to Bristol. Being excluded from the churches, he preached in the open air, and induced Wesley to take a similar step, thus establishing an innovation which gave opportunity to the Methodist movement. At Kingswood, near Bristol, he laid the foundations of the Kingswood School, which became so important to Methodism.

Whitefield now began his career as an itinerant evangelist. He visited Wales, and gave an impulse to the revival movement already begun by Howel Harris (q.v.); and he next traveled through Scotland, and then went through England, attracting extraordinary attention everywhere. But his arraignment of the clergy as "blind guides" roused many to oppose him, and this hostile feeling preceded him to America, where some of the Anglican churches refused him their pulpits, though other churches were open to him. He preached in Philadelphia and New York, and on his way to Georgia; while during a visit to New England the revival which had begun in Northampton in 1736 was renewed. (See REVIVALS, III., 1.) Whitefield paid seven visits to America, the results of his evangelistic tours being shared by Congregationalists, Presbyterians, and Baptists from Massachusetts to Georgia; and when he was not in America he was addressing immense audiences in England, Scotland, and Wales.

He early became Calvinistic in his views, and his association with Calvinistic divines in America deepened them. He complained to Wesley because he attacked the doctrine of election, and there was a sharp controversy between them which led to a temporary alienation, though the unwillingness of either to offend the other soon brought about a reconciliation, and the two were henceforth firm friends despite the fact that their paths were different. Whitefield was nominally the head of the Calvinistic Methodists, but he left to others the work of organization. His time was divided between Great Britain and America, and he preached among all denominations. He continued in active service until the end, preaching for two hours at Exeter, Mass., the day before his death, while it was his regular custom to preach every day in the week, often three and four times daily.

[The Works of Whitefield were edited in seven volumes by J. Gillies (London, 1771–72), but this edition contains only selected sermons, letters, and tracts, with a few pieces which had not yet been published. It does not, indeed, include some of the writings of most interest in connection with Whitefield's life, such as his Journal of a Voyage from London to Savannah in Georgia (London, 1738; six other Journals of kindred content were published between 1738 and 1741; it is interesting to note that several of the Journals, as well as some of the following books, were reprinted, not only in Boston, but also

by Benjamin Franklin in Philadelphia); A Short Account of God's Dealings with . . . G.W. . . . from his Infancy to the Time of his Entering into Holy Orders (1740); The Full Account, etc. (1747) and A Further Account, etc. (1747); The Christian History; or, A General Account of the Progress of the Gospel in England, Wales, Scotland, and America, so far as Mr. W., his Fellow-Labourers, and Assistants are Concerned (1747); and The Two First Parts of his Life, with his Journals, Revised, Corrected, and Abridged (1756). The Journals, Short Account, and Further Account were reissued at London, 1905. Whitefield also compiled a Collection of Hymns for Social Worship, which by 1790 had run through thirty-three editions (revised by M. Wilks, London, 1798, and again by J. Campbell, London, 1837), but it is doubtful whether any of the hymns ascribed to him are really original, while his alterations of the hymns of the Wesleys were such as to cause John Wesley to speak of them in somewhat biting terms. He preached his sermons over and over Much of his success depended upon his dramatic delivery, for the sermons which have come down seem somewhat tame and not to rise H. K. CARROLL. above the commonplace.]

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The principal sources are his own Journals, Short Account, Full Account, Further Account, etc. The fullest and most nearly exhaustive life is that by L. Tyerman, 2 vols., London, 1876-77; a good one for general use is J. P. Gladstone's Life and Travels of George Whitefield, ib. 1871; cf. his George Whitefield, ib. 1871; cf. his George Whitefield, o. Field Preacher, ib. 1901; excellent in its original form is J. Gillies, Memoirs of . . . G. Whitefield, ib. 1772, often redited and republished. Consult further: R. Philip, Life and Times of . . . G. Whitefield, London, 1832; D. Newell, Life of Rev. G. Whitefield, New York, 1846; J. Stoughton, The Pen, the Palm, and the Pulpit, London, 1858; D. A. Harsha, Life of Rev. G. Whitefield, Albany, 1866; J. C. Ryle, Christian Leaders of the Last Century, London, 1868; J. B. Wakeley, Ancedotes of Rev. G. Whitefield, ib. 1879, new ed., 1909; J. Macaulay, Whitefield Anecdotes, ib. 1886; Cambridge Modern History, vi. 82 sqq., New York, 1909; DNB, Ixi. 85-92; and the literature under Methodists dealing with the history of that movement. See also under Revivals, and the literature on the Wesleys.

WHITEHEAD, CORTLANDT: Protestant Episcopal bishop of Pittsburg; b. in New York City Oct. 30, 1842. He was graduated from Yale College (A.B., 1863) and the Philadelphia Divinity School (1867); was ordered deacon (1867), and ordained priest (1868); he served as missionary at Blackhawk, Central City, and Georgetown (1867–1870); was rector of the Church of the Nativity at Bethlehem, Pa. (1870–82), and in 1882 he was consecrated bishop of Pittsburg. He has edited Bishop A. C. Coxe's Thoughts on the Services (New York, 1899).

WHITEHOUSE, OWEN CHARLES: English Congregationalist; b. at Palamkotta (5 m. s.e. of Tinnevelli), Tinnevelli, Madras Presidency, India, Nov. 15, 1849. He was educated at University College, London (B.A., University of London, 1870), Cheshunt College, Herts (1872–74), and the University of Bonn (1876–77); was professor of classics and Hebrew in Cheshunt College, Herts (1877–95); principal and professor of Biblical exegesis and theology there (1895–1905). Since 1905, when Cheshunt College was removed to Cambridge, he has been its senior theological tutor. He was a

member of the board of theological studies and of oriental languages in London University in 1901-1906, and examiner on Hebrew in the same institution in 1903-07. "In Old-Testament criticism he accepts the main conclusions of Kuenen and Wellhausen as definitely established, but adopts an attitude of reserve toward more recent theories of Cheyne, Marti, and others; in dogmatic theology he regards with sympathy the views of Ritschl and Hermann; in New-Testament criticism he agrees in the main with Harnack, although adopting a somewhat conservative attitude, regarding with disfavor the conclusions of Schmiedel and Van Manen." Besides contributing the commentary on Isaiah to The Century Bible (1902) and on Ezekiel to The Temple Bible (1905), he has translated E. Schrader's Cuneiform Inscriptions and the Old Testament (London, 1889) and has written Primer of Hebrew Antiquities (1895).

WHITFIELD, EDWARD ELIHU: Plymouth Brother; b. at Newcastle-upon-Tyne Nov. 5, 1848. He was educated at Oriel College, Oxford (B.A., 1874), and the University of Heidelberg, and after being a private tutor at Oxford, was modern language master at Sir Joseph Williamson's School, Rochester (1889-99), lecturer in the School of Commerce at University College, Liverpool (1899-1901), and modern language master at Rutlish School, Merton, Surrey (1901-04) and King Edward VII.'s School, King's Lynn, Norfolk (1904-05). In 1905 he retired from active life. Besides editing J. N. Darby's English version of the Old Testament (4 parts, London, 1883-89) and W. Kelly's expositions of Mark and John (2 vols., 1907-08), he has written Outlines of Old Testament Study, Historical and Critical (1883).

WHITGIFT, JOHN: Archbishop of Canterbury; b. at Great Grimsby (30 m. n.e. of Lincoln), England, in 1530 (1533?); d. at Lambeth (2 m. s. of Charing Cross, London) Feb. 29, 1604. He studied at Queen's College and at Pembroke Hall, Cambridge (B.A., 1553-54; M.A., 1557; B.D., 1563); was fellow of Peterhouse, Cambridge, 1555-67; took holy orders, 1560; was rector of Teversham, Cambridgeshire, 1560-72; became chaplain to the bishop of Ely, 1560; was Lady Margaret professor of divinity, 1563-67; master of Pembroke Hall, 1567; master of Trinity College, 1567-77; regius professor of divinity, 1567-69; became prebendary of Ely, 1568; dean of Lincoln, 1571; prebendary of Nassington in the church of Lincoln, and rector of Laceby, Lincolnshire, 1572; bishop of Worcester, 1577; and in 1583 was raised to the primacy. He headed the prelatical party, and for years carried on a controversy with Thomas Cartwright, the great champion of Puritanism. When raised to the primacy, Whitgift was in position to carry out repressive measures against the Puritan party. Agreeing to identify himself absolutely with the cause of uniformity, he obtained a free hand from Elizabeth. In the stifling of Puritanism and in the administration of a coercive policy he was determined. In 1583 he drew up a series of stringent articles which, among other things, required, for the exercise of ecclesiastical functions, a pledge of fidelity to the

Book of Common Prayer, and of acceptance of the Thirty-nine Articles. In 1584 he drew up his interrogations, to be administered to any of the clergy whom the amended court of high commission saw fit to question. Although this evoked strong protest and remonstrance, Whitgift refused to show greater moderation, and followed up his policy with the Star-chamber decree of 1586, prohibiting any manuscript from being set up in type until it had been read and licensed by the archbishop or the bishop of London. He was the object, later, of a series of attacks printed secretly by the Puritans. In 1595 he drew up the Lambeth Articles (q.v.), which adopted unqualifyingly the Calvinist views of predestination and election. These were the result of a request, from the Calvinist leaders of Cambridge, for him to pronounce authoritatively in their favor at Cambridge. He won the favor of James VI. of Scotland (James I. of England) and the confidence of the officers of State. Whitgift's character stood high in the esteem of his contemporaries; he was not self-indulgent, despite the pomp of his palace at Lambeth, and he was said to be pious and earnest in his labors. But the animosities aroused by his policy of coercion lived long after him, causing his better qualities to be overlooked. His Works appeared, edited for the Parker Society by John Ayre (3 vols., Cambridge, 1851–54). BISLICORAPHY: Illustrative documents are reproduced in Gee and Hardy, Documents, pp. 481 sqq. Consult: J. Strype, Life and Acts of John Whitgift, 2 parts, Oxford, 1718, new ed., 1822; G. Paule, Life . . . of John Whitgift, London, 1612; Life of John Whitgift, added to D. W. London, 1612; Life of John Whitgift, added to D. W. Garrow, Hist. and Antiquities of Croyden, Croyden, 1818; W. Maskell, Hist. of the Marprelate Controversy, London, 1845; C. Wordsworth, Ecclesiastical Biography, 4 vols., London, 1853; W. F. Hook, Lives of the Archbishops of Canterbury, vol. v., 12 vols., London, 1860-76; C. H. and T. Cooper, Athena Cantabrigienses, vol. ii., London, 1861; E. Arber, Introductory Sketch to the Martin Marprelate Controversy, London, 1879; W. Clark, The Anglican Reformation, New York, 1879; J. H. Overton, The Church in England, i. 467, 472-475 et passim, vol. ii. passim, London, 1897; W. H. Frere, The English Church (1658-1626), London, 1904; Cambridge Modern History, ii. 161, 592, 597. New York, 1904; DNB, Ixi, 129-137. 592, 597, New York, 1904; DNB, lxi. 129-137

WHITMAN, MARCUS: Congregational missionary and pioneer; b. at Rushville, N. Y., Sept. 4, 1802; d. at Waiilatpu, Ore., Nov. 29, 1847. He was educated privately and then studied medicine at Pittsfield, Mass., after which he practised as a physician in Canada for four years, removing in 1828 to Wheeler, N. Y. In 1835 he went, with a missionary named Samuel Parker, to study Ameriican Indian conditions west of the Mississippi and the Rocky Mountains, with a view to introducing Christianity among them; and so favorable were the prospects among Flathead and Nez Perces tribes in what is now Wyoming that Whitman returned to New York to organize a mission, while Parker continued his way in search of sites for missionary stations. Early in 1836 Whitman and his companions set out, reaching Walla Walla in September, and making his first center at Waiilatpu, near that post. In 1842 he was transferred by the American Board of Commissioners for Foreign Missions to a missionary station near Fort Colville, but he almost immediately started on a return journey to the east, wishing to obtain helpers in view of the rapid immigration into Oregon and of the Roman Catholic missionary activity among the Indians. He gained the retention of the posts at Waiilatpu and Clearwater, but had not enough time to secure the assistants he desired. During his return journey he acted as guide and physician to a large emigrant caravan, and on reaching Waiilatpu he resumed his missionary labors. In 1847, however, an epidemic of measles among the Cayuse caused so large a number of fatalities that Whitman and the other missionaries were believed to be using black magic against them; and the Indians accordingly attacked the mission and killed him and fifteen others.

Apart from his importance as a missionary, Whitman was the man who, above all others, roused popular interest in Oregon and thus largely promoted its settlement. On the other hand, there appears to be little evidence for the common belief that he discovered a plot of the Hudson Bay Company to obtain Oregon for England by colonizing it from Canada, and that his trip of 1842 was to secure American immigrants to forestall such action. Equally fictitious is the story that, when reaching Washington to expose this plot, he found the United States about to exchange Oregon for the fisheries of Newfoundland, and that his representations prevented this exchange and thus secured the retention of the territory.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: W. Barrows, Oregon; the Struggle for Pesession, Boston, 1884; J. G. Craighead, The Story of Normal Whitman, Philadelphia, 1895; O. W. Nixon, How Marcus Whitman saved Oregon, Chicago, 1895; idem, Whitman's Ride through Savage Lands, ib. 1905; W. A. Mowry, Marcus Whitman and the Early Days of Oregon, New York, 1901; W. I. Marshall, History so, the "Whitman saved Oregon" Story, privately printed, Chicago, 1904; C. W. Smith, A Contribution toward a Bibliography of Marcus Whitman, Seattle, 1908; M. Eella, Marcus Whitman; Pathfinder and Patriot, ib. 1909.

WHITON, JAMES MORRIS: Congregationalist; b. at Boston, Mass., Apr. 11, 1833. He was educated at Yale College (A.B., 1853), and, after being rector of Hopkins Grammar School, New Haven, Conn. (1854-64), was pastor of the First Congregational Church, Lynn, Mass. (1865-69), and of the North Congregational Church in the same city (1869-75); principal of Williston Seminary, Easthampton, Mass. (1876-78); pastor of the First Congregational Church, Newark, N. J. (1879-85), and of Trinity Congregational Church, New York City (1886-91); acting professor of ethics in the Meadville Theological School (1893-94), and acting pastor of the Congregational Church at Haworth, N. J. (1898-1901). He has been a member of the editorial staff of The Outlook since 1897. He has been chairman of the Executive Committee of the New York State Conference of Religions since 1899. In theology he is a "conservative-liberal" with a "monistic basis." He is the author of Latin Lessons (Boston, 1860); Greek Lessons (New York, 1861); Select Orations of Lysias (Boston, 1875); Is Eternal Punishment Endless? (New York, 1876; maintaining that endless punishment is not decisively revealed in the New Testament, thus raising a question as to his further fellowship in the Congregational body, which was decided in his favor by a council at Newark, N. J., in 1879); Six Weeks' Preparation for Reading Casar (Boston, 1877); Essay on the Gospel according to Matthew (1880);

Beyond the Shadow, or the Gospel of the Resurrection (New York, 1881); The Evolution of Revelation (1885); Three Months' Preparation for Reading Xenophon (in collaboration with his daughter, 1885); The Divine Satisfaction (1886); Turning Points of Thought and Conduct (1887); The Law of Liberty (1888); New Points to Old Texts (1889); What of Samuel? (1890); Gloria Patri, or Talks on the Trinity (1892); Reconsiderations and Reenforcements (1896); Miracles and Supernatural Religion (1903); and Interludes, Ethical, Social and Theological (1910).

WHITSITT, WILLIAM HETH: Baptist; b. near Nashville, Tenn., Nov. 25, 1841; d. at Richmond Jan. 20, 1911. He was educated at Union University (1857–60), dropping his studies during the Civil War to become private, later chaplain, in the Confederate Army (1861-65). He then studied at the University of Virginia (1866-67), later taking a course at the Southern Baptist Seminary (1867-1869), as well as at Leipsic (1869-70) and at Berlin (1870-71); he was pastor at the Mill Creek Church, Nashville, Tenn. (1865-66), and for part of the year 1872 was pastor of the Baptist church at Albany, Ga., when he received an appointment as professor of Biblical introduction and ecclesiastical history in the Southern Baptist Theological Seminary, of which he was president from 1895 to 1899. About 1880 he saw for the first time materials which led him to believe that among English antipedobaptists immersion was not in use till 1641. Publication of statements embodying these materials educed assaults upon him as not supporting his denomination, and these were intensified by the publication of his Question in Baptist History (Louisville, 1896). Feeling it best for the institution over which he had presided that he should retire, he did so and for two years held no office. The publication of his articles and his book occasioned a sharp controversy respecting the right and duty of a historian in a denominational school to exercise an untrammeled freedom in the expression of conclusions as to historical facts. After 1901 he was professor of philosophy in Richmond College, Va. Besides being an associate editor of Johnson's Universal Cyclopædia (1894), he wrote History of the Rise of Infant Baptism (Louisville, Ky., 1878); History of Communion Among Baptists (1880); Origin of the Disciples of Christ (New York, 1888); Life and Times of Judge Caleb Wallace (Louisville, 1888); Annals of a Scotch-Irish Family-the Whitsitts of Nashville, Tenn. (1904); and Genealogy of Jefferson Davis (1908).

WHITSUNDAY. See PENTECOST, II.

WHITTINGHAM, WILLIAM. See STERNHOLD, THOMAS.

WHYTE, ALEXANDER: Free Church of Scotland; b. at Kirriemuir (14 m. n. of Dundee), Forfarshire, Jan. 13, 1837. He was educated at the University of Aberdeen (M.A., 1862) and at New College, Edinburgh (1862–66); was assistant minister of Free St. John's, Glasgow (1866–70); then assistant minister, and, later (1873), minister of Free St. George's, Edinburgh; and, in 1909, became professor of New-Testament literature and

principal of New College, Edinburgh. He has written Commentary on the Shorter Catechism (Edinburgh, 1882); Bunyan Characters (4 series, 1893-1908); Samuel Rutherford and some of his Cornsspondents (1894); Jacob Behmen: An Appreciation (1894); Lancelot Andrewes and his Private Devotions (1895); Four Temperaments (London, 1895, reissus 1910); Bible Characters (6 vols., Edinburgh, 1896-1902); Santa Teresa: An Appreciation (1897, reissue, 1910); Father John of the Greek Church (1898); Sir Thomas Browne: An Appreciation (1898); Characters and Characteristics of William Law (1898); Newman: An Appreciation (1901); Bishop Buller: An Appreciation (1903); The Apostle Paul (1903); Walk, Conversation, Character of Jesus Christ Our Lord (1905); and Thomas Shepard, Pilgrim Father and Founder of Harvard (1909).

WIBEL, vî'bel, JOHANN CHRISTIAN: German theologian; b. at Ernsbach near Oehringen (35 m. n.n.e. of Stuttgart) May 3, 1711; d. at Langenburg (48 m. n.e. of Stuttgart) May 10, 1772. He prepared for the university at Oehringen, and studied at Jena under Buddeus and Johann Georg Walch (qq.v.), 1728-32, especially busying himself with church history; he became chaplain at Wilhemsdorf near Nuremberg in 1732, where he began to write history; in 1746 he was called as teacher and assistant preacher to the gymnasium at Ochringen, where he undertook extensive researches in the archives; he went as court preacher to Langenburg in 1749, where he remained, exercising a wholesome and extended influence. His literary activity began as early as 1733 with a collection of poems on the Order of Salvation (q.v.). In Wilhermsdorf he became interested in the Jews, planned a new edition of the Masorah parva and collected material for a Codex diplomaticus on the history of the Jews, and came into connection with Johann Heinrich Callenberg (q.v.). His later work resulted in the production of his chief writing, Hohenlohische Kirchen- und Reformationshistorie (4 vols., Ansbach, 1752-55), an impartial and worthy compilation which, with the adjunct Codex diplomaticus, contained much original material and is indispensable as a source. On his religious side Wibel was an orthodox Lutheran and somewhat pietistic, and his activities were worthy and far-reaching. (G. Bossert.)

Bibliography: E. F. Neubauer, Nachricht von den idtilebenden . . . Theologen in . . . Deutschland, pp. 10, 20 sqq., Züllichau, 1743; ADB, xlii. 300-301.

WIBALD OF STABLO: Statesman and abbot of Corvey (q.v.); b. near the abbey of Corvey in 1098; d. at Butellia in Macedonia July 19, 1158. He received his education in various cloister schools, including that of Corvey; took vows in the abbey of Waussor after being head of the school there; in 1118 he went to the abbey of Stablo-Malmedy m. s. of Aix-la-Chapelle), and in 1130 became head; he undertook the reformation of the about success; under Lothair (1125-37) he was to the court and employed in diplomatic models between king and pope; in 1137 he accompand the king to Italy, and was chosen abbot of Cassino, but was soon compelled to retire, fluence increased under Conrad III., and

was sought on all important matters. After 1146 he purposed to devote himself to his cloister, but in October of the same year was made abbot of Corvey. When Conrad entered upon a crusade, he had his son Heinrich made king and placed him under the tutelage of Wibald. His appointment to Corvey caused opposition there, and even an attempt to murder him. In 1149 he was again sent to Rome, this time with Arnold of Wied; and he was also engaged deeply in the imperial controversies of the time, being part of the time in the field with the army, and often engaged in diplomatic missions to Rome. After the death of Conrad in 1152, Wibald became adviser to Frederick Barbarossa, whom he accompanied in the Italian expedition. He was by him sent on a mission to Constantinople, 1154-55, and a second time in 1157-58; it was on his return from this second mission that he met sudden death, though whether by poison is not made out. In 1159 his remains were taken to Corvey.

His principal and most praiseworthy activities were exercised as the mediator between the Church and the Empire, and his death was followed by adversity to both.

Bibliography: A part of a collection of letters is preserved and published in the Bibliotheca rerum Germanorum, i. 76 sqq., Berlin, 1864. Consult: J. Janssen, Wibald son Stable used Coresy, Münster, 1854; ADB, xiii. 298 sqq.

WIBERT OF RAVENNA. See Guibert.

vî'cărn, WICHERN. JOHANN Founder of the Innere Mission (q.v.); b. at Hamburg Apr. 21, 1808; d. there Apr. 7, 1881. He studied at the gymnasium of his native city and at Göttingen and Berlin. In Berlin he became acquainted with the philanthropists Baron von Kottwitz and Dr. Julius, the latter a physician who advocated prison reform. After his return to Hamburg Wichern immediately plunged into Sunday-school work, which had been founded upon the English model by Pastor Rautenberg. In this way he gained the deepest insight into the desolate condition of the poor, and became convinced that the most abandoned children could be helped only by the erection of an asylum. With this his life-work may be said to have begun. His ideal of such an asylum was to have it resemble a village with small houses in which every child should be recognized and educated according to his individuality; it should harbor a family in different groups, the members of which shared life and work as sisters and brothers, each group being guided by an assistant. A respected syndic of Hamburg, Sieveking, offered him a small house with garden and field, the so-called Rauhe Haus in Horn, a suburb of Hamburg. Hither Wichern removed in 1833 with his mother and his sister Therese, taking into the establishment twelve most enpromising boys. In the day time they were instructed in practical employments, such as tailoring, cleaning, and gardening, and in the evening Wichern aught them reading, writing, arithmetic, singing, and Biblical history. In the course of time one family lause was erected after another; girls were also received in special houses. Still more important than he work in behalf of children was his epoch-making education of helpers not only for the education of children, but also for service among the people in newly opened fields of labor. From year to year the Rauhe Haus became more widely known, more frequently visited, and imitated as a model, and its founder was asked to supply workers. As his personal connections and correspondence became more extended, he edited after 1844 Die Fliegenden Blitter aus dem Rauhen Hause. It became the organ of that entire charitable work in the different German Evangelical state churches which received the collective name of Innere Mission (q.v.) in distinction from the mission to the heathen. famine caused by failures of crops and destructive floods in Upper Silesia in 1848 induced Wichern to extend his charitable activity to that region. With eleven brethren he superintended the care of the sick and especially gathered together destitute children. The lasting fruit of his efforts there was the orphans' home at Warschowitz. Long before the revolution of 1848 Wichern had pointed out the dangers which threatened to arise from the dissatisfaction of the masses and the need of the work of home missions, but had preached to deaf ears. Only after the catastrophe was it possible for him to bring the associations serving the different purposes of home missions into an organic connection. He took the most prominent part in the first German Evangelical church diet (Sept. 21-23, 1848), which powerfully aroused the spirit of repentance and faith and awakened hundreds of brave Evangelicals to new efforts in the renewal of Christian life among the people. In 1852 King Frederic William IV. granted the brethren of the Rauhe Haus the privilege of acting as overseers in the Prussian prison service; and in the following year the Prussian government commissioned Wichern to visit the prisons throughout the monarchy, to investigate their conditions, and to suggest means of correcting existing defects. In this connection he was appointed councilor in the ministry of the interior and a supreme church councilor. In Berlin Wichern founded in 1858 a second institution, the Evangelisches Johannisstift, its work to be along the same general lines as those of the Rauhe Haus.

Among his most noted writings were Die Innere Mission der deutschen evangelischen Kirche (Hamburg, 1849); Die Behandlung der Verbrecher und entlassenen Sträftinge (1853); Der Dienst der Frauer in der Kirche (1858). His Gesammelte Schriften appeared in 6 vols., Hamburg, 1901–08.

(H. RAHLENBECK.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Biographies have been written by F. Oldenberg, 2 vols., Hamburg, 1882-87; O. Schnizer, Calw, 1904; E. Knodt, Herborn, 1908; and H. Petrich, Hamburg, 1908. Consult also the literature under Innere Mission; Schafer, in Monalsschrift für Innere Mission, 1882, pp. 443 sqq., 1894, pp. 489 sqq., 1898, pp. 313 sqq.; P. Schaff, Germany; its Universities, Theology, and Religion, chap. xxxviii., Philadelphia, 1857; M. Hennig, J. H. Wicherns Lebenswerk in seiner Bedeutung für das deutsche Volk, Hamburg, 1908.

WICKED BIBLE. See BIBLE VERSIONS, B, IV., & Q.

WICKEDNESS: A term which has varied connotations in dogmatics according to its general or individual application. In the former sense it implies the destruction caused by sin in its active aspect (Gen. vi. 5; Ps. xciv. 23; Isa. xiii. 11; Jer. ii.

and merits condemnation and death (Jer. xviii. 8; xxxv. 17; Ezek. xviii. 26; xxxiii. 13). Wickedness is essentially the active aspect of sin, and connotes a false tendency of the reason and the will which is persistent and determined in its course (Jer. ix. 3; Rom. i. 29). It is the self-centered pride in which the natural man identifies himself with his sinful impulses (Jer. viii. 6; I Cor. v. 8), and despite its reprehensibility and condemnation (Ps. xciv. 23; Isa. xiii. 11), it is ineradicable (Jer. vi. 7; viii. 6, ix. 3; Nah. iii. 19). Naturally the term "wickedness" can be applied in this sense to individuals, since the sinfulness of each man may be regarded either as particular or general, according as preeminence is given to personal responsibility or to the universal corruption of sin (Wisd. of Sol., ii. 21; I Pet. ii. 1).

As applied to the individual, wickedness connotes unholy delight in the intentional infliction of injury on others (Esther viii. 3; Ps. liv. 5), as well as pride at success in working harm (Matt. xxii. 18; Eph. iv. 31; Col. iii. 8). Ferocity, cruelty, revenge, and calumny are forms in which wickedness is manifested, while destructiveness and malice often receive modifications from it.

The ancient classification of sins as those of ignorance, weakness, and malice, current since St. Augustine, finds its justification in the general concept of wickedness, though it is inadequate. Johann Gerhard divided sins into involuntary, or those committed from ignorance and weakness, and voluntary, or those done with malice prepense. From the point of view of ethical religion, a distinction may be drawn between sins of ignorance and those committed knowingly, the latter being divisible into sins of weakness and of malice, and it is also permissible to distinguish between conscious and unconscious sins as well as between those which are voluntary and such as are involuntary.

(L. LEMME.)

WICKHAM, EDWARD CHARLES: Church of England; b. at Hammersmith (7 m. w. of St. Paul's, London) Dec. 7, 1834. He received his education at Winchester College, and at New College, Oxford (B.A., 1856; M.A., 1859; D.D., 1894); was made deacon in 1857, and priest in 1859; fellow and tutor of New College, 1859-73; Whitehall preacher, 1872-1873: headmaster of Wellington College, 1873-93; dean of Lincoln since 1894; honorary fellow of New College, 1894 to the present time; and select preacher at Oxford, 1866-67, 1883-85, 1896-97, and 1901-03. He has devoted much time to the study of Horace, his labors resulting in Horace, Works with Commentary and Notes (2 vols., London, 1874 sqq.), Opera (1901), and Horace for English Readers. Translation (1903). He is the author also of Wellington College Sermons (1887); Notes and Questions on the Catechism (1892, latest ed., 1899); Notes on the Prayer Book (1895, latest ed., 1902); and Questions to Hebrews (1910).

WICKSTEED, PHILIP HENRY: English Unitarian; b. at Leeds, Yorkshire, Oct. 25, 1844. He was educated at University College and at Manchester New College, London (A.B., University of London, 1864), and in 1867 entered the ministry; he held pastorates at Taunton (1867–70), Dukin-

field, near Manchester (1870-74), and Portland Street Chapel, London (1874-87). In 1897 he retired from the ministry, but since 1887 has been a lecturer in the University Extension movement. He has written Dante (six sermons; London, 1879); Alphabet of Economic Science, i. (1888); Hendrit Ibsen (lectures; 1891); The Religion of Time and the Religion of Eternity (1899); Dante and Giovanni del Virgilio (in collaboration with E. G. Gardner; 1901); Studies in Theology (in collaboration with E. Carpenter; 1903); and The Common Sense of Political Economy (1910).

WIDOWS IN THE EARLY CHURCH. See DEACONESS, I.-II.

WIDUKIND, wid'ū-kind: Monk of Corvey, historian of the Saxons; d. after 973. Of his life it is known only that he was of Saxon origin, that about 940 he entered the famous Saxon Benedictine monastery of Corvey, and that he wrote there his Saxon history. Before he undertook this work, he worked over existing lives of saints, partly in rime, partly in prose, among them Passio Theclae virginis and Vita Pauli primi eremita, but these compilations are lost. Widukind began his Rerum gestarum Saxonicarum libri tres after 962, and dedicated it to the abbess of Quedlinburg Machthild (Matilda), the youthful daughter of Emperor Otto I. The first book begins with the origin of the Saxons, tells of their landing in the country called after them "Saxon-land," their battles with the Thuringians as allies of the Franks, and the conquest of the country. Although the author used some sources as, for instance, Bede's "Church History," he followed almost entirely the popular accounts which he learned from epic songs. His account is fragmentary rather than continuous and detailed. The first book closes with the death of Henry I., king of the Franks and Saxons (936). The second and third book treat the history of the reign of King Otto I. (936-973). For the earlier period, including the history of Henry I., the work has only secondary value; for the time of Otto I. it is of the greatest importance, but the author knows only the events that happened in Saxony and in the immediate neighborhood of the Saxons Though a monk, he was little interested in the church and ecclesiastical affairs, which he hardly mentions. Perhaps the chief value of the book is that it portrays vividly the views of a sound and sturdy Low Saxon of the middle of the tenth century.

(O. Holder-Egger).

Bibliography: The editions of Widukind's work to be noted are M. Frecht, Basel, 1532 (valuable because it prints a lost manuscript); G. Waitz, in MGH, Script. iii (1839), 408-467; and K. A. Kehr, in Script. rer. Germ. 1904 (contains literature on Widukind). Consult: A. Gloel, in Forschungen zur deutschen Geschichte, iv. 197-240, Göttingen, 1864; R. Köpke, Widukind von Korre-Berlin, 1867; O. Grund, in Forschungen zur deutschehte, xi (1871), 563-592; J. Raase, Widuk-Korvei, Rostock, 1880; C. Bruckner, Studien schichte der sächsischen Kaiser, Basel, 1889; Geschichte der Literatur des Mittelalters im iii. 428-434, Leipsic, 1889; 1889; B. Sims (1890), 565-575; Wattenbach, DGQ, i (M. Herrmann, Die Latinitat Widukinds ve wald, 1907.

WIED, HERMAN VON. See F

WIEGAND, FRIEDRICH LUDWIG vî'gant, LEONHARD: German Protestant; b. at Hanau (12 m. e. of Frankfort) Oct. 14, 1860. He was educated at the universities of Marburg, Leipsic (Ph.D., 1886), Erlangen, and Göttingen (1879-83); was a member of the faculty of the Lutheran missionary seminary in Leipsic, 1883-87; in 1891 he became privat-docent for church history and Christian archeology at the University of Erlangen, and associate professor in 1899; in 1902 he was called in a similar capacity to Marburg, and since 1907 has been professor of church history at Greifswald. He has written Der Erzengel Michael in der bildenden Kunst (Stuttgart, 1886); De ecclesiæ notione quid Wiclif docuerit (Leipsic, 1891); Eine Wanderung durch die römischen Katakomben (Erlangen, 1893); Das Homilarium Karls des Grossen auf seine ursprüngliche Gestalt hin untersucht (Leipsic, 1897); Erzbischof Odilbert von Mailand über die Taufe (1899); Die Stellung des apostolischen Symbols im kirchlichen Leben des Mittelalters, i. (1899); Agobert von Lyon und die Judenfrage (Erlangen, 1901); Mathurin Veyssière La Croze als Verfasser der ersten deutschen Missionsgeschichte (Gütersloh, 1902); Philipp der Grossmütige als evangelischer Christ (Marburg, 1904); and Das apostolische Symbol im Mittelalter, eine Skizze (Giessen, 1904); and is the editor of Kirchliche Bewegungen der Gegenwart.

WIENER, HAROLD MARCUS: English Jew; b. in London Oct. 28, 1875. He was educated at Gonville and Caius College, Cambridge (B.A., 1897), and in 1901 was called to the bar by the Honorable Society of Lincoln's Inn. He "defends the Mosaic authenticity of the Pentateuchal legislation and attacks the documentary and evolutionary theories of the origin of the Pentateuch." Besides many briefer contributions to Murray's Illustrated Bible Dictionary (London, 1908) and to theological periodicals, among which his "Legislations of Israel and Babylonia" (in the Journal of the Transactions of the Victoria Institute, xli.) deserves special mention, he has written Studies in Biblical Law (London, (1904), Essays in Pentateuchal Criticism (Oberlin, 1909), and The Origin of the Pentateuch (1910).

WIESELER, vî'sel-er, KARL: German Lutheran theologian; b. at Altenzelle, near Celle in Hanover, Feb. 28, 1813; d. at Greifswald Mar. 11, 1883. In 1826 he entered the gymnasium at Salzwedel, in 1831 the University of Göttingen, where in 1836 he became repetent, in 1839 licentiate of theology, lecturing on Old- and New-Testament exegesis, and 1843 associate professor; in 1851 he became professor of Old- and New-Testament exegesis at Kiel: and in 1863 professor of the New Testament at Greifswald. In 1870 he assumed the position also of consistorial councilor at Stettin. Beginning his publications with a prize essay published at Göttingen, 1835, he next wrote Auslegung und Kritik der apokalyptischen Literatur des A. und N. T. (1839). His first principal work is Chronologische Synopsis der vier Evangelien; ein Beitrag zur Apologie der Evangelien und evangelischen Geschichte vom Standpunkte der Voraussetzungslosigkeit (Hamburg, 1843; Eng. transl., Chronology of the Four Gospels, London, 1864). Other works which followed are: Chronologie des apostolischen Zeitalters (Hamburg, 1848); Kommentar über den Brief Pauli an die Galater (1859); Untersuchung über den Hebräerbrief, namentlich seinen Verfasser und seine Leser (2 parts, Kiel, 1860-61); Beiträge zur richtigen Würdigung der Evangelien und der evangelischen Geschichte (Gotha, 1869); Geschichte des Bekenntnisstandes der lutherischen Kirche Pommerns bis zur Einführung der Union (Stettin, 1870); Ueber Römer vii. 7-25 (Greifswald, 1875); Die Christenverfolgungen der Cäsaren bis zum 3. Jahrhundert (1878); and Zur Geschichte der neutestamentlichen Schrift und des Urchristentums (Leipsic, 1880). (O. Zöckler†.)

WIFE-HATER BIBLE. See BIBLE VERSIONS, B, IV., § 9.

WIGAND, vi'gant, JOHANN: Lutheran theologian; b. at Mansfeld (60 m. s.e. of Brunswick) 1523; d. at Liebemühl (63 m. s.e. of Danzig) Oct. 21, 1587. He studied theology at the University of Wittenberg, where he heard Luther, Melanchthon, and Cruciger. In 1541 he became teacher in the school of St. Lawrence in Nuremberg, but in 1544 returned to Wittenberg in order to complete his studies. In 1546 he became preacher in Mansfeld, and in 1553 at St. Ulrich in Magdeburg, where he was also town superintendent, and took an active part in the theological controversies of the time. With his younger colleague Judex he became one of the most zealous companions of Flacius in his struggle against adiaphorism (see ADIAPHORA), Majorism (see Major, Georg; Majoristic Con-TROVERSY), and Synergism (q.v.). In 1560 he went as professor of theology to Jena, where, with Flacius, Judex, and Musæus, he assisted in upholding Lutheran orthodoxy. In August of the same year he was active as one of the recorders in the colloquy between Flacius and Strigel. Though not in entire accord with Flacius, on Nov. 25, 1561, both he and Flacius were deposed because of their antagonism to the Philippists (q.v.). Wigand returned to Magdeburg until, in 1562, John Albrecht and Ulrich of Mecklenburg called him as superintendent to Wismar, but he was recalled by Duke Johannes Wilhelm to Jena in 1568. He again became involved with Flacius in the controversy on hereditary sin and rupture between Flacius and the theologians of Jena followed. Meanwhile Wigand enjoyed the favor of the duke, at whose request he undertook a church and school visitation in Thuringia and accompanied him in 1570 to the Diet of Speyer, but on the death of the duke in 1573, Wigand and Hesshusen were deposed by Elector Augustus. They went to Brunswick, where they were received by Duke Julius and Martin Chemnitz, and Wigand became professor of theology at the University of Königsberg. In 1575 he was elected and consecrated bishop of Pomesania. But a controversy soon broke out between Hesshusen and Wigand because of Hesshusen's statement that Christ is omnipotent, omniscient, etc., not only concretely, but also that the humanity possesses the same attributes. Hesshusen was deposed on May 5, 1577, and Wigand was entrusted with the administration of his bishopric so that he administered two bishoprics until his death. In Prussia not until 1581 were the followers of Hesshusen and those of Wigand reconciled. Wigand had an important part in the compilation of the Magdeburg Centuries. At first he assisted Flacius in his great work and then continued it at Wismar in Mecklenburg together with Judex, Andreas Corvinus, Thomas Holshüter, and Andreas Schoppen, completing it from the seventh to the sixteenth century. Of his numerous other works may be mentioned: Catechismi majoris Sidonii refutatio (Magdeburg, 1550); Argumenta sacramentariorum refutata (1557); Syntagma seu corpus doctrinæ ex Novo Testamento (1558; in collaboration with Judex); De adiaphoristicis corruptelis (1559); Censura de Victorini declaratione sive potius occultatione errorum (1562); De libero arbitrio (1562); Errores Majoris (1563); Syntagma seu corpus doctrinæ ex Veteri Testamento collectum (1564); Argumenta de necessitate bonorum operum refutata (1565); De communicatione idiomatum (1568); Von der Erbsünde (1571); Septem spectra Manichæorum (1571); De dicto Joannis: peccatum est anomia (1574); Analysis exegeseos sacramentariæ sparsæ in sede Lutheri (1574); In Evangelium Johannis explicationes (1575); De Servetianismo (1575); De sacramentarismo (1584); De Osiandrismo (1586); De Schwenckfeldismo (1586 and 1587); De Manichæismo renovato (1587). Most of these have now only a historical interest.

(G. KAWERAU.) BIBLIOGRAPHY: His autobiography was printed in the Fort-gesetzte Sammlung, 1738, pp. 601-620. The funeral ser-mon by C. Schlüsselburg was issued, Frankfort, 1591. Other material sources are Schlüsselburg's Epistolæ clarissimorum theologorum, 1624; J. Westphal's Briefsammlung, ed. C. H. W. Sillem, Hamburg, 1903. Consult: M. Adam, Vita Germanorum theologorum, pp. 60 sqq., Heidelberg, 1620; C. A. Salig, Historie der augsburgischen Con-fession, i. 639 sqq., iii. 279 sqq., Halle, 1733-35; J. G. Walch, Religionsstreitigkeiten der evangelisch-lutherischen Kirche, i. 57 sqq., iv. 100 sqq., Jens., 1733 sqq.; J. G. Planck, Geschichte des protestantischen Lehrbegriffs, iv. 195 sqq., 5 vols., Hanover, 1803-09; J. W. Schulte, Beiträge Entstehungsgeschichte der Magdeb. Centurien, Neis 1877; F. X. von Wegele, Geschichte der deutschen Historiographie, pp. 328 sqq., Munich, 1885; ADB, xlii. 452 sqq.; and the literature under Magdeburg Centuries.

WIGBERT: First abbot of Fritzlar (32 m. n.e. of Marburg); d. about 746. What little is known of Wigbert's life is largely derived from the account by Servatus Lupus of Ferrières, who compiled his biography at the desire of Abbot Bun of Hersfeld, but seems to have possessed only meager information as he furnishes hardly more than the outlines of the life of his hero. [Wigbert received his education in England at the monasteries of Winbrun and Glaston.] Boniface induced Wigbert to come from England to Germany and entrusted him with the charge of the abbey of Fritzlar, and at a later time transferred him to Ordruff, whence he returned to Fritzlar after a few years to spend the rest of his days. During an invasion of the Saxons his corpse was taken to Buraburg and some years afterward Lullus of Mainz (q.v.) transported it to Hersfeld. Besides these few facts, the biography contains only the usual valueless eulogies of the saint, and a number of miraculous stories. The letters of Boniface contain hardly any more information than the biography, there being mentioned a number of persons named Wigbert, who can not always be differenti-(A. HAUCK.) ated.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The Vita is by Servatus Lupus (q.v. for editions of the "works"), reproduced in ASB, Aug ii. 133-137, ASM, iii. 1, pp. 671-682, MGH, Script., rv. 1 (1887), 37-43, and MPL, exix. 679-694 (other material, pp. 694-700). The Miracula are in MGH, Script., iv (1841), 224-228. Consult: F. Schauerté, Der halige Wigbert, Paderborn, 1895; J. C. F. Bähr, Geschichte de römischen Literatur im karolingischen Zettaller, pp. 28, 456-461. Carlanube, 1840: F. Sprotte. Biographic des 456-461, Carlsruhe, 1840; F. Sprotte, Biographie de Servatus Lupus, pp. 161 sqq., Regensburg, 1880; A. Dert Geschichte der Literatur des Mittelalters, ii. 206, Leipsie, 1880; Rettberg, KD, i. 593-594; Hauck, KD, i. 489-490.

WIGGLESWORTH, MICHAEL: New England divine; b. probably in Yorkshire, England, Oct. 28, 1631; d. at Malden, Mass., June 10, 1705. He was brought to New England, 1638; was graduated from Harvard, 1651; was tutor there, 1652-54; studied theology, and supplied the pulpit of Charleston for the winter of 1653-54; began to preach at Malden in 1655, and was pastor there, 1657-1705. He was kept from officiating personally in the pulpit for about twenty years because of ill-health; during this time he studied medicine and became a skilful physician. In 1686 he resumed his pulpit labors, but continued to practise as a physician. He was the author of The Day of Doom. A Poem (Cambridge, 1662, and often; printed again, New York, 1867; contains the famous (unsuccessful) "Reprobate Infants' Plea" against being eternally punished); A Poem on the Sanctification of Afflictions (1669); and Meat out of the Eater (1670).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: W. B. Sprague, Annals of the American Pulpit, i. 143-146, New York, 1859.

WIGRAM, GEORGE VICESIMUS: Plymouth Brother; b. at Walthamstow (5 m. n.e. of London) in 1805; d. in London Jan. 1, 1879. He was the twentieth child of Sir Robert Wigram, one of whose sons became vice-chancellor in the old court of chancery, and another bishop of Rochester. George in 1826 entered at Queen's College, Oxford, with the view of taking orders. As an undergraduate he came in contact with James Harris and Benjamin Wills Newton, both of Exeter College; the three were in 1830 associated with J. N. Darby (q.v.) in the formation of a company of Christians at Plymouth, who separated from the organized churches for "testimony" to the unity of the Church, and to its direction by the Holy Spirit alone, without official rule, while awaiting the Second Advent (see PLYMOUTH BRETHREN). Between 1830 and 1838 Wigram was active in initiation of like "gatherings" at London; also in superintendence of the preparation of Bible Concordances, produced at his expense: The Englishman's Greek and English Concordance to the New Testament appeared in 1839, and The Englishman's Hebrew and Chaldee Concordance in 1863.

In the years 1845-50 Wigram was prominently concerned in an upheaval which affected Bristol in particular. For several years thenceforth he conducted a periodical entitled The Present Testimony In 1856 he produced a hymnal under the title of Hymns for the Little Flock. In 1866, at another critical juncture, he gave his support to Darby when the leader's doctrine introduced further dissension. Wigram will rank as a devotional E. E. WHITFIELD. writer.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: E. D[ennett], Memorials of the Ministry of G. V. W., 2d ed., London, 1881.

WILBERFORCE, ERNEST ROLAND: Church of England, bishop of Chichester; b. at Brightstone, Newport, Isle of Wight, Jan. 22, 1840; d. at Bembridge (9 m. e. of Newport), Isle of Wight, Sept. 9, 1907. He was educated at Exeter College, Oxford (B.A., 1864); was ordered deacon (1864) and ordained priest (1865); was curate of Cuddesdon (1864-66), and of Lea, Lincolnshire (1866); rector of Middleton-Stony, Oxfordshire (1866-69); vicar of Seaforth, Lancastershire (1873-78); canon of Winchester and warden of the Wilberforce Missionary College, Winchester (1878-82). He was chaplain to his father while bishop of Oxford (1864-69), domestic chaplain to the same prelate while bishop of Winchester (1869-73), and sub-almoner to the queen (1871-82). In 1882 he was consecrated bishop of Newcastle, whence he was translated, in 1895, to the see of Chichester.

WILBERFORCE, SAMUEL: Church of England, bishop of Winchester, father of Ernest Roland Wilberforce (q. v.); b. at Clapham, London, Sept. 7, 1805; killed by a fall from his horse at Abinger (30 m. s.w. of London), Surrey, July 19, 1873. He was a son of the philanthropist William Wilberforce (q.v.), studied at Oriel College, Oxford (B.A., 1826; M.A., 1829; D.D., 1845), and took deacon's orders in 1828. After serving for a year and a half as curate-in-charge of Checkendon, Oxfordshire, he became rector of Brightstone. Isle of Wight, in Jan., 1840. In 1839 he was appointed archdeacon of Surrey, and in 1840 was collated canon of Winchester. At the close of 1840 he resigned Brightstone and accepted the living of Alverstoke, Hampshire. He was appointed chaplain to the prince consort in 1841, sub-almoner to the queen in 1843, dean of Westminster in Mar., 1845, and bishop of Oxford the following October. Within a few months he had completely reorganized his diocese and overcome the unusual difficulties offered by the Oxford movement. He was an indefatigable preacher and a tireless worker in devising and carrying out plans to render the Church more efficient. He established a theological college at Cuddesdon and a training-college for schoolmasters at Culham; was for a time chaplain to the house of lords, and lord high almoner to the queen, 1847-69. He signed the remonstrance against the appointment of Renn Dickson Hampden (q.v.) to the see of Hereford, drew up the address of the bishops calling on John Colenso (q.v.) to resign his bishopric, started the agitation against Essays and Reviews, and secured a synodical condemnation of the volume. It was in connection with the famous controversy that he won the nickname of "Soapy Sam" (see Essays AND REVIEWS). Soon after his elevation to the episcopate he became recognized as a power in the house of lords, where he took a prominent part in discussions on social and ecclesiastical matters. It was he who brought about the revival of Convocation (q.v.). In 1869 he was translated to the see of Winchester. By a resolution offered in the upper house of the convocation of Canterbury Feb. 10, 1870, he started the movement for the revision of the Authorized Version, and until his death he presided over the revision of the New Testament. Though a leader of the High-church party he strongly opposed ritualistic innovations savoring of Romanism. In collaboration with his brother, Robert I. Wilberforce, he wrote The Life of William Wilberforce (5 vols., London, 1838; abridged, 1 vol., 1868), and edited The Correspondence of William Wilberforce (2 vols., 1840). Other works are: Sermons Preached before the University of Oxford (3 vols., 1839-71); Agathos, and other Sunday Stories (1840); The Rocky Island, and other Parables (1840); History of the Protestant Episcopal Church in America (New York, 1844); Heroes of Hebrew History (London, 1870); Speeches on Missions (ed. H. Rowley, 1874); and Sermons Preached on Various Occasions (ed. J. R. Woodford, 1877).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The Life was written by A. R. Ashwell, vol. i., and R. G. Wilberforce (his son), vols. ii.-iii., London, 1879, revised from the preceding by R. G. Wilberforce, 1888, who also wrote the account in Leaders of the Church, 1800-1900, ib. 1907. Consult also the Reminiscences of Thomas Mosley, London, 1882; J. B. Mosley's Letters, ib. 1885; J. W. Burgon, Lives of Twelve Good Men, 2 vols., ib. 1888; H. P. Liddon's Life of E. B. Pusey, 4 vols., ib. 1893-97; Mary C. Church, Life and Letters of Dean Church, ib. 1894; J. H. Overton, The Church in England, vol. ii. passim, ib. 1897; F. W. Cornish, The English Church in the 19th Century, 2 parts, passim, ib. 1910; E. Stock, English Church in the 19th Century, passim, ib. 1910; DNB, lxi. 204-208.

WILBERFORCE, WILLIAM: Statesman and philanthropist, father of the preceding, and leader of England in the abolition of the slavetrade; b. at Hull Aug. 24, 1759; d. at London July 29, 1833. He was of an old and wealthy Yorkshire family, and his father and grandfather were prominent citizens of Hull. He was a delicate child, lost his father at the early age of ten, and then went to live with an uncle, whose wife was deeply imbued with piety of the Whitefieldian type. Fearing that the boy would be made a Methodist, his mother removed him from the aunt's influence after two years, but his religious nature had already received a permanent impress, and the tendency thus induced was strengthened later by association with Isaac Milner (q.v.), who had been one of his first teachers at the Hull grammar-school and was always an intimate friend. For fifty years Wilberforce was accounted the lay leader of the evangelical branch of the English Church. He entered St. John's College, Cambridge, in 1776. Being rich, witty, and fond of society, courted by his fellows and clever enough to pass examinations with slight effort, he mingled in the world of fashion and made study a secondary thing. On reaching his majority he left college, renounced the mercantile career and large business interests which were his by inheritance from father and grandfather, and determined to enter public life. He was elected to parliament from Hull (after the expenditure of £8,000) in 1780, and thenceforth sat continuously in the house of commons till 1825, when failing strength and illness induced his retirement.

When Wilberforce entered parliament the ministry of Lord North had been in power for ten years, serving virtually as a mere cloak for the direction of public affairs by the king (George III.). Rebellion had been instigated in the American colonies, then combated stubbornly and inefficiently; the

country had been involved in war with Holland and France; public expenditures had risen alarmingly. On the other hand, certain of the laws against the Roman Catholics had been repealed, and Clive had founded the English dominion in India, while Warren Hastings was following brilliantly in his footsteps. Though professedly opposed to the North ministry, at first Wilberforce voted with it on certain secondary measures. In 1782 the younger Pitt came into power, and thenceforth, with but brief intervals, stood at the head of affairs till his death in 1806. Pitt and Wilberforce were contemporaries at Cambridge, they became friendly during the parliamentary election of 1780, and soon after they became close and intimate friends. In general Wilberforce supported heartily the liberal and reformatory policy of the minister, especially during the prerevolutionary period. Yet he was never a blind partizan, and at times worked and voted against his friend—notably, he opposed English participation in the war with France in 1793 and succeeding years, and in 1805 supported the impeachment of Lord Melville for financial irregularities as treasurer of the navy. Measures which interested him personally in his earlier parliamentary career concerned reforms in the criminal law and the conduct of elections.

After the session of 1786 Wilberforce retired to the country to meditate and form plans. One outcome was a society for the reformation of manners, known popularly as the "Proclamation Society from a royal proclamation against vice which the founder secured in June, 1787. The society instituted proceedings against blasphemous and indecent publications, and Wilberforce was long active in its affairs. At this time, furthermore, he enlisted against slavery. It is true that his interest had been aroused earlier; and the agitation against the slavetrade, started by Quakers and others, had already made progress. But the greatest advance yet attained was made when, in 1787, Wilberforce came forward as the parliamentary leader of the cause. Probably no other man in England was so fit for the post. In the struggle which followed and lasted for twenty years he was ably seconded by Pitt, Burke, and Fox. One measure after another aiming at the abolition of the slave-trade (of which England had enjoyed a monopoly since the Peace of Utrecht in 1713) failed to become law because of the opposition of the planters, the West India merchants, and many good people (including the king) who looked upon slavery as a natural and Scriptural institution, not to be lightly interfered with. The questions forced to the front by the French Revolution, with the slave insurrection in St. Domingo in 1791, interposed obstacles during the nineties. But in 1802 a parliament was elected which reflected new conditions and an aroused public opinion. A bill abolishing the slave-trade was passed by both houses of parliament in Feb., 1806, and received the royal assent on Mar. 25 of the same year. The "African Institution" was then founded to see to the enforcement of the law and work for the suppression of the slave-trade in other countries. Through it, by further measures in parliament, by personal appeals and exertions and the expenditure of money, Wilberforce continued to work for the negro race. He had been one of the founders of the colony of Sierra Leone in 1791. In 1823 he issued an Appeal to the Religion, Justice, and Humanity of the Inhabitants of the British Empire on Behalf of the Negro Slaves in the West Indies, which was followed by the formation of the Anti-slavery Society. Three days before his death he had the satisfaction of learning that slavery was abolished in British dominion.

He supported Catholic emancipation and spoke in its favor in parliament in 1813. In the renewal of the charter of the East India Company in the same year, he saw an opportunity to "introduce Christian light into India"; the foundation of the bishopric of Calcutta was the result. In 1815 he spoke for the corn bill. Among the societies which he helped to found, support, and direct were one for "Bettering the Condition of the Poor" (1796), the Church Missionary Society (1798), and the Bible Society (1803). In 1798 he granted an annuity of £400 to Hannah More (whom he had known since 1787) as a help in her good works. He was a conspicuous member of the "Clapham Sect" of Evangelicals. He was ever generous (and not always wise) in the dispensation of charity, and by his gifts and lavish hospitality even impaired his fortune. The position which he won and retained, however, in the hearts and minds of his countrymen was com-Personally attractive and winning, pensation. broad and quick in sympathy, kindly and simple in life, free from the grossness which disfigured so many public men of his time, he lived respected by friends and foes alike, and at his death was buried in Westminster Abbey. It has been said that he was regarded as "the authorized interpreter of the national conscience." Besides the Appeal already mentioned, he published a few speeches and addresses, a book on the slave-trade (1806), and A Practical View of the Prevailing Religious System of Professed Christians in the Higher and Middle Classes of this Country Contrasted with Real Christianity (1797). Seventy-five hundred copies of the work last mentioned were sold in six months, and there were fifteen editions in England by 1824 and twentyfive in America. It was translated into French, Italian, Spanish, Dutch, and German. His Family Prayers were edited by his son Robert in 1834; his Correspondence by R. I. and S. Wilberforce (2 vols., 1840), and his Private Papers by A. M. Wilberforce

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Besides the Correspondence and Private Papers noted above, the principal source is the Life by his sons Robert Isaac and Samuel, 5 vols., London, 1838. Consult further: J. J. Gurney, Familiar Sketch of Wilberforce, London, 1838; Memoirs of the Life of Six Samuel Romilly, passim, especially iii. 1-178, 3 vols., ib. 1840; C. Buxton, Memoirs of Sir Thomas Fowell Buxton, pp. 117-136 et passim, ib. 1848; H. M. Wheeler, The Sisses' Champion, ib. 1860; J. C. Colquhoun, William Wilberforce, his Friends and Times, ib. 1867; Sir J. Stephen, Essays in Ecclesiastical Biography, ib. 1867; F. Piper, Lives of the Leaders of our Church Universal, ed. H. M. Maccracken, pp. 525-533, Philadelphia, 1879; J. Stoughton, William Wilberforce, London, 1880 (a good summary); P. Bayne, Six Christian Biographies, ib. 1887; C. D. Michael, The Slave and his Champions, ib. 1891; J. Teford, A Sect that moved the World, ib. 1907; H. M. Butler, Ten Great and Good Men, New York, 1909; and much of the literature on slavery.

WILBUR, JOHN: A noted minister of the Society of Friends; b. at Hopkinton, R. I., July 17, 1774; d. there May 1, 1856. He came into prominence in 1838, by opposing Joseph J. Gurney (q.v.), an English minister, who, he claimed, was exalting the letter of the Bible as against the inward light. His own Meeting sustained him, but the New England Yearly Meeting was opposed to him and, to depose him from the ministry, joined his Monthly Meeting to another which had a majority against him. In this manner he was disowned by Friends; but a considerable number of his sympathizers separated from the main body and formed a separate Yearly Meeting which still exists. A number of Meetings in different parts of the United States which held similar views became separated from the larger bodies of Friends about the same time, and have been designated by the name "Wilburite" (see Friends, Society of, I., § 7). John Wilbur published certain polemical pamphlets during his life, and his Journal and Correspondence appeared after his death (Providence, 1859).

Bibliography: F. S. Turner, The Quakers, pp. 247, 300, 302, London, 1889; American Church History Series, xii. 264-272, New York, 1894.

WILDEBOER, vil'de-bor, GERRIT: Dutch Protestant, Old-Testament scholar; b. at Amsterdam Sept. 9, 1855; d. at Leyden Sept. 4, 1911. He was educated at the University of Leyden (D. D., 1880); was pastor of the Dutch Reformed Church at Heiloo, near Alkmaar (1881–84); be-came professor of Old-Testament exegesis, literature, and religion at the University of Groningen (1884), where he was rector (1897-98); went to Leyden in a similar capacity (1907). In theology he was "historico-critical, believing in God's particular revelation given to Israel." He wrote De waarde der syrische Evangeliën van Cureton (Leyden, 1880); De profeet Micha en zijne beteekenis voor het verstand der profetie onder Israel (1884); De profetie onder Israel in hare grondbeteekenis voor christendom en theologie (1884); Het ontstaan van den kanon des Ouden Verbonds (Groningen, 1889; 4th ed., 1908; Eng. transl. by B. W. Bacon, The Origin of the Canon of the Old Testament, London, 1895); De letterkunde des Ouden Verbonds naar de tijdsorde van haar ontstaan (1893, 3d. ed., 1903); Karakter en beginselen van het historisch-kritisch onderzoek des Ouden Verbonds (Utrecht, 1897); the volumes on Proverbs, Ecclesiastes, and Esther in K. Marti's Kurzer Handkommentar zum Alten Testament (Freiburg, 1897-1908); and Jahvedienst en Volksreligie (Groningen, 1898).

WILDENSPUCH, vil'den-spūn, CRUCIFIXION, THE: An event which took place in the hamlet of Wildenspuch (about 6 m. s. of Schaffhausen), canton of Zurich, Switzerland, Mar. 15, 1823. The deed is partially explicable from the religious ferment caused quite widely in Europe by several series of events, such as the Napoleonic wars, the German wars for freedom, the lingering effects of the French Revolution, the famine years of 1816–1817, and the celebration of the Reformation, which in the region named took place in 1819. A sort of revival, attended by violent physical convulsions and other like phenomena, involved the district and

induced singular experiences and led to singular beliefs in numbers of cases.

In the hamlet of Wildenspuch, consisting of about twenty houses, lived a well-to-do family named Peter engaged in agriculture, in which there were one son and five daughters, one of the latter married to a shoemaker and farmer named Johannes Moser, of the neighboring village of Oerlingen. The youngest daughter was Margareta, born in 1794, unusually gifted mentally and spiritually, and from an early age very precocious. She became the favorite of the family and neighborhood, and was expected to develop into something extraordinary. She, however, developed chronic phthisis, and seemed destined to an early death. But one day at noon during her illness, while in her father's vineyard, she had a vision of an angel who showed her a herb in a place about an hour distant from her home which was to cure her. She found the herb, distilled from it a tea which she drank, and found herself restored. In thankfulness she dedicated herself to God, became associated with pious persons, attended with her brother-in-law Moser the assemblies of the Herrnhut Brethren, began to preach, and conceived that she had battles with the devil and evil spirits. She came into connection with Barbara Juliana von Kruedener (q.v.), being accompanied by her brotherin-law and her sisters Elizabeth and Susanna, and she came to have the opinion that the events of the period presaged the imminent end of the world.

A new influence upon her at this time was the personality and opinions of Jakob Ganz, a man of lowly birth and moderate equipment, vicar of Embrach in the canton of Zurich, and a preacher of revival type. He had developed the theory that in order to attain blessedness no real change was necessary in man's life, but that there was needed simply a development of the good in man which had been latent but not lost. His watchword was: Not Christ for us, but Christ in us. The Church was Antichrist since Christ had not arisen in it. In each Christian Christ must fight Satan, suffer, die, and rise again. Under this influence Margareta deserted the association of the Brethren and preached at home. In a vision she found herself before the throne of God, saw there the Father and the Spirit surrounded by angels, patriarchs, Elijah, and the apostles; but the Son was not there, and God told her that the Son was to live, suffer, die, and abide in her; she also looked into hell, where she saw thousands of poor souls whom she was to save. Through Ganz a certain melancholic shoemaker named Morf, a married man and a father, was summoned to receive in his house Margareta and her sister Elizabeth, where they remained inactive for a year and a half, while to Morf was revealed that with Margareta he was to enjoy a spiritual love and was to be transported to heaven. The two sisters returned home Jan. 11, 1823, after Margareta had given birth the night before to a daughter by Morf as Margareta stated, altogether unexpectedly to her, therefore by God's doing. She declared that she must prepare for the great event which was to happen, and therefore undertook no more visits and remained at home inactive. On Mar. 13, she assembled her relations to fight against the devil for

the salvation of many lost souls. From morning till night they beat the walls and the floor of the house, crying out epithets against the devil; the next day the same was done, until the house was weakened, parts of partitions fell, and the police interfered. The next day Margareta declared that to complete the victory blood must flow, obtained from her sister a statement of willingness to die, and then smote her sister to death. She told the maid that on the third day she would raise her sister from the dead. The final revelation was to the effect that Margareta must herself die, and she commanded the maid to strike her, which was done with a knife on neck and forehead; Margareta had the blood received in a basin with the words: Now will souls be saved and Satan be overpowered. She then commanded the maid to crucify her, and, when the latter demurred, asked whether she was unwilling to do God's work in order to prevent souls from remaining unsaved. She threw herself on the bed while the maid drove nails through feet, hands, elbows, and breast, Margareta giving no sign of suffering and promising to rise on the third day. The end came when a knife was driven through her head. Until the following Tuesday all awaited the predicted resurrection, when the father reported the death, and all concerned were taken into custody. The authorities made a thorough investigation, punished the participants with terms of imprisonment ranging from six months to sixteen years, and had the house torn down with the command that the spot remain uninhabited.

This strange occurrence has been widely debated and attributed to various causes, including vainglory, spiritual pride, and the like. But the case is better understood as that of a weak and hysterical girl with an extraordinarily active mind, which the religious excitability of the times aroused to unusual conceptions; the effect of the mingling of her own experiences with the doctrine of Ganz, together with the effect of her preaching and the leadership yielded to her by the circle which heard her sufficiently explain the processes by which Margareta Peter was led to her astounding course. It is to be added that the participants in the events received their sentences and punishment in the sense of a martyrdom.

(CARL PESTALOZZI†.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: J. L. Meyer, Schwarmerische Grevelssenen . . . in Wildenspuch, 2d ed., Zurich, 1824; C. E. Jarcke, in J. E. Hitzig's Annalen der . . . Criminalrechtspflege, Berlin, 1330, also in Jarcke's Vermischte Schriften, vol. ii., Munich, 1839; J. F. von Meyer, in Blätter für hichere Wahrheit, v (1824), 282 sqq., vi (1825), 377 sqq.; Evangelische Kirchenzeitung, viii (1831), nos. 20-23; and J. Scherr's novel, Die Gekreuzigte . . . von Wildisbuch, St. Gall, 1880.

WILFRID (WILFRITH), SAINT: Bishop of York; b. 634; d. at Oundle (70 m. n.n.w. of London), Northamptonshire, Oct. 3 (or 12; cf. Plummer's Bede, ii. 328), 709. He was the son of a Northumbrian thane, and was educated at Lindisfarne, where he won esteem by his diligence and manly qualities; after spending a year at Canterbury, he accompanied Benedict Biscop to Rome in 653. He was at Lyons, 655-658, and received the Roman tonsure there from Archbishop Aunemund. Returning to Northumbria about 660, Alchfrid, king

of Deira (son of Oswy, king of Northumbria), made him head of the monastery at Ripon in 661. He was ordained priest in 663. In 664 he spoke for the Roman party at the Synod of Whitby (q.v) against Colman and the Celtic party, and prevailed. Althfrid then secured Wilfrid's election as bishop, with his see at York, where there had been no bishop since the departure of Paulinus (q.v.) in 663. He went to Gaul to be consecrated late in 664 or early in 665, and when he returned, in 666, finding that Oswy had installed Ceadda (q.v.) in his place, retired to Ripon. He performed episcopal functions in Mercia and Kent. In 669 Theodore, archbishop of Canterbury (see THEODORE OF TARSUS), instated him in his bishopric. By upholding Etheldred, queen of Northumbria, in her desire to become a nun, he gained the ill-will of King Egfrid (see ETHELDRED, SAINT). In 678 Egfrid and Theodore undertook to divide his bishopric without consulting him. Wilfrid resisted, and made the first appealby an Englishman to Rome. On his way thither he spent the winter (678-679) in Frisia, where he preached to the heathen and baptized many. At Rome he attended the synod held in March 680. against the Monothelite heresy. His appeal was so cessful; but, when he came back to England, Egrid put him in prison for nine months, then forced him to flee to Mercia, Wessex, and finally to Sussex (681), the one English kingdom whose people were still heathen. He converted them, after he had relieved their need in a severe famine by teaching them to fish. Later he introduced the Gospel in the Isle of Wight, thus completing the christianization of the English. Meanwhile his rights and claims were wholly ignored in Northumbria. In 686 he was reconciled with Theodore and returned to York But he quarreled again with the king in 691 and went to Etheldred of Mercia, who made him bishop of Leicester. Again he pleaded his cause at Rome in 704, making the journey thither on foot, notwithstanding his seventy years. He returned to England in 705, and was restored to the bishopric of Hexham and the monastery of Ripon.

Wilfrid's energy in introducing the civilization of the continent caused opposition among the rude Angles and Saxons, while his appeals to Rome aroused political animosities. He was wealthy and lived magnificently, as befitted his station, and thus he incurred envy. His life was troubled, and he has been called haughty and worldly; but there is abundant evidence that his character was lovable. He's described as a singularly attractive youth, and he made warm friends everywhere in his travels; at home his monks and clergy stood by him devotedly, while his missionary zeal, proven in Frisia and South England, is noteworthy. His services to his country and church were great, and he is justly classed among the foremost of English churchmen. He perceived that what was most needful was to introduce the arts and learning; and to this end he labored at the cost of much personal suffering. He had constantly in his retinue masons, glaziers, and other artizans, whom he employed in building churches and monasteries. He gave his cathedral church at York a new roof covered with lead, put glass in its windows, plastered its walls, and ornaented the altar. He built a basilica at Ripon with dumns and porches, and a grand church at Hexam. For the former he provided a copy of the ospels in letters of gold on purple vellum, and laced it in a richly adorned case. He made the hurch service more seemly and dignified, and established, if he did not introduce, the Benelictine rule in the English monasteries.

RELIGGRAPHY: A series of lives of Wilfrid are collected with comment in The Historians of the Church of York and its Archbishops, vol. i., pp. xxxi.-xliv., 1-509, ed. J. Raine in Rolls Series, no. 73, London, 1879 (these include the life by his friend and disciple, Eddius Stephanus, and the one by Eadmer, q.v., who died 1124, as well as a number of lesser productions); cf. the discussion of Eddius' work by B. W. Wells, in The English Historical Review, vi (1891), 535-550. Some facts not given in Eddius are to be found in Bede, Hist. eccl., v. 19 (use Plummer's ed. of Bede, Oxford, 1896, and consult the notes). Consult Fasti Eboraces, ed. W. H. Dixon and J. Raine, i. 55-83, London, 1863; T. Wright, Biographia Britannica literaria, i. 164-184, 229, 432-434, London, 1842; F. W. Faber, Lives of the English Saints: Wilfrid, Bishop of York, ib. 1844; H. Soames, Anglo-Saxon Church, pp. 68-88, 3d. ed., ib. 1856; C. F. de T. Montalembert, Les Moines d'occident, iv. 137-390, 5 vols., P ris, 1860-67, Eng. transl., 7 vols., Lundon, 1861-79; W. F. Hook, Lives of the Archbishops of Canterbury, vol. i., chap. 4, London, 1860; K. Obser, Wilfrid der âltere, Heidelberg, 1884; W. Bright, Early English Church History, passim, Oxford, 1897 (important); G. F. Browne, Theodore and Wilfrith, London, 187; A. Streeter, St. Wilfrid, Archbishop of Canterbury, ib. 1897; W. Hunt, The English Church . (597-1066) passim, ib. 1899 (also of importance); DNB, lxi. 238-242 (gives a discriminating bibliography); DCB, iv. 1179-85 (valuable); Milman, Latin Christianity, book iv., chaps. 3-4.

WILKINS, GEORGE: Church of Ireland; b. at Dublin July 27, 1858. He was educated at Trinity College, Dublin (B.A., 1880; M.A., 1884), and was refered deacon in 1891 and ordained priest in 1894; is was made a fellow of his college in 1891, junior lean in 1892, and tutor and junior proctor in 1893. Ince 1900 he has been professor of Hebrew in the Iniversity of Dublin, where he was classical lecturer and examiner in 1892, divinity lecturer in 1893, and niversity preacher in 1895. He has written The with of the Homeric Poems (London, 1885); has antibuted the volume on Deuteronomy to The lemple Bible (1902); and has edited part of the book [Genesis (chaps. i.-iv., xii.-xv.) in unpointed Herew (1909).

WILKINSON, GEORGE HOWARD: Primus of e Scottish Episcopal Church, and bishop of St. ndrews, Dunkeld, and Dunblane; b. at Oswald ouse, Durham, England, May 12, 1833; d. at dinburgh Dec. 11, 1907. He was educated at riel College, Oxford (B.A., 1855), and was ordered meon in 1857 and ordained priest in 1858. He was rate of Kensington (1857-59), perpetual curate of sham Harbour (1859-63), of Auckland, Durham 863-67), and of St. Peter's, Great Windmill Street, estminster (1867-70), and vicar of St. Peter's, mlico (1870-83); honorary canon of St. Petroc in turo Cathedral (1878-83), select preacher at Oxrd (1879-81), and proctor of the diocese of London 380-83). In 1883 he was consecrated bishop of uro, whence he was translated, in 1893, to the cese of St. Andrews, Dunkeld, and Dunblane. In 4 he was chosen primus of the Episcopal Church Scotland. Among his publications special mention may be made of his Instructions in the Devotional Life (London, 1871); Instructions in the Way of Salvation (1872); Lent Lectures (1873); Hindrances and Helps to the Deepening of the Spiritual Life among Clergy and People (1880); Holy Week and Easter (1880); "The Chastening of the Lord" (1883); The Communion of Saints: A Help to the Higher Life of Communicants (1883); Some Laws in God's Spiritual Kingdom (1886); The Heavenly Vision (1909); and Invisible Glory, Selected Sermons (1909).

Bibliography: A. J. Mason, Memoir of George Howard Wilkinson, 2 vols., London and New York, 1909.

WILKINSON, THOMAS EDWARD: Anglican bishop for Northern and Central Europe; b. at Bury St. Edmunds, Suffolk, Dec. 26, 1837. He was educated at Jesus College, Cambridge (B.A., 1859); was ordered deacon in 1861 and ordained priest in 1862; was curate at Cavendish, Suffolk (1861-64), and Rickinghall, Suffolk (1864-70); was consecrated bishop of Zululand in the latter year. He traveled extensively in South Africa, and in 1874 visited the Transvaal, his tour resulting in the creation of a new African diocese. He resigned his see of Zululand in 1876; was rector of Caerhayes, Cornwall (1878-82), was chosen in 1886 to be bishop-coadjutor of London for North and Central Europe, his jurisdiction extending over Norway, Sweden, Denmark, Holland, Belgium, France, Switzerland, Germany, Austria, and Russia. He has also been rector of St. Catherine Coleman, London, since 1886. In addition to preparing a Zulu translation of selections from Hymns Ancient and Modern (Natal, 1874), he has written A Suffolk Boy in East Africa (London, 1875); A Lady's Life in Zululand and the Transvaal (the journal of his late wife; 1876); Does England wish her Boys and Girls to grow up Atheists and Anarchists? (1894); Emigration the true Solution of the Social Question (1894); Saat, the Slave Boy of Khartoum (1898); and Twenty Years of Continental Work and Travel (1906).

WILKINSON, WILLIAM CLEAVER: Baptist; b. at Westford, Vt., Oct. 19, 1833. He was educated at the University of Rochester (A.B., 1857) and Rochester Theological Seminary, from which he was graduated in 1859; in the same year he was ordained to the ministry; was pastor of the Second Baptist Church, New Haven, Conn. (1859-61); acting professor of modern languages in the University of Rochester (1863-64); pastor of Mount Auburn Baptist Church, Cincinnati (1865-66), but was compelled by failing health to retire from the ministry, and opened a school at Tarrytown, N. Y.; he was professor of homiletics and pastoral theology in Rochester Theological Seminary (1872-81). He was then engaged in literary work until 1892, when he was appointed to his present position of professor of poetry and criticism in the University of Chicago. He was prominent in the Chautauqua movement, being one of the counselors of the Chautauqua Literary and Scientific Circle and dean of the department of literature and art in the Chautauqua School of Theology. He lectured at Crozer Theological Seminary and Drew Theological Seminary in 1903, and at Baylor University in the following year.

Among his writings, which include numerous textbooks for Chautauqua courses, special mention may be made of The Dance of Modern Society (New York, 1868); A Free Lance in the Field of Life and Letters (1874); The Baptist Principle (Philadelphia, 1881); Edwin Arnold as Poetizer and Paganizer (New York, 1885); The Epic of Saul (1889); The Epic of

Paul (1897); The Epic of Moses (1905); and Moden Masters of Pulpit Discourse (1905); Good of Life and Other Little Essays (1910); and Daniel Webster; a Vindication, and other historical Essays (1911). His poems have been collected in five volumes (New York, 1909).

WILL, FREEDOM OF THE.

I. Biblical.
II. Historical.
Classical Antiquity (§ 1).
Greek Patristics (§ 2).
Latin Patristics; Pelagian Controversy (§ 3).

Medieval Catholicism (§ 4). The Reformation Period (§ 5). Modern Philosophy (§ 6). The Nineteenth Century (§ 7). III. Analysis of the Problem.

The Nature of Freedom (§ 1).

The Avoidability of Sin (§ 2).

Omniscience and Freedom (§ 3).

IV. Supplement.

I. Biblical: The Old Testament as a Biblical theological basis is favorable to the assumption of the freedom of the human will. The will of God always appeals to the autonomy of man. Nothing happens without the divine will (Job vii. 17-21; Isa. xlv. 17-21; Jer. x. 23, xxxi. 18); on the other hand, the autonomous decision of the human will, whether in relation to enticing sin (Gen. iv. 7) or to grace (Jer. xxix. 13-14), is asserted more frequently and positively. The law makes its appeal to free choice (Deut. xxx. 15 sqq.); the relation of man and God adapts itself to the free inclination of the human heart (Ps. xviii. 26-29). In view of this parallelism striking antitheses and paradoxical symbolisms are inevitable (Ex. xxxiv. 6-7; Hos. xiii.; cf. Deut. xxx., xxxi.; Jer. xviii.). The tradition of the Mosaic idea of hereditary guilt gives way to that of personal accountability (Jer. xxxi.; Ezek. xviii.). A distinction between hereditary guilt and original sin would not resolve the contradiction: because (1) it would exceed the simple Old-Testament representation; (2) the same figures applied to ordinary human weaknesses are also referred to man's proneness to sin; (3) a development of the idea of freedom appears in prophecy (Isa. xxix., xlv.; Jer. xviii.). Western thought first laid open the logical alternative between these two trains of religious and ethical thought series, which lie in the Old Testament in embryo: Is the good such because God wills it or vice versa? (Plato.) Must man will the good because God works within him to do so? (Augustine.) Or, is the willing of man good because of voluntary adaptation to the divine will? (Duns Scotus.) This dilemma gave rise to a theological antinomy and became the principal point of controversy between the Roman Catholics and the Protestants; and the cleavage was present already between the free-will Sadducees, the deterministic Essenes, and the Pharisees holding to a general dependence upon divine omnipotence, with free choice to the individual. The synoptical discourses of Jesus emphasize sometimes the moral freedom of the individual (Matt. vii. 24, xii. 27, 37, xix. 14, xxiii. 37); at other times the causal connection of character with education, heredity, or divine descent (Matt. xii. 34, xv. 13, xviii. 7, xxiii. 32). Paul, too, emphasizes the idea of freedom. Although everything good, especially forgiveness, is a gift of God and sanctification the work of God, yet there is the direct appeal (Rom. vi. 12); damnation is just (iii. 7-8), and every one is accountable (II Cor. v. 10). To the contrary is the fact of experience that conduct does not result from perception of the good and corresponding willing (Rom. vii. 20; Gal. v. 17); much less may the natural man sold under sin (Rom. vii. 14) be called free (vii. 23, viii. 7). Grace has broken the bond of sin (vi. 18), but the new state is another servitude (vi. 19), and God performed the act of transformation (iii. 21 sqq.; Eph. ii. 8). The descent of sin according to the law may be traced back to the progenitor of the race (Rom. v. 12 sqq.), and the growth of sin falls into unison with the purpose of grace (v. 20-21). Formal freedom may seen implied at least for the reason (vii. 16); but free deliberation is expressly denied the arbitrament (iii. 19, ix. 20; II Cor. x. 5); and beside the duality of "mind" and "flesh," is pictured the monism of the absolute dependence on God (Rom. xi. 32). The contrast is yet sharper in the Johannine writing. The knowledge of truth and the reception of eternal life depend on the will of the individual (John v. 40, vii. 17; cf. viii. 45-46). I John betrays a strong undertone sounding an appeal to faithfulness and brotherly love, and casually calls for the duty of self-sacrifice (iii. 16). On the other hand, the Christian state of grace appears so exclusively the work of divine omnipotence that the believer is designated as the offspring of God, as the product of a divine "seed," even incapable of sinning (iii. 9, iv. 4-5). The Gospel, too, teaches this dualism (viii. 34, 44, 47). God wills the salvation of all men (II Pet. iii. 9), and voluntary surrender to corruption results in the inevitable doom (ii. 9). On the other side, unbelievers are appointed to stumble (I Pet. ii. 8). The New-Testament doctrine teaches freedom as well as constraint. There is no theoretical contradiction, since there is no the matic discussion, but a multiplicity of particular expressions bear upon the various sides of the problem in the vivid, Oriental symbolical fashion. The individual is now God's planting, offspring, elect, and now self-determining: partly fundamentally one with God, and partly distinct and different. Dualism applies now to the antithesis of God and man, now of God and Satan, and again of good and evil. The only difference between the Old and New Testaments is that in the latter the duty of moral volition and the sense of natural impotence have been intersified (Mark xiii. 37; I Cor. xvi. 13; Gal. v.; Rom. vii.).

II. Historical: The Old Hellenic theory of the will was predominantly deterministic, partly in the metaphysical, religious sense of fate (Heraclitus, the Pythagoreans, and the Eleatics), and partly in the psychological, ethical sense that the will is governed by the degree of understanding (the Socratic school).

, in spite of his atomic philosophy and his of blind fortuity, advocated the sense of freedom, perhaps as a postulate of hapical piness; and Aristotle consented to the ty. preponderance of free moral practise to mere understanding. The docthe Sophists that man is the measure of all vored freedom. The Stoics emphasized the lence of man from external influences, but me time held to the fixedness of the basic The problem how to reconcile freedom ssity they tried to solve by the use of the conception of providence and by moral edur voluntary submission to the cosmic purhe Neoplatonists distinguished between the of the sensuous life with its imagined freethe contemplative transport of the soul to tion in the divine life. Plato taught that accerced was free to every one. Whoever t, chooses life, to which he then is attached ity; and not God but the individual is refor an evil destiny. This became the the predeterminism of Origen. Interestthe distinctions of Aristotle: (1) between and the necessary; (2) the indifferent mean, eived as necessity and not taking place by (3) the free act under involuntary circum-(4) the purpose ripening from rational preon; (5) the future subject to decision in with the past as apparently the result of ; and (6) in double contrast with necessity ngent and the free volitional, both involving ve possibilities. An ascending series is ned as follows: (1) necessity to nature, (2) reedom, (3) entire freedom but with unripe t, and (4) deliberate design with ripened t. Enlightened freedom is a goal, only to ed by practise, and every man is responhis own acts. Plato and Aristotle coined inology for the future. From the time of the Christian influence prevails in speculalosophy. Only the personal God is free; ason thinks in terms of time and the human mplicated with temporal change. ling to the Greek Fathers freedom of will

ling to the Greek Fathers freedom of will the central characteristic of the divine man. But between this divine gift of the l human independence there is only a formal e: on the one hand, the incipient freedom is to be considered a gift of God by creal the goal or complete conscious conformity

with the divine will, as a purposive k human object; on the other hand, the ics. beginning in moral development seems more a matter of human freedom, and ridential consequence more a matter of neern. The human subject, exercising the ift of God in choosing the good, happens to t the same time, in conformity with the will rer, God. According to Chrysostom (q.v.), d decision belong to man, the fulfilment to ccording to Clement of Alexandria (q v.) as only "adapted for virtue," not "perrithout free consent there is no salvation; mination is the nature of the soul. Cyril of 1 (q.v.) remarks that grace needs a willing-

ness to believe as the stylus requires the hand that writes. Gregory Nazianzen (q.v.) comments on Rom. ix. 16, stating that "not merely human willing" was of more importance than "willing and running." The Antiochians (see Antioch, School OF) taught that faith and faithfulness were wholly matters of self-resolution, in spite of the grace of providence. Gregory of Nyssa strongly emphasizes objective purpose as independent volition. Origen's predeterminism, the doctrine of the pretemporal fall, only offers a peculiar expression to the conviction of individual self-determination. The typical representative of extreme indeterminism was Isaac of Antioch (c. 450). According to him the whole struggle of life rests upon freedom; even regeneration is the personal act of man. Man in his freedom ranks higher than the angels and is more free than Satan who lacks the power of execution, although his will is capable of taking up every concept of evil. On the contrary man, by moral dietetics, may intensify his moral power to a godlike perfection. However, this virtue of moral independence, by which man resembles God, is not by nature but grace. The Greek position transmits itself to the Pelagian controversy, except that it blunts the assertion of freedom by emphasis on grace. The analogy of the physician and the free acceptance of his remedies by Origen and Clement returns in Semi-Pelagianism (q.v.).

In the West other motives enter with the Biblical, corresponding to the stern sense of Roman law, the Stoic basic necessity, and the Platonic-Manichean dualism with the consequence of the

3. Latin
Patristrics; man, of the exclusiveness of grace, and the necessity of a vicarious atonement.
Controversy. The line of thought becomes more so-

teriological than anthropological. Tertullian (q.v.) admits, beside the omnipotent freedom of God, limited human freedom; but holds that human volition, in so far as it is good, is the work of God. Cyprian (q.v.) accedes that grace is received in proportion to the "capacity of faith" offered by man, but presupposes everything, even the latter, as determined in God's will. Ambrose perceived that the idea of freedom lies in the conception of obedience as well as in that of transgression, but emphasized that the efficient work of redemption demands the initiative of God. The first scientific discussion of the problem of the will within the history of the development of the Christian dogma was occasioned by the Pelagian controversy (see Pelagius, Pela-GIAN CONTROVERSIES). Pelagius and Celestius were offended by Augustine's formula of prayer: "Give what thou commandest and command what thou wilt"; because of the apparent elimination of all human freedom. The Council at Ephesus (431) consented to the rejection of the Pelagian doctrine according to which man also after the fall retained the capacity to choose the good, since man has kept some commandments while Adam kept none; and without the freedom of good or evil there can be no imputation of guilt. Conscience, it maintained, shows a certain sanctity of the nature made by God, from which issues responsibility. Sin is not nature, for man shall do the good; therefore he can: but it is a

"contingency," which consequently may disappear according as the will decides. Man has a free will, which Pelagius estimated merely as a divine gift, not an ideal factor of the good. In the judgment of Pelagianism, in its first stage, the excess of Augustine should be borne in mind which served as an irritant and was the product of three unsound motives; namely, survivals of Platonism and Stoicism, Manicheistic views, and the overmastering interest of the Church upon his mind. While Pelagius dwells upon the logical side of formal freedom, Augustine naturally takes the religious side of real freedom (power to do good), without, however, keeping clear of the other. Semi-Pelagianism distinguished between the acts, more or less free, of the inclination toward the good; one person seizes with conscious longing the grace not yet effective in him, another is suddenly overtaken and possessed by prevenient grace without his own action. Each is free to resist grace; and no one is (according to Augustine) morally dead, no one (according to Pelagius) morally sound, but all are morally diseased, and as the diseased must turn to the physician, the sinner must, of his own free will, offer himself to grace.

Medieval theology on the whole did not materially advance beyond the patristic state of the problem. According to Bernard of Clairvaux (q.v.), free will remains also after the fall, wretched, to be sure, but intact. Only with volition itself its freedom would cease. "Remove grace, and you have nothing whereby to be saved; remove 4. Medieval free will and you have nothing that Catholicism. could be saved." Anselm (q.v.) rejects the judgment that the depraved are free only to do evil (Augustine), but censures also the presumption that the freedom to do good was as unbiased as that to do evil. True freedom is a divinely given power to preserve divinely given virtue. Prevenient grace gives the power, subsequent grace aids the will to keep it; but also this will is a gift. Thomas Aquinas (q.v.), in an anti-Pelagian manner, declares, that not only the perfection, but the very beginning of virtue is the work of God. Duns Scotus (q.v.) reverts decidedly to the Pelagian mode of thought. As God the type is free, so also man, his image; it was the purpose of the Creator that man as will should be absolutely free; that the deed only, not the volition, should be subject to external necessity. Willing is the original essence, he teaches, like Schelling and Schopenhauer; to go back further to a causality beyond will, would be absurd. Albert the Great (see Albertus Mag-NUS) held that by grace virtue is established in the believer, but the decision whether to follow virtue or its opposite, belongs to the hegemony of the will. The greatest opponent of the nominalistic doctrine of freedom by Duns was the wholly deterministic Thomas Bradwardine (q.v.), seconded by Albert of Halberstadt. The mystics produced the dual consequences, the logical result of such a determinism; namely, that sin is willed of God and therefore not really sin; and that the will of man and the will of God merge into a mystic unity. A revolutionizing influence on the doctrine was the secular philosophy since Descartes, especially of Spinoza, Leibnitz, and Kant. In spite of its new points of view, the pre-

Kantian philosophy does not get beyond the older forms of conceptual construction and analysis of problems. The contentions of Luther 5. The Ref- and Erasmus (q.v.), the synergistic ormation controversies (see SYNERGISM), and the Period. variance between Luther and Melandthon, did not move the problem, insemuch as the interest was soteriological. Vital for Luther was it, in throwing all weight upon trust in divine grace, to emphasize the impotence of the natural will. Salvation depends wholly upon the will of God. Although this pronouncement of the death of free will prevailed even until the adoption of the Formula of Concord (q.v.), yet the open problem revived from time to time, and in reaction against the hyper-Lutherans, Matthias Flacius and Nikolaus von Amsdorf (qq.v.), the orthodox Latherans put forth the doctrine of the "foreknowledge of faith," mediating between the demands of faith and the moral consciousness, which if not proof against logical metaphysical objections was yet prychologically true. God predestinated for salvation those whose faith he foresaw. All salvation is d God, but faith conditions its appropriation, and in faith the submission of the will is more essential than the knowledge of grace and of being passively apprehended by it. The Socinians (see Socinus, Faut-TUS, SOCINIANS) presented such a combination of omniscience and human freedom, that God seemed like a wise pedagogue not willing to scrutinise free human activity too closely. According to Calvin, omnipotence is absolute. Adam had to succumb to the "hidden decree"; he was free only from external constraint. Also in evil men God effects to will and to do according to his pleasure, and it is inherent in this universal purpose that the large majority should perish to glorify his justice. In order not to make God the author of evil, the Augsburg Confesion (q.v.) removed the cause of sins into the "will of evil men, which, if God will not aid, turns from God" The question, why God, by not aiding the will, permits the victory of the evil propensities, remained unanswered. A certain freedom to do good was however, submitted by postulating "civil justice" over against "spiritual justice." The synergistic over against "spiritual justice." controversy gave rise to the opinion that the will might contribute a minimum to salvation. In the later editions of his Loci Melanchthon had declared that three causes cooperate in conversion; the Word, the Holy Spirit, and human will, in so far as it does not resist, but assent. The Formula of Concord concluded with a mediating position, that will has a certain "locomotive power" such as going to church to hear the Gospel, but in the reception of grace it is absolutely inactive, since in consequence of universal sinfulness there is left "not even a spark of spiritual powers," so that man from himself and by him-

thing that he can do is reject grace.

René Descartes (q.v.) declared that nothing is so evident as the certainty that human thought and action rest upon free will, and that freedom belong to the nature of the will, since will is nothing else but freedom of choice. This freedom means the non-determination by external [secondary] caused from the view-point of God, everything must be described.

self can not even take the offered grace. The only

pendent upon him. Human reason is influenced by will; its judgments are muffled acts of will. Error of reason must be ascribed to the vol-6. Modern untary affirmation of ideas which are Philosophy. as yet problematical. The capacity to affirm or deny, however, is merely catesorical; the will amenable to reasons is higher. The former, or merely unbiased vacillation between motives is really lack of freedom since it rests upon deficient power of judgment. Clear insight into the practical enables weaker subjects to independence from passions. Nicolas Malebranche (q.v.) called will the natural inclination of the mind toward the good; it is always without compulsion, spontaneous, but not always capable of indifferently taking the alternative. Impression and motive, receptivity and spontaneity, are respectively identified. Spinoza (q.v.) represented absolute determinism; free will is a delusion due to a failure to comprehend the absolute cause. Leibnitz (q.v.) defines freedom as selfdetermination in accordance with understanding, the product of which is inclination, not necessity. Free will is to be compared to the magnetic needle obeying its own inherent laws. A freedom of neutrality would not be free will but wilfulness. To apply the law of causation to the will would be to insert in volitional subjectivity a retrogressive infinity. The English and French empiricism of the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries culminated in absolute materialism, most pronounced in the De la nature (1744) of J. B. R. Robinet. David Hume, theoretically concerned with a destructive criticism of the idea of causation, acknowledged an antipathy against the judgment that human willing is determined. On the other hand, conduct can not be the necessary resultant of the ego, since the unity of the ego is only concluded from a series of reciprocal The solution is resolved in skepticism: if accidental, then conduct is irrational; if causally determined, then it is not one's own but another's, a thesis which is untenable. Joseph Priestley (q.v.), following David Hartley, represented the physiological determinism, deriving all psychical phenomena from physiological neural antecedents; yet inconsistently he maintained the immortality of the soul. According to Kant, causal necessity issues a priori from pure reason, which legislates upon nature. In his practical philosophy, he proceeds to demonstrate that what was before considered freeiom, the capability on the part of the empirical ego of alternative choice, was only an apparent freedom. Empirically, as sensual beings belonging to the world of phenomena, men are determined in their future actions the same as everything that is causally determined, because the empirical ego belongs not to the world of reality but of phenomena, which is subject to the a priori law of causation. This is predicated of the transcendental ego or soul noumenon, which also affords in practical ethical deductions, by synthetic judgment, the categorical imperative "thou shalt." With this also freedom is absolutely given; "thou canst, for thou shalt." Logically the conscience or moral law is primarily given; but ethially and metaphysically this freedom is the first mplication, since by a "practical syllogism" it is leduced as the adequate ground of the moral impera-

tive. Since the time of Kant there is therefore no longer any contradiction between identification with the causal complexity of nature and the consciousness of ethical, religious freedom; and the value and instructiveness of later treatments depend upon their attitude positively or negatively toward Kant's system.

In the philosophical development of the idea of freedom after Kant four different types may be distinguished: (1) According to F. W. J. Schelling (q.v.); Ueber das Wesen der menschlichen Freiheit, 1809; 1834), freedom of the will proceeds from the separable coexistence of light and darkness, i.e., from the possibility of good and evil, in distinction from the inseparable divine identity.

7. The From it results the contradiction be-Nineteenth tween necessity and freedom, as well as Century. their unity, which is the subordination of the finite to the infinite, and which will resolve the despair of the practical reason by personal recourse in the divine, or the incarnation of God. (2) J. F. Herbart strictly distinguishes between the metaphysical "fiction" of freedom which he denies, and the idea of "inner freedom." Schleiermacher's position approximates that of Herbart, making the will a mode of thought, and freedom independence over against causality as collectivity, without the subordination of effect to cause. (3) The Hegelian school maintained that freedom is implied in the rational will. But more important than formal free will is moral freedom, which, according to the degree of its development and perfection, is determined by the truth of its content; and in its last stage, where it, as the absolute rational knowledge of the absolute rational purpose, is identical with the will of God; where will and its object, volition and duty are one, freedom and necessity are no longer distinct. (4) Arthur Schopenhauer taught that "necessity is the kingdom of nature, freedom the kingdom of grace." Grace comes immediately from outside and has not the least in common with the law of cause and effect. The empirical man can do what he would, but he can not will what he would; he can not change himself; he is determined. Only by the total, radical negation of the will to live, salvation may be attained. This negation, however, does not result from philosophical reflection, but, momentarily, upon an intuitive technical vision; permanently, only upon the miracle of the rupture of the intellect from its root in the will, by means of a transcendental process of supermundane passivity. Refined by Eduard von Hartmann (q.v.) and his adherents, and subjected to thorough criticism by others, Schopenhauer's doctrine has remained the most remarkable type after the time of Hegel. Positivistic naturalism and materialistic historiography have found a psychological counterpart in the deterministic mechanization of the life of the will and the denial of will itself. More recently individual apologists, ushering in a new appreciation of the Fichtian egoism (Liebmann), have revived belief in the freedom of the will, with an unsurpassed intensity; while the school of the consciousness theory and the psychomonism, directly or indirectly, reassert the verity of the sense of freedom. Liebmann teaches that the man is free

who is not diverted by other motives to act contrary to valid maxims, which reminds of Goethe's dictum: "Freedom is the possibility to perform the rational under all circumstances." Such freedom may be proved only individually, by the voice of conscience, repentance, and the sense of responsibility. A. Bolliger teaches that the rational will is a potency transcending time; it is accordingly a reflection and image of the divine freedom. The free act consists in the original act of the representative power of the subject in representing a consequent and antecedent in their causal relation. C. E. Luthardt teaches a formal freedom, consisting in the capability of alternative choice and a real material freedom of the power to execute. Real experience of necessity comes first with the consciousness of sin. All persons begin morally determined in a respective degree and real freedom results with the self-determination of man according to his divinely patterned

III. Analysis of the Problem: The theological interest has as its object how to reconcile with religious faith in the omniscience and omnipotence of divine providence the moral duty to shun evil and the conscious capability to fulfil moral obligation. Cosmic necessity, or divine omnipotence, is apparently in conflict with individual responsibility. God being good and not coercing the ego to sin; therefore man must be free. God being perfectly good, omniscient, and almighty, the origin of sin becomes inexplicable, but if placed in free human will, the omnipotence and omniscience are jeopardized. The plan of salvation presupposes the moral reality and possibility of sinning and at the same time contradicts with the possibility also its reality. If everything depends upon human responsibility, man is too weak to bear the responsibility for the coming of the kingdom of God. If everything depends upon the sole effect of prevenient grace, man's most positive feeling and most sacred certainty, that he is free and that naught is good in the world but to will the good, is delusion. Above all, the sense of guilt would be selfdeception. Not only would the origin of evil be an insoluble riddle, but evil itself would be an illusion. While bias lay with the opposite tendency from Augustine to Schopenhauer, the interest of modern psychology, introduced by the methods of Kant and Fichte, swings the balance in favor of the defense of the internal validity of the consciousness of freedom.

In all human action there is an incalculable and incontrollable element that awakens the impression that the action was exempt from the law of cause and effect. This impression is created by the belief in freedom, which is merely negative; but more important is the comparison of different representations of possibilities of conduct in the consciousness of the agent. This capacity of choice subsisting in

the sense of spiritual ability and accompanied by the representation of the Nature of alternative possibility, is called formal freedom. The moral character is sensible of the impulse to do good by inner necessity, especially when numerous and strong external inducements urge it to the contrary. The more the character is ordered morally,

i.e., the more the individually necessary is in accord with the universal objective good, the more urgent the bidding of the conscience to pursue the law of the good. The precept "I can" completes and lifts itself with, "I will what I shall." This power to perform the morally necessary that has been willed in called real freedom. The moral will feels free even if it is capable only of the good; i.e., if the alternative possibility is merely hypothetical. The apparent limitation to the necessary good is amply compensated for by the consciousness of mastery. Exemption from, or superiority over, the law of causality, at first but seeming, is now positive reality: the mightiest and most irresistible of all causes is the wholly ethicized will in its constancy. Time may be discounted by a pledge for the future absolutely certain of fulfilment. This consciousness of freedom is a reality of psychological experience which can not be encroached upon by any metaphysical law of causality, which itself is a mere product of the nominative understanding. From this law it only follows that also the human will is part of the universe; man did not create himself; over him rules eternal necessity. But, on the contrary, of everything that is, this part of the universe is the freest. Only the world-ruling and worldcreating power has greater freedom than human will, which is not only most efficient, but feels most free when harmoniously obedient to the divine will. As long, therefore, as in consequence of natural imperfection and, still more, in consequence of the proportionate growth of sin and its gross effects, the standpoint of that perfect and conscious self-adaptation to God's universal will and his plan of salvation is not attained by all, nobody has a right to take to account the wisdom and omnipotence of God, for defects which proceed from sin. Sin should and could be avoided; otherwise the consciousness of God would disappear to make way for a debased sense of causal, legal necessity. Its avoidableness follows immediately from the moral consciousness and the ethically qualified faith in God; and its unconditioned presupposition is the elementary consciousness of freedom. In explaining the morally evil, there must be no crossing beyond the boundaries of the conception of the freedom of the will. This derivation, however, suffices, making the idea of the freedom of the will of the utmost significance for dogmatic theology. The question of the origin of sin is no easier of explanation in the time of Adam than now, but is more important within the later ethical, psychological field. The old Evangelical resort of referring it to self-love is scarcely tenable, for Christ places this as the measure of love of neighbor, and it is the basic function of the neutral will, developing later into ethical bloom even to love of Will in its freedom is itself the possibility of sin; what is still necessary to its realization lies outside of the sphere of that which can be explained by cause and effect. For actual facts of the will the law of sufficient reason applying to things never suffices, because the innermost value of the personality of one can not be observed by another, not even by self. The best explanation of sin proves to be the psychologically true description after the actual fact. Fundamentally the problem of solution is an indi-

individual will. The problem defies solution. Ethics as well as logic evades a psychological deduction, for it is not possible by observation and experiment to dismember the free subject, in order to ascertain what is the active unanalyzable principle in every act of ethical volition or attentive cognition, becoming the more mysterious the more intensively the reflecting subject is itself made the object of inspection. An intellectual perception is precluded. In the free self-disclosure of the soul the individual ego, in and with its freest special existence, knows itself absolutely conditioned by the universal supreme Ego; and no less the fascination of the consciousness of freedom will always remain precisely for the pious heart. (G. RUNZE.)

IV. Supplement: The problem of freedom is complicated by two other interests: (1) theological, derived from early Christian thought, involving the reconciliation of omniscience and omnipotence with moral acts; and (2) moral, arising from the conflict of ethical presuppositions with psychology and scientific notions of mechanical causation. centuries and a half (1600-1850) in England and America the discussion continued along lines traced above in continental thought. Indeterminism was advocated by S. Clarke (q.v.) in A Collection of Papers which passed between Dr. Clarke and Mr. Leibnitz (London, 1717), by T. Reid, who claimed that free will was proved by universal consciousness of active power and of accountability (Essays on the Active Powers of Man, 1788), and this general position has been characteristic of Socinian and Arminian writers since that date. The most recent apholder of free will in the interest of a pluralistic universe asserts that "free will means nothing but real novelty; so pluralism accepts the notion of free will " (W. James, Some Problems of Philosophy, New York, 1911; cf. idem, The Will to Believe, "The Dilemma of Determinism," pp. 145 sqq., ib. 1897).

Until the last third of the nineteenth century deterministic theories of the will were influenced by Locke, who provided the mold in which the theological considerations of Calvinism as related to the will were run. According to him, the will is always moved by the greatest present uneasiness. Jonathan Edwards held that although the will is guided by the last dictate of the understanding, yet this dictate depends upon the prevailing inclinations, these upon the moral necessity of habits and dispositions, while habits and dispositions in turn are caused by the providential disposing of the sovereign will of God (Works, vol. ii., New York, 1830; cf. W. G. T. Shedd, Calvinism, Pure and Mixed, ib. 1893). This doctrine received its first serious modification at the hand of N. W. Taylor (q.v.), who sought to guard both divine foreordination and ability to obey God by the formula that moral action is characterized by "certainty with power to the contrary." The sinner can, if he will, and "he can if he won't!" (cf. G. P. Fisher, Discussions in History and Theology, p. 313, ib. 1880).

Determinism has received support from a materialistic basis of the mind (cf. J. Priestley, A Free Discussion of the Doctrine of Materialism, Birmingham, 1782; H. Maudsley, Body and Will, New York, 1884; A. Bain, Mind and Body, ib. 1887).

Two other forms of determinism have received wide attention, the first of which has been associated with T. H. Green: one is free in his choices so far as his action is determined by nothing but himself. The man himself and his circumstances being what they are at a stated juncture, the determination of the will is already given-a different determination would require a different man. Choice expresses one's character, interest, attention, motive; action has its roots in character (Works, ii. 318 sqq., London, 1893; cf. J. S. Mackenzie, Manual of Ethics, p. 94, New York, 1901). The second of these views, in the interest of monistic personal idealism, maintains that every individual will is free so far as its life is unique, in some respect underivable from all other wills, or so far as it is a self and not mere temporal phenomenon and different from the Absolute. It is conceived as an act of attention, occurring only at the moment, never before, never afterward, individual, yet incapable of complete causal explanation (J. Royce, The World and the Individual, ii. 337 sqq., New York, 1901; M. W. Calkins, Persistent Problems of Philosophy, ib. 1911). C. A. BECKWITH.

Bibliography: Among the works on the history of the doctrine may be named: F. Keller, Spinosa und Lebnu über Willensfreiheit, Erlangen, 1847; C. E. Luthardt, Die Lehre vom freien Willen. . . . in ührer geschichtlichen Enwickelung, Leipsic, 1863; O. Liebmann, Ueber den individuellen Beweis für die Freiheit des Willens, Stuttgarl. 1866; H. T. Buckle, Hist. of Civilization, new ed., 3 vols., London, 1869; T. Wildauer, Die Psychologie des Willens bei Sokrates, Platon und Aristoteles, 2 parts, Innsbruck, 1877-79; W. Gass, Geschichte der christlichen Ethik, 3 vols., Berlin, 1881-87; L. Bräutigam, Leibnis und Herbart, über Willensfreiheit, Heidelberg, 1882; H. Sidgwick, Oulines of the History of Ethics, London, 1886, 2d ed., 1883; J. Martineau, Types of Ethical Theory, pt. 2, bk. L. eb. i., §§ 1, 5, 3d ed., Oxford, 1891; idem, Study of Religion, bk. III., eh. ii., 2 vols., ib., 1888; H. Alexander, Theories of Will in the History of Philosophy, New York, 1898; M. Krieg, Der Wille und die Freiheit in der neuern Philosophie, Freiburg, 1898; K. Dunkmann, Das Problem der Freiheit in der gegenwärtigen Philosophie, Halle, 1899; J. A. Froehlich, Freibeit und Notwendigkeit als Element einer einheitlichen Weltanschauung, Leipsic, 1908; J. Verweyen, Das Problem der Willensfreiheit in der Scholastik, Heidelberg, 1909; K. Ziekendraht, Der Streit zwische Erasmus und Luther über die Willensfreiheit, Leipsic, 1909. Werte genebal irmoretrage zur The Ethics, et Arie

Works of epochal importance are: The Ethica of Aristotle (see the article for editions and translations); Augustine's De gratia et libero arbitrio, Eng. transl. in NPNF, 1 ser., v. 443 sqq.; Isaac of Antioch, Pradestinalus; B. Spinoza, Die Ethica, e.g., Leipsic, 1875; D. Hume, Tratise on Human Nature, 3 vols., London, 1739-40, ed. Selby-Bigge, Oxford, 1888; J. Edwards, A Careful and Strict Enquiry into the Modern Prevailing Notions of the Freedom of the Will, Boston, 1754, new ed., London, 1856 (discussed by J. Dana, An Examination of Pres. Edwards' Inquiry on Freedom of the Will, New Haven, 1773; J. Day, An Examination of Pres. Edwards' Inquiry into the Freedom, etc., Edinburgh, 1829; E. P. Tappan, A Treatise on the Will containing a Review of Edward's "Inquiry, &c.," New York, 1839, Glasgow, 1857; A. T. Bledsoe, An Examination of President Edwards's Freedom of the Will, Philadelphia, 1845; W. B. Greene, Remarks in Refutation of the Treatise of J. Edwards on the Freedom of the Will, West Brookfeld, Mass., 1848; J. G. Stewart, Freedom of the Will Vindicated; or, Pres. Edwards' Necessarian Theory Refuted, Glasgow, 1876); G. W. Leibnitz, Nouveaux essais, book II., ch. xxi., in Euvres philosophiques, Amsterdam, 1765; J. Priestley, The Doctrine of Philosophical Necessity, London, 1777; I. Kant, Kritik der reinen Vernunff, Riga. 1781; J. F. Herbart, Freiheit des menschlichen Willeus, Göttingen 1836; A. Schopenhauer Die beiden Grund-

r Ethik, pt. 1, Ueber die Freiheit der menscheit, 4th ed., Frankfort, 1891; R. Cudworth, m Freewill, ed. J. Allen, London, 1838; F. W. dling, Ueber das Wesen der menschlichen Frei-Werke, Stuttgart, 1856-61; A. Bolliger, Die leit, Berlin, 1903.

st, Berlin, 1903.

Is from a philosophical or more strictly standpoint are: L. Creuzer, Skeptische Beüber die Freiheit des Willens, Giessen, 1793;

ung, Willensfreiheit und Determinismus, Bern, ng, Willensfreiheit und Determinen. C. W. Sigwart, Das Problem von der or Unfreiheit des menschlichen Wollens, Tü-19: W. Cairns, Treatise on Moral Freedom, 44; J. P. Espy, The Human Will, Cincinnati, Whedon, The Freedom of the Will, New York, Iughes, The Human Will, London, 1867; P. er, Freedom or Moral Causation, Edinburgh, al, 1875; A. de Gasparin, La Liberté morale, ris, 1868; P. Dupuy, Du libre arbitre, Paris, Fischer, Ueber die Freiheit des menschlichen ed., Leipsic, 1871; F. W. Otto, Die Freiheit en, ihr Wesen und ihre Schränke, Gütersloh, örner, Instinkt und freier Wille, Leipsic, 1875; enter, Principles of Mental Physiology, §§ 333n, 1876; R. Schellwien, Der Wille, die Lebens-Berlin, 1879; L. A. Wiese, Die Bildung des 1 ed., Berlin, 1879; P. Le Blois, Étude sur la bre arbitre, Paris, 1881; G. Renard, L'Homme 4th ed., Paris, 1881; L. Michel, Libre arbitre aris, 1882; G. H. Schneider, Der menschliche Standpunkte der neueren Entwickelungstheorien, J. H. Witte, Ueber die Freiheit des Willens, Leben und seine Gesetze, Bonn, 1882; P. Janet, Bk. III., ch. vi.-vii., Paris, 1874, Eng. transl., 1883; H. Maudsley, Body and Will, London, ouillée, La Liberté et le déterminisme, 2d ed., W. G. Ward, Philosophy of Theism, 2 vols., 4; G. Friedrich, Die Krankheiten des Willens, 35, 2d ed., 1886; L. Dieffenbach, Der menschund seine Grundlagen, Darmstadt, 1886; W. Wahlfreiheit des Willens in ihrer Nichtigkeit oths, 1886; G. L. Fonsegrive, Essai sur le sa théorie et son histoire, Paris, 1887, 2d ed., in, Emotions and the Will, ch. ii., 4th ed., New ; idem, Mental and Moral Science, bk. IV., ed., Aberdeen, 1892; C. F. Heman, Zur Ge Lehre von der Freiheit des menschlichen Willens, O. K. Notowich, La Liberté de la volonté, N. Kurt, Willensfreiheit, Leipsic, 1890; idem, sprobleme, Weimar, 1902; C. Berger, Das Willensfreiheit, Leipsic, 1891; J. Dewey, Out-'ritical Theory of Ethics, pt. 1, ch. iii., Ann; H. C. Hiller, Against Dogma and Free-Will, 32; C. Gutberlet, Die Willensfreiheit und ihre da, 1893; C. Klein, Die Freiheitslehre des rasburg, 1894; F. J. Mach, Die Willensfrei-nschen, Paderborn, 1894; G. B. Milesi, La rnschen, Paderborn, 1894; G. B. Milesi, La el libero arbitrio, Milan, 1894; B. Wille, Phi-Befreiung durch das reine Mittel, Berlin, 1894; i, Die Willensfreiheit, Kreuzburg, 1895; H. zint Thomas et le prédéterminisme, Paris, 1895; La volontà umana, 2d ed., Rome, 1897; W. Will to Believe, New York, 1897; A. Lovell. Will, London, 1897; P. Moriaud, La Question et la conduite humaine, Paris, 1897; Thinking, Feeling, Doing, London, 1897; E. libre arbitre, Paris, 1890, 2d ed., 1898; C. libero arbitrio, Florence, 1899; L. Noël, La libero arbitrio, Florence, 1899; L. Noel, La lu libre arbitre, Paris, 1899; J. Rehmke, Trieb m menschlichen Handeln, Langensalza, 1899; r. The Will and its World, St. Louis, r, Plotins Lehre von der Willensfreiheit, Kemp-J. Royce, The World and the Individual, New 01; M. Wentscher, Das Problem der Willens-Lotze, Halle, 1901; P. Lapie, Logique de la is, 1902; F. Paulhan, La Volonté, Paris, 1902; illensfreiheit und moderner psychologischer De-, Cologne, 1902; A. Marucci, La Volontà rogressi della biologia e della filosofia, Rome, shrion, Das Problem der Willensfreiheit, Heidel-G. Graue, Selbstbewusstsein und Willensfreiheit, 4; O. Pfister, Die Willensfreiheit, ib., 1904; Willensfreiheit und wahre Freiheit, Munich, Windelband, Ueber Willensfreiheit, Tübingen, 1904; K. Joël, Der freie Wille. Eine Entwicklung in Gesprächen, Munich, 1908; L. Pochhammer, Zum Problem der Willensfreiheit, Stuttgart, 1908; R. Beschoren, Das Problem der Willensfreiheit in theoretischer und praktische Beziehung, Hanover, 1910; E. Pfennigsdorf, Der religiöse Wille. Ein Beitrag zur Psychologie und Praxis der Religion, Leipsic, 1910; E. Wentscher, Der Wille. Versuch einer psychologischen Analyse, Leipsic, 1910; A. Messer, Das Problem der Willensfreiheit, Göttingen, 1911; C. Surbled, La Volonté, Paris, 1911; the article on PREDESTINATION and the literature under it, as also the articles and bibliographies there referred to; and the works of Windelband, Erdmann, and Ueberweg-Heinze on the history of philosophy.

WILLEHAD: Bishop of Bremen; b. in Northumberland, probably in the third decade of the eighth century; d. at Blexen (about 60 m. w. of Hamburg) Nov. 8, 789. Of Anglo-Saxon descent [and educated at York under Alcuin], after having been consecrated presbyter he left his native country c. 770 to preach the Gospel to the Frisians. He began his missionary activity at Dokkum, the place where Boniface was slain on June 5, 754, where the population was already half Christian. He preferred to break new ground, however; but on entering the county of Hugmerke in East Frisia, his sermons so aroused the rage of the people that he hardly escaped death. In 780 Charlemagne entrusted him with the promulgation of Christianity and the organization of the Church in the extensive territory of Wigmodia on the Lower Weser where the diocese of Bremen later originated. Willehad was successful, but an insurrection of Widukind, duke of the Saxons, in 782, halted his progress. Willehad escaped to Frisia, but several of his assistants and friends were killed, while the converts were forced to relinquish the Christian faith. Then Willehad, together with St. Liudger (q.v.), who until that time had labored in Dokkum, undertook a journey to Rome. After his return to Germany, Willehad settled at Echternach near Treves, where he lived the life of a monk, occupied with literary works. After the baptism of Widukind in 785 Willehad resumed his missionary activity at the Lower Wesel. On July 13, 787, he was consecrated bishop, and Bremen became the seat of the bishopric. During his life Willehad was credited with doing miracles, and after his death his remains were believed still to be efficacious in that direction.

(A. HAUCK.)

Bibliography: The Vita et miracula by Ansgar was first published, ed. P. Cæsar, at Cologne, 1642, is abbreviated in ASM, iii. 2, pp. 404–418, and in MPL, cxviii. 1013–32, better in MGH., Script., ii (1829), 378–390 (Germ. transl., Lebensbeschreibungen des heiligen . . Willehads, Leipsie, 1888); cf. MGH, Script. vii (1846), 267 sqq. Consult: A. Tappehorn, Das Leben des heiligen Willehad, Dülmen, 1901; W. Wright, Biographia Britannia, i. 345–349, London, 1842; G. H. Klippel, Lebensbeschreibung des Erzbischofs Ansgar, Bremen, 1845; G. Dehio, Geschichte des Erzbisthums Hamburg-Bremen, i. 51, 53, 55 sqq., vol. iii., Berlin, 1877; A. Ebert, Geschichte der Literatur des Mittelalters, ii. 340–341, Leipsic, 1880; W. von Bippen, Aus Bremens Vorzeit, pp. 1–14, Bremen, 1885; J. F. Wolf, Sanct Willehad, Breslau, 1889; Rettberg, KD, ii. 450–455, 537; Hauck, KD, ii. 350 sqq.

WILLIAM OF CHAMPEAUX: Schoolman and bishop of Châlons; b. at Champeaux (near Melun, 26 m. s.e. of Paris) 1070; d. 1121. He enjoyed the instruction of the philosopher Manegold, of Ansellus of Laon, and of Roscellin; became teacher of dialectics and rhetoric at Notre Dame in Paris; enjoyed the esteem of Louis VI., and became archdeacon of

the diocese of Paris. Abelard (q.v., I., § 1) was for a time his pupil, but later caused him no little annoyance. He attacked one of the main theses of William and forced him to give it up and attack it even himself. By this William, if the account of Abelard is to be credited, lost his reputation among the schoolmen so that almost all his pupils left him and he retired altogether from his activity as teacher. He then joined the communion of the regulars of St. Victor who beside their principal seat in Marseilles possessed a small settlement in Paris. Here William resumed his activity as teacher, swayed by the requests of students, and lectured especially on theology from 1110 to 1113. In 1113 he was elected bishop of Châlons.

It was to the first period of his life that the few literary remains of this author belong. It is probable that the De origine anima and Dialogus. cuiusdam Christiani et Judai de fide Catholica (MPL, clxiii. 1039-1040, 1043-1045) are not his. Fortyseven fragments of his are collected in Lefèvre (see bibliography). These deal with theological questions only, so that nothing is given of the philosophical views connected with William's name, for which Abelard is the authority. William, according to Abelard, had asserted that the same thing in its entirety could be essentially in different individuals, there being no diversity in essence but variety only in the multitude of accidents; but Abelard had objected that if the whole humanity were in Socrates and in the same way also in Plato, it would be impossible for Socrates to be in Rome and Plato in Greece. From William's change of opinion it is evident that he had not the ability to discuss difficult questions in a thorough and convincing manner. The same appears in the theological fragments. Here, too, he avoids the discussion of difficult questions and appeals to faith or to the superior knowledge of God. Yet some ability must be granted him in order to explain the demands of his pupils that he continue his work as a teacher. (S. M. Deutscht.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Hist. littéraire de la France, x. 307-316; G. A. Patru, Wilhelmi Campallensis de natura et de origine rerum placita, Paris, 1847; C. Prantl, Geschichte der Logik im Abendland, ii. 128-131, Leipsic 1861; E. Michaud, Guillaume de Champeaux et les écoles de Paris, 2d ed., Paris, 1868; B. Hauréau, Hist. de la philosophie scolastique, i. 320-344, ib., 1872; G. Lesevre, in Travaux et mémoires de l'université de Lille, 1898; A. Sabatier, in Revue chrétienne, xv. 721 sqq.; Lichtenberger, ESR, v. 786-788; KL, xii. 1599.

WILLIAM OF CONCHES: Philosopher of the twelfth century; b. at Conches (64 m. w. of Paris) toward the end of the eleventh century; d. at Paris about 1154. He taught at Chartres in the school of Bernhard Sylvester, where one of his pupils was John of Salisbury (q.v.), who calls him a grammarian. His works, however, show that he was interested especially in questions of natural philosophy. He was not a theologian. He held to the older Platonic views of the universe, applying these to the problems of natural philosophy. William of Thierry denounced him before St. Bernhard for holding certain heresies—the assumption of a world soul, the Sabellian doctrine of the Trinity, the doctrine of demons, and the creation of Eve. William thereupon wrote his Dragmaticon, clothing his earlier views in the dress of a dialogue.

The school of Chartres pursued a tendency other than Abelard's; it was not concerned with the dislectical reconciliation of reason and faith, but with the increase of human knowledge and the perception of verity. Bernhard Sylvester's De mundi universitate breathes the same spirit, and William of Conches followed this path. Philosophy, according to him, comprehends everything. Like Plato, he tries to understand the universe from God down to man by way of pure knowledge, following not the Church Fathers but the philosophers and physicists. Like Abelard, he submits to the authority of Scripture, but finds no contradiction with Scripture, if one expounds what the Bible affirms. According to his system, the basis of the world is God as creative power, wisdom, and will. The saints apply these three terms to three persons, designating power as God the Father, wisdom as God the Son, and will as the Holy Spirit. The divine power would have sufficed to deliver man from the power of Satan, but God willed that his wisdom should become man, since in this way the divinity was concealed from the devil, and he laid hands on it, thus forfeiting his power over man. In connection with the universe the wisdom and power of God are revealed, but its origin and continuance William explains as purely natural processes. The corporeal world is composed of the elements which are in all things, but according to the preponderance of certain elements there originates matter or the elementary bodies, earth, water, air, and fire. The fiery bodies of the stars moved and warmed the air and through it the water. From the heated water proceeded the birds and fishes. Land originated from the absorption of humidity by the heat. From the heated mud of the earth proceeded the animals and man. The bodies of the universe are in constant movement, the firmament, i.e., the sky with its fixed stars, moving in the opposite direction of the planets, since otherwise the movement of the latter would be too violent. The earth is a sphere, since otherwise the time of the day would be the same everywhere and the same stars ought to be visible everywhere. The relation of the earth to the sun causes the change in seasons. Man consists of body and soul. The soul is the purely spiritual capacity of discerning and reasoning which is peculiar to man. From this spiritual soul are to be distinguished the natural powers, the spiritual and animal powers which move up and down in the arteries and nerves. The proper seat of the spiritual power is the heart, while the animal power is located in the brain. In general, William follows the Timœus of Plato, but he amplifies and modifies the views of Plato according to the learned tradition of the early Middle Ages, and makes use also of the works of Constantine the African.

The following works of William are extant: Quatuor libri de elementis philosophiæ or De philosophiæ mundi (printed in Bede's Opera, ii. 311-343, Basel, 1563; in the Maxima bibliotheca patrum, xx. 995-1020, as the work of Honorius Augustodunensis; and as the work of William of Hirschau under the tile, Philosophicarum et astronomicarum institutionum Guilielmi Hirsgauiensis olim abbatis, Basel, 1531); Dragmaticon philosophiæ, printed with the title, Dialogus de substantiis physicis confectus a Wilhelmo

o philosopho (Strasburg, 1567); glossary næus of Plato, preserved in manuscript; tary on the De consolatione philosophiæ of reserved only in manuscript. The authenther works attributed to William is not estion.

(R. Seeberg.)

Hist. littéraire de la France, vol. xii.; A. luillaume de Conches, Paris, 1857; B. Hauréau, és historiques et littéraires, ib. 1861; C. Franti, der Logik im Abendland, ii. 127 sqq., Leipsic, Werner, Die Kosmologie . . des Mittelalters Beziehung auf Wilhelm von Conches, in the richte of the Vienna Academy, philosophical-class, lxxv (1873), 309-403; H. Reuter, Gera Aufklärung im Mittelalter, ii. 6 sqq., Berlin, Zöckler, Geschichte der Beziehungen zwischen und Naturvissenschaft, i. 411-412, Gütersloh, L. Poole, Illustrations of the Hist. of Medieval pp. 124 sqq., London, 1884; DNB, ki. 355-356; 599-1602.

M OF HIRSCHAU. See HIRSCHAU.

M OF MALMESBURY: English hisin the south or the west of England about it Malmesbury (38 m. n.w. of Salisbury) He was brought up from childhood in ry Abbey, became a monk there, also lid precentor, and in all probability spent life in that abbey except for a possible d during which he may have lived at He became interested at an early age ly of history; the perusal of the story of ons made him dissatisfied with what was on his own, and so he was led to the compone works on the history of England which e his name famous. His principal works regum Anglorum, with its sequel Historia d Gesta pontificum Anglorum. The first the first and third of these was finished by between 1135 and 1140 he twice revised The Gesta regum Anglorum begins at the of English history, and in the revised form m to 1127-28. The materials have value points of view-as a "step forward in the ut of historiography," and in the "illus-i character and of the foreign relations" iod. Much of anecdote is interspersed, he writer's power as a narrator, but not the historical worth of his work. The ovella continues the work just charactering it down to 1142, and holds a high place e for the history of the reign of Stephen. pontificum Anglorum is also of high imporng a basis for the early ecclesiastical hisngland. Other works are: Vita S. Dunta S. Wulfstani; De antiquitate Glanstonisia; and collections of historical and legal till extant in manuscript.

HY: The two editions of the Gesta regum Angd Historia novella which are of importance are
ubbs, in the Rolls Series, 2 vols., London, 1887by T. D. Hardy, for the English Historical Sools., London, 1840; the prefaces and prolegomth these editions are of value for the life, and
tubbs for the inclusion of minor works or of serom minor works of William. English translabe Gesta are by J. Sharpe, The History of the Kings
d. . . London, 1815; J. A. Giles, in Bohn's
an Library, ib. 1847; and by J. Stevenson,
'istorians of England, vol. iii., part 1, ib. 1854,
the pontificum the best ed. is that by N. E. S. A.
in the Rolls Series, London, 1870, based on the

author's autograph. The Vita S. Dunstani, ed. W. Stubbs, is in Memorials of St. Dunstan, Rolls Series, London, 1874. For the life and estimate of the works the reader is referred first of all to the prefaces of the editions named above. Consult further: W. de Gray Birch, Life and Writings of William of Malmesbury, London, 1874; T. Wright, Biographia Britannica literaria, ii. 134-142, ib. 1846; Kate Norgate, England under the Angevin Kings, i. 183-193, ib. 1887; DNB, Ixi. 351-354; Gross, Sources, consult Index; Potthast, Wegweiser, pp. 557-558; Lichtenberger, ESR, v. 788-789; KL, xii. 1611-12.

WILLIAM OF NEWBURGH: English historian; b. at Bridlington (37 m. n.e. of York) between Dec. 26, 1135, and Dec. 25, 1136; d. at Newburgh (near Coxwold, 16 m. n. of York) after May, 1198. He was educated as an Augustinian oblate at Newburgh, where he ultimately became canon and spent his life. He was the author of a commentary on the Song of Solomon (preserved in manuscript at Cambridge), three sermons (ed. with the following by T. Hearne, Oxford, 1719), and especially of *Historia* rerum Anglicarum (ed. T. Hearne, 3 vols., Oxford, 1719; H. C. Hamilton, for the English Historical Society, 2 vols., London, 1856; and R. Howlett, in Chronicles of the Reigns of Stephen, Henry II., and Richard I., i. 1-408, ii. 409-583, in Rolls Series, 2 vols., London, 1884-85; Eng. transl. by J. Stevenson, in Church Historians of England, vol. iv., part 2, 297-672, London, 1856). The latter work, which has established William's fame as the first critical historian of Europe, was begun probably in 1196. It depends for its material upon Simeon of Durham, Henry of Huntingdon, and other earlier chroniclers, but displays excellence of judgment, good taste, and force and elegance of style. The period covered is 1066-1198. While the work is not exact either in dates or in statements of fact, it is noteworthy as being philosophical, and especially as so leading in criticism as to warrant Freeman's calling the author the "father of historical criticism." This last characteristic is exemplified by the criticism of Geoffry of Monmouth. (Н. Вёнмен.)

Bibliography: Consult the prolegomena or prefaces to the editions named above, particularly that of Howlett; T. Wright, Biographia Britannica literaria, ii. 407–410, London, 1846; DNB, lxi. 360–363 (excellent); Gross, Sources, p. 298; Potthast, Wegweiser, p. 559; KL, xii. 1613–14.

WILLIAM OF NORWICH: According to tradition, the victim of a ritual murder committed by Jews; b. probably at Haveringland (9 m. n. of Norwich), Norfolk, Feb. 2, 1132 or 1133; murdered in Norwich Mar., 1144. When eight years old, he was apprenticed to a skinner at Norwich who came in frequent commercial relations with local Jews. According to tradition, the child was enticed away Mar. 20, 1144 (the Monday in Holy Week) by a man alleging himself to be the cook of the archdeacon of Norwich, was seen to enter the house of a Jew, and was there murdered, the body being kept in the house, despite the fact that it was Passover tide, until Good Friday, when it was hung by stealth on a tree near the city. The corpse was found on Easter Eve, and was buried the following Monday without religious rites. On Tuesday it was identified, and a priest of the city accused the Jews of the The belief of both clergy and laity was murder. long divided on the question, but ultimately, through the influence of William Turbe, who became

bishop of Norwich in 1146, the fact of the child's martyrdom became an established belief. His body was translated to the monastery cemetery, and finally to the cathedral, where it ultimately had a special altar, forming a center of pilgrimage until the middle of the fifteenth century.

The traditions connected with William of Norwich, considerably amplified in course of time, are particularly interesting as being, whatever their true basis may be, the earliest known instance of the blood accusation against the Jews for ritual murder. Bibliography: The one authority is Thomas of Monmouth,

The Life and Miracles of St. William of Norwich, ed. with transl. by A. Jessopp and M. R. James, Cambridge, 1896 (compiled by a monk of Norwich, 1172-73; it is valuable otherwise for the light it throws on English religious life in those times). Consult also J. Jacobs, Jews of Angevin England, pp. 19-21, 256-258, London, 1893; DNB, lxi. 354-355; JE, xii. 524.

WILLIAM OF OCCAM. See Occam (Ockham), WILLIAM OF.

WILLIAM OF SAINT AMOUR: Professor at the Sorbonne, opponent of the mendicant orders; b. possibly at St. Amour (200 m. s.e. of Paris) about the middle of the thirteenth century; d. at Paris about 1272. About 1250 he was teacher of theology at the University of Paris, which he defended against the encroachments of the Dominicans and Franciscans. The university was then at the height of its fame, numbered thousands of students, and was a power in the state. It was therefore coveted by the monks who were aided by the pope; but the university, the existence of which was threatened, issued an energetic appeal to all bishops. Innocent IV. was convinced that he ought to interfere, and in a bull of 1254 guarded the privileges of the secular clergy and the bishops. He died, however, fourteen days afterward, and the friars avenged themselves by representing this sudden death as a judgment of God. They were protected by Alexander IV., the successor of Innocent, and also by King Louis IX.; but the university was in no way willing to give up the struggle, finding a brilliant protagonist in William of St. Amour. With caustic satire he opened his campaign against the "pappelards," as he called the monks. His wit and humorous style won him the favor of the public; the bishops, whose privileges were also in danger, secretly took his side. In 1256 William wrote his witty and biting Tractatus brevis de periculis novissimorum temporum, Opera Const. (Paris, 1632), in which he applied the utterances of Christ against the Pharisees to the monks, the effects of which lasted for 300 years. But he had powerful opponents in the Dominican Thomas Aquinas and the Franciscan Bonaventura (qq.v.). His cause was tried before the pope in Anagni; the mendicant friars gained a complete victory, and William's writing was burned. The opposition of the university was broken for a long time. Only after the death of Alexander IV., in 1263, was William allowed to return to Paris and resume his lectures. Besides the work mentioned above he wrote Liber de Antichristo (C. PFENDER). et ejusdem ministris.

Bibliography: Hist. littéraire de la France, xix. 197 sqq., xxi. 468 sqq.; C. E. Du Boulay, Historia universitatis Parisiensis, vol. iii., Paris, 1666; Le Nain de Tillemont, Vie de St. Louis, vi. 143 sqq., ib. 1851; Corneille St. Marc, Étude sur Guillaume de S. Amour, Lons-le-Saunier, 1865;

H. Denifle, Chartularium universitatis Parisiensis, vol. i., Paris, 1889; Neander, Christian Church, iv. 282-283 et passim; Lichtenberger, ESR, v. 786-788; KL, xii. 1860-1886. The reader may also consult the Opusculum consistency impugnantes dei cultum et religionem of Thomas Aquina, and Bonaventura's Libellus apologeticus in see qui ordinifratrum minorum advertantur, and his De pauperiele Christi.

WILLIAM II. OF TYRE: Archbishop of that city; b. in Jerusalem c. 1128; d. at Tyre between Oct. 17 and 21, 1186. His earlier education was received in Jerusalem; but when he was thirty years of age or older he studied in France (probably) and very likely in Paris, then the seat of learning in the West (see William of St. Amour). After his return to the Holy Land in 1163 he became leading cleric in the cathedral at Tyre, and in 1167 was archdeacon. In 1168 he went on a diplomatic mission for King Amalric to the Emperor Manuel, and the next year was in Rome. On his return he had charge of the education of Amalric's son and heir, who succeeded his father in 1173, and the next year made William his chancellor, while in 1175 William became archbishop of Tyre, thus being in charge of the weightiest matters in Church and State. In 1179 he attended the Lateran Council and was then engaged in diplomatic matters with the emperor, returning home in 1180. His importance ceased with the accession of Baldwin IV. in 1185.

William himself reports that he wrote an account of the Lateran Council which he attended, also a Historia or Gesta orientalium principum dealing with the times after Mohammed till 1184; both these are lost. His great work is a Historia rerum in partibu transmarinis gestarum in twenty-three books (editions published at Basel, 1564, 1583; in Bongar, Gesta Dei per Francos, i. 625-1046, Hanover, 1611; and in Recueil des historiens des croisades, Historiens occidentaux, vol. i., Paris, 1844), but of the last book he finished only the first chapter, coming down to 1184; indeed he had not completed all of the preceding books. The work begins with the conquest of Syria by Omar, but passes in eleven chapters of the first books to the events which brought about the first crusade. The first fifteen books rest upon Latin sources which the author does not name; the other books have considerable value as a source. The work gained great repute, and was widely diffused through an early French translation, of which various continuations were made, partly anonymous and partly under the name of Ernoul, and of others. A part circulated also in Latin translation.

(O. HOLDER-EGGER!)

Bibliography: Hist. littéraire de la France, xiv. 587-598;
J. F. Michaud, Bibliothèque des croisades, ii. 555-582, Pris.
1829; B. Kugler, Geschichte des zweitens Kreuzwan,
pp. 21 sqq., Stuttgart, 1866; H. Hagenmeyer, Peta de
Eremite, pp. 4-7, 10, et passim, Leipsie, 1879; H. von
Sybel, Geschichte des ersten Kreuzwages, pp. 108 sqq., Leipsic, 1881; H. Prutz, Kulturgeschichte der Kreuzwage, pp.
458-469, Berlin, 1883; idem, in NA, viii (1883), 91-132;
R. Robricht, Geschichte des königsreichs Jerusalem, Insibruck, 1898. Cf. the extended bibliography in Potthast,
Wegweiser, pp. 560-562.

WILLIAM OF WYKEHAM (WICKWARE, WYCKEHAM): Bishop of Winchester; b. at Wykeham (13 m. s.e. of Winchester), England, in the summer of 1324; d. at South Waltham Sept. 24, 1404. He was educated at Winchester; and in 1356 was

of King Edward III.'s works at Windsor, ewarded for his merit by the gift of the Pulham, Norfolk, 1357, by a prebendary's ichfield, 1359, and by the deanery of St. Grand, 1360. He was ordained acolyte, priest, 1362, and held a great number of 1361-62. Resigning Pulham in 1361, he the canonry of Lichfield for that at South-1364 he was made keeper of the privy seal; to the king, 1365; bishop of Winchester, l was chancellor, 1367-71. In 1369 he beork which developed into New College at impleted, 1386; and in 1378 was engaged ig St. Mary College of Winchester, com-94. In 1376 he was accused of malfeaffice, and deprived of the temporalities of But his rectitude was subsequently estab-I Richard II. restored him to his offices and 1379, and he was again chancellor, 1389from 1391 kept aloof from politics. He inchester Cathedral, 1395-1405. He was r of W. de Wycumba libri duo de vita R. scopi Herefordensis, with Vita by T. r, published in H. Wharton's Anglia ndon, 1691).

PHY: Lives have been written by R. Lowth, 1758, 3d ed., Oxford, 1777; J. Chandler, ib. dd G. H. Moberly, 2d ed., London, 1893. Conser: M. E. C. Walcott, William of Wykeham and res. London, 1852; The Three Chancellors... William of Wykeham... and Sir T. More, 1860; S. R. Gardiner, Student's History of Eng-260-262, London, 1895; J. H. Overton, The England, i. 287-292, 315, London, 1897; W. W. Majtish Church in the 14th and 15th Centuries, pp. ib., 1900; W. A. Spooner, in Typical English m, ib., 1909; DNB, Ixl. 178-179; W. L. Fox, of Wykeham, the Complete Life and Pilgrimage, London, 1909.

AMITES: The name of two orders. edictine Hermits of Monte Vergine (a high near Avellino upon which in 1123 William is in Piedmont—d. 1142—erected a conhe order was confirmed by Pope Alexander the Benedictine rule, spread in numerous es and convents over Italy, and was reversely Peter Leonardi at the request of Pope III. It has now only the parent convent Vergine.

Followers of Saint William of Maleval (d. 157). He was a hermit who in 1153 sethe island of Lupocavio near Pisa, and in he territory of Siena, in the bishopric of in a stony valley later called Malavalle. found an associate in a certain Albert, who his biographer. The congregation that out him followed his rule and spread over ance, Germany, and Flanders. In 1229 IX. moderated the severity of the rule, e order the rule of Benedict, and Innocent ed their privileges. In 1256 Pope Alexantempted to incorporate the order within the t Augustinians and to prescribe for them Augustine, but they opposed this measure rved their independence. The order was ito the three provinces of Tuscany, Geri Flanders. In 1435 the council of Basel their privileges. In the course of time ie monasteries went over into other orders until they entirely disappeared during the eighteenth century. (G. Grützmacher.)

Bibliography: On 1 consult: G. Jordano, Chroniche di Monte Vergine, Naples, 1581; T. Costo, Istoria dell' origine del s. luogi di Montevergine, Venice, 1691; Hélyot, Ordres monastiques, vi. 122 sqq.; KL, xii. 1626 sqq. On 2 consult: ASB, Feb., ii. 433-472, cf. Analecta Bollandiana, i (1882), 525-527; ASB, ut sup., contains selections from the Vita by Albert, which was published at Siena, 1770; Hélyot, Ordres monastiques, vi. 142 sqq.; Heimbucher, Orden und Kongregationen, ii. 180-181.

WILLIAMS, ARTHUR LLEWELLYN: Protestant Episcopal bishop of Nebraska; b. at Owen Sound, Ont., Jan. 30, 1856. He received a high-school education, and in 1888 was graduated from the Western Theological Seminary, Chicago. He was ordered deacon in the same year and priested in 1889. After being a missionary in White River Valley, Col., in 1888-89, he became rector of St. Paul's, Denver, Col., in 1891, and was rector of Christ Church, Woodlawn Park, Chicago, 1892-1899. In 1899 he was consecrated bishop coadjutor of Nebraska, becoming bishop in 1908.

WILLIAMS, CHANNING MOORE: Protestant Episcopal missionary bishop of Yeddo, Japan (retired); b. at Richmond, Va., July 18, 1829; d. at Richmond, Va., Dec. 2, 1910. He was educated at William and Mary College (A.B., 1853) and at the Theological Seminary of Virginia (graduated 1855). He was ordered deacon in 1853 and priested in 1857, in which year he was appointed missionary in China, where he served until 1866. In the latter year he was consecrated missionary bishop of Yeddo, which bishopric he held until 1889, when he retired. He continued, however, his missionary labors under his successor.

Bibliography: W. S. Perry, The Episcopate in America, p. 171, New York, 1895.

WILLIAMS, CHARLES DAVID: Episcopal bishop of Michigan; b. at Bellevue, O., July 30, 1860. He was educated at Kenyon College, Gambier, O. (A.B., 1880), and Bexly Hall, the theological seminary of the same institution (graduated 1883). He was a tutor in Kenyon College (1881-1884), and also curate of Trinity, Columbus, O. (1883-84); rector of the Church of the Resurrection, Fernbank, O., and of the Church of the Atonement, Riverside, Cincinnati (1884-89), and of St. Paul's, Steubenville, O. (1889-93); dean of Trinity Cathedral, Cleveland, O. (1893-1906); and in 1906 was consecrated bishop of Michigan. He was president of the Cleveland Public Library Board in 1902-06, and of Hiram House (a social settlement), Cleveland, in 1894. In theology he is a Broad-churchman. He has written A Valid Christianity for To-Day (New Orleans, 1905, new ed., 1909).

WILLIAMS, DANIEL: English Presbyterian; b. in Wales, at (or near) Wrexham (25 m. s. of Liverpool), about 1643; d. at Hoxton Jan. 26, 1716. He began to preach 1663; became chaplain to the Countess of Meath, 1664; preached to an independent congregation at Drogheda, 1664-67; was pastor of Wood Street congregation, Dublin, 1667-87; of Hand Alley, Bishopsgate, London, 1688 till his death. He held the Pinners' Hall lectureship, 1691-1694. He acquired a large estate, a great part of

which he devoted to charitable uses. By will he founded the Red Cross Street Library, originally embracing his own library and that of William Bates. He was the author of Gospel Truth Stated and Vindicated (2d ed., London, 1692); Man Made Righteous by Christ's Obedience . . . Sermons (1694); Ministerial Office. 3 parts (1708); The Vanity of Childhood and Youth . . . Sermons (3rd ed., 1729); Select Sermons and Tracts (2 vols., 1832); and there appeared, with an account of his life, his Practical Discourses (5 vols., 1738-50).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The funeral sermon by John Evans was printed London, 1716. Besides the Life prefixed to the Practical Discourses, ut sup., consult: True Copy of the Last Will and Testament of Daniel Williams, London, 1717; reprint with additions, 1804; D. Defoe, Memoirs of the Life of . . . Daniel Williams, ib. 1718; Papers Relating to Daniel Williams, ib. 1816; DNB, lxi. 385-388.

WILLIAMS, DAVID: English deist; b. at Watford, Glamorganshire, Wales, 1738; d. in London June 29, 1816. He was educated at Carmarthen Academy (1753-57), and in 1758 was ordained to a dissenting congregation at Frome, Somerset, though three years later his lax theological views compelled him to leave Frome for the Mint meeting-house in Exeter, where he was reordained, while from about 1769 to 1773 he was in charge of a dwindling congregation in Highgate, Middlesex. In 1773 he removed to Chelsea, and there opened a school which was conducted successfully for two or three years, when his wife's death so unnerved him that he abruptly abandoned his teaching. In Apr., 1776, he opened a chapel, where he conducted services on the basis of his Liturgy on the Universal Principles of Religion and Morality (London, 1776), in the compilation of which he had been assisted by Benjamin Franklin. He continued these services, with at least one change of location, until about 1780, the year in which he first formed the idea of founding a "Literary Fund" for the aid of unrecognized men of genius, this project not being incorporated until after Williams' death (1818), though it ultimately became the Royal Literary Fund (1842). After the failure of his services, Williams supported himself chiefly by private teaching, until, in 1792, he was invited to write a history of Monmouthshire, the result, his History of Monmouthshire (London, 1796), being still the standard on its subject. In 1792 and in 1802 he paid brief visits to France, being made a French citizen on his first trip. In his closing years his finances ran very low, and after 1811 he resided in the house of the Literary Fund, which had been able to commence its benefactions in 1790.

The principal writings of Williams were The Philosopher, in Three Conversations (London, 1771); Essays on Public Worship, Patriotism, and Projects of Reformation (1773); Sermons, Chiefly upon Relig-ious Hypocrisy (1774); Treatise on Education (1774); Letter to the Body of Protestant Dissenters (1777); Lectures on the Universal Principles and Duties of Religion and Morality (2 vols., 1779); Nature and Extent of Intellectual Liberty (1779); Letters on Political Liberty (1782); Letters Concerning Education (1785); Lectures on Political Principles (1789); Lectures on Education (3 vols., 1789); Claims of Literature (1802); and Egeria; or, Elementary Studies on the Progress of Nations in Political Economy, Legislation, and Government (1803). BIBLIOGRAPHY: DNB, lxi. 390-393.

WILLIAMS, SIR GEORGE: Founder of the Young Men's Christian Association; b. at Dulverton (45 m. s.w. of Bath), Somersetshire, Oct. 11, 1821: d. at Torquay Nov. 6, 1905. As youthful apprentice in a business house at Bridgewater he was the subject of the religious impressions which molded his subsequent career. In 1841 he removed to London, entering the drapery house of Hitchcock and Rogers; married Helen Hitchcock, and upon the death of her father in 1863 became head of the establishment. Immediately upon his arrival in London his keen interest in the well-being of his fellow employees began. Through his personal efforts and leadership there was formed within the establishment a society to help forward foreign mission work. But the work of his life commenced in June, 1844, when he led in forming the Young Men's Christian Association (q.v.). Originally planned to benefit young men engaged in the drapery and other trades, this institution developed rapidly. Branch associations were formed in different parts of London, Britain, and Ireland, and later in Australia, India, and South Africa, and by his personal initiative also in Paris, Switzerland, and other parts of Europe, and the work took root also in the United States and Canada. In 1855 he had a leading part in the first world's conference which met in Paris. In the extension of the organization he was actively interested, wisely distributing his gifts where the associations had most need of help from abroad. In 1880 he was the first to give a contribution of £5,000 toward the purchase of Exeter Hall as the headquarters of the English work. In 1882 he led in forming the National Union of English Associations, over which he presided to the end of his life. In 1885, upon the death of the Earl of Shaftesbury, who for thirty years had filled the office, he was unanimously elected president.

In 1894 the London Association jubilee was celebrated by a world's conference of 2,000 delegates from all the continents, accompanied by a series of religious demonstrations without parallel in the history of London Christian organizations. The corporation of the city of London then conferred upon Williams the honorary freedom of the city because "coming to the city as a young man he had for fifty years made it his principal business unselfishly and efficiently to promote the best welfare of the young men of the city," and following this Queen Victoria bestowed upon him the honor

of knighthood.

Sir George also maintained a relation of strong and generous leadership to the work of the British and Foreign Bible Society, the London City Mission, the Church Missionary Society, the Band of Hope Union, and many kindred organizations-in a large number of which he filled the office of president, evincing in a remarkable degree the possession of great public spirit, of broad Christian sympathy, and of the highest and truest philanthropy. RICHARD C. MORSE.

Bibliography: J. E. H. Williams, Life of Sir George Williams, London and New York, 1906; and literature under Young Men's Christian Association.

WILLIAMS, GERSHOM MOTT: Protestant piscopal bishop of Marquette, Mich.; b. at Fort amilton, New York Harbor, Feb. 11, 1857. He udied at Cornell (1875-77), and was admitted to 18 Michigan bar in 1879. In the following year, owever, he was ordered deacon, and, after being trate of St. John's, Detroit (1880-82), he was recr of the Church of the Messiah, Hamtramck (now art of Detroit), Mich. (1882-84), and of St. eorge's, Detroit (1885-89), also being in charge of t. Matthew's church for colored people in the same ity (1880-85); he was dean of All Saints' Cathedral, filwaukee, Wis. (1889-96), as well as archdeacon f northern Michigan (1891-96), and rector of St. 'aul's, Marquette, Mich. (1891-93). In 1896 he as consecrated first bishop of the diocese of Maruette. He is the author of The Church of Sweden nd the Anglican Communion (Milwaukee, 1910).

WILLIAMS, GRIFFITH: Church of England ishop of Ossory; b. at Treveilian (a hamlet near amarvon), Wales, 1589 or 1590; d. at Kilkenny 62 m. s.w. of Dublin), Ireland, Mar. 29, 1672. He ras educated at Christ Church, Oxford, and Jesus College, Cambridge (B.A., 1605-06). After ordinaion he served as a curate at Hanwell, Middlesex, ecame rector of Foxcott, Buckinghamshire, in 1608, which he resigned for St. Bennet Sherehog, london, in 1611-12, and was also lecturer in St. Peter's, Cheapside, and in St. Paul's Cathedral for number of years. A High-churchman, he incurred the hatred of the Puritans, and in 1616 the bishop of London was compelled by them to suspend Willums for his Resolution of Pilate, just then published. He then spent a short time in Cambridge, and, retuming to London, gained the friendship of the exreme Puritan, Archbishop George Abbot (q.v.), and through Abbot's chancellor obtained the rectory Llanllechid, Carnarvonshire. Here, however, he ame in conflict with his strongly Puritan diocesan, the, when Williams refused to resign his living for nother, preferred charges against him, only to be eprimanded by Abbot, who licensed Williams to reach in several dioceses of the province of Canerbury. Four years later Williams returned to ondon, and after a year as chaplain to the earl of lontgomery, became, in 1626, rector of Trefdraeth, nglesey, while in 1628 he was appointed a prebenary in Westminster, and in 1634 was instituted an of Bangor. In 1641 he was consecrated bishop Ossory, but within a month was driven back to agland by the outbreak of the Irish rebellion. In agland he was arrested by the Parliamentarians, ut succeeded in obtaining a safe-conduct and joined ing Charles as chaplain. He incurred fresh hosity from the enemies of the king by publishing his indiciæ regum, or, The Grand Rebellion (Oxford, 43), which the Parliamentarians ordered to be blicly burned; and he followed this, within the ar, by his Discovery of Mysteries, or, The Plots and uctices of a prevalent Faction in this present Parliant to overthrow the established Religion . . . and ubvert the fundamentall Lawes of this famous Kinge. In revenge the Parliamentarians drove his ily from their temporary home at Apethorpe, thamptonshire, and confiscated his property,

but undauntedly he issued against them a third work, Jura majestatis; The Rights of Kings both in Church and State . . . and the Wickedness of the Faction of this pretended Parliament at Westminster (Oxford, 1644).

After another narrow escape from arrest while in London on the king's business, Williams contrived to make his way again to Ireland, but was back in England in 1645, when he vainly urged the Royalists to make firm stand against the Parliamentarian general, Thomas Mytton, in Anglesey. He later succeeded in returning to Ireland, where he was ap-pointed rector of Rathfarnham, County Dublin, in 1647. Before the year was out, he had been driven out by the surrender of Dublin to the Parliamentarians, and after much hardship he managed to reach Llanllechid again, where he lived in abject poverty, refusing to accept either a rich living or a pension in return for submission to the Parliamentarian party. In 1651 his loyalty to the king again nearly cost him at least his liberty, but with the Restoration in 1660, when he was the first in Ireland to pray publicly for the king, his position naturally became secure, and he was now able to publish his '0 'Αντιχριστός, the Great Antichrist revealed (London, 1660), in which he proved that Antichrist was the Westminster Assembly (q.v.).

Returning to his diocese, which was in sorry condition as a result of the war, he set about repairing the damage and restoring the cathedral which the Parliamentarians had injured, and it was at this same time that he published a quasi-autobiography, The Persecution and Oppression of John Bale, Bishop of Ossory, . . . and of Griffith Williams (London, 1664). Besides his bishopric, he held for several years the prebendary of Mayne, in his own see.

In addition to the works already mentioned and many sermons, etc., Williams wrote: The Delights of the Saints (London, 1622), Seven Golden Candlesticks, holding the Seven Greatest Lights of Christian Religion (1627), The True Church, shewed to all Men that Desire to be Members of the same (1629), The Right Way to the best Religion (1636), and, perhaps, An Examination of such Particulars in the Solemne League and Covenant as concern the Law; proving it to be destructive of the Lawes of England, both Ancient and Moderne (Oxford, 1644).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: A. à Wood, Athenæ Oxonienses, ed. P. Bliss, iii. 952-956, 4 vols., London, 1813-20; DNB, lxi. 401-

403; and his own works.

WILLIAMS, HELEN MARIA: English Unitarian; b. in London 1762; d. in Paris Dec. 15, 1827, where she lived from 1788, becoming naturalized in 1817. She gained reputation by her letters from France (published in several volumes from 1790 to 1819) and other political writings, which, written in ardent sympathy with the idea of the French Revolution, are prejudiced and inaccurate; and by her translations (including Paul and Virginia, 1795, and Humboldt's travels, 7 vols., 1814–1829). She wrote the hymn "While thee I seek, protecting power" (published in Poems, 2 vols., 1786; with addition, 1 vol., 1823). She was aunt of Athanase Laurent Charles Coquerel (q.v.).

Bibliography: S. W. Duffield, English Hymns, pp. 610-612. New York, 1886; DNB, lxi. 404-405; S. A. Allibone, Critical Dictionary of English Literature, iii. 2739, Philadelphia, 1891; Julian, Hymnology, pp. 1281-82.

WILLIAMS, HUGH: Welsh Presbyterian; b. at Menai Bridge (6 m. n. of Carnarvon), Carnarvonshire, Sept. 17, 1843. He was educated at Calvinistic Methodist College, Bala, Wales, and the University of London (B.A., 1870; M.A., 1871), and, after being master of the grammar-school at Menai Bridge (1871-73), was ordained to the ministry in 1873; was appointed professor of Greek at Bala College (1874-91), and when the college was made a theological institution (1891) his appointment was changed to his present chair of church history. In theology he "welcomes the progress and expansion due to all modern research" and "retains in the main a position of faithful adherence" to the standards of his church. He has prepared a Welsh "Commentary on the Epistle to the Galatians' (Carnarvon, 1892) and "Handbook on the Sacraments of the Church" (Bala, 1894) and edited Gildas's De excidio Britannia (London, 1901).

WILLIAMS, ISAAC: Church of England, poet and harmonist; b. at Cwmcynfeyln, near Aberystwith (40 m. n.n.e. of Carmarthen), Wales, Dec. 12, 1802; d. at Stinchcombe (12 m. s.w. of Gloucester) May 1, 1865. He studied with Polehampton of Eton and King's College, and at Harrow, and then at Trinity College, Oxford (B.A., 1826; M.A., 1831; fellow, 1831; and B.D., 1839); was ordained deacon, 1829, and became curate of Windrush-cumwas ordained priest, 1832, and became tutor at Trinity College, Oxford; philosophy lecturer, 1832, and dean of the college, 1833; was rhetoric lecturer, 1834-40; and vice-president, 1840-42. Soon after his settlement at Trinity College he became curate to John H. Newman at St. Mary's, Oxford, and later had charge of the church at Littlemore. He was curate to Thomas Keble at Bisley, 1842-48; and at Stinchcombe, near Dursley, 1848-65. He was associated with Newman and Keble in Lyra Apostolica and Tracts for the Times, writing Tracts 80, 86, and 87. His literary industry was great, and his works embrace commentaries on the Psalms, the Gospels, and the Apocalypse; The Cathedral, or the Catholic and the Apostolic Church of England. In Verse (Oxford, 1838); A Harmony of the Four Evangelists (London, 1850); A Short Memoir of R. A. Suckling, with Correspondence and Sermons (1852); and many sermons, individual and in series. He was also a writer of hymns, but none of them had great currency.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: His Autobiography, ed. Sir G. Provost, appeared London, 1892. Consult also: S. W. Duffield, English Hymns, pp. 329-330, New York, 1886; R. W. Church, The Oxford Movement, pp. 57-69, London, 1891; W. R. W. Stephens, Life of Edward Freeman, i. 43-50, ib. 1895; DNB, lxi. 408-411; Julian, Hymnology, pp. 1282-1284.

WILLIAMS, JOHN: Name of two important workers in the religious field.

1. "The apostle of Polynesia," missionary; b. in London June 29, 1796; d. at Erromanga, New Hebrides Islands, Nov. 20, 1839. After a commercial education he was apprenticed to be an ironmonger, but in 1816 was led to give himself to missionary labor, and was sent by the London Mis-

sionary Society to the Society Islands, 1816. First at Papetoai, then at Huahine, in 1818 he settled in the Island of Raiatea, the largest of the Leeward group. From there as a center he carried on his work of educating and developing the natives not only in religion but in industry and economic living. In 1821 he bought a schooner and used her as a missionary ship; with her he discovered the Island of Rarotonga in 1823, where he later translated parts of the Bible and other books into the native language.

Williams was in England, 1838-44, where the fame of his adventures made him a center of interest. He left England with sixteen other missionaries, in a newly equipped ship and some funds for the continuance of his work, all the result of his labor and energy. On reaching the Pacific he made a tour of the Society Islands and then of the New Hebrides, a new field for him, where he was killed by natives. His work was eminently successful and extensive, and his adventures truly unique, and both displayed his practical sagacity and his initiative. He was the author of A Narrative of Missionary Enterprises in the South Sea Islands, with Remarks upon the natural History of the Islands, Origin, Languages, Tradition, and Usages of the Inhabitants (London, 1837), one of the most important works on the subject.

2. Protestant Episcopal, bishop of Connecticut; b. at Deerfield, Mass., Aug. 30, 1817; d. at Middle town, Conn., Feb. 7, 1899. He studied in Harvard College, Cambridge, Mass., 1831-33, and was graduated from Trinity College, Hartford, Conn., 1835; was tutor in the college, 1837-40; ordained, 1838; assistant in Christ Church, Middletown, Conn., 1841-42; rector of St. George's, Schenectady, N. Y., 1842-48; president of Trinity College and professor of history and literature, 1848-53; assistant bishop of Connecticut, 1851-65; and bishop from 1865. From 1854 he was dean, and principal instructor in doctrinal theology, history of the Reformation, and in the prayer-book, at Middletown. He also continued to lecture in history at Trinity College, of which he became vice-chancellor, 1851, and chancellor, 1865. He was appointed first lecturer at the General Theological Seminary, New York, 1881; and the same year delivered the Bedell lectures at the seminary and college in Gambier, O. He was a student of ecclesiastical history, an eloquent speaker, and later became presiding bishop of the Protestant Episcopal Church. His works embrace Ancient Hymns of Holy Church (Hartford, 1845); Thoughts on the Gospel Miracles (New York, 1848); Paddock lectures on The English Reformation (1881); Bedell lectures on The World's Witness to Jesus Christ (1882); and he edited an American edition of Bishop Harold Browne's Exposition of the Thirty-nine Articles (1870).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: On 1, besides Williams' Missionary Enter-IBLIOGRAPHY: On 1, besides Williams' Missionary Emer-prises in the South Sea, new ed., Philadelphia, 1889, con-sult: the biographies by J. Campbell, The Martyr if Erromanga, London, 1843; E. Prout, ib., 4th ed., 1847; W. F. Besser, Berlin, 1847; also A. Buzacott, Mission Life in the Islands of the Pacific, London, 1866; R. Lovett, Story of the London Missionary Society, vol. i., ib. 1899; DNB, lxi. 423-425. On 2 consult: W. S. Perry, The Episcopate in America,

p. 117, New York, 1895.

MS, ROGER: Separatist Anglo-Amerogian, advocate of liberty of conscience, ler of Rhode Island; b. probably in Lont 1600 (the date is uncertain; Knowles 9; Waters, 1599–1602; Guild, Dec. 21, aus, 1607); d. at Providence, R. I., 1684.

Under the patronage of Sir Edward e; Coke, the famous jurist, he was edute cated at Sutton's Hospital and at the University of Cambridge (B.A., 1627)

Luniversity of Cambridge (B.A., 1627). He seems to have had a gift for lanid early acquired familiarity with Latin, utch, and French, and, during his early ew England, mastered the language of the a remarkable degree. At an earlier date ohn Milton lessons in Dutch in exchange in Hebrew. Some time before the end adopted separatist views and reached the that he could not labor in England under gorous administration. He turned aside s of preferment in the university and in the nd resolved to seek in New England the conscience denied him at home. Arriving (Feb., 1631), he was almost immediately supply the place of the pastor, who was to England. But he had found that it unseparated church" and he "durst not o" it. He was prompted to give utteris conviction, formed no doubt before he nd, that the magistrate may not punish of "breach of the first table," such as Sabbath-breaking, false worship, and 7; and that every individual should be low his own convictions in religious mat-Salem church, which through intercourse 'lymouth colonists had imbibed separatist s, invited Williams to become its teacher; tlement was prevented by a remonstrance to Governor Endicott by six of the Bosrs. The Plymouth colony received him teacher or associate pastor. Here he reout two years, and, according to Goverord, "his teaching was well approved." re he spent much time among the Indians, s desire " being " to do the natives good." s pleased to give me a painful, patient lodge with them in their filthy, smoky to gain their tongue." Toward the close istry at Plymouth, according to Brewster, to "vent . . . divers of his own singular and to "seek to impose them upon

with opposition, Williams removed to nmer of 1633) and became unofficial assisttor Skelton. In Aug., 1634 (Skelton havhe became acting pastor and entered alnediately upon controversies with the
setts authorities that in a few months
were to lead to his banishment. He
was formally set apart as pastor of the
church about May, 1635, in the midst
re of the controversies and against the
remonstrance of the Massachusetts
authorities. An outline of the issues
Williams and uncompromisingly pressed
e following: (1) He regarded the Church
I.—24

of England as apostate, and any kind of fellowship with it as grievous sin. He accordingly renounced communion not only with this church but with all who would not join with him in repudiating it. (2) He denounced the charter of the Massachusetts Company because it falsely represented the king of England as a Christian, and assumed that he had the right to give to his own subjects the land of the native Indians. He disapproved of "the unchris. tian oaths swallowed down" by the colonists "at their coming forth from Old England, especially in the superstitious Laud's time and domineering." He drew up a letter addressed to the king expressing his dissatisfaction with the charter and sought to secure for it the endorsement of prominent colonists. In this letter he is said to have charged King James I. with blasphemy for calling Europe "Christendom" and to have applied to the reigning king some of the most opprobrious epithets in the Apocalypse. (3) Equally disquieting was Williams' opposition to the "citizens' oath," which magistrates sought to force upon the colonists in order to be assured of their loyalty. Williams maintained that it was Christ's sole prerogative to have his office established by oath, and that unregenerate men ought not in any case to be invited to perform any religious act. In opposing the oath Williams gained so much popular support that the measure had to be abandoned. (4) In a dispute between the Massachusetts Bay court and the Salem colony regarding the possession of a piece of land (Marblehead) claimed by the latter, the court offered to accede to the claims of Salem on condition that the Salem church make amends for its insolent conduct in installing Williams as pastor in defiance of the court and ministers. This demand involved the removal of the pastor. Williams regarded this proposal as an outrageous attempt at bribery and had the Salem church send to the other Massachusetts churches a denunciation of the proceeding and demand that the churches exclude the magistrates from membership. This act was sharply resented by magistrates and churches, and such pressure was brought to bear upon the Salem church as led a majority to consent to the removal of their pastor. He never entered the chapel again, but held religious services in his own house with his faithful adherents.

The decree of banishment (Oct. 19, 1635, carried into effect Jan., 1636) was grounded on his aggressive and uncompromising hostility to the charter and the theocracy, and was the imme-

Banishment; diate result of the controversy about Settlement at the Marblehead land. His radical Providence. tenets, involving complete separation

of Church and State and absolute voluntaryism in matters of religion, and his refusal to have communion with any who gave countenance or support to the existing order, made his banishment seem necessary to the theocratic leaders of Massachusetts. He had scarcely recovered from a severe illness contracted during his trial, when it was intimated to him that the authorities were arranging to send him back to England to be dealt with by the Laudian government. Accompanied or followed by a few devoted adherents, he plunged into the wilderness and made his way to

his Indian friends, who gave him such entertainment as they could. "I was sorely tossed for one fourteen weeks, in a bitter winter season, not knowing what bread or bed did mean." In June he arrived at the present site of Providence and, having secured land from the natives, he admitted to equal rights with himself twelve "loving friends and neighbors" (several had come to him from Massachusetts since the opening of spring). It was provided that "such others as the major part of us shall admit into the same fellowship of vote with us" from time to time should become members of their commonwealth. Obedience to the majority was promised by all, but "only in civil things." In 1640 another agreement was signed by thirty-nine freemen, in which they express their determination "still to hold forth liberty of conscience." In 1643 Williams was sent to England by his fellow citizens to secure a charter for the colony. The Puritans were then in power, and through the good offices of Sir Henry Vane a thoroughly democratic charter was readily obtained. In 1647 a somewhat similar but larger colony having been planted on Rhode Island by William Coddington, John Clarke, and others, Providence was united with the Rhode Island towns under a single government, and liberty of conscience was again proclaimed. Disagreement having arisen between Providence and Warwick on the mainland and the towns on the island and between the followers of Clarke on the island and those of Coddington, Coddington had gone to England and in 1651 had secured from the council of state a commission to rule the islands of Rhode Island and Conanicut. This arrangement left Providence and Warwick to themselves. Coddington's scheme was strongly disapproved by Williams and Clarke and their followers, especially as it seemed to involve a federation of Coddington's domain with Massachusetts and Connecticut and a consequent imperiling of liberty of conscience not only on the islands but also in Providence and Warwick, which would be left unprotected. Many of the opponents of Coddington were by this time Baptists. Later in the same year Williams and Clarke went to England on behalf of their friends to secure from Cromwell's government the annulling of Coddington's charter and the recognition of the colony as a republic dependent only on England. This they succeeded in accomplishing, and Williams soon returned to Providence. To the end of his life he continued to take a deep interest in public affairs.

In 1638 several Massachusetts Christians who had been led to adopt antipedobaptist views and found themselves subject to persecution removed to Providence. Most of these had probably been

Relations
with the
Baptists.
under Williams' influence while he was
in Massachusetts, and some of them
may have been influenced by English
antipedobaptists before they left England. Williams himself probably knew

of the Arminian antipedobaptist party of which John Smyth, Thomas Helwys, and John Murton were founders (1609) and of the rich literature in advocacy of liberty of conscience produced by this party after its return to England (see Baptists, I.,

1, §§ 1-9). He could hardly have failed to learn something of the Calvinistic antipedobaptist party that arose in London in 1633, a short time after his departure, led by Spilsbury, Eaton, and other. It is not likely that Williams adopted antipedebaptist views before his banishment from Manchusette, for antipedobaptism was not laid to his account by his opponents. Winthrop attribute Williams' "Anabaptist" views to the influence of Mrs. Scott, a sister of Anne Hutchinson, the Antinomian (see Antinomianism and Antinomian Con-TROVERSIES, II., 2). It is probable that Eschiel Holliman came to Providence as an antipedobaptist and joined with Mrs. Scott in impressing upon Williams the importance of believers' baptism. About Mar., 1639, Williams was baptized by Holliman and immediately proceeded to baptise Holiman and eleven others. Thus was constituted the first Baptist church in America, which still survives. Williams remained with the little church only a few months. He became convinced that the ordinances having been lost in the apostasy could not be validly restored without a special divine commission. He assumed the attitude of a "Seeker" or "Comeouter," always deeply religious and active in the propagation of Christian truth, yet not feeling satisfied that any body of Christians had all of the marks of the true Church. He continued on the most friendly terms with the Baptists, being in agreement with them in their rejection of infant baptism as in most other matters. Williams' religious and ecclesiastical attitude is well expressed in the following sentences (1643): "The two first principles and foundations of true religion, or worship of the true God in Christ, are repentance from dead works and faith toward God, before the doctrines of baptism or washing and the laying on of hands, which continue the ordinances and practises of worship; the want of which I conceive is the bane of millions of souls in England and all other nations professing to be Christian nations, who are brought by public authority to baptism and fellowship with God in ordinances of worship, before the saving work of repentance and a true turning to God."

Williams' career as an author began with A Key into the Language of America (London, 1643), written during his first voyage to England. His next publication was Mr. Cotton's Letter lately Printed, Examined and Answered (London, 1644; reprinted, with Cotton's letter, which it answered, in Publications of the Narragansett Club, vol. ii.). Soon after Williams' banishment he had written to John Cotton of Boston, bitterly complaining of the treatment he had received from the Massachusetts authorities. Cotton had written a long letter in reply, in which he sought to win him from the error of his way and at the same time to justify his banishment. Cotton expressed the opinion in this letter that if Williams had perished in the wilderness his blood would have been upon his own head. Williams examines minutely Cotton's argument, elaborately states his own position, and defends his attitude toward the Massachusetts authorities. The Bloudy Tenent of Persecution, for Cause of Conscience soon followed (London, 1644). This is his most famous work, and was the ablest statement and defense of the principle of absolute liberty of conscience that had appeared in any language. It is in the form of a dialogue between Truth and Peace, and well illustrates the vigor of his style. During the same year appeared in London an anonymous pamphlet which has been commonly ascribed to Williams, entitled: Queries of Highest Consideration Proposed to Mr. Tho. Godwin, Mr. Phillip Nye, Mr. Wil. Bridges, Mr. Jar. Burroughs, Mr. Sidr. Simpson, all Independents, stc. These Independents were members of the Westminster Assembly and their Apologetical Nurration, in which they plead for toleration, fell very far short of Williams' doctrine of liberty of conscience. In 1652, during his second visit to England, Williams published The Bloody Tenent yet more Bloody: by Mr. Cotton's Endeavor to wash it while in the Blood of the Lamb; of whose precious Blood, spilt in the Blood of his Servants; and of the Bleed of Millions spilt in former and later Wars for Conscience sake, that most Bloody Tenent of Persecutien for cause of Conscience, upon a second Tryal is found more apparently and more notoriously guilty, etc. (London, 1652). This work traverses anew meh of the ground covered by the Bloudy Tenent; but it has the advantage of being written in answer to Cotton's elaborate defense of New England persecution, A Reply to Mr. Williams his Examination (Publications of the Narragansett Club, vol. ii.). Other works by Williams are The Hireling Ministry Nene of Christ's (London, 1652); Experiments of Spiritual Life and Health, and their Preservatives (Landon, 1652; reprinted, Providence, 1863), and George Fox Digged out of his Burrowes (Boston, 1676). A volume of his letters is included in the Narrasett Club edition of Williams' Works (7 vols., Providence, 1866-74), and a volume was edited by J. R. Bartlett (1882). A. H. NEWMAN.

EMEROGRAPHY: Besides the Narragansett ed. of the Works noted above (which contains also John Cotton's writings against liberty of conscience), The Bloudy Tenent was reprinted, with introduction by E. B. Underhill, by the Hamserd Knollys Society, London, 1848; A Key into the Language, etc., is in Collections of the Massachusetts Historical Society, vol. iv.-v., and in Collections of the Rhode Island Historical Society, vol. i.; Experiments of Spiritual Life and Health, and their Preservatives was reprinted in faminile, Providence, 1863; and his Christenings Make ast Christians was published at the same place, no. 14 of Bhels Island Historical Tructs. 1881.

Bhole Island Historical Tracts, 1881.

On his life and work consult: O. S. Straus, Roger Williams, the Pioneer of Religious Liberty, New York, 1894;
J. D. Knowles, Memoir of Roger Williams, Boston, 1834;
W. Gammell, Life of Roger Williams, Boston, 1845;
J. Durfee, Works, ed. by his son, pp. 1-178, Providence, 1863;
8. G. Arnold, Hist. of the State of Rhode Island, vol. i.,
New York, 1859; D. C. Eddy, Roger Williams and the
Bestiste, Boston, 1861; W. E. H. Lecky, Hist. of the Rise
of the Spirit of Rationalism in Europe, ii. 70-84, London,
1865; R. A. Guild, Biographical Introduction to the Writings of Roger Williams, Providence, 1866; C. Deane,
Reper Williams and the Massachusetts Charter, Cambridge,
Mass., 1873; H. M. Dexter, As to Roger Williams and his
"Bestishment" from the Massachusetts Plantation, Boston, 1876; T. M. Merriman, The Pilgrims, Puritans, and
Reper Williams Vindicated, Boston, 1892; A. H. Newman,
American Church History Series, ii. passim, New York,
1894; W. H. Whitsitt, A Question in Baptist History,
Louisville, 1896; H. M. King, The Baptism of Roger
Williams, New York, 1909; DNB, Ixi. 445-450;
and works on the history of New England, especially of
Rhode Island.

WILLIAMS, ROWLAND: English Broad-church theologian; b. at Halkyn (12 m. e.s.e. of St. Asaph), Wales, Aug. 16, 1817; d. at Broad Chalke (7 m. w.s.w. of Salisbury), Wiltshire, Jan. 18, 1870. He studied at Eton and at King's College, Cambridge (B.A., 1841; M.A., 1844; B.D., 1851; D.D., 1857), where he was fellow 1839-59, and classical tutor 1842-50. During 1843-46 he was instrumental in averting the proposed amalgamation of the sees of St. Asaph and Bangor, publishing in the press a number of remonstrances against the measure. In 1848 he won the Muir prize for a preliminary essay on the comparative merits of Christianity and Hinduism. From 1850 until 1862 he was vice-principal and professor of Hebrew at the theological college of St. David's, Lampeter, Wales. Despite the most uncompromising opposition on account of his liberal views regarding the interpretation of Scripture, his administration of the college was aggressive and successful. In Dec., 1854, he was appointed select preacher at Cambridge, though his sermons there were quickly interrupted by his father's death. In 1858 he accepted the living of Broad Chalke, whither he removed in 1862. In 1860 he contributed Bunsen's Biblical Researches to the famous Essays and Reviews, which resulted in his trial for heterodoxy before the Court of Arches (see Essays and RE-VIEWS). His principal works were, Rational Godliness (London, 1855), sermons preached at Cambridge and at St. David's College; Christianity and Hinduism Compared (1856), his greatest work; The Hebrew Prophets Translated . . . with Introduction and Notes (2 parts, 1866-71); Broad Chalke Sermon-Essays (1867); Owen Glendower: a Dramatic Biography . . . and Other Poems (1870); and Psalms and Litanies (1872).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: His Life and Letters was published by his widow, 2 vols., London, 1874. Consult: John Owen, in Contemporary Review, Apr., 1870; C. K. Paul, Biographical Sketches, London, 1883; DNB, lxi. 450-453; literature under Essays and Reviews. The Judgment of S. Lushington in the Court of Arches was published, London, 1862.

WILLIAMS, SAMUEL WELLS: Congregational layman and sinologue; b. at Utica, N. Y., Sept. 22, 1812; d. at New Haven, Conn., Feb. 16, 1884. In 1831 he entered Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute at Troy, N. Y.; went to Canton, China, in 1833 as a printer for the American Board of Commissioners for Foreign Missions; there he was editor, contributor to, and printer of The Chinese Repository, 1838-51; removed to Macao, 1835, to complete the printing of Medhurst's Hokkeen Dictionary, 1835; visited Japan, 1837, and translated into Japanese Genesis and Matthew; began to print Bridgman's Chinese Christomathy, to which he contributed onehalf, 1837-38; he was away from China, 1844-48, spending three years in America, where he was instrumental in raising funds for a full font of Chinese type; was interpreter to Commodore Perry's Japan expeditions, 1853-54; became secretary and interpreter of the U.S. Legation, Peking, 1855; assisted Minister Reed in negotiating the treaty with China, 1858. He made two more visits to America, and in 1877 he returned to become professor of the Chinese language and literature at Yale University. He had been chargé d'affaires nine times during his term as secretary and interpreter in China. His great work was A Syllabic Dictionary of the Chinese Language (Shanghai, 1874); it was a quarto volume of 1,336 pages, containing 12,527 characters, and their pronunciation in four dialects. He was the author also of Easy Lessons in Chinese (Macao, 1842); English and Chinese Vocabulary (1843); Chinese Topography (1844); A Chinese Commercial Guide (1844); The Middle Kingdom: a Survey of the Geography, Government, Education, Social Life . . . of China and its Inhabitants (2 vols., New York, 1848; new ed. rev., 2 vols., 1883; a standard work); Tonic Dictionary of the Chinese Language (Canton, 1856); and, in collaboration with F. K. Dobbins, False Gods; or the Idol Worship of the World (Philadelphia, 1881). BIBLIOGRAPHY: F. W. Williams (his son), Life and Letters of S. Wells Williams, New York, 1888.

WILLIAM: Welsh Calvinistic Methodist and hymn-writer; b. at Cefn-y-Coed (a hamlet near Llandovery), Carmarthenshire, Wales, 1717; d. at Pant y Celyn (near the same city) Jan. 11, 1791. His father was a Calvinist, who intended his son for the medical profession, but the young man, chancing to hear Howel Harris (q.v.) preach, determined to devote his life to religion. He was ordained to the deaconate in 1740 and appointed curate of the Established parishes of Llan Wrtyd and Llan Ddewi Aber Gwesin, but his interest became centered in Methodism, and in three years, without having been priested, he ceased to hold any position in the Church of England, though he still alleged himself one of her clergy. From 1749 his home was at Pant y Celyn, though he preached regularly at several small stations and devoted some weeks each year to evangelistic tours in Wales.

Williams wrote some 800 hymns, both in English and in Welsh, and was one of the greatest hymnwriters that his country has ever produced. Among the more noteworthy of his collections, hymns from which still form the staple of Welsh hymnals, may be mentioned Aleluia (Carmarthen, 1744; complete ed., Bristol, 1758), Golwg ar Deyrnas Crist (" A Prospect of Christ's Kingdom," a long religious poem, Bristol, 1756; 6th ed., Newcastle Emlyn, 1845), Hosanna to the Son of David (Bristol, 1759), Caniadau y rhai sydd ar y môr o wydr (" Songs of those who are on the Sea of Glass," Carmarthen, 1762; repeatedly reprinted), Aleluia Drachefn (1785[?]; a collection of three former hymnals), Gloria in Excelsis (Llandovery and Carmarthen, 1771-72; Eng. ed., Carmarthen, 1772), and Rhai Hymnau Newyddion (3 parts, Brecon, 1871-87). Of his hymns by far the best known are his "Guide me, O Thou great Jehovah" and "O'er those gloomy hills of darkness," while some others still in use are "Jesus, my Saviour is enough," "My'God, my God, Who art my all," "Beneath Thy Cross I lay me down," and "Jesus, lead us with Thy power."

Among the other writings of Williams the more noteworthy are *Pantheologia* (a Welsh dialogue history of the religions of the world; Carmarthen and Brecon, 1762–74), "Life and Death of Theomemphus" (a Welsh allegorical poem in dialogue, somewhat analogous to *Pilgrim's Progress*; Carmarthen, 1774; 7th ed., Newcastle Emlyn, 1845), Crocodil Afon yr Aipht (Carmarthen, 1767), Hanes Bywyd a

Marwolaeth y Tri Wyr o Sodom (1768; 3d ed., Swansea, 1852; dialogues on envy and the use of riches respectively), Aurora Borealis (Brecon, 1774; 3d ed., Ruthin, 1832; a Welsh letter on the revivals in the north of Wales), Templum Experientia Apertum (Brecon, 1777; a Welsh essay in dialogue on "experience" meetings), and Ductor Nuptiarum (1777; a like essay on the marriage of believers).

A complete edition of Williams' hymns was edited by his son John at Carmarthen in 1811; and editions of his collected writings have been prepared by J. R. Jones (Glasgow, 1867) and by N. C. Jones (Holywell and Newport, 1887–91).

Bibliography: E. Morgan, Ministerial Record; ... Account of the Progress of Religion under ... the Rev. W. Williams, London, 1847; S. W. Duffield, English Hymna, pp. 197-199, New York, 1886; DNB, lxi. 462-464; Julian, Hymnology, pp. 1284-1285, 1251.

WILLIAMS, WILLIAM R: Baptist; b. in New York Oct. 14, 1804; d. there Apr. 1, 1885. He was graduated from Columbia College, New York, 1822; studied law for three years in the office of Peter A. Jay, whose partner he became; but because of religious convictions he abandoned law and turned to theology. He was ordained and installed as pastor of the Amity Baptist Church in 1832, where he remained till his death. He was a man of great learning and famous for his eloquence. He was the author of Miscellanies (New York, 1850); Religious Progress: Discourses on the Development of Christian Character (Boston, 1850); Discourses and Essays (New York, 1850); Lectures on the Lord's Prayer (Boston, 1851); God's Rescues; or, the Lost Sheep, the Lost Coin, and the Lost Son (New York, 1871); Lectures on Baptist History (Philadelphia, 1877); and Eras and Characters of History (New York, 1882).

WILLIBALD: First bishop of Eichstädt; b. in England 700; d. at Eichstädt probably July 7, 787. He came of a noble Anglo-Saxon family, to which Boniface was related. Later accounts call his father Richard and erroneously give him the title of king. In consequence of a sickness when Willibald was three years old, his parents vowed that if he recovered he should enter a monastery. In accordance with this vow, he was sent in his sixth year to the Abbot Egwald of Waltham for his education. There he renounced not only worldly position but also his native land in his desire to carry out fully his idea of complete monastic devotion. In this he persuaded his father, after considerable pleading and a brother Wunebald (Winebald), who was a year younger, to accompany him; and the three, with a considerable retinue, left England in 700 and traveled through France, visiting the tombs of the saints, and went to Italy, where the father died and was buried at Lucca. The brothers went on to Rome, where they stayed two years, keeping monastic discipline, although suffering from lever much of the time. After Easter of 722 the brothers separated, and Willibald undertook a pilgrimage to the Holy Land by way of Naples, Reggio, Syracus, Cos, Samos, Ephesus, Asia Minor, and Damascus to Jerusalem, he and two companions arriving there in 724. From 727 to 729 he was in Constantinople, whence he went by way of Sicily to Monte Cassino, stayed till Easter of 739. Meanwhile I stayed in Rome till 727, when he returned persuaded another brother to go with him where they lived as monks till 739, when persuaded Wunebald to go to Germany, riestly orders, and take up work in

Willibald returned to Rome in 739, Gregersuaded him to follow his brother to Gerither he went in 740, first to Count Odilo a and then to Suitgar of Nordgau, who had made over to Boniface the region about , where in 740 Willibald was raised to hood, and the next year was made bishop, his episcopal activities by the erection of ery. He is known to have taken part in 1 742 and 762. Of Willibald's work as a s biography says little. Wunebald's bioglls of Willibald's part in founding the y at Heidenheim. The former labored in at least till 741, and after that as a wanreacher in Bavaria, and then assisted his t Heidenheim. He died on a journey to sasino Dec. 19, 761, having been abbot at im more than ten years, over the nuns of s surviving sister Walpurgis presided. outlived all the pupils and associates of and the reports which place his death in 1 are to be rejected in favor of that given (A. HAUCK.)

PHY: For information about sources cf. T. H. Descriptive Catalogue of Materials, i. 2, p. 490, 9, 1050, in Rolls Series, no. 26, London, 1862. est lives with commentary are in ASB, July, ii. and excerpts are in MGH, Script., xv. 1 (1887), f. T. Meyrick, Life of St. Walburge with the Itin-St. Willibald, pp. 39-76, London, 1873. Consult T. Wright, Biographia Britannica, i. 335-345, 1842; The Family of St. Richard, the Saxon St. King; St. Willibald, Bishop, London, 1844; H. ie Reise des heiligen Willibald, Berlin, 1856; W. ann, in TQ, 1874, pp. 524-526; Rettberg, KD, ii. sck, KD, i. 534; DNB, lxii. 12-13; KL, xii. 1669.

BRORD (WILBRORD): Apostle of Frisia pishop of Utrecht; b. in Northumberland, in 658; d. in the monastery at Echternach . of Luxemburg) Nov. 6, 739. His father, ad built a chapel dedicated to St. Andrew outh of the Humber, where he dwelt as a later royal gifts and donations from the ide possible the foundation of a fine monver which later Alcuin presided. He imson Willibrord with the monastic spirit, nim to the monastery at Ripon for his eduhere he early received the tonsure. He 78 to Ireland to prosecute his studies under t (q.v.), this being the year when Wilfrid q.v.) was deposed and exiled by King Egr twelve years of this life he desired higher the shape of preaching to the heathen, and nt him to Frisia. The Frisians were the neighbors of the Franks, inhabiting a rip of land between the Weser and the n arm of the Schelde, as well as the adjads. At this period the southern part of belonged to the Frankish kingdom. Atintroduce Christianity had been made hair II. and Dagobert I. (i.e., c. 620-639),

while a mission had been undertaken also from Cologne, to the bishop of which the charge had been committed. St. Eligius (q.v.) had also worked here. Results had not been large. When the Franks grew weak, the Frisians relapsed into paganism. Wilfrid (q.v.) had gained the favor of the Frisians during a winter's hunting, and had preached and baptized. His friend Egbert had also been interested in the land and had sent laborers. But the new prince, Radbod, who succeeded Wilfrid's friend Aldgild, was unfriendly to Christianity as leading to the subjection of his people to the Franks. In 689 Radbod was compelled to see the southern part of his land fall under Frankish control, in which part a door for the Gospel seemed to Willibrord to open. Willibrord sought the protection and aid of Pippin, whose own desires were in that direction, but wished to work only under an understanding with the Frankish majordomos and with Rome. He therefore visited Rome to obtain full power, a blessing, and relics to put in the churches he hoped to found. The success of Willibrord and his companions was so great that in 692-693 it seemed fitting to select a bishop from their number to govern the territory, and the choice fell on Suidbert. But Pippin's consent had not been gained, and Suidbert could not take possession of the office. After some delay, while the companions took no further step, Pippin took the matter in his own hands, designated Willibrord for the office and sent him to Rome to receive consecration. By Bede and Alcuin and in two diplomata of Charles Martel, Willibrord is called archbishop; he received consecration Nov. 22, 695, and Pippin designated Wiltaburg (Utrecht) as his seat.

During the next few years the introduction of Christianity went on rapidly, while churches and monasteries arose and were richly endowed by Pippin. Yet among the free Friesians Willibrord had no success, though he labored among them and Radbod was friendly to Willibrord himself. Willibrord carried his mission to the Danes, but with no results. But he brought back thirty Danish lads in order to instruct them and send them back as missionaries. On his return to Friesland he endeavored to secure the welfare of the churches, founded the monastery of Echternach in the diocese of Treves (706) and that of Süstern in the diocese of Mastricht (714). After the death of Pippin (714) Radbod saw his chance to gain his territory back, and took the field against Charles Martel, and recovered his dominions. The priests were hunted out, the churches destroyed, and the entire work of Willibrord seemed lost, while he abode at Echternach. But in the new war which broke out in 718 Charles was victorious, Radbod died the next year, and his successor, the younger Aldgild, made peace, the consequence of which was a free road for the Gospel. Willibrord returned to Utrecht and completed the Christianization of the country so far as it was in Frankish hands, with the full assistance of Charles. The further steps that were taken are not traceable. It is known, however, that for three years Willibrord had an assistant in Boniface.

(A. HAUCK.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Sources are: Bede, Hist. eccl., iii. 13, v. 10-11, 19—note especially Plummer's ed., with notes,

Oxford, 1896; the earliest extant life, by Alcuin, based on a lost work by an Irish monk, in ASM, iii. 1, pp. 603-629, and partly in MGH, Poet. Lat. avi Carol., i (1881), 207-220; other early matter in ASM, ut sup., pp. 629-630; MPL, clvii. 405-412; MGH, Script., xxiii (1874), 23 sqq. Consult further: A. Le Mire, Cort Verhael van het Leven van den H. Willibrordus, Antwerp, 1613; T. Wright, Biographia Britannica, i. 250-262, London, 1842; A. Dederich, Beiträge sur römisch-deutschen Geschichte am Niederrhein, appendix, Emmerich, 1850; P. Heber, Die vorkarolingischen christlichen Glaubensboten am Rhein und deren Zeil, pp. 193-212, Frankfort, 1858; A. Thym, Der heilige Willibrord, Münster, 1863; J. Engling, Apostolat des heiligem Willibrord im Lande der Luxemburger, Luxemburg, 1863; W. Moll, Kerkgeschiedenis van Nederland, pp. 95-118, Utrecht, 1864; J. Müllendorff, Leben des heiligen Clemens Willibrord, Weimar, 1868; J. B. Krier, La Procession dansante ... au tombeau de St. Willibrord, Luxemburg, 1870; Life of St. Willibrord, London, 1877; J. B. Stamminger, Franconia sancta, pp. 145 sqq., Würsburg, 1881; G. F. Maclear, Apostles of Medieval Europe, London, 1888; Poncelet, in Analecta Bollandiana, xxii (1903), 419 sqq., xxvi (1907), 73 sqq.; Friedrich, KD, vol. ii., pt. 1; Rettberg, KD, ii. 517 sqq.; Hauck, KD, i. 433 sqq.; KL, xii. 1669-71.

WILLIGIS, wil'lî-gis: Archbishop of Mainz 975-1011; d. at Mains Feb. 23, 1011. He was one of the great ecclesiastical princes of the Middle Ages. Of his origin all that is known is that he came of a poor family, and that he received a good education under Wolcold, later bishop of Meissen, who recommended him to Otto I. Otto II. made him archbishop of Mainz and chancellor of Germany, positions which he long held, and in them rendered great services to his royal masters. He was able to strengthen the position of the archdiocese over which he presided so as to take rank after the pope over all the prelates in Germany and France, while his cathedral acquired vast wealth through imperial gifts in Bingen and the vicinity. St. Martin's at Mainz was built by him, also St. Stephen's, and he extended St. Victor's; he built also the church at Brunnen in Nassau and rebuilt the monastery of Bleidenstadt, founded the Benedictine monastery of Jechaburg, restored that of Disibodenburg and endowed it richly, and to a great number of institutions either secured great gifts or extended their privileges, in which activity he did not limit himself to his own diocese. That he was a disciplinarian is shown by the case of Gozmar, a cantor in the institution of St. Peter at Aschaffenburg, who in a contention with a teacher of the institution killed a boy, while his opponent was besieged in a tower by Gozmar's adherents. Willigis tried Gozmar before a synod at Mainz and condemned him to solitary confinement at Neustadt, and regulated the appointments of church and school.

Of general importance was Willigis' contest over the monastery of Gandersheim, a very important foundation in Lower Saxony, founded by Liudolf, grandfather of Otto the Great, lying on the border of the dioceses of Mainz and Hildesheim. Its original site was Brunhausen, which was in the diocese of Hildesheim, while Gandersheim belonged to Mainz, which claimed it when Sophia, the daughter of Otto II., became abbess. Through pride Sophia wished to be consecrated by an archbishop and asked Willigis to perform the ceremony. But Osdag of Hildesheim claimed the prerogative, and the Empress Theophano commanded the two prelates

to unite in the function. The contest between the dioceses was carried on by Osdag's successor, Benward, and the presence of Willigis at a synod over which Bernward presided was construed by Hilder heim as granting the latter's claims to Gandersheim In 1000, when the new building was to be dedicated. Sophia invited Willigis to officiate; he invited Benward to assist and appointed Sept. 14-21 as the time. Bernward appeared on Sept. 14 and was prevented from officiating alone by Sophia, and the protest entered by Bernward was allowed by Willigis when he came on the 20th, so that the consecration did not take place. He called a synod for Nov. 28 to settle the affair, at which Bernward was not present, having carried his protest to Rome and left his case with Bishop Eckhard of Sleswick, whom Willigis did not recognize as a member of the synod. Eckhard and his adherents then left the assemblage, while the rest acknowledged Willigis' claim to Gandersheim. But a synod called by Pope Sylvester II. annulled this action and confirmed the claims of Hildesheim to Gandersheim, warning Willigis to take care in his actions. A further synod under Cardinal Friedrich as papal legate was set for June 21, which a tumult of the popular supporters disturbed at its first session, while at the second judgment was pronounced on Willigis, who had absented himself, the legate appointing a further synod for Christman A synod called by Willigis at Frankfort Aug. 15, 1001, was resultless through the absence of Bernward, and another synod was held at Todi in the presence of Otto III., was postponed to a later date, and then indefinitely, since pope and king both died early the next year. At first Henry IV. recognized the rights of Mains, and Willigis consecrated Sophia as abbess Aug. 10, 1002. The consecration of the church was postponed till Christmas of 1006. and the contest rested till Bernward's successor, Godehard, reopened it.

The bishopric of Merseburg had been founded by Otto the Great, but under the second bishop Giseler had been dissolved to enable the ambitious prelate to go to Magdeburg, a proceeding frowned upon by Gregory V. At a synod the restoration of the see was resolved upon, and Giseler was offered the choice between Magdeburg and Merseburg, with his deposition in view. The archbishop was meanwhile on guard to maintain his rights. The death of Giseler in 1004 cleared the way, Henry's court chaplain Tagino was appointed to Magdeburg, and his consent to the restoration of Merseburg opened the road for the consecration of a bishop for the diocese in 1004.

The erection of the bishopric of Bamberg was possible only in case the bishops of Würzburg and Eichstätt would give up part of their dioceses. The negotiations were successfully carried through by Willigis in two synods held in 1007, and Willigis consecrated Eberhard, Henry's chancellor, bishop at Frankfort.

(A. HAUCK.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The earlier lives are collected and edited by F. Falk in Der Katholit, 1869, i. 224—230, 1873, ii. 729-731, and Theologische Litteraturblatt, 1869, no. 22, p. 819 (cf. Der Katholit, 1869, i. 219—231, 1871, i. 499 sq. 1881, ii. 273—290, 383—405); and by G. Waits, in MCH. Script., xvi. 2 (1887), 729—731, 746—748. Consult: H. Boehmer, Willigis von Mains, Leipsic, 1895; Feller, in Buder's Sammlung ungedruckter Schriften und Urkunden, pp. 473 sqq., ib. 1735; R. Wilmans, Jahrbücker des

èmichen Reiche unter Otto III., Berlin, 1840; C. Euler, Britischef Willigie von Mains, Naumburg, 1860; S. Hirsch, Jehrischer des deutschen Reiche unter Heinrich II., vols. i.-ä., Berlin, 1862-64; F. Gehle, De S. Bernwardi... its 4 robus gestis, Bonn, 1866; C. Will, in Der Katholik, 1873, ii. 715-734; idem, Regesten zur Geschichte der Mainser Britischofe, i. pp. xxxvii.-zlii., 117-144, Innsbruck, 187; W. Giesebrecht, Geschichte der deutschen Kaiserseit, vols. i.-ä., Brunswick, 1874; Hauck, KD, vol. iii.

WILLIRAM (WILTRAM, WALTRAM): German Benedictine and translator of the Song of Solomon; b. in the region of Worms; d. at Ebersberg (18 m. e.s.e. of Munich) Jan. 5, 1085. After studying for a time at Paris, he was attached to the cathedral at Bamberg, but later retired to Fulda, which he left in 1048 to become abbot of Ebersberg. His efforts to raise the tone of his monastery seem not to have been unopposed, and he frequently lamented the neglect of study as compared with the zeal for learning at Fulda. Williram is remembered for his Old High German paraphrase of the Song of Solomon. for which he availed himself entirely of patristic exegesis, adding nothing of his own. His method of interpretation was allegoristic, the Song referring to the love of Christ for the Church. His work was in three columns, the first containing a paraphrase of the Vulgate (which occupied the middle column) in Latin leonine hexameters, and the third being devoted to his exegesis in vernacular prose. The popularity of his production is evident from the fact that within a century it was translated into Dutch, while between 1147 and 1196 it was revised for use in another monastery, either by Rilindis and Herrat, abbesses of Hohenburg in Alsace, for nuns, or by some monk for a male order. The first edition of Williram's work was by Menrad Molther (Hagenau, 1528), and among more recent editions may be mentioned those of H. Hoffmann (on the basis of the Breslau and Leyden manuscripts; Breslau, 1827), J. Haupt (Das Hohe Lied, übersetzt von Willeram, erklärt von Rilindis und Herrat. Aebtissinen zu Hohenburg im Elsass, Vienna, 1864), and J. Seemüller (Strasburg, 1878).

BIBLEOGRAPHY: H. R. S. Rieehau, Williram, Abt su Ebereberg in Oberbeiern, Magdeburg, 1877; J. Seemüller, Die Handschriften und Quellen son Willirams deutscher Paraphrase des Hohen Liedes, Strasburg, 1877; F. Junghans, Die Müschprose Willirams, Berlin, 1893; Hayner, "Das St. Trudperter [Hohenburger] Hohe Lied," in H. Paul and W. Braune, Beilräge sur Geschichte der deutschen Sprache und Literatur, ili (1876), 491 aqq.

WILLSON, DAVID BURT: Reformed Presbyterian; b. in Philadelphia, Pa., Sept. 27, 1842. He was educated at the University of Pennsylvania (A.B., 1860), Jefferson Medical College, Philadelphia (graduated, 1863), the Reformed Presbyterian Theological Seminary, Pitteburg, Pa (1865-69), and the Law School of the University of Pennsylvania (1869-70). From 1862 to 1865 he was in the medical service of the Union Army, and in 1866-68, while pursuing his theological studies, was a teacher at the Newell Institute, Pittsburg, Pa.; was pastor in Pittsburg, Pa. (1870-75), and was appointed professor of Biblical literature in the seminary of his denomination in the same city (1875), which position he still holds. He edited the monthly Reformed Presbyterian and Covenanter (1874-95), and is an associate editor of The Christian Nation (New York).

WILSNACK: A town 67 miles n.w. of Berlin, at present unimportant, but from 1383 to 1552 one of the most noted places of pilgrimage in Germany, in the contest over which the varied tendencies of the theology of the fifteenth century came to light, while ecclesiastical, territorial, and financial interests clashed violently. In a strife between a certain Von Bülow and the bishop of Havelberg the town and church of Wilsnack were reduced to ashes. The story goes that three sacred wafers were rescued from the ruins singed only on the edges, and in the middle of each was what looked like a drop of blood; that when these were taken to the neighboring church of Gross-Lüben a new wonder appeared, the wafers becoming luminous and fiery yet not being destroyed. The wonder drew pilgrims, and Bishop Dietrich II. (1370-85) conducted an investigation; new miracles resolved every doubt, and the pilgrimage grew greater. The bishop began the erection of a new and stately church, for which Pope Urban VI. granted the customary bull, but without mentioning the "blood-wonder"; in the episcopal permission, however, the archbishop of Magdeburg duly exploited it. Bishop Johann Wöpelitz of Havelberg secured for himself the rights of the place, obtained from Boniface IX. in 1395 a bull to incorporate the new church with the obligation to maintain a perpetual vicar there. He took a third of the income from the offerings of pilgrims and the sale of leaden models of the blood-bearing wafers. The pilgrimage became extensive and from all quarters; the place grew into a city. But opposition began to be heard, especially from Prague, and an investigation showed priestly contrivance. A synod at Prague of 1405 forbade pilgrimage to the place, and Huss wrote on the subject his De omni sanguine Christi glorificato. In 1412 a synod at Magdeburg took up the matter, proposed to the bishop of Havelberg a series of questions which elicited a fundamental report charging fraud on the clergy, withholding credence from the discoverer of the miracle, and asserting that there was neither blood nor anything like it. Evasion was attempted at Havelberg by asserting that it was the sacrament and not the blood which was honored, but left the pilgrims to venerate the miracle; a fourth newly consecrated wafer was added to the three. The literary polemic continued, and was carried forward by Heinrich Tocke, professor of theology in Erfurt, a man of reformatory spirit; but his representations had no effect upon the Council of Basel, to which he accompanied the archbishop; but his plea was effective with the bishop of Havelberg so far that the latter forbade his clergy to spread questionable tales of miracles performed. An inspection of the wafers showed that they were practically consumed, only the form being left, with no signs of blood. Yet his zeal for reform broke against the varied interests involved; the archbishop turned the battle against the pilgrimage to the advantage of his diocese; the bishop of Havelberg enlisted in the aid of his financial interest in the affair the political interests of the local lord of the manor, whose ecclesiastical patronage was of value. In 1445 the new archbishop of Magdeburg, Count Friedrich von Beichlingen, took the position of Tocke, while Frederick

II. took into his service in defense of the Wilsnack miracle Matthias Döring, the Franciscan provincial. The bishop of Havelberg evaded the attempts of the archbishop to treat with him personally, and the matter went to Rome for a decision at a time when the recognition of Frederick was needed for Pope Eugene IV., with the result of a guarded and evasive pronouncement to the effect that it was the sacrament which was honored, and not the bloody wafers. An attack had been made upon Tocke by Döring charging the former with being a Hussite; the Erfurt theologians disallowed this, but it became the question before a provincial synod. Frederick complained to the archbishop of Magdeburg against Tocke and others, and then secured the renewal of the bull of Eugene IV. by Nicholas V. (1447), protecting the rights of Havelberg, the bishop of which now offered passive resistance to the archbishop. At a synod in 1451 the papal legate (Nicholas of Cusa) forbade the exhibition of alleged bloody wafers and of the leaden models, thus discouraging the pilgrimage. In turn the Havelbergers secured the excommunication of the archbishop, while bands plundered his territory. In 1453 Nicholas issued a bull relieving both sides from the censures to which they were subject, forbade them to occasion new strife, and awarded the archbishop damages for the brigandage committed; the result was on the whole favorable to Havelberg, the archbishop being obstructed in his opposition to the Havelbergers, while the latter were in a manner protected.

The literary assault continued, the Carthusian Jacob of Jueterbog and the Augustinian Johann von Dorsten of Erfurt leading. The object of attack now was not the priestly trickery, but the mania for pilgrimage, which was likened to a plague. When the Reformation began, Wilsnack was still most popular as a goal of pilgrimage. No effective steps were taken till 1548, the ecclesiastical and civil powers being faithful to Rome. In that year Joachim Ellefeld, an Evangelical preacher, was installed, but enjoined by the chapter to leave ceremonial untouched. But Johann Agricola urged Ellefeld to cast out the idolatry, and he entered the church and burned the wafers. In indignation the chapter had Ellefeld imprisoned. But it happened that the latter had not burned the freshly conserrated host, and he was set free by the elector on Nov. 11, 1552. The church from that time was Evangelical, though pilgrims from distant regions continued to visit the church for some decades. The bloody host of Wilsnack, however, furnished a pattern which other places (G. KAWERAU.) employed.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Dat ys dy Erfindunge und Wunderwerke des hilligen Sakramentes the der Wilsnagk, Magdeburg, 1509, reprinted in P. Heitz und W. L. Schreiber, Drucke und Holzschnitte . . . pp. 8-11, Strasburg, 1904; Historia inventionis et ostensionis vivifici sacramenti in Wilsnagk, Lübeck, 1520; M. Ludecus, Hist. von der Erfindung . . . des vermeinten heiligen Bluts zur Wilsnagk, Wittenberg, des vermeinten heitigen Bluts zur Wusnagk, Wittenberg, 1586; E. Breest, in Märkische Forschungen, xvi (1881). 133 sqq.; idem, in Magbeburger Geschichtsblätter, 1883, 43 sqq., 97 sqq.; idem, in Blätter für Handel, Gewerbe, etc., 1882, pp. 167 sqq.; Wattenbach, in SBA, 1882, pp. 603 sqq.; B. Hennig, in Forschungen zur brandenb. und preussischen Geschichte, xix (1906), 391 sqq.; KL, v. 1729-1734.

WILSON, DANIEL: Bishop of Calcutta; b, i Spitalfields, London, July 2, 1778; d. in Calcutt Jan. 2, 1858. He was educated at St. Edmund Hall Oxford (B.A., 1802; M.A., 1804; D.D., 1832); wa ordained, and became curate of Richard Cecil a Chobham and Bisley in Surrey, where he develope into a strong Evangelical preacher; was tutor o vice-principal of St. Edmund Hall, Oxford, an minister of Worton, Oxfordshire, 1807-12; assist ant curate at St. John's Chapel, Bedford Row Bloomsbury, 1808-12; sole minister there, 1812-1824; and vicar of St. Mary's, Islington, 1824-32 when he was consecrated bishop of Calcutta, and metropolitan of India. He founded an English church at Rangoon, Ceylon, 1855, and the cathedral church, St. Paul's, consecrated at Calcutta, 1847. He was an indefatigable worker, and as bishop was noted for fidelity and firmness. He was the author of numerous sermons published separately and in collections, and of The Evidences of Christianity, . . . a Course of Lectures (2 vols., London, 1828-30); and of Bishop Wilson's Journal Letters, addressed to his Family the first Nine Years of his Indian Episcopacy (1863; ed. his son Daniel).
BIBLIOGRAPHY: Besides the Journal Letters, ut sup., consulthe Life by J. Bateman, 2 vols., London, 1860; E. Steel

Hist. of the Church Missionary Society, passim, ib. 1899.

WILSON, HENRY BRISTOW: Church of England; b. in London June 10, 1803; d. there Aug. 10, 1888. He studied at the Merchant Taylor School, London, and at St. John's College, Oxford (B.A., 1825; M.A., 1829; B.D., 1834), and was fellow of St. John's 1825-50, tutor 1833-35, and Rawlinson professor of Anglo-Saxon 1839-44. He opposed the Oxford movement, and in Mar., 1841, joined A. C. Campbell, T. T. Churton, and John Griffiths in the memorable protest against Newman's Tract XC. In 1850 he was presented to the college living of Great Staughton, Huntingdonshire, which he retained till his death. He is memorable as the projector and editor of the volume of Essays and Reviews (q.v.) which started a great controversy in 1860 and subjected him to a trial for heresy. He published *The Communion of Saints* (Oxford, 1851), Bampton lectures for 1851; A Letter . on University and College Reform (London, 1854); Schemes of Christian Comprehension (in Oxford Essays, 1857); The National Church (in Essays and Reviews, 1860); A Brief Examination of Press lent Opinions on the Inspiration of the Old and New Testaments (1861); and Three Sermons (1861). BIBLIOGRAPHY: Life and Letters of Rowland Williams. by

Mrs. Williams, vol. i., London, 1874; G. C. Bodick and W. H. Fremantle, Judgments of the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council, pp. 247–290, ib. 1865; R. E. Prothero, Life and Correspondence of Dean Stanley, ii. 30-41. 157-158, ib. 1893; E. Abbott and L. Campbell, Life and Letters of Benjamin Jovett, passim, ib. 1897; H. P. Lidon. Life of Edward Bouverie Pusey, ii. 167, iv. 38-68, ib. 1897; DNB, lxii. 97; literature under Essays and REVIEWS.

WILSON, JOHN: The name of two divines 1. Puritan; b. at Windsor (21 m. w. by s. of London), Berkshire, 1588; d. in Boston, Mass., Aug. 7, 1667. He was educated at Eton and Cambridge, graduating from the university about 1606 after which he not only studied law for three years but also took orders in the Church of England. H preached at Mortlake, Henley, Burnstead, Stok

Care, and Candish, and was for several years rector of Sudbury, Sussex. He was, however, a Puritan rather than a churchman, and on Apr. 8, 1630, he sailed for Massachusetts with John Winthrop (q.v.). Landing at Salem, he soon removed to Charlestown, where within a few months he organized what was to become the First Church in Boston. He was ordained its teacher by his own communicants, but in 1631-32 he was in England, where he was ordained pastor. He was again in England in 1634-35, and soon after he had returned to America the Antinomian Controversy (see Antinomianism and Antinomian Controversies, II., 2) invaded his congregation. With Winthrop Wilson became one of the principal opponents of the movement and of its leader, Anne Hutchinson; but before it was settled Wilson was appointed chaplain to the expedition against the Pequots. Later he was a companion of John Eliot (q.v.) in his labors for the conversion of the Indians. His two colleagues, both of whom he outlived, were John Cotton and John Norton (qq.v.).

The principal writings of Wilson were Some Helps to Faith (London, 1625); A Song of Deliverance for the Lasting Remembrance of God's Wonderful Works (1626; new ed., Boston, 1680); The Day Breaking, if not the Sun Rising, of the Gospel with the Indians in New England (1647; new ed., New York, 1865); and A Seasonable Watch-Word unto Christians against the Dreams and Dreamers of this Generation (Cambridge, Mass., 1677; the last sermon of Wilson, preached Nov. 16, 1665).

RINIOGRAPHY: W. B. Sprague, Annals of the American Pulpit, I. 12-15, New York, 1859; A. W. MacClure, Lives of the Chief Fathers of New England, ii., Boston, 1870.

2. Presbyterian missionary to India and eduester; b. at Lauder (18 m. s.e. of Edinburgh) Dec. 11, 1804; d. at Bombay, India, Dec. 1, 1875. From early childhood he knew what personal religion was. During his college course at the University of Edinburgh, through tutoring some Anglo-Indian boys his mind was turned toward India. He was a diligent student of natural science as well as of languages, and besides taking his theological course he further qualified himself before going out by attending medical classes. He sailed in the service of the Scottish Missionary Society, but shortly afterward the Church of Scotland awoke to missionary enterprise and took over the society's work. Wilson became head of the mission college in Bombay, and in that city, where he is still remembered as perhaps the greatest of her citizens, he spent his long, laborious, and influential life. He rapidly acquired a wide and profound acquaintance with Indian languages and literature, knowledge which he turned to use in multifarious controversial writings and public disputations in the cause of Christianity and in research into the obscure field of Indian antiquities. While on cordial terms with Dr. Duff, he laid greater stress on work among the common people, on their own ground, n the vernacular. His first wife, who went out with him, did much in her brief six years for the suse of female education. In 1843, like all the hurch of Scotland missionaries, he adhered to the ree Church. He visited the Holy Land, publishing in 1845 Lands of the Bible, and stirring up interest in Syrian missions. In 1846, on a visit home, he married again, and for the next twenty years his wife evinced unusual interest and attained great success in mission work among Indian women of all ranks. He himself became vice-chancellor of Bombay University, a position of vast educational importance, and president of the Bombay branch of the Royal Asiatic Society. His relations with the governor, Sir William Elphinstone, were close and intimate. In 1870 he was called to the moderator's chair of the Free Church General Assembly, taking his place among the leaders on the progressive side and afterward returning to end his days in Bombay.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Wilson was the author of An Exposure of the Hindu Religion, and A Second Exposure (Bombay, 1832-34); Memoirs of Mrs. Wilson (Edinburgh, 1838); Lands of the Bible Visited and Described (2 vols., 1847); History of the Suppression of Infanticide in Western India (Bombay, 1855); India Three Thousand Years Ago (1858); and Indian Caste, ed. P. Peterson (2 vols., 1877). For his life consult: G. Smith, Life of John Wilson, London, 1878; R. Hunter, Hist. of Free Church Missions in India and Africa, ib. 1873; G. Smith, Life of Alexander Duff, ib. 1881; J. Marrat, Two Standard Bearers in the Bast, ib. 1882; DNB, | xii. 113-115.

WILSON, JOHN A: United Presbyterian; b. at Pleasantville, Pa., Oct. 4, 1839. He was graduated from Westminster College, Pa. (A.B., 1864), and, after studying law and practising for two years, from Alleghany Theological Seminary (1872); he then held pastorates at Beaver, Pa. (1872-76), St. Louis (1876-86), and Wooster, O. (1886-93), and since 1893 has been professor of church history and pastoral theology at Alleghany Theological Seminary, Pittsburg.

WILSON, JOHN LEIGHTON: Presbyterian, Southern Church; b. in Sumter County, S. C., Mar. 25, 1809; d. near Mayesville, S. C., July 13, 1886. He was graduated from Union College, Schenectady, N. Y., 1829, and from Columbia Theological Seminary, S. C., 1833; was foreign missionary in Western Africa, 1834-53; secretary of Foreign Missions for the Presbyterian Church, New York, 1853-61; for the Southern Presbyterian Church, Columbia, S. C., 1861-85, and secretary emeritus, 1885-86. He was instrumental in breaking up the slave-trade in Africa. He made a grammar and dictionary of the Grebo and Mpongwe languages, and translated parts of the Bible. He edited The Foreign Record, New York, 1853-61, and The Missionary, Baltimore, 1861-85. He wrote Western Africa: Its History, Condition, and Prospects (New York, 1857).

WILSON, JOSEPH DAWSON: Reformed Episcopolian; b. in New York City July 9, 1840. He was educated at the College of the City of New York (then called the Free Academy), St. Stephen's College, Annandale, N. Y. (B.A., 1863), and the General Theological Seminary (graduated, 1866); was ordained to the priesthood of the Protestant Episcopal Church; he was curate of St. Luke's, New York City (1866-67), and rector of Calvary, Pittsburg, Pa. (1867-74). He then left the Protestant Episcopal Church for Reformed Episcopalianism, and was rector of Christ Church, Peoria, Ill. (1874-1879); St. John's, Chicago (1879-95); acting rec-

tor of the Church of Our Lord, Victoria, B. C. (1895-1901); and since 1901 has been professor of history and apologetics at the Reformed Episcopal Theological Seminary, Philadelphia. In theology he is a moderate Calvinist and a conservative, and has written Words from the Cross (sermons, Chicago, 1881) and Did Daniel Write Daniel? (New York, 1906).

WILSON, LUTHER BARTON: Methodist Episcopal bishop; b. at Baltimore, Md., Nov. 14, 1856. He was educated at Dickinson College (A.B., 1875) and at the School of Medicine of the University of Maryland (M.D., 1877). In 1878 he entered the Baltimore Annual Conference of his denomination and held pastorates at Hancock, Woodberry, and Baltimore, Md., and at Washington, D. C. He was presiding elder of the Washington District of the Baltimore Conference in 1894–1900 and of the West Baltimore District in 1903–04, and was elected bishop in 1904.

WILSON, MARGARET: One of the two "martyrs of the Solway"; b. at Glenvernock (65 m. s.s.w. of Glasgow), Scotland, 1667; drowned near Wigtown (75 m. s. of Glasgow) May 11, 1685. For refusing to conform to episcopacy, she, together with her younger sister Agnes, and Margaret MacLachlan, a woman of sixty-three, was tried at the Wigtown assize and condemned to death by drowning in the Bladenoch. The younger sister was bailed out, but on May 11, 1685, the two other women were tied to stakes within the flood-mark of the water of the Bladenoch and were drowned by the incoming tide. The incident furnished the subject of Millais' picture, "The Martyr of the Solway" (1871), now in Liverpool. An obelisk to the memory of the martyrs was erected on Windy Hill, Wigtown, in 1861, and there is another well-known monument at Stirling. See Covenanters, § 6.

monument at Stirling. See Covenanters, § 6.

Bibliograph: A. Stewart, History Vindicated in the Case of the Wigtown Martyrs, Edinburgh, 1869; R. Wodrow, Hist. of the Sufferings of the Church of Scotland, ib. 1829–1830; J. Anderson, Ladies of the Covenant, Glasgow, 1850, New York, 1880; W. M. Hetherington, Hist. of the Church of Scotland, pp. 281–282, New York, 1881; DNB, lxii. 118–119; and literature under Covenanters.

WILSON, THOMAS: Church of England, bishop of Sodor and Man; b. at Burton (10 m. s. of Liverpool), England, Dec. 20, 1663; d. on the Isle of Man Mar. 7, 1755. He was graduated from Trinity College, Dublin (B.A., 1686; M.A., 1696); was ordained deacon, 1686; became curate in the chapelry of Newchurch Kenyon, Lancashire, 1687; was ordained priest, 1689, and remained in charge of Newchurch till 1692, when he was appointed chaplain to the Earl of Derby, who, in 1697, appointed him bishop of Sodor and Man, and he was consecrated, 1698. He accomplished two great reforms in his diocese: the first, of 1703, relating to the tenures of landed property, which had been very uncertain; and the second, accomplished by his Ecclesiastical Constitutions, to the rules and discipline of the church there. He had remarkable qualities as an administrator, and was, from his position, compelled to take a great share in secular affairs. He wrote comparatively little. In 1707 he issued at London his Principles and Duties of Christianity, commonly called the "Manx Catechism," in English and Manx; this

was the first book ever printed in Manx In 1735 he showed his interest in the missionary aspects of General Oglethorpe's Georgia plantation scheme, by writing his Essay towards an Instruction for the Indians, Explaining the most Essential Doctrines of Christianity . . . with Directions and Prayers. The Essay, which was translated into French and Italian, and met with great favor, was published in 1740 at London. In 1749 he accepted from the Unity of the Brethren (q.v.) the office of honorary president of the reformed section of the Moravian Church. His age at the time debarred him from active service, but he was glad of the opportunity of publicly testifying to his interest in that people. His life was marked by rare unselfishness and devotion to duty. His works embrace devotional witings of extended private and public use, numerous sermons, and Short and Plain Instructions for the Better Understanding of the Lord's Supper (2d ed., London, 1736; and often); Parochialia, or Instructions to the Clergy (Bath, 1821); and The Holy Bible, with Notes, by Thomas Wilson . . . and various Renderings, by . . . C. Cruttwell (3 vols., London, 1785); His Works were first published in a collected edition, with his Life, by C. Cruttwell (2 vols, Bath, 1781; 4th ed., 4 vols., 1796-97; and best ed., with his Life by J. Keble, 7 vols., Oxford, 1847-63). BIBLIOGRAPHY: Besides the accounts of the life in the col-BLIOGRAPHY: Besides the accounts of the life in the last of the lected Works, ut sup., there are biographies by H. Stowell, London, 1819; R. B. Hone, in Lives of Eminent Christians, vol. i., ib. 1833; W. H. Teale, in Lives of Emised Divines, ib. 1846. Consult further: J. Rosse, Hist of Westeyan Methodism in the Isle of Man, Douglas, 1849. M. Amold, Culture and Anarchy, preface, London, 1869, J. H. Overton and F. Relton, The English Church (1714-1800), pp. 125-136 et passim, ib. 1906; DNB, lxii. 139-142.

WIMPFELING, vimp'fê-ling (WIMPHELING), JAKOB: Humanistic theologian; b. at Schlettstadt (29 m. s.w. of Strasburg) July 25, 1450; d. there Nov. 17, 1528. He entered in 1464 the University of Freiburg, and in 1468 removed to the University of Erfurt; in the following year he went to Heidelberg where he became master of philoso-phy in 1471. In 1483 he was called as cathedral preacher to Speyer, where he remained fourteen years, though the pulpit work was done by others because of the vocal weakness of Wimpfeling; but as prebendary he wrote and worked in the interest of the church of Speyer and its clergy. His efforts were aimed at a better discipline of the clergy, a more frequent convocation of synods, and a devoted adoration of Mary. After 1487 he seems to have possessed the parish in Sulz near Molsheim as an inheritance from a paternal uncle. He refused prebends in the chapter of St. Thomas in Strasburg and at the cathedral in Mainz as hindrances to study in science and to contemplation. In 1498 he became professor of rhetoric and poetics at the University of Heidelberg, and in 1501 his friend Geiler von Kaisersberg induced him to remove to Strasburg, where he, Sebastian Brant, and Geiler were active in the interest of church and school and exercised a decisive influence upon the spiritual life of Strasburg which lasted until the days of Butzer, Capito, and Sturm. In 1503 Wimpfeling followed his friend Bishop Christoph von Utenheim to Basel, and soon went to the University of Freiburg, whence he had to remove because of his invectives against , while a flood of literature poured forth and prose. Wimpfeling was accused at a cited before the pope; but the popular in his favor, and the two bishops of Stras-Basel defended him. Between 1508 and pfeling frequently changed his home, and hristoph von Utenheim, bishop of Basel, him "to assume the leadership of a new-d convent," the locality of which is not n 1515 he left this office and removed to it, where he spent the last years of his a Strasburg, so here he gathered a circle s and admirers who about 1518 seem to nized in a literary society which fell to be Luther's movement.

ling planned great things, but accomtle. He was overshadowed by Erasmus o generally diffused influence; in his nare he unintentionally prepared the way for mation. His numerous works are conth politics, philology, theology, history, y. Worthy of special mention are his al treatises Isidoneus germanicus (1496) scentia, which are distinguished by sound on education. In his Germania (1501) he mself an enthusiastic German patriot; in lart he attempted to prove that the left the Rhine never belonged to Gaul. In erum Germanicarum (1505) Wimpfeling a concise history of the Germans.

(H. HERMELINK.)

ET: The autobiographic Expurgatio is repro-J. A. Riegger's Amoenitatis litteraria Friburgen-1775. Consult further: J. Knepper, in Bribu-... su Janesens Geschichte, vol. iii., parts 2-4, 1902; Zeitschrift für Geschichte des Oberrheins, 46 sqq., 1906, pp. 40 sqq., 262 sqq., 1907, pp. also C. Schmidt, Hist. littéraire de l'Alsace, '9; and J. Janesen, Hist. of the German People, t. Louis, 1900; KL, xii. 1675-82 (gives titles of r biographies, which are reviewed ably in Knepk referred to above).

NA, vim-pî'na (KOCH), KONRAD: Cathgian; b. at Buchen (29 m. e.n.e. of Heibout 1465; d. at Amorbach (44 m. s.s.e. rt) May 17, 1531. The family name was : Konrad called himself Wimpina, probuse his family originally had their home ighboring Wimpfen-on-the-Neckar. In stered the University of Leipsic, became a n philosophy; in 1491 he was received souncil of the philosophical faculty; in rector, and the same year dean of his faclater time vice-chancellor for three years. i he devoted himself also to the study of and in 1495 became subdeacon. In 1500 e involved with his former teacher and lich in a passionate dispute concerning 1 and Scholasticism, or, as the opponents 1 it, over the question whether the art of the source of theology. In assigning a place to poetry, Wimpina aroused the against himself, while Polich became ir stanchest defenders. In 1505 Joachim brother Albrecht called Wimpina to the ablished University of Frankfort as its r. In 1518 he became involved in a dis-Luther concerning indulgences, and into

this dispute Tetzel was drawn, participating on Jan. 20, 1518, in Frankfort in a disputation concerning theses which had been formulated by Wimpina in opposition to Luther. Wimpina thus appears as one of the earliest literary opponents of Luther, and he devoted the following years to an intensive refutation of the doctrine of Luther, at first only in disputations, then, in 1528, he published his great work of refutation Anacephalæosis, a production of intense intellectual labor. It represents Lutheranism as the rallying-point of the sects and heresies of all times. All heresy, Wimpina states, is directed fundamentally against the Church as a divine foundation. Wyclif is the father of the doctrine of the Hussites, and that is the source of the Lutheran heresy. By a necessity of nature the pope, Wimpina argues, stands above the emperor and possesses not only doctrinal power, but also executive and disciplinary power. Wimpina went to the Diet of Augsburg as the theologian of Joachim I. When at the beginning of the diet without the knowledge of Luther the Articles of Schwabach (see Schwa-BACH ARTICLES) had been printed and communicated to Joachim, Wimpina together with his Brandenburg colleagues Mensing, Redorfer, and Elgersma published as refutation Christlicher Unterricht gegen die Bekanntnus M. Luthers. He was also one of the circle of theologians to whom was entrusted the confutation of the Augsburg Confession, but Wimpina's part was evidently small. After the diet he accompanied his elector to Cologne. Then he returned to his native state and lived thereafter in the Benedictine monastery of Amorbach. Besides his great work Anacephalæosis (1528) he published Præcepta coaugmentandæ Rethoricæ orationis, or Ars epistolandi (c. 1486); Almæ universitatis studii Lipzensis et urbis Liptzg descriptio (1488, newly edited by C. F. Eberhard, Leipsic, 1802); Tractatus de erroribus philosophorum (1493); Congestio textus nova proprietatum logicalium cum commentatione (1498); Apologeticus in sacræ theologiæ defensionem (1500); De D. Annæ trinubio (1518); De signis et insomniis (1529); Farrago miscellaneorum (1531; contains his Leipsic writings); his anti-Luther writings are in Sectarum, errorum . . . librorum partes (G. KAWERAU.) tres (Frankfort, 1528).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: J. C. Beckmann, Notitia Universitatis Francofurtana, Frankfort, 1707; J. Gropp, Etas mille annorum . . . monasterii . . in Amorbach, Frankfort, 1736; G. Bauch, in Zeitschrift des Vereins für Geschichte und Alterthum Schlesiens, xxx (1896), 133 sqq.; idem in Neues Archiv für sächische Gesellschaft, xviii (1897), 293 sqq.; idem, Geschichte des Leipziger Frühhumanismus, Leipsigen 1899; idem, Die Anfänge der Universität Frankfurt, Freiburg, 1903; E. Friedländer, Matrikel der Universität Frankfurt, pp. 1-2, 48, Leipsic, 1887; N. Müller, in TSK, 1893, pp. 83 sqq.; 1894, pp. 389 sqq.; G. Erler, Die Matrikel der Universität Leipzig, Leipsic, 1895-97; N. Paulus, in Der Katholik, 1900, ii. 281 sqq.; idem, Die deutschen Dominikaner im Kampfe gegen Luther, pp. 134 sqq., Freiburg, 1903; J. Negwer, Konrad Wimpina, Breslau, 1907-1909; ADB, xliii. 330 sqq.; KL, xii. 1682-85.

WINCHESTER, CALEB THOMAS: Methodist Episcopal layman; b. at Montville, Conn., Jan. 18, 1847. He was educated at Wesleyan University, Middletown, Conn. (A.B., 1869), with which he has been connected ever since, being librarian (1869–1879), associate professor of English (1872–78), and full professor of the same subject since 1878. He

was a member of the joint committee of the Methodist Episcopal Church, and the Methodist Episcopal Church, South, to prepare the hymnal, which was published in 1905. He has written The Life of John Wesley (New York, 1908); and A Group of English Essayists (1910).

WINCHESTER, ELHANAN: Universalist; b. in Brookline, Mass., Sept. 30, 1751; d. in Hartford, Conn., Apr. 18, 1797. In 1769 he began to preach, and was ordained pastor of an open-communion church at Rehoboth, Mass., 1771; about a year later he became a close-communionist, and was excommunicated; residing in Charleston, S. C., 1774-1780, he then became pastor in Philadelphia of the First Baptist Church, and founded with a majority of his congregation a Universalist church there in 1781. From 1787 to 1794 he preached Restorationism in England. His works embrace a Collection of Psalms, Hymns and Poems (Boston, 1772); A New Book of Poems, on Several Occasions (1773); The Universal Restoration (London, 1788, and often); A Course of Lectures on the Prophecies that Remain to be Fulfilled (4 vols., 1789-90); and Progress and Empire of Christ (1793).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Sketches of the Life are by W. Vidler, London, 1797; and E. M. Stone, Boston, 1836. Consult further R. Eddy, in American Church History Series, x. 408-413 et passim, New York, 1894; and the literature under Universalists.

WINCKLER, vink'ler, HUGO: German Protestant, orientalist; b. at Gräfenhainichen (12 m. s.w. of Wittenberg) July 4, 1863. He was educated at the University of Berlin, where he became privatdocent for Semitic philology in the philosophical faculty, and since 1904 he has been professor of the same subject. Besides editing Mitteilungen der vorderasiatischen Gesellschaft, Das alte Orient, and Ex Oriente Lux, he has written: Die Keilschrifttexte Sargons (2 vols., Leipsic, 1889); Untersuchungen zur altorientalischen Geschichte (1889); Der Thontafelfund von Tell el-Amarna (1889); Geschichte Babyloniens und Assyriens (1892); Alttestamentliche Untersuchungen (1892); Sammlung von Keilschrifttexten (3 parts; 1893-95); Altorientalische Forschungen (21 parts, 1893-1906); Geschichte Israels (2 vols., 1895-1900); Die Thontafeln von Tell-el-Amarna (Berlin, 1896); Kritische Schriften (6 vols., 1901-08); Die Gesetze Hammurabis (Leipsic, 1904); Kritische Schriften (Berlin, 1906); Die babylonin ihren Beziehungen ische Geisteskultur Kulturentwicklung der Menschheit (1907); Die Panbabylonisten (Leipsic, 1907); Die im Sommer '06 in Klein-Asien ausgeführten Ausgrabungen (1907); and edited with H. Zimmern the 3d ed. of E. Schrader's Keilinschriften und das A. T. (Berlin, 1903).

WINCKLER, JOHANN: German Lutheran and defender of Philipp Jakob Spener (q.v.); b. at Gölzern, near Grimma (17 m. s.e. of Leipsic) July 13, 1642; d. at Hamburg Apr. 5, 1705. His parents, who were poor peasants, self-sacrificingly had him educated at the school in Grimma and at St. Thomas' in Leipsic, and at the University of Leipsic; but his poverty interrupted his university studies, and he became private tutor in Grimma, then in 1664 mas-

ter in Jena, and he delivered private lectures at Leipsic. He was with a son of Duke Philipp Ludwig of Holstein-Sonderburg at Tübingen, 1668-71. when began that acquaintance with Philipp Jakob Spener which had a decisive influence upon his life. In 1671 he was called to his first ministerial office in Homburg vor der Höhe; in the following year he became superintendent in Braubach, and in 1676 court preacher in Darmstadt, in 1678 pastor in Mannheim, and in 1679 superintendent in Westheim. In 1684 he was appointed chief preacher of St. Michael's in Hamburg, where he remained until his death. According to the unanimous testimony of his contemporaries Winckler had few equals as preacher, though his printed sermons make difficult reading because of the inserted excursuses. In several works he appears as a decided representative of the principles of Spener; but while defending in a bitter controversy at Hamburg Spener's private conventicles, Winckler was not a blind follower, and maintained an independent position. He rendered great services to the cause of education, and several schools were on his initiative enlarged or newly founded. About 1688 he conceived the plan of a Bible society and himself took an active part in it by editing several editions of the Bible. Among his works mention may be made of: Bedenken über Kriegsmanns Symphonesis oder Büchlein von einschnen Zusammenkünften der Christen (Hanau, 1679); Antwort auf Dilfelds Gründliche Erörterung der Proge von den Privatzusammenkünften (1681); Sendschriften ben an D. Hannekenium (Hamburg, 1690); Schriftmässiges und wohlgemeintes Bedenken (1693).

(CARL BERTHEAU!.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: J. A. Fabricius, Memoria Hamburgana, iii. 351, Hamburg, 1711; J. Moller, Cimbria literata, ii. 990 sqq., Sleswick, 1687; J. Geffcken, Johann Windler und die hamburgische Kirche in seiner Zeil, Hambur, 1861; K. J. W. Wolters, in Gesammelte Vorträge, ed. T. Schrader, pp. 143-216, ib. 1892; ADB, xliii. 365-373.

WINDESHEIM (WINDESEM), MONASTERY OF: A celebrated establishment situated at Winder heim (4 m. s. of Zwolle), the mother-house of a number of reformed cloisters of regular canons which flourished in the beginning and middle of the fiteenth century. Its history affords a glimpse into the reforming movement which in Holland, Germany, England, France, Bohemia, and even in Italy was a promise of the real Reformation. It stands in the closest relations with the Brethren of the Common Life (see Common Life, Brethren of THE), an organization which embodied in itself the impulses received from Geert Groote (q.v.). Jan Busch (q.v.), the author of the Chronicon Winder hemense, relates that Groote stated as his wish and counsel to his pupil and follower Florens Radewijns and his associates that they should seek to obtain in the founding of a monastery a center for the brethren and sisters who felt attracted by his (Groote's) personality. He also recommended the order of regular canons as that most suitable for their purposes. This choice is explicable from two standpoints. The times were not ripe for an association not founded on the rules and patterns then in existence. The Evangelical spirit was not then strong enough to stand on its own feet, the Church furnished still the legal spirit and forms. Further, usian rules would take the brethren out of , the Cistercian rules were too severe. The to be simply the three vows of celibacy, and obedience; and the regular canons I Groote's own lines of preaching and the souls.

ming was made when Berthold ten Hove citizen of Zwolle in Salland, donated his y "de hof to Windesem," for the future Hendrik van Wilsem, formerly assessor at gave a piece of land. Other donations and in 1386 it was decided to erect the r, in which Floris van Wevelinkhoven, the shop of Utrecht, showed interest. The six in the work were the two named above as donations, Hendrik Klingebijl, Werner p, Johannes van Kempen (Kempis), i the celebrated Thomas à Kempis (q.v.), rik de Wilde, all of them coming from the of the Common Life. Buildings for the id not exist and must therefore be erected. tures were begun in Mar., 1387, and the as consecrated and the brethren were 1 Nov. 17, of the same year. The vow of was specifically made not to the bishop ht) but to the superior who was to be At first Klingebijl, with the title of rector, the direction; a year later Keynkamp beleader with the title of prior. After about rs he resigned the position to Johannes Vos, who stamped his influence upon the gave it its unique significance.

remarkable are the growth in wealth and er of monasteries affiliated with Windesile nunneries were founded which were by the same spirit. Among these may be larienborn near Arnhem (1392), Nieuw-Hoorn in West Frisia (1392), while Eemin close relations. These four combined ind formed a chapter, with Windesheim ad and its prior the prior-superior of the l a yearly assemblage, approved by Boni-May 16, 1395. By 1402 seven institutions iated, by 1423 there were twenty-nine, ur for men and five for women. In 1464 ticler speaks of an octogenarius numerus, ght under the priorate of Johannes Vos oy, iii. 1-232, for the list). The congregaits first triumph at the Synod of Costnitz, ior Vos gained recognition as well as the fartin V. by his defense of the Brethren of non Life against the attack of the Dominow. A second was that of the year 1435 g about a reformation of the Augustinian in Germany. Epochal was the visit of of Cusa (see Cusa) in celebrating his jubi-The cardinal's legation had as its purinitiation of a new religious-ethical life in especially in relation to the religious lusa appointed Jan Busch and Dr. Paulus, nastery of St. Mauritius at Halle, to visit ir monasteries of Saxony, Thuringia, and and to reform them in accordance with es of the Windesheim congregation. The t spread to the cloisters of other orders nd the limits of the region where it was initiated. A further result was the increase of institutions affiliated with Windesheim. But the Reformation brought to an end the significance of this monastery, though it lived on till the end of the sixteenth century, while the last prior-general, Constantinus Belling of Grauhoff near Goslar, died Jan. 17, 1807, and the last monastery (Frenswegen near Nordhorn) closed in 1809.

A point of importance is the connection with the Brethren of the Common Life, out of which Windesheim proceeded, with the spirit of which association it was in intimate sympathy. The distinction between the institutions of the Brethren and of Windesheim was that the latter's reform was in the direction of the modern "devotion," the former rejected monastic rules and vows in order to a renewal of life in the common association of its members. The manner of living of the Brethren was often a door by which men entered the regular orders. On the other hand, the extension of the Windesheim congregation affected the Brethren by stimulating their zeal. Yet the Windesheim purpose was by no means indulgence in ascetic practises to an unhealthy degree. While personal freedom in this direction was not disallowed, it is significant that the members did not recount the miracles of their associates. Yet there was a growing tendency to emphasize asceticism, a characteristic which comes out in Busch's account of such externals as the habit, method of singing, and the like to the exclusion of more important matters. A still further point of connection between the two orders is that the Windesheim people busied themselves in the making of books for their common use (not usually for commercial purposes). These activities were concerned with a correct text of the Bible, and with correct copies of the Fathers, especially of Augustine's writings; some of the members were celebrated for their work in this direction. But while the Brethren developed an independent literary purpose, in the monasteries an increasingly ascetic purpose robbed the results of much of their value, though they still rendered great service to following generations. Handicrafts, however, and to the extent of mercantile significance, were not unknown among them. The schools which they here and there conducted were of limited value because of their ecclesiastical character.

The reform of Windesheim did not contemplate a break with Rome; its direction was controlled by the forms and ideals of the Church of the Middle Ages; it would befriend ethical purposes and control asceticism within sufferable bounds. So far as these failed in producing real reform, it was shown that the Church was awaiting and expecting mightier reformers. The Windesheim congregation forbade the possession and the reading of Lutheran books, and till its end remained true to the Roman Catholic Church. (S. D. VAN VEEN.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Besides the literature under Busch, Jan, and Common Life, Brethern of the, especially the works named there of Busch, Delprat, and Acquoy, consult: J. C. van Slee, De Kloostervereeniging te Windesheim, Leyden, 1874; J. H. Hofman, in Archief voor de Geschiedenie van het Aartsbisdom Utrecht, vols. ii., v.; K. Grube, Die litterarische Thätigkeit der Windesheimer Congregation, in Der Katholik, 1881; W. Becker, in De Katholiek, 1884; D. J. M. Wüstenhoff, in Archief voor Nederlandsche Kerkgeschiedenie, v (1895), 326–335; KL, xii. 1686–1694.

WINE, HEBREW.

Names (§ 1). Cultivation of the Vine (§ 2). Making of Wine (§ 3). Dried Grapes (§ 4). Use of Wine (§ 5). Artificial Wines (§ 6).

The usual designation for fermented grape juice is yayin, a loan-word in the Hebrew, corresponding to Greek oinos and Latin vinum; tirosh is used to denote the newly extracted grape juice 1. Names (Lat. mustum; cf. Mic. vi. 15) and also the juice yet contained in the cluster (Isa. lxv. 8). There is, however, no special emphasis

the juice yet contained in the cluster (Isa. lxv. 8). There is, however, no special emphasis herein upon the distinction "not yet fermented," since in the orient fermentation begins very quickly after the pressing, and even the tirosh is accredited with intoxicating effects (Hos. iv. 11; cf. Deut. xii. 17, xviii. 4). Less frequent terms are hemer (Deut. xxxii. 14), aram, hemra (Ezra vi. 9, etc.). Poetical forms are 'asis, sobhe, etc. On the other hand, mesekh and mimsakh denote mixed wine (see below); while shekhar comprehensively applies to all intoxicating drinks (cf. shikari, in the Amarna Tablets).

Both by climate and by the character of its soil

Palestine is adapted to vine-growing. Indeed the
vine has been cultivated there from

2. Cultiva- high antiquity (Gen. xiv. 18, xix. 32
tion of the sqq., xxxvii. 25). In the Old TestaVine. ment, vineyards and the vine invaria-

bly betoken the fruitfulness of the promised land (Deut. vi. 11, viii. 8, xi. 14, etc.). And the vine is nearly everywhere grown, both on the hills and in the plains, and in the valley of the Jordan (I Kings xxi. 1; Cant. i. 14, viii. 11; Hos. xiv. 7; Isa. v. 1; Jer. xxxi. 5; Josephus, War, III., x. 8). Eastward of the Jordan the Moabites, Ammonites, Amorites, and the inhabitants of Auranitis had vineyards in early times (Num. xxi. 22, xxxii. 24; Judges xi. 33; Isa. xvi. 8). In the later Jewish period the vine appears as an emblem on coins. For the messianic times, in turn, the prophet announces that the mountains shall flow with new wine (Amos ix. 13; Joel iii. 18, etc.). After the Moslem conquest the culture of the vine was somewhat retarded, but it is once again assuming importance. The vine largely runs wild in the ridges of central and northern Syria, and in Palestine the wild vine was known, bearing sourly astringent grapes (Isa. v. 2; Jer. ii. 21). The species now in cultivation bear mostly white oblong fruit. Clusters from twelve to fifteen inches long and weighing from two to three pounds are no rarity. While the species of vines planted in antiquity can not be positively identified, generally they appear to have been the black and purplish sorts whose juice is described as red "blood of the grape" and also typifies the blood, as in the Eucharist (Gen. xlix. 11; Deut. xxxii. 14; Isa. lxiii. 2 sqq.; I Macc. vi. 34; Matt. xxvi. 27 sqq.; Rev. xiv. 19 sqq., etc.). The vine termed sorek appears to have been a noble variety (Isa. v. 2, xvi. 8; Jer. ii. 21), according to Kimchi a grape with small, seedless, white fruit. The Israelites borrowed viticulture from the Canaanites. Like the cultivation of figs and olives (see FRUIT-TREES IN THE OLD TESTAMENT), it is everywhere the token of a higher civilization; hence the Greeks manifest much discernment in referring the intellectual and material culture of their country to the introduction of vine- and olive-growing. Conversely, as among the Rechabites, antagonism to viticulture found expression in the particular fact that they abstained as a matter of principle from the enjoyment of wine. The cultivation of the vine require much labor (Isa. v. 1 sqq.); and whoever plants a vineyard is to be sure that the field remain even for decades in the family possession, because only then is the cultivation remunerative. The preparation of the land exacted much toil. Along hillsides, the land had to be reclaimed by wearisome terrace cultivation, and the soil secured from erosion. Then the ground was to be cleared of stones, the plot surrounded with a wall or hedge (Ex. xxii. 5; Pa. lxxx. 14; Jer. xii. 10; Cant. ii. 15, etc.), and stone watch-towers, together with a booth or hut, had to be built for the vintners at the ripening season (Isa. i. 8, v. 1 sqq.). Lastly, a wine-press had to be hown out in the rock (see below). Equally wearisome was the work of maintenance (Prov. xxiv. 30 sqq.); twice or thrice a year the vineyard needed to be plowed or hoed, that the soil might stay constantly mellow (Isa. v. 2, 6, vii. 25); weeds were to be removed, and large stones picked out again and again. The vines were carefully pruned, and rank shoots cut away (Lev. xxv. 3 sqq.; Isa. ii. 4). The plants were either allowed to trail along the ground (la. xvi. 8; Esek. xvii. 6), or trained up to stakes or tres (Isa. vii. 23; Ps. lxxx. 11), whence the phrase "dwelling beneath the vine" (I Kings iv. 25; Mic. iv. 4).

The time when grapes ripen varies with loal conditions; in the district of Tiberias and in the valley of the Jordan, some kinds are ripe in June; in the coast plain, the vintage season

3. Making occurs about the middle of August; in of Wine. the mountainous country, during September. This was ever a joyful season

(Isa. xvi. 10; Jer. xxv. 30). Then it was that the Canaanites celebrated their great harvest festival (Judges ix. 27), the Israelites their Feast of Tabernacles; and both these feasts, besides their special features, bear the stamp of a harvest thanksgiving (cf. I Sam. i. 1-18; the threatened curses in Deut. xxviii. 30, 51; Amos v. 21). The wine-press (gath) was hewn from the rock in the vineyard itself. It consisted of two round or angular basins. The upper one was as much as thirteen feet wide, but only from seven to twelve inches deep. In this the grapes were trodden or pressed with stones (cf. Iss. xvi. 10; Jer. xxv. 30). The second, rather lower basin, was of smaller area, but about three feet in depth. This was the receiving basin (yekebh; Num. xviii. 27; Deut. xv. 14). Sometimes there was still a third basin, receiving the flow of partially clarified new wine from the vat (for drawings of existing wine-presses cf. ZDPV, vol. x., plates 5 and 7). From the vats the wine was dipped into leather bottles or earthen jars (Josh. ix. 13; Jer. xiii. 12). There it was allowed to ferment, and this process began within from six to twelve hours after the pressing. Next the wine remained settling for some time on the lees (Isa. xxv. 6; Jer. xlviii. 11; Zeph. i. 12); and afterward it was transferred to other vesses. Before drinking, it had still to be strained through a cloth for purification (Isa. xxv. 6; Matt. xiii. 24).

Besides the wine, the dried grapes were and still are much esteemed (Num. vi. 3). The so-called misin cakes (simmulpim) of the Old Testament are not a product of the baker's art, but 4. Dried dried grapes pressed in the form of a cake (I Sam. xxv. 18, xxx. 12; I Chron. xii. 40); with these may be compared the modern apricot cakes of Damascus, thin cakes of the crushed and sun-dried mass of apricots that

of the crushed and sun-dried mass of apricots, that can be rolled like flexible leather. The other word for raisin cakes, ashishah, probably denotes baked cakes of dough, containing raisins (II Sam. vi. 19; I Chron. xvi. 3).

The use of wine was quite general; it belonged to the list of indispensable provisions (Judges xix. 19; I Sam. xvi. 20, xxv. 18). It rejoices the heart of man, even of God (Judges ix. 13; Ps.

5 Use of civ. 15; Ecclus. xxxi. 27, 28). Hence Wine. it was not to be lacking as a drink offering on God's table. The vice of drunkences was not unknown to the ancient Israelites, misshown by the often quite caustic descriptions of the prophets (Isa. xix. 14, xxviii. 7 sqq.). Only the Rechabites and Nasirites drank no wine, and it was forbidden the priests during the time of ministration (Lev. x. 8 sqq.). It was drunk undiluted; addition of water was deemed a deterioration (Isa. i. 22). It was only in later times, under the influence of Greek and Roman manners, that the usage of mixing it with water came into vogue (II Macc. xv. 39). Yet the addition of spices was favored (Ps. hrv. 8; Cant. viii. 2; Isa. kv. 11), such as myrrh, honey, frankincense (cf. III Macc. v. 2), oil of roses, wormwood, pepper, etc. Wine mingled with myrrh was employed as a narcotic (Mark xv. 23); while ma milder sort of intoxicant it was a favorite beverage of women among the Greeks and Romans. The we of such spiced wine in the sanctuary service was not allowed.

Artificial wines (shekhar, see below; cf. Deut. mix. 6; Judges xiii. 4 sqq.; I Sam. i. 15), which were drunk among the ancient Israel-6 Artificial ites, are not to be defined with much certainty apart from the general mean-Wines. ing of the word shekhar, "intoxicating drinks." Even Jerome was not sure what drinks were indicated by the term. In Cant. viii. 2, a drink from pomegranates is mentioned along with spiced The rabbis use the term to designate Egyptian beer (zythos), brewed of barley, saffron, and alt, and also the Median barley liquor. They also mention cider and mead. Owing to the active commerce with Egypt, possibly zythos was known even in ancient times; at all events, this is true of palm wine, which was pressed from the pulp of ripe dates, and so drunk throughout the early orient. Artificial wine was forbidden in the sanctuary service.

From wine and shekhar, vinegar (homez) was preared; and this was also forbidden to the Nazirites Num. vi. 3), though else enjoyed, when diluted ith water, as a refreshing and thirst-quenching ink, at least by the humbler people (Ruth ii. 14; Mark xv. 36; on the other hand, cf. Ps. lxix. 21). The same was true among the Romans, where essica was the usual beverage of slaves and soldiers, just as it still nowadays is in the East.

I. Benzinger.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: A. Henderson, Hist. of Ancient and Modern Wines, London, 1824; A. M. Wilson, The Wines of the Bible, ib. 1877; G. H. Fowler, The Wine of the Bible, New York, 1878; H. B. Tristram, Natural Hist. of the Bible, 5th ed., London, 1880; Anderlind, in ZDPV, xi (1888), 160 sqq.; Bensinger, Archdologie, pp. 71-72, 143 et passim; G. M. Mackie, Bible Manners and Customs, Edinburgh, 1898; V. Hehn, Kullurpfansen und Haustiere, 7th ed., Berlin, 1902; W. Ebstein, Die Medisin im Neuen Testament und im Talmud, i. 36, 167, ii. 250, Stuttgart, 1903; DB, ii. 33-34; iv. 868-870; BB, iv. 5306-22; JE, xii. 532-535.

WINEBRENNER, JOHN, WINEBRENNERIANS. See Church (Churches) of God, I.

WINER, vî'ner, JOHANN GEORG BENEDIKT: Orientalist and New-Testament grammarian; b. at Leipsic Apr. 13, 1789; d. there May 12, 1858. He was educated at the gymnasium and the university of his native city, zealously studying not only theology but classical philology and oriental languages. In 1817 he became privat-docent at the University of Leipsic, and in 1819 extraordinary professor of theology; in 1823 professor at Erlangen; but returned in 1832 to Leipsic, where he remained until his death, being also a canon of Meissen after 1845. His literary activity was directed mainly to the interpretation of single books or passages of the Bible. to Biblical linguistics, and to historical studies. He published a commentary on the Epistle to the Galatians (1821; 4th ed., 1859), with dissertations on questions of Biblical history and antiquities. His Biblisches Realwörterbuch (1820; 2d ed., revised and enlarged, 2 vols., 1833-38; 3d ed., considerably enlarged, 1847) is a comprehensive handbook of Biblical subjects arranged alphabetically, a work of extraordinary industry and a thesaurus of historical, geographical, archeological, and scientific knowledge. Of still greater importance were Winer's various labors in the linguistic sphere. He published a Grammatik des biblischen und targumischen Chaldaismus (1824; 2d ed., 1842; Eng. transl., A Grammar of the Chaldee Language, Andover, 1845), and to supplement it a Chaldäisches Lesebuch (1825). His masterwork in Biblical science is his Grammatik des neutestamentlichen Sprachidioms als sichere Grundlage der neutestamentlichen Exegese bearbeitet (1822; frequent Eng. transls., Grammar of the Idioms of the New Testament, Andover, 1825, Edinburgh, 1859, 1877), [which remained the standard work for nearly three-quarters of a century, but has been superseded largely through the discoveries of the last two decades (see Hellenistic Greek)]. was a path-breaking achievement, and in producing it Winer rendered immortal services by doing away with vague presuppositions respecting the Hebraizing character of the language of the New Testament and by thus leaving less reason for arbitrariness in interpretation. He showed the laws of linguistics applying in the New-Testament language, employing the same principles that Gottfried Hermann had developed for classical Greek. While apparently merely a scientific work, there was at its basis a

truly moral and religious motive—a conscientious earnestness in seeking the truth, and a pious reverence for Holy Scripture. Winer published also Beitrag zur Verbesserung der neutestamentlichen Lexikographie (1823), and gathered materials for a dictionary of the New Testament, but died before its elaboration. Worthy of mention, though in another department, is his Comparative Darstellung des Lehrbegriffs der verschiedenen Kirchenparteien (1824; Eng. transl., Comparative View of the Doctrines and Confessions of Christendom, Edinburgh, 1873; new ed., 1887); while notice should not be omitted of his Handbuch der theologischen Literatur (1821; 2 vols., 1838-40, and supplement, 1842), giving not merely titles of books but brief notices of the authors. (G. LECHLER†.)

WINFRID (WYNFRITH). See BONIFACE, SAINT.

WINKELER, vin'ke-ler: A designation of the Waldensians (q.v.) and then of the Waldensian itinerant preachers, employed especially in Strasburg and perhaps also in neighboring regions. It is transmitted through a document discovered about 1840 in old church archives in Strasburg. The document contains the records of a Waldensian trial held about 1400 and bears the superscription Secta hereticorum, beside which has been written by a later hand "Die Winkeler." At first it was thought that they formed a separate sect whose views agreed with those of the Waldenses; but since the discovery of further sources it has been proved that they are identical with the Waldenses. The term may have been a nickname. Thirty-two adherents of the Waldensian preachers in Strasburg were captured and banished about 1400 on charges of heresy. But there remained in Strasburg a Waldensian congregation to which at a later time belonged Friedrich Reiser (b. 1401 in Deutach near Donauwörth, and because of that named Tunawer or Danuvius), one of the bestknown Waldensian preachers of those days, whose aim was to unite Hussites and Waldensians. In 1458 Reiser together with many male and female adherents was burned (among whom was Anna or Barbara Weiler) under the Strasburg inquisitor Johannes Wegrauf. (FERDINAND COHRS.)

Bibliography: T. W. Röhrich, in ZHT, x (1840), 118 sqq.; idem, Mitheilungen aus der Geschichte der evangelischen Kirche des Alsass, i. 38 sqq., Strasburg, 1855; K. Schmidt, in ZHT, 3, x (1840), 31 sqq.; G. F. Ochsenbein, Aus dem schweizerischen Volksleben des 15. Jahrhunderts, Bern, 1881; H. Haupt, Die religis sen Sekten in Franken vor der Reformation, Würzburg, 1882; idem, Waldensertum und Inquisition im sudvistlichen Deutschland, Freiburg, 1890; idem, in Historisches Taschenbuch for 1888; L. Keller, Die Reformation und die altere Reformparteien, Leipsic. 1885; idem, Johann von Staupitz und die Anfünge der Reformation, ib. 1888; K. Müller, Die Waldenser und ihre einzelnen Gruppen, Gotha, 1886; W. Böhm, Friedrich Reisers Reformation des Kaisers Sigmund, ib. 1876; and the literature under Waldensers.

WINSLOW, MIRON (MYRON): Congregational missionary; b. at Williston, Vt., Dec. 11, 1789; d. at the Cape of Good Hope Oct. 22, 1864. He was graduated from Middlebury College, 1815, and from Andover Theological Seminary, 1818. In June, 1819, he sailed as missionary to Ceylon, where he established a mission, laboring for seventeen years at Jaffna and Oodoville, where he founded a seminary; he established the mission at Madras, 1836, and

spent the rest of his life there, establishing a native college and a number of vernacular schools. He became president of the Madras College about 1840. He was the author of Sketch of the Missions (Andover, 1819); Memoir of Harrie. Wadsworth Windows, of the Ceylon Mission (New York, 1835; republished in London, France, and Turkey); Hints on Missions to India (1856); and A Comprehensie Tamil and English Dictionary, of High and Low Tamil (Madras, 1862). The Dictionary, his great work, on which he spent three hours a day for over twenty years, was based partly upon manuscript materials left by Joseph Knight, and consisted of 68,000 words and definitions. He was assisted in this by native scholars. Winslow also translated the Bible into Tamil (Madras, 1855).

WINSLOW, WILLIAM COPLEY: Protestant Episcopalian; b. at Boston, Mass., Jan. 13, 1840. He was graduated from Hamilton College (A.B., 1862) and the General Theological Seminary, New York City (1865). He was ordained to the priesthood in 1867; was rector of St. George's, Lee, Mass. (1867-70); chaplain of St. Luke's Home, Boston (1878-82), having temporary charge of various parishes, particularly at Weymouth, Mass., and Taunton, Mass., in the interim. In 1883 he established the Free Church Association in Boston, and likewise founded the American branch of the Egypt Exploration Fund (q.v.), of which he was the chief official until 1902, securing large funds for its use and being the pioneer in America in creating a popular interest in explorations in Egypt. He also took an active part in the establishment of the Greco-Roman branch of the Egypt Exploration Fund, and was one of the chief distributors of the antiquities thus discovered among the various American institutions which had ∞ -tributed to the society's support. Theologically he describes himself as "of evangelical belief; thoroughly progressive in all forms of educational and religious work; a believer in all that is essential to faith in the Old Testament." In 1860-62 he was editor of The University Quarterly; in 1862-63, assistant editor of The New York World, and in 1864-65 of The Christian Times. He is the associate editor of The American Antiquarian and of The American Historical Register. He has of late done much to raise funds for the Egyptian Research Account (q.v.).

WINTHROP, JOHN: Puritan governor of Massachusetts; b. at Edwardston (15 m. s.e. of Bury St. Edmunds), Suffolk, Jan. 22, 1588; d. in Boston, Mass., Mar. 26, 1649. In the latter part of 1602 he entered Trinity College, Cambridge, but his unversity career came to an abrupt close in Apr., 1605, when he married. Although reared as a member of the Church of England, Winthrop early manfested marked sympathies with Puritanism. while the death of his first wife in 1615, followed by the death of his second in the year following, heightened a tendency, already present, to gloomy introspection. During this time he evidently thought of taking orders, but a third marriage, in Apr., 1618. changed in great measure the morbid trend of his thoughts, and, following his father's advice, he deself to his duties as justice of the peace f Groton manor, and was probably adthe Inner Temple in Nov., 1628.

one of Winthrop's type of mind the of Charles I. was not pleasant, and 1629, he was considering the advisaaving his native country. Late in Au-ad formally agreed to sail, and on Oct. s chosen to be governor of the colony etts for the year following. With his he sailed from Southampton in Mar., l, after landing at Salem, soon was ose Charlestown as a residence, only to fore long for the present site of Boston. was repeatedly elected governor of the nually until 1634, and then in 1637-40, l, and from 1646 until his death, having chosen one of the two councilors of the life in 1636. In 1635 he defended the t of Roger Williams (q.v.), and in return d of excess of leniency in his administratice. He humbly acknowledged the juscharge and promised to endeavor to be in future. Of more importance for the 3 his opposition to the Antinomian Con-(see Antinomianism and Antinomian RSIES, II., 2) headed by Anne Hutchinefended by Sir Henry Vane (q.v.). The result was Vane's supersession by Winthrop in the gubernatorial election of 1637, followed by the ban-ishment of Anne Hutchinson and the punishment of a large number of her adherents. The only other event of special interest was his arraignment in 1645 on a charge of exercising arbitrary authority, of which he was acquitted. In the following year certain persons in the colony presented to the court a petition setting forth that they were forbidden the civil privileges of Englishmen on the ground that they were not church-members; but the authority of Winthrop was such that the remonstrants were imprisoned and heavily fined.

Winthrop wrote Journal of the Transactions and Occurrences in the Settlement of Massachusetts and the other New England Colonies from the Year 1630 to 1644 (Hartford, 1790), the complete journal being later edited by J. Savage, The History of New England from 1630 to 1649 (2 vols., 1825-26; new ed., by J. K. Hosmer, New York, 1908), and Model of Christian Charity (ed. in Collections of the Massachusetts Historical Society, 3d series, vol. vii., Boston, 1838). His letters to his third wife have been edited by J. H. Twichell under the title Some old Puritan

Love-Letters (London, 1893).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: R. C. Winthrop, Life and Letters of John Winthrop, 2 vols., Boston, 1864-67; DNB, lxii. 226-281.
WIRZ, JAKOB. See NAZARENES.

WISDOM.

Ferm. om in the Old Testament. e Historical and Prophetical oks (§ 1). In Canonical Wisdom Literature
(§ 2).
In Apocryphal Wisdom Literature
(§ 3).
III. Wisdom in the New Testament.

The Current Conception (§ 1) In Gospels and Acts (§ 2). In the Epistles (§ 3). IV. Summary.

Cerm: The Hebrew word for wisdom, is shown by a comparison with the Arae fundamental meaning of "fasten" or ." The Septuagint, with few exceptions, sophia. In secular Greek sophia means, hand, capability, skill, experience, and, er, a profound insight into the significance ks of life. In the Bible, wisdom is, firstly, te of God, more especially a divine organ ion; and, secondly, a quality of man. lly, human wisdom is cognition, in a relethical sense it is conduct based on the I and tending to shape life to satisfactory may also signify practical skill and pro-Divine wisdom is regarded as the original f all divine activity and rule, and from it d the concepts of teleology and divine

om in the Old Testament: In the hisl prophetical books wisdom is generally
understood to be that talent and knowledge which surpass the average inl tellectual endowment. He is wise who
is clever in artistic work, who is his
il own counselor, who is able to judge
things rightly as well as to comprehend
their essence (cf. Gen. xli. 8; Ex.
xxi. 6, xxxv. 25, 35; Deut. i. 13, 15;
29; I Kings v. 12). To recognize this
the divine being many religious experinecessary, and also a conception of wis-

dom based on faith; only gradually could the divine wisdom have revealed itself to the prophets. They understood it to be a quality in accordance with which God establishes and realizes his aims. According to Isa. xxxi. 2, God alone is wise, and in xi. 2 it is said that the spirit of wisdom will rest upon the Messiah. In Isa. iii. 3 wisdom signifies artistic capacity in handiwork and in xxix. 14 it denotes political skill and prudence. In Deutero-Isaiah human intelligence is pronounced to be nothing as compared with the infinite wisdom (xl. 28). Jeremiah says that creation is the work of God's might and wisdom (x. 12).

In the "Wisdom literature," principally composed by those belonging to the class of "wise men,"

the concept of wisdom became much more prominent. This class arose after Canonical the cessation of prophecy and was of the greatest importance for the development of Judaism. These wise men bad found that the religious destricts

had found that the religious doctrines contradicted the experiences of daily life, and they felt the necessity of investigating the source of this contradiction. They made no boast of divine inspiration, but strove through reflection to solve the problem of the world and of life. Like the priests, they started with the assumption that the law is the way which leads to God. Practical ethics was their principal field, and the results of their reflections were usually formulated in maxims, parables, and fables. In the Book of Job (q.v.), the religious and

philosophical problem of how to reconcile the sufferings of the pious with the justice of God occupies a prominent place. Wisdom is impenetrable; no one knows where to find it; only God knows it and possesses it. In xxviii. 12, when the dialogue reaches its culmination, wisdom is described in highly poetic language. The writer also speaks of human wisdom and looks upon it as the essence of all morality and Naturally, it can be attained only prudence. through the fear of God (v. 13, xxviii. 28), even though it be transmitted by tradition (xv. 18). The Psalms do not often allude to wisdom. In creation and the order of nature, the divine wisdom appears (civ. 24, cxxxvi. 5). God communicates wisdom to men (li. 6, cv. 22); the fear of the Lord is the beginning of wisdom (cxi. 10). Proverbs being a composite book (see Proverbs of Solomon), wisdom is variously defined. In the earliest portions (x. 1xxii. 16, xxii. 17-xxiv. 22, xxxiii., xxxiv.), wisdom is treated only as a quality, the abstract conception is not prominent; in the latest collection (i.-ix.), however, the origin of wisdom is considered and to it great importance assigned. As elsewhere justice, so here wisdom is the highest ethical conception. Wisdom and piety are closely related (i. 29, ii. 5, xiv. 16), but not identical; man can attain piety by his own efforts, but not wisdom. In its essence human wisdom is only an emanation of the divine (ii. 6). In the latter are combined God's omniscience, omnipotence, and goodness, and he reveals himself thereby. Wisdom is a creation of God, it stood by his side at the creation of the world, and is ever active in human life (viii. 22-31). In Ecclesiastes (q.v.) a philosophical writer puts his teachings into the mouth of Solomon, the prototype of the seekers after wisdom. All through his book, wisdom is spoken of as the practical art of how to live rightly. The author is persuaded that all striving after wisdom is vanity; that it is vain to seek to discover the eternal in the ever-changing aspects of life. Nevertheless, the writer gives in eloquent words the result of his search for wisdom: resignation, the fear of God, and an assurance of an eternal living God and of his judgment (ii. 13, v. ii. 11-12, ix. 13).

In the polemic and apologetic diatribe against paganism called the Wisdom of Solomon (see Apoc-RYPHA, IV., 13), all moral and religious convictions are referred to wisdom. For the author 3. In wisdom is the chief emanation from the Apocryphal absolute being of God, a radiation of Wisdom his eternal light (vii. 22-29). It ap-Literature. pears as a half-celestial, half-terrestrial being, a mediatress between God and The whole book gives the impression that wisdom is definitely distinguished from God and independent of him, and effects are attributed to it which elsewhere in the Old Testament are referred to God (vii. 27, ix. 4, x. 10). Spirit and wisdom are identical for the author; both manifest the divine power and activity in the physical as well as in the moral world (cf. i. 4, 5, 7, ix. 17), but wisdom and the word (logos) are nowhere identical. In xvi. 12, the word is the will of God; in xviii. 15, it is a poet-ical personification of the divine will and action. In Ecclesiasticus (see APOCRYPHA, IV., 12) wisdom is identical with ancestral faith and is the criterion

of moral action and the essence of life. The fundamental conception is the same as in the above-mentioned books. Israel is the abiding-place of true wisdom and the law is pronounced to be the principle of wisdom and its imparter (cf. xxiv. 16, i. 16, xlii. 21). It is uncertain whether the author hypotatized wisdom, although this has often been assumed from chap. xxiv. Here wisdom appears a the first of all spirits and boasts that she was created from the beginning (verse 3), an independent entity, creating and ordering the world. However, all this is probably only a poetic personification just as God's activity is frequently represented by personfying his various powers. Certain of the ideas of Jesus ben Sirach regarding wisdom are again escountered in the Book of Baruch. The author distinguishes wisdom from God and personifies it postically. He writes that wisdom lived with God, was bestowed upon Israel, and dwelt among mankind (iii. 32-37). The peoples of the earth did not find wisdom, Israel alone attained it through the Law.

III. Wisdom in the New Testament: In order to understand the conception of wisdom in the New Testament, study is necessary of the

form which it assumed among the Jews

1. The

Current of the first century before and after Conception. Christ. Among the rabbis wisdom was confined to the Law, and the scribs were called wise men simply because they expounded it (cf. F. Weber, Judische Theologie auf Grund der Talmud, pp. 95-98, 125-126, Leipsic, 1897). The Book of Enoch (see PSEUDEPIGRAPHA, III.) is typical in this respect. The author endeavors to offer an exclusively Biblical system of world-philosophy and wisdom. God is the possessor of wisdom which dwells in heaven and is bestowed upon the just in the time of the Messiah. The Messiah is the incarnation of wisdom who reveals all the mysteries of justice (xxxviii. 3, xlvi. 3; cf. A. Dillmann, Das Buck Henoch, Leipsic, 1853). The concept of wisdom occupies a more important place in the Hellenistic writings. Here wisdom bridges the chasm between the hidden God and the world and is identical with the concept of religion. Moses is not only a founder of religion, he is also a teacher of wisdom. Wisdom leads to virtue (so Philo, the epistle of Aristess, IV Maccabees, and Josephus).

In the Synoptic Gospels the word (sophia) appears six times in Luke, but once in Mark, and three times in Matthew. It is variously

2. In used: (1) Without any religious con-Gospels nection whatever and only in the sense and Acts. of intellectual capability (cf. Matt. xii. 42; Luke xi. 31, xxi. 15). In Matt. xi. 25, xxiii. 34, the learned in the Law are called wise men (cf. Luke x. 21). (2) In the religious sense of an understanding of the will and ways of God, as well as the capacity to give testimony thereto (cf. Matt. xiii. 54; Mark vi. 2; Luke ii. 40). (3) In Matt. xi. 19 and Luke vii. 34-35, Jesus appears a the divine representative of wisdom. The idea is that God's wisdom manifests and justifies itself in Christ's life, and those who order their lives accordingly will recognize the truth of this wisdom (" wisdom is justified of all her children"). (4) The wisd is mentioned in Luke xi. 49. Here Luke reproduces a Jewish-Christian tradition; in Matt. xxiii. 34 is not the original one. se "Therefore also said the wisdom of omewhat obscure. Some think that Luke lesus, who designates himself as the wisod; while others believe that the decree ne wisdom is meant. It is, however, evi-Jesus here cites some lost Jewish procalyptic writing in which the wisdom of the speaker, or which was entitled "The God." It is singular that this concept is lacking in the Gospel of John, although range of the writer's thought one would and it there. This has been explained by that the Gospel was composed at a time Gnostic heresies were rife and that, as the employed the word sophia in a dualistic author of the Gospel avoided its use (cf. ntersuchungen über die Entstehung des vierliums, i. 199-200, Tübingen, 1902). This ever, lays undue stress on the employment rd in Gnostic speculations. The idea of (logos) is not identical with that of wisiia); indeed nowhere in early Christian re sophia and logos confounded with each hey are in Philo. In the Acts, sophia is religious knowledge and discourse, an of the Holy Ghost (cf. vi. 3, 10); at other ience in the practical conduct of life (cf.

eaks of wisdom in his principal epistles, in I Corinthians. Circumstances forced this; since it had been doubted whether reach wisdom, he showed that it was not to him. Paul conceives wisdom as a force which manifested itself in Christ;

e in him all the treasures of God's wisdom were included (cf. I Cor. i. 21, 24). God, the only wise one, did not save by human wisdom because the world did ize the divine wisdom in the natural rev-Through Christ as well as through the t, the knowledge of God, of his divine plan on and of heavenly things, was made posor. ii. 9 sqq.). Paul in this epistle emphafact that the divine wisdom is not to be to believers shortly after their conversion babes in Christ"). Such wisdom is only rfect (ii. 6-7); this does not signify a conabsolute perfection, only attainable for a rather a relative and normal excellence. sition in Ephesians of the relation of wise Church is characteristic. The Church ally connected with Christ; in it God's or the world begins to be realized, and t the divine wisdom is manifested more clearly. Even the angels learn through h a better understanding of wisdom (Eph. In the Catholic Epistles the concept of opears only in James. Sophia is a gift (i.

imary ethical virtue, the foundation of
In wisdom the faithful possess the new
of life through which law and freedom
ed. And revelation teaches that wisdom
key to all apocalyptic problems.

IV. Summary: Wisdom is the epitome of God's perfection. Because of his wisdom, which is inseparable from love, God knows and works all things. Wisdom, however, is also objective for God; it is the world-thought, produced, created, and ordered by God, and it serves for the realization of his decrees. The true reason for the existence of the world, which had been rendered doubtful through sin, was revealed and explained by the salvation of Christ. Through his son, God has given expression to his thoughts and incarnated the divine wisdom. Only in the New Testament is the idea of wisdom especially referred to the scheme of salvation and to its realization in history. Human wisdom is a reflex of the divine. Through the spirit alone is man disposed to recognize this divine wisdom. Knowledge consists in the conformity of the human mind with the divine wisdom and the works it has created. This refers to the revelation of God, both in nature and history. God is knowable only in so far as he reveals himself; only revelation can give the knowledge of God. (G. HOENNICKE.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: W. T. Davison, Wisdom Literature of the O. T., London, 1894; C. F. Keni, The Wise Men of Ancient Israel and their Proverbs, Boston, 1895; J. F. Bruch, Weisheitslehrer der Hebrder, Strasburg, 1851; G. Oehler, Grundsüge der alltestamentlichen Weisheit, Tübingen, 1854; M. Nicolas, Des doctrines religieuses des Juifs, Paris, 1860; C. Siegfried, Philo von Alexandria, Jena, 1872; F. Klasen, Die alltestamentliche Weisheit und der Logos der jüdischalexandrinischen Religionsphilosophie, Freiburg, 1878; H. Blois, La Poesie gnomique ches les Hebreux et les Grees, Toulouse, 1886; idem, Origines de la philosophie Judéo-Alexandrine, Paris, 1889; T. K. Cheyne, Job and Solomon, or the Wisdom of the O. T., London, 1887; idem, Jewish Religious Life after the Exile, New York, 1898; J. F. Genung, The Epic of the Inner Life, Boston, 1891; W. von Baudissin, Die alttestamentliche Spruchdichtung, Berlin, 1893; A. Aall, Geschichte der Logosides, Leipsie, 1896; M. D. Conway, Solomon and Solomonic Literature, London, 1899; R. G. Moulton, Literary Study of the Bible, Boston, 1899; M. Friedländer, Griechische Philosophie im A. T., Berlin, 1904; E. Sellin, Die Spuren griechischer Philosophie im A. T., Leipsic, 1905; H. Meinhold, Die Weisheit Israels in Spruch, Sage und Dichtung, Leipsic, 1908; DB, iv, 924-928; EB, iv, 5322-36; JR, xii, 537-538. The reader is also referred to the introductions to the commentaries on the several books which embody the Hebrew "wisdom," including the apocryphal books, also to the works on O. T. theology.

WISDOM OF SOLOMON. See APOCRYPHA, A, IV., 13.

WISE, ISAAC MAYER: American Reformed rabbi; b. at Steingrub, Bohemia, Mar. 20, 1819; d. at Cincinnati, O., Mar. 26, 1900. He received his education at Prague, and from 1843 to 1845 was rabbi at Radnitz, Bohemia. In the following year he emigrated to the United States, and was soon appointed rabbi of Congregation Beth-El at Albany, N. Y., and when, in 1850, a split occurred in this congregation, Wise was chosen to be the head of the new Congregation Anshe Emeth. Here he remained until 1854, when he accepted the position which he was to occupy for the remainder of his life, the rabbinate of Congregation Bene Yeshurun, Cincinnati.

Wise took a foremost place among the Reformed Jews of America almost from his first arrival in America, beginning with his work in the Congregation Beth-El. As early as 1847 he sought to end the lack of uniform services in the American Jewish congregations by his Minhag America, though it was not until 1855 that his efforts were successful. The Minhag which then appeared was practically all prepared by Wise, who himself withdrew it on the issuance of the Union Prayer Book in 1894. In 1848 he began the agitation which, in 1873, resulted in the organization of the Union of American Hebrew Congregations; and to him is also due, after the short-lived Zion Collegiate Association (1855), the foundation, in 1875, of the Hebrew Union College (see Theological Seminares, VI., 1), of which he was president until his death; while he was likewise the ultimate inspirer of the Central Conference of American Rabbis, over which he presided from its inception in 1889 until his death.

Besides editing the American Israelite and Deborah, and in addition to a number of novels in German and English (first appearing as serials in the two periodicals just mentioned), and even a couple of German plays, Wise wrote History of the Israelitish Nation from Abraham to the Present Time (Albany, 1854), Essence of Judaism (Cincinnati, 1861), Origin of Christianity, and a Commentary on the Acts of the Apostles (1868), Judaism, its Doctrines and Duties (1872), The Martyrdom of Jesus of Nazareth (1874), The Cosmic God (1876), History of the Hebrews' Second Commonwealth (1880), Judaism and Christianity, their Agreements and Disagreements (1883), Defense of Judaism vs. Proselytizing Christianity (1889), and Pronaos to Holy Writ (1891).

WISE, JOHN: Congregationalist; baptized at Roxbury, Mass., Aug. 15, 1652; d. at Essex, Mass., Apr. 8, 1725. He was graduated from Harvard, 1673; studied theology, and in 1683 became pastor of Chebacco parish in Ipswich, now Essex, and so continued the rest of his life. In 1688 he was imprisoned in Boston jail, fined, and deprived of his ministerial office by Governor Andros because he had led the citizens of Ipswich in refusing to pay certain taxes which they declared had been arbitrarily imposed. The town paid his fine, and the next year sent him to Boston as its representative at the convention to reorganize the colonial government. In 1710 he issued a satirical pamphlet, The Churches Quarrel Espoused (Boston, 3d ed., 1717), vigorously attacking "The Proposals of 1705," advocated by the Mathers and approved by many Massachusetts and Connecticut ministers, to give associations of ministers authority over individual churches. In 1717 he issued another pamphlet to the same intent, A Vindication of the Government of New England Churches. These tracts made a profound impression and powerfully contributed to block the scheme. "They are certainly," says Walker, "the most able exposition of the democratic principles which modern Congregationalism has come to claim as its own that the eighteenth century produced" (Creeds and Platforms of Con-gregationalism, p. 492). The two pamphlets were reprinted with an introduction by J. S. Clark (Boston, 1860); and a portion of the second as Old South Leaflet No. 165 (Boston, 1908), with the title, The Law of Nature in Government.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: W. B. Sprague, Annals of the American Pulpit, i. 188-189, New York, 1859; A. E. Dunning, Congregationalists in America, pp. 197, 207, 218-219, 270, ib. 1894; W. Walker, Creeds and Platforms of Congregationalism, pp. 470, 490-494, ib. 1893; idem, in American Chard History Series, iii. 209-212, 307, ib. 1894; F. F. Waten, Ipswich in the Massachusetts Bay Colony, Ipswich, 1905.

WISEMAN, NICHOLAS PATRICK STEPHEN: First Roman archbishop of Westminster, and cardinal; b. at Seville Aug. 2, 1802; d. at London Feb. 15, 1865. His father, an Irish merchant who had settled in Spain, died in 1804, when the family returned to Ireland. The future cardinal studied at St. Cuthbert's College, Ushaw (near Durham), England, 1810-18, and then at the English College, Rome; and though he states that at St. Cuthbert's he was "dull and stupid, and never said a clever or witty he was a diligent and good student, and in Rome his career was brilliant in scholarship. He received minor orders before leaving England, became doctor in divinity in Rome, 1824, and was ordained priest Mar., 1825. He assisted the Abbate Molza in the compilation of a Syriac grammar and lexicon and pursued independent studies in oriental languages, became vice-rector of the English College, Nov., 1827, was nominated professor supernumerary of Hebrew and Syro-Chaldaic in the archigymnasium of the Sapienza, Oct., 1828, and became rector of the English College the same year. In 1840 Pope Gregory XVI. appointed him coadjutor to Dr. Walsh, vicar-apostolic in England, and he was consecrated bishop of Melipotamus in partibus, and made president of St. Mary's College, Oscott (in Staffordshire, 4 m. n. of Birmingham). In 1847 he visited Rome, returning to England the next year as the pope's diplomatic envoy to Lord Palmerston. In 1849 he succeeded Walsh as vicarapostolic. On Sept. 29, 1850, Pius IX. issued an apostolic letter announcing the restoration of the hierarchy in England, and by a brief at the same time he elevated Wiseman (who had been summoned to Rome) to the archbishopric of Westminster. He was created cardinal the next day with the title of St. Pudentiana. The news was not acceptable in England, and feeling ran so high that in 1851 parliament forbade Roman Catholics to assume the title of bishop in the country, but the law remained inoperative and was repealed in 1872. Wiseman possessed undoubted scholarly and intellectual abilities (his linguistic attainments were remarkable), and he was gifted with a suave manner and, in general, with good judgment. By the end of his fourteen years' archiepiscopate he had in large measure lived down the prejudices and passion of its beginning, as he also ultimately overcame opposition which at times developed on the part of his bishops and others of his own communion. He won a high reputation as a preacher early in his career in Rome, and later, in England, he was much in demand as a speaker on literary, artistic, and social questions.

Besides sermons, pastoral letters, addresses, etc., he published: Horæ Syriacæ, seu commentationes et anecdota res vel litteras Syriacas spectantia (Rome, 1828); On the Connection between Science and Revealed Religion (2 vols., London, 1836), twelve lectures, dealing chiefly with geology, originally delivered in the drawing-room of Cardinal Thomas Weld in Rome during Lent, 1835; Twelve Lectures on the Principal Doctrines and Practices of the Roman Cath-

dic Church (1836), first delivered in the chapel of the Sardinian embassy in London; Eight Lectures on the Body and Blood of Our Lord in the Blessed Bucharist (1836); Four Lectures on the Offices and Ceremonies of Holy Week as Performed in the Papal Chapel (1837); High Church Claims (1841), articles from The Dublin Review relating to the Oxford movement (at its height at the time; Wiseman's writings had much influence in its development; John Henry Newman and Richard Hurrell Froude [qq.v.] had been in consultation with him in Rome as early as 1833, and from that time he devoted himself to the restoration of the Roman Catholic Church in England), and a public letter to Newman after the appearance of Tract XC.; Three Lectures on the Cathohic Hierarchy Delivered in St. George's, Southwark (1851), explanatory of his new position as archbishop of Westminster; Essays on Various Subjects (3 vols., 1853; new ed. with biographical introduction by J. Murphy, 1888), chiefly from The Dublin Review; Fabiola, or the Church of the Catacombs (1854), a story of the third century, widely translated, and a Roman Catholic classic; Recollections of the Last Four Popes (1858); The Hidden Gem (1858), a two-act drama; a volume of sermons, lectures, and addresses delivered on a public tour through Ireland in 1858 (1859); and Sermons on Our Lord Jesus Christ (Dublin, 1864). With Daniel O'Connell and Michael Joseph Quin he founded The Dublin Review in May, 1836.

REMOGRAFET: W. Ward, Life and Times of Cardinal Wiseman, 2 vols., London, 1897; G. White, Memoir of ... Cardinal Wiseman, ib. 1865; W. P. Ward, Ten Personal Studies, New York, 1908; DNB, lxi. 243-246; E. Stock, English Church in the 19th Century, pp. 35-36, 42, London, 1910; F. W. Cornish, English Church in the 19th Century is 274, 337-342, ib. 1910.

WISHART, GEORGE: Name of two Scotch notables

1. Scotch Reformer; b. 1513 (?); burned at the stake at St. Andrews Mar. 1, 1546. He belonged to the family of Wishart of Pittarrow (near Montrose), but little or nothing is known with certainty as to his early history. In 1538, while master of the grammar-school in Montrose, he was summoned by John Hepburn, bishop of Brechin, for teaching his scholars the Greek New Testament (Greek being at this period almost unknown in Scotland), and to save his life was obliged to flee to England. In 1539 he again got into trouble in Bristol for preaching—according to the contemporary testimony of the Mayor of Bristol's Calendar (Camden Society Publications, new ser., v., p. 55, London, 1872)—that there is no imputation of the "merit" of Christ to men. His teaching was pronounced to be heretical by Thomas Cranmer (q.v.) and other Prelates, and he made a public recantation at Canterbury. He seems to have lived abroad, chiefly in Germany and Switzerland, from 1539 to 1542. In 1543 he was again in England and a member of Orpus Christi College, Cambridge. The next year, probably, he ventured back to his native country and began to preach what he regarded as the fundamental doctrines of Christianity in Montrose, Dundee, Ayrshire, Leith, and elsewhere. East Lothian was the scene of his last labors, and the Crowning result of his evangelistic work was the

conversion of John Knox, who at the time was still a Roman priest but already prepossessed in favor of the new doctrines, and was tutor to the families of two of the landed gentry of that county.

Early in Jan., 1546, after preaching in Haddington, Wishart, at the instigation of Cardinal David Beaton (q.v.), was apprehended at Ormiston House by the Earl of Bothwell, who, after promising to protect him from violence, surrendered him to the regent, Arran, and to the cardinal. The latter imprisoned him in his castle at St. Andrews. On Feb. 28 Wishart was tried and convicted, and the next day was illegally burned without the sanction of the regent. He died with unflinching courage and with the prayer to his Lord to "forgive them that have condemned me to death this day ignorantly." His alleged prophecy that "he who feedeth his eyes with my torments [Beaton] shall, within few days, be hanged out at the same window to be seen with as much ignominy as he now leaneth there in pride " is not contained in the earliest account of the martyrdom (1547), in Knox's History, or in the first edition of Foxe's Acts. The earliest reference occurs in a reprint of Foxe's work (1570), which has a marginal note "Mr. George Wishart prophesieth of the death of the cardinal." George Buchanan (Rerum Scoticarum historia, p. 178, Edinburgh, 1582) expands this alleged prophecy into a saying similar to the traditional utterance, which first occurs in David Buchanan's edition of Knox's History (1644), p. 171. The tradition of the prophecy grew, presumably, out of Wishart's warning to the prelates that if they would not convert themselves from their wicked error there should hastily come upon them the wrath of God (Knox, History, ut sup., p. 170). The unauthenticity of Wishart's alleged prophecy of Beaton's death "within few days" removes one foundation of the charge that he was implicated in the assassination of the cardinal—a charge first made by Thomas Dempster in the seventeenth century (Hist. eccl. gentis Scotorum, Bannatyne Club ed., ii. 599, Edinburgh, 1829). Other alleged grounds are mere conjectures, and the cardinal can have had no suspicion of Wishart's complicity or he would have brought it forward to secure the regent's sanction of the execution. No contemporary writer suggests such complicity, and it is hardly compatible with Wishart's prayer for the forgiveness of his judges.

2. Bishop of Edinburgh; b. in East Lothian 1599; d. in Edinburgh July 25 (?), 1671. He belonged to the Wisharts of Logie in Forfarshire, and was educated, at least in part, at the University of Edinburgh for the Scottish Church during the period when Presbyterianism was being superseded by episcopacy, to which, both from family connections and personal predilections, he was inclined. He was minister of Monifieth, Forfarshire, 1625-26, whence he was translated to the second charge of St. Andrews. When the general assembly of 1638 renounced episcopacy, deposed the bishops, and imposed the Covenant (see HENDERSON, ALEXAN-DER), Wishart, who would not sign the covenant, withdrew to England and was deposed in 1639 for desertion of his parish. As compensation he was appointed to two lectureships in Newcastle churches, but, when the town was captured by General Leslie in 1644, his house was plundered and he was sent a captive to Edinburgh. In 1645, having been sent to the Marquis of Montrose, then everywhere victorious, with other royalist prisoners to plead for royal clemency, he appears to have joined the family of Montrose as chaplain. He continued with him to the close of the campaign, and then accompanied him abroad. After the fall of Montrose (1650), he received protection and favor from Elizabeth, queen of Bohemia, sister of Charles I. At the Restoration he returned to England, obtained the rectory of Newcastle, and in 1662 was promoted to the bishopric of Edinburgh.

Wishart's character is very differently represented by the Presbyterians and the Episcopalians. Robert Wodrow says he was notoriously profane, a drunkard, and the author of "lascivious poems" which "gave scandal to all the world." Bishop Keith calls him "a person of great religion," and says that, when the unfortunate rising at Pentland failed, he interested himself to obtain mercy for the taptive insurgents; and, "having been a prisoner himself, he was always careful at each dinner to send away the first mess to the prisoners." The "lascivious poems" referred to by Wodrow, have never been discovered. The bishop was an elegant Latinist and a man of general literary ability. His chief writing was a Latin history of a campaign in Scot-

land under Montrose (composed at the Hague; Amsterdam [?], 1647). He also left in manuscript a second part completing the life of his patron. The work has often been translated and reprinted (text, transl., and notes, by A. D. Murdoch and H. F. M. Simpson, London, 1893).

W. LEE†. Revised by HENRY COWAN.
BIBLIOGRAPHY: On 1 consult: John Knox, Works, ed D
Laing, vol. i. passim, Edinburgh, 1864; T. McCre, Life
of John Knox, Edinburgh, 1864; T. McCre, Life
of John Knox, Edinburgh, 1860; D. Hay Finning, Martyrs and Confessors of St. Andrews, 1887; DNB,
lxii. 248-251. For and against Wishart's complicity is
the assassination of Cardinal Beaton, consult: P. F.
Tytler, Hist. of Scotland, vol. v., chap. v., Edinburgh,
1834; Weir, in North British Review, 1868; J. H. Burta,
Hist. of Scotland, vol. iii., chap. xxxvi., London, 1873;
C. Rogers, Life of George Wishart, pp. 82-87, Edinburgh,
1876; J. Cunningham, Church Hist. of Scotland, vol. i,
chap. viii., ib. 1882; W. Cramond, The Truth about George
Wishart, 1898; A. F. Mitchell, The Scotlish Reformation,
p. 69, ib. 1900; P. H. Brown, Hist. of Scotland, ii. 39-56,
Cambridge, 1902; H. Cowan, John Knoz, New York, 1986.
On 2 consult his Memoirs of James, Marysis of Mentrose, 1659-50, transl. and ed. A. D. Murdoch and H. M.
Simpson, London, 1893; R. Wodrow, Sufferings of the
Church of Scotland, vol. i., ib. 1721; R. Keith, Cassique
of the Bishope of . . . Scotland, ib. 1755; DNB, kii.
251-253.

WISLICENUS, GUSTAV ADOLF. See Free Congregations, §§ 1-2.

WISZOWATY, ANDREAS. See Socinus, Fautus, Socinians, I., § 2.

WITCHCRAFT AND WITCH TRIALS.

I. General History.
Official Deliverances Prior to the
Reformation (§ 1).
Official Responsibility and Private
Discussion (§ 2).
Individual Opposition (§ 3).
Superstition Abolished; Survivals

II. In Great Britain and the American Colonies.
Legal Provisions against Witcheraft (§ 1).
Classes Affected by the Belief (§ 2).
Prosecutions in Great Britain (§ 3). Early Prosecutions in the Colonis (§ 4). The Salem Episode; Early Stage (§ 5). The Later Stages and End (§ 6). The Financial and Moral Effect (§ 7). Psychological Problems (§ 8).

I. General History: In primitive belief the witch is a person who by supernatural means injures the possessions of her neighbors or of the inhabitants of a district, directing her destructive activity particularly against the corn and wine and cattle and what nourishes the cattle. Witchcraft is in general the accomplishment of some purpose through the help

of supernatural means, particularly
1. Official through subordinated spirits with
which alliance is made. It involves
ances Prior belief in such spirits and in the possito the Reformation. them and in a practical philosophy of
them and in a practical philosophy of
them and in a practical philosophy of

magic (see Magic). But these dealings may upon such grounds as the injury done to others be regarded as punishable offenses, especially under the control of a religion of revelation. But the better ground for interdiction of these practises lies in the essential impiety and idolatry which witcheraft involves. On this ground witchcraft was forbidden by the Mosaic law (Deut. xviii. 10 sqq.), and also by the early Christian Church either on the ground of the emptiness of the practise or of its positive godlessness and commerce with the devil. A less strenuous opposition was begun in the early Middle Ages, as, for example, at the Synod of Reisbach (799 A.D.), where rules of penance were made for women convicted of witchcraft, but capital pun-

ishment was prohibited (Hefele, Conciliengeschicht, iii. 730). John of Damascus occupied a similar standpoint in his writing "Concerning Dragons and Witches " (MPG, xciv. 1599-1604), in treating of the superstitions among Jews and Saracens; and to the same purport may be cited Agobard of Lyon (d. 840 A.D.) and John of Salisbury (d. 1180 A.D.), all holding witchcraft to be a delusion. At the beginning of the thirteenth century at the erection of the Inquisition the use of magic and heresy were regarded as two sides of the same offense and as the desertion of God for the service of evil spirits. Yet this very action of the Inquisition diffused and strengthened the superstition. Gregory IX., drawing his information from Conrad of Marburg, in a bull of the year 1231 invoked the use of civil purishment against heretical associations at the meetings of which the devil appeared as a toad or a ghost or a black cat. Dominican theologians were, however, the principal diffusers of belief in these meetings with the devil and of the superstitions of incubi and succubi, going back to Augustine, "City of God," xv. 23. The Dominican inquisitor Nicolas Eymericus wrote in 1376 his Directorium inquisilorium, setting forth the use of magic as heretical, and stigmatizing those who used it as infideles, superstitiosi, apostatæ, and subject to the Inquisition. Innocent VIII. in his bull of 1484 renewed the provision which brought witches under the judgment of the Inquisition, and enlarged the powers of the inquisitors upon the basis of the close relationship between witchcraft and heresy (the text of the bull in G. Roskoff, Geschichte des Teufels, Leipsic, 1869, i. 222-225). Supplementing these directions there was put forth under the Dominican inquisitors Jacob Sprenger and Heinrich Krämer a great work directing the process of inquiry into witchcraft, vis., the celebrated Malleus maleficarum (Cologne, 1489, and very frequently thereafter), the title of which notes as a peculiarity that the practise of leagoing with the devil was charged principally upon women. The first book shows the proof of the occurrence of the offense and its detestability according to Deut. xviii. and Lev. xix.-xx., and cites Augustine, St. Thomas, and experience. The second book continues along the line of experience and directs in the methods of detecting, dismissing, and suring the evils. The third book introduces the matter of trials and punishments. While the ordinary tribunals are competent, the union of heresy and witchcraft makes the inquisitors' duty plain, and there is no need to wait for an accuser; the witnesses need not be named; a counsel for defense was not necessary, indeed if such a one were too zealous he might be suspected of complicity in the offense; instruments of torture are suggested. The authority most quoted by this book is the Formicarius of Johann Nider (d. 1438), dependent upon Wisd. Sol., vi. 6.

Thus a few centuries before the Reformation, in part under direct stimulus from the popes, there was a great increase of belief in witches and of prosecu-

2. Official
Responsibility and
Private
Discussion.

Alexander VI., Julius II., Leo X., and Hadrian VI. That the sponsors for

the Reformation made no point of opposing specifically the attack upon witchcraft even in the counthe evangelized rests upon the general background of conception of such possibilities as existed in the minds of the ministry during the last two centuries prior to the Reformation. The Elector August of included in his criminal code of 1572 as a spital offense "that anyone should forget his Christian offense "that are the christian offense "that are and make an agreement with the devil." ich ter speaks of the epidemic of witchcraft which out in Germany at the end of the fifteenth the y, and resulted in the prosecution of thou of unfortunates; and when the spread of the de Dic into France, Italy, Spain, the Netherlands, ngland is taken into account, the victims comt only out of the Catholic but out of the Prot-Church, the estimate of many thousands is eyond bounds. Not the least guilty part of Process was the secularizing of the trials, i.e., the of the trials over to the civil power, which place in Protestant countries at the end of the It lian habit and teaching" continued, and the eption was fostered by the makers of the conons as a part of orthodox belief, while among

the masses of the people the superstition had the strongest hold. Among the Roman Catholic theorists who sought to justify the experiences of the witch trials by philosophical principles were Jean Bodin (Magorum dæmonomania, Basel, 1579), Peter Binsfeld, the suffragan bishop of Treves, the Jesuit Martin del Rio of Antwerp (Disquisitiones magica, Louvain, 1599), and Georg Stengel of Ingolstadt (d. 1651, De judiciis). On the Protestant side the subject was discussed by the Heidelberg physician Thomas Erastus (Repetitio disputationis de lamiis seu strigibus, Basel, 1578); James I. of England (Dæmonologie, Edinburgh, 1597), and especially Benedict Carpzov (Practicæ novæ . . . rerum criminalium, Leipeic, 1635).

In recent times Protestants and Roman Catholics have joined in showing the unreality at the basis of this series of conceptions. It is due to the work of a Bonn professor of medicine, C. Binz,

3. Individual that a series of Protestant opposers of Opposition. witch trials have become known as in past centuries exerting their powers in

this direction. Thus the Lutheran Johann Weier (d. 1588) wrote the oldest Latin treatise against the practise of trying witches (De præstigiis dæmonum, Frankfort, 1566), and he had several doughty followers during the sixteenth century. Similarly the German Protestant John Ewich, physician at Bremen (1584), Johann Georg Gödelmann, professor of law at Rostock, and Augustin Lerchheimer, professor at Heidelberg (Christlich Bedencken und Erinnerung von Zauberei, Heidelberg, 1585, new ed., Strasburg, 1888), as well as the English Reginald Scot (d. 1599; The Discovery of Witchcraft, London, 1584, reprint, 1886), energetically opposed the burning of witches. The Arminian preacher J. Greve, of Arnheim in Holland (Tribunal reformatum, 1622), was another forerunner of the Jesuits Tanner and Spee. Tanner's Theologia scholastica appeared in 4 vols., Ingolstadt, 1626, and Spee's book was five years later, both protesting against the prosecution of the witches. The same cause was espoused at the end of the seventeenth century by Balthasar Bekker (De Betoverde Wereld, Leeuwarden, 1691), and at the beginning of the eighteenth by Christian Thomasius (Theses de crimine magiæ, Halle, 1701).

The century of the Aufklärung was not quite free from official execution of witches on German or German-Swiss territory. In Würzburg in 1749 occurred the burning of the nun Marie Renate

Singer, in Memmingen in 1775 the beheading of Anna Maria Schwägelin, and in 1782 that of the serving-maid Abolished; Anna Göldi at Glarus. Since then the Survivals. dreadful epidemic seems to have died

out, at least from European lands. But in Roman Catholic Middle and South America prosecution for witchcraft has survived almost to the present. Execution by burning for the alleged crime was visited upon a woman at Camargo in Mexico in 1860, upon a woman and her son in San Juan de Jacobo in the Mexican state of Sinaloa in 1874, and upon a woman, after frequent castigation, in the market-place of a city of Peru in 1888. That this should be the case under Roman Catholic domination is not surprising when it is recalled that a

basis is laid for it in the Thomistic theology, which is practically the officially recognized and normative system of the Roman Catholic Church.

(O. Zöckler†.)

II. In Great Britain and the American Colonies: The belief in witchcraft was one of the earliest delusions entertained by man under the primitive dualism which events in the sphere of nature made

to appear so much a matter of course

1. Legal (see Comparative Religion, VI., 1,
Provisions a, §§ 4-5). That legislation under the
against earlier civilizations should take cogWitchcraft. nizance of it was equally a matter of
course. Thus Hammurabi (see HamMURABI AND HIS CODE, II., § 2; cf. DB, extra vol.,

MURABI AND HIS CODE, II., § 2; cf. DB, extra vol., p. 599) began his codified legislation with two sections dealing with the subject, and the Brahman and Zoroastrian legislation has much to say on it. Under Christianity the basis of the synodical, papal, and scholastic pronouncements described in the preceding discussion was found in the Mosaic and prophetic denunciations (e.g., Ex. xxii. 18; Deut. xviii. 10-11; Micah v. 12). The Biblical interdiction together with the remnants of heathen superstition aided in perpetuating the belief; and this accounts for the fact that the educated, especially the clergy, were so prominent in the actual outbreaks which occurred like epidemics. In Western Europe the seventeenth century may be described as the era of the witchcraft delusions, exemplified by the execution of seventy persons in Sweden in 1670, while 1,000 are reported to have been executed in a single province in Italy in one year. This epidemic period was anticipated by sporadic prosecutions of witches in the previous century. In England, Scotland, and the North American colonies the actual prosecutions were based on legal provisions which were provided from time to time, beginning in the sixteenth century. In England witchcraft, defined as a compact made by man or woman with Satan, was made a felony in 1541 under King Henry VIII. (33 Henry VIII., chap. 8), and this act was extended under Elizabeth in 1562. The volume of James I. referred to above was partly the occasion of the new act of parliament in the first year of his reign (1603; 1 James I., chap. 12) exactly defining the crime. A well-known legal authority (M. Dalton, The Countrey Justice, London, 1618, latest ed., 1746) had a chapter on witchcraft aiming to define exactly the marks on the body of a witch. In Scotland the first act on the subject was dated 1563, amended 1649, under which the clergy were often the instruments of justice and presbyteries frequently the petitioners for the same. The repeal of the laws in England and Scotland in 1735 evoked many and persistent protests from high and low. Massachusetts in 1641 made witchcraft a capital offense; Connecticut followed in December, 1642; and in 1655 New Haven Colony based a similar law explicitly upon Ex. xxii. 18; Lev. xx. 27; and Deut. xviii. 10-11.

One of the noteworthy features of the witchcraft prosecutions of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, due in part to the Biblical basis, is the eminence of those in Church, State, science, and society, who supported by voice and act the idea itself and the civil procedure against witches. Thus

Cranmer, in 1549 (Articles of Visitation), enjoined the clergy to make inquiries concerning the practise of witchcraft. Bishop Jewel in a ser-2. Classes mon before Queen Elizabeth in 1558 Affected lamented the multiplication of witches Richard Baxter's Certainty of the bγ the Belief. Worlds of Spirits (London, 1691) places him on record to the same effect. Cotton Mather in New England, who served on a conmission to advise the special court which tried the cases and suggested caution in accepting certain lines of evidence offered (though on grounds which emphasize the extravagance of the superstition), approved after six executions at Salem the evidence and the convictions which resulted so fatally (Discourse on the Wonders of the Invisible World, Boston, 1693). The offense was understood as cognizable in courts of justice by great English jurists like Sir Edward Coke and Sir Matthew Hale, while Lord Bacon and Sir Henry More gave utterance to their belief in the reality of compacts made between human beings and Satan. William Penn is reported to have sat as justice at the trial of two Swedish women accused of witchcraft, and they escaped only through a technicality in the proceedings. Physicians diagnosed cases as due to witchcraft. The pronouncement of Dr. Griggs, the Salem village physician in the case of the "afflicted" children of that place, is responsible in large part for the prosecutions which made it notorious, in which, between Mar. and Sept., 1692, nineteen were hanged and one was pressed to death. While among the people

Under the Scotch statutes in Aberdeen in 1597 twenty-four persons were burned at the stake for this offense. At Prestonpans (?) Isobel Grierson met the same fate in 1607, a part of 3. Prosetutions in peared in the form of a cat to work

her evil deeds. In 1617 twenty-seven

the opinions of the educated were reflected with a

thousand weird and fantastic onlargements.

Great

witcheraft.

persons were executed in Aberdeen or Britain. the vicinity; in 1622 Margaret Wallace suffered death, her accuser being the minister at Garmunnock; and an intimate of hers, Alexander Hunter or Hatteraic, shortly after suffered death; Alice Nisbet was executed at Hilton in 1632. In the same vicinity the year 1643 saw several executions, some of them by mobs, one by the awful penalty of pressing to death. Ninety women are reported to have been hanged in Scotland in 1645, and 120 in 1661. Possibly the last execution for this cause in that country was that of Little Dean at Dornoch in 1722. In England the authority of King James I. gave increased currency to the belief in witches. In 1645-47 the infamous witch-finder, Matthew Hopkins, ran his horrible course, and in that time in Suffolk and Essex 200 witches were tried and most of them executed (J. Howell, Familiar Letters, 1645, 10th ed., Aberdeen, 1753). In 1664 two women were tried in Suffolk before Sir Matthew

When in the mother country there was manifested among all classes so lively a sense of the supposedly supernatural, reenforced by official prose-

Hale, who then affirmed the certainty of the fact of

executions, it is not surprizing that should have found lodgment in the colonies where contact with Indian superstition was so close. The legal provisions already cited are an index of public official opinion. The first victim in the colonies, so far as extant testi-mony goes, was Alse Young (not Mary Windsor, Conn. (in all probability the to by J. Winthrop, History of New J. Savage, ii. 374, Boston, 1853). Marartha) Jones, against whom suspicion part by her skill in the use of healing nanged in Boston in 1648; and Ann ow of a reputable merchant of the same ecuted June 19, 1656. Mrs. Bassett death penalty at Stratford, Conn., in napp at Fairfield in the same colony in was a particularly malignant case); d Rebecca Greensmith were hanged at an., 1662, the wife after a "confession" ; implicated her husband. The most se, however, not in itself but because at part the inciting cause of the Salem s that of Mrs. Glover, executed in Bos-1688, for bewitching the four children, ively, thirteen, eleven, seven, and five, mason named Goodwin. The account s of these children, and of part of the ings which followed, given by Cotton morable Providences Relating to Witchssession, Boston, 1689) illustrates the his belief had among the intelligent, as redulity which could induce belief in appenings. These children, according arked like dogs and purred or mewed ey fell into strange contortions; one I out that she was being strangled, or bound her leg, or that she was in an he physical manifestations of choking, perspiration were evident to bear out its. "Yea, they would fly like Geese; ed with an incredible Swiftness thro' ng but just their Toes now and then und, their Arms waved like the Wings -so reports Mr. Mather! One of the nifested an unnatural precocity and ler intercourse with Mr. Mather, who exorcise her, playing upon his antipastounding cunning. The children acllover, a woman of violent temper, and as her conviction and execution. Beind 1688 twelve persons were executed nse in New England (W. F. Poole, in Memorial Hist. of Boston, ii. 133, Bosand this is only a small proportion of some of which resulted in acquittal, I cases a stigma was attached which nained for life.

vin case was naturally much discussed, ion of the laws of psychology suggests up to the Salem episode. This subtle s enforced by the explicit statements igh esteem to the effect that Satan was he situation so favorable to him in 1 because of the newness and wildness

of the country, a strenuous assault on mankind. The manifestations around which the Salem persecutions centered began in the home of 5. The the Rev. Samuel Parris, minister of the Salem village since 1689. He had in his fam-Episode; ily his daughter Elizabeth (nine years Early Stage. of age; she was early removed to another place), his niece Abigail Williams (eleven years), and a slave called Tituba. With these there used to meet in the afternoons of the winter of 1691-92 a circle composed as follows: Ann Putnam (twelve), Mary Walcott, Mercy Lewis, and Elizabeth Hubbard (seventeen), Elizabeth Booth and Susannah Sheldon (eighteen), Mary Warren and Sarah Churchill (twenty), all unmarried, and Mrs. Putnam, Mrs. Pope, and a woman named Wenham, all of middle age. The object of the meeting was the practise of palmistry, fortune-telling, magic, and spiritualism. Before the winter was over these persons began to display before others certain curious actions, crawling under chairs, assuming queer postures, making strange outcries, falling into fits, and writhing as though in great agony. The village physician already named, Dr. Griggs, being called in diagnosed the case as one of witchcraft. It seems at least credible that this gave the circle its cue. The news spread concerning the doings, witnesses increased in numbers, and the excitement mounted. The exhibitions were no longer confined to the houses of the minister and the families to which the members of the circle belonged, but took place in public, even in the church, the services of which were interrupted by the "afflicted" with outcry or assertion of the occurrence of something unseen by the congregation. Under the assumption that Satan was at work, the children went unrebuked, and their impudence grew. Some members who seem to have retained their sense of the fitness of things were incensed and stayed away from church, thereby becoming marked characters and some of them figuring in the subsequent prosecutions as defendants. Mr. Parris called in for consultation the neighboring ministers, who witnessed some of the performances and accepted Dr. Griggs' diagnosis. The little world was now aflame, and the question naturally arose, who was accountable for the behavior of the circle. Questioning educed the statement from the girls that the witches were Sarah Good, Sarah Osburn, and Tituba. The magistrates entered upon their duties, the accused were examined, the assumption of guilt being at the basis of the examination. Tituba "confessed," while the others strenuously maintained their innocence. During these and the following trials the girls appeared to suffer whenever the accused looked toward them. Soon new culprits were sought, Martha Corey was accused, and in her examination and all her subsequent acts was manifested as a woman of unusual ability and strong common sense. Her husband was put on the stand and adduced some trivial circumstances which were interpreted as substantiating the charge, but were clearly the result of the current ferment. So it went, and person after person was accused until it seemed that no station, calling, or character was exempt from peril of accusation.

The attention of students has been called to the fact that those first charged with active agency in the Satanic persecution of the girls were persons of little standing in the community, or even of disrepute; that the next stage was accusa-

6. The tion of those who for property or other Later Stages reasons were persona non grata either and End. in the community or to the girls. Then

the accusers became bolder; those who under other circumstances could not have been thought of were charged with this guilt, and of especial significance is the fact that those who opposed or denounced the proceedings were noted and pursued with vindictiveness by the band of girls. Particularly noteworthy in this last relation was the case of John Proctor, whose entire family, including his wife's relations, were brought into the scope of the proceedings and suffered great personal and property damage. Among those who were assailed by these terrible experiences were Dorcas Good, a child between four and five years of age, Rev. Samuel Willard of the Old South Church, Boston, John Alden, and finally Mrs. Hale, the wife of the minister of the First Church of Beverly. The virtues of the last-named were so eminent and her services so distinguished that the accusers at last overreached themselves, people came to their senses, and the delusion was dispelled. While arrests continued in 1693, in January of which year fifty indictments were found though only three convictions resulted, yet Chief Justice William Stoughton maintained to the last his position respecting the evidence to be admitted and his prejudice against all who were accused. In April of the same year the governor by proclamation set free all who were imprisoned on this charge, and in 1711 there was issued a legislative reversal of attainder in favor of those who had suffered, or their surviving relatives, and compensation to them or the survivors was ordered to the amount of £578 12s. Thus ended the Salem delusion. That sporadic cases of prosecution and even of execution elsewhere should occur was natural. In July, 1706, at Princess Anne Court House, Va., Grace Sherwood suffered the ordeal by water and was committed to jail in fetters, though the final disposition of the case is not recorded. In 1712 in South Carolina a vigilance committee is reported to have seized and "roasted" several witches (whether to death is not clear), and a jury refused to award damages to the sufferers or their representatives. And in Illinois, under the jurisdiction of Virginia, as late as 1790, negro slaves, male and female, were done to death under legal prosecution by burning, hanging, or shooting.

The dire results of the outbreak appear only partially in the executions. Hundreds were put under arrest and confined in fetters, some died in prison, others were laid under suspicion with all the natural consequences thereof in communities which under the superstition developed a cruel fa-

the superstition developed a cruel fa7 Financial naticism. Even where conviction was
and Moral not reached, the victims were often
Effects. muleted in heavy costs for the trial
which had issued in their release. Some
broke prison and fled from the places where they had

by hardship won a home from the forest and had to

begin again in fresh surroundings. Others, thous not convicted, were banished, or suffered under the unjust avoidance of their neighbors. The families of the victims suffered under the legal attainder which rested on them for eighteen years. There are but the most obvious of the consequences to the vistims and their families. Others were those which came to the community in the demoralisation caused by the excitement of passions and the yielding to the opportunity for revenge. This does not overlook the deception of the group of girls and women to whose action the Salem outbreak was due, as they played on the sympathies, superstitions, and ani mosities of the neighborhood. While all classes, and especially the learned in law, medicine, and the ology, were caught in the epidemic, obloquy rests in large measure upon the ministers who were so active in the affair. Much has been written both in accesation and defense of this class. Yet after two conturies the verdict, in view of the almost preponderating influence wielded in society by the dergy, must be that had they been free from superstition the outbreak could not have occurred, even with the physicians pronouncing in favor of witchcraft. Their prepossessions supporting the possibilities of compact between a physical Satan and men and women cast the deciding vote, and in this relation the influence of Cotton Mather was not the least. On the other hand, many of the clergy, from the first, labored mightily against the proceedings, mitigated the severity where possible, and finally aided in bringing about recovery from the delusion.

The attendant circumstances present many problems to the psychologist. The first set of questions focuses upon the circle of girls and women who were regarded as bewitched. Many elements

8 Psychological of trouble were present; the knowledge concerning the Goodwin children was doubtless a primary stimulus; there was the intent to study occult phenomena.

ena which was the purpose of the meetings; also the presence of the possibly half-witted Tituba with her Indian-negro proclivities acting on the minds of the others, which were gardens evidently tilled for that kind of growth; not to be forgotten is the impressionability of the members of the circle, who were clearly open to suggestion and self-suggestion, and were probably nervous in temperament; the wonder that they excited awakened, stimulated, and ministered to a desire for notice which grew as it fed; and this developed into a craving for publicity and an astonishing boldness, together with a precocious cunning and a progressive callousness and vindictiveness which at the last overreached itself; finally, there was the predisposition of the community to accept at its face value every claim and assertion The second set of probmade by the "afflicted." lems is raised by the last condition noted. How could the ideas of justice of all classes, the common sense of the ordinary man and woman, the medical knowledge of the physician, the legal perception of the magistrate, and the acumen of the minister be so obscured as to permit the orgy of prosecution to continue for a year? The credulity evinced, the ness of the beliefs publicly owned, seem at this date almost impossible. This lack of restraining sensi-

o pronounced that would-be defenders of were for the time almost completely d back by fear and soon by the fact that he accused involved danger of the charge ty in the alleged witchcraft. Previous s and useful lives went for nothing in the h paralyzed humane impulses. The fea-Salem episode which is most noticeable is c of spiritual insanity. The third set of presented by the "confessions" of the a some cases doubtless a morbid desire y, for which the opportunity so unique a sensation furnished the occasion, was cause. Moreover, the leading questions e prosecutors and judges indicate a super--current in the life of the day which in of the weakly could easily stimulate the imagination of the accused. In some may well be that sensitive victims, com the badgering incident to the trials, ut by their tortures and the terrible situuch they found themselves, confessed in an end might be put to their sufferings, incocted the story of their dealings with ng the details which the suggestive quesicated in order to bear out the "confest when all is said, there is much in the h calls for further study. Few occurent more, or more difficult, problems than witchcraft delusion.

GEO. W. GILMORE.

7: On the general subject consult: E. D. bliotheca, acta et scripta magica, 3 vols., Lemgo, G. K. Horst, Dāmonomagie, oder Geschichte des m Zauberei und dāmonische Wunder, 2 vols., 1818; idem, Zauberbibliothek, 6 vols., Mains, idem, Deuteroskopie, 2 vols., Frankfort, 1830; squirol, Des maladies mentales, 2 vols., Paris, von Waldbrühl, Naturforschung und Hezenrlin, 1863; G. Roskoff, Geschichte des Teufels, I. Leipsic, 1869; J. Buchmann, Unfreie und ein ihren Beziehungen zur Sklaverei . . . und nismus, Breslau, 1873; G. Diefenbach, Hezend nach der Glaubensspallung, Frankfort, 1866; und E. Regis, Les Obsessions et les impulsions, 2; O. M. Hueffer, The Book of Witches, New 3.

ecutions for witchcraft in the Middle Ages and generally consult: L. Scheltema, Geschiedenis processen, Haarlem, 1828; F. Fischer, Die Basnozesse, Basel, 1840; C. G. von Wächter, Die Nerfolgungen der Hezen und Zauberer in d, Tübingen, 1845; L. Kopp, Die Hexenproliker Gegner in Tyrol, Innsbruck, 1874; F. Nipgegenwärtige Wiederbelebung des Hexenglaubens, 15; W. G. Soldan, Geschichte der Hexenprozesse, ppe, Stuttgart, 1889; G. Längin, Religion und esse, Leipsic, 1888 (against the polemic of Diebove); W. H. D. Adams, Witch, Warlock and London, 1889; A. D. White, A Hist. of the Warlence with Theology, 2 vols., New York, 1896 hapters deal with the subject); S. Riezler, esse in Bayern, Stuttgart, 1896; J. Hansen, m, Inquisition und Hexenprozess im Mittelalter, 900; idem, Quellen und Untersuchungen zur des Hexenwahns und der Hexenverfolgung im Berlin, 1901; H. C. Lea, History of the Invol. ii., New York, 1900, cf. idem, Superstition Philadelphia, 1878 (consult Index); N. Paulus, n und Hexenprozess . . . im 16. Jahrhundert, 1910.

rland and Scotland consult: T. Wright, Narrareery and Magic, London, 1851; C. K. Sharpe, I the Belief in Witchcraft in Scotland, ib. 1884 excellent list of early books on witchcraft); J. he Devil in Britain and America, ib. 1896 (contains a bibliography); J. G. Campbell, Witchcraft and Second Sight in Scotland, New York, 1902; Witches. Original Documents and Reprints from the original Sources of European History, vol. iii. Philadelphia, 1902.

of European History, vol. iii., Philadelphia, 1992.
For the American colonies consult, besides the works of Cotton Mather: (Governor) Thomas Hutchinson, Hist. of Massachsetts Bay, vols. i. and ii., Boston, 1764-67, vol. iii., London, 1728 (of high value, using documents no longer extant); S. P. Fowler, Account of Samuel Parris and of his Connection with the Witchcraft Delusion of 1692, Salem, 1857; Records of Salem Witchcraft, faithfully Copied from the Original Documents, Roxbury, 1864; C. W. Upham, Hist. of Salem Witchcraft, faithfully Copied from the Original Documents, Roxbury, 1864; C. W. Upham, Hist. of Salem Witchcraft, 2 vols., Boston, 1867 (the standard work, somewhat extreme against Cotton Mather); S. G. Drake, Annals of Witchcraft in New England and . . the United States, Albany, 1869, cf. his Witchcraft Delusion in New England, 3 vols., ib. 1866; J. H. Trumbull, True Blue Laws of Connecticut and the False Blue Laws Invented by the Rev Samuel Peters, New York, 1876; G. M. Beard, The Psychology of the Salem Witchcraft, ib. 1882; G. H. Moore, Notes on the Hist. of Witchcraft in Massachusetts, . . in Worcester, . . in Cambridge, Supplementary Notes . . , and Final Notes on Witchcraft in Massachusetts, 5 vols., Worcester, Cambridge, and New York, 1883-85; F. W. Palfrey, Compendious Hist. of New England, iv. 96-127, Boston, 1884; W. S. Nevins, Witchcraft in Salem Village in 1622, ib. 1892; E. H. Byington, The Puritan in England and New England, pp. 335-381, ib. 1900; J. M. Taylor, The Witchcraft Delusion in Colonial Connecticut, 1647-97, New York, 1908 (cites from public documents both published and in manuscript, and gives excellent bibliographical suggestions); Amelia MaGummere, Witchcraft and Quakerism, Philadelphia, 1909; M. V. B. Perley, A Short Hist. of the Salem Village Witchcraft Trials, Salem, Mass., 1911.

WITHERSPOON, JOHN: Presbyterian divine and signer of the Declaration of Independence; b. in the parish of Yester (11 m. e. of Edinburgh), Scotland, Feb. 5, 1722; d. near Princeton, N. J., Nov. 15, 1794. Through his mother he counted descent from John Knox. He was graduated from the University of Edinburgh (1742); licensed in the Church of Scotland (1743) and settled at Beith (1744) and at Paisley (1757). By this time his publications had shown that he possessed equal power as a theologian, guardian of morals, and satirist, displaying consecutive thinking, deep perception, ready wit, and earnestness of purpose. Hence he received calls to positions of prominence, and accepted the invitation to the presidency of the College of New Jersey, 1768. In his new position he was eminently useful. He introduced a number of improvements, particularly the lecture-system, previously unknown in American colleges (himself lecturing upon rhetoric, moral philosophy, and divinity), the study of French and Hebrew, the latter of which he taught, philosophical instruments, among them the first orrery made by Rittenhouse, and additions to the library, to which he made noteworthy gifts. He attracted, by his reputation and ability as a teacher, a large number of students. He was pastor of the church at Princeton during his presidency, a New Jersey representative to the Continental Congress, 1776-1782 (with the exception of 1780, when he declined the election), in which body he wrote several important state papers, and exerted his influence in favor of independence. During the war the college was suspended. In 1790 he became totally blind. He was a versatile man and a voluminous writer. Works were edited by Rev. Dr. Green (4 vols., Philadelphia, 1803; Edinburgh, 1804-05, in 9 vols., 1815). They include Ecclesiastical Characteristics, or the Arcana of Church Policy, being an Attempt to open up

the Mystery of Moderation (Edinburgh, 1753, 5th ed., 1763; a satire upon the moderate party in the Church of Scotland, published anonymously, but acknowledged by Witherspoon as his own in his Serious Apology, 1763); Essay on the Connection between the Doctrine of Justification by the Imputed Righteousness of Christ and Holiness of Life (Glasgow, 1756; one of the ablest Calvinistic expositions of that doctrine in any language); A Serious Enquiry into the Nature and Effects of the Stage (1757; newed. by W. Moffat, Edinburgh, 1876; occasioned by the performance of the Rev. John Home's drama, Douglas); A Practical Treatise on Regeneration (1764); The History of a Corporation of Servants Discovered a Few Years Ago in the Interior Parts of South America (Glasgow, 1765; a clever satire upon abuses in the Church of Scotland). He was the author also of several volumes of sermons, besides works dealing with matters of civil government.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Besides the Life prefixed to the Works (ut sup.), consult: D. W. Woods, John Witherspoon, New York, 1906 (by his great grandson, who used rare public documents); E. H. Gillett, Hist. of the Presbyterian Church, 2 vols., Philadelphia, 1864; R. Chambers, Biographical Dictionary of Eminent Scotsmen, new ed. by T. Thomson, Edinburgh, 1856; W. B. Sprague, Annals of the American Pulpit, iii. 288-300, New York, 1858; J. Sanderson, Biography of the Signers to the Declaration of Independence, pp. 296-314, Philadelphia, 1865; J. Maclean, Hist. of the College of New Jersey, 2 vols., Philadelphia, 1877; Princeton Book; Hist., Organization, and present Condition of the College of New Jersey, Boston, 1880; C. A. Briggs, American Presbyterianism, New York, 1885; M. C. Tyler, Literary Hist. of the American Revolution, ii. 319-330, New York, 1897; I. W. Riley, American Philosophy, the Early Schools, pp. 483-497, New York, 1907; C. Evans, American Bibliography, vol. v., nos. 15224, 16173, Chicago, 1909; DNB, 1xii. 271-274.

WITSCHEL, vit'shel, JOHANN HEINRICH WILHELM: German pastor, author of devotional literature; b. at Henfenfeld (17 m. e.n.e. of Nuremberg) May 9, 1769; d. at Kattenhochstädt near Weissenburg (30 m. s.w. of Nuremberg) Apr. 24, 1847. After preparatory studies at Gräfenberg and Nuremberg he attended the University of Altdorf (1788-93); in 1794 was appointed noon preacher at the Dominican Church in Nuremberg; removed to the parish of Igensdorf in Nuremberg, 1801; succeeded his father as preacher in Gräfenberg in 1810, becoming dean in 1815 and school-inspector of the district; in 1819 he assumed charge of the parish of Kattenhochstädt, where after 1820 he conducted for thirteen years an institution for the higher education of school-teachers. He was the author of several collections of poems that corresponded to the taste of the time. He was the representative of an amiable and respectable rationalism, as is evident from his principal work, Morgen- und Abendopfer in Gesängen (Sulzbach, 1803). This contains a series of devotional exercises in rime, for morning and evening, arranged according to weeks and seasons, and became a very popular book of devotion in spite of its rationalism. It is still used in all parts of Germany, among both Protestants and Catholics, and the demand remains so large that it is constantly reprinted. Other works are Pantheon für Damen (1799); and Moralische Blätter (1801).

(T. KOLDE.)

Bibliography: The Morgen- und Abendopfer after the 11th
ed. contains a biography.

WITSIUS, HERMANNUS (HERMANN WITS): Dutch theologian; b. at Enkhuizen (30 m. n.e. of Amsterdam) Feb. 12, 1636; d. at Leyden Oct. 22, 1708. After a very thorough training, especially in the classics, in 1651 he entered the University of Utrecht, and in 1654 removed to the University of Groningen; in 1656 he passed his theological enamination at Utrecht, and in 1657 was installed preacher of Westwoud, not far from his native place; in 1661 he removed to Wormer, in 1666 to Goes, and in 1668 to Leeuwarden. In all these pastorates he proved to be an excellent preacher, a distinguished catechist, and a faithful pastor. In 1675 he accepted a call as professor and preacher to Francker; in 1690 he undertook like duties at Utrecht; in 1698 he became professor at Leyden, remaining till illness caused his retirement in 1707. While in his theology Witsius aimed at a reconciliation between orthodoxy and federalism, he was first of all a Biblial theologian, his principal field being systematic theology. His chief work is entitled, De acconomia federum Dei cum hominibus (Leeuwarden, 1677; often reprinted, e.g., Basel, 1739; it appeared in Dutch transl, also in Engl. transl., The Œconomy of the Coenants between God and Man, 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1771-72). He was induced to publish this work by his grief at the controversies between Voetians and Cocceians. Although himself a member of the federalistic school, he was in no way blind to the value of the scholastically established dogmatic system of the Church. Besides his principal work he published, Exercitationes sacræ in symbolum, quod Apptolorum dicitur (Francker, 1681; Dutch transl, Delft, 1700; Eng. transl., Sacred Dissertations on what is commonly called the Apostles Creed, 2 vols, Edinburgh, 1823); Egyptiaca: sive, de Egyptiocorum sacrorum cum Hebraicis collatione (Francket, 1683; frequent eds.); Exercitationum academicarum, maxima ex parte historico-critico-theologicarum dudecas (Utrecht, 1694); Animadversiones irenica d controversias, quæ sub infaustis antinomorum et nernomorum nominibus in Britannia nunc agitanta (1696); Meletemata Leidensia (Leyden, 1703); Dir quisitio critico-theologica de Paulo Tarsensi, cire Romano (1704). Of minor works there have appeared in Eng. transl. A Treatise on Christian Faith (London, 1761); On the Character of a Trus Theologian (Edinburgh, 1877); and The Question: Was Moses the Author of the Pentateuch Answered in the Affirmative (1877). (S. D. VAN VEEN.) BIBLIOGRAPHY: The funeral oration by J. a Marck, Leyden. 1708; Witsius, Schediasma theologia practica, Groningen, 1729, contains a biography by H. C. van Bijler; B. Glesier, 1966. Godgeleerd Nederland, iii. 611-617, 's Hertogenbosch, 1856;

1708; Witsius, Schediasma theologia practica, Groninga.
1729, contains a biography by H. C. van Bijler; B. Glasius,
Godgeleerd Nederland, iii. 611-617, 's Hertogenbosch, 1886;
W. B. S. Boeles, Frieslands Hoogeschool en het Rijs Albenæum te Francker, ii. 256-261, Leeuwarden, 1889; C.
Sepp, Het godgeleerd Onderwijs in Nederland geduredt de
16. en 17. Eeuw, Leyden, 1874.

WITTENBERG, CONCORD OF.

Efforts of Butzer (§ 1). Meeting at Cassel (§ 3).

Butzer's Formula (§ 2). Conference at Wittenberg (§ 4).

Result of the Conference (§ 5).

The Concord of Wittenberg was an attempt of the sixteenth century toward an agreement on the Lord's Supper between the Saxons and representatives of Upper Germany and Switzerland. The preliminary history, until the fall of 1529, is that of the

tarburg Conference (see Marburg, Conference
w). This rather deepened the prejudices and
brought to light the diversity of point of view than
paved the way for agreement. The
1. Efforts diet of Schmalkald toward the end of

of Butzer. 1529 led to a complete rupture with South Germany. A renewed attempt at reconciliation at the diet at Nuremberg (1530) failed on account of the attitude of the council of that city, and an agreement was no longer deemed possible. Each estate approached the Augsburg diet (see Augsburg Confession and TE APOLOGY) armed for its own justification; and, as it has proved, the Saxon plan contemplated at the outset a special confession in the narrowest sense. The elector and his theologians had in mind to present their domestic church affairs and their loyalty in the most favorable light, and, in the specific renunciation of the Zwinglian teaching, to make their open appeal to the emperor as the protector of pure doctrine and religious peace. Although under the stress of circumstances and the influence of Philip of Hesse, supported by Hesse, Lüneburg, Brandenburg, Anhalt, Nuremberg, and Reutlingen, Melanchthon's preamble to the "Saxon Apology" was laid aside and the severest strictures against the "sacramentarians" were mitigated, yet the aversion to Zwingh and the South Germans remained unchanged. Melanchthon took every opportunity in public and private letters to warn against the so-called heresy, and their presumed connivance against the emperor enhanced the anxiety not to be taken in the same category as the South Germans and Zwingli. On the contrary the Strasburg delegates to the Diet of Augsburg had been ordered to emphasize that the difference on the doctrine of the Lord's Supper should be no reason for a separation among the Evangelicals. Feeling the need of the aid of their own preachers, they secured the presence of Martin Butzer and Wolfgang Capito (99.v.), a consequence of which was the Tetrapolitan Confession (q.v.). Butzer now made the harmonizing of the parties his life purpose. A conference sought with Melanchthon was refused. Johann Brenz (q.v.) first acceded to a disputation with the Strasburg contingent, which insisted that the variance was only one of words. Butzer tried to make the same clear in a conference with the Saxon Chancellor Brück, and further in two letters, which were passed on to Melanchthon, who finally acceded to a correspondence (July 25), with the result that he rejected the Strasburg overture with the charge that they made a "pretense" by affirming the real presence and then qualifying it with the addition, by the contemplation of faith." Successful elsewhere, Butzer ultimately (after Aug. 22) brought it to a conference with Melanchthon. The result was that Butzer considered himself agreed with Melanchthon and wrote to that effect, while the latter advised him to transmit his views in the form of articles to Luther, and he himself informed Luther that "Butzer desires to accede to our opinion," and that he held that the body of Christ is really present in the bread by ordination. To the propositions transmitted to Luther, the latter replied to Meanchthon (Sept. 11) from Coburg that he would

not reply to Butzer. Not more encouraging were the reports of Capito who had been sent with compromise propositions to Basel and Zurich. Undaunted, the Strasburg company resolved to send Butzer to Luther, by whom he was cordially received at Coburg (Sept. 25). But Luther refused to be convinced that he and his associates had always taught as Butzer now explained his doctrine, and he could not induce Luther to a joint signature to articles to be proposed, as all depended upon the interpretation. Luther, however, was inspired with hope, and Butzer, departing after two days, much encouraged, proceeded by way of Nuremberg, where he had a friendly consultation with Melanchthon and Andreas Osiander, to the towns of Upper Germany on behalf of the concord. Here his amiable approach and eloquence overcame all hesitation. Even Zwingli's assent was yielded, upon urging, to the formula: "The real body of Christ is truly offered."

Returning home, greatly elated, by way of Basel, where he met with the heartiest accord of Ecolam-padius, he undertook to draft a formula satisfactory to both parties. Thus there originated a document of concord in the form of a letter to Duke Ernst of

Lüneburg, which stated, after reaffirm-2. Butzer's ing that the strife was one of words, Formula. that the true body and the true blood of Christ are truly present in the Lord's Supper, offered with the words of the Lord and the sacrament, and that upon the minister devolved nothing but the outer service of word and token, the inner blessing and the bread of heaven being given of God alone, and being therefore alone vital. Zwingli, meantime, had become suspicious, and personally objected to the formula on the ground that simple people would conceive the expression, "true body of Christ," always "as if they ate the body, chewing it with the teeth, as Luther also taught." He, however, would not object to the transmission of the document to Duke Ernst, reserving, however, in case of alleged recall, the privilege of reference to the statement made. Depending on this, and in view of the endorsement of his letter put forth by the council at Strasburg (Dec. 31, 1530), while a somewhat altered copy of the confession was forwarded to the elector of Saxony, Butzer not only assumed to depend on the support of the Swiss but also undertook their defense. Luther, who received the formula from the elector (Jan. 21, 1531), excluded the Swiss from his reply to Butzer and the South Germans, expressed his gratification at so much agreement, but marveled at the hesitation to admit the eating of the body also by unbelievers, a point on which he stated that he must remain steadfast. He would, however, await further divine guidance, without presuming a full and sound concord. Though no concord, yet a certain truce was thus accomplished, one result of which was the admission of the adherents of the Tetrapolitana to the Schmalkald League. An attempt during the early months of the League at a union with the Swiss failed. The Wittenberg party, however, expected more of Strasburg, which on account of the Swiss internal turmoil and from political reasons began to gravitate more northward. In the towns of Upper Germany the work of conciliation at the

hands of the tireless Butzer was making notable progress, save as it was somewhat neutralized by the severe judgment of Luther on Zwingli's death. This catastrophe in Switzerland left Butzer a freer hand, and his influence, as of the foremost South German theologian and churchman, after the death of Œcolampadius, was materially increasing. A forward step was the subscription of the Augsburg Confession at the Diet of Schweinfurt (1532), on the part of the representatives of Upper Germany. Melanchthon, gradually relinquishing his distrust toward Butzer, was warming more and more toward his project as shown by his communications from Apr., 1531, and his expressed desire for a meeting, Oct., 1533. A fruit of this meeting was Butzer's projection of a new general conference to give formal and public statement to the reconciliation that seemed now to have been practically accomplished. Soon better results were promising by a stronger inclination toward harmony in Switzerland; the agreement of the South German Ambrosius Blaurer (q.v.) at Stuttgart to a formula stating the real presence according to substance; and by the adoption, by the much-contested Augsburg, of the Confession and Apology, through Butzer's exertions.

In view of the exclusion of the "sacramentarians" by the Peace of Kadan, Philip of Hesse invited Butzer and Melanchthon to a consultation Dec. 27, 1534. Butzer obtained the consensus of the South German preachers assembled quietly at Constance (Dec. 15), which, however, to his disappointment, Zurich and other Swiss towns avoided, after handing in a communion confession previously agreed to. Melanchthon's own view as expressed to

Philip, was that the body and blood of 3. Meeting Christ were truly not figuratively presat Cassel. ent with the bread and wine, and the thoughts dictated by reason were to be

disregarded, but Luther's instructions were stated in as strict and crass forms as in the Bekenntniss vom Abendmahl (1528) itself. He made secure against Butzer's favorite plea of a misunderstanding of words by defining the sharp antithesis as existing between the real body to which he and his colleagues adhered and the bread as a mere sign or token, as he alleged was held by the opponents; and he maintained that to make a compromise was against conscience. Moreover, the proffering, the eating, and the chewing with the teeth of the real body, he affirmed as his absolutely unalterable position. A reconciliation was out of the question. Against Luther's strictures Butzer protested formally; namely: that his plea of mutual misunderstanding was sincere, and that he meant no compromise but to set forth the points held in common by both parties; and for the rest, he extended the discussion skilfully in terms of Luther's larger Bekenntniss, acceding that he could even assume Luther's statement of the chewing of the Lord's body. Rather as the profession of the South Germans he announced that the body is essentially and truly received; that bread and wine are only signs (signa exhibitiva), with which the body and blood are simultaneously offered and received; and that bread and body are not united by a mixture of substance, but by a "sacramental conjunction."

In the course of time there developed at Wittenberg an earnest desire for peace. Luther came to find himself satisfied with Butzer's views. Melanchthon, himself burning with longing for unity, held consultations with the theologians, and special-

4. Conference at Rumors of the prospective understandwittenberg. ly importuned Landgrave Philip, the father of the idea, to spare no endesver. Rumors of the prospective understanding began to stir Roman Catholic and

political circles. The outcome of the Cassel conference as well as the unconditioned statements in the new edition of Luther's larger Belevatniss vom Abendmahl (1535) aroused much sorenen among the Swiss. The closer relations which Augburg, hitherto indecisive, now assumed toward Wittenberg inspired Luther the more in the hope for the speedy consummation of the concord, and he dispatched five letters to South German cities for a voluntary assembly in Hesse or Coburg. Signs of a more conciliatory spirit appeared in Switzerland. At a meeting of theologians at Aarau, where Basel and Zurich were represented, a formula was adopted in favor of the true eating of the body in the "mysterious communion," for the salvation of the soul and the spiritual life. At a diet at Basel, to which Butzer gained admission only after long resistance, an unpublished provisional formula was drawn up not strictly Zwinglian. When at the Diet of Schmalkald (Dec., 1535) Württemberg, Augsburg, Frankfort, and Kempten had been received into the League, the way seemed to have been paved for the successful agreement with the Saxon theologism. The meeting was called for May 14, 1536, at E ach. The Swiss, who had decided at Aarau (Apr. 30) not to attend and to stand by their Basel agreement, excused themselves by the brevity of time and long distance. A large representation of South Germans, among whom were Butser and Capita, arrived at Wittenberg May 21. Meanwhile, Melanchthon was beset with great fear lest the chasm should be widened and sought till the last moment to frustrate the plan. Notice of a republication of Zwingli's Expositio fidei, with a eulogistic estimate of the author by H. Bullinger in the introduction, and of the correspondence of Œcolampadius and Zwingli, with a preface by Butzer, caused Luther likewise to despair; so that upon the arrival of the delegates he, more suspicious and inflexible than ever, took the attitude first of demanding proof of their sincere intentions. When Luther met Butzer and Capito next day, in the presence of a number of his own colleagues, and Butzer proposed modes of proceeding and recounted his strong efforts at concord in doctrine and order, Luther replied abruptly and emphatically that until unity was reached on the Sacrament, he would not treat on any other article. He stated further that the introductions (ut sup) by Butzer and Bullinger had killed his hopes, since with men who taught one way here and another there no agreement was possible or desirable. Luther now demanded of Butzer that he renounce his former doctrine ("We hold that there is nothing in the elements but bread and wine"); and to acknowledge that the body is eaten both by the wicked and the pious. Then Luther would be willing to acknowledge that he had been too harsh in his writings minst Zwingli and Œcolampadius. Butzer taken y surprise protested his innocence with respect to hose publications, made appeal to his utterances nd writings on every occasion in defense of his incerity, and insisted that he and his associates ould not take back that which Luther charged, hich they never taught, but recall was limited to sch a too gross representation as they might have statained through misunderstanding of Luther's iews. The faith of the churches in the free imrial cities with respect to eating with the mouth as in accord with Luther's teaching ("the true ndy and blood were set forth by the visible signs of end and wine"), and as to the impious these were t in question, since any recognized as such were t admissible at all to the communion. Their idea, preover, was that the godless received only the ments, whereas those gifted with faith in general, it "not that vital faith due to the grace of God," seived the body for their judgment. After proected discussions in which Luther laid stress on e reality of the gift of grace, independent of faith d in dependence upon the institution of Christ, the mion adjourned on account of Luther's feebleness. he next day, in the presence of all the representares, including for the first time Melanchthon, utser reported progress, so as to be able to recall hat was previously taught amiss, and revised his mer profession, but declined the partaking of the sdy by the ungodly, although conceding the same y the unworthy, and Luther's plea that the presace of the body depended, regardless of belief or abelief, simply on God's Word and ordinance. ster so much progress, and after Luther had quesimed Butser's associates seriatim and had satissd himself of their complete accord, and ascertained but in their home churches they had not tolerated he doctrine of mere bread and wine, and had even mished the same, in some places, as blasphemy, seemed to think that he ought to be satisfied. le was joined in a private conference by his colegues who felt likewise; only that the other party hould be required to affirm once more that the body res present also for the unworthy. But Luther beened this unnecessary and, returning, pronounced be brotherly conciliation accomplished. Mementhon was assigned to draft a formula. Agreement on the other points of difference quickly blowed. Butser represented the scruples of the both Germans against the actual faith of infants bid by Luther, but he, unwilling also to discuss such shith, was content with an affirmation that bapin was essential to salvation, and was the medium regeneration; and on absolution and private conon Luther's argument prevailed. Melanchthon, doubting the outcome, presented his formula, 4y 26; and after Luther had called attention to a fact that such could not be binding until subitted to wider circles as well as to the sovereigns confirmation, it was read by K. Cruciger, teachg in substance, that there was a sacramental uon of the bread and body; that the real body was ken as set forth by the bread; and that the worthy, because they abused the sacrament availin the Church, when they used it without penice and faith, received it to their judgment. There

was required also assent to the Augsburg Confession and to the Apology. Butzer handed the confession of the Swiss (ut sup.) to Luther who promised to read it. As a seal of the compact Butzer was one of the preachers on the following Sunday, and he and Capito participated in the communion. On Monday the subscription took place, and the delegates departed in the most hopeful frame of mind.

In most of the cities people were indeed astonished at the new articles. In Ulm they openly spoke of a new doctrine; they quickly perceived that Luther had made not the least conces-

5. Result sion. At Constance, where the agreeof the ments on baptism and auricular con-Conference. fession were offensive, a new formula on the Lord's Supper, baptism, and church discipline was planned in rebuttal, but left in abeyance. Strasburg, always the van of the movement, where all subscribed but the former abbot, P. Volzius, had a strained position. Yet by July 22, Frankfort, Worms, Landau, Weissenburg, Esslingen, Augsburg, Memmingen, and Kempten had assented, and Reutlingen followed, Sept. 13, 1536. As to the Swiss, Luther had expressed, before Butzer's departure, his pleasure concerning the confession handed to him; and sent along an amiable missive to the burgomaster of Basel, who in turn was much gratified. Basel and Mühlhausen seemed to be in accord, but after various movements a council at Basel (Nov. 14, 1536), unable to decide between the Roman and the Lutheran doctrines, declined the presence in substance. At the Diet of Schmalkald (Feb., 1537) Butser was to confer with Luther in regard to the declaration (Jan. 12, 1537) by seven Swiss cities, including Zurich, Bern, and Basel; but this was prevented by Luther's illness, and only the fact that the official approval of Luther's articles at the diet was not called for averted a most probable breach with the South Germans. Meanwhile, a letter of Butzer to Luther (Jan. 19, 1537) in disparagement of the Swiss declaration, enabled the former's enemies to make his efforts also unpopular. Johann Zwick of Constance, who seems to have received intimation of Luther's teaching in the Schmalkald Articles of the eating of the ungodly, now made an appeal for opposition to the union and was joined by Bullinger against Butzer's movement. At a synod at Bern, during the middle of 1537, in the presence of Calvin and P. Viret, Butzer achieved a brilliant vindication, but met with the impatient inquiry concerning the delayed answer of Luther. Finally, Luther, in answer to Butzer who had urged an official reply (Dec. 3, 1539), showed that the Swiss formula was not at all satisfactory; but in his reply to the Swiss (Dec. 1), without touching the dogmatic discussion except to dispose of a misunderstanding on some point on the Lord's Supper, he again professed his adherence to the idea of concord, expressed his joy over their honest efforts and the progress made, presumed that the steps toward concord had not been completed but only opened, and recommended forbearance and good will until further progress. This answer produced great satisfaction in Switzerland. Bullinger was of the mind to suspend further procedure except that of promoting peace by writing, speech, and preach-

But hopes were disappointed. At a synod at Zurich (May 4, 1538), in which the note was loudly voiced that the agreement should only be assumed as valid after Luther had formally recalled his written attacks against Zwingli, a reply to Luther was resolved upon, in which the Swiss asserted the partaking of the body through a believing spirit; presumed that no difference longer existed; and begged the privilege, under present circumstances, of presenting such instruction to the people as would be most intelligible to them. But before its receipt, Luther, in an answer to Bullinger, assumed the harmony to be an assured thing, and the missive of the Swiss he acknowledged briefly by referring them, regarding his scruples, to Butzer as mediator. Thus, the movement resolved itself for years into polite correspondence; of an ultimate concord, by the action of a general convention, there was no more mention; and Butzer, who had made another attempt at Wittenberg (1538), seemed to have lost his former interest. The only fruit was a temporary truce of friendliness with the cities of upper Germany. Luther's comparison of Zwingli with Nestorius (Concilien und Kirchen, 1539) caused deep resentment in Switzerland. His restrictions upon the Swiss and their orthodoxy became ever severer until by a letter (Aug. 31, 1543) he broke off all relations with them, offering to pray and teach against them (T. KOLDE.) until his end.

Bibliography: The sources are the official reports in Butser's Scripta Anglicana, pp. 648 sqq., Basel, 1577, and in Walch's ed. of Luther's Werke, xvii. 2543; the matter in Tentsel, Supplementum hist. Gothana, pp. 114 sqq., Jens, 1716; Wolfgang Musculus' reports in his Itinerarium, given in T. Kolde, Analecta Lutherana, pp. 216 sqq., Gotha, 1883. Consult: J. C. G. Neudecker, Urkunden aus der Reformationszeit, Cassel, 1836; idem, Merkwürdige Aktenstücke aus der Zeit der Reformation, 2 parts, Nuremberg, 1838; idem, Neue Beiträge zur Geschichte der Reformation, Leipsic, 1841; T. Keim, Die Reformation der Reichstadt Ulm, Stuttgart, 1851; idem, Schwäbische Reformationsgeschichte, Tübingen, 1855; C. Pestalozsi, Heinrich Bullinger, Elberfeld, 1858; J. W. Baum, Capito und Butzer, ib. 1860; G. Uhlhorn, Urbanus Rhegius, ib., 1861; F. W. Hassencamp, Hessische Reformationsgeschichte, vol. i., Frankfort, 1864; M. Lenz, Briefwechsel Landgraf Philipps mit Bucer, 3 vols., Leipsic, 1880-91; G. Kawerau, Der Briefwechsel des Justus Jonas, Halle, 1884 sqq.; H. E. Jacobs, The Book of Concord, ii. 253-259, Philadelphia. 1893; idem, Martin Luther, pp. 316 sqq., New York, 1898; W. Germann, Johann Forster, Meiningen, 1894; J. W. Richard, Philip Melanchthon, pp. 254-255, New York, 1898; E. Egli, Analecta reformatoria, Zurich, 1899 sqq.; K. Wolfart, Die Augsburger Reformation in . . . 1633-1634, Leipsic, 1901; F. Roth, Augsburgs Reformationsgeschichte, 3 vols., Munich, 1901-07; Cambridge Modern History, ii. 234, 339, New York, 1906; idem, Historische Einleitung in die symbolischen Bücher der evang-lutherischen Kirche, ib. 1907; the letters and lives of Luther (see under article on him), and the literature on the later stages of the German Reformation.

WITZEL, vit'sel, GEORG: German Roman Catholic theologian; b. at Vacha-on-the-Werra (30 m. s.w. of Gotha) 1501; d. at Mainz Feb. 16, 1573. He studied at the University of Erfurt 1516-18, then interrupted his studies and became parish schoolmaster in Vacha; after that he continued work at the University of Wittenberg for twenty-eight weeks under Luther, Carlstadt, and Melanchthon. In the same year he was consecrated priest and served as

vicar and also a part of the time as town-clerk in his native city until his twenty-fourth year. In 1523 he petitioned the abbot of Fulda for permission to marry, and in the silence of the abbot married without dispensation the daughter of a citizen in Eisenach. In 1524 he lost his clerical position. In Eigenach he became acquainted with Jakob Strauss (q.v.), in conjunction with whom he preached sermons against princes and bishops, against Roman abuses picturing also the heavy burdens of the peasantry. Strauss made him preacher of Wenigen-Lupnits, where he zealously began his work when the excitement among the peasants had already reached as alarming height. However much he may have been influenced by the social ideas of Strauss, his later assurance is to be received that he tried to subdue the rebellious spirit. In consequence of the Peasants' War he lost his position and was in great need until at the recommendation of Luther he became preacher at the small town of Niemegk. His leisure at that place he employed in comprehensive studies. especially of the Church Fathers, while the works of Erasmus influenced his views of the Church. What had led him to the Evangelical cause had not been assent to Luther's doctrine of justification or personal longing for certainty of faith, but a desire for the purification of the Church from abuses in worship and discipline, partly also in doctrine, but principally in life. Seeing in Lutheranism disagreement between doctrine and life, he at a later time returned to the Roman Catholic Church. Lutherans mistakenly accused Witzel of the Antitrinitarianism of Campanus, so that in Mar., 1530, he was arrested and imprisoned in the castle of Belzig. His innocence was soon proved and he returned, sick, to Niemerk, greatly disappointed and dissatisfied with Luther and his associates. In 1531 he left Niemegk, and began his open contest with the "Lutheran sect." Two years he spent in Vacha, trying in vain to fail a new position, his marriage naturally proving an obstacle. But he was at this time diligently engaged in literary work. In 1533 Count Hoyer of Mansfeld called him as minister to St. Andrew's in Eisleben, where he as preacher and pastor of a small number of Roman Catholics experienced five years of bitter struggle with Johann Agricola, Güttel, Cordatus, Coelius, Kymaeus, Balthasar Raidt, and especially with Jonas. He also tried to put into practise his program of a renewal of the Roman Catholic Church in accordance with the principles of the primitive Church. On Aug. 30, 1538, he was still in Eisleben, when he accepted a call from Duke George to Dresden or Leipsic, where he attempted to reconcile the two religious parties by leading them back to the doctrine and custom of the apostolic and early Church. Duke George laid no obstacles in his way, but under Duke Henry, his successor, Wittel was compelled to flee into the mountains of Bohemia. Thence he went to Berlin to Joschim IL, who at first seemed to be inclined to adopt the Catholicism of Witzel, whom soon the sentiment of the country compelled to introduce the Reforms tion. Berlin was therefore no longer open to Witsel, who began to lead a migratory life, trying to find a receptive soil for his ideas in Lusatia, Silesia, Bamberg, and in 1540 in Würzburg. In 1541 he found refuge with Abbot John of Fulda, who by s attempted to stem the tide of Evangeliand with his successor Philip Schenck of Bishop Nausea of Vienna recomim to Ferdinand, with whom he thenceained in connection. He was present at ous discussions in Regensburg. In the d War he fled from Fulda to Würzburg, ed again. In 1553 he removed to Mainz, assed the remainder of his life. His most works are Methodus concordia ecclesiastin 1532, printed 1537), and Via regia n the former work he demanded the conf a council, at which both parties should the basis of agreement was to be the doce apostles as found in Holy Scripture and Church Fathers; in all questions of the of the soul Holy Scripture is sufficient, ht of the Church to make valid and bindnces in other questions is to be acknowle institution of the mass was to be respecially its performance for money; n in both kinds should be restored and y clerical celibacy abolished; the numnasteries was to be reduced; those that red to remain were to be reformed. In the program essentially agrees with that only criticism deals more relentlessly with tholic conditions. Reconciliation is not ithout a thorough reform of that church. tem which came to rule in the Counteron he saw the burial of his own plans of orm after the Erasmian pattern.

(G. KAWERAU.)

HY: C. L. Callidius, Germania scriptorum catains, 1582; G. T. Strobel, Beitrage zur Literatur 16. Jahrhunderts, ii. 2, Nuremberg, 1787; F. W. mmon, Gallerie der denkwürdigsten Personen, pp. Erlangen, 1833; J. Neander, Commentatio de icelio, Berlin, 1839; J. J. I. von Döllinger, Die on, i. 21 sqq., Regensburg, 1848; W. Kampbe Georgio Vicelio eiusque studiis et scriptis, Bonn, Schmidt, Georg Witzel, ein Altkatholik des 16. Jahr-Vienna, 1876; A. Räss, Die Konvertiten, i. 122 burg, 1866; C. Schlottmann, Erasmus redivivus, sqq., Halle, 1883; Archis für Reformationsgeri (1909), 234 sqq.; ADB, xliii. 657 sqq.; KL, sqq.

ERMIN, veb'er-min, ERNST GUSTAV German Protestant; b. at Stettin Oct. He was educated at the universities of 8-90) and Berlin (1890-94; Ph.D., 1894; 1895), and, after spending the year 1896avel in Greece, became privat-docent for theology and the philosophy of religion and in 1907 went to Breslau as professor hilosophy of religion. He has written eschichtliche Studien zur Frage der Beeindes Urchristentums durch das antike wesen (Berlin, 1896); Theologie und Meta-101); Der christliche Gottesglaube in seinem zur gegenwärtigen Philosophie (1902); und Bedeutung der Religionspsychologie Ionismus und Monotheismus (1911); and into German W. James, Varieties of Religrience (Leipsic, 1907).

DW, ROBERT: Historian of the Scotth; b. at Glasgow 1679; d. at Eastwood I.—26

(3 m. s.w. of Glasgow) Mar. 21, 1734. He was graduated in arts at Glasgow, studied theology there, and served as university librarian from 1697 till 1701. Then he became tutor in the family of a relative, Sir John Maxwell of Pollock. He was licensed by the presbytery of Paisley in Jan., 1703, and in October was ordained minister of Eastwood, where he remained till his death, notwithstanding repeated calls to other spheres. Wodrow early gave all his spare time to the collection of materials for Scottish church history; but he also discharged the duties of his profession with zeal and fidelity, and took a deep interest in science and literature. After the accession of George I. he was active in the unsuccessful attempt to repeal the patronage act passed in the reign of Queen Anne, but he advocated loyal compliance with that act so long as it remained the law of the land. As a historian he is trustworthy on the whole, though not altogether free from prejudice and credulity. His published works include The History of the Sufferings of the Church of Scotland from the Restoration to the Revolution (2 vols., Edinburgh, 1721-22; 2 ed., 4 vols., Glasgow, 1829-1830); Collections upon the Lives of the Reformers and most Eminent Ministers of the Church of Scotland (published by the Maitland Club, 2 vols., Glasgow, 1834-45); Analecta; or Materials for a History of Remarkable Providences, mostly relating to Scotch Ministers and Christians (for the Maitland Club, 4 vols., 1842-43); Life of Alexander Seaton (1829); Life of Robert Bruce (1843); Selections from Wodrow's Biographical Collections; Divines of the Northeast of Scotland (for the New Spalding Club, Aberdeen, 1890). In 1841 the Wodrow Society was established at Edinburgh to publish works of "early writers of the reformed church of Scotland"; it was dissolved in 1847 after publishing twelve works.

W. LEET. Revised by HENRY COWAN.

Bibliography: Biographical material is found in the prefatory Notice prefixed to the Analecta, Glasgow, 1843; in the Correspondence, ed. McCrie, 1842-43; a Memoir by R. Burns in the Sufferings of the Church of Scotland, ib. 1829; in R. Lippe's "Introduction" to the Selections; and in Wodrow's Life of James Wodrow, ed. Campbell, Edinburgh, 1828. Consult also DNB, lxii. 280-281.

WOELLNER, vol'ner, JOHANN CHRISTOPH: Prussian minister of public worship; b. at Döberitz (9 m. w. of Berlin) May 19, 1732; d. on his estate near Beskow (41 m. s.e. of Berlin) Sept. 10, 1800. He received his preliminary education at Spandau and studied theology at Halle; was tutor in a private family, 1753-55; pastor at Gross-Behnitz, 1755-59; turned next to agriculture in the same place; in 1766 his marriage met with opposition and caused the anger of the king and the prevention of advancement under that reign, though he became canon at Halberstadt, 1768; he engaged in the study of political economy, became interested in free-masonry, and finally in the Rosicrucians (q.v.), entering their order and promoting heartily their cause. In this connection he founded a lodge, a member of which the crown prince, Frederick William, became, thus coming under the influence of the founder, an event which led up to the affairs for which Wöllner is remembered. To the prince Wöllner delivered a series of lectures dealing with

the science of government, presenting to him subse quently the manuscripts of the lectures, including one which outlined the edict to be mentioned here after. Its significance lay in its suggestions of measures to be taken toward the suppression of the Aufklärung (see Emigerumment) and of the accompanying rationalism. Thus Wöllner gained a quite complete ascendancy over the mind and actions of the crown prince, the results of which appeared after the latter came to the throne as Frederick William II. in 1757. Not till 1786, however, did Wöllner gain a title, when he became chief of the board of public works; two years later he became privy councilor and was put in charge of the department of public worship. Meanwhile there had been issued in 1786 a royal edict regarding the "constitutional status of religion in the German states," the authorship of which Wöllner later acknowledged. The edict begins with the king's declaration of the duty of the ruler to maintain the Christian religion in Prussian territories; establishes as the chief confessions the Reformed, Lutheran, and Roman Catholic, but affirms the continuance of that customary tolerance according to which no constraint of conscience shall be permitted, this, however, on the condition that the citizen keep his views to himself without attempting to propagate them or to shake the faith of others; Moravians, Mennonites, and the Bo-hemian Brethren are "publicly tolerated," as are the Jews; but conventicles prejudicial to the nation and State are forbidden, also proselyting; the Lutheran and Reformed Churches are to retain their liturgies intact, though verbal changes are permitted; the "unbridled liberty" assumed by some of the Protestant clergy in respect to the doctrinal tone of their statements is denounced [aiming at the Enlightenment], and the duty of the Christian ruler is asserted to be that of maintaining the high dignity and original purity of the Christian religion; the clergy and the teaching force are, therefore, forbidden to diffuse these errors; the conscience of these men are, indeed, not to be bound, but they must cease from teaching things contrary to religion on pain of dismissal with the possibility of further punishment; the chiefs of the departments of instruction and worship are to see that the incumbents of the teaching and the spiritual offices be men whose convictions accord with the tenor of

The promulgation of the edict, though it was not without precedent, both because of the suddenness after half a century of silence, and because the ecclesiastical and teaching authorities had not been consulted, caused great astonishment. The injunction against free discussion of one's opinions was regarded as usurpation. A storm of protest was evoked and a large literature, mostly denunciatory of the edict; it was regarded as particularly strange that Johann Salomo Semler (q.v.) of Halle sided with the pronunciamento. The members of the supreme council at Berlin protested against the edict, but in vain. Administrative measures for the enforcement of the policy thus declared were taken, and legal action was instituted against some of the more radical criticisms of the edict. Wöllner sought the introduction of a new catechism, attempted to have a new text-book on dogmetics pre Halle to be used in all the Prussian univers established a central committee on the em of candidates for the ministry, while, late dinate committees for the provinces were a Minute directions were issued with regard t which the ministry were expected to folk success of these measures was, however, am University of Halle finally declined to fur desired text-book, and the Epitome religion tions of Samuel Friedrich Nathanael Mon of Leipsic, was chosen as basis for lecture matics. Repressive action was taken in so ters, as against August Hermann Niemes of Halle. Attempts which were almost : vere made to "reform" the faculty at Ha the attempted dogmatic reform was just: On the accession of Frederick William II the measures went out of force, and the at Wöllner to revive them brought about h ment in disfavor and without a pension. (C. M

Berezonapur: Articles in SHT as follows: 1608
1802, ill. 412 eqq.; in Settechrift für presenteiche
und Landesbunde, il (1866), 577-604, 746-774, 1
65-96. Also M. Philippson, Geschichte des pr
Steaturesone, 2 vols., Leipzie, 1820-82; C. Minht,
Nohe Welt, 1886, pp. 260 sqq.; C. Varrantupp,
Schules, und des höhere presenteiche Unterriekt
seiner Seit, pp. 220-223, Leipzie, 1850; E. R
rechtliche Stellung der connecticchen Seite B
spp. 211 sqq., ib. 1803; E. Föuster, Die Buts
presentachen Landesbirche unter . . . Friedrich
III., i. 38 sqq., 95 sqq., Tübingun, 1905; ADB,
186.

WOLFENBURTTEL FRAGMENTS: TI given to a German deistic work public written by Lessing (q.v.) in the k eighteenth century. As early as 1771, and the advice of Nicolai and Mendel sought a publisher for the work; but the m sor, though not openly opposing, refused to ise the publication, and Lessing gave up the for the time being. In connection with his librarian at Wolfenbüttel he proposed to series of contributions on history and li drawn from the treasures of the library, and he was relieved of liability to hindrance ! censor. In the third of this series he p (1774) the first of these fragments as Von der Deisten: Fragment eines Ungenannten, f adroit preparation had been made in the p number. The fourth issue (1777) was de more from the "unknown," together with a of Lessing upon the contents of the rest. were here five fragments which dealt with of the pulpit upon reason, the impossibility lation which could be satisfactorily relied all men, the passage of the Red Sea by the I that the purpose of the New-Testament writ not the revelation of a new religion, and or tory of the resurrection. While the first pu had aroused little interest, these five fi aroused great feeling. In 1778 a further i cussed the purpose of Jesus and the disciple upon Lessing was forbidden to publish more upon religious matters without office tion, though he refused to obey. Other

ied after his death (4th ed., 1835), and parts id by him appeared 1787. The authorship emain hidden, though Lessing tried to lay a it by suggesting the name of Johann Lorens, the editor of the Wertheim Bible (see Annotated, I., § 4). The author was in Samuel Reimarus, as is confirmed by his, Johann Albert Heinrich Reimarus, who he Hamburg city library the complete work ich the fragments were taken (a letter from iger Reimarus is published in the Leipziger rezitung, 1827, no. 55, in which the authorsserted).

ann Samuel Reimarus was born at Hamburg , 1694, and died there Mar. 1, 1768. He a family of ministers, though his father was r, but one of rare talents, and was himself st son. In his preparatory course he was ich instructors as Johann Christian Wolff; ed at the universities of Jena and Wittenthe latter of which he taught in the philofaculty. In 1723 he became rector of the col at Wismar, and in 1717 professor of languages in the gymnasium of Hamburg, remained in spite of a call to Göttingen to Gesner. Reimarus was held in high honor tive city, and his house was the gatheringchoice spirits. He employed the leisure s duties left him in the study of one branch ng after another. His official position enon him the duty of preparing memorials of persons. Outside of these he left but three orks, which appeared in the earlier portion These were: Die vornehmsten Wahrheiten lichen Religion (Hamburg, 1754); Die Vere, als eine Anweisung zum richtigen Gebrauch unft in der Erkenntnis der Wahrheit (1756); emeine Betrachtungen über die Triebe der These appeared in several editions death of Reimarus and were translated tch. The philosophical standpoint of Reias essentially that of Wolff, though more the being of God, the divine plan in the ne annihilation of doubt of the divine provine immortality of the soul, the advantages n were proved by reason, and so far his attiapologetic. He was awake to the fact that me many little works had appeared which not only Christianity but all religion and nd his aim was to oppose these and to set the claims of reason the truths of natural is well as of Christianity. Hence he named t work which he left behind "Apology or for the Rational Worshiper of God." subjected the entire Biblical history to the malytical criticism; according to the deistic nt of Reimarus, miracle is impossible, so ie prophets and Jesus and the apostles prework miracles, they were impostors. Such ies" he found to be conceivable in the ice it contained much that was at variance tue as tested by the laws of nature and of

A psychological explanation of this attiteimarus appears when it is recalled that he an highly honored by his contemporaries, he held fast to the observances of the Church, even though he regarded both Judaism and Christianity to have been founded by processes which involved imposture. He recognized that his book would cause unrest, and so did not print it, preferring that it remain concealed, being available for the use of such friends of his as were possessed of discretion. Some parts he had frequently worked over, and had revised the whole shortly before his death; this revised autograph is still extant.

While Lessing went to Hamburg in Apr., 1767, and Reimarus did not die until March of the next year, there is no evidence that the two met; but soon after the death of Reimarus, Lessing became acquainted with the son and daughter of Reimarus. According to a letter of Lessing to the son (in Lessing's Briefe, Nachträge und Berichtigungen, p. 17, no. 183a, Berlin, 1886), the latter was aware of Lessing's possession of parts of the elder Reimarus' These parts were in the author's handwriting, but not in their final shape, though the main thought was in no way different. Permission to publish excerpts was obtained by Lessing only on condition that the name of the author be not divulged. The complete work was carefully guarded by the family and shown to but few-"the community" of friends of Reimarus. In 1779 Lessing was allowed to copy from the final draft the chapters which related to the passage of the Red Sea, in which the results with reference to the numbers differed from what had been published. In 1779 the publisher Ettinger of Gotha was ready to publish the whole work, but the family decisively negatived the proposition, fearing a loss of the good reputation which it enjoyed and the effect upon the health of the mother of the family. The intention to republish portions (Zeitschrift für historische Theologie, 1850-52) failed through lack of interest in the work on the part of the public. (CARL BERTHEAUT.)

on the part of the public. (CARL BERTHEAU†.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: An ed. of the "Fragments" as issued by Lessing appeared Berlin, 1895. There is an Eng. tranal. of part, Fragments from Reimarus, ed. C. Voysey, London, 1879 (cf. J. Sawyer, A Criticism of . . . C. Voysey's "Fragments from Reimarus," ib. 1880). Consult: the literature under Goele, Johan Melchior; and Lessing, Gotthold Epheain; D. F. Strauss, Hermann Samuel Reimarus und seine Schutzschrift für die vernünftigen Verehrer Gottes, Leipzic, 1882; J. A. H. Reimari . . . de vids sus commendarius. Addita sund de vita H. S. Reimari narrationes J. G. Büschii et C. A. Klotzii, Hamburg, 1815; C. Mönckeberg, Hermann Samuel Reimarus und Johann Christian Edelmann, ib. 1887; K. Fischer, Geschichte der neueren Philosophie, ii. 759-772, Heidelberg, 1867; K. C. Scherer, Das Tier in die Philosophie des H. S. Reimarus, Würsburg, 1898; B. Brandl, Die Ueberlieferung der "Schutzschrift" des H. S. Reimarus, Pilsen, 1907.

WOLFF, volf, CHRISTIAN, AND THE WOLF-FIAN SCHOOL: German philosopher; b. at Breslau Jan. 24, 1679; d. at Halle May 9, 1754. He was educated at the gymnasium in Breslau and the University of Jena, where he was greatly

attracted to the study of mathematics by the certainty of its method, which seemed to him typical for science. Without entirely giving up the thought of a theological career, he took his master's degree in Leipsic, then studied philosophy at Jena, and in 1703 established himself as privat-docent of philosophy at Leipsic. In 1707 he accepted a call to Halle where he lectured on mathematics, after 1709 also on

physics, then on other branches of philosophy. His success as a teacher was extraordinary and was soon supplemented by the impression made by his writings. His fame extended over Europe. At home king and government heaped honors upon him, and scholars gathered about him; but in Halle itself the Pietists and Christian Thomasius (q.v.) were hostile. After some friction the address De Sinarum philosophia practica (Frankfort, 1726; Eng. transl., The Real Happiness of a People under a Philosophical King Demonstrated, London, 1750), which Wolff delivered in 1721, led to a complete rupture. His enemies found in it a glorification of the morality of Confucius and inferred that Wolff taught the dispensability of Christian revelation for human happiness. The Pietists won the ear of the king who on Nov. 8, 1723, ordered the deposition of Wolff and ordered him to leave the realm within forty-eight hours. From 1723 to 1740 Wolff was professor in Marburg. It was the most brilliant and the happiest period of his life. He continually gained philosophical adherents and new students and earned rich honors. In the mean time conditions in Prussia became better. Provost Reinbeck in Berlin was active in his behalf; the king changed his opinion, ordered candidates to study his works, and would have liked to recall Wolff to Prussia as early as 1733, but he died during the negotiations. Frederic II., who in 1736 had designated Wolff as the greatest philosopher of his time, carried out his father's plan, and since Wolff declined a position in the academy at Berlin, he was called as privy councilor and vicechancellor to Halle where he arrived in 1740, was received with unusual honors, and was active until his death.

Of his numerous treatises and books those of especial importance for theology, many of which reached numerous editions, are: Methodus demonstrandi veritatem religionis Christiana (1707); Ver-

nünftige Gedanken von den Kraften des Works. menschlichen Verstandes und ihrem richtigen Gebrauche in Erkenntnis der Wahrheit (1712; Eng. transl., Logic, or Rational Thoughts on the Powers of the Human Understanding, London, 1770); Ratio prælectionum Wolfianarum in Mathesin et philosophiam universam (1718); Vernünftige Gedanken von Gott, der Welt und der Seele des Menschen (1719; his great theological work); Vernünftige Gedanken von der Menschen Thun und Lassen zu Beförderung ihrer Glückseligkeit (1720); Vernünftige Gedanken von dem gesellschaftlichen Leben der Menschen und insonderheit dem gemeinen Wesen zur Beförderung der Glückseligkeit des menschlichen Geschlechts (1721); Vernünftige Gedanken von den Wirkungen der Natur (3 parts, 1723-25); Vernünftige Gedanken von den Absichten der natürlichen Dinge (1724); Philosophia rationalis sive Logica (1728); Philosophia prima sive ontologia (1729); Cosmologia generalis (1731); Psychologia empirica (1732); Psychologia rationalis (1734); Theologia naturalis (2 parts, 1736-37), Philosophia practica universalis (1738). G. F. Hagen edited his Gesammelte kleine philosophische Schriften (6 parts, Halle, 1736-40).

Wolff was not a great creative spirit, but rather the philosopher in whom the scientific efforts of the time combined and in their connection influenced the future. By the application of the mathematicalsyllogistic method he tried to give to all sciences the same formal certainty and thus to make possible a universal system of human science.

Philosophy. Philosophy is for him the science of the conceivable or the possible, which appears as the essence of reality. Upon the relation of the higher (rational) and the lower (sensual) faculty of the soul is built the distinction between rational and empirical knowledge. The objective order of the sciences is based upon psychology, upon the distinction between knowledge and desire. On the one side stands theoretical, on the other side practical philosophy. In the system of Wolff logic leads as a sort of propedeutic. Then follow the rational theoretical sciences, metaphysics, ontology; then in the order of the three main objects (world, soul, and God), cosmology, rational psychology, natural theiogy. The rational practical sciences begin with general practical philosophy and natural law, and the consider man in Aristotelian fashion successively individual being (ethics), citizen (politics), and menber of the family (economy). The empirical sciences are empirical-theoretical science (empirical psychology, teleology, empirical theology, dogmatic physics) and empirical-practical science (technology, experimental physics). Esthetics is not taken into the system. The most characteristic reasure us much theology is the emphasis upon natural religion. While he strictly separated this from the knowledge given by revelation and refrained from encrosch ments upon the dogmatic sphere, he based upon natural religion the general religious truths which seemed to be assailed by naturalism, brought it to the front in the spiritual struggle, and focused about it the religious and theological interest which hitherto had been directed to revelation. In the proof of the existence of the deity he stressed the cosmological argument, and employed also the ontological However much the philosophy of Wolff tended to depreciate miracles and revelation, he himself fully acknowledged both in so far as they fulfil definite conditions in the system. Since God does nothing superfluous, revelation can comprehend only necessary, otherwise unknowable things, mysteries; it may not contain any inner contradictions, nor may it contradict the attributes of God, reason, or experience. Miracles are changes which by the nature of the bodies concerned are not impossible, though they lack the natural cause. In psychology Wolff taught that souls are simple created substance, originating at creation, and existing without consciousness until the latter was induced through birth. He held that the bodily and spiritual processes are independent of each other; their spore ment does not rest upon perpetual miracle, as the occasionalists teach, but upon preestablished harmony. The intellectual faculty takes preedence over the will. In practical philosophy Wolf separated ethics from religion and based it upon reason. His system is, therefore, rationalistic throughout.

The success of the philosophy of Wolff is a proof that it victoriously comprehended and satisfied the longing of his time. To this contributed his talent larising and teaching. It gave to the Gernlightenment" its scientific independence. iples of Wolff not only repeated the printheir master, but applied them more exactly

to special departments of science. In los- jurisprudence, in philology, and even nd in medicine there arose scholars who gy tried to give their science a greater vus. stability by employing the "scientific"

method of Wolff. Representatives of culture, like Gottsched, transmitted his to larger circles of educated people. Among ples of Wolff must be mentioned especially r Gottlieb Baumgarten (d. 1762), who supxd the system at an important point and ed its further development. Like Leibnitz, ad separated the lower sensual and the ntellectual knowledge, but in his logic he ted only the latter. Baumgarten treated thetica the doctrine of sensual knowledge as

The philosophy of Wolff was of course out its opponents, especially among the ins, among the orthodox as well as among sts. The orthodox, it is true, also combined and philosophy in an intellectualistic way, at philosophy served theology; philosophy ependence seemed to them not only against of theology, but also against religion and The Pietists, on the other hand, were by the intellectualism of the followers of well as of the orthodox. The spokesman of polemics was Joachim Lange (q.v.), the defender of orthodoxy was Valentin Ernst (q.v.); but the opponents of Wolff were presentatives of a vanishing period of or precursors of a later culture that posinfluence, and Wolff gained the victory. logians of his school developed the thoughts naster by applying his method to the Bible ation. In conformity with the later orthoy conceded to natural theology an increasnce in the dogmatic system. Owing to the a of intellectualism, the independent posirevelation still asserted by Wolff proved le; it was gradually supplanted by the stic element. The history of the Wolffian theology became the history of the dissoluie orthodox system; it was in every respect ty of transition. Far more positive is its importance for Church and Christianity as d for undogmatic piety, which had arisen stagnation of orthodoxy and the influence n, a solid background of ideas and conceprotestant apologetics owed to it a good deal bloom. It provided for the transition from is well as from orthodoxy to the period of enment" without the sacrifice of the uniaracter of Christianity. (H. STEPHAN.) PHY: On the life consult: F. C. Baumeister, Vita, ripta Wolfi, Leipsic, 1739; J. C. Gottsched, His-Lobschrift auf Christian Freiherr von Wolff, Halle, '. W. Kluge, Christian von Wolff, der Philosoph,

1831; Briefwecheel zwischen Leibniz und Chrisf. ed. C J. Gerhardt, Halle, 1860; B. Erdmann, ben und seine Zeit, Leipsic, 1876; J. Cæsar, Chrisf in Marburg, Marburg, 1879; ADB, xliv. 12-28.
philosophy and theology consult: J. F. Buddeus,
siber die wolfische Philosophie, Freiburg, 1724;

L. P. Thümmig, Institutiones philosophia Wolffana, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1725-26; I. G. Cans, Philosophia Leibnitziana et Wolfiana usus in theologia, ib. 1728-34; K. G. Ludovici, Ausführlicher Entwurf einer vollständigen Historie der wolfischen Philosophie, 3 vols., ib. 1736-38; idem-Sammlung und Auszüge der sämmtlichen Streitschriften wegen der wolfischen Philosophie, 2 parts, ib. 1737; idem, Neueste Merkwürdigkeiten der leibnitz-volffischen Philoso-phie, ib. 1738; G. V. Hartmann, Anleitung zur Historie der leibnitz-volfischen Philosophie, Hof, Bavaria, 1737; J. J. Koethen, Principia quædam metaphysica Wolfiana, Cologne, 1737; J. G. Darjes, Anmerkungen über einige Lehrsätze der wolffischen Metaphysik, Leipsic, 1748; J. M. Schröckh, Christiche Kirchengeschichte seit der Reforma-tion, vi. 100 sqq., viii. 26 sqq. (the whole series, 45 vols.), ib. 1768–1812; J. C. Schwab, Vergleichung des kantischen Moralprincips mit dem leibnitrisch-wolffischen, Berlin, 1800; W. Gass, Geschichte der protestantischen Dogmatik, ii. 160 sqq., 4 vols., ib. 1854-67; G. W. Frank, Geschichte der protestantischen Theologie, ii. 384 sqq., 4 vols., Leipsic, 1862-1905; E. Zeller, Geschichte der deutschen Philosophis seit Leibnis, Munich, 1873; R. Frank, Die wolf sche Strafrechtsphilosophie, Göttingen, 1887; G. Kraus, Christian Wolff als Botaniker, Halle, 1892; W. Arnsperger, Christian Wolff's Verhältnis zu Leibniz, Weimar, 1897; O. Willareth, Die Lehre vom Uebel bei Leibniz und seiner Schule, isreth, Die Leire vom Uebet det Leionis und seiner Schule, Strasburg, 1888; K. Fischer, Geschichte der neueren Phi-losophie, iii. 627-638, Heidelberg, 1902; J. Reinhard, Die Prinzipienlehre der lutherischen Dogmatik 1700-60, Leip-sic, 1906; E. Weber, Die philosophische Scholastik des deutschen Protestantismus im Zeitalter der Orthodoxie, ib. 1907; H. Pichler, Ueber Christian Wolfs Ontologie, ib. 1900; the works on the history of philosophy by Windelband, New York, 1893; J. E. Erdmann, 3 vols. London, 1892-98; Ueberweg-Heinse, 9th ed., Berlin, 1905.

WOLFF, JOSEPH: Missionary and traveler; b. of Jewish parentage, at Weilersbach, near Bamberg, Germany, 1795; d. at Isle Brewers (35 m. s.w. of Bristol), England, May 2, 1862. His father was a rabbi, and he was sent to a Protestant lyceum at Stuttgart, and later to Bamberg. He left home, and was converted to Christianity, being baptized in 1812, when he took the Christian name of Joseph, his single name, Wolff, becoming his surname. In 1814 he attended theological lectures at Vienna and studied oriental languages, and was at Tübingen 1815-16, in the same pursuit. He went to Rome in 1816, where he was a pupil in the Collegium Romanum and the Collegio di Propaganda, but was expelled from the city in 1818 for attacking the doctrine of infallibility and the teaching of the professors. He entered the monastery of the Redemptionists at Val Sainte, but in 1819 went to England, and joined the Church of England. He studied for two years oriental languages at Cambridge; went out as missionary to the Jews, 1821– 1826, traveling extensively in the East; 1828-34, he was traveling in search of the ten lost tribes, going through Palestine, Turkey, Egypt, Central Asia, and India; his third journey of 1836-1838 took him to Abyssinia, Yemen, and Bombay, and then to the United States. He was ordained deacon, 1837, and priest, 1838, when he became rector at Linthwaite, and later at High Hoyland, Yorkshire. In 1843 he made a daring journey to Bokhara, to learn the fate of two British officers, and barely escaped death himself; on returning, 1845, he became vicar of Isle Brewers in Somerset, where he remained till his death. He has been justly styled "a comet in the missionary heaven." His journeys were essentially missionary in their character, and full of peril and adventure. His was a singular personality that fascinated by its vitality

and nervous energy. Of his journeys he left recitals in the Journal of his Missionary Labours, 1827-38 (London, 1839); Narrative of a Mission to Bokhara in the Years 1843-45 (2 vols., 1845, and often); and Travels and Adventures of Rev. Joseph Wolff (2 vols., 1861).

RIBLIOGRAPHY: Mme. L. Rochrich, S. Gobat, Bishop of Jerusalem, his Life and Work, pp. 177-180, London, 1884; George Smith, Life of John Wilson, pp. 251-252, ib. 1878; DNB, lxii. 306-307; and his own Travels and Adventures, and Journal, ut sup.

WOLFGANG OF REGENSBURG: Bishop of that city 972-994. He was born in the beginning of the century of a family in good circumstances; d. at Pupping (near Lins, 98 m. w. of Vienna) Oct. 31, 994. He was educated in the monastery of Reichenau in company with a scion of a noble Frankish family named Heinrich, brother of Poppo, bishop of Würzburg 941-962, with whom later at Würzburg he studied under an/Italian scholar Stephen. In 956 he was appointed master of the cathedral school at Treves, where Heinrich had become archbishop; but on the death of Heinrich in 964 he entered the Benedictine order at Einsiedeln, where under Abbot Gregory he gave instruction. Bishop Ulrich of Augsburg made him a priest and sent him on a missionary journey to Hungary, and his activities, though not very successful, resulted in his choice for the bishopric of Regensburg. He took the field at the head of his feudal forces with Otto II. against Paris (978), and had part in other warlike and political activities. But his closest interest was in his episcopal duties, occupying himself in visitations, and furnishing to the clergy of his diocese an excellent example in the performance of duty. He looked after the instruction of the younger clergy, and gave them the model for simple and effective preaching. Connected with his duty as bishop was that as abbot of St. Emmeram, but he thought the two positions incompatible, and broke away from the latter position, placing Ramuold of Treves in the abbacy. He also did his best to improve the two nunneries in Regensburg, which were then in a low condition; the results were not satisfactory to him, and he founded a third with the name of St. Paul's. With the help of Heinrich the Quarrelsome he afterward improved the condition of the older institutions. It was largely due to him that the bishopric of Prague was established, which was a leading cause of the rise of national feeling in Bohemia. After his death his body was carried to Regensburg for burial, and it was not long before there were reports of miracles at his tomb. He left a reputation as a true and diligent shepherd of his flock, furthering the cause of piety among them by elevating the condition of the clergy. (A. HAUCK.)

LING the Condition of the ciergy. (A. HAUCK.)

Bibliography: The early material is collected by H. Delehaye, Acta S. Wolfgangi, episcopi Ratisbonensis, Brussels, 1894. For parts of this matter cf. ASB, Nov., ii. 527-586: ASM, v. 812-833; MPL, cxlvi. 395-422; and MGH, Script., iv (1841), 525-566. Consult further: S. Rebiser, Leben und Wunderthaten des heiligen Bischofs Wolfgangi in seiner Einsiedeley, Passau, 1655; F. X. Sulsbeck, Leben des heiligen Wolfgang, Bischofs und Hauptpatrons des Bisthums Regensburg, Regensburg, 1844; F. Janner, Geschichte der Bischöfs von Regensburg, ii. 350-419, ib. 1883; J. Schindler, Der heilige Wolfgang in seinem Leben und Wirken, Prague, 1885; W. Schrats, in Studien und Mitheilungen aus dem Benedictiner- und dem Ciercienser-Orden, x (1889), 627-643; K. Kolbe, Die Vertenser-Orden, x (1889), 627-643; K. Kolbe, Die Ver-

dienete des Bischofs Wolfgang . . . um das Bildungsman Süddeutschlands, Breslau, 1893; Kornmüller, in Kirchen musikalische Jahrbücher, 1894, pp. 6–22; J. B. Mehler Der heilige Wolfgang, Bischof von Ragensburg. Historische Festschrift zum 900-jährigen Gedächtnisse seiner Teise (31. Oct., 1894). In Verbindung mit zahlreichen Historiern, Regensburg, 1894. Some excellent magasine sricles are indicated in Richardson, Encyclopaedia, p. 1181.

WOLFGANG, COUNT PALATINE: Palagraw and duke of Zweibrücken and Neuburg, and ardest supporter of the Reformation; b. at Zweibrücken (50 m. w. of Speyer) Sept. 26, 1526; d. at Nessus (near Limoges), France, June 11, 1569. He was the only son of Louis II. of Zweibrücken (d. 1532), and after receiving his first training, at the instance of his Reformed uncle, Rupert, who was regard during Wolfgang's minority, under Kaspar Giser, he was sent, in 1541, for further instruction first to the electoral court at Treves, and later to that of the Palatinate. In the latter part of 1543 he assumed personal control of his duchy, and during the Schmalkald War, though he was a firm Protestant,

Until the hostilities the emperor, despite Wolf-Abrogation of the introduce the Augsburg Interim (see Augsburg Interim, 2), which the duke accordingly did Aug. 22, 1548, declaring that

he would obey so far as he conscisu-tiously could. But the clergy declared that the Interim contained much that they could not do with a good conscience, and Wolfgang reported to the emperor that, while he had fulfilled the requirements as to fasts and feasts, the attitude of his clergy rendered him unable to carry out the other injunctions of the Interim. The emperor then referred him to the bishops, but as they were unwilling to send him any but Roman Catholic clergy, while he would receive only those who, according to the terms of the Interim, would administer communion under both kinds, the Interim was only partially enforced. A renewal of the imperial demands led Wolfgang on April 19, 1549, again to insist that the fasts and feasts be observed as secular ordinances, but at the same time he informed the emperor that his clergy, without exception, refused to carry out the Interim, and that the bishops had sent him no clergy who were ready to do so. He therefore begged the emperor himself to adjust the matter. In Sept., 1549, and in March, 1550, the bishops performed their visitations in the district of Zweibrücken, but since Wolfgang refused to allow the clergy any concersions beyond the Interim, ecclesiastical affairs seen, even then, to have gone on as before.

As soon as the Treaty of Passau (cf. Augsburg, Religious Peace of) rendered it possible, Wolfgang directed the visitation held in the Meisenheim district in July, 1553, the results showing that the pastors were discharging their functions in Protestant fashion. In the Upper Palatinate, of which he was regent from 1551 to 1557, he directed that the liturgy issued by the Palsgrave Otto Henry be followed, and in Zweibrücken he replaced the liturgy of 1533 on June 1, 1557, by one which was akin to the Lutheran liturgies of Württemberg and Mecklenburg. To insure the acceptance of the new liturgy a visitation was made in July and Aug., 1553, and it

way village of considerable size and a Latin school in every four cities; while in Hornbach

in every four cities; while in Hornbach an institution for higher instruction was opened Jan. 16, 1559, under the care of Immanuel Tremellius (q.v.), and in the principality of Neuburg on the Danube, devised to Wolfgang by

the Danube, devised to Wolfgang by he Elector Otto Henry, a similar institution was

pened at Lauingen in 1561.

In 1559 Wolfgang interposed in favor of the Protesants at Treves, and in 1561 he pleaded, with other rotestant princes, at the Diet of Naumburg, for his reach coreligionists before Charles IX. At the sme time he soon manifested increasing antipathy calvinism, and to prevent it from entering his mains he directed the rigid Lutheran Johann Marach (q.v.) to make a new visitation in 1564, while in the year following he appointed Tilemann Hesshusen q.v.) his chaplain. At the Diet of Augsburg he ven sought, though without success, to induce the

Assistance and the Elector Frederick III.; and to the with the restlessness that characterised Enguenots. him at this time, he entered into nego-

tiations with the adventurer Wilhelm Grumbach and made a military treaty with Philip L of Spain. The year 1568 saw a new change of contion, doubtless caused in part by the deeds of wain the Netherlands, for Wolfgang now canceled is Spanish alliance and entered into close relations ith the Elector Frederick. The duke had never motten that peril to the foreign Reformed meant per to German Protestants, and as early as 1563 shad raised troops to assist the French Huguenots, and the disband them until after the news of the esce of Amboise. When, therefore, Condé and blimy again sought help for the French Protesants from the Protestant princes of Germany, Wolfmg bound himself, on Sept. 18, 1568, to assist them this own expense. With a small force of 8,440 stantry and 8,750 cavalry he set forth, though the beach king had already sent against him, under the ke of Aumale, a force at least equal to his own. h Feb. 20, 1569, he broke camp from Bergsabern, resed the Saône on Mar. 28, and continued his with despite the news of the Huguenot defeat near mac (March 13) and the death of Condé. On 23 he crossed from Burgundy into France, and • June 9 gained a battle on the Vienne. Here only three-days' march separated him from the Huguettforces, and Coligny was already advancing, with lew cavalry, to meet him. On June 11 the two mes met at Nessun, but illness and exertion had mpletely exhausted Wolfgang, and a few hours he died. His body was temporarily interred at wulame, whence it was taken, two years later, by vis La Rochelle and Lübeck to Germany, where was finally buried in the church at Meisenheim

The assistance rendered by Wolfgang of Zweicken materially strengthened the position of the neh Protestants, and without it they would saly have gained the terms secured them by the ty of St. Germain (Aug. 1, 1570), so that it was good reason that the Huguenot leaders wrote

his sons, June 8, 1571, that, next to God, they owed to Wolfgang their lives, estates, honor, and religious freedom. The family laws of the prescharacter ent house of Wittelsbach, which traces and its lineage to Wolfgang, are strongly Influence. 18, 1568; and the sincerity of his character, the purity of his family life, the insight and rectitude evinced in the government of his little

character, the purity of his family life, the insight and rectitude evinced in the government of his little territory, and his extraordinary prowess insure him a place of honorable memory among all Protestants. Julius Ney.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: K. Mensel, Wolfgang von Zweibrücken, Munich, 1893 (the earlier literature is fully given); J. Ney, Pfalsgraf Wolfgang, Leipsic, 1912.

WOLLEB, völ'lêb, JOHANNES: Reformed dogmatician: b. at Basel Nov. 30, 1586; d. there Nov. 24, 1629. He studied philosophy and theology at Basel, was ordained at the age of twenty, in 1607 became diaconus in Basel and in 1611 preacher at St. Elisabeth's. In 1618 he became the successor of Johann Jakob Grynæus as preacher at the cathedral and in the same year professor of Old-Testament theology. Besides dissertations and theses, he published only one theological work, his Compendium theologiæ Christianæ (Basel, 1626), which by its masterly brevity, conciseness, clear arrangement, and perspicuity caused a considerable sensation. In Basel as well as at several other Reformed universities it was made the basis of lectures on dogmatics and ethics. It appeared in several editions, and Alexander Ross translated it into English (Abridgement of Christian Divinitie, London, 1650). After his death, in 1657, there appeared in print a number of Trost und Leihenreden. The theological importance assigned to Wolleb by Ebrard in his Christliche Dogmatik, has been questioned by Gass in his Geschichte der protestantischen Dogmatik (i. 396 sqq., Berlin, 1854). The latter emphasizes the purity and sharpness of dogmatic thinking," but denies that there could be ascribed to Wolleb any epoch-making importance, and in this judgment he is supported by Hagenbach and Alexander (W. HADORN.) Schweizer.

Bibliography: H. J. Leu, Allgemeines helvetisches . . . Lexicon, xix. 552 sqq., 20 vols., Zurich, 1747-65

WOLLIN, BISHOPRIC OF. See KAMMIN, BISH-OPRIC OF.

WOLSEY, THOMAS.

His Rise and Dignities (§ 1). His Policies and Statesmanship (§ 2). His Fall (§ 3).

His Fall (§ 3). His Faults and their Extenuation (§ 4).

Thomas Wolsey, cardinal, papal legate, and chancellor of England, was born, according to tradition, at Ipswich, Mar., 1471 (more probably Mar., 1475, or late in 1474), and died at Leicester Abbey (¾ m. n. of Leicester) Nov. 29, 1530. That he was a

"butcher's boy" was probably the slander of an enemy, for his father seems to have been a grazier and woolmerchant, and certainly possessed land and other property at Ipswich, while he

also had relatives who were well-to-do. The future cardinal studied at Magdalen College, Oxford, and received his first degree at the age of fifteen, win-

ning the name of the "boy bachelor." He became fellow of Magdalen, then master of a grammar-school attached to the college, and was its bursar, 1498-1500. He was ordained priest Mar. 10, 1498, and in 1500 Thomas Grey, marquis of Dorset (whose sons attended the Magdalen grammar-school), gave him the living of Limington in Somerset. About 1501 he became chaplain to Henry Deane, archbishop of Canterbury, and after Deane's death (Feb., 1503) he was chaplain to Sir Richard Nanfan, deputy lieutenant of Calais. Nanfan was an old man and turned over to Wolsey the more arduous duties of his post; he commended him to the king (Henry VII.), and about 1507 Wolsey entered the royal service as chaplain. In 1509 he became dean and prebendary of Lincoln and royal almoner (the latter by appointment of Henry VIII., who succeeded to the throne in April), and the next year he was appointed prebendary of Hereford; in 1511 canon of Windsor and registrar of the Knights of the Garter; in 1512, dean of Hereford; in 1513, prebendary and dean of York and precentor of London; in 1514 bishop of Lincoln and archbishop of York; and in 1515 cardinal (the red hat was placed on his head with magnificent ceremonial in Westminster Abbey Nov. 18, John Colet preaching the sermon; his title was S. Cæcilia trans Tiberim), and (Dec. 14) lord chancellor. In 1518 he became legatus a latere and bishop of Bath and Wells (in commendam); in 1521 abbot of St. Albans; in 1523 bishop of Durham (resigning Bath and Wells); and in 1529 bishop of Winchester (in commendam; soon after this appointment he resigned Durham). In addition to these dignities in England, he was made bishop of Tournai after the English captured the town in 1513, and in 1520, at the instigation of Charles V., was made bishop of Badajoz (he never actually obtained possession of Tournai, and surrendered his claims to it in 1518 for a pension of 12,000 livres; Badajoz was worth 5,000 ducats; an annual pension of 2,000 ducats was added from the bishopric of Palencia). His princely revenues from all these appointments were augmented by various livings in England, and as early as 1501 he obtained a dispensation to hold two incompatible benefices with Limington. In 1506 he was instituted to the parish church of Redgrave, Suffolk, and a papal bull permitted him to hold the vicarage of Lydd, Kent, and two other benefices with Limington. In 1509 or 1510 he was granted the parsonage of St. Bride's, Fleet Street, London, and from Nov., 1510, until he became bishop he held the parish church of Torrington, Devonshire. He resigned Limington before July 2, 1509.

Wolsey's first diplomatic employment was a mission to Scotland in 1508, and later in the same year he was sent to the Emperor Maximilian in Flanders, acquitting himself with such dispatch that he was back in England on the evening of the third day after his departure. His signature as privy councilor first appears in the latter part of 1511, after which his hand soon became the guiding one in English public affairs, and till 1530 his history was the history of England. It is a dreary recital of diplomatic intrigue and sixteenth century statecraft, belonging to secular, not religious, history. His

paramount aim was to exalt his country abroad—and herein he succeeded; he found England a third-na power; he made her the arbiter o Europe. Secondarily, he contemplate 2. His Policies at home a judicious scheme of social and States- economic, and ecclesiastical reform manship. which he failed to carry out; change were made later by others, who use methods they had learned from Wolsey, though the worked with a spirit and a motive far different from his. Of all his misfortunes, none was greater than this, for it led men of his time, and long after, to judge him by merely apparent results of his policies and the evil was aggravated because these results were more or less closely bound up with matters of religion and ethics. Since the publication of the state papers of Henry VIII. and other authoritative documents in the latter half of the nineteenth century, the enlightened judgment of an age more free from religious prejudice and personal animosties has increasingly recognized that Wolsey was a statesman rather than an ecclesiastic; that he comprehended the problems and conditions of his time as probably no other did; that his aims were wise and good; that he made skilful use of indifferent opportunities and instruments; that he was unsparing in labor, tenacious of purpose, fertile in expedient, ever undismayed and ready to begin answ

when a particular plan failed; above all, that he

fired the English imagination, roused the national

spirit, and, more than any other, created the Eng-

lish greatness of the later time. Bishop Creighton,

his latest Anglican biographer, pronounces him the

greatest political genius and most devoted patriot

that England has ever produced. The Roman

Catholic Ethelred Taunton acclaims him as the

greatest statesman of all Europe, the master mind

of his age, and thinks that, had he been made pope,

he might have averted the schism of the sixteenth

century.*

What he might have attempted at Rome is indicated by his plan of ecclesiastical reform for England. He aimed to bring the English Church into accord with national needs by restricting its excessive privileges; by limiting the jurisdiction of its vexatious courts; by reducing the number of its unnecessary officials; by reorganizing on a more efficient basis its antiquated episcopal system; and by applying some of its superabundant revenues to the social welfare, particularly by diversion of some of its wealth from the maintenance of idle and ignorant monks to the education of a body of learned clergy. This comprehensive and judicious plan

^{*}He was three times a candidate, or quasi-candidate, for the papacy—in 1521-22, when Adrian VI. succeeded Leo X.; in 1523, when Clement VII. succeeded Adrian VI. and in 1529, when Clement VII. fell ill and it was believed be would die. On the two former occasions Wolsey seems neither to have expected nor desired to be elected; it was Henry who was eager that his cardinal and minister should be chosen, and Wolsey's own attitude can not justly be characterized as more than one of willingness to gratify the king by accepting the honor in case the choice should fall upon him. Certainly he did not shape his previous poisy with any such end in view. In 1529 the case was different election then would have meant triumphant escape from the difficulties crowding hard upon him at home. But Gemes recovered, and Wolsey was not to be saved in this way.

rtly because Wolsey made his domestic ondary to foreign affairs, more because he o carry reforms through by power rather persuasion, and strove unwisely to gather all power in his own hands. It may well d whether mistakes would not have frusgood intentions, had he occupied the chair er. He was a churchman and theologian school, deeply versed in Thomas Aquinas. s did not lead in the direction of the new and he had not its spirit, though his pracand experience made him friendly to some resentatives and ideals. He was ready to aself to confer benefits on those beneath he would have all reforms made in due priety, and dignity, and would have reemocratic aspirations. On his deathbed ished Henry to "have a vigilant eye to the hellish Lutherans "-having in mind, I text of his message shows, the social and lisorders bound up with the Reformation atinent

was never popular with the old nobility, thrust aside, while he fell into disfavor of lesser rank when they had to pay the s (and the king's) policies. He was secure only so long as he had the royal supill port; and this he lost when he failed to obtain the divorce for Henry from of Aragon. The divorce became a natter in 1527, but Wolsey did not apthe new marriage, however willing he been to be rid of Catharine, who was an o his plans. On other occasions, when his differed from the king's—as when Henry be a candidate for the imperial crown in desired war with France in 1521-25-he orised and striven, successfully, to miniharm from following the less judicious he matter of the divorce, however, was too im, and as it dragged along his enemies obking's ear, finding a potent ally in the amd frivolous Anne Boleyn. Wolsey gave up seal on Nov. 19, 1529, and three days later vledged a Præmunire (q.v.) and turned his over to the king. He was ordered to repair e belonging to his bishopric of Winchester in Surrey, 15 m. s.w. of London), where he hree months, in great distress of mind, ill, ing pecuniary straits. In Feb., 1530, he (unwillingly) Winchester and St. Albans, ranted a general pardon and had the posi his archbishopric restored to him. It does ir that Henry was ever, even to the last, volition unfriendly to Wolsey, and probeal situation was that the king (one of the English sovereigns, and an apt scholar) felt ad learned the moves and stratagems of , and could now play the game as well as ter. He was content to be rid of the carublic affairs, and purposed to relegate him lesiastical sphere—incidentally appropriaealth, especially as by this course he hoped Volsey in reserve, should need of him yet placy's foes bent their energies to prevent between him and the king, and when

Henry permitted him, for his health, to move to Richmond (nearer the court), they ordered him threateningly to go to his archbishopric. He proceeded northward by slow stages, apparently hoping things would yet turn in his favor, and reached Cawood (10 m. s. of York) in the early fall. He avoided ostentation, busied himself with ecclesiastical duties. and won the hearts of many who had previously been prejudiced against him, although he was continually subjected to much petty persecution. He arranged to be instituted, quietly, on Nov. 7, but three days before that date he was arrested, charged with high treason. He seems to have hoped for some amelioration of his affairs through the intervention of Francis I., and attempted to open negotiations with the French envoy; really his offense was not great, but this indiscretion was enough to equip his enemies with a trumped-up charge against him, though his keepers were lenient and traveled slowly toward London because of his weakness. He was very despondent and asserted constantly that he was being led to execution. Death, however, saved him from this possible fate. Midway between York and London, at Leicester Abbey, his strength failed completely, and here, tended by the kindly ministrations of his brother monks (he had joined the abbey some years before), he breathed his last. He was buried in the abbey.

Wolsey was ambitious; proud, perhaps arrogant; lavish, even extravagant, in both public and private expenditure.* He applied church revenues shamelessly to personal ends as well as to the devious

scheming of diplomacy, and he followed all the tortuous ways of his profession, prevaricating, bribing, and their Extenuation. recklessness and cynicism of a very practical politician. He accepted bribes. His private life is said to have been impure. He was subservient to the king, even cringing when he feared to lose his master's favor. He appears weak and pitiable in adversity. On the other hand, he was no mere self-seeker. He was not ruthless, vindictive, or blood-thirsty.† He must have been lovable, for in his fall his servants stood by him

^{*}He accompanied Henry to France in 1513 (not yet even a bishop) with a retinue double those of Bishops Fox and Ruthall. His household in London numbered 800 persons (cf. Cavendish, chap. v., Of the Orders and Offices of his House and Chapel; cf. also chap. vii., Of the Manner of his Going to Westminster Hall; chap. viii., Of the Cardinal's Magnificence in his House, an account of an entertainment for the king and court, utilised—in many lines verbatim—in Shakespeare's Henry VIII., I., iv.; chap. xiii., Of the French King's Redemption out of Captivity and of the Cardinal's Ambassage into France; chap. xiv., Of the French Ambassador's Entertainment and Dispatch). The Field of the Cloth of Gold, the most magnificent of medieval pageants (1520), was entirely under Wolsey's direction, and in all the glittering throng none was more splendid than Wolsey; none also was busier with weighty matters of state amid all the show. He knights of St. John in 1515, adorned and extended the palace in succeeding years to suit his taste, and made it his favorite retreat, though in 1525 he presented it to the king as "too magnificent for a subject."

[†] It is worth noting that no one brought before his legatine court on a charge of heresy was burned; and in political matters and toward personal enemies he showed a like selfrestraint and toleration.

nobly, and he made friends of all with whom he came personally in contact; when he was led from Cawood the crowd ran after him crying: "God save your Grace! The foul evil take them that have taken you from us!" His subserviency to his royal master was grounded in a conviction that Henry's sovereignty was the only guaranty against civil strife; furthermore, that the royal power was the only power in England strong enough to work necessary reforms. Herein public opinion strenuously endorsed Wolsey's. Likewise, his magnificent life accorded with the spirit of his time; the subventions he received from France and Spain were questioned by no one; his apparent misuse of church offices and revenues was sanctioned by time-honored custom. And he has better extenuation than the specious and commonplace plea that "his faults were those of his time." The ostentatious display in which he lived and with which he clothed all his enterprises was a part of his great aspirations and plans, and was, moreover, an effective means toward the ends he was striving for. It impressed foreign potentates, and pleased and animated men at home; probably nothing contributed more to Wolsey's greatest and permanent achievement—the awakening and invigorating of the English spirit—than the magnificent life of the English cardinal. "Bribes" may be too harsh a word to apply to his pensions, annuities, and subsidies; they were given and accepted openly, and they never caused him to waver in his duty to England. A churchman of the highest rank, he served the State and used the Church's money for the public good, because in the early sixteenth century churchmen alone had the education, experience in affairs, and general training requisite for public duties, and the Church possessed by far the larger share of the national wealth—a greater share, moreover, than it needed for the work it was doing. A conspicuous example is his diversion of abundant wealth to grand educational foundations. As early as 1518 he sought and obtained exceptional powers in the visitation of monasteries. Making use of these powers, augmented by later bulls, he suppressed a number of religious houses and applied their revenues to the foundation of Christ Church College at Oxford (1525) and a school at Ipswich (1528), the latter intended to be the first of a series of institutions scattered over England to meet local needs. Thus he would have corrected a fault in the English educational system, which, after his fall, remained unrelieved until the century just ended. No incident of his fall occasioned him deeper grief than the news that his two colleges were to be suppressed. The Oxford institution was ultimately saved (partly in response to Wolsey's earnest entreaties), but its name was changed from Cardinal College to King's (it is now Christ Church) and its plan was much curtailed. Another trait, less patent but more noteworthy, linking Wolsey with the opening twentieth century, is his steadfast belief that the greatness and prosperity of his land and of all lands are truly promoted by peace, not by war. He worked constantly, devotedly, untiringly for peace, winning the title of cardinalis pacificus, and he stands forth, in the long line of English statesmen,

as the great peace minister—than whom no other is more fit to be taken as patron by those who would now substitute arbitration and reason for pillage and bloodshed in the settlement of international dispute. BIBLIOGRAPHY: Among the sources may be mentioned in IBLIOGRAPHY: Among the sources may be menuous at the Rolls Series: Letters and Papers of . . . Richard III. and Henry VII., 2 vols., 1861-63; Calendars of Letters and Papers, Henry VIII., vols. i-vi., 1862 sqq.; Calendar of Letters between England and Spain, vols. ii.-v., 1868 sqq. Venica. State Papers and Manuscripts, vols. ii.-v., 1864 Venice, State Papers and Manuscripts, vols. ii.-v., 1808 mg., venice, State Papers and Manuscripts, vols. ii.-v., 1804 mq.; and J. S. Brewer, Reign of Henry VIII., ed Gainner, 2 vols., 1884. Besides these, reference should be made to all original publications dealing with the right made to all original publications dealing with the rep-of Henry VIII., as well as to the works on the histor, secular and ecclesiastical, dealing with that period. The so-called life by George (not William) Cavendish ca-tains the reminiscences of a faithful servant, written as-tain life (in the reign of Mary). Cavendish remained with Wolsey to the end, was present at his deathbed, and pr-sonally carried the news of his death to Henry VIII. The book is received deficient in dates and other date for a book is gossipy, deficient in dates and other data for re-constructing Wolsey's life, and has value chiefly for the picture it gives (very favorable) of Wolsey the man by one who knew him long and intimately. A copy of the first edition (The Negotiations of Thomas Wolsey, the Grat Cardinall of England, Containing his Life and Death, etc. London, 1641), bound in red levant morocco, git edge, brought \$50 at the Hoe sale (1910). The work has inquently been reproduced and in cheap form, as in Merley's Universal Library, London, 1885, recent ed., ib., 1908. The best life of Wolsey is Mandell Creighton's Cardinal Wolsey in Twelve English Statesmen Series, London, 1888. (written with abundant knowledge of English and continental history and shrewd discrimination, treats of Wol sey as a statesman, but is rather hard reading). Of importance is E. L. Taunton's Thomas Wolsey, Letter and Reformer, London, 1901 (a eulogy of Wolsey as churchman, thus supplementing Creighton; it is rather loosely written, and is not to be implicitly trusted in dates, citations, and, perhaps, conclusions, though it has interest and value as the work of a liberal Roman Catholic; cf. his article in American Catholic Quarterly Roview, xxv (1900), 289-329); F. A. Gasquet, Henry VIII. and the English Monasteries, chap. ii., London, 188, rev. ed., 1899 (unfavorable to Wolsey). The dnms. Henry VIII., attributed to Shakespeare (really writes by him and Fletcher and containing more of Fletcher in the state of the state o than Shakespeare), is not history, but has value in that it doubtless presents Wolsey as men of his time and immediately ately succeeding generations saw him; the eulogy (IV.

Other works which may be consulted to advantage are:
T. Storer, Life and Death of Thomas Wolsey, London, 1599, reprint, Oxford, 1826; R. Fiddes, Life of Cardinal Wolsey, ib. 1724; J. Grove, Hist. of the Life and Times of Cardinal Wolsey, 4 vols., ib. 1742-44; C. Wordsworth, Ecclesiastical Biography, 4 vols., ib. 1853; W. Busch, Drei Jahre englischer Vermittlungspolitik, 1518-21, Bonn, 1884; idem, Cardinal Wolsey und die englische kaiseitiek Allianz, 1522-25, ib. 1884; idem, in Historisches Tackerbuch, vols. viii.-ix.; Cambridge Modern History, ii. 42-45, 416-435, New York, 1904; DNB, Ixii. 325-343.

ii. 48-68) is inadequate, but just as far as it goes.

WOLTERS, völt'ers, ALBRECHT JULIUS KON-STANTIN: German theologian; b. at Emmerichon-the-Rhine (60 m. w. of Münster) Aug. 22, 1822; d. at Bonn Mar. 29, 1877. He began his education in the gymnasium of his native town, and the relica of early Christian art of various kinds accessible there gave him a taste for archeological studies. He attended the University of Bonn, where he came under the influence of Friedrich Bleek and Karl Immanuel Nitzsch (qq.v.); then went to Berlin and pursued theological and philosophical studies under Marheineke, Vatke, Hengstenberg, and others, developing his talent for languages; he closed his studies by returning to Bonn. His first work was done as private tutor at Naples, during three years of which activity he acquired a mastery of the Italian; reuming to Germany he took a position as unordained ssistant at Krefeld, then held a teachership for a rief time at a girls' school at Cologne, after which ie became pastor at Wesel (1851); in 1857 he went to 3onn to do the work of a pastor, and showed a comrehensive activity in preaching, organization, leadrship and the cure of souls, adding to his other luties the religious instruction of the upper classes in hegymnasium; after 1862 he was a standing repreentative at the provincial synod, and in 1869 he beame superintendent of the district of Mülheim. In 874 he assumed a new line of duty as professor of ractical theology at Halle, lecturing also on various Vew-Testament epistles, on church order, and on he history of Christian art; here he served also as ead of the governing body of the deaconess instiution, while other activities, such as the Gustavdolf-Verein, drew upon his strength. Besides three olumes of sermons (Krefeld, 1851, Bonn, 1860-74), eissued Ernst Moritz Arndt, ein Zeuge für den evandischen Glauben (Elberfeld, 1860); Ueber die Prininen der rheinisch-westphälischen Kirchenordnung Bonn, 1862); Der Heidelberger Katechismus . . . ebst der Geschichte seines Textes (1864); Konrad von leresbach und der clev. Hof zu seiner Zeit (Elbereld, 1867); Reformationsgeschichte der Stadt Wesel Bonn, 1868); Ein Blatt aus der Geschichte des truchwa'schen Krieges (1872); Der Abgott zu Halle (1877); nd the posthumous Nachgelassene Gedichte (1879). (K. H. PAHNCKE.)

tsliography: W. Beyschlag, Erinnerungen an Albrecht Wollers, Halle, 1880; and the address at the interment, by Pastor Krabb, in Kirchlicher Anzeiger für die evangelischen Gemeinden in Bonn und Umgegend, 1878, no. 15.

WOLTERSDORF, völt'ers-dorf, ERNST GOTT-EB: German poet, educator, preacher, and thor; b. at Friedrichsfelde, a suburb of Berlin, ay 31, 1725; d. at Bunzlau (65 m. w.n.w. of Bresu) Dec. 17, 1761. He received his preparatory aining at Berlin, entered the University of Halle 1742; was compelled by illness to break off his udies and to travel in 1744; became tutor and car in the family of Pastor Stilke in Zerrenthin near renzlau; in 1746 was called to Drehna to preach ad to instruct young Count Seyfried, when he gave his time for the instruction of the school-children; e was called as second pastor to Bunzlau in 1748, nd there he was active in a revival during which me numbers attending his services compelled him preach in the open air, while his excellent service nd his devotion to his work won over the faction thich had opposed his selection; in 1754 he became iterested in an orphan asylum, entered the direcorate, with which he became even more closely lentified in 1758, declining a call to a professorhip that he might continue his work. Under his ble direction during the short time remaining to im the importance and usefulness of the instituon increased greatly. Of his poems he issued volmes in 1750-51 under the title Evangelische Psalen (new ed. by R. Schneider, Dresden, 1849), and complete collection appeared after his death (Ber-1,1767). They have become precious possessions the church, though they are for the most part too g for use in hymnals. A collection of his seras appeared at Bunzlau, 1771. (A. FREYBE†.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: There is a biography by R. Schneider in his edition of the Evangelische Psalmen noted in the text; one by R. Besser, Bielefeld, 1854; also one by A. Brūssau-Vielguth, in Bilder aus der Geschichte des evangelischen Kirchenliedes, no. 36.

WOLZOGEN, JOHANN LUDWIG VON. See Socinus, Faustus, Socinians, I., § 2.

WOMEN, ROMAN CATHOLIC CONGREGA-TIONS OF: Communities of women, usually monastic in character, organized for religious or philanthropic purposes. The female branches of such orders as the Benedictines, Franciscans, and Dominicans, as well as such famous orders and congregations as the Brigittines, Sisters of Mercy, and Ursulines, are dealt with under the articles devoted to those subjects. But a list may here be given of the smaller and more or less local female congregations of the Roman Catholic Church, the order adopted being chronological.

The Oblates of the Tower of Specchi (Oblate di Tor de' Specchi) were established in 1425 during the pontificate of Martin V. by Fran-

Foundations cesca Romana of Trastevere for the before 1600. care of the sick. The members of this order have been distinguished by their

self-sacrificing devotion, down to the present century. The Conceptionists, or Order of the Conception of Mary, were founded at Toledo in 1484 by Beatrix de Silva, and were confirmed by Innocent VIII., 1589. A similar society, that of the Immaculate Conception of the Holy Virgin, was established in connection with Pierre Fourier's Lorraine congregation of Our Lady at Nancy. The Dimesses were founded in 1584 by Dianira Valmarana, a widow of Verona, for the instruction of girls and the care of the sick under the sanction of Cardinal-bishop Augustin Valier. The Daughters of the Purification of Mary were established in 1590 at Arona near Milan, principally for the instruction of women.

The Daughters of Our Lady of Bordeaux (Filles de Notre Dame de Bordeaux) were founded in 1607

by Jeanne Lestonac, marquise of Montferrat, and were confirmed by Paul V. tions of the The congregation is devoted chiefly to Seventeenth the instruction of Roman Catholic Century. girls, and possessed in 1898 more than

thirty houses in France and some twenty in Spain, Italy, and America. The Sisters of Christian Teaching of Nancy (Vatelottes) were established in 1615 by the Lorraine priest Vatel for the care of the sick and the instruction of girls, and have about 900 sisters and 200 houses. The Daughters of Mount Calvary (Brignolines, Suore Brignole) were established at Genoa in 1619 by Virginia Centurione for the care of the sick and young children. The Nuns of the Incarnate Word (Religieuses du Verbe incarne) were founded at Lyons in 1625 by Jeanne Marie Chezard for the adoration of the sacrament. They are divided into three classes, the first of which maintains the original purpose of the congregation; the second supports boarding-schools for girls; and the third nurses the sick. The Daughters of the Holy Cross, founded at Roye in Picardy in 1625, has been divided since 1668 into a congregation of religious with simple

vows and a mother house at Paris, and a secular congregation devoted to the instruction of girls, particularly in the rural districts. In the beginning of the nineteenth century the congregation was again divided into seven independent bodies, including the Ladies of the Cross (Religieuses de la croix) with a mother house at St. Quentin; the Sisters of the Cross (Sœurs de la croix) with a mother house at Lavaux; and the Daughters of the Cross (Filles de la croix) with a mother house at St. The Sisters of the Mercy of Jesus (Sœurs Brieuc. hospitalières de la misericorde de Jésus) were founded at Dieppe in 1630 for the care of the sick and aged. The Penitents of Our Lady of Refuge were established at Nancy in 1631 by Marie Elisabeth de la Croix for the reformation of fallen women, were confirmed three years later by Urban VIII., and are under Augustinian rule with certain Jesuit modifications. The Nuns of Our Lady of Mercy were founded at Aix in 1633 by the Oratorian Antoine Yvan to imitate the life of the Virgin by pious seclusion and to give a Christian education to poor girls. The Hospital-Nuns of St. Joseph of Bordeaux were established in 1638 by Marie Delpech de l'Estang for the education of orphan girls, later taking the names of Congregation of Jesus, Mary, and Joseph, or Congregation of the Created Trinity, and also being called Sisters of Joseph. The Sisters of Refuge (or Nuns of St. Michael) were an order of penitents established at Caen in 1644 (1641) by Jean Eudes, but later removed to Paris, where the great monastery or magdaleneum became their chief center, in addition to which they had twentythree other houses. The Nuns of Our Lady of Grace (or Sisters of St. Thomas of Villanova) were established at Lamballe in Brittany in 1660 by the Augustinian Angelus le Proust, and originally cared for the sick, although they now also give instruction to the young in their institutions, which number more than a hundred. The Sisters of the Christ-Child were founded at Reims by Abbé Roland in 1674 for the instruction of girls, forming the model for similar congregations at Soissons, Neuchâtel, and Claveizolles, as well as in England, where they are called the Sisters of the Holy Child Jesus, and in Japan. The Nuns of St. Maurus and Providence were founded at Paris in 1681 by the Minimite Nicholas Barre, who united them with the Sisters of the Christian and Loving Child Jesus, whom he had established three years previously. They enjoyed the special favor of Louis XIV., who gave them a school at St. Cyr, and they possessed in 1898 forty houses in France and the French colonies. The Nuns of St. Joseph of the Good Shepherd were established at Clermont in 1666 by Canon Laborieux for the care of fallen women, which was the aim also of the Daughters of the Good Shepherd, established at Paris about 1690 by Marie de Combe. These were the predecessors of the Nuns of the Good Shepherd, who were an offshoot of the Sisters of Refuge already mentioned. The congregation possesses about 115 houses, including thirteen in Germany and fifty-one in America.

The Daughters of Wisdom were established at St. Laurent in 1719 by Marie Louise Trichet, and control nearly 200 houses, most of which are in Franc, and devote themselves to various forms of phile-

thropy, including the instruction of Founda- deaf-mutes. The Daughters of the Good tions of the Savior were founded at Caen by Ame Eighteenth Leroy in 1720, and aim to relieve Century. all forms of suffering, including and the insane. deaf-mutes Presentation Nuns were founded at Cork in 1736 by Nano Nagle for the gratuitous instruction d poor children, and have twenty-nine branche in Ireland and India. In 1797 they formed the model of the Sœurs de Presentation (White Ladies, Dames Blanches), established by Marie Rivier, and transplanted to Canada in 1853. The Sisters of Providence were established at Metz in 1762, and an still active in educational work and the care of the sick. Similar congregations were later formed at Strasburg, Rappoltsweiler, and other cities, as well

lished at Romances in 1823.

The Ladies of the Most Holy Heart of Jesus (Dames de sacré cœur) were founded in 1800 by Madelaine Sophie Barat (see Sacred Heart of Jesus, Devotion to). The Sisters of the Cross of St. Andrew were established at Puy in 1806 by

as at Evreux in Normandy in 1775. The Latiss of the Holy Sacrament (or of St. Justus) were

founded at Macon in 1773 for the education of girls

and the care of the sick, and later served as a model

for another congregation of the same name estab-

Foundations of the and the care of the sick, and has about Nineteenth Century.

Elisabeth Béchier and André Hubert Fournet for the education of children to the sick, and has about 380 houses, the Century.

Sisters of the Perpetual Adoration

(Adoratrices perpetuse) were founded at Rome in 1807 by Caterina Sordini (later known as a Franciscan Tertiary by her name in religion, Maria Magdalena de Incarnatione) for the perpetual adoration of the sacrament and the expiation of wrongs done to it. It possesses houses in Rome, Naples, Turin, and Innsbruck.

The Sisters of St. Sophia were established at Mets in 1807 for the education of girls, but were incorporated in 1824 with the Dames de Sacre Cour. The Sisters of St. Christina were also established at Metz in 1807 by Madame Tailleur, and gave gratuitous instruction in seventy schools in the dioceses of Metz, Chalons, Verdun, and Reims. The Daughters of Jesus were founded at Verona in 1809 by Pietro Liomardi for the education of girls, and formed the model for four French congregations with the same name and object. The Ladies of Good Succor (Dames du bon secours) were established at Aurignac in 1810 by Abbé Desentis and the widowed Baroness de Benque for the care of the sick and the poor. They number over 4,000 in 160 houses in France. The Sisters of Loreto (Loreto tines, Ladies of Loreto) include three congregations established about the same time; one at Loreto, Ky., in 1812 for the education of girls; the second at Bordeaux in 1821 for the protection of servants without positions; and the third at Dublin in 1822 on the model of the English Ladies (q.v.).

The Sisters of Joseph comprise a number of con-

gations established for various purposes. i founded at Chambrey in Savoy in 1808 for elementary instruction of children; and a sec-I was founded at Lyons in 1821 to provide for welfare of female prisoners. The Ladies of the ly Trinity (Sœurs or Dames de la Sainte Trin-) were founded at Valence in 1824 for the inaction of the poor, the training of orphans, and pital work, and became active in thirteen dioss of France, in addition to some twenty houses Algeria. The Sisters of Our Lady of Good (Sœurs de Notre Dame du bon secours) were ablished at Paris in 1827 by Madame de Montal the education of girls, and spread thence to other es of France. A similar congregation was estabed under the name of Sœurs de Marie Auxilia-» in Paris and Castelnaudary in 1854 by Abbé Soubiran for elementary education, the care of sick, and the control of homes for working girls. The Ladies of the Holy Union (Dames de la sainte ion) were founded by the priest Debrabant in 8 with their mother house at Douai for educamal purposes. The congregation had over 500 are in northern France and Belgium, while an er congregation of the same name had its mother me at Fontenay-le-Comte. The Sisters of Our ty of Salette were established at Grenoble in 2, in cooperation with the Missioners of Our ty of Salette, and, though having but four conits with about sixty sisters, controlled a number asylums for orphans and the insane. The Soty of Mary the Restorer (Société de Marie-Réntrice) was established at Paris in 1855 by the roness Emelie d'Hooghvorst for the perpetual cration of the sacrament, the equipment of poor webes, and religious instruction. The mother we is in Rome, but the congregation is represted in almost all the Roman Catholic countries Burope, and in Palestine, India, Reunion, Mauriand elsewhere. The Daughters of Divine Love were founded at

Vienna in 1868 by Franziska Lechner to obtain positions for working girls, to train orphans for housework, and to provide homes for aged women. The sisters number more than 400 and possess some thirty institutions. The Missionary Sisters of Our Lady of Missions of Africa (or White Sisters) were founded in 1868 by Cardinal Lavigerie (q.v.) of Algiers as the female branch of his Société des Missionaires de Notre-Dame des Missions d'Afrique. Originally restricted to the care of orphans and hospitals and other works of charity in Algiers, they have engaged since 1894 in missionary activity in central Africa, although in small numbers. The Indian Sisters of Our Lady of the Seven Dolors were founded in 1876 for giving Roman Catholic instruction in the missionary schools of India. The Indian Sisters of St. Anne were established in Trichinopoli in 1877 for the care of orphans, the control of hospitals, the providing of homes for widows, and similar objects. The Sisters of St. Anne in Canada are in charge of hospitals in Montreal, Vancouver, Three Rivers, and other Canadian districts.

See also Ambrosians; Angelicals; Bridget, Saint, of Sweden; Charity, Sisters of; English Ladies; Mercy, Sisters of; Sacred Heart of Jesus; Ursulines; Visitantines; etc.

(O. Zöcklert.)

Bibliography: Helyot, Ordres monastiques; Heimbucher, Orden und Kongregationen; Currier, Religious Orders; T. D. Fosbroke, British Monasticism, 2 vols., London, 1802, 3d ed., 1843; M. R. A. Henrion, Hist. générale des missions catholiques, 2 vols., Paris, 1846-47; L. Badiehe, Dictionnaire des ordres religieux, 4 vols., ib. 1858; O'D. T. Hill, English Monasticism, London, 1867; C. E. Stephen, The Service of the Poor, ib. 1871; E. Keller, Les Congregations religieuses en France, leurs œuvres et leurs services, Paris, 1880; M. du Camp. La Charité privée à Paris, ib. 1886; G. Uhlhorn, Die christiche Liebesthätigkeit, iii. 414-448, Stuttgart, 1890; F. C. Woodhouse, Monasticism, Ancient and Modern, London, 1896; Lina Eckenstein, Woman under Monasticism, Cambridge, 1896 (a valuable contribution; deals mainly with English and German nuneries); Theodosia Benson, in RDM, Apr., 1898; the Official Catholic Directory, pp. 805-835 Milwaukee, 1911; the literature under Monasticisms.

WOMEN'S WORK IN THE CHURCH.

I. In the Early Church.
In the Apostolic Period (§ 1).
In the Sub-Apostolic Age (§ 2).
Widows (§ 3).
Other Offices (§ 4).
Influence of Women (§ 5).

II. In the Middle Ages.
As Rulers of Monastic Institutions
(§ 1).
In Philanthropy and Literature
(§ 2).
Decline in Culture (§ 3).

Women in Reform (§ 4).

III. Under Protestantism.

Early Examples of Service (§ 1).

Later Philanthropic Work (§ 2).

Sisterhoods, Education, Missions
(§ 3).

L in the Early Church: By the greetings in the itles of the New Testament it is seen that women to in some way in the apostolic age serving the Christian community. Many followed I. In the the example of those women who min-Apostolic istered to Christ and shared their Period. wealth with him. Others, like Mary and Martha, Mary the mother of Mark, Mia, Priscilla, Nympha, probably Damaris, and se of the "honorable women" of Berea, made er homes the center of the little community in th city and the place where the love-feast could to place. In such homes the messengers of the spel found safe entertainment. Paul experienced s hospitality, which contributed much to the exsion of Christianity. From the earliest times ain women seem to have been singled out for

special duties by special fitness. Phœbe (see DEAconess) appears to have been one of these. Legend (Acts of Paul and Thekla) gives to Paul a woman missionary assistant, and it is certain that there were women teachers to the end of the second century, and women missionaries much later. In the apostolic period women instructed new converts (Acts xviii. 26), they also spoke in meetings. The daughters of Philip (Acts xxi. 8-9) were not the only prophetesses. Christianity was in the outset charismatic, and women shared in these gifts. Paul regulated the public speaking of women (I Cor. xi. 5). Early Christian art gives examples of women speaking, with their veils fastened back from their faces by the ornament usually worn for the purpose. The context shows the prohibition of I Cor. xiv. 34 to refer not to prophesying but to interrupting a discourse by questions. "In the gospel" (Phil. iv. 3) can mean nothing else than "In the preaching of the Gospel." Toward the end of the first century (I Tim. ii. 12) women were, on the score of seemliness, forbidden to speak in public. What had been proper in the small, familiar meetings of the early days ceased to be so when religious services took on a more public character, especially in the East, where reputable women lived in comparative seclusion. This rule did not extend to newly evangelised districts, as is proved by the fact that the Acts of Paul and Thekla were considered authentic through the second century.

Throughout the East women continued to teach those of their own sex as a matter of necessity, apostles and men missionaries being ex-

2. In the cluded from women's apartments. The Sub-Apossipread of Christianity in the East is tolic Age. unthinkable without this service. The

method of administering baptism made the assistance of women in this rite indispensable. As numbers grew and special buildings were provided for religious services, where women sat apart from men, the service of women in the administration of the communion was equally indispensable. The whole question of woman's work was one not of doctrine nor of office, but of good manners and actual need. In general, woman's service was naturally along womanly lines, hospitality, care of the poor, the sick, prisoners and orphans, the oversight and instruction of women and children, and the last offices to the dead. In this period of first love there was need neither of organization nor of institutions. Every Christian was a worker, and every Christian home an asylum for travelers and the poor. Persecution, when it arose, created new duties in which, as well as in martyrdom, women had their full share. Their share in service and suffering is a stronger testimony to the position of women in the early Church than any special office.

Special offices, however, came into existence at a very early time. Official widows (see Deaconess, II., § 1) appear at the close of the apostolic age. Later sources shed light upon the directions in I Tim. v. 3-10. In the early days, when families

were divided religiously, believing 3. Widows widows must often have been thrown upon the community for support. These, being presumably free from family cares, were by years and experience peculiarly competent for womanly service. Official widows were to be at least sixty years old, and must have borne children (I Tim. v. 9, 10) that they might have experience and sympathy. Their especial duties were prayer and fasting (the widow was the "intercessor of the church"; cf. Apostolic Constitutions, iii. 5); but it was her part also to care for other widows and for the poor in general, especially for orphans and for those who were imprisoned for conscience' sake, to have oversight of the female part of the community, being virtually the presbyter of the women, and to be "keeper of the door" in service time. Widows spoke at marriages, instructed the women, and prepared them for baptism, in which service they assisted, and held a position of such honor that they were designated the "altar of God." Widows are

named in the second century with bishops, presbyters, and deacons as church functionaries. Marriel women and even young girls came to be included in this order. Ignatius (Ad Smyrnæos, xiii.) speals of "virgins who were also called widows." Testament of our Lord (end of fourth century) mentions in the following order the viduate, desconesses, female presbyters, virgins, putting widows before deaconesses. The Apostolic Constitutions (q.v.) says, on the contrary, that widows must always obey the deaconesses, and prescribes the duties of each. The probably still earlier Didascalia, in the appendix of which is given the ritual for the conscration of widows and deaconesses, shows that by the third century many official duties were taken from the widow and conferred upon the deacones, precisely in order that the former might keep to her original duty of prayer and fasting (I Tim. v. 5). Yet even in this century she still claimed the right to baptize, and a fifth-century synod at Carthage says that since widows assist in the baptism of women they must, therefore, be qualified to teach The Testament of Our Lord names among the widow's duties to pray at certain hours in the church and at home, to discipline the women, punish the refractory, warn the backward, teach the unlearned, visit the sick, and help in the baptism of women "because she is herself anointed." She is also to take the communion to sick women.

Among the functions sooner or later withdrawa from woman was that of presbyteress, which was for a time a distinct office. There was also a canoness, whose duty was chiefly to serve in the choir at

funerals and other ceremonies. The
4. Other
Offices.
heretical sects, especially the Montanists, had also female bishops and

prophetesses, and it was in part because of the excesses of the latter that the orders above named were comparatively short-lived in the orthodox church. The growing concern for purity of doctrine doubtless counted for something in theincreasing distrust of women as teachers; to this contributed the development of clericalism which began early in the third century, and the exaltation of the sacerdotal function of the clergy; the rise of monasticism completed the work. By the end of the fourth century the teaching office in the Church had ceased to be vested in women. While it may be disputed that Christianity emancipated woman, it certainly opened for the first time an honorable career to respectable unmarried women, for whom until that time there had been neither place nor dignity. Before the close of the first century appears the institution of the popular order of virgins, women who dedicated themselves to a single life and took a special place of honor as the Brides of Christ. They seem to have put themselves at the call of the bishop for any helpful service, were not cloistered, but lived at home and thence exercised their official functions. At first they claimed the right to teach. At a later day Tertullian forbade it, and this prohibition contributed much to the popularity of the monastic life. If the "consecrated virgin" might not be a leader in the Christian community, she had no part in it. The result was that virgins formed themselves into communities, first in the East and afterward in the West. The comminities of virgins were naturally preceded by the female anchorite. It was only after the peace of the Church under Constantine that monastic orders beame possible, and one of his daughters founded the first woman's cloister. All that had preceded led to the merging of the institution of virgins, and to some extent of that of widows, in the orders of the nun. "Heresy, hierarchy, monasticism" were the three factors which checked the development of woman's service in the community in the fourth and fifth centuries.

In the fourth century, which marks the zenith of female activity in the early Church, the importance d services performed by women not of any order is emphasized by Chrysostom and others. At this time the development of hospitals and hospices appears to have displaced those earlier activities from which women had been gradually shut out. Helena (q.v.), the mother of the Emperor Constantine, built the first hospices for strangers and pilgrims. A group of noble Roman matrons did much to promote Christianity by founding hospitals and convents and forwarding education. Jerome in his various writings especially mentions fifteen, among others Paula, a distinguished Hebrew scholar who ted him in the translation of the Bible. The t hospital in Rome was founded by Fabiola, whom Jerome calls "the praise of Christians, the wonder of the Gentiles, the mourning of the poor, and the consolation of the monks."

The influence of Christian women upon husbands, sons, and grandsons was very marked. Nearly all the distinguished names of the ancient Church are

accompanied by that of mother or sinfluence sister. Macrina (q.v., 2) helped to of Women. rear in the love of God her three brothers, "the eloquent Basil, the ladicious Gregory of Nyssa, and the charitable Peter of Sebaste" (qq.v.). Noma, the mother of Gregory Nazianzen, converted her heathen haband and brought her distinguished son under Christian influences. Arethusa, mother of Chrysostom, devoted her life to the education of her children, and kept her son from becoming a hermit. The influence of Monnica (q.v.) upon Augustine (q.v.) is well known. Ambrose (q.v.) was brought up and educated by his sister Marcellina. Pulcheria (q.v.), the granddaughter of Theodosius the Great, superintended the education of her brother Theodosius II., with whom she reigned as Augusta. Benedict (see BENEDICT OF NURSIA) owed much to his sister Scholastica. The part of women in the adoption of Christianity by pagan nations was large. It was due to the Christian teaching of Chlotildis that her husband Clovis was ready to accept Christianity after a victory in battle won by prayer. Her granddaughter Bertha prepared her husband, Ethelbert, king of Kent (see Augus-THE, SAINT, OF CANTERBURY), to embrace the Christian faith when it was preached in Britain by Augustine. Ludmilla of Bohemia trained her grandson Wenceslas in such piety that, after making Christisnity the religion of Bohemia, he became a martyr and saint. Dambrowka of Bohemia persuaded her bushand Micislaus of Poland to embrace Christian-

ity. The office of missionary was never forbidden to women, and with the right of the woman missionary to teach went of necessity her right to baptize. Gradually, however, this right was withdrawn. But the missionary service of women continued through the entire period of the conversion of Europe, where women rendered large service. Bridget (see BRIDGET, SAINT, OF KILDARE) worked with Patrick in the evangelization of Ireland. Anglo-Saxon nuns were especially active in this service. The monastery at Whitby was a school of missionaries, female and male. In the eighth century Boniface (see BONIFACE, SAINT) called his cousin Lioba from her convent in Dorset to help him evangelize the heathen of northern Europe. Walburga and Barthgytha, Anglo-Saxons nuns, assisted in evangelizing Germany.

II. In the Middle Ages: The rise of Monasticism (q.v.) in the fifth century changed in a large degree, though for a long time it did not diminish, the ac-

tivities of women in the Church.

1. As Rulers Nursing the sick and ministering to of Monastic the poor were their special duties, and Institutions. also teaching, especially in the foundations of Benedictines (see Benedict

OF NURSIA). The monastery as originally conceived was not a place of limited opportunity, but rather a religious settlement extending its influence over a wide area. During the turbulent centuries after the break-up of the empire, it offered to women the only place where they could work fruitfully, and develop and cultivate intellectual tastes. It afforded them also the only opportunity for social life. The monotony of castle and burg life for women was great. The men went to camp and court, the women were at home alone. Convent life was varied and interesting, including as it did the presence of a large number of royal princesses. Up to the tenth century a large number of "double" monasteries (of men and women) were ruled by women. The need of physical protection in those troubled times made this arrangement nearly imperative. Bede speaks of a double monastery in Rome in the seventh century; there were many in Gaul and Britain, and later in Belgium and Germany, but they were most popular in Ireland. The custom was not unknown in the East, but in the nature of things was not favored there. The custom died out in the ninth century (though revived at Port Royal in the seven-The Benedictine settlement at Fonteenth). tevrault, including monks and nuns to the number of 3.000 souls, was ruled for 600 years by a line of thirty-two abbesses of remarkable administrative ability. In the sixth century the Princess Radegonde, in her double monastery of the Holy Cross at Poitiers, nursed lepers, fostered literature and the arts, and often made peace in the quarrels of rulers of her time. In the same century Florentine of Spain became the superior of forty monasteries and "by her knowledge, her virtues, and even by her sacred songs" ranks high among nuns. Bertile of Chelles in this century drew large audiences of men and women to her lectures on the Scriptures. The abbey of Whitby in Yorkshire, a double institution, founded by Hilda (q.v.), a woman of "rare capacity for the government of souls and for the consolidation of monastic institutions," was re-

sorted to for education by kings and princes as well as by the "old cowherd Caedmon" (q.v.), who under Hilda's tuition became the father of English poetry. Her successor Elfleda, like all cloistered Anglo-Saxon princesses, took a passionate interest in the affairs of her race and country, and did much to mitigate the jealousies of kings and bishops. Abbesses administered the communion in their convents up to the ninth century, and in England in the tenth century four abbesses sat in Parliament as peers. The authority of such persons was enormous. As feudal lords they had the right of ban, sent their contingents of armed knights to the field. gave judgment in courts, and in Germany (as in England) were summoned to the imperial diet. Certain German abbesses had even the right to mint coin.

During all these centuries when the business of men was war, and princes were not disgraced by total illiteracy, women-ruled institutions became centers not only of philanthropy but of intellectual life, training the sons and daughters of kings and nobles for public life, and contributing much to the progress of learning. In the tenth century the Saxon monastery at Gandersheim was especially distinguished for the brilliant learning and the dramatic productions of the nun Roswitha (q.v.). In the eleventh century women of exalted position, whether cloistered or otherwise, felt the stirrings of that national consciousness which was marked by the struggle between pope and emperor. In this struggle more than one woman took an active part, notably Matilda, Countess of Tuscany (q.v.), who, at her castle at Canossa, more than indirectly contributed to that "peace of the Church" during which letters were revived and the progress of science fostered.

During this and the following centuries religious houses had fallen into great disorder, especially through luxury. Not until the twelfth century did

nuns become entirely cloistered; up
to this time they had enjoyed great
freedom of action, and only by degrees
thropy and had a conventual costume become obLiterature. ligatory. Both these changes were in
the direction of reform. The sister-

hood of the Poor Clares (see Clare, Saint, and THE POOR CLARES) had great influence in correcting the evils of monastic life. The sisters also nursed the sick, especially lepers. A contemporary of the founder of the order was Saint Elizabeth of Thuringia (q.v.), whose service to the Church was far larger than the charities for which she is famous in legend. The hospitals which she founded were of lasting social importance, and her friendship for the Franciscans was hardly less so. The work of founding hospitals took a new impulse during this period, chiefly as a result of the changes in monastic life. Many notable women left the convent to create voluntary associations for charity and philanthropy, forming the "active" or "secular orders." within the Church but bound by no vows, devoted to prayer, the service of the poor, the sick, orphans, widows, and weaker brethren and sisters. Conspicuous among these were the Tertiaries of St. Francis (see Francis, Saint, or Assisi) and St.

Dominic (see DOMINIC, SAINT), the Sisters of the Common Life (see Common Life, Brethren of THE § 5), and the Beguines of Flanders (see Begname BEGUINES). This last order was a protest against formalism and useless repress m, and an assertion of the right of spontaneous self-expression in work In the thirteenth century a wave of mystician swept over the Church, in which women had a large part. Much mystic literature, some of it held to be divinely inspired, was contributed by nuns. The convent of Helfta near Eisleben was a center of this activity, and in this convent four women the Abbess Gertrude, her sister Saint Matilda of Hacksborn, the beguine Matilda of Magdeburg, and Gertrud the Great (qq.v.) were conspicuous. writings were characterized by great elevation, inpassioned fervor, intense realism, and high inspiration. The beguine Matilda (who joined the convent later) was one of the earliest writes in German. Her work, "The Flowing Light of Divinity," in seven books has been republished (ed. G. Morel, Regensburg, 1869, and selected passages in Germ. transl. by S. Simon, Berlin, 1907). It is a serious inquiry into the nature of the soul and its relation to God, and it paved the way for more rational views than had prevailed in the eatier mysticism. Matilda of Hackeborn's "Book of Special Grace" (best ed., by the Benedictines of Solesmes, Revelationes Gertrudis ac Mathildiana, ii. 1-421, Paris, 1877), a series of visions and revelations, often translated and frequently reprinted, was notable in that class of literature which had its culmination in Dante.

The abuses which unquestionably sullied monatic life in the centuries preceding the Reformation were in large part attributable to concentration of interest upon the care of the individual

3. Decline soul—the effort to attain personal in Culture. sanctity by prayer, fasting, and later by discipline. Moral disorders ultimately resulted from this ideal. Education was maintained, but its scope was narrowed, its chief purpose being to fit the young for cloistered life. Still, intellectual pursuits were cherished in some German nunneries even into the fifteenth century. But a growing indifference to the intellectual occupations of women and the education of girls was evident, and the Humanists of the period, in their far-reaching plans for an improved system of education, left girls entirely out of account. The development of Universities (q.v.) (in which the existence of women was ignored) resulted in a serious lowering of the educational standard of the corvent. The separation of the sexes and the stricter confinement of women, in the interests of morality, cut off the nuns from secular learning and from those public interests in which they had formerly been active. Thus the high ideals with which woman's service had been claimed and rendered in the early days became entirely obscured. Later monasticism was unable to make the lavished tresures of woman's love and self-sacrifice useful to the world, and woman lost her practical place in the service of the Church.

The decline of monasticism was inevitable so soon as the idea that virginity was in itself pleasing

eased to be in the foreground of moral conss. The persuasion that the vocation of was the home was in part the effect and in cause of the decline in female education. a agreed with the views of Protestant Reand prepared the way for the dissolution of s. To this important revolution the growge in social ideas, the decline of the system ation not only in religious but in artizan and tial life, with the development of individual-dencies, contributed quite as much as the of the monasteries and their failure to public need.

the Reformation women had been conin attempts to reform or to preserve the the Church. Toward the close of the sixth Theodolinda, queen of the Lombards, extirpated the Arian heresy from her nen realm. In the eleventh century Mar-

rm. garet, patroness of Scotland, wife of Malcolm Conmore, instituted imporrems in the church of that country. St. e of Sienna (q.v.) in the fourteenth century only hospital nurse, prophetess, preacher, rmer of society, but did much to reform tical abuses. In the sixteenth century St. (q.v.) wrought a remarkable reformation in nelite monasteries and convents of Spain. rgely due to her reforming work within the Catholic Church that the progress of Protn was arrested in Spain. In the seventeenth Angélique Arnauld's attempt at Port Royal n abuses in the monastic system, though by the Roman Church, and without ulticess, gives her a high place among women. plution of religious houses had led to the n of the great hospitals in the sixteenth nteenth centuries. They were preceded by nall confraternities for the care of the sick. re found in nearly every village in Germany; re always religious—lay hospitals did not il long after this. In them, as in the earlier ries, men and women worked together, they communicated only for the needs of ice. The Sisters of Charity of St. Vincent (q.v.) more nearly resemble a modern church han any previous form of benevolent activ-Church. Under the direction of St. Francis (q.v.), his friend Mme. de Chantal founded Order of Visiting Nurses and herself acted apacity. Rosa Gavona, a Sardinian needlebuilt up a society of young and unprotected omen, which spread into many towns. The s take no vows, but support not only themut the sick and infirm of their order. Marie f Milan, rich and noble, a celebrated mathn and theologian, and the recipient of many onors, founded a hospital in her own house. e reforms which were the reflex influence of ntism upon the Roman Catholic Church, xiations of women came into being, not so he early hospital and other associations, yet tly cloistered. See MAGDALENE, ORDERS AARY.

nder Protestantism: The development of se of individuality which was the special KII.—27

contribution of Protestantism did not restore woman to her early position of usefulness in the Reformation churches. Unlike Romanism, the 1. Early Reformed Church found no sphere for Examples the activities of uneducated women, of Service. and the lowered educational standards and opportunities conspired with the growing conviction that woman's sphere is properly domestic to close against her for two hundred years the door of activity. Yet there were noble exceptions. Katherine Zell of Strasburg stood with her husband for toleration, Argula von Grumbach held her own as a controversialist, and by a letter which she wrote to Luther turned his thought to matrimony. Luther's brave wife, Katherine von Bora, was an important factor in his reforming work. In France Queen Marguerite of Navarre (q.v.), the friend of Calvin, her sister, Renée of France (q.v.), her daughter, Jeanne d'Albret (q.v.), mother of Henri IV., were nursing mothers of Protestantism. Charlotte de Laval persuaded her husband, Admiral Coligny, to take up the sword for the Protestant faith. In England Queen Catherine Parr, Lady Jane Grey, Elizabeth herself, served the Protestant cause. Anne Clifford, countess of Pembroke (1590-1676), rebuilt churches, pensioned distressed clergymen, admitting dissenting ministers to the bounty, repaired and restored almshouses, and built a hospital for poor women. Jane Welsh, daughter of John Knox and ancestress of Mrs. Carlyle, stood nobly for the Protestant faith. The rise of Quaker-ism made women prominent. Judge Fell's widow, Margaret, the wife of George Fox, William Penn's first wife, Gulielma Springett, Mary Dyer the martyr, and many others preached the doctrine of the "inward light." Friendly patrons were Lady Claypole, also connected with the Cambridge Platonists (q.v.), and Elizabeth, abbess of Herford, who welcomed Penn, and who was attached to the Labbadist party of Holland (see LABADIE, JEAN DE, LA-BADISTS). The great ornament of that party was Anna Maria van Schurman, accounted the most learned and accomplished woman of her age. The cause of religion in the eighteenth century owes a great debt to Susannah Wesley (q.v.), the mother of the Wesleys, and to Lady Huntingdon (see HUNTINGDON, SELINA HASTINGS), the foster mother of ministers during the evangelical revival, in which Miss Anne Steele, the hymn-writer, had a part. Margaret Baxter, who shared her husband's prison in the common jail, was a woman of large charities, as was Lady Rachel Russell. Hannah More (q.v.) carried on a large work of free education of the poor. With her pen and influence she rendered important aid to Wilberforce in his crusade against slavery, and also instituted an important temperance work among country clergy and farmers. The mystic Jane Lead (q.v.) was the English founder of the Philadelphian Society for the dissemination of the ideas of Jakob Boehme and her own revealings. From one branch of this society came Ann Lee, founder of Shakerism in America. Jemima Wilkinson in this century founded the White Quakers. The Pietist movement in Germany shows the prophetess Eleanora von Merlau, and Frau Peterson, who

shared her husband's literary toil in defense of

inward religion and universal salvation. Amalie Sieveking, a wealthy woman, broke new ground in dealing with the poor. She was the first exponent of the modern doctrine, "not alms but a friend," founding the society of "the Friends of the Poor" for systematic visiting in homes to relieve distress in all ways except by money. Beata Sturmin, "the Tabitha of Württemberg," and a woman of great devotion, exerted an unusual influence. Dorothea Trudel, a Swiss woman, began the "Faith Cure movement." But in spiritual power no woman of the eighteenth century can compare with Sarah Pierrepont, the wife of Jonathan Edwards and mother of a long line of notables in American church history.

The divisions of Protestantism prevented that large cooperation in good work which the requirements and the growing social consciousness of the

 Later Philanthropic Work. nineteenth century rendered necessary, and therefore many of the noblest organizations founded or participated in by Protestant women of the past hundred years have been distinctly outside of the Church. The prison reforms of

Elisabeth Fry (q.v.), the army and hospital reforms of Florence Nightingale, the German Frauenverein founded by three women in 1813 to care for the wounded in the field whether friend or foe (now with auxiliaries all over the German empire), the Sanitary Commission of the American Civil War, the States Charities Aid Association, the Young Women's Christian Association (see Young Pro-PLE'S SOCIETIES), the Women's Christian Temperance Union, the Needlework Gild, the Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children, the Consumers' League, the National Association of Mothers, Working Girls' Clubs, all of them religious services and all due to woman's initiative, belong in this category. To the individual initiative of Protestant women is due much religious work of far-reaching importance, yet not in any sense "in the Church." About 1863, Mrs. Daniell, an officer's widow, made at Aldershot, England, the first attempt to teach soldiers the blessings of religion. The work of Miss Sarah Robinson among soldiers resulted in the founding of the Soldiers' Institute, in 1874, and of an important work in the troop ships. Miss Marsh carried on effective work among navvies, and especially among the workmen on the Crystal Palace, in Sydenham. Josephine E. Butler founded first in England and then on the continent the most efficient and far-sighted work for outcast women ever instituted. Agnes Weston, the sailors' friend, has founded sailors' rests and homes all over the world. She also founded the Royal Naval Temperance Society. Countess Schimmelman carries on a large work for sailors. In the later field of Christian benevolence the names of Dora Pattison, Octavia Hill, and Ellice Hopkins are conspicuous among many. The work of Mrs. Nassau Senior, first female inspector of workhouses in England, is truly a religious service. Mrs. Senior has done more for servants than any one else in our time. Mrs. MacPherson in 1870 instituted the work of sending friendless children to the colonies. The direct services of women to the Church have, how-

ever, not been few. Baroness Burdett-Couts founded and endowed the three colonial bishopring Natal, British Columbia, and Adelaide, and open many schools. Catherine Booth (q.v.) opened a great door of opportunity through which women of small education have been admitted to wark side by side with women of fine attainments. When she died, the number of women officers of the Salvation Army exceeded 5,000, and of Halleluish lesies the number was in the tens of thousands. Mrs. Ballington Booth, a woman of rare eloquence, is one of the founders of the Volunteers of America (q.v.) and the founder of the Prison Gate Mission of America. Mrs. Meredith, of England, who was the first to advocate cottage homes for children, was, with Mrs. Pennefether, the moving spirit of the Miking mission.

In the Church of England and later in churches in the United States the movement toward denominational sisterhoods (see Deaconess) and associtions of women and girls is rapidly growing. The

3. Sisterhoods, England has twenty-nine sisterhoods
Education, devoted to helping girls, church with,
Missions.

Wesleyan Methodists have an order of
the Poor. The Church of
the Poor. The Poor. The Church of
the Poor. The Po

London, has founded a colored sisterhood in Baltimore. Mrs. Hugh Price Hughes of London has introduced some varieties of the sisterhood idea. Mary Aikenhead introduced into Ireland the Sisters of Charity, Catherine Elizabeth McAuley (q.v.) the Sisters of Mercy (see MERCT, SISTERS OF). From the Sisterhood of All Saints, founded in England about 1857, came Helen Bowden, Sister Helen, who founded Bellevue Housital Training School for Nurses. The Girls' Friendly Society, founded in 1875 in England by Mrs. M. G. Townsend, to bring together Christian ladies and working girls, was introduced into this country by Mrs. Owen Thomas. The Girls' Letter Gild, to bring cultured Christian women into correspondence with working girls, founded in England in 1889, was introduced into America in 1892 by Miss F. Wadleigh. Movements analogous to these of the Anglican communion are now taking form in other denominations. The order of The King's Daughters, founded in 1886 by Mrs. Margaret Bottome (see Young People's Societies, IV.) has spread into all countries where Protestant churches are found. The rise of Sunday-schools (q.v.) opened a wide field for women's service in the Church, a field of increasing usefulness now that the importance of special training for this work is being recognised. It is hardly more than a century since the right and the necessity of the higher education for womenunquestioned in the early Church, and the secret of much of its usefulness-became recognised by modern civilization. In no sense due to the Church, yet to Christian women it is due that that right has again been won. The name of Mary Lyon stands first among these women, and by her side must stand the names of Emma Willard and Alice Freeman Palmer. Elizabeth Blackwell and Alice Jex Blake opened the doors of the medical profession to women, with all that this involves of blessing upon the mission field. The rise of modern missions had already

to women a sphere of growing importance. nes of Harriet Newell, of Ann Hasseltine Emily Chubbock Judson, Fidelia Fiske, erett, of the English Anne and Alice Macnd of A. L. O. E. (i.e., A Lady of England), arlotte Tucker (aunt of the Salvation Army Booth-Tucker), whose pen did much to England in the evangelization of India, first half of the century. In 1834 a little of Englishwomen formed the Society for ig Female Education in the East. Fidelia roduced girls' schools into Persia; Sarah L. ton, the first wife of Dr. Eli Smith, first yrian women to read. In 1860 Mrs. Bowen on founded the British Syrian Schools. In dy Kinnaird organized the India Female School and Instruction Society, out of rang the Church of England Zenana Mis-Society, and the Zenana Bible and Medical Pandita Ramabai (q.v.) of India has done able work for Hindu widows. Mrs. Anna adhan in 1863 began zenana work in Madr daughter-in-law, Mrs. S. (Krupabai) Satan, has rendered effective missionary servher pen. Up to 1880 the idea of unmarried n the mission field was coldly received notding some brilliant examples of such servn 1894 there were about 1,000 more women n in mission work. Geraldine Guinness ward Taylor, of the China Inland Mission) much to arouse missionary interest and tion among the women of England and

itiative in woman's medical missions was Mrs. Sarah J. Hale of Philadelphia about ta long struggle was involved to complete ment. The first woman medical mission-Dr. Swain, who went to India in 1870; es of Dr. Mary Niles in China, Dr. Mary in Turkey, Dr. Mary P. Eddy in Syria, sicuous.

of Mrs. Anna Mason of Assam, coming furlough, inspired Mrs. Caroline Doremus thought of organizing women for mission in 1861 Mrs. Doremus formed the Womon Missionary Society. Denominational missionary societies came later. The consof all these in twenty-eight years aggre-3,500,000. For Home Missions (q.v.) in distance of States between 1876 and 1893 seventeen societies were formed. The names of Sue and Alice Fletcher among Indians, of floore among negroes, and of Emilia Brewer he poor whites of the South may be mennong hundreds of heroic workers.

pid development of the principles of church n permitting concerted action between of all denominations will surely result in back to strengthen the Church many of ainine activities which are truly Christian, y the necessities of the case not now in the Louise Seymour Houghton.

PHY: Besides the literature under Deaconess, H. Grégoire, De l'influence du christianisme multion des femmes, Paris, 1821; J. Kavanagh, of Christianity, Ezemplary for Piety and Charity, London, 1859; W. Landels, Woman's Sphere and Work Considered in the Light of Scripture, 7th ed., ib. 1806; C. E. Stephen, The Service of the Poor, ib. 1871; W. Welsh, Women Helpers in the Church, their Sayings and Doings, Philadelphia, 1872; H. C. G. Moule, Public Ministry of Women, London, 1892; Baroness Burdett-Coutts, Woman's Mission, New York, 1893; W. de L. Love, St. Paul and Woman, ib. 1894; Lina Eckenstein, Woman under Monasticism, Cambridge, 1896; E. Modersohn, Die Frauen des Alten Testaments . . . des Neuen Testaments, 2 vols., Mülheim, 1903–06; E. F. von der Golts, Der Dienst der Frau in der christlichen Kirche, Potsdam, 1905; J. Donaldson, Woman; her Pesition and Influence in Ancient Greece and Rome, and among the Early Christians, London, 1907; H. Merz, Christliche Frauenbilder, 6th ed., Stuttgart, 1907; L. Stöcker, Die Frau in der alten Kirche, Tübingen, 1907; F. Wilke, Das Frauenideal und die Schätzung des Weibes im Alten Testament, Leipsic, 1907; F. Harrison, Realities and Ideals Social, Political, Literary and Artistic, New York, 1908; M. Löhr. Die Stellung des Weibes zu Jahwe-Religion und Kult, Leipsic 1908; A. D. Sertillanges, Feminisme et Christianisme, Paris, 1908; J. Apolant, Stellung und Mitarbeit der Fraue in der Gemeinde, Leipsic, 1910; S. Coit, Woman in Church and State, London, 1910; J. W. von Walter, Frauenios und Frauenarbeit in der Geschichte des Christentums, Leipsic, 1911; C. L. Braee, Gesta Christi, chap, xi., new issue, London and New York, 1911; G. Bäumer, Die Frau und das geistige Leben, Leipsic, 1911.

WOOD, NATHAN EUSEBIUS: Baptist; b. at Forrestville, N. Y., June 6, 1849. He was graduated from Chicago University (A.B., 1872) and the Bap-tist Union Theological Seminary, Chicago (1875); was pastor of Centennial Baptist Church, Chicago (1875-77); principal of Wayland Academy, Beaver Dam, Wis. (1877-83); held pastorates at Memorial Baptist Church, Chicago (1883-86); Strong Place Baptist Church, Brooklyn (1886-92); Brookline, Mass. (1892-94), and the First Baptist Church, Boston (1894-99); and was president of Newton Theological Institution, Newton Center, Mass. (1899-1908). He is president of the Northern Baptist Education Society, chairman of the executive committee of the American Baptist Missionary Union, and a member of the executive board of the American Baptist Home Missionary Society and of the American Baptist Education Society. In theology he is moderately conservative, and has edited J. R. Boise's Exegetical Notes on the Greek Epistles of the Apostle Paul (New York, 1896), and has written History of the First Baptist Church of Boston, Mass. (Philadelphia, 1899).

WOODCOCK, CHARLES EDWARD: Protestant Episcopal bishop of Kentucky; b. at New Britain, Conn., June 12, 1854. He was educated by private tutors and was graduated from Berkeley Divinity School, Middletown, Conn. (1882). He was curate of Grace Church, Baltimore (1882–84); rector of the Church of the Ascension, New Haven, Conn. (1884–88); Christ Church, Ansonia, Conn. (1888–1900); and St. John's, Detroit, Mich. (1900–1905). In 1905 he was consecrated bishop of Kentucky.

WOODROW, JAMES: Presbyterian; b. at Carlisle, England, May 30, 1828; d. at Columbia, S. C., Jan. 17, 1907. He was educated at Jefferson College, Cannonsburg, Pa. (A.B., 1849), Lawrence Scientific School, Harvard (1853), and the University of Heidelberg (Ph.D., 1856), after which he spent an additional year of study in Europe. He was professor of natural science in Oglethorpe University, Milledgeville, Ga., in 1853-61, and in 1861

was appointed professor of natural science in connection with revelation in the Presbyterian Theological Seminary at Columbia, S. C. In 1884 he was deposed from his position on account of the views which he advocated regarding evolution, but the action was not sustained by the controlling synods, and in the following year he was officially informed by the board, which had been remodeled in the mean time, that he had not been removed, but was still in office, whereupon he resumed his duties as chairman of the faculty and professor. He was chief of the laboratory of the medical department of the Confederate Army at Columbia. S. C., in 1863-65, and was also professor of natural science in South Carolina College, Columbia, S. C., in 1869-72 and again in 1880-97, while from 1891 to 1897, when he retired, he was president of the same institution. He was editor and proprietor of the quarterly Southern Presbyterian Review (1861-1885) and of the weekly Southern Presbyterian (1865-93).

WOODS, HENRY GEORGE: Church of England; b. at Wood End, Northamptonshire, June 16, 1842. He was educated at Corpus Christi College, Oxford (B.A., 1865), and was connected with Trinity College, Oxford, as fellow (1865-87), tutor (1866-80), bursar (1865-87), and president (1887-1897), besides being senior proctor of the university in 1877-78. Since 1898 he has been honorary fellow of Trinity. He was ordered deacon in 1866 and ordained priest in the following year. In 1900-04 he was rector of Little Gaddesden, Herts, and chaplain and librarian to Earl Brownlow, Ashridge, and since 1904 has been master of the Temple, London. In theology he is a moderate Broad churchman, and has prepared an annotated edition of the first two books of Herodotus (2 vols., London, 1873).

WOODS, LEONARD: American Congregationalist; b. at Princeton, Mass., June 19, 1774; d. at Andover, Mass., Aug. 24, 1854. He was graduated from Harvard College, 1796; taught for a while, and studied theology at Somers, Conn.; was ordained 1798, and was pastor at Newbury, Mass., 1798-1808, when he became professor of theology at Andover Seminary, and was made professor emeritus, 1846. He then devoted himself to a History of Andover Seminary, which was published (Boston, 1885), and to preparing his lectures for the press. He was one of the founders of the American Tract Society, the American Education Society, American Temperance Society, and the American Board of Commissioners for Foreign Missions. He was a champion of orthodox Calvinism against the assaults of Ware, Buckminster, and Channing. His writings embrace Letters to Unitarians (Andover, 1820); Reply to Dr. Ware's Letters to Trinitarians and Calvinists (1821); Remarks on Dr. Ware's Answer (1822); Lectures on the Inspiration of the Scrip-tures (1829); Lectures on Infant Baptism (1829); Lectures on Church Government (New York, 1844); Lectures on Swedenborgianism (1846); Theology of the Puritans (Boston, 1851). He published a collective edition of his works (5 vols., Andover, 1849-50; 2d ed., Boston, 1851).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: W. B. Sprague, Annals of the American Pulpit, ii. 438-444, New York, 1859; A. E. Dunning, Congregationalists in America, passim, ib. 1894; W. Walker, in American Church History Series, iii. 351 sqq. ib. 1894; idem, Ten New England Leaders, pp. 360-405, ib. 1901; F. H. Foster, New England Theology, passim, Chiesgo, 1907.

WOODS, LEONARD, JR.: Congregationalist and educator; b. in Newbury, Mass., Nov. 24, 1807; d. in Boston Dec. 24, 1878. He was graduated from Union College, 1827, and from Andover Seminary, 1830; was resident graduate scholar at Andover for a year; was ordained, 1833; editor of The New York Literary and Theological Review, 1834-37; professor of sacred literature in Bangor Theological Seminary, 1836-39; and president of Bowdoin College, Me., 1839-66. In 1867 he visited Europe, under a commission to secure materials for a documentary history of Maine. He had the assistance of J. G. Kohl of Bremen, and the result of his work was the procuring of the Hakluyt manuscript of the Westerne Planting, and the publication of the Discovery of Maine (Portland, 1868). His only independent theological publication was his translation of George C. Knapp's Lectures on Christian Theology (2 vols., New York and Andover, 1831-33). He was famous for oratory, and even more remarkable for his conversational gifts.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The Memorial Discourse by C. C. Everst is in Collections of the Maine Historical Society, vol. vii., Portland, Me., 1881; and Professor Park's Memorial Semon was published at Andover, 1879.

WOOLSEY, THEODORE DWIGHT: American Congregationalist; b. in New York Oct. 31, 1801; d. in New Haven, Conn., July 1, 1889. He was graduated from Yale College, 1820; studied law for a year in Philadelphia, and theology at Princeton Theological Seminary, N. J., 1821-23; was a tutor at Yale College, 1823-25; was licensed to preach, 1825; and studied the Greek language and literature in Germany, France, and Italy, 1827-30. Returning to the United States, he was professor of Greek at Yale, 1831-46, when he was chosen presdent; in 1871 he resigned and withdrew from public life. He was an authority on international law, and was also a member of the American Company of Revision of the New Testament, and its chairman, 1871-81. He had extended literary interests, but his works pertaining to theology were Religion of the Present and of the Future: Sermons preached chiefly at Yale College (New York, 1871); Helpful Thoughts for Young Men (Boston, 1874); and Communism and Socialism in their History and Theory. A Sketch (New York, 1880); his chief work was the standard Introduction to the Study of International Law, designed as an Aid in Teaching and in His torical Studies (Boston, 1860); and Essays on Divorce and Divorce Legislation, with Special Reference to the United States (New York, 1869).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: An excellent appreciation by J. Cooper is found in the Bibliotheca Sacra, lvi (1899), 607-638.

WOOLSTON, THOMAS. See DEISM, I., § 5.

WORCESTER, ELWOOD: Protestant Episcopalian; b. at Masillon, O., May 16, 1862. He was graduated from Columbia University (B.A., 1886), the General Theological Seminary (1887), and the University of Leipsic (Ph.D., 1889); was ordered deacon in 1890 and priested in the following year; was assistant at St. Ann's, Brooklyn (1888-90), chaplain and professor of philosophy at Lehigh University (1890-96), acting rector of St. John's, Dresden, Germany (1894-95), and rector of St. Stephen's, Philadelphia (1896-1904), since 1904 of Emmanuel Church, Boston, where he has introduced the so-called "Emmanuel Movement," for the cure of ailments physical or mental wherein the influence of mind is a factor. He has written Genesis in the Light of Modern Knowledge (New York, 1898); Religion and Medicine (1908); The Living Word (1908); and The Christian Religion as a Healing Power (1909; in collaboration with S. McComb).

WORCESTER, JOHN: Church of the New Jerusalem; b. in Boston Feb. 13, 1834; d. at Newtonville, Mass., May 2, 1900. He became pastor of the New Church Society at Newtonville, Mass., 1869; instructorintheology in the New Church Theological School, Boston, 1878, and its president, 1881. His works embrace A Year's Lessons from the Psalms (Boston, 1889); Correspondences of the Bible: the Animals (1875; new ed., extended, 3 vols., 1884-89); and Lectures upon the Doctrines of the New Church (1886).

WORCESTER, NOAH: Unitarian; b. at Hollis, N. H., Nov. 25, 1758; d. at Brighton (now part of Cambridge), Mass., Oct. 31, 1837. After serving for some time in the Continental army (1775-77), being present at the battles of Bunker Hill and Bennington, he taught for four years (1778-82) at Plymouth, N. H., and then settled at Thornton, N. H., where he was town clerk, justice of the peace, and member of the legislature. In 1786, just after having published at Newburyport his Letter to the Rev. John Murray Concerning the Origin of Evil, he was licensed as a Congregational minister, and in the following year was ordained to the church at Thornton, where he remained until 1802. From this year until 1810 he was missionary for the newly established New Hampshire Missionary Society, and as its first chosen evangelist traveled throughout the wildest portions of the state. In 1810-13 he was supply for his brother's church at Salisbury, N. H., but his Bible News, or Sacred Truths Relating to the Living God, his only Son, and Holy Spirit (Concord, 1810) was censured by the Hopkinsians as anti-Trinitarian, though he sought to defend his position in his Impartial Review of the Testimonies in Favor of the Divinity of the Son of God (1810). Two years ater he issued at Boston his Respectful Address to the Trinitarian Clergy, which so attracted the Unitarian party headed by W. E. Channing (q.v.) that Worcester was invited to become the editor of the newly founded Christian Disciple (later The Christian Exeminer). He accordingly removed to Brighton, where the remainder of his life was passed, editing The Christian Disciple in 1813-18 and the quarterly Friend of Peace in 1819-29. As a result of his Solemn Review of the Custom of War, published under the pseudonym of "Philo Pacificus" (Cambridge, 1814), he was able, in 1815, to establish the Massachusetts Peace Society, of which he was secretary until 1828.

In addition to the works already mentioned, Worcaster wrote Familiar Dialogue between Cephas and Bereas (Worcester, 1792); Solemn Reasons for Declining to Accept the Baptist Theory and Practice (Charlestown, 1809); The Atoning Sacrifice a Display of Love, not of Wrath (Cambridge, 1829); The Causes and Evils of Contentions among Christians (Boston, 1831); and Last Thoughts on Important Subjects (Cambridge, 1833); besides many sermons, tracts, and contributions to The Theological Magazine and other religious publications.

Bibliography: H. Ware, Jr., Memoirs of Noah Worcester, Boston, 1844; W. B. Sprague, Annals of the American Unitarian Pulpit, pp.191-199, New York, 1865.

WORCESTER, SAMUEL: Trinitarian Congregationalist; b. in Hollis, N. H., Nov. 1, 1770; d. at Brainerd, Tenn., June 7, 1821. He was graduated from Dartmouth College, 1795; licensed to preach, 1796; was pastor of the Congregational church at Fitchburg, Mass., 1797–1802; and was pastor of the Tabernacle church in Salem, Mass., 1803–21. He was a man of clear mind, firm will, and steadfast Christian principles. In 1821, for the sake of his health, he made a visit to the South, to the missionary stations among the Cherokee and Choctaw Indians. where he died.

dians, where he died.

Dr. Worcester was distinguished by the vast amount of labor which he performed in connection with the foreign missionary enterprise. Either he or Dr. Samuel Spring, or both together, originated the idea of forming the American Board of Commissioners for Foreign Missions, and were intimately associated with it. The detailed plan of the board was doubtless formed mainly by Dr. Worcester. He wrote the first ten, which are in some respects the most important, annual reports of this society.

As an author he was noted for his logical acumen, and vigorous, pointed style. Besides his sermons, reviews, and essays, he published three controversial Letters to Rev. Dr. William E. Channing (Boston, 1815).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: S. M. Worcester (his son), Life and Labors of Rev. Samuel Worcester, 2 vols., Boston, 1852; W. B. Sprague, Annals of the American Pulpit, ii. 398-407, New York, 1859; W. Walker, in American Church History Series, vol. iii. passim, ib. 1894; idem, Ten New England Leaders, pp. 388-389, ib. 1901.

WORD OF GOD.

Use of the Term (§ 1).
The Gospel (§ 2).
Preaching (§ 3),
Inspiration (§ 4).
Word and Spirit (§ 5).
Law and Gospel (§ 6).

The term word of God refers, in the immediate sense, not to the Bible, but to the word in general, in so far as it is a means of grace or of religious influence. The Christian religion is the spiritual communion of man and God or the personal intercourse

of God and man. Words are the sole

1. Use of means for transmitting ideas or imthe Term. pulses of will from person to person.

Inasmuch as sensuous beings can communicate only through a sense medium, the audible, articulate word may lend itself also to the soul life in communication with other spirits. The soul may also employ the medium of visions, and transmit its effects by symbols and illustrations; but these rather represent moods and feelings and require words for their definite formulation, like the sacraments. Likewise an intercourse between God and man presupposes a word of God employed in some

way by him. In the Old Testament the lawgiver reports the word of God and the prophet imparts what the word of Yahweh has revealed. Christ commissions his disciples to preach the word, guided by the Holy Spirit (Matt. x. 7, 20, xxviii. 20; John vi. 63); the increase of Christianity is a growth of the word (Acts vi. 7, xii. 24, xix. 20). Christ's word must dwell richly among Christians (Col. iii. 16); "faith cometh by hearing, and hearing by the word of God" (Rom. x. 17; cf. Gal. iii. 2 sqq.). In the ancient Church salvation is offered and preserved through the word; but gradually the sacraments, as independent factors of salvation, take their place alongside; and ultimately they become the real means of grace in the Roman Catholic Church for which the word was efficacious only in a preparatory and concomitant sense. The scholastics indulged in elaborate developments of the sacraments as instruments of grace with only incidental references to the significance of the word of God. The Reformation from the first laid its emphasis on the word as the essential medium of the divine operation in man. Hence the chief function of the Church was the preaching of the Gospel, followed by the Scriptural use of the sacraments. By word of God was meant primarily not the language of the Bible, but the orally proclaimed Biblical truth.

The word of God as a means of grace is, therefore, the published Gospel of Christ, through which the divine revelation enters the human heart. This word begets faith, and reciprocally faith

2. The works the word of God, so that the Gospel. Church is essentially edified and sustained, and the word, as means of grace, becomes the expression of its life. This argues that in every period the word has a particular form and a common content; which applies equally to the periods of historical development and the contemporary life of the Christian community. This assertion is true, however, only of the essence of the Gospel. The traditional generally prevails, yet every successive age impresses its own peculiar interest; for the Gospel must adapt itself in every case to the interpretation of the individual period or person. This extreme adaptability and plasticity, even while involving the danger of misinterpretation, yet renders the teachings of the Gospel available and permanent through all ages and to all men under all conditions. It is indeed possible that misinterpretation may be carried to such a degree that, as among the Gnostics, the word can no longer produce Christian faith, so that it ceases to be the word of God; and it is equally possible that an unbeliever or a hypocrite may preach the word (cf. Phil. i. 18). In the latter case attention and faith may be aroused, so that the preaching of such individuals (who may even momentarily be moved by a certain impulse or excitement in their preaching) is really thinkable as the divine word, unless their true character be perceived, and the efficacy of their preaching be thus impaired. The word of God must not be considered as restricted to formal preaching; it includes all discussion in private intercourse, attesting the divine truth among teachers, pupils, and friends, such as is essential to the Christian life.

That the preaching of the Gospel is the word of God may either be proved empirically and then his torically, or its self-attestation by the inherent power of God may be accepted. Christ had taught his disciples that their preaching was

3. Preach- to be of the Spirit of God (Matt. x. 20), and Paul attributed to the Gospel the divine efficacy which had been lacking in the Law (Rom. i. 16); the word of God is "the sword of the Spirit" (Eph. vi. 17). Paul's presching was "in demonstration of the Spirit and of power," so that the faith wrought thereby depended not on the wisdom of men, but the power of God (I Cor. ii. 4-5). The Christian accordingly speaks "as the oracles of God" (I Pet. iv. 11), and the word penetrates into man's inmost depths (Hab. iv. 12), being the seed whereby God forms man into a new creature (Jas. i. 18; I Pet. i. 23-25). It is evident, therefore, that the Holy Spirit is active with his almighty power in the human word. This was the position assumed by the Reformation. God speaks through preaching and works upon the human heart. The medium of preaching is a conplex of ideas, appealing to the practical human reason, which this may either reject or disregard as contrary to the natural sense, but nevertheless they obtain their control not by a perception of their correctness and utility, but by the experience of their overmastering power. The complex of ideas proves to be the expression of the single, personal will of God for the redemption of man. This personal presence is described in Scripture as the Spirit of God. Christ is the Spirit of God (II Cor. iii. 17) in the sense of substituting the single personal will as the object of the joint activity of the Church for the pervading spiritual energy. Spoken to arouse attention and understanding, the word, subject to the personal impression and adaptability of the hearer, is the organ of the activities of the Holy

The Spirit, then, issues from the word to man; but the word, though constant in essence, in form, and substance, is subject to historical limitations. Particular persons delivered it in specially Hebris types of thought. These words pro-

4. Inspira- duce spiritual results; hence, they must have originated from the Spirit through their early proclaimers. The same holds true of the human words of Jesus as well as of his earlier witnesses, and those of the relevant witnesses of Israel. This responsive operation of divine revelation upon the human soul may well be termed Inspiration (q.v.). Whatever be the basis of this inspiration, whether an actual fact, an event in history, a vision, or some experience of the soul, it is always some incitation from without, which man must understand and render intelligible by means of words. In the case of the apostles each gave expression to his experience from his own personal point of view. Consequently, the real subject of inspiration is the understanding issuing from the experience of the revelation together with the competence and the interest to express it intelligibly (I Cor. ii. 12); and, likewise, not the natural science of the historical facts or even of the laws of the natural process is inspired, but only the realintions and judgments. This rules out all verbal impiration. Inspiration transpired in the gifts of howledge, wisdom, prophecy, and the "discernment of spirits" (I Cor. xii. 8-10). The coherent complex of ideas and judgments in which inspiration he obtained expression constitutes revelation in the objective sense; while the sum of the divine acts introduced as real facts and events into history constitutes the same as revealing activity. Revelation (q.v.) is disclosed in accordance with the gradmal historical development of the human spirit; not that revelation and such historical development are identical, but that the spirit appropriates revelation after its order in progressive development. This revelation is preserved historically in the sources of the revelation period. The Bible is the historical report of this period and contains mentially the inspired complex of ideas with an interpretation of its given history and related facts. The Holy Spirit has brought to pass a revelation and led to its interpretation, which is the word of led attested to faith by its internal power. The word of God is primarily so called because by this arm of human speech the divine Spirit is perceived soperative upon the human heart. Religious exerience accordingly forms the test of the true word. In the other hand, the word of God is such by virtue f divine revelation and inspiration. The process hereby the spirit becomes word is "immediate welation"; that whereby word becomes spirit is mediate revelation." In both cases the actual ontent of revelation is the same, for what the rophets and apostles experienced and put in words seperienced and received by man to-day in so far s their words communicate the same to him with livine power. Subjectively, the word is adjudged o be the word of God because the Spirit is operaive in it; objectively the word is seen to bring the pirit because it is of the Spirit. Both aspects find heir confirmation in the New Testament. Christ poke the words of God because he had received the pirit in immeasurable degree (John iii. 34); and is revelation follows from his relation to the Father Matt. xi. 27). The words of Paul were taught by the Spirit (I Cor. ii. 10, 13); the Gospel which he reached, however, came from Christ himself (cf. Tim. vi. 3). The "words of faith" and "commands of doctrine" (Barnabas xvi. 9; cf. I Tim. w. 6, v. 17), "the faith which was once delivered mto the saints" (Jude 3) and "sound doctrine" [ITm. i. 10; II Tim. iv. 3) were the chief themes of Gopel preaching, which, however, was held to be derived, in all essentials, from the risen Christ Matt. xxviii. 18 sqq.; Luke xxiv. 44 sqq.). The tengnised relation between the preached word and word of primitive Christianity necessarily imhis that the latter was the source of the former, at the word as preached can never be a mere reroduction of the word as contained in the Bible, ing the interpretation given by each period to the ble. Wherefore the Church properly requires at the Scripture must always remain as the norm preaching. This does not imply, however, an ex-wive literalness, but only a general conformity of irit with spirit. While the Bible also serves the lividual for edification, he understands it in the

light of the preached word which is itself made more vivid. The employment of the Bible as the critical norm of prevailing views in the Church is a matter only for the joint effort of the Church and free theological science.

The problem of the connection of word and spirit next arises. The distinction between word and spirit appears first in Augustine, who taught, in contrast with the audible word, the inner word, which is the

Spirit, working in the hearts of the s. Word elect, producing faith. Medieval theard Spirit. ology, preoccupied by a similar distinction in the sagraments, lost sight of the

tion in the sacraments, lost sight of the problem. Luther, in reference to Ps. xxxviii. 2, recalled the position of Augustine; so that, according to him, the Spirit works only in and through the word, though his view was never worked out theoretically. The Reformed theologians, after the manner of Augustine, tended more toward a separation of the two. Martin Butser antagonized Luther's position as Thomism; and Calvin, who gave the normative view to Reformed theology, held that God converts by the Spirit, without omitting the instrument of his word. The word incites toward regeneration, but the Spirit illumines, moves, and renews the heart. "God works in a twofold way upon his elect, by the Spirit within, and by the word without." The universal calling is by the latter; the special calling of the elect is by the illumination of the former. A third form of the relation of word and Spirit is the "inner word" of the mystics in its twofold form: (1) the Spirit operates without any relation to the outer word; and (2) in the depths of the soul the Spirit dwells as the light of reason or conscience. The Rahtmann controversy (see RAHTMANN, HERMANN) led to a clearer outline. Rahtmann taught that the Scriptures constituted a testimony of God's will and acts, which God inspired in the apostles and prophets. They, containing the image of God's being and will, were a guide toward the attainment of an aim, without, however, affording the power to reach it, which was to be furnished by the Spirit. The orthodox reply was to the effect that the Spirit was immanent in Scripture; potentially the Spirit was always in the word, actually only when rightly employed. Upon closer inspection both views contain genuine elements; for though the Spirit must be understood as ever potentially present, this is not to be taken in an abstract sense but as the will of redemption. The word is God's word not only as to its objective content but also in the impact of man on man within the Christian body. The Spirit is objectively present in the complex of ideas of revelation as well as in its particular interpretation and application, and neither excludes the other.

Thus far the word of God has been considered as identical with the Gospel. From an early period, however, it has been customary to divide the word into the Law, which commands, and the Gospel, which promises and fulfils. The two may be easily confounded with the division of the Old and New Testaments; but the former also contains Gospel, while the latter holds commandments likewise; in fact the Gospel has been termed the "new law" from the time of the Fathers. Following the Re-

formers the law as offering the commandments to sinful man and inducing him to repentance, and the Gospel inspiring faith and affording, as 6. Law and its content, pardon and the works of Gospel. the Spirit, have been sharply distin-

 Law and its content, pardon and the works of Gospel. the Spirit, have been sharply distin-guished. The Law and the Gospel stand in the relation of two stages of religious development, apparently making every individual experience the counterpart of the religious development as a whole. However, the same word may work on the same individual both as Law and Gospel; the cross of Christ may judge as well as forgive. A correct distinction within the limits of the Christian faith can be drawn only from the individual experience produced by the preaching of the word. Unable to be conscious of the word as a vital power, the natural or unbelieving man receives it as a new outlook on the universe or a new morality, assuming it as addressed to the practical reason for ethical realization. What he infers from the word is the obligation of a faith of assent and obedience to the new law, but he is unable to exercise the faith and love required. Thus the word may be said to confront him with his sin, the word of God proclaimed being assumed as authority. Hence the conjunction of the law with certain natural moral tendencies may subject man to a double bondage. More important is it that the nascent Christian should gain a sense of the presence of the Spirit through the word; and as the power of the Spirit gains greater and greater ascendency, the word ceases to be a merely external authority and becomes a living, inwardly experienced, and truly believed authority. loving Father is actually realized in the word, and its whole content is found to be but a component of the single will of God. Man receives a new life in the fellowship into which God inducts him. In this double boon of the inner gift and the forgiveness of God man experiences the divine grace, brought to the soul by the word as Gospel. Such experience is the fulfilment of the moral and religious needs of the soul. The word redeems and thereby approves itself as the word of God. It is wholly correct that the regenerate Christian requires also the discipline of the law; for the Christian good experienced in its power becomes a norm for all his conduct. In this sense the word remains moral law, though only as inward authority spiritually recognized. Not according to an outward order but a necessity determining the inner psychological motives, the Christian experiences in the Gospel not only the vivifying motive power of the divine Spirit, but obtains also the norm of his moral activity. The personal efficient divine presence in man is capable of stimulating a large scope of thoughts, resolutions, and volutions, but whether in the learned intellectual processes or the moral law of Christianity, this internal possession will fall short of accomplishment except as it becomes the fixed efficient norm against opposing thoughts and tendencies. See also REVELATION. (R. Seeberg.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The literature of this subject is comprised in that in and under the articles on BIBLE, BIBLICAL CRITICISM, BIBLICAL INTRODUCTION, BIBLICAL THEOLOGY, INSPIRATION, and REVELATION. The subject is discussed in all the principal systems of systematic divinity (see DOGMA, DOGMATICS). Reference may be made here to

J. Müller, Dogmatische Abhandlungen, pp. 127-277, Brems, 1870; R. Grützmacher, Wort und Geist, Leipsic, 1902; and R. Seeberg, Offenbarung und Inspiration, Gross Liebterfelde, 1908.

WORDSWORTH, CHARLES Bishop of St. Andrew's, Dunkeld, and Dunblane, Episcopal Church in Scotland, second son of Christopher Wordsworth (q.v., no. 1); b. at Lambeth (2 m. s. of Charing Cross, London) Aug. 22, 1806; d. at St. Andrew's (9 m. s.e. of Dundee), Scotland, Dec. 5. 1892. He was a student of Sevenoaks school, and at Harrow, and then of Christ Church College, Oxford (B.A., 1830); took the prize for Latin verse, 1827, and for the Latin essay, 1831; was ordained deacon 1834, priest 1840; was a private tutor for several years, and had under his instruction both Mr. Gladstone and Cardinal Manning; was second master of Winchester College, 1835-46; warden of Trinity College, Glenalmond, Perthshire, 1847-1854; and in 1853 was consecrated bishop. He had a strong faculty for teaching. As bishop he endeavored to preventathe capture of the Scottish Episcopal church by a narrow party, to make manifest to Scotsmen the value of Episcopacy and Episcopal ordinances, and to concede somewhat to Presbyterians, whereby they might be conciliated. He was a stanch upholder of the synodal system and of the duty of establishment of religion. The diocese developed considerably during his episcopate. He was a member of the New Testament Company of Bible Revisers, and was a fellow at Winchester, 1866-71. He published a Greek grammar (London, 1839), and his theological works, outside of a number of volumes of, and individual, sermons, embrace, Catechetical Questions (1844); What is National Humiliation without National Repentance (Glasgow, 1855); On Shakespeare's Knowledge and Use of the Bible (London, 1864); Outlines of the Christian Ministry (1872); Three Conclusive Proofs that the Use of the Eastward Position in the Celebration of the Holy Eucharist is contrary to the . . . Intention of our Reformed Church (1876); Some Remarks on the Essay by Dr. Lightfoot . . . on the Christian Ministry (1879); Annals of my Early Life (1891); Primary Witness to the Truth of the Gospel. A Series of Discourses (1892); and Annals of my Life, ed. W. Earl Hodgson (1893).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Besides his own Annals (ut sup., 2 vols.), consult: John Wordsworth, Episcopate of Charles Wordsworth, London, 1899; DNB, lxiii. 1-7.

WORDSWORTH, CHRISTOPHER: Name of three Anglican scholars.

1. Biographer, younger brother of the poet William Wordsworth; b. at Cockermouth (23 m. sw. of Carlisle) June 9, 1774; d. at Buxted (39 m. ss. of London) Feb. 2, 1846. He was graduated at Trinity College, Cambridge (B.A., 1796; fellow, 1798; M.A., 1799; D.D., 1810); became rector of Ashby with Oby and Thinne (1804); domestic chaplain to the archbishop of Canterbury (1805); rector of Woodchurch, Kent (1806), of Bocking, Essex (1808), St. Mary's, Lambeth, and Sundridge, Kent (1816); chaplain of the House of Commons (1817); and rector of Buxted-with-Uckfield, Sussex (1820); he was master of Trinity College from 1820 till 1841, when he retired to Buxted. He is best remem-

bered for his Ecclesiastical Biography; or, Lives of Eminent Men connected with the History of Religion in England from the Commencement of the Reformation to the Revolution (London, 1810, 6 vols.; 4th ed., 1853, 4 vols.), and for his Who Wrote RIKON BAXIAIKH? (1824), a defense of King Charles' claim to be the author of Eikon Basilike. He was also the suthor of two volumes of Sermons (1814), and edited Christian Institutes: a Series of Discourses and Tracts selected from the Writings of the most eminent Divines of the English Church (4 vols., 1836).

2. Youngest son of the preceding, bishop of Lincon, and commentator; b. at Bocking (38 m. n.e. of London) Oct. 30, 1807; d. at Lincoln Mar. 21, 1885. He was educated at Winchester and at Trinity College, Cambridge (B.A., 1830; M.A., 1833; D.D., 1839); traveled in Greece (1832-33); was ardained deacon (1833), priest (1835); fellow of Trimity College, Cambridge (1830-36); public orator (1836); head master of Harrow School (1836-1844); canon of Westminster (1844-69); Hulsean lecturer, Cambridge (1847-48); vicar of Stanfordin-the-Vale, Berkshire, and rural dean (1850-69); webdescon of Westminster (1865-69); consecrated bishop of Lincoln (1869). In the administration of his diocese he was noted for independence and exkeme courage in carrying out his convictions; he sused a violent conflict with the Wesleyan Methdists by inviting them to "return" to the Church of England; was reversed in the privy council in his ion not to permit "Reverend" on the gravestone da Wesleyan in a churchyard; and was besides pronouncedly anti-Roman. He took part in the Old Catholic Congress held at Cologne, Sept., 1872. He was the author of Athens and Attica: Journal of Residence there (London, 1836); Inscriptiones Pomima: Ancient Writings copied from the Walls of the City of Pompeii (1837); Greece: Pictorial, Deexiptive, and Historical (1839; 8th ed., 1883); Thehilus Anglicanus; or, Instructions concerning the Church and the Anglican Branch of it (1843; 9th ed., 1865); Discourses on Public Education (1844); Diary in France (1845); Letters to M. Goudon on the Detructive Character of the Church of Rome both in Religion and Polity (1847); Sequel to the Previou Letim (1848); Scripture Inspiration; or, On the Canon d Holy Scripture (Hulsean lectures for 1847 1848); On the Apocalypse; or, Book of Revelation (Hulsean lecture for 1848; 1849); Harmony of the Apocalypse (1849); The Apocalypse in Greek (1849); Memoirs of William Wordsworth (2 vols., 1851); S. Hippolybu and the Church of Rome in the Third Century, from the newly discovered "Philosophumena" (1853; new d, 1880); The Greek New Testament, with Prefaces, Introductions, and Notes (4 parts, 1856-60; 2d ed., 1872); occasional sermons preached in Westminster Abbey (1850–68); On the Inspiration of the Bible (1861); The Holy Year; or, Original Hymns for Sundays and Holy Days (1862); The Old Testament in the Authorized Version, with Notes and Introductions (6 vols., 1864-71; 2d ed., 1868-72); Union with Rome: An Essay (1867); History of the Church of Ireland (eight sermons; 1869); Twelve Addresses at he Visitation of the Diocese and Cathedral of Lincoln 1873); On the Sale of Church Patronage; Irenicum Vesleyanum (1876); Diocesan Addresses at Visitation (1876); Ethica et Spiritualia (1877); The Newtonian System: Its Analogy to Christianity (1877); Letters to Sir George Prevost, on Sisterhoods and Vows (1878); Ten Addresses at the Triennial Visitation (1879); Translations of the Pastoral Letters of Lambeth Conferences into Greek and Latin, Made by Desire of the Presiding Archbishops (1868 and 1878); A Church History to the Council of Chalcedon, A.D. 481 (4 vols., 1881–83; new ed., 1906); Discourse on Scottish Church History (1884); Public Appeals in Behalf of Christian Liberty (2 vols., 1886).

8. Historian; b. at Westminster, London, Mar. 26, 1848. He was educated at Trinity College, Cambridge (B.A., 1870), and was ordered deacon in 1871 and ordained priest in the following year; was fellow of Peterhouse, Cambridge (1870-78), where he was tutor (1872-74 and 1875-77); was curate of Alvechurch (1874-75), and of St. Giles, Cambridge (1875-77); rector of Glaston, Rutlandshire (1877-1889), Steeple with Tyneham, Dorsetshire (1889-1897), East Holme, Isle of Purbeck, Dorsetshire (1890-97), and since 1897 of St. Peter with St. Paul, Marlborough. He has also been prebendary of Liddington in Lincoln Cathedral since 1886, surrogate of the diocese of Salisbury since 1898, and rural dean of Marlborough Portion and examining chaplain to the bishop of Worcester since 1905. He has written or edited Social Life at the English Universities in the Eighteenth Century (Cambridge, 1874); Schola Academica: Some Account of the Studies at the English Universities in the Eighteenth Century (1877); Breviarium ad Usum Sarum (in collaboration with F. Procter; 3 vols., 1879-86); Pontificale Ecclesia Sancti Andrea (Edinburgh, 1885); Lincoln Cathedral Statutes (3 vols., London, 1892-97); Coronation of King Charles I. (1892); Tracts of Clement Maydeston (1894); Notes on Mediæval Services in England (1898); Ceremonies and Processions of the Cathedral Church of Salisbury (1901); Old Service-Books of the English Church (in collaboration with H. Littlehales; 1904); and Precedence of English Bishops and the Provincial Chapters (1906).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: On 1: Charles Wordsworth, Annals of my Early Life, London, 1891; E. Churton, Memoir of Joshus Watson, Oxford, 1861; DNB, lxiii. 7-8. On 2: J. H. Overton and Elizabeth Wordsworth, Christopher Wordsworth, Bishop of Lincoln, London, 1888; J. H. Overton, The Church in England, ii. 399, 401, 415, ib. 1897; F. W. Cornish, English Church in the 19th Century, passim, ib. 1910; E. Stock, English Church in the 19th Century, passim, ib. 1910; DNB, lxiii. 9-10.

WORDSWORTH, JOHN: Church of England, bishop of Salisbury; b. at Harrow-on-the-Hill (11 m. n.w. of London), Middlesex, Sept. 21, 1843; d. in London Aug. 16, 1911. He was educated at New College, Oxford (B.A., 1863; M.A., 1868), and was ordered deacon in 1867 and ordained priest two years later; was assistant master of Wellington College (1866-67); was elected fellow of Brasenose College (1867), was tutor (1868-83); also prebendary of Langford Ecclesia in Lincoln Cathedral (1870-83), as well as chaplain of Brasenose College and examining chaplain to the bishop of Lincoln (his father); select preacher at Oxford (1875-77 and 1888-90), Grinfeld Lecturer on the Septuagint (1876-78), university preacher at Whitehall (1879), and Bampton Lecturer (1881); Oriel professor of

the interpretation of Holy Scripture in Oxford University (1883-85), at the same time being fellow of Oriel College and canon of Rochester; in 1885 he was consecrated bishop of Salisbury. In 1872 he attended the Old Catholic Congress at Cologne, and from 1878 to 1883 spent much time in Italy, France, and Spain, collating manuscripts for an edition of the Vulgate New Testament. He did much to secure the practical settlement of the status of readers in the Anglican Church, and it was he who prepared the Latin draft of the Responsio Archiepiscoporum Angliæ (published in 1897) in reply to the papal bull of Sept. 13, 1896, denying the validity of Anglican orders. He wrote Keble College and the Present University Crisis (London, 1869); Lectures Introductory to a Study of Latin Literature (Oxford, 1870); Fragments and Specimens of Early Latin (1874); University Sermons on Gospel Subjects (1878); The One Religion, Truth, Holiness, and Peace, Desired by the Nations and Revealed by Jesus Christ (Bampton lectures; 1881); Old Latin Biblical Texts (in collaboration with W. Sanday and H. J. White; 2 vols., 1883-86); Novum Testamentum Latine ad codicum manuscriptorum fidem (in collaboration with H. J. White; 5 parts, comprising the Gospels and Acts, 1889-1905); The Holy Communion (London, 1891); De validitate ordinum Anglicanorum (Salisbury, 1894); Trois lettres sur la position de l'église anglicane (1894); The Church of England and the Eastern Patriarchs (Oxford, 1892); On the Rite of Consecration of Churches (with the Sarum form; London, 1899); The Episcopate of Charles Wordsworth, Bishop of St. Andrews (1899); Bishop Sarapion's Prayer Book (1899; rev. ed., 1910); The Ministry of Grace (1891; new ed., 1903); The Baptismal Confession and the Creed (London, 1904); The Law of the Church and Marriage with a Deceased Wife's Sister (1908); The Invocation of Saints and the 22d Article (1908); Ordination Problems (1909); and Unity and Fellowship (1910).

WORDSWORTH, WILLIAM: Poet-philosopher; b. at Cockermouth (24 m. s.w. of Carlisle), England, Apr. 7, 1770; d. at Rydal Mount (31 m. s. of Carlisle) Apr. 23, 1850. He was second son of John Wordsworth, attorney-at-law, and law agent for Sir James Lowther, afterward Earl of Lonsdale. His mother died in 1778, his father in 1783. He graduated from St. John's College, Cambridge, in 1791. He traveled extensively, making frequent visits to France, Switzerland, Italy, Germany, Holland, and Belgium, as well as Scotland and Wales, but made his home at Grasmere, 1799-1813, whither he brought his bride in 1802—and at Rydal Mount, 1813-50. By severe simplicity of life, by frugal husbanding of slender resources derived from legacies, later from additional income from a governmental office requiring but little personal attention (1813-43), recipient of a government annuity of £300 for literary distinction (1843-50), he was enabled to devote himself unremittingly to the vocation of poet to which he had early consecrated himself. At the Oxford Commemoration in 1839 the degree of LL.D. was conferred upon him. On the death of Southey in 1843 he became poet laureate. Near him dwelling in the Lake District there were at different periods Southey, Coleridge, Thomas

Arnold, De Quincy, and Prof. John Wilson (Christopher North). His sister Dorothy, a woman of rare insight and beauty of spirit, was his constant companion until her death. With the single exception of the "Ode composed on an evening of astraordinary splendor and beauty" (1818), all of his most memorable work was done between 1798 and 1808. He became the most illustrious representative of the Romantic movement in English poetry of the eighteenth and the first half of the nineteenth century. This was an extension of the wave of Romanticism (q.v.) in Germany and France, in which the spirit, revolting from the reign of reason in the Enlightenment and of classical form in literature, set out to vindicate the right and glory of feeling inagination, art, and the spontaneous revelations of mystical consciousness. In Great Britain this phenomenon was rather an atmosphere, a reactionary attitude, characterized by a self-unconscious creative freedom, a new sense of the meaning of nature and of the mind of man in relation to nature, in which there was added to the feeling of beauty that of strangeness and mystery (cf. W. Pater, Appreciations, pp. 243 ff., London, 1889; W. L. Phelps, The Beginnings of the English Romantic Movement, Boston, 1893; A. Symons, The Romantic Movement in English Poetry, New York, 1909). In Wordsworth reappeared all the signs which have marked the mystics of all ages, from whatever angle they have approached reality-reliance on instinct, trust in emotion, confidence in the "inner light," and surrender to all the deepest impulses quickened by selfrenunciation and silence. His relation to the mystics is evinced (1) in his austerity of life, his alcofness from the world, his purposed and unbroken freedom from distraction; (2) in his uninterrupted meditation on nature and human life, through concentration and absorption of attention attaining the mtional vision of truth in which feeling becomes a direct source of illumination; (3) in the "beatific vision" which crowns his self-purification and all the intellectual and emotional stages of his expenence (cf. The Excursion, bk. I., 197-218). Not Plotinus nor Bernard of Clairvaux was more truly detached from the cares and contaminations of the world, nor were these men surrounded by friends and conditions better suited to self-discipline, contemplation, and ecstasy. Like all mystics his attitude toward the world was pantheistic. He found divinity in all natural objects and in the mind of man. Man and nature formed a unity in which the mood of each—what was fairest and most interesting in each—was reflected in the other. Ecstasy was born of quietness and silence, and poetry, the spontaneous expression of concentrated and highly wrought feeling, originated from "emotion recollected in tranquillity." (Cf. Wordsworth's Works, "Preface to 'Lyrical Ballads,'" "Expostulation and Reply," "The Prelude," bk. II, "Lines composed a few miles above Tinten C. A. BECKWITH. Abbey.") See Mysticism.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Much of the literature under Religion and Literature deals with the subject, notably Brooks's The ology in the English Poets. Consult: C. Wordsworth, Memoirs of William Wordsworth, 2 vols., London, 1851 (by his nephew); A. S. Patterson, Poets and Preacher of the Nineteenth Century, Glasgow, 1862; S. T. Coleridge. tie Litereria, London, 1866; H. Lonsdale, The of Cumberland, 6 vols., ib. 1867–75; D. Masson, wth, Shelley, Keats, and Other Essays, new ed., A. J. Symington, William Wordsworth, ib. 1881; I. Myers, Wordsworth, ib. 1888, new ed., 1909 (ad.; J. M. : utherland, William Wordsworth, ib. 1881; K. Knight, Life of Wordsworth, 3 vols., in his ed Verks, vols. ix.—xi., Edinburgh, 1889 (authorita-Verdsworthsana, ed. W. Knight, London, 1889 (set papers read before the Wordsworth Society; of us); T. De Quincy, Recollections of the Lake Poets, vols. i.—iii., ib. 1889–90; Elisabeth Wordsworth, Wordsworth, ib. 1891; M. Gothein, William Wordsein Leben, seine Werks, seine Zeitgenossen, 2 vols., 893; E. Legonis, La Jeunesse de Wordsworth, 96, Eng. transl., London, 1898 (careful and inter-W. R. Inge, Christiam Mysticism, pp. 305 sq., W. Raleigh, Wordsworth, ib. 1903; F. W. Robstusses of Poety. Wordsworth, ib. 1903; F. W. Robstusses of Poety. Wordsworth, ib. 1907; S. F. Gingerdsworth: a Study in Memory and Mysticism, Elk-L, 1908; DNB, briii. 12–27.

AND SADDLE ANIMALS, HEBREW: cle deals with certain animals used for riding and in those relations; for further on concerning them see Ass; CAMEL; MULE; PASTORAL LIFE, HEBREW. Of le the bull was used for field work, hence proportion of the male in the herd (Gen. ; oxen in pairs drew the plow (I Sam. xi. virow (Job. xxxix. 10), and the threshinglam. xxiv. 22); they were employed also aut the grain (Deut. xxv. 4). The ox was possession; whoever took a widow's ox ge was an oppressor (Prov. xiv. 4; Job A good was and is still used in driving the udges iii. 31; I Sam. xiii. 21). Ox and ass to be yoked together (Deut. xxii. 10); neat re used before the cart (I Sam. vi. 7) and of burden (I Chron. xii. 40).

e ass there were many names: hamor; she ass"; 'ayir, Gk. onos, pōlos, "young re', "wild ass." Before the introduction rse the ass and neat cattle were the agrionly work animals. In early times ass ere doubtless yoked together; the prohi-Deut. xxii. 10 arose from the sentiment my commingling of unlike. In plowing d the ass was not in use; it was used, howturn millstones. Its principal value was by rich and poor, with or without saddle while the driver traveled on foot (II Kings Women especially rode it, also children 10), and the feeble (II Chron. xxviii. 15); rpse might be carried on it (I Kings xiii. young asses served children as riding anilges x. 4). Only in very early times was war, except as a pack animal (II Kings ; but cf. Isa. xxi. 7), being especially emtimes of peace (Zech. ix. 9). In patrines it was a pack animal (Gen. xlii. 26 and and in New-Testament times ox and ass nimals the most common possessions (Luke

edh the Hebrews denoted the offspring s and the mare. This animal was used g, being too costly for use in early r ordinary pack purposes; as a sadil it was used by kings and princes i. 23, xviii. 5). It seems to have come from the Armenian highlands by way of Phenicia (Ezek. xxvii. 1 4).

The single-humped camel is the variety of which some mention is made in Scripture, used generally by the caravans, therefore seldom by Hebrews (cf. I Chron. xii. 40; Isa. xxx. 6); but the returning exiles employed it (Ezra ii. 67). Its burden was about three hundredweight, and was distributed on both sides of the hump in a sort of saddle: the beast knelt while the load was adjusted. Freight camels move slowly, and last for from twelve to fifteen hours; the riding camel can surpass in endurance the best horse. Different forms of saddle are used for men and women, the latter being upholstered and with high knobs, surmounted by a pannier. The rider often dismounted with the aid of a pole carried by the driver. The animal might be adorned with rings and chains upon the neck (Judges viii. 21, 26). It was useful also in war, and was employed by Arabs, Bactrians, and Africans as a sort of cavalry. Camel's milk is mentioned (Gen. xxxii. 15), but its flesh was forbidden to Hebrews (Lev. xi. 4), although the heathen used it in sacrifice; its hair was woven into a rough cloth, used also for tent covering (Matt. iii. 4; Jer. xlix. 29).

For the horse there are several designations in Hebrew: sus is the general name; parash is a saddle horse; the exact sense of rekhebh is doubtful; kal means "the runner," and is applied to the horse (Isa. xxx. 16), while 'abbir, "the strong," is so applied Judges v. 22. The New-Testament term is hippos, often in Revelation. The horse was introduced into Palestine after the time of Solomon; when David overcame Hadadezer of Zoba and took as booty horses and chariots, he knew only to destroy the chariots and hock the horses—except sufficient for 100 chariots (II Sam. viii. 4). Solomon is said to have had 1,400 chariots and 12,000 horsemen (I Kings x. 26). It is usually held that the probable market of the horse for the Hebrews was Egypt, in which it had long been prized, while Egyptian influence was stronger at the time than that of Assyria. Israel appears to have been the latest of the people of Hither Asia to introduce the horse for the army, especially for the use of cavalry; at first reserved for the use of the king (I Kings i. 5), the horse was used by the nobles in the time of Jeremiah. The reference in I Kings x. 28 is probably to be read Muzri instead of Mizraim, "Egypt" (see As-SYRIA, VI., 2, § 1). Accordingly Winckler holds that Cilicia and Cappadocia were the marts where the Israelites obtained their horses; while Muzri in Arabia may be the reference in such passages as Isa. xxxi. 1. The much-debated passage I Kings x. 28, cf. verse 26, is probably the starting-point of much legendary matter regarding Solomon's relation to the establishment of the horse as a possession among the Hebrews. The arrival of the horse from Cappadocia among the Babylonians is demonstrable for the period 1420-1100, and among the Egyptians after the eighteenth dynasty. The breed of the horses derived by Solomon from Asia can no longer be determined. Tradition attributes the derivation of the celebrated breed of Arab horses from those brought back by the exiles (Ezra ii. 66), which were supposedly from Solomon's brood. Riding never appears among the Hebrews as a pastime, and such passages as Job xxxix. 19-25 show the sentiment with which the animal was regarded. In war the Israelitic leader rode not on horseback but in a chariot (see Vehicles, Hebrew); but this was not the case with Assyrians and Chaldeans. Cyrus first made riding an accomplishment of the noble (cf. Esther vi. 8 sqq.; Eccles. x. 6-7); indeed, even in later times this remained an accomplishment foreign to the Jew. The Bible knows horses of all colors (Zech. vi. 2-3; Rev. vi. 2 sqq.). Shoeing of the animal was unknown, hence hardness of hoof was a valuable quality (Isa. v. 28); and this explains partly their employment only on the plains. In the earlier times stirrups were unknown, and in a still earlier period the saddle was not used. Decorations for the horse, the bridle, and the plume for the head appear in the Scriptures, and are pictured on Assyrian reliefs. In poetical imagery the horse figures frequently; in Rev. xix. 11, 14, Christ rides (R. ZEHNPFUND.) as victor a white horse.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The literature on the subject is already principally given under Ass, Camel, Horse, and Mule. Consult further: A. Zeller, Das Pferd, der Esel und der Hund in der heiligen Schrift, Plauen, 1890; J. Wimmer, Palasinas Boden mit seiner Pflanzen- und Tierwell, Cologne, 1902; Nehring, Die geographische Verbreitung der Säugetiere in Palasina und Syrien, in Globus, vol. Ixxi.; W. R. Arnold in JAOS, vol. XXVI.; DB, i. 173, 344, 629, ii. 416, iii. 456.

WORKMAN, HERBERT BROOK: Weslevan Methodist; b. at Peckham, London, Nov. 2, 1862. He received his education at Kingswood School, Bath, 1873-80, Owen's College, Manchester, 1890-1883, Didsbury Wesleyan Theological College, Manchester, and London University, 1883 sqq. (B.A., 1884; M.A., 1885; D. Lit., 1907). He served in various charges in the regular pastorate of his denomination, 1885-1903, when he became principal of the Westminster Training College for Schoolmasters. He was Fernley lecturer in 1906; has been member of the Board of Studies of the faculty of theology, London University, since 1906; and was elected to the Legal Hundred (see METHODISTS, I., 1, § 6). As a Wesleyan Methodist he believes "that many of the old truths need restatement in new forms." He is the author of What is the Gottenberg System? (London, 1895); The Church of the West in the Middle Ages (2 vols., 1898-1900); The Dam of the Reformation (2 vols., 1902); Persecution in the Early Church (1906); Influence of the Christian Church upon the Civilization of the Middle Ages (in Garvie's Christ and Civilization; 1910); and History of Christian Thought up to the Reformation (1910); and has edited The Letters of John Hun (1904); and assisted in editing The New History of Methodism (2 vols., 1909).

WORKS, GOOD. See GOOD WORKS.

WORLD, THE.

Old-Testament Conception (§ 1). Greek and Apocryphal Conception (§ 2). General New-Testament Conception (§ 3).
Pauline Usage (§ 4).

Johannine Usage (§ 5). Dogmatic Conception (§ 6). Religious Conception (§ 7).

The expression "heaven and earth," borrowed by the New Testament from the Old (Gen. i. 1; Isa. i. 2; Ps. lxxiii. 25), is a popular and imperfect combining of the two main parts of the universe. Properly there are three divisions (Ex. xx. 4, 11; Ps. lxix. 34; Acts iv. 24), namely, heaven, earth, and sea (cf. Phil. ii. 10). This corresponds to the tripartite universe of ancient Babylonia (cf. P. Jensen, Die Kosmologie der Babylonier, Strasburg, 1890; Benzinger, Archäologie, pp. 159 sqq.).

In ancient Israel, indeed, not only was the word for world lacking, but also the conception of a creation of the world by Yahweh; at least it is not certain that the Babylonian myth of

r. Oldreation was assimilated before the
Testament prophetic period. During this period,
Conception. however, and certainly in exilic and
postexilic times, as a parallel to the

postexine times, as a paraner to the consequent development of monotheism, appears the conception of a universe dependent upon Yahweh as its creator and preserver, even though the expression "heaven and earth" is still retained (hakkol, in Eccles. xi. 5, is a sort of substitute for "world"). This appears more clearly in Deutero-Isaiah, who never wearies of proclaiming the majesty of the almighty Creator. In the story of creation (Gen. i.), in spite of the dual expression, "heaven and earth" ("earth, air, and sea," i. 28), creation is clearly conceived as standing before the almighty Creator, the work of his will and of his word. Disregarding the influence of Babylonian and Persian ideas upon this monotheism and the

conception of creation and world, it is evident that the conception of a universe owed its origin not to cosmological speculations, but to religious development and especially to the conception of God held by the Hebrew prophets. The attainment of the belief in a single almighty Lord and ruler of the world, is a result of the historical experience of Israel, especially during the exile and after the return therefrom. The religion of Israel differs from that of the heathen world in this, that it has its roots not in the life of nature, but in the history of Israel. Originally the historical horizon was restricted, and hence the universality of Yahweh was scarcely realized. The prophets, however, passed these narrow bounds, and when an Amos could arnounce that Yahweh would use the Assyrians to chastise Israel for its violation of his commandments, the particularistic view of Yahweh's rule, according to which he always favored his people, gave way to the conception of a world of nations and the idea of a moral government of this world by God. This appears when Yahweh is called "judge of all the earth," that is, of all peoples (Gen. xviii. 25), and lord of all the earth (Josh. iii. 11); he is everywhere to be feared (Ps. xxii. 28, xxxiii. 8, etc.); his salvation shall be everywhere revealed (Jer. xvi. 19). This train of thought gives birth to new words and phrases, such as "all the nations of the earth" (Gen. xviii. 18; Deut. xxviii. 1) particularly in contradistinction and in opposition to Israel; "the ends of the earth " (Isa. xli. 5); above all to the poetic word tebel, which is originally a synonym of 'erez, igg the earth as a whole, but is frequently used sense of oikousnenë, e.g., "all the inhabitants world" (Isa. xviii. 3; Ps. xxxiii. 8). Another of the world is shown in Dan. ii. 37-39, which as a specimen of the hyperbolical style of expectation, common in oriental courts. Although the logy and content of the word 'olam-aiön is lectly known, there is no doubt that it exd originally a conception of time, not the itself in the sense of a qualitatively defined sm (cf. IV Esdras iv. 27-32).

ireek mythology the conception of a universe lacking; the whole being paraphrased by the sent of its parts, as in Homer's description of hield of Achilles, where are named earth,

heaven, and sea (cf. E. H. Berger, week Mythische Kosmographie der Griechen, ad Suppl. III. to Roscher's Lexikon, Leiptyphal sic, 1904). The word Kosmos is said aption. to have been first used by Pythagoras

to designate the universe (Plutarch, icitis philosophorum). This Hellenic concepf the Kosmos was first introduced into Bibiterature by the author of the Wisdom of on. The word as used here combines Oldnent and Hellemic conceptions; sometimes ho salternates with ta panta, "the whole." The universe (hē sustasis kosmou) is made from ss matter by God, through his word, his wiseing with him (ix. 1, 9, xi. 17); hence the eteririt of God is in all things (xii. 1). As the is permeated by the divine wisdom, it is the ation of man's cognition of the order of the (vii. 17-23); from the grandeur and beauty stion, man learns by comparison to know the r (xiii. 5). The kosmos also signifies man, Adam is called the first-formed father of the (x. 1); a multitude of wise men is termed the ion of the world, while the family of Noah is hope of the world" (vi. 26, xiv. 6). However, tirety of things in nature and the history of is is also expressed by the words kosmos and v. 16-17, xvi. 17, xiii. 9, xiv. 6).

he New Testament, the formula "heaven and continues to be used; the creator being God, ther of Jesus Christ (Matt. v. 18, vi. 10, xi.

25; Mark xiii. 31; Luke x. 21; Eph. maral iii. 15; Col. i. 16, 20; II Pet. iii. 7, 13; ew- Rev. xxi. 1). Paul uses ta panta for ment both divisions of creation (Rom. ix. ption. 5; I Cor. viii. 6; Eph. i. 10; Phil. iii.

21; Col. i. 16, 20). In the Acts of the les, to heaven and earth are added the sea I that it contains (iv. 24, xiv. 15), and God is sed as the creator of the kosmos and the lord ven and earth; this is the same as if he were the lord of the world. Particular stress has aid upon the use of the phrase, "the whole "by Jesus. It is not indeed improbable that in common with strictly monotheistic Judanesseed a conception of the world as a unity, and with his conception of God. When, in xi. 25, he praises God as the lord of heaven rth, this signifies, in spite of the antiquity of rase, the same as lord of the world. Whether I" had for Jesus precisely the significance of

"kosmos" remains uncertain, since he spoke in Aramaic and it is not known what Aramaic words are represented by kosmos and aiōn. Dalman believes that in Mark viii. 36, kol 'olmah is the Aramaic equivalent for holon ton kosmon; the conception of the whole world as a possession is met elsewhere in Jewish writings.

Paul uses kosmos with several shades of meaning:
(1) As the universe: "from the creation of the world" (Rom. i. 20; Eph. i. 4); cf.

4. Pauline also the phrase ta stoicheia tou kosmou (" elements, rudiments, of the world," Usage. Gal. iv. 3; Col. ii. 8, 20). In general he prefers the term ta panta. (2) In accord with the Stoic idea of a "system of gods and men," he separates the concept of the world into angels and men (cf. I Cor. vi. 1, 2). (3) It sometimes signifies oikoumens, the "inhabited earth," when he is thinking of his missionary field. (4) In II Cor. i. 12 it seems to mean life; related to this is the phrase cited by Dalman as rabbinical, ek tou kosmou exerchesthai, "to go out of the world" (I Cor. v. 10). (5) Kosmos often signifies for Paul the human race, for example, in Rom. iii. 19, v. 12–13. (6) From this is evolved the peculiarly Christian significance, especially emphasized in the Johannine writings, according to which the "world" as an essence is far removed from and even opposed to God. Its standards and values are rejected by Christians (II Cor. vii. 10). (7) The word kosmos can also be used to express earthly possession (cf. Mark viii. 36). For Christian "the world" is only "this world," the fashion of which passeth away (I Cor. vii. 31). The Christian has nothing in common with it, for, by Christ's death, he is crucified for the world (Gal. vi. 14). The Johannine writings must be treated separate-

ly. Here the word kosmos, besides being used in a similar way to that of the Pauline epistles, is employed in a thoroughly Jewish manner, 5. Johannine e.g., in John vii. 4, xii. 19, where it Usage. denotes the people. Even more clearly than for Paul, the kosmos is for John not only the whole of creation, but more especially mankind as the object of salvation (i. 29, iii. 16, 17; I John ii. 2), of enlightenment (John viii. 12), and judgment (iii. 17). "This world" is conceived in a thoroughly Jewish and Pauline spirit; it is ruled by the devil (xii. 31) and passes away with all its pleasures (I John ii. 17), for the world is essentially opposition to God (I John ii. 15); it "lieth in wickedness" (I John v. 19) and can neither know nor believe in God and his Son, and must therefore hate those who are "not of the world" (John xvil. 14).

Christians must overcome the world (I John v. 4) as Jesus has overcome it (John xvi. 33).

The most important characteristic of the conception "the world" in the New Testament is that, as a whole, it is subordinated to the recognition of the salvation of Christ and his foundation of the kingdom of God among mankind. Hence arises a religious conception of the world which is folly for the partizans of Hellenic philosophy but God-given wisdom for Christian believers (I Cor. i. 21-24). For Paul, God the Father is the creator of the world and the goal of the Christian community; Christ

is, in salvation, the mediator for the world and the community. The statement is to be explained by Christ's words when he bases his rulership of the world on the fact that God alone knows him. He who is known and revealed by God alone stands for this very reason nearer to God than to the world; hence, in spite of his existence in the world, he is raised above it and has power over it. To God the Father, the Son of God, and the world he rules Paul adds a fourth quantity: the community which has been created in Christ from eternity. Hellenic philosophy always recognizes the morally cultured man as merely a part of the kosmos; Christianity, however, looks upon the man who is reconciled to God in Christ, who also works for the kingdom of God, as of greater value than the world. This view is a corollary of the knowledge that God is the Father of Jesus Christ and our Father. Although only a part of the universe is known to him, the Christian believes that the unity of the world is guaranteed by general laws and by a supreme law above all these.

The use of this Biblical train of thought has always been checked in dogmatic theology by a Neoplatonic rationalism which holds medieval scholasticism higher than all the results of Scriptural exegesis. The scholastics before and after

 Dogmatic the Reformation have always ap-Conception proached the conception of God by looking away from the determination,

limitation, and order of the world, and predicate as God the undetermined and unlimited Being. By attributing to this abstraction power and goodness, qualities which do not pertain to it, this God who is a negation of the world is looked upon as the creator of the world. A variant of this conception is the more recent one of the absolute, which, without relation to anything, therefore without relation to the world, has the quality of being in, by, and for itself. As the world is not made the basis of this absolute (cf. Rom. i. 19, 20), it does not express the concept of an almighty God. Indeed, the thinker who suppresses the world in order to look upon God as the absolute, must begin by suppressing himself, since as a thinking being he is a part of the world. The right understanding of the doctrine of God, however, is the recognition that Christ is the ground of our knowledge of God and of his relation to the world. He must therefore be conceived as Paul conceived him, as the aim of the world for which it was created.

The religious explanation of the world assumes that all things redound to the benefit of those who are chosen and loved by God. The 7. Religious theological amplification of this thought Conception. does not have to deal with the investigation of each particular event; for the decrees and ways of God are usually unsearchable (Rom. xi. 33). The theological conception is that the whole world, the entire circle of the inter-

action of the forces of nature and man's free will are under the control of God, who directs all this for the salvation and bliss of his children among mankind, so that all experiences of ill also serve God's purposes. In theological ethics, the world is used to signify earthly goods, in so far as they are temptations to sin. Therefore, the Church catholic teaches that Christian perfection is to be sought by withdrawal from all the relations of life in comm This end could only be attained in the life of the hermit, not even in that of the cloister, since any community offers occasion for vexation and anger. Hence the rules given by Paul (Gal. vi. 14; Ro xii. 2) can be understood to mean only that each individual Christian is peculiarly tempted by estain special worldly relations, and Christianity, therefore, requires that its followers should swid those things which possess this quality for them. In general, however, the use of all worldly goods is permitted to the Christian since they give him an opportunity to prove the mastery of the world by the self-control he exhibits.

(L. Diestelt; A. Ritschlt. Revised by J. Weisel) BIBLIOGRAPHY: For the Biblical side reference is to be made to the works named in and under BIBLICAL TERM to the works named in and under SIBLICAL IMBOU and to the commentaries on the passages cited. For modern philosophic conceptions consult: L. Frobs. Die Weltanschausung der Naturvölker, Weimar, 1898; Lutoslawski, Ueber die Grundvoraussetzungen und Latounwein, Over ale Grinavoraussessingen was de sequensen der individualistischen Weltanschaums, E singfors, 1898; W. Bender, Die Butstehung der Web schauungen im griechischen Altertum, Stuttgart, 18 G. Mohr, Christliche Weltanschauung auf biblischen Green Ulm, 1899; P. Paulsen, Die Gewissheit der christ Weltanschauung im modernen Geietleben, Stuttgart, 1908; R. Steiner, Welt- und Lebensonschausungen im 19. Jeh-hundert, 2 vols., Berlin, 1900-01; K. A. von Hass, Die psychologische Begründung der religiösen Weitenscheung im XIX. Jahrhundert, ib. 1901; O. Heilberg, Die Wei unserer Begriffe, Halle, 1901; G. Meisel-Hess, Is der modernen Weltsnschausung, Leipzie, 1901; R. Eucken, Die Lebensanschauungen der grossen Denker, 4th ed., ib. 1902; Lebensanschauungen auf groussen Zuschen A. Rüscher, Göttliche Notwendigkeits-Weitanschause. Teleologie, mechanische Naturansicht und Götteside, kerich, 1902; A. Kalthoff, Religiöse Weitanschausen, Lebenschausen, Dichterische und wissenschaftlich sic, 1903; J. Baumann, Dichterische und wisse Weltansicht, Gotha, 1904; idem, Welt- und Lebe in ihren realwissenschaftlichen und philosophischen Gn zügen, ib. 1906; R. Otto, Naturalistische und rei edle. Weltansicht, Tübingen, 1904; L. Ragas, Grundsüge einer sittlichen Weltanschauung, 2d ed., Freburg, 1904; H. Winckler, Die Weltanschauung des eine Orients, Leipsic, 1904; H. Gompers, Weltsnecksung-lehre, vol. i., Methodologie, ib. 1905; J. Reiner, Au de modernen Weltsnechauung, Hanover, 1905; H. Bavinet, Christliche Weltanschauung, Heidelberg, 1907; J. Behren Die natürliche Welleinheit. Bausteine zu einer ideslich schen Weltanschauung, Wismar, 1907; L. Busse, Di Weltanschauungen der grossen Philosophen der Neuei. 3d ed., Leipsic, 1907; E. Dennert, Die Weltanschause des modernen Naturforschers, Stuttgart, 1907; C. Wenst Die Weltanschauungen der Gegenwart im Gegen Ausgleich. Binführung in der Grundprobleme und Gran-begriffe der Philosophie, Leipsic, 1907; S. Arrhenius, I. Life of the Universe, London, 1909; A. Heussner, Die philosophischen Weltanschauungen und ihre Hous Göttingen, 1910; P. W. Van Peyma, The Why of the Will: the Unity of the Universe, Boston, 1910; B. Kem, Well-anschauungen und Welterkenntnie, Berlin, 1911.

WORMS.

- I. The City and Bishopric.
 II. The Concordat.
 III. The Diet.
 IV. Religious Conferences.
- 1. Conference of 1540-41.
 The Occasion and Preliminaries (§ 1).
 Progress and Close (§ 2).
- 2. Conference of 1557.
 Preliminaries (§ 1).
 The Flacian Breach (§ 2).
 The Conference Futile (§ 3).

L The City and Bishopric: [Worms, one of the oldest and most interesting cities in Germany, also long one of the most important, lies in the plain of the Wonne on the left bank of the Rhine, twentyfive miles south of Mains. It has about 42,000 inhabitants, of whom two-thirds are Protestants, about one-third Roman Catholic, and 2,500 are Jews. Its name in the Roman period was Borbetomagus, in a Celtic district, and it was the seat of the Vangiones, a small tribe settled there by Julius Crear, where arose the civitas Vangionum. In the fifth century it came under the Burgundians, and there the legends of Gunther and Brunhilde, Siegfried and Kriemhild, and later of Eginhard and Emma are laid. It was the see city of an ancient bishopric, was often the residence of the Frankish kings and of Charlemagne and his successors, gave its name to a famous concordat, and was the scene of the diet where Luther made his famous defense and declaration before Charles V. (see LUTHER, MARTIN, § 9), and of two important conferences. It is noted also for its Romanesque cathedral, of red sandstone, dating from the twelfth to the fourteenth century, and for the great monument to Luther, designed by Rietschel (see Sculpture, CHRISTIAN USE OF, III., § 3).] The circumstances of the founding of the bishopric are unknown; even when Christianity entered the region is uncertain, since it is not known whether the reference of Irenseus (Hær., I., x. 2) to churches in the German provinces refers to this place. The first secure trace is the statement of Orosius (Hisi., VII., xxxii. 13) that in the beginning of the fifth century the Burgundians received Christianity, and that the left bank of the Rhine was in general organized ecclesiastically (cf. Socrates, Hist. eccl., VII., xxx.). But there is no report of a bishopric, and no list of bishops for this period. For 200 years nothing more is heard, meanwhile the Franks took possession of the land, the Burgundians having withdrawn; the city thus became German instead of Roman. The Christian community survived the change, and at the synod held at Paris in 614 a Bishop Berhtulfus of Uarnacium appeared; in 696 Rupert of Salzburg was bishop, after which follows a gap of a century in knowledge of the see. From the end of the eighth century the bishops' names are known. The diocese itself was located on both sides of the Rhine. The bishopric was suppressed in 1801.

(A. HAUCK.)

II. The Concordat: [For the terms of this agreement see Concordats and Delimiting Bulls, I. Its significance rests in the fact that it ended the dispute between pope and emperor regarding Investiture (q.v.) in an agreement between Calixtus II. and Henry V. The terms of the concordat were read before a multitude in a meadow near the city.

III. The Diet: This important gathering, before which Luther was summoned to appear, closed the first period of the Reformation, showing to the world that the movement started by Luther was something

greater than that started by Huss, and likely to take quite another turn. Luther arrived on Tuesday, Apr. 16, 1521, in the forenoon, and was lodged in the house of the Knights of St. John. The next day at six o'clock in the afternoon, he appeared before the diet, assembled in the episcopal palace. For the proceedings and result see Luther, Martin, § 9.]

IV. Religious Conferences.—1. Conference of 1540-41: The Hagenau Conference (q.v.) having proved ineffective, a new one was called for Oct. 28 of the same year (1540). Paul III. decided to have

as his representative a man not a cardinal, and appointed Tommaso Campeggi, bishop of Feltre. His instructions emphasized the grace of the pope in accepting a conference of this kind.

in accepting a conference of this kind, which he so abhorred, and directed that the authority of the Curia be guarded and all proposals be reserved for papal decision. Morone, the nuncio, also appeared, his purpose being to obstruct the conference as much as possible. Pietro Paolo Vergerio (q.v.) came ostensibly as the French representative, really in the secret service of the pope to encourage the return of Protestants to the Church. Melanchthon set on foot on Oct. 22 in Gotha a protest against the claim of the pope to precedence and to the ultimate decision in such a conference. His own instructions were definite to refuse recognition of the papal supremacy, and warned of the danger of cleavage in Protestant ranks in case certain positions should not be maintained. The Protestants were to stand by the Schmalkald conclusions. The members of the conference arrived promptly, but the emperor's representative delayed his arrival till Nov. 22. Roman Catholics of note deputed were Nausea, Cochlæus, Pflug, Pelargus, Gropper, Eck, and Mensing, while for the Evangelicals appeared Jakob Sturm, Butzer, Capito, Calvin, W. Link, Osiander, Schnepf, Brenz, and Amsdorf. Representatives of Mainz, Bavaria, Pfalz, and Strasburg were to officiate as presidents. The Evangelicals used the delay in cementing a united front. On Nov. 25 Granvella opened the conference. To the Evangelicals it was suggested that they submit in writing what they proposed to hold, to which they replied by submitting the Augsburg Confession and Apology.

The real beginning of the conference was continually postponed, and on Dec. 8 Campeggi appeared and spoke of the zeal of the pope for a healing of the religious divisions, and to this and Close. The Evangelicals opposed the delivery of the summaries of action to the emperor alone, and demanded that each side receive an original set of documents, though they finally agreed to accept certified copies. The Roman Catholic party was not in agreement as to the measures to be adopted. It seemed as though the conference was going to pieces upon the question of the form of interchange of proposals. Granvella had from the beginning no confidence in a public conference,

and endeavored to get some individuals from the Protestant side to consent to more private proceedings and so to enable a compromise to be reached. On Jan. 2, 1541, the proposition was put forward that each of the eleven participants should speak together with the chief speaker for each side, the notaries to take down the chief points; on this the Evangelicals were not at one, Melanchthon and Butser seeking to mediate, the effect of Granvella's astute policy being seen in this attitude, the result being the anger of Osiander, who saw that some secret understanding was obtained. The Protestants desired that each of the participants should have free speech. Granvella sought from the emperor authority to close the conference, but on Jan. 14 the conference began with Eck as the Roman Catholic speaker. He excused the delay on the ground that the Confession (of 1540) laid before them differed from that of 1530 and that comparison had required time, to which Melanchthon replied that they were essentially the same. Eck practically passed article 1, and began debate on article 2 dealing with original sin, upon which he and Melanchthon disputed till the 17th, when Granvella called both, together with Mensing and Butzer, to a meeting, where the four agreed upon a formula which the Evangelicals could accept. Meanwhile, on the day before Granvella had received orders from the emperor to close the conference, and on Jan. 18, when further proceedings were to be carried on, the president declared that the emperor had ordered, since no progress had been made, that the matters be deferred to the coming diet, and the conference was abruptly broken off.

2. Conference of 1557: By the Augsburg Religous Peace (q.v.) of 1555 the states of the Augsburg Confession had won as a permanent right freedom to exercise their religion. But the hope of a relig-1. Preliminaries. in matters of teaching and ceremonies had not been given up. The discussion of the equalization of the religious parties was referred at the time to the then future diet appointed for Mar. 1, 1556. The difficulty of the Evangelical princes was that since Luther's death their churches had become disunited through various controversies, and there was no recognized leader; Melanchthon's authority was challenged by a part even of his own scholars, while Brenz was suspected by one whole At the Augsburg Diet Christoph of Württemberg had desired a meeting of Evangelical princes; Philip of Hesse had wanted a meeting of their counselors and theologians; the Ernestine dukes sought to bring both about. But the theologians (Amsdorf, Stolz, Aurifaber, Schnepff, and Strigel) disapproved and wanted a decision against false doctrines. The Regensburg Diet proposed a committee of eight. The Roman Catholics preferred a council, the Protestants a religious conference: Ferdinand saw that a council was impossible at the time and declared for a conference, which he appointed to meet at Worms Aug. 24, 1557. Each side was to have six debaters, six associates, six "auditors," and two notaries. The presidency fell ultimately to Julius von Pflug (q.v.), bishop of Naumburg; the Protestant principals were Melanchthon, Brens, Schnepf, Professor Macchabins of Copenhagen (later, Runge of Greifswald), Karg, and Pistorius; the Roman Catholic representatives were Pflug, Helding, Gropper, P. Canisius, Delies of Strasburg, and Professor Rithoven of Louvain.

Attempts had been made in vain to heal the breach between Melanchthon and Flacius (qq.v.), and in view of the coming conference it was resolved to have the Evangelical states come together at

9. The Flacian Breach.

Worms Aug. 1 in order to make a new attempt to heal the breach. A preliminary meeting of the princes under Duks Christoph was held at Frankfort in

June, but Elector August was absent by the advice of Melanchthon; agreement was reached that they unanimously maintained the Augsburg Confession. Flacius insisted upon a condemnation of all errat teaching, brought definite charges against some of the Protestant principals, and declared a pronounce ment against all corruptions of doctrine to be also lutely necessary. Melanchthon and his associates arrived at Worms Aug. 28, and the Ernestine theologians soon saw that they were practically isolated, nearly all "adoring Philip as a divinity." The Evangelicals met together Sept. 5, and Monner and Schnepff brought up their proposal for the condennation of all corruptions of the last ten years, with especial reference to Melanchthon; in reply, it was pointed out that common action against the common foe was necessary, even if to accomplish this other representatives had to be secured. A new sttempt was made on Sept. 9, but with the result that the Flacians threatened to make open statement of their position.

On Sept. 11 the conference began, and at once arose the inevitable discussion concerning the order of procedure; Melanchthon's proposal for order methods was rejected in favor of Helding's that written documents be handed in. Instead of the

Augsburg Confession a statement by 8. The 8. The Canisius, in twenty-three articles, of Futile. Futile. the basis of discussion. At the fifth session, Sept. 16, Canisius referred to the split among the Evangelicals, which the Flacians seized upon to emphasize their position. On Sept. 20, Canisius again read a document referring to Osiander and Major (see Major, Georg; Oslander, Andreas), and the Flacians again pointed out the logic of their position and affirmed that they were compelled to justify themselves, and to the threat to replace them replied that they would appeal to the president. Peace could not be obtained, though strenuous efforts were made to heal the breach and to get the Evangelicals to present a united front. All was usless, for on Sept. 27 the representatives of Johann Friedrich gave to the Roman Catholic assessors their protestation, and on Oct. 1 the notification that they were about to depart, and then left Worms on the same day. The conference had in fact been interrupted since Sept. 20; the Roman Catholic part would gladly have closed the matter at once, but the Evangelicals hoped to find a way, by continuing, to relieve the sad impression of this conflict in their own camp. The conference was resumed Oct. 6, but at once there arose a dispute as to the Flacian declaration was official or pri-I new question then arose as to whether the ng Protestant disputants were competent as ts of the Augsburg Confession and had excluded the Flacians; further, would the recognize the conference? So objection jection arose, and the Evangelicals did not in bringing under discussion the doctrines

Postponements ensued to obtain word rdinand, which came at last instructing the ment of the Weimar theologians in their participants; over the interpretation of this new strife arose. Finally, on Nov. 28, the Catholics having declared that they could not h a divided party, the whole matter was to the next diet, each party asserting its e of the causes leading to this result.

Regensburg Conference (q.v.) revealed the of the Protestant party, that at Worms wn its weakness. The split had become a of the opponents and made these latter turn in the tide for their cause. Canisius that the princes of the Roman party would r oppose a general council, while the Counrmation was already on its way. For further nents on the Protestant side see Frank-(G. KAWERAU.)

APHY: On the city and bishopric consult: J. F. at, Historia episcopatus Wormatieneis, Frankfort,

1734; W. Wagner, Die vormaligen geistlichen Stifte in Grosshersogthum Hessen, 2 vols., Darmstadt, 1873-78; H. Boos, Quellen sur Geschichte der Stadt Worms, 3 vols., Berlin, 1886-93; idem, Geschichte der rheinischen Stadt tekultur, vols. i.-iv., ib. 1897-1901; A. Köster, Die Wormser Annalen, Leipsie, 1887; F. Soldan, Die Zerstörung der Stadt Worms im Jahre 1689, Worms, 1889; idem, Beiträge zur Geschichte der Stadt Worms, ib. 1896; F. X. Kraus, Die christlichen Inschriften der Rheinlande, nos. 22-29, Freiburg, 1890; H. Haupt, Beiträge zur Reformationsgeschichte der Reichsstadt Worms, Giessen, 1897; C. Koehne, Die Wormser Stadtrechtsreformation vom Jahre 1499, Berlin, 1897; O. Beckmann Fahrer durch Worms, Stuttgart, 1902; Rettberg KD, i. 633; Hauck, KD, 4 vols.; KL, 1902; Rettbe zii. 1759-68. On the concordat, besides the literature in iii. 218 of this work consult: G. Wolfram, Friedrich I.

und das Wormser Concordat, Marburg, 1883.
On the diet the following are available: J. Friedrich,
Der Reichstag in Worms, 1521, Munich, 1870; K. Jansen, Der Reichstag in Worme, 1021, Munion, 1870; R. Jansen, Aleander am Reichstage zu Worms 1581, Kiel, 1883; T. Kolde, Luther und der Reichstag zu Worms, Gotha, 1883; F. Soldan, Der Reichstag zu Worms, 1581, Worms, 1883; W. Oncken, Martin Luther in Worms, Giessen, 1884; Cambridge Modern History, ii. 139 sqq., 146 sqq., 158, 166, 170. 170 sqq., New York, 1904.

On the conferences consult: Melanchthon, Colloquium Wormacienee, Wittenberg, 1542; CR, iii. 1121 sqq., iv. 1-91; ZHT, 1872, pp. 36 sqq.; J. P. Roeder, De colloquio Wormacienei, Nuremberg, 1744; H. Leemmer, Monumenta Vaticana, pp. 300-342, Freiburg, 1861; R. Moses, Die Religionsverhandlungen su Hagenau und Worms, 1640 Die Radyonsvernandungen für Hagenau und Worms, 1540 und 1541, Jena, 1889; J. W. Richard, Philip Melanchthon, chap. xxiii., New York, 1898; J. Janssen, Hist. of the German People, vi. 107-113, vii. 34-45, St. Louis, 1903-1905; Cambridge Modern History, ii. 239, New York, 1904; W. Friedensburg, in ZKG, xxi. 112 sqq.; the literature under Butter; Eck; and Melancetteon.

WORSHIP.

of Study of Primitive Religivergent Theories of Comparaligion (§ 3).
ry of Fear (§ 4).
ry of Love (§ 5). ories of Order of Development

lequisite in Constructing The-

Probable Origin of Worship (§ 8). The Earliest Forms of Worship (§ 9). Worship and the Kingship (§ 10). Relation of Fetishism to Worship (§ 11).

Ancestor Worship (§ 12).
Worship of Trees and Plants (§ 13).
Worship of Life-Giving Forces (§ 14).
Communal Worship (§ 15).
Associational Cults (§ 16). Joyous Character of Primitive Worship

(§ 18). The Greek Mysteries (§ 19). Influence of Subjectivity on Worship (\$ 20). Justification of Christian Analogies with Judseo-Ethnie Cults (§ 21). Eucharistic Worship; Latria and Dulia

Propitiatory and Apotropaic Worship

(§ 22). The Ethical Aspect of (§ 23).

ip may be defined as the acknowledgment formal act of mind or body, or both, of preme dominion, or (among pagans) of the power of some divine or semi-divine being. English the word was used in a less limited lenoting honor or reverence in general.

Traces of this usage are seen in the ini- formula of the marriage-service in the English Prayer-book, where the bridegroom says to the bride, "With my hee worship, and with all my worldly goods dow," as well as in the current application tle "his Worship" and the epithet "worto the mayors of English towns; while to among Roman Catholics, it would be poshear the expression "the worship of the used without offense, although, as will be hing is clearer to them than the distinction the supreme honor due to God alone and rdinate or relative honor paid to even the

onception instinctively suggested to Chrisole by the word in its narrower sense is instamped by the definition of the Founder religion, "God is a Spirit: and they that XII.—28

and holiest of his creatures.

worship him must worship him in spirit and in truth" (John iv. 24); but an encyclopedic treatment of the subject must go back for

2. Neces- many centuries beyond the Christian era, and patiently seek to penetrate the obscurity which veils the mental sity of Study of Primitive processes of primitive and uncivilized Religions. man. The modern study of comparative religion, also, has brought to light

the profound significance of many rites of savage tribes which until recent years were contemptuously dismissed as mere barbarism or child's play, unworthy of the attention of serious thinkers. In them is often found the answer to many questions, which would otherwise have seemed insoluble, as to the manner in which primitive man regarded the supernatural and his relation to it. "It is ritual," says L. R. Farnell (Cults of the Greek States, i. 9, Oxford, 1896), "that is chiefly the conservative part of religion. And in ritual the older and cruder ideas are often held as in petrifaction, so that the study of it is often as it were the study of unconscious matter, in so far as it deals with facts of worship of which the worshiper does not know the meaning, and which frequently are out of accord with the highest

religious consciousness of the community." So important is worship that one eminent German scholar (Otto Gruppe, quoted by Otto Schrader) has declared ritual to be the source of religion; but if this is going too far and putting the cart before the horse, at least the study of its development is one of the most interesting and instructive chapters in the history of the human mind.

When approach is made to what is logically the first step in the consideration of the subject—the origin to be assigned, according to the best results of comparative religion and anthropological science, to what is understood by worship, a wide divergence of views comes to light. This is not to be wondered at, if the fact is taken into consideration that Comparative Religion (q.v.) itself, the disci-

pline which attempts to answer such 3. Widely questions by the inductive method, is Divergent of very recent growth, dating practiTheories of cally from the last third of the nineComparative teenth century. For many ages it was Religion. considered that these methods were

wholly inapplicable to the study of a question whose solution seemed to be already included within the province of revelation. Even so independent a thinker as Hobbes expressly excluded "the doctrine of God's worship" from philosophy, "as being not to be known by natural reason, but by the authority of the Church; and as being the object of faith and not of knowledge" (Elements of Philosophy, I., viii., London, 1656). The first stimulus came from the discovery and study of the sacred books of the East, followed by the deciphering of the Assyro-Babylonian and Egyptian texts; but the past forty years have been so fruitful of results for the scientific study of religion that a large body of data bearing on the subject of this article is now accessible, even though the conclusions to be drawn from them are not as yet by any means matters of general agreement. Working along these lines, one must start with some knowledge of the manner in which the idea of God may be supposed, apart from any case of an immediate revelation, to have grown up in the mind of primitive and utterly uncivilized man. It may be taken for granted that some more or less definite idea of the existence of a supernatural being or beings is to be found in all branches of the human race; writers who approach the question from such diverse points of view, as E. B. Tylor, T. Waitz, J. L. A. de Quatrefages, Max Müller, G. Gerland, and C. P. Tiele, are agreed upon so much.

One principal ground of controversy seems to be whether fear or veneration is the predominant sentiment in the attempt to enter into communion with these superhuman beings. Some observers are

4. The importance to the motive of fear. Thus Theory of Fear.

Development of the Moral Ideas, ii. 612, London, 1908): "In early religion the

most common motive [for sacrifice] is undoubtedly a desire to avert evils; and we have reason to believe that such a desire was the first source of religious worship." And even in modern times Sir M. Monier-Williams (Brahmanism and Hinduism, p. 230, 4th ed., London, 1891) asserts that "no one who has

ever been brought into contact with the Hindus in their own country can doubt that the worship of at least 90 per cent of the people of India in the present day is a worship of fear." This view has been stated in various forms, the most often quoted of the earlier ones being the saying of Statius in the first century, Primus in orbs timor fecil dece—"First in the world fear created gods," which, says Hobbes in the seventeenth, "spoken of the gods (that is to say, of the many gods of the Gentiles) is very true"; and Renan in the insteenth was equally convinced that religion began by endeavors to propitiate the hostile powers by which man found himself surrounded.

Tiele, on the other hand, in his sober and thoughtful Gifford Lectures (Elements of the Science of Religion, Edinburgh, 1897-99), says deliberately that prolonged research and reflection have more and more convinced him of the inaccuracy of this view,

5. The the words of Robertson Smith (Rd. 4)
Theory of Love. Sem., p. 55): "From the earliest times religion, as distinct from magic and

sorcery, addresses itself to kindred and friendly beings, who may indeed be angry with their people for a time, but are always placable except to the enemies of their worshipers or to renegade mem bers of the community. It is not with a vague fear of unknown powers, but with a loving reverence for known gods who are knit to their worshipers by strong bonds of kinship, that religion in the only true sense of the word begins." His distinction between religion in the proper sense and magic is one which deserves attention; but even those who, with F. B. Jevons, maintain that "it is in love and not in fear that religion in any true sense of the word has its origin" (Introduction to the History of Religion, p. 109, 4th ed., London, 1908) admit that "it is none the less true that fear-not of irrational dangers, but of deserved punishment—is essential to the moral and religious education of man; it is 'the fear of the Lord 'that is 'the beginning of wisdom'"

Another much-controverted point is the order in which the various aspects of worship emerged. Many hold, following Robertson Smith, that the

6. Rival
Theories of in time to the gift sacrifice. Tylor, on Corder of Development.

Theories of in time to the gift sacrifice. Tylor, on Corden of the other hand (Primitive Culture, ii. ch. xviii., 4th ed., London, 1903), believes that the gift sacrifice is the most primitive form, basing this conclusion

on the analogy of man's dealings with his fellow men, and assuming that he treated his god as he would a chief (according to the usual ancient custom, illustrated in Gen. xxxii. 20; xliii. 11). He thus places as the stages in the development first the gift, second the idea of homage, and third that of abneration or expiation.

The fact is that, in this as in all other questions which concern the history of worship, it is necessary to base a judgment upon a wide and patient investigation of data from different ages and different parts of the world. There has been too frequent a tendency to lay down a priori conclusions as certain, with the same finality as Hobbes (Leviathen, I.,

xii.): "For the worship which naturally men exhibit to powers invisible, it can be no other but such expressions of their reverence as they 7. Caution would use toward men; gifts, petitions, Requisite in thanks, submission of body, considerate Constructing addresses, sober behavior, premeditated Theories. words, swearing (that is, assuring one another of their promises) by invoking them. Beyond that reason suggesteth nothing; but leaves them either to rest there, or for further ceremonies to rely on those they believe to be wiser than themselves." Too often, again, a whole theory has been constructed upon observations relating to a single group of phenomena, and then boldly given forth as accounting for the origin and significance of worship in general, if not of religion itself. Thus, those who maintain that the origin of primitive religious worship was fear may be supposed to have neglected such records as the sower made to the early Spanish missionaries in America, questioning the Indians on their belief as to the origin of their gods; the usual reply was that they had come from the air or heaven, to dwell among them and do them good. Other investigators of shoriginal beliefs in the same continent have dwelt. and even with astonishment, on the prevalence of the worship of malicious spirits rather than good. led to their conclusion by the somewhat serious failure to take into account the totem-god in a land where totemism flourished to a degree unequaled elsewhere except in Australia. Again, among the Aryan races, which to this day are the most thoroughly known, the simple household worship, in no sense public, did not attract the attention of the poets, whose verses are filled with the more picturesque marvels of mythological legend. Very little testimony concerning this system of worship has made its way into literature; what is known about it has been largely recovered by a patient piecing together of information recovered from an illuminating interpretation of a sentence here and a paragraph there.

It is not, however, a rash speculation to see in the history of primitive man first a recognition of the existence of superhuman powers controlling his destines, or at least intervening in them

& Probable at times; then a tendency to see in Origin of these powers a personal will analogous Worship. to that of which he was conscious in himself; and finally a casting about for means of entering into relations with them to his own advantage. His sentiment of a certain kinchip with the supernatural powers, combined with his conviction of entire dependence upon them, impelled him to seek communion with them, and to restablish such communion when he thought it had been broken off through his own fault. From this impulse, according to Tiele, spring all those religious observances which are usually embraced in the

term worship.

The content of this term, however, was very much smaller in prehistoric times. Holding strongly to the idea of blood-kinship; extending it beyond the visible family to include the deceased members with whom communion is still desired; then seeking, under totemism, for alliance with another tribe,

some mysterious supernatural clan—a prehistoric race develops but alowly a definite idea of worship offered "to" some one. According to

g. The Barliest Stage meant the sprinkling upon the Stage meant the sprinkling upon the altar of the blood of the totem-animal, with the sole purpose of renewing the

blood-covenant and procuring the presence and aid of the totem-god. On this theory, the idea of offering a sacrifice "to" a god could be developed only in a later stage of totemism, when the stone had come to be identified with the god, and the god was no longer in the animal. The idea of worship, further, implies the existence, for the worshiper, not merely of a supernatural being as such, but of a supernatural being who "has stated relations with a community" (Robertson Smith, ut sup., p. 119).

In the nature-religions—those which have grown up by a gradual process of evolution, not derived from the authority of a conscious and definite founder—the organization of the worship continues to coincide with that of social life, this social life being, according to the stage of development, that of the clan, the family, or the nation. In the head of the family are combined the temporal rule

xo. Worship and the religious leadership; and the and the same prerogatives are conceded to the Kingship. heads of a larger family, the early

kings. In Egypt the king and his sons held as of right the highest sacerdotal dignities, while the other priests were merely their deputies in religious as well as in civil and even military affairs. The same thing is found in the Babylonian and Assyrian systems; the kings attached great importance to their sacerdotal titles, and they conducted all religious observances without the assistance of any other priests. Long after historical memory of this state of things had faded in Greece and Rome, its record was preserved in the attribution of the title archon basileus (king) to the official who conducted the public worship, and that of rex to the patrician who, in the Roman republic, presided over the ancient sacra. Then and later the title of pontifex maximus, or high-priest, still borne by the pope, was conferred upon the head of the state: nor may it be unduly fanciful to see a reminiscence of this early feeling in the concession to the later heads of the Holy Roman Empire of the right to assist as subdeacons, wearing the dalmatic, in the solemn mass celebrated by the pope—although it would more probably be consciously referred to the analogy in Jewish history of the similar anointing of prophets, priests, and kings. There is, then, much evidence to show that in the older forms of society the two offices were one, and only gradually became differentiated, owing in great measure to the practical difficulties arising from the strict taboo which surrounded these sacred personages. The evolution, however, of a separate priestly class, and the way in which its rights and duties developed, belongs less to this place than to the article PRIEST (q.v.)

Among strictly communal rites of worship, a time comes when disasters and distresses impress the tribe with the idea that they have offended their divine protector, and they seek to propitiate him by what are called piacular sacrifices. The development of this sentiment on a large scale may more fitly be treated later, when the discussion comes to

the gradual loosening of the bonds of 11. Relation the predominantly tribal or national of Fetishism cult. The mention of it here will afford to Worship. an opportunity to speak of what is somewhat loosely known as Fetishism (q.v.). The term calls up all the associations which are vaguely present to the minds of average people when they sing the words "The heathen in his blindness Bows down to wood and stone"; and indeed the objects supposed to be endued with supernatural power are often, to our minds, of a very inadequate and even ridiculous nature. But, as far as the mind of the African savage, for example, can be studied, it seems tolerably clear that the original source of these strange proceedings is nothing more than the desire to secure the countenance or protection of some mighty spirit, possibly one not already preoccupied with the tribal affairs, who chooses to take up his abode in or render himself accessible through some such object as a prominent rock or a curiously carved piece of wood. There is no longer likelihood of falling into the error, once so prevalent, of supposing that the African savage worships an inanimate object, knowing it to be inanimate. As Pfleiderer puts the matter generally, "what is really worshiped in the object anywhere is not itself but a transcendental x within and beyond it." Fet-

subject.

As the clan dissolved, or else increased so that its members were at too great a distance from the official seat of worship, guardian spirits or family gods were chosen for the smaller groups or for individuals, the rites of their worship being modeled on those already familiar to the race. Among the

ishism, in the sense of the worship which finds its

way, frequently from the individual, to dimly con-

ceived supernatural beings by and through such means of approach, leads to the next branch of the

12. Ancestor Semites, the *Teraphim* (q.v.) were fam-Worship. ily gods, as the *lares* were among the

Romans; while the Greeks had their theoi patroioi. The tendency here indicated connected itself very easily and naturally with the respect paid to deceased members of the family; and the ceremonies at first usual as mere signs of grief developed, as they grew conventional, into rites of worship. It was the danger of this development which caused a special prohibition of them to the Hebrews (Lev. xix. 28). It comes up first in the period of settled agricultural life, when the family begins to be an institution. "The worship of ancestors," says E. Clodd (Myths and Dreams, p. 113, 2d ed., London, 1891), "is not primal. The comparatively late recognition of kinship by savages, among whom some rude form of religion existed, tells against it as the earliest mode of worship." Herbert Spencer and Grant Allen attempted to account for the origin of religion by the worship of ghosts; but there are countless phenomena which can not be traced back to it—and it can be proved that wherever ancestorworship exists, as in China, it exists side by side with the public worship of the community. The two have their sources in the same feeling, quite as the lating word pietos was applied indifferently to reverence for the gods and to filial obedience; and, just as sacrifice survived the materialistic ideas often attached to it in the early stages and became a symbol of humility and reverence, so, according to the belief of many races, the disembodied spirits, like the gods, desire to be worshiped not only because they depend on human care for their sustenance or comfort, but because it is an act of homage. The one never develops into the other.

Tree-worship, and more especially plant-worship, belong again to the agricultural stage. In the animistic philosophy of the savage, in his blind search through the universe for manifestations

13. Worship of the supernatural, he came to believe, of Trees and in many widely separated lands, that Plants. trees and plants possessed supernatural

powers; and, in accordance with the earlier totem-principle, he attempted to establish relations with any species which he believed to be of especial importance for his own life. Jevons dwells at some length on the history of plant-worship, stributing to it great importance for the history not only of religion but also of civilisation, "for it was through plant-worship that cereals and food-plants came to be cultivated, and it was in consequence of their cultivation that the act of worship received a remarkable extension" (ut sup., p. 210).

So far from the religious impulse having originated, as Grant Allen contends, in "the worship of death," it would be far truer, if either must be said, to find its source in the thought of the potency and the preciousness of life. This feeling expressed

itself in a great variety of different 14. Worship forms. One, to which too much imof Life-giv- portance has apparently been attached ing Forces. by some modern investigators, is the

symbolic worship known as phallicism. Phallic worship, as a separate and organized cult, is extremely rare, in spite of the temptation to use it as a cloak for unbridled excesses. It is found, to be sure, as a phase of some other cult, among many savage tribes in America and Asia (and, as has been recently pointed out, in Japan); but where it attained its greatest development, among the Semitic and Dravidian races, in Greece under Semitic influences, or connected among the Aztecs with the higher forms of nature-worship, it put on sooner or later a symbolic meaning as typifying the mysterous force which renews the earth in spring and provides for the continuance of the wonderful thing which is called life. All over the world, with rites bearing at least a superficial similarity, the deities or spirits of vegetation, on whom man was thought to depend for the food which sustained his life, were worshiped with ceremonies of which there are curious survivals, no longer understood, in the spring and harvest customs of European countries. Like wise, in the pastoral and agricultural stage, men were impressed with the need of winning the favor of the great forces of nature streams and fourtains, clouds, the sky, the sun and moon. Communion was sought, where possible, by placing the offerings of the worshiper in contact with the divine power, as by throwing them into water; in the case of the sun, the old principle of classification suggested fire as akin to his substance.

Certainly the most wide-spread, as well as the most important, of primitive religious rites are those which set forth the public worship of the tribe or clan. Robertson Smith is inclined to regard communal worship as the only worship in very early times. "In antiquity," he says, "all religion was the affair of the community rather than of the individual" (op. cit., p. 236). Here, however, Daniel

G. Brinton strongly disagrees with 15 Comhim, attributing to his special remunal searches among the Semitic peoples
Worship. the general theory, which "is contradicted by nearly every primitive re-

dicted by nearly every primitive re-ligion known to me"; and of course it is obvious that in so far as one's notions are unconsciously colored by records such as those of the Greek poets one will lean toward the former view—little definite record is likely to be left of the worship of the individual or of the small private group of the family in the earliest stages of its growth. Again, there is often an unconscious tendency to depend on official explanations, which are, in many cases, far later than the primitive rites for which they undertake to account, and are the work of men who were shamed of some feature of the rite, or who were unwilling to confess themselves unable to give an authentic explanation of it. It is necessary to bear in mind that often they may give only a partial or factitious view of their subject, while quite another may be the true one, or may have been held at the same time by large numbers of people. Thus, for cample, the animal-worship of Egypt was explained in several different ways. The official or priestly interpretation varied. It was said that the gods had concealed themselves in the forms of beasts during the revolutionary wars of Set against Horus; or that the adoration was directed not to the animal but to the qualities which it personified; or that the beastpole were memorials of badges (representing animak) borne by the various tribal companies in the forces of Osiris. Apollonius of Tyana is quoted as holding that the beasts were symbols of deity, not deities; and Porphyry (De abstinentia, iv. 9) asserts definitely that " under the semblance of animals the Typtians worship the universal power which the sods have revealed in the various forms of living But these are theories constructed by barned men long after the origin of the rites; and i is obvious that there is a grave disadvantage in wing no record of what the simple peasantry thought of customs in which recent scholars have been inclined to see "a consecration and elaborate survival of totemism." In view of the natural inclination to concentrate the attention on public it is not surprising that Pfleiderer defines reitious cult as "an utterance or manifestation of the religious consciousness by means of the representative observances of the community, whereby its as-Piration for communion with the divine attains actral consummation." Yet, however true the second part of his definition may be, it must not be forgotten that the religious rites practised by the infividual in perfect solitude and by the father in the midst of his immediate family are to be included in any comprehensive definition.

Also, in a period as a rule far later than the primitive (speaking generally, about the sixth century B.C.), the historian of worship is obliged to take into account the gradual formation of small associations which aimed at supplementing the public worship, or at superseding it. This tendency is found even in religions which are swayed by animism. Thus among the North American Indians

among the North American Indians 16. Associa- it led to the formation of small bands tional Cults. to which no one was admitted without

having first undergone severe tests of self-control and perseverance; their members were regarded as elevated above the rest of the tribe and in closer relation with the spirits. Among the Hebrews, at the time of the Captivity, when the old national religion seemed to have broken down, we find in the strange sacrifices of "unclean creatures" -swine, dogs, mice, and other vermin—what may be considered as the recrudescence of a cult of the most primitive totem type; though it is distinguished from the old in that it is practised now by men who desert the religion of their birth, as a means of initiation into a new brotherhood. These obscure rites, says Robertson Smith, "have a vastly greater importance than has been commonly recognised; they mark the first appearance in Semitic history of the tendency to found religious societies on voluntary association and mystic initiation, instead of natural kinship and nationality" (ut sup., p. 339). Sects of this kind are found growing out of other higher religions, such as those of China, India, and Persia; and in a similar class may be placed the Hanifites of Arabia, the Eleusinian mysteries, the Pythagoreans, Orphics, and Neoplatonists (see NEOPLATONISM) in Greece, and the Essenes (q.v.) in Israel, with their partly Persian and partly Greek affinities; while not a few of the heretical associations of the Middle Ages—Cathari (see New Manicheans, II.), Fraticelli, Friends of God (qq.v.), and the like-stand in exactly the same relation to the accepted cult. In the older stages of civilization, too, there is a special incentive to the formation of such voluntary associations in the fact that as a general rule women as well as children were not admitted to the tribal worship, and would thus be likely to welcome anything in which they would have more latitude (see, further, TRIBAL AND CUL-TIC MYSTERIES).

But the tendency which in ancient times led people to draw together in such societies has its roots far deeper in human psychology than in a mere wish to have the distinction of belonging to something not open to the great body of the community and of possessing secrets unknown to them. As a general

rule, the official or tribal worship was 17. Joyous of a cheerful nature. "Worship the Character gods with a joyous worship," says of Primitive Cicero; and this precept was widely Worship. Obeyed. A superficial survey of Greek

religion would give the impression that by far the larger part of it was like that which Robertson Smith describes as the type of worship prevalent among the earlier Hebrews, and characteristic of their Semitic neighbors in general: "universal hilarity prevailed, men ate, drank, and were merry together, rejoicing before their god." attitude of mind was seen among the Germanic tribes; Grimm says (Teutonic Mythology, Eng. transl., i. 42, London, 1879) that the religious rites of the ancient Germans were, as a rule, cheerful, and those which were of this nature were the earliest and the commonest. This, of course, was natural if the first of public rites was one of joyousness, an invitation to the god to be present and partake of a repast spread for him by his worshipers. Purely religious banquets, festal commemorations, and thanksgivings would thus make up a large part of early rites among those religions in which "the habitual temper of the worshipers is one of joyous confidence in their god, untroubled by any habitual sense of human guilt, and resting on the firm conviction that they and the deity they adore are good friends, who understand each other perfectly and are united by bonds not easily broken." This temper of mind may be put down to the ease with which in the childhood of the race, as in that of the individual, troublesome thoughts are cast off; but it could never have spread as widely or lasted as long if it had not been for the view that religion was in large measure the affair of the community, and the conviction that the benefits expected from the gods were of a public character. In widely separated regions, the mourner was "unclean," excluded from the worship of the tribe; as Robertson Smith puts it, "the very occasions of life in which spiritual things are nearest to the Christian, and the comfort of religion is most fervently sought, were in the ancient world the times when a man was forbidden to approach the seat of God's presence."

It is not, then, surprising to find in a large number of the later cults of the private or non-official kind, whose history, precisely because they were non-official and more or less secret, has filled far less space than the other in literary records, an effort to propitiate or to drive away supernatural beings conceived, not as the friends of the

r8. Propitia- worshipers, but as hostile, or in some tory and way dangerous. Skilled and scientific Apotropaic investigation of these cults is even worship.
 worship. more recent than study of the general subject; but such thorough and pains-

taking work as that done for one group of them by Miss Jane Harrison in her Prolegomena to the Study of Greek Religion (2d ed., Cambridge, 1908), and the amount of new light thrown by it on a subject which was supposed to be pretty thoroughly known fifty years ago, show conclusively the need of much more research along these lines. In her opening chapter she admits that one factor, and a prominent one, in the Greek religion of the fifth century B.C. was the idea of service (therapeia), in which there was no element of fear; if man did his part in the friendly transaction, the gods would do theirs But the whole tenor of her book, with its wealth of piled-up instances and its acute analysis, goes to show that side by side with the worship of the kindly Olympian deities there existed a whole mass of cult-forms which expressed awe and reverence of spirits or beings of the under-world. Plutarch protests eloquently against the religion of fear; but

Miss Harrison has supplied sufficient evidence to warrant the conclusion that what he regards as superstition (deisidaimonia, in its later and unfavorable sense) was, in the sixth and even in the fifth century B.C., the real religion of the great mass of the Greek people. The formula of this religion is not, like the other, do ut des (" I give that you may give "), but do ut abeas (" I give that you may go, and keep, away "). The evidence consists not only in direct statements such as that of the grater Isocrates (436-338 B.C.), which is worth quoting for its direct completeness: "Those of the gods who are the source to us of good things have the title of Olympians, those whose department is that of calamities and punishments have harsher titles; to the first class both private persons and states erect altars and temples, the second is not worshiped either with prayers or burnt-sacrifices, but in ther case we perform ceremonies of riddance" (Oratio, v. 117). His contemporary Plato, in the Laws (717 A), arranges the objects of divine worship in a regular sequence; first, the Olympian gods, together with "those who keep the city"; second, the underworld gods, whose share are things of unlucky omen; third, the damons, whose worship is characterised as "orgiastic"; fourth, the heroes; and fifth, the ancestral gods-concluding the list with living parents, to whom much honor should be offered. The classification evidenced by ritual is, however, much less minute; the only recognized distinction is that burnt-offerings are the meed of the Olympians, while "devoted" offerings (enagismoi) belong to the chthonic or underworld gods.

In Greece there was, moreover, a long series of ritual acts intended to propitiate or avert the preence of these latter—the Anthesteria, or spring fetival of the revocation and aversion of ghosts; the Thargelia, an early summer festival of first-fruits

(singularly cognate with the Australian

19. The

Greek

Mysteries.

(singularly cognate with the Australian

intichiuma for the removal of taboo on
the harvest-store); the women's fetivals—Thesmophoria, Arrhephoria,

Skirophoria, Stenia, and Halos-lesding up to the Eleusinian mysteries, which have soquired a greater fame (owing to their adoption by Athens and their later affiliation to the mysteries of Dionysus), but which originally may have been nothing more than the Haloa, or harvest-festival, of Eleusis. Their development, as shown by Jevon, acquires its significance first from the fact that, by an exception wholly alien to the spirit of the antique religions and strictly confined to an exceptional case, the State threw open to all Greeks, men and women bond and free, the national worship of a national god, and adopted initiation by purification (mythis) as the qualification for admission to a cult hitherto confined to citizens. The opening of the Eleusinian sanctuary to the Athenians coincided with a wave of religious revivalism, which (spreading from Senitic territory in the sixth century B.C.) infused into men's minds the idea of a definite possibility of happiness in a future life, conditioned on a closer communion with the gods than was attainable on the gift-theory of sacrifice. Purification is the keynote of the worship in the mysteries; by the word mystery is meant a rite in which certain very sacred ngs are exhibited, which can not be safely seen the worshiper until he has passed through the scribed purifications. There followed the introtion to these mysteries of the cult of Iacchus, his identification with Dionysus: the dramatic formances held in his honor (the fact of the close eciation between the genesis of the drama, both Greece and in western Europe—to say nothing he curious parallel in the recently gained knowle of Australian tribes—and religious worship only be alluded to in passing); the spread of idea, so pregnant with results as a preparation Christianity, that this communion, with its es of future bliss, was open to all who chose to il themselves of the grace offered; and the contion of a religious community bound together, by physical or political ties, but by spiritual wship and participation in a common worship. dward Caird, treating rather in the abstract evolution of religion, without much detail, thes the same point in the development by a sewhat different road. Tracing the growth of human mind from the almost purely objective

view of phenomena which it takes in a life its most ignorant form, he says that sof Sub-" in so far as God is conceived as meretivity on ly an object, the worshiper must feel lombin. toward him as a slave, who obeys with-

out any consciousness of anything that him into unity with the power to which he sub"; while later he remarks that the gradual
th of self-consciousness, subjectiveness (which
course is an indispensable preliminary to a sense
wilt and need of purification), changes all this.
se later Judaism breaks away in the prophets
psalmists from the forms of national worship,
becomes an inner religion of the individual
t—thus preparing the way for the universalism
Aristianity" (Evolution of Religion, i. 190–193,
don. 1833).

here is no need to give here an extended treatt of Christian worship, which is abundantly trated in all its details in other articles (see cially LITURGY; MASS; etc.); nor is there any I to explain, still less to apologize for, the retarance in it of many principles familiar to stuts of the earlier history of the religious ideas of race, although to some unreflecting minds the

conclusions of advanced modern anthropology have seemed upsetting. There is really nothing to wonder at in the adoption and consecration of cultraling principles familiar to earlier generations; the wonder would have been if the bear in the asoil impregnated with the germs of

old beliefs, had utterly ignored the twies of preparation, and had brought a mesin no wise recalling what had so long been salt to the world. In dealing with what primitive istian worship borrowed from the Jewish rites, important to distinguish between the Temple ice, which had little direct influence, and that ice synagogue, which in its four main features—ing of the Scriptures, chants, homilies, and ars—was continued in morphological complete-

ness by the first Christian congregations. In regard to the principal rite which was not taken over from the synagogue, the Lord's Supper, it is hardly necessary to dwell on the radical divergence between the modern Protestant and Roman Catholic views of its purpose and nature—the former holding it to be a mere symbolic commemoration of a past historic event, while the latter regards it as not merely the representation in figure but the re-presentation in actual reality of the sacrifice of Christ, and the feeding of priest and worshipers with the body and blood of their God (see, for the contrasting views, Lord's Supper, IV., §§ 1-3; Mass). It falls within the scope of the present treatment to point out that from the whole pagan world—although some of the Jews, unmindful of the primitive traditions of their forefathers, said skeptically, "how can this man give us his flesh to eat?"—the doctrine of John vi. in its literal sense would have evoked a responsive memory of their most ancient religious traditions. In like manner baptism. as the means of initiation into a voluntary and extranational religious brotherhood, was a ceremony familiar to the adherents of the mysteries among the Mediterranean peoples. Some of them had already regarded their lustrations as not merely a washing away of old sins, but as a spiritual regeneration; and in the rites of Isis baptism with water was supposed to raise the mortal to participation in the divine nature. (For various parallels among savage tribes, showing the prevalence in primitive societies of the idea of death and rebirth at initiation, see J. G. Frazer, Golden Bough, iii. 424-446, London, 1900; E. Crawley, The Tree of Life, p.

57, ib., 1905.)
Worship, reaching its culmination in the Eucharist, became from the first a recognized part of Christian duty. The celebration of the Lord's Day was from the first in universal custom, as it has long been by strict and positive law throughout the Catholic Church, marked by participation in this

rite, including, besides the central 22. Eucha- mystic offering, the presentation of ristic Wor- bread and wine by the congregation ship; Latria (a reminder of primitive cereal oblaand Dulia. tions, preserved in the Roman rite as

late as the ninth century), and, tacitly at least, the self-oblation of the worshipers as "a living sacrifice, holy, acceptable unto God," their "reasonable service" (Rom. xii. 1). An interesting feature of the liturgical researches of Duchesne (Christian Worship, p. 161, Eng. transl., 2d ed., London, 1904) is the distinction in the early Ordines Romani between the "stational," or public, and the less solemn, or private, masses. To the great liturgical assemblies known under the former title, all the clergy and people of the entire local church were convoked; and whether in one of the great basilicas or in a simple presbyteral church, whether the pope or an ordinary priest was the celebrant, the ceremonies were of an elaborate type; and the entire function was thus a reproduction in essence of the ancient communal sacrifices offered by and in presence of the whole tribe. In this place it may be well to speak of the distinction (alluded to at the beginning of this article) between various forms of veneration understood in Roman Catholic theology. It is emphatically laid down that worship in the stricter sense of the word, or what is called technically latria, is and can be offered to none, under any circumstances, but to God alone; and the supreme and perfect form of such worship, the only adequate worship, is the eucharistic sacrifice, in which Christ is conceived to be both priest and victim. The derived or lower reverence paid to the saints is known as Dulia (q.v.), with hyperdulia, attributed to the Blessed Virgin Mary, as its highest possible form.

In closing, it may be well to say a few words on the ethical aspect of worship, and its results upon the man who offers it. It has been pointed out by Caird that religions of the objective type are not wholly without ethical influence upon their followers. "Even in a very primitive form of such religion, the gods are regarded as the forefathers of the race of their worshipers; and their

Ethical

worship is therefore bound up with the 23. The natural piety which unites the individual to his kinsmen. So also in Aspect of Greece and Rome civic patriotism was Worship. consecrated by a religion which combined the worship of the gods with the

service of the state. And it may fairly be said that, throughout all the ancient world, the principle of nationality and the worship of a national god were bound up together." This, however, is very far from being all that follows from it as the subjective consciousness develops. Rites of purification were at first conceived in a half-conscious and non-moral spirit; but they did not remain on this low ground. As the religious consciousness broadened and deepened, men saw more and more clearly what must be in their hearts as they brought their gifts to the altar. Among the Chinese, worship was regarded as one aspect of an exercise in good manners and in human dignity through offerings and through observance of rules and respectful conduct toward the great forefathers and divinities; and this moral conception was a special feature of Chinese worship. Prayer, a very prominent and well-nigh universal element in primitive religions, whether it appears as thanksgiving by praise, or as petition for assistance and protection, or, again, as penitence for neglect of duty, can not be sincerely offered without affecting him who makes it. It has been justly remarked by L. W. E. Rauwenhoff that all worship is of a twofold character. Man approaches his God, and God approaches man. This reciprocal relation is suggested to Augustine by the Latin word for worship; cultus designates not only the adoration of the Deity, but the tilling of a field or the care of the body (Serm., ccxiii. 9). The transition is abrupt to a sage of a very different temper from the African bishop; but Emerson teaches the same lesson of result: "The happiest man is he who learns from nature the lesson of worship." The student of the history of worship must journey far, through obscure and perplexing paths; but at least he sees that worship, in its origin and essence, is "a striving after union with God, and the worshiper's periodical escape from the turmoil of everyday life. with its petty cares and great sorrows, its strife and discord, its complete immersion in the material in order that he may for a while breathe a higher and purer atmosphere." A. I. DU P. COLEMAN.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: On worship in non-Christian religions consult: Lord Avebury, Origin of Civilization, London, 1870; C. F. Keary, Outlines of Primitive Beliefs among the lab European Races, New York, 1882; A. Kuenen, Neural Religions and Universal Religions, London, 1882; A. Réville, Réigions des peuples non-civilisés, 2 vois, Pris, 1883; C. P. Tiele, Babylonisch-Assyrische Geschicht, 1883; C. P. Tiele, Babylonisch-Assyrische Geschicht, Gotha, 1886; idem, Elements of the Science of Reigin, 2 vols., London, 1897-99; O. Speeman, Die gettesinstlichen Gebräuche der Griechen und Römer, Leipsie, 188; E. Clodd, Mythe and Dreams, 2d ed., London, 1891; C. Caird, The Evolution of Religion, ib. 1893; Smith, M. of Sem., 2d ed., Edinburgh, 1894; F. Granger, The Weship of the Romans, London, 1895; L. R. Fanel, The Cults of the Greek States, 5 vols., Oxford, 1896 sqq. A. Wiedemann, Religion of the Ancient Egyptic Wiedemann, Religion of the Ancient Egyptians, New York, 1897; D. G. Brinton, Religions of Primitive Peopla, h. 1899; A. Lang, Myth, Ritual, and Religion, 2 vols., Med., London, 1899; idem, Magic and Religion, b. 1901; B. Spencer and F. J. Gillen, The Native Tribes of Waters Australia, ib. 1899; idem, Northern Tribes of Coulombardia, ib. 1904; J. G. Frazer, The Golden Bough, M. ed., ib., 1906 sqq.; P. Gardner, An Historic View of the New Testament, ib. 1901; E. B. Tylor, Primitive Culter, 2 vols., 4th ed., ib., 1903; P. Le Page Renoul, The Rigings of the Ancient Enumerance and in 1904. Waterion of the Ancient Egyptians, new ed., ib. 1904; W. Mann hardt. Baumkultus der Germanen, new ed., Berlin, 1995; idem, Wald- und Feldkulte, 2d ed., ib. 1905; R. H. Nasau, Fetishism in West Africa, New York, 1904; E. Carsau, Feithism in West Africa, New York, 1904; E. Carley, The Tree of Life, London, 1905; P. D. Chantepis &
la Saussaye, Lehrbuch der Religionageschichte, 3d et.,
Tübingen, 1905; W. Karsten, Origin of Worskip, Was.
1905; R. E. Dennett, At the Back of the Black Mail
Mind, London, 1906; Jane E. Harrison, Prologomes is
the Study of Greek Religion, 2d ed., Cambridge, 1906; F. B.
Jevons, Introduction to the History of Religion, 4th et.,
London, 1908: E. Westermank (Dirigin and Bouleans) London, 1908; E. Westermarck, Origin and Development of the Moral Ideas, 2 vols., ib. 1908; S. Reinsch, Cula, mythes, et religions, 3 vols., 2d ed., Paris, 1908; idea, Orpheus, a General Hist. of Religious, New York, 1907. A. Le Roy, La Religion des primitifs, Paris, 1909; R. R. Marett, The Threshold of Religion, London, 1909; F. Cr mont, Oriental Religions in Roman Pagasiem, Chiego.
1911: M. Jastrow, Jr., Aspects of Religious Belig est
Practice in Babylonia and Assyria, New York, 1911.
For Christian worship use: Bingham, Origines (show
all usable for the details and history); E. Marthe, Dr.

antiquis ecclesia ritibus, 2d ed., 4 vols., Antwerp, 1736-1738; M. A. Nickel, Die heiligen Zeiten und Fest is katholischen Kirche, 6 vols., Mainz, 1836; H. Alt. De christlichen Cultus nach seinen verschiedenen Entrick-ungsformen und seinen einzelnen Theilen historisch der stelli, Berlin, 1843, 2d ed., 2 vols., 1851-60; J. G. Malle, Geschichte der christlichen Feste, Berlin, 1843; K. L. Weitsel, Die christlichen Passafeier der drei ersten Jahrhun Pforzheim, 1848; G. Huyssen, Die Feste der christiches Kirche, 2 vols., Iserlohn, 1850-59; H. Abeken, Der Gotter dienst der allen Kirche, Berlin, 1853; T. Harnack, Der christliche Gemeindegottesdienst im apostolischen und all katholischen Zeitalter, Erlangen, 1854; F. Probst, Len-und Gebet in den ersten christlichen Jahrhunderten, Tü-bingen, 1871; H. Otte, Glockenkunde, 2d ed., Leipzic, 1884; H. A. Köstlin, Geschichte des christlichen Gette-dienstes, Freiburg, 1887; O. Gisler, Gottesdienst der kelbe-lischen Kische Einzielden 1882; P. Vielinget Zeichrift lischen Kirche, Einsiedeln, 1888; P. Kleinert, Zw. christichen Kultus-und Kulturgeschichte, Berlin, 1889; E. Dor mergue, Essai sur l'histoire du culte réformé principal-ment au XVI. et XIX. siècle, Paris, 1890; M. A. Gold-stein, Gebet und Glaube. Beitrag zur Erklärung des Getter dienstes, Budapest, 1890; K. Moser, Der Gottesdint is Kirche, Schule, und Haus, 4th ed., Innsbruck, 1891; E. Meuss, Die Gottesdienstlichen Handlungen in der masgelischen Kirche, Gotha, 1892; D. Sokolow, Darsellen des Gottesdienstes der orthodox-katholischen Kirch is Morgenlandes, Berlin, 1893; G. R. Crooks and J. F. Hunt, Theological Encyclopædia and Methodology, pp. 527-541, new ed., New York, 1894; C. C. Hall and othem Chris-tian Worship, New York, 1897; F. Lemme, Wegweiss in den evangelischen Gottesdienst, 3 parts, Breslau, 1897; J. Keating, The Agape and the Kucharist in the Early Church, London, 1901; H. Kellner, Heortologie oder das Kircheijahr und die heiligen Feete in ihrer geschichtlichen Eststickdung, Freiburg, 1901; L. Ruland, Geschichte der kirchlichen Leichenfeier, Regensburg, 1901; O. J. Mehl, Die schinen Gottesdienste, Hamburg, 1902; P. Drews, Studien zur Geschichte des Gottesdienstes, 4 parts, Tübingen, 1902-10; A. J. Maclean, Recent Discoveries Illustrating Early Christian Life and Worship, London, 1904; W. H. Delbeer, The Benediction, Philadelphia, 1908; G. A. J. Ross, The Value of Worship, New York, 1909; L. Duchesne, Christian Worship; its Origin and Evolution, 3d Eng. ed., Lendon, 1910; the literature under Common Phayer, Book of; Feasts and Festivals; Litturgics; Practical Terology; Sunday; also under the articles on the ethnic religious much will be found apart from those works specifically noted above.

WORTHINGTON, GEORGE: Protestant Episcopal bishop; b. at Lenox, Mass., Oct. 14, 1840; d. at Mentone Jan. 7, 1908. He was graduated from Hobart College, Geneva, N. Y., 1860, and from the General Theological Seminary, New York, 1863; as ordered deacon, 1863, and ordained priest, 1864; became assistant at St. Paul's Church, Troy, N. Y., 1863; rector of Christ Church, Ballston Spa, N. Y., 1865; was rector of St. John's Church, Deroit, Mich., 1868–85; and was bishop of Nebraska rom 1885. His administration was marked by a peat development in the affairs of the see.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: W. S. Perry, The Episcopate in America, p. 291, New York, 1895.

WORTHINGTON, JOHN: An English clergyman, known as a member of the school of "Cambridge Platonists" (q.v.), into whose inner life his Diary and Correspondence (ed. Crossley, for the Chetham Society, Manchester, 1847) gives valuble glimpses. He was b. at Manchester, Feb., 1618; d. in London, Nov. 30, 1671; was educated at Emmanuel College, Cambridge (B.A., 1635; M.A., 1639; fellow, 1641), where he had Benjamin Whichcote for his tutor and Nathanael Culverwel (99.v.) for his friend; became a clergyman in 1646. Besides several parochial preferments, he was master of Jesus College, Cambridge, from 1650 to 1660, when he was displaced to make room for the restoration of a former master who had been ejected by the Puritans. He spent his remaining years between London and Lincolnshire, where he held the living of Ingoldsby, of which More was the patron, and a prebend in Lincoln cathedral. His original work consists mainly of a volume of Discourses (London, 1725), and a smaller volume of Miscellanies (1704); but he also edited with great care the works of Joseph Mead, from whom the Cambridge movement may in a sense be said to take its rise, and the Select Discourses of John Smith, one of its most important members.

BINIOGRAPHY: Besides the literature under CAMBRIDGE PLATONISTS, and under the articles on the members of that school, consult Worthington's Diary and Correspondence, ut sup.; Simon Patrick's Autobiography, Oxford, 1839; J. Tulloch, Rational Theology and Christian Philosophy, ii. 426-433, Edinburgh, 1874; DNB, lxiii. 40-42.

WORTMAN, DENIS: Dutch Reformed; b. at East Fishkill, N. Y., Apr. 30, 1835. He was graduated from Amherst (B.A., 1857) and the Reformed Church Seminary, New Brunswick, N. J. (1860). He held pastorates at Brooklyn, N. Y. (1860–63), Philadelphia (1863–65), Schenectady, N. Y. (1865–1871); Fort Plain, N. Y. (1880–83), and Saugerties 1883–1901). He was debarred from regular pas-

toral work in 1871–76 by ill-health, and for the next four years acted as supply to various churches. Since 1901 he has been secretary of the Ministerial Relief Fund of the Reformed Church in America. In theology he holds "to the Reformed faith, with modifications as suggested by scientific learning and broader sympathies." He has written the two poems Reliques of the Christ (New York, 1888), and The Divine Processional (1903). Several of his hymns are in current use.

WRATH OF GOD: The Hebrew language is rich in terms for "anger," these picturing either the inward fire of wrath, or its outward manifestations in terms of animated physical life, specially breathing ('anaph'), then overflowing rage, and consuming fire (Deut. xxxii. 19 sqq). The anger of God is kindled (Isa. v. 25), and he comes "to render his anger with fury, and his rebuke with flames of fire" (Isa. lxvi. 15); his indignation is poured out (Zeph. iii. 8); and his wrath produces the tempest described in Ps. xviii. 7 sqq. Jeremiah and Ezekiel may be described as par excellence the prophets of

Old of speech; it is real anger, manifested
Testament. not only in its effects, but in the divine
motive toward his creation. It is

the divine counterpart of human anger, and one tacitly accepted by the New Testament from the The traditional view holds that the first sin and the divine anger are correlates, so that man is now a "child of wrath," while God has withdrawn far from him. Drop by drop man must drink the cup of divine wrath to the dregs, until finally the angry divine majesty snaps the thread of the life of man who selfishly withdraws in sin from the service of God (cf. Gen. ii., iii. with Ps. xc.). Since anger is possible only when one associates with another, and since, after Yahweh had chosen Israel, such intercommunication was possible only with his own people, and no longer with the Gentiles, whom he left "to walk in their own ways" (Acts xiv. 16), therefore the wrath of God is generally spoken of in the Old Testament only in connection with human interference in Yahweh's personal relations with Israel. The very basis of the entire dispensation whereby Yahweh restricted his presence to Israel and left the Gentiles to their own devices was his wrath, which led him to deliver to death the race which had proved recreant to him (Gen. iii., vi., xi.); and this divine wrath, separating sinful man from life, is typified in the cherubim and the flaming sword at the gates of Eden (Gen. iii. 24). All this makes clear the relation of the wrath of God to his holiness. When man becomes sensible of the separation between himself and God, he must seek to repair the breach, and since repentance is the object of all divine judgments, God then restrains his wrath, so that mention is made, throughout all periods of the Old-Testament revelation, of the mercy and long-suffering of Yahweh. And yet, the rendering of love is not unlimited by the claims of wrath, and the holiness of God must still set up a barrier against the sin of man, so that all who draw near unworthily encounter divine wrath which is, in the most literal sense, a devouring flame (cf. Deut. iv. 33; Lev. x. 1-3). But despite his sin, man may draw near to God, approaching by means of prayer and intercession. This was true not only of such men of God as Abraham and Moses, but also of the priests, though even the latter must bring gifts and sacrifices. Sin must be "covered" from the sight of a wrathful God, and the killing of the sacrificial victim symbolizes the punishment of death which Yahweh's representative must exact. When death or sickness or other distresses approach, the righteous cry: "Rebuke me not in thine anger, neither chasten me in thy hot displeasure" (Ps. vi. 1, xxxviii. 1); but even when the faithful experience the wrath of God, this is but transitory, vividly contrasting with the divine grace which endures forever (Ps. xxx. 5; Isa. liv. 7-8, lx. 10). Intermediate between these passages are those which represent the people of God, just and unjust, as one, in which the wrath of God is salvation to the faithful remnant and to the others a consuming fire (Isa. xxvi. 20; Mic. vii. 9). Here the wrath of God can not be assumed as merely instrumental or feigned, concealing the real motive of love. The entire earthly relation between God and man, and especially between Yahweh and Israel, is entirely preparatory and transitional, and the sharp antithesis between wrath and grace is reached only at the end. "Days of wrath" come in the present world to individuals and communities (Prov. xi. 4); and for Israel it is the day of the destruction of Jerusalem (Ezek. vii. 19). The "after time" brings the day of the Lord and his wrath against the apostate, and especially against the Gentiles opposed to him and Israel (cf. Deut. xxxii. 35-36; Isa. lxi. 2, lxiii. 4); and from the time of Joel this judgment gradually widens into the judgment of the world, and the day of the Lord is resolved into a final judgment issuing into an eternal dualism of grace and wrath (Isa. lxv.-lxvi.).

The wrath of God is as prominent in the New Testament as in the Old. Christ is described as angry (Mark iii. 5), especially at the cleansing of the temple (Matt. xxi. 12-13), while The New such parables as those of the talents Testament. and of the sheep and the goats imply a similar feeling. The wrath of God described in the New Testament is essentially eschatological. John the Baptist speaks of flight from "the wrath to come" (Matt. iii. 7); from which Christ gives deliverance (1 Thess. i. 10). Paul mentions a series of sins that provoke the divine wrath (Eph. v. 3-6; Col. iii. 5-6); and to him wrath is the antithesis of justification, being the imputation and punishment of guilt (Rom. v. 9). In other passages it may be uncertain whether the wrath mentioned is in character eschatological, or general, embracing a combination of the two with alternative emphasis (John iii. 36; Rom. i. 18, iii. 5, iv. 15, ix. 22, xii. 19; Eph. ii. 3, v. 6; I Thess. ii. 16). It seems most probable, however, that these passages do not exclusively refer to the eschatological idea, but also allude to the wrath of God as essentially present in this world. This view also justifies the orthodox idea of the Atonement (q.v.), that through Christ the divine wrath, which doomed a sinful world to the judgment of death, was averted, and in its place, mercy, justice, and life were brought

to mankind (practically to those who believe). By its implications the New Testament seems to justify the doctrine that Christ bore the wrath of God for man (cf. Gal. iii. 13; II Cor. v. 21). If to the Pauline utterances concerning the relation of the death of Christ to mankind and to death, the wages of sin, there be added the synoptic statements regarding the death of Christ, who must suffer according to the Scriptures, and give his life a masom for many (Matt. xx. 28; cf. Isa. liii.; Zech. xi.), then it becomes clear that the apostolic Church was convinced that Christ had turned away the divine wrath. To this must be added the fourth Word from the Cross, the agony in the garden, and the numerous references of Paul and other New-Testament writers to sacrifice and to prophecy (especially Isa. liii.) with reference to Christ, all of which imply that the judgment of divine wrath for a sinful world was actually borne, in concentrated form, by Christ. The fact that there is no specific mention of the wrath of God in connection with the work of Christ is doubtless due to the lack of anthropomorphism in the New Testament, where the wrath of God, except in its eschatological sense, is used only to denote the cause of the condemnation of fallen man. This does not imply that the wrath of God is not real, or that it is a mere figure of speech for the concept of righteous recompense; but the Old-Testament relation of Yahweh to Israel no longer existed, and the Old-Testament covenantal concept was at an end. There could be, therefore, no such allusions to divine wrath as in the Old Testament, except in eschatological passages like Rev. xvi. 19, xix. 15; and since a wrong connotation might be given to the Old-Testament concept, the phrase "wrath of God" seems to have been intentionally omitted in the New-Testament passages concerning the atonement.

In opposition to the Epicurean and Stoic concepts of God, Lactantius (q.v.) postulated not merely the possibility but the necessity of the "wrath in God"; not alone because of the divine personality, of which man's nature was a pattern, but also because of the divine love,

since "he who hates not, loves not Dogma. (De ira Dei, iv., vii.; Eng. transl., ANF, vii. 260-263), besides laying stress on the practical perils lurking in the denial of so restraining a doctrine. It is true that a living, personal God is unimaginable without emotions and will, the former taking cognizance of pleasure and displeasure, and Thus wrath the latter acting and reacting. becomes an attribute of God, with whom it forms the constant protector of the divine self-complacency against all disturbing elements. A wrathless association of God with others than himself is unthinkable, without sacrificing his personality. A "natural side" to the divine being (F. Delitssch). or a "dark background" or abyss (J. Boehme), to ground the possibility of God's wrath, are futile conjectures; it can come in view only in God's intercourse with others, or revelation. The Fathers, biased by a philosophy which abhorred anthropomorphic aspects of deity, and clinging to the idea of an impassible God, were strangely at one with the rationalistic deists, who deny the divine wrath; elief in the true revelation of God and its he Bible forestalled the consequences of between God and man on the part of

ion of the divine wrath to the holiness of is largely on the problem whether wrath tion with God as with man. This is rmed by Lactantius, when he defines an emotion of the mind arousing itself raining of faults" (De ira, xvii.), a definired by many later theologians. The God's wrath to his holiness may be thus the conditions of life created by the sess God participates personally with his self-complacency. Any disturbance of tions of life involves an alteration of the and self-complacency of God who reveals and dwells among men; and thus necesnly brings about an instantaneous reacsults in a personal defensive attitude, a tagonism and the withdrawal of self from ing factor, and the removal of the latter It is not altogether correct to con-as the energy of divine justice in its spect, for the latter appertains to the while wrath is primarily a part of emoff-sensibility. Justice is concerned with ation of divine order; wrath with the of God's personal interest. To avert the e aspects of personal emotion and pastheologians would seek for anger a close n with love. Just as an earthly father. g a naughty child, becomes really angry s the right of stern chastisement, while ing at the same time loving intention rendered, however, only on condition of nt, so God is at the same time truly painst the sinful, but full of love toward they repent. In so far as the experis wrath tends to produce repentance, it , a means to the end of love. To man's ward God corresponds God's conduct 1. Partial separation and partial aliena-1 from God entails the dual dispensation l wrath. To these alternating prepon-God's rendering to the individual corso God's attitude toward the obdurate as inversely toward the believers. nan not only violates the divinely ap-

ler, which consequently reacts against e also invades the sphere of God's life flicts, as person against person, with the consciousness as to draw upon himself ent which negates both him and the God. The power of life becomes a ath and destruction. The first negation lod followed the first emission of wrath I the sinful world universally under the sway of the powers of death and destruction (Gen. iii.). This the New Testament frequently designates as "wrath L of God," and it warrants the Church in referring God's anger to original sin. climaxes of this revelation of wrath, net all mankind because of "ungodliness teousness" (Rom. i. 18), and principally

within the sphere of the special covenant, because of personal fellowship between God and man. A distinction must be drawn between an objective wrath, which, pregnant with destruction, lowers over a sinful world, breaking with fury from time to time, and a personal wrath manifested by God toward and apperceived by individuals. The latter is felt in proportion to the tenderness of conscience, and thus is found especially among believers. Among these the sense of divine wrath may become excited to a morbid experience confounding truth and error, as in the case of many mystics. In the atonement, he who places himself under the wrath of God over the sinful world, in order to withdraw it from others, must do this by the free ethical assumption of the judgment of penalty pending over the world. On the other hand, only he is qualified to do this who is the organic head of the race. The coalescence of the two produces the ethical mystical view, presented here as the Biblical. In this substitute is realized that toward which humanity aspired symbolically by their sacrifices, and for which God set up a type in the Old Testament, not only in the sacrifices and prophecies but on the whole in the entire institution in which he accepted propitiation, whether through persons, acts, intercessions, or suffering. As before Christ the time of wrath was indeed the time of "forbearance" (Rom. iii. 25-26), so, inversely, in Christ, the revealer of grace and truth, the wrath and curse over sin come first to light in the full sense; and there is ushered in the crisis continuing throughout the centuries dividing the human race into "vessels of wrath" and "vessels of mercy," until the last day of wrath (see DAY OF THE LORD) shall bring the ultimate decision. To those who persist to the end in self-estrangement from God, it can mean only interminable separation from him and the divine life.

(ARNOLD RÜEGG†.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: For discussions of the Biblical idea the reader is referred to the works named in and under BIBLICAL THEOLOGY. From the dogmatic standpoint the literature is to be found in the works specified in and under DOGMA, DOGMATICS; and under SIN. Consult further: Lactantius, De ira Dei, Eng. transl. in ANF, vii. 259-280; A. Ritschl, De ira Dei, Bonn, 1859 (cf. L. Haug, Darstellung und Beurtheilung der Rüschl'schen Theologie, Ludwigsburg, 1885—combats Ritschl); idem, Rechtfertigung und Verschnung, ii. 119-156, 3 vols., Bonn, 1870-74; F. Weber, Vom Zorne Gottes, Erlangen, 1862; C. von Orelli, in ZKW, 1884, pp. 22-33; W.G. T. Shedd, Doctrine of Endless Punishment, New York, 1886; J. Ninck, Jesus als Charakter, pp. 27-40, Berlin, 1906; M. Pohlens, Vom Zorne Gottes. Ein Studie über den Einfluss der griechischen Philosophie auf das alte Christentum, Göttingen, 1909.

WRATISLAW, ALBERT HENRY: Church of England, Slavonic scholar; b. at Rugby (28 m. s.e. of Birmingham), England, Nov. 5, 1821; d. at Southsea, a suburb of Portsmouth, Nov. 3, 1892. He studied at Rugby School, and at Trinity, later at Christ College, Cambridge (B.A., 1844; M.A., 1847; and fellow, 1844-53); became a tutor of his college; in 1849 visited Bohemia, studying the Czech language in Prague; was head master of Felstead School, 1850-55; of Bury St. Edmunds Grammar School, 1855-79; and held the college living of Manorbier in Pembrokeshire, 1877-89, when he retired to Southsea. From 1850 to 1870 Wratislaw

was deeply engaged in scholastic work, and in 1877 he delivered lectures at the Taylorian Institution in Oxford, which were published as The Native Literature of Bohemia in the Fourteenth Century (London, 1878). He translated from the Bohemian the Adventures of Baron W. Wratislaw of Mitrowitz (London, 1862), and a number of poems issued as Lyra Czecho-Slovanska (1849). His theological works embrace Barabbas the Scapegoat, and other Sermons and Dissertations (London, 1859); Historical and Statistical Sketch of the Slavonic Protestants, in the north of the Austrian Empire (1861); Notes and Dissertations, principally on Difficulties in the Scriptures of the New Covenant (1863); How Saints are made at Rome in Modern Days (1866); Intercourse and Intercommunion among Christians. Rome and England. Essays (1866); Life, Legend, and Canonization of St. John Nepomucen (1873); and John Hus. The Commencement of Resistance to Papal Authority on the Part of the Inferior Clergy (1882). BIBLIOGRAPHY: DNB, Ixiii, 68-69.

WREDE, vrê'de, WILLIAM: German New-Testament scholar; b. at Bücken (25 m. s.s.e. of Bremen) May 10, 1859; d. at Breslau Nov. 23, 1906. He received his education at the gymnasium at Celle, the universities of Leipsic and Göttingen, and the theological seminary at Loccum; became inspector of the theological foundation at Göttingen, 1884; took a pastorate at Langenholzen, 1887; returned to Göttingen to teach, 1889; became extraordinary professor for the New Testament at Breslau, 1893, and professor, 1895. His principal works are Untersuchungen zum ersten Clemensbriefe (Göttingen, 1891); Ueber Aufgabe und Methode der . . . neutestamentlichen Theologie (1897); Das Messiasgeheimnis in den Evangelien (1901); Charakter und Tendenz des Johannesevangeliums (1903); Paulus (Tübingen, 1905; Eng. transl., Paul, London, 1907); and the posthumous Vorträge und Reden (1907); and Die Entstehung der Schriften des Neuen Testaments (1907; Eng. transl., The Origin of the New Testament, New York, 1910).

The two works for which Wrede is best known, the Messiasgeheimnis and the Paulus, illustrate well both the excellences and the defects of their author as well as his services to theological science. Even in his first work on the First Epistle of Clement, he revealed himself as not only a learned, careful, and keen-sighted scholar, but also as an independent and thoughtful critic. Anew he proved the value of that letter as a source of knowledge not only for the Roman community but for the general tendencies and needs of the postapostolic generation. His interest was not in the details, but in the general relations both to the preceding and the following literature and events. So in his treatment of New-Testament theology he bound together religion and theology. His Paulus deals with a side of what he regarded as within the province of New-Testament theology. In all this work he consciously limited himself to certain lines of investigation, not because he had no interest in what lay beyond, but because in this chosen field he found problems that required answers which he felt he must find before he advanced to the wider field, in answering, which, too, he felt that he was preparing himself for advance.

In his researches he did not permit himself to be fettered by tradition, no matter what its source. While he honored profoundly his teachers, he subjected himself to none of them; he neither belonged to a "school" nor did he build one. As a teacher he evinced these same qualities, took his work earnestly, and stimulated his pupils to thoroughgoing patience and industry in their labors.

His Paulus is rather a work of art than a popular book, though it belongs to a popular series. It does not concern itself with detail, but is a polished treatment of the essential life and work of the apostle, comparing that life with the life of Jesus. In that it does not furnish a purely historical decision it reflects Wrede's subjective standpoint. The author regards Paul as the second founder of Christianity. the builder of ecclesiastical orthodoxy, who changed by his doctrine of the incarnation, death, and resurrection of Christ, the religion of Jesus. Not that he charges Paul with a fault here, but rather regret that it was Paul who did what had to be done. As a check upon the unwholesome and panegyrical exposition of the life of Paul, Wrede's work was valuable. but Wrede does not present the entire Paul to his readers, it is a profile picture which he paints Similarly in his treatment of the Gospel of John only one side is presented, not a consideration of the entire problem. A one-sidedness of another kind comes to light in the Messiasgeheimnis. To bring up earnestly the question whether, according to the consensus of the New Testament, Jesus conceived of himself as Messiah was a great service and as a stimulus has borne good fruit. Since his work investigation concerning the self-consciousness of Jesus has taken a new start. The error of Wrede lies in the fact that he overestimated the conclusiveness an deliberateness with which the evangelists individually assumed one or another of the view-points possible in their time. He worked too much in logical categories, asked too often why and how; he handled Mark and Paul as though they were men of our times.

In spite of these defects his short period of work, shortened even beyond the actual time by calamity and illness, was uncommonly fruitful. His plow went deep, and he scattered his seed beyond his own furrow.

(G. A. JÜLICHER.)

WRIGHT, CHARLES HENRY HAMILTON: Church of England; b. at Dublin Mar. 9, 1836; d in London Mar. 22, 1909. He was educated at Trinity College, Dublin (B.A., 1857; M.A., 1859), and was ordered deacon in 1859 and ordained priest in the following year. He was curate of Middleton-Tyas, Yorkshire, in 1859-63, chaplain of the English church at Dresden in 1863-68, chaplain of Holy Trinity, Boulogne-sur-Mer, in 1868-73, incumbent of St. Mary's, Dublin, in 1874-85, and of Bethesda Church in the same city in 1885-91, and vicar of St. John's, Liverpool, in 1891-98. After 1898 he was clerical superintendent of the Protestant Reformation Society. He was also Bampton Leo turer at Oxford in 1878, Donellan Lecturer at Trinity College, Dublin, in 1880-81, and Grinfeld Lecturer on the Septuagint at Oxford in 1893-97. besides being examiner in Hebrew at different time to the universities of Oxford, London, Manchester, ad Wales. In theology he described himself as 'evangelical and conservative, but quite willing o adopt opinions based on real evidence and not on sere conjectures or hypotheses of scholars however minent." He wrote or edited A Grammar of the Wedern Irish Language (Dublin, 1855); The Book of lenesis in Hebrew (London, 1859); The Book of Ruth n Hebrew (1864); Bunyan's Allegorical and Select Postical Works (1866); The Fatherhood of God, and ts Relation to the Person and Work of Christ, and the Operations of the Holy Spirit (Edinburgh, 1867); Sechariah and his Prophecies Considered in Relation • Modern Criticism (Bampton lectures; London, 1879); The Book of Koheleth, commonly called Ecclenates, Considered in Relation to Modern Criticism and to the Doctrines of Modern Pessimism ((Donellan setures; 1883); Biblical Essays (Edinburgh, 1886); The Writings of St. Patrick, the Apostle of Ireland [London, 1887); Introduction to the Old Testament [1890); The Bible Readers' Manual; or, Aids to Bibliw Study (1895); The Service of the Mass in the Greek nd Roman Churches (1898); Roman Catholicism: **v, The Doctrines** of the Church of Rome briefly examined in the Light of Scripture (1896; 4th ed., 1909); The Intermediate State and Prayers for the Dead exammed in the Light of Scripture and Ancient Jewish and Christian Literature (1900); The Statutory Prayer Best (in collaboration with J. J. Tomlinson; 1902); A Protestant Dictionary (edited in collaboration with C. Neil; 1904); The Book of Isaiah, and other Hiswical Essays (1905); Daniel and his Prophecies (1906); Daniel and its Critics (1906); and Light from Egyptian Papyri on Jewish Hist. before Christ (1908).

WRIGHT, GEORGE FREDERICK: Congregatimalist; b. at Whitehall, N. Y., Jan. 22, 1838. He graduated from Oberlin College, Oberlin, O. (A.B., 1859), and Oberlin Theological Seminary (1862), after serving for five months as a private in Union Army in 1861; held pastorates at Bakerskd, Vt. (1862–72), and Andover, Mass. (1872– 1881); after which he was professor of New-Testaant language and literature in Oberlin Theological Since 1892 he has been proof the harmony of science and revelation in e same institution. He was also an assistant in be Pennsylvania Geological Survey in 1881-82, and the United States Geological Survey in 1884-92. ince 1884 he has been editor of the Bibliotheca ers, and in addition to briefer contributions, many them devoted to establishing the harmony of tological discoveries with the accounts of the Bible, written Logic of Christian Evidences (Andover, 390); Studies in Science and Religion (1882); An **requiry concerning the Relation of Death to Probation** Boston, 1882); The Divine Authority of the Bible 1884); The Glacial Boundary in Ohio, Indiana, and entucky (Cleveland, O., 1884); The Ice Age in arth America, and its Bearings upon the Antiquity Man (New York, 1889; 5th ed., 1911); Charles rendison Finney (Boston, 1891); Man and the lacial Period (New York, 1892); Greenland Ice-lies and Life in the North Atlantic (1896); Sciense Aspects of Christian Evidences (1898); Asiatic via (1902); and Scientific Confirmations of Old estament History (Oberlin, O., 1907).

WRIGHT, THEODORE FRANCIS: borgian; b. at Dorchester (now a part of Boston), Mass., Aug. 3, 1845; d. at sea near Alexandria, Egypt, Nov. 13, 1907. He was graduated from Harvard (A.B., 1866) and the New Church Theological School (then at Waltham, Mass., 1868); in 1864-65 he served in the Union Army as first lieutenant of the 108th Colored Volunteers; after the completion of his studies was pastor of the Church of the New Jerusalem at Bridgewater, Mass. (1868-1889); and after 1889 was dean of the New Church Theological School at Cambridge, Mass., where he was professor of history after 1884. He was also honorary American secretary of the Palestine Exploration Fund after 1890. In addition to editing The New Church Review after 1893, he wrote The Realities of Heaven (New York, 1880); Life Eternal (Boston, 1885); The Human and its Relation to the Divine (Philadelphia, 1892); and Psalms from Swedenborg's Latin Translations (Germantown, Pa., 1900).

WRIGHT, THOMAS: Church of England layman; b. at Olney (51 m. n.w. of London), Bucks, May 16, 1859. He was educated at Buxton College, Forest Gate, London; since 1882 he has been principal of Cowper School, Olney. Besides being a trustee and the secretary of the Cowper Museum, formed by the gift of the poet Cowper's house to the town of Olney in 1900, he is the founder and secretary of the Cowper Society (founded in 1900) and of the John Payne Society (founded in 1905). Theologically he belongs to the Evangelical school of the Church of England. Besides being editor of all works published by the Cowper and John Payne societies, he has edited the letters of Cowper (4 vols., London, 1904); and has written: The Town of Cowper (London, 1886); The Chalice of Carden (1889); The Blue Firedrake (1892); The Mystery of St. Dunstan's (1892); The Life of William Cowper (1892); The Life of Daniel Defoe (1894); The Acid Sisters (poems; 1897); Hind Head (1898); Ianthe (1900); The Ivory Coffer (poems; 1903); The Life of Edward Fitzgerald (2 vols., 1904); The Life of Sir Richard Burton (2 vols., 1906); The Life of Walter Pater (2 vols., 1907); The Life of Colonel Fred Burnaby (1908); The Life of William Huntington (1909); and Joseph Hart. Being personal Memoirs . . . from unpublished Materials (1910).

WRIGHT, WILLIAM: Orientalist; b. at Mallye or Mallai, on the Nepal frontier, India, Jan. 17, 1830; d. at Cambridge, England, May 22, 1889. He early developed a fondness for oriental languages; studied at St. Andrews, from which he was graduated; then at Halle, devoting his main efforts to Syriac, but acquiring all the Semitic languages together with Sanskrit; and lastly at Leyden; was professor of Arabic at University College, London, 1855-56; and at Trinity College, Dublin, 1856-61, lecturing there on Hindustani; for the opportunity of original work, he held a post in the department of manuscripts at the British Museum, 1861-70; and was professor of Arabic at Cambridge, 1870-89, where he also became a fellow. As a member of the Old-Testament revision committee he had a field for the exercise of his extensive scholarship. His cooperative activity

yielded such fruits as the oriental series of the Palæographical Society, drawn up under his editorship, and contributions to the lexical works of Payne Smith in Syriac, of Dozy in Arabic, and of Neubauer in Hebrew. He was an eminent teacher. He edited the book of Jonah in four Semitic versions (1857); Fragments of the Curetonian Gospels (1872); Fragments of the Homilies of Cyril of Alexandria on the Gospel of S. Luke (1874); translated and edited Caspari's Grammar of the Arabic Language (2 vols., London, 1859-62); collected and edited Opuscula Arabica (Leyden, 1859); and with English translation and notes Contributions to the Aperysial Literature of the New Testament (London, 1866); edited and translated Apocryphal Acts of the Aposlas (2 vols., 1871); edited with English translation and notes The Chronicle of Joshua the Stylite (1882); and wrote Lectures on the Comparative Grammar of the Semitic Languages (Cambridge, 1890); and Ather History of Syriac Literature (London, 1894).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: R. L. Bensly, in Journal of the Royal Asiati Society, 1889, pp. 708 sqq.; DNB, lxiii. 138-138.

WRITING AND THE ART OF WRITING, HEBREW.

I. The Biblical Statements.
Statements Implying Early Use
of Writing (§ 1).

The Materials Employed (§ 2).

II. Information from Other Sources.

III. The North Semitic and Early
Hebrew Script.

North Semitic Script (§ 1).
Development of the Alphabet (§ 2).
IV. Aramaic Varieties of Writing and
the Hebrew Square Character
The Older Forms (§ 1).

Development of the Square Characters (§ 2).
Sacredness of the Square Character (§ 3).
Documentary Testimony to Bebrew Script (§ 4).
Printed Documents (§ 5).

I. The Biblical Statements: For an acquaintance of the Hebrews with the art of writing in the period before Moses there are no direct testimonies. Though on the signet of Judah (Gen. xxxviii. 18)

was engraved probably some pictorial representation, the account in Gen. ments Implying Early nesses between Abraham and Ephron Use of can only by employing the argument Writing.

Writing. from silence be used against the idea of the possession by the Hebrews of the knowledge of writing. The old name of the city of Debir was Kirjath-sepher (Josh. xv. 15-16; Judges i. 11-12; Septuagint, Kariassophar, Egyptian Bait tupar [the rendering of this is disputed: it has been interpreted "Book-town," and the claim founded thereupon that writing was widely diffused in Palestine and that books were numerous; the Septuagint suggests rather the rendering "town of the scribe," and this conveys a directly opposite meaning]). The "officers" of the Hebrews in Egypt (Ex. v. 6) are called in Hebrew shotarim; in Assyrian and Arabic the root of this word has the meaning "to write," and the corresponding noun in Aramaic carries the meaning "document." But does this involve anything regarding the employment of this art among the Hebrews of that period? At any rate, if writing was diffused as an art among the Hebrews of the time of Moses, it can not be reckoned a new invention. Moses wrote matter that was legal (Ex. xxiv. 4, 7 [in the E record], xxxiv. 27; Deut. xxxi. 9, 24), and historical (Ex. xvii. 24 [E]; Numbers xxxiii. 2 [P]); the Song of Moses (Deut. xxxi. 22; cf. also Num. xvii. 2). The priests wrote (Num. v. 23 [P]) the imprecation in the water of Ordeal (q.v., § 7); and according to Deuteronomy (vi. 9, xi. 20, xxiv. 1, 3) others wrote. The engraving of names and other words on stone and metal is mentioned (Ex. xxviii. 9, 36 [P]). Joshua is recorded as having written the law of Moses (Josh. viii. 32), as having the land of Canaan described in a book for purposes of allotment (xviii. 6, 8, 9), and himself as writing certain matters in the book of the law of God at the assembly of the people at Shechem (xxiv. 26). In the period of the Judges the ability to use writing must have been common, for a youth caught by chance was able to give in writing to

Gideon the names of seventy-seven of the primes and elders of the city (Judges viii. 14, margin). Ascording to I Sam. x. 25 Samuel wrote down the "law of the kingdom." Poems like those in Nun. xxi. and Judges v. were certainly set down in writing at an early period; in Num. xxi. 14 are some lines of a poem cited from "the book of the wars of the Lord"; citations are made from "the book of Jasher" in Josh. x. 13; II Sam. i. 18, 19; and I Kin viii. 53 (according to the Septuagint—cf. J. C. Matthes in ZATW, 1903, p. 121, who would read in all three passages "book of the ode" instead of "book of Jasher," the difference being in the transposition of two letters). Consequently the assertion of T. T. Hartmann, W. Vatke, and P. won Bohlen is not defensible that not until shortly before Solomon, or even later, was the art of writing a accomplishment of the Hebrews. From the regal period there are numerous testimonies to the app cation of writing both in public and in private life; such are the letter concerning Uriah (II Sam. zi. 14), the letters of Jezebel concerning Naboth (I Kings xxi. 8, 11); the letters of commendation for Naaman to the king of Israel (II Kings v. 5 sqq.); the roll of Isaiah in Isa. viii. 1 sqq.; the letter from the Assyrian to Hezekiah (Isa. xxxvii. 14), and of Merodach-baladan to the same (Isa. xxxix. 1); that from Huram of Tyre to Solomon (II Chron. ii. 11); witness of the purchase of a piece of land (Jer. xxii. 10); and the recording of accusations (Job xiii. 26, xxxi. 35). Not altogether clear is the activity of the royal officers called scribes, as under David (II Sam. viii. 17), Solomon (I Kings iv. 3), Hesekish (II Kings xviii. 18, 37, xix. 2), and Josiah (II Kings xxii. 3); apparently their duty was to keep the archives and prepare the correspondence of the king; while according to II Kings xii. 11 the scribe had the oversight of the money applied to the restoration of the temple. From Isa. x. 19 it appears that in the time of that prophet a child could write.

The material upon which men generally wrote was probably papyrus (II John 12). To be sure, this is not affirmed in the Old Testament; but just as little testimony exists to the employment (assumed by many) of dressed skins. Certainly the Septuagint is right in so translating charties and chartes in Jer. xxxvi. (Septuagint xliii.), for it has been

wrectly remarked by Schlottmann that the king would hardly have cast whole pieces of leather upon the open firebox of the orient; and so

the open firebox of the orient; and so far as Num. v. 23 is concerned, one can easily wash fresh ink from papyrus.

Rapkyed. Papyrus (q.v.) still grows in Palestine at various places, as in the marshes on

the coast, at Lake Huleh, at the Sea of Tiberias, and lower down on the Jordan to the Dead Sea (cf. L. Fonck, Streifsüge durch die biblische Flora, pp. # sqq., Freiburg, 1900). Import of papyrus from Egypt to Phenicia is authenticated for the eleventh entury B.C. Nevertheless, the use of rolls of lather was so common in antiquity, that its use among the Israelites can well be assumed. The later discovery of parchment (Eumenes II. of Pergamon, 197-158 B.C.) has bearing only on the New Testament (II Tim. iv. 13). The books were in the form of rolls (Jer. xxxvi.; Esek. ii. 9, iii. 1 sqq.; Ps. xl. 7; kech. v. 1 sqq.). The writing-instrument was a tyke (Hebr. et; Ps. xlv. 1; Jer. viii. 8; kalamos, III John 13) which was brought to a point by the me of the scribe's knife (Jer. xxxvi. 23) and was ipped in ink (Hebr. dyp, Jer. xxxvi. 18; Gk. melan, I Cor. iii. 3; II John 12; III John 13). The inksm was called beseth hassopher (Exek. ix. 2, 3, 11). he writer's equipment was carried in his girdle lack. ix.). For engraving upon metal or stone here was in use the iron stylus ('et barzel, Jer. xvii.1; ob xix. 24); the term used in Isa. viii. 1 is heret, om a root meaning to incise or engrave.

IL Information from Other Sources: The disweries in the winter of 1887-88 at Tell el-Amarna see AMARNA TABLETS) and the more recent disweries at Taanach have in surprising fashion hown that in Palestine about 1400 B.C., there were 1 use the Babylonian script and the Babylonian nguage, this being employed not only on the part Egyptians and official Palestinians in reports and stitions to the pharaohs Amenophis III. and IV., at also in communications from the upper-class alestinians to the people of the land. It is conluded from these facts that in that period a script ster suited to Canaanitic needs was either not yet vailable or was not widely diffused (H. Winckler in silinachriftliche Bibliothek, vol. v., Berlin, 1896; in Schrader, KAT; E. Sellin, Tel Ta'annek, ienna, 1904). It is unknown at which point of mtact of Babylonia with Palestine the use of the abylonian script became common. If the theory I. Halevy (Revue sémitique, 1904, pp. 240-248) somes established, this being that the Habiri of e Amarna Tablets were descendants of Casshite ilitary colonies, it will be necessary to think of the venteenth or the sixteenth century before Christ the period. That the Israelites after the consest of Canaan in any great measure made use of e cunciform writing has no support in actual evince. With this would fall the supposition of me Englishmen and of H. Winckler that the scalogue was first written in the cuneiform script. far as it is possible to trace back the course of ents, the Israelites seem to have used the same m of writing as that discovered in June, 1880, in Siloam Inscription (q.v.), which apparently begs to the time of Hesekiah. This is the form which appears on the seal found in 1904 at Tell el-Mutasilim (Megiddo), which reads: "(seal) of Shema', servant of Jeroboam" (i.e., of Jeroboam II.)—cf. E. Kautzsch, in Mittheilungen und Nachrichten des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, 1904, pp. 1-14.

III. The North Semitic and Early Hebrew Script: The writing just mentioned is essentially that of the Moabite Stone (q.v.), the Sendjirli inscription, and the inscriptions of Phenicia. These are

called North Semitic in distinction from

1. North
Semitic
Semitic
Script.

called North Semitic in distinction from
the South Semitic, which include the
Sabean, Minæan, Safaite, and protoArabic. The South Semitic, toward the

deciphering of which J. Halévy has contributed a great deal, is derived from the North Semitic (cf. the convincing discussions of M. Lidzbaraki in his Ephemeris für semitische Epigraphik, i. 109-123, Giessen, 1901). And yet some of these forms of writing show an older type of writing, standing nearer the Old Canaanitic than does the Sabean (cf. F. Prætorius, in ZDMG, 1902, 676-680, 1904, pp. 715-726). With respect to the age of the North Semitic all that can be said is that comparison with the Greek alphabet, which depends upon it, shows that this most significant of all inventions was made some considerable period before the end of the second century B.C., possibly several centuries before that end. This script is found in use by a West Semitic (Aramaic, possibly Canaanitic) people which stood in close contact with Egypt. For the close connection with the Egyptian Emmanuel de Rougé was the first sponsor, alleging the writing from right to left, the principle of acrophony (i.e., each letter formed after the figure of some thing the name of which began with the sound of that letter), and the writing of the consonants only. This would make the writing of the Old Canaanitic script common with that of the Old Egyptians. But comparison with both the hieroglyphic and the hieratic writing seems to make derivation from the Egyptian an untenable supposition. Also to be rejected are the hypotheses which derive the North Semitic script from the Babylonian-Assyrian cuneiform writing (Deecke, in ZDMG, 1877, pp. 102 sqq.; F. Delitzsch, Entstehung des ältesten Schriftsystems oder der Ursprung der Keilschriftzeichen, pp. 221-231, Leipsic, 1896). Delitzsch, to be sure, does not derive the Canaanitic writing from the cuneiform of the period of the invention, but from the much older pictorial forms known only to the learned of the time.

The names of the letters are in great part taken from the names of the things which were used to figure forth the oldest forms. Thus Ayin means "eye," and Resh means "head." In Codex Vaticanus of the Septuagint (in the Lamentations of Jeremiah) the names of the Greek forms are given as Aleph, Bēth, Gimel, Daleth, Ē, Ouau, Zain, Hēth, Tēth, Iōth, Chaph, Lamed, Mēm, Noun, Samch, Am, Phē, Tiadē, Kōph, Rēchs, Chsen, Thau. The Greek-Latin Psalter in Verona has in Psalm cxix. a few variant forms, viz., Zai, Labd, Nun, Samech, Sade, Res, Sen.

With respect to the history of the North Semitic alphabet it may be said that some of the letters arose through differentiation from others (M. A. Levy,

Phonizische Studien, i. 49 sqq., Leipsic, 1856; J. Halévy, Mélanges d'épigraphie et d'archéologie orientale, p. 179, Paris, 1874). It may be 2. Develop-taken as certain that h developed ment of the from h, s (samekh) from z, t from t. Alphabet. It is improbable that 7 developed from s if it be true that the meaning assigned to the name of the former is correct. It is also held in some quarters that z and k developed later. This would leave sixteen letters which the Greeks, according to the statements of their grammarians, first received from the Phenicians, viz., a, b, g, d, e, i, k, l, m, n, o, p, r, s, t, u. But the remark is in place here that there is no proof that the North Semitic alphabet ever had less than twentytwo letters, to which may be added that the letters which appear in the South Semitic alphabet and not in the North Semitic might easily be represented from the existing letters by means of diacritical signs (D. H. Müller, Epigraphische Denkmäler aus Arabien, p. 19, Vienna, 1889; F. Prætorius, in ZDMG, 1904, 720 sqq.). The arrangement of the letters in the alphabet is witnessed by the alphabetical arrangement in certain poetical pieces, Ps. exi., exii., exix.; Prov. xxxi. 10 sqq.; Lam. i., as well as by the numerical equivalents assigned to them (Aleph to Teth [Alpha to Theta]=1-9, Yodh to Pe [Iota to Pi] = 10-80, etc.). Variations which appear in the numerical equivalents are easily explicable, while the variations in Arabic and Ethiopic are secondary. The oldest known document in North Semitic is the Moabite Stone (q.v.), and belongs to the ninth century B.C. (cf. II Kings iii. 4 sqq.); it contains essentially the same forms of writing as appear on early Hebrew seals and gems after the eighth century (M. A. Levy, Siegel und Gemmen mit aramäischen, phonizischen, althebräischen . . . Inschriften, Breslau, 1869; G. A. Cooke, Text-Book of North-Semitic Inscriptions, p. 362, London, 1903). The eight fragments found in Limassol and Cyprus mentioned in G. A. Cooke (ut sup., pp. 52-54) and Lidzbarski (Nordsemitische Epigraphik, p. 419) are probably of the eighth century. Of other Phenician inscriptions that of Yehawmilk, king of Byblos, belongs to the fifth or fourth century B.C., and that of Tabnith, priest of Ashtoreth and king of the Sidonians, belongs about 300 B.C.

IV. Aramaic Varieties of Writing and the Hebrew Square Character: From the common North Semitic script there issued not only the South Semitic writing and the Greek alphabet, but also the Aramaic character. The most important changes which took place here are the opening of the closed tops of the letters and a rounding off of many angular forms. But the oldest of the forms

older Forms. not at all or very slightly from those previously considered, as is shown by the early Aramaic seals and the three Sendjirli inscriptions. Of the latter, which were discovered in 1888-91 at Sendjirli in North Syria, only one is pure Aramaic—the inscription of Barrekhubh, which dates from the period of Tiglath-Pileser III.; both the others (the Panammu inscription, dedicated to Panammu by his son Barrekhubh, and the rather

older Hadad inscription) are in the dialect stoke in the region. To the seventh or the sixth century belong the inscriptions discovered in 1891 in New southeast of Aleppo. There is a fifth-century inscription of the priest Zalm-Sheseb from Teine Arabia. In Egypt were composed the stele of Zakkara, of the fourth year of Xerxes (482 B.C.), novia Berlin, and that of Taba, of the fifth or fourth pa-Christian century, now in Carpentras. There are besides numerous Aramaic papyri written in Egyt during the Persian period, of which especial m may be taken of one of the year 411-410, published by Euting in Mémoires . . . de l'académie (Pris, 1903; cf. G. A. Cooke, Text-Book, ut sup., pp. 201-There are others acquired for England 213). by A. H. Sayce and published by Cowley [cf. also A. H. Sayce, Aramaic Papyri Discound & Assouan, London, 1906]. There are also coins from Tarsus of the fourth century, while from Ptolemi and Roman times there are numerous inscribed his of papyri and potsherds. The same development is observable in the lands east of the Jordan and in hiestine. The inscription of Arak al-Emir (half-way between Rabbath Ammon and Jericho), dating probably from the first third of the second century ac, has the early form of Ayin, the letters Resh and Beth are open at the top, the Yodh has lost a stroke, and He is practically a square letter. The inscription of the priestly family, the Beni Hzyr (cf. I Chros. xxiv. 15) at the "tomb of Jacob" in the valley of the Kedron, of the first century B.C. (earlier according to E. Meyer, Entstehung des Judenthums, p. 143, Halle, 1896), has in four of the six letters the later form. The dated Palmyrene inscriptions range from 9 ac. to 271 A.D., and the rounded and free forms give the impression of ornament. Entitled to mention here because of its extent and content is the Palmyrens and Greek tariff of imposts and taxes of the year 137 A.D. (cf. S. Reckendorf, in ZDMG, 1888; Lidsbarki, in Nordsemitische Epigraphik, pp. 463-473; and Cooke, ut sup., pp. 313-340). The Nabatana (q.v.), though Arabs, used the Aramaic script and language (cf. J. Euting, Nabatäische Inschriften out Arabien, Berlin, 1885, and Sinaitische Inschriften, ib. 1891). The Nabatæan script was the parent of the Arabic.

The Hebrew "square character" arose from the Aramaic type of writing in part through distinct calligraphic effort. In Palestine, as already seen, the types existed beside each other in

2. Develop- actual use. General acquaintance with ment of the type due to Aramaic development the Square receives testimony from the time of Characters. Jesus by his words in Matt. v. 18,

where the early Canaanitic form of the Yodh can not be in mind. On the other side, it must be accepted that the Canaanitic script remained fully known in the second Christian century, for the coins of Bar Kokba (q.v.) have their inscriptions in this writing. Bar Kokba, who appealed to the national feeling of the Jews, would certainly not have had recourse to a forgotten script in order to make an appeal to patriotism, especially when that script was essentially the same as what was used by the hated Samaritans. Testimony to the employment of the old form in the second century appears in the

Mishna tract Yadayim, iv. 5, where the statement is that the Aramaic in Exra and Daniel is sacred; but Aramaic which is written in Hebrew speech (script) and Hebrew which is written in Hebrew, and what is written [de novo] in Hebrew is not sacred. Hebrew text (of the Old Testament) is sacred only when it is written in the square character with ink on the skins of beasts. Origen also gives testimony to the continuance in use of the old character in his remark on Ps. ii. 2 (in Montfaucon, Hexaplorum Origenis quae supersunt, i. 86, Paris, 1713; in the Benedictine edition, ii. 39; and in Lommatzsch's edition, xi. 396, 25 vols., Berlin, 1831-48), also in his Prelogus galeatus (q.v.), where he says that the name of God is in some Greek manuscripts "up to this day" written in the old characters. Fragments of the translation of Aquila from I Kings xx.; II Kings mii.; and several of the Psalms are known in which the name of deity (the tetragrammaton Yhwh) is written in the early character, but evidently copied mechanically by a scribe who did not understand it (cf. F. C. Burkitt, Fragments of the Books of Kings exerding to the Translation of Aquila, Cambridge, 1897; and C. Taylor, Hebrew-Greek Cairo Genizah Polimpoests, ib., 1900). But this is the last trace of the use of the old forms of letters. The fact, so far mit is obtainable, seems to be that after the quelling of the revolt of Bar Kokba the ancient script went cut of use among the people, and ceased altogether to exist as a means of writing after the fourth Christian century.

So that some centuries before Christ the Aramaic forms began to make their way into Palestine, and by the end of the second century the Old Hebrew script was discarded by the Jews. The

3. Sacred- explanation of this complete disappearament of the ance may possibly lie in an early square conception that the Aramaic was Character. sacred and the old Hebrew secular.

The passage already cited above from the Mishna and other passages indicate that Biblical odices were regarded as sacred only when they were written in the square character with ink upon lather, and were not sacred if in the old Hebrew to be mared? Testimony from the second century is portant in this matter, it being to the effect that ara brought the square character from Assyria (Palestinian Talmud, Megilla, i. 71b, lines 56 sqq.; Bebylonian Talmud, Sanhedrin, 21b), to which there may be added the statement of Epiphanius (De XII nmis, § 63) to the effect that Ezra did this to dis-Linguish the Israelites from the other peoples, while become, in the Prologus galeatus, says that "it is cerin that Exra found in use characters others than hose now in use." To be sure, this tradition is not vistorical, since the Aramaic forms came in with the Aramaic language; but it is highly probable that after Eara's time that form of writing was used in making copies of the law. The opposition to the lamaritans was such as to facilitate the introducion of a style of writing different from theirs. From prious expressions in the Talmud (e.g., Sabbath, 03-104) it appears that the square character had me before reached its full development, while the rms as seen in the manuscripts and in print are essentially the same (A. Berliner, Beiträge zur hebräschen Grammatik, pp. 15–26, Berlin, 1879). This stability is explained by the unique estimate placed upon the law which was written in these characters. Yet, without prejudice to the uniformity just asserted, from the peculiarities evident in the Biblical codices it is often possible to decide from which region a manuscript came and in some cases to tell those which are by the same scribe (it is easy to discriminate, for instance, between Spanish and German Biblical manuscripts). To a far lesser degree can one safely assert the age of a codex.

Early witnesses to the nature of the Hebrew script in early times are (a) inscriptions. There are the sarcophagus of Queen Zaddah (Queen Helena of Adiabene?); the words thm gzr found in five places near Gezer to indicate the Sabbath limits; two small

4. Documentary inscriptions on sarcophagi from the period before 135 a.d. (in Lidzbarski, Nordsemitische Epigraphik, ut sup., table 43); there are inscriptions on to Hebrew three stone sarcophagi found in 1905 Script.

at Jerusalem, on one of the smaller sides appear Papias in Greek and Hebrew, Hanyn and 'byh, before each of which is prefixed the adjective Hbsny, written defectively and meaning "who belonged to Beth Shean" (i.e., Scythopolis), indicating that they are of a period prior to the fall of Jerusalem, since it is hardly likely that after that event people would remove from Beth Shean to settle at Jerusalem. The script on these last is very like that given in Lidzbarski (Nordsemitische Epigraphik, table xliii., no. 6); the Yodh is the smallest letter, and parts have so fallen away that it is not unlike the Resh of the early writing. The inscription over the door of the synagogue at Kefr Bir'im was written in the third Christian century (also in Lidzbarski, ut sup). To the same period belong the synagogue inscriptions found in Palmyra containing the Shema' (cf. P. Berger, Hist. de l'écriture dans l'antiquité, 2d ed., p. 259, Paris, 1892); Jewish catacomb inscriptions from Rome and Venosa should be dated in the third to the sixth centuries according to Ascoli, while ten dated inscriptions from Venosa, Lavello, and Brindisi are of the period 810-846 A.D. (G. J. Ascoli, Iscrizione inedite o mal note greghe, latine ebraiche, di antichi sepolchri giudaiche del Napolitano, Turin, 1880).

There does not come into account here the epitaph found in Aden (given in The Palaographical Society. Facsimiles of Ancient Manuscripts, Oriental Series, ed. W. Wright, London, 1875–83, part i., p. 29), for to the apparent date 29 of the Seleucid must be prefixed the numerals making it read 1029 (=717 a.b.); nor very many "finds" of the year 1874 at Chufut-Kale in the Crimea by A. Firkovitch, published by Firkovitch in Hebrew at Vilna, 1872 [cf. for the story JE, v. 393–394].

The Oriental Series of the Palsographical Society (ut sup.) contain facsimiles of many Hebrew manuscripts: i. 13, a page from a Hebrew dictionary by Menahem

page from a Hebrew dictionary by Menahem ben Saruk of the year 1091; i. 14, the same 5. Printed from the year 1189; i. 15, from Rashi's combocuments, mentary on the Talmud, of the year 1190; ii. 30 has a sheet from a work by Moses ben Shem Tob of the year 1363-64; iii. 40-41,

and iv. 54 contain facsimiles of Biblical manuscripts; iv. 55, a sheet from Al-Harisi, year 1282; iv. 56, one from the Babylonian Talmud of the year 1288-89; v. 68 has a selection from Isaac ben Joseph, of 1401; vii. 79, a piece copied by

Eleazar of Worms from Elias Levita, 1515. S. Landauer, Katalog der hebrätischen Handschriften der königlichen Bib-liothek, Strassburg, Strasburg, 1881; A. Neubauer has published Catalogue of the Hebrew Manuscripts in the Bodi Library (Oxford, 1886); C. D. Ginsburg, A Series of Fifteen Facsimiles from Manuscript Pages of the Hebrew Bible with a Letterpress Description (London, 1897); idem, 18 Fac-similes of Manuscripts of the Hebrew Bible (1898); G. Mar-goliouth, Catalogue of the Hebrew and Samaritan Manu-scripts in the British Museum (London, 1899); cf. his Descriptive List of Hebrew and Samaritan Manuscripts (1893). Other material is furnished in H. Strack's Prophetarum posteriorum codez Babylonicus Petropolitanus (St. Petersburg and Leipsic, 1876); R. Hoerning, British Museum Karaite Description and Collation of six Karaite Man-Manuscripts. uscripts of Portions of the Hebrew Bible in Arabic Characters (London, 1889); Facsimiles of the Fragments hitherto Recovered of the Book of Ecclesiasticus in Hebrew (London, 1901); M. Steinschneider, Catalogus codicum Hebræorum bibliothecæ Lugduno-Batavæ (Leyden, 1858); idem, Die Handschriftenver-zeichnisse der königlichen Bibliothek zu Berlin, vol. ii., Verzeichnis der hebräischen Handschriften (Berlin, 1878); idem, Die hebräischen Handschriften der königlichen Hof- und Staatsbibliothek in München (Munich, 1875, 2d ed., 1895); D. A. Chwolson, Corpus inscriptionum Hebraicarum (St. Petersburg, 1882) contains numerous reproductions of Hebrew manuscripts; B. Stade gives as appendices to his Geschichte des Volkes Israel, vol. i. (Berlin, 1887), a number of examples of codices; W. Wickes, Treatise on the Accentuation of the Twenty-one so-called Prose Books of the Old Testament (Oxford, 1887) furnishes a facsimile of a noted Bibtament (Oxford, 1887) furnishes a facsimile of a noted Biblical manuscript by Moses ben Asher; A. Neubauer, Studia
Biblica et ecclesiastica, vol. iii., gives a number of examples;
and Facsimiles of Biblical Manuscripts in the British Museum, ed. F. G. Kenyon (London, 1900). A number of
important examples of Hebrew writing are furnished in
JQR, as follows: 1899, pp. 533, 643; 1902, pp. 44-45, 51;
1903, pp. 177 sqq., 392, 678 sqq.; 1904, 1 sqq., 560; 1905,
123 sqq., 428, 609 sqq. (H. L. STRACK.) (H. L. STRACK.)

Bibliography: In general on the invention and early use of writing consult: J. L. Hug, Die Erfindung der Buchstabenschrift, ihr Zustand und frühester Gebrauch im Alterthume, Ulm, 1801; U. F. Kopp, Bilder und Schriften der Vorzeit, 2 vols., Mannheim, 1819-21; J. Olshausen, Ueber den Ursprung des Alphabets, in Kieler philologischen Studien, 1841, pp. 4 sqq.; H. Steinthal, Die Entwicklung der Schrift, Berlin, 1852; H. Brugsch, Ueber Bildung und Entwicklung der Schrift, ib. 1868; H. Wuttke, Geschichte der Schrift, part 1, ib. 1873; A. J. Evans, On the Alphabet and its Origin, London, 1872; idem, in American Antiquary and Orient, 1903, pp. 183-184; idem, in Biblia, xvi (1903), 263-272; E. von Drival, De l'origine de l'écriture, 3d ed., Paris, 1879; J. C. C. Clarke, The Semitic Alphabet, Chicago, 1884; A. Maury, La Invencion de la Bacritura, Madrid, 1891; P. Berger, Hist. de l'écriture dans l'antiquité, Paris, 1892; A. E. J. B. Terrier de Lacouperie, Beginnings of Writing in Central and Eastern Asia, London, 1894; S. A. Fries, in ZDPV, 1899, pp. 263-272; I. Taylor, The History of the Alphabet, 2 vols., London, 1899. More special inquiries are set forth in: F. Delitzsch, Der Ursprung der Keilschriftzeichen. Lösung der Frage nach der Entstehung des ältesten Schriftsystems, Leipsic, 1896; idem, in Berichte der königlichen sächsischen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften, July 13, 1896, pp. 167-198; H. Zimmern, in ZDMG, 1896, pp. 667-670; F. Thureau-Dangin, Récherches sur l'origine de l'écriture cunsiforme, vol. i., Paris, 1899; I. M. Price, in American Journal of Semitic Languages, xv (1898-92), 145-156. On the Phenician alphabet of. E. de Rougé, Mémoire sur l'origine égyptienne de l'alphabet phénicien, publié par J. de Rougé, Paris, 1874; F. Lenormant, Essai sur la propagation de l'alphabet phénicien dans l'ancien monde, 2 vols., ib., 2d ed., 1875. On the Greek: A. Kirchhoff, Studien zur Geschichte des griechischen Alphabets, 4th ed., Gütersloh, 1887. On the Hebrew: G. Bickell, Outlines of Hebrew Grammar, Leipsic, 1876; the

Chwolson, Corpus, ut inf.
On Semitic epigraphy: Revue sémitique d'épigraphie et d'hist. ancienne, ed. J. Halévy, Paris, 1890 sqq.; M. Lidzbarski, Handburk der nordsemitischen Epigraphik nebst ausgewählten Inschriften, Weimar, 1898; idem. Ephemeris

für semitische Epigraphik, vol. i., Giessen, 1902; Ripetoire d'épigraphie sémitique, ed. C. Clermont-Ganneau, Pais, 1900 sqq.; Répertoire d'épigraphie sémitique publit par la commission du Corpus inscriptionum Semiticarum, b. 1904 sqq.; G. A. Cooke, A Text-Book of North Semitic Inscritions, Oxford, 1903, cf. JQR, 1904, 258-259; note also D. von Muralt, Beitrage zur hebräischen Palaographi, h. TSF 1874.

With especial relation to Hebrew writing and the Bible it is to be noted that many of the works named in and under Biblical Introduction (cf. ii. 99 of this work) contain matter of interest and value. W. Gesenius, Geschichte der hebräischen Sprache und Schill.

pp. 137 sqq., Leipsie, 1815; J. G. Eichhorn, Einleitung is
das A. T., vols., i. ii., §§ 63-78, 342-377, 4th ed., 6W
tingen, 1823; H. Hupfeld, in TSK, 1830, parts 2-4, 187. part 3; idem, Ausführliche hebräische Grammatik, pp. 7 sqq.; B. Stade, Lehrbuch der hebräischen Grammatik, i. 2-44, Leipsic, 1879; G. Hoffmann, in ZATW, 1881, pp. 334-338; G. E. Merrill, Story of the Manuscripts of the Bible. Boston, 1881; D. Chwolson, Corpus inscriptionsrum Hebraicarum, St. Petersburg, 1882; S. B. Driver, Nam. on the Hebrew Text of the Books of Samuel, pp. ix., xix. Oxford, 1890; A. Neubauer, in Studia Biblica et est siastica, iii. 1-36, Oxford, 1891; L. Blau, Zur Einführen in die heilige Schrift, pp. 48-80, Strasburg, 1894; iden, n Gedenkbuch zur Erinnerung an David Kaufmann, pp. 4-57, Breslau, 1900; W. A. Copinger, The Bible and in Transmission, London, 1897; C. D. Ginsburg, Introduction to the Massoretico-Critical Edition of the Hebrew Bill. tion to the Massoretico-Critical Edition of the Hebrer bus-ib. 1897; F. G. Kenyon, Our Bible and the Ancient Mou-scripts, ib. 1898; T. H. Weir, Short Hist. of the Hebrer Text of the O. T., ib. 1899; E. N. Adler, About Hebrer Manuscripts, ib. 1905; JE, i. 439-454. Further illu-trative matter is to be found in L. Löw, Graphische Betrative matter is to be found in L. Low, Graphisce stratien und Erzeugnisse bei den Juden, 2 vols., Leipe, 1870–71; T. Birt, Das antike Buchwesen in seinen Vehältniss zur Litteratur, Berlin, 1882; M. Steinschneide, Vorlesungen über die Kunde hebräischer Handschriften deren Sammlungen und Verzeichnisse, Leipsic, 1897; E. Deitzte, Unterstehnnen über gewenklie Konflick Dziatzko, Untersuchungen über ausgewählte Kapitel der antiken Buchwesens, ib. 1900; L. Blau, Studien zum di-hebräischen Buchwesen und zur biblischen Litteratur-schichte, Strasburg, 1902; idem, Ueber den Einflus der schiente, Surasburg, 1902; idem, Ueber den Einfaus des althebräischen Buchwesens auf die Originale und auf die ältesten Handschriften der Septuaginta, des Neuen Teis-ments und der Hexapla, in Festschrift für A. Bellie, Frankfort, 1903.

Frankfort, 1903.

For the coins and their inscriptions see under Mosm. On the Sendjirli inscriptions consult: Ausgrabunge in Sendschirli ausgeführt, Berlin, 1893; D. H. Muller, in Wieze Zeitschrift für die Kunde des Morgenlandes, 1893, pp. 33-70, 113-140, and 1896, 193, 197; J. Halévy, in Revusémitique, 1893, pp. 138-167, 217-258, 319-336, 1894, pp. 25-60, 394-395, 1896, pp. 185-187, 1897, pp. 84-91; Lidubarski, Nordsemitische Epigraphik, ut sup., pp. 440 sqc. and Cooke, ut sup., 159-185; E. Sachau, in SBA, Ct. 22, 1856, p. 1051.

WUENSCHE, KARL AUGUST: German orientalist; b. at Hainewalde near Zittau (47 m. s.e. of Dresden) Aug. 22, 1838. His life has been singular in its uniformity and quietness; he was Oberlehrer in the girls' high school at Dresden from 1869 till his retirement in 1905. His literary activity has been very great, as is attested by the following (incomplete) list of works: Commentary on Hosea (Leipac, 1868); Die Leiden der Messias in ihrer Uebereinstinmung mit der Lehre des Alten Testaments und in Aussprüchender Rabbinen (1870); Jesus in seine Stellung zu den Frauen, mit Hinblick auf die Bedeutung derselben im Mosaismus, im talmudischen Judenthum, und Christenthum (1872); Die Weisser ungen des Propheten Joel (1874); Der lebensfreudige Jesus der synoptischen Evangelien im Gegensalze zum leidenden Messias der Kirche (1876); Neue Beitrige zur Erläuterung der Evangelien aus Talmud und Midrasch (Göttingen, 1878); Der Jerusalemische Talmud in seinen haggadischen Bestandtheilen . . .

sch übertragen (Zurich, 1880); Die Räthselrei den Hebraern, mit Hinblick auf andere ker (Leipsic, 1883); an annotated transthe Talmud into German (1886 sqq., this tside Bibliotheca Rabbinica, a collection of m translated into German, 1880 sqq.); Die Litteratur seit Abschluss des Kanons (1891 collaboration with J. Winter); Midrasch oder haggadische Erklärung der Psalmen 1893); Die Freude in den Schriften des Alten Leipsic, 1896); Die Naturbildersprache des staments (1897); Die Pflanzenfabel in der stur (Vienna, 1905); Die Sagen von Lebensi Lebenswasser (1905); Der Sagenkreis von Teufel (1905); Die Schönheit der Bibel 1906); Die Bildersprache des Alten Testa-Monumenta judaica (1906 sqq.); g und Sündenfall des ersten Menschenpaares ischer und moslemischer Sage (1906); Aus hrhallen. Kleine Midraschim zur späteren chen Literatur des Alten Testaments (5 07-10); Mechilta; Midrasch zu Exodus collaboration with J. Winter and L. Blau); Kuss in Bibel, Talmud und Midrasch (Bres-

TTEMBERG, vür'tem-bern: Constituonarchy, the third in size of the German bounded on the east and northeast by on the west, northwest, and southwest by a the south by Baden and Switzerland; the area is 7,528 square miles; and the and population (1905) is 2,302,179. Of the population 1,580,361 are reckoned to the Evangelical State Church, 695,808 toman Catholic Church, 12,053 are Jews, slong to various sects or to no church, 380 bers of churches of other lands. In the surch there are 1,187 congregations with arges, or one charge to every 1,368 Evaniherents; and there are 716,564 communin the old duchy of Württemberg the Evannurch was connected by the closest bonds State. Duke Ulrich (1498-1550) introne Reformation with the aid of Erhard and Ambrosius Blaurer (qq.v.); organizaseffected by his son Christoph (1550-68) aid of Johann Brenz (q.v.), at which time iamental ecclesiastical law was settled, the matter of the schools, while the Augsifession and the Württemberg Confession of re made the doctrinal standards. Church ent was arranged under a collegium cona consistory and a church council, the forng charge of the matters of inner control, r of the church economics, while four (later, rintendents acted with the collegium. The ed the local churches with the aid of local ecclesiastical and civil. Under Johann Andreä (q.v.) further developments in ent were carried through. When in 1733 arl Alexander went over to the Roman Church, provision was made that whenever of the State was a Roman Catholic, control surch should pass to the highest governmenrity. The next Protestant ruler was Duke ng) Friedrich (1797-1816), and in his reign

(1806) the three confessions were put on an equality, for which further regulations were made under Wilhelm I., 1819, but the exigencies of the case made progressive modification necessary. By the act of March 28, 1898, when the ruler belongs to any but an Evangelical confession, control of the Evangelical church passes to a collegium, composed of two Evangelical members of the Geheimrat, the president of the Evangelical consistory, the president of the national synod, and the senior general superintendent. On July 16, 1906, the six Evangelical superintendents lost their seats in the second chamber, but the care of Evangelical interests rests in the first chamber on the president of the consistory, the president of the Evangelical synod, and two superintendents chosen by their colleagues.

The highest authority is vested in the Evangelical consistory, over which the minister for churches and schools has supervisory powers. The consistory is composed of a president and the requisite number of elegical and law representatives: the

of clerical and lay representatives; the The Evan-chief court preacher and the first gelical preacher at the Stiftskirche are ex officio members; extraordinary members are usually two general superin-Church. tendents; this body has supervision of the interests of the churches. Once a year the general superintendents meet with the consistory as a synod. Forty-nine deans are subordinate to the consistory. The consistory has care of education so far as it is on a confessional basis. The coordination of the synodal and consistorial systems has been long in progress in Württemberg. For a long time contentment was felt with the control by the consistory. In 1851 the laity was introduced into church government through pastors' councils, partly elective; in 1854 came the next step in the establishment of diocesan synods, newly constituted in 1901, consisting of the pastors and chosen

elders from the pastors' councils, meeting yearly at the call of the dean. The national synod was

created in 1867, first met in 1869, established under

new rules in 1888, meeting every sixth year and con-

sisting of twenty-five clergymen and an equal number of laymen elected by the diocesan synods.

The Evangelical clergy receive their preparatory

education for the most part in the four lower Evangelical theological seminaries of Maulbronn, Schöntal, Blaubeuren, and Urach; for the higher theological studies attendance at the "Stift" in Tübingen is required. Ordination comes with entrance into the first parish as "vicars." Compensation begins with 400 marks per year with increases for length of service and rise in position, and the salaries range from that to 5,000 marks. The form of worship is simple—greeting from the chancel, silent prayer at entrance, pericope, sermon, closing prayer with the Lord's Prayer, blessing; to the old system of pericopes there was added in 1830 a second and in 1894 a third year's reading from Gospels and epistles. At certain celebrations there are sermons, and also every fourth Friday, while the Reformation is celebrated now on the Sunday next

Societies make their contribution to the religious life of the kingdom. Among these are (1) societies

following Oct. 30.

which recall early Pietistic tendencies such as are represented at Herrnhut, which stress simple edification based upon the Bible; (2) the Societies Michelians (see HAHN, JOHANN MIand Sects. CHAEL), among whom there is a mixture of speculative-theosophical teachings with an ascetic tendency, and withal a somewhat firm organization; (3) the Pregizerians (q.v.), whose influence is not altogether favorable, indeed rather the reverse, to the churches. Among the sects which have entered the kingdom the Methodists take precedence, two bodies being represented, the American Methodist Episcopal and the Evangelical Association; they have their preachers, chapels, regular services, Sunday-schools, and literature. In 1905 they numbered 5,442. Other denominations are Baptists with 1,832 communicants, New Irvingites with 1,375, Mennonites with 277, and Friends of Jerusalem with 244. There are also Adventists,

was 10,426.

The Roman Catholic Church has existed in Württemberg only since the beginning of the nineteenth century, the entrance being made through enlargement of the territory, about 500,000

The Roman people with 650 pastors being included Catholic in what was then entirely Evangelical Church. territory. The basis of the present or-

Mormons, and the Salvation Army. In 1905 the

total number belonging to various denominations

ganization of that communion consists of the bulls of Aug. 16, 1821, and April 11, 1827, the document of May 14, 1828, and the royal ordinance of Jan. 30, 1830. A new bishopric, Rottenburg, was erected with Rottenburg-on-the-Neckar as see-city, and the first bishop was enthroned May 20, 1828. The constitution of 1819 gave the bishop, a representative of the chapter, and the ranking dean seats in the chamber of deputies. This arrangement was abrogated in 1906, when a representative of the bishop and an elected dean were given seats in the first chamber. The legal basis is now the law of Jan. 30, 1862, a part of which is the provision that spiritual orders may be introduced only by express permission of the civil government. As yet monks have been refused admission, though several congregations of women are at work. The number of pastorates and other clerical positions among the Roman Catholics is given as 1,008, or one to every 690 Roman Catholics. (E. WITTICH.)

Bibliographie der württembergischen Geschichte, Stuttgart, 1908; T. Eisenlohr and A. L. Reyscher, Sammlung der württembergischen Kirchengesetze, vol. ix., 2 parts, Tübingen, 1834-35; F. A. Hauber, Recht und Brauch der evangelisch-lutherischen Kirche Württembergs, Stuttgart, 1854; L. Golther, Der Staat und die katholische Kirche in Württemberg, ib. 1874; C. Palmer, Die Gemeinschaften und Sekten Württembergs, Tübingen, 1877; O. Schmid-Sonneck, Die evangelische Diaspora Württembergs nach Entstehung und gegenwärtigen Bestand, Stuttgart, 1879; K. Helfferich, Chronik der evangelischen Kirche Württembergs, ib. 1880; C. H. Klaiber, Urkundliche Geschichte der reformirten Gemeinden Cannstatt-Stuttgart-Ludwigsburg, ib. 1884; L. Haug, Die evangelischen Kirchenstellen in Württemberg, ib. 1887, S. C. Rothenhäusler, Der Untergang der katholischen Religion in Altwürttemberg, Leutkirch, 1887; E. Schneider, Württembergische Reformationsgeschichte, Stuttgart, 1887; P. W. Keppler, Württembergs kirchliche Kunstalterthümer, Rot-

tenburg, 1888; S. von Steinheil, Gesetze und Verfügungen über die Kirchengemeinden . . in der . . Laden kirche Württemberge, Stuttigart, 1890; Württembergische Geschichte, Calw, 1893; D. Schäfer, Württembergische Geschichtsquellen, Stuttigart, 1894 sqq.; G. Bossert, Deutstenfrage in Württemberg, Halle, 1895; T. Brecht, Die Resetrifrage in Württemberg, Stuttgart, 1895; H. Güntherz Das Restitutionsedikt von 1629 und die katholische Resetuation Altwirtembergs, ib. 1901; M. Erzberger, Deskludarisation in Württemberg von 1802-10, ib. 1902; EKalb, Kirchen und Sekten der Gegenwart, 2d ed., ib. 1907. C. Kolb, Die Aufklärung in der württembergischen Kirchen in 1908.

WUERTTEMBERG SUMMARIES. See BIBLES.
ANNOTATED.

WUERZBURG, vürts'burn, BISHOPRIC 0 One of the largest of the sees of central Germana Originally a part of the Thuringian kingdom, t.h. district about the central course of the Main becard Frankish by the victory of Theuderich I. in 531, and the Thuringian forest became the boundary between the Franks and Thuringians. The region was thinly populated and wholly by non-Christians until the Frankish immigration. The bishop of Mains seems to have claimed jurisdiction over it in the seventh century; under Dagobert (623-634) it was closely connected with his kingdom, but he made it a duchy, and under his successor Sigibert III. it separated from the kingdom. Celtic missionaries worked there so that in the beginning of the eighth century it was partly Christianized, but not organized ecclesiastically, though there were a number of monasteries of Frankish origin. Through the activities of St. Boniface (q.v.) after 719 the remains of heathenism were eradicated and ecclesiastical organization was effected in 741. The first bishop was his pupil Burchard, who had followed him from England, and the see was constituted by Pope Zacharias Apr. 1, 743, since which time it has con-tinued to exist. The land of the Wends was formerly included within the limits of the diocese, but was separated when the bishopric of Bamberg was (A. HAUCK.) instituted (q.v.; 1007).

Bibliography: Sources are Monumenta Boica, vols. xxxvii. xlv., Munich, 1864 sqq.; the "Annals" and "Chronicles" of Würzburg, in MGH, Script, vols. ii (1899), it (1844), xvi (1859), xxiv (1879), also the list of bishops in xiii (1881), 337-340; Wirtembergische Urkundenbud. 8 vols., Stuttgart, 1849 sqq.; J. P. von Ludewig, Geschichtscher von dem Bischofthum Würzburg, Leipsic, 1713; J. Gropp, Collectio novissima scriptorum et rerum Wireburgensium, 2 vols., Frankfort, 1741-44. Consult furthet: J. G. von Eckart, Commentarii de rebus . . episcoporum Wirebergensium, 2 vols., Würzburg, 1729; A. Ussermann, Episcopatus Wircburgensis, St. Blasien, 1794; F. Stein, Geschichte Frankens, 2 vols., Schweinfurt, 1883-85; J. Hofmann, Die Heiligen und Seligen des Bisthums Würzburg, 1889; F. J. B. Stamminger, Francoius Sacra, 3 parts, Würzburg, 1889-97; J. Baier, Geschichte beiden Karmelitenklöster in Würzburg, ib. 1902; S. Göbl, Würzburg, 5th ed., ib., 1904; A. F. Ludwig, Wähbischof Zirkel von Würzburg, 2 vols., Paderborn 1904-06; K. Wild, Staat und Wirtschaft in den Bistümen Würburg und Bamberg, . . . 1729-46, Heidelberg, 1906; Retberg, KD, ii. 313 sqq.; Hauck, KD, vols. i-iv.

WULFRAM: Bishop of Sens near the end of the seventh century. Little is known of him except that his relics were raised in 704, the probability being that he died in 695. Two biographies exist, a shorter one in ASB, March, iii. 143 sqq., the other and longer in ASM, iii. 1, pp. 340 sqq. It was long thought that the second arose from the first through

interpolation, the first containing nothing impossible of belief, apart from the miracles. But Levison (NA, xxv. 601 sqq.) has shown that the shorter is but a condensation of the first or an excerpt from it, and that neither is a primary source.

(A. HAUCK.)

Bibliography: The early material is collected in ASB,
Mar., iii. 143-165. Consult: Histoire littéraire de la
France, vii. 512; A. Kluit, Num S. Wulfrannus...

ngem aqua baptismi initiare potuit, in his Hist. critica
comitatus Hollandia et Zeelandia, i. 2, pp. 1 sqq., Middelburg, 1777; J. Ghesquière, Acta sanctorum Belgrii, vi.
485 sqq., Brussels, 1794; L. M. Duru, Bibliothèque historique de l'Yonne, i. 184-188, Auxerre, 1850; A. Thijm,
Der helige Willibrord, pp. 94 sqq., Münster, 1863; G. La
Vielle, Abrigé de la vie... de S. Wulfran, Rouen, 1876;
W. Glaister, Life and Times of St. Wulfran, London, 1878;
A. Molmier, Lee Sources de l'hist. de France, i. 140-141,
Paris, 1901; Legris, in Analecta Bollandiana, xvii. 287
sqq.; Levison, in NA, xxv. 601 sqq.; Rettberg, KD, ii.
514-517; DCB, iv. 1195; KL, xii. 1810-11.

WULFSTAN: Bishop of Worcester; b. at Long Itchington (a village near Warwick) in or before 1012; d. at Worcester Jan. 18, 1095. Educated in the monastic schools at Evesham and Peterborough, he was ordained between 1033 and 1038, and, preferring the regular to the secular clergy, he was professed in the cathedral monastery, where he ultimately rose to be prior. After considerable reluctance, due to his excessive modesty, Wulfstan was consecrated, Sept. 8, 1062, bishop of Worcester by Aldred, archbishop of York, who had been obliged by the pope to promise to resign the see of Worcester. Despite this, it was some time before Wulfstan could induce Aldred to resign the temporalities of the diocese, and even then the archbishop retained no less than twelve estates properly belonging to the bishopric of Worcester. After the successful issue of the Norman invasion, Wulfstan made his submission to William the Conqueror, and at the council of 1070 again petitioned for the possession of the estates, which were in the royal possession during the vacancy of the archdiocese of York in consequence of Aldred's death. Two years later the request was granted through the influence of lanfranc (q.v.), although this prelate had at first sought to have Wulfstan deprived of his see because of insufficient education. The bishop of Worcester ultimately became the friend of the new archbishop of York, Thomas, as well, despite the fact that Worcester had been detached from the province of York, probably to the satisfaction of Wulfstan, and had been transferred to its present connection with the province of Canterbury.

Himself ascetic, humble, and devout, Wulfstan insisted on the observance of the same virtues on the part of his monks, and he was equally rigid in the performance of his episcopal duties. He built or restored many churches, some of his work being still preserved in the crypt and other parts of the cathedral of Worcester, and as a preacher and confessor he was highly esteemed. He successfully ended the save-traffic in Bristol, where even William the Conqueror had failed, and he was beloved by English and Normans alike. He remained loyal to the king throughout, helping to hold Worcester for William Rufus against the rebels in 1088, and in 1085 he assisted the Worcestershire commissioners in taking their survey for Domesday. He was too infirm to

attend the consecration of Anselm (q.v.) as archbishop of Canterbury in 1093, but early in the next year he was asked, as the only survivor of the pre-Norman episcopate, to decide a dispute between Anselm and Maurice, bishop of London, his verdict being in the archbishop's favor.

Wulfstan was popularly reckoned a saint from the day of his death, but he was not canonized until 1203, his day being Jan. 19. His shrine in Worcester cathedral was melted in 1216 to furnish money demanded for the convent, and two years later his body was translated to a new shrine when the restored cathedral was dedicated.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The earliest lives, some of them going back to the period of their subject, are collected most fully in ASB, Jan., ii. 238-249, cf. ib., May, vi. 79; some are in ASM, vi. 2, pp. 840-865; and one is in MPL, clxxix. 1734-72; others are in H. Wharton, Anglia sacra, i. 541-542, ii. 241-270, London, 1691. Consult further: W. F. Hook, in the Archaeological Journal, xx (1863), 1-28; DNB, lxiii. 174-176.

WUNDT, WILHELM: German philosopher; b. at Neckerau (now a part of Mannheim, 11 m. n.w. of Heidelberg) Aug. 16, 1832. He obtained his education at the universities of Tübingen, Heidelberg, and Berlin, 1851-56; became privat-docent at Heidelberg in physiology, 1857, and extraordinary professor, 1864; was elected to the legislative chamber of Baden, 1866; became extraordinary professor at Zurich, 1874; and professor of philosophy at Leipsic, 1875, where he was rector, 1889-90. In the realm of psychology his contributions have been notable. Of works which are of interest in theology, or because of their relation to the subject, notice may be taken of his Vorlesungen über die Menschen-und Thierseele (2 vols., Leipsic, 1863, 4th ed., 1906; Eng. transls., Lectures on Human and Animal Psychology, London, 1894, 1896, and 1901); Die physikalischen Axiome und ihre Beziehung zum Causalprinzip (Erlangen, 1866); Grundzüge der physiologischen Psychologie (Leipsic, 1873-74, 6th ed., 1910; Eng. transl., Principles of Physiological Psychology, London, 1904); Ueber die Aufgabe der Philosophie in der Gegenwart (Leipsic, 1874); Der Spiritismus. Eine sogenannte wissenschaftliche Frage (1879); Logik (2 vols., Stuttgart, 1880-83); Philosophische Studien (1883 sqq.); Ethik. Eine Untersuchung der Thatsachen und Gesetze des sittlichen Lebens (1886, 2d. ed., 2 vols., 1903; Eng. transl., Ethics, 3 vols., London, 1897-1901, and Ethical Systems, London, 1897, and 1902; also, Facts of the Moral Life, ib., 1902; and Principles of Morality and Departments of Moral Life, ib., 1901); Grundriss der Psychologie (Leipsic, 1896, 8th ed., 1907; Eng. transl., Outlines of Psychology, London, 1902); Völkerpsychologie (2 vols., 1904-05, 2d ed., 1910); and Kleine Schriften, 2 vols. (1910-1911).

WUNEBALD (WINEBALD). See WILLEBALD.

WURSTER, PAUL: German theologian; b. at Hohenstaufen (26 m. e. of Stuttgart) Dec. 6, 1860. He studied at the seminaries of Schöntal and Urach, and at the University of Tübingen; after a year given to work in philanthropic institutions, he spent some time in travel; he was pastor at Heilbronn, 1888–1903; dean at Blaubeuren, 1903; and professor and director of the preachers' seminary at Friedberg in Hesse, 1903–07. He has written Gustav

Werners Leben und Werken (Reutlingen, 1888); Segen und Wohlthuns (Heilbronn, 1891); Die Lehre von der Innern Mission (Berlin, 1894-95); and Christliche Glaubens- und Sittenlehre (Heilbronn, 1896).

WUTTKE, vut'ke, KARL FRIEDRICH ADOLF: German Lutheran theologian; b. at Breslau Nov. 10, 1819; d. at Halle Apr. 12, 1870. He received his education in his native city, the principal factors there being August Hahn (q.v.) in theology and Karl Julius Braniss in philosophy; he was for some years a private teacher, and for a year was editor of a conservative magazine; became a lecturer in the University of Breslau, treating logic, psychology, and history of philosophy; was made extraordinary professor of theology at Berlin in 1854, lecturing upon New-Testament exegesis, dogmatics, ethics, and symbolics; in 1861 he was called as professor to Halle, where he spent the rest of his life. He was interested in politics, and served as a member of the house of deputies, coining the somewhat famous epigram: No democrat can be a Christian and no Christian can be a democrat. The works of theo-

I. His Life.
His Family and Youth (§ 1).
University Career (§ 2).
Early Appointments (§ 3).
Bases of his Reformatory Activities

Beginning of Political Career (§ 5). Growth of Anti-Curial Tendencies (§ 6).
Public Declaration of his Ideas (§ 7).
Conflict with the Church Opened (§8).

logical interest coming from his pen are: Abhandlung über die Cosmogonie der heidnischen Völker vor der Zeit Jesu und der Apostel (The Hague, 1850); Geschichte des Heidenthums in Beziehung auf Religion, Wissen, Kunst, Sittlichkeit und Staatsleben (Breslau, 1851-1853), a pioneer work in the domain of comparative religion; Der deutsche Volksaberglaube der Gegenwart (Hamburg, 1860); and his principal work, Handbuch der christlichen Sittenlehre (2 vols., Berlin, 1892; Eng. transl., Christian Ethics, 2 vols., New York, 1873), in a field little cultivated by theologians at that time. In his theology Wuttke was a defender of Lutheran orthodoxy, though in his work he was not one-sided either as a Biblical or as a confessional theologian. While he did not take foremost rank as a thinker, he was regarded as one of the most philosophical and learned of the defenders of the Latheran standards. He was interested and active in support of home and foreign missions, as well as in fortifying and supporting the work of pastors. (L. SCHULZE.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Evangelische Kirchenzeitung, 1870, pp. 708 sqq.; the sketch by L. Schulse in Wuttke's Sittenibe, vol. i., pp. iii. sqq., 3d ed., Leipsic, 1874; ADB, vol. xiv.

WYCLIF, JOHN.

Papal Condemnation (§ 9).
Sharpening of the Conflict (§ 10).
Statement Regarding Royal Power (§ 11).
Attitude toward the Papacy Constant (§ 12).
Attack on Monasticism (§ 13).
Relation to the English Bible (§ 14).
Activity as a Preacher (§ 15).
Anti-Wyclif Synod (§ 16).
Last Days (§ 17).
Personality (§ 18).

II. Wyclif's Doctrines.

His System a Development (§ 1).

Basal Positions in Philosophy (§ 2).

Attitude toward Speculation (§ 3).

Doctrine of Scripture (§ 4).

Theology and Christology (§ 5).

Will, Evil, Faith, Salvation (§ 6).

Doctrine of the Church (§ 7).

The Eucharist (§ 8).

The Other Sacraments (§ 9).

Wyclifism after Wyclif (§ 10).

I. His Life: John Wyclif, the most prominent of the Reformers before the Reformation, was born at Ipreswell (the modern Hipswell; 44 m. n.w. of York), Yorkshire, England, perhaps between 1320 and 1330; d. at Lutterworth (12 m. s. of Leicester) Dec. 31, 1384. His eminence rests not only upon his works, which still have influence, but upon his ecclesiastical activities. Although the Reformers of the sixteenth century knew and valued his life and works, his fame has grown largely in modern times, which have brought his productions into more complete knowledge, these in former times having suffered eclipse and long rested unknown. It is true that many a riddle still proposes itself concerning the course of his life and activities, and that many events occurring during his academical period are still involved in obscurity; but at least enough is known to make secure the rank he takes among the men who foreshadowed the Reformation, together

Wyclif seems to be the best form of the name. The family from which he came was of early Saxon

with the reasons for this preeminence.

origin, long settled in Yorkshire; 1. His became extinct in the first half of the Family nineteenth century, remaining true to and Youth. the Church of Rome until the end. In his day the family was a large one, and

covered a considerable territory, and its principal seat was Wycliffe-on-Tees, of which Ipreswell was an outlying hamlet. His birth-year is not noted in contemporary sources, and the data afforded by his writings are so general that no secure conclusions can be based upon them. Yet they seem to indicate that his birth-year is to be reckoned rather before 1320 than after.* His childhood and youthfall in a period when England was winning increasing regard abroad, and when the ecclesiastical-political position of the land was marked by a leadership in influence which did not seem likely to diminish. Wyclif probably received his early training in the neighborhood of his home.

No reports are left to determine when he first went to Oxford, with which he was so closely connected till the end of his life. While

2. Univer- it is certain that mere lads were ensity Career. rolled at the universities of the Middle

Ages, such cases were exceptions. The normal curriculum of the universities of the period is well known (see Universities), and consequently the university course of Wyclif is also approximately known. The time when he was at Oxford was about 1345, and then a series of shining names was adding glory to the fame of the university—such as those of Roger Bacon, Robert Grosseteste, Thomas Bradwardine, William of Occam (qq.v.), and Richard Fitzralph (see Appendix). To the writings of Occam Wyclif owed much; his interest in natural science and mathematics was considerable, but he applied himself most diligently to the study of theology and of ecclesiastical law, and also early

^{*}The year 1324 is the one usually given; Rashdall in DNB, lxiii. 202-204, reaches a conclusion different from that in the text, and says: "1324 is too early rather than too late a date."

m recognition in philosophy. Even his opponents knowledged the keenness of his dialectic. His ntings prove him to have been well grounded in man law and in that of his own country, as well in native history—in this last branch he set great re by the Polychronicon of Ranulf Higden [ed. Rolls Series, 9 vols., London, 1865-86]. In the iversity there was no lack of sharp friction both litical and scientific. As in other universities of period, the students were enrolled in "nations"; Oxford there were two of these—the northern or loreales" and southern or "Australes," each of ith had its procurator chosen by the corps or tion. Wyclif belonged to the former of these, in ich the prevailing tendency was anticurial, while other was curial in its preferences. Not less up was the separation over Nominalism and alism (see Scholasticism, IV.). Wyclif was a alist. In the midst of these controversies the iversity studies of Wyclif were pursued. A family ose seat was in the neighborhood of Wyclif's me-Bernard Castle-had founded in Oxford the lege named after itself-Balliol. To this Wyclif longed, first as scholar, then as master, and had ally attained to the headship not later than 1360. When he received from the college the presentan in 1361 of the parish of Fylingham in Lincolnre, he had to give up the leadership of the college,

though he received the courtesy of per-Early Ap- mission to live at Oxford; original tesintments. timony indicates that he had rooms in

the buildings of Queen's College. His iversity advancement followed the usual course. hile as baccalaureate he busied himself with tural science and mathematics, as master he had e right to read in philosophy, and in this he soon med repute. But of marked significance was his in Bible study, which he pursued after becombachelor in theology. His fidelity, truth, d diligence led Simon Islip, archbishop of Canter-17, to place him at the head of Canterbury Hall December, 1365, in which twelve young men were paring for the priesthood.* Islip had designed e foundation especially for secular clergy; but en he died in April of 1366, his successor non Langham, a man of monastic training, turned leadership of the college over to a monk. Though clif appealed to Rome, the issue was unfavore to him. This case would hardly have been ught of again had not contemporaries of Wyclif, h as William Woodford, erroneously seen in it genesis of his later energetic assaults upon Rome monasticism. Between 1366 and 1372 he bee a doctor of theology; as such he had the right ecture upon systematic divinity, which right he ously exercised. But it is an error to trace to e lectures the origin of his Summa, which was to quite other stimuli. In 1368 he gave up his ig at Fylingham and took over the rectory of gershall in Buckinghamshire, not far from Ox-, and this was a position which enabled him to in his connection with the university. Six years r (1374) he received the crown living of Lutterth in Leicestershire, which he retained till his

lashdall holds that the Wyclif of Canterbury Hall was

death. He had already resigned a prebend in Westbury because it was contrary to his convictions to hold command of more positions than those in which he could personally exercise the cure of souls.

At Oxford he developed a comprehensive activity as academic teacher; there he penned his first reformatory writings and also preached with suc-

4. Bases of his Reof his Reformatory Activities. But it was not in these fields that Wyclif gained his position in history; this came from his activities in ecclesiastical politics, in which he engaged about the middle of the seventies,

when also his reformatory operations began. In 1374 he was among the English delegates at a peace congress at Bruges. It has been the general opinion that he was given this honorable position in consequence of his spirited and naturally patriotic behavior with which in the year 1366 he sought the interests of his country as against the demands of the papacy. It seems as though he had already a distinguished place as a patriot and reformer; and it suggests the answer to the question how he came to his reformatory ideas. There have been many erroneous ideas as to this, particularly with reference to Wyclif's relation to earlier reform movements in the Church. Little can be said in favor of a connection with the Waldenses (q.v.), whose activities hardly reached England. [Even if it were certain that older evangelical parties did not exist in England before the time of Wyclif, he might easily have been influenced by continental evangelicals who abounded, whose views were combated by men the works of whom were known to the English reformers. But it seems incredible that continental parties, who were sorely persecuted in the various countries across the channel from England should not have found their way to a land where the inquisition was not at work. Besides, it is highly probable that the older type of doctrine and practise represented by the Iro-Scottish Christians of the pre-Roman time persisted till the time of Wyclif and reappeared in Lollardism. A.H.N.] Rather the root of the Wyclifite reformatory movement must be traced to his Bible study and especially to the ecclesiastical-political lawmaking of his times and of those immediately preceding him. He was well acquainted with the tendencies of the ecclesiastical politics to which England owed the honorable position which she possessed in the fourteenth century. He had given study to the proceedings of Edward I. (1272-1306), England's most popular king, and had not only attributed to them the basis of parliamentary opposition to papal usurpations, but had found a model therein for methods of procedure in matters connected with the questions of worldly possessions and the Church. Many sentences in his book on the Church recall the institution of the commission of 1274, the activity of which prepared so much pain and sorrow for the English clergy. He considered that the example of Edward I. should be held in mind by the government of his time; but that with keener implements and to higher purposes the aim should be a reformation of the entire ecclesiastical establishment. And similar was his position with reference to the enactments induced by the ecclesiastical politics of Edward III. (1327-76), with which

he was well acquainted, which appear fully reflected in his political tracts. His own tendencies were in complete accord with the laws of Edward I. and his grandson of the same name.

The Reformer's entrance upon the stage of ecclesiastical politics is usually related to the question of feudal tribute to which England had been rendered liable by John Lackland (1200-16), which had remained unpaid for thirty-three years until Urban V. in 1365, it is said, had menacingly demanded it. It is related that the whole country was aroused in

ning of Political Career.

one patriotic mass on account of this 5. Begin- demand of the pope, and that parliament the next year declared that neither King John nor any other had the right without its agreement to subject England to any foreign power. Should

the pope attempt to enforce his claim by arms, he would be met with united resistance. It is further said that Urban recognized the mistake he had made and suffered his claim to fall to the ground. However sure may be the fact of the pope's demand, of such a patriotic uprising there was no talk. The tone of the pope was, in fact, not so threatening, and it was not his intention so to act as to draw England into the maelstrom of politics of western and southern Europe. It was to be expected that sharp words would be heard in England, and this because of the close relations of the papacy with the hereditary foe of England, the French kingdom. It is asserted also that on this occasion Wyclif was prominent, that he served as theological counsel to the government and composed a polemical tract dealing with the tribute, and defended an unnamed monk over against the conduct of the government and parliament. This would place the entrance of Wyclif into politics about 1365-66. But the tract upon which this conclusion is based, which is known only from an incomplete and incorrect reprint by Lewis, takes its occasion from circumstances which arose a century later. Wyclif's earlier activities in this direction were exercised in the narrower circle at Oxford, and his more important participation began with the peace congress at Bruges. There in 1374 negotiations were carried on between France and England respecting peace, while at the same time commissioners from England dealt with papal delegates respecting the doing away with ecclesiastical annoyances. Wyclif was among those who served in these affairs in consequence of a decree dated July 26, 1374. If it be claimed that his appointment in this case was due to his earlier stand against the demands of the papacy, the claim overlooks the fact that the choice of a harsh opponent of the Avignon system would rather have broken up than have furthered the peace negotiations, and, once more, that he was designated purely as a theologian, and so considered himself, since a noted Scripture scholar was required alongside of those learned in civil and canon law. There was no necessity here for a man of renown, still less of a pure advocate of state interests. Illustrative of this is the fact that a predecessor in a like case was John Owtred, a monk, who yet formulated the statement that St. Peter had united in his hands spiritual and temporal power—just the opposite of what Wyclif

taught. In the days of the mission to Bruges this monk still belonged in the circle of friends of Wydi. It will therefore be seen that the construction hitherto placed on Wyclif's part in this mission was altogether too exalted, since he took by no means a leading part.

As yet the Reformer could be regarded by panel partizans as trustworthy, for his opposition to the ruling conduct of the Church might have escaped notice. Testimony to this comes from a later but well-informed source that found it difficult to recog-

nize him as a heretic. The contro-6. Growth versies in which men engaged at Oxford were rather philosophical than of Anti-Curial purely theological or ecclesiastical Tendencies. political, and the method of discussion

was academic and scholastic. Walder shows the kind of men with whom Wyclif dealt though very few writings are preserved which ex hibit the method. There may be mentioned the tilt with the Carmelite monk John Kyninghan (Cunningham; cf. Fasciculi Zizaniorum, p. 3, Lon don, 1858) over theological questions (utrum Christa esset humanitas), or ecclesiastical-political ones (D. dominatione civili; De dotatione ecclesia). Wyclif's contest with John Owtred and William Wynham (or Wyrinham) were formerly unknown, as were the earlier ones with his opponent William Wadeford. When it is recalled that it was once the task of Owtred to defend the political interests of England against the demands of Avignon, one would more likely see him in agreement with Wyclif than in opposition. But unanimity of sentiment between them was by no means complete. Owtred believed that he committed a sin who held that the temporal power might deprive a priest, even an unrighteous one, of his temporalities; Wyclif regarded that priest a sinner who incited the pope to excommunicate laymen when these had deprived wicked clergy of their temporalities, and enunciated the dictum that a man in a condition of sin had no claim upon government. Light upon another opponent of Wyclif has appeared only in recent investigations. This was the monk William Wynham of St. Albans, where the anti-Wyclifite trend was considerable. Wyclif complained bitterly of this Benedictine and professor of theology at Oxford as the one who dragged into the street the controversies which had hitherto been confined to the academic arena. But public notice of this was bound to come in any event, since the controversies were related in their fundamentals to the opposition which found expression in parliament against the Curia. Wyclif himself narrates (Somones, iii. 199) how under the deep impression made upon him by his Biblical studies he came to the conclusion that there was a great contrast between what the Church was and what it ought to be, and saw the necessity for reforming it. His reform ideas stress particularly the perniciousness of the temporal rule of the clergy and its incompatibility with the teaching of Christ and the apostles, and they make note of the tendencies which were evident in the measures of the "Good Parliament" (1376-77). A long bill was introduced, with 140 headings, in which were stated the grievances caused by the aggressions of the Curia; all reservations and commissions were to

way, the exportation of money was fornd the foreign collectors were to be

in this period that Wyclif came signifithe fore. He was found among those to thought of the secularization of the ecclesiastical properties in England was welcome. He had as patron no less a man n than John, duke of Lancaster. He was s. no longer satisfied with his chair as the means of propagating his ideas, and his return from Bruges he began to express icts and larger works-his great work, the sologia, was written in support of them. y first book, concerned with the governnd and the ten commandments, he assailed ral rule of the clergy-in temporal things s above the pope, and the collection of ad indulgences is simony. But his ento the politics of the day was made at work De civili dominio. Here were d those ideas by which the good parliagoverned-which involved the renunciae Church of temporal dominion. From ation the items of the "long bill" appear een derived. In this book there were strongest outcries against the entire Avig-1 with its commissions, its exactions, its ig of charities by unfit priests, and the hange all this is the business of the State. ry misuses ecclesiastical property, it must way; if the king does not do this, he is his duty. The work contains eighteen ated theses, the point of which was oppohe governing methods of the rule of the d the straightening out of its temporal [These are conveniently given in DNB, 109.] Wyclif had set these ideas forth bedents at Oxford in the autumn and winter ter he had become involved in controversy men as William Wadeford, William Wynothers. While he would at first have preave these matters restricted in discussion sroom, he soon wanted them proclaimed very roofs and would have temporal and ords take note of them. While the last est assault upon him and sought to have under ecclesiastical censure, he recommself to the former by his mighty attacks worldly possessions of the clergy. This an a stage of unusual literary fruitfulness ed only with his death.

vas possessed with the great desire to see i ideas actualized—the fundamental was surch should be poor, as it was in the days tles. He had not yet broken with the men-

dicant friars, and from these the duke of Lancaster chose Wyclif's defenders. While the Reformer offered reassurances, in the explanations which he necessarily gave later, that it was not his purpose to incite temporal lords to of the property of the Church, the real of the propositions remained unconhis was evident as the result of the same n Bohemia—that land which was richest

in ecclesiastical foundations—where in a very brief time the entire church estate was taken over and a most remarkable revolution brought about in the relations of temporal holdings. Since such views existed as the Curia charged upon him and its condemnation implies, they must have been strongly emphasized. It was altogether concordant with the plans of Lancaster to have a personality like that of Wyclif on his side. Especially in London the Reformer's views won support; numerous partizans of the nobility attached themselves to him, and the lower orders gladly heard his sermons. He preached in various churches of the city, and all London rang with his praises. But he found adversaries. The first to oppose his theses were monks of those orders which held possessions, to whom his theories were dangerous. The University of Oxford and the episcopate later came under blame from the Curia, which charged them with so neglecting their duty that the breaking of the evil fiend into the English sheepfold could be noticed in Rome before it was in England. And yet the bishops were not inactive, as though they would prefer to deal with the case at home. Wyclif was summoned before William Courtenay, bishop of London, on Feb. 19, 1377, in order, as one source ironically says, "to explain the wonderful things which had streamed forth from his mouth." What the exact charges were is not known, as the matter did not get so far as a definite examination. Lancaster, the earl marshal Henry Percy, and a number of other friends accompanied Wyclif, and four begging friars were his advocates, who were whole-hearted in a matter which affected the question of the ideal of poverty. A great crowd gathered at the church, and at the entrance of the party animosities began to show, especially in a wrathy exchange of words between the imperious bishop and the Reformer's protectors. Lancaster declared that he would humble the pride of the English clergy and their partizans, even if they had sprung from noble parents (Bishop Courtenay was of high birth [his father was earl of Devonshire])-doubtless hinting at the intent to secularize the possessions of the Church. The assembly broke up and the lords departed with their protégé.*

The greater part of the English clergy regarded this encounter with great irritation, and attacks upon Wyclif now began with vehemence, which found their echo in the second and third books of his work dealing with civil government. These books carry a sharp polemic, which can

9. Papal hardly be a cause of wonder when it is Condemna-recalled that his opponents charged tion. Wyclif with blasphemy and scandal, pride and heresy. It is concluded from his performances that he had openly advised the secularization of English church property, and

the secularization of English church property, and the dominant parties shared with him the conviction that the monks could better be held in check if they were relieved from the care of secular affairs. The bitterness occasioned by this advice will be the better understood when it is remembered that at that time the papacy was engaged in its war with the

^{*}An excellent account of this wordy dispute between the bishop and the protectors of Wyclif is given in the Chronicon Anglia, the gist of which is quoted in DNB, lxiii. 206-207.

Florentines and was in great straits. The demand of the Minorites that the Church should live in poverty as it did in the days of the apostles was not pleasing in such a crisis. It was under these conditions that Gregory XI., who in January, 1377, had gone from Avignon to Rome, sent on May 22 five copies of his bull against Wyclif, despatching one to the archbishop of Canterbury, and the others to the bishop of London, Edward III., the chancellor, and the university; among the enclosures were eighteen theses of his, which were denounced as erroneous and dangerous to Church and State. The position may well be taken that the reformatory activities of Wyclif began here, since all the great works, especially his Summa theologiae, stand in a more or less close connection with the condemnation of his eighteen theses, while the entire literary energies of his later years rest upon this foundation. The aim of his opponents which next appears—to make him out a revolutionary in politics—failed in achievement. Indeed the situation in England resulted rather in damage to them; for on June 21, 1377, Edward III. died, and his inglorious end was a sad contrast to the brilliant days of Crécy and Maupertuis. His successor was Richard II., who was under the influence of Lancaster, the protector of the Reformer. So it resulted that the bull against Wyclif, although dated May 22, 1377, did not become public till Dec. 18. Moreover parliament, which met in October, came into sharp conflict with the Curia. Among the propositions which Wyclif, at the direction of the government, worked out for parliament was one which speaks out with distinctness against the exhaustion of England by the Curia.

When the censure of his theses became known in England, Wyclif sought to gain the favor of the public. He first laid his theses before parliament, and then made them public in a tract, 10. Sharp- accompanying them, however, with ening of the explanations, limitations, and here and Conflict. there with interpretations. After the

session of parliament was over, in accordance with papal directions he was called upon to make answer, and in March, 1378, he appeared at the episcopal palace at Lambeth to defend himself. The preliminaries were not yet finished when a noisy mob gathered with the purpose of delivering him; the queen mother also took up his cause. bishops, who were of two minds, satisfied themselves with forbidding the Reformer to speak further on the subjects in controversy. At Oxford the vicechancellor, following papal directions, had confined the Reformer for some time in Black Hall, from which Wyclif was released at the threats of his friends; not long after the vice-chancellor was himself confined in the same place because of this indignity to Wyclif. The latter then took up the usage according to which one who remained for forty-four days under excommunication came under the penalties executed by the State, and wrote his De incarcerandis fidelibus, in which he demanded that it should be legal for the excommunicated to appeal to the king and his council against the excommunication; in this writing he laid open the entire case and in such a way that it came within the ken of the laity. He wrote his thirty-three conclusions, this

time not merely in Latin but also in English. The masses of the people, a part of the nobility, and his former protector, the duke of Lancaster, rallied to his side. Before any further steps could be taken at Rome in the affair, Gregory XI. died (1378). But Wyclif was already engaged upon one of his most important works, that dealing with the truth of Holy Scripture. Indeed, the sharper the strife became, the more did Wyclif have recourse to Scripture as the basis of all Christian doctrinal opinion, and expressly proved this to be the only norm for Christian faith. To drag this basis from beneath him was the thankless task of his opponents; it was in order to refute them that he wrote the bookin which he showed that Holy Scripture contains all truth and, being from God, is the only authority. He did not fail in this book to refer to the conditions under which the condemnation of his eighteen theses was brought about; and the same may be said of his books dealing with the Church, the office of king, and the power of the pope-all completed within the short space of two years (1378-79). Since all the world, he taught, understands by "the Church" the pope and the cardinals (whom one must obey in order to obtain salvation), it is necessary to make clear the distinction between what the Church is and what the common man supposes it to be. The Church is the totality of those who are predestined to blessedness. It includes the Church triumphant in heaven, those who are in purgatory, and the Church militant or men on earth. No one who is eternally lost has part in it. There is but one universal Church, and outside of it there is no salvation. Its head is Christ. No pope may say that he is the head, for he can not say that he is elect or even a member of the Church.

It would be a great mistake to assume that Wyelif's doctrine of the Church—which made so great an impression upon Huss, who adopted it literally and fully—was occasioned by the great

schism (1378–1429). In its principles that doctrine was already embodied in his De civili dominio. How closely the contents of the book dealing with the Church are connected with the decision respecting the eighteen these appears in every chapter. The attacks

upon Gregory XI. grow ever more unsparing and in places are extreme. His stand with respect to the ideal of poverty became continually firmer, as well as his position with regard to the temporal rule of the clergy. Closely related to this attitude was his book De officio regis, the content of which was foreshadowed in his thirty-three conclusions: One should be instructed with reference to the obligations which lie in regard to the kingdom in order that he may know how the two powers, the royal and the ecclesiastical, may support each other in harmony in the body corporate of the Church. The royal power, Wyclif taught, is consecrated through the testimony of Holy Scripture and the Fathers. Christ and the apostles rendered tribute to the emperor. The king is the servant of God. Sinful indeed is he who opposes the power of the king, since this is derived immediately from God. For this reason Paul sp pealed to Cæsar, and subjects, above all the clergy

under the king, should pay him dutiful To this end temporal power offers prostice, and in its earliest times gave account ployment. The honors which attach to power hark back to the king; those which precedence in the priestly office, to the n what does the royal office consist? The apply his power with wisdom, his laws are nison with those of God. From God laws ir authority, including those which royalty against the clergy. If one of the clergy is office, he is a traitor to the king who calls swer for it. It follows from this that the an "evangelical" control. Every one in e of the Church must have regard to the ne State. In confirmation of this fundainciple the archbishops in England make mission to the king and in view of that eir temporalities. This is a relation based law. The king is, moreover, to protect assals against every damage which might their possessions; in case the clergy heir misuse of the temporalities in this use injury, the king must afford protection. king turns over temporalities to the clergy, them under his jurisdiction, from which ouncements of the popes can not release the clergy relies on papal pronouncements, subjected to obedience to the king.

ars thus that this book, like those that prefollowed, had to do with the reform of the head and members, in which the temporal to have an influential part. Especially z is the teaching which Wyclif addressed to n the protection of his theologians, i.e., the I faculty, whose duty it is to advise king e in theological concerns. By this was not eology in its modern sense, but rather e of the Bible. Since the laws of the land in agreement with Scripture, knowledge y is necessary to the strengthening of the it is a consequence of this that the king ogians in his entourage to stand at his exercises power. The position of these is e prophets under the old covenant. It is to explain Scripture according to the rule and in conformity with the witness of the so to proclaim the law of the king and to s welfare and that of his kingdom.

he books and tracts of Wyclif's last six may discover an immense and almost unremass of attacks upon the papacy and the archy of his times. Each successive year more and more, and at the last pope and

more and more, and at the last pope and
Antichrist seem to him practically
de equivalent conceptions. Yet there are
no to be found in his writings passages
which are moderate in tone in dealing
t. with pope and papacy; in fact, Lechler's opinion that in Wyclif's relations
capacy three steps of development are to
red finds confirmation both among Ger-

English scholars. The first step, which not the outbreak of the schism, involves a recognition of the papal primacy; the hich carried him to 1381, is marked by an

estrangement from the papacy; and the third shows him in sharp contest. However, Wyclif reached no valuation of the papacy before the outbreak of the schism different from his later appraisal. If in his last years in his keen tracts he identified the papacy with antichristianity, the dispensability of this papacy was strong in his mind before the schism. If it be remarked that it was this very man who labored to bring about the recognition of Urban VI. (1378-1389), this fact appears to contradict his former attitude and to demand an explanation. In fact, Wyclif's influence was never greater than at the moment when pope and antipope sent their ambassadors to England in order to gain recognition for themselves. In the presence of the ambassadors he delivered an opinion before parliament that showed, in an important ecclesiastical political question, viz., the matter of the right of asylum in Westminster abbey, a position that was to the liking of the State. How Wyclif came to be active in the interest of Urban is seen in passages in his latest writings, in which he expressed himself in regard to the papacy in a favorable sense. On the other hand he says explicitly that it is not necessary to go either to Rome or to Avignon in order to seek a decision from the pope. Every place is sufficient for the penitent, since the triune God is everywhere. Our pope is Christ. Here Wyclif has broken with the papacy, though only with it as it exists. If one thoroughly examines the situation, it seems clear that he was an opponent of that papacy which had developed since the donation of Constantine. He taught that the Church can continue to exist even though it have no visible leader; but as on earth there is no order unless there be a higher unity, there can be no damage when the Church possesses a leader of the right kind. But what qualities must such a leader possess? How does he appear with his pretensions to temporal power? In a word—to make firm the distinction between what the pope should be, in case one is necessary, and the pope as he appeared in Wyclif's day was the purpose of his book on the power of the pope. The Church militant, Wyclif taught, needs a head; but such a head is not the one whom the cardinals choose but one whom God gives the Church. Such a one is of the elect. The elector [cardinal] can then only make some one a pope if the choice relates to one who is elect [of God]. But that is not always the case. It may be that the elector is himself not predestinated and chooses one who is in the same case—a veritable Antichrist. One must regard as a true pope one who in teaching and life most nearly follows Christ and Peter, whose rule is not of this world.

These are the teachings and fundamentals of Wyclif before the outbreak of the schism; but their expression became sharper in the later period. The point is that he distinguished the true from the false papacy. Since all signs indicated that Urban VI. was a reforming and consequently a "true" pope, the enthusiasm which Wyclif manifested for him is easily understood as it comes to expression in his work on the Church. These views concerning the Church and church government are those which are brought forward also in the last books of his Summa, "De simonia, de anostasia, de blasphemia," To be

sure, the battle which had been begun over the theses was lost to sight in the significance attaching to the more vehement one that he waged against the monastic orders when he saw the hopes quenched which had gathered around the "reform pope," and when he was withdrawn from the scene as an ecclesiastical politician and occupied himself exclusively with the question of the reform of the Church.

His teachings concerning the danger attaching to the secularizing of the Church must have put Wyclif into line with the mendicant orders, 13. Attack since in 1377 Minorites were his defendon Monas- ers. If he took the mendicants at that

time to be an order worthy of honor, whose zeal for poverty he praised to the skies, there appear in the last chapters of his De civili dominio traces of a rift. Upon his making the statement that "the case of the orders which hold property is that of them all," the mendicant orders turned against him; and from that time Wyclif began against them a fight which grew sharper all the time even till his death. This battle against the imperialized papacy and its supporters the "sects," as he denominated the orders, finds a large space not only in such of his large later works as the Trialogus, Dialogus, Opus evangelicum, and in his sermons, but also in a series of sharp tracts and polemical productions in Latin and English (of which those issued in his later years have been collected as "Polemical Writings"). In these he teaches that the Church needs no new sects: sufficient for it now is the religion of Christ which sufficed in the first three centuries of its existence. The monastic orders are bodies which have not the least support in the Bible, which rejoice in vices, cause harm to Church and State, and must be abolished together with their haughty possessions. Such teaching, particularly as it was brought forward in sermons, had one immediate effect—in London and other cities there was produced a serious rising of the people. The monks were deprived of their alms and were bidden in accordance with these doctrines to apply themselves to manual labor. These teachings had more important results upon the orders and their possessions in Bohemia, where the instructions of the "Evangelical master" were followed out to the letter in such a way that the noble foundations and practically the whole of the property of the Church were sacrificed. But the result was not as Wyclif would have had it in England—the property fell not to the State but to the barons of the land. The scope of the conflict in England widened; finally it involved no longer the mendicant monks alone, but took in the entire hierarchy as it was then constituted, the unflagging zeal of Wyclif carrying it along. An element of the contest appears also in Wyclif's doctrine of the Lord's Supper (see below).

To his proposition that the Bible ought to be the common possession of all Christians was due the fact that it now was made available for 14. Relation common use in the language of the to the Eng- people. Indeed the national honor lish Bible. seemed to require this, since there were members of the nobility who possessed the Bible in French. Wyclif set himself to the task. While it is not possible exactly to define the part

which he had in the translation—which was on the basis of the Vulgate—there can be no doubt that the inception was due to his initiative, and that the successful carrying out of the project was due to in leadership. From him comes the translation of the New Testament, which was smoother, clearer, and more readable than the rendering of the Old Tests ment, which was done by his friend Nicholas d Hereford (q.v.). The whole was revised by Wydir. younger contemporary John Purvey (q.v.) in 138. Thus the mass of the people came into possession the Bible; but the cry of his opponents may be heard: "The jewel of the clergy has become the toy of the laity." As a matter of fact, not merely the who bore a proud name, but members of the middle class possessed it, and in spite of the zeal with which the hierarchy sought after heretical books and aimed to destroy it utterly, and in reality did, in course of time, do away with very numerous copies, there still exist about 150 manuscripts, complete or partial, which contain the translation in its revised form. From this one may easily infer how widely diffused it was in the fifteenth century. For this reason the Wyclifites in England were often designated by their opponents as "Bible men." Just a Luther's version had great influence upon the Gaman language, so Wyclif's, by reason of its clarity, beauty, and strength, worked mightily upon the English tongue.

Another task to which Wyclif gave himself was preaching and the care of souls, himself toiling a preacher to the people and as their 15. Activity teacher. Inasmuch as it was his deas a sire to do away with the existing himperacher. archy on the ground that it had no warrant in Scripture, he put in the place of its members the "poor priests" who lived

warrant in Scripture, he put in the place of its members the "poor priests" who lived in poverty, were bound by no vows and had received no formal consecration, and preached the Gospel to the people. These priests as itinerant preachers spread abroad among the people the teachings of Wyclif. Two by two they went barefoot, clad in long dark-red robes and carrying a staff in the hand, this latter having symbolic reference to their pastoral calling, and passed from place to place preaching the sovereignty of God. The bull of Gregory XI. impressed upon them the name of Lollards (q.v.), intended as an opprobrious epithet, but it became later a name of honor. Even in his time the "Lollards" had reached wide circles in England and preached "God's law, without which no one could be justified."

In the summer of 1381 Wyclif formulated his doctrine of the Lord's Supper in twelve short sentence, and made it a duty to advocate it everywhere.

the English hierarchy proceeded against him. The chancellor of the University of Oxford had certain of the declarations pronounced heretical. In the auditorium this fact was announced

to him, whereupon he declared that neither the chancellor nor any other could change his convictions. He then appealed—not to the pope nor to the ecclesiastical authorities of the land, but—to the king. He published his great confession upon the subject and also a second writing in English in-

or the common people. His performances reenness, his following ever became greater. nouncements were no longer hedged in by nds of the classroom, they spread to the "Every second man that you meet," contemporary, "is a Lollard." In the this commotion, which moved onward in is fashion, fell the great peasant uprising called forth by the misery of the suffering under epidemics, failure of harvests, and of government. Although Wyclif disapof the revolt, it was laid to his charge. And iriend and protector Lancaster was, among lutionaries, the most hated of all, and where influence was the greatest the uprising e least semblance of support. While in genaim of the revolt was against the spiritual this came about because they were of the not because they were of the Church. So ion was directed against Wyclif. His old Courtenay, now archbishop of Canterbury, 382) an ecclesiastical assembly of notables on. During the consultations an earthccurred (May 21); the participants were and wished to break up the assembly, but sy declared the earthquake a favorable sign neant the purification of the earth from s doctrine. Of the twenty-four propottributed to Wyclif without mentioning his en were declared heretical and fourteen s. The former had reference to the transn in the sacrament, the latter to matters of order and institutions. It was forbidden it time to hold these opinions or to advance sermons or in academic discussions. All disregarding this order were to be subject to ion. To accomplish this latter end the help ate was necessary; the upper house, frightthe uprising, was won over, but the comjected the bill. The king, however, had a sued which permitted the arrest of those in The citadel of the reformatory movement was where were Wyclif's most active helpers; ere laid under the ban and summoned to and one of them. Nicholas of Hereford, went e to appeal. In similar fashion the poor were hindered in their work. Finally the w fell upon himself. On Nov. 18, 1382, a ras opened at Oxford, before which he was ed; he appeared, though apparently broken in consequence of a stroke of paralysis, but eless strong in conviction and unbent in will. recanted is a baseless calumny. He still ided the favor of the court and of parliawhich he addressed a memorial. He was excommunicated then, nor deprived of his

turned to Lutterworth, and thence sent out exceedingly pungent—against the monks and Urban VI. since the latter, conset trary to the hopes of Wyclif, had not turned out to be a reforming or "true" pope, but had exerted his activities nievous conflicts. The crusade in Flanders orth the Reformer's biting scorn, while his became yet fuller-voiced and dealt with the

imperfections of the Church. The literary achievements of his last days, such as the Trialogus, stand at the peak of the knowledge of his day. His last work, the Opus evangelicum, the last part of which he named in characteristic fashion "Of Antichrist," remained uncompleted. While he was hearing mass in the parish church on Holy Innocents' Day, Dec. 28, 1384, he was again stricken down with apoplexy and died on the last day of the year. His remains found no quiet in the grave, for in his lifetime the great Hussite movement (see Huss, John, Hus-SITES) arose and set afire the entire West of Europe. The Council of Constance took cognizance of Wyclif as well as of Huss and declared the former (on May 4, 1415) a stiff-necked heretic and under the ban of the Church. It was decreed that his books be burned and his remains be exhumed. This last did not happen till twelve years afterward, when at the command of Martin V. they were dug up, burned, and the ashes cast into the Swift which flows through Lutterworth.

Significant though the work of this man was in the last decade of his life, none of his contemporaries left a complete picture of his person, his

18. Perlife, and his activities. It is most diffisonality. cult to be certain of his external appearance. While pictures representing him

have been found, they are from a later period. Those of the fourteenth century are strongly typical, and yet it can not be said with certainty that they belong to a definite individual. One must therefore be content with certain scattered expressions found in the history of the trial by William Thorpe (1407). It appears that Wyclif was spare of body, indeed of wasted appearance, and not strong physically. He was of unblemished walk in life, says Thorpe, and was regarded affectionately by people of rank, who often consorted with him, took down his sayings, "I indeed clove to none closer and clung to him. than to him, the wisest and most blessed of all men whom I have ever found. From him one could learn in truth what the Church of Christ is and how it should be ruled and led." If one rejects this testimony as that of a partizan, one may yet adduce Henry Knighton, who says of him that in philosophy there was no one of his opponents who was his equal, and in Bohemia, according to John Pribram, "every one cleaves to the declarations of John Wyclif as though he were the fifth Gospel"; while with a certain excessive warmth Huss wished that his soul might be wherever that of Wyclif was found.

One may not say that Wyclif was a comfortable opponent to meet. On this account Thomas Netter of Walden highly esteemed the old Carmelite monk John Kynyngham in that he "so bravely offered himself to the biting speech of the heretic and to words that stung as being without the religion of Christ." But this example of Netter is not well chosen, since the tone of Wyclif toward Kynyngham is that of a junior toward an elder whom one respects, and in similar fashion he handled also other opponents. But when he turned upon them his roughest side, as for example in his sermons or in his polemical writings and tracts, it is not to be denied that he met the attacks with a tone that could not be styled friendly.

II. Wyclif's Doctrines: It was long ago remarked that the philosophical-theological system of Wyclif would be understood in its fulness only when his chief Latin works were published, but that upon the basis of those already known the view was un-

1. His Develop-

sound which had long been current to the effect that from his entrance into System a public life Wyclif was in possession of a practically completed system of thought. Wyclif's first encounter with the official Church of his time was

prompted by his zeal in the interests of the State, his first tracts and greater works of ecclesiastical-political content defended the privileges of the State, and from these sources there developed a strife out of which the next phases, let alone the ultimate purposes, could hardly be determined. One who studies these books in the order of their production with reference to their inner content finds therein a direct development with a strong reformatory tendency. This was not originally doctrinal but had to do with the excrescences of the hierarchical system; and when it later took up matters of dogma, as in the teaching concerning transubstantiation, the purpose in mind was the dissipation of the powers of the hierarchy and return to the original simplicity in the government of the Church. To the question whether there were in Wyclif's academical writings and disputations (none of them are extant) erroneous declarations, one may rather answer with a negative than an affirmative, in spite of the statement of Netter (His Earliest Heresies, 2). For it would have been against the diplomatic practise of the time to have sent to the peace congress at Bruges, in which the Curia had an essential part, a participant who had become known at home by heretical teaching. One may quote here the words of a man most intimately acquainted with Wyclif's works, Waddington Shirley:

"As it is in the light of subsequent events that we see the greatness of Wyclif as a reformer, so it is from the later growth of the language that we best learn to appreciate the beauty of his writing. But it was less the reformer, or the master of English prose, than the great schoolman that in-spired the respect of his contemporaries; and, next to the deep influence of personal holiness and the attractive great ness of his moral character, it was to his supreme command of the weapons of scholastic discussion that he owed his astonishing influence" (in his ed. of the Fasciculi zizaniorum, p. xlvii.).

Wyclif must have earned his great repute as a philosopher even at an early date, since this was willingly or unwillingly conceded by his ecclesiastical opponents. A contemporary historian-for Henry Knighton may be designated as such—says of him that in philosophy he was reputed second to none, and in scholastic discipline incomparable. If this pronouncement seems hardly justified now that Wyclif's writings are in print, it must be borne in mind that not all his philosophical works are extant, and that Knighton had not so much these in thought as the learned disputations. If Wyclif was in philosophy the superior of his contemporaries and if he had no equal in scholastic discipline, he belongs with the series of great scholastic philosophers and theologians in which England in the Middle Ages was so rich-with Alexander of Hales, Roger Bacon, Duns Scotus, William Occam, and Bradwardine (qq.v.). There was a period in his life when he devoted himself exclusively to scholastic philosophy: "when I was still a logician," he used later to say as he looked back upon that period. The first "heresy" which "he cast forth into the world" rests as much upon philosophical as upon theological grounds. But there will be considered here only how he was related to the early philosophers.

In Plato, the knowledge of whom came to him through Augustine, he thought he saw traces of a knowledge of the Trinity, and he championed the doctrine of ideas as against Aristotle. The latter Wyclif did not highly esteem, and he said once that

Democritus, Plato, Augustine, and 2. Basal Grosseteste far outranked Aristotle. Positions in In Aristotle he missed the provision for Philosophy. the immortality of the soul, and in his

ethics the tendency toward the etemal. He was himself a close follower of Augustine, so much so that, as Netter reports, he was called "John of Augustine" by his pupils. In some of his teachings, as in De annihilatione, the influence of Thomas Aguinas is to be detected. So far as his relations to the philosophers of the Middle Ages are concerned, he held to realism as opposed to the nominalism which was newly advanced by Oceam, although in questions that had to do with ecclesiastical politics he stood related to Occam and indeed went beyond him. His views therefore are based upon the couviction of the reality of the universal, and he employed realism in order to avoid dogmatic difficulties. The uni-divine existence in the Trinity is the real universal of the three Persons, and in the Euchsrist the ever-real presence of Christ justifies the deliverance that complete reality is compatible with the spatial division of the existence. The center of Wyclif's philosophical system is formed by the doctrine of the prior existence in the thought of God of all things and events. This involves the definiteness of things and especially their number, so that neither their infinity, infinite extension, nor infinite divisbility can be assumed. Space consists of a number of points of space determined from eternity, and time of exactly such a number of moments, and the number of these is known only to the divine spirit. Geometrical figures consist of arranged series of points, and enlargement or diminution of these figures rests upon the addition or subtraction of points. Because the existence of these points of space is such, that is, as truly indivisible unities, has its basis in the fact that the points are one with the bodies that fill them; because, therefore, all possible space is coincident with the physical world (as in Wydif's system, in general, reality and possibility correspond), there can as little be a vacuum as bounding surfaces that are common to different bodies. The assur tion of such surfaces impinges, according to Wydif, upon the contradictory principle as does the conception of a truly continuous transition of one condition into another. Wyclif's doctrine of atoms connects itself, therefore, with the doctrine of the composition of time from real moments, but is distinguished by the denial of interspaces as assumed in other systems. From the identity of space and the physical world on the one side, and the circular motion of the heavens on the other, Wyclif deduces the spherical he universe. If the world-structure had a circular movement would be impossible, adges could not pass through a space which existent.

xistent. ediately follows that Wyclif's fundamental of the preexistence in thought of all realres the most serious obstacle to freedom ; the philosopher could assist himself only by the formula that the free will of de man was something predetermined of God. In particulars he demanded a on. strict dialectical training as the means of distinguishing the true from the he asserted that logic (or the syllogism) the knowledge of catholic verities; ignorogic was the reason why men misunderipture, since men overlooked the connecdistinction between idea and appearance. l, it may be said that Wyclif was not mereous of the distinction between theology sophy, but that his sense of reality led him scholastic questions as if they were empty e left aside philosophical discussions which him to have no significance for the religious ness and [those which pertained purely to ism, and found no enjoyment in the hairof a degenerate scholastic and in its inaniheld that we ought not to roam around in of mere possibilities: "we concern ourth the verities that are, and leave aside which arise from speculation on matters not." It is more wholesome to concern ith the study of verities than to be busy ons which one can prove neither to be pos-

t was from dealing with ecclesiastical-postions that Wyclif turned to reformatory naturally the former have a large part ormatory writings. It would be a misippose, however, that his opposition to the

useful to mankind; for vast is the number nd useful truths which yet are concealed

Church was a continuation of that of ine the French under Philip the Fair (1285ire. 1314) or of that of the Germans under

Louis the Bavarian (1314-46). While is start in affairs of church policy from the gislation which was passed in the times of ., he declined the connection into which mporaries brought it under the lead of Indeed, he distinctly disavows taking his is from Occam, and avers that he draws a Scripture, and that they were supported ectors of the Church. So that dependence er schismatic parties in the Church, which nentions in his writings (as though he had ived anything from them), is counterinnd attention is directed to the true sources re, to which he added the collections of the Church. [Wyclif would have had gain and everything to lose by professing ess to "heretical" parties or to opponents pacy whose efforts had come to naught. nce to Scripture and orthodox Fathers as s is what might in any case have been So far as his polemics are accordant

with those of earlier antagonists of the papacy, it is fair to assume that he was not ignorant of them and was more or less influenced by them. A. H. N. To these last, although in his later years he rejected them explicitly as being the laws of men, he frequently had recourse. in those last years fully authoritative was the Bible alone, which, according to his own conviction and that of his disciples, was fully sufficient for the government of this world (De sufficientia legis Christi). Out of it he drew his comprehensive averments in support of his reformatory views—not without in-tense study and many spiritual conflicts. He tells that when he was yet a beginner he was much concerned to comprehend the passages which treated of the activities of the divine Word, until by the grace of God he was enabled to gather the right sense of Scripture, which he then understood. But that was not a light task, for the Word is not to be opened by means of the grammar used by boys; Scripture has its own rules, it contains all verity and has the highest authority; for it is the law of Christ who can not lie, and is, therefore, to be placed above all human writings. The law of Christ is that which all men ought to learn, for the faith rests in it alone. Without knowledge of the Bible there can be peace neither in the life of the Church nor in that of society, and outside of it there is no real and abiding good; it contains all that is necessary for the salvation of men, it alone is infallible, sublime above error and failing, and consequently the one authority for the faith. He then is known as a true Christian who as a priest feeds his flock on the Word of God.

These teachings Wyclif promulgated not only in his great work on the truth of Scripture, but also in numerous other greater and lesser writings. For him the Bible was the fundamental source of Christianity which is binding on all men, who are therefore obligated to know it. From this one can easily see how the next step came about, viz., the furnishing of the Bible to the people in their mother tongue. Also not difficult to understand is the honor title of " Doctor evangelicus" which English and Bohemian Wyclifites gave to their master. Of all the reformers who preceded Luther, Wyclif most emphasized the importance of Scripture: "Even though there were a hundred popes and though every mendicant monk were a cardinal," he taught, "they would be entitled to confidence only in so far as they accorded with the Bible." Therefore in this early period it was Wyclif who recognized and formulated the formal principle of the Reformation—the unique authority of the Bible for the belief and life of the Christian.

Upon this Biblical foundation was reared the structure of Wyclif's doctrinal teachings. But he did not shake himself clear of scholastic methods.

His doctrine of God bears on its face

5. Theology and rejects the view that the idea of the Christology Godhead is a mere general conception, as well as the conception that a

personal God is an individual, since both these rest upon a nominalistic basis. The omnipotence of God is for him not at all unlimited capacity, so that, e.g., God could lie; it is rather a power that is morally regulated, self-determined, and ordered by its own inner laws. The realism of Wyclif comes to light with especial clarity in his doctrine of God the Son as the Logos, who as the essential Word is the summation of all ideas, that is, of all intelligible realities. Such pronouncements as the following result: "Every creature (thing created) that can be known is the word of God in relation to its intelligible being and therefore in relation to its essential being; every being is in fact God himself." Although these and other declarations aim at a monistic doctrine, Wyclif declined to accept pantheism. In this respect he was a follower of Augustine, who in his philosophical discussions was not always able to avoid a pantheistic tinge.

The same tendency is discoverable in his anthropology and his doctrine of the freedom of the human will and of sin. He regarded as especially important the affirmation of the freedom of the will, being conscious that the ethical worth

6. Will, of an action is conditioned by this. Evil, Faith, The complete guarding of the holiness Salvation. of Deity is an especial care, and he

would not admit at all the imputation of responsibility in God for the existence of evil. He held fast to the conception that in the innermost region of the heart and of the will there is at least a relative autonomy elevated above all compulsion. He also affirmed the view that evil is not a positive existence, but rather a non-existence, not an activity but a defect. These views were inspired by Augustine. He did not hesitate to state these ideas in his sermons, but he carefully guarded against the thought that it was permissible to do evil that good might result. In his doctrine of the person of Christ he held to the ecclesiastical view as it was speculatively constructed by Augustine, Anselm of Canterbury, and others. Above all was emphasized the incomparable exaltation of Jesus Christ as the one mediator between God and man, the living medium between man and man's one Ruler; and this he expressed in manifold methods and with many illustrations, as: "Christ is the Saint of all saints, the one Fountain of salvation." The saints, he taught, attained their dignity through the imita-tion of Christ. With respect to the festivals of the saints and their cult the "Evangelical Doctor" affirmed that they could be of service only so far as the soul could be through them inflamed with the love of Christ. In that Wyclif clearly and consciously established the truth that salvation was through Christ alone, and with this as a fundamental, he showed himself a real precursor of the Reformation. How far he dealt with the order of salvation and did not oppose the Roman-scholastic doctrine of the merits of the saints may on the other side be recognized from his dissent on the subject of the merit of works and his declaration for the truth of the free grace of God in Christ. He stressed the affirmation that faith is a gift of God which comes by grace to men. And with this corresponded his ethics, in which he valued humility as the root of all virtues, while the germ of Christian virtue is love to God and one's neighbor. Yet he did not possess the Biblical and really Evangelical idea of faith; he still adhered to the scholastic conception according to which faith becomes what it should be only through love, i.e., he ascribed justification in the presence of God to sanctification and good works, and did not deny all merit to the latter. Justification through faith alone was not within his view.

His conception of the Church, as shown above, was different from that usual in his day; it was not the congregation of the bishop of Rome.

 Doctrine but the communion of those elected of of the God that formed the Church. Not Church.
 Doctrine but the communion of those elected of of the God that formed the Church. Not Church.

pious members of Christ belong to that Church. Like Augustine, he made a distinction between the "true" and the "pretended" or " mixed " body of Christ-unconverted hypocritical brothers are in but not of the Church, i.e., they do not belong to it. Of no man, not even the pope, can one be sure that he is a member of the Church; one can not recognize him as such except by his ethical fruits. So he applied the ethical measure, and by this he reached his conclusion with respect to the claims of Urban VI. and Gregory XI. to be true popes. His entire teaching respecting a true and a false papacy, a true and a false priesthood, rests upon this principle. Just as the powers of the apostles were equal, so may in the present no pope arrogate to himself the rule of the Church; if Peter possessed any prerogative above the others, it did not relate to jurisdictional powers but to his greater humility. The Church of his own day, he thought, needed no other ministry or priesthood than that of the primitive Church. He would, therefore, make no distinction between priest and bishop; every "elect" may assume the office of priest, even though he have no episcopal ordination—he is a real priest made of God. His most serviceable work is the preaching of the Gospel, more precious than the distribution of the sacrament, and among all works charity is the noblest, best, and most desired. With this all the blessings and consecrations of wax and bread, of palms and candles, of salt and of other things, which have no relation to faith and so are to be rejected, similarly the worship of relics, the cult of the dead, pilgrimages, and worship of images do not compare. For the preacher nothing is more worth while than preaching; the only question is, what shall he preach to the people? Certainly not those comedies and tragedies, those apocryphal events and trifles, with which the preachers tickle the ears of their congregations in order that their purses may be made to ring with money after the sermon; but rather preach the Gospel truth. And this is to be done in a way that fits the capacity of the hearen The object of the sermon is to induce the imitation of Christ: "because to-day the Word of God is not heard, spiritual death broods over all." Const quently this is to be brought to renewed life, and in the two languages—Latin for the learned and the common speech for the rest of the people. Hence in his Latin sermons Wyclif addressed himself to the learned, the priests, and those who were candidates for priesthood. His earlier sermons which be preached while he was teaching, those out of his earOther

I period, miss the reformatory note which in the others; these latter found an echo Bohemia than in England, because in les they were regarded as the product of npler in form and content are his English but they do not lack the pointed turn of ad the warm feeling which stimulate the sany of his single teachings, such as that ory, did not reach so adequate formula-

ching on the sacraments occupies much is writings. If the sacrament is simply the a holy object, an invisible grace, then seven is an insufficient number to express the sacraments, since of such t. signs there are many. For example, preaching God's Word is as much a as any one of the seven which bear that Thile according to this test the number no small, it is too large if the Biblical basis rdination be the norm. For the Lord's ne Scripture testimony is the strongest; ne Unction (q.v.), the weakest. Among ments the former, rightly administered, g power; but there is a further condition peration of grace in the sacrament which repentant attitude and the posture of the recipient. The operation of salvation depend upon the ethical condition of the o administers the sacrament—teaching to this is not to be discovered in the wri-Nyclif. Upon the Lord's Supper Wyclif h thought as the one which was of all the d most worthy. But he fought his hardst the Roman-scholastic doctrine of its ation. The usual opinion has been that ade his first attack upon transubstantia-81, but the date must be carried back to le the basis of his teaching is to be found writings and formulations. It was, how-381 that he first cast aside in sermons and polemic tracts and philosophical treatises, y in a comprehensive work, the ecclesiashing that after the consecration the bread wine are changed into Christ's body and such a way that only the appearance (the but not the content) of bread and wine The sacrament of the altar is rather natd and wine, but sacramentally it is body After the consecration the host remains substantial bread, but concomitantly in a and sacramental sense it is the body of nich believers receive spiritually. Wyclif I to make this clear by the use of illustrast as there is a double vision, the physical piritual, so there is a double eating. Hence crament we do not see with the physical ody of the Lord, but by faith as in a mirror arable; similarly, as an image is complete point of a mirror, so is it with the body of in the consecrated host—we do not touch it, we do not masticate it, nor, in general, ake it corporeally, but spiritually and by intact. When Wyclif entered upon his against what he called the "novel" docransubstantiation, it was his express pur-

II.—30

pose to oppose those "heathenish" views according to which every priest was in a position to "create" the body of Christ, a thought which seemed to him horrible in that there was ascribed to the priest the transcendent power by which a creature gave existence to his creator. Moreover, God was humiliated when men asserted that the Eternal could daily be created, while that holy thing, the sacrament itself, was by this means desecrated. After Wyclif had once broken away from the doctrine of the Church on transubstantiation, he handled the subject with unwearying zeal in his philosophical and popular works, in his greater productions, his small tracts, and especially in his sermons.

Similarly in the case of other sacraments, so far as he did not reject them outright, he did not cease to oppose the arrogated power of the priesthood in whose hands the admin-

istration of those sacraments lay. He Sacraments. held that distinction must be made in baptism among the external symbolsthere is a baptism with water and one with the power of God; or he distinguished a threefold baptism-by water, by blood (that of the holy martyrs), and by the Spirit; the last alone is unqualifiedly necessary to salvation, the first are so to speak the precedent signs, the necessary antecedents. Baptism by water is not to be superseded, however, for children who receive it are also baptized with the Spirit, since they receive the baptism of grace. Confirmation, according to Wyclif, has no foundation in the Bible; it is an arrogation of the bishops to assume that they have the gift of imparting the Holy Ghost. In it they seek an unwarranted increase of their power, without which they assert the Church can not exist. Similarly, consecration of the priests had as little basis in Scripture. He rejected the teaching that the priests received authority from the laying on of hands by the bishop appropriately to perform the offices of the Church, and that the bishop imparted to the priest the Holy Ghost and impressed upon his soul an inextinguishable quality, as well as the assertion that "as by baptism the believer is distinguished from the unbeliever, so by ordination the priest is distinguished from the layman." The apostolic Church had only two grades of clergy, priests and deacons; bishops and priests were the same. There is no priesthood mediating between God and man, no qualification for office dependent upon ordination by a bishop, and no indelible characteristic imparted by priestly ordination. Since Wyclif recognized only a simple priesthood, all episcopal privileges went by the board; the entire hierarchical gradation into orders from pope down to the lowest grades of the first tonsure he called the invention of an imperialized papacy. Once more, for extreme unction no Scriptural basis could be found. The sacrament of confession, too, was one introduced since the time of Innocent III. (1198-1216), for the sake of gain, supplanting confession to God and that of the apostolic Church in the presence of the congregation. The pronouncement of absolution is an encroachment upon the divine power; and there is as little justification for the imposition of penance, since the priest can not know its relation to sin, and for excommunication. Marriage Wyclif regarded as a sacrament, for it is a divine institution and demands divine sanction. Every hindrance to it not prescribed by Scripture he would throw aside, but would permit divorce when urgent reasons demanded it. He did not favor ostentatious nuptial ceremonies, but rather those that befitted the character of the institution.

The basis of the reform of the Church advocated by Wyclif rested upon the fact that he designated the Bible as the one authority for believers, and so teachings, traditions, bulls, symbols, and censures go by the board so far as they do not rest on Scripture. He carefully distinguished Church and State, and relegated the former to control purely in the spiritual realm; upon that principle are abolished the rights of inflicting penalties and granting immunities, temporal offices and positions, temporal power and possessions, as held by the Church. Inasmuch as he would go back to the apostolic Church for church polity, the fall of the hierarchy and abolition of monasticism were involved. In worship the chief element was the preaching of the Gospel.

The Reformer lived and died in the hope that church reform was something that was soon to be realized, "for the truth of the Gospel 10. Wyclif- may perhaps for a time by the hostility ism after of Antichrist be obscured in silence, Wyclif. but can not be entirely done away."

In fact, in the period immediately succeeding the death of the Reformer, Wyclifism made significant progress in England; under the leadership of such men as Nicholas of Hereford, John Aston, and John Purvey it penetrated all ranks of society, and eleven years after Wyclif's death claimed the cooperation of parliament (1395) in its reforms. But after Thomas Arundel (q.v.) became archbishop of Canterbury, and particularly after the change in dynasty and the House of Lancaster occupied the throne (1399), Church and State united to extirpate Wyclifism. In the earliest years of the new dynasty there issued the notorious statute, De hæretico comburendo, which made it a duty to surrender heretical writings and sacrificed public heretics to the flames. This was the first English statute that made heresy a capital offense. In spite of the union of the forces of Church and State, it was a difficult task to reestablish the unity of the faith against the Lollards in England. The adoption of severe measures in England was doubtless stimulated by the transformation of state affairs in Bohemia within the short space of two decades. The measures which were especially pressed were those against the itinerant preachers, then against the University of Oxford, where the Wyclifite traditions remained in strength; in 1408 there issued the "constitutions," the seventh article of which forbade the translation of Biblical texts and books into English; finally, the attack was directed against the advocates of Wyclifism among the nobility, whose most prominent representative was Sir John Oldcastle (q.v.), martyred by burning in 1417. Some of the English followers of Wyclif sought a new home in Bohemia, the most prominent of whom was Peter Payne (q.v.). In general, Wyclifism survived the period of persecution, and in the sixteenth century put forth new branches which finally met and coalesced with the reform movement which originated in Germany (see LOLLARDS). (J. LOSERTE.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: A brief statement of the early editions of such works of Wyclif as were published before the editions now authoritative is made in Hauck-Hersog, RB, ni 25. For a survey of the list of Wyclif's writings use W. W. Shirley, Catalogus of the Original Works of Joka Wycki, Oxford, 1865 (lists 96 Latin and 65 English writings), and cf. Lechler's Life (as below), pp. 483-498, and DNB, hii. 221-222. Under the auspices of the Wyclif Society & definitive edition of the Latin works of the Reformer is a progress, 34 vols. having appeared up to 1912, Losda, 1883 sqq. These volumes have marginal analyses, so that it is easy to follow them throughout. Of the other works there are available the Select English Works of John Wycki, ed. T. Arnold, 3 vols., Oxford, 1869-71; English Works of Wyclif hitherto Unprinted, ed. F. D. Matthey, Ladon, 1880 (contains also a valuable introduction on the life of Wyclif); Wyclif's Translation of the Bible, ed. Feshall and Madden, 4 vols., Oxford, 1850; his New Testsment, with Glossary, ed. W. W. Skeat, Cambridge, 1879; J. Loserth, Die altesten Streischriften Wiclifs, in the Sitzungsberichte of the Vienna Academy, vol. clx., of the philosoph.-historical class, 1908.

Surveyspericite of the Vienna Academy, vol. ck., of the philosoph-historical class, 1908.

The chief early sources for knowledge of Wyclif, spart from his own writings, are: T. Netter, Fascicuti rissair orum Johannis Wyclif. . . ed. W. W. Shirley, in Relis Series, London, 1858 (a series of important document, with a very admirable preface); R. Pecock, The Repressor of Overmuch Blaming of the Clerpy, ed. C. Babington in Rolls Series, 2 vols., ib. 1860 (the introduction is valuable); Chronicon Angliæ, ed. M. Thompson, ib. 1874; H. Knighton, Chronicon, ed. J. R. Lumby, vol. ii., b. 1865; Eulogium historiarum sive temporis, ed. F. S. Haydon in Rolls Series, vol. iii., ib. 1863; T. Walsingham, Historia Anglicana in Rolls Series, 2 vols., ib., 1863–64.

The authoritative biography is still G. V. Leeher, Johann on Wicklift and die Verroneckielts 2- Peterseties.

The authoritative biography is still G. V. Leckler, Johann von Wickif und die Vorgeschichte der Reformatien. 2 vols., Leipsic, 1873, Eng. transl. by P. Lorimer, John Wickif and his English Precursors, 2 vols., London, 1873, new ed., 1 vol., with summary of vol. ii. by S. G. Green, 1884, reissue 1904. Books of high importance, after that of Lechler, and dealing with the life, are: John Fox, Book of Martyrs, London, 1832 and often; J. Lewis, Life of Wickife, new ed., Oxford, 1820 (valuable for document eited); R. Vaughan, Life and Opinions of Wyclif, 2 vols. London, 1828, superseded by his John de Wyclife, ib. 1853; J. Loserth, Hus und Wickif, Prague, 1884. Exansl., Wickif and Hus, London, 1884; idem, in English Historical Review, xi (1896), 319-328; A. R. Pennington, John Wickif, London, 1884; R. L. Poole, Wyclife and Movements for Reform, ib. 1889 (cf. his Illustrations of the Hist. of Medieval Thought, chap. x., ib. 1884; both of these to be taken well into account); L. Sergeant, John Wyclif, Last of the Schoolmen and First of the English Reformen, New York, 1893; G. S. Innis, Wickif the Morning Sin, Cincinnati, 1907; W. Walker, Greatest Men of the Christian Church, Chicago, 1908; J. N. Figgis, Typical English Churchmen, London, 1909.

Other literature dealing with the life, times, doctries, and influence of the Reformer are: T. James, Apoloni for John Wycliffe, Oxford, 1608; A. Varillas, Hist. dw Widfanisme, Lyons, 1682, Eng. transl. in The Pretended Reformers, London, 1717 (interesting only as being a rither remarkable libel); J. and I. Milne, Hist. of the Church of Christ, vol. iv., London, 1847 (treats this subject with great care); O. Jäger, John Wycliffe und seine Bedeutsuf für die Reformation, Halle, 1854; F. Böhringer, Die Vorreformatoren des 14. und 15. Jahrhunderts, Stuttgart, 1856; P. Reinhold, Pictures of Old England, chap. viii., Cambridge, 1861; J. E. T. Rogers, Historical Gleanings, 2 set. pp. 1-63, London, 1870; M. Burrows, Wichife Place in Hist., new ed., ib. 1884; R. Buddensieg, Johann Wyclifund seine Zeit, Gotha, 1885 (highly praised); J. Steverson, The Truth about John Wyclif, London, 1885 (condemns the Reformer's doctrines); V. Vattier, John Wyclift, ess œuvres, sa doctrine, Paris, 1886 (a study of the principal writings); F. D. Matthew, in English Historical Review, 1890, 1895; H. Morley, English Witers, vol. London, 1890; T. R. Lounsbury, Studies in Chaucat, 459-494, New York, 1891; F. Wiegand, De ecclesie notione quid Wiclif docuerit, Leipsic, 1891; C. Petit-Dutaillis,

in Studee d'hiet. du moyen age dediées à Gabriel Monod, Paris, 1896; J. Loserth, Studien sur Kirchenpolitik Englands im 14. Jahrhundert, Vienna, 1897–1907; E. L. Cutts, Parish Priests in the Middle Ages in England. London, 1898; E. P. Chantard, in American Historical Review, iv (1899), 423–428; W. W. Capes, English Church in the 14th and 15th Centeries, pp. 94 sqq., London, 1900; H. Fürstenau, Jehann son Wyclife Lehren von der Stellung der kirchlichen Gesealt, Berlin, 1900; G. M. Trevelyan, England in the Age of Wyclife, 3d ed., London, 1900; idem and E. Powell, The Peasant's Rising and the Lollards, ib. 1899; F. A. Gasquet, The Eve of the Reformation, pp. 185 sqq., new ed., ib. 1901; H. B. Workman, The Age of Wyclif, ib. 1901; R. 8. Storm, in Sermons and Addresses, Boston, 1902; W. H. Summers, Lollards of the Chiltern Hille, London, 1906; C. Bigg, Wayside Sketches in Eccl. Hist., ib. 1906; C. Oman, Hist. of England 1377–1485, ib. 1906; J. Gairdner, Lollardy and the Reformation in England, vol. i., chap. i., London, 1903; J. Lindsay, Studies in European Philosophy, Edinburgh, 1909; W. Wundt, Kleine Schriften, vol. i., Leipsic, 1910; J. Loeerth, Wiclifs Sendschreiben, Flugschriften und kleinere Werke kirchenzeitlischen Inhalts, Vienna, 1910; Schaff, Christian Church, v. 2, pp. 314–348; DNB, Ixiii. 202–223; and works on the history of the time, and on the history of the English Bible (see this work, vol. ii., p. 141). The literature on John Huss contains much on Wyclif.

WYLIE, JAMES AITKEN: Free Church of Scotand; b. at Kirriemuir (15 m. n. of Dundee), Scotand, Aug. 9, 1808; d. at Edinburgh May 1, 1890. He was educated at Marischall College, Aberdeen, 1822-25, and at St. Andrew's, 1826; entered the Original Secession Divinity Hall, Edinburgh, 1827; was licensed, 1829; was minister of Original Secession Congregation at Dollar, 1831-46; in 1846 became associated with Hugh Miller in the editorship of The Witness, Edinburgh, contributing some 800 articles from 1846-64; in 1852 joined the Free Church of Scotland, and for eight years was editor of The Free Church Record; and was lecturer on opery at the Protestant Institute of Scotland, Edinburgh, 1860-90. He wrote the Evangelical Alliance's prize essay on The Papacy (Edinburgh, 1851). His works embrace A Journey over the Region of Fulfilled Prophecy (Edinburgh, 1845, and often); The Awakening of Italy and the Crisis of Rome (London, 1866); The Road to Rome via Oxford; or, Ritvalism identical with Romanism (1868); The History of Protestantism . . . Illustrated (3 vols., 1874-1877); The Papal Hierarchy: an Exposure of the Tactics of Rome for the Overthrow of the Liberty and Christianity of Great Britain (1878); The Jesuits, their Moral Maxims, and Plots against Kings, Nations and Churches. With Dissertation on Ireland (1881); and Disruption Worthies; a Memorial of 1843. With an historical Sketch of the Free Church of Scotland from 1843... (new ed., Edinburgh, 1881).

WYTTENBACH, vit'ten-bdH, THOMAS: former, and teacher of Zwingli; b. at Biel (60 m. s.w. of Zurich), Switzerland, 1472; d. there 1526. He studied at Tübingen, 1496–1504, Konrad Summenhart and Christian Scriver (qq.v.) being among his teachers; went to Basel in 1505, where he lectured on the "Sentences" and also on the Bible, being heard by Zwingli and Leo Jud (qq.v.), both of whom were influenced by him and acknowledged their indebtedness. The former says that Wyttenbach won him to the Church, the latter that Wyttenbach won him for theology and the Bible. In 1507 Wyttenbach was called to the pastorate at Biel, but his office there did not prevent him from obtaining his baccalaureate and doctor's degree at Basel in 1510 and 1515 respectively. In 1515 he was called by the council to Berne, but in 1519 laid down his position of custos and in 1520 his canonry at Berne and devoted himself to his duties at Biel. in several cases defending successfully the rights of his church against assailants. He preached against the abuse of indulgences and the mass, and married in 1524; this was the beginning of the Reformation in Biel. His step caused a division of sentiment, especially as seven other priests followed his example. He was deprived of his charge, but continued to preach, at times in the open air, winning many to his side. But the consequence to him was severe poverty, in spite of the facts that the council favored him and that the decision was made in favor of the unhindered preaching of the Word. The council attempted in vain to secure his restoration to his benefice, but finally obtained for him in 1526 the payment for life of twelve gulden yearly, and if he should die before the end of twelve years, the payment of this sum to his heirs during that period. During the course of that year he died. The only writings left by him were some letters, preserved for the most part in the archives of Biel. These prove him to have been an intrepid man of strong convictions, a sturdy champion of truth and right.

(H. HERMELINK.)
BIBLIOGRAPHY: R. Stähelin, Huldreich Zwingli, i. 38 sqq.,
Basel, 1895; S. M. Jackson, Huldreich Zwingli, pp. 58-59,
182, 2d ed., New York, 1903; H. Hermelink, Die theologische Fakultat in Tabingen, pp. 189-170, 215, Tübingen,

X

EAVERIAN BROTHERS: A Roman Catholic teaching congregation, established at Bruges, Belgium, in 1839 by Theodor Jakob Rycken (1797–1871), who was at first interested in the conversion of the American Indians, and visited America for that purpose, but who later turned his attention to the religious education of youth. In 1838, believing that Europe already had an abundance of teaching orders, he went to St. Louis and laid his plans before the bishop of that diocese. These plans were approved, and the favor of the bishop of Bruges, in whose diocese the mother house of the

congregation was to be established, was also secured, while the benediction of the pope quickly followed. The constitution and rules were now drawn up, and on the feast of St. Francis Xavier (Dec. 3), 1843, Rycken was invested with the religious habit under the name of the patron saint of the new congregation, the final vows of poverty, celibacy, and obedience being taken by the founder and his associates in 1846. A school was immediately established in Bruges, which has since developed into St. Xavier's College, and in 1848 the congregation was planted in England.

Though the congregation was primarily established for American work, it was not until 1854 that Brother Francis was able to introduce it into the United States, its first house being St. Patrick's school, Louisville, Ky. In 1860 the founder resigned the generalship of the congregation, of which Brother John Chrysostom became superior general, at the mother house in Bruges, Brother Isidore being provincial for America, and the other two provinces being Belgium and England. In 1866 the congregation was introduced into the archdiocese of Baltimore, where the major number of its houses are still centered; in 1881 they entered Richmond, Va., and in 1882 Lowell, Mass. The task of the Xaverian Brothers is the Christian training of youth in parochial schools, academies, and colleges, and the superintendence of homes for boys, male orphanages, industrial schools, etc. In 1911 there were in the American province 250 brothers, with 6,889 pupils, and with houses in the archdioceses of Baltimore and Boston, and the dioceses of Louisville, Springfield, Richmond, Wheeling, Manchester, Detroit, Hartford, and Newark; while in England the congregation possesses schools or colleges in the dioceses of Salford and Southwark. BIBLIOGRAPHY: Currier, Religious Orders, pp. 518-524.

XAVIER, FRANCIS. See Francis Xavier, Saint.

XENAIA. See Philoxenus.

XEROPHAGIA. See Montanus, Montanism, § 3.

XIMENES, zi"me-nes' (JIMENEZ), DE CISNE-ROS, FRANZISCO (GONZALES): Spanish cardinal and inquisitor; b. at Torrelaguna (28 m. n. of Madrid) in 1436; d. at Roa (95 m. n. of Madrid) Nov. 8, 1517. His life fell in a period of supreme importance for Spain. The little kingdoms were

unified; the Moors were finally overcome or driven out; America was dis-Life till 1492. covered, and the royal power received great strength. The Roman Catholic Church, which was in closest union with Spanish nationality, shared in these advantages to an enormous degree. In the history of this period Ximenes had great part, and helped to create the new Spain which was distinguished by ecclesiastical and political absolutism; and this he did in no spirit of self-seeking, but as a patriot and loyal son of the Church, doing his duty as he saw it. His family was not the famous Cisneros, but of lower, though noble dignity, receiving its name from the city where its members had earlier lived. His father was a royal collector of contributions for the war against the Moors. He himself, known as Gonzales before he took the cloister name of Franzisco, received his schooling at Alcala and Salamanca, taking the bachelor degree in both branches of law in 1556. During the next six years he was in Rome engaged

in law; the death of his father caused his return to

Spain. There he was soon called by Mendoza,

bishop of Siguenza, to serve as vicar of the diocese,

where his administration was a shining success.

Against the wishes of his friends he determined to

enter as a novice the Franciscan order in the monas-

tery of the Observantists at Toledo. Here, too, his

fame grew as preacher and confessor. Again he left what promised to be new fame, and retired as a soltary to a hut which he built, remaining there three years in prayer and leading the life of an anchorite. His superiors directed him to enter a cloister in Salpeda, where in a short time he was made guardian.

A new direction was given to the life of Ximens in 1492, when he was chosen confessor to the queen. This carried with it a large influence, since Isabella was wont to consult her confessor on matters both of Church and State. Mendoza, who had become

As Conpersuaded Ximenes to accept, but the fessor, Arch-latter imposed the condition that he bishop, Re-should remain in his order and in the former, and monastery when actual duty did not Evangelist hinder; and he was actually chosen

provincial for Castile two years later. This gave him opportunity to correct the lax practises which prevailed in the institutions, and through the queen he obtained a bull which gave him unlimited power for effecting reform. In 1495 the death of Mendoza left the archbishopric vacant, and the appointment was in the hands of Isabella. The king desired the position for his natural son, but the queen appointed Ximenes. The place was the highest, ecclesiastically, in Spain, with an immense income. But Ximenes was loath to accept, and did so only under express command of the pope. No change was made in his manner of living, while the income was applied to deeds of public and private philanthropy; it required a brief from the pope to have him conduct his household more in accordance with his position. His first care was to reform the secular clergy, and in so doing he aroused intense opposition, which with the queen's help he broke down. Canon Albornoz, whom his colleagues had sent to Rome to lodge complaints against Ximenes before the pope, was seized as he debarked at Ostia and brought back to Spain to suffer inprisonment for twenty-two months. In the reform of the orders, especially his own, he met opposition and caused the withdrawal of over 1,000 monks, who left in order to avoid the new rules. The pope withdrew a hostile bull, and had his nuncio work with Ximenes. The archbishop was equally bent on the conversion of the Moors. This, too, was the purpose of Fray Fernando de Talavera, who had become archbishop of Granada. But the capitulation of 1491 contained a stipulation for freedom in religion; hence Talavera had worked for the conversion of the Moors in friendly methods, learned Arabic so as to be able to address them, and had his clergy do the same. He issued an Arabic lexicon, instruction book, catechism, and selections from the Gospels; and these measures were effectual in bringing many over. But there were fanatics who thought these measures too mild, and among them was Ximenes, who assembled the Arabic scholars and set before them Christian doctrine in impressive form. He also flattered the Arabic love of dress, and presented the people with showy raiment, and many were thus won, so that he is said to have baptized 3,000 in one day. But the opposition of the Moors was aroused, upon which Ximenes used new measures. The learned Zegri he so tortured that

the latter pretended to accept Christianity at the direction of Allah, and a mass of new conversions resulted. He collected large numbers of Arabic works and had them burned in a city square. Finally, choice was offered the Moors either to accept Christianity or to submit to banishment. In sheer love of home many received baptism.

As chancellor of Castile, his activity was characterized by philanthropy. Oppression of the poor and malfeasance in office he attempted to eradicate, and created a new era in that province. Though by the death of the queen in 1504 he lost his supreme

protector, yet the veneration in which
the people held him helped him to
cellor and limit the power to harm which resided
Patron of in his foes; indeed, he was able to
Learning.

After Isabella's death Ferdinand sought

to have his daughter Johanna recognized as queen of Castile. Political complications arose, and in these Ximenes stood as mediator, winning Ferdimad's favor so that the latter secured for the archbishop the cardinal's hat and made him inquisitorgeneral of Spain. The next project which occupied Ximenes was the new University of Alaca de Henares (the old Complutum). He had already chosen the site and laid the foundation stone (1498, 1500), and by 1508 the structures, including a hospital, were completed. There were forty-two chairs: six for theology proper, six for ecclesiastical law, four for medicine, one for anatomy, one for surgery, eight for philosophy, one for moral philosophy, one for mathematics, four for Greek and Hebrew, four for thetoric, and six for "grammar." Rich scholarships were provided, especially in theology. Soon there were 7,000 students. Related to this was Ximenes' plan for the Complutensian Polyglot (see Bibles, Polyglor, I.), and he parceled the work among scholars, including a Greek and a Jew among the workers. The work was completed in 1517. [It was not published till 1520.] The greater praise is due the cardinal for this accomplishment as he was himself not distinguished for scholarship, yet saw the worth of such a piece of work.

Among the projects which Ximenes had at heart was the renewal of the crusades in service for the Church and the kingdom. But he turned this desire in a practical direction, against the Moors of Africa who by piratical raids on the southern coast of

Spain were making reprisals for their experiences in Spain. Since Ferdinand had not funds available, Ximenes laquisitor. equipped from his own income a force and personally led it to the conquest of prienters. And the properties were the properties of the conquest of prienters.

of Oran, thus breaking up the nest of pirates. Another of the noted activities of this prelate-statesman was as grand inquisitor of Castile. But he is not to be held responsible for the introduction of the office into Spain, since he came to court twelve years after this took place. When he assumed the office, he provided for instruction of the converts, Jews and Moors, so that they might avoid falling under suspicion of apostasy; he also limited the powers of the lower officials of the inquisition in order to prevent persecution, and dismissed unworthy occupants of office. He took under his pro-

tection some who under the rules of the inquisition would have been prosecuted, though unjustly, as in the case of Elio Antonio de Nebrija (cf. H. C. Lea, Inquisition of Spain, iv. 529, New York, 1907). On the other hand, he strenuously opposed the publication of names of informers and betravers of the apostates, even in writing, when to Charles, during his minority, there was offered an immense sum provided the process and names of witnesses were made known. Ximenes showed that the lives of the informers could not, under such conditions, be made safe, and that information would consequently cease. While deliberate efforts have been made to minimize the effects, in actual slaughter, of the workings of the inquisition, the number of victims was undoubtedly great, and under Ximenes it was introduced into Oran, the Canary Isles, and America. Throughout all this, the aim of Ximenes was to exalt the power of the Church. Although he could not attend the Lateran Council, he supported the pope by his letters and published the results of the deliberations in his diocese even before the conclusion of the council. He changed the conditions of entrance into the priesthood, substituting for five years' training in philosophy a part of the course in theology. He supported Leo's plan to improve the Julian calendar; but when the indulgence was offered by the pope for the purpose of obtaining funds for building St. Peter's, and was published in Spain, Ximenes spoke openly against it.

The highest pinnacle of Ximenes' greatness came through his appointment by Ferdinand as regent for Castile during the minority and absence of Charles after Ferdinand's death. Though eighty years of age, he took up his task with

Last Years. youthful energy and great wisdom.
With foresight he had Charles' younger

brother Ferdinand kept under his eye so that the latter might not be led by a court party to make pretensions upon the regency. But Hadrian of Utrecht claimed to have a document of Ferdinand's appointing him regent, and when this was submitted to Charles, the latter supported Ximenes against the court party. Yet Charles proved ungrateful to Ximenes for the many ways in which the latter had paved the way to his accession, sought to limit the powers of Ximenes, and finally wrote an unworthy letter, though it is asserted that it was kept from him by those who knew how despondent he had already become. His last years were not saved from sadness by the conduct of those whom he had most benefited. (K. Benrath.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The chief source for the life of Ximenes is the work of Alvaro Gomes de Castro, professor of classical literature in Salamanca, Toledo, and Alcala: De rebus gestis a Francisco Ximenio Cisnerio, Alcala, 1569, republished in Rerum Hispania scriptores aliquot, Frankfort, 1581, and in A. Schottus, Hispania illustrata, vol. i., Frankfort, 1603. As sources reference may be made to Cartas de Jimenez, Madrid, 1874, and Cartas de los Secretarios de Cisneros, 2 vols., ib. 1874-75. The best life for general purposes is C. J. Hefele Der Kardinal Kimenes und die kirchliche Zustände Spaniens am Ende des 16. und Anfang des 16. Jahrhunderts, Tübingen, 1844 Eng. transl., Life of Cardinal Ximenes, London, 1860. Consult further, M. Baudier, Hist. de l'administration du Cardinal Ximenes: Paris, 1635, Eng. transl., London, 1671; V. E. Flechler, Hist. du Cardinal Ximenes, Paris, 1693; B. Barrett, Life of Cardinal Ximenes, London, 1813; S. A. Dunham, Hist.

of Spain and Portugal, 5 vols., ib. 1832 (the best general treatment of the subject); F. X. von Havemann, Francisco Ximenes, Göttingen. 1848; W. Irving, Chronicles of the Conquest of Granada (accessible in editions of the Works, e.g., New York, 1902-03); W. H. Prescott, Hist. of the Reign of Ferdinand and Isabella (a classic; constantly republished in cheap form); E. F. A. Rosseuw-St.-Hilaire, Hist. d'Espagne, vol. vi., Paris, 1852; C. Navarro y Rodrigo, El Cardenal Cieneros, Madrid, 1869; W. Maurenbrecher, Studien und Skiezen, pp. 114 sqq., Leipsic, 1874; W. Ulrich, Ximenes des grosse Kardinal und Reichsverweser

Spaniens, Langensalsa, 1883; F. J. Simonet, El Certanal Ximenes de Cieneros y los manuscritos arthinegrandainos, Granada, 1885; H. C. Lea, Chapters from the Religious Hist. of Spain, Philadelphia. 1890; idem, Hist. of the Inquisition of Spain, passim, 4 vols., New York, 1906–07; idem, The Inquisition in the Spanish Departments, ib. 1908; Huidobro, Hist. del Cardenal Fry. Fr. Jiménes de Cieneros, Santander, 1901; Pastor, Pops., vols. v.-viii.

XYSTUS. See Sixtus (pope).

Y

(YABHALLAHA), YAHBALAHA, yā-bāl'ā-hā III.. Nestorian patriarch 1281-1317. The name (-Deusdedit, Theodore) is not uncommon among the Syrians and was borne by the eighteenth and the seventy-seventh patriarchs of Antioch (c. 489 and 1233). The best known of the name, however, is Yahbalaha III., with whom Bar Hebræus closes his church history. He was a Uigurian monk, born near Peking, and died Nov. 13, 1317. He started on a pilgrimage to the Holy Land, but when he came to Bagdad remained there with the Patriarch Denha, who made him metropolitan of China because of his relations with the khan of the Mongols. For the same reason he succeeded Denha as patriarch, though he was poor in Syriac learning. His companion from China, Rabban Sauma, was sent by Khan Argun in 1287-88 to Rome, Paris, and London. The original description of this embassy, ed. P. Bedjan, was published at Paris, 1888 (better ed., 1895), and has been translated by J. B. Chabot (in Revue de l'orient latin, i.-ii., and separately, Paris, 1895). A translation into modern Syriac appeared at Urumiah in the periodical Zahrire de Bahra, Oct., 1885-May, 1886. E. NESTLE.

Bibliograph: I. H. Hall, in Journal of the American Oriental Society, 1886, pp. clxxxi. sqq.; idem, in Proceedings of the American Oriental Society, 1886, pp. cxxv.-cxxix; Lamy, in Mémoires of the Academie royale de Belgique, 1889, 223-243; R. Duval, in JA, 8th ser., xiii. 313-354; W. Wright, Short Hist. of Syriac Literature, London, 1894; R. Hilgenfeld, Jabalaha III., Catholici Nestoriani vita ex Sliva Mossulani libro, Leipsic, 1896; R. Gottheil, in Hebraica, xiii (1897), 222-223, 227-229; R. Duval, Litterature syriaque, Paris, 1899; Supplement à l'hist. du patriarche Mar Jabalaha et du moine Rabban Çauna, Paris, 1900. Older sources are O. Raynaldus, Annales eccleriastici for year 1304, vol. xiv., vols. xiii.-xxi., Cologne, 1694-1727; J. S. Assemani, Bibliotheca orientalis, iii. 2, pp. 129 sqq., Rome, 1719-28; Gregory Bar Hebrseus, Chronicon ecclesiasticum, ii. 471.

YAHWEH, yd'wê.

I. The Pronunciation.

The Massoretic Form (§ 1).
The Original Pronunciation (§ 2).

II. Meaning and Derivation.

The Etymological Meaning (§ 1).
Other Origins Proposed (§ 2).

Was Yahweh a Kenite Deity ? (§ 3).

Was he God of the Leah Tribes ? (§ 4).

The Hebrew Yhwh (the tetragrammaton) denotes in the Old Testament the proper name of the God of Israel. Jews regard it as expressing not merely the name but the essence of God.

I. The Pronunciation: In the Massoretic text the usual form would give the pronunciation Yehowah, or Yehowih when the word Adhonai, "my(?) Lord," precedes. The second form shows the vowels

of *Elohim*, "God": the first form has a close relation to the pronunciation of *Adhonai* (see JEEO-VAH). It is demonstrable, however,

r. The that the form Yehowah does not re-Massoretic produce the original pronunciation. Theodoret (c. 450) showed that in his Form. time the Jews did not pronounce the name and already called it the tetragrammaton (cf. F. Field, Hexapla, i. 90, on Ex. vi. 3, London, 1871). Similarly Jerome, Origen, and the translators of the Bible before Origen found the tetragrammaton in their manuscripts, even in the Greek translations, where the name was represented by the capital letters iota and pi, closely resembling the Hebrew yolk and he. Origen seems to have transferred the Hebrew quadriliteral in his column of transliterated Hebrew and a later hand rendered it into the Greek iota and pi, and this transference seems to have been the custom of Aquila, Symmachus, and Theodotion. Philo gives the first sure case of a translation of the name by the Greek Kurios, "Lord." These and other indications suggest that the Jewish custom of not pronouncing the name (Jerome calls it "the ineffable ") is very old, and this custom still obtained when the Massoretes affixed the pointing to the text; it is not probable that these scholars intended to imply that they were giving the correct pronunciation. The pronunciation indicated by "Jehovah" (J being pronounced as Y) has been traced as far back as Wessel (d. 1489), who used Johavah and Jehovah, and Petrus Galatinus, confessor of Leo X. (1513-21; see JEHOVAH). Beside the two facts, that the Massoretes would not be likely to disregard the custom regarding the nonpronunciation of the name, and the variation in the pointing given above, a third fact appears in the forms which YHWH takes when following a prepostion. In this case the form resulting shows that the pronunciation is based on a fundamental form beginning with an aleph pointed with an a-vowel and not on one beginning with the sound ye. Further, the pointing of the succeeding word often indicates the pronunciation of a word ending not with the consonant he (a mere vowel sign) but with a full consonant, and the abbreviations yahu or yah in many proper names, as well as the form Yah, do not lead back to a pronunciation represented by Yelowah (or Jehovah). Did the form Yahowah anywhere occur, there could be no possible doubt that the two forms actually occurring represent the pronunciation of Elohim and Adhonai in place of the tetragrammaton. But the case is almost as cogent, in view of the treatment of the word with prefixed preposid of the habit of the Massoretes when a word ronounced was written in the margin. And monstrable that not only in the time of the etes, but as early as the time of Jesus, it was stom to pronounce Adhonai where YHWH d, a custom then so fast rooted that it must een much older; indeed, the Septuagint apo have used Kurios and later purists to have uted the Greek quadriliteral. Moreover, the ehowah occasions no difficulty in view of the nian Jewish custom of letting shewa represteph pathah, while Yehowih is probably a orm introduced to avoid a double reading nai Adhonai," when this form immediately d the tetragrammaton. The form was never nced Yehowah (Jehovah).

earliest testimony as to the original pronunof the name comes from the Assyrian proion of the Hebrew in such proper names as ah, which is so given as to represent yahu. he Old Testament itself the evidence comes

from Ex. iii., and from two classes of proper names, those in which the divine name is the first element and those in which it is the last element. In Ex. iii. it is clear that the narrator connects the name with the verb hayah," or its variant hawah. The Hebrew names

"or its variant hawah. The Hebrew names than or Yonathan (Jonathan) and Hizkiyahu iyah (Hesekiah) are fairly representative of compounded with the divine name, and the n pronunciation indicates the correctness of assoretic pointing given Hesekiah's name. ows clearly and decisively the pronunciation for the first syllable. For the final syllable the of verbal forms ending in weh and their shortby dropping of the final consonant and its nto u renders it exceedingly probable that the pronunciation was "weh." This is strengthy the common process of rendering yhu by n the middle h is dropped (cf. Yonathan

Such a conclusion, giving "Yahweh" as nunciation of the name, is confirmed by the ny of the Fathers and gentile writers, where as Iao, Yaho, Yaou, Yahouai, and Yaoue ap-Especially important is the statement of ret in relation to Ex. vi., when he says: "the ans call it [the tetragrammaton] 'Yabe,' the all it] 'Aia'" (the latter form representing th, "I will be," of Ex. iii. 14). The Samarinunciation doubtless depends upon a living n.*

should be taken, however, of the recent very dend toward a belief that the original pronunciation u. This rests partly upon the forms employed in compound names, illustrated in the text (which do sarily imply that the element Yahu or Yaho in such as an abbreviation). The supposition here is that ew Waw was vocal and not consonantal (as it often in conjugation). Corroboration is found in the pref-Gnostic gems for the form Iao or Iaou, and similar for examples of these consult the literature under sm, especially the work of King, to which add A. F. escaurus gemmarum astriferarum, Florence, 1570; lo, Prodromus iconicus sculptilium gemmarum, 702; J. M. A. Chabouillet, Catalogus général . . . se et pierres gravés de la bibliothèque impériale, 88; also R. Kittel, Geschichte des Volkes Israel, 3, 2d ed., Leipsie, 1912.—G. W. G.

II. Meaning and Derivation: The form is doubtless derived from the verb hayah (hawah), "to be or become," as an imperfect either of the simple or causative species, differentiated as a proper name from the imperfect of the verb. But as this verb does not appear to have a causative species, it is better to take it as the simple form. In Ex. iii. 14 the question of Moses is answered by the statement "I AM THAT I AM." If for the first person were

substituted the third, the form might r. The Ety-well be yahweh, and the idea is not mological that of being, existence (an abstract Meaning. thought of late reflection), but of hap-

pening, coming to pass; the concept of being, existence, is a secondary derivation from that of coming to pass. In this case the translation is not "I am that I am," nor (Aquila and Theodotion) "I will be what I will be," upon which ideas are based the general Jewish notion of "the Eternal." The idea conveyed is "I, who manifest myself, reveal myself," representing therefore not an abstract something, but a being who corresponds to a concrete need. Out of this flows a rich harvest of suggestion of Yahweh as the one living fact, out of which the form of the oath of Israel is derived-" as Yahweh liveth." God did not intend in the passage to assert his existence, for that was self-evident; the intention was to define himself as regnant in nature and history, revealing himself in life and force, rich in help for his people. The idea of eternity as represented in such passages as Isa. xl. 28, xli. 4, xliii. 13 is not to be imported into the Exodus passage. This rendering is related to that of Ibn Ezra, J. D. Michaelis, and J. Wellhausen, the last of whom renders "I am because I am." The rendering of W. R. Smith, which involves an implied idea that help to Israel is involved and imports "to you" in some such way as "I will be what I will be [to you]" is refuted by Dillmann, who, however, was wrong in making it "I am what I am (inexpressible and inexplicable in essence)," which rendering he later renounced.

It is entirely a different question whether this etymological sense is now binding. Old-Testament usage allows more than a single meaning to a proper name, whether of place or person. It may be that

2. Other to etymologize, and that duty demands an attempt to go behind this.

Proposed. In fact many attempts of this sort have been made, divisible into two classes,

those which derive the name from Hebrew origin and those which regard as possible derivation of the name and the deity from non-Israelitic sources. The first group depends in part upon the supposition that the idea of existence is too metaphysical to be found at the very origin of a religion—an objection which does not lie against the rendering adopted above. Thus the meaning "creator" has been suggested (J. LeClerc, Gesenius) from the causative idea of calling into existence. Cognate with this is the supposition that the verbs hayah and hawah, "live," were fused in thought, with the result of a meaning similar to that just given, "lifegiver" or creator. But it may be asked whether in the text hayah, "to be or become" is to stand,

and whether a verb hawah, "to fall" or another of the same form meaning "to breathe, ask, or demand" is not to be understood. In case Yahweh was a deity known in Israel long before the time of Moses, or was the deity of one of the ancestors of the people or of a non-Israelitic Semitic stock adopted by the whole people in Mosaic times, it would follow that an etymological origin in Hebrew either could receive no guaranty or would be excluded, and a Semitic stem hawah should be sought, leading far back into origins in nature religion. Hence Lagarde derived the name from the verb " to (cause to) fall," i.e., she storm-god (Orientalia, ii. 27, Göttingen, 1880), with whom practically agree W. R. Smith, Schwally, and Kerber; while Holzinger from the same root derives the meaning "destroyer" and Wellhausen obtains "the breather" or "weather god"—a meaning with which Ewald is in substantial accord. Other attempts have been made, as by Baudissin, Lenormant, and Schrader, to find the sources in Syrian or Babylonian religion, these attempts obtaining their support in the name Yaubidi, variant Ilubidi, of Hamath, which seems to look back to a deity Yau, or in a component of Babylonian names which appears as Ya or Yawa, Winckler supposing that the Babylonian deity was spiritualized into the Hebrew divinity, but derived directly from the Canaanites through whom he passed to the Israelites. Even F. Hommel is found as sponsor for the theory of a Babylonian origin (Expository Times, 1899, pp. 42 sqq.). But an objection to these proposals is that the ground form of the name does not appear to be Yahu but Yahweh, while Yah in Hebrew seems to be a poetic or liturgic abbreviation; and this is attested by the form on the Moabite Stone where Mesha wrote Yhwh and not Yhw or Yh. The occurrence of the forms in Syrian environment (such as Yaubidi, ut sup., or Azriyahu, H. Winckler, Forschungen, i. 16, Leipsic, 1893) or in Babylonia may be explained as direct loan names from Israel or as names carried by Hebrew captives or in part as not divine names, or even in some cases to be rendered as expansions of the prefix of the imperfect tense. Granted that there was a Sumerian deity Yau, it is highly improbable that he had any relationship to the Hebrew deity. Yahweh as a derivation from Yau is inexplicable, but to derive Yahu from Yahweh is easy and natural. Similarly the case alleged by W. M. Müller (Asien und Europa, pp. 312-313, Leipsic, 1893) of a place-name Bait-ya (Beth-Yah or Beth-el) mentioned in the lists of Thothmes III. might possibly point to an old Canaanitic deity Ya, but whether this questionable deity had any relation to Yahweh is very problematical. So that the probabilities reduce to two; the form is native Hebrew or comes from a closely related (Arabic) stem.

From the historical standpoint it is to be remarked that, of the chief narrators of the Pentateuch, E and P refer the introduction of the worship of Yahweh among the Hebrews to Moscs, while J in the manner of folk-lore carries this worship back into the earliest times of the race. In other words, Hebrews attributed to Moses the origin of Yahweh worship, and from the song of Deborah it appears that this cult was established before the time of Deb-

orah. And the narratives connect the origins closely with Sinai, in the neighborhood of which the deity revealed himself to Moses; so in

3. Was
Yahweh a nai and later Elijah goes to Sinai. At
Kenite
Deity?

Midianites a stock related to the

of Midianites, a stock related to the Kenites, who were in turn associated with the later Rechabites, strenuous maintainers of the Yahweh cult. Thus Yahweh appears as an old deity of Sinai, revered in untold antiquity as a weather-god, and as such brought by Moses to Israel, to him revealed through his connection with the Midianite priestly family. In this way the difference of representations in J and E received explanation, since J belongs to Judah, as did the Kenites to whom Yahweh was the long-possessed ancestral deity. This is the view of Tiele, Stade, and Budde. To this it must be said that so essential a part is not assigned in the history to the Kenites; it is the Kenites who came to Israel and not the reverse (Num. x. 29 sqq.), and the conception assigns to the Hebrews no peculiarity, no religion, and no deity, while of a transfer from the Kenites no direct trace appears. If it is true, Moses must have discovered in this weather-god something new and singular entitling him to distinguish between the Kenites and the Israelites and enabling Moses to regard him ethically as the God of heaven and earth. If this ethical idea is lacking, the entire religious development of Israel remains a riddle. Budde lays stress upon the fact that the religion was a matter of election, of choice. But choice is not necessarily a matter of ethics, it may be one of arbitrary dealing. What Yahweh became in course of time he must have been, at least in germ, at the time of choice, the God of the right and the good. Of a change in the conception of God from a mere weather-god to an ethical being the narrative says nothing; there is not a word which corresponds to the hypothesis of a derivation of their deity by Israel from the Kenites.

There remains the possibility that in the time before Moses a part of the people dwelt near Sinai and that by this part Yahweh was worshiped, and that from it Moses learned of him (so Nowack). It

is supposed that the sojourn in Egypt
4. Was he was by the Rachel tribes, while the
God of the Leah tribes, to which Moses belonged,
Leah remained at Sinai, whence Moses went

Tribes? to summon the tribes in Egypt. But while this method of Nowack's is the only method by which the hypothesis of the Yahweh cult by a portion of the people can be supported, the matter remains pure hypothesis. Tradition knows of no abiding of an Israelitic stock at Sinai, only of a close connection of the Yahweh worship with Sinai. Further, it may be remarked that of the character of a pre-Mosaic Israelitic Sinai-god, Yahweh, nothing further is known except that he must have been other than he is conceived as the Yahweh of Moses. What can be affirmed is that with the person of Moses and the location of Sinai is bound up the revelation of Yahweh, so important for the history of Israel. The way in which

o Moses must, from the standpoint of irrences, remain an insolvable riddle.

(R. KITTEL.)

r: Besides the lexicons, especially Gesenius, the works on O. T. theology, especially Schulze, in O. T. introduction, on the history of Israel, mmentaries on Ex. vi., consult: S. R. Driver, while it are Confined 1995. A N. Driver, while it are confined 1995. A N. Driver, while it is a confined 1995. A N. Driver, while it i Riblica, i. 1 sqq., Oxford, 1885; A. Köhler, De one . . . Tetragrammaton, Erlangen, 1867; W, audissin, Studien zur semitischen Religionsge-181-254, Leipsic, 1876; E. Nestle, Die israeli-mnamen, ib. 1876; Tiele, in ThT, xvi (1882), A. Kuenen, Volksreligion und Weltreligion, pp. erlin, 1883; Dietrich, in ZATW, iii (1883), iv eqq.; Wellhausen, Heidentums; G. H. Dalman, name Adonaj, Berlin, 1889; P. de Lagarde, über die Nominalbildung, Göttingen, 1889; weer die Nominalbilaung, Göttingen, 1889; PSBA, xv (1892), 13 sqq.; G. Margoliouth, xviii (1895), 57 sqq.; J. Meinhold, Wider den m, vol. i., Freiburg, 1895; W. Nowack, Die der israelitischen Religion, Strasburg, 1895; in ZA, x (1896), 222 sqq., ZATW, xv (1896), Roberton Falls, Beligies at Variable Robertson, Early Religion of Israel, Edinburgh, Iommel, Altisraelitische Ueberlieferung, Munich, Kerber, Die religionsgeschichtliche Bedeutung der Serbet, Die reigionsgeschichtiche Bedeutung der Eigennamen, Tübingen, 1897; E. König, in ii (1897), 172 sqq., NKZ, x (1899), 703 sqq.; he Entstehung des Volkes Israel, Giessen, 1897; hrer, Untersuchung über den Namen Jehovah, 1898; K. Budde, Die Religion des Volkes sen, 1900; idem, Religion of Israel to the Exile, 1800. Smith Benehete. T. Tules in 1008. , 1899; Smith, Prophets; T. Tyler, in JQR, H. H. Spoer, in American Journal of Semitic Oct., 1901; G. A. Barton, Semitic Origins, New York, 1902; J. A. Montgomery, in JBL, 6); Expository Times, xviii (1907), 525; R. W. ligions of Babylonia and Assyria, pp. 91 sqq., 1909 (deals with the name as found outside of C. Purves, The Jehovah Titles of the O. T., 10; S. R. Driver, in his commentary on Genelum II., ib. 1911; Schrader, KAT, pp. 457 et rository Times, Nov. 11, 1911; R. Kittel, Gerpository Times, Nov. 11, 1911; R. Kittel, Gevolves Israel, i. 555 sqq., 628-629, Leipsic, ii. 199-200; iv. 845; EB, iii. 8820-23; JE,

HE CHURCH. See Church YEAR. YEAR, THE HEBREW.

Solar and Lunar Bases (§ 1). Hebrew Months Lunar (§ 2). Time when the Year Began (§ 3). Hebrew Names for Months (§ 4).

lar course of nature, caused by the change ion of the sun in the heavens, has nate the year the division of time most imer the day all over the earth. The word y Semites generally to express the idea the dependence of the reckoning upon While the period itself is common to all ere is no general agreement as to the time ear begins. Necessity for fixing a time g occurs only when some matter has to be dated, and from this fact in the development of culture arose the definition of a starting-point for the year. Among many peoples the course of the moon furnished a means to the oning, the month varying between twenthirty days in length, and twelve months ned a year (see Moon, § 1). But when tly adhered to, there is a discrepancy of n days between a solar and a lunar year, , reckoning brings the beginning of the ard through all the seasons in the course ree years, as is the case with the Mo-3. But adherence to a strict solar year does not produce agreement of solar and lunar reckoning, so some peoples assigned thirty days to a month and added five days besides to complete the solar year. The Hebrews employed the lunar month, but from time to time intercalated a month, in this matter following the Babylonians, and thus the beginning of the year fluctuated only within narrow limits.

That the Hebrew month was lunar is proved by the term for month, hodhesh, "newness (of the moon)," and yerah, from yareah, "moon," cognate with the Assyrian and Babylonian arhu. Dillmann's hypothesis that the Hebrews derived their use of yerah from the Canaanites does not seem well supported, nor does the other supposition that the latter had a sun-month, either by Phenician or by Cypriote inscriptions. Nor are the names of the month as found in the Old Testament or in the inscriptions indicative of months based on solar reck-

oning. Indeed, no special name was given to the intercalated month, which would be required on the Dillmann hypothesis. And his contention that, since no mention of an intercalated

month occurs in I Kings iv. 7, the reckoning there must be on a solar basis, is beside the mark, inasmuch as the narrator there is not concerned with an exact report of time and does not assert that each officer performed his duty in the same month. That the usual length of the month is thirty days is only natural, since that is the apparent length of about half of the lunar months. So in the account of the flood, where lunar months are meant, the period of five months gives 150 days (Gen. vii. 11, viii. 3-4). Similarly, the division of the month into three parts is as natural to a lunar month as to one based on the sun. It is highly probable that the editor of the Book of Kings by his addition of the later designations of the months conveyed intentionally the implication of the identity of the earlier and the later reckoning (I Kings vi., viii., cf. vi. 1, 37). In all probability in civil life the early Hebrews had proper names as well as numbers for the months. That the names of only four occur is due merely to the fact that the occasion for naming the others did not arise (Ex. xiii. 4, etc.; I Kings vi. 1, 37, etc., viii. 2, vi. 38).

No definite and fast assertion is made in the Old Testament of the month with which the New Year began. While the autumn festival is designated as "the end of the year" (Ex. xxiii. 16), the "return of the year" is marked as "the time when kings

go forth to battle." Probably the autumn marks simply the end of the season the beginning of which is the sowing of the crops, coincident with the time when the operations of war can be carried on; while the season of the

winter rains marked a pause when the staple business life was interrupted. Such designations as these are indeed inexact, though sufficient for the needs of the times. Yet the demands of civil life caused a demand for definite agreement, and in the priestly account of the flood and in Nehemiah the beginning of the year fell in autumn, in earlier times in the spring. In the Books of Kings, Jeremiah, and Exe-

kiel, the year began with the spring. Wellhausen's hypothesis to the contrary is untenable, and the priestly writings agree with this, except the interpolated passage Ex. xii. 2, and the passage in the account of the flood already referred to. The designation of the autumn as the end of the year flows in part from the resemblance of the following part of the year to the night as the close of active work. The reckoning of the regnal years of the kings is based upon the year which began in the spring, and is parallel to the Babylonian method in which this prevailed. Dillmann concludes from the dating of the battle of Carchemish in the fourth year of Jehoiakim (Jer. xlvi. 2) that the Jewish regnal year began in the autumn. But this is contrary to the general custom as indicated by the usage both of the Books of Kings and of Jeremiah. The synchronism in Jer. xlvi. 2 must therefore be given up. Just as inconclusive is the deduction from II Kings xxii. 3 sqq., xxiii. 22 sqq., that since the finding of the book of the law and the consequent observance of the Passover fell in the eighteenth year of Josiah, that year began in the autumn (cf. the Septuagintal fuller text of II Kings xxii. 3). All indications point to the fact that in early as in late Hebrew times, when enumeration of the months occurred, the reckoning began with the spring month. A change took place toward the end of the regal period due to the fact that the names of the months fell into disuse and the reckoning of the priestly calendar came into civil life. But whether the regnal years of kings from David on were always reckoned from the month Abib is doubtful. Possibly the difficulties of the chronology are in part the result of vacillating usage.

After the exile the Babylonian names for the months gradually came into use, this being determined by Persian control of Hither Asia and the official use by the Persians of these names. In Zech. i. 7, vii. 1, the names of the months may be interpolations; but in the books of Nehemiah and Ezra

the names are used as customary, while
4. Hebrew in Esther the numbers are added for the
Names for sake of clearness. The Chronicler adMonths. heres to the usage in the law. The
names used by the Jews are as follows:

Nisan, Assyr. Nisanu (Neh. ii. 1, etc.); Iyyar, Assyr. Airu (Targum on II Chron. xxx. 2); Siwan, Assyr. Simanu (Esth. viii. 9); Tammuz, Assyr. Duzu (Targum Jerusalem, Gen. viii. 5); Ab, Assyr. Abu (Targum Jerusalem, Num. xx. 29, etc.); Elul, Assyr. Ululu (Neh. vi. 15); Tishri, Assyr. Tishritu (Targum Jerusalem, Lev. xxiii. 24); Marheshwan, Assyr. Arah-shamnu (Targum Jerusalem, Deut. xi. 14); Kishlew, Assyr. Kislimu (Neh. i. 1, etc.); Tebeth, Assyr. Tebetu (Esth. ii. 16); Shebat, Assyr. Shabatu (Zech. i. 7); Adar, Assyr. Adaru (Esth. iii. 7, etc.). The beginning of the month was doubtless in both early and later times determined by actual observation of the new moon. The intercalation of a month was in late times determined by the Sanhedrin, but whether that month was called Adar or (with the Babylonians) Elul is not determined. Reckoning by cycles belongs to times in the Christian era.

From Neh. ii. 1 compared with Neh. i. 1 it appears that the regnal years of Persian kings were reckoned

from the first of Tishri. Whether a New Year beginning on that date first began to be observed by the Jews in Persian times or originated under the Seleucidæ is not determined, though the later date is the more probable. The seasons among the Jews were two, summer and winter, the dry, hot season and the cool and wet one. A hard and fast division is not made, since sometimes the late rains of spring were reckoned to the summer. (W. Lotz.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Besides the works of Ideler and Wiesels cited under Day, consult: L. M. Lewisohn, Geschichte und System des jūdischen Kalenderwesens, Leipsic, 1855; A. Schwarz, Der jūdische Kalender, Breslau, 1872; H. Grät, Hist. of the Jevs, ii. 134, London, 1891; Dillmann, in the Monatsberichte of the Vienna Royal Academy, 1881, pp. 914–935; Schürer, Geschichte, i. 745–760, Eng. transl., L. ii. 363–398 and the sources there cited; DB, iv. 762–766; EB, iv. 5363–70.

YEATMAN-BIGGS, HUYSHE WOLCOTT: Church of England, bishop of Worcester; b. at Manston House (18 m. n.e. of Dorchester), Dorset, Feb. 2, 1845. He was educated at Emmanuel College, Cambridge (B.A., 1868), and was ordered descon in 1869 and ordained priest in 1870; was curate of St. Edmund's, Salisbury (1869-77); vicar of Netherbury, Dorset (1877-79), and of St. Bartholomew's, Sydenham (1879-91); chaplain to the bishop of Salisbury (1875-85), examining chaplain to the bishop of Winchester (1890-91); proctor in convocation for the diocese of Rochester (1891-1905); honorary canon of Rochester (1884-1905); warden of St. Saviour's, Southwark (1894-1905), and subdean in 1898-1905. He was select preacher at 0x-ford in 1896 and at Cambridge in 1905. In 1891 be was consecrated bishop suffragan of Southwark (diocese of Rochester), and in 1904 was translated to his present see of Worcester. In theology he "holds the English Catholic Church as defined by the Book of Common Prayer to be the Apostolic Church in this land."

YEOMANS, EDWARD DORR: American Presbyterian; b. at North Adams, Mass., Sept. 27, 1829; d. at Orange, N. J., Aug. 26, 1868. He studied at Lafayette College, Pa.; continued academic and theological studies under his father's direction until his licensure in 1847; was stated supply at New Columbia, Pa., 1848-54; pastor at Warrior Run, Pa., 1854-58; at Trenton, N. J., until 1863; at Rochester, N. Y., until 1867, when he was installed over the Central Church, Orange, N. J., and was pastor there at his death. He was the author of the translation of Dr. Schaff's History of the Apostotic Church (New York, 1853) and the first two volumes of his History of the Christian Church (1858-67), all written originally in German. He also prepared a book of worship and a collection of hymns.

YORKER BRETHREN. See RIVER BRETHREN. YOUNG, BRIGHAM. See MORMONS, I., §§ 3-4, II., §§ 9, 12, 13.

YOUNG, EDWARD: Church of England, poet; b. at Upham (6 m. s.e. of Winchester), England, 1683 (baptized July 3); d. at Welwyn (18 m. n. of London) Apr. 5, 1765. He was educated at Winchester, and at New College and Corpus Christi College, Oxford (B.C.L., 1714; D.C.L., 1719); became a fellow of All Souls', 1708; took orders, and

1728 became chaplain to the king; and rector of elwyn, Hertfordshire, 1730. He was the author the once widely read Night Thoughts, and his tires often compared favorably with those of pe. His Works appeared (5 vols., London, 1774). BUGGRAPHY: Various editions of Young's Poems contain sketches of his life—as that in the British Poets, vols. xlix.— li., by Sir H. Croft in S. Johnson's ed., often printed, e.g., London 1822; by A. Chalmers, in English Poets, vol. xiii., ib. 1810; in E. Sandford's ed. of the Works of the British Peds, vols. xxv.-xxvi., ib. 1819; and by J. Mitford, in Aldine Poets, ib. 1871. Consult further: Biographia Bri-Aldine Poets, ib. 1871. Alesse Poets, 10. 1871. Consult further: Biographia Brassinica, vol. vi., ib. 1766; John Nichols, Literary Anecdotes of the 18th Century, 9 vols., ib. 1812-15; J. Barnstoff, Young's Nachtgedanken und ihr Einfluss auf die destache Litteratur, Bamberg, 1895; DNB, lxiii. 368-373. YOUNG, EGERTON RYERSON: Canadian lethodist Episcopalian; b. at Smith's Falls, Ont., pr. 7, 1840. He was educated at the Normal shool of the Province of Ontario, after having ught for several years, and in 1863 entered the inistry. Four years later he was ordained, and, ter being stationed at the First Methodist Episcod Church, Hamilton, Ont., in 1867-68, was sent a missionary to Norway House, Northwest Tertory. There he worked among the Indians for re years, and in 1873 went in a similar capacity to eren's River, Northwest Territory, where he reained three years (1873-76). In 1876 he returned Ontario and was stationed successively at Port erry (1876-79), Colborne (1879-82), Bowmanville 1882–85), Medford (1885–87), and St. Paul's, rampton (1887–88). Since 1888 he has been promsent as a lecturer on work among the American ndians, and in this cause has made repeated tours i the world. He has written By Canoe and Dog-Train among the Cree and Saulteaux Indians (New lork, 1890); Stories from Indian Wigwams and orthern Camp-Fires (1893); Oowikapun: or, How

the Gospel reached Nelson River Indians (1894); Three Boys in the Wild North Land (1896); On the Indian Trail: Stories of Missionary Work among the Cree and Saulteaux Indians (1897); Winter Adventures of Three Boys in the Great Lone Land (1899); The Apostle of the North, James Evans (1899); My Dogs in the Northland (1902); Algonquin Indian Tales (1903); Children of the Forest (1904); Duck Lake (1905); Hector my Dog (Boston, 1905); and Battle of the Bears (1907).

YOUNG, PATRICK: Scotch Biblical scholar; b. at Seaton (18 m. n.e. of Dundee), Scotland, Aug. 29, 1584; d. at Bromfield, Essex, England, Sept. 7, 1652. He was educated at the University of St. Andrews (M.A., 1603); became librarian and secretary to the bishop of Chester, 1603; was incorporated at Oxford, 1605, and, taking holy orders, became a chaplain of All Souls' College; was librarian successively to Prince Henry, James I., and Charles I.; in 1613 held a prebend in Chester Cathedral; became a burgess of Dundee, 1618; prebendary and treasurer of St. Paul's Cathedral, 1621; Latin secretary to Bishop John Williams, 1624; rector of Llanynys, Denbighshire, 1623; and was rector of Hayes, Middlesex, 1623-47. He was an eminent scholar in Greek; and his reputation was such that he was entrusted with the revision of Codex A of the Septuagint. He made contributions to Walton's Polyglot, and edited I Clement, 1633, and I and II Clement, 1637; in 1637 he published a catena of the Greek Fathers on Job, and in 1639 a commentary on Canticles.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: J. Kemke, Patricius Junius (Patrick Young), Leipsic, 1898; Thomas Smith, Vita quorundam eruditissimorum et illustrium virorum, London, 1707; Hugh Young, Sir Peter Young of Seaton, privately printed, 1896; DNB,

YOUNG PEOPLE'S SOCIETIES.

I. Baptist Young People's Union of America. IL Brotherhood of Andrew and

Philip. II. Brotherhood of St. Andrew.

V. Daughters of the King. V. Epworth League. Origin and Development (§ 1).

Organization (§ 2).
Results and Statistics (§ 8). 71. International Order of the King's

Daughters and Sons. II. Lend-a-Hand Clubs. II. Luther League of America. Foundation and Purpose (§ 1). Organization and Principles (§ 2). Extension and Administration

(§ 3).

Young Men's, Apprentices', and
Workingmen's Associations in

Germany.

Origin of Young Men's Associations in Germany (§ 1). History (§ 2). Methods, Aims, and Results (§ 8).

L Baptist Young People's Union of America: A sternal organization of young people's societies Baptist churches, which does not insist upon any 16 particular constitution or uniformity of name the local organizations. It was organized in licago, Ill., in July, 1891, and was incorporated der the laws of Illinois in September of the same

Protestant Offshoots from Young Men's Associations (§ 4). Roman Catholic Young Men's Associations (§ 5).

X. Young Men's Christian Associations. (§ 1). Rapid Growth in America (§ 2).

Special Reasons for this Growth (§ 8). General Organization (§ 4)

Subdivisions of Activity (§ 5).

Work Outside America (§ 6).

XI. Young People's Christian Endeavor Union of the Church of the United Brethren in Christ.

XII. Young People's Christian Union of the Universalist Church. XIII. Young People's Society of Christian Endeavor.

Origin and Primary Characteris-

Rapid Growth (§ 2). Unavailing Opposition (§ 8). Christian Endeavor Conventions (5 4). Wide Range of Activity (§ 5). Scope, Principles, and Statistics (§ 6).

General Character and Origin XIV. Young Women's Christian Association in the United States of

America. Origin and Purpose (§ 1). City and Student Associations (§ 2).

Organization and Conferences (§ 3). International Affiliations and Sta-

tistics (§ 4). XV. Young Women's Christian Asso ciation of Great Britain and Ireland.

Origin and History (§ 1) General Organization (§ 2). The Local Associations (§ 3). Home, Social Service, and Foreign Departments (§ 4).

year. The organization is international in its scope and has auxiliary organizations in all the states of the Union, in all the provinces of Canada, and in Brazil, while its work is followed by many individuals in foreign countries as well. The membership of the union consists of accredited delegates from young people's societies in Baptist churches and from

Baptist churches where no young people's society exists. The union maintains international headquarters in Chicago, Ill., and holds its meetings annually, in such places as may be decided upon from year to year, in what is known as the International Convention of the Baptist Young People's Union of America. The object of the union is declared to be, "The unification of Baptist young people; their increased spirituality; their stimulation in Christian service; their edification in Scripture knowledge; their instruction in Baptist doctrine and history, and their enlistment in missionary activity through existing denominational organizations." For the accomplishment of these ends the union, immediately after its organization, inaugurated a scheme of studies which are known as the Christian Culture Courses. These are three in number and are as follows: the Bible Readers' Course, a system of daily, devotional Bible readings which goes through the Bible every four years; the Sacred Literature Course, a four-years' course of study in church history and Christian doctrine; and the Conquest Missionary Course, a comprehensive and correlated system of missionary study, including all departments of missionary activity in which the denomination is engaged. To meet the increasing needs of the union these courses have been extended into the Jumor and Advanced Departments, so that now the Baptist Young People's Union of America is carrying forward nine courses of study in all.

The Junior Union, with the same object as the senior society, was called into existence to serve those of younger age, and is supposed to be made up of those between twelve and sixteen years old. The Advanced Department is for those who, having completed the regular courses, wish to pursue further study in any of the same lines. The courses of study in the Junior and Senior Departments are followed by annual examinations, and diplomas are issued to successful students. While only a small proportion of those taking the studies undergo examination, it is conservatively estimated that not less than 1,500,000 young people have taken one or more of these courses during the past sixteen years.

In the first years of the movement the enthusiasm was phenomenal, and though the interest is not now so vigorous, it is far more satisfactory and significant. The most recent statistics would indicate that there are 600,000 persons connected with the societies of the Union in the United States and Canada.

The organs of the movement are two, Service, a monthly illustrated magazine which is the successor of The Baptist Union, the original organ; and Our Juniors, a monthly sixteen-page paper devoted to the interests of the Junior work. These organs carry the text of the study work and general information of the movement, and are now published by the American Baptist Publication Society in Philadelphia, the denominational publishing-house. The Rev. E. Y. Mullins (q.v.), of Louisville, Ky., is president of the union, and the Rev. George T. Webb is the general secretary.

GEORGE T. WEBB.

II. Brotherhood of Andrew and Philip. See Andrew and Philip, Brotherhood of.

III. Brotherhood of St. Andrew. See Protestant Episcopalians, II., § 6.

IV. Daughters of the King: An order of women in the Protestant Episcopal Church, having as is object the spread of Christ's kingdom among women and the strengthening of parish life. It had in origin in the senior Bible class for women in the Church of the Holy Sepulchre, New York City, which had chosen as its class name "Daughten of the King." The teacher of the class, Mrs. M. J. Franklin, who also became the founder of the order, called a meeting on Easter Eve, 1885, and, the rector's consent for the formation of an association being obtained, a committee was appointed to select a badge and a motto for the order. The badge chosen was a Greek cross fleury of silver, charged on the horizontal with the words Magnanimite ancem sustine, which became the motto of the order, and at the base of the perpendicular were the initials of the watchword, F. H. S. ("For His Sake"). It was neither intended nor expected that the order would in any way supersede the old-established aid societies, women's gilds, or other parochial activities, since it was organized as a semi-religious order, standing for the ratification of the confirmation vow. Only communicants of the Episcopal Church are eligible to membership. They are admitted with a solemn service before the altar, invested with the cross—the emblem of their faith—and pledged by a vow to prayer and service.

The order works through parochial chapters, and has a central council, composed of fifteen members elected at the triennial convention, these members themselves meeting twice annually. Local assenblies have been formed in nearly every diocese in the United States, and the order is also well established in Canada, England, China, Haiti, the Danish West Indies, Honolulu, and Australia. The order is distinctively churchly in character, loyal to the rector of the parish, and intended to give the best expression to the Christian life. Its sim is quality rather than quantity. There are at present nearly 900 chapters and about 15,000 members on the roll of the order. It supports a Daughter in the foreign field, and its office is in the Church Missions House, New York City. The official organ of the order, The Royal Cross, has been issued since 1891, and serves as a medium for the free exchange of views and as a record of chapter work for the spread of Christ's kingdom among women.

SARA D. BLUXOME. V. Epworth League: The name given to the independent, though closely similar, official organizations for young people in the leading Methodist denominations of America. The Epworth League in the Methodist Episcopal Church is the outgrowth of organized work for young people within the denomination, and, as far as can now be determined, the movement began in Philadelphia prior to 1872 in the Fifty-first Street Methodist Episcopal Church, of which the Rev. T. B. Neely, now a bishop, was then pastor. It spread among the churches of the city, and a union was organized. The general conference at Brooklyn in 1872 was memorialized but took no action; the general conference of 1876 gave official recognition. As the new movement did not the demand, other organizations sprang me of them became bodies of importance. Because of the manifest advantages of a consolidation, representatives of the five principal organizations met at at Cleveland, O., May 15, 1889, and merged them into a single society to be Epworth League, which received official or the church from the general conference An official organ, The Epworth Herald, ed, and soon attained the largest circular denominational religious paper for young

Methodist Episcopal Church, South, unlocal societies existed for years until a n appointed by the general conference, rganized a connectional society for young ular in plan to that recently formed in the ; Episcopal Church, and to this new orthey also gave the name of Epworth In the same year the Methodist Church provided a similar organization with the e, and these two denominations each gave nisation an official paper called, in both The Epworth Era. The movement thus rapidly established in the three great 1- Methodist denominations of the continent grew amazingly in numbers and enthusiasm, spreading throughout the churches and into the mission fields, and ie leading denominational young people's

denomination the Epworth League is oversight of a board, with a general sec-I representative international committee all interdenominational interests, and it international conventions have been e local chapters are grouped for adminisiefly by districts and conferences follownominational organization; and the local of the league are, according to the age of ership, organized as junior, intermediate, , with adaptations to the needs of those The distinctive work is done under four its, among which are distributed the overpromotion of the devotional and evantivities, study and training in the Bible, nary and cognate movements, Christian , temperance and other reforms, social d Christian philanthropy, and the genry and social activities required by young avowed purpose of the Epworth League to save, and to train the young people for ist, and thereby to create a world-conhurch. For this purpose it is marshaling ian young people and adding their splenilities to the resources of the Church in the saving, and training of their associates. of the work is in its weekly devotional and it is developing a mighty leavening ough study classes in the Bible missions, a, Christian stewardship, and Christian

husiasm of the early days of the Epworth s been succeeded by a policy of practical matic achievement, and the organization is now accomplishing a service of greater value than ever before, while its future is believed to contain possibilities yet unmeasured. Of late years the intensive forms of work have rapidly increased, and this fact has radically changed the character of the conventions, and has given rise to

3. Results summer institutes for instruction and training in the Christian life and in and Statistics. practical service. Out of these have come hundreds of volunteers for the ministry, the mission fields, and other forms of service. The Epworth League has profoundly influenced the life of the Methodist churches through the effect of these methods upon the younger ministry, the later missionary recruits, and the young laymen promoted from chapter cabinets to official boards. It is developing a spirit of liberality that promises well for the future Church. From small incomes the young people contribute hundreds of thousands of dollars annually to the official benevolences, in addition to their contributions for local support. In the Methodist Episcopal Church there are now Epworth League secretaries under appointment for India and Mexico, and money has been provided for the publication in the native languages of literature for the systematic religious culture of the young people. The practical ideal of a worldencircling army of trained Christian young people of all nations, united to win the world, is rapidly coming into view. The Epworth League is still increasing in numbers, though approaching the limit fixed by the denominational strength. The statistics given by the Methodist Episcopal Church, South, are: chapters 4,067, members 145,091; by the Methodist Episcopal Church: senior chapters 13,427, members 573,317, junior chapters 6,127, members 235,646—a total of 19,554 chapters and 808,963 members. These numbers are, however, inadequate, for official statistics have been required but recently, and these figures do not include about thirty unreported conferences and missions. Statistics for the Methodist Church of Canada are not at command. The general secretaries and headquarters of the Epworth Leagues for the three leading denominations given above are as follows: for the Methodist Episcopal Church, South, the Rev. F. S. Parker, Nashville, Tenn.; for the Methodist Church of Canada, the Rev. S. T. Bartlett, Toronto, Ont.; and for the Methodist Episcopal Church, the

Rev. Edwin M. Randall, Chicago, Ill.

VI. International Order of the King's Daughters and Sons: An interdenominational young people's society, founded Jan. 13, 1886, by Mrs. Margaret Bottome (q.v.). Its real origin was in a New York circle of the type of the Lend-a-Hand Clubs (see VII.), which took the name of "The King's Daughters," and, after its reorganization as a club of ten members, adopted the four mottoes of the older society, with the watchword, "In His Name," and the badge of a silver Maltese cross, bearing the initials "I. H. N." and the date "1886." This circle soon formed the model for others, the distinction between the King's Daughters and the Lend-a-Hand Clubs lying in the former's firm Trinitarianism and in its declaration that "ours is distinctly a spiritual organization, based on strictly

evangelical principles. Our foundation is Jesus Christ, our Lord, in whose atonement alone we rely for salvation, and by whose power, and in whose name and to whose glory all our work is done." On the other hand, it neither sought to make minute inquiry into the theological views of its members nor did it endeavor to found a new sect, but advocated close allegiance to the denominations with which its members were already affiliated. In 1887 the society was opened to men and boys, and within a decade it numbered some 400,000 members, its present membership being over 500,000. It is to be found in North and South America, Great Britain, Germany, France, Italy, Greece, Switzerland, Denmark, Turkey, India, China, Japan, Australia, New Zealand, Hawaii, and the West Indies; and it has extended its work to the sick and the prisoner, to the victim of calamity, and to the mission field, as well as to educational institutions of all sorts.

The purpose of the society is to influence "first, the heart, next the home, then the Church, and after that the great outside." The constitution provides for circles and chapters of circles with state secretaries, a general supervision being exercised by a central council, though the greatest latitude is allowed individual circles in aims and methods. The official organ is the weekly Silver Cross, published in New York City.

VII. Lend-a-Hand Clubs: An interdenominational society for the promotion of the Christian life of its members and the extension of the kingdom of God. Since the last quarter of the nineteenth century a large number of young people's societies have grown up in churches of different communions, with a desire, on the part of those who formed them, to enter into the missionary and philanthropic work of the world. In many instances these societies are affiliated with one another, so that they keep up a mutual acquaintance by correspondence and by meetings through local organizations and at national congresses. As early as the year 1874 Miss Mary A. Lathbury, then directing the children's department of The Christian Advocate, founded the Look-up Legion, based upon what are generally known among the societies as the "four mottoes,'

"Look up and not down,
Look forward and not backward,
Look out and not in,
Lend a hand."

Such societies were formed generally among the older children of Sunday-schools, each with its own officers, under the direction, however, of some older person. The Look-up Legion spread so far that it was divided into several groups, and its membership extended to perhaps 100,000 persons. Each of the members were a Maltese cross with a rising sun behind it.

The earliest society formed under the "four mottoes" was established by Miss Ella Russell in the city of New York in the year 1871. The boys who formed it were members of a mission-school in which she was a teacher. They took the name of the "Harry Wadsworth Helpers" from the hero of E. E. Hale's (q.v.) story of *Ten Times One is Ten* (Boston, 1870), in which the "four mottoes" first

appeared. Various other Harry Wadsworth Clubs. Ten-Times-One Clubs, Lend-a-Hand Clubs, Look. out Clubs, etc., exist in various parts of the world The United Society of these clubs, at Boston, receives communications from Japan, from China from the countries on the east of the Mediterranean from various island groups of the Pacific, from South America, and from every part of the United States. All these societies, while they attempt to maintain mutual good-fellowship, and while members are pledged to help each other in sympathy and Chris tian union, have at the same time some duty each in bringing in the kingdom of God. It is understood in their organization that the members must not live for themselves alone, but must bear ach other's burdens. The greater part of the clubs are formed among young people, although some dubs are in existence which were formed in 1871, in which the adult members are still personally interested. The Lend a Hand Record is a monthly journal published in Boston, and forming the medium of communication between the members of the different EDWARD EVERETT HALET. societies.

VIII. Luther League of America: The young people's society of the Lutheran Church in America, organized at Pittsburg, Pa., Oct. 30-31, 1895. It unites, in a common cause and for a common purpose, the Lutheran young people's societies in the Lutheran Church, regardless of synodical affiliation or linguistic difference. They acknowledged, as the bond of their union, the Word of God as the only infallible rule of faith and practise, and the unaltered Augsburg Confession as the correct exponent of that Word. The founds-

exponent of that word. The follows:

Foundation upon which this organization is tion and built is that of the church itself, and Purpose. any society, no matter what its name,

connected with a Lutheran congregation or institution of learning, is entitled to membership by conforming to and subscribing the constitution of the Luther League of America. It insists that each society cooperating with the League should be connected with either a Lutheran church or Lutheran institution of learning, and that its active members should be composed of communicants of the Lutheran Church, so that it embraces to-day, upon consistent grounds, Young People's Associations, Luther Alliances, Christian Endeavor Societies, King's Sons and King's Daughters, Young Men's and Young Women's Societies, gilds, and kindred organizations. Wherever these societies exist in Lutheran churches, it is presumed, and rightly so, that they are established in the interest and for the upbuilding of the Lutheran Church. The purpose of these leagues is to encourage the formation of young people's societies in the Lutheran congregations, to stimulate the various young people's societies to greater Christian activity, and to foster the spirit of loyalty to the church. It develops clear Christian faith by encouraging Bible study and imparting a knowledge of the Lutheran Church, historical and doctrinal, and of its usages. It trains the church's youth for active service; and it insists that care be exercised in the assignment of work. To the individual member of the league it proposes to quicken a clearer consciousness of

Christian faith, and it seeks to produce in each member fidelity to his own church by promoting his usefulness as one of its workers, and to help each member to be a true witness for Christ and an efficient teacher of the Gospel.

Four classes of members are recognized, active members, associate members, cooperating members, that is, those who for any reason can not accept the duties of full membership, but who are willing to render either financial or other valuable services

to the league, and finally, in view of 2. Organ-the relation of the league to the church, izition and the pastor and members of the church Principles. council, who are ex-officio honorary

members of the league. The principles of the league are federation, which is the governmental principle, and cooperation, which is the economic principle, seeking to avoid waste in the development and utilisation of the energies of the young people of the church. The league presents to the young people of the Lutheran Church the opportunity for self-culture. As an organization it means to aid young people in the Christian life, and it proposes doctrinal intelligence as the highest form of selfculture for the Lutheran youth. The Lutheran Church follows up baptism by catechization and confirmation, and the preparation of Lutheran Christians for the work of Christ and his Church is to know their own work and way of working best of all. The league does not offer the Lutheran young people a system of study entirely colorless of doctrine, but it proposes to give the great truths of the Gospel to its members, as received by the Lutheran Church, by a systematic Bible study.

The Luther League has an organization in almost every state of the union where a Lutheran congregation is to be found. Since its organization as a national body in 1895, its work has extended around the world. At the national convention in Chicago in 1908, the Luther League of Porto Rico and the Luther League of Canada were received into membership. Immediately following the Chicago convention, Rev. Luther M. Kuhns, the general secretary of the Luther League of America, by

action of the convention, visited the Lutheran missions throughout the sion and world. Steps were taken for the ordanisistation of the Luther League in the Lutheran missions in Japan, China,

Federated Malay States, and India; and the character of the work of the organization has been brought to the attention of the pastors and Christian workers in Germany. The official organ of the society is The Luther League Review, founded and edited by E. F. Eilert, of New York City, and it also publishes The Luther League Topics and Junior Topics. The organization of the Sullin League consists of the National as the thinking, suggesting, directing head, and the local societies as the active, operating factor. The locals compose the district, and the district organizations the state. Local, district, and state societies are represented in the National conventions by duly elected and acredited delegates. Since the Cincinnati convention # 1900 the President of the Luther League has been Vm. C. Stoever, a Philadelphia layman, and the other officers are as follows: general secretary, Rev. Luther M. Kuhns, Omaha, Neb.; assistant general secretary, Harry Hodges, Philadelphia, Pa.; treasurer, C. T. A. Anderson, Chicago, Ill.; statistical secretary, Rev. C. K. Hunton, Salem, Va.; literature secretary, Rev. Paul H. Roth, Beloit, Wis.; topic secretary, Rev. George H. Schnur, St. Paul, Minn. Besides these, an executive committee of ten members has entire charge of the work of the league.

IX. Young Men's, Apprentices', and Working Men's Associations in Germany: The young men's associations in Germany had their origin in the desire of young men to associate with persons of equal position and age, as well as in the anxiety of parents and pastors to protect young men, living away from home, against temptation, an additional factor being the desire of those interested in the welfare

of society to keep them from the dan-1. Origin gers of the spirit of the time in its peof Young culiar forms of revolutionary ideas, Men's As- social democracy, and estrangement sociations in from the Church. The oldest of these Germany. societies date from the middle of the

eighteenth century; and though there were at first only vague ideas of such associations and some sporadic germs, the second stage of their development clearly showed the beginnings of systematic activity and of federation, as well as a realization of the importance of such societies for larger classes, while in the third stage the work has advanced so far, through the enlargements of its unions and their international connections, that it has become an important factor in Christian social life.

The first small associations had an essentially Pietistic character, and the one founded at Basel by Pastor Meyenrock in 1758 is usually considered the earliest. Among the rules of this society we find the injunction to remain faithful to the word of God and to the Apostles' Creed, and to consider it one's right, and even duty, to admonish one's neighbor.

About fifty years later this society was

2. History. dissolved, although it was soon revived, and shortly afterward, in 1817, a similar association grew up in Stuttgart under the leadership of an official named Engelmann, who established it chiefly as a gathering for prayer. Another society, established at Elberfeld in 1816 by Pastor K. A. Döring (1783-1844) for the purpose of fostering zeal for missions, was even more successful. An association very similar to that of Basel was founded in 1834 by F. L. Mallet (q.v.) in Bremen, the rules of which became the basis of the West German associations. From Bremen the cause of these societies received a vigorous impulse and entered larger circles, owing chiefly to the services of a merchant, C. F. Klein, while J. H. Wichern (q.v.) and his activity in the field of home missions also contributed to the general spread of publicity. Thus far the work had been carried on chiefly by laymen, but now theological and other trained workers were employed. About this same time, moreover, federations were formed, as, for example, the Rhenish-Westphalian federation of young men (1848), the East German federation (1856), the

South German (1869), the Saxon (1878), the North German (1880), the federation of Alsace-Lorraine (1884), the Silesian (1887), and the Thuringian (1890). At the head of all was placed, in 1896, a general federation representing all smaller unions under the leadership of Superintendent K. Krummacher in Elberfeld. Since 1855 international conferences have been held triennially, and in 1878 an international committee with two agents was instituted at Geneva. In this way the German associations have been influenced by foreign ones, especially by English and American societies of the same

kind. The principal difference between the Anglo-American and German unions consists in the fact that the former lay the chief stress upon the missionary activity of their members in regard to outsiders, while the latter do not. Another difference is the equal recognition of all denominations on the part of Anglo-American societies, while the German and Scandinavian societies consider themselves as belonging to their respective state churches. Of about 1,800 German young men's associations some 1,400 belong to the federations already mentioned, which have 14 newspapers, 40 buildings of their own, and 25 secretaries and agents. The life of the societies in their inward aspect is de-3. Methods, veloped by devotional exercises, in-Aims, and struction, and entertainment. Accord-Results. ing to their motto, Ps. cxix. 9, God's word is the basis, center, and rule of the associations. In their headquarters special

Bible hours are held, and discussions of the Bible take place even on evenings which are devoted to other purposes. Yet religion is only one phase of the life of these societies, although it is their allpermeating spirit. Education is also a very important factor; there are libraries in the different houses of the societies; courses are given in the branches of the public schools, as well as in bookkeeping, drawing, French, and English; exercises in debates and recitations take place; and popular lectures are delivered on history and natural science. Instruction in the strict sense, however, is the weakest point in these associations, since the available funds very rarely enable them to secure teaching forces which can compete with those of better-endowed institutions. The social side shows more satisfactory results; vocal and instrumental music are especially fostered, as are gymnastics, games, and theatricals. It is inevitable that such strenuous activity within the associations must have some influence upon the outside world. Although proselyting is prohibited, sermons and tracts are distributed, aid is given to the teaching forces of Sunday-schools, and destitute young men are cared for even though they may not belong to the association, special assistance being given young men coming to Berlin and other large cities. Since 1891 there has also been a mission for soldiers, and similar missions have been formed for waiters, bakers, and other working men who can not attend church on Sunday. The associations have likewise been active in charitable work by creating employment bureaus, provisions for lodgings, saving-banks, sick funds, and burial funds.

From these young men's associations have been developed the so-called Jugendvereine, or apprentices' associations, the Christian associations for young business people, the young men's Christian associations (formed in strict secondance with the American Y. M. C. A.), and the Christian Endeaver societies. The Jugendvereine naturally branched off from the young men's associations in the wider sense, and since young men under seventeen did not

harmonize in all respects with their
4. Protesolder companions on account of the
tant Offshoots from men (Gesellen) clung strictly to their
Young higher rank over against the apprenMen's Astices, special associations for younger
sociations.

this special branch of young men found its characteristic expression in Stuttgart, where a building was erected in 1867 with dormitories, dining-rooms, and assembly halls. The Christian associations for young business people owe there existence to the desire of these young men to maintain their interests as a separate class of people. They were founded in 1848, and consist of ten societies, which form a confederation. The young men's Christian associations, modeled on the American institution, were founded in Berlin in 1883 by the German-American Fritz Schlümbach. Her the distinction between the different Protestant denominations is wiped out, and efforts are made to sttract outsiders to the associations. These societies have enjoyed aristocratic patronage and are provided with large sums of money; and they have been introduced in a number of German cities, where their stately buildings have gained them many members. Their work is divided among different committees according to the different talents of the individuals, and is directed especially to bakers, soldiers, waiters, gardeners, and street-railway men. The endeavor societies do not differ from the American societies of the same character. Their purpose is to further the religious life of their members by Christian fel-

The Roman Catholic associations of working men (Gesellenvereine) form the counterpart of the Protestant young men's associations, and were founded by A. Kolping (1813-65), who had himself been an artizan until he succeeded, after great toil and labor, in entering the priesthood. He knew his former associates and their wants, and possessed

Catholic
Young
Men's Associations.

A talent for organization. The beginnings of these societies date back to 1845. Their president must be always a priest, who is proposed to the bishop sociations. of the diocese in agreement with the general committee of the local union.

These associations have been successfully incorporated within the Roman Church, the whole matter being treated from the very first as a diocesan affair. The chief purpose of these associations is the awakening and fostering of the religious life of their members. Cologne is their central seat, and their hospices for working men correspond to the Protestant homes of a similar character. On the evenings of Sundays and holidays there are lectures of an instructive and entertaining nature; in summer outings take

d on Christmas a dramatic production of s character is presented. The associagreat stress upon the industrial education nembers, and for this purpose special des have been instituted for bakers, tailors, s, etc. There is an employment bureau, a , and a savings-bank, and two dwellingth twenty-three rooms for working men.

(THEODOR SCHÄFER.) ng Men's Christian Associations: These denominational societies of young men, on an evangelical basis to promote the oral, social, and physical welfare of young tive, voting membership is confined to embers, but larger numbers unconnected ches become associate members for the hysical, social, and educational privileges. is carried on by the Christian young men s, laboring individually in the sphere of their daily calling, and collectively on al committees having charge of readinger rooms, libraries, gymnasia, athletic fields, educational classes, lecturecourses, religious meetings, and Bibleclasses, for young men exclusively, nouses, dormitories, with which most ty association buildings are equipped, embureaus, visitation of sick young men, etc. ciations also, as opportunity offers, hold ninational religious services in shops, in neighborhoods, public institutions, thea-, etc. The parent English-speaking assos organized at London by George Williams ne 6, 1844. Societies earlier formed in (see above, IX.) came into affiliation English-speaking associations and those of is in 1855. By suggestion from London, ns were formed in Montreal Nov. 25, Boston Dec. 29, 1851; and in New York 1852. The first international convention ociations of the United States and British met in Buffalo, N. Y., June 7, 1854, and vorld's conference convened in Paris Aug. Here the following test of membership,

wn as the "Paris Basis," was adopted: oung Men's Christian Associations seek to unite g men, who, regarding Jesus Christ as their God , according to the Holy Scriptures, desire to be in their doctrine and in their life, and to associfforts for the extension of his kingdom among

, 1860, the 203 associations of North Amerbout 25,000 members. At the outbreak of War, many members entered the armies on both sides, and the associations d followed them with efforts for their in welfare. At the instance of the New York Association, a special convention was called, Nov. 14, 1861, which rethe organization of the United States Commission (q.v.); and during the war ations were largely absorbed in army work. close of hostilities, a new season of growth ity began. In 1866 the executive comthe convention, which had been located · to year in different cities, was situated of years in New York City (where the

working quorum has since been continued) and has become known and incorporated as the "International Committee." The convention which met in Detroit June 24, 1868, adopted the following test of active membership, since known as the "Evangelical Test":

"Resolved, That as these organizations bear the name of Christian, and profess to be engaged directly in the Savior's service, so it is clearly their duty to maintain the control and management of all their affairs in the hands of those who profess to love, and publicly avow their faith in Jesus, the Redeemer, as divine; and who testify their faith by becoming and remaining members of churches held to be evangelical; and that such persons, and none others, should be allowed to vote, or hold office."

At the Portland convention, July 14, 1869, the word "Evangelical" was thus defined:

"We hold those churches to be evangelical, which, maintaining the Holy Scriptures to be the only infallible rule of faith and practise, do believe in the Lord Jesus Christ (the only-begotten of the Father, King of kings, and Lord of lords, in whom dwelleth all the fulness of the Godhead bodily, and who was made sin for us, though knowing no sin, bearing our sins in his own body on the tree), as the only name under heaven given among men whereby we must be saved from everlasting punishment."

All associations organized in North America since the passage of this resolution, in order to be entitled to representation in the international convention, must limit their active, voting membership to members of Evangelical churches. The formal adoption of this test by the American associations has secured for them the active sympathy of churches and Christian communities. It is only since this time that the associations have received the real estate and 713 buildings which are valued at over \$60,000,000, and which give the societies a permanent foothold in the communities where they are located.

While the associations originated in Europe, their expansion has been most marked in North America. The American association agency of supervision, The International Committee, with the state and provincial committees which it has organized, has greatly contributed to this. It was not

Reasons for this Growth.

3. Special till 1878 that the World's Committee, with its headquarters at Geneva, Switzerland, created a similar committee. Four features of the North American associations have given them pre-

eminence: (1) the development of the all-round work, physical, educational, social, and religious; (2) the extension (which is still in progress) of the organization beyond the commercial class of city young men, among whom it originated on both sides of the Atlantic, to students in colleges and schools, railroad employees, miners, lumbermen, factory operatives, mill hands, quarrymen, and other industrial classes, soldiers and sailors, immigrants, young men in country neighborhoods, and, with an increasing emphasis, to boys, or "the young men of to-morrow," in all these groups; (3) the enlistment and training of employed officers with varied qualifications for the leadership of this varied work; and (4) the erection of association buildings specially adapted to the accommodation of the work. Superior emphasis upon the development of all these lines has given such preeminence to the North American associations that they contain

II.-31

one-half the total membership of the world brother-hood, two-thirds of the employed officers, and three-fourths of the property in buildings. When, in 1889, missionaries from all the Christian nations in the foreign mission field desired the establishment of associations on their fields, they sought and obtained from the International Committee American secretaries to plant associations of the American type. There are 8,472 associations in ten countries of America, twenty-two countries of Europe, nine of Asia, and five of Africa and Oceania, with 934,934 members, 1,697 employed general secretaries, and 1,325 buildings worth \$68,699,150.

The affiliated associations of North America have organized, through their international committee, thirty-eight state and provincial conventions. Each of these appoints an executive committee on the plan of the international committee, and a so-called "County work" is promoting through county organizations a systematic and helpful work in rural

districts. The state and provincial
4. General committees now employ 127 visiting
Organization. districts. The state and provincial
committees now employ 127 visiting
secretaries, whose efforts are essential
in the development of their work. The

yearly expenditure of the international committee is \$301,037 on its home field in North America and \$225,919 on its foreign field. The state and provincial committees expend annually over \$389,802, and 1,297 associations reported their annual current expenses as \$9,351,113, while 1,794 associations reported an aggregate membership of 536,037; 713 reported the ownership of buildings and other real estate valued at \$61,854,110; and 3,351 persons were employed as general secretaries or as agents of the local associations and of the international and state committees. The chief aim of the general secretary is to enlist and train volunteer workers, using his tact to discover the post of duty for which each member is specially fitted to serve on the various working committees, and over 72,938 members were, in 1911, enrolled as volunteers on such committees. Appropriate methods have been wrought out to meet with timely aid the stranger, the unemployed, the destitute, the sick, and the intemperate. The social, literary, and physical department appliances have been made more effective for good, and the various religious meetings have been largely increased in number and usefulness.

The international committee has over 100 employed secretaries on its home field in North America and the Philippines, some of these being occupied with the work of supervision at the office, and others with work on the field. Twelve secretaries supervise the railroad associations organized at 235 railroad-

5. Subdivisions of which contribute \$400,000 annually, Activity.

yearly. Twelve secretaries labor among college students, and 669 student associations have been organized with a membership of 58,696 students, of whom 25,000 are members of Bible classes. An outgrowth of this American student work is the World's Student Christian Federation, organized in 1895, and now having a membership of 150,000

students in 2,200 universities or colleges in thirtyone countries. The student general secretary of the international committee is also the federation's general secretary. Another outgrowth is "the Student Volunteer Movement for Foreign Missions," beginning in 1887, which has enrolled many thousand students as volunteers for the foreign-mission field. Of these over 4,700 have already been sent out as foreign missionaries by the foreign-mission boards of the various churches, the average number sent out being 250. Twenty-five secretaries supervise and extend association work among soldiers and sailors at United States military posts and naval stations in America, the Philippines, and China, and \$2,000,000 have already been invested in the buildings which accommodate the work at some of these posts and stations. Five colored secretaries supervise and extend the work of 132 colored associations with 12,000 members. Three secretaries supervise and extend the physical, educational, and religious work of the associations, and twelve are at work among employees in the Panama Canal Zone. In their physical department the associations own and administer 648 gymnasia with 172 athletic fields, manned by 284,842 gymnasium and athletic members under the training of 418 expert physical directors, assisted by a corps of 8,920 gymnasium-class leaders. The educational department of the association contains 61,904 pupils, paying \$527,346 in tuition fees. In the religious work the Bible-class attendance in 1,360 associations numbers 101,546 members, and the aggregate annual attendance of the religious meetings in 1,500 associations numbers 6,400,000. Seventeen secretaries give attention to the work in small towns and country neighborhoods among miners, mill operatives, and various industrial classes, and among boys, and they are also specially occupied with the problems of city, state, and provincial organizations, and of the training transfer, and locating of employed officers, who number 2,954, including physical, educational, religious, social, membership, employment, boys, railroad, and industrial secretaries. Thirteen secretaries, including the general secretary and his two associates, administer the work as a whole, caring for the office and publication and business departments. Training-schools for secretaries, physical directors, and other employed officers have been established in Springfield, Mass., and in Chicago.

The International Committee upon its foreign field—in China, Japan, Korea, India, Ceylon, Syria, and South America, where 365 associations have already been organized—employs 105 secretaries and expends on this field \$225,919. The World's Committee, with headquarters at Geneva, Switzerland, has given its principal attention to the associations of continental Europe. It employs eight secretaries with an annual expenditure of \$15,000, cooperating

6. Work
Outside
America.
with the national committees of Europe
in supervision and extension of association work, and keeping in correspondence and communication with
other members of the world's brother-

hood. The strongest association groups in Europe are those of Great Britain and Germany; the former with 1,241 associations, 146,871 members, and 119

, and the latter with 2,310 associations, mbers, and over 169 secretaries. In Great re are 191 association buildings valued at and in Germany, 154 buildings worth The general statistics of the remaining 1 for 1911 may be summarized as follows:

	Associ ations	Mem- bers.	Secre-	Build- ings.	Value.
	148	5,700	17	13	\$290,000
	469	12,019	- š	89	4200,000
	812	12,800	14	16	426,500
	514	15,884		92	438,000
	18	2,012		2	112,000
	113	10.890	21	13	577,000
nd	519	9,820		10	290,000
rlon-		-,			
	158	11,480	61	28	576,000
ITES-		,			,
ong	89	9.080	79	4	258,200
	72	6.475		28	281,500
rica	8	2,743	11	8	338,000
New	1 1	-,		-	,
i. and	1		1		
11a	19	9.907	40	18	824,000
merica			1	-	.,
xico	14	4,058	28	5	475,000

g People's Christian Endeavor Union ch of the United Brethren in Christ: A le's society of the Church of the United 1 Christ, organized by a called convenpastors and young people's society workton, O., June 4-5, 1890. The organiza-effected was called the Young People's Jnion, and included all young people's whatever name, connected with United hurches. Previous to the organization of Young People's Christian Endeavor Young People's Christian Associations, ocieties of other names existed, and the ple's Christian Union included all these. ns for local Christian Union Societies ian Endeavor Societies were provided, each congregation the choice as to form

In addition to the general union, each ference is organized as a branch union, forty of these. General conventions are ially, and branch conventions are held The conventions are mass gatherings, ive business is transacted by delegates nstituted by the branch unions and by cieties. For twenty years the direction nization was in the hands of an executive even members, including the president and hree of whom were elected by the Genence, to which the union reported quad-In 1909 the General Conference placed ment of the Christian Endeavor under a h has oversight of the Sunday-school, i, and Young People's work. Each local s annual dues of one dollar, half of which treasury of the general union and half ch union treasuries. The first Sunday in erved as "anniversary day," when offerde to missionary enterprises at home and elds. These offerings have aided in estabrches in Los Angeles, Chicago, Porto Japan, and schools in Freetown and rica, and mission work among foreigners

They are now used in promoting Chrisvor work.

At the biennial convention held in June, 1908, the name of the general union was changed to Young People's Christian Endeavor Union, and all branch unions and local societies were recommended to adopt the Christian Endeavor name, which was done. In 1893 the general conference of the United Brethren Church formally constituted the Young People's Christian Union as a department of the denomination, and established The Watchword as the organ of the department, electing Rev. H. F. Shupe as editor. This paper is a sixteen-page illustrated weekly, with a circulation of 42,000, published by the United Brethren Publishing House, at Dayton, O. The first president was Prof. J. P. Landis, who served twelve years, when Rev. J. G. Huber was elected to succeed him. The organization numbers: Young People's Societies, 1,574, with 63,358 members; Junior Societies, 562, with 22,155 members; total, 2,136 societies, with 85,513 members. These societies pay annually to special missionary objects about \$10,000. H. F. SHUPE.

XII. Young People's Christian Union of the Universalist Church: A denominational young people's society organized at Lynn, Mass., Oct. 22, 1889, and incorporated under Massachusetts laws on Mar. 10, 1898, its object being to promote the religious and spiritual life of its members, to train the young people of the church in missionary work, and to prepare them for efficient service in the larger work of the church. Since its organization the union has held twenty-three annual conventions, the last one being held at Portland, Me., in July, 1911. The union has built four churches in various parts of the country, and in addition to paying for the buildings it has assisted materially in paying the salaries of pastors of several churches, reducing the contribution from year to year as the members of the individual organizations have been able to increase their income. In its post-office mission department thousands of pieces of Universalist literature bearing upon all phases of Universalism have been distributed through the agency of the United States mail to people in isolated places throughout the country. In its Christian citizenship work the union has endeavored to train its members to grow up as Christian men and women, loyal to the highest ideals of their country, and examples of the best type of manhood and womanhood. The national union comprises sixteen state unions and 225 local unions, while its total membership is about 7,000. The officers consist of a president, secretary, treasurer, and four others who, with the officers, comprise the executive board, which governs the union between conventions. The state unions hold annual conventions, composed of delegates from the local unions. Financially, the union is in a very good condition, its annual report for the past year showing a satisfactory surplus in every department. Its running expenses, including salaries, supplies, etc., are met by an annual per-capita tax levied upon the state unions on the basis of their membership. The expenses of the mission department are met by receipts from convention pledges, which annually amount into the thousands, and from the two-cents-a-week system, in which every member who is able contributes two cents a week to the

work of the union. The income from this latter source has shown a remarkable increase in the last few years. The department of social service is commanding much interest among the young people. This employs social addresses, summer camps, antituberculosis campaigns, visiting nurse associations, and other forms of applied Christianity, through individuals and unions.

One of the most important departments of the union is that of the Junior Union, in which all children of the Universalist Church too young to take up the work of the union itself are enrolled. These local unions meet weekly under the care of a superintendent appointed by the senior union, and the meetings are along similar lines to those of their elders, though much more simple in form. State and national superintendents supervise the work of these local organizations and direct their energies. The official organ of the union is Onward, a bi-weekly paper of twelve pages, published by the Universalist Publishing House at Boston, and edited by Roger F. Etz, of Boston; and the union maintains permanent headquarters at 359 Boylston Street, Boston, Mass.

XIII. Young People's Society of Christian Endeavor: The Young People's Society of Christian Endeavor is an interdenominational organization founded by Rev. Francis E. Clark (q.v.) on Feb. 2, 1881, in the Williston Congregational Church, Portland, Me., of which he was then pastor. This church was well fitted to be the birthplace of such a society, for it was a young church, filled with young people, and presided over by a young pastor, not out of his twenties, and neither pastor nor people were afraid to try new plans. In fact, they had made a number of experiments before the method which proved successful was

adopted, these running largely to debating, musical, or amusement societies, which, though very well in their Primary way, had not enough of the strenucharacteristics. permanently hold the enthusiasm of

the best young people. This new society, however, was distinctively a religious organization. It did not despise other attractions, but it did recognize the fact that religion is the most interesting thing in the world to old and young, and it put the emphasis upon the word "Christian" in its title. Another word in the title which was underscored in practise was the word "Endeavor." It did not boast overmuch as a society of Christian accomplishment, but more modestly it claimed to be a company of triers, who were willing to make an attempt, even though it might fail. This characteristic of the new society was made evident by the constitution which was adopted at the pastor's house on the evening of organization. By signing their names to that constitution the members promised to try to attend and to take some little part in each weekly meeting, and also to try to do their duty on whatever committee they might be placed. None of them were speechmakers, and none of them were expert in Christian work, but they could try to do their best, and so they became the first society of Christian Endeavor. This pledge to try to do these

things proved to be the strength of the sort well as of all the tens of thousands formed upon model in subsequent years, for the constitution adopted is the same one, in its essential feature that which now, for three decades, has been adin all parts of the world. It has been true into at least a hundred languages, and has ben scribed by at least 10,000,000 of young people, a of whom are now no longer young, and who gone on to other forms of Christian work. Mo the failures that have occurred may be ascribed lack of adherence to these simple principles of pu religion first, and of making an attempt to a some word and do some service, however stal the Master's name and in his strength. In a v outspoken devotion to Christ, constant service him, and loyalty to his Church were the chan istics of this first society and of those that succe it. As the movement developed in all denomina and in all lands, universal fellowship with all C tians became a prominent and ever-enlarging fe of the organization.

The second society was formed eight mouths the first in the North Congregational Chur Newburyport, Mass., under the leadership of pastor, Rev. Charles Perry Mills, who, untitime of his death, was a warm advocate of this of work for young people. The

2. Rapid societies, some accounts of which Growth. appeared in The Congregationals Boston and The Sunday School I of Philadelphis, began to multiply, at first sibut with rapidly increasing momentum. They through boundaries of state and denominat lines, and were taken up with eagerness and esiasm by young people in city and country, East West, and in all walks and conditions of life following figures will show the accelerated to

growth of the movement in the earlier years:

1882, 7 1886, 850 | 1890, 11,013 1894, 33,
1883, 56 1887, 2,314 1891, 16,274 1895, 41,
1884, 156 1888, 4,879 1892, 21,080 1896, 46,
1885, 253 1889, 7,672 1893, 26,284 1897, 43,

The society was not long confined to Ame and it was scarcely four years old before it wa troduced by a missionary, Miss Margaret Li to India by way of Ceylon. About the same! Rev. George H. Hubbard, a young missionary Connecticut, started a little society in Fu-China, which soon multiplied itself over and again, until now 150 societies exist in the Fu province of China alone, and hundreds throughout that great empire. In 1888 the fou of the society was first invited to go to Englar tell about Christian Endeavor, which had alr become a movement of some importance in A ica, at the May meeting of the Sunday School U in London. There was then one society in (Britain, in the Hightown Church of Crewe. first the growth was slow, but soon it gathered! and now more than 10,000 societies are found i United Kingdom, numbering something like 000 members. In Australia the society took about the same time as in Great Britain, introd by a young sailor who belonged to the second so in Newburyport, and the movement has spread

every city and almost every hamlet of the island continent. Into Japan it found its way, into Hawaii, Samoa, and the other islands of the South Seas. Then to Germany (where a strong contingent of 500 societies is now found) it made its way, as well as to Spain, France, Switzerland, Scandinavia, Russia, Bulgaria, Hungary, Turkey, Persia, Egypt, and South Africa; and in all lands the characteristics are very much the same.

But the society was not destined to make progress mehallenged or unhindered, for many opposed it and predicted its early demise. After a few years, its rapid growth alarmed the stricter sectarians, who feared some weakening of denominational loyalty on the part of the young people if they were

allowed to mingle too freely with other
3. Unavailing Opposition.

allowed to mingle too freely with other
young people at conventions and union
meetings, though loyalty to their own
denomination was one of the cardinal
tenets of Christian Endeavor. The

lest way, they thought, to head off the new movenent was to start another society with substantially he same principles and methods, but purely deominational in name and affiliation. This was done several instances, the first of these societies being tarted some eight years after the beginning of the hristian Endeavor movement, but several of these rganizations, feeling the impulse of these later ays toward a larger fellowship, have come into he ranks of Christian Endeavor. This larger felwahip of Christians has been greatly promoted y the different unions which began to spring up ery early in the history of the Christian Endeavor sovement. The United Society (or national union if the United States and Canada) was organized in 1885, and now numbers more than 50,000 societies. Local unions and state unions soon followed, and now every state and territory and province, and every city and nearly every considerable town in the United States, has its Christian Endeavor union, which holds yearly, semiannual, or quarterly conventions or conferences, which are great sources of interdenominational Christian fellowship.

When the societies began to grow numerous in other lands, similar unions sprang up there, until now there is scarcely a Christian country in the world that does not have its National Christian Endeavor Union, while in India, China, and Japan these unions are equally flourishing and influential.

The conventions conducted by these

4. Christian national unions have been interesting,

Radeavor and in some respects phenomenal

features of the religious life of the last
quarter of a century. In numbers, they
are said by well-informed church his-

rians to have surpassed any religious gatherings the history of the Church. The national convenion held in Boston in 1895 brought together 56,425 gistered delegates, and several others have appoached that number in attendance. Notable aventions of this sort that have attracted the tention of the nation have been held in New York, 'ashington, San Francisco, Baltimore, Seattle, and other cities. For these conventions, cities make aborate preparations; parks and railway stations, iblic buildings, stores, and private residences are

elaborately decorated; great tents, holding 10,000 people each, are called into service when more substantial meeting-places are inadequate, and the spiritual life of the community is often profoundly stirred. In Melbourne, Sydney, Adelaide, London, Glasgow, Belfast, and Berlin, in Fu-chau and Ningpo in China, and in Osaka and Kobe in Japan similar national conventions, great in numbers and religious power, have been held, while thousands of smaller conventions, but of a like character, are held every year in different parts of the world. The World's Union of Christian Endeavor was organized in Boston in 1895, and since then has held three great conventions, one in London in 1900, which was attended, it is estimated, by 50,000 delegates; the second in Geneva, Switzerland, in 1906, attended by people speaking thirty different languages and representing forty countries and more than fifty different denominations; and the third in Agra, India, in 1909. These Christian Endeavor unions of all kinds—world's, national, state, district, county, and local-exercise no authority over any local society, but exist simply for fellowship and inspiration. The only authority for any Christian Endeavor society is its own church and pastor.

In many unusual and unexpected places the society has found a place for itself, as on the ships of the United States Navy and on ships of the merchant marine, where many "floating societies" exist. In many prisons societies have been established among the converted prisoners after special evangelistic meetings, and, so far as is known, these

Endeavor prisoners, when once re5. Wide
Range of
Activity.

Endeavor prisoners, when once released, have never gone back again.

In soldiers' barracks, policemen's quarters, fire-engine stations, trolley-car
barns, factories, department stores, and

large hotels (among the employees) societies have been formed that have done great good. A very interesting development of the Boer War was the formation of societies in the prison camps of St. Helena, Ceylon, and the Bermudas, which resulted in the conversion of hundreds of young Boers, and in sending more than 200 of them into the mission fields of Africa on their release. The society has always striven to cultivate the missionary spirit among its members, and it has contributed thousands of its members and millions of dollars to the mission fields. Good citizenship has for many years been a leading plank in the platform of the society, and temperance, civic purity, national patriotism, and international peace have a large place in its literature and on its program.

The scope and principles of the society have never been stated more succinctly than at the world's con-

other than the following of the representatives of all the great nations and Protestant denominations:

Statistics. Vention at Geneva, when the following platform of principles was adopted by Principles, the representatives of all the great nations and Protestant denominations:

Christian Endeavor is a providential move-

ment, and is promoted by societies composed largely of young people of both sexes found in every land and in every section of the Christian church. Its covenant for active members demands faith in Christ, open acknowledgment of Christ, service for Christ, and loyalty to Christ's church.

Its activities are as wide as the needs of mankind, are directed by the churches of which the societies are an integral part, and are carried on by carefully organized committees. embracing all the members.

Its strength lies in the voluntary obligation of its covenant pledge, and its adaptability to all classes and conditions

Its ideals are spirituality, sanity, enthusiasm, loyalty, fellowship, thorough organization, and consecrated devotion. Christian Endeavor stands for Spirituality and Catholic-

Its spiritual purpose is guaranteed by the fact that its active and controlling members are active, experimental Christians; its catholicity, by the fact that through other ses of members, and through various activities, all young persons may be brought under its influence and share in its perso... blessings.

Christian Endeavor stands for Loyalty and Fellowship. Its loyalty to the local church and its work is guaranteed by its covenant pledge, which embodies its motto, "For Christ and the Church"; its fellowship is guaranteed by its insistence only on fundamental Christian principles, which has enabled it already to find a home in every Christian land and denomination.

Christian Endeavor stands for Christian Missions and all wise philanthropies at home and abroad, approved by the

churches to which the societies belong.

Christian Endeavor stands for Good Citizenship in the broadest sense of the term, and is unalterably opposed to private and corporate greed, to intemperance, impurity, and everything that lowers the standard of manhood and woman-

Christian Endeavor stands for Peace and Good Will among men, and is opposed to all unjust war and unjust industrial as contrary to the principles of the Prince of Peac "Arbitration and Conciliation" are two of its watchwords for the twentieth century, and an "International Christian Brotherhood" and a universal language for intercommunication two of its ideals.

Christian Endeavor stands for Beneficence and generous giving, which it has embodied and made concrete in its "Tenth Legion" * and the "Macedonian Phalanx." †

Christian Endeavor stands for High Intellectual Attainments, which are promoted by its literature, its conventions, its institutes, summer assemblies, schools of methods, reading-courses, and correspondence-schools, and by the study of the Bible demanded by its weekly meetings for the most helpful participation.

Christian Endeavor stands for High Devotional Attainments and for communion with the Unseen, as embodied in the "Comrades of the Quiet Hour," the whose methods have brought help and comfort to so many thousands.

Christian Endeavor stands for Pure Home Life, Honest usiness Life, Loyal Church Life, Patriotic National Life, Joyous Social Life, and Brotherhood with all mankind.

The following are the latest statistics at the last enumeration, July 1, 1911:

United States.	No. of Societies
Young People's	
Junior	16,265
Intermediate	2,987
Floating	45
Mothers'	63
Senior	50
Allen C. E. Leagues	1,905
Varick C. E. Leagues	525
United Brethren Societies	761
Total	53,966
Total membership	,698,300
Total membership	,698,300 No. of
Canada.	No. of Societies
Canada. Young People's	No. of Societies 2,855
Canada. Young People's	No. of Societies 2,855 703
CANADA. Young People's	No. of Societies 2,855 703
CANADA. Young People's	No. of Societies 2,855 703 62
CANADA. Young People's	No. of Societies 2,855 703 62
CANADA. Young People's	No. of Societies 2,855 703 62

FOREIGN.	No. of	
Young People's Junior Intermediate Mothers' Senior	 	. 8,9v1 . 67
Total		21,488
Total membership	1,074,400	
Grand total number of societies Grand total membership	3,	79,077 958,850

Some of the chief journals of the society are The Christian Endeavor World, The Junior Christian Endeavor World, published in Boston; The Christian Endeavour Times, published in London; The Irish Endeavourer, of Portadown; The India Christian Br deavour, of Allahabad; The South African Endom-ourer, of Cape Town; Die Jugendhilfe, of Berlin; Esfuerzo Cristiano, of Madrid; The Australian Christian Endeavour Link, of Melbourne; The Roll Call, of Sydney; and fifty or more other papers are pub-

lished by national, state, or local unions.

The president of the United Society is Rev. Francis E. Clark, the general secretary is William Shaw, the treasurer is Hiram N. Lathrop, and the editorial secretary is Amos R. Wells; while the officers of the World Union are Rev. Francis E. Clark (president), John Willis Baer (secretary), W. Shaw (treasurer and office secretary), and George W. Coleman (auditor). FRANCIS E. CLARK.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: By F. E. Clark, Young People's Press Meetings, New York, 1887; World-Wide Endeavor, Boston, ton, 1895; Training the Church of the Future, New York, 1902; Christian Endeavor Manual, Boston, 1903; Christian Endeavor in All Lands, Philadelphia, 1906; and by A. R. Wells, Social Evenings, Boston, 1895; Junior Mesual, ib. 1895; Prayer-Meeting Methods, ib. 1896; Our Unions, ib. 1896; Citizens in Training, ib. 1898; The Missionary Manual, ib. 1899; The Officer's Handbook, ib. 1900; The Young People's Pastor, ib. 1905; Expert Endeasor, ib. 1911.

XIV. Young Women's Christian Association of the United States of America: The organization known as the Young Women's Christian Association of the United States of America, with thousands of members and over 875 local associations, had its beginning in 1858, in a small society formed in New York by Mrs. Marshall O. Roberts, and called at first a Union Prayer Circle. Later in the same year the name was changed to Ladies' Chris-

tian Association, its object being "to 1. Origin labor for the temporal, moral, and religious welfare of young self-supporting and women," and two years later this so-Purpose.

ciety opened a boarding-home for such young women. In 1866 the name of the organisation was changed to Ladies' Christian Union, and its charter was secured, and in the same year the Young Women's Christian Association of Boston was organized, modeled more or less after the Young Men's Christian Association (q.v.). In 1872-73 a prayer group in Normal University, Normal, III., was formed and organized into a Young Ladies' Christian Association, which later took the name Young Women's Christian Association, and these organizations were duplicated in other city and student centers until the present large organization has been developed. The purpose of the association

^{*} Consisting of those who give regularly at least one-tenth of their income for distinctively religious work.
† No longer in existence.
† Consisting of those who make it a rule to spend at least fifteen minutes each day in quiet communion with God and religious meditation.

in every case has been to develop a well-rounded Christian womanhood in the community where the organization exists. To build up a strong body, to increase healthy, social instincts, to train the mind, and to strengthen the spiritual and moral forces, nothing less than this has been the aim. To accomplish this purpose, methods are employed which differ from each other as widely as do the local surroundings of the various associations, but whatever the methods, the underlying principles are the same in all. These fundamentals are that the individual improves far more when she herself desires it than when some one else is trying to improve her; that cooperation will accomplish much more than isolated effort; and that cooperation is easier to attain when it is possible to have like working with like. Any young woman of good moral character may become a member of the association by the payment of an annual fee (generally \$1), though roting and office-holding are in most cases confined those who are members of Protestant Evangelical

Four general departments exist in a city associaion: physical, social, educational, and religious
rork. The physical department requires a gymasium, where a girl may gain strength and vigor
brough careful drill exercise, watched over by a
ompetent and trained director. A girl who has
tood at a loom or who has been bending over a
sedle all day needs a general limbering up in the
ymnasium before she is ready to go into a study
lass or a religious meeting and get the best out of
t. Swimming-pools and bowling-alleys are proided in many associations. The social department
makes provision for a young woman in a strange
ity, or even for one who in her own city is busy in

an office all day long, and has no time

2. City and or opportunity to make friends. For

Student the enlarging of her life, parties and
clubs are formed in which she may meet
tions. other girls and women, and have a good

time in a natural and healthy way. There is also a lunch and rest room where a young woman may buy her whole luncheon, or may bring her sandwiches and buy only a bowl of soup or a cup of tea, and sit at a pleasant table to eat it. In many of these lunch rooms the "cafeteria" system is used—where from a side table the young women gather on a tray the dishes of food they wish and carry it themselves to their table. As this does away with most of the expense of service, lower prices can be charged, and a good luncheon can be supplied at an average cost of eleven or twelve cents. In a room nearby will be found couches where girls may rest after luncheon before returning to work. Under the educational department is grouped the work for the mental or manual training of the young women, ind classes as widely differing as Latin, stenog-*phy, or domestic science are provided at low rates. is the aim of this department to provide any lass for which there may be a real demand in the ommunity, and some associations recently have een holding a summer school to coach girls who ave fallen behind in their high-school work. Allough there is an individual department for the ligious work of the association, this work in real-

ity threads in and out of all departments, and binds them together, so that no one can come into any part of the association without coming also under its religious influence. A great deal of this is necessarily an invisible and unspoken influence, but it is present none the less. Under the organized religious work come the Bible-study classes, the devotional meetings, the personal service groups, and the missionary and evangelistic meetings. Through these the effort is made to ground a girl in the Christian faith, so that she will have a reason for what she believes, and will have a spiritual strength that will not only enable her to fulfil her duties, but will cause her to be a source of strength and helpfulness to others. The association does not rival the Church in any way, but endeavors to develop a trained and useful membership for the Church. These four departments constituted for many years a city association, but gradually the members began to realize that many young women lived at too great a distance from the association to come to it. Therefore an extension department was formed to carry the benefits of the association into the factories and shops at the noon hour. From this small beginning a large industrial work has grown, with clubs in many factories, while in some cities and mill villages there may be found a full-fledged industrial association managed by the workers themselves. In such associations a large subscription is paid by the factory or mill owners to the association, but the control of the association work and the employment of the secretary are left to the young women employees. In the student centers for women the need was felt of a vitalizing Christian organization that should be under the auspices of the students themselves. From small prayer groups developed the Young Women's Christian Associations which provide for Bible- and mission-study classes and devotional meetings among the students, and which also have charge of such philanthropic enterprises as the young women have time for. From the one prayer group in Normal University in 1873 have grown 667 student associations now affiliated with the national movement.

The work of all associations is directed in general by a board of managers or a cabinet, which is elected from the membership, while standing committees have charge of the different departments. The general secretary and the other department secretaries are the executives of the board of directors. It is the duty of the general secretary to be in touch with all the departments, to make plans for the strengthening and enlarging of the association, to have knowledge of the latest methods employed elsewhere, and herself to originate

3. Organization and to the local surroundings. She is the
Conferences. with the board of managers in their

councils and plans, and able to guide them though employed by them. One of her chief duties is to harmonize conflicting personalities, so that she must be a woman of tact and resources; she must have great executive ability, and must have, first of all, a deep spiritual nature, for the success of all departments hangs on the religious strength of the association. More and more the secretaryship is being recognized as a legitimate and satisfactory profession for college women, who would make their lives tell in the spiritual uplift of the world. There were for some time in the United States two national organizations of the Young Women's Christian Associations, but in Dec., 1906, 398 delegates from the local associations, formally affiliated with the International Board of Women's and Young Women's Christian Associations and with the American Committee, met in New York and formed The Young Women's Christian Associations of the United States of America. A national board of thirty representative women was elected with Miss Grace H. Dodge as president. The national board has eight departments, viz., field work, secretarial, finance, publication, office, foreign, conventions and conferences, and method. A training-school for secretaries was opened in New York City in Oct., 1908, and the national headquarters are at 125 East Twenty-seventh Street, New York City. Under this national board are formed territorial committees who come in close touch with the local associations and have traveling secretaries, experts in the various departments, to give advice and help wherever it shall be necessary. The relation between the territorial and local associations is purely advisory. To give additional strength to the associations, ten conferences are held in different sections of the country. These are arranged by the national board, and to them come members of the local associations for Bible and mission study, for open conference on plans and methods of work, and for inspirational meetings. Each conference lasts about ten days, the smallest numbering some 200 delegates, the largest 800. The leaders of these conferences are national board and territorial secretaries and committee members, and among the speakers are some of the best-known clergymen and social-betterment workers of the country.

Young People's Societies

Certain phases of work promoted by the specialists of the national board in suitable communities or in a general way are as follows: the provision for club houses for professional women students: the organizing of associations for Indian students and for those in colored schools; the linking-up of recent college graduates to volunteer work along such religious and philanthropic lines as they may indicate preference for; recognition of the recent "rural awakening" by the formation of county associations; and a system of meeting and protecting young immigrant women and equipping them, by instruction in English and other help, for life in a new country.

Besides the work in the United States the Young Women's Christian Association is strengthened by its international bonds. It is affiliated with the World's Young Women's Christian Association, which has branches on every continent and in almost every country, and with the World's Student Christian Federation. These world's associations have their own conferences, the last being the World's Young Women's Christian Association Conference in Berlin, in 1910; and the World's Student Christian Federation Convention in Constantinople. in 1911.

The following statistics for 1910-11 show something of the growth of this organization in the course of a little over fifty years. Now that 4. Interna- it is united under one central board, a tional Affil- still greater increase may be expected. iations and City associations (with 32 branches),

Statistics. 196; extension associations, 12; total membership, 228,757; 147 associations report an average weekly attendance of 6,719 at the religious meetings; 151 report 22,193 enrolled in Bible classes; 57 report 1,434 enrolled in mission-study classes; 109 report equipped in gymnasia, and 152 report 25,133 enrolled in physical training classes; 158 report 36,153 enrolled in educational classes and clubs; 131 report libraries with 109,931 volumes, and 144 report reading-rooms with 2,269 periodicals; 97 report 7,496 enrolled in domestic-science classes, and 134 report 14,079 enrolled in domestic-art classes; 126 report lunch departments serving 5,652,145 meals during the year; 104 report boarding departments with capacity for 4,531; 94 report 27,150 positions secured through the employment bureau; 44 report travelers' aid departments; 80 report buildings owned, and 32 report summer homes; the secretaries, directors, teachers, etc., number 1,106.

The student associations number 667, with an active membership of 54,369 out of 115,703 young women students in the institutions; the general secretaries number 47; the mission-study classes number 1,262, with 14,196 students (reported by 342 associations); the Bible-study classes number 1,485, with 18,957 students (reported by 345 associations); 16 associations have buildings, and 201 have libraries; 253 associations held special evangelistic meetings.

XV. Young Women's Christian Association of Great Britain and Ireland: An organization formed in 1855 in two sections by Lady Kinnaird (then Hon. Mrs. Arthur Kinnaird) in London, with the idea of establishing suitable Christian homes and institutes for young women; and by Miss Robarts

in the country, who, believing in the 1. Origin power and influence of women, "banded and together a union of women whose work should be cemented by prayer," which History.

was called the Prayer Union. These two sections, the one with its purely spiritual sim, and the other combining to meet both the spiritual and practical needs of young women at that time, grew side by side, until in 1877 both were united under the presidentship of Mrs. Pennefather (of the Prayer Union) and Lady Kinnaird, and called the Young Women's Christian Association; the work had already extended to Scotland and Ireland, thus making the association to consist of four divisions -London, provincial, Scotland, and Ireland. By 1884 it had become evident that the time was ripe for a more united constitution and regular organization in the work, and after much prayer and consultation a united basis and constitution were agreed upon, still adhering strictly to the lines upon which the London and country branches had worked, and in harmony with the motto of the association, by might, nor by power, but by my spirit, saith the Lord of hosts" (Zech. iv. 6). A united central courhe presidentship of the earl of Shaftesbury d, the first meeting being held in London 385. At this meeting the affiliation of the 'omen's Christian Association with the ork carried on by the "Union des amies de lle" for the benefit of foreign girls in Engagreed to, and the consent of the council i for an office to be secured for this purthe mean while branches of the association formed in America, India, and the contirope, and in Apr., 1892, the first gathering rnational character was held in London. ful consultation and with the coopereaders of the American Young Women's Association. Representatives from India, France, Norway, and other countries were nd the result of this meeting was the forthe World's Young Women's Christian n in 1894, as a center for all national as-, the first president being Mrs. J. H. Triteneral committee was formed, consisting ntatives of every country included in the l a constitution was drawn up. Internaferences are held quadrennially, these havn London in 1898, in Geneva in 1902, in 306, and in Berlin in 1910. There are now national associations linked with the Young Women's Christian Association, mbership of 512,000.

onding to the united central council, s formed to unify the British work of the omen's Christian Association, there now British National Young Women's Chrisciation, representing the five divisions of outh of England, north of England, Scot-Ireland, and united under the name of lational Council. Nine representatives from each division, together with other al members representing various departments of work, form this council, which is the responsible and legislative body of the whole association in Great Britreland. It appoints standing committees I duties, e.g., general executive (on which presentatives of the five divisions), finance, and allocation of workers, editorial, and thile there are also various departmental es for nurses, teachers, etc. The five die autonomous in their working, though all together under the national council, which s the general policy of the association. associations work with local committees, n direct communication with the office of on in which they are situated. The work ivision is carried on through its divisional o which all questions relating to the gen-

embership in the local associations may be neral or special. General membership is ito prayer union (active) and associate, the ass including those who wish to devote a to the service of Christ in daily life, and und pray for others; while associate memll those who wish simply to enjoy the bene-Christian association. Special members juniors (girls under fourteen years of age),

of the local associations are carried.

teachers, nurses, the gild of helpers (girls of leisure), and the blind, the latter division having a Braille library and a monthly letter to members, also published in Braille. The 3. The Local Asso-local association may consist of a home ciations. and institute combined, or merely of club-rooms opened in the evenings. The homes, holiday and residential, meet a great need, especially the latter, of which there are thirty-five in London alone, accommodating women in business, teachers, students, etc.; while by means of its holiday homes (country and seaside) many thousands of association members are yearly enabled to enjoy restful and inexpensive holidays, reduced fares being often allowed by the railway companies to Young Women's Christian Association members visiting homes. In several large towns, such as London, Edinburgh, Liverpool, etc., restaurants, noon rest-rooms, and lunch clubs have been opened for the benefit of girls in business, which provide good food at as cheap a rate as possible. In the institutes, besides the advantages to lonely

subjects are given.

The home organization may be divided into religious, educational, and social service. The religious work is carried on by meetings, Bible study, evangelistic services, etc.; and the educational by classes in institutes, provision being also made for home study and loan libraries. The department of

girls of intercourse with others, and of the help and counsel to be had from the association secretary,

educational classes are held and lectures on various

social service is more complex, and in-4. Home, cludes subdivisions for employment (with registries in different parts of the Social Service, country, as well as a registry for immigrant girls), emigration (advising emiand Foreign De- grant girls and cooperating with the partments. British Women's Emigration Society), thrift, total abstinence, factory work (in affiliation with the Federation of Working Girls' Clubs), and the convalescent and holiday department. The Social Service Council has recently been formed, and may be applied to for advice and information on the subject of factory laws, etc., should members be in difficulty and need help in this way.

formation on the subject of factory laws, etc., should members be in difficulty and need help in this way. The foreign department of the association unites not only the foreign missionary work, which is largely supported by the local associations, but also Young Women's Christian Association work in other lands. Besides the large sums of money which are contributed annually by Young Women's Christian Association members to foreign missions, twenty-eight workers are supported by this department, who carry on chiefly Young Women's Christian Association work among English-speaking girls in foreign lands. The number of branches in Great Britain and Ireland is 1,290, and the membership, 102,710. The president is Mrs. J. H. Tritton (previous presidents being Lords Kinnaird and Overtoun), and the general secretary is Miss Thorold.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The most important literature is contained in the manuals of the various organizations, which usually afford not only statistics, but the history of the respective societies. Consult further: T. Chalmers, Juvenile Revival; or, Philosophy of the Christian Endeavor Movement, St. Louis, 1895; Young Men's Christian Associations;

Handbook of the Hist., Organization, and Methods of Work, New York, 1892; L. L. Doggett, Hist. of the Y. M. C. A., vol. i., ib. 1896; R. C. Morse, Polity of Y. M. C. A.'s, ib. 1904; W. D. Murray, Principles and Organization of the Y. M. C. A., ib. 1910; P. Green, How to deal with Lads; a Handbook of Church Work, ib. 1910. A comprehensive little book, a new edition of which is needed, is L. W. Bacon and C. A. Northrop, Young People's Societies, New York, 1900.

YOUNG, ROBERT: Lay theologian and orientalist; b. at Edinburgh Sept. 10, 1822; d. there Oct. 14, 1888. He received his education at private schools, 1827-38; served an apprenticeship to the printing business, 1838-45, using his spare time to study the oriental languages; became a communi-cant in 1842; joined the Free Church, and became a Sabbath-school teacher in 1843. In 1847 he took up printing and bookselling on his own account, proceeding to publish books that tended to further the study of the Old Testament and its ancient versions; his first publication was an edition with translation of Maimonides' 613 precepts. He went to India as a literary missionary and superintendent of the mission press at Surat, in 1856, returning in 1861; conducted the "Missionary Institute," 1864-1874; and visited America in 1867. He was a moderate Calvinist, a simple Presbyterian, and a strict textual critic and theologian. His important work was the Analytical Concordance to the Bible . . . containing every Word in alphabetical Order, arranged under its Hebrew or Greek Original (Edinburgh, 1879); one may cite also his Concise Commentary on the Holy Bible, being a Companion to the new Translation of the Old and New Covenants . . . 2 pt. (1865); Contributions to a New Revision; or, a critical Companion to the New Testament (1881); and the Christology of the Targums, or the Doctrine of the Messiah, as it is unfolded in the ancient Jewish Targums, or Chaldee Paraphrases of the Holy Scriptures. Young was celebrated as an editor and translator of Jewish and Biblical writings in various languages, especially in Hebrew, Samaritan, Aramaic, Syriac, Arabic, and Gujarati, thus and in other ways contributing to the apparatus for textual criticism. He was also active in the region of comparative linguistics and in Semitic philology.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Banner of Ulster, Dec. 18, 1855; DNB, lxiii. 390.

YOUNG, SAMUEL EDWARD: Presbyterian;

b. at Deep Cut, Auglaize Co., O., June 6, 1866. He was educated at Westminster College, Mo. (1883-1886), and Princeton (1886-88) and Union (1888-1889) Theological Seminaries. He has been pastor of Westminster Church, Asbury Park, N. J. (1889-1894), Central Church, Newark, N. J. (1894-97), Second Church, Pittsburg, Pa. (1898-1908), and Bedford Church, Brooklyn, N. Y. (since 1908). He has been active in ameliorating the conditions of the life-saving service, and while at Pittsburg organized both a system of summer services in the city parks and afternoon theater services. He was vice-chairman of the committee of the General Assembly that organized the Presbyterian Brotherhood of America, and, besides being a member of the evangelistic committee of the General Assembly, is a chaplain of the Actors' Church Alliance of America.

YOUTZ, HERBERT ALDEN: Presbyterian; b. at Des Moines, Ia., Apr. 28, 1867. He was graduated from Simpson College, Indianola, Ia. (B.A., 1890), and Boston University, where he took a degree in 1895 (Ph.D., 1903), also studying at Berlin and Marburg in 1901–03. He held Congregational pastorates at Quincy, Mass. (1894–96), Middlefield, Mass. (1896–98), and Plymouth Congregational Church, Providence, R. I. (1898–1901); was acting professor of theology in the Chicago Theological Seminary (1903–05); professor of the same subject in the Congregational College of Montreal (1905–08); and was in 1908 appointed to his preent position of professor of systematic theology in Auburn Theological Seminary, Auburn, N. Y.

YULETIDE: A popular, somewhat poetic designation of the Christmastide. The name of the central festival in Greek is hēmera genethlios, ta genethlia Iēsou Christou (tou Sotēros) ("the birthday of Jesus Christ [or, of the Savior]"), though Gregory Nanazen (Oratio, xxxviii. [MPG, xxxvi. 312-313]) unsuccessfully sought to introduce the name Theophany to distinguish this festival from that of the Epiphany (q.v.), celebrated separately on Jan. 6. In Latin, the name is Natalis (dies), Natalitia, Nativitas Domini (Jesu Christi), whence the Italian Natale and the Spanish Nadal, Natividad. The French

Noël may be derived from natalis, or The Name. possibly from noe, a cry of rejoicing on the occasion of the birth of a prince. The Anglo-Saxon géol, yole, yule is thought to signify the solstice. In Scandinavia, the period from Christmas to Epiphany is called Jolafridr, Jolehälgh. Yule and Yuletide are still used in Scotland, while in England this older designation has been replaced by Christmas ("Christ mass"), which appears in Dutch as Kerstmisse, Kersmis. The German Weihnachten represents the Middle High German Wihen Nahten ("Holy Nights"). Thefestival either includes the whole period from Dec. 25 to Jan. 6 (the twelve nights, since the ancient Germans reckoned by nights and not by days), the Christmas week up to Dec. 31, the four days Dec. 25-28 (the feasts of the Nativity, St. Stephan, St. John the Evangelist, and Holy Innocents), or, finally, the Christ day alone. For Jan. 6 as the feast of the birth and of the baptism of Christ see EPIPHANY, FEAST OF THE.

The choice of Dec. 25 as the birthday of Christ must be clearly distinguished from the celebration of the Christmas festival. Long before there was any question of a festival of Christ's birth, the date of his birth had been sought and determined. The Church of the first two centuries had no thought of celebrating it as a festival. Origen (In Lev. hom., viii. 3, In Matt. xiv. 6 [MPG, xii. 495, xiii. 893-894]), followed by Jerome (In Matt. xiv. 6 [MPL, xxi. 97]), pronounced decisively against the celebration

of birthdays of saints and martyrs, for Relation to the days of their death should rather the Vernal be considered their natales dies. Clem-Equinox. ent of Alexandria (Strom., i. 21 [MPG, viii. 885–886]) says that from the birth strong the strong terms of the considered their saints of their saints of their saints of the considered their saints of thei

of the Lord to the death of Commodus (Dec. 31, 192) 194 years, 1 month, and 13 days had passed,

lov. 18, 751 A.U.C., was the birthday of robably we should read 23 instead of 13 hat the date becomes Nov. 8. In the De mputus, incorrectly ascribed to Cyprian Usener in 243 A.D.), the day of the spring Mar. 25) is reckoned as the first day of and Mar. 28, the day on which the sun 100n were made, is the birthday of Jesus, r 1549 after the Exodus; while the Clemmilies set this day on the vernal equinox his chronography Julius Africanus, in 221, the same day as that of the conception of ne first to give Dec. 25, exactly nine months he date of his birth; and Hippolytus, in book of his commentary on Daniel, gives 4 B.C., as the day of Christ's birth, and 29 A.D., as the day of his death. In all putations the spring equinox plays a part, e both of the creation of the world and of nation or conception of Jesus; in the latthe birthday follows nine months later. assumes that Dec. 25 was chosen in the Jan. 6 in the East as the day of Christ's ugh a reckoning which gave Mar. 25 (Terdv. Judæos, viii.; Hippolytus, Acta Pilati) as the day of his death, and also as the s conception, so that nine months later se Dec. 25, in the other, Jan. 6—became of his birth, although Duchesne himself at a celebration of Apr. 6 as the day of leath appears only in a Montanist sect , Hist. eccl., vii. 18).

ulso been conjectured that the day was secause of its significance in the Roman where it bore the name of dies invicti solis 7 of the unconquered sun"), since on this 8 nn began to regain its power and overnight. This view is supported by Poly-

dore Vergil (De rerum inventoribus, v., l. Lyons, 1558), J. A. Fabricius, D. E. to Jablonski, E. F. Wernsdorf, J. A. W. and Neander, K. A. Hase, and others; and ia. it is true that, after the introduction of

the Christmas festival, the coming of the Light of the world was often comh the dies invicti solis of the Romans, as by (Sermo in nativitatem Domini, vii., and in n Johannis Baptistæ [MPL, xxxviii. 1007, regory of Nyssa, Maximus of Turin (Serdiv. De nativitate Domini, MPL, lvii. 535,

It is, however, unlikely that the birthsus was first determined by this heathen Nor can Christmas be assumed to owe its the Roman Saturnalia, since they om Dec. 17 to Dec. 19, and even later prolongation to seven days, Dec. 23. Still less can the origin be the Germanic solar festival, since the festival arose long before the Christianthe Germans, although some popular onnected with Christmas may have a r Teutonic source.

nef question in relation to Christmas is birthday festival, originally combined with smal festival on Jan. 6, was first celebrated y on Dec. 25. Usener has made an exhaustive investigation of this matter, starting with the chronography of Philocalus (354 A.D.), which

contains a list of memorial days of the Date of Church (depositio martyrum), the first entry being: "viii. of the Calends of January; Christ born in Bethlehem of Celebration in Usener then adduces an address delivered by Pope Liberius (contains a deliv

cellina, the sister of Ambrose, took the vow of virginity (Ambrose, *De virginitate*, iii. 1 [MPL, xvi. 219-220]). Liberius begins by alluding to the day as the birthday of the Lord, and then proceeds to treat of the miracle at the marriage of Cana and of that of the loaves and fishes. Usener insists that the words must have been spoken on Jan. 6 and not on Dec. 25, because the marriage at Cana and the miracle of the loaves and fishes were always connected with the festival of the Epiphany. Besides, according to an ancient usage of the Church, a vow of virginity could be pronounced only on either Epiphany or Easter, as the two baptismal days, so that the earliest date for this event must have been Jan. 6, 353; and since in the chronography of 354, Dec. 25 is already given as the day of Christ's birth, that day must have been observed for the first time in Rome in 354. This theory of Usener has gained much approval, and P. Lagarde and A. Harnack look upon the proofs as irrefutable. Duchesne, however (Bulletin critique, xi. 41 sqq.), regards Usener's argumentation as "more ingenious than correct." No proof is given that Marcellina took the vows before the exile of Liberius (355-358); the report of the discourse was not written down by Ambrose until twenty-four years after its delivery; even if the report is absolutely correct, Ambrose himself declares that Liberius spoke on the "birthday of the Savior," and in 377, when he wrote, this could only be understood as Dec. 25. The most important point, however, is that, in the chronography preceding the depositio martyrum, there is a depositio episcoporum, i.e., of the last twelve bishops of Rome. The names are not given in chronological order, but according to the days of the calendar year. The last two bishops, however, Marcus (d. Oct. 7, 336) and Julius (d. Apr. 12, 352), are entered after Eutychianus, who died in Dec., 283, and this shows that the chronography was already completed before Oct., 336, the last names being added in 354. Hence the date of Dec. 25, given in the depositio martyrum, proves that the Christmas festival must have been observed in Rome at the latest in 335.

Thus all that can be stated positively is that the festival was first celebrated in Rome in the fourth century, and not later than 354. For a long time it yielded to other festivals in importance, and even in 389 Valentinian did not include it among the church days on which legal proceedings were interdicted. How tenaciously many still clung to Jan. 6 as the birthday of Jesus, even after Dec. 25 had become usual in the West, is shown by Maximus of Turin (first half of the fifth century), who says in a sermon for the Epiphany: "On this day the Lord Jesus was either born or baptized; different opinions are held in the world" (Sermo, vi; MPL,

Ivii. 545). From Rome, Christmas, as a festival distinct from that of the Epiphany, spread to the East, according to the express testimony of Chrysostom (Hom. in nativitatem Domini; Stubborn MPG, xlix. 353), especially as conconnection firming orthodoxy against Arianism. with Epiph- Gregory Nazianzen first celebrated it

with Epiph- Gregory Nazianzen first celebrated it any in in Constantinople in 378, and Chrysosthe East. tom delivered an eloquent Christmas sermon in Antioch in 388 or 387, in hich he says: "It is not yet ten years that this day

which he says: "It is not yet ten years that this day has been clearly known to us." There can be no doubt that this Christmas celebration by Chrysostom was of peculiar significance, and that the whole population now participated for the first time. In 352, Gregory of Nyssa celebrated Christmas and the Epiphany together in Cappadocia (MPG, xlvi. 580, 701), and in Egypt, at the close of the fifth century, according to Cassianus (Collationes, x. 2 [CSEL, xiii. 286]), the birth and baptism of Jesus were still combined with the Epiphany. Only after the Council of Ephesus, in 431, did Paul of Emesa preach a Christmas sermon in the chief church of Alexandria. The land of Christ's birth, Palestine, long resisted the introduction of this festival, and is blamed for its stubbornness by Jerome (Commentarium in Ezek., i. 3 [MPL, xxv. 18]). In a sermon delivered on St. Stephen's Day (Dec. 26), Basil of Seleucia praises Juvenal of Jerusalem for having celebrated Christmas (MPG, lxxxv. 469), although, on the other hand, Cosmas Indicopleustes (c. 550) expressly states that in his day both the nativity and the baptism of Christ were celebrated together on Epiphany at Jerusalem, while Dec. 25 was the feast of the family of Jesus (i.e., David, his ancestor, and James, his brother and first bishop of Jerusalem), the precise nature of this festival being somewhat uncertain. The birth and baptism of Christ are still celebrated together on Jan. 6 by the Armenians (F. C. Conybeare, Rituale Armenorum, pp. 181, 517-518, London, 1905). [Dr. Enrico Masini, a learned Italian scholar, in his elaborate "Chronography of the Life of Christ," maintains that the true date of the nativity of Jesus was Sunday, Nov. 28, 748, year of Rome. He also gives Mar. 18, 782, year of Rome, as the date of his death.]

The Missale Romanum especially distinguishes this festival by assigning to it three masses, the first celebrated in nocte (after the Te Deum in matins), the second in aurora (after lauds and prime), and the third in die (after terce). Every priest is not required to say all these masses, although he may do so. The liturgical color of the altar covering and of the chasuble is white until

In the Rothe octave of the Epiphany. At an
man Rite. early date a manger was set up in the

church with the appropriate figures. In the church of S. Maria ad præsepe (later called Maria Maggiore), built by Liberius and entirely renovated by Sixtus III. (432-440), there was, in the right transept, a chapel for the sacred manger. This usage led to the manger-plays, with songs and dialogue, first given in the churches and later outside of them (cf. Religious Drama). Of the popular observances, the Christmas-tree does not owe its origin, as many suppose, to old German custom,

for the first notice of it is in Strasburg, in the seventeenth century. The octave of Christmas is observed on Jan. 1, the feast of the Circumcision, a substitute for the heathen new year's festival (see New Year's Celebration; for further details of. Christmas). (Georg Rietschel.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Besides the literature under Christias, consult; W. Sandys, Christmastide, its Hist., Festivilia and Carols, London, 1852; J. W. Wolf, Beiträge zw deutschen Mythologie, 2 vols., Göttingen, 1852-57; J. Grimm, Deutsche Mythologie, 4th ed., Berlin, 1875, Eng. transl., Teutonic Mythology, 3 vols., London, 1880-83; W. Mannhardt, Der Baumkultus der Germanen und ihrer Nebbarstämme, Berlin, 1875; J. Sepp, Die Reigion der alten Deutschen und ihr Fortstand in Volkssagen. . bis zw Gegenwart, Munich, 1890; J. de Kersaint-Gilly, Fites & Noël en Provence, Paris, 1900; G. Bilfinger, Unteruchungen über die Zeitrechnung der alten Germanen, part II., Das germanische Julfest, Stuttgart, 1901; W. F. Dawen, Christmas: its Origin and Associations, London, 1902; G. Hager, Die Weihnachtskrippe, Munich, 1902; T. A. Janvier, The Christmas Kalends of Provence, London, 1902; G. Rietschel, Weihnachten in Kirche, Kunst und Volksleben, pp. 13 sqq., Bielefeld, 1902; N. Hervé, Les Noëls français, Niort, 1905; M. Höfler, Weihnachtsgebäck, Vienna, 1905.

YVON, i"ven', PIERRE: Leader of the Labadists; b. at Montauban in the French province of that name (not at either of the cities of that name of the present time) in 1646; d. in 1707. As a child he was with his mother an attendant at the church of Labadie (see Labadie, Jean de, Labadists), and after Labadie removed to Geneva, Yvon was sent there to live with him and study under him. After pursuing courses in philosophy and theology, he took part in Labadie's work, followed him to Middelburg in 1668, and thence to Amsterdam, where Yvon became one of the most earnest propagandists of Labadie's ideas. In this interest he also visited Wesel, Duisburg, Mülheim, Düsseldorf, and Cologne, and also worked at The Hague and in Dort and Utrecht with some success. In 1670 he went with the Labadists to Herford, After the death of Labadie in 1674, Yvon became the recognized head of the community, and led them back into the fatherland in 1675, where the measure of success which attended the community for a time was changed into decay and decline after 1688.

Yvon was a man of power and devotedness, more sober than Labadie, better educated in theology, a diligent author, and ever full of zeal for the cause which he had espoused. His writings appeared in Latin, German, Dutch, and French; of these perhaps the best known is his Kurtzer Bericht von Zustand . . . derjenigen Personen welche Gott . . . ru seinem Dienst vereiniget . . . hat, 1659, which appeared in French, Amsterdam, 1681, and in Engtransl., A Faithful Relation of the State and Latin Words . . . of Certain Persons whom God hath taken to Himself out of the Church, Amsterdam, 1685. (For list of minor writings cf. Hauck-Herzog, RE, xxi. 585-586).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Consult the literature under Labade, Jean, Labadists; Actes publics tant politiques qu'ecclisistiques . . . des . . . J. de Labadis et P. Yron, Amsterdam, 1669; J. Koelman, Der Labadisten, ib. 1684; J. Reitsma, J. Hesener en Balthasar Cohlerus, in De Vrije Fries. mil (1877).

YVONETUS, î"von-ê'tūs: Dominican, the supposed author of a thirteenth-century Tractatus de auperum de Lugduno. The tract is found in tene and N. Durand, Thesaurus novus anec, v. 1777 (Paris, 1717). The assumed auis stated by Pegna in his edition of the ium inquisitorum of Eymericus, pp. 229, me, 1587) and by D'Argentré in Collectioum, i. 84, 95 (Paris, 1818), but assailed by er in Zeitschrift für deutsches Alterthum, 1853,

p. 55, who attributes the work to David of Augsburg (q.v.). Preger has made this sure in his edition of the manuscript extant at Munich in Abhandlungen der Berliner Akademie, xiv. 2 (1879), 183 sqq. Two other manuscripts exist, one at Strasburg and one at Stuttgart. See Waldenses. (C. Schmidt.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: K. Müller, Die Waldenser, pp. 157 sqq., Gotha, 1886; KL, xii. 1844.

Z

RELLA, FRANCESCO: dsā"bā-rel'lā. l, jurisprudent, and diplomatist; b. at 1 1360 (not 1339); d. at Constance (?) Sept. He came of the Paduan patrician family ini or Sabarelli, began his study of law in under the canonist John of Lignano, and his degree of licentiate in 1383. He conis studies at Florence, where he took his e in 1385, and delivered lectures which lattended; he took orders and served also of Bishop Acciajola, and was the logical to the bishopric when Acciajola resigned ot been for the pope's opposition. In 1390 ned to his own city and labored there for years as teacher and author, in 1398 bearchpresbyter at the cathedral. After the n of Padua to Venice he became prominent matic ways, and at the Council of Pisa e was counsel to the Venetian embassy. XXIII. he was made bishop of Florence cardinal with the title S. Cosma e Damiano. henceforth much in the public eye. He with King Sigismund with reference to the i date of assembling of the Council of Flortook part in the same; after John XXIII. d from the council, Zabarella remained as sentative, and was deputed to communicate icil's decision. He was active also in the ngs against Benedict XIII. (q.v.), and took those against Huss and Jerome of Prague in which he sought to secure mild action. ritings are partly philosophical and philoas De felicitate (written c. 1398, printed 655); De arte metrica; and De natura rerum m; and the theological tract De corpore But the most of his works are on ecclesias-: Lectura super Clementinis (1471); Comin libros decretalium (1502); Tractatus de clesiae; De schismatibus authoritate imperandis. A large number of letters remain in pt in the Vienna library; two letters to from Coluccio Salutato are in Fonti per la talia, xvii (1896), 408 sqq., 456 sqq. (K. Benrath.)

PHY: Not to be overlooked is the literature on unil of Constance, particularly the work of Van der . 537 sqq. Consult further: A. Kneer, Kardinal . Bin Beitrag sur Geschichte des grossen abenden Schismas, Münster, 1891; J. P. Tomasini, Ilvirorum elogia, pp. 3-10, Padus, 1630; B. Brus, De asqueta regiaque origine . . familia Zabb. 1670; A. Gloria, Monumenti della univ. di ib. 1888; H. Finke, Acta concilii Constanciensis, funster, 1896; and the dissertation of Keppler, tik des Kardinalskollegiums in Konstanz, ib. 1899;

there is a very full and excellent treatment, from the Roman standpoint, in KL, xii. 1845–50; cf. also Creighton, Papacy, i. 287, 331 sqq., ii. 40–44, 74, 118.

ZACCARIA, ANTONIO MARIA. See Barna-BITES.

ZACHARIÆ, tsā"hū-rî'ê, GOTTHILF TRAU-GOTT: Pioneer in Biblical theology; born at Tauchardt in Thuringia Nov. 17, 1729; d. in Kiel Feb. 8, 1777. He studied at Königsberg and Halle (M.A., 1752); became adjunct in the philosophical faculty at Halle, 1753; rector of the Ratsschule in Stettin, 1755; professor of theology at the University of Bützow, 1760; and at Göttingen, 1765; and finally at Kiel, 1775. His significance comes entirely from his Biblische Theologie oder Untersuchung des biblischen Grundes der vornehmsten theologischen Lehren (4 parts, Göttingen, 1771-75). The stimulus to the work came from the tendency of the old Enlightenment to trace theology backward to the Bible in its correct meaning. Zachariæ had forerunners in the matter of furnishing a Biblical basis for theology, such as Büsching with his Epitome theologia e solis sacris literis concinnata (1757). But his aim was to prepare the way for a better method of theological teaching by a thorough exegetical examination of the Biblical material out of which dogmatic theology is built. Yet Biblical theology was not for him an independent discipline; nor did he distinguish between different Biblical conceptions. His work dealt with the principal passages used as proofs. He was intent upon the historical sense, and cautioned against eisegesis, recognizing the temporal and local limitations of the parts of Scripture. His theological position was supernaturalistic in that he held firmly to revelation, miracles, original sin, the divine sonship of Christ, and the Trinity. These same characteristics appeared also in his Doctrinæ Christianæ institutio (1773). In spite of his conservatism, his piety was of a type which, like that of many supernaturalists of the period of the Enlightenment, was hardly distinguishable from that of the rationalists.

(HEINRICH HOFFMANN.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: C. G. Perschke, Züge des gelehrten Charakters Zacharias, Bremen, 1777; H. Döring, Die gelehrten Theologen Deutschlands, vol. iv., Neustadt, 1835; Schenkel in TSK, 1852; F. C. Baur, Vorlesungen über neutestamentliche Theologie, pp. 4-6, Leipsic, 1864.

ZACHARIAH, zac"a-rai'a (ZECHARIAH): Four-teenth king of Israel, son and successor of Jeroboam II. (q.v.). His date according to the old chronology is 772-771; according to Kamphausen, 741; according to K. Marti (EB, i. 797-798), 743. It is possible that he did not succeed immediately

to the throne upon his father's death, but that a period of strife for the throne prevented his accession for about ten years. He was the last of the dynasty of Jehu (cf. II Kings x. 30), reigned only six months, and was slain by Shallum (q.v.), who usurped the throne.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The literature on his period as given under AHAB, and ISHAEL, HISTORY OF; also the articles in the Bible dictionaries.

ZACHARIAS, zac"a-rai'as: Pope 741-752. was chosen successor of Gregory III., with whom he had maintained close connection. He was reputed to be a learned man, and had rendered into Greek the "Dialogues" of Gregory the Great. He upheld successfully the interests of the Roman see in relation to the Lombards, the Greek Church, Boniface, and the Frankish kingdom, his achievements with the last being momentous for the future history of the Church. In these efforts he was ably assisted by Boniface (q.v.), by whom the reform of the Frankish Church was carried through. Similarly in Germany the interests of the pope were guarded and the organization extended by the organization of bishoprics. Under Boniface the Frankish bishops were led to draw up a confession and send it to Rome, in which was expressed their subordination to Rome. Pepin also came into relations with Rome some time after he ascended the throne. In his dealings with the Lombards Zacharias sacrificed to King Liutprand Duke Thrasimund of Spoleto, the ally of Gregory III., thereby buying back the cities of Ameria, Horta, Polimartium, and Bleda, while a peace for twenty years was arranged with the Roman duchies. Still greater was the pope's influence with King Ratchis. In relation to the Greek Church Zacharias directed to the Emperor Constantine Copronymus a letter on image worship. The two synods held by Zacharias (743 and 745) dealt with the discipline of clergy and monks, church property, marriage, and the renewed condemnation of the heretics Aldebert and Clement, who had already been condemned by Boniface.

(A. HAUCK.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The Epistolæ et decreta are in MPL, vols. Ixxxix., xcviii. Consult: Liber pontificalis, ed. L. Duchesne, vol. ii., Paris, 1892; Jaffé, Regesta, i. 262-263;
 C. Mann, Popes, i. 2, pp. 225-288; H. Hahn, Jahrbücher C. Mann, Popes, I. 2, pp. 220-288, R. Hann, Janvoucher des frünkischen Reichs, pp. 24 sqq., Berlin, 1863; Papat Zacharias und Pius IX. Eine geschichtliche Parallele, Wiesbaden, 1866; A. von Reumont, Geschichte der Stadt Rom, ii. 110-111, Berlin, 1867; R. Baxmann, Die Politik der Päpate, i. 218 sqq., Elberfeld, 1869; A. J. Uhrig, Rom, II. 110-111, Berlin, 1807; R. Baxmain, Die Fourie der Päpste, i. 218 sqq. Elberfeld, 1869; A. J. Uhrig, Bedenken gegen die Acchtheit der . . . Sage von der Ent-thronung des morovingischen Königshauses durch den Papst Zacharias, Leipsic, 1875; J. Langen, Geschichte der römischen Kirche, ii. 628, Bonn, 1885; A. J. Nürnberger, Der römische Synode von . . . 743, Mainz, 1898; L. M. Hartmann, Geschichte Italiens im Mittelalter, ii. 2, pp. 140 sqq., Gotha, 1903; Hauck, KD, vol. i. passim; Bower, Popes, ii. 76-90; Platina, Popes, i. 186-189; Milman, Latin Christianity, ii. 402-416.

ZACHARIAS GERGANOS: Theologian of the Eastern Church of the seventeenth century. What little is known of his life is gathered from the titles and prefaces to his writings. He came of a distinguished family of Ithaca, and probably studied as a monk at Mt. Athos. He intended to study at Rome, but was turned aside to Wittenberg, where he became a protégé of Elector Johann Georg I., who furthered the prosecution of his studies. By 1622 he appears to have become metropolitan of Arta.

In the seventeenth century in the Eastern Church three tendencies were discernible. Such men as Dositheus of Jerusalem exalted the orthodox faith. Others, like Leo Allatius, strove for union with Rome. The third class, like Cyril Lucar, favored a protestantizing direction. To this third class belonged Zacharias, who was perhaps the pioneer, and his importance in this respect has been overlooked. His chief work was a "Christian Cate-chism" (Wittenberg, 1622), a volume of about 300 pages, of which only two copies are known to exist, one in the Barbarini library at Rome and the other at Hamburg. The Athanasian Creed comes first (omitting the filioque) after the introduction then a new title. The catechism is modeled after well-known examples like that of Simeon of Thess lonica, and it is in the Greek of ordinary speech, in eleven books (incorrectly numbered, since the sixth and seventh are both numbered six). The first deals with theology and anthropology, the next six deal with the person and work of Christ, two with the Church, one treats of the sacraments, and the last of eschatology. The Scriptures are given through the Holy Spirit, and teach the mystery of the Trinity and other divine mysteries and the will of God. It is its own interpreter, not the pope, and papal tradition is rejected. The laity are to read the Scriptures, in which is eternal life. God is the first cause; but angels are his intermediaries. Man's body is composed of four elements, the soul is God's creation; man was created immortal without sin; he could sin because he had free will, and sin came through the fall, whence came death. Had man not sinned, Christ would not have become flesh. God is not the author of sin. The Spirit of God works faith in man, who is otherwise unable to believe; faith comes through hearing the Gospel and the illumination of the Spirit. But faith without works is dead; it may be lost, and also regained by repentance and the sacraments. The Christology contains nothing remarkable except that emphasis is laid on the proof of Christ's messiahship through messianic prophecy, miracles, and passion; the crucifixion took place that the predictions of the prophets might be fulfilled. In Christ's death the Logos took part. The Church is the aggregate of holy Christians; Christ, not the pope, is the head. The sacraments are not simply signs, but are effective and necessary. Baptism is by water and the Spirit, not by water alone; rebaptism is rejected, heretic baptism recognized. In the Lord's Supper there are visible and invisible substances. Only two sacraments are explicitly recognized, though in this connection marriage is treated. The eschatology is very concrete. The ideas presented are a comminging of Greek orthodox and Lutheranizing doctrines, Lutheranism coming out particularly in the Christology and in the teaching concerning the sacrament.

Besides this catechism, Zacharias edited the New Testament in modern Greek (Wittenberg, 1622), using the Stephens-Beza text; but the edition did not gain currency. (PHILIPP MEYER.) BIBLIOGRAPHY: M. LeQuien, Oriens Christianus, ii. 202, Paris, 1740; Fabricius-Harles, Bibliotheca Graca, x. 637.

iburg, 1807-08; E. Reuss, Bibliotheca Novi ræci, p. 100, Brunswick, 1872; E. Legrand, Hellenique, vol. i. passim and iv. 392, Paris,

SCHOLASTICUS (RHETOR): itylene and ecclesiastical writer; b. at port of Gaza; d. probably before 553. ion of this article is that Zacharias Zacharias Rhetor, and Zacharias, ocopius, are one and the same personal-1 writings show that his father's house monastery of Peter the Iberian, and ily was large, that one brother was a physician, and that facilities for study d the sons. Zacharias studied in Alexably 485-487) at the time when Petrus .) was there as archbishop. He came with Severus of Antioch at that place, hip sprang up between them. He was re, was earnest in performance of re-, and took part in the actions of the idents against the idolaters. He renonks with respect, but thought his en too delicate to endure the monastic short visit at home, he went to Berytus sue studies in law, where Leontius, son was one of his teachers, and perhaps 3; he also read diligently in the Church emphasizes the fact that he held aloof op of Phenicia, since he held with the ypt and Palestine, i.e., was a straight With all his strong piety Zacharias me a monk, as did so many of his acin this matter probably following both ish and his own disinclination for that

In this he seems to have been cone advice of Peter the Iberian. After ere completed, he returned home, but ossibly in 492, he was settled in Cons an advocate, and the two names of ("advocate") and Rhetor ("pleadained by his vocation there. He seems gained an enviable position, though his exact estate is not known because of the significance of terms expressing Ie appears at any rate as an assessor or's chancellor or comes patrimonii, and sed as "high chancellor." The position was a step toward higher state offices. riends were men of influence, such as lupraxius and Misael, both marked for lso interested in ecclesiastical affairs. i not neglect ecclesiastical opportunin Severus visited the capital, the two se relationship. A speedy change from clesiastical position was not unusual at in 527 Zacharias was still a layman (his st the Manicheans could not have been fore that time); in 536 he had taken synod at Constantinople as bishop of Ie attended as a delegate for whom it leasant duty to summon the Patriarch answer before the fathers; he took iscussion and agreed to the condemnaimus. He heartily favored the Heno-) (see Henoricon), and denounced the fanatical exclusiveness of the Alexandrians. Of his later years nothing is known, not even the date of his death. At the fifth ecumenical council of 553 Mitylene was represented by the Metropolitan Palladius.

Zacharias was the author of a number of writings: (1) A church history is contained in Syriac in Cod. Mus. Brit. Add. 17, 202, ed. in Anecdota Syriaca, J. P. N. Land (3 vols., Leyden, 1870); K. Ahrens and G. Krüger (Leipsic, 1899; in German with notes, introduction, and commentary); and F. J. Hamilton and E. W. Brooks, The Syriac Chronicle Known as that of Zachariah of Mitylene (London, 1899). The "Church History" is only books iii. to vi. out of a composite work in twelve books, which was a universal history from creation to the author's (editor's?) time (568-569), and deals with the period 450-491, not claiming, however, to continue the "history" of Socrates, Sozomen, or Theodoret. The author's horizon is limited to Alexandria and Palestine, and contains sources of great value which Evagrius (q.v.) used. It must have been written before 515. The general work was used by Michael the Syrian and Bar Hebræus, who regard it as the work of Zacharias, whom they designate bishop of Mitylene. Zacharias wrote also a life of Severus, patriarch of Antioch (editions are: Syriac by J. Spanuth, Göttingen, 1893; Syriac and French by M. A. Kugener, Paris, 1903), which aims to disprove the charges of idolatry made against Severus, and is an account of the times possessing great value. He wrote accounts of Peter the Iberian, Theodore of Antinoe in Egypt, and of the Egyptian ascetic Isaiah, of which only the last is extant (ed. Land in Anecdota Syriaca, ut sup.; E. W. Brooks, in CSCO, 3 ser., xxv. 1-16, Paris, 1907). Polemic writings of Zacharias are: De mundi opificio (ed. J. F. Boissonade, Paris, 1836; MPG, lxxxv. 1011-1144), a dialogue between the author and a pupil of the Alexandrian philosopher Ammonius, in which appear also Ammonius and a physician, whose arguments are contested (the De immortalitate anima of Æneas of Gaza is the model); a treatise against certain writings by a Manichean (editions: Demetrakopulos, Bibliotheca ecclesiastica, pp. 1-18, Leipsic, 1866; J. B. Pitra, Analecta sacra, v. 67-70, Paris, 1888). A manuscript in Moscow has a preface, not by the author, which explains the title. The work was composed while Zacharias was still a layman and is to be brought into connection with the edict of 527 concerning the Manicheans. It appears that the author had written "Seven Chapters" against the Manicheans before this. What remains in the manuscripts can be but a fragment.

(G. Krüger.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: W. Cave, Historia litteraria, i. 462, 579, Basel, 1741; K. Seits, Die Schule von Gaza, Heidelberg, 1892; M. A. Kugener, in Revue de l'orient chrétien, v (1900), 201-214, 461-480; idem, in Byzantische Zeitschrift, ix (1900), 464-470; H. Grissr, Hist. of Rome and the Popes in the Middle Ages, i. 67, 102, London, 1911.

ZAHN, tsdn, JOSEF: Roman Catholic; b. at Stradtprozelten (near Aschaffenburg, 23 m. e.s.e. of Frankfort) June 20, 1862. He studied at the universities of Würzburg and Vienna, 1880–85; became subregent of the priests' seminary at Würz-

burg, 1889; in 1903 professor of pastoral theology and homiletics at the University of Strasburg; in 1910 regent at Würzburg, and professor of dogmatics there in 1911. He is the author of Apologetische Grundgedanken bei den Kirchenschriftstellern der drei ersten Jahrhunderten (1890); cooperated with J. Grimm in Das Leben Jesu (2 vols., Regensburg, 1903-06); writing also Einführung in die christliche Mystik (Paderborn, 1908); and Vollkommenheitsideale (vol. i., 1911).

ZAHN, THEODOR: German Protestant; b. at Mörs (17 m. w. of Essen) Oct. 10, 1838. He was educated at the universities of Basel, Erlangen, and Berlin (1854-58); was teacher in the gymnasium at Neustrelitz (1861-65); became a lecturer at the University of Göttingen (1865), privat-docent (1868), associate professor (1871); professor at Kiel (1877), at Erlangen (1878), and at Leipsic (1888), while in 1892 he returned to Erlangen as professor of pedagogics and New-Testament exegesis, a position which he still retains. His literary activity has been great, commensurate with his responsibility as virtual leader of the conservatives in New-Testament criticism. Among his works may be named: Die Vorausselzungen rechter Weihnachtsfeier (Berlin, 1865); Marcellus von Ancyra (Gotha, 1867); Der Hirt des Hermas untersucht (1868); Ignatius von Antiochien (1873); Konstantin der Grosse und die Kirche (Hanover, 1876); Weltverkehr und Kirche während der drei ersten Jahrhunderte (1877); Geschichte des Sonntags vornehmlich in der alten Kirche (1878); Forschungen zur Geschichte des neutestamentlichen Kanons und der altkirchlichen Literatur (7 vols., Erlangen, 1881-1903); Cyprian von Antiochien und die deutschen Fausttage (1882); Missionsmethoden im Zeitalter der Apostel (1886); Geschichte des neutestamentlichen Kanons (2 vols., 1889-92); Einige Bemerkungen zu Adolf Harnacks Prüfung der Geschichte des neutestamentlichen Kanons (Leipsic, 1889); Brot und Wein im Abendmahl der alten Kirche (1892); Das Evangelium des Petrus (1893); Das apostolische Symbol, eine Skizze seiner Geschichte und eine Prüfung seines Inhalts (1893); Die bleibende Bedeutung des neutestamentlichen Kanons (1898); Einleitung in das Neue Testament (2 vols., 1897-1900; Eng. transl., Introduction to the N. T., 3 vols., Edinburgh, 1909); Die Dormitio Sanctæ Virginis und das Haus des Johannes Marcus (1899); Brot und Salz aus Gottes Wort in zwanzig Predigten (1901); Grundriss der Geschichte des neutestamentlichen Kanons (1901; 2d ed., 1904). He has also edited, in collaboration with O. von Gebhardt and A. Harnack, the Patrum apostolicorum opera (3 vols., Leipsic, 1875-77; 5th ed., 1905; minor ed., 1877), to which he contributed the volume on Ignatii et Polycarpi epistulæ, martyria, fragmenta (1876); Kommentar zum Neuen Testament (1903 sqq.), for which he prepared the volumes on Matthew (1903), Galatians (1905), and John (1907); he edited also the Acts of John (Erlangen, 1880).

ZANCHI, dzān'kî, GIROLAMO (HIERONYMUS ZANCHIUS): Calvinistic theologian; b. at Alzano (34 m. n.e. of Milan), Italy, Feb. 2, 1516; d. at Heidelberg Nov. 15, 1590. He was the son of the historian Zanchi, entered the Augustinian order of

regular canons, and completed his linguistic, ph sophic, and scholastic studies; he then went his friend, Count Celso Martinengo of Brescia become canon of the Lateran congregation at Luc where they met Pietro Martire Vermigli (q.v.) read the Church Fathers, and then the writing the Reformers, including Luther, Butzer, Melan thon, Musculus, Bullinger, and Calvin, by wh they were convinced of the truth of Reformat doctrines. By Evangelical preaching Zanchi ca into notice and was compelled to flee from lts and after traveling he received a call to Strasbu where he became professor of the Old Testame dealing with exegesis in great detail. Zanchi at some pains to emphasize his freedom from tizanship and from attachment to any of the form parties. The seeds of dissension existed the Calvinistic predilections of Zanchi and the theran position of his colleague Johann Marks but for some time strife was avoided by mutual bearance, and while Vermigli left Strasburg in 15 Zanchi stayed on. But the Lutheran position gradually more strongly stressed, especially again the French congregation. In 1561 Zanchi ca under suspicion, especially because of an expres opinion that the difference concerning the Lor Supper was of little importance and the disp mere logomachy. Marbach took the oppos ground and the contest became sharp; mediati ensued, a formula was drawn up, dealing with t Lord's Supper and predestination, by mediate who were called in, and Zanchi signed this with r ervations. But Zanchi was blamed by Calvin a other Reformed theologians for yielding, spoke again freely, and in 1563 gave up his position a went as preacher to Chiavenna, where he was mu annoyed by restless Italian agitators. A pestile broke out, and he went to the mountains near Pi and wrote an account of the strife with Marba under the title of Miscellanea (1566). A secondard was issued after his death. In 1568 he went Heidelberg as professor, where he soon took fro rank as a theologian and was appealed to for a swers to vexed questions. In 1572 he wrote De trib Elohim sive de uno vero Deo æterno, Patre, Filio, Spiritu Sancto, an argument for the unity of Go The work bases its conclusions upon the Old # the New Testament and upon analogies in natu and its exegesis is arbitrary. Related to this is second work, De natura Dei sive de divinis attribu a kind of religious philosophy, and a third, operibus Dei intra spatium sex dierum creatis, de ing with God as creator and with cosmology. A other work was begun but not finished-De pri hominis lapsu, de peccato et de lege Dei. When Lu wig VI. in 1576 succeeded Friedrich III. in the Pa tinate, Lutheran reform was pressed and most the professors had to give up their posts. Zant found a post in the newly founded school at Ne stadt-on-the-Hardt, declining calls to Leyden # Antwerp, and there continued till he died. In 15 to him was given the task of assisting Ursinus int creation of a confession, which was used in the Ha monia confessionum fidei of 1581. After the den of Ludwig and the return of the Palatinate to Ca vinism, Zanchi had an opportunity to return

g, but decided to stay at Neustadt. He d in the University Church at Heidelberg, died while on a visit.

had a keen intellect, warm feelings, coness in thought and discussion, tenacity in o his convictions combined with friendliunderstanding of others. He ever hoped nited Church. His opinions were highly and his counsel was often sought. He was ipped philosophically and theologically, orizon was wider than that of most of his traries. Though he was neither original ive, he was one of the most learned among opins of the sixteenth century.

(JOHANNES FICKER.)

PHY: A letter by Zanchi to Queen Elizabeth is in s' Fresh Suit against Human Ceremonies, Rotter-1633, and his "Confession touching the Supper of "is in R. Hill, Pathway to Prayer, London, 1615. C. Schmidt, in TSK, xxxii (1859), 625-708; a, Decades dua continentes vitas theologorum, pp. Frankfort, 1618; C. A. Salig, Vollständige Hisaugspurgischen Konfession, i. 441 sqq., iii. passim, 730; D. Gerdes, Specimen Italia reformate, pp. Leyden, 1765; G. B. Gallizoli, Memorie istoriche ie della vita e delle opere di G. Zanchi, Bergamo, Sudhoff, C. Olevianus und Z. Ursinus, pp. 333 sqq., Elberfeld, 1857; J. F. A. Gillett, Crato Itheim und seine Freunde, ii. 130 sqq., 164 sqq., Frankfort, 1860; F. H. R. von Frank, The-Concordienformel, vols., iii.-iv., Erlangen, 1863-L. J. Heppe, Dogmatik des deutschen Protestantis-iii., Frankfort, 1866; Paulus, in Der Katholik, 1891), 201-228; idem, Die Strassburger Reformal die Gewissensfreiheit, pp. 83 sqq., Freiburg, 1895; uno, Daniel Tossanus, Amsterdam, 1898; H. Der Antichrist am Ausgange des Mittelatters, Leip; ADB, xliv. 679-683; KL, xii. 1867-68.

TAL, tsāp'letāl, VINCENZ: Swiss Roman b. at Williman, Moravia, Jan. 15, 1867. educated at the gymnasium of Olmütz, after which he studied philosophy and at the Dominican Seminary in Vienna, a at the Biblical academy in Jerusalem), and Hebrew and Syriac at the Univerenna. He made a tour of the peninsula of the East Jordan country, and since 1893 professor of Old-Testament exegesis at ersity of Freiburg, Switzerland. He has Hermeneutica Biblica (Freiburg, 1897; 1908); Der Totemismus und die Religion 901); Grammatica linguæ hebraicæ (Pader-02); Der Schöpfungsbericht der Genesis , 1902); Alttestamentliches (1903); Die es Buches Kohelet (1904); Das Buch Koheh und metrisch untersucht (1905); Das Derklärt (1905); Der biblische Samson (1906); edition of the Hebrew text of Ecclesiastes 905); Die Hohelied (Freiburg, 1907); De ræorum in Veteri Testamento (1909); and : catholique de l. A. T. (1911).

PHATH. See PHENICIA, PHENICIANS, I.,

An active state of mind compounded of id will and intent upon an objective purhe Hebr. kin'ah and the Gk. zēlos imply a suming element analogous to the motive As an equivocal term, "zeal" was originally I now with a good and now with a bad im-

When roused to a passionate degree, it

becomes wrath; when consuming itself in self-seeking, it becomes jealousy. When, in the Old Testament, jealousy is frequently attributed to God (Ex. xxxiv. 14), the mode of expression is anthropopathic. In no other way could God's personality be presented and emphasized. God's jealousy, like his wrath, is the expression of his righteousness and holiness, no less necessary to his being than love. As a loving God, he must chastise his faithless spouse Israel (Ezek. xvi. 38). God is also jealous for his people against the heathen (Ezek. xxxvi. 5–6, xxxviii. 19). Men who are jealous for God reap the reward of praise, as the Levites (Ex. xxxii. 25–29) and Phinehas (Num. xxv. 11); even though the jealous Elijah is subjected to correction (1 Kings xix. 14).

In the New Testament divine jealousy recedes to the background (cf. I Cor. x. 22; II Cor. xi. 2). The Greek zēlos, zēloun, zēlōtēs, occurring in the New Testament thirty-three times, are used exclusively of men. As God, in the Old Testament, had been jealous for his holiness, his holy ones now show the same zeal, Jesus above all (John ii. 17; II Cor. vii. 11). Yet zeal may bear a perverse motive, as on the part of the Jews (Rom. x. 2). Zeal is therefore capable of ennoblement, and God himself does not despise it. Without earnest prophets and apostles, a living religion is not conceivable: without zeal there is no triumph of the Gospel; without the fiery zeal of perfected Christian personalities, no heroic deeds of the Christian faith. Lukewarmness betokens spiritual death (Rev. iii. 15-16). But zeal has also its perverse side. It must not be the energy of baser motives, lest it become intolerant bigotry and persecuting fanaticism, as in the instance of Saul of Tarsus (Phil. iii. 6). ARNOLD RÜEGGT.

ZEALOTS: The Biblical term (Hebr. kenaim; Gk. zēlōtai) for those who in glowing love and holy anger act against all who would scorn God's honor and revelation. A particular use of the term is shown in I Cor. xiv. 12, where Paul describes the Corinthians as zealous for the divine gifts. In the Old Testament the passion is represented as manifesting itself in behalf of the law or against idolatry (Ex. xx. 5, xxxiv. 14; Deut. iv. 24), while in the New Testament Paul describes himself as formerly a zealot in behalf of the traditions of the fathers (Gal. i. 14), and the Christian community at Jerusalem is also said to have been zealous for the law (Acts xxi. 20). The word is used in exactly the same sense in the Talmud of those who discountenanced contempt of the law (Mishna, Sanhedrim, ix. 6). This general sense may have been that in which the surname of the Apostle Simon Zelotes was applied. A narrower application was to that party which would push to the extreme opposition to the Roman overlordship, and Josephus repeatedly employs the word in this sense. He implies (War, IV., iii. 9) that the name was one the members of the party assumed. In the Talmud this usage is not found, clearly because, while the Pharisaism of the Talmud assumes the anti-Gentilic pose of the zealots, the nationalizing significance was forgotten; yet it reappears in this sense in the very late "Fathers" of Rabbi Nathan, chap. vi.

The origins of the party of zealots are in close connection with Pharisaism (see Pharisees and Sad-

DUCEES). The Pharisees had their roots in the Hasideans of the early Maccabean times, and they remained a party of scribes in which religious interests far outweighed all others. But by a transformation they developed away from the Hasideans, attempted to get closer to the life of the people, and to have larger influence upon the Maccabean state. This brought them into connection with politics, which indeed their ideals did not forbid. They could see in heathen control of the Holy Land the working of divine providence, even though this seemed to contradict the choice by God of the Hebrews as his own people while it did not oppose efforts to set aside this heathen control. Their religious motives were often made politically effective by the Pharisees, as when they won over the Maccabean princes, stirred up trouble for Alexander Jannæus when he sided with the Sadducees, actually ruled through Queen Alexandra, protected the weak Hyrcanus, and furnished trouble for Herod the Great. This makes intelligible the report of Josephus that after the introduction of the census into Judæa by Quirinius (q.v.) the Galilean Judas (a man learned in the law), in common with Sadduc the Pharisee, aroused the people against the Romans and thereby furnished the basis for a party which in general was in full agreement with the Pharisees, but inspired with a boundless love for freedom would recognize God alone as lord and king, thereby occasioning the troubles which came later under Gessius Florus and ended in the destruction of Jerusalem (Ant., XVIII., i. 1, 6). The war party which came into control in the time of Gessius Florus was by Josephus called that of the zealots (War, IV., iii. 10), whose origin in the Pharisees he recognizes, though the party of Judas is not to be confused with Pharisees, Sadducees, or Essenes (War, II., viii. 1). As a Pharisee and friend of the Romans he had an interest, indeed, in transferring responsibility for the war from the Pharisees and emphasizing the distinction between the two parties. Yet one may not with Montet (see bibliography) think of the zealots as a combination half Pharisee and half Sadducee. They emphasized the theocratic ideals of the Pharisees and then pursued these to their extreme consequences. And since the Sadduc mentioned above may well be the pupil of Shammai, it is probable that this heathen-hating school contributed ideas as well as persons to the zealots of the Jewish war. Thus is explained the proverbial regard of the zealots for the Sabbath together with their willingness to fight on that day in accordance with Shammai's principles. Yet one must not identify the school of Shammai with the zealots, who allowed to obtrude more and more the national, social, and material in place of the legal and theocratic.

The insurrection provoked by Judas and Sadduc made so little impression that a decade afterward Gamalicl could speak as is reported in Acts v. 37. But there were consequences which appeared afterward in Judas' own family, since two sons were crucified by the procurator Tiberius Alexander. Abortive attempts were made to carry out their ideas till the times of Gessius Florus, when open insurrection broke out, and then was affixed the name zealots. The historical relationship with the earlier move-

ment is proved by the connection with the insurrection of Menahem the son of Judas, also a man learned in the law (scribe), and some of his relations. While some of the zealots belonged to the business class, this latter was generally in favor of peace. The zealots did not scruple to employ the bandits or sicarii, indeed were themselves in the later Jewish period considered as identical with them. Their fanaticism caused them to be disowned and denounced by the Pharisees (Josephus, War, IV., iii. 9). But even in these times their Pharisaic origin is clear, since they never entered into relationship with the Sadducaic priesthood, while something is evident always of the theocratic ideas with which their development began, which were drawn from the Old Testament.

(F. SIEFFERT!.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: J. Derenbourg, Essai sur l'hist. et la player phie de la Palestine d'après les thalmuds, pp. 237 aqq. Paris, 1867; J. Wellhausen, Die Pharisaer und die Seducter, pp. 22 sqq., 110 sqq., Greifswald, 1874; H. Greets, Geschichte der Judher, vol. iii. passim, Leipsic, 1883; Schürer, Geschichte, i. 486–487, 573–574, 617 sqq., Eng. transl., I., ii. 80–81, 177, 229; Oppenhaim, in Literative blatt des Orients, 1849, cols. 289–292; JE, xii. 639-63; DCG, ii. 846; the commentaries on Matthew at x. 4, and those on Mark at iii. 18; the histories of Israel which del with the period of the Jewish War. The Assumption of Mosee (see Pseudepigraphia, 6) is an embodiment of the ideas of the sealots.

ZECHARIAH, zec"a-rai'ā.

The Prophet.
 The Book.
 Chapters i.-viii.
 Analysis and Contents (§ 1).
 Relation to Political Events

(\$ 2).

The Closing Section (§ 3).

2. Chapters ix.—xiv.

Chapters ix.—xi. (§ 1).

Chapters xii.—xiv. (§ 2).

Authorship (§ 3).

Isolated Passages (§ 4).

L. The Prophet: The name of the Prophet Zechariah occurs several times in the book called after him (i. 1, 7, vii. 1, 8) and also in Ezra v. 1, vi. 14. Berechiah, the son of Iddo, is mentioned as his father, while he himself is called in Ezra v. 1, vi. 14 "the son of Iddo," these passages evidently giving his genealogy in abridged form. If the Iddo alluded to in Neh. xii. 4, 16 is identical with the father of Zechariah, the prophet was of a priestly family; the statement of Ezra that he was active at the same time as Haggai under Darius Hystaspis agrees with the dates in the first part of the book, which includes the period from Nov., 520, to Dec., 518.

II. The Book.—1. Chapters i.—viii.: The book which bears the name of Zechariah consists of two principal parts: chaps. i.—viii. and chaps. ix.—iv.

1. Analysis that each must be treated separately:
Contents. the first, containing frequent mention of the prophet's name and numerous dates, consists of a short introduction, i. 1–5, and a series of visions, i. 6–vi. 8, with an addition, vi. 9–15, and a discourse regarding the continuance of the fasts, chapters vii.—viii. The introduction, i. 1–5, dated in the eighth month of the second year of Darius' reign, that is, Nov., 520, a few months later than Haggai's first discourse (i. 1), contains a solemn

than Haggai's first discourse (i. 1), contains a solemn warning not to follow the example of the fathers who would not listen to the prophet's admonitions and therefore had to be forced to believe in the truth of the prophetic sayings by the misfortunes that befell them. Then follows a series of eight skilfully combined. The date at the begine twenty-fourth of the eleventh month of in question (Feb., 519), refers undoubtedly e visions. The theme is the approaching ace from the oppression under which Israel Israel's oppressor, the world-power Baby-) feel the divine punishment, Israel is to be I, Yahweh's temple is to be rebuilt, and bel will be installed as a secular and Joshua gious ruler, and everything that delays the salvation, above all, the people's sin, shall ved. The visions are in the main easily od, but there are some obscurities in the resulting doubtless from corruption of the or instance, in the first vision (i. 8-17), mits the words "riding upon a red horse," s a horse of a fourth color to the three menster on. Part of the fourth vision is also at obscure. It is stated that Joshua and his ons (the other priests) are signs that God's will be fulfilled. This promise runs: g forth my servant the Branch" (cf. Isa.

As elsewhere in Zechariah and in Haggai, ianic hope centers about Zerubbabel; hardiner person can be meant by "the Branch.", in this case the words "I will bring forth" er strange, since Zerubbabel was then in m. Previous attempts to solve this probuot satisfactory and the supposition is forced original text, which alluded to Zerubbabel, revised in a messianic sense. In the vision v. 6 should read "their sin" instead resemblance"; this sin is represented as 1, who is borne in a closed ephah-measure angels to Babylon. The idea is, therefore, lel is to be purified from sin, while the guilt consequent punishment shall fall upon

was the connection between these visions contemporary political situation in western hid historical events induce the prophet to expect the fall of Babylon; or was he tion influenced by the general trend of prophetic thought? In the first years of Darius, there were several revolts, ing the destruction of the Persian empire. lon, Nidintubal assumed the name of Nebuar and sought to reestablish the Babylonian Darius, indeed, succeeded in crushing this (Babylon was taken between Oct., 521, and 0), but during this campaign most of the ovinces rebelled, especially Media and Perile Darius marched against these provinces, revolted anew, under another Nebuchadut in 519 the city was again taken, and by ng of that year the other revolts had been ed. Syria was never involved in these It might be conjectured that in the book

riah Babylon signifies the Persian empire of the Babylonian, but when there are taken unt the part played by Cyrus in Deuterothe conqueror of Babylon and the depend-lechariah and Haggai upon Deutero-Isaiah is that the prophets of the time still saw in the great enemy and found in the new sagainst that city a fulfilment of the older

prophecies. Hence they did not see in Darius an enemy of Israel, but rather an instrument of divine vengeance who would bring the heathen world into subjection to Israel's God and to his vicegerent Zerubbabel.

The recital of a symbolical action of the prophet (vi. 9) is appended to the visions. Here also the text appears corrupt. The original text probably stated that the prophet was com-manded to receive from four Jews, 3. The Closing who had come from Babylon to Jeru-Section. salem, gold and silver, and to make thereof a crown for Zerubbabel; for the latter was to complete the Temple and rule as king in perfect concord with the high-priest Joshua. The fact that this promise was not fulfilled led to the changes in the text, so that now Joshua takes the place of Zerubbabel and the crown is to be preserved in the Temple for a future time. The first division of the book closes with a prophetic discourse (vii.-viii.), dated on the fifth day of the ninth month of the fourth year of Darius (i.e., Dec., 518). The Temple was nearly completed (Ezra vi. 15) and the question arose whether the fasts in memory of the downfall of the nation should be continued; as, however, the messianic promise of the previous chapter had not been fulfilled, the people hesitated to abandon their mourning. Zechariah declares that God does not require fasting, but justice and neighborly love, and that precisely the neglect of this command brought destruction upon Israel; he then proceeds to encourage the people in their messianic faith by the assurance of Yahweh's love and of the coming messianic salvation. The present time is the turningpoint; a great change will take place; fasting will no longer be necessary, and all their sorrow will be turned to joy.

In these chapters there is a clear picture of Zechariah. He did not express any new prophetic ideas, but only repeated those of his great predecessors; nevertheless, he grasped those ideas in all their purity, and the discourse in chaps. vii.-viii. must be regarded as a typical specimen of prophetic preaching. Although both Haggai and Zechariah were disappointed in the hopes they associated with Zerubbabel, their importance for the postexilic period can not be overestimated, since they reawakened the faith of the people at a time when the latter were discouraged and on the point of abandoning the messianic hope. A new element in angelology appears in this book, namely, the interpreting angel, who explains the visions to the prophet; there is also a tendency to personify the active forces as is shown in the representation of one side of the concept of justice by Satan.

2. Chapters ix.-xiv.: In the second division the reader enters an entirely new world. The name of the prophet and exact dates are lacking, instead there exist only the titles ix. 1 and xii. 1 with the peculiar formula: "The burden of the 1. Chapters word of the Lord," which appears else-ix.-xi. where only in the book of Malachi. There are no direct references to the events of the years 520-518 and the whole train of thought is dissimilar. Syria, Phenicia, and Philistia are denounced, ix. 1-8; Zion is to rejoice over its mes-

sianic king, who comes as a pious and humble victor to govern the old extent of the land of Israel in undisturbed peace (9-10); the exiled Israelites are to return to their homes (11-12); God arms Judah and Ephraim and allows them to massacre "the sons of Yawan" (the Greeks; 13-15), and the Israelites then enjoy the messianic glory in their land (16-17). God's wrath is directed against the wicked shepherds of Judah to whom he will give leaders " out of him," meaning from Judah; with God's help Judah and Joseph (Ephraim) will conquer their enemies and return to their homes (x. 5, 6) while Egypt and Assyria will be humbled. In xi. 4-17 there is a peculiar narration wherein the prophet himself is made to impersonate the fortunes of his people. He is to become the shepherd of the sacred flock, the buyers and sellers of which think only of their own enrichment while the shepherds neglect their charge. As shepherd he takes two staves, "welfare" and "union" (A.V., "beauty" and "bands") to protect the people. In the course of a month he removes the three shepherds; but the flock becomes unfriendly and he decides to resign his office. He breaks his staff "welfare," whereby the alliance between the people and the other nations is dissolved. The owners of the flock show their contempt by paying him thirty shekels, the wages of a slave; at God's command he casts this sum into the temple treasury (according to the Aramaic version; A. V., "to the potter in the house of the Lord"). This clearly shows that the insult was noted and that it was to be reckoned against the owners of the flock. Thereupon the prophet breaks his staff "union" so that the brotherhood of Judah and Israel is destroyed; only a third of the flock is spared, but the remnant will be recognised by God as his people (xiii. 7-9).

In xii. 1-xiii. 6 it appears that Jerusalem is now attacked by the whole heathen world, but the 2. Chapters troyed and Jerusalem is not captured. xii.-xiv. Chap. xiv. describes anew the last battle for Jerusalem, with the singular discrepancy, however, that the city is first taken and plundered before the judgment of God overtakes the heathen. God, surrounded by his angels, appears on the Mount of Olives, which is rent by an earthquake. Now begins the messianic age, which is like a perpetual day without cold or burning heat. The outlines of the land are changed, it becomes an immense plain above which rises Jerusalem alone; ever-flowing streams issue from the city and run toward the east and the west. Those heathen who have survived the dreadful defeat recognize Yahweh's rule and come yearly to Jerusalem for the feast of tabernacles.

For a long time these chapters were believed to be by the same hand as chapters i.-viii.; it was only

3. Author-the citation of Zech. xi. 12-13 in Matt. xxvii. 9-10 as a word of Jeremiah that gave rise to a different view. Joseph Mede, in Dissertationum ecclesiasticarum triga (London, 1653), conjectured that chaps. ix.-xi. were by Jeremiah. This hypothesis, although valueless, led to a closer study of the book and at the present time but few critics attribute chaps. ix.-xiv. to Zechariah. Indeed, it seems almost impossible that the

ame author could have written i.-viil. and iz.-riv. The marked characteristics of the earlier chap are lacking in the later, and the political site as well as the prophetic quality, is totally u Of these chapters, xii.-xiv. (excepting xiii. 7-9) ap pear to constitute a typical specimen of the de prophetic literature. A conclusive proof of the late composition of this section is the announcem the comation of prophecy (xiii. 2-3), since this is dicates a period when the prophets who app in public (not purely literary prophets like the author) were degenerate and deceivers; that is, a period when literary study had taken the place of immediate prophetic inspiration. It is, hower, unlikely that xii. 1-xiii. 6 is by the same hard a xiv., especially since Jerusalem is said to have been taken in xiv., while the contrary is stated in xi.

Strange to say, the portions ix. 1-ix. 17 and xii. 7-9 are thought by some critics to constitute on of the earliest prophetic writings (from the period before 722 B.C.), while others place this sesti Isolated departure of Ephraim and Judah, and Passages. in ix. 11 that of the whole per is assumed as having already taken place. A st more important point is that in ix. 13, "the so of Yawan," that is the Greeks, appear as o whose destruction marks the beginning of the mee sianic era. This can signify only that the Greeks were then a world-power and that this verse was written after the appearance of Alexander the Great It is true that the mention of Egypt and Assyris the two great world-powers recalls Hosea (of. viii. 13, ix. 3-6); but this name may just as well signify the Ptolemies and the Seleucides (of. also Isa. xxvii. 13), since in later prophetic writings designation from the older prophets are freely adapted to con-temporary conditions. The repeated mention of Ephraim alongside of Judah is more significant, but not decisive; for in x. 6 sqq. it appears that Ephraim must first return from captivity. The conclusion therefore follows that some passages in chaps. ixx. belong to the Greek period, while nothing certainly proves that the remainder is of earlier date. Chap. xi., with its continuation xiii. 7-9, offers much greater difficulties. Kuenen and others have rightly asserted that the words "to break the brotherhood between Judah and Israel" are incompatible with a postexilic origin. It can not be denied that the condition of the Ephraimitic kingdom under Pelah, when the Ephraimites in alliance with the Aramens attacked Judah, suits this perfectly. The shepherds killed within a month (verse 8) might then be explained by the murders of Zechariah and Shallum (II Kings xv. 8, 13). However, the designation of an Ephraimitic king as "the man that is my fel-" xiii. 7, would be strange. Two Septusint manuscripts read Israel instead of Jerusalem in verse 14, and in this case the text would refer to conflicts between the capital and the rest of the country; while these can not be proved, they are quite possible in the Greek period (cf. also xii. 7), so that this chapter might also be referred to that epoch. Any satisfactory result as to chapter n is therefore impossible, but this has nothing to do with the date of the other chapters, since it can not

that they are by the same writer. Thus probable that Zech. ix.-xiv. is composed of our prophetic writings or fragments, of pters ix., x., and xii.-xiv. at least belong time; the former probably to the Greek tter to either the Persian or the Greek (F. Buhl.)

HY: For questions of introduction recourse is to the works named in and under Biblical Inon; also: E. W. Hengstemberg, Dissertations on neness of Daniel and the Integrity of Zechariah, k, 1858; E. F. J. von Ortenberg, Die BestandBuches Sacharja, Gotha, 1859; B. Stade, in i (1881), 1 sqq., ii (1882), 151 sqq., 275 sqq.; Wright, Zechariah and his Prophecies . . . in o Modern Criticism, London, 1879 (holds to the the book); W. Stärk, Untersuchungen über die on und Abfassungszeit von Zach 9-14, Halle, Blake, Howe to Read the Prophets, part 1, New 12; G. K. Grütsmacher, Untersuchung über den der in Zach. ix.-ziv. vorliegenden Prophetien, 1892; N. J. Rubinkam, Second Part of . . . , Basel, 1892; W. H. Kosters, Widerherstellung eidelberg, 1895; T. K. Cheyne, Jewish Religious the Exile, New York, 1898; E. Sellin, Studien chungsgeschichte der jüdischen Gemeinde, ii. 63 xic, 1900; J. Boehmer, in NKZ, 1901, pp. 717 van Hoonacker, in Revuse biblique, 1902, pp. 161 W. Rothstein, Die Nachtgesichte des Sacharja. ur Sacharjaprophetie und zur jüdischen Geschichte chexilischen Jahrhundert, Leipsic, 1910; Smith, DB, iv. 967-970; EB, iv. 5390-95; JE, xii.

ntaries are: J. D. F. Burger, Études exégétiques es sur le prophète Zacharie, Strasburg, 1841; J. ng. transl., in Minor Prophets, 5 vols., Edinburgh, T. V. Moore, The Prophets of the Restoration, k, 1856; W. Neumann, Stuttgart, 1860; A. vols., Erlangen, 1861-63; R. Wardlaw, in Pos-Works, vol. vii., Edinburgh, 1862; L. Reinke, 1864; H. Cowles, Minor Prophets, New York, Henderson, Book of the Twelve Minor Prophets, Andover, 1868; C. F. Keil, Edinburgh, 1868; sey, The Minor Prophets, new ed., Oxford, 1877; k, 1885; C. J. Bredenkamp, Erlangen, 1879; ine, in Pulpit Commentary, New York, 1880; H. mmentary on the Prophets, vol. v., London, 1881; ng, The Yalkut on Zechariah, Cambridge, 1882; Eaton, Expository . . . Lectures on . . Zechitzburg, 1883; W. L. Alexander, Zechariah, is and Warnings, London, 1885; T. T. Perowne, in Expository . . . Lestures Minor Prophets, k, 1893 G. A. Smith, in Expositor's Bible, Lon-97; K. Marti, Freiburg, 1892, and Tübingen,

IAH, zed"e-kai'ā: Nineteenth and last udah (597-586), son of Josiah, successor hin. By the sudden death of Josiah his shaz and then Jehoiakim (qq.v.) came to e, the last named, at first a vassal of Egypt of Babylonia, revolting from Babylonia and bout the interference of the Babylonian successor was his son Jehoiachin (q.v.), a number of his subjects was deported to while his uncle Zedekiah was made king e. In other circumstances Zedekiah might e a good king, but the situation was too or him to control. He lacked the firmness i the courage to restrain the fanatical elelong his people, especially those which attempts at national independence. The of Egypt, perhaps intensified by a change there, and the unrest of the neighboring uced a tentative revolt from Babylonian on account of which Zedekiah was compelled to journey to Babylon, where he seems to have conciliated Nebuchadrezzar. When Hophra came to the throne in Egypt, a false patriotism in Judah brought about revolt in Judah from Babylon in 588, and in 587 Nebuchadrezzar began the siege of Jerusalem. Relief seemed about to come from Hophra, and the siege was raised for a brief time, only to be renewed; the wall was breached, and Zedekiah tried to escape, getting as far as Jericho, when he was captured and taken before Nebuchadrezzar at Riblah; his sons were slain before his eyes, he was then blinded and carried in chains to Babylon, where he died in prison. (R. KITTEL.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The literature on the period as given under AHAB; and ISRABL, HISTORY OF; and the articles in the

Bible dictionaries.

ZEISBERGER, DAVID: Moravian missionary to the American Indians; b. at Zauchenthal (a hamlet in Moravia) Apr. 11, 1721; d. at Goshen, O., Nov. 17, 1808. When he was five years old, his parents fled with him to Herrnhut, and in this Moravian center he received his first training. He was then sent, after his father and mother had already emigrated to Georgia, to the Moravian settlement of Herrendyk, Holland, but the discipline was so stern that he ran away to England, where Oglethorpe assisted him to rejoin his parents in Georgia. With his brother Moravians he left Georgia in 1740 and was one of those who built the Pennsylvania towns of Nazareth and Bethlehem. In 1743 he was designated a member of the escort to accompany Count Zinzendorf on his return to Europe, nor was it until just before the ship sailed that his unwillingness to leave America became manifest, and he was permitted to remain. Soon afterward he resolved to devote his life to the evangelization of the American Indians, and from 1745 until 1807 he labored unceasingly in this cause. Studying first Delaware and Onondaga, he later acquired Mohican, Monsey, and Chippewa. initial work was at Shamokin, Pa., and Onondaga, N. Y. (1745-50), and after a visit to Europe in behalf of his mission, he returned to Onondaga in 1751, but was forced by the outbreak of the French and Indian War to return to Bethlehem, though he was a sachem and keeper of records to the Six Nations and an adopted member of the Monsey tribe. In 1755-62 he was largely employed in work among the Connecticut Indians, and during the war with Pontiac he was in charge of the Moravian Indians, whom he accompanied to Wyalusing, Pa., on the close of hostilities. He established a Monsey mission on the Alleghany River in 1767, and in 1770 commenced the building of the town of Friedenstadt on the Beaver. In 1772 he organized a mission on the Muskingum, in Ohio, and during the American Revolution it was mainly his influence that kept the Delawares from joining the British side. The Wyandottes, in revenge, broke up Zeisberger's mission in 1781, and he and his fellow missionaries were tried at Detroit as American spies, but were acquitted. In the year following nearly a hundred Christian Indians were massacred by settlers at Gnadenhütten, one of the many missions that Zeisberger founded, and he then led the remnant to the Clinton River, Mich., and thence to New Salem, O. (1787), and to his new settlement of Fairfield, Ont. (1791). In 1789 he was at last able to bring back a part of his Indians to the Tuscarawas Valley, O., where Congress granted them a large tract of land, and there he founded his last settlement, Goshen, where he passed the remainder of his life.

Among all the non-Roman Catholic missionaries to the American Indians Zeisberger deserves a foremost place. Though almost none of the settlements founded by him survived him, and although the immediate results of his work were small, yet his devotion to his cause was unsurpassed and his influence on his wards by no means ended with his death. His works thus far published are Delaware Indian and English Spelling-Book (Philadelphia, 1776); Collection of Hymns for the Christian Indians (in Delaware; 1803); Sermons to Children (in Delaware; 1803); History of our Lord . . . Jesus Christ (Delaware harmony of the four Gospels, translated from S. Lieberkühn's harmony; 1821); Diary, 1781-1798 (transl. E. F. Bliss, 2 vols., Cincinnati, 1885); Indian Dictionary, English, German, Iroquois [Onondaga] and Algonquin [Delaware] (ed. E. N. Horsford, Cambridge, 1887); Essay of an Onondaga Grammar (Philadelphia, 1888); and History of North American Indians (ed. A. B. Hulbert and W. N. Schwarze, Columbus, O., 1910). Some of his most important works still remain unedited, e.g., his "German and Onondaga Lexicon" (in 7 vols.) and his "Delaware Grammar," the manuscripts being preserved partly in the library of the American Philosophical Society at Philadelphia and partly in the library of Harvard University.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: C. G. Blumhardt, Vis de David Zeisberger, Neuchâtel, 1844; J. Heim, David Zeisberger, Bielefeld, 1849; E. De Schweinitz, Life and Times of David Zeisberger, Philadelphia, 1838, reissue, 1870; H. Römer, Dis Indianer und ihr Freund David Zeisberger, Gütersloh, 1890; J. Grunewald, David Zeisberger, 2d ed., Niesky, 1895; P. Steiner, David Zeisberger, Basel, 1905.

ZELL, tsel, MATTHAEUS and KATHARINA: German Reformer and first Evangelical preacher at Strasburg, and his wife. Matthäus was born at Kaisersberg (98 m. s.w. of Stuttgart) Sept. 21, 1477; d. at Strasburg Jan. 9, 1548. He studied at Mainz, Erfurt, and Freiburg (M.A., 1505; Th.B., 1509), and in 1511 began to lecture at Freiburg, where he became rector in 1517. In 1518 he was called as minister to the cathedral at Strasburg. In 1521 he embraced the principles of the Reformation and began to preach in an Evangelical spirit. Against the attacks of priests and monks people and magistracy protected him, while to the bishop's written attacks he replied in Christlichen Verantwortung (1523)—the first historical work dealing with the Reformation in Alsace. In Strasburg the Reformation went forward, priests married, and Zell himself took a wife in that year. When a few months later the bishop banned married priests, Zell answered in his Appellatio sacerdotum maritorum (1524). He was disinclined to theological dialectic and dogmatic formulation, was not in the strict sense a scholar nor was he a politician, and severe discipline did not accord with his ideas. Through this he was enabled to avoid the strifes into which many of the Reformers fell. His plan of life and his Christianity were simple, he was a friend of and beloved by the people, dealing kindly even with the Anabaptist. His interests covered more than his own city, and his judgments were always in the interests of peace. He was especially interested in Christian education, and issued various writings in dialogue form, collected in *Frag und Antwort* (1536).

His wife, Katharina, outlived him, and was known as the benefactress of the poor, especially of those who were fugitives for the sake of their religion. Indeed, the pastor's house became in ministure what Strasburg was in a larger sense, the refuge of the persecuted. Katharina's activity was not, however, confined to deeds of charity; she had ability both in discourse and with her pen. She was well read in theology. In the early years of her married life (1524) she wrote a reply to the bishop in defense of her husband, and the same year wrote a consolatory tract to the Evangelical women of Kenzingen. In 1534 she issued with a preface an extract from the hymn-book of the Bohemian Brethren, and had this published in parts so that it might be within reach of the very poor. She also issued an explanation of two psalms and of the Lord's Prayer. She carried on a versatile correspondence with such Reformers as Blaurer, Fagius, Butser, Pellican, and even with Luther. Her charitable labors she continued till her death in 1562.

(JOHANNES FICEER.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: There are biographies by: M. Adam, Viss
Germanorum theologorum, pp. 189-192, Heidelberg, 182;
F. Unselt, Strasburg, 1854; E. and E. Hang, La France
protestante, ix. 555-558, Paris, 1859; E. Lehr, Paris, 1861;
I. Walther, Strasburg, 1864; A. Erichson, Strasburg,
1878; and in ADB, xlv. 17-18. Consult further, buids
works on the Reformation: A. Jung, Beiträge zu der Geschichte der Reformation, ii. 28 sqq., 159 sqq., 174 sqq.
Strasburg, 1830; T. W. Rohrich, Geschichte der Reformation im Elease, Strasburg, 1830-32; idem, Mithelimpen
aus der Geschichte der evangelischen Kirche des Elessen, ii.
84-154, ib. 1855; J. W. Baum, Capito und Buiser, pp. 195
sqq., Elberfeld, 1860; A. Ernst and J. Adam, Keischeische Geschichte des Eleasses bis zur Revolution, pp. 72-86,
Strasburg, 1887.

ZELLER, tsel'er, CHRISTIAN HEINRICH: German educator; b. at the village of Entringen (18 m. s.w. of Stuttgart) Mar. 29, 1779; d. at Beuggen (12 m. e. of Basel) May 18, 1860. He received his early education in the institutions of Ludwigsburg, and when eighteen entered the University of Tübingen, his father's desire being that he should study law, though his own heart was already turned to the teaching profession; in 1801 he began to teach privately at Augsburg, showing signs of genius in this direction. He was besought to found a private school at St. Gall in 1803, where he stayed till 1809; he had charge of schools in the district about Zofingen, 1809-20; and in 1820 he was called to the charge of the institution at Beuggen devoted to the care of neglected children, where he spent the rest of his life. Under his care that institution became the model for its class, a pattern exhibition of Christian philanthropic work. In this work he was ably assisted by his wife and later by his sons. In connection with it he developed a literary activity which has had permanent effects. He edited the periodical Monatsblättern aus Beuggen; and published Lehren der Erfahrung für christliche Landund Armenschullehrer (Basel, 1827), containing & system of pedagogy which gathered up all that was

the methods then available; Göttliche Antzuf menschliche Fragen (Basel, 1840); Ueber nderpflege (1840); Kurze Seelenlehre, gegrün-Schrift und Erfahrung (Calw, 1846). All of assed through numerous editions. Zeller io a contributor of worthy hymns to the s, some of them among the best loved of the worthy of mention are: "Gott bei mir an Orte," Eng. transl. by Mrs. Findlater, "My th me in every place"; and "Treuer Heiir sind hier," Eng. transl., "Savior, here to e come." Among the praises which his permerited was that given to his simplicity, ; said of him that he "always remained a e," with the humility of a child.

(C. von Palmer.†)

(C. VON PALMER.T)
LAPHY: Lives are by H. Thiersch, 2 vols., Basel,
E. Zeller, Basel, 1899, and Berlin, 1900; and T.
7, Basel, 1901. Consult also Julian, Hymnology,
1.

LAVESTA. See Zoroaster, Zoroastrian-

ZEND- FOLK.

ed Source and Relation to Freemasonry (§ 1). slishment in America (§ 2). ts of Mazdaznan (§ 3). ard Life and Sacred Texts (§ 4). ade toward Science (§ 5) gy and Organization (§ 6).

Zend Folk form a sect, termed by its adhersdaznan, which purports to be founded on chings of Zoroastrianism (see ZOROASTER, TRIANISM)]. They explain their name Mazfrom the [alleged] Avestan Maz-da-znan, Maz eld to mean "great, master," da "to think, lge," and znan, or yaznan, as "worshipful, orshiped," the consequent meaning of the nd being "master thought" or "thought sters." Mazdaznan is maintained by its s to have risen to notice in Europe and , after the return of Anguetil du Perron, in

1762, from Surat, India, where he had posed made the first translation of the fragand mentary writings of the Avesta of the ion Zarathushtrian religion, with the help se- of Dastur Darab. About eighty years ry. after the Mohammedan invasion a

large number of Zarathushtrian fugit Persia and settled in India, where they have red peacefully, having guarded the sacredtheir monotheistic faith, which had been from Bactria, whence, many centuries beazdaism had been spread throughout Asia missionaries of Zarathushtra, who was held communed with Mazda on the mountain of oly Questions," where the faith which was his name was revealed to him. It is further ned by the followers of Mazdaznan that the ons (q.v.), who sprang up in England at nning of the eighteenth century, drew most

from the Zarathushtrian ritual after the f Anquetil, and they accordingly hold that prv is indebted to the Zarathushtrian, or religion for most of its mystic ceremonies, points of striking resemblance being alleged between the two systems. Among these ition, the acacia, the all-seeing eye, the apron, the cock, the ear of corn, the annual feast, the sacred numbers three, five, and nine, the right hand, the thirty-third degree, the white color, and the six periods.

During the first part of the nineteenth century attempts were made in Germany, France, Russia, and America to introduce Zarathushtrian teachings from England under various names, and even without special names, the result being the establishment of manifold occult schools and new-thought cults. In 1890 Dr. Otoman Zar-Adusht Hanish formed the first Mazdaznan Peace Center in America, giving due credit to the source of his teachings. In 1899

he organized his movement for the 2. Estab- more effectual spread of the "message lishment in of peace," and established perma-America. nent headquarters in Chicago, where

there is a magnificent temple of the cult. The Mazdaznan people throughout the country style themselves "associates of God," having formed a "society of collective thought." In Jan., 1902, their first monthly appeared under the title of Sunworshiper (now Mazdaznan), and at Christmas, 1905, a provisional tribunal was instituted for a term of four years. At Christmas, 1909, the movement was reorganized with a Tribunal of Three, a Celestial Twelve, and a Terrestrial Twelve, which constitute the Supreme Court of the Mazdaznan Association. They consider themselves merely messengers of peace, and their organization simply as a mission of peace to give to every soul, in accordance with the demands of the times, its full due and rightful portion of gratitude. The institution may be termed purely educational, and without obligations. All applications for membership must be voluntary and free from suggestion or influence. The membership is divided into three classes: associates, friends, and fellows.

Mazdaznan recognizes the Bibles of all races as inspired, and regards the Avesta as the key to all final interpretations. The teaching is monotheistic in principle and pantheistic in application. All great men and women, irrespective of nationality and creed, are regarded as incarnations of the "will of the Lord" and of the "law of holiness," and respect and homage are paid to one and all of them.

The sect holds that man takes up where 3. Tenets spirit leaves off, and is incarnated "to of reclaim the earth, to turn the deserts Mazdaznan. into a paradise, a paradise most suitable unto God and his associates to dwell therein." It considers the body of man to be the temple of the living God, and, by breathing the formula of "a prayer on the breath," man awakens to his higher consciousness of a living soul, endowed with the attributes of Mazda. Through a systematic method of religious health exercises, fasting, chastisement, and diet, Mazdaznan proposes to eradicate all prenatal influences and error of ancestral relations. Three methods of healing are recognized: the knife, medicine, and prayer, but for their followers they stress "prayer on the breath" (Vendidad, vii. 44, xx. 11-12; Confession, xv.; Declaration, xix.; James v. 15-16).

The followers of this cult are considered to be admirable culinarists and dietarians, while they also manifest great endurance, many of their leading adherents having lived without food for fifty-four days. They observe the commands of the Vendidad and of Genesis, and consequently hold strictly

to vegetarianism (Vendidad, v. 19-20);
4. Outward Gen. i. 29-30). In their public life they
Life and are very plain, uncorremonial, and unSacred pretentious. They accept the leading
thought of society as the tone of their
message of peace, relying on the voice

of doing ["religion"] within, and holding to the "light of illumination" or "the sun of the soul." To be ever mindful of the existing relationship of intelligence to substance and vice versa, they recite scriptures daily (Vendidad, xix. 2, 22; Declaration, xix.; Confession, viii. 10-12). They observe holidays very religiously, the chief ones being the Christ-mas Gahanbar, which generally lasts ten days, and the Midsummer Peace Conference, for five days. They celebrate Easter, or the Birth of Ainyahita [Anahita], and Autumn, or the Birth of Zarathushtra. The greetings of the followers of Masdasnan to each other are characteristically oriental, and each season and holiday has its particular greetings and blessings. Besides the scriptures they recognise, as pathfinders setting forth most clearly their ecientific, philosophic, and religious views, The Dialogues of Ainyahita, Necklacs of Humata, Huhata, Huwarashta, Prayer-Beads, Masdassan Declaration, Confession, Statement, and Affirmation. Among their many religious views are the following two affirmations: "I am a Masdaman, and I recognise the eternal designs in humata (good thought), hu-hata [hūkhta] (good word), humarashta [humarashta] (good deed)," and "The will of the Lord is the law of holiness; holiness is the best of all good," or Yathā ahū vairyō and Ashem vohu. Among themselves the followers of Masdaman are divided into four different classes: celibates, companions, minimites, and maximites.

Their belief in karma, reincarnation, and transmigration differs materially from that of the other oriental religions, and they claim absolute scientific and evolutionary substantiation.

5. Attitude Salvation and redemption are, to them, natural consequences in the evolutionary process of racial ties, while resur-

rection is a natural process, and immortality is universal to substance and intelligence. However complex the hypothesis of the speculative side of their philosophy may be, they claim to have ample proofs from the living word of God or nature to bear out their statements.

At their services they burn incense, candles, and sacrifices; and wear costly robes, largely of oriental design, while their religious solemnities are celebrated with the greatest pomp. The order of services, as well as their decorations, change with the seasons and occasions. They hold firmly to inspira-

seasons and occasions. They hold firmly to inspiration and revelation, and they consider

6. Liturgy the body to be the manifestation of and Organi-God and all the physical attributes to zation. be the temple of the living God. They

do not believe in erecting special edifices for worship, and their temples serve merely for initiation and the imparting of inner teaching to the advanced. The sect has two magnificent temples in America, one in Chicago and the other in Lowell, Mass., fitted with all the splendor of oriental and occidental brilliancy. With Otoman Zar-Adusht Hanish as Elector, a Grand Visier, and a Khalif, constituting a triumvirate, assisted by the Celestial Twelve and the Terrestrial Twelve with their different orders, they conduct the Masdaman commonwealth, each official being unsalaried, since all obligations are considered to be those of honer and duty.

The sect carries on a mission in Germany under Ambassador David Ammann, and also conducts missions in Canada, England, Switzerland, Holland, Africa, and South America. Their active membership in the United States is estimated by them at about 100,000, while they maintain that their fellowing is much more numerous. Because of their belief in the universality of their teachings, they forbid propelytising.

ZENO, st'no, THE ISAURIAN: Byzantine estperor 474-475 and 476-491. An Isaurian force was long a part of the garrison of Constantinople, and there Zeno rose to power. Aspar, an Ossetian, had under Leo I. reached a high degree of power, as his son Patricius had been betrothed to a dau of the emperor and been named Cressr. But Lee became estranged from Aspar and his Germans and in his opposition to them leaned upon the lastri and Zeno. Zeno married Ariadne, a daughter of Leo, and the betrothal and appointment of Patricius were recalled, while Zeno became consul. Zen however, seemed unsuited for the succession because of doubts concerning his orthodoxy, so his son and Ariadne's, Leo's grandson, was named cessor and became emperor under Zeno's regenty on the death of Leo in 474; but he died in the s year, and under the influence of the downger onpress Verina and of his wife Zeno was named emperor; a disagreement with the dowager empress, however, in connection with an uprising of the Thracian Goths and of the capital, compelled Zeno to flee in Jan., 475, and Basiliscus, brother of Verina, assumed the crown. The new emperor favored the Monophysites (q.v.) and issued an encyclical to that effect, while Acacius, patriarch of Constantinople, upheld the orthodoxy of Chalcedon. The encyclical called forth two letters from Pope Simplicius to Acacius and Basiliscus. Zeno with his Isaurians was enabled to return to Constantinople, captured Basiliscus, and in 476 resumed the reins of empire. The pope hailed the return of Zeno as a triumph of orthodoxy. The power of the Monophysites compelled Zeno, however, to adopt a mediating course, and he issued his Henoticon (q.v.), which attempted a compromise, in which Acacius and Petrus Mongus (qq.v.) had part. The attempt was a failure, and instead of producing peace cause new struggles, and one result was a breach with Rome, begun with the excommunication of Acecius and continuing thirty-five years. Zeno started the East Goths on their way to Italy, while Theodoric as a German king and an imperial officer held Italy as a part of the empire. Justinian's policy was to restore the direct imperial control in

l so leaned toward a settlement of the hich in 519 came to an end.

(K. J. NEUMANN.)

'HY: The sources are discussed by Bury in his ed. n's Decline and Fall, cf. the historical account n's chap, xxxix. Consult: the monograph on N. Barth's dissertation, Basel, 1894; the notes in s and G. Krüger's ed. of the "Church History" rias Rhetor, Leipsic, 1899; Schaff, Christian iii. 765; and the literature under the articles the text, especially under Monophysites.

OF VERONA: Bishop and patron saint y. As early as 1692 Jean Mabillon (Traité monastiques, pp. 503, 554, Brussels, 1692) question whether there was toward the third century a bishop of that name and title, and whether the works attribof uted to him were his. The repeated

to the returning of an affirmative anto the establishment of the ninety tractates to Zeno as both genuine and worthful.

ty. asking of this question since has gone

) that city will recall the memorials to him spe of church, square, and portal (cf. K. Northern Italy, p. 235, Leipsic, 1906); st part of the church was built in the sixth and from an early date Verona has honsaint in these and other places. The oldony to this fact is an address delivered vitation of the clergy of the city on the of the celebration of a festival to him, to be dated about the year 412; it may by Bishop Petronius of Bologna (d. be-and 450). This address calls Zeno not a it "most holy confessor." A second testhe existence of Zeno is the story of a id to have occurred at the time of a floodcity about the year 588 in which the saint basilica (cf. Paul the Deacon, Historia larum, III., xxiii., Eng. transl., Philadelprofess to possess relics of the patron he city. His celebrity traveled over the lermany, to Ulm, Reichenhall, and even um through Bishop Ratherius of Verona his bishop cited frequently the tractates and brought a manuscript to Lobbes conrhythmic description of Verona (De laudiæ, dated about 790), which deals with the , bishops, of whom the eighth was Zeno. n this manuscript, however, is the chief the tractates (Codex Remensis), which of Reims (q.v.) presented to the Beneorary at Reims. It contains the ninetytates (or fragments of them), and is espeeresting because of the marginal glosses ate to the use of collections of sermons in rship, and show further that this manu-I been used in worship at Verona. To the ct concerning the three men in the fiery he remark is annexed that it was to be he festivals of Firmus and Rusticus (who ored at Verona about 765). The same are preserved in numerous other manuder the name of Zeno.

estion arises whether these tractates are or whether, as Tillemont said of the 105 first printed under the name of Zeno, they are a collection from various authors. In the older col-

lection there were pieces which are to Unity of the be credited to Cæsarius of Arles, the Tractates. letter of Bishop Vigilius of Trent to Chrysostom, three tracts by Bishop Potamius of Olisipo (MPL, viii. 1411 sqq.), five ex-

positions of psalms by Hilary of Poitiers, and four sermons of Basil of Cæsarea in the Latin translation of Rufinus. Since in the ninety-three tractates there are considerable parts which go back to Lactantius and Hilary of Poitiers, the appearance is presented of a collection; and this is enhanced by the fact that Zeno has been supposed to belong to the third century, not to the fourth. In spite of this, there are very decided indications of the unity of the collection. As in the works of Tertullian, Cyprian, and others, many citations are taken verbally from Seneca, apocryphal writings, and even from Apuleius, but these are worked into the texture. Hilary was very popular (Jerome, Epist., xxxiv. ad Marcellam, MPL, xxii. 448); but the style of Zeno betrays a far stronger influence of the Asian school and is richer in use of figures and in rhythm. The proof of unity has been well worked out by Weyman, Giuliari, and Bigelmair (see bibliography). Especially indicative of this is the employment of a pre-Hieronymian Bible-text, in which the agreement with the text of Cyprian is particularly noticeable. Even though the unity of the tractates be conceded, it still does not follow that Zeno is the author, for it is a possible supposition that they had been attributed to the patron saint of the place through veneration of him. This hypothesis is hardly tenable, however, if it be granted that Zeno lived in the time of the Emperor Gallienus (260-268); for it is a desperate rather than sane conclusion that the tractates were used by Lactantius and Hilary rather than the reverse. Equally beside the mark is the hypothesis of Baronius that there were in Verona two Zenos, one living in the third and another in the fourth century. And it is not good exegesis to explain polemics against Photinians, Audians, and Arians by a polemic against Origen and Origenists.

Reasons for putting the work back into the third century are: that Christian women appear frequently as marrying heathen husbands; that sacrifices to heathen deities are yet in evidence, which were for-

bidden after Constantine and Constantius; that coins are mentioned Evidence bearing the heads of the emperors and Concerning not the cross; that the Christian the Date. churches are small and simple in construction in comparison with the heathen temples; and that the influence of the Jews is one of the objects of attack. In addition to this it is to be remarked that the dogmatic conceptions are those of the third century. It has been brought to notice that in Zeno neither the Greek homoousios nor the Latin consubstantialis is found, and in their place are older formulas that have their origin in Tertullianistic expressions. This distinguishes the author from Phœbadius (q.v.), who, though as a dogmatician he was inclined to archaisms, yet wrote of the "divinity and consubstantiality of the Son."

It is natural to refer to Hilary and other conservative Westerners; but it does not appear from the tractates of Zeno that the West without dogmatic controversies felt itself to be in possession of Catholic verity. In fact Zeno appears to be even more naive than Hilary himself before his contact with the East. The expression "Catholic verity" is not found, and the word "Catholic" seldom appears in Zeno. The tractates know nothing of a hierarchically governed Church guaranteeing the truth. The highly interesting first tractate does not countenance the suggestion that the faith has come under subjection to a legal formulary; it indeed says that we are not under the law but under grace. faith is the form of religious possession and is under private control (" the law is something in common, faith is a private matter "). The teaching concerning the Church savors of Novatianism. This institution is indeed founded upon Peter, who has, however, no precedence over the other apostles, but appears as the representative of the rest though in a sense the first of them. There is no discussion as to the position of Rome. While it is noticeable how considerable is the dependence upon Cyprian, it is curious that the bishops receive little attention. If, as the common idea has it, Zeno was African in origin, it is very remarkable that there is not a trace in the tractates of the violent Donatistic controversy. The great question which troubled men there was concerned with the consecration of bishops, but in the tractates the matter of ordination is dealt with entirely without passion. Similarly in the doctrine of the Trinity, as in the doctrine of the Church, the treatises might have been written not only before there was an Arian, but before there was a Donatistic strife, while Novatian ideas seem to be in the air.

These suggestions are so indicative that the disposition is to attribute the unity to a single editor who is responsible for the contact with Hilary by way of interpolations and a working over or else

through a common source. Before the The Work middle of the fourth century the candiof the dates for baptism were never called Editor. competentes, but in tractate ii. 27, 46, 50 the expression is found. There are indications of change from the original text. In this category there come up for consideration the suggestions involved in the marginal glosses, which show that in the eighth century the tractates were employed liturgically. But liturgical use involves considerable change. Tractate ii. 50 sqq. was used later in the monastery at Verona, "being recited in the presence of the priest before the station," and this suggests a procession. Still stranger is the marginal note on the Reims codex at ii. 42, which directs the tractate to be read by the deacon at the chief monastery when on Easter Sunday the bishop takes his place there and at the kiss of peace "according to custom" distributes apples to the brethren. The original Easter sermon on the four seasons is aptly chosen for this use, but the usage can hardly have been original. Whoever reads the later, formladen, and repetitious tractates (cf. ii. 39-40 with 41 and ii. 47 with the preceding) receives the impression of a liturgical piece which has been lopped

out of sermons, probably Zeno's. Again, Tractate I., v. 4 gives the time of the inditing of the Pauline epistles as "nearly 400 years ago or a little more." The number 200 which appears in the second edition of Verona in 1586 has no support in any of the manuscripts, where the number 400 is written out. The Ballerini have taken much pains to prove that the Church Fathers reckon at times very inexactly. But Bigelmair shows that 400 is used as a round number, and sees in the expression the hand of a redactor who was active about 450. That would explain how Jerome in his De viris illustribus passes by Zeno, for at that time no publications of Zeno were in circulation. Bigelmair concludes that Zeno was dead in 370, the year in which the commentary of Hilary on the Psalms was issued. Hilary is used often in the tractates. Bigelmair supposes that the commentary as a whole was issued then, though in its parts it had earlier seen the light; but this is merely a possibility. So that a working in of the Hilary passages is within the bounds of possibility.

But all these difficulties come seriously into consideration if that Zeno, to whom were attributed according to a very early tradition the tractates which are essentially unitary in composition and

Traditions Verona, lived in the third and not in Concerning the fourth century. The church of Zeno's Verona had a double interest in carry-Period. ing back as far as possible Zeno's dates.

Its earliest bishops had Greek names, and tradition made the first bishop one of the seventy disciples. If Zeno was the eighth in sequence, he could not have lived in the fourth century. Greeory the Great (Dialogus, iii. 19) several times calls Zeno a martyr; to be sure it was Bishop Lippomanus in the sixteenth century who first changed the form of veneration offered from that of a confessor to that of a martyr, which then became popular. That Zeno suffered martyrdom in the fourth century through the Emperor Julian or the Arians is improbable; the report of martyrdom would fit better in the third century. The Reims codex contains a life of Zeno by the notary Coronatus, which must have been written before 807 (when the relics of Zeno were transferred). This tells how the bishop healed Galla the daughter of Emperor Gallienus (260-268) and with the help of the grateful father Christianised Verona. While the fact of such a daughter is not assailable, the "Life" abounds so in impossibilities that it has been pronounced unhistorical; yet to the martyrdom it gives no support, indeed (chap. viii.) it reports: " not much later he passed away in peace." The miracle of healing passed into the later reports, as in the poem De laudibus Verona, where it takes the form of saving from an evil spirit. In spite of the improbabilities this account of the life has been influential, and has made its mark on hymns, ritual, and hagiologies. It is not strange that Gallienus is brought into connection with the legend when it is remembered that the city was a colony under Pompey, endowed with citizenship rights by Cæsar, was the birthplace of Catullus, and finally was refortified by Gallienus and called itself Gallieneia after him (F. Ughelli, Italia sacra, v. 655, 10 vols., Venice, 1717-22). Bigelmair derives

1

bishop from the East, though the Greek es not necessitate this. In the time of the Great (c. 600) Aquileia was the metropier the city was under Milan. The correæ of Ambrose (Epist., v.-vi.) with Bishop is that of a metropolitan with his suffragan. er had proceeded illegally and injuriously the consecrated virgin Indicia, to whom refers as approved by "Zeno of sacred"
This is the earliest and surest testithe life of Zeno. It has been objected that ence does not affirm either the episcopal of Zeno or his residence at Verona; but we from the whole situation. Syagrius had ed his metropolitan with the results of outiblic opinion. Ambrose replied that such the character of the Veronese, and he would r make the matter clear through a commispacify them; for Syagrius had passed a nent on a virgin consecrated by Zeno of nemory, to whom he had thus by implicahimself in opposition. That Zeno belonged a follows from the fact that Indicia lived id from the implication that Syagrius knew ; of what other Bishop Zeno could this be in additional circumstance is alleged, the tion of a sister Marcellina. Bishops, not rs, were obligated to perform such cere-according to the rules in force before 390 hesne, Origines du culte chrétien, p. 408, 889, Eng. transl., Christian Worship, its nd Evolution, p. 423, London, 1904). That , some years before Ambrose wrote the leto had officiated at the veiling of a virgin stimony was still obtainable.

the exact date is not ascertainable. It does we from the words "of blessed memory" abrose was personally unacquainted with all that is necessarily involved is that Zeno lder contemporary of Ambrose. It is known

from Athanasius (MPG, xxv. 599 B) of that in 356 Bishop Lucillus (Lucius) of 17th Verona was still alive; according to 17th the catalogues Zeno was his second

successor in the see. Much effort has pended to determine from this the years of ig and end of Zeno's episcopate, employing im that Zeno was consecrated Dec. 3, and at determining the year on which that day Sunday. But that datum is insecure. It l one of the days on which Zeno is commembut Rabanus first names Apr. 12 as the martyrdom, elsewhere Dec. 8 is named. pril, ii. 69 E derives this date from the Misbrosianum, but there Zeno appears as cona good indication of the early date of the 1). It seems as though Petrus Galesini, c prothonotary, was the first to be so definite me Dec. 8 as the day of consecration and as the natal day. The basis of this entire of reckoning is so doubtful that it seems uny to note the objections that have been adagainst it. Both the assumed year of beand the assumed year of the end (362 and uncertain. Even the duration of his epis-(eight or nine years) does not depend upon the liturgical indications of the sermon fragments. The best that can be done is to affirm that some time about 356 Zeno became bishop, and on internal grounds it is improbable that he was active as an author after 381, since there are no traces in his writings of the Council of Constantinople in 381.

It is usual to trace Zeno's origin to Africa. Ordinarily one does not speak of African Latin; but an exception is made when the peculiarities of the "Apuleian style" so abound as they do in Zeno. It follows that he used much other African writers.

Tractate 18 of book ii. has as title "On Conclusions. the natal day of S. Arcadius, which occurs on the day before the Ides of January in the city of Cæsarea Mauretania." Duchesne thinks that this tract is only by chance among Zeno's works, but Bigelmair points to its literary relationship with the rest. It purports to be a historical writing, but is so lacking in concrete detail that no local coloring is left to speak for an origin in Madaura. The most of the tractates are fragments of sermons; i. 1 is a letter and may have been written when Fortunatianus was bishop of Aquileia. Until recently it was held that Zeno's writings were the earliest examples of sermons in the Latin language, but that has become questionable. Their literary value consists in the fact that they are high-water mark in the application of the rules of art to the Latin sermon. The author had read widely, observed closely, thought matters out, polished, built up, and reconstructed until he had finished his task. But he was always desirous of having something to say, and back of the discourses was an unusual, worthful, pious, and delightful personality. The sermons have their own peculiarity. II. 44, for example, is perhaps the best description extant from early times of the process of baking bread, and every detail is treated symbolically; similarly ii. 27 deals with viticulture, and ii. 43 with horoscopes. Dogmatically they are important as revealing Western theology before the stress of the Apollinarian controversy. Pauline thoughts predominate (James is never cited), Mary is to the fore, with considerable use of apocryphal material. (F. Arnold.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The Sermones, ed. P. and H. Ballerini, were issued at Verona, 1739, this edition being repeated in A. Gallandius, Bibliotheca veterum patrum, v. 109 sqq., 14 vols., Venice, 1765-81, and in MPL, xi. 10 sqq.; a new ed. of Ballerini was issued at Augsburg, 1758, enlarged by two essays by Bonacchi (also included in MPL). Note further: Tillemont, Mémoires, iv. 1, pp. 24 sqq.; P. Ughelli, Italia sacra, v. 679 sqq., Venice, 1720; G. B. C. Giuliari, S. Zenones sermones, Verona, 1883, new impression, 1900, cf. the editor's Vita di S. Zenone, ib. 1877 (this edition contains on pp. lxxxix.-cviii., cxiii.-cxxxix. a painstaking index to the literature on Zeno up to the year 1881); cf. on Giuliari C. Weyman in AMA, 1893, ii. 359 sqq., and note the same author in AMA, 1893, ii. 359 sqq., and note the same author in AMA, 1893, ii. 350-361. In addition to the foregoing, consult: I. A. Dorner, Person of Christi, ii. 754-759, 4 vols., Stuttgart, 1846-56, Eng. transl., Hist. of the Development of the Doctrine of the Person of Christ, 5 vols., Edinburgh, 1861-63; F. A. Schüts, S. Zenonis doctrina Christiana, Leipsic, 1854; L. Jazdsewski, Zeno . . . commentatio patrologica, Regensburg, 1862; L. Duchesne, in Bulletin critique, iv (1833), 136-141; Hurter, in Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie, viii (1884), 233 sqq.; J. Fessler, Institutiones patrologia, ed. B. Jungmann, i. 712-715, Innsbruck, 1890; A. Harnack, Abhandlungen of the Berlin Academy, 1895 (shows the influence of Tertullian); especially important is A. Bigel-

mair, Zeno von Verona, Münster, 1904; H. Brewer, in Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie, xxviii. 1 (1904), 92-115; idem, in Revue bénédictine, xxii (1905), 470; H. Januel, Commentationes philologica in Zenonem Veronensem, program of the gymnasium at Regensburg, 1905-06; DCB, iv. 1213.

ZENOS, ANDREAS CONSTANTINIDES: Presbyterian; b. at Constantinople Aug. 13, 1855. He was educated at Robert College, Constantinople (A.B., 1872); was pastor of the Presbyterian church at Brandt, Pa. (1881-83), and in 1883 was appointed professor of Greek at Lake Forest University, where he remained five years. He was then professor of New-Testament exegesis in Hartford Theological Seminary (1888-91), and since 1891 has been connected with McCormick Theological Seminary, Chicago, as professor of church history (1891-1894) and of Biblical theology (since 1894). He collaborated with F. W. Kelsey in an edition of Xenophon's Anabasis (Boston, 1889); translated the "Ecclesiastical History" of Socrates for the Nicene and Post-Nicene Fathers (New York, 1890); edited, with M. W. Jacobus and E. E. Nourse, The Standard Bible Dictionary (New York, 1909); and has written Elements of Higher Criticism (New York, 1895); Compendium of Church History (Philadelphia, 1896); and The Teaching of Jesus concerning Christian Conduct (New York, 1905).

ZEPHANIAH, zef"a-nai'ā: Ninth of the Minor Prophets in the arrangement of the English version. His genealogy is traced (in i. 1) back to the fourth generation to "Hizkiah," probably Hezekiah, king of Judah, although this is not stated in the title. That this was Hezekiah is not Author and disproved by the fact that no son of Contents. Hezekiah named Amariah is elsewhere

spoken of; while the long reign of Manasseh suffices to cover the apparent discrepancy of the known three steps between Hezekiah and Josiah and the four generations named in this passage. Nothing inherently improbable attaches to the supposed Davidic descent of Zephaniah. In chap. i. appear first a threat of judgment against the earth in general, and then against Judah and Jerusalem because of heathenism in worship, the use of foreign customs by princes and nobles, and distrust of or disbelief in Yahweh. In chap. ii. is an exhortation to turn to righteousness, before judgment falls upon the Philistines, Moabites, Ammonites, Cushites (see Cush), and Assyrians. Chap. iii. 1-7 is a lament over Jerusalem, arraigning all classes, 7-13 promises return to purity, 14-20 is a triumphal song in view of deliverance, since Jerusalem's foes are cast out and Yahweh is her king. The prophecy falls, therefore, into two parts: chaps. i.-ii., the menace; chap. iii., the announcement of salvation, verses 1-7 being merely introductory. The unity of the prophecy rests upon the idea of the day of Yahweh (see DAY OF THE LORD); the aspects of this for the heathen and for Israel are discriminated. It is a day of destruction for man and beast, for Israel and the heathen; for special classes of Israelites—the idolaters, the fashionable, merchants, atheists, and sinners; for the foes of Israel. Then the isles are to worship Yahweh, the peoples will serve him, the Cushites will bring gifts, the diaspora of Israel shall be celebrated in all lands. Thus the picture of the Day of Yahweh is not altogether one, in which it agrees with the twofold aspect of the day in Isaiah. If the prophecy is a unit, the author has used various aspects in a fashion all his own. The pattern, however, was Ezekiel. Thus the prophecies against the heathen are in the middle (cf. Ezek. xxv.-xxxii.; Zeph. ii. 4-15). Ezekiel's order is menace, exhortation, the oracles concerning the heathen, which Zephaniah seems to copy. It seems likely, also, that the text has not remained in its original form, but has received additions.

The activity of Zephaniah is placed in the time of Josiah (i. 1), and shortly before 625 s.c. This is corroborated by the religious and ethical situation in Judah and Jerusalem reflected in the book. The inhabitants are compared with wine settled on the

dregs; the city has long remained free The Date. from war and other calamities; the star-worship is there, which dates after the time of Assyrian influence (i. 5); religious syncretism was coincident with foreign influence, in which the princes lead and the king does not object. This fits the period of the minority of Josiah, when he had no influence upon the practises of the people. On attaining his majority, he broke with the party friendly to Assyrian control, developed a national policy in religion and politics, and then came his reform (i. 4). Definiteness of time is indicated also by the idea of the Day of Yahweh, which in Zephaniah is motived by the Scythians from the north (i. 10, 13), who are to plunder the inhabitants. The enemy could be none of the neighbors of Judah, did not menace Egypt or Assyria, could hardly be Babylonia, and appeared suddenly-characteristics which depict the Scythians, who come as bringing a sacrifice (i. 7). The moderated expression in ii. 1-3 may be due the passing of the Scythians, which happened c. 625, while Zephaniah's activity shortly preceded this time. But this period is not the situstion reflected in iii. 14-20, the atmosphere of which is that of the exile and the spirit that of Isa xllxvi., the restoration of Israel and return of the exiles; similar in tone is iii. 9-10, a basis for which is found only after Israel had dwelt among the heathen (cf. II Kings v. 1 sqq.); with the foregoing should be Further, the attitude of Moab placed also ii. 11. and Ammon toward Israel reflects the events of 586, while ii. 7a seems a reference to the brilliant Maccabean period (cf. I Macc. xi. 61). The passage iii. 1-7 is general in tone as compared with the concreteness of chap. i., while the expression "meek of the earth" (ii. 3) recalls the epithets applied to the pious of the exile. As secondary elements then may be reckoned ii. 1-3, 7, 8-10, 15, and iii.; the rest may be ascribed to Zephaniah.

From the primary portions as thus distinguished may be seen the conditions in Judah shortly before Josiah's reform, the indications of strife between popular and prophetic piety. There is religious syncretism, influenced by the East, and Yahweh is made to say that he will not share his rulership with Baal and other deities; the judgment is coming the Scythians are the instruments. Dependence is seen upon Isaiah and Amos in the shaking of the earth and the anger of Yahweh, which involve other

tionis officium affectantem. His most detailed works are De spiritualibus ascensionibus and De reformatione virium anima, ethical treatises which establish his fame. In his works are the evidence of knowledge, piety, and independence of thought. Though a recluse by disposition, he was a man of practical sense; his mysticism was subjected to his understanding and experience, and vision and ecstasy do not appear in him. He was a faithful son of the Church, valuing highly its ordinances and especially the sacraments. As preacher and as author of useful writings Zerbolt served well his generation, and particularly the brotherhood. One of the services he rendered the latter was his influence against its conversion into a monastic order. He was the real head of the community at Deventer by reason of his great learning and spiritual in-(S. D. VAN VEEN.) tensity.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The earliest life, by Thomas à Kempis, is in the latter's Opera, in the English in his Founders of the New Devotion, transl. by J. P. Arthur, pp. 220-225, London, 1905. Consult further: J. Revius, Daventria illustrata, pp. 36-60, Leyden, 1651; H. J. van Henssen, Hist. episcopatuum faderati Belgii, vol. ii., ib. 1719; G. H. M. Delprat, Verkandeling over de Broederschap van G. Grootepp. 349-352, Arnheim, 1856; W. A. Koning, Specimen historico-theologicum de Gerardi Zutphaniensis vita, Utrecht, 1858; C. M. Vos, in Kerkhistorisch Jaarboekje, pp. 102-138, Schoonhoven, 1864; C. Ullmann, Reformers before the Reformation, ii. 105-114, 164-165, Edinburgh, 1877; G. H. J. W. J. Geesink, Gerard Zerbolt van Zutfen, Amsterdam, 1879; F. Jostes, in Historisches Jahrbuch der Görrespesellschaft, xi. 1 squ., 709 sqq.; W. Preger, in the Abhandlungen of the Bavarian Academy, XXI., i. 1 sqq.

ZERUBBABEL, ze-rub'a-bel: known of the history of Zerubbabel. As Persian governor in the postexilic Jewish community, influenced by the prophets Haggai and Zechariah, he laid the corner-stone of the second Temple in Jerusalem, in the second year of King Darius (520), and in conjunction with the high-priest Joshua promoted energetically its erection (Hag. i. 12, 14; Zech. iv. 9-10, 14, viii. 9; Ezra v. 2). The undertaking was favored by the difficulties of the Persian kingdom at this time and by the messianic hopes centering in Zerubbabel's person. The work was also furthered by the attempted interference of the Satrap Tatnai, who under Samaritan influence tried to arrest its progress, but instead brought about acknowledgment by Darius of the legitimacy of the Jewish claims as based on the privileges which were accorded by Cyrus to the Temple at Jerusalem (Ezra v. 3-6, 14).

The meagerness of the sources raises several questions in connections with Zerubbabel: (1) Was he a native of Israel, or did he belong to the exiled Jews in Babylon? His name ("branch of Babylon") suggests that he was born in Babylon. The messianic expectations centering about him testifies to membership in the family of David. The Chronicler (I., iii. 19) calls him the son of Pedaiah, while Haggai (i. 1, 12, 14, etc.) and Ezra (v. 2) call him the son of Shealtiel. This question is complicated by the difficulty as to the exact condition of the text of Haggai, and the reliability of the genealogical table in the Book of Chronicles. (2) What was the relation between Zerubbabel and Sheshbazzar (Ezra i. 8, cf. ii. 2)? Older authorities identify the two, but it is not likely that a Jew would bear two Babylonian names of the character of these. Besides, although both are mentioned as laying the cornerstone (Ezra v. 16, Sheshbazzar; Zech. iv. 9, Zerubbabel), it is probable that the one belonged to the second year of Cyrus and the other to the second year of Darius. Allowing that they were two different persons, when did Zerubbabel return home? Not much reliance can be placed in the list of Em ii., since it is evidently artificial in its construction. Note the number twelve. Probabilities point to the fact that in the year 519 this young member of the house of David, then in his twentieth year, returned to his fatherland. Confirmation (not proof) of this is found in III Esdras v. 1 sqq. (3) What was Zerubbabel's career? The rest of his life, after the completion and consecration of the Temple in 516, lies in obscurity. This is all the more remarkable because the books of Haggai and Zechariah leave the impression that Zerubbabel was about to be elevated to the throne. Possibly there was a rebellion (or attempt to set up an independent kingdom) against the "great king" (of Persia) which ended in a catastrophe. Such an ending to a setrapy was common in the Persian empire. It is likely that Zerubbabel was put to death, and possibly this event is alluded to in Ps. lxxxix. 39-52. Yet the mention in I Chron. iii. 21 of a Hattush belonging to the family of David allows the hypothesis that Zerubbabel was not executed but rather recalled to (ERNST SELLIN.) Babylon.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Of high value are the later commentaries on the books of Exra-Nehemiah, Haggai, and Zecharish. Consult further: A. van Hoonacker, Zorobabel et le second temple, Ghent, 1881; idem, Nouvelles Utudes sat le restauration juice après l'exil de Babylone, ib. 1896; idea, in Expository Times, viii (1897), 351 sqq.; W. H. Kosters, Het Herstel van Israel, Leyden, 1893 (epoch-making); F. de Sauley, Étude chronologique des livres d'Esdra et Néhémie, Paris, 1868; J. Imbert, Le Temple reconstruit par Zorobabel, Louvain, 1888; P. H. Hunter, Aire the Ezile, London, 1890; A. Kuenen, Gesommelte Abhandlungen, pp. 212 sqq., Freiburg, 1894; A. H. Sayce, Higher Criticism and the Monuments, pp. 539 sqq., London, 1894; E. Meyer, Entstehung des Judentums, Halle, 1896; T. K. Cheyne, Jewish Religious Life After the Erile, New York, 1898, cf. his Introduction to Isaiah, pp. xxxiii-xxii, London, 1895; C. C. Torrey, The Composition and Historical Value of Exra-Nehemiah, Giessen, 1896; E. Sellin, Serubbabel, Leipsie, 1898; idem, Studien zur Entstehung geschichte der jüdischen Gemeinde nach dem babylonischen Ezil, part ii., ib. 1901; J. Nikel, Die Wiederherstellung des jüdischen Gemeinwessens nach dem babylonischen Ezil, part ii., ib. 1901; J. Nikel, Die Wiederherstellung des Königs Jojachin und seiner Nachkommern in geschichlicher Beleuchtung, Berlin, 1902; J. Fischer, Die chronologischen Fragen in den Büchern Esra-Nehemia, Freiburg, 1903; DB, iv. 978-979; EB, iv. 5411-14; JE, xii. 683-663; and the works on the history of Israel dealing with the period, cited under Ahab, and Israell, Histort or.

ZEZSCHWITZ, tsetsh'wits, KARL ADOLF GERHARD VON: Lutheran theologian; b. at Bautzen (31 m. e.n.e. of Dresden) July 2, 1825; d. at Erlangen July 20, 1886. After elementary instruction at Dresden and Bautzen, he entered in 1846 the University of Leipsic, studying under Winer and Harless; he then became an assistant in the Mission House at Leipsic, and also taught in a girls' school; next he became substitute pastor at Grosszschocher, a village near Leipsic; from there he went to Leipsic as second university preacher in 1856, having developed a rich experience which he was hereafter to utilize in the department of cate-

In 1857 he became a teacher in the uniand published Petri apostoli de Christi desinferos sententia . . . (Leipsic, 1857), also acitat und biblischer Sprachgeist (1859). exegesis, he dealt with catechetics: his work her was acceptable, and he issued two volsermons (1860, 1864). By 1862 he had the first volume of his System der christlichen Katechetik, completed in 1864. Begin-1862 he issued a series of smaller works on ics which was not completed till the issue ristenlehre im Zusammenhang (1885). Dur-3-65 he delivered lectures at Frankfort, dt, and Basel, afterward published as Inssion, Volkserziehung und Prophetenthum ort, 1864), and Apologie des Christenthums chichte und Lehre (Leipsic, 1866). In 1865 zed a call as professor to Giessen, and the r to Erlangen to teach practical theology; in position of university preacher came to him; he founded the Studienhaus, which became al in the university, in which he exercised a adership. In 1885 he laid down his position sity preacher, but devoted himself the more 7 to his work of teaching.

side his works on catechetics stands his rk, Das System der praktischen Theologie 1878), which found a wide and welcome usefulness. Other works are: Vom n Kaiserthum deutscher Nation (1877); Das rliche Drama vom Ende des römischen Kai-(1878); and Einleitung in die praktische (Nördlingen, 1883). In his ecclesiastical Zezschwitz was a faithful Lutheran, optomanism and also the "Union." In his ne was wide and catholic, and his influence tary.

(T. FICKER.)

N (SIDON). See Phenicia, Phenicians,

INBALG, BARTHOLOMÆUS: The first Protestant missionary to India; b. at (16 m. n.e. of Dresden), Saxony, June 14, . at Tranquebar (140 m. s. by w. of Madlia, Feb. 23, 1719. He was educated at nd in 1705 was one of two missionaries sethe king of Denmark to spread the Gospel Danish possessions in India. Landing in bar in July, 1706, Ziegenbalg and his combegan their labors under the most adverse as, being forced to encounter not only the y of the Hindus, but also the ill-concealed of the Danish governor and of the other n residents. Nevertheless, Ziegenbalg conlearn Tamil within a year, although when ed in India he was utterly unacquainted language, and he was soon able to prepare ism five slaves of Europeans. In 1707 he extensive preaching-tour, and in the folrear was enabled by the Dutch magistrate patam to hold there a friendly conference on matters with the Brahmans. Ziegenbalg 1 at Tranquebar until 1715, busily engaged hing to Hindus, half-breed Portuguese, and s well as holding a weekly German service, his necessary labor of translating the New nt and a considerable portion of the Old into Tamil, and writing much in his adopted language. In 1715 ill-health forced him to return to Europe, and he was received with high honors both in Germany and in England. Early in 1719 he went once more to India, but died within a short time.

The Tamil translation of the Bible, in which Ziegenbalg was assisted by B. Schultze and J. E. Gründler, commenced to appear at Tranquebar in 1714, though the work was not finished until 1728; it is especially noteworthy as being the first translation of the Scriptures into any of the languages of India. Ziegenbalg was likewise the author, among other works (many of them in Tamil), of Grammatica Damulica (Halle, 1716), the earliest portions of Der königlichen dänischen Missionarien aus Ost-Indien eingesandte ausführliche Berichte (95 parts. 1718-1848), which had been preceded by his Merckwürdige Nachricht aus Ost-Indien (3d ed., Leipsic, 1709; Eng. transl., Propagation of the Gospel in the East, 3 parts, London, 1709-14) and his Ausführlicher Bericht, wie er . . . das Amt des Evangelii . . führe (2d ed., 7 parts, Halle, 1713-14; partial Eng. transl., Account of the . . . Malabarians, London, 1717); Brevis delineatio missionis operis, quod ad propagandam Christi cognitionem . . . inter paganos Orientales et præcipue inter Damulos. Tranquebariæ geritur (in collaboration with J. E. Gründler, Tranquebar, 1717); and Genealogie der malabarischen Götter (ed. W. Germann, Madras, 1867).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: J. H. Brauer, Bartholomäus Ziegenbalg und seine Mitarbeiter in Trankebar, Altona, 1837; W. Germann, Ziegenbalg und Plütschau, Erlangen, 1868; F. Schlegelmilch, Bartholomäus Ziegenbalg, Berlin, 1902; A. Gehring, Bartholomäus Ziegenbalg, 2d ed., Leipsic, 1907.

ZIEGLER, tsth'ler, JAKOB: Humanist and theologian; b. about 1471; d. at Passau in 1549. Ziegler becomes known in 1491 as coming from Landau to the University of Ingolstadt and taking there his master's degree; in 1504 he dedicated an unprinted description of an astronomical instrument at Cologne to the Abbot Trithemius; soon after he was at Vienna, and after that at the castle of Baron Heinrich Kuna in Moravia, where he wrote a work against the Bohemian Brethren (Leipsic, 1512). A friendship with Caelio Calcagnini and with Bishop Ladislaus Szalkan of Waitzen led to an introduction to Cardinal Hippolytus of Este, through whom he received in 1521 an invitation to Rome from Pope Leo X. to complete there his mathematical and geographical works. Papal protection ceased on the death of Leo, but Ziegler remained there till 1525, working on a harmony of the Gospels. In 1523 he issued a defense of Erasmus against the Spaniard Stunica, Libellus adversus Jacobi Stunica maledicentiam (Basel, 1523). In 1525-31 he was with Calcagnini at Ferrara, where he gave expression to his opinions of the worldliness of the papal court and the tyranny of the pope in his Vita Clementis VII. Most noteworthy is his program for a new constitution of Christendom, Rei Christianæ infirmitas. In this he proposed a peace union of German cities and princes, confiscation of ecclesiastical possessions, establishment of a rule of peace after a campaign against the Turks and their Christian allies (Venice and Zapolya), election of two consuls to rule Italy and Rome and two Cæsars for the control of France

and Spain, recasting of taxes in favor of the peasants and review of economic conditions, settling of German colonies in Austria and Hungary, care for education and philanthropy, and the political education of the people, with the seat of the empire in a Germanized Rome. After sending his controversial writings to Luther in 1529 he was invited to take a professorship at Wittenberg, but declined on account of his age. He was invited to Strasburg and funds were provided for his journey; he arrived there in 1531 and was given a pension of 100 gulden yearly. But the conditions in Germany seemed unpromising on account of the theological controversies. He disapproved of Butzer's guidance of the synod of 1533 against the Anabaptists and free spirits and the use of the temporal power against them, whereupon he was charged by Butzer with ingratitude, having in a little publication accused the Protestants of erecting a new papacy. From Baden-Baden he justified himself in a published apology. Ziegler thus showed himself one who had broken with the old Church but found no place in the new. After that he approached nearer the position of Roman Catholicism; he received an instructorship under Margrave Karl of Baden, in 1539-40 he was with Philip of Ehingen, went in 1541 to Vienna, where the next year he joined the theological faculty. The threatening situation arising from the Turkish invasion led him to take refuge at the court of the bishop of Passau, where he spent the rest of his life, dedicating to that prelate his exegetical works, which were put on the Index. His chief works were a commentary on Pliny (1531) and a description of the holy land (Terræ sanctæ . . . doctissima descriptio, Stras-(K. Schottenloher.) burg, 1536).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: K. Schottenloher, Jacob Ziegler, aus Landau an der Isar, Münster, 1910; J. G. Schellhorn, Amanitates historiæ ecclesiastica et literaria, il. 210 sqq., Leipsic, 1740; S. Günther, in Forschungen zur Kultur- und Literaturgeschichte Bayerns, iv. 1-61, v. 116-128, Berlin, 1896-97; T. Kolde, in Beiträge zur bayerischen Kirchengeschichte, ili. 53-64, 239 sqq., Erlangen, 1897; G. Eneström, in Bibliotheca mathematica, 1896, pp. 53 sqq.; S. Riezler, Geschichte Baierns, vi. 406 sqq., 521, Gotha, 1903; P. Kalkoff, in Archis für Reformationsgeschichte, ili (1905), 65 sqq.; ADB, xiv. 176 sqq.

ZIGABENUS (ZIGADENUS, ZYGADENUS). See Euthymius Zigabenus.

ZILLERTHAL, tsil'er-tal", EVANGELICALS OF: A body of Protestants whose home was on the Ziller, a river of the Tyrol, which discharges into the Inn about twenty-two miles northeast of Innsbruck. About a century after the great emigration of the Salzburgers (see Salzburg, Evan-GELICALS OF), there took place an immigration of the Protestant Zillerthalers into the Riesengebirge. Both movements arose from the same causes and had analogous courses. By gift of King Arnulph in 889, the Zillerthal (valley of the Ziller) belonged to the archbishopric of Salzburg. It was first joined to the Tyrol in 1816, after various changes of fortune, coming finally into the possession of Austria in that year. It was a long time before the inhabitants felt themselves to be Tyroleans. While in the Tyrol between 1585 and 1619 the non-Roman Catholic (largely Baptist) element was completely rooted out, the success of the Salzburg archbishops

with the Lutherans had been much less. In 1532 Luther directed his celebrated letter to Martin Lodinger in Gastein, in 1549 a Salzburg Roman Catholic reform synod sought to suppress Lutheranism, and in 1563 a petition for freedom of religious belief succeeded from Bischofshofen, St. Veit, St. Johann, and Grossarl. Complaints had just been made (1562) about the progress of Lutheranism on the left bank of the Ziller. In 1618 in Hippach in the bishopric of Brixen Lutheran books were confiscated, and in 1617 the same had taken place in other towns; in 1672, 1674, and 1682 great unrest was manifested in several places in the vicinity. The emigration of 800 Protestants of Deferesger-Thal seemed likely to disturb Zillerthal; and in 1689 two brothers named Stainer of Mairhofen preached the Evangelical doctrine. Yet when the great movements which convulsed Europe began in 1731, Zillerthal remained quiet, though it was generally known that Roman Catholicism had no hold upon the population. One reason for this was the complicated governmental conditions in Zillerthal; for the valley was cut by enclaves which belonged to the Tyrol, there being six different jurisdictions. Moreover, both the government of the Tyrol and that of Bavaria were averse to oppressive measure. While the "Emigration patent" was published in Zillerthal, it remained a dead letter there. Its republication in 1742 was only an alarm shot to further the surrender of Lutheran books. The time was not ripe for extreme measures, for Charles VII. was just seated on the imperial throne, and the archbishopric was alarmed by a mooted secularization. Later Maria Theresa showed a mailed fist in the catholicizing of this region, going to the extent of using imprisonment in 1758 at St. Jakob. Measures began to hem in the inhabitants, the toleration edict of 1781 brought no relief for this district, and the inhabitants were dealt with as seducers and makers of The Lutherans continued to read their concealed books, including the annotated Luther Bible and Johann Arndt's Postille, and hung the scapularies given to their children about the necks of sheep and goats. Their Protestant tendencies were accentuated by visiting North Germans and by commercial travels to foreign parts, especially to Hamburg. But politics played no part in the development.

Thus since the Reformation this religious current made itself continually stronger in Zillerthal. Neither reactionary bureaucratic oppression, mutinous and foreign democrats, nor foreign propaganda affected the Zillerthal movement. In 1816, when the region passed into Austrian control, the inhabitants of the valley begged in vain for the concession of a meeting-place for worship and for recognition as an Evangelical community. The (Roman Catholic) pastor sought in vain by means of Roman Catholic "house-teachers" to win over the "Inclinantes" (as those were called who were of Protestant inclinations). In 1829 a crisis was created by the application of six people of Mairhofen for the six weeks of religious instruction that since 1783 had been prescribed for those who would go over into a tolerated non-Roman Catholic communion in Austria. Such a demand was unheard in the

and it caused debate whether the laws for on were applicable there. Especial opposis manifested to the entrance of an Evan-pastor in the "land of religious unity." pressure against Evangelicals followed. In 34, from the emperor came a refusal of the sent up two years earlier for relief from opof conscience and for permission to receive igelical pastor, the only relief granted being on to emigrate to a part of Austria where nan Catholic communities were allowed to 'ermission to send a delegation, with Johann t its head, to the emperor to plead eleven as refused. The Grand Duke Johann, uncle nperor, announced that Evangelical worship at be permitted in the Tyrol, though emivas conceded. The "Inclinantes" remained reased in numbers, and this caused pern; attempts followed with increasing o drive the Evangelicals from the diset this official action was more humane rpose than the fanatical attacks of the Salzrgy. On Jan. 12, 1837, an imperial edict declaration within fourteen days of intenave the Roman Catholic Church; after that not so indicating intention would be treated an Catholics. Those who declared themrotestants were to leave the Tyrol within aths. In spite of the sorrow at leaving their place, 385 persons, later increased to 437, their intention to emigrate. Fleidl went n and was kindly received by Frederick III.; the Prussian Upper Consistory urt Preacher Strauss to investigate, and he a very favorable impression; finally , 1837) permission was given to receive the to Prussia. Only a few betook themselves Austrian provinces open to them. The lot who remained in the valley became conmore unendurable, pressure being brought ictions concerning marriage, burial, and together. Finally in six wagons the emiet forth, most of them going into Silesia, lct. 17, 1737, they arrived in Schmiedeberg. ony has since that time developed normally (F. Arnold.) essfully. APHY: K. Hübner, in the Mittheilungen of the So-AFRY: R. Hubber, in the ministrupes of the So-or Knowledge concerning Salzburg, xlv (1895), S. Ruf, Das Luthertum im Zillerthale, 1617-1794, ler Boten, 1868, nos. 95-96; M. Beheim-Schwarz-lie Zillerthaler in Schlesien, Breslau, 1875; G. von er, Die Zillerthaler Protestanten und ihr Ausweisung ol, Meran, 1892; G. Hahn, Die Zillerthaler im ebirge, Schmiedeberg, 1887; idem, Aus der Tiroler m Zillerthal im Riesengebirge in den ersten 50 Jahren netshens, Breslau, 1896; E. Reum, Friederike Gröfin len, Ein Lebensbild nach Briefen und Tagebüchern, 135, Berlin, 1888.

IER, taim'er, KARL FRIEDRICH: Gerotestant; b. at Gardelegen (87 m. w. of Sept. 22, 1855. He was educated at the ties of Tübingen and Berlin, and in 1880 privat-docent in the theological faculty at versity of Bonn; three years later he accall to the pastorate of Mahnsfeld (1883), came associate professor at Königsberg was director of the seminary for preachers orn (1890-94), and since 1894 has been con-II.—33

nected with the Diakonieverein of Berlin, of which he has been successively assistant director (1894-1898) and director-in-chief (since 1898). Besides editing, among other works, Bücherkleinode evangelischer Theologen (Gotha, 1888); Handbibliothek für praktische Theologie (17 vols., 1890–93); Perthes Handlexikon für evangelische Theologie (Gotha, 1890); Perthes theologisches Hilfslexikon (1894); and the periodicals Halleluja (1880-85); Blatter aus dem evangelischen Diakonieverein (since 1897); and Frauendienst (since 1092), he has written J. G. Fichtes Religionsphilosophie (Berlin, 1878); Der Spruch vom Jonazeichen (Hildburghausen, 1881); commentaries on Galatians and Acts (1882); Exegetische Probleme des Hebraer- und Galaterbriefs (1882); Concordantiæ supplementariæ omnium vocum Novi Testamenta (Gotha, 1882); Die deutschen evangelischen Kirchengesangvereine der Gegenwart (Quedlinburg, 1882); Der Verfall des Kantoren- und Organistenamtes in der evangelischen Landeskirche Preussens (1885); Königsberger Kirchenliederdichter und Kirchenkomponisten (Königsberg, 1885); commentary on Romans (Quedlinburg, 1887); Der Galaterbrief im altlateinischen Text (Königsberg, 1887); Das Gebet nach den paulinischen Schriften (1887); Kirchenchorbuch für Knaben- [Frauen- oder Männer-] Chor (2 parts, Quedlinburg, 1888-89); commentary on the epistles to the Thessalonians (Herborn, 1891); Sünde oder Krankheit? (Leipsic, 1894); Die Grundlegungen der praktischen Theologie (Berlin, 1894); Der evangelische Diakonie-Verein (Herborn, 1895); Das erste Jahrzehnt des evangelischen Diakonievereins (Berlin, 1904, 3d ed., 1911); Lebenserziehung (2 parts, 1909); Soziale Arbeit der Haustochter (1910); Die Haustochter (1910); and Brauchen wir noch Töchterpensionate? (1910).

ZIMMERMANN, tsim'er-mān", PAUL AUGUST BERNHARD VON: Austrian Protestant; b. at Dresden Sept. 3, 1843. He was educated at the universities of Leipsic (1864-67; Ph.D., 1869) and Berlin (1867-68), after which he was a catechist and pastor of St. Thomas's, Leipsic, until 1874. Since 1875 he has been pastor of the Evangelical Lutheran church in Vienna, and since 1888 has also been privat-docent for the philosophy of religion in the Evangelical theological faculty in the same city. He is a member of the governing board of the Vienna Christlicher Verein junger Männer and the founder and president of the Verein für evangelische Diakonie. In theology his position is positive. He has been editor of Der evangelische Hausfreund; and has written, Platos Lehre von der Unsterblichkeit der Seele (Leipsic, 1869); Gottesgrüsse aus Natur und Menschenleben (1872); Tropfen ins Meer (sermons and confirmation addresses; 1875); Das Rätsel des Lebens und die Ratlosigkeit des Materialismus (1877); Toleranz und Intoleranz gegen das Evangelium in Oesterreich (1881); Liebe und Leid (collected addresses; 1885); Das Evangelium in Oesterreich und Frankreich (1885); Vor der Pforte des Heiligtums (1887); Vaterunser (Vienna, 1894); Für stille Stunden (meditations; 1896); Das Evangelium in Wien (Leipsic, 1903); and Was wir der Reformation zu verdanken haben, und Hauptpunkte des evangelischen Glaubensbekenntnisses (7th ed., Heilbronn, 1907).

ZIMMERN, tsim'orn, HEINRICH: German Protestant; b. at Graben (20 m. s.w. of Heidelberg), Baden, July 14, 1862. He was educated at the universities of Leipsic (Ph.D., 1884) and Erlangen (1884-85); was curate in Baden (1885-87); a member of the staff of the university library at Leipsic (1887-88); privat-docent for Semitics successively at Königsberg (1889-90) and Halle (1890-94); associate professor of Assyriology at Leipsic (1894-1899); associate professor of Semitics at Breslau (1899-1900); and returned in 1901 to Leipsic to become professor of oriental languages. He has written Beiträge zur Kenntnis der babylonischen Religion (3 parts, Leipsic, 1896-1901); and Vergleichende Grammatik der semitischen Sprachen (Berlin, 1898); and edited the Leipziger semitistische Studien (1904 sqq.).

ZIM'RI: Fifth king of Israel, usurper and successor of Elah, whom he slew. The source, I Kings xvi. 9-20, states that he was captain of half the chariots of Elah, that he killed his master while the latter was drinking and afterward exterminated the family; that he reigned only seven days, since the rest of the army, engaged in a campaign against the Philistines, chose Omri, the other army commander, for king and then besieged Tirzah, at that time the capital. When Zimri saw that the position was untenable, he fired the palace and perished in the flames. His deeds seem to have been regarded as unusually heinous even in a kingdom where change of dynasty by assassination was frequent (cf. II Kings ix. 31).

The name appears also as the name of several Israelites: (1) son of Zerah (I Chron. ii. 6; but according to Josh. vii. 1 the name was Zabdi); (2) a Benjamite, descendant of Saul (I Chron. viii. 36, ix. 42). In Jer. xxv. 25 Zimri appears as the name of a region in connection with Elam and Media, but the locality and name have not been satisfactorily identified. (R. KITTEL.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Consult, besides the Bible dictionaries, the literature on the period cited under Ahab; and ISRAEL, HISTORY OF.

ZINZENDORF, tsin'tsen-derf, NICOLAUS LUDWIG, COUNT.

Early Life and Education (§ 1).
Beginnings of Religious Activities (§ 2).
Relations with the Brethren; Theological Development (§ 3).
Activities as Leader of the Brethren (§ 4).
Last Years (§ 5).
Leading Idea; Literary Works (§ 6).

Count Nicolaus Ludwig Zinzendorf, founder of the Unity of the Brethren (q.v.), was born at Dresden May 26, 1700; d. at Herrnhut May 9, 1760. His ancestry on both sides was noble; his father, a

high Saxon official, died while the son was a small infant; his early boyhood was passed under the care of his material grandmother, who was a distinguished representative of Pietism.

tinguished representative of Pietism, and this influence became dominant in the formation of the boy's character, since before his ninth year the aim of his life was the attainment of a living communion with Christ. Further education was gained at the Pädagogium at Halle (1710–16), where toward the end of his course he came into closer relations with Francke; the influence of this

period was also an abiding one, and the organization of societies of youth for the betterment of personal life and the diffusion of the Gospel gave promise of what he was later to accomplish. His own predilections were toward theology, but his family desired him to prepare himself for a career in the service of the State; he therefore took up the study of law at Wittenberg (1716-19), which did not prevent him from spending much time on the Bible, Luther's works, and the writings of the Pietists. The years 1719-20 he spent in travel, in Holland coming into connection with prominent representatives of the Reformed theology and with those outside church circles. He came to an understanding of the bond which united all Christians, that which consisted in a relation of personal trust in Christ. In France a new circle of acquaintances was made, including the devout Cardinal Noailles, with whom the tie of their common love for Christ became a strong one. In the choice for personal lifework a career like that of Canstein in the orphan establishment was his preference; but his family was strongly opposed to this, and in deference to their wishes he took up his work as a counselor in Dresden in the late autumn of 1721. With a part of his patrimony he bought the estate of Berthelsdorf and as patron settled there the pastor and poet Johann Andreas Rothe; and in 1722 he married Countess Erdmute Dorothea, sister of his friend Heinrich, count of Reuss-Ebersdorf.

The way to the entrance upon official duties seemed at first to have been closed by Zinzendors's refusal to subscribe to the Formula of Concord, and

he was looking to service at the Danish court when subscription was nings of waived and in 1721 he took up his Religious duties. But of his work there little is Activities. known. His chief interest was in the

formation of an ecclesiola like that of Spener's, which found a nucleus on his own estate. With Friedrich von Watteville, Rothe, the pastor at Berthelsdorf, and Melchior Schäffer-Görlitz he formed the "Union of four Brethren" bound to work for the interests of faith in Christ as a "heartreligion." Missions to the Jews and to the heathen were also in mind. In the winter of 1723-24 a building was begun which was intended to serve purposes like those of the Halle Pädagogium, which in 1727 became an orphan asylum. A printing-press was set up in order to further the proposed movement, and a series of tractates and two catechisms were issued. Those who were impressed by rationalism and were outside of church influences were sought through a weekly called Le Socrate de Dresde (1725-26), in which a sort of practical philosophy, proving religion to be a universal need, was expounded, reconciling a positive Christian piety with philosophic thought. The meetings at Görlitz and at Dresden raised up opposition, and Zinzendori sought to show the legitimacy of the assemblages by the Schmalkald Articles.

The Bohemian Brethren (q.v.) had spread from Bohemia and Moravia into Poland. The Bohemians had in 1609 formed a union with the Evangelical church of Bohemia, but in the Counter-Reformation the Bohemian and Moravian branches had become

practically extinct. The Polish branch with a Reformed tinge had maintained itself as a separate organisation with the old episcopal 3. Relations consecration, while, in Poland, Mo-with the ravian and Bohemian refugees had Brethren; their independent organization which Theological was, however, finally obliterated. But Develop- some Moravian communities still exment. isted in Bohemia and Moravia, and under the stress of a series of edicts by Charles VI. (1717-26) a new emigration was begun which was brought to the notice of Zinzendorf, though he knew nothing of the inner relations and of the connection with the Bohemian Brethren. Indeed, only his official relations brought Zinzendorf as yet into connections with them. But interest was awakened as it became clear that the emigrants were resolved not to take on the yoke of a new church when they had just escaped from the yoke of Roman Catholic impositions. Meanwhile by the death of his grandmother (1726) Zinzendorf saw his way clear to retire from his official position, which he did in 1728. He concentrated his attention now upon Herrnhut, took advantage of the law which permitted a newly established village to establish its own rules of living, enabling it to form a community within the church, and thus preventing the danger of separatism, but possessing the right of private assembly. The ecclesiola became a great union, but with a legal basis as a part of the parish of Berthelsdorf. Meanwhile, during the years 1725 and following, Zinzendorf's religious convictions had been undergoing development into their final form. At the beginning of that period he was still an adherent of the Halle school, though he had no interest in the Pietistic themes of dispute. His life in Dresden gave him also a valuable insight into the "world" with its activities. With the development in Pietism, after Francke's death, of a party spirit, Zinsendorf's attitude to it became less cordial. During the period 1729-34 he found himself in position to oppose the doctrines of Johann Konrad Dippel (q.v.) as set forth in the latter's Vera demonstratio evangelica, with the author of which he had correspondence. This opposition was in spite of fundamental agreement on the part of the two men in placing stress in the doctrine of the atonement upon the love of God. Zinzendorf's attitude toward mysticism and separatism became ever more decidedly opposed. His position toward Herrnhut was meanwhile developing into closeness through their common activities. But this course had important results upon his own fortunes and made him the object of attack. An opinion gained from the faculty of Tübingen was favorable to the orthodoxy of the Bohemian Brethren. But suspicion on the part of the authorities made itself manifest in investigations by the state authorities, and an order was issued in 1732 that Zinzendorf sell his goods and leave Saxony, though the next year this was withdrawn because of a change in the ministry. But the general attitude seemed to indicate to the Herrnhuters the wisdom of further emigrations, to which the success of missionary undertakings in North America seemed to invite. Accordingly, in 1735 the first company took their departure for Georgia, and in connection with this appeared the necessity for ecclesiastical authority based upon ordination, the Bohemian consecration being imparted by Daniel Ernst Jablonski (q.v.) to the missionary David Nitschmann. Zinzendorf wished at that time himself to receive consecration, but Jablonski demurred because of the sensation such a step would cause. This episcopal office had no immediate relation to Herrnhut, and had in view simple leadership for the colonies and missions abroad. In the mean time the opponents of Zinzendorf had been busy; on Mar. 20, 1736, a rescript required him to leave Saxony, while a commission was appointed to investigate conditions at Herrnhut. The commission, which proceeded impartially, found little to criticize, and that practically the Lutheran standards were respected. The result was the announcement of toleration for the community upon express recognition of the standards.

Zinzendorf went to the Wetterau, a strip of land between the Taunus and the Vogelsberg, rented the castle known as the Ronneburg as a residence, and began work among the lower classes 4. Activities in the way of Bible translation and as Leader teaching. His associates formed "pilgrim unions" for the carrying out of the Brethren. of the general plans. Out of the work here arose the work among the "Diaspora" in the Baltic provinces which the brethren later carried on. On a return journey Zinzendorf, stopped at Berlin, came into close relations with King Frederick William I. of Prussia, won him over to advocate Zinzendorf's consecration as bishop, submitted himself to examination as to his worthiness, and received consecration May 20, 1737, from Jablonski. While Zinzendorf's aim had been to maintain the Brethren as a community within the Lutheran Church, events were forcing the trend toward the formation of an independent body. Especially in the mission fields and abroad generally the work stood out as that of a church alongside of the other churches. This resulted especially from Zinzendorf's visit to the West Indies (Dec., 1738, to June, 1739), which showed him the necessity of this development. Questions of polity and government obtruded themselves, whether the episcopal or presbyterial form were the better. In 1741 Zinzendorf, on the eve of a visit to America, laid down his episcopal office that he might work simply as "Brother Ludwig," this action being coincident with a synodal

conference at London called to decide the polity of

the Brethren's organization. [In America, 1741-43, his activities were considerable, centering about

Bethlehem and Germantown in Pennsylvania. He

was especially interested in two lines of work, mis-

sions to the Indians and endeavors after church union, the latter being sought through frequent con-

ferences. But the impression was gained that union was to be brought about by merging in the Moravian

communion. He acted as pastor of the Lutheran

church in Philadelphia, and assumed the title of inspector-general of the Lutheran churches then in

America. But the result of his activities seems to have been rather dissension than a larger unity. He was required by the mayor of Philadelphia to

give up the records of the Lutheran churches so far

as they were in his possession, and the organization of the Lutherans was taken up by Henry Melchior Mühlenberg (q.v.).] Even among the Brethren dissident views prevailed and in various parts where their establishments were placed different tendencies not in harmony with Zinzendorf's aims revealed themselves. The reconciliation of these more or less divergent lines of development was carried on as far as possible so as to harmonize with the local conditions, with the aim, also, of avoiding sectarian tendencies and of working in harmony with the church of the region. Zinzendorf's doctrinal development proceeded also, and a statement of his belief concerning the Trinity was formulated so as to reconcile it practically with the central "heart theology" of the Brethren. But this statement and the accompanying developments in the communities aroused opposition and a considerable number of adverse brochures were issued, which affected the regard in which the entire Brotherhood was held, "Herrnhuters" becoming a term of reproach. In some parts the alternative was placed before the Brethren of sundering their connection with Zinsendorf or of removing to other regions.

The last years of Zinzendorf from 1749 contain much that is depressing. Until 1755 he was in England, except for the period July, 1750-July, 1751, and during those years he revised his teachings and

5. Last
Years.
eliminated much which had been or seemed fantastic. The Unity arising from the Herrnhut colony was originally without property, and Zinzen-

dorf had devoted all the income from his own possessions without stint to its uses. He had also made loans to carry on the work in Holland and England, and the result was that he was at the beginning of this last period on the verge of bankruptcy. only possibility of recovery seemed to be to have the Unity consider the position of Zinzendorf bound up with its own and to have the financial side of affairs put under definite authorities. In this matter the aid of skilful jurists was invoked, the private property of Zinzendorf was separated from the possessions of the organization, and the administration of the latter provided for. This led to a more complete development of the administrative side of the Unity of the Brethren. In 1752 Zinzendorf was saddened by the death of his son Christian Renatus, in whom he had hoped to see his successor in the work to which he himself had given his life. From 1755 Zinzendorf's labors were chiefly in the direction of pastoral visitation of the Unity's communities. In 1756 his wife died, and in 1760. in the midst of his restless activities, he was seized with a fever which soon resulted in his own death.

The one idea which controlled Zinzendorf's life was the thought which obtained possession of him in early boyhood, which he expressed by the word Herzensreligion, "Heart religion." The central thought here was a life in communion with God. This resolved itself into a living communion with Christ, since God was revealed to mankind only in him; religion was then an orienting of the life to the person and work of Christ. But the formulation of these thoughts in the direction of instruction led to

expressions which in their concrete illustration were paradoxical and strange. The purpose to which this whole work was to lead was not only the blesedness mediated through Christ but

6. Leading participation in a common activity for Idea; the kingdom of God. The more such Literary unions as were introduced were Works.

life be realized historically. Yet the ideal Zinzendorf ever held was not the creation of a new church; he expected his ideal to be realized within the existing churches, especially within the Lutheran. So he would restore the Bohemian Brethren's organization as a community within the Lutheran Church, retaining the right of private assemblage alongside of the stated public services. But as already noted, external circumstances, particularly the situation in the mission field, led to ecclesiastical and separate organization, though with fidelity to the Augsburg standards, with "Inner Mission" work, foreign missions, and educational missions. Among the writings of Zinzendorf may be named his Kleine Schriften (Frankfort, 1740); Bedencken und Bewondere Sendschreiben in allerhand practischen Materien (1734); Theologischer und dahin einschlagender Bedencken (1741); Sieben letzte Reden (Büdingen, 1743); and also the Sammlung geistlicher und lieblicher Lieder (Leipsic, 1725). Of English translations mention may be made of Sixteen Discourses on the Redemption of Man by the Death of Christ (London, 1740); Seven Sermons on the Godhead of the Lamb; or, the Divinity of Jesus Christ (1742); Estract of Count Zinzendorf's Discourses on the Rodemption of Man by the Death of Christ (Newcastle, 1744); Maxims, Theological Ideas and Sentences (1751); and Hymns Composed for the Use of the Brethen (1749).(JOSEF MÜLLER.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Accounts of the life of Zinsendorf have best written by A. G. Spangenberg, 8 parts, Barby, 1772-75. Eng. transl., London, 1838; O. A. Woldershausen, Wittenberg, 1749; L. von Schrautenbach, written 1782, ed by Kölbing, 2d ed., Gnadau, 1871; J. C. Duvernoy, Barb, 1793; G. B. Reichel, Leipsic, 1790; J. G. Müller, Wisterthur, 1822; J. W. Verbeek, Gnadau, 1845; Varnhage von Ense, 2d ed., Berlin, 1846; O. Glaubrecht, Frankort, 1852; J. F. Schröder, Nordhausen, 1857; F. Pilgram, Leipsic, 1857; F. Bovet, Paris, 1860, Eng. transl., London, 1896; G. Burkhardt, 2d ed., Berlin, 1878; H. Tietzen, Gutersloh, 1838; J. Jüngst-Stettin, Pictisten, pp. 57-75, Tübingen, 1906; and W. Walker, in Greatest Men of the Christian Church, Chicago, 1908. Consult further: J. Hutton, An Essay toward Giving Some Just Ideas of the Personal Character of Count Zinzendorf, London, 1755; J. G. Müller, Bekenninisse merkwürdiger Manner, 2d ed., 6 vols., Winterthur, 1793-1822; É. É. Jacob, Essai se Zinzendorf et sur l'église et Herrnhut, Strasburg, 1852; C. H. C. Plath, Sieben Zeugen des Herrn, Berlin, 1867; H. Plitt, Zinzendorfs Theologie, 3 vols., Gotha, 1863-74; W. Binnie, in Evongelical Succession, Edinburgh, 1882; B. Becker, Zinzendorf im Verhällnis zu Philosophie usik Kirchentum seiner Zeit, Leipsic, 1886; J. T. Müller, Zinzendorf als Erneuerer der alten Brüderkirchs, Leipsic, 1900; O. Steinecke, Zinzendorfs Bildungerin, Halle, 1900; idem, Zinzendorf und der Katholicisms, ib. 1902; T. Schmidt, Zinzendorfs soziale Skilus, Basel, 1900; G. E. von Ratzmer, Die Jugend Zinzenderf, Eisenach, 1904.

ZION (SION). See JERUSALEM, V., §§ 1-3.

ZION UNION APOSTOLIC CHURCH. See METHODISTS, IV., 9.

ZIONISM.

Heral and his Predecessors (§ 1).
of the Movement and its Congresses (§ 2).
rganization (§ 3).
Changes of Original Purpose (§ 4).
olonial Trust and Affiliations (§ 5).
ral Colonies and Educational Work in Palestine (§ 6).
itorialist Zionists (§ 7).

m, the modern movement which has for its ne segregation of the Jews in a home of their is its rise when Dr. Theodor Herzl, a Vienralist, published Der Judenstaat (Vienna, In seeking for the cause of anti-Semitism, ad raged in various portions of continental for some fifteen years previous, Herzl found

it to be the impossibility of the Jews dor to enter completely into the social life and of the peoples among whom they now ed-live without becoming submerged. In rs. order to preserve their identity it was

necessary, he argued, for the Jews to me definite center and home, and to effect pose, a "Society of Jews" and a "Jewish y," similar to the English charter comwere to be formed. It was immaterial to t this time, where this home was to be; he d either Argentina or Palestine. He atthe problem purely from an economic and point of view; the religious sanctions, so many of his fellow Jews, had not appealed it all. This idea of segregating the Jews was rely new. Judaism had, at all times, retained e of a restoration to the land of promise as a its creed; and the hope figures prominently rayers recited in all orthodox and conservagregations. It had, however, remained notha pious wish, and only rarely had attempts de to translate these hopes into deeds. Propof various kinds had been put forward in eenth century, and they were renewed in the th and in the first half of the nineteenth s: notably in America by Warder Cresson, rt to Judaism, and by Major Mordecai M. But these plans found no echo in the Jewses until the increasing pressure of antin in eastern Europe produced a Jewish naentiment in which they took deep root. In ies of the nineteenth century this sentiment n presaged by such men as David Gordon t, Hirsch Kalischer in Thorn, and Moses e associate of Marx and Engels. They gave ulse to the founding of the Chovevei Zion rs of Zion") Societies, the chief object of ras the colonization of Palestine by Jews. national sentiment was also strengthened by of nationalism all over Europe. The Gerid achieved racial solidarity by the Franco-1 war of 1870-71, and they were followed anians, Serbs, and Bulgarians, while the Jews und themselves scattered over the face of the ithout a racial or ideal center. The riots and 1881 in Russia warned them that, they had achieved emancipation in most of ture-nations, that emancipation had been a mere paper one. Not only in Russia, but Germany, France, England, and America, for colonization in Palestine were founded. The first of these colonies was started in 1878, and they saw their greatest extension in the eighties and nineties of the nineteenth century.

The Jewish national movement had spread also into Austria; especially among the students at the University of Vienna. Immediately upon the publication of Herzl's pamphlet, the Zion Society of that city promised its adhesion, and Herzl was enabled to send out an invitation for the first international Jewish congress to be held in

tional Jewish congress to be held in 2. Inception Munich. It was this call that gave of the prominence to the inception of the new Movement movement. Herzl had supposed that and its the Jews in all parts of the world would congresses rely to his excitance, and it is true.

Congresses. rally to his assistance, and it is true that large numbers did, especially among the intellectuals. But the opposition to any attempt to put his theories into practise revealed great strength. Many of the orthodox-minded imagined that this was an attempt to "force the hand of Providence." that the religious sanctions were wanting, and that salvation for the Jew-in other words, the final ingathering—could come only with direct divine help. Others, again, feared that they might endanger their recently acquired emancipation; and it was openly said that Zionism would give a fillip to anti-Semitism. The project to hold the first congress in Munich was dropped out of deference to the opposition manifested by the Jews of that city, and the place of meeting was changed to Basel in Switzerland. There, on Aug. 29-31, 1897, 204 delegates assembled and drew up what is known as the "Basel Program," stating that the object of Zionism was "to establish for the Jewish people a publicly and legally assured home in Palestine." Thus, the new movement attached itself to the old hope of a restoration. Since 1897, ten congresses have been held, those of 1898, 1899, 1901, 1903, 1905, and 1911 in Basel. The congress of 1900 sat in London, that of 1907 in The Hague, and that of 1909 in Hamburg.

The Zionist organization is thoroughly democratic, the supreme power residing in the congress, which is made up of representatives chosen by the various groupings of societies. As long as Herzl lived, the general direction rested in his hands, supported by a smaller "Actions-Committee," having its seat in Vienna and being elected by the congress. In addition, there is a larger "Actions-Committee,"

made up of representatives of the dif-3. Zionist ferent Zionist federations in which the Organization. This larger committee meets regularly

in the year in which no congress is held, or at the call of the smaller committee. Federations of Zionist societies exist in Russia, Germany, England, the United States, Canada, Austria, Galicia, Hungary, Switzerland, the South Slavic lands, Rumania, Belgium, Holland, and South Africa. In addition, societies are to be found in France, Turkey, Bulgaria, Servia, Italy, Scandinavia, Morocco, Egypt, the Argentine Republic, Australia, and China (Shanghai). In 1905 the seat of the smaller "Actions-Committee" was transferred to Cologne, with David Wolfsohn of that city as presiding officer, and the number of members was

reduced to three. In 1908 a branch of the central office was opened in Berlin. In 1911 David Wolfssohn resigned; no new president was elected, the smaller "Actions-Committee" being empowered to choose its own presiding officer. The seat of the "Actions-Committee" was removed to Berlin. Comprising, as it does, Jews living in such various lands, it is natural that differences of views on economic and religious questions have found their expression in peculiar groupings. The ultra-orthodox Zionists are represented by the "Mizrachi," who in 1909 formed a federation of their own, the statutes of the organization having been changed so as to permit all who pay 3,000 shekels to band themselves together. On the other hand, the labor members have formed a group of their own, and are known as the "Po'ale-Zion" or "Democratic Fraction."

It was Herzl's idea to obtain from the late Sultan Abdul Hamid a charter which would grant certain rights and privileges to the Jews settled in Palestine, in return for a definite sum and an annual payment. With this end in view, Herzl had several

interviews with the sultan, which, how4. Enforced ever, resulted in no definite proposals
Changes of being made. Two events have renOriginal
Purpose. effort necessary; the death of Herzl
(July 3, 1904) and the changed régime

in Turkey (1908). The first deprived the movement of a trained diplomat who could lead it through the tortuous ways of political negotiations; the second made impossible the granting of a charter with any extended rights. In view of this, Zionist work has been directed toward developing the natural resources of Palestine, and toward securing for the Jews there a preponderating influence, so as to make of it a real home which the Jews shall seek as an abiding place, and to which they may look as a spiritual center. It had been Herzl's idea that no practical work should be attempted in Palestine before the necessary legal guaranties had been secured, but even Herzl was carried off his feet by the natural impulse of Jewish sentiment; and under the present changed circumstances, every effort is being bent to this practical work, and various institutions have been established to further it.

The practical organization through which the Zionists have worked, and which has taken official part in all the more important negotiations, is the "Jewish Colonial Trust," established in London in 1899. In 1910 this institution had a capital of £446,539. Since 1903 the trust has devoted most of its capital and of its energy toward assisting active work in Palestine. In that year it founded in Jaffa the "Anglo-Palestine Company" as a Jewish banking-house. Branch offices have since then been

ing-house. Branch offices have since then been opened in Jerusalem, Haifa, Beirut, 5. Jewish Hebron, Gaza, and Safed. This company has rendered signal service in Trust and connection with the loan-associations Affiliations. formed to assist colonists and workmen. In 1908 the "Anglo-Levantine Banking Company" was formed in Constantinople. The shares of both these daughter banks are held by the Jewish Colonial Trust. In 1904 the "Jew-

ish National Fund" was definitely organised; its seat is also in London, and its purpose is to acquire land in Palestine which shall remain the inalienable possession of the Jewish people. The collections, which come from the use of "National Fund Stamps," from free-will offerings, and from payments made to inscribe persons or societies in the "Golden Book," reached in 1910 the sum of \$500,-000. Nearly \$100,000 is added each year to this fund. It is represented in Palestine by the "Palestine administration," with its seat in Jaffa, which attends to the various undertakings in which the fund is interested and acts as a bureau of information in regard to economic questions connected with Palestine. It is also charged with the supervision of the work being done by various Zionistic societies, e.g., the Society for Planting Olive-trees, the Palestine Land-Development Company (with its model farm at Kinnereth), and the Palestine Industrial Syndicate. The official organ of the Zionist movement is Die Welt, published in Cologne 1897-1911, since then in Berlin. In addition, there are some fifty other newspapers and magazines published by Zionists in various languages and in different parts of the Jewish world.

The Jewish agricultural colonies in Palestine, while not founded officially by the Zionist body, are due largely to the efforts of individual Zionista. Financial aid to found them and see them through the first years of their existence was furnished by Baron Edmond de Rothschild of Paris. In 1899 the Rothschild colonies came under the management of the Jewish Colonization Society of London, but since then they have emancipated themselves from this control, and have become self-supporting and

self-governing. In 1911 there were some 39 Jewish colonies in Palestine, without counting a number of smaller settlements which do not deserve the name of colonies. Of these 17 are in Judea, 13 in Galilee, 8 in Samaria, and Work in Palestine. Tiberias. These colonies contain about 8,000 inhabitants. Great attention has

been paid by the Zionists to the intellectual development of the Jews in Palestine, especially to education. Many of the existing schools are due, it is true, to the initiative of non-Zionist Jewish societies, e.g., the Alliance Israélite Universelle in Paris, the Hilfsverein der deutschen Juden in Berlin, the Chovevei Zion in Odessa, and the Jewish Colonisation Society in London. But under the influence of Zionist pressure, a national Jewish character is being given to these schools, especially to those in the colonies, and Hebrew is quickly becoming the common language of instruction, as it is becoming that of intercourse among all the Jews in Palestine. Specific Zionist foundations are the Hebrew high school for boys and the Hebrew high school for girls in Jaffa, the Hebrew high school in Jerusalem, and the Hebrew technical school now in process of building at Haifa. The Bezalel School at Jerusalem deserves special mention—a technical school for the industrial arts, founded in 1905 by Boris Schats, in which 400 persons are taught carpet-weaving, filigree work in silver, basket-making, and woodwork, ie same time they earn their livelihood in . To these institutions must be added al Jewish Library (Midrash Abrabanel), 1900 by Joseph Chazanowicz of Bielostok lumes) and the Agricultural Experiment unded in 1910 in the neighborhood of hese and other similar institutions have of making Palestine a center of Jewish o which the Jew will be attracted, not in ie there, as the pious did in former times, and work.

erritorial Organization." This grouping it of impatience at the failure of the variations entered into by Herzl with the late d of the wish to relieve more speedily the increasing distress of the Jewish masses in eastern Europe than seems possible it in Palestine. In 1902 Herzl himself ts. had opened negotiations with the

Anglo-Egyptian government looking ssion of certain territories in El-Arish, beestine and Egypt, but these negotiations ause that government was unwilling to of the water of the Nile to be diverted pt proper for the irrigation of El-Arish. proposals were made, at the initiative of sh government, for establishing a Jewish t on the Guas Ngishu Plateau in the East rotectorate. When these proposals were efore the Sixth Zionist Congress, they were most determined opposition, both the and the national Zionists feeling that the ent of Palestine as an objective, if only and for specific reasons, was contrary to r spirit and meaning of the Zionist moveit the minority did not disarm; and when th congress accepted the adverse report mission that had been sent to East Africa, rity formed itself into the "Jewish Terriganization," with Israel Zangwill at its s object is to procure some territory, no here, to which those Jews can go who can Il not remain in the lands in which they esent, and where they can form a commuan autonomous basis. This organization a rapidly, and has the sympathy of many do not share the national sentiment of its. But it has been quite unsuccessful in for such a territory—the attempts made a footing in Canada, Australia, Cyrenaica, potamia having proved abortive. Its chief work has been confined to assisting organgration from eastern Europe to Galveston, d to the southern states of the American RICHARD GOTTHEIL.

PHY: Besides the files of Die Welt, the "ProtoI the congresses, Publications of the Federation of
Zionists, and the present author's article in
568-686, consult: T. Herzl, Zionistische Schriften,
Cellner, Berlin, 1905; B. Walker, The Future of
London, 1881; J. Neil, Palestine Re-Peopled,
1883; B. Negroni, Del ritorno degli Ebrei nella
I, Modena, 1891; J. Bahar, La Question juive
Paris, 1897; F. Heman, Das Erwachen der jüdiztion, Basel, 1897; M. Jaffe, Die nationale Wieder Juden, Berlin, 1897; H. Sachse, Zionistenund Zionismus eine Gefahr? Berlin, 1897; B.
Die Judenfrage und der socialistische Judenslaat,

Bern, 1898; D. Farbstein, Der Zioniemus und die Judenfrage, Bern, 1898; T. Bogianckino, Del Sionniemo: ossereazioni di diritto internazionale, Bologna, 1899; C. Waldstein, The Jewish Question and the Missions of the Jews, London, 1899; D. Baron, La Question juise et sa solution, Lyons, 1900; M. S. Nordau, Der Zioniemus, Brunn, 1902, Eng. transl., London, 1905; idem and G. Gotthell, Zioniem and Anti-Semitiem, New York, 1903; Sapir, Der Zioniemus, Brünn, 1903; H. Hoppe, Herrorragende Nichtjuden über den Zionismus, Königsberg, 1904; A. Sandler, Anthropologie und Zionismus, Brünn, 1904; Die Stimme der Wahheit, ed. E. Nossig, Berlin, 1905; C. Joubert, Aspects of the Jewish Question: Zionism and Anti-Semitiem, New York, 1906; S. Levy, Zionism and Liberal Judaism, London, 1911; Zeitschrift für hebräische Bibliographie, xii. 52 sqq.

ZO'BAH (ARAM-ZOBAH): An Aramean kingdom or people. The fundamental passage is II Sam. x. 6-15, which relates to the war against the Ammonitic Hanun waged by David, in which Syrians of Beth-rehob, Zobah, and of "king Maacah" were engaged. These peoples were supposed to be neighbors of the Ammonites, and this fits with Bethrehob, located by Schumacher at Rihab, twentyfive miles east of 'Ajlun and thirty-one north of Rabbath Ammon, the Ammonite capital. Maacah lay between Hermon on the north and Geshur on the south, and between Bashan on the east and the upper Jordan on the west, north of the Yarmuk. Between these two Zobah is mentioned, and its position is likely to be between them, i.e., in eastern 'Ajlun toward the upper Yarmuk. From the passage in question, no closer definition of the position is possible, and no place of like name has yet been found, since the village Suf, seven miles e. of the village of 'Ailun and nineteen w. of Rihab, hardly fits the case. To the district doubtless belonged the Hamath-zobah of II Chron. viii. 3, which is to be distinguished from "Hamath the great" of Amos vi. 2 on the Orontes; the former is the city of II Sam. viii. 9-10. Of David's campaign against the Arameans east of the Jordan II Sam. x. 6 sqq. testifies, but of his war far to the north in the valley of the Upper Orontes nothing sure is known. Other passages speak of a king of Zobah-Hadarezer in II Sam. x. 15-19a; I Chron. xix. 16-19, who summoned the Arameans from beyond the Euphrates to the war and had a number of kings under him. Since I Chron. xix. 6 knows of Aram-naharain (i.e., Arameans of the banks of the Euphrates) and Maacah and Zobah being in a confederacy, and Ps. lx., title, speaks of Aram-naharaim and Aram-zobah as opponents of David, a great Aramean kingdom in Syria east of the Orontes used to be assumed. But this is questionable, since David does not appear to have extended his operations beyond Damascus. Assyrian records give no trace of such a kingdom. Moreover, the expression "beyond the river' (II Sam. x. 16) is late and is from the point of view of Assyria, and verses 15-19a belong also to late tradition; the entire chapter, indeed, is re-dactorial. Verse 17 suggests that the region (immediately) east of the Jordan was the region in question. An Assyrian inscription from the time of Asshurbanipal mentions a Zubiti or Zupiti south of Damascus, the site of which is not determined, but which Winckler and Schrader identify with Zobah. The position indicated by the cuneiform inscription would agree with the probable location as suggested by the date given above. See Hadadezer. (H. Guthe.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: H. Winckler, Altorientalische Forschungen, i. 465-468, Leipsic, 1893; idem, Geschichte Israels, i. 138-144, ib. 1895; H. Guthe, Geschichte des Volkes Israel, 2d ed., pp. 102-103, 123, Tübingen, 1904; G. Schumacher, in the Mitheilungen und Nachrichten des deutschen Palastinavereins, 1900, pp. 71 sqq.; Schrader, KAT, pp. 60-61, 97, 135; DB, iv. 987; EB, iv. 5425-26.

ZOECKLER, tsūk'ler, OTTO: German Lutheran theologian; b. at Grünberg (12 m. e.s.e. of Giessen) May 27, 1833; d. at Greifswald Feb. 9, 1906. His early life was spent at Laubach, only a few miles south of his birthplace; and in 1849 he entered the gymnasium at Marburg, going thence in 1851 to the University of Giessen; under the influence of Anton Lutterbeck and Leopold Schmid he developed the liking which strengthened during his life for treating the harmony of religion and secular science. The result was that his university course yielded larger philosophical than theological acquirements. This was more or less corrected by later study at the universities of Erlangen, Berlin, Halle, and Göttingen. In 1857 he returned to Giessen and began to lecture there, dealing with the New Testament, advancing to church history, and treating also the history of doctrine, encyclopedia, patristics, and history of modern theology. His first book was the Theologia naturalis, Entwurf einer systematischen Naturtheologie vom offenbarungsgläubigen Standpunkt aus (vol. i., Frankfurt, 1860), in which his purpose was to give to natural theology its rights as a third discipline beside dogmatics and ethics, the aim being also essentially apologetic. The work was never extended beyond the first volume. A higher warrant than this for advancement in his professional career appeared in his Kritische Geschichte der Askese (1863), a work of learning and circumspection, which was completely worked over into the Askese und Mönchtum, which appeared in 1897 and revealed intimately the author's personality. After 1863 his literary activity increased greatly, that year witnessed also his advancement to the post of extraordinary professor. The year 1865 saw the issue of his Hieronymus. Sein Leben und Wirken (Gotha), and also the founding of Beweis des Glaubens, with Zöckler as one of the editors and a chief contributor, ater the sole editor.

A new period began in the life of Zöckler with his call to Greifswald in 1866. That university presented at that time only seventeen students in theology in a total of about 400; but the united reputations of Hermann Cremer (q.v.) and Zöckler brought the number up in a few years to about 380. The latter's scholarship was rated very high, as was his authority as a theologian in the realm of natural science. His contributions of Chronicles, Job, Proverbs, Ecclesiastes, the Song, and Daniel to Lange's commentary (5 vols., Bielefeld, 1866–74; Eng. transl., New York, 1870–77) showed that he stood among the positive theologians of his day. In 1869 he began his work as editor on Allgemeine litterarische Anzeiger für das evangelische Deutschland. Up to this time his lectures had been confined to the domain of history; but on the death of his colleague Vogt he took in the realm of dogmatics, and a result of this was his Augsburgische Confession

(Frankfort, 1870). Apologetics assumed the chief place in his work of the following years, and among the works produced were Geschichte der Beziehung zwischen Theologie und Naturwissenschaft mit besonderer Rücksicht auf die Schöpfungsgeschichte (2 vols., 1877-79), Gotteszeugen im Reiche der Natur, Biographieen und Bekenntnisse aus alter und neuer Zeit (1881; 4th ed., 1906), and perhaps his richest book, Das Kreuz Christi (Gütersloh, 1875; Eng. transl., The Cross of Christ: Studies in the History of Religion and the inner Life of the Church, London, 1877), which exhibited the reflections of the sufferings of Christ in art, theology, and mysticism. In 1882 the editorship of the Evangelische Kirchenzeilung added a new task, as did that of the Handbuch der theologischen Wissenschaft (3 vols., 3d ed., 4 vols., 1889), a considerable part of which came from Zöckler's own pen. In 1886 he began in cooperation with H. L. Strack the issue of Kurzgefasster Kommentar, to which he contributed the parts on the Old-Testament Apocrypha and Pseudepigrapha, the Acts of the Apostles, Thessalonians, and Galatians, His last three years of life witnessed the issue of two important works, Die Tugendlehre des Christentums, geschichtlich dargestellt in der Entwickelung ihrer Lehrformen (1904), and Die christliche Apologetik im 19. Jahrhundert. Lebensbilder und Charakteristiken deutscher evangelischer Glaubenszeugen aus der jungsten Vergangenheit (1904). He had projected what was intended to be the crown of his labors in apologetics, but death intervened, and only the first volume appeared, under the editorship of Hermann Jordan and Ernst Schlapp, Geschichte der Apologie des Christentums (1907). Besides the above-mentioned works he wrote the Biblische und kirchenhistorische Studien (1893); and contributed to the se-ond edition of the Herzog Realencyklopādie für protestantische Theologie, while of the third edition his articles are a notable feature.

The mere mention of the publications of this scholar reveal the broad and scientific interests which demanded his attention. In the center of these was history; even apologetics he dealt with from this standpoint, and the same is true of his ventures in the dogmatic sphere. Indeed, theology could not bound his activities, and he often went beyond it; an example of this is his Urgeschichte der Erde und des Menschen (Gütersloh, 1868). Work was to him the breath of life. Withal he was clear in his exposition, whether given in the professor's chair or through the medium of books. His conclusions were the result of profound consideration. In the life of the church of his day he was a considerable figure, representing the theological faculty in the general synod several times. He was also a supporter of both home and foreign missions. Students found in him an able advocate and friend. He was also with full consciousness an earnest advocate of Lutheranism, while in all his relations he exhibited the marks of a kindly and pious individuality. [His deafness doubtless led to the concentration of his energy upon the printed page. The range of his learning was extraordinary.] (VICTOR SCHULTZE.) BIBLIOGRAPHY: Otto Zöckler, Erinnerungsblätter, Gütersloh, 1906. A careful index of Zöckler's writings are given in an appendix to the Geschichte der Apolopie,

ut sup.

ZOEPFFEL, tsup'fel, RICHARD OTTO: Theogian; b. at Arensburg (on the island of Osel, Gulf f Riga), Russia, June 14, 1843; d. at Strasburg an. 7, 1891. He studied theology at Dorpat, 1862-868, and history at Göttingen, 1868-70, becoming a 1870 privat-docent at the latter university; he ras called to Strasburg as extraordinary professor church history in 1872, was made ordinary proessor in 1877, and rector in 1887. His chief writing ras Die Papstwahlen . . . vom 11. bis 14. Jahrhunlert (Göttingen, 1871); he also issued Johannes durm, Der erste Rektor der Strassburger Akademie Strasburg, 1887). Besides these works, he was a ontributor to the Herzog RE, was collaborator with I. Holtzmann in the Lexikon für Theologie und (irchenwesen (1882), was a contributor to the Allmeine deutsche Biographie, and to various theologal journals. In all of these labors his most characristic trait was tirelessness in reaching fundamental ets, combined with impartiality in setting them (H. HOLTZMANN†.)

BLIOGRAPHY: Erichson, in Evangelisch protestantische Kirchenbote, 1891, p. 10; ADB, xlv. 481–482. BLIOGRAPHY:

ZOHAR. See CABALA, § 17.

ZOLLIKOFER, tsol'li-cof"er, GEORG JOA-HIM: Renowned preacher, poet, and hymn-nter; b. at St. Gall Aug. 5, 1730; d. at Leipsic un. 22, 1788. He received his education at the ymnasia of St. Gall and Bremen and the high shool of Utrecht; taught for four years in a family Frankfort: took position in 1754 as minister at furten, canton Bern, Switzerland, then at Montein, and later at Isenburg; in 1758 he became pasor of the Reformed congregation at Leipsic, to which he gave the rest of his life. Among his servces to the Church may be named in the front rank hat to hymnology. He recognized the fact that many of the hymns used were unworthy, and made s contribution in his Neues Gesangbuch (1766), in which he incorporated some of his own compositions, including "Dein, Gott, ist Majestät und Macht" and "Willst du der Weisheit Quelle kennen." In the region of devotional works he issued Anreden und Gebete (Leipsic, 1777) and Andachtsibungen und Gebete zum Privatgebrauche (1785; Eng. transl., Devotional Exercises and Prayers, London, 1815). But his place in history is best assured by his sermons (collected edition, 15 vols., 1789-1804; Eng. transl., 10 vols., London, 1803-12; some of these also reproduced in the United States). For his style he has been given a worthy place in the history of German literature by such a critic as Goethe. While his theology was that of the Enghtenment (q.v.), he did not belong to the "storm and stress" movement. He is best described as a ational supernaturalist. His preaching was both extual and thematic, while his treatment was tasteul, clear. lively, warm, rarely glowing.

(P. Mehlhorn.)

Inliography: C. Garve, Ueber den Charakter Zollikofers, Leipsic, 1788; F. K. G. Hirsching, Historisch-litterarisches Hendbuch, xvii. 372 sqq., ib. 1815; J. M. H. Döring, Die deutschen Kanselredner, pp. 856 sqq., Neustadt, 1830; C. G. H. Lents, Geschichte der christlichen Homiletik, ii. 327 sqq., Brunswick, 1839; K. H. Sack, Geschichte der Predigt in der deutsch-evangelischen Kirche, 2d ed., pp.

185 sqq., Heidelberg, n.d.; R. Rothe, Geschichte der Predigt, pp. 435 aqq., Bremen, 1831; P. Weinmeister, Beiträge zur Geschichte der evangelisch-reformierten Gemeinde zu Leipzig. 1700-1900, pp. 158 sqq., Leipsic, 1900; ADB, xlv. 415 sqq.

ZONARAS, zon'a-ras, JOHANNES: Byzantine writer on ecclesiastical law and history; flourished in the last part of the eleventh and the first half of the twelfth century. He filled many offices under the Emperor Alexius Comnenus, among them "com-mander of the bodyguard" and "head of the chancery." In later life he entered a monastery in the present Niandro. The reason for his becoming a monk is not quite clear; it may have been because of loss of relatives, but more likely because he was involved in intrigues concerning the succession to the throne in 1118.

Of first importance is his great work on eastern ecclesiastical law. The collection of ecclesiastical law on which he commented was completed practically in his own times, but of this collection only parts of secondary importance have come down. According to Zonaras' commentary, it consisted of the Apostolic Canons, the canons of the councils of Nicæa 325, Constantinople 381, Ephesus 431, Chalcedon 451, the Trullan Synod of 692, the Photian synods of 861 and 879, the provincial synods of Carthage under Cyprian, Ancyra 314, Neocæsarea 315, Gangra 340, Antioch 341, Laodicea 343, Sardica 347, Carthage 419, and Constantinople 394. Zonaras also commented upon the Canons of Dionysius of Alexandria, Basilides of Pentapolis, excerpts from the Peri metanoias of Peter of Alexandria, and writings of Gregory Thaumaturgus, Athanasius of Alexandria, Basil of Cæsarea, and Gregory of Nyssa. Many manuscripts exist of Zonaras' work, and it was put into vernacular Greek. The first full edition, with the commentaries of Balsamon and Aristenos is by Beveridge, Synodikon (Oxford, 1672), but because of its form it is not very useful. It is surpassed by the edition of G. Rhallis and M. Potlis (6 vols., Athens, 1852-59); the commentaries of Zonaras are in vols. ii.-iv. The purpose of Zonaras was not to write for the learned. and his work is consequently clear and simple, though splendid in its diction and written in flowing Greek. The work reveals the historical point of view, and the author uses various methods to make clear his position. He expounds the matter in hand from history or archeology, compares similar or seemingly opposed canons, explains the opposition with clarity, and proceeds from the simple to the more difficult. He has the critical sense, seeks to reconcile or expound opposing declarations, in cases of doubt employs the milder or humanitarian exegesis, and he is on the watch for the ethical. The work was highly prized in the Church, and, alongside of the works of Balsamon and Aristenos, was regarded as a source of ecclesiastical law. For Nicodemus Hagiorites Zonaras is the chief authority.

Besides the commentaries Zonaras issued on the same general subject Peri tou mē dein, duo disexadelphous ten auten agagesthai pros gamon, and Logos pros tous ten physiken tes gones ekroen miasma hegoumenous (earlier ed. in Fabricius-Harles, Bibliotheca Græca, xi. 225 sqq.; better in Rhallis and Potlis, ut sup., iv. 592-611). Zonaras worked also as an exegete in the purely theological realm, and aimed to make usable for his period the Tetrasticha and Monosticha of Gregory Nasianzen. In this he succeeded, but his work was confused and blended with that of Nicetas Paphlago, and the many manu-scripts and editions reveal this. A work formerly attributed to Nicetas on this theme is now to be given to Zonaras (cf. Legrand, Bibliographie Hellenique, i. 314, Paris, 1885). Zonaras also wrote a commentary on the Kanones anastasimoi of the Octoechos, now lost except for the introduction (in Specilegium Romanum, v. 384-389, Rome, 1841). The Canon eis ten hyperagian theotokon appears to be an independent work (printed in Cotelier, Monumenta Græce ecclesiæ, iii. 465-472, Paris, 1686), a noteworthy poem in nine odes and twenty-nine verses giving a catalogue of heretics from Arius on. It has always given great offense to the Roman Catholics. Zonaras is also the author of the well-known Epitome historion, one of the most important historical works of the Byzantine period, valuable for secular and ecclesiastical history. It treats of world history from creation till 1118, and is of particular value because of the use it makes of very early and

now lost sources. The work was edited by H. Wolf (Basel, 1557), Ducange (Paris, 1686), and Dindorf (in CSHB, 6 vols., 1868-76). (PHILIPP MEYER.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: W. Cave, Scriptorum ecclesiasticorum historia literaria, il. 201 sqq., Oxford, 1743; Fabricius-Harin, Bibliotheca Græca, vil. 465-468, vili. 433, xi. 222-228, Hamburg, 1801-08; E. Dronke, De Niceta Davide et Zenem interpretibus carmina S. Gregorii Nazianzeni, Coblenz, 1833; idem, S. Gregorii Nazianzeni carmina selecia, Göttingu, 1840; C. W. E. Heimbach, in Ersch and Gruber, Englispädie, I., Ixxxvi. 376 sqq., 461-462; Christ, in SMA, 1873, pp. 75-108; A. C. Demetracopulos, Græcia orthodaza, p. 15, Leipsic, 1872; H. Haupt, Neus Beiträge zu den Fragmeten des Dio Cassius, in Hermes, xiv (1879), 430-446; P. Sauerbrei, in Commentationes philologicæ Jenenses, i (1881), 1-31; T. Büttner-Wobst, in Commentationes Fleckissiana, pp. 123-170, Leipsic, 1890; idem, in Byzantinich Zeitschrift, 1896, pp. 610-611; U. P. Boissevain, in Hermes, xxvi (1891), 440-452; idem, in Byzantinich Zeitschrift, 1895, pp. 250-271; M. Heinemann, Qustiones Zonarea, Dreaden, 1895; E. Patzig, in Byzantinische Zeitschrift, 1896, pp. 24-53, 1897, pp. 322-356, 1906, pp. 513-514; K. Prachter, in the same, 1897, pp. 509-255; Krumbacher, Geschichte, pp. 370-376 et passim (with accellent bibliography); N. Milasch, Das Kirchenrecht de morgenländischen Kirche, 2d ed., Vienna, 1905.

ZONE. See VESTMENTS AND INSIGNIA, ECCLE-SLASTICAL.

ZOROASTER, ZOROASTRIANISM.

I. Introduction.
II. The People.
III. Sources.
IV. The Literature.
Outline History of the Literature (§ 1).
Native Accounts of the Scriptures (§ 2).
Significance of this Account (§ 3).
Discovery and Early Study of the Avesta (§ 4).
The Name "Zend-Avesta" (§ 5).
The Avesta (§ 6).

Language and Alphabet (§ 7).
The Pahlavi Language (§ 8).
Pahlavi Literature (§ 9).
V. The Prophet.
The Name (§ 1).
Zoroaster Historical (§ 2).
His Early Life (§ 8).
Founding of the Religion (§ 4).
Final Work and Death of Zoroaster (§ 5).
VI. History of the Religion after
Zoroaster.

To the Sassanian Empire (§ 1).
To the Mohammedan Conquet
(§ 2).
VII. The Zoroastrian System.
Mazdeism and Vedism (§ 1).
Cosmology (§ 2).
The Hierarchies (§ 3).
Other Celestial Spirits (§ 4).
Anthropology (§ 5).
Eschatology (§ 6).
Ethics and Religious Duties (§ 7).
The Cult (§ 3).
VIII. The Parsees.

I. Introduction: Interest in the religion of Zoroaster is evoked by several historical circumstances, aside from the veil of obscurity and romance which hides the person of the prophet and founder from the eye of the present, so that he seems like a veritable Moses in the mountains of Iran. The lofty monotheism (for Zoroastrianism is this in its issue, whatever one may say of its practical and theoretical dualism, or of the polytheism which it long was to the rank and file of its followers) gives it a place in the history of religion beside Judaism, Christianity, and Mohammedanism. It has also been said of it with considerable truth that it missed only narrowly becoming a world religion. As Charles Martel in 732 and Leo III. in 740 saved western Europe from Mohammedanism, so possibly the battles of Marathon and Salamis averted from eastern Europe, perhaps from the entire West, subjection to the religion of Zoroaster. Before this it had begun to supplant the old faith and polytheism of Babylonia, where also it had come into contact with Judaism. How extensive its influence was upon Judaism, and then upon Christianity, is one of the problems yet under debate; most competent scholars admit a debt on the part of both with respect to angelology and the doctrine of Satan. The indebtedness of Mohammedanism in the realm of eschatology is very considerable, although it must be admitted that the lingering influence

of Babylonian religion somewhat obscures the exact degree which is to be conceded here. As the old form of the faith and parent of the institutions and community of the modern Parsees this religion claims attention, for they assert their possession of the pure religion of the Persian prophet. Still further, Zoroastrianism is remarkable for its implied hostility to the Brahman faith of India, whose deities it in part reduced to the rank of demons, choosing (possibly) one of its chief deities as its own and calling him Ahura Mazda. One of the strangest and most difficult features of the faith is the remarkable series of abstractions which received personification as good and evil beings, the former being approached with an adoration that differed little from worship. This religion also furnishes another example of the faiths of the world whose religious books seem to have been the object of persecution and have suffered fatal losses. Once more, for the philologist and the textual critic and exegete no literature offers deeper problems or more exacting tasks than that of Iran. And, finally, the story of the recovery of the ancient books and sources, 80 far as they exist, is a romance both in its beginnings and in the discussions which have followed.

II. The People: The Zoroastrian religion arose and ran almost its entire course among one of the earliest of the seven branches of the Indo-European family, named "Aryans" in the sacred literature.

regarded by ethnologists as forming with who entered India from the northwest a he family named above, and the language esta is close in structure and formation nskrit. The native literature classifies ith reference to their attitude toward strian or "Masdayasnian" faith. Yet, he case with Mohammedanism (see D, MOHAMMEDANISM), the religious tie the tribal, though great pride was alfested in the common origin of the peonostility born of difference of race apin the scriptures, especially that toward ic Turanians (Vendidad, iii. 11; Yasht, Prayers for protection from these are freit opponents in faith were fair objects of tever their race, and the believer asked vers for permission to snatch away from fields and herds. The foes, however, ill of alien race; some Iranians rejected and were reckoned among the adversaries. writings bear witness to their origin in a stress, caused in no little degree by the 'uranians. True believers lived a life of d the faith won its way through persecuonflict, based upon religious, racial, and rounds. The Avesta praises the agriculthe herdsman, for both reclaim the waste ward productiveness, and advance civilndidad, iii.). To the people physical pera boon sought of deity, health and bodily highly prized. This lay in the very roots ion, since evil of all kinds, including physand disease, were of Angra Mainyu. hest, breadth of hips, high instep, and a were marks of a good physique. Man tht of stature, litheness, strength, length nd a goodly measurement around the man desired symmetry of form, a slender eyes, a blooming complexion, and wellbosom.

ces: The principal sources for a knowlreligion of Zoroaster are (1) the literasting from the Zoroastrians themselves: called Zend-Avesta; (b) the Old-Persian in Behistun, Naks-i-Rustem, and Per-) a large number of writings in what is ahlavi (see below, IV., §§ 8-9); (d) trans-I fragments in Sanskrit, Persian, and d (2) reports of classical writers such as: ry" of Herodotus; citations from the "" of Theopompus (flourished c. 340 utarch's "Isis and Osiris," xlvi.-xlvii.; Hermippus in the writings of the younger oss of the work of Hermippus is irreparahere is considerable reason to think that ccount of Zoroastrianism from the early he then extant sacred books); and mengenes Laertius, Strabo, Dio Chrysostom, and other classical writers (these are niently collected and with practical com-A. V. W. Jackson's Zoroaster, New York, a number of Persian writings, such as hah Namah.

Literature: Under the Sassanians the vas very much more extensive than at

present. Evidence of the loss of much of the literature consists (1) in the tradition of the Parsees, who assert, e.g., that there were originally

1. Outline thirty Yasts, one for each day in the History month; (2) in the Pahlavi translations of the there are references to and citations Literature. from many lost books; (3) classic Persian and Arabic literature furnishes additional citations and references, as when Pliny speaks of 2,000,000 verses by Zoroaster (Hist. nat., xxx. 1-2), or when Pahlavi books speak of 1,200 chapters or Masudi tells of a copy of sacred writings on 12,000 cowhides; (4) an analysis of Zoroastrian sacred literature in Pahlavi made in the ninth century shows as either extant or at least then within knowledge a very much larger body than has remained to the present. The tradition of twentyone Nasks is fairly constant (as against the conceptually complete thirty), and the amount of this has been estimated as consisting of 345,700 words, while of the Pahlavi translations and commentaries the estimated extent was 2,094,200 words. The twenty-one Nasks asserted as existent under the Sassanidæ were divided into three groups: the Gatha ("song" or "theological") group, the legal group, and the mixed group. The names of these Nasks are known (for a list cf. SBE, vol. iv., pp. xxxiv.-xxxv.). Of the twenty-one only two remain entire, there are also the most important part of another, considerable sections from four others, and selections or fragments from eight besides. That the remains of a literature so vast are now comparatively scanty (though yet equaling in bulk the Iliad and the Odyssev) is attributed by the Parsees to Alexander's destruction of one of the complete copies, and by losses under the Mohammedan conquest and during the subsequent removal to India of the Parsees. In spite of these losses, however, it may be remarked that the general outlines of the lost writings are given in the Pahlavi literature, notably in the Dinkart (ninth century A.D.).

The accounts are mixed up with theories that evince once again claims to an origin for the sacred books of Zoroastrianism similar to that made for

his by the devotee of another faith.

2. Native Thus, much as the Koran existed in a Accounts of the Mohammed by Gabriel, so the twenty-Scriptures. one Nasks were created by Ahura Maz-

da from the twenty-one words of the Ahuna Vairya (one of the most sacred prayers of the faith). The Dinkart affirms that the Nasks were brought by Zoroaster to Vishtaspes, the king who was the first royal convert, who had two copies made, each on 12,000 ox-hides, one of which copies was placed in the treasury and the other in the record office. Zoroaster is credited with mental possession of the scriptures, so that a third copy is not in question. Alexander's invasion is charged with the burning of one of these in the treasury, while it is asserted that the other was carried off by the Greeks and translated into their language. The most that can be said for this tradition is that there is likely a historical basis, and that sacred writings were lost at the time mentioned; but the Nask remaining (Vendidad) bears marks of a much later origin,

though embodying unquestionably early material. According to the account which is being cited, a Parthian king Valkhash (Vologeses I., a contemporary of Nero) ordered the collection of the fragments still remaining in various quarters. Then in the Sassanian dynasty, so the story runs, Ardashir (226-240 A.D.) commissioned the high-priest Tansar* to collect the fragments and complete an edition of the Avesta, and by a decree made the re-sulting work canonical. This indicates the reaffirmation or establishment of a certain type of Zoroastrianism as the state religion, with a definite redaction of the scriptures as sacred, possibly in opposition to some other redaction. Ardashir's son and successor, Shahpur I. (241-272 A.D.), is said to have ordered the collection of scattered documents on the sciences and their incorporation in the Avesta. And under Shahpur II. (309-379), after a final revision, the ordeal of fire (molten brass) established the true religion as dominant and inclusive (c. 350 A.D.).

This account, when one reads between the lines with the aid of the Tansar letter and other historical allusions, is luminous. It indicates the Gathas as the kernel of Zoroastrian literature

3. Signifiand the most sacred portion. There is also suggested a considerable antiquity for parts of the extant books, with a series of misfortunes to the religion and its literature which the history

of Persia bears out (see in part Medo-Persia; Se-LEUCIDÆ). The area of this religion was full of unrest for a millennium, continuing till the Tatar and Arab invasions, and there was security for neither religion nor people, for sacred writings nor continuous rule. Accordingly the literature suffered, and even in the religion itself there were sects and divisions, as is common in the history of every great faith. Then came the attempts to save the rest and to stamp it as authoritative. Successive canons are indicated, with accretions from foreign sources. After that came the use of a new language (the Pahlavi), in which were written translations of the sacred books, and also studies and commentaries (see below), and these came also to have high value among Zoroastrians. How close a parallel to this history is furnished by the Hebrew religion with its threefold canon (see Canon of Scripture) and its Talmud (q.v.) is apparent at once. It will be recalled that a Jewish saying regards Yahweh himself as engaging on the Sabbath in the study of the Talmud.

Interest in this literature was for modern times first aroused by Thomas Hyde, author of Historia religionis veterum Persarum eorumque magorum ubi . . . Zoroastris vita, ejusque et aliorum vaticinia . . . eruuntur . . . (Oxford, 1700, 2d ed., 1760, with somewhat changed title), in which he appealed to travelers in the East to procure the sacred books of the Parsees. In 1723 a manuscript copy (made 1680-81) of the Vendidad Sada (the Vendidad without commentary) was brought by Richard Cobbe

to England and deposited in the Bodleian Librar, but was, of course, entirely useless in the state knowledge then existing. In 1754 the 4. Dis-sight of four leaves of this manuscript

covery and fired the imagination of Anquetil D.

Early Study perron, a young French student in the fired des langues orientales at Pari Avesta.

Avesta.

the Zoroastrian books and the home attaching to the first translation of them. To achieve this end he enlisted in 1755 with the French E India Company's forces, and finally in 1758 reache Surat and the Parsees. It took him several years! win the confidence of the community, and to obtain their books and such knowledge of them as was the possible to gain, so that not until 1764 could be n turn to Paris. In 1771 he published Zend-Arest ouvrage de Zoroastre, contenant les idées theologique physiques et morales de ce législateur, traduit en fron çois sur l'original Zend (3 vols., Paris). A violet controversy at once broke out, the book was pre nounced a modern production, and the content were denounced as impossible from the standpoir of what was known of the religion. William Jone afterward Sir William, the noted orientalist an pioneer in Sanskrit, led the attack, which continue till the end of the century. Yet it was due to th scholar that the relations of the language of the Avesta to the Sanskrit were first seen. A step for ward was taken by Sylvestre de Sacy in 1793 throug the decipherment of Sassanian Pahlavi inscription using Duperron's Pahlavi dictionary. Eugene Bu nouf made the next advance about 1825-30 by the use of a Sanskrit translation of the Yasna and a tablished thoroughly the relationship of the Ol Persian and Sanskrit tongues and even began comparative mythology. While Duperron's trans lation was found defective and misleading, the de cipherment of the Persepolis and Behistun inscrip tions made clear the fact of a language closely related to that of the Avesta in use under the Achs menians. And so, for more than a century investi gation has been applied to the elucidation of th Avesta, and still many problems remain unsolved And in connection with this literature it may still b said that few fields offer so alluring opportunitie for original and profitable research as the Zoross trian sacred books. Especial need exists for the thoroughgoing application of textual and historics criticism.

The name Zend-Avesta, by which the principa work is generally known in the West, is a mistake

5. The Name
"Zend-Avesta."

in terminology fastened upon it by Hyde and Duperron. Parsees unaffected by European influence call their sacred books "Avesta and Zend," the equivalent of which is very nearly "Avesta with (Pahlavi) translation and

commentary." These two words, "Avesta" and "Zend," though coming from different roots, an each almost equivalent to "knowledge," Avesta signifying perhaps knowledge that is revealed (or divine law) and Zend that which is acquired by study of the books and is written in Pahlavi. The combination is due to the fact that in very many case the Avesta and the commentary accompany each

^{*} A letter from this Tansar, interpolated but easily purified by critical methods, is extant and is given in JA, 1894, i. 185–250, 502–555. This is the earliest extant document throwing native light on the history of Zoroastrianism.

other. Of the character of the Avesta it has been well said (E. Rindtorff, Die Religion des Zarathustra, p.4, Weimar, 1897) that one would gain a good idea of it had he a collection culled from the Hebrew literature containing some Psalms, old songs like that of Deborah, laws from the Pentateuch, selections from the prophets, and pieces from Mishnah and Gemara, all welded into one piece.

The Avesta exists in two principal parts: A. The Avesta Proper, which divides into (1) the Vendidad, "anti-demon law," a blend of mythology and religious legislation, the "priest-code" of Zoroastrian-

ism. It is divided into twenty-two chapters or "Fargards." The first two 6. The Avesta. of these are mythological, of which the first enumerates sixteen lands which were created by Ahura Mazda and were therefore perfect, constituting (almost certainly) Iran; the second is a remote parallel to the flood account of Babylonians and Hebrews, though the catastrophe comes not by water but by cold. The remnant of all life is preserved by Yima, under the direction of Ahura, in a sort of paradise (see below, VI., § 5). Fargard III. deals with the earth as a sentient thing, and forbids its desecration by burial of the dead in it. Succeeding Fargards treat of contracts, outrages against the person, defilements and purifications, formulas used at purifications, of the dog (an important feature), of various impurities and sins, of hair and nails, of the cock, and of invocations, with mythological materials interspersed. Yasna, "book of the offering," the chief liturgy of

the religion, is in seventy-two chapters, and is purely ritualistic, a collection of litanies, prayers, exhortations, and praises, for the use of the priests at the "sacrifice" or adoration of all the principal beings connected with the faith. These are usually arranged according to the services in which they are used. This book is made up of several parts: (a) chaps. 1-27, usually explained as invocations—a term which, in its largest sense, is not inapt; (b) thaps. 28-34, 43-51, and 53, which constitute the Gathas, "songs," and are received as addresses, ermons, and revelations of Zoroaster and his immeliste disciples, arranged according to meter in five mbdivisions and seventeen sections. These are the mortant part, as well as the earliest. The consensus of scholarship is that the bulk is genuine, the work of the prophet. The style is manifestly differmt, the matter more original and decidedly prophetic in tone, and they remind one of the earlier Surahs of the Koran; (c) chaps. 35–42, 52, 54–72 constitute the later Yasna, and the word invocation, as used above, applies. (3) The Vispered, "all the chiefs" (i.e., the spiritual heads of the religion), is

a liturgical work in twenty-three (twenty-four or twenty-seven) chapters. It is an appendix to the

Yasna, and the use approximates that of litanies.

(the literal meaning is given as "act of worship"),

are twenty-one in number, besides some fragments,

and are devoted to the praise of certain spiritual

beings. They vary greatly in age and in length, some of them are doubtless composite, and they were

composed in honor of the Yazatas (see below, VI.,

(4) The Yashts, "sacrificial psalms" or "songs"

§ 4). B. The Khorda Avesta, "Little Avesta," consists of short prayers, and is meant for the people as well as the priests as opposed to the Avesta proper, which is for the latter alone. It includes five Gahs (invocations for the five divisions of the day); two Sirozahs, invocations to the Izeds who are over the days of the month; four Afrinagans, or blessings at a meal to which angels or spirits are invited at stated seasons; and five Nyayis (Nyaishes), or prayers to the sun, Mithra, the moon, the waters, and to fire, recited at set times.

The language in which the Avesta is written belongs to one of the seven original branches of the Indo-European family. Its closest affiliation is with the Sanskrit, which it resembles 7. Language so closely that translation of the Avesta and into Sanskrit is comparatively simple, Alphabet. regard being had to the phonetic laws of the group, and to variations in syntax. But considerable difference exists within the Avesta itself, where scholars recognize two dialects—the Gathic or dialect of the earlier portions, which may owe its peculiarities either to age or to provincial peculiarities; and the younger Avestan, which shows in parts very notable linguistic decay. The alphabet, however is very much later than the ma-

may owe its peculiarities either to age or to provincial peculiarities; and the younger Avestan, which shows in parts very notable linguistic decay. The alphabet, however, is very much later than the material of the text, and is derived from the Sassanian Pahlavi; the script, moreover, bears marks of this derivation, being read from right to left, which is uncommon in the Indo-European family. Later study of the Avesta has shown that a large part of it is in meter, and this fact, like the same one in Hebrew literature, has helped in the historical criticism of the text and the recognition of the intrusion of later insertions therein. But in this department very much still remains to be done.

Pahlavi, the language in which the principal trans-

Pahlavi, the language in which the principal translations, commentaries, and annotations of the Avesta were originally written, was the language of medieval Persia. The oldest indications of its use go

back as far as the third century B.C.

8. The (Levy in ZDMG, xxi., 1867, pp. 421Pahlavi 463), and its age of ascendency and
Language. principal as covers the period 226-800

A.D., with a literary employment extending perhaps two centuries later. The alphabet is Semitic, and practically half the vocabulary of these early documents is also Semitic (Aramaic), but often with Persian terminations, the rest of the vocabulary being Persian. But when read, it seems, these Semitic words were not pronounced as written, but the Persian words corresponding to the Pahlavi Semitic were uttered (Malkan malka, "king of kings," was pronounced "Shahan Shah," just as "i.e.," or "id est," is in English pronounced as though "that is" were written, or "viz." is written and pronounced "namely"). The number of these Semitic logograms is computed at about 400. In the later post-Mohammedan writings instruction was conveyed through the Persian, which came to have a large intermixture of Arabic. The Pahlavi alphabet contains only fourteen (eighteen) symbols; consequently some symbols represent several sounds; moreover, some letters combined with others or doubled are exactly or nearly equivalent in form to some single letters, so that a single symbol may represent as many as seventeen sounds. This at once shows the enormous difficulty and possible ambiguity of the script, paralleled only, perhaps, by the Babylonian-Assyrian cuneiform writing in its several stages. Yet the importance of the Pahlavi for knowledge of the religion can not be overestimated. The earliest manuscripts, apart from a few papyrus fragments of the eighth(?) century, are four which date from the fourteenth century, and contain the Yasna and the Vendidad, with the corresponding Zend or commentary.

The principal Pahlavi texts are: (1) the Bundahish (Bundahishn) "original creation," a fragmentary work dealing with cosmogony, mythology, and legend, therefore sometimes compared with the

Genesis of the Hebrew Scriptures. It 9. Pahlavi describes what is evidently assumed Literature. in the Avesta, the original condition of

the universe, with the omniscient good spirit, Ahura Mazda (Ormuzd) dwelling in light, and the evil spirit, Angra Mainyu (Ahriman), dwelling in darkness and with limited knowledge. The course of creation is described, and there is then given a legendary or mythical geography and history of the earth with all its affairs, coming down to the legendary history of Persia and continuing till the Mohammedan conquest, including genealogies of kings, of Zoroaster, and of other priests, as also the Zoroastrian philosophy of creation. The conclusion of Zend scholars is that the book is an extract from or an epitome of one of the twenty-one Nasks. Its date is subsequent to the Mohammedan conquest in 651, more closely, about 850. There exists a paraphrase in the Gujarati language (edited and published, Mumbai, 1877). (2) The Dinkart, "acts of Religion," is a collection dealing with the history, customs, doctrines, literature, legends, and myths of the religion. Its compilation was begun near the beginning of the ninth century, and was finished before the end of the same, but by other hands. Its sources were the Pahlavi translations of the Nasks, not the originals. Six books have been preserved, and these are of great importance. (3) Dadistan-i Dinik, "religious decisions," written shortly before 881 by Manuskihar, probably a supreme high-priest of the religion. It is in form a sort of catechism, consisting of ninety-two questions on religion addressed to the author and the answers thereto. Usually connected with this writing are three epistles by the author, inspired partly by the desire to combat certain heretical ritual tendencies in modes of purification. The questions and answers concern matters religious, historical, philosophical, and practical. They bring up the question of the existence of evil, the creation of man, good works and evil and their rewards and punishments and the fate of the soul, the contests between good spirits and evil, and also matters which would be likely to arise in the ordinary experience of the people. book is therefore a sort of guide to Zoroastrian life, covering thought, word, and deed. Its value is great as showing what an authority in his own day declared to be the duty of the faithful. So far as essential doctrine is concerned, there seems little change as compared with the prescriptions of the Avesta. The ultimate monotheistic issue is as clear

as the dualistic origins. (4) The Dina-i Mainog-i Khirad, "opinions of the spirit of wisdom," consists of an introduction followed by a series of quetions assumed to be asked by an anonymous magus or wise man and answered by the spirit of wisdom. The author seems to have been a devoted lay Zoroastrian, whose purpose was to summarize the essentials of belief and practise. His interest was not ritualistic, and the work is therefore in some sense distinctive. The date is uncertain, but some time soon after the Arab conquest is possible (c. 650). (5) The Shikand-Gumanik Vijar, "doubt-dispelling explanation," is controversial, philosophic, and apologetic, and is particularly concerned with the proof that evil has an independent origin At some length is shown the fundamental agreement with Zoroastrianism in this particular of other religion, such as Mohammedanism, Manicheism, and Christianity, even while they assert a unitary creation. The doctrine of the Trinity is assailed. The author acknowledges his indebtedness to earlier writing, and is diplomatic and courteous in his references to other faiths, particularly to Mohammedanism. The date is to be placed near the end of the ninth century. (6) The Shayast la-Shayast, "thou shalt, thou shalt not," prescribes what may and what may not be done by the true believer, and deals with trespasses, impurities, and ceremonies. It is composite, in two parts which are somewhat repetitious, by at least two authors, who discuss means against various sources of ceremonial pollution, correct methods of dress, good works, conduct toward the sm and fire, and minutize of correct procedure in a large variety of circumstances. Its age must be high, as it quotes no less than twelve of the Nasks, and it may have been compiled in the seventh century from much older material. Its value is great as present-ing the great body of ceremonial customs and prescriptions current in Persia twelve centuries ago. It has been likened to the Leviticus of the Hebrew Bible. (7) The Arta-i Viraf Namak and the Bahman Yasht are eschatological, and the former is historically useful as giving the Persian view of the devastation caused by the conquest of Alexander and of the revival of the religion under the Sassanida. Mention may be made here of some Persian literature, such as the Zartush Nama, "book of Zoroaster," of the thirteenth century; the Sad Dar, "100 chapters," an epitome of Zoroastrian doctrines, in three recensions, one prose and two poetical; Rivayats, which give traditions; and Kissai-Sanjan, professing to give an account of the migration of the Zoroastrians to India; as well as the Shah Namah already named.

V. The Prophet: The name Zoroaster, by which the prophet of Iran is known in the West, comes from the common Latin form (and the Greek) Zoroastres, though other forms are known in Greek

the most observable being Zathrausia,

1. The which approximates closely to the AveName. tan form. The common Pahlavi form
is Zaratusht, to which the modern Paian form is very close (see extracts from catechism

sian form is very close (see extracts from catechism at the close of this article). The Avestan names are Zarathushtra, Zarathushtra Spitama, Spitama Zarathushtra, or Spitama. The last is a family name and probably means "descendant of white" (cf. the English "Whiting"; Jackson, ut sup., p. 13). The derivation of Zarathushtra is doubtful; ushtra means "camel," but no agreement has been reached upon the first element in the name.

The question whether Zoroaster is a historical personage may now be regarded as settled in the affirmative. But that doubts should 2. Zoroaster have been raised is quite explicable. Historical. As M. Haug well puts it (Essays on the Sacred Language, Writings, and Religion of the Parsis, ed. Dr. E. West, pp. 295-296, London, 1878):

"The events of his life are almost all enshrouded in darkness, to dispel which will be for ever impossible, should no suthentic historical records be discovered in Bactria, his lome. The reports regarding him, given by the Greeks and Romans, . . . are as unhistorical and legendary as those found in the majority of the Avesta books themselves. In the Vendidad and the Yashts he is represented . . . as not a historical, but as a dogmatical personality, stripped of nearly everything that is peculiar to human nature, and vested with a supernatural and wholly divine power, standing next to God himself and being elevated above the archangels. . . He was the concentration of all wisdom and truth, and master and head of the whole creation. The only source whence we may derive some very scanty historical facts is the older Yasna. In this part of the Scriptures only he appears to the eye as a real man, acting a great and prominent part in the history of his country, and even in the history of the whole human race in general."

The counts against a historical Zoroaster are three: (1) his figure is so large and in later development so enveloped in legend; (2) classical writers placed him in a hoary antiquity, and (3) details of his life historically verifiable are so few that doubt of his existence was almost a matter of course. These counts seem now of less value since it has become known that the accumulation of legend about the figure of a religious genius is customary, as witness Lao Tzse and Gautama, and no longer furnish presumption against the historicity of a personality. As to the classical references the following is to be said. Pliny the Elder (Hist. nat., XXX., ii. 1) cites Eudoxus of Cnidus (c. 368 B.C.), Aristotle (350 B.C.), and Hermippus (c. 250 B.C.) for a date 6,000 years before the death of Plato, 5,000 before the Trojan war; he is followed in substance by Plutarch (" Isis and Osiris," xlvi.), a scholion to the Platonic "Alcibiades" (i. 22), Diogenes Laertius (De vitis philosophorum, proem. 2), and Suidas the lexicographer. Pliny and Suidas agree upon two Zoroasters, one (significantly) in the seventh to the sixth century. Still further, a set of references connect the prophet with the legendary Ninus and Semiramis, evidently intending a reference to a date about 800 B.C. (found in Ctesias, c. 400 B.C., Cephalion, 120 A.D., preserved in Eusebius, Chronikon, i. 43; Theon, 130 A.D., Justin Martyr, 114-165 A.D.; and Arnobius, 290 A.D.). The explanation of this early date is a misunderstanding by these writers of the Zoroastrian apocalyptics, which deal with cycles of 3,000 years (references in Jackson, ut sup., where the passages are collected).

The basis for a historical account of the prophet's life are the Gathas as noted above, the Bundahish, xxiv. 1-9, and the Arta-i Viraf, i. 2-5. These sum up the native tradition, though of course other literature reflects it. The Bundahish, in the chronol-

ogy of the world period, makes the era of Zoroaster fall at the close of the third tri-millennium, and his ministry (begun at the age of thirty) at the beginning of the final tri-millennium. Historic-

3. His ally this is placed 272 years before the Early Life. conquest by Alexander in 331, which would make Zoroaster's ministry begin in 603 and his birth take place 633 B.c. West and Jackson (see bibliography) settle respectively upon c. 660 and 630 B.C. as the birth-year. This Persian tradition is practically reproduced in the Arab historian and geographer Albiruni (973-1048 A.D.), by Masudi (d. 957), who says that the Magians reckon 258 years between Zoroaster and Alexander (cited in Jackson, ut sup., p. 162), by Tabari (also an Arab; d. 923), and in a series of allusions in Pahlavi and other Persian writings. Very little can with certainty be said of Zoroaster's origin and the course of his life. Legend was very busy surrounding him with glory. Thus the soul of the primeval bull had a vision of his fravashi (ideal image, spiritual counterpart) 3,000 years before the revelation of his religion (Bundahish, iv. 4-5), and an ox endowed with speech 300 years before his birth predicted his advent. The question of Zoroaster's native place is one of the vexed questions. Classical allusions (cf. Jackson, ut sup., pp. 186-191) locate it in Bactria (Eastern Iran), in Persia, or in Media; the Persian and (secondary) Arabic literature (Jackson, ut sup., pp. 191-205) is quite generally in favor of Adarbaijan, the modern Azerbaijan, west of the Caspian and including Urumiah. Especially does the native tradition connect the prophet with the River Daryai, one of the tributaries of the Araxes taking its rise in Mt. Savalan and flowing north. This tradition regards Zoroaster's youth as spent in the same region, and his visions as seen there or to the south of the Caspian. His mother was Dughdhova, a virgin, and he was of triple nature, including the "kingly glory," fravashi, and material body; his mother, after conceiving him, became so resplendent that she was thought bewitched and sent away from home, where she married. Nature participated in the rejoicing at his birth, the demons fled in terror, and the child at once burst into exultant laughter. The contest with evil was at once precipitated by the evil spirits and their servants among men; attempts to kill him failed and beasts became his protectors. His education began before the age of seven, and his majority came at fifteen; at twenty he gave up the world and began the life of a wanderer seeking religious truth. What little is said of his life from twenty to thirty years of age (cf. Jackson, ut sup., pp. 34, 231 sqq.) leads to the conclusion that something like the life of an Indian ascetic was not unknown in Iran. The tradition includes retirement to a mountain cave (Vendidad, xxii. 19) in a manner which recalls Mohammed's experience; at the age of thirty he received his first vision, followed by others for ten years at intervals until he had seven, out of which he constructed his religion. The facts of religious psychology and the part which Ecstasy (q.v.) played support a construction of his religious development as follows. He early displayed a vigorous mentality, to which his mother and her husband made response in provision for his

education. The period between his fifteenth and his twentieth year he passed in ordinary vocations, and this appears to have ended to his dissatisfaction. Then came the period of wandering, meditation, retirement, and the beginning of his visions, these last psychologically the result of his experiences. Evidence of this is found not merely in the visions themselves, but in the series of abstractions which seem to have been taught from the very beginning, including the very remarkable one of "the soul of the kine" (Yasna, xxviii.), whether this personifies the people, or the brute creation, which latter, especially the domestic animals, has so large a part in the religion.

The seven visions of Zoroaster began when he was thirty and covered a period of ten years. During this time he was engaged in preaching, but without success. When he was forty, his instruction being complete, tradition affirms that he sustained his

final temptation. As Gautama, after
4. Found- attaining Nirvana, was assailed by
ing of the Maya, so Zoroaster was assailed by
Religion. Angra Mainyu and his demons, whom
he repelled by the words of the holy

benediction (Vendidad, xix. 1-10). His preaching had carried him not only to his own people but also among the Turanian nomads, and, according to tradition, to India and China; but he met only rebuff; it is thought that some of the denunciatory passages of the sacred books had their origin in these failures. During these years he made but one convert, his own cousin (Zatsparam, xviii. 1). It was two years more before victory came in the conversion of King Vishtaspa, "the Constantine of Zoroastrianism. This raises the difficult problem of the scene of the prophet's ministry (cf. Jackson, ut sup., pp. 205-225), and the solution in no small part depends upon the identification of Vishtaspa. The earlier identification with Hystaspes, father of Darius, has gone by the board. Vishtaspa does not bear the title "king of kings" usually borne by the Persian monarchs. The details of the tradition, whether in classical, native Persian, or Arabic sources, are not decisive, but rather point to this king as a quite petty monarch in eastern Iran (Bactria); at any rate, the probability is not great that Zoroaster's success was won in his own region. Even with the court in his favor, full adoption was not attained, as the native stories speak of a struggle of two years with the "wise men." The narrative has, of course, become befogged with the addition of the miraculous. For instance, the prophet is thrown into prison, and escapes and wins victory over the king by healing the latter's favorite steed, and, so the story goes, became vizier, hence his progress became after a little time quite rapid. The Gathas most plausibly attributed to the prophet or his immediate disciples still indicate times of stress and conflict, as they also reflect moods which might well be the effect of varying success or failure, acceptance or rejection of the religion. The indications are clear (Yasna, xlvi. 12) that among the converts Turanians were numbered, while Hindus, Greeks, and Babylonians are also claimed as believers. The religion was strongly and militantly missionary, and the propaganda seems to have been insistent and diffused.

The organization was in this period the care of the founder, especially the establishment of the sacred fire—taken up into the cult—in new places.

Among these tradition assigns a chief

5. Final place to the Atur Farnbag, or fire of Work and the priests, probatly to the east of the Death of Caspian; then came the Atur Gust-Zoroaster. nash, or fire of the warriors, located near Lake Urumiah; and the Atur Burzhin Mitro, or fire of the laborer. These point to a system of society like that in the early Indian system of caste, and suggest a common Indo-Iranian institution which agrees with other indications of racial and social relationship. Apparently the final stage in the life of Zoroaster was that of the "holy wars." Many indications exist in the Avesta not only of fighting for the religion, but also of a persistent enmity between Iranians and Turanians (e.g., Yasht, v. 109, 113-117, ix. 30-31, xix. 87). The religion from its very foundation was not one of forbearance with other beliefs; its pronouncements were those of exclusive claim, and the foe marked for special disfavor was the Turanian, whose flocks and herds were singled out in the sacred books as legitimate booty, while the faithful prays for protection against this enemy (Vendidad, iii. 11; Yasht, viii. 6, 9, 37, 56). Vishtaspa and Arejat-aspa (Arjasp) are the respective champions in the war of the religion which is most noted, approximately dated 601 B.C. Political causes (refusal of Vishtaspa longer to pay tribute; Dinkart, vii. 4, 77) were evidently involved, though later writings (Shah Namah, ed. J. Mohl, iv. 289, 294, 7 vols., Paris, 1876-78) emphasize the religious motive. Arjasp refused the faith, and demanded that Vishtaspa renounce it; and in two great battles the latter was victorious. The traditions indicate a militant spirit for Zorosstrianism, not unlike that of Mohammedanism, and crusades with the sword as well as by propagands are annaled. A second war between the same foes as those named followed after an interval, and the foe gained a temporary success, captured the royal city of Balkh, and slaughtered the priests at worship, when Zoroaster fell at the age of seventy-seven. In a second battle Vishtaspa was defeated, but in a third was finally victorious. The death of the prophet became the center of hostile and favoring legend, even entering into Christian writings ("Clementine Recognitions," iv. 27-29, Eng. transl. in ANF, viii. 140-141; "Clementine Homilies," ix.

cited in Jackson, ut sup., pp. 126-127).

VI. History of the Religion after Zoroaster: The death of the founder did not mean the extinction of the religion. Early narratives now lost except for abstracts or summaries, as well as later tradition,

4-6, Eng. transl., ANF, viii. 276; other documents

imply the continuance of crusades for the faith and the conquest by it of the Sassanian Persian kingdom. Artaxerxes Longimanus (465-424) is credited with the effecting of this last. The religion

spread into Armenia, Indo-Scythia, and into Asia Minor. Yet of its history under the Achaemenides (558–331 s.c.) hardly anything is known, and some doubt the fidelity of Persians to this religion in that age. The question is really legitimate—were the explained; the great place held by the cow or bull in both is indicative of relationship; Mithra is possessed by both; Ahura Mazda reminds both of the Asura and of Varuna, and may be a composite; the sevenfold Adityas of Vedism are reproduced in the (dual) sevenfold hierarchy of Persia; the Indian Yama, with changed functions and conceptions, abides in the Mazdean Yima; the horse as a noble sacrifice appears in both; and in Vedism, as always in Zoroastrianism, priestly functions were not originally those of a caste. Both possessed devas; the high consideration given in both to sun, moon, stars, Sirius, water, the earth and its vegetable products, are noticeable; and the irrepressible conflict between good and evil appears in both, though in very different ways. These are but salient examples of common features which lead to the conclusion that the pre-Zoroastrian and Vedic systems were twin sisters. Yet it is important to note that the Iranian religion followed a course which seems to imply conscious enmity, or at least opposition, to Vedism which induced a quite diverse emphasis. Thus in India deva, "shining one," became continually more honorific; in Iran dava became the name for demons; Asura in India, at first equivalent to "supreme spirit," tended to become less honorable and finally was demonic in significance, while its correlative (?) Ahura became the chief or sole deity in Persia; India developed an increasing polytheism by syncretism, while under Zoroaster Mazdeism became in ideal monotheistic, though there are indications that it was difficult for the people to think of the whilom deities as angels or spirits in any other sense than as gods.

Zoroaster found this contrariety already developed, and latent in it the (philosophic) dualism (which under his system concerned practically only the course of this world) by which he explained terrestrial phenomena. This dualistic

tendency was intensified by the con-2. Cosmology. flict, already noted, between pastoral or agricultural peoples and nomadic raiders. To his people he introduced as their one god Ahura Mazda—probably in essence not a new deity, but rather with glorified attributes. He taught that the gods of the nomads and raiders were demons banded to destroy the good Ahura's works and those of his followers. Man had been blind and deluded (cf. the Indian maya, "delusion"), so Ahura sent his prophet to teach men the right way and to choose the right side in the great battle between good and evil. It is this last which sharply characterizes Zoroastrianism, leading to the ethical dualism which explains it. This comes out in the cosmology and apocalyptics of Mazdeism. The idea of duration and space is fundamental, though its philosophic form may be quite late. Duration takes the form of two periods of infinite time, separated by a world age of 12,000 years blocked out into four sub-periods of 3,000 years each. The first time is infinite in a receding past and comes down to the beginning of the world age. The second infinity of time begins with the complete triumph of good at the end of the world age, and extends into a neverending future. With this set of time-thoughts correspond the two spatial infinities, that of light (the

dwelling of Ahura) and that of darkness (the hom of Angra Mainyu), separated by the visible world which is the arena of human and animal activities and of the conflict between good and evil. According to the Bundahish, after Ahura made the creatures which were to minister to his mastery of evil, they remained passive, inactive, and intangible for 3,000 years. Angra Mainyu then accepted the proposi that the conflict should continue for 9,000 year, not knowing that for the first tri-millennium Ahma's will would control, for the second the two will would intermingle, and that in the final period Anga Mainyu's would be subdued. Being thus shown the issue, he was so confounded that he remained pusive for the second period, when Ahura created the six archangels (see below, § 3), to which his opponent answered by creating the six archdemons. Ahm created successively the sky and luminaries, water, earth, animals, and mankind. The Fravashis (see below, VI., § 4) of men had already been created, and to them was promised ultimate perfection and immortality if they should choose Ahura's side. In the struggle beginning with the seventh millennium the primeval man and primeval ox fell; from the earth the primeval man's seed produced a plant that after forty years brought forth or became the first pair. This third millennium is accounted for by a mythical chronology. The period of humanity covers 6,000 years, the prophet beginning his ministry at the middle of this period with his thirtieth year. At the end of the first thousand years of this period the first forerunner of Saoshyant (see below, § 4) appears with the name Ukhshyaterata, "who makes piety grow." In the middle of the second millennium of this period was the season of cold caused by a wizard, salvation for a remnant of men and animals being secured by Yima (see below, § 5). At the beginning a second forerunner of the Saoshyant appears, and at the end the Saoshyant closes the world age. The final conflict breaks out, man makes progress to pure spirituality, finally needing no food; and after the resurrection and judgment begins again infinite time, human history and the victory having been consummated.

Corresponding to the two infinities in space and time were the two existences, independent, contrary in nature, both ab initio infinite, though only one is to continue his eternity of being. Ahura Mazda, "Lord All-knowing" (shortened to

"Lord All-knowing" (shortened to 3. The Ormuzd) is described in the Ormuzd Hierarchies. Yasht (Eng. transl. in SBE, xxiii. 21-31) as the creator, omniscient, holy,

style creator, omniscient, noly, beneficent, eternal in the full sense, bestower of health, happiness, and possessions, essential light. He was apparently unfigured in the religion, represented by no statue or form. Essentially opposed to him was Angra Mainyu (or Ahriman), "Hostile Spirit," coeval in origin with Ahura, but not eternal in the full sense, since he is to cease to be. He is essentially evil, unconsecrate, limited in knowledge (he did not even know of the existence of Ahura, gross darkness. He could not foresee the future, so could not guard against its issues. Ahura to assist him in the foreseen conflict, and in the guidance of the world, created the six Amesha Spentas (Amsahaanas), "Immortal Beneficents," with whom

he formed the holy heptad, his servitors with the attributes of immortality, invisibility, beneficence. These are the personifications of virtues or abstract qualities, and are perhaps the most remarkable evidences of the founder's thought. Their names are: Vohu Manah, "Good Thought"; Asha Vahishta, "Best Righteousness"; Khshathra Vairya, "Desired Kingdom"; Spenta Armaiti, "Holy Harmony"; Haurvatat, "Saving Health," and Ameretat, "Immortality." The first three are male, the others female. They are assigned to the protection of specific departments or elements in the world: thus the first cares for domestic animals. Khshathra for metals, Asha Vahishta for fire, Armaiti for the earth, Haurvatat for water, Ameretat for vegetation. To each a month was dedicated in special honor, also a holy day and a special flower. Their place in the heavenly hierarchy corresponds in some degree to the Jewish and Christian archangels. Yet the name "Amshashpands" later took in other beings than the six named, such as Sraosha, Atar, Gosurvan (see below, § 4). To offset these Angra Mainyu created six archdemons, Aka Manah, "Evil Mind," Indra, Sauru, Naonhaithya, Tauru, and Zairi (Vendidad, x. 9-10; Yasht, xix. 96). Then as he had introduced into the good world of animals created by Ahura evil creations such as serpents and vermin, so he created hordes of lesser demons and "drujes," as well as the evils of disease and deformity and death and all sorts of loathsomeness among men. Indeed, during his day, while not omnipotent (even Ahura had not that attribute), he had ability to work all the evils which Zoroaster found in this world. It is to be remarked here, as illustrating one of the limitations of thought in the system in common with like ethical religions, the powers of evil have far less sharpness of definition than the beings who work for good. This speaks well for the minds that created and developed the system.

Besides the Amesha Spentas there were in the religion a number of beings named in the Avesta (and of course in the later writings) as receiving special honor. Theoretically these were not divinities to whom worship was paid, but were beneficent

spirits active under the direction of Ahura Mazda. Notable are the Yaza-tas, abstractions or personifications of natural elements, bodies, or qualities, of whom Mithra, celebrated in Yasht,

x. (see MITHRA, MITHRAISM for later developments), was of Indo-Iranian derivation, originally a solar deity of light, knower of truth and a witness to it, guardian of oaths, and a judge of the dead. Atar, or fire, the purest of the elements, was next in importance, if he were second even to Mithra. He was the messenger of Ahura, the holiest spirit against whose defilement in his material form most stringent regulations were drawn. As with Agni in India, the conception varies from material to spiritual, from personal to impersonal. The cult associated with this element gave one of the names to the Zoroastrians by which they were long and widely though erroneously known, "Fire Worship-Anahita, celebrated in Yasht, v., was the spirit of the waters. Her Avesta name is Ardvi Sura Anahita, "high, powerful, immaculate being." She

was the heavenly spring and source of all terrestrial waters, located on the summit of a mythical mountain in the region of the stars. She was the assistant of many holy heroes before and after the prophet. as well as of himself. Having power to fertilize the earth, she used this power beneficently for the good of animals and mankind, and was the good genius of marriageable girls and parturient women. Her cult came to have a great independent vogue, like that of Mithra, spread widely in Armenia (Pliny v. 83) and through Asia Minor (Strabo xi. 512), where she became fused with the "Great Mother Goddess." Greeks identified her with both Athene and Aphrodite. The "Star Yazatas" were also of high importance, these being the fixed stars, not the planets, which were regarded as creations of Angra Mainyu. Tistriya, Sirius, celebrated in Yasht, viii., was the leader of the stars, who seems to have been the counterpart of Indra, fighting the dragon of drought and precipitating the rains. In later writings (e.g., Bundahish, viii.) transfer is made to the cosmology, and this being forms lakes and seas. Other figures not Yazatas are Sraosha, "obedience," angel of worship (Yasht, xi.; Yasna, lvii.), the incarnate word, protector of the poor, mediator between heaven and earth, and a judge and conductor of the dead. Rashnu Razista, "genius of truth" (Yasht, xii.), was especially concerned with the dead, holding the balance in which their deeds are weighed, and with Mithra and Sraosha forming the triad of judges. Gosurun (Gos, Drvaspa) is the soul of the cow or bull, the abstract representative of the animal kingdom, an important figure in the mythology, celebrated in Yasht, ix. Kavaem Hvareno, "kingly majesty," or "royal glory," was perhaps the abstraction of the principle of divine right of kings; possibly because of this the title of deity appears among the titles of the Sassanidæ. Ashi Vanguhi was the personification of piety, the genius of for-tune and wealth, health, and intellectual vigor. Other figures celebrated are Arstat or truthfulness (Yasht, xviii.); Verethragma (Yasht, xiv.), genius of victory, who appeared to Zoroaster in ten incarnations and bestowed on him various gifts; Rama Hvastra (Yasht, xv.), Daena or Din (Yasht, xvi.), the personification of the religion; and the Fravashis (Yasht, xiii.), corresponding in some degree to the Manes of the Romans, though specialized and philosophized after the peculiar Zoroastrian fashion. The notion was extended in the later thinking, and not only spirits and men have fravashis, but the sky, the earth, and other things. The notion seems to be in part an abstraction including the vigor by which the object it possesses grows and develops. Especially significant is the doctrine of the Saoshyant, usually rendered "savior," who is to come, having been foreshadowed by prophets in the line of Zoroaster who were virgin-born. He is to end the battle with evil, preside over the resurrection, and accomplish the rejuvenation of the world. The parallelism with messianism is at once discerned.

Thus the angelology of the system is seen to be highly developed. Equally noticeable is the ethical foundation of the entire hierarchy on which the structure is built. The demonology is less definite, and the evil spirits are far less individualized.

power; conscience, a sort of personality which warns of possible wrong, but deserts the in-5. Anthro- corrigible; vital force, coexistent with pology. the body; soul, perhaps moral choice; and the fravashi, which seems to assume the post-mortem personality. The essential idea of man is that of a being having to choose between Ahura and Angra Mainyu, between good and evil, and this choice determines his future lot. His period of existence is divided into two parts by death, and his place after death is determined by inflexible justice upon the basis of his deeds in the body. Of soteriology, in the Christian sense, there is none in the system; there is no pardon for sin apart from the fact that a convert to the religion is by confessing the faith relieved from the consequence of prior sins of ignorance when he knew not the religion. Yet man is not left, in the developed form of the religion at least, to his own efforts, since guardian angels assist in overcoming temptation and evading the pitfalls set by the demons. An important part in the Zoroastrian anthropology is that embodied in the Yima story. Ahura proposed to make Yima the founder of the new religion, but he declined; so Ahura made him guardian of the world and the creatures of Ahura (Vendidad, ii.). This duty he performed, so that the flocks and herds and mankind increased, and twice the area of the inhabited earth had to be enlarged. He was then warned of the approach of a series of cold winters which should wipe out life, and was commanded to create a sort of paradise, two miles square, and bring thither specimens of the different species, eliminating from the humans thus saved the deformed,

According to Yasht, xiii. 149, man is in constitu-

tion fivefold: spirit or intellectuality, the knowing

legend and recollection of the migrations.

The soul after death remains near the body for three days, in pain or joy, according to its deeds. On the fourth day at dawn it takes up its journey to its final home. Its experiences correspond to the

impotent, lunatic, malicious, evil-minded, leprous, and wicked. This was done, and the 1,900 men and

women there lived a life of perfect happiness and

repeopled the earth after the magician who had

wrought the cold had ceased his work. This story

is not to be taken as a direct parallel of the "flood

legend," but is a combination of the "golden-age"

individual's actions during life. Have 6. Escha- they been righteous, the soul is cheered tology. by delicious experiences on its way, and is met by a beautiful maiden, the impersonation of its good deeds, who guides it to the Chinvat bridge, where Mithra, Sraosha, and Rashnu pass judgment (on the basis of the daily record kept by Vohu Manah and the trying in Rashnu's scales of its good deeds and bad). Then it passes across the Chinvat bridge (Yasna, xix. 6, xlvi. 11) to the bridge of the angels; finally, received by Vohu Manah, the soul passes before Ahura and the Amesha Spentas to take up its abode permanently with the righteous (Vendidad, xix. 28-34; cf. Yasht, xxii., xxiv. 53-64; Yasna, xxxi. 14). The hap of the wicked is the reverse of this, the soul being met by an evil-favored hag and dragged by it after the judgment to the depths of darkness. There is, however,

a place called Hamestagan, the abiding-place of souls whose good and evil deeds exactly balance. There and the evil dead abide in their places till the last day, when the human denizens of hell are purified and join, with those of Hamestagan, the blessed in the new heaven and new earth. So that universalism is the final creed, and hell is not an eternal torture or retribution (Dadistan-i Dinik, xiv. 8, xxii. 10-16; Bundahish, xxx. 1-33; cf. G. C. O. Hass, in Spiegel Memorial Volume, Bombay, 1908). On the day of judgment the Saoshyant completes the victory over evil in a final battle (Yasht, xix. 89-96), and is to reign for fifty-seven years. By that time man will have become spiritualized, needing neither food nor drink (Bundahish, xxx. 3; Dinkart, VII., xi. 4). A star is to fall and its heat will melt the terrestrial metals, this molten mass coursing over the earth and becoming the purification of men and making the earth a mountainless plain. The resurrection takes place, all souls gather, and the wicked suffer three days' torture in hell. All souls pass through the molten flood, which to the good is pleasant and to the bad is extremest pain. Then all are united in heaven (Dadistan-i Dinik, lxxv. 4), and the new earth is established, itself immortal, it and its inhabitants radiant with light, yet possessing sun, moon, and stars.

The universally present ideal inculcated by the Mazdean religion is summed up in activity as represented in the triple phrase, "good thoughts, good words, good deeds." By the first is

7. Ethics meant primarily acceptance of the reand Relig-ligion and then regard for the law, ious Duties. practical and ritual, abstention from presumption, covetousness, anger, lust, envy, anxiety, and disobedience to superiors. "Good words" involves the eschewing of slander and of dispute even with the evil-minded and malicious. The ideal of good works is based upon the pastoral and agricultural foundations of Avestan society. Perjury, impurity of body or mind, violence, and untruthfulness are especially denounced, charity and generosity are forcefully enjoined. Vendidad iii. pronounces the best situations on earth those where a Zoroastrian is worshiping, and the homestead of a believer with wife, children, flocks, and herds all in good condition, where the fields are under irrigation and the flocks yield most urine (for purification). The fight against demons is in part carried on by agriculture-" Who sows corn sows holiness." Procrastination of labor is forbidden (Sad Dar, lxxxi. 10). Asceticism is frowned on, especially is celibacy opposed; the possession of wife and children is commended, the latter being among the chief blessings of mankind, and childlessness a curse (Yasna, xi. 3; SBE, xxxi. 244-245; Herodotus, ix. 111), while a sacred virginity was considered irreligious. To foster fertility, the sacred fire was maintained in the house (Shayast, xii. 3), and the period of gestation was marked at intervals by joyous celebrations. The child at six begins to learn prayers, and some little time after that is invested with the sacred thread. Since labor is a prime duty, fasting is prohibited, because it deprives of proper strength for the active duties of life (Vendidad, iii. 33, iv. 48-49). Self-mortification is sin-

later writings seem to have a polemic diainst Christian and Manichean asceticism. enjoined for breaches of the law are often pors either in the field or for the ritual servibitions of sexual intercourse where they in the main upon considerations of essenthful propriety. Among the punishments d are the killing of snakes or vermin (the of Angra Mainyu), building of bridges er, and making of ditches for irrigation. ilt involved a priesthood, called Athravan. sts were held to a high level of obligation. ceable that the Vendidad shows no traces of eeking of the priesthood such as charac-

terizes the Brahmanic writings of India. ult. Priestly duties involved service not only at the temples but in the homes lievers, particularly in the care of the sacred brewing of haoma, and the chanting of the Training for the priesthood began at the ren and continued till at least fourteen, and orizing of Yasna and Vendidad seems to n required. Sacrificial animals named are , cow, lamb, and even the camel (Vendi-. 16-20). Special importance attached to sma (Barsom), originally a bundle of twice he priest while he recited the prayers (Ven-. 1, xix. 63). It is represented now by a silver rods varying in number from three three. The Baresma was employed in the n to Ahura, the service for the dead, the to the Yazatas and other spirits, including rashis. Its virtues increase in the later f the religion, until by its offering the just to paradise. Great emphasis was laid also ma, a drink supposed to bring the particicommunion with God, and later becoming ital. Haoma seems to have been origieity of exhilaration, the apotheosized ferlrink (the intoxicating character is evident , ix.-xi., note x. 13). The chief claim to thus apotheosized was that he is the "holy driveth death afar." Altogether novel is of the dog in the religion, so that two Fartaken up with the subject (Vendidad, xiii.riii. 14 sqq., xv. 20-51). Killing of the aniprbidden. Two "four-eyed" dogs guard vat bridge (probably dogs with spots over , and a like animal expels from a corpse the demon." Since all that Ahura created was ilthful, and good, Angra Mainyu's activilucing impurity, disease, and death, the life was to avoid and banish the impure. impurity is contact with something tainted ct with the demons—with death or disease ity. All that passes from a man is impure, e may not breathe on the fire, nor for cereirposes cleanse in the first instance in water. may not be burned, nor buried unless first in wax or kindred substance, but exposed n birds in "towers of silence." Funeral for the dead are conducted on the three ceeding the decease, with memorial servne fourth, tenth, and thirtleth days and the nniversary. Priests are the celebrants, symbolic elements, fire and water and also

flowers and fruits, are used. Recollections from primitive times appear in the host of charms used, these parts of the Avesta being those that show the early character of part of the religious usages. The principle that underlies the entire code is the primitive one that offenses against the individual are far less dangerous than against the religion—spiritual beings-since these endanger all mankind by arousing the anger of the exalted spirits. Thus the solidarity of the Zoroastrian community is emphasized. To maintain the purity of the community in early times close intermarriage was practised, but in modern times observance of this is less stringent.

VIII. The Parsees: Modern Zoroastrians are known as Parsees (Parsis), and are found principally in India. After the Mohammedan conquest of Persia in 641, the Zoroastrians were in large part under the necessity of leaving the country in order to practise their religion, though scattered communities continued to exist there. The Island of Ormuz in the Persian Gulf was the first refuge, but was inadequate for a permanent home. A series of emigrations led them to Diu on the Gulf of Cambay on the western coast of India about 700. They settled in Guzerat, and in 721 built their fire temple; this was their home till about 1300, when the Moslem invasion of India again drove them away to take refuge in such places somewhat inland as Broach, Surat, and Thana. In the sixteenth century the Portuguese attempted to force their conversion to Christianity, but the advent of the British in India in the early part of the seventeenth century brought relief from pressure. On the occupation in 1668 of Bombay by the British East India Company as its seat of power, the Parsees made that city their headquarters. Many of them took service with the company in a wide variety of capacities. They have ever since displayed a remarkable readiness to adapt themselves to modern conditions, and the Parsee community as a whole is noted for its wealth and culture. Their industrial, educational, and charitable enterprises are of a very high type, and they regard as a disgrace to the community the existence of the few Parsee beggars that remain. Small Parsee communities still exist in Persia, chiefly in Yezd, where perhaps 8,000 Parsees (known as Iranis to distinguish them from their Indian brethren) still live. In India there are not far from 100,000 professing this religion, nearly all of whom are in the Bombay presidency. They claim to have preserved the pure faith taught by Zoroaster, and their principal beliefs and prac-tises may be gathered from the following extracts from a Parsee catechism.

In whom do we, of the Zarthosti community, believe? We believe in only one God, and do not believe in any beside him.

Who is that one God?

The God who created the heavens, the earth, the angels, the stars, the sun, the moon, the fire, the water, or all the four elements, and all things of the two worlds: that God we believe in, him we worship, him we invoke, him we adore.

Do we not believe in any other god?

Whoever believes in any other god but this is an infi-

del, and shall suffer the punishment of hell.

What is the form of our God?

Our God has neither face nor form, color nor shape, nor fixed place. There is no other like him; he is him-

self singly such a glory that we can not praise or describe him, nor our mind comprehend him.

Is there any such thing that God can not create it?

Yes, there is one thing that God himself even can not

create.

What that thing is must be explained to me.

God is the creator of all things; but if he wish to create another like himself, he can not do it. God can not create another like himself.

How many names are there for God?

It is said that there are 1,001 names; but of these 101 are extant.

(the distributor of justice), Pursurdegar (provider), Pursurdar (protector), by which names we praise him. There are many other names also, descriptive of his good doings.

What is our religion?

Our religion is worship of God. Whence did we receive our religion?

God's true prophet--the true Zarthost Asphantaman Anosirwan—brought the religion for us from God.

Where should I turn my face when worshiping the holy Hormuzd?

We should, worship the holy just Hormuzd with our face toward some of his creations of light and glory and brightness.

Which are those things?

Such as the sun, the moon, the stars, the fire, water, and other such things of glory. To such things we turn our face, and consider them our Kibleh ("the thing opposite"), because God has bestowed upon them a small spark of his pure glory, and they are therefore exalted in the creation, and fit to be our Kibleh. . . .

What commands has God sent us through his prophet,

exalted Zarthost?

Many are those commands, but I give you the principal, which must always be remembered, by which we must guide ourselves. To know God as one; to know the prophet, the exalted Zarthost, as his true prophet; to believe the religion, and the Avesta brought by him, as true beyond all manner of doubt; to believe in the goodness of God; not to disobey any of the commands of the Mazdiashna religion; to avoid evil deeds; to exert for good deeds; to pray five times in the day; to bein the reckoning and justice on the fourth morning after death; to hope for heaven and to fear hell; to consider doubtless the day of general destruction and purification (of all suffering souls); to remember always that God has done what he willed, and shall do what he wills; to face some luminous object while worshiping

GEO. W. GILMORE.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: For a survey of the literature use E. Wilhelm, Catalogue of Books on Iranian Literature, Bombay, Many of the books noted below contain lists of 1901. Many of the books noted below contain lists of books and of discussions, notably those by A. V. W. Jackson. Texts of the Avesta to be noted are: K. F. Geldner, 3 vols., Stuttgart, 1886-96 (best); E. Burnouf, Paris, 1829-43 (Vendidad Sade); H. Brockhaus, Leipsic, 1850 (Vendidad Sade); N. L. Westergaard, Copenhagen, 1852-54; F. Spiegel, 2 vols., Vienna, 1853-58 (Yasna, Vispered, Vendidad); M. Haug, 2 vols., Leipsic, 1858-1860 (five Gathas); C. Bartholomae, Halle, 1879 (Gathas); L. H. Mills, Leipsic, 1892-94 (Gathas); Antia, Bombay, 1901 (Vendidad Sade); M. M. Gandavia, Bombay (Vendidad); M. N. Dhalls, The Nyaishes or Zoroastrian Lite 1901 (Vendidad Sade); M. M. Gandavia, Bombay (Vendidad); M. N. Dhalla, The Nyaishes or Zoroastrian Litanies, New York, 1909. Eng. transls. by J. Darmesteter and L. H. Mills are in SBE, vols. iv., xxiii., xxxi.; Fr. transls. of the whole or parts are by J. Thonnelier, Paris, 1855-62; C. de Harlez, 2d ed., ib. 1881; J. Darmesteter, 3 vols., ib. 1892-93; Germ. transls. are by M. Haug, Leipsic, 1858-60 (five Gathas); F. Spiegel, 3 vols., ib. 1852-63 (reproduced in Eng. transl. by Bleeck, London, 1864); partial transl. in F. Windischmann, Zoroastrische Studien, Vienna, 1868; C. Geldner, Stuttgart, 1884 (three Yashis); C. Bartholomae, Strasburg, 1905 (the Gathas); F. Wolff. C. Bartholomae, Strasburg, 1905 (the Gathas); F. Wolff, ib. 1910. Editions of Pahlavi literature that may be noted are the Dinkart by D. B. Sanjana, 6 vols., Bombay, 1874-91; the Bundahish, by N. L. Westergaard, Copen-

hagen, 1851; and a series of Yasna texts by L. H. Mills magon, 1001; and a series of raska texts by L. ii. Mile in the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, 1902-08; as well as the Bundahish, with transl. and glossary, Lepsic, 1868. Eng. transls. of Pahlavi texts are in SBE, vol. v., xviii., xxiv., xxxvii., xivii. Note also P. H. Weissbath Die Keilinschriften der Achameniden, Leipsic, 1911, since this has value as a source.

On the literature especially to be noted are the intreductions to the texts and translations named in the preceding paragraph. Linguistic helps are: F. Justi, Headpreceding paragraph. Linguistic helps are: F. Justi, Hesbbuch der Zendsprache, Leipsic, 1864; C. de Harles, Mesuel de l'Avesta, Paris, 1878; idem, Manuel de Paklesi, ib. 1880; W. Geiger, Handbuch der Avesta-Sprache, Gremmatik, Chrestomalhie und Glossar, Erlangen, 1879; C. Bartholomae, Handbuch der altiranischen Spraches, Leipsic, 1883; idem, Altiranisches Wörterbuch, Strasburg, 1905; A. V. W. Jackson, Avesta Grammar, Stuttgart, 1891; L. H. Mills, Dictionary of the Galkic Langues, Leipsic, 1902 sqq.; M. Schuyler, Index verborum of the Fragments of the Avesta, New York, 1902; H. Reichst, Avestisches Elementarbuch, Heidelberg, 1909; idem, Avesta Reader. Text, Notes, Glossary and Index, Straburg, 1911. For discussions on various phases of the literature consult: M. Haug, Ueber die Pekleri-Sprach burg, 1911. For discussions on various phases of the literature consult: M. Haug, Ueber die Pekleri-Sprache und den Bundahesh, Göttingen, 1854; idem, Essays es the Sacred Language, Writings, and Religion of the Persecs, Bombay, 1862, 3d ed., 1884; idem, Essay on the Peklari Language, Stuttgart, 1870; F. Spiegel, Die vositionelle Literatur der Parsen in ihrem Zusammenhangs mit den angrenzenden Literaturen, Vienna, 1860; F. Windischmann, Zoroostrische Studien, ed. F. Spiegel, Berlin, 1863; F. Spiegel, Commentar über das Avesta, 2 vols., Vienna, 1864-68; W. D. Whitney, Oriental and Linguistic Studien, chap. vi., New York, 1873-75; K. F. Gelden, Studien sum Avesta, Strasburg, 1882; J. Darmeston, Etudes iraniennes, 2 vols., Paris, 1883 (principally linguistic); C. de Harlen, De l'exigèse et de la correction des totle tic); C. de Harlez, De l'exégèse et de la correction des textes tic); C. de Harles, De l'exegese et de la correction des lexis avestiques, Leipeic, 1883; F. Justi, Iranisches Namenhot, Marburg, 1896; T. Nöldeke, Das altiranische Namenhot, Grundriss der iranischen Philologie, vol. ii., no. 1, pp. 1-58, Stuttgart, 1896 sqq.; E. G. Browne, A Literary Bitl. of Persia, pp. 88-110, New York, 1902; D. M. Madas, Discourses on Iranian Literature, Bombay, 1909.

On the general hackground and history was the Cond.

On the general background and history use the Grandriss der transischen Philologie named above; F. Justi, Geschichte des alten Persiens, Berlin, 1879: T. Nöldeks, Geschichte der Perser. . . sur Zeit der Sassaniden, Leyden, 1879; idem, Aufsätze der persischen Geschichte, Leipste, 1887; idem, Orientalische Skizzen, Berlin, 1892, Engtransl., Skeiches from Eastern Hist., London, 1892; W. Geiger. Ontiranische Kultur im Albertum Erlansen 1882. Geiger, Ostiranische Kultur im Altertum, Erlangen, 1882, Eng. transl., Civilization of the Eastern Iranians in Ancient Times, London, 1885; A. von Gutschmid, Geschichte Iraus von Alexander . . . bis zum Untergang der Arsaciden, Tübingen, 1888; A. V. W. Jackson, Persia Past and Pre-Tübingen, 1888; A. V. W. Jackson, Persia Past and Preent, New York, 1906; idem, From Constantinople to the
Home of Omar Khayyam, ib. 1911; J. V. Prasek, Geschicht
der Meder und Perser bis zur Makedonischen Eroberung,
vol. ii., chap. xi., Gotha, 1910, cf. A. V. W. Jackson in
American Historical Review, Oct., 1910, pp. 103 sqq.
On the prophet the one book is A. V. W. Jackson,
Zoroaster, the Prophet of Iran, New York, 1899, cf. his
zetiola in The Bibliogi Weeld, 1907. Compile Inteller.

article in The Biblical World, 1907. Consult further: J. H. C. Kern, in the Verslagen en Mededeelingen of the jana, Zarathushtra and Zarathushtrianism in the Assis, Leipsic, 1906; D. Menant, Zoroastre, Paris, 1908; and much of the literature quoted in the next paragraph on

On the religion the best compend is A. V. W. Jackson, Die iranische Religion, in the Grundries der iranischer Philologie, ut sup., vol. iii., no 5, cf. his article in the Journal of the American Oriental Society, 1901, pp. 160-184. Consult further: J. G. Rhode, Die heilige Sage und das gesammte Religionssystem der alten Baktrer, Meder und Persen oder des Zendvolks, Frankfort, 1820; C. P. Tida. De Godedienst van Zarathustra van haar Ontstaan in Betrie

Val van het Oud-Persische Rijk, Haarlem, 1864; hitney, Oriental and Linguistic Studies, pp. 149 York, 1873; C. de Harles, Les Origenes du Zo-, Paris, 1879; J. Caird and Others, Oriental New York, 1882; J. Milne, in Faiths of the p. 91-121, London, 1882; L. C. Casartelli, La nie religieuse du Mazdéisme sous les Sassanids, 34, Eng. transl., Philosophy of the Mazdayasnian 34, Eng. trans., Pattocophy of the Masadyarnan under the Sassanids, Bombay, 1889; G. de La-Masdéisme; l'Avesta, Paris, 1897; E. Rindtorff, ion des Zarathushtra, Weimar, 1897; M. Flügel, sta and Eastern Religions, Baltimore, 1898; A. , Studies in Comparative Religion, pp. 129 sqq., 1898; J. Scheftelowitz, Altiranische Studien, in vii (1903), 107-172; P. D. Chantepie de la Sausbrouch der Religionsenschichte, ii. 162-234, Tu1905; H. Hinneberg, Die Kultur der Gegenwart,
ientalischen Religionen, pp. 77-86, Berlin, 1906;
Peshotan Sanjena, ut sup.; R. H. Mistri, ZoroI Zoroastrianism, London, 1907; O. Gramzov,
Kommentar zum Zarathustra, Berlin, 1907; H. Die iranische Ueberlieferung und das arische Syssie, 1909; H. Brunhofer, Arische Urzeit, Bern, 1910; Civilization, ut sup. M. Haug, Essays, ut sup. rious topics, including the eschatology, consulting themann, Die persische Anahita oder Analita, 1856; M. Wolff, Muhammedanische Eschatologie, 1856; M. Wolff, Muhammedanische Eschatologie, 1872; J. Darmesteter, Ormasd et Ahriman, leurs t leur hist., Paris, 1877; D. P. Sanjana, Position n in Remote Antiquity as Illustrated in the Avesta, 1892; J. B. Ruling, Beiträge sur Eschatologie des tipsie, 1895; A. V. W. Jackson, in Biblical World, 96; N. Söderblom, Les Frasashis, Paris, 1899; Vie future d'après le Mazdéisme, Paris, 1901; Vest, Notes on Zarathustra's Doctrine Regarding in the Journal of the Royal Asiatie Society, 1899. in the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, 1899, 511; F. Böklen, Die Verwandtschaft der jiddisch-m mit der persischen Eschatologie, Göttingen, H. Mills, Zarathushtra, Philo, the Achaemenids il, Oxford, 1906; idem, Avesta Eschatology Com-th the Books of Daniel and Revelations, Chicago, porji Aspaniarji, The Teachings of Zoroaster and the y of the Parsee Religion, New York, 1908; K. sen, Die arischen Göttergestalten, Brunn, 1910. Parsees consult: D. F. Karaka, History of the London, 1884; D. Menant, Les Parsis, Paris, w ed., 1908; V. Henry, Le Parsisme, ib. 1905; padia, The Teachings of Zoroaster and the Philthe Parsi Religion, London, 1905 (not very

US, zos'i-mus: Pope 417-418. The Liber s makes Zosimus a Greek, while Harnack from his father's name Abram that he was v descent. He succeeded Innocent I., and or his participation in the Pelagian contro-Pelagius and Pelagian Controversies) is attempts at the extension of the power man see. The latter came about through r of Appeals to the Pope (q.v.), an issue his predecessor. Zosimus became involved the fact that Bishop Urban of Sicca in had deposed a presbyter Apiarius, who ap-Rome. But a general synod of Africans his in 418, and the pope sent legates to the general matter as well as to force retract. Zosimus' claims regarding ape based on supposed canons of the Nicene eally of that of Sardica. No real progress , and the successors of Zosimus carried the

in the Gallic Church also afforded Zosimus tunity to interfere. Patroclus of Arles found a new primacy in South Gaul, which approved; the pope also would put in 'hands the ordination of certain bishops pvince, and gave him control of the For-

matæ for Gallic clergy going to Rome. Zosimus declared certain ordinations by Proculus of Marseilles invalid, and also the pronouncements of a synod of Turin (401) which supported the contention of Proculus. The latter maintained himself, however, and Boniface I. allowed Patroclus' claims to fall.

(A. HAUCK.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Liber pontificalis, ed. Mommson in MGH,
Gest. pont. Rom., i (1898), 91; Jaffe, Regesta, i. 49-51;
J. Langen, Geschichte der römischen Kirche, i. 742-763,
Bonn, 1881; L. Duchesne, Fastes épiscopaux de l'ancisme
Gaule, i. 93-110, Paris, 1894; Mirbt, Quellen, pp. 57-58,
2d ed., 1901; Mansi, Concilia, iv. 345-376; Hefele, Conciliengeschichte, pp. 357-358, Fr. transl., i. 1, pp. 504-505,
Eng. transl., ii. 128, 456 sqq., 462-464; Ceillier, Auteurs
sacrés, vii. 528-540, viii. 533-534, 569, ix. 453, 477, 484,
510, 635; Bower, Popes, i. 149-162; Platina, Popes, i.
96-99; Milman, Latin Christianity, i. 179-195, 265, 267;
KL, xii. 1988-89; DCB, iv. 1221-25 (elaborate).

ZSCHOKKE, chek'ke, JOHANN HEINRICH DANIEL: German-Swiss novelist, author of Stunden der Andacht; b. at Magdeburg Mar. 22, 1771; d. on his estate called Blumenhalde, opposite the city of Aarau, Switzerland, June 27, 1848. He was early left an orphan, and when seventeen left school to accompany a company of strolling actors and to serve as playwright; in 1790 he prosecuted his studies at Frankfort, studying theology there, and then serving for six months as preacher at Magdeburg and afterward as pastor at St. Catherine's Church; next he became privat-docent for theology at Frankfort, meanwhile pursuing a wide range of reading in history, politics, finance, and forestry. He had already issued several publications, among them the drama Abällino. His retirement from the university followed upon his opposition to a ministerial order and his expressed sympathy with the French Revolution, and he traveled widely, at length, in 1795, taking up his residence in Switzerland, becoming in 1796 an instructor at Reichenau in the Grisons. The victory of the Austrian party there in 1798 compelled the ardent lover of liberty to leave, and at Aarau he was welcomed and served his adopted country in literature and also in various civil posts. In his literary works he had distinctly the purpose of contributing to the ethical and social uplift of the people, coining the maxim: The education of the people is the people's liberation. He retained his interest in theology and religion, and noted the decadence resulting from the French Revolution and the Napoleonic régime. In order to counteract this he published anonymously from 1808 to 1816 Stunden der Andacht, a religious but rationalistic journal, which had an immense success, and was brought together and published as a devotional collection in 1816. It was twice translated into English, in whole or in part (Hours of Meditation and Devotional Reflection, London, 1843; and Handbook of Family Devotion, 1863). The secret of its authorship was preserved till 1842, when the author, in his autobiographic Selbstschau, acknowledged its source. The work was violently assailed by the Roman Catholic clergy, and such Protestants as Tholuck denied its Christian character, the latter writing his "Hours of Devo-tion" to counteract its effects. While theologians decried it, the popular estimation of it was high; it met a great need in the world of laymen.

Zschokke's works, consisting of novels, tales, dramas, and historical writings, were collected in 35 vols., Aarau, 1851–54. Some of these were several times translated into English, e.g., The Bravo of Venice, London, 1805, 1844, and often; his Popular History of Switzerland, or History of Switzerland, London, 1833, 1834; The Goldmaker's Village, London, 1845; and individual tales in various collections. Some of them went also into most of the continental languages of Europe.

(W. Hadorn.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Consult, besides the Selbstachau noted above, the biographies by O. Hunziker, Zurich, 1884; J. J. Bäbler, Aarau, 1884; in ADB, xlv. 449 sqq.; and in Schweizer Rundschau, 1891.

ZUETPHEN, GERARD ZERBOLT VAN. See ZERBOLT VAN ZUETPHEN.

ZUNZ, tsunts, LEOPOLD: Jewish scholar; b. at Detmold (50 m. s.w. of Hanover), Germany, Aug. 10, 1794; d. at Berlin Mar. 18, 1886. He was educated at the University of Berlin; became rabbi to the new synagogue there, 1820; was an editor of the Spenerschen Zeitung, 1823-31; provisory director of the new Jewish Congregational School, 1826-1829; preacher in Prague, 1835-39; and director of the Normal Seminary of Berlin, 1840-50. After 1845 he was a member of the board of commissioners for the communal and educational interests of the Jews in Prussia. His life was one of great literary activity, and his works were distinguished by learning, beauty, and clearness of style. Among them may be mentioned *Predigten* (Berlin, 1823); Die gottesdienstlichen Vorträge der Juden, historisch entwickelt (1832), his most valuable book; Namen der Juden (Leipsic, 1837); Zur Geschichte und Literatur (Berlin, 1845); Die Vorschriften über Eidesleistungen der Julen (1859); Die Monatstage des Kalenderjahrs (1872); his works appeared as Gesammelten Schriften (3 vols., 1875-76).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: S. Maybaum, Aus dem Leben von Leopold Zunz, Berlin, 1894; JE, xii. 699-704.

ZURICH CONSENSUS (CONSENSUS TIGUR-INUS): A creed of the Reformed Church embodying the united views of Calvin and Bullinger on the Lord's Supper, and forming one of the best sources for a knowledge of Reformed theory on this subject. In 1541 Calvin had published his Genevan Catechism, setting forth a view of the Lord's Supper which inclined toward that of Luther rather than that of Zwingli. For a time there seemed to be a prospect of union between the Lutherans and the Reformed, but in 1541 Luther began a series of impassioned attacks on Zwingli and the Reformed, calling their leader a foe of the sacrament and putting him in a class with the Anabaptists. As Zwingli's successor and the recognized head of the German-Swiss Reformed, Bullinger, in 1545, replied to Luther with a defense of Zwingli's character and doctrine, as well as of the Reformed in general, in his Wahrhafte Bekenntnis der Diener der Kirche zu Zürich . . . insbesondere über das Nachtmahl. As a result the confession of the Zurich preachers, who had ever felt themselves essentially in sympathy with Zwingli, strongly manifested the original Zwinglian type. This found approval in Bern, where the Lutheranizing tendencies under the in-

fluence of Butzer had been overthrown by Zwinglianism after all attempts at union had proved hopeless. But these proceedings at Bern, which included stern measures against Lutheranizing pastors and the disuse of a catechism which Butzer had helped to revise in 1537, directly affected Calvin and his views of the Lord's Supper, for the Vaud preachers, controlled by Bern since 1536, were placed in a serious position by the contradictions between the catechism of their spiritual lord in Geneva and the Zwinglian catechism prescribed to them by Ben. It thus became necessary for Calvin and Bullinger to enter into negotiations, especially as Calvin was already eager for a union of at least all the Reformed, while Bullinger, however loyal to Zwinglian tradition and however mistrustful of Butzer's tactics, was fully inclined to alliance, provided it admitted of no misinterpretation. In 1547 Calvin spent some days in Zurich, and the two leaders met. After three more visits to Zurich, Calvin, accompanied by Farel, who had also worked in the interests of harmony, met Butzer at Zurich in the latter part of May, 1549. A few days later the twenty-six articles were agreed upon which united Zwinglians and Calvinists in one Reformed body. The basis of the deliberations had been the twenty articles sent by Calvin two months earlier to the Bern synod.

The articles of the Zurich Consensus fall into two divisions: the first nine declaring that the Lord's Supper is not a mere "empty symbol," and the remainder aiming to refute the charge that Calvin's teaching tended toward consubstantiation. The Zwinglian conception of "a testimony and seal of grace" and the spiritual communion with Christ are emphasized, but neither the distinctly Calvinistic tenet of the miraculous influence, through the Holy Ghost, of the vivifying body of Christ on the believing soul nor the Zwinglian theory of the Lord's Supper as a mere commemorative meal receives perspicuous mention. In arts. 10-26 the Roman Catholic and Lutheran doctrines of the Eucharist are denied in favor of the Reformed theories of the Lord's Supper, and the tenet of predestination is pressed to its full logical conclusion as regards the reception of the elements. These articles were submitted to each of the Protestant estates of the Swiss confederation, as well as to certain foreign theologians, and after some hesitation, particularly on the part of Bern and Basel, they were accepted, appearing in their Latin original, with a few emendations by Pierre Viret (q.v.), at Zurich in 1551. German and French translations were issued at the same time. Later editions included an explanation and defense of the Consensus by Calvin, this being rendered necessary by the violent Lutheran attacks upon the document. The Consensus never became a formal confession of the Reformed Church, yet it is noteworthy as the first bond that united the Swiss Reformed among themselves and with their coreligionists abroad, thus giving them the consciousness of being members of the great Reformed body, and avoiding the threatening danger of a second Protestant cleavage into Calvinism and Zwinglian-(PAUL CHRIST!.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The Consensus was printed at Zurich, 1549.

may also be found conveniently in H. A. Niemeyer, Col-

lectic confessionum, pp. 191-217, Leipsie, 1840; and E. F. K. Müller, Bekensinisschriften der reformierten Kirche, ib. 1903. Consult: Schaff, Creeds, i. 471-473; A. Ruchat, Hist. de la réformation en Suisse, vol. v., 6 vola., Geneva, 1727-28; K. B. Hundeshagen, Conflicte des Zwinglianissus, Bern, 1842; J. H. A. Ebrard, Das Dogma som heiligen Abendmahl, ii. 484-524, Frankfort, 1846; C. Peatslessi, Bullinger, pp. 373-387, Elberfeld, 1858; W. Walker, John Calvin, pp. 395-397, New York, 1906; and, in general, works on the lives of Bullinger, Calvin, and Farel.

ZWEMER, SAMUEL MARINUS: Reformed; b. at Vriesland, Ottawa Co., Mich., Apr. 12, 1867. He was educated at Hope College, Holland, Mich. (A.B., 1887), and New Brunswick Theological Seminary (1890). From 1891 to 1905 he was a missionary at Busrah, Bahrein, and elsewhere in Arabia, and during this time traveled extensively through the peninsula. He was organizer and chairman of the Mohammedan Missionary Conference Cairo in 1906, but resided chiefly in the United States, 1905-10, and did much missionary work in the churches of his denomination. In 1910 he returned to his missionary field on the Arabian Gulf. He has written Arabia, the Cradle of Islam, with an Account of Islam and Mission-Work (New York, 1900); Raymond Lull, First Missionary to the Moslems (1902); Topsy-Turvy Land: Arabia Pictured for Children (in collaboration with his wife; 1902); Islam: A Challenge to Faith (1908); Nearer and Farther East: Studies of Moslem Lands and Siam, Burma, and Korea (in collaboration with A. J. Brown; 1908); The Unoccupied Mission Fields of Africa and Ana (1911); Daylight in the Harem (1911; in collaboration with Annie Van Sommer); and (in part) Islam and Missions (1911). In 1911 he began the publication of the quarterly The Moslem World, issued in London, and he has collaborated with Annie Van Sommer in editing Our Moslem Sisters (New York, 1907), and with E. M. Wherry and J. L. Barton in editing Mohammedan World of To-Day

ZWICK, tsvik, JOHANNES: Reformer in Constance and South Germany; b. at Constance c. 1496; d. at Bischofszell (8 m. n.w. of St. Gall), Switzerland, Oct. 23, 1542. He received his early education in Constance and Basel, entered the lower ranks of the clergy, went in 1509 to Freiburg to study law under his fellow countryman Zasius; with his younger brother Konrad he journeyed to Bologna in 1518, and in 1520 took his doctorate in both kinds of law at Siena. Both brothers came under the influence of Luther, and while Konrad went to Wittenberg, Johannes went to Basel as teacher of law, though soon regretting that for the sake of law he had neglected theology. In 1522 he sought out Zwingli at Zurich, and then Went to Constance to prepare for taking up his ministry, having been made priest in 1518. Though warned by his bishop not to teach anything new, on taking his first charge at Riedlingen he preached Evangelical doctrine. He worked for the general betterment of life, and amid conditions which were especially difficult. He also married. He was present at the great disputation at Zurich Oct. 25-28, 1523. On his return to Riedlingen the attempt was made to arrest him, but the people prevented this. In the spring of 1524 he visited Basel and Strasburg, and on his return the chapter began persecutions

When he married a divorced pair who had not the money to secure a papal dispensation and in a tractate urged other pastors to the same course, the storm broke. For a time he went to Constance, where he was besought to accept a preaching office; meanwhile he was cited to Rome, which mandate he disregarded, and in 1526 by imperial rescript his office was taken from him, and he was declared a heretic. The same year he wrote a tract of exhertation to his old parishioners which had its recognized effect in confirming them in the Gospel. In 1527 Zwick assumed the preaching office in his native city, where with Ambrosius and Thomas Blaurer and his brother Konrad he worked in advancing the Reformation, which was firmly established by May 6 of that year and was practically completed when, in 1531, an order of discipline was introduced. In the work of building up the church Zwick was indefatigable, especially in his labors for youth, issuing writings and catechetical works for their instruction. Not less important were his labors in the cause of hymnology, issuing as early as 1536 a hymn-book for church use, to which he contributed seventeen hymns, among them the wellknown "Auf diesen Tag bedenken wir." In collaboration with Pellican in 1535 he issued at Zurich a New Testament in Latin and German. He also edited numerous smaller books of educational, confessional, or historical value. Meanwhile he was an earnest and effective pastor, looking after the schools, the poor, the sick, and the refugees.

His labor was not confined to his native city, but in the neighboring regions of Switzerland and in South Germany he did pioneer and yeoman work. Although he came into close and friendly connection with Luther and Melanchthon, he did not favor the Wittenberg Concord (q.v.), and his influence in 1540 prevented Constance from entering the Swiss Union, there being no apparent reason for withdrawing from the Schmalkald League. In his largehearted geniality he subjected himself to suspicion by entertaining those who as fugitives appealed to his pity, even though they were opposed by the orthodox. Under his constant labors his health broke down; in 1541 he was near to death, but recovered. In 1542 he went to render service in the plaguestricken Bischofszell, was himself seized by the disease, and died in harness. After his death Blaurer purposed to edit Zwick's works, and began with the sermons preached just before Zwick left for Bischofszell, prefixing a noble preface and the first short sketch of Zwick's life. Subsequent events prevented the carrying out of the plan. Zwick's Gebete und Lieder für die Jugend were edited by Spitta (Göt-

G. Bossert.)

Bibliography: T. Keim, in Jahrbücher für deutsche Theologie, 1854, pp. 536, 584, 1855, pp. 356-411; T. Kolde, Analecta Lutherana, Gotha, 1883; Briefe und Akten, in Mitteilungen zur vaterlündischen Geschichte, vols. xxiv. sqq., St. Gall, 1891 sqq.; F. Cohrs, Die erangelischen Katechismuserersuche vor Luther, iv. 44-141, 245, Berlin, 1902; Monatschrift für Gottesdienst und kirchliche Kunst, 1897, pp. 267, 326-350, 1898, pp. 323-322; and the works on the lives of Bullinger, Butzer, Capito, and Zwingli.

ZWCIKAU PROPHETS: A short-lived subsect of the radical Anabaptists (see Anabaptists, II.), taking their name from their origin in the city of Zwickau (60 m. s.w. of Dresden), and receiving

their doctrines from Nikolaus Storch, a weaver (d. 1525), and Markus Stübner, who enjoyed the favor and support of Thomas Münzer (q.v.), with whose views, indeed, their own seem to have been practically identical. Storch, the real founder of the sect, apparently derived his tenets from the Bohemian Brethren (q.v.), with a strong coloring from the chiliasm of the Taborites (see Huss, John, HUSSITES, II., § 4), while the great inspiration of the whole was the young Protestant principle of conforming rigidly to the explicit commands of the Bible. He also claimed to possess prophetic powers, and among the elements of his attempted "return to the Bible" were apparently the separation of a believing husband or wife from the unbelieving partner, rejection of oaths, civil power, and military service, and communism-in other words, the entire movement was a phase of Antinomianism (q.v.). It is further declared that Storch secured the appointment of twelve "apostles" and seventytwo "disciples," in imitation of New-Testament records, and that, as a result of a vision in which Gabriel appeared to him, he believed himself divinely empowered to act as the leader in the establishment of the millennial kingdom upon earth.

While Münzer was in Zwickau, all went well with the "prophets," but his successor, Nicolaus Hausmann (q.v.), was less amenable, and on Dec. 16, 1521, Storch and his followers were accused of repudiating infant baptism. He and one other alone remained obdurate and, ignoring a summons to reappear later for a second examination, he went, together with Stübner and a certain Markus Thomä. to Wittenberg to secure university support. Here he succeeded in half winning Andreas Rudolf Bodenstein von Carlstadt (q.v.), convinced Martin Borrhaus (q.v.), and for an instant swayed even Melanchthon. So serious, indeed, became the situation that Luther, then in hiding in the Wartburg, was forced to leave his retreat and return to Wittenberg, where he arrived Mar. 7, 1522. [Before he left the Wartburg, in answer to Melanchthon's difficulties about infant baptism Luther wrote a letter justifying the practise on the ground of unconscious or subconscious faith exercised by the infant, and defying his opponents to prove that the infant does not exercise saving faith. A. H. N.] He sternly repressed the radicals, though he was unable to supply their demand for Scripture passages explicitly commanding infant baptism, his conclusion being that "what is not against Scripture is in favor of Scripture, and Scripture in favor of it "-an argument ill calculated to satisfy his opponents. Nevertheless, his presence in Wittenberg made it impossible for the Zwickau prophets to remain, and both Carlstadt and Borrhaus, continuing in their radicalism, ultimately found a more congenial home amid Zwinglian surroundings. With the exit of Storch and Münzer from Zwickau, their sectaries soon subsided, and in Apr., 1522, Luther visited the city and delivered four sermons to enormous audiences (estimated by one contemporary at 14,000 and by another at 25,000) on the evils of religious radicalism and fanaticism.

The story of the wild career of Thomas Münzer is well known. Of Stübner nothing is recorded ex-

cept that, after leaving Wittenberg, he went to Kemberg, a town of Prussian Saxony, where he disappeared from history. Concerning the fortunes of Storch there is more information. After the Wittenberg episode he apparently remained for some time in Thuringia, for Luther seems to have had another interview with him shortly before Sept., 1522. He would also appear to have remained with Carlstadt in Orlamunde, but in 1524 he was in Hof, where he renewed his agitation until he was driven from the place, only to repeat his madness at Glogau in Silesia. Early in 1525 he was apparently cooperating with Münzer in stirring up the Peasants' War, and in the course of this occupation he seems to have come to Munich, where he is said to have died in a hospital. During the closing years of his life it would seem that his radicalism increased, for he is reported to have taught rejection not only of marriage and of infant baptism, and the renunciation of all worldly goods, but also to have inculcated full indulgence of the flesh and the right of deposing and even of killing civil authorities.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: A. H. Newman, History of Anti-Pedoleptism, pp. 62-76, Philadelphia, 1897; G. Tumbült, Die Wiederläufer, pp. 8-11, Bielefeld, 1899; R. Bachman, Niclas Storck, der Anfänger der Zwickauer Wiederläufe, Zwickau, 1880.

ZWINGLI, HULDREICH.

I. Life and Labora.

Early Life and Education (§ 1).

Initial Doubts at Einsiedeln of Roman Catholicism (§ 2).

Leut-Priestahip at Zurich and Marriage (§ 3).

Increasing Alienation from the Roman Church (§ 4).

The Final Rupture (§ 5).

Peasant and Anabaptist Disturbances (§ 6).

The Conference at Baden (§ 7).

Eucharistic Conference with Luther at Marburg (§ 5).

Unsuccessful Plans against the Hapeburgs and the Pops (§ 9).

Diet of Augsburg and Work in Zurich (§ 10). Civil War and Death of Zwingli (§ 11). II. Theological System.

1. Theological System.
Theories of Zeller and Sigwart (§ 1).
Criticism of Sigwart's Theory (§ 2).
Criticism of Zeller's Theory (§ 3).
Direct Relation of the Human Soul to God (§ 4).
Philosophical Elements of Zwingil's Theology (§ 5).
Rigid Practicality and Exclusion of Speculation (§ 6).
Centered in Christian Consciousness and Experience of Sanctification (§ 7).

I. Life and Labors: Huldreich Zwingli, the Reformer of German Switzerland as preacher of Evangelical truth, contemporary with, but independent of, Martin Luther, was born at Wildhaus (42 m.e. by s. of Zurich), in the valley of the Toggenburg Jan. 1, 1484; and died at Cappel (10 m. s. of Zurich) Oct. 11, 1531. His first name shows the variants Ulric, Ulrich, Ulricus, Huldricus, and Huldrych, while his last name, which appears in Latin as Zwinglius and in English as Zwingle, was originally Zwilling ("Twin"). His father, Ulrich Zwingli, was the chief magistrate of the village; his father's brother,

I. Early
Life and garetha Meili, and her brother, JoEducation. hannes (d. 1524), was abbot of the
Benedictine abbey of Fischingen (about

25 m. e. by w. of Zurich), while a near relative, probably an uncle, was abbot of Old St. John's, near Wildhaus. Zwingli was the third of his parents' eight sons. In 1487 his uncle Bartholomew moved

(some 10 m. s. of Wildhaus) on the Walenhe was pastor and dean, and then, or a
he took his nephew into his house and
to the village school. Being a friend of
Learning, and noticing the promise of the
letermined to educate him for the Church,
sement with the new ideas; accordingly he
to the school of Gregory Buenzli in Klein
1494, and in 1498 to that of Heinrich
apulus) in Bern. There the lad particunguished himself, and made many friends,
Luther, was a born musician and fond of

These qualities induced the Dominicans aim to live in their monastery, but when and uncle heard of this, they took him city, lest he should become a monk, and to Vienna. For the next two years he here (1500-02), and in 1502 he matriculasel, took his B.A. degree there in 1504, M.A. in 1506, teaching meanwhile in the St. Martin's Church. In 1506 he betor at Glarus, where he remained for ten

a scholar, Zwingli applied himself to his l laid deep and wide foundations. He also is capacity as a preacher, and with flaming unced the evils of the time, the chief of his patriotic mind, being the hiring out of to any one else than the pope to fight as ies, an occupation which, in numerous ulted in their moral ruin. Because some

of the leading persons in his congregail tion were carrying on this traffic, his at opposition awoke their animosity and in made his position so uncomfortable in that he was glad to accept a call to be in preacher at Einsiedeln, only a few miles from Glarus, and the chief place

lage for Switzerland, South Germany, and There he met with great numbers of peoding many prominent men, and thus he his thinking on the burning questions of He had a candid mind, and his faith in d orthodoxy had already received several Thomas Wyttenbach (q.v.) was the first estion in his hearing the traditional base of :h's teaching, in 1505-06, and a little later ipon a service book containing the liturgy n Mollis, near Glarus, two hundred years nd found that it expressly enjoined that vas to be administered to a babe after its Again, when on a campaign in Italy as of the Glarus contingent in the papal discovered that the Milan liturgy differed points from that used elsewhere. Meditathese points showed him that the Church y not taught absolutely the same truths

practises. Like all other Humanists, he mus, and from him learned that the source ne was the Bible and not the Church. erefore, he could read the New Testament ginal in 1516, thanks to Erasmus, he drank m the fountain rather than through the less troubled stream of tradition. Then,

met leading men at Einsiedeln, and found

beginning, nor had observed everywhere

that the corruption of the Church in clergy and theology was a common theme, he ventured to discuss these matters in the pulpit. He also exalted the Bible above the Church as the guide into truth, and Jesus Christ above the Virgin Mary as the intercessor with the Father, and in so doing he acted independently of Luther, for, as a matter of fact, he had not heard of him. Zwingli always pretended to be ignorant of what Luther wrote, and it was his constant boast that he had started the Reformation in Switzerland independently of Luther. It was a drawback to the general cause of the Reformation that these two Reformers did not fraternize. Because Zwingli would not accept Luther's doctrine of the Lord's Supper, Luther declared him to be of a different spirit; and Zwingli found much in Luther's teachings and proceedings that he strongly disapproved.

It is not likely that Zwingli was brought into any trouble by his doctrine at Einsiedeln; rather it was welcome and increased his reputation. So, when the position of *leut*-priest (preacher and pastor) in the Great Minster in Zurich fell vacant in the latter part of 1518, he was suggested for the place. Then was brought to light a fact which has ever since been a humiliation to his friends and a source of triumph to his foes. Like the clergy about him, he believed himself absolved from the obligation of

chastity because bound by the vow of 3. Leut-celibacy. Lapses from sexual purity priestship at were too common to be considered ob-Zurich and jections in a priest, but the charge Marriage. against him was then made that he had

seduced a girl of good family, and this was considered a valid reason for rejecting his nomination. He was written to on the subject and his reply is extant. He denied the charge of seduction, but frankly admitted the charge of habitual incontinence, and he does it in a jesting tone which shows that he had no conception that his offense was any other than a trifling one. The chapter of the Great Minster agreed to this view and elected him, and it was, therefore, as a confessedly libidinous man that he came to Zurich, but only the pure in heart can see God; the Gospel had not yet entered his heart. It so happened that in his parish was a beautiful widow, Anna Reinhard (b. 1484), a Zurich innkeeper's daughter, who had married (1504) Hans Meyer von Knonau, scion of a Zurich patrician family, who had died in 1517. Her son, Gerold, was in the Great Minster Latin school when Zwingli came to Zurich and made the acquaintance of the mother. When their intimacy passed the bounds of propriety is unknown, but certain it is that from the spring of 1522 Zwingli and Anna Reinhard were living together in what was euphemistically called a "clerical marriage." Such concubinages, while not put on a level with marriage, were entered into without stigma, as it was assumed that without extraordinary supply of divine grace it was not possible for a priest to live in purity; and since, in fact, very few did, hence it was better for the morals of the community that they should have nominal wives. They were expected to, and probably did, live faithful to these women, and the women to them. When, however, the relations between Zwingli and Anna Reiuhard were formed, many Protestant priests had married their mistresses or other women, and it was expected that Zwingli, who was the head of the reformatory movement in Zurich, would show equal courage and set a good example. Why he did not has been explained on the ground of his reluctance to face the monetary and social complications involved in a burgher marrying a patrician's widow; but at last he married her, on Apr. 2, 1524. Between 1526 and 1530 four children were born to him, but there are no direct descendants of his now living.

and till the end of his life retained the preachership in the Great Minster. His fame spread through all German Switzerland and southern

4. Increas- Germany. His sermons as printed are ing Aliena- long, discursive, and dull, though clear tion from and simple in style, but, in the process

Zwingli held the leut-priestship from 1519 to 1522,

the Roman of the expansion they have under-Church. gone, all their liveliness has probably been removed. Having uncommon Biblical and patristic scholarship, a frank, candid, independent, and progressive nature, and a great desire to advance the interests of his country in religious, political, and social matters, he won general approval from the start, not only as a preacher but as a man. When a preacher of indulgences named Bernhardin Samson appeared in the canton (1519), Zwingli successfully opposed him-a course which received the approval of the hierarchy, for the fathers of Trent recognized that there were abuses connected with the proclamation of indulgences (cf. the decree concerning indulgences passed by the Council of Trent Dec. 4, 1563; given in Schaff, Creeds, ii. 205-206). When the plague broke out in Zurich in 1520, Zwingli labored so assiduously among his people that, worn out, he fell sick himself and looked into the eyes of death. He used the position won by his devotion and independence to advance reform, but very cautiously and by at-tacking externals first. Thus he showed that fasting in Lent had no Scriptural support, which teaching was eagerly taken up by those who wanted to have good meals all the year round; next, that tithes had only state and church laws to rest upon, but no Scripture, this teaching being heartily welcomed by those who paid taxes and groaned under them. He had his say in regard to the proper way to treat beggars, who were considered by the good people about him as aids in devotion and pathways to heaven, but whom he denounced as nuisances and would have changed into self-supporting members of the community, and he showed how this might be done. Next came simplification of the breviary and plans for a liturgy in the vernacular and a muchaltered service for the administration of the Lord's Supper. Proceeding step by step, with the assent of the Zurich magistracy, he yet alarmed the local hierarchy, who appealed to Constance, where their bishop lived, and the bishop sent to Zurich an investigation committee which sat Apr. 7-9, 1522, but availed nothing against the manifest satisfaction of the citizens with the positions Zwingli had taken. It was evident that the wave of reform had passed from Germany into Switzerland.

After three years of preaching, Zwingli judged that the time was ripe for a bolder step. Consequently he prepared sixty-five theses, not at all like the ninety-five theses of Luther, which were on the single topic of indulgences and were intended primarily for a university audience, while Zwingli's theses were for a popular audience and covered all the points of the "Gospel," as he called it. In accordance with the Swiss plan that before radied measures were taken in a canton there was to be a public debate as to their expediency, presided over by the burgomaster, a meeting was held in the town hall of Zurich on Jan. 29, 1523. All the clergy were invited, and the frankest expression of opinion was

courted. As a matter of fact, there was
5. The Final no real debate, but only a dialogue be
Rupture. tween Zwingli and the vicar-general of

Constance. The decision of the magistracy was that the doctrines Zwingli had preached were enjoined on all priests in the canton. This was satisfactory so far, but only as an entering wedge. Zwingli kept on applying the "Gospel" to practical matters and began preparations for a second discussion, which was held Oct. 26-28, 1523, this being still less a debate between the Old and the Reform Church parties, since it was almost entirely in the hands of the latter. Of special interest is the part which the radicals among the followers of Zwingli played. They accepted his whole program, but they were for immediate application of its practical teaching, and wished Zwingli to accept some of its logical consequences—both of which courses were hostile to his cautious nature. The decisions of the magistracy after this discussion were, however, radical enough to suit any but a radical, for they removed the images and pictures out of the churches, made the vernacular the language of the religious services, and, still more startlingly, stripped the mass of all its incrustations through the centuries and brought it back, as far as possible, to its first institution. A third disputation was held Jan. 19-20, 1524, but this was a last desperate attempt of the Old Church party to stem the tide of change which Zwingli had set in motion. By the end of 1524 church life in Zurich was quite different in many of its outward manifestations from that in any other Swiss city. The convents for men and women had been abolished, and the music had been silenced in the churches, a strange proceeding for one so fond of music as Zwingli, and defensible only on his theory that the Reformed Church should have no practise which recalled the Old Church 88 music did. The mass alone stood, and that was so wrapped up with the life of the people that he hesitated to destroy it before the people were fully prepared to accept a substitute. At last the decree went forth that on Thursday of Holy Week, Apr. 13, 1525, in the Great Minster the Lord's Supper would be for the first time observed according to the liturgy Zwingli had composed. On that eventful day men and women sat on opposite sides of the table which extended down the middle aisle, and were served with bread upon wooden platters and wine out of wooden beakers. The contrast to the former custom was shocking to many, yet the new way was accepted. With this radical break with

past the Reformation in Zurich may be said to been completed.

Vo sooner had the Reformation been established n internal troubles nearly disrupted the State. st came the peasants with their undoubted evances, although they did not give the trouble y made in Germany, both because their demands to less radical, and because the authorities, on advice of Zwingli, were more conciliatory. But

the other disturbing element, the de-Peasant tested, the dreaded, the misunderad Ana- stood and persecuted Anabaptists, ptist Dis- were the real trial. They did not origrbances. inate in Zurich, but the earliest mem-

bers of the party in Zurich were mems of Zwingli's congregation. He had taught them ask Scripture proof for doctrines and practises king church acceptance, and they accordingly ed him to give such proof for infant baptism. cause he could not, he was at first inclined to nt that logically the practise had no Scriptural port; but when they pressed him to declare nself plainly, they only stirred his anger by so ing. He fell back upon the assumptions of the d Church, and for a man so radical on all other ints he showed a singular reluctance to accept e consistent teaching of his Anabaptist friends. was only when it became manifest to him that jection of infant baptism involved an effort to tablish churches of the regenerates, and to effect e unchurching of all who could not make a public mfession of an experience of grace and the abolion of secular authority in religious matters, that wingli felt compelled to oppose it with all his might. H. N.] He sought to silence them by sermon id treatise, and because they would not keep siace he became their persecutor. This attitude n be explained only by his acceptance of the opriety of suppressing what is deemed to be roneous, even at the expense of life, on the claim at it is better that a few should die for their roneous faith than that they should be allowed live and propagate their errors. This doctrine as accepted by Protestants and by Roman and reek Catholics in the sixteenth century, and the at alone have repudiated it. (For the experiences the Swiss Anabaptists see ANABAPTISTS.)

The years of Zwingli's life from 1524 to 1529 were stremely busy, and were passed almost entirely in which. One occasion for a visit outside of it was many pressing. At Baden, a famous watering-place, by twelve miles northwest of Zurich, there was a isputation between the Old Church representatives of the Zwingli party from May 21 to June 8, 1526 to BADEN [IM AARGAU], CONFERENCE OF). It is thought to be dangerous for Zwingli to go

7. The meditated his death. But though not conference present in person, Zwingli had the st Baden. closest connection with those from Zurich who spoke for him, and gave me daily instruction. The debates were probably fair as such debates can be, but things were actly reversed from what they were in the Zurich bates, for the speakers and the audience were trwhelmingly Roman Catholic. Of course each

side claimed the victory. In 1528 Zwingli was in Bern and played the most prominent part in the formal introduction, through magisterial action, of the Reformation into that city.

To this period of Zwingli's life also belongs the debate with Luther over the Lord's Supper, one of the great misfortunes the consequences of which are felt to-day. As Luther said at Marburg, he and Zwingli were not of the same spirit. Zwingli taught that the sacraments were signs and symbols of holy things, but in themselves had no power to cleanse, so that in the Lord's Supper there is a bringing back to memory of the work of grace done by Jesus Christ, who lives before the believer, though there is no participation of grace through the sacrament itself. He had a clear mind

8. Eucha- view in any of its phases had no attracristic Con- tions for him. Consequently, the ference with interchange of reading material Luther at between himself and Luther accommarburg. plished nothing, and only angered

Luther. Thus baptism and the Eucharist, which were intended by Christ to be unifying practises, produced by their varied interpretation a breach between the Old Church and Protestants and between parties among the Protestants. Among the leaders of the Protestants was Philip the Magnanimous, landgrave of Hesse (see Philip of Hesse), who desired to see unity among Protestants upon the Eucharist, and to this end arranged a meeting in his castle at Marburg between Zwingli and Luther (see Marburg, Conference of), which had one good result. Luther discovered that he and Zwingli had much in common. Although the territory through which Zwingli had to pass on his way to Marburg was, with the exception of a few miles, friendly to Protestants, yet so panic-stricken were Zwingli and all his friends at the possibility of encountering members of the Old Church on their own ground that the Reformer considered himself to be doing a bold thing in obeying the summons of the landgrave. He left Zurich by stealth, without permission of the government and with a false statement to his wife as to his destination, but nothing happened to him. As it was thought unwise to pit him directly against Luther, he was introduced to Melanchthon, but nevertheless the debate was between the German and the Swiss chief reformers. Both sides boasted of victory, and the usual interchange of disgraceful epithets followed the debate which the landgrave hoped would seal their union.

After his return to Zurich Zwingli prosecuted more vigorously those political schemes which were intended to result in a union of all Protestants, and also of states which were not Protestant, against the house of Hapsburg and the pope, in the interest of religious liberty. The time Zwingli gave to these negotiations must have been considerable, for he sought to unite in this "Christian Burgher Rights," as he called his league, bodies as widely scattered as France and the Republic of Venice. What might have come of this scheme if his life had been longer continued it is, of course, impossible to say, but in 1530 he saw the making of the Schmalkald League,

which shut off Lutheran membership in the Christian Burgher Rights, and the final refusal of France and Venice to enter. Inside of Swito. Unsuczerland Zwingli's schemes for religious liberty were equally unsuccessful. cessful **Plans** since the Five Forest Cantons, i.e., against the the cantons of Uri, Schwyz, Unter-Hapsburgs walden, Luzern, and Zug, all ad-and the joining Zurich, refused to allow the preaching of the Reformed faith within Pope. their borders. War actually broke out; but at Kappel, ten miles south of Zurich, where the opposing armies were about to come to blows, a hasty and ill-considered peace was patched up. The Forest Cantons refused to ratify the action of their representatives, and so the bill for the war was left unpaid by them, and the gospel preachers were still excluded from their territories. Zwingli saw clearly that such a peace was transitory, but though he wished that the cantons might be forced to keep the promises they had made, he did not desire to have them forced by the cruel measures which the Protestant cantons adopted, namely, by preventing the Forest Cantons from buying necessary things, especially salt, by blocking their entrance into the lower levels where alone these things could

be obtained. On June 30, 1530, the famous Diet of Augsburg convened. To it Zwingli sent a brief confession of faith and tried, probably unsuccessfully, to get it into the emperor's hands. It was a personal confession, but is one of the most interesting documents of the Reformation. In it he thus expresses himself respecting the Eucharist: "I believe that in the holy Eucharist—i.e., the supper of thanksgivingthe true body of Christ is present by 10. Diet of the contemplation of faith; i.e., that Augsburg they who thank the Lord for the kindand Work ness conferred on us in his Son acknowlin Zurich. edge that he assumed true flesh, in it truly suffered, truly washed away our sins in his own blood; and thus everything done by Christ becomes present to them by the contemplation of faith. But that the body of Christ in essence and really—i.e., the natural body itself—is either present in the supper or masticated with our mouth or teeth, as the papists and some who long for the flesh-pots of Egypt assert, we not only deny, but firmly maintain is an error opposed to God's Word." Zwingli played a prominent part in Protestantism and made Zurich a prominent place. His educational work was important. He was a born teacher, and when at Glarus had pupils, some of whose letters have been preserved and show how well he had taught them. His little book which was his present to his stepson reveals the wise pedagogue, and so, as soon as his other engagements permitted, he accepted the post of rector of the Carolinum, the school of the Great Minster in Zurich (1525), and did much to improve the curriculum, besides teaching there in the religious department. But not edu-

cation and instruction alone claimed his attention.

He was the great man of Zurich, and was consulted

on every topic by everybody from the chief magistrate to the lowliest citizen. His correspondence

often compelled him to toil late into the night after

the crowded days, and there came from his pen a stream of treatises, in Latin when he sought the widest public, or in German when he had his own nation more in view. These treatises were sometimes hastily written and are often of little present interest, but most of them are still worthy of reading. They are polemical, as those in exchange with Luther's on the Eucharist; expository of his position on theology in general or upon particular points; practical, giving guidance to the preachers about him how to preach the Gospel; or patriotic, noble utterances against war and the mercenary service. These writings show the broad-mindedness of Zwingli, and give ground for the claim that if he were living to-day he would be in all respects a modern man.

But this life of strenuous endeavor in so many directions was drawing to its close, not through the weakening of its bodily powers, not because under a strain the brain had given way, but because the fratricidal strife which had been temporarily avoided

broke out again. On May 15, 1531, the cantons which had accepted the Reformation assembled, and learning that the Forest Cantons, which were strongly Roman Catholic, had flatly refused to keep the treaty which they

had signed through their representatives the year before, resolved to bring them to terms by preventing them from crossing their borders, as they would have to do if they would purchase wheat, salt, iron, steel, and other necessary things. It was a cruel measure, as already said, and Zurich resisted it, but was outvoted. As soon as this edict came to execution, it brought the Forest Cantons to warlike preparation, and since Zurich lay directly in their path as they descended from the mountains, they attacked it first. On Oct. 9, 1531, their troops crossed the Zurich border, which was only twelve miles from the city, and the news reached there that evening. Strangely enough, there seems to have been no apprehension that war was so near, and, consequently, there was no adequate preparation for it. It was a mob rather than a little army of the famous Swiss soldiers which rushed out of the city. Their objective was Kappel, and there they were joined the next day, Wednesday, Oct. 11. 1531, by the main army. With it was Zwingli. dressed in armor, it is true, though he was a noncombatant, but he staid in the rear of the battle, and was there because he was the chief pastor of Zurich. It was a foregone conclusion that Zurich would be overthrown. She had only 2,700 men against 8,000 and they were very badly led. Overwhelmed, it took only a short time to be almost annihilated. and the battle of Kappel was a repetition of Flodden Field (Sept. 9, 1513). Five hundred Zurichers were slain, among them representatives of every prominent family in the city. But the greatest of them was Zwingli. Wounded first by a spear, and then struck on the head by a stone, he was put out of his misery by a sword thrust. He lay unrecognized for awhile, but when it became known that the corpe was that of Zwingli, it was treated with every indignity because he was held to be the author of the regulations which had brought on the war, which

was not true, and also as the leader of the Reformation, which was true. The body was given over to the hangman, who quartered it as if it had been that of a traitor, and then burned it, as if that of a heretic. The war ended in a treaty which was, of course, favorable to the Forest Cantons, though not so harsh as might have been expected. But all Zwingli's plans for a league of princes, cantons, and cities against pope and emperor, and all his hopes of providing the Old Church cantons with Reformed Church missionaries were forever ended. that he stood for in church practise and in theology did not long outlive him. Music was restored to the churches (1598) and his eucharistic views were superseded among the Reformed by those of Calvin. Yet, as he becomes better known, his clear-headedness, his independence, and his progressiveness will gain him increasing fame, and men will put him beside Luther as a leader of the Protestant host.

IL Theological System:* It has been the subject of some controversy what is to be considered the determinative element of Zwingli's theological system. Is it the religious interest of the Christian in salvation, or, more precisely, his faith in his election, which constitutes the central point in his religious life, as E. Zeller supposes? And, in this case, is it the doctrine of election, not as a theoretical proposition, but as a consequence of the consciousness of election, which forms the ultimate back-

ground of his religious convictions, the z. Theories foundation and the center of his docof Zeller trine? Or, on the other hand, would Zwingli lay down as the determinative and Sigwart. standard of all other theological propositions the idea of God, conceived in

a deterministic way, the idea of the absolute, allembracing activity of God, who is the Tighest Good absolute Being, and Essence and Life of all things? In this case is the determinative element of the system a theological (i.e., a philosophical), an objective one, in short, a principle which could be "maintained even without the Scriptures," as C. Sigwart declares? Both of these main suppositions place an undue emphasis upon single elements of the case although they are characteristic elements, and both theories are, therefore, to be decidedly rejected.

To Sigwart's conception it may be objected that the idea of God, however great the consistency with which it is employed in Zwingli's doctrinal structure, is, nevertheless, not its determinative element at all—at least not after such a manner as to furnish the explanation of every individual element, or of the whole tenor of the system, of its radical and thoroughly practical tendency. Certainly it

is not correct to estimate Zwingli's 2. Criticism idea of God as a speculative and a of Sigwart's priori idea, and to designate Pico della Theory. Mirandola (q.v.) as the source of the same (cf. Usteri, TSK, 1885, iv. 625

sqq.). For, however surprizing an influence Pico has exercised upon many of Zwingli's theoretical expositions, there is to be found in that writer not

only no doctrine of faith, but, in the definiteness which is so characteristic of Zwingli, not even a doctrine of providence and election. Zwingli himself also explicitly testifies that he was led to the quite peculiar doctrine of election which he teaches by the Scriptures (Werke, ed. Schuler and Schulthess, iv. 113, 8 vols., Zurich, 1828-42), that it is, therefore, not the consequence of speculative premises. Besides, it is a frequently recurring proposition of Zwingli's that we are concerned in religious knowledge not with the productions of the natural, blind reason, but with facts of experience wrought by God, with immediate illumination by the Spirit of God (iii. 130, 152, 157, 72; i. 208, 212, and 70, often).

Again, Zeller's development of the doctrinal system of Zwingli from the consciousness of election does not touch its real center. We are rather, if we are seeking the decisive source, to select in a more general way faith and the doctrine of faith. Faith, which is the direct operation of the Spirit of God in man, is itself the real life in God, the real unity with him, the "conclusion of all religion" (iii. 540); it

embraces the entire religious relation 3. Criticism of the man, the definite attitude of Zeller's wrought in him by God himself. With

Theory. this, consequently, is immediately given the unconditioned certainty of salvation; it is salvation made objectively real and "conscious" (ii. 1, pp. 359, 283; i. 269, 277; iii. 230, and often). Accordingly, the conclusion which Zwingli draws can not be this: "I am elect, therefore I must be saved; and without this election, resting upon the eternal purpose of God, my consciousness of salvation would lack its indubitable certainty"; but, on the contrary: "I know that I am in possession of a God-wrought faith and of the salvation which is involved in this: consequently I must be elect." He who believes "is already certain that he is elected of God" (iv. 8); "he who is covered by the shield of faith knows that he is elected of God by the very basis and firmness of his faith" (iv. 122). It is an immediate consequence of this that the consciousness of election, which is, in any case, a derived and never an independent consciousness, is, by its very origin, not so much the chief object of faith as it is the most important (though not, of course, exclusive) contents of faith; and, consequently, it follows that the doctrine of election can not properly serve as the fundamental doctrine in which the original form of the religious consciousness expresses itself. It is only afterward, when the reflective faculty makes the relation an object of consideration (i.e., in the system of doctrine), that election comes to stand above and before faith; or, as Zeller himself says, the doctrine of providence and election is the product of the unconditioned certainty of faith. "It is evident that those who believe know that they have been elected; for those who believe have been elected. Election, therefore, precedes faith" (iv. 123–127, iii. 426). Faith is "the fruit and present pledge of election, so that he who has faith already knows that he has been elected, which aforetime he did not know when he had not yet come to the fulness of faith, even though he was no less elect in the sight

^{*} This section on Zwingli's theology is translated by Frank Hugh Foster from Egli's article in Hauck-Herzog RE, xxi. 774-815. The references are necessarily to the Schuler and Schulthess edition.

of God before faith was given him as after" (iii. 575).

When Zwingli began the Reformation, his religious consciousness had essentially come to definite results in every direction. He rejected the many forms of intervention between the soul and God with which Roman Catholicism abounds, these broken cisterns in which he found no water, this suspension of the immediate relation of the soul to God, arising from the obscuration of the Christian consciousness of God, and pressed his way on through all obstacles to God, to God himself. In God he is at peace and rest, God is the Sabbath of his soul, God his One and his All, God the incomparable and highest Good, the only exclusive originator and bestower of salvation; his hold on God it is impossible for him to let go, to God, whose in-

strument he is, he surrenders himself
4. Direct without condition. God is, therefore,
Relation of most truly the object of faith, for to
the Human believe is nothing else than to trust in
Soul to God alone, to have God; and all the
rest that belongs to the Christian faith

even Christ and redemption through him, even the word of God and the means of grace in the Christian Church not excepted-stands in an auxiliary capacity to the immediate and exclusive relation in which the Christian stands to God. The entire safety of the soul is in intimately trusting in God, and this is the faith that everything has its existence only through God. Salvation can be founded upon God alone, upon the grace of God, the Mediator and Surety of which is Christ, upon the operations of divine grace in man and for man, that is, upon nothing which is human, nothing external, nothing finite. All trust whose center is not God, rests upon unfaith and is idolatry, while the greater the faith in God who controls all things, the greater is God in man, the eternal unchangeable power of all good. So Zwingli expresses himself from the beginning in innumerable passages, whether he is carrying on a polemic against the features of Roman Catholicism by which it made religion an external thing, or is quietly developing the essence of piety. The Christian, reconciled and united with God through Christ, laid hold of and directed by his Spirit, is perfectly conscious of his personal salvation; and, if we ask how he has arrived at this peace in God, which is one almost mystical, and yet one full of impelling power, and if we inquire how he has reached this fundamental trait of his religious life, which also controls his theology, there is no other answer than this: it was the study of the holy Scriptures, especially of the epistles of Paul and of the Gospel of John, or, rather, it was the drawing of God through his Spirit, which, by means of the study of the Scriptures, led him to it.

Zwingli had accepted, in part before and in part in connection with the study of the Scriptures, a number of other elements of culture which belonged both to classic heathenism and to the later science developed in the Christian church. He had busied himself to a considerable degree with the Stoic Seneca, with the deterministic and anti-Pelagian Augustine, and especially with the modern Platonist, Pico. Under their influence, as well as under that

of the widely accepted views which accompanied humanism, he had formed a general theory of the

sophical the general views and points of departure which he had gained from these of Zwingli's writers may have already exercised Theology.

tion of the Scriptures and upon the tendency of his religious life. When, then, practical needs gradually led to the demand that he should summarize Christian doctrine in a connected system, as an organic whole, he employed for the dogmatic development and proof of the truths of the Scriptures the scientific principles which had become familiar to him from other sources, combining their various elements after a fashion of his own, as is, of course, always the case in the formation and development of a system. His philosophical conceptions and speculative ideas, so far as they appeared to be applicable, gave the form in which he set forth the substance of his religious consciousness, which had been developed, so far as its specific contents were concerned, under the influence of the Scriptures. If one should object that, according to this, the dogmatic formulation would come to sustain a rather mechanical relation to its religious contents, we should maintain in reply that everywhere in Zwingli the impelling religious interest and the theological exposition are carefully separated, as will be seen as soon as one compares his reformatory and practical writings with his system. Certainly, among the methods of viewing such subjects and the definitions which were familiar to him, he has incorporated in his system precisely those which corresponded most to his ruling convictions. And although he has produced no detailed development of the whole system, and has written no "Institutes of the Christian Religion," he has, nevertheless, set forth the body of Christian doctrine from premises of his own with a logical sequence which is worthy of all recognition. Though he is sometimes indefinite and often incomplete, he has succeeded in sketching the firm outlines of the great principles of theology within which the diverging tendencies of the Reformed Church and its doctrinal development have moved in subsequent times. At the same time, it is not to be doubted that he would have given \$ very different aspect to the dogmatic formulation of his doctrinal conceptions if he had had, for instance, the more advanced scientific ideas of the present at his disposal. While the religious substance of his doctrine would have essentially varied from that to be found in his present writings in scarcely a single important point, we should have certainly found a more carefully formulated concept of God, an anthropology quite different from his present abstract and dualistic one, a deeper doctrine of sin, a less mechanical Christology and one determined by the doctrine of God and of the essence of man, and, in general, a more satisfactory adjustment of the antitheses between the absolute and the finite causality, between determinism and freedom. between spirit and body.

Zwingli takes his theological standpoint essentially in the concrete reality of Christian experience

s this is reflected in his consciousness, in noe of his own religious life) and in the real ad with God, in which he has come to see essence of religion lies. He feels an interary doctrine in precisely the degree in which

it is the expression of such relations as id appear to be decisive for the life of lity faith that men actually experience. In- Everything, on the contrary, which does not touches it only remotely, which does not have to do with the actual relation o man and of man to him, which belongs in n of the merely transcendent, and, consecan not be the object of experience, he even in doctrine, far in the background.

ent being, does not disturb him; the triniefinitions of the church doctrine, with the al hypostatization of the Father, Son, and e cites only in a formal way, and certainly while he does this, an undeniable tendency rianism (iii. 179, ii. 1, p. 208); the doctrine on, the angels, the miracles, the "state of " the question as to the possibility of the I that as to the method in which the sinful r of our nature is transmitted, the intercesthe royal office of Christ, the beginning of life in conversion, the distinguishable elethe life in the world to come, and the conter the final judgment do not fix his attenn the contrary, the decisive weight in the of God falls upon the active presence of his entire creation, upon the self-communi-God to man and mediately, through man, rorld, and, consequently, upon providence present operation of God," the absolute of God as the unity of his power, wisdom, iness; while in the doctrine of the provision tion and the realization of salvation this lls upon the impartation and the indwelling pirit of God and the union with God prohereby, and upon salvation conferred by a present possession. Even the doctrine of nal decree of election (in antithesis to which but the stubborn fact drives him to the ion of reprobation) is employed in the dent of the concrete religious consciousness; at the establishment of faith which is, to be

any respect. add to this that religion has for its central it so much the atonement as liberation from , redemption; that the significance of Christ l less in his merit than in his example, to re are bound; that the specific principle of ion is found, not so much in Christ as in the uling and guiding Holy Spirit; that faith to be, not so much the organ of receptivity, a spontaneity, a God-filled motive force, "effectual power and unwearing activity whibit their result in the fulfilment of the lod; that the struggle for moral perfection, thteousness which is not merely imputed but d the active battle which this demands be-XII __35

e product of the divine causality, although

h does not in this world correspond to its

tween the flesh and spirit, controls the religious life, even in the development of doctrine, more, and disproportionately more, than the need 7. Centered of the forgiveness of sins and justification Christian tion (which are already always assured

n Christian tion (which are already always assured Conscious- in God); that, side by side with the ness and gospel, the law also has its place as Experience revelation for the impartation of the re- of Sanctideeming grace of God to man; that fication.

is recognized as consisting in the knowledge of the grace of God which the Gospel brings; and that, finally, the ethical standard of Christ is applied alike both to the individual person and to all the organizations which unite to form human society, we may venture to ask whether we may not apply to Zwingli, when we confine ourselves to the essential substance of his doctrine, what has elsewhere been maintained as universally true of the Reformed theology—that it is, in general, that presentation of evangelical truth which describes it from the standpoint of the Christian consciousness, and upon the high level and under the definite forms of the experience of sanctification (M. Schneckenburger, Vergleichende Darstellung des lutherischen und reformierten Lehrbegriffs, ed. E. Güder, p. xxxvi. sqq., Stuttgart, 1855).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The definitive edition of Zwingli's works has been appearing since 1904 under this title: Huldreick Zwinglis sämtliche Werke unter Mitwirkung des Zwingli-Vereins in Zürich. Volume i. goes down to the First Zurich Disputation, 1523, and was published in Berlin by C. A. Schwetschke und Sohn. Volume ii. begins with Zwingli's exposition and defense of the forty-nine articles he had drawn up for the first Zurich disputation, which was originally published July 14, 1523, and goes down to was originally published July 14, 1523, and goes down to Zwingli's "Advice respecting the Mass and the use of Pictures in the Churches," and was published in 1908 in Leipsic by Verlag von M. Heinsius Nachfolger. The editors of both volumes were Emil Egli and Georg Finseler. Egli died Dec. 31, 1908. Walther Köhler, his successor in Zurich University, was called in to take his place upon the edition. It was decided to begin the publication of the Zwingli correspondence as volume vii., so it appeared with this title-page: Huldreich Zwinglis samtappeared with this title-page: Huldreich Zwinglis samtliche Werke unter Mitwirkung des Zwingli-Vereins in Zürich herausgegeben von Dr. Emil Eglit, Professor an der Universität in Zürich, D. Dr. Georg Finsler, Religionslehrer am Gymnasium in Basel, und D. Dr. Walther Köhler, Prosessor an der Universität in Zürich, Band vii., Leipsic lag von M. Heinsius Nachfolger, 1911. Volume iii. was begun in 1911, and so was volume viii., the two are to run in parts alternately. But as it will be several years be-fore this edition is finished the students of Zwingli will frequently have to fall back upon the old edition of Schuler and Schulthess (8 vols., with small supplement, Zurich, bey Friedrich Schulthess, 1828-61). In this edition the Latin works are separated from the German and the arrangement is frequently inconvenient, whereas in the definitive edition there is no such separation and the contents are in chronological order. Great attention has been paid in the new edition to editorial details in the way of special historical and bibliographical introductions, minute study of the text, especially the German text which is furnished with a glossary. Many new letters appear in the correspondence as the result of the labors of Egli, who ransacked every place likely to yield them. Both the Latin and the German treatises are annotated in a manner very superior to that in the Schuler and Schulther edition.

Georg Finsler, Zwingli-Bibliographie. Verzeichniss der gedruckten Schriften son und über Ulrich Zwingli, Zurich, Orell Fussli, 1897, gives an exhaustive list of Zwingli literature down to date, continued in Zwingliana, 1902, No. 1—in Zwingliana attention is paid to this literature. The biographies based on the sources and the resultants

of years of special studies and therefore not soon to be superseded are these: (1) Raget Christoffel: Huldreich Zwingli. Leben und suspendhite Schriften, Elberfeld, 1887, Eng. transl. by John Cockrun, Zwingli; or, the Rise of the Reformation in Switzerland, Edinburgh, 1858 (an excellent translation; the selections given by Christoffel excellent translation; the selections given by Christoffel of Zwingli's writings are, however, entirely omitted); (2) Johann Caspar Moscikofer, Ulrich Zwingli nach den writundlichen Quellen, 2 parts, Leipele, 1869; (8) Rudolf Stachelin, Huldreich Zwingli. Sein Leben und Wirken, nach den Quellen derpesiellt, 2 vola., Basel, 1897 (generally accepted as the best). In English these are two works of high grade: (1) Samuel Macauley Jackson, Huldreich Zwingli, the Reformer of German Switzerland, New York, 1901 (with bibliographical introduction; 2d ed., revised 1903; like Stachelin Jackson draws directly from the correspondence as his chief source. He spent four years on the book and has enriched it with special contributions from John Martin Vincent on Switzerland before the Reformation and from Frank Hugh Foster on Zwingli's

from John Martin Vincent on Switzerland before the Reformation and from Frank Hugh Foster on Zwingli's theology). (2) Samuel Simpson, Life of Ulrich Zwingli, the Swise Pairiot and Reformer, New York, 1902 (more popular than Jackson's book but scholarly and independent).

For the study of Zwingli's theology at first hand there is nothing approaching M. Huldrolch Zwingli's edmusicial Schriften in Aussuge, 2 vols., Zurich, 1819. It is the work of Salomon Vocquiin, and presents Zwingli's teachings on all subjects systematically arranged under appropriate heads, by quoting his exact language. The only edition assessible to Vocquiin was that of 1881.

When the definitive edition is finished it would be work while to refer these quotations to it. The only thorough study of Zwingli's theology is by August Baur, Zwingli Theologie. Ihr Werden und ihr System, 2 vols., Helle, 1885–89 (gives far more than its title would indicate).

For the setting of the life of Zwingli see the contempe rary history by Heinrich Bullinger, who was Zvingi's successor, Reformationageschichte, 3 vols., Francicki, 1838-40; Egli's Actensonmilung zur Geschichte der Zucher noor-w; ngu's accessemmung zer Geschichte de Zuche Reformation in den Jahren 1519-33, Zurich, 1879; and Johann Strickler's Actessemmlung sur Schwitzeische Reformationsgeschichte in den Jahren 1521-32, in Asschluse en die gleichseitigen eidgenoesischen Abschich, 5 vola, Zurich, 1878-84 (give official records and over much erend)

much ground).

Emil Egil left in MS. an unfinished Schweizerisch Re-rmetions-Geschichte, upon which he had not world since 1902, and the first volume from 1519–25 was edited and earried through the press by Georg Finsler, Zuich, 1910. For all questions bearing on Zwingii and his time so Zwingilana. Mitthellungen sur Geschichte Zwinglis und der Refermation. Heromapsgeben son der Vereinigung für das Zwinglümuseum in Zwirich, Zurich, 1897 sqq., publishet semiannually. Many of the German treatises wer transferred into modern literary German by R. Christofiel Jackson in his Zwingli, and in his Selections from Zwing (Philadelphia, 1901), edited several German and Lati translations, and has announced for 1912 the first volume a translation of the Latin works and of the correspondence Zwingli, together with selections from his German work

(END OF VOL. XIL

APPENDIX

WALDENSIAN AID SOCIETY: on, having its headquarters at 213 sixth Street, New York City, was ider the laws of the State of New 6, "To collect funds and apply the lof the Waldensian Church in Italy in its evangelistic, institutional, and its, . . . and to arouse and maintain hout the United States in the work ind otherwise to aid the said Walden-It is governed by a board of twenty-welve of whom are chosen from New vicinity and twelve from the various branches are located.

ation has now twenty-five branch various cities of the United States affiliated with it, and twenty-two out the country, which are aiding in ill become legalized branches of the ration.

ised by the society pay the salaries Protestant pastors in Italy and aid tion of churches and schoolhouses. sining of the Italian in the ways and country has a very beneficial influan immigrants coming to our shores. aldenses about 100 Protestant Italive been founded in America. The ensian Aid Society is helping to supmission, and a bureau to care for the of the incoming and outgoing Italis now in contemplation as a depart-ganization.

tain there is a similar organization ses, which publishes as its organ A y, a periodical under the editorship Bibson.

HERMAN NORTON: Congrega-Auburn, N. Y., Dec. 5, 1826; d. at n.n.w. of Diarbekr), Turkish Ar-1910. He was educated at Amherst nd Andover Theological Seminary er being missionary-at-large in Ver-3 and traveling for a year (1857-58) ted with the American Board of for Foreign Missions, with which he his death. His main activity, apart I missionary duties, was teaching in gical Seminary and in Euphrates same city, and it was due in great firm attitude during the threatened trees of Nov., 1895, that no actual he Armenians of Harput.

I, JOHN CHARLES: English solnary to the Waldenses (q.v.); b. at Scotia, Oct. 2, 1789; d. at his villa,

La Torre, in the Piedmont valleys, July 19, 1862. He served in Denmark, Portugal, Spain, France, and the Netherlands, but at Waterloo he lost a leg, and, although promoted lieutenant-colonel, was debarred from active service, retiring in 1820 on half pay. In 1827 he chanced to look into a book on the Waldenses, and became so interested in them that he removed to Italy and took the villa in which he resided for the remainder of his life. His two endeavors were to raise the educational standard of the Waldenses and to revive their uncompromising Protestantism, and to him is due the foundation of no less than 120 schools throughout the valleys of the Piedmont. In recognition of his services Charles Albert of Sardinia created him a knight of St. Maurice and St. Lazarus in 1848, two years after he had been promoted major-general in the English service. His memory is still held in deep respect by the people whose condition he so successfully sought to elevate.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: J. P. Meille, General Beckwith: His Life and Labours among the Waldenses of Piedmont, London, 1873; DNB, iv. 89-90.

BERGSON, HENRI-LOUIS: French philosopher; b. in Paris Oct. 18, 1859. He was educated at the Lycée Condorcet and the École normale supérieure (Litt.D., 1889), and was professor of philosophy at the lyceums of Angers (1881-83) and of Clermont (1883-88), also conducting courses in the university of the latter city. He was then a professor at the Collège Rollin (1888-89) and the Lycée Henri IV. (1889-97), and a lecturer at the Ecole normale supérieure (1897–1900). Since 1900 he has been professor of modern philosophy at the Collège de France, in 1901 being elected a member of the Académie des sciences morales et politiques. In his teaching he belongs to the idealistic school, and he maintains that life can be accounted for only on the hypothesis of a mysterious superconsciousness. In man alone is consciousness able to overcome the limitations imposed by matter, and this fact not only explains the essential freedom of the human mind. but also gives ground for a scientific basis of belief in immortality. He thus opposes strongly the materialistic philosophy and the crasser forms of the theory of evolution, at the same time avoiding the vagueness of extreme idealism of the older type.

The principal writings of Bergson are Extraits de Lucréce (Paris, 1884); Essai sur les données immédiates de la conscience (1889, 2d ed., 1898; Eng. transl. by F. L. Pogson, Time and Free Will, London, 1910); Quid Aristoteles de loco senserit (1890); Matière et mémoire (1896; Eng. transl. by N. M. Paul and W. S. Palmer, Matter and Memory, New York, 1911); Le Rire; Essai sur la signification du comique (1900; Eng. transl., Laughter; an Essay on the Meaning of the Comic, New York, 1911); and

L'Évolution créatrice (1907; Eng. transl. by A. Mitchell, Creative Evolution, New York, 1911).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: J. Solomon, Bergson, Edinburgh, 1911; W. Durban, "The Philosophy of Henri Bergson," in Homiletic Review, lxiii (1912), 20-23.

BOSSERT, GUSTAV: German Lutheran; b. at Täbingen (a village near Rottweil, 30 m. s.w. of Tübingen) Oct. 21, 1841. After being vicar at Dürrmenz, Mühlacker (1864-67), during which time he made a tour of northern Germany, Holland, and Belgium, he taught Hebrew at the gymnasium of Heilbronn and religion in the Ober-Realschule in the same town until 1869. From that year until 1888 he was pastor in Bächlingen, near Langenburg, being also editor of the Zeitschrift des historischen Vereins für Württemberg-Franken in 1878-88 and assistant editor of the Württembergischer Vierteljahrsheft in 1879-1888. From 1888 until his retirement from active life in 1907 he was pastor in Nabern, and in 1894 he was a delegate to the district synod. Among his writings special mention may be made of his Wurttemberg und Janssen (2 parts, Halle, 1882-85), Eberhard im Bart (Stuttgart, 1884), and Der Interim in Württemberg (Halle, 1895).

CABROL, FERNAND MICHAEL: Roman Catholic historian and archeologist; b. at Marseilles, France, Dec. 11, 1855. He received his education at the Institut Belzunce, petit séminaire, and grand séminaire, all at Marseilles, and at the abbey of Solesmes (1878); was professor of ecclesiastical history in the theological school at Solesmes, 1879-90; of ecclesiastical literature at the Catholic University of Angers, 1892-95, being also prior during 1890-95; prior of Farnborough, Hampshire, England, 1895-1903; and abbot of Farnborough since 1903. has been vice-president of the Plainsong and Medieval Music Society since 1901, and in 1908 was president of the French section of the Eucharistic Congress. He is the author of Bibliographie des Bénédictines de la congrégation de France (Solesmes, 1889); Histoire du Cardinal Pitra (Paris, 1893); Étude sur la Peregrinatio Silvia; les églises de Jérusalem; la discipline et la liturgie au iv. siècle (1893); Le Livre de la prière antique; étude de liturgie (1900; 4th ed., 1910); La Devotion liturgique à la Sainte Vièrge (1905); Les Origines liturgiques (1906); and is editing with H. Leclercq Monumenta ecclesia liturgica (1900 sqq.) and the important Dictionnaire d'archéologie chrétienne et de liturgie (1903 sqq.). Not the least important of his work is contained in such journals as La Science catholique, Revue du clergé français, Revue des questions historiques, Revue d'archéologie chrétienne et de liturgie, and Revue des facultés catholiques, to which he has made valuable contributions in his chosen line of Christian antiquities and liturgies.

DAVIES, BENJAMIN: Welsh Baptist and Hebrew scholar; b. at Llanboidy (12 m. w. of Carmarthen), Carmarthenshire, Feb. 26, 1814; d. at Frome (a suburb of London) July 19, 1875. He was educated at the Bristol Baptist College, and the universities of Dublin, Glasgow, Halle, and Leipsic (Ph.D., 1838). From 1838 to 1844 he was president of the Baptist College, Montreal, Canada, regining on account of his open-communion views,

which brought him into conflict with the governors of the college. He was then president of the Baptist College, Regent's Park, London, for two years, but in 1846 he returned to the Baptist College at Montreal as professor of Hebrew, a position which he exchanged in 1852 for the professorship of classics in McGill University, Montreal. During this period he continued his Hebrew studies, winning the reputation of being, with one possible exception, the best Hebraist of his time on the American continent. In 1857 Davies returned to Regent's Park as professor of classic Hebrew and Old-Testament literature, retaining this post until his death. In his early years he was a popular preacher in Welsh and English, but later he lost this popularity; though slow of speech, his knowledge was encyclopedic, and he had in a very rare degree the teacher's instinct and the power of winning the esteem and affection of his pupils.

Much of Davies' literary work was done in collaboration with others and published anonymously. It is known, however, that he wrote the introductions and notes for most of the Old-Testament books in the Annotated Paragraph Bible (London, 1850-57), and he edited and greatly improved E. Robinson's Harmony of the Gospels (1878), besides editing Vergil, Homer, and other classic authors. But his chief work was in the domain of Hebrew. He translated, enlarged, corrected, and annotated several editions of F. W. H. Gesenius' Hebrew Grammar (1846-80), and in 1871 published at London his Compendious and Complete Hebrew and Chaldee Lexicon to the Old Testament with an English-Hebrew Index, chiefly founded on the Works of Gesenius and Fürst, with Improvements from Dieterich and other Sources, which, until the publication of the Oxford Hebrew Lexicon in 1906, was the most accurate, upto-date, and valuable in the English language. Though so profound a scholar, Davies was a very simple, devout Christian, and had it not been for his excessive modesty, which led him to prefer to produce anonymously, much other literary work would have been known as his.

T. WITTON DAVIES.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: T. W. Davies in Nottingham Free Church
Record, May-June, 1898, and Soren Gomer (Welsh), May,
1898; The Baptist, July 30, 1875; Baptist Handbook

EDDY, MARY BAKER:* Discoverer and founder of Christian Science (see Science, Christian); b. at Bow, N. H., July 16, 1821; d. at Newton, Mass., Dec. 3, 1910. Her parents were Mark and Abigail Ambrose Baker, and she numbered among her ancestors a member of the Provincial Congress and soldiers in the War of the Revolution. and soldiers in the War of the Revolution. She was educated at an academy at Tilton, N. H., and by private tutors, among whom was her brother, Albert Baker, a graduate of Dartmouth and a member of the New Hampshire legislature. As a young woman, Mrs. Eddy was delicate and markedly individual. During her middle life she was a confirmed invalid, until the healing incident occurred which ushered her to the threshold of Christian Science. In 1843 she married Major

^{*} Statement from the Christian Science Committee on Publication of the First Church, Boston.

George W. Glover, a contractor, of Charleston, S. C., and removed with him to that city, where she was left a widow in June, 1844. She returned to New Hampshire, where her only child, George Washington Glover, was born. In 1853 she maried Daniel Patterson, from whom she was diorced in 1873, on the ground of desertion. In her earch for health Mrs. Eddy went in 1863 to Portand, Me., to consult P. P. Quimby, a magnetic ealer. Mrs. Eddy was temporarily benefited, but ater had a relapse. In 1866 she recovered from an ccident, which was the immediate cause of her disovery of Christian Science. A fall on the ice reulted in severe internal injuries. In her extremity Ars. Eddy turned to her Bible and was healed. In .877 she married Dr. Asa G. Eddy, one of her early tudents in Christian Science, who died in 1882. The text-book of Christian Science, Science and Health with Key to the Scriptures, was published by Mrs. Eddy at Boston in 1875. In 1881 Mrs. Eddy chartered the Massachusetts Metaphysical College in Boston. The charter for the First Christian Science Church was obtained in June, 1879; and in that year Mrs. Eddy was called to become its pastor. Mrs. Eddy founded, and for a long time edited. The Christian Science Journal, a monthly magazine. Mrs. Eddy's principal works are: People's Idea of Fod (1886); Christian Healing (1886); Unity of Food (1887); Retrospection and Introspection (1891); Vo and Yes (1891); Christ and Christmas (1893); Pulpit and Press (1895); Church Manual (1895); Miscellaneous Writings (1897); Christian Science ersus Pantheism (1898); and Message to the Mother Thurch (1900-02). EUGENE R. Cox.

SIBLIOGRAPHY: A. Brisbane, Mary Baker G. Eddy, Boston, 1908; Sybil Wilbur, Life of Mary Baker Eddy, New York, 1908.

EUCKEN, CHRISTIAN RUDOLF: German Protestant philosopher and the leading exponent of modern German idealism; b. at Aurich (60 m. 1.w. of Bremen) Jan 5, 1846. He was educated at he universities of Göttingen and Berlin (1863-67), and after teaching in a gymnasium until 1871 was called to Basel as professor of philosophy, whence ne was transferred, in 1874, to his present position as professor of the same subject at Jena. He has written Die Methode der aristotelischen Forschung in ihrem Zusammenhang mit den philosophischen Grundprincipien des Aristoteles (Berlin, 1872): Geschichte und Kritik der Grundbegriffe der Gegenwart (Leipsic, 1878; 4th ed., Geistige Strömungen der Gegenwart, 1909; Eng. transl. by M. S. Phelps, Fundamental Concepts of Modern Philosophic Thought, New York, 1880); Geschichte der philosophischen Terminologie (1879); Prolegomena zu Forschungen über die Einheit des Geisteslebens in Bewusstsein und That der Menschheit (1885); Beiträge zur Geschichte der neueren Philosophie, vornehmlich der deutschen (Heidelberg, 1886; 2d ed., Beiträge zur Einführung in die Geschichte der Philosophie, Leipsic, 1906); Einheit des Geisteslebens in Bewusstsein und That der Menschheit (Leipsic, 1888); Lebansanschauungen der grossen Denker (1890; 8th ed., 1909; Eng. transl., W. S. Hough and W. R. B. Gibson, Problem of Human Life as Viewed by the Great Thinkers, New York, 1909); Kampf um einen geistlichen

Lebensinhalt (1896; 2d ed., 1907); Wahrheitsgehalt der Religion (1901; 2d ed., 1905; Eng. transl., The Truth of Religion, New York, 1911); Gesammelte Aussätze zu Philosophie und Lebensanschauung (1903); Grundlinien einer neuen Lebensanschauung (1907); Hauptprobleme der Religionsphilosophie der Gegenwart (Berlin, 1907; 3d ed., 1909); Sinn und Wert des Lebens (Leipsic, 1908; 3d ed., 1910; Eng. transl., Meaning and Value of Life, New York, 1909); and Einführung in eine Philosophie des Geisteslebens (1908; Eng. transl., F. L. Pogson, Life of the Spirit, New York, 1909).

EVJEN, JOHN OLUF: Lutheran; b. at Ishpeming, Mich., Dec. 13, 1874. He was educated at Augsburg Seminary, Minneapolis, Minn. (B.A., 1895), the theological seminary of the same institution (1898), and the University of Leipsic (Ph.D., 1903), and after being for a short time a pastor at Muskegon and Grand Rapids, Mich., was successively acting professor of church history at the United Church Theological Seminary, St. Paul, Minn. (1903-05), and professor of Biblical history in Pennsylvania College, Gettysburg, Pa. (1905-1909). Since 1909 he has been professor of theology in Augsburg Seminary, Minneapolis. He has written Die Staatsumwälzung in Dänemark im Jahre 1660 (Leipsic, 1904), Scandinavia and the Book of Concord (Gettysburg, Pa., 1906), Et Kapitel fra Symbolforpligtelsens Historie (Minneapolis, 1911), and Lutheran Germany and the Book of Concord (1911).

FELICITAS: The name of two early Christian martyrs and saints.

1. Roman matron; martyred either during the reign of Marcus Aurelius or during that of Antoninus Pius. Her day was Nov. 23 as early as the sixth century, when Gregory the Great delivered an oration in the basilica above her tomb, this tomb being rediscovered in 1884. According to tradition equally old, she suffered martyrdom together with her seven sons, who are represented with her in a seventh-century fresco in her tomb; but there is reason to believe that between the seventh and ninth centuries confusion arose between these seven fellow martyrs of Felicitas and seven other martyrs (Januarius, Felix, Philippus, Silvanus, Alexander, Vitalis, and Martialis), whose day has been kept at least since the time of the Depositio Martyrum (middle of the fourth century) on July 10, and who came to be regarded as the sons of Felicitas.

2. Carthaginian slave; martyred with Perpetua (q.v.) Mar. 7, 202 or 203. Throughout the account of her passion she is a secondary figure to Perpetua, the chief detail recorded being that, in answer to her prayers, she was enabled to give premature birth to her child (who was adopted by a Christian woman) two days before the time set for her martyrdom, since otherwise she would not have been allowed to be thrown to the beasts as were Perpetua, Revocatus, Saturus, and Saturninus.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: On 1, the early Vita with Passio, Acta, and commentary are in ASB, July, iii. 5-28. Consult further on 1: B. Aubé, Hist. des persécutions de l'église jusqu'à la fin des Antonins, pp. 439-440, Paris, 1875; idem, Les Actes de SS. Félicité . . . et des leurs compagnons, p. 90, ib. 1881; J. B. Lightfoot, Apostolic Fathers, i. 498-499,

London, 1885; P. Allard, Hist. des Persécutions, vol. ii., Paris, 1886; E. Egli, Altchristliche Studien, pp. 91-98, Zurich, 1887; J. Führer, Ein Beitrag zur Lösung der Felicitas-Frage, Freising, 1890; idem, Zum Felicitasfrage, Leipsic, 1894; K. J. Neumann, Der römische Staat und die allgemeine Kirche, i. 294, ib. 1890; K. Kunstle, Hagiographische Studien über die Passio S. Felicitatis, Paderborn, 1894; Neander, Christian Church, i. 123-124; DCB, ii. 478.

On 2, DCB, ii. 478; Schaff, Christian Church, ii. 58.

FITZRALPH, RICHARD: Archbishop of Armagh; b. at Dundalk (45 m. n. by w. of Dublin), County Louth, toward the end of the thirteenth century; d., probably at Avignon, presumably Nov. 16, 1360. He was educated at Oxford, where he became fellow of Balliol, and where, about 1333, he seems to have been commissary (i.e., vice-chancellor), or, more probably, chancellor, of the university. On July 10, 1334, he was collated chancellor of Lincoln Cathedral, and soon afterward seems to have become archdeacon of Chester, while on Apr. 20, 1337, he was installed dean of Lichfield, and on July 8, 1347, was consecrated archbishop of Armagh at Exeter.

Fitzralph made throughout his life repeated visits to Avignon, and sermons are still extant in manuscript preached by him before the pope in 1335, 1338, 1341, 1342, and 1344; nor is it impossible that during this period he actually resided at Avignon for some time. In 1349 he was again in Avignon, in connection with the jubilee commanded by Edward III. for 1350, and it was probably then that the archbishop became involved in the negotiations pending between the pope and the Armenians who desired to be reconciled to the Roman obedience. Fitzralph was present at the negotiations with the Armenian envoys at Avignon, and in his nineteen books entitled Summa in quastionibus Armenorum (of which only the first book, Summa de erroribus Armenorum, was printed, ed. J. Sudoris, Paris, 1511) formally refuted the 117 heresies which they were required to abjure before their request could be granted. In the same year he became involved in a controversy far more disquieting to him, when he presented to the pope a remonstrance of the English secular clergy against the regulars. Hitherto he had been the friend of Franciscan and Dominican alike, as well as of the parish clergy, but even after his return to Ireland the opposition of the regulars was still potent, and in 1357 Fitzralph was cited to appear at Avignon. Many of his views he had already set forth in his De pauperie Salvatoris (the first four books of which have been edited by R. L. Poole in his edition of Wyclif's De dominio divino, London, 1890), and in a sermon before the papal court, Nov. 8, 1357, he still further defended his position in his Defensio curatorum contra eos qui privilegiatos se dicunt (Louvain, 1475 [?]; in Fasciculus rerum expetendarum et fugiendarum, ed. E. Brown, ii. 466-487, London, 1690; and often), maintaining that monastic mendicancy was incompatible with the teachings of Christ, and holding that the privileges of the regular clergy were inimical to the interests of the secular. The result was indeterminate. The friars were not directly molested, and the archbishop was commanded to keep silent. On the other hand, he evidently lost none of the papal favor, and the English clergy were directed to provide moneys for his support during his residence at Avignon, where he seems to have re-mained until his death. His preference for Avignon may have been due in part to the fact that the Engiish king was opposed to him because he was considered to presume on the papal approval of him. Accordingly, in Nov., 1349, the king forbade the archiepiscopal cross to be borne before Fitzralph, and in Feb., 1350, the same monarch sought to have Fitzralph's claims to supremacy over the see of Dublin disallowed, while in 1357 the archbishop was forbidden to leave the country without express permission, a prohibition that was, however, almost immediately revoked. At the same time, he enjoyed the affection of his people, for the government was forced to interfere because of riots arising from the attempts to deprive Fitzralph of his rights.

Some ten years after the archbishop's death his remains were said to have been taken to the church of St. Nicholas at Dundalk, and within twenty years it was popularly believed that miracles were performed at his tomb. Nevertheless, the commission appointed by Boniface IV. (between 1400 and 1404) to examine his claims to canonization came to no conclusion. Besides the works already mentioned, Fitzralph was the author of many sermons (e.g., the collection De laudibus Mariæ Avenioni) and letters, as well as of the more permanent Lectura sententiarum, Quæstiones sententiarum, Lectura theologia, De statu universalis Ecclesia, De peccato ignorantiæ, De vafritiis Judæorum, Dialogus de rebus ad sanctam scripturam pertinentibus, and Vita Sancti abbatis, most of which are still Manchini unedited.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: DNB, xix. 194-198 (which should be consulted for the early authorities on which the individual statements are made); J. Prince, Worthies of Deson, pp. 294 sqq.. Exeter, 1701. Autobiographic material is found in his own writings, especially in the Defensio curatorum.

GOOD, JEREMIAH HAAK: Reformed; b. at Rehrersberg, Pa., Nov. 23, 1822; d. at Tiffin, 0., Jan. 25, 1888. He was educated at Marshall College, Mercersburg, Pa. (B.A., 1843) and Mercersburg Theological Seminary (1846). After two years as pastor at Lancaster, O., he became, in 1848, editor of The Western Missionary, of which he was also the founder, and from 1850 to 1869 was professor of mathematics in Heidelberg College, Tiffin, O. From 1869 until his death he was professor of systematic theology in the Reformed theological seminary in the same city. He was one of the prime movers in the founding of both these institutions. In the liturgical controversy in his denomination he was a leader, together with J. H. A. Bomberger (q.v.), against elaborate services; and he was likewise a member of the committee appointed to harmonize the differences within the Reformed Church, later becoming a member of the liturgical committee which completed the peace. Besides editing The Reformed Church Hymnal (Cleveland, O., 1878, and many later editions), he wrote The Heidelberg Catechism, Newly Arranged (Tiffin, 1879, and often), The Children's Catechism (1881 and often), Prayer-Book and Aids to Private Devotion (1881), and The Church-Member's Handbook (1882).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: K. Gützlaff's Leben und Heimgang, Berlin, 1851; G. R. Erdbrink, Gütelaff, de Apostel der Chinezen, Rotterdam, 1850.

HAMPDEN-COOK, ERNEST: English Congregationalist; b. in London Mar. 11, 1860. He was educated at University College, London (B.A., 1881), Owens College, and Lancashire Independent College, Manchester, and St. John's College, Cambridge (B.A., 1885), and, besides being resident secretary of Mill Hill School, London (1891-96), has held Congregational pastorates at Cricklewood, London (1886-87), Thames Goldfield, New Zealand (1887-89), Broken Hills Silver Mines, New South Wales (1889-90), Dolgelley, Wales (1897-1900), and Sandbach, Cheshire (since 1900). Theologically he is a broad Evangelical and believes in three personal advents of Christ, holding that the second took place in 70 A.D., and that there is a third yet to come, death being meanwhile to the individual the coming of the Lord. Besides editing and partly revising The New Testament in Modern Speech (London, 1894; 3d ed., 1909) of R. F. Weymouth (q.v.) and being one of the translators of the Pauline epistles in The Twentieth Century New Testament (1900), he has compiled Register of Mill Hill School, London, from 1807 (1894) and written The Christ has Come: The Second Advent an Event of the Past (1894; 3d ed., 1904).

HEINZE, FRANZ FRIEDRICH MAXIMILIAN: German Lutheran, philosopher; b. at Priessnitz (a village near Borna, 16 m. s.s.e. of Leipsic) Dec. 13, 1835; d. at Leipsic Sept. 17, 1909. He was educated at the universities of Leipsic, Tübingen, Erlangen, Halle, and Berlin (1854-60; Ph.D., Berlin, 1860), and after teaching in Schulpforta (1860-1863) and being the instructor of the present grand duke of Oldenburg and his brother, became, in 1872, privat-docent in Leipsic. In 1874 he was called to Basel as professor, but the next year, after a few months at Königsberg, was transferred in a similar capacity to Leipsic, where he passed the remainder of his life. He was prochancellor of the university in 1877-88, dean of the philosophical faculty in 1880-81, and rector of the university in 1883-84. He was one of the contributors to the Hauck-Herzog RE, and also wrote Die Lehre vom Logos in der griechischen Philosophie (Oldenburg, 1872), Der Eudâmonismus in der griechischen Philosophie, i. (Leipsic, 1883), and Vorlesungen Kants über Metaphysik aus drei Semestern (1894), besides editing the fifth to the tenth editions of F. Ueberweg's Grundriss der Geschichte der Philosophie (Berlin, 1876-1907) and being one of the editors of the Vierteljahrsschrift für wissenschaftliche Philosophie.

HODGES, GEORGE: Protestant Episcopalian; b. at Rome, N. Y., Oct. 6, 1856. He was educated at Hamilton College (B.A., 1877) and was ordained to the priesthood in 1882. After being successively curate (1881-89) and rector (1889-94) of Calvary Church, Pittsburg, Pa., he became, in 1894, dean of the Episcopal Theological School at Cambridge, Mass., the position which he still holds. He has written The Episcopal Church (New York, 1889); Christianity between Sundays (1892); The Heresy of Cain (1894); This Present World

(1896); Faith and Social Service (1896); The Battles of Peace (1897); The Path of Life (1899); William Penn (Boston, 1900); Fountains Abbey (London, 1904); The Human Nature of the Saints (New York, 1904); The Cross and Passion (1904); When the King Came (Boston, 1904); Three Hundred Years of the Episcopal Church in America (Philadelphin, 1906); The Administration of an Institutional Church (in collaboration with J. Reichert; New York, 1906); The Happy Family (1906); The Pursuit of Happiness (1906); The Year of Grace (1907); Holderness: Account of the Beginnings of a New Hampshire Town (Boston, 1907); Apprenticeship of Washington (New York, 1909); The Garden of Eden (Boston, 1909); The Training of Children in Religion (New York, 1911); and Everyman's Religion (1911).

HOEFFDING, HARALD: Danish philosopher; b. at Copenhagen Mar. 11, 1843. He was educated at the university of his native city (cand. theol., 1865; Ph.D., 1870), and, after teaching in schools for several years, became, in 1880, privat-docent for philosophy at the University of Copenhagen, where he has been full professor of the same subject since 1883. Much influenced in his earlier years by S. A. Kierkegaard (q.v.), Höffding later turned to Positivism (q.v.). Among his writings the most noteworthy are Philosophien i Tydskland efter Hegel (Copenhagen, 1872), Den engelske Filosofi i vor Tid (1874), Etik (1876), Spinozas Liv og Lare (1877), Psychologi i Omrids (1882; Eng. transl. by M. E. Lowndes, Outlines of Psychology, London, 1891), Psychologiske Undersögelser (1889), Charles Darwin (1889), Sören Kierkegaard som Filosof (1892), Kontinuiteten i Kants filosofiske Udviklingsgang (1893), Den nyere Filosofis Historie (1894; Eng. transl. by B. E. Meyer, History of Modern Philosophy, 2 vols., London, 1900), Jean Jacques Rousseau of hans Filosofi (1896), Det psykologiske Grundlag for logiske Domme (1899), Mindre Arbejder (1899), and Philosophische Probleme (Leipsic, 1903; Eng. transl. by G. M. Fisher, Problems of Philosophy, London, 1906), and he is likewise the author of Philosophy of Religion (1901; Eng. transl. by B. E. Meyer, London, 1906), Modern Philosophers (1903), and Human Thought (1910).

ILLINGWORTH, RICHARDSON: JOHN Church of England; b. in London June 26, 1848. He was educated at Christ Church, Oxford (B.A., 1871), and was ordered deacon in 1875 and priested in the following year. From 1872 to 1883 he was fellow of Jesus College, Oxford, and tutor of Keble College in the same university, and since the latter year he has been rector of Longworth, Berkshire, as well as honorary canon of Christ Church, Oxford, since 1905. He was select preacher at Oxford in 1882 and 1891 and at Cambridge in 1884 and 1895, and was Bampton lecturer in 1894. Besides two essays in Charles Gore's Lux Mundi (London, 1890), he has written Sermons Preached in a College Chapel (London, 1888), University and Cathedral Sermons (1893), Personality, Human and Divine (Bampton lectures, 1894), Divine Immanence (1898), Reason and Revelation (1902), Christian Character (1904), The Doctrine of the Trinity (1907), and Divine Transcendence and its Reflection in Religious Authority (1911). JACKSON, SAMUEL MACAULEY: Editor-chief of this Encyclopedia; b. in New York City ne 19, 1851. He was graduated from the College the City of New York (1870) and Union Theorical Seminary (1873); was Presbyterian pastor Norwood, N. J., 1876–80; and has since been gaged in literary work. He is honorary fellow of e Huguenot Society of London, president of the ard of trustees of the Christian College of Canton, ina, and president elect (1912) of the American ciety of Church History.

KALOPOTHAKES, MICHAEL DEMETRIUS: reek Protestant; b. at Areopolis (27 m. s. of arta), Laconia, Dec. 20, 1825; d. at Athens June, 1911. He came of a family of considerable discition, and at the age of ten entered a school lich had recently been established at Aeropolis by o American Presbyterian missionaries, G. W. yburn and S. Houston, where he formed the habit daily reading and study of the Bible. He then ent two years (1841-43) in the gymnasium at

Athens, and on graduation was for five years head master of an intermediate md Conscision to five years of study in the medical school of the University of Athens (M.D., 1853), Kalopothakes entered the army as a surgeon. In 1850 he

d become a regular attendant at the services concted by the American missionary Jonas King v.), and when King was condemned judicially r attacking the Greek Church by publishing exacts from the Greek Church Fathers against the orship of the saints and of the Virgin, Kalopothes, hitherto a member of the Orthodox Church, It himself unable to remain connected with a comunion which could countenance such a course on e part of the government. He accordingly deterined to devote himself to the cause of religious erty in Greece, and, after taking the regular course Union Theological Seminary, New York City, he as ordained by the East Hanover Presbytery of irginia in 1857 and returned to Greece to take up s life-work.

Perceiving that the only way of beginning his sk would be through the press, to which the Greek nstitution allows wide scope, Kalopothakes demined to found a religious paper which should and for entire liberty of conscience, and be the sans of disseminating Protestant doctrines among far wider circle than could be reached by pulpiteaching. He accordingly established, in 1858, e weekly (now fortnightly) "Star of the East," which, by reason of its criticisms of

which, by reason of its criticisms of ide Scope the established church, exposed its ediof his tor for nearly two decades to the most activities.

From 1859 until his retirement from tive life in 1904 Kalopothakes was also Greek ent for the British and Foreign Bible Society, d for a few years he held a similar position under e American Bible Society until it withdrew from reece in 1886. In this capacity Kalopothakes aveled widely throughout the country, often in unsiderable personal danger, but he succeeded in

establishing a system of colporteurs, whom he superintended for forty-five years. This circulation of the Scriptures Kalopothakes regarded as of paramount importance for the regeneration of Greece, yet he also saw the necessity of the dissemination of religious tracts and books to impress upon the people the duty of studying and obeying the Bible. In this work he received invaluable assistance from the Religious Tract Society of London, and besides this phase of his activity he found time to publish not only several volumes of his own sermons and a long series of "Children's Special Service Mission leaflets, but also translations of such works as Butler's Analogy, Bunyan's Pilgrim's Progress, and A. A. Hodge's Outlines of Theology, while from 1868 to 1894 he was also editor of the illustrated monthly "Child's Paper," of which he had been the founder.

Previous to 1864 Kalopothakes did not preach, his time being too fully occupied by his work in publication. In 1860 he opened the first Sunday-school in Greece, to which even children of Orthodox parents came until, eight weeks later, the school was mobbed, after which only the

His Work children of the few Greek Protestants as a Pastor. then in Athens were received. During

this period a small group of Protestants formed about him, their meetings being held in King's house until King discontinued his preaching in 1864; while from that year until 1871 they met in Kalopothakes' house, where he and George Constantine, the second Greek to enter the Protestant ministry, preached alternately. Kalopothakes had at first conducted his work independently of any missionary society, although small contributions were given him by the American and Foreign Christian Union and by the Virginian Synod of the Presbyterian Church of the United States. From the outbreak of the American Civil War until 1872 the American and Foreign Missionary Union supported his work, the Southern Presbyterian Church carrying it on from that year until 1886.

It was, however, the desire of Kalopothakes that the Greek Protestant churches should be self-supporting, and in 1886, after four organizations—at Athens, Piræus, Volo, and Janina—had been formed, the Greek Evangelical Synod was constituted, the church at Salonica being added in 1893. Since 1886, therefore, Greek Protestant work has been carried on by this synod, with the aid of friends in England

and the United States; and in 1894, Results of that he might be free for the manifold his Labors. activities demanded by the synod,

Kalopothakes resigned the pastorate of the Athens church, which he had established in 1870. This work for the synod, together with his labors for the Bible Society and the publication department, occupied him until his death, and in his closing years he could see Greek Protestants possessed of a constitutional guaranty of freedom of worship and speech, this arousing the Orthodox Church to renewed activity to counteract the influences of Protestantism. Kalopothakes likewise had intense sympathy with the poor and suffering. He was connected for years with various philanthropic societies, such as the Parnassos Club for newsboys; he was one of the founders of the Greek Society for

e Prevention of Cruelty to Animals; he habitually sited prisoners, to whom he was often permitted preach; and during the Cretan insurrection of 56-69 he not only assisted in relieving the Cretan ugees, but he also established in the suburbs of hens schools for thousands of refugee children.

DEMETRIUS KALOPOTHAKES.

KRUMBACHER, KARL: German scholar of zantine and modern Greek literature; b. at Kürich (a hamlet near Würzburg) Sept. 23, 1856; d. Munich Dec. 12, 1909. He was educated at the niversities of Munich and Leipsic, and from 1879 1892 was teacher in a gymnasium in Munich, it in the latter year was appointed associate prossor of Byzantine and modern Greek at the Unirsity of Munich, being promoted to the full prosorship five years later. He is especially noted his great Geschichte der byzantinischen Literatur munich, 1890; 2d ed., 1 aL his founding 2, supple-98. He is, the Byzantinische Zeus ented by the Byzantinisches A leed, one of the few figures c. ence in the d of Byzantine research that ny has yet oduced. Among his other w most noteorthy are Griechische Reise 36), Studien den Legenden des heilige 18 (Mu 2), Mittelgriechische (1893),blem der neugriechis ache (190 scellen zu Romanos (13 opulāre At e (Leipsic, 1909).

LAY PREA

Lay preaching, commonly described in Great Britain as local preaching, is voluntary unpaid pulpit-service, or open-air or cottage evangelism, by men, sometimes women, who are commissioned by their denomination to preach, after undergoing a

certain examinational test, but with1. Condi- out receiving ordination. There is
tions in the Old-Testament justification for lay
Primitive preaching in Moses' wish that "all
Church. the Lord's people were prophets"

(Num. xi. 29), and in the free operation of the prophetic spirit, which sent out such men as Hosea, Micah, and Amos, though they did not belong to the priestly order. The New-Testament justification is in the facts that Christ himself received no ecclesiastical commission, neither did any of his disciples, while Paul claimed to have received his commission not from the hands of men, but direct from Christ himself (Gal. i. 1). Advocates of lay preaching claim that in the apostolic churches there was no distinction between clergy and laity, but that the members of the church were expected to exercise whatever evangelistic or teaching gift they possessed. It must be remembered

that the first Christian churches were largely "churches in the house," nor did the idea of a pastorate church arise until the necessity for pastonl oversight became urgent, as the churches increased in membership and perfected their organization. During that primitive period the churches were dependent on the prophetic gift of such members as possessed it, and the clerical order gradually evolved itself to meet the need of continuous specialized oversight, while the development of dogma and the combat with multiplying heresies strengthened the idea of an ordained clergy commissioned to teach what the Church, as a whole, held to be the fundamentals of the faith. The clergy took on increasingly a sacerdotal character, and the dogmatism and the sacerdotalism, together, told against the continuance of lay evangelism. There was always the possibility that the lay preacher, unskilled in theological polemic and with undisciplined enthusiasm, might commit himself to dangerous positions, playing into the hands of the heretical sects and leading the people astray. The "liberty of prophesying" was checked, and by the middle of the second century it is probable that lay evangelism, except in missionary fields, was almost abandoned.

In the middle of the second century, however, the Montanist movement in Asia Minor led to a revival of enthusiastic lay preaching (see Mortanus, Montanism). The Montanists laid the greatest stress on the inspiration, by the Holy

Spirit, of believing men and women

2. Decay without distinction, and without regard
of Lay to any authorized clerical channels.

Preaching Montanus associated with himself two
prophetesses, and the enthusiasm of
the sect generated a host of preachages. ers who gave prominence to the concepts of the dignity of the universal

Christian calling and the royal priesthood of all Christians. With many extravagances, Montanism was the precursor of Puritanism and non-conformity, especially in the place which non-conformity has given to lay evangelism. With the downfall of the Roman Empire and the adoption of Latin, fast becoming a dead language, as the language in which the Bible was to be read and liturgies to be performed, lay preaching became more and more impossible. The ministry demanded a scholastic training; liturgical practise usurped the place of preaching; and the layman was reduced to the position of a submissive hearer. Yet throughout the Middle Ages the lay preacher sprang up sporadically and had a hearing, for he at least could talk to the people in their own tongue, and whenever there was a movement of spiritual revival there was a respectance of lay preaching.

The leaders of all the medieval revivals recognized the value of the lay preacher. St. Francis of Assisi's Minorites were laymen, and throughout Europe they traveled, artizans most of them, who earned their living by working at their trades. Francis founded also his order of Tertiaries, or Brothers and Sisters of Penitence, who made their direct appeal to the working classes whence they sprang, finding their flocks in the slums and hovels of over-

growded cities and neglected suburbs (see Francis, SAINT, OF ASSISI, AND THE FRANCISCAN ORDER). The same revival of lay preaching took 3. Medieval place in Germany for two centuries and Pre- preceding the Reformation. The Breth-Reforms- ren of the Common Life (see COMMON

LIFE, BRETHREN OF THE) was founded tion with the double object of a return to simplicity of Christian living and

of evangelism of "the common people"; the Brothers united in communities, and worked at their various trades. They were laymen, trained to preach in the vulgar tongue, and the tenets of the Church, when introduced in their preaching, were practically applied, rather than doctrinally expounded, while their discourses were enlivened by examples and confirmed by the statements of wise and experienced teachers. Collations, which were a sort of edifying private addresses, and possessed still more of a popular character, served among the Brethren as a supplement to preaching. They took place first in the community-houses, in each of which, upon the afternoons of Sundays and saints' days, a collation was given and a passage of Scripture, especially from the Gospels, was read, explained, and practically applied, while occasionally, in order to enliven and improve the discourse, questions were addressed by the speaker to the audience. The Brethren of the Common Life did very much to prepare the Germans for the Reformation, and it was the Reformation which ended their existence by taking over their work. In England Wyclif did not scruple to send out "unauthorized preachers," with Bible-portions in the vulgar tongue, who preached simple, practical Gospel sermons in homely style to homely people. It is probable that some of the "unauthorized" preachers had received of the "unauthorized" preachers had received priestly orders, although they lacked the bishop's license to preach; some, however, were laymen pure and simple.

The English Reformation did not, as might have been expected, lead to any immediate revival of lay preaching. This was largely due to the heavy hand

of the State on the clergy, whose L. English preaching was restricted as much as Reforma- possible lest it might prove too exciting, and to the penal laws against tion and Common- all separation from the State Church. But when the conflict came between weelth Periods. the Stuarts and the Puritans, the lay preacher began to assert himself; and

the more the State Church sought to repress nonconformist ministers, the more willing were devout dissidents from the State Church to listen to the lay preacher. In a petition to James I. on his accession, the Independents and others held that laymen, "discreet, faithful, and able men, though not in the office of the ministry," might be appointed to preach the Gospel. There was, however, considerable division of opinion in the Puritan ranks on the subject, for the Independents and Presbyterians were engaged in defending the freely chosen minister of a "separated church" as divinely commissioned equally with the minister episcopally ordained, and it was feared that the use of lay preachers might prejudice the controversial claim. Crom-

well supported lay preaching and sharply rebuked the Presbyterians who were the chief Puritan objectors to it. There was a great deficiency of preachers during the time of the Civil War, especially as hostilities had brought university work to a standstill, but the pious soldiers of the Parliamentary armies remedied the deficiency by raising preachers in their own ranks who exercised their gifts in camps and garrisons. Parliament took the matter in hand and required intending preachers to submit to a test of their gifts, "by those who shall be appointed thereto by both Houses of Parliament"; but the soldiers ignored the direction and were loyal to their favorite preachers.

The rise of the Quakers was the first example of sect dependent entirely on lay evangelism. George Fox (q.v.), like Montanus, held as a primary article of faith that the Holy Spirit inspires men

and women irrespective of all human ordinances, and that the man or woman 5. The Quakers. so inspired is bound to exercise the prophetic gift. Fox and his followers traveled the country over, fearlessly preaching their gospel. Under Cromwell the Quakers were allowed the largest liberty, and Fox organized Quaker lay preaching. In 1663 thirty itinerant preachers were with him and the number was doubled in the following year; a woman preacher belonged to his little band as early as 1650, and he had seventythree women evangelists at his command before his death. All the Friends, to this day, give equal rights to men and women preachers. The Quaker preachers were great missionaries. They established themselves in New England, and it seemed likely that they would become the dominant spiritual power in several States. The audacity of the Quakers is almost incredible, for George Robinson preached in Jerusalem, and Mary Fisher succeeded in delivering a gospel message to Mohammed IV. in full divan, encompassed with his army, girt with glittering, adoring courtiers.

The cold wave of rationalism almost quenched lay preaching in England, while the tolerance of non-conformity, with the freedom given for the training of ministers and the opening of chapels, made it seem unnecessary. The evangelical revival came.

however, and established lay preaching on such a footing as has made it 6. John Wesley the mainstay of Methodist evangelizaand the Lay tion ever since. John Wesley himself, Preachers. as an ordained Anglican clergyman,

was at first prejudiced against lay preaching, but he later changed his position and himself undertook the training of lay preachers, for whose instruction many of his books were primarily written. In 1745 he replied to attacks on lay preaching in his Farther Appeal to Men of Reason and Religion, reminding critics of the severe examination of lay preachers in practical and experimental theology, calling attention to the fact that the Jewish scribes, who were the ordinary preachers of their time, were laymen, and showing that in Sweden, Germany, Holland, and in almost every Reformed Church of Europe, before any one was ordained he was required to preach publicly for a year or more ad probandum facultatem. It is noteworthy that to this day Wesleyan Methodist lay preachers, before being "put on the plan," have to pass an examination in Wesley's Notes on the New Testament and his Fifty-three Standard Sermons, in addition to examination on the leading doctrines of Christianity, and giving an account of their conversion, their Christian experience, and their vocation. When the Methodist Quarterly Meeting—the circuit governing body, and the unit of the denominational organisation—was constituted, the local preachers "on the plan" were made members of it ex officio.

The Methodist lay preachers were the means by which Methodism spread so rapidly not only over Great Britain, but also over the United States and throughout the English-speaking world. They were the advance guard of Methodism; cottage meetings and open-air meetings, supplied by lay preachers,

7. The were the permanent garrisons of the Primitive districts occupied. The "traveling Methodist preacher" might have ten to thirty Connection, chapels and mission-stations under his

oversight, and, with thirty to fifty lay preachers "on the plan," he arranged quarterly for all the pulpits to be filled, while "mission bands" of lay preachers carried on aggressive evangelistic campaigns in towns and villages as yet unoccupied. The lay preachers were drawn from all classes university graduates, country gentlemen, business men, artisans, and agricultural laborers being on the same "plan." This promoted fellowship, and saved the Methodist Church from being divided into class cliques to the extent that has happened in some other churches. After the Wesleys had passed away, the connection underwent a cooling-period, for its own success tended toward a satisfied settling down. "Field preaching" lost favor, and the lay preachers were subjected to restrictions that became irksome to the more enthusiastic spirits. In many circuits "field preaching" was classed among irregular exercises which were better left alone. These restrictions were the cause of the origin (1807-11) of the Primitive Methodist Connection (see Methodists, I., 4) which, next to the mother Church, has made the greatest use of lay preaching. Two lay preachers on the Tunstall (Staffordshire) plan, Hugh Bourne and William Clowes, organized an "All Day of Prayer," on Mow Cop, a prominent hill. This drew a vast crowd, and there were many conversions, but it had not received official sanction, and Bourne and Clowes were refused their class tickets, which meant exclusion from the "plan." They accordingly formed independent "classes," which united in the Primitive Methodist Connection, which has in 1911 completed its centenary celebration by raising a thanksgiving fund of £300,000. In its early years this church depended almost entirely on lay preachers, men and sometimes women, who revived the evangelistic fervor and audacity of the first Methodists, and invaded every part of the country, establishing themselves in special strength in the colliery and rural districts, and in such fishery-centers as Hull and Grimsby.

Scotland, early in the nineteenth century, saw a very remarkable revival movement in which the principal part was played by Presbyterian laymen. The movement was led by the brothers James and
Robert Haldane (qq.v.). In 1800 the General Assembly prohibited field preaching,
8. In the whereupon there was a secession by
Scotch Robert Haldane, who trained 300 young
Preabymen. These went out stirring up as

Presbyterian and
vival feeling everywhere, and th
Anglican
Churches. Church, and the United Church sile
shared in the raising of the spirites

temperature. Recognising the value of lay eventism, the Anglican Church, in the middle of the missisteenth century, instituted lay readers, or laymen who after examination, receive the bishop's license to preach under strictly prescribed conditions. The commission entitles the holder "to conduct, in any parish to which he may be licensed, services in school and other rooms and in the open air, and also such extra services in consecrated buildings as the incumbent may wish and as the bishop may approve; and further, to perform occasionally similar duties is any other parish in the discense at the request of the incumbent." These are now between 2,500 and 3,000 Anglican lay readers, among them being poers, judges, knights, members of parliament, and eminent professional men.

The marvel of the nineteenth century, so far as lay preaching is concerned, was the founding by General William Booth (q.v.) of the Salvating Army (q.v.). Booth was a United Methodist Fine Church minister, but he left that church to start as independent "Christian Mission" in East London.

g. The istic movement with a military organisation, and his wife, Catharine Both Army.

(q.v.), rivaled him in organising shifts and driving-power. The Salvatist

Army, now working in nearly every country of t world, has something like 16,000 "officers, evangelists, men and women, and all laics. They receive training from three to nine months, with an extension in special cases, and are then sent out with authority to preach. At first General Booth disliked the idea of women preachers, but his objection was overcome by a friend taking him to hear a woman preacher at a chapel in Fetter Lane, London. The Anglican Church founded the Church Army (q.v.) on the model of the Salvation Army, but it has been clerically directed, and women preachers are not admitted. The Salvation Army has worked in the lowest stratum of society, the "submerged tenth," and its lay preaching has not suffered from the exiguity of its training, as it would have done if it had ministered to more critical classes. It has had countless conversions, and its social salvage operations have won for it the support of many governments.

Lay preaching has been a valuable auxiliary to missionary evangelism. The Wesleyan and Primitive Methodist Missionaries have introduced the circuit system, with its "plan" of local preaches, and when native converts have given evidence of Christian character and spiritual experience, with the gift of speaking, and have undergone an examination in Biblical and theological knowledge, they have been sent out to the mission-stations and have largely

Increased the area of influence of the mission. In Uganda, the Anglican Church has multiplied lay evangelists, and to that multiplication is due the rapid and complete Christianisation of the country. The China Mission Inland Mission, worked mainly by lay missionaries, has made very large use

of native lay evangelists, undeterred by the fear which denominational missions have had of making premature use of converts for such responsible work. Within the last few years, however, the London Missionary Society and other societies working in the more civilized provaces of China have begun to make freer use of have taken advantage of modern educational facilities.

In Great Britain the by-products of lay preaching have been exceedingly valuable. In lay preaching men have acquired the art of clear and logical

thinking and the gift of powerful and lucid expression. Such men naturally become the spokesmen of the community to which they belong; they are cent Movecent Movecen

ing experience proves an invaluable advantage. The trade-unionism of England is largely led by lay preachers, who, in the service of the churches, have developed their business capacity and their speaking-power, and have trained themselves to become the forcible mouthpieces and the trusted leaders of their fellow craftsmen. There are at least a score of lay preachers in the British parliament, a dozen of whom belong to the Labor Party, most of them eing officials of great trade-unions. It is certain hat it is this leadership by religious men, trained a lay preaching, that has saved the British labor povement from the agnostic and materialistic soialism characteristic of the labor movement of the ontinent of Europe. Lay preaching accounts for he high numerical position taken by the Methodist nd the Baptist Churches in the Southern States of America. In the Northern States the lay preacher nas never taken the position accorded to him in Great Britain, but the feeling is growing that the reation of bodies of lay preachers in the various hurches would enable those churches to maintain their position in villages and country towns, where nigration of population has made it difficult to support the pastorate, since with a corps of lay preachers one minister might act as pastor-in-chief of a group of churches within a workable district. During the last ten years the principal non-conformist churches of Great Britain have done much to improve the organization and training of their lay preachers, who are trained in "correspondence classes," papers being set monthly in denominational lay preachers' magazines, while names of accredited Baptist lay preachers are included in the denominational Year Book.

In Great Britain the lay preachers are being increasingly used by their denominations and by the Free Church Councils to carry on outdoor

evangelism during the summer months. It is found that their knowledge of the people among whom

they live, and with whom they work in similar conditions, enables them to speak very effectively to casual gatherings of hearers who have dropped out of church attendance, and who often have misconceptions as to what the

churches really teach and as to the Bible and the Christian religion. These lay preachers have intimate knowledge of the prejudices that keep people out of churches, and of the many reasons, good or bad, that account for their indifference to religion and their hostility to churches. The lay preacher in the open air is the surest antidote to the agnostic materialist park and street-corner orator. The success of the Brotherhood movement and the Adult Sunday Morning School movement, which have a collective membership of three-quarters of a million, is largely owing to the speaking- and teaching-power of the lay preachers. While it is recognized that the average lay preacher can not be fairly expected to make himself an expert in Biblical and theological scholarship, he has distinct advantages which ordained ministers frankly recognize. When working in collaboration with and under the direction of a minister, the lay preacher enables a pastorate church to establish mission-halls in poor districts and mission-stations in the villages. In the county of Surrey, for example, the Congregational Church at Guildford has established ten village stations supplied by forty lay preachers, while the church in the neighboring county town of Godalming has established six village stations. It is found that the drawing into actual evangelistic service of members of a church has a most beneficial influence on the church-life, for the minister feels that with so many preachers in his congregation he has an appreciative and critical audience and that he must always preach at his best.

Statistics of Lay Preachers.

GREAT BRITAIN (1911)	
	19.578
Wesleyan Methodists	
Primitive Methodists	16,241
United Methodist Church	6,239
Baptists	5,692
Congregationalists	5,488
Churches of Christ	580
Wesleyan Reform Union	520
Calvinistic Methodists	844
Disciples of Christ	20
-	54.662
United States	,
(1908)	
	14000
Methodist Episcopal Church	
African Methodist Episcopal	15,885
Methodist Episcopal South	4,800

 Methodist Episcopal Church
 14,057

 African Methodist Episcopal
 15,885

 Methodist Episcopal South
 4,800

 Colored Methodist Episcopal
 2,786

 African Methodist Episcopal Zion
 1,520

 Free Methodist
 1,299

 Methodist Protestant
 1,135

 African Union Methodist Protestant
 750

 Other Methodist Churches
 665

42,847 H. Jeffs.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: A. P. Stanley, Christian Institutions, London, 1881; C. Ullmann, Reformers before the Reformation, 2 vols., Edinburgh, 1874-77; W. G. Townsend, H. B. Workman, and G. Eayrs, New History of Method-

ism, London, 1909; H. B. Kendall, History of the Primi-tive Methodist Church, London, 1905; J. Telford, Lay Preaching in the Christian Church, London, 1896; S. Horne, Popular History of the Free Churches, London, 1903; A. L. Garvie, Guide to Preaching, London, 1906; H. Jeffs, Practical Lay Preaching, London, 1907; idem, Modern Minor Prophets, London, 1909.

LINDBERG, CONRAD EMIL: Lutheran; b. at Jönköping (80 m. e. of Gothenburg), Sweden, June 9, 1852. He was educated at the gymnasium of his native city; Augustana College, Rock Island, Ill.; Augustana Theological Seminary (1872); and Philadelphia Lutheran Theological Seminary (1876). He was pastor successively of Zion Church, Philadelphia (1876–79), and Gustavus Adolphus Lutheran Church, New York (1879-90), being also president of the New York Conference of the Augustana Synod from 1879 to 1889. Since 1890 he has been professor of systematic theology, liturgies, and church polity at Augustana Theological Seminary, Rock Island, and has also been vice-president of the Augustana Synod (1899-1907), and vice-president of Augustana College (1901-10), besides being a member of his synodical mission board since 1899 and a member of the committees on the Swedish and English catechism (1894-1902) and liturgy (1894-99). Theologically he belongs to the conservative wing, and he has written, besides many minor contributions, as to the Augustana Theological Quarterly (of which he was chief editor in 1900-02), the following treatises in Swedish: "Exegesis on the First Three Chapters of the Book of Revelation" (Chicago, 1883), "On Baptism" (New York, 1890), "Syllabus in Church Polity" (Rock Island, 1897), and "Dogmatics and History of Dogmas" (1898). In 1901 he was decorated by the king of Sweden with the Royal Order of the North Star.

MONOPHYSITISM AND THE ORIENTAL SEPARATED CHURCHES.

I. The Monophysite Controversies. Early Views on the Two Natures of Christ (§ 1).

Controversies between Judaizing and Platonizing Schools (§ 2). Struggle between Antiochene and Alexandrine Theology (§ 3). Controversies of the Fourth Cen-

tury (§ 4). Conflict bet etween Nostorius and

Cyril of Alexandria (§ 5). Condemnation of Nestorius (§ 6). Unavailing Compromise betwee Antioch and Alexandria (§ 7).

don (§ 9).

II. The Separated Syrian Churches.
Creed of Chalcedon Rejected by
the Syrians (§ 1).
Reasons for this Rejection (§ 2).

Fruitless Attempts at Reconcilia-tion (§ 3). Syrian Christology at this Period

(§ 4). Christology of Philoxenus (§ 5). Modern Syrian Confession of Faith (\$ 6).

The Eutychian Controversy (§ 8). III. The Separated Armenian Church Adoption of the Creed of Chalce-Reasons for Non-Representat Reasons for Non-Representation at Ecumenical Councils (§ 1). Hesitation to Accept the Creed of

Chalcedon (§ 2). Armenian Doubts on Leo's Letter to Flavian (§ 3).

Armenian Confession of Falth

(§ 4). rmenian Teaching on the Two Natures (§ 5). Armenian Rejection of Eutychian-

ism (§ 6).

I. The Monophysite Controversies: The incarnation of Christ has given to the historic development of human life an irresistibly transforming impulse, and to human thought an even more irresistibly transforming intuition of the relation of God to man and of man to God. Divinity descends to humanity, that humanity may ascend to divinity. From the beginning of that earthly ministry to man, the first followers saw in the person of Christ the Messianic ideal of humanity (cf. the synoptic gospels), a Godlike man. He was so real to their expectant Jewish minds that his perfect humanity

seemingly obscured his hidden divinity, z. Early and it was only later, after the resur-Views on rection and the ascension, when they the Two saw no longer the once visible presence Natures of of the Messiah of Israel, that they began to perceive the reality of his in-Christ.

visible yet truly incarnated divinity (cf. the Johannine gospel), a manlike God, "the Word made flesh." Henceforth the question came continually to the minds of men, was this a man become God, or a God become man, since both conceptions of the relation of divinity and humanity have persisted from the primitive period of human history. The answer of the Evangelists and the Apostles is that Christ the Messiah was truly God The Christian Church of that and truly man. apostolic and subapostolic age was a preaching, proselyting, and expanding missionary ecclesia. Exact theological definition and dogmatic declaration were alike alien to its primitive principles and antagonistic to that first freedom in the faith. But the speculative tendencies of those transitional times soon showed that two opposite opinions concerning the person of the Messiah Christ were already active. The one was that of the Jewish Ebionites (q.v.), who, tenacious of the inherited tradition of those first followers, permitted the historic presence of his visible humanity to obscure or occlude his invisible divinity. This erroneous overemphasis of the humanity of the Messiah, which was evoked by a defective perception of his dual nature as true God and true man, was not as evident during the apos tolic age as it afterward became, when it persisted in more or less definite denials of his true divinity. The other opinion was that of the Jewish and Gentile Gnostics (see Gnosticism), who, seeking to combine the Christian revelation with various Oriental and Greek systems of speculative cosmology, and equally tenacious of acquired dualistic tendencies, permitted their differing theories of the divine Logos to obscure or occlude his visible humanity; and this equally erroneous exaltation of the Logos Christ above the material world in which he had been incarnated led logically to that overemphasis of his invisible divinity, which was likewise evoked by a defective perception of his dual nature as true man and true God, which had been more or less evident from the first in the doubt, or in the docetic denial, of his true humanity (see Docerism).

The insidious, persistent influences of these two speculative schools of opposite opinions, neither perceiving the dual aspect of the traditional apostolic teaching that in Christ the Messiah and the incarnate Logos both divinity and humanity must be united in the one person of the Redeemer of man, was to become more and more evident in the Christological controversies of the succeeding centuries. Convincing evidence of the pervading presence, in

2. Conbetween tonizing Schools.

the subconscious theological thought of the Christian Church, of these differtroversies ing speculative tendencies concerning the person of the Messiah and Logos judaizing became manifest toward the end of the and Pia- second century, during the controversy caused by the first definite coordination of Christ as God with the indefinite Mosaic monotheism of Old-Testament

tradition. The Judaising school of Christians seemingly taught more or less publicly that the Messiah s a man in whom divinity, or the Spirit of God, had dwelt during his earthly existence. Defending their doctrine from texts of the synoptic Gospels, they tended in their teaching toward the error of Ebionitism during their constant Christological controversy with the opposing Platonizing school of Christians. These latter taught, on the contrary, the preexistence and the eternity of the incarnate Logos. Defending their doctrine from texts of the Johannine Gospel, they tended, in their ditheismas their Judaising opponents declared—toward the error of Gnosticism and the docetic denial of the real humanity of Christ. Yet the Messianic teaching of the Judaisers themselves, although apparently truly accepting Christ as the Redeemer of men, continued to cling to that indefinite Mosaic monotheim from whose persistent presence throughout Asia Minor was developed later not only the definite herery of dynamic Monarchianism (q.v.), which demied the essential divinity of Christ, or asserted it to be a power imparted to his humanity, but also the opposite, though related, heresy of modalistic Monarchianism, known also as that of Sabellius, and of the Patripassians, who admitted the divinity, but denied the personality, of Christ.

During the continuance of these first Christological controversies in the ante-conciliar Church, there were slowly and silently established two ecclemastical schools of Scripture-study and theological teaching, Antioch and Alexandria (see ANTIOCH, SCHOOL OF; ALEXANDRIA, SCHOOL OF). The school of Antioch, influenced by the Jewish traditions of Syria, was literal, grammatical, and historic in its exegesis; yet this very literalistic interpretation,

applied to the synoptic Gospels, tended 3. Struggle constantly toward that characteristic between overemphasis of the humanity of Christ Antiochene which exposed its Christological teachand Alex- ing to the insidious Ebionitic influence andrine persisting in the doctrines of the dy-Theology. namic and the modalistic Monarchianists. The school of Alexandria, influ-

enced by the Greek traditions of that famous center of philosophical speculation, was free, allegorical, and mystical in its exegesis. Thus its freer interpretation, the opposite in method of the rival school of Antioch, of the Johannine Gospel tended continually toward that characteristic overemphasis of the divinity of the incarnate Logos which exposed its Christological teaching to the influence of Gnostic docetism that denied or ignored the real humanity of Christ. Soon after the middle of the third century, the traditionally opposite tendencies of these

two ecclesiastical schools came into conflict during the doctrinal dissensions caused by the teaching of Paul of Samosata (see Monarchianism, IV., §§ 2-3). He, while bishop of Antioch, was impelled to assert again the characteristic Antiochene overemphasis of the human nature of Christ in terms of a modified dynamic Monarchianism, in opposition to the traditional Alexandrine tendency of overemphasizing the divinity of the Logos, already developing in the words of the later Trinitarian teaching of the councils of the Church. The teaching of Paul was condemned as heretical by several successive synods assembled at Antioch to compare his doctrine with that deduced from the traditional orthodox teaching of the several apostolic sees. What this traditional apostolic teaching of the Christian Church was during this ante-conciliar age is shown by the following "Confession of Faith" of the synod convened at Antioch in 251, the heads of which were Dionysius of Rome and Dionysius of Alexandria (qq.v.), while Gregory Thaumaturgus (q.v.) was also an important figure:

"We believe that our Lord Jesus Christ, who was of God and the Father, who was begotten before the worlds of the Spirit, but in the end of days was born of a virgin in the flesh, is one compound person of heavenly deity and human flesh; and also in this, that he is man, wholly God and wholly man; wholly God and with a body, but not in this, that the flesh is God; and wholly man and with man, and with deity, but not in this, that the Deity is man. So also he is wholly to be worshiped, and with the body, but not in this, that the body is to be worshiped; wholly to be worshiped and with the Deity, but not in this, that the Deity is to be worshiped (apart from the body?); wholly increate and with a body, but not in this, that the body is increate; wholly made and with the Deity; but not in this, that the Deity is made; wholly coessential with God, and with the body, but not in this, that the body is coessential with God; as not in this, that God is coessential with man; though with Deity in the flesh, he is coessential with us. For also when we say that he, being in the Spirit, is a partaker of the nature of God, we say not that he in the Spirit is a partaker of the nature of man. And again, when we declare him in the fisch a partaker of the nature of man, we declare him not in the fisch a partaker of the nature of God. For as in the Spirit, he is not connatural with us, because he is herein co-essential with God; so in the flesh he is not connatural with God, because he is a partaker of our nature. Now these things correct and approve, not the dividing of one person indivisible, but the unconfused peculiar confession of the flesh and of the Deity." (B. H. Cowper, Syriac Miscellanies, pp. 40-41, London, 1861.)

This ante-conciliar Christological confession of faith evidently contains within itself the complete cause of the subsequent Chalcedonian controversy which resulted historically in the century-long charge against the primitive national churches of the East that they teach the Eutychian error, are Monophysites (see Eutychianism; Monophysites), and, therefore, are heretical in their Christology.

That same insidious Ebionitic influence, whose persistent presence in the differing doctrines of Monarchianism had caused the condemnation of Paul of Samosata, appeared again in the erroneous teaching of Arius (see Arianism), denying the eternal divinity of the Logos, which was condemned as heretical by the first ecumenical council of the Church, convened in 325 at Nicæa. Later in the same century, the Alexandrine Apollinaris of Laodicea (q.v.), one of the chief defenders of the Athanasian Logos doctrine accepted by the Council of Nicæa, began to teach

the error named from himself, that the humanity assumed by Christ in the incarnation was only a

4. Controanimal soul, the Logos existing in the
versies of place of its missing spirit. This novel
the Fourth teaching was a proof of the tenacious
Century. presence, in Alexandrine Christological

thought, of that insidious docetic influence from whence had come this definite denial of the real humanity of the Logos, condemned as heretical by the second ecumenical council, convened in 381 at Constantinople. During the controversy caused by the Alexandrine Apollinaris, who overemphasized the divinity of the Logos, the Antiochene Diodorus (q.v.), likewise one of the chief defenders of the conciliar Christology of Nicea against the Arian schismatics, while opposing, as bishop of Tarsus, Apollinaris' docetic denial of the complete humanity of Christ, and though remaining faithful to the traditional teaching of his own synoptic school, developed a theory of the relation of the seemingly separate coexistence of the divine and the human natures in the one person of Christ which, through the teaching of his pupil, Theodore of Mopsuestia (q.v.), was to reappear in the doctrinal dissensions caused by Nestorius (q.v.) in the succeeding century.

A conflict between the traditional Christological teachings of the two rival schools was inevitable when the Antiochene Nestorius, soon after his elevation to the patriarchate at Constantinople, defended his Antiochene presbyter Anastasius in public protests against the use of the Alexandrine term Theotokos ("Mother of God") as applied to the incarnation of the Logos in the Virgin Mary. This newer imperial see of Constantinople, established by Constantine the Great, was the object of persistent ecclesiastical plotting by the partizans of the apostolic see of Alexandria, the aggressive

5. Conflict opponent of the equally apostolic see between of Antioch, and each of these two rival Nestorius schools of doctrine contested the theand Cyril of ological terms used by the other. Thus Alexandria. it was that the bishop of Alexandria

entered so eagerly into the strife caused by this Antiochene attack on the use of Theotokos. The fanatical Cyril (see CYRIL OF ALEXANDRIA) was very willing to become the accuser of the equally fanatical Nestorius, and each charged the other with defending that evident Christological error which the traditional teaching of his own school was suspected of propagating. It is doubtful whether or not Nestorius had really asserted a double personality in Christ, as the doctrine of his preceptor, Theodore of Mopsuestia (also ascribed to his predecessor, Diodorus of Tarsus [see Diodorus]), seemed to teach, when he declared that the Logos was not inseparably incarnated in Christ, but had united his divinity with the man Jesus, " the Son of God dwelling in the Son of David"; and that, therefore, the Logos only cooperated with the human Jesus, two persons, a divine and a human, becoming one in will and act. The Antiochenes were consistently compelled to emphasize the humanity of Christ, in opposition to the Alexandrine overemphasis of the divinity of the Logos, evident in the docetism of the Apollinarian heresy. Cyril, after formulating twelve anathematizing statements of the alleged errors of Nestorius, including "that Immanuel is not really God, and the Virgin not Theolokos; that there was a connection (synapheia) of two persons; that Christ is a God-bearing man (theophoros); that he was a separate individual acted on by the Logos, and called "God with him"; that his flesh was not truly that of the Logos; and that the Logos did not suffer death in the flesh," sought to compel his subscription to them. The answer of Nestorius was a counter-statement of twelve anathematizing articles of the alleged errors of Cyril. Alexandria, with its traditional emphasis on the divinity of the Logos, denied defiantly the orthodoxy of Antioch, with its traditional emphasis on the humanity of Christ.

562

The third ecumenical council was convened in 431 at Ephesus to declare and define the true teaching of the Church on this contested question of the relation of the divine and the human natures in the incarnate Logos Christ. Neither Christological school seemingly perceived that its doctrine was dogmatically defective in emphasizing a single as-

pect of the duality of the person of 6. Condem-Christ, nor that their differing characnation of teristic definitions could be combined Nestorius. in one orthodox statement. To the

deliberate defiance of this truth by Cyril of Alexandria, who with his partizans controlled the proceedings of this council of Ephesus, can be confidently ascribed all those succeeding schisms and destructive divisions which were later to divide the Christian Church of the East into two antagonistic communions of confederated national churches, unreconciled to this day. The school of Antioch was at this time surprisingly conservative, for the teaching of Theodore of Mopsuestia, developed from that of his predecessor, Diodorus of Tarsus, and defended apparently by his own pupil, Nestorius, had not affected adversely its general orthodoxy, even in the opinion of its opponents. It depended on Alexandria, whether or not their truly complementing teachings were to be combined in a fuller form of the common Christological creed. But Cyril, defiant in his defense of the twelve anathematizing articles rejected by the Antiochene Nestorius, and assured that his partizans predominated in the assembled council, continued in his predetermined course of condemning the errors ascribed to Nestorius and of deposing him from his episcopate, without awaiting the delayed arrival of John of Antioch and his Syrian suffragans, who, therefore, justly rejected, as contrary to the canons, all completed acts of the council. The third council of Ephesus having approved and adopted as its own declaration of dogma the twelve anathematizing articles of Cyril, every attempt thereafter on the part of the Antiochenes to emphasize the humanity of Christ against the Alexandrines was condemned by them as Nestorianism; and, on the contrary, every attempt on the part of the Alexandrines to emphasize the divinity of the Logos against the Antiochenes was denounced by them as Apollina-

Since the Antiochene bishops persisted in their

refusal to approve the anathematizing, anti-Nestorian articles of Alexandrine Cyril, a compromise between them was eventually effected by his subscription of a formula of faith prepared by them for the consideration of the council. This dogmatic declaration defines the Logos as being of one essence (homoousion) with the Father as to divinity, and of one essence with man as to humanity, for there was effected, say the Antiochene bishops, "a union of

promise between Antioch andria.

two natures; whereupon we confess 7. Unavail- one Christ, one Son, one Lord. And ing Com- according to the teaching of a union without confusion, we confess the holy Virgin to be Theotokos, because God the Son was incarnate and made man. and Alex- and from his very conception united to himself the temple assumed from her" (Hefele, Conciliengeschichte, ii.

228). If this concise Christological creed of 431 be compared with the earlier Antiochene confession of 251, it is evident that, excepting the Athanasian term homoousion and the later Alexandrian Theotokos, the traditional teaching of the former common faith appears unchanged in the latter. In the first formula, the characteristic Christological confession of the incarnation of Christ the Logos is "one compound person of heavenly deity and human flesh"; in the second is seen "a union of two na-... without confusion," etc. The concluding declaration of the first formula, "Now these things we correct and approve, not the dividing of one person indivisible, but the unconfused peculiar confession of the flesh, and of the Deity," has no counterpart in the second, shorter symbol, although its causal connection with the attitude of the Syrians and, through them, of the Armenians, toward the Council of Chalcedon, which was soon to follow, will be shown below. This definite dogmatic declaration of the divine and the human natures in Christ the Logos was what the Antiochene bishops required of the Alexandrine Cyril as a test of his orthodoxy. But the compromise confession accepted by both parties neither conciliated nor satisfied the extremists of those two opposite Christological schools. Cyril had, after defining the natural distinction and necessary difference between the nature of God and the nature of man which before the incarnation are manifestly two natures and are combined in Christ, asserted that they are two only before the incarnation; in their union in the Incarnate Logos they cease to be two and become one. Thus Cyril, in deliberate defiance of the statement subscribed by himself, seemingly taught, as before, the indefinite earlier doctrine of the "one nature of the Word made flesh" of Athanasius (q.v.). According to this traditional Alexandrine teaching, the two natures, distinct before, became one after their union in Christ. The one divine person acted in and through both, but it was a single and, therefore, the divine activity, that of the Logos. This was condemned by the Antiochene school as undeniably docetic in its tendency. The Alexandrine school, in answer to this accusation, charged that the Antiochenes taught the Nestorianism condemned by the Council of Ephesus. This ceaseless Christological controversy could not fail to force another conciliar conflict between the two rival schools.

In that same imperial city in which the Antiochene presbyter Anastasius, by denouncing, in 428, the Alexandrine term Theotokos, had caused the convening of the third ecumenical council in the city of Ephesus, the Alexandrine partizan Eutyches, archimandrite of a monastery near the city, by denouncing, in 448, the alleged Antiochene teaching of Nestorianism, was likewise to become the cause of the convening of the fourth and final council of the united Christian Church in the East. But without warning Eutyches himself was accused of heresy concerning the incarnation of Christ. Cited

before the assembled synod of Con-8. The stantinople, he was compelled to con-Eutychian fess teaching that the person of Christ was of, or out of, two natures, though versy. not in two natures; that the two na-

tures, distinct before the incarnation. after their union became one; that the human nature of the incarnate Son was changed, since the body of Christ, by union with divinity, became thereby different from that of other men. This docetic denial of the true humanity of Christ, evidently developed directly from the Alexandrine overemphasis of the divinity of the incarnate Logos, was condemned as heretical by this same synod, and its author was deposed from his dignities. Eutyches, who had already accused the Antiochenes to Leo (q.v.), bishop of Rome, of teaching tenaciously the Nestorianism condemned by the Council of Ephesus, sought his support, assuming that Leo, like himself, was a partizan of the deceased Cyril of Alexandria. Flavian, bishop of Constantinople (see Flavian of Constantinople), however, hoping to avert the threatened conciliar conflict, was the one who really secured the support of Leo, who had already sent him his "Tome" concerning the Christological controversy between the two opposite schools of doctrine. Now Dioscurus, the even more fanatical anti-Nestorian successor of Cyril of Alexandria, allying himself with the powerful political and the numerous monastic defenders of Eutyches against Flavian, and defeated in his attacks on the regularity and canonical course of the synod which had both denounced and degraded that aggressive partizan of his predecessor, secured from the emperor the summoning of a pseudo-council, which, assembling in 449 at Ephesus, was dominated by himself. The acts of the synod of Constantinople having been annulled and the teaching of Eutyches pronounced orthodox by the assembled partizans of Dioscurus, the accused archimandrite, Eutyches was restored to his monastery. The predominating power of the Alexandrine party seemed secure until the unexpected death of their imperial protector, Theodosius II., occurred. Then the succeeding rulers confirmed anew the original deposition of Eutyches by the first synod of Constantinople, and later, hoping to harmonize all dissent within the Church, convened, in 451, the fourth ecumenical council at Chalcedon.

After the assembled bishops had deposed and degraded Dioscurus for his part in the repudiated proceedings of the Synod of Ephesus, the Christological controversy between Antioch and Alexandria was debated. Although both the declarations of the Alexandrine Cyril against the alleged heresy of the Antiochene Nestorius and the "Tome" of Leo [I. (q.v.)] against that of Nestorius and Eutyches combined had been accepted by the bishops, who at first asserted that the canon of the Church (canon VII. of the Council of Ephesus) forbade them to add to the

existing conciliar creed, they were

9. Adoption of the rulers to declare the decision of the
Creed of council on the controverted question
Chalcedon. in the dogmatic definition called the
Creed of Chalcedon (see Christology,

IV., § 2). The "Tome" of Leo, whose doctrinal declarations had undeniably been deduced directly from the several opposite statements submitted to him, first by Nestorius and Cyril, and later by Eutyches and Flavian, had consistently condemned both the crypto-Ebionitism inferred from the alleged Antiochene teaching of Nestorius, and the docetic heresy evident in the Alexandrine teaching of Eutyches. The Council of Chalcedon, in formulating its own creedal statement, not only thereby reaffirmed the truth of the traditional apostolic teaching contained in the Antiochene formula of 251, the Nicæno-Constantinopolitan Creed of 381 (see Constanti-NOPOLITAN CREED), and, indirectly, the compromise Antiochene confession subscribed by Cyril (431), but it developed these comparatively simple doctrinal statements into a complex dogmatic formula of Christological faith, deduced directly from the "Tome" of Leo, the theological terms of which were clear and comprehensible only to bishops whose language was the Greek of the dominant division of the Church in the East.

II. The Separated Syrian Churches: But there were also the two allied non-Greek divisions, whose participation in the ecumenical councils of the Church was necessarily limited, since their ecclesiastical languages were Syriac and Armenian. The Syrian-speaking bishops throughout the East, because of this diversity of language, were free from the immediate influence of the incessant Christological controversies between the Greek schools of Antioch and of Alexandria. In the dissension evoked by the errors of Eutyches, their history tells freely and fully why the Creed of Chalcedon was rejected, and indirectly explains how the stigma of defending Eutyches and accepting his heresy was unjustly affixed to them by the Chalcedonians or Greek partizans of the fourth ecumenical

council, whose dogmatic declaration

1. Creed of was repeatedly confirmed or ignored,
Chalcedon according as the emperors of the East
were swayed by the political and ecclesiastical defenders or opposers of its
Syrians. After reciting
how Flavian and Eusebius had "in-

now Flavian and Eusebius had insisted to the wicked Eutyches that the body of our Lord was a partaker of our nature, he confessed this which before he would not confess. They also urged him to confess that there are two (i.e., separate) natures in Christ. And because he would not confess this, they made this deposition. This cause forced Theodosius to assemble the second synod of

Ephesus. And when that was read before which was done in the imperial city, they fou Flavian required Eutyches to confess tw separate) natures; and they made the depos Flavian and Eusebius. Eutyches presented: ment in which was the creed of Nicana. a Godclad fathers anathematized all who had a him, 'by this which deceived them as me wicked matter of ungodly heresy which was soul'; for it is written that man sees into th and the Lord sees into the heart " (Cowper, u pp. 89-91). The ceaseless controversy between Greek defenders of the Council of Chalced the anti-Chalcedonians was precisely this ques the two natures in Christ, whether they e separately after, as Nestorianism seemed to or became united in and through his incarnat the flesh, as taught by all the accepted confessi

the Church. That the anti-C

2. Reasons donians—the Syrians, Copts, an
for this menians—rejected consistently

Rejection. Eutychian error of an absorpti

Christ's humanity into his div is conclusively proved by the assertion, al cited, that Eutyches' deceptive confession of (like the equivocal creed of Arius) had act deceived his own defender, Dioscurus, and the synod of Ephesus. Only because of this were misled in declaring him orthodox, not heretical. term "Eutychianism" therefore, must be accept synonymous with "Monophysitism," i.e., the de denial of the reality of the human nature of C It can have, historically, no other or added mea to deny this is to assert that the entire ante-con Church, which had accepted the Antiochene fession of 251, was then and thereafter also M physite, and, therefore, heretical in its tradit Christological teaching. The difference bet the anti-Chalcedonians and the Chalcedonians as they state themselves, whether the disputed matic declaration of this council, in condemnin evident error of Eutychianism, had not incline stead to the alleged opposite teaching ascrib Nestorius. The traditional Christological te the first Antiochene formula is "one compound son of heavenly deity and human flesh "; the tion of the second compromise formula is simi statement, "a union of two natures, wherefo confess one Christ." Furthermore, the firs mula asserts, finally, "Now these things we o and approve, not the dividing of one person in ible, but the unconfused peculiar confession flesh and of the Deity." This, then, was the j cation of the anti-Chalcedonians for chargin Chalcedonians with teaching, in their dogmatic ciliar declaration, a seeming separation of the natures, in opposition to the confessions assert union of the two natures in Christ.

To a Greek bishop, the Greek terms of the (of Chalcedon were clear and convincing. Syrian bishop speaking Syriac, with its one for the two Greek terms physis ("nature") prosōpon ("person") or hypostasis, these s terms were debatable, unorthodox, and doubteven in orthodox Greek Alexandria, the anti-Cl cedonian partizans of their former patriarch Di

, charging that he had been deposed by that storianizing council," secured the consecration presbyter Timotheus Ælurus (see Monophy, §§ 3-5) as antibishop to his Chalcedonian, sor. Throughout Syria, Egypt, and the entire the charge of Nestorianizing continued to be ed and reasserted against the council of Challar partizans was inevitably approaching. rise in orthodox Greek Antioch, Peter the r, supported by his political and ecclesiastical cans, eventually displaced the Chalcedonian ant of this other apostolic see, and succeeded patriarchal authority. During the rule of the

Chalcedonian Leo, who had succeeded uitless the Emperor Marcian, the Creed of npts at Chalcedon was opposed generally by ncilia- the monks and their political partizans on. throughout the East. After his death,

the intruding Basiliscus annulled the ial approval of his two predecessors in conng the conciliar acceptance of the "Tome" the creed, but he was soon displaced by the edonian Zeno (q.v.) whose unsuccessful efforts concile the opposing ecclesiastical parties rel in the promulgation of the compromise ticon (q.v.) in 482, condemning both Nestom and Eutyches, but not imposing on the ch the creed of the fourth Council of Chalcen addition to the dogmatic declarations of the councils preceding. As before, during the ess controversy after the compromise Antie confession had been accepted by the Alexne Cyril, the extremists of both the Chalcen and the anti-Chalcedonian parties refused to conciled by this substitute neutral statement. at the Christological teaching of the Syrians uring these troubled times is evident from the ine of Philoxenus (q.v.), the anti-Chalcedonian p of Hierapolis (c. 500), and from that of the conciliar Severus (q.v.), his contemporary, and

anti-Chalcedonian patriarch of Antioch

yrian in 513. "Disturbances being caused in

stology Palestine (in 508) by a certain Nephathis lius, who, from being one of the extreme

riod. Monophysite party, had turned Chalce-

donian, and, with the assistance of the arch of Jerusalem, was expelling many monks their monasteries, Severus, seeking to counterhe movement, went to Constantinople, where ote a treatise against the charge of Eutychianthe Philalethes, against those who found the edonian doctrine in Cyril. Here he remained years until after the ordination of Timothy to æ of Constantinople (511); after which he red to Majuma and immediately set himself to sh the Henoticon compromise, whereby all menof the Council of Chalcedon had been exed, and to procure the deposition of the patri-Flavian of Antioch and Elijah of Jerusalem" h Book of the Select Letters of Severus, ed. E. W. ks. Introduction, 2 vols., London, 1902-04). i at the same time Severus of Antioch became n who wrote several books concerning the quesof the one nature of the divine and the human, aut mixture and without confusion or corruption;

so that they continue each in its own place, as the nature of man consists of a spiritual nature and of the body, and the nature of the body consists of two natures, the one material and the other of form, without the soul being changed into the body, or the material parts into the form, or the contrary" (E. F. K. Fortescue, The Armenian Church, p. 281, London, 1872). Herein is again asserted the traditional Antiochene teaching of "one compound person" of the first formula, with the added dogmatic declaration against the error of Eutyches, "without mixture, confusion, or corruption," the last word against the "aphthartodocetics" (see JULIAN OF HALICARNASSUS). The use throughout of the term "nature," where the Greeks would alternate their two corresponding terms physis ("nature") and prosopon ("person") or hypostasis, proves that the Creed of Chalcedon is untranslatable into Syriac, as it also is into Armenian and into Coptic.

This Christological creed is found developed more fully in the doctrinal declaration of Philoxenus who, in his treatise on the incarnation, asserts that the nature (i.e., the person) of Christ is composed of divinity and of humanity, without conversion, confusion, or commixture. He teaches that the Son, one of the Trinity, united himself with a human body and a rational soul in the womb of the Virgin. His body had no being before this union. In it he was born, in it he was nourished, in it he suffered and died. Yet the divine nature of the Son did not suffer or die, nor was his human nature

5. Chris- or his agency or death merely visionary, tology of as the docetic Gnostics asserted, but Philoxenus. actual and real. Furthermore, the

divine nature was not changed or transformed into the human, or confused or commixed with it; neither was the human nature changed or transmuted into the divine, or commixed or confused with it; but a peculiar cooperation (i.e., Communicatio idiomatum [q.v.]) of the two natures was effected, similar to that by means of whose union the body and soul become one human being. For as the soul and body are united in one human nature, so from the union of the divinity and the humanity of Jesus Christ has proceeded a nature (i.e., person) peculiar to himself, not simple but compound; the "one compound person" of the first Antiochene formula, also ascribed to Athanasius in his term "The one nature of the Word made flesh," and continually used by his Alexandrine successor Cyril. The Eutychians or Monophysites were, however, notorious, even before the Council of Chalcedon, for asserting, in addition to their original heresy of the absorption of the humanity of Christ by his divinity, the error that the human nature of Christ existed before his incarnation in the womb of the

During the centuries following the final separation of the anti-Chalcedonian Syrians from the Greeks of the Byzantine patriarchates, their traditional teaching concerning the several sections of the fundamental apostolic faith of the Christian Church was like that of the Greeks, formulated in an authoritative and accepted system of dogma. Therefore, when the patriarch of the Syrian Jacobite Church,

Peter Ignatius III., in the interest of the Syrians of South India under the secular authority of the English government, presented himself in

6. Modern 1874 to the archbishop of Canterbury
Syrian and the bishops of the Anglican
Confession Church, the traditional imputation to
of Faith.

or Monophysitism, could not fail to become prominent. This century-long charge was fully controverted by the following sections of the "Creed of our Holy Fathers, the Pillars of our Eastern Syrian Church, St. James of Nisibis, St. Ephraem, St. James the Divine, and others, recognized by all (churches), and also of my unworthy self (the patriarch), as taken from our Lords the Holy Apostles, and divided into twenty-five chapters or articles":

I. Whosever shall say that the Son of God is not very God, even as the Father is very God, and that he is not coequal with the Father in essence, sovereignty, and eternity, let him be anathema.

II. Whosoever shall say, that the Son is not begotten of the Father, essentially and eternally, let him be anathema. III. Whosoever shall say that the Son of God, when he

III. Whosever shall say that the Son of God, when he sojourned on earth in the flesh, was not in heaven with the Father, let him be anathema.

IV. Whoseever shall say that in that humanity, he did not sit at the right hand of the Father, and that he shall not come again as he is, to judge both the living and the dead, let him be anathems.

V. Whosoever shall say that Christ underwent change and alteration, and does not confess that his soul underwent no change, and that his body did not see corruption as it is written, let him be anathema.

VI. Whoseever shall say that Christ became perfect man by separation (from the divine essence?), and does not confess of our Lord Jesus Christ that he is one as it is written, let him be anathema.

VII. Whosever shall say that one (nature) suffered, and that the other (nature) was absent at the time of the Passion, and does not believe that God, the impassible, suffered in the flesh as it is written, let him be anathema.

VIII. Whosever shall say that Christ was human like all other men, and does not believe of him that he was incarnate and became man by the Holy Ghost and the Virgin Mary, a daughter of David, as it is written, let him be anathema.

IX. Whosoever shall say that the holy Virgin Mary is the mother of Christ, and does not confess that she brought forth the Word of God, who was incarnate, and became man, let him be anothered.

him be anathema.

X. Whosoever shall say that the body of Christ is an offspring of the divine essence, and does not confess that he
was God before the foundation of the world, who humbled
himself and took upon him the form of a servant, as it is
written, let him be anathema.

XI. Whoseever shall say that the body of Christ was a phantom or mere image, and does not confess that his was a real body like ours, and that the Virgin Mary brought forth the incarnate Word in a real body, let him be anathema.

XII. Whoseever shall say that when God the Word became

XII. Whoseever shall say that when God the Word became united to the body, the divine nature was commingled with the human nature, or that the two natures became commixed and changed so as to give rise to a third nature, and does not confess that the two natures became united in indissoluble union without confusion, mixture, or transmutation, and that they remained two natures in an unalterable unity, let him be anathema.

XIII. Whosoever shall say that the Word of God is created, and not Creator, and does not confess that he is Creator even as is the Father, and that he is coequal with the Father and the Holy Spirit in essence, power, the creation of created things, sovereignty, and eternity, let him be anathems.

XIV. Whosoever shall say that the Holy Spirit is created and not Creator, and that he is of time and not eternal, and does not confess that he is Creator even as is the Father, and as is the Son, and that he is coequal with the Father and the Son in essence, eternity, dominion, power, creation,

majesty, and sovereignty, and that he proceeds from the Father and receives from the Son, and that he is with the Father and the Son, eternal and everlasting, let him be anotherms.

XV. Whosever shall say that the Holy Spirit is not of the essence of the Father, as the Son is of the essence of his Father, and God of God, let him be anathema.

XVI. Whosever shall say that the Holy Spirit is not omnipotent, omniscient, and omnipresent, as is the Father, and as is the Son, let him be anathema.

XVII. Whoseever shall say that the visible and invisible things of creation were not created by the Father, Son, and Holy Spirit, let him be anathema.

XVIII. Whosever shall say that the Godhead of the Father, Son, and Holy Spirit is not all of one, and does not confess that the three blessed persons are verily and indeed one in eternity, dominion, sovereignty, and will, let him be anothere.

XIX. Whosever shall say that the persons of the Father, Son, and Holy Spirit are not verily and indeed coequal in all things, ever-living, having dominion over all things visble and invisible, all-judging, all-recompensing, and giving life to all, let him be anathema.

XX. Whosever shall say that the Holy Spirit is not to be adored and worshiped by all creatures, equally with the Father and the Son, let him be anathema.

XXI. Whoseever shall say that God the Father is alone God, to the exclusion of the Son, and of the Holy Spirit, and shall teach or believe that worship belongs to the Father alone, excepting them, and does not believe of the three blessed persons, the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit, that they are one God, one (object of) adoration, one judge, as the holy catholic and apostolic Church believes, let him be anathems.

anathema.

XXII. Whosoever shall say that the Trisagion which is said in the liturgy is (addressed) to the three blessed persons, and shall truly so believe, and shall then improperly add to the Trisagion, "Who wast crucified for us," and shall not believe what our Syrian Jacobite Church believe with a firm faith undoubtingly, and which ascribes the Trisagion to the only begotten Son, the Word, who was pleased to be born of the holy Virgin Mary, and become flesh, as it is written, and of his own will and pleasure was crucifed out of his great love for us, in token of his overflowing bounty and beneficence to us, let him be anathema. (Church Times, Septamber, London, 1874.)

III. The Separated Armenian Churches: Since the Armenian Church existed, for the greater part, in the borderland between the Byzantine and the Persian empires, and was actually under the rule of the latter, it was, both for this reason and because of its differing ecclesiastical language, unable to participate freely and fully in the successive ecumenical councils of the Christian Church convened in the East. Although unrepresented at the third council, that of Ephesus (431), Cyril of Alexandria addressed to the patriarch of the Armenians a statement of the doctrines discussed and the decision of the council condemning as heretical the alleged errors of Netorius. This letter was entrusted to certain pupils

of Mesrob (q.v.), whom he had sent

1. Reasons to Constantinople to translate into
for NonArmenian the several books written by
representa- the fathers of the Greek Church, and

tion at was soon after delivered by them to Ecumenical their preceptor. Thereupon Mesrob Councils. convened a synod of the Armenian

bishops, doctors, and confessors, to whom this letter of Cyril's, containing the acts and the decision of the council, was read. After they had discussed and approved its several statements, they condemned and anathematized anew the heresy ascribed to Nestorius. During the early part of 451, while the fourth council was assembling at Chalcedon, the Armenians were being persecuted persist-

doctrines connected with the Creed of Chalcedon is seen in the following commentaries on the confession of the Catholic faith found in all copies of their prayer-books used by the clergy and laity:

Wherefore, since we, in common with all other Orthodox Christians, confess the same God and the same Christ, it is most necessary to show what the Armenian Church teaches concerning the chief articles of the 4. Armenian Christian faith, namely, of God, one in three persons, of the incarnation, person, office, and merits of Christ, with all other dectrines conwetted with these. For from this may be seen whether or not the Armenians teach, as they have been charged continually by their opponents, the heresies of the Monophysites and of the Monothelites, who assert that in Christ there is only one nature and only one will.

I. We confess, and with our whole (most perfect) heart believe in, the Father, God (who is) not created, not begotten, but without beginning (who) also is begetter of the Son, and breather forth of the Holy Spirit.

II. We believe in the Word (of) God, (who is) not created, (but) begotten, and (who has his) beginning from the Father, before the worlds. Who is neither posterior nor less, but as the Father is Father, so also is the Son (truly) Son.

III. We believe in the Holy Spirit, (who is) not created (and) not of time; not begotten, but breathed forth from the Father, of the same essence with the Father, and of the same glory with the Son.

same glory with the Son.

IV. We believe in the Holy Trinity, one nature, one Godhead—not three Gods but one God—one will, one kingdom, one sovereignty, maker of things visible and invisible.

V. We believe in a holy Church, a remission of sins, and

a communion of saints.

VI. We believe (that) one of the three persons, the Word (of) God, begotten of the Father before the worlds, in time came into the Virgin Mary, the mother of God (Theotokos), took of her blood, and united it with his Godhead (divinity), dwelt patiently nine months in the womb of that pure Virgin, and was made (or became) perfect man, in spirit (or soul), and mind, and body; one person, one figure (or appearance), and united in one nature. God was made (or became) man, without change, without alteration; conception without seed, and generation without corruption. And as there is no beginning to his Godhead (divinity), so also is there no end to his humanity; for Jesus Christ is the same, yesterday, and to-day, and even forever.

VII. We believe (that) our Lord Jesus Christ, having gone

VII. We believe (that) our Lord Jesus Christ, having gone about on the earth, after thirty years, came to baptism; (that) the Father bare witness, "This is my beloved Son," and the Holy Spirit, in the likeness of a dove, came down (above him). (That) he was tempted of Satan and overcame him; preached the salvation of men, labored in the body, hungered and thirsted; and after that, of his own free will, came into (his) passion, was crucified, dead in the body, but alive in his Godhead (divinity). His body was laid in the grave, united with his Godhead (divinity), and in spirit he went down into Hades in his undivided Godhead (divinity), preached to the spirits, spoiled Hell (i.e., Hades) and set free the spirits. After three days, he arose from the dead, and appeared to the disciples.

VIII. We believe (that) our Lord Jesus Christ ascended to heaven in that same body, and sat at the right hand of God (the Father), and that he is to come (again) in the same body, and with the glory of the Father, to judge the living and the dead; that is also the resurrection of all men.

IX. We believe also in the retribution for works (done in the body); to the righteous, life everlasting, and to sinners, completing terminal to the completion.

everlasting torments.

The Armenian Church teaches constantly that Christ the Savior is God-Man, "perfect God and perfect man." But when, as a consequence of the error of Eutyches, unceasing controversies were evoked throughout the entire East, the Armenian Church introduced into its confession, to controvert his heresy, "in spirit (or soul), and mind, and body," thereby declaring that the human nature of Christ consists of all the essential parts that constitute man, truly and really, and not in appearance only. Furthermore as (the words) "spirit and mind (or intellect)" are understood (by all) to be synonymous in meaning, they therefore explain each other. The word "spirit" (in Armenian) is used for the uncreated spirit, and for the created spirit or soul, while the word "mind" is used for the intellect or conscience,

the whole of which, taken together, constitutes a completa, perfect, and true man. For as Gregory of Narek says, "since our human nature was not corrupted by sin in per alone, but wholly, in spirit (or soul), mind, and body, so also did the Word assume it wholly and unite it with himself" (with his divinity). This, therefore, was taught by the Armenian Church in opposition to the monothelits Apallinaris, as is later asserted by Nerses IV. (q.v.). Neither is the body without mind, as Apollinaris said, that God the Word dwelt in the body as a statue without spirit (Fortescue, ut sup., pp. 256-258). And the same patriare, in his formula of faith, submitted to the Emperor Emmanus Comnenus, declares also that the words "one person, one figure (or aspect)" were added against the error of Netorianism. Wherefore, says he (Fortescue, ut sup., p. 274), "do we not sever, like Nestorius, the one Christ into two natures and two parts," quoting the words of Gregory Naxianzen, "he is not one, and another, but one by that mixture (union)"; adding also the declaration of that ame orthodox father, "that it is clear that Christ is double in nature but not in personality" (cf. NPNF, 2 ser., vii. 298, 312).

Thus do the Armenians teach the two generations or births, the one from the Father before the worlds, and the other from the holy Virgin in the fulness of time, but they also confess the two natures (as inseparable, i.e., the Communicatio idiomatum) when mention is made either of the one or the other abstractedly; for they confess that the divine nature of Christ, which is of the essence of the Father, is united in the Word with human nature. Therefore Nerses IV. says, "thou who, when giving proof of thy human nature during that night, wast greatly troubled with fear." And again, when mention is made of the person of the Son of God

5. Armenian and of man, in a concrete sense slone,
Teaching Armenian fathers declare fearlessly
on the Two that he has one nature (i.e., personNatures. ality) by reason of the intimate union

(of the two natures within himself); wherefore to the confession of faith were added the words, "he is united in one nature." All the ceaseless controversies, during the centuries after the rejection of the Council of Chalcedon by the Armenians, between them and their opponents, the Greek defenders of its dogmatic conciliar declaration, were evoked by the use of this term to define the incarnation of the Word. These words, added to the confession of faith after Cyrl of Alexandria had used them in his controversy with Nestorius (i.e., the Alexandrine phrase of Athanasius, "the one nature of the Word made flesh"), as adopted by an orthodox father, were thenceforth defended by the Armenians, even though their adversaries, by citing the words against them, seemingly proved that the Armenian teaching on the incarnation and the person of Christ was heterodox, and Eutychian or Monophysitic. But during this same period when the Armenians began to use this term against the assumed Nestorianizing teaching of the Creed of Chalcedon, Severus, later the anti-Chalcedonian patriarch of Antioch, was likewise using it against those who sought to support the doctrine of Chalcedon by citations from the writings of Cyril. Athanasius declares (De incarnatione, vol. ii.): "We confess the Son of God to be God according to the Spirit, and man according to the flesh; not two natures in the one, and only one nature to be worshipped and another not (d. the

^{*} Fortescue, ut sup. p. 278.

intiochene formula of faith, above), but one nature nade flesh of the Word of God, and adored with his esh in one and the same worship." And, later, his dexandrine successor, Cyril, asserts anew this eclaration of Athanasius against the error ascribed o Nestorius: "We say that the two natures are mited, yet so that, after the union, the division xists no longer. We believe the nature of the Son o be one, when made man, and in the flesh' Epist. ad Eulog.). But since Eutyches had also seerted that the divinity and humanity in Christ resulted only in one nature, the use of these same words, taken from Athanasius and Cyril, although both were orthodox Fathers of the Church, after the dissensions evoked by the disputes concerning the Chalcedonian doctrine, compelled the Armenians, ke the Syrian opponents of the Creed of Chalcedon, o defend themselves against the Greek Chalceonians, who charged both Armenians and Syrians rith concealing their Eutychian monophysitic rror by adhering to them. Therefore Nerses of ambron declares definitely (Fortescue, ut sup., p. 77): "We do not say of the Word made flesh hat he has one nature, confounding the property of essences, as they (i.e., the Greeks) imagine, but according to an ineffable union of these

6. Armenian two natures in one personality and Rejection Godhead (in one divine person)."
of Eutych- This same statement was reaffirmed by ianism. him at the Synod of Tarsus, when, as

a result of the antagonism between the Greeks and the Armenians arising from their refusal to accept the Creed of Chalcedon, they had been lenounced to the Latins of the West as Eutychans. Nerses IV., in his declaration of doctrine lelivered to the Greek emperor of the East, states colemnly (Fortescue, ut sup., p. 277): "Neither do we, like Eutyches and his followers, gather two 'natures) into one by confusion and alteration"; and later he affirms this again by saying: "Thus have they refuted and disproved the mode of conusion held by Eutyches and his followers, and all hose who, before and after him, said erroneously that n Christ is only one nature, by declaring that each nature, the divine and the human, continues unchanged, undestroyed in the union of the two." And, finally, he concludes his dissertation on the docrines taught by the Armenian Church by declaring Fortescue, ut sup. p. 277): "Wherefore, in accordince with what has been delivered unto us by the orthodox fathers, we do anathematize all those who ay that the nature of the Word made flesh is one, by means of confusion and alteration; and that ne did not take his human nature and unite it with his Godhead, but that he created for himself a oody in the womb of the Virgin; or that he brought t from heaven; or that he appeared man only to the eye and not really (or in truth); and all others who may hold one nature in any such sense." The true teaching concerning the person of Christ as expressed in the phrase "the union of Christ in one nature," according to these doctrinal declarations of the Armenians, is summarized clearly and convincingly by the Patriarch Nerses IV. (Fortescue, ut sup. p. 278): "We believe thus, that God the Word, who was begotten of the Father before all worlds,

who is invisible and impassible, took our nature perfectly from the Virgin and united it with his divine nature, without confusion in an indivisible union; and he continued invisible in his divinity, but visible through his humanity; impalpable and palpable." (See, further, Christology, Monophysites.)

Ernest C. Margrander.

NESTORIUS: J. F. Bethune-Baker's Nestorius and his Teaching: a Fresh Examination of the Evidence, with Special Reference to the Newly Discovered Apology of Nestorius (The Bazaar of Heraclides; Cambridge, 1908), referred to in the article NES-TORIUS, was not much utilized in the preparation of the article. The importance of the newly discovered Syriac work, translations of the more important parts of which Bethune-Baker has incorporated in his book, seems to the editors to justify a supplementary article. It may be remarked that Bethune-Baker, an English Churchman, is deeply interested in the Nestorians of Persia, and is anxious to see every obstacle to the union of the Nestorians with the Anglican church removed. He rejoices in the discovery of Nestorius's account of his own part in the great controversy, written in his Egyptian exile near the close of his life when all hope of personal advantage had vanished, and evidently expressing his inmost convictions respecting the relation of the divine and the human in the person of Christ.

The conclusion has long seemed warranted that Nestorius was a victim of malicious partizanship in which Cyril of Alexandria was the chief actor, and the hatred of the monks aroused by Nestorius's objection to the expression "Mother of God" applied to Mary. The Bazaar of Heraclides makes this conclusion certain. His description of the proceedings of the Council of Ephesus (431), while it manifests a bitter feeling against Cyril, must be regarded as essentially correct. "Was it the synod and the emperor who summoned it that heard my cause, if he (Cyril) was ranked among the judges? But why should I say 'ranked among the judges'? He was the whole tribunal; for everything that he said was at once said by all of them as well, and they unhesitatingly agreed with him as the personification of the court. Now if all the judges were assembled, and the accusers were set in their ranks, and the accused also in like manner, all should have had equal liberty of speech. But if he (Cyril) was everything—accuser and emperor and judge—then he did everything, ousting from this authority him who was appointed by the emperor and setting himself in his place, and assembling to himself those whom he wanted, both far and near, and making himself the court. And so I was summoned by Cyril, who assembled the synod, and by Cyril who was its head. Who is judge? Cyril. And who the accuser? Cyril. Who the bishop of Rome? Cyril. Cyril was everything." After giving still further emphasis to the statement that Cyril had managed to equip himself with imperial and papal authority, and had packed the synod to suit himself, he describes the "rabble of idlers and country-folk" assembled by Memnon, bishop of Ephesus, and Cyril, who armed with clubs paraded the streets shouting and yelling against Nestorius and his friends, building fires and burning their writings, and threatening their lives. "Who could refrain from weeping when he remembers the wrongs done at Ephesus! And would God it were against me and against my life they were done, and not in a wrong cause! For then I should have no need of these words on behalf of one who was meet to be punished; but on behalf of our Savior Jesus Christ, the just Judge, for whose sake I have undertaken to endure patiently, that the whole body of Christ may not be accused."

Nestorius was deeply concerned to maintain the true and complete humanity of Christ over against Arian curtailment to mere body and Appollinarian curtailment to body and soul, as well as against monophysite absorption of the humanity by the infinite deity. The following clear statement from the Bazaar of Heraclides is significant: "We were discussing whether it was right to understand and to say that the proper things of the flesh and of the reasonable (rational) soul, and the proper things of God the Word, both belong to God the Word by nature; or whether we should say of Christ that the two natures were united in him in a union of one person. And I was saying and maintaining that the union was of the one person of Christ. And I was showing that God the Word certainly became man, and that Christ is God the Word and at the same time man, inasmuch as he became man. And for this reason it was that the Fathers (Nicene), when teaching us who Christ is, about whom there was a dissension, first laid down those things of which Christ consists. But thou (Cyril) because thou wishest that the person of the union should be God the Word in both natures, dost neglect these things as superfluous, and dost neglect to make a beginning from them." He thus charges Cyril with contradicting the Nicene teaching in maintaining that after the union the humanity is no longer distinguishable, but that Christ is God the Word in whom there is no distinction between humanity and deity.

In his private discussions at Ephesus with Theodotus and Acacius, Nestorius was reported to have said that he "would not call a two- or three-months old babe God," and much was made of the seeming irreverence of the statement. According to his own account of the matter in the Syriac version, he did not mean to say that he could not bring himself to call a babe God, but that he objected to calling God a babe (see Bethune-Baker, ut sup., pp. 75-77). In his discussion at Ephesus with Acacius of Melitene Nestorius found that the latter "had fallen into two errors. For first he perversely asked a question which laid upon those who were to answer it the necessity of either denying altogether that the Godhead of the Only-begotten became man, or confessing—what is impious—that the Godhead of the Father and the Holy Spirit also became incarnate with the Word."

When we consider how completely accordant Nestorius's teaching respecting the person of Christ was with that of his predecessors of the Antiochian school and with the Nicene Christology, it seems strange that John of Antioch should have consented

to his anathematization and his banishment. Either John misunderstood Nestorius's teaching, or he was weak enough to sacrifice a great and good man with whom he was in substantial agreement for the sake of peace. The latter alternative seems the more probable.

When Nestorius learned of the proceedings of the "Robber Synod" of Ephesus in 449, at which Flavian, patriarch of Constantinople, was almost beaten to death by a howling mob instigated by Dioscurus, patriarch of Alexandria, he felt that history had repeated itself, Dioscurus having gone beyond Cyril not in principle, but only in the degree of the violence for which he was responsible. He rejoiced exceedingly when Leo of Rome, in his letter to Flavian, adopted almost in toto the statement of the doctrine of the person of Christ for which he had been anathematized, and for which he was dying in exile. He considered the symbol of Chalcedon and the endorsement by the synod of Leo's letter, the writings of Theodoret. Theodore of Monsuestia. and Ibas, all of which were in full agreement with his own teaching, as a complete vindication of his orthodoxy, and he was content to die an excommunicated heretic now that the truth had prevailed. He naturally viewed with satisfaction the utter discomfiture of Dioscurus. Nothing was done at Chalcedon or in Rome to relieve the aged theologian of the obloquy that had cost him so much suffering. "The goal of my earnest wish, then, is that God may be blessed on earth as in heaven. But as for Nestorius let him be anathema. . . . And would to God that all men by anathematizing me might attain to a reconciliation with God; for to me there is nothing greater or more precious than this" (Bethune-Baker, ut sup., p. 190). The concluding sentences of the Bazaar of Heraclides are full of pathos: "As for me, I have borne the sufferings of my life and all that has befallen me in this world as the suffering of a single day; and I have not changed, lo, all these years. And now, lo, I am already on the point to depart, and daily I pray to God to dismiss me-me, whose eyes have seen his salvation. Rejoice with me, O Desert, thou my friend and mine upbringer and my place of sojourning; and thou, Exile, my mother, who after my death shalt keep my body until the resurrection cometh in the time of God's good pleasure" (Bethune-Baker, ut sup., p. 36). A. H. NEWMAN.

OBERLIN THEOLOGY: The name given to the theological views of A. Mahan, C. G. Finney, and J. H. Fairchild (qq.v.) between the years 1833 and 1902. The basis for this theology is found in the New England theology (q.v.), with which it is in general agreement on the doctrine of the Scriptures, the Trinity, the atonement, means of grace, and eschatology. Its distinctive features are, (1) its notion of the ground of obligation, which is defined as the good of being in general, or of sentient being (cf. J. H. Fairchild, Moral Philosophy, New York, 1869); (2) its theory of "the simplicity of moral action"—the will, self-determining, is at each moment either wholly virtuous or wholly sinful; (3) the idea of sanctification as that of a process which, beginning in an act of will, is characterised

and accepting the teaching of the holy Scriptures as understood by the fathers, doctors, and confessors of the first eight centuries of the undivided Christian Church throughout the world.

II. A validly ordained ministry in the apostolic succession is not alone sufficient for Christian and Catholic unity. For we must also accept the Apostles', the Nicene, and the Athanasian Creeds without addition (of the Filioque) or subtraction from the faith.

III. We also acknowledge and accept the dogmatic de crees of the seven ecumenical councils as the fundamental basis of unity in the Christian faith, and, in addition, all orthodox definitions of the synods of Bethlehem [see JERU-BALEM, SYNOD OF and of Trent, concerning the seven sacraments, as clear and concise statements of the doctrines taught by the Catholic Church throughout the world.

V. We reject the authority and deny the infallibility of any patriarch who claims, contrary to the canons of the seven ecumenical councils, supreme and sole jurisdiction over the one holy Catholic and apostolic Church of Christ.

V. The monastic life among Orthodox Catholics is a devout life of voluntary sacrifice to God, and of willing service and love toward men. We do not adore the images of Jesus Christ, the Blessed Virgin, and the saints, but venerate them as representing sacred persons.

VI. We believe firmly, according to the inspired teaching of the holy Scriptures, that there is only one Mediator of redemption between God and man, Christ Jesus (I Tim. ii. 5). We believe also that the intercessory prayers of the saints, who are our glorified brethren in the Church Tri-umphant, are joined with those of us who are in the Church Militant on earth, for we are united in that one communion of saints of the creed

VII. Finally, we permit no dissent from the orthodox doctrines of our faith, for no one may add to, or take away from, the fundamental faith of the one holy Catholic and apostolic Church of Christ.

The Orthodox Catholic Church, therefore, invites all clergy and Christians in the Western patriarchate

who seek to assist and support the 3. Aims movement for Christian union, not only of the separated non-Roman comof the Orthodox munions organized since the sixteenth, seventeenth, and later centuries, but Catholic Church. also of all divisions, Eastern and Western, older or younger, larger or smaller,

of the one holy Catholic Church to study seriously that fundamental faith of the undivided Christian Church of the seven ecumenical councils. Only by returning freely and fully to the primitive apostolic principles, and to that traditional orthodox teaching developed carefully and consistently from them, which preserved, for generation after generation, the unity in the faith of the Christian Church during the passing perils of those destructive divisions, can sectarianism, heresy, and schism be restrained, averted, and resisted in the present and the future, as it has been historically in those past ecclesiastical periods. Finally, the archbishop of the Orthodox Catholic Church of America, with his two senior suffragans, has recently reaffirmed the same principles promulgated by the Orthodox Catholic episcopate of Europe in their Utrecht Declaration (for which see below) in the following pastoral addressed to the clergy and Christians throughout the western world:

Declaration of faith and ecclesiastical principles solemnly promulgated for the purpose of aiding in the reformation of the Latin Church, and the reorganization of the Roman Curia, according to the spirit of the primitive Christian Church in the Western Patriarchate, of orthodox and glorious memory.

In the name of the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit, the eternal, consubstantial, and undivided Trinity.

We, Joseph Réné Archbishop Vilatte, Stephen Bishop

Kaminski, and Paul Bishop Miraglia-by the grace of God and the free suffrages of our faithful, through the Apostelic Succession transmitted lawfully, validly, and canonically to us from that venerable Patriarchal See of the East, founded in Antioch by the blessed Apostle Peter himself, which, with its indisputable apostolic authority, rights, and powers, has been continued without interruption unto this day—validly consecrated bishops of the Catholic Church, joined in ecclesiastical union, and canonically assembled in the name of the Lord, in the orthodox Catholic Cathedral

of Buffalo, on this the Feast of the Circum-4. Pascision of Christ commemorated in the year nineteen hundred and ten, do hereby at toral of ly affirm, repeat, and declare anew, that our Vilatte, Faith and Teaching is the apostolic, orthodox, and catholic doctrine as it has been truly defined, confirmed, and established by Kaminski, and seven ecumenical councils of the us Miraglia. divided Church. Moreover, in the canonical exercise of our apostolic mission and authority,

and especially for the strengthening of our faithful, and the perfecting of our ministry in the several divisions of the West-ern Patriarchate, viz., in America, Europe, and Africa, we secept and declare the general authority of the use of the Latin Rite. For from the Western Ritual books we are able not only to extract and teach truly and faithfully the apostolic and primitive orthodox doctrine of the Church of Christ, but also, by means of their careful explanation and use, to restore it more and more to its former exalted state. Furthermore, we exhort with our whole heart and in boundless charity a e who call themselves Christians, who believe and hope in Christ the Incarnate Son of God and Savior of men, that while preserving and defending all consistent spiritual liberty which is the fruit of righteousness, we may truly become more and more one in faith, hope, and love, offering with-out ceasing continual prayers and devout petitions to the compassionate and most high God, beseeching him, the etsnal Father of us all, to have mercy on those who monly called unbelievers, materialists, and rationalists, the members of whom through the grievous circumstances of our times, are increasing more and more, and to illumine the darkness of their doubting restless minds, so that, converted and led by the Holy Spirit, they may be restored to the communion of the Church of Christ.

Finally, let us both labor for Christian and fraternal unity, and pray ever more fervently to the Triune God imploring the hastening of that coming day which is to bring the longawaited triumph of the one Holy Catholic and Apostolic Church, that glorious future day when all faithful follows of the Incarnate Son of God shall become united again, one fold and one shepherd, who is the risen and ascended Christ

May the Triune God, the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit, through the ceaseless proclamation of the holy and eternal Gospel of Christ, favor and assist us in our work for his Glory in the Church Militant on earth. Amen.

Given in the city of Buffalo on the day, month, and year designated above.

To this document may be appended the Utrecht Declaration, to which allusion has already been

We, Johannes Heykamp, Archbishop of Utrecht, Carparus Johannes Rinkel, Bishop of Haarlem, Cornelius Diependaal, Bishop of Deventer, Joseph Hubert Reinkens, Bishop of the Old Catholic Church of Germany, and Eduard Herze, Bishop of the Christian Catholic Church of Switzerland, assembled on this four and twentieth day of September, eighteen hundred and eighty-nine, at the archiepiscop residence at Utrecht, having invoked the

assistance of the Holy Spirit, address the 5. The 5. The following Declaration to the Catholic Church:
Utrecht Dec- "Having assembled in conference in relaration. sponse to an invitation from the undersign Archbishop of Utrecht, we have determined

henceforward to hold consultation together from time to time on matters of common interest, in conjunction with time of matters of common interest, in conjunction will be assistants, councilors, and theologians. We deem it fitting that, at this our first meeting, we should set forth a bis declaration of the ecclesiastical principles on which we have hitherto exercised our episcopal office, and shall continue to the choice of the cho exercise it in the future, as we have already in separate de-larations repeatedly taken occasion to state. hold firmly to the ancient ecclesiastical rule formuincent of Lerins, 'Id tensamus quod ubique, quod uod ab omnibus creditum est; hoc etenim vere catholicum.'

srefore hold fast to the faith of the Ancient Church of in the Ecumenical Creeds, and in the universally logmatic decisions of the Ecumenical Councils of ided Church of the first one thousand years. e reject as opposed to the Faith of the Ancient

e reject as opposed to the Faith of the Ancient and destructive of its primitive constitution, the hecross of July 18, 1870, concerning the infallithe universal episcopate or the ecclesiastical omof the Pope of Rome. But this does not hinder ecognizing the historical primacy attributed by cumenical Councils, and Fathers of the early o the Bishop of Rome as primus inter pares, with tof the entire Church of the first one thousand

Ve reject also as not founded on Holy Scripture, and ditions of the first centuries, the declaration of Plus e year 1854, concerning the Immaculate Concepary.

o also respecting the other dogmatic decrees issued shops of Rome in later times, viz., the Bulls 'Uniund 'Auctorem fidei,' the 'Syllabus of 1864' and ronouncements, we reject them so far as they are to the teaching of the early Church, and do not regard them as authoritative. Furthermore, we the solemn protests which, in times past, the Anholic Church of Holland has made against Rome.

e do not accept the Council of Trent in its decisions g discipline; and its doctrinal definitions we accept far as they agree with the teaching of the early

ince the Holy Eucharist has always formed the cenn the divine service of the Catholic Church, we ur duty to declare that we hold firmly, and with ty, the ancient Catholic faith concerning the Sac[the Altar, in which we believe that we truly reBody and Blood of our Lord Jesus Christ himself,
forms of bread and wine.

elebration of the Eucharist in the Church is not a repetition or renewal of that atoning sacrifice rist offered once for all upon the Cross, but its sacaracter consists in this, that it is a perpetual methat sacrifice, and a real representation on Earth ne offering of Christ for the salvation of redeemed which, according to Heb. ix. 11-12, is continually by Christ in Heaven, where he now appears for presence of God (Heb. ix. 24). While this is truly e of the Eucharist in its relation to that one sacririst, it is, at the same time, a holy sacrificial feast he faithful, receiving the Body and Blood of Christ, munion one with another (I Cor. x. 17).

We trust that, through the efforts of theologians, y be found, while holding fast to the Faith of the Unchurch, to reconcile the differences which have see the divisions. We exhort the clergy under our oth in their sermons and in other religious instructions the sermons are in other religious instructions that it is the chiefly those essential truths of the Christophasize chiefly the section of the wind in the conference of the conference of the conference of the conference of the Christophasize chiefly the conference of the conference of the Christophasize chiefly the chiefl

We believe that it is by holding firmly to the teachsus Christ, while rejecting all errors which through y of men have been mingled with it, and also all leal abuses and hierarchical ambitions, that we nost to counteract the unbelief and the religious inwhich are the screet evils of our times. a st Utreeht September 24, 1889."

ERNEST C. MARGRANDER.

HOTHERAPY AND CHRISTIAN SCITwo systems which, both seeking to cure
by mental healing, possess, at least superpoints in common. For the better elucida-

Christian Science standpoint.

tion of the relation between the two, the following should be read in connection with PSYCHOTHERAPY, § 6. It is admitted, and it must so be understood by the reader, that this emendation is from the standpoint of a Christian Science practitioner; and it must also be understood that the theology and the healing of Christian Science are inseparable—in other words, it is the religious activity of the Christian Scientist, regenerating and transforming the mental, moral, and spiritual state of practitioner and patient, that brings physical healing. Christian Science, therefore, is a system which treats a diseased condition successfully, because it makes a whole man (cf. definition and derivation of the word "health" in the Standard Dictionary). Briefly, the therapeutics of Christian Science (see Science, Christian, I., 1, § 6) may be said to be set forth in the following passage from Science and Health with Key to the Scriptures, p. 138: "Jesus established in the Christian era the precedent for all Christianity, theology, and healing. Christians are under as direct orders now, as they were then, to be Christlike, to possess the Christ-spirit, to follow the Christexample, and to heal the sick as well as the sinning. It is easier for Christianity to cast out sickness than sin, for the sick are more willing to part with pain than are sinners to give up the sinful, so-called pleasure of the senses. The Christian can prove this to-day as readily as it was proved centuries ago. Our Master said to every follower: 'Go ye into all the world, and preach the gospel to every creature! . . . Heal the sick! . . . Love thy neighbor as thyself!' It was this theology of Jesus which healed the sick and the sinning. It is his theology in this book and the spiritual meaning of this theology, which heals the sick and causes the wicked to 'forsake his way, and the unrighteous man his thoughts." Lack of space prevents amplification of the statement that the theology and the healing of Christian Science are one, but if the earnest inquirer will accept the scriptural accounts of healing as being true, and will conform his mental attitude, reading, and study to the endeavor of proving, and not of disproving, these statements, he will get an insight into the methods by which the healing works of Jesus and others were accomplished, and understand why Mrs. Eddy refers to such works, no matter in what century they are done, as mind-healing. As an aid and incentive to further research the student is directed to the following definitions from the Standard Dictionary: "Christian. Relating to or derived from Christ or his doctrines." "Science. Knowledge gained or verified by exact observation and correct thinking, especially as methodically formulated and arranged in a rational system. "Theology. The branch of theological science that treats of God, etc." "Theological Science. The branch of science that treats of God and the relations of God and man." (Cf. also the word "soteriology, appropriated by both materia medica and scholastic theology, but which explains Christian Science as Christ Jesus exemplified its true meaning, i.e., the science of delivering.) No Christian can doubt that the relation of God to man is that of a deliverer from all evil, including the evil of disease; nor can the Christian doubt that Christ Jesus brought to

the world just that message. Christian Science is a rational system of exact knowledge, derived from the spiritual meaning of the Bible and the doctrines of Christ Jesus, setting forth the relations of God and man. The understanding of this demonstrable system as methodically formulated by Mrs. Eddy so influences the mental, moral, and spiritual state of man as to heal him and make him "every whit whole" (John vii. 23). This is true and sure healing, and the highest expression of psychotherapy. HENRY VAN ARSDALE.

RENTOUL, JOHN LAURENCE: Presbyterian; b. at Garvagh (25 m. e. of Londonderry), County Derry, Ireland, July 6, 1846. He was educated at Queen's College, Belfast, Queen's University, Dublin (M.A., 1868), the Assembly College, Belfast, and the University of Leipsic. He was minister successively of St. George's, Southport, Lancashire (1872-79), and of St. George's, St. Kilda, Melbourne (1879-84), and since 1884 has been connected with Ormond College, Melbourne University, first as professor of Hebrew and of Christian philosophy (1884-88) and later as professor of New-Testament Greek and exegesis (since 1888). He is president of the faculty of Ormond College, and was moderator of the General Assembly at Victoria in 1890, and a delegate to the Pan-Presbyterian Council at Toronto two years later. He is founder and president of the Peace, Humanity, and Arbitration Society, and from this point of view has opposed the South African war, defended the Australian aborigines, and sought to further the settlement of labor difficulties by arbitration. addition to a large number of contributions to periodicals, etc., he has written Sermons Preached at Southport (London, 1876); also The Early Church and the Roman Claims; and Prayers for Australian Households.

SCOTT, ERNEST FINDLAY: Canadian Presbyterian; b. at Tow Law (10 m. w. of Durham), England, March 18, 1868. He was educated at Glasgow University (M.A., 1888), Balliol College, Oxford (B.A., 1892), and the United Presbyterian College, Edinburgh (1894). He was minister of the United Free Church at Prestwick, Scotland, from 1895 to 1908, and was also Robertson Lecturer in Glasgow University in 1906-07, while since 1908 he has been professor of New-Testament literature in Queen's University, Kingston, Ont. In theology he belongs to the liberal school, and has written The Fourth Gospel, its Purpose and Theology (Edinburgh, 1906; 2d ed., 1908), The Apologetic of the New Testament (London, 1907), The Historical and Religious Value of the Fourth Gospel (Boston, 1909), and The Kingdom and the Messiah (Edinburgh, 1911).

SOUTH SEA ISLANDS: Niue or Savage Island: This island lies between 18 and 19° south latitude and 170° west longitude. It is about 350 miles s.e. of Samoa, is about 40 miles in circumference, and has a population of about 5,000. It was annexed to New Zealand in 1901. It was called Savage Island by Captain Cook owing to the character of the natives who, he says, rushed upon him like wild boars. Unsuccessful attempts at evangelization were made by the Rev. John Williams and others of the London

Missionary Society, but in 1846 the Rev. William Wyatt Gill and Rev. Henry Nisbet were able to place on the island a native teacher named Peniamina. In 1849 Paulo and his wife, Samoans who had been trained at the Malua Institution, were landed there, and several of the missionaries visited the island. In 1861 the Rev. William George Laws became the first resident missionary, and after his appointment to New Guinea his brother, Rev. Francis Edwin Lawes, was in sole charge of the mission till 1909. There are now in connection with the London Missionary Society 11 native ordained agents, 16 native preachers, 1,800 church-members, 2,077 adherents, 11 Sunday-schools with 1,312 scholars, and 12 day schools with 1,220 scholars.

ARTHUR N. JOHNSON.

SUPERANNUATION: Disqualification for active service by reason primarily of age, then of physical or mental disability. In Germany there exist institutions known as Emeritenanstalten which have as their object the support of superannuated servants of the Church, the basis being the consideration that those who have devoted their powers to this service have a claim upon the Church for support so long as they live, and that it is a duty of the organization to provide for them. But it is considered nothing less than fair that the minister, so long as he holds a lucrative position, contribute an allotted proportion of his income in view of the possibility of his becoming emeritus. There are in many places also funds which are derived in part at least from the income from a parish during the intervals when by reason of a vacancy there is no salary to be paid or from some portion of it. In different parts of the German Empire different ordinances are in force with reference to the proportion thus to be applied. The Roman Catholics have institutions known as domus emeritorum or Priesterhospitale which serve the purpose of supporting aged or disabled priests, in some cases the buildings of former monastic institutions being applied to this end.

(H. F. JACOBSONT.) In England and America the support of superannuated ministers is accomplished by the establishment of special funds, partly derived from endowments and partly from collections made annually or more frequently, under the care of boards which form a part of the machinery of the different denominations. Homes are also maintained to which the minister may retire when his service is ended.

THEOLOGICAL SEMINARIES: United Brethren in Christ—Bonebrake Theological Seminary: This is the only theological institution under the auspices of the church of the United Brethren in Christ, which now numbers 293,000 members. It is located at Dayton, O., and was opened for work Oct. 11, 1871, under the name of Union Biblical Seminary. In recognition of a gift of Kansas lands by Mr. and Mrs. John M. Bonebrake of Veedersburg, Ind., valued at \$50,000 and upward, the board of directors in Jan., 1909, changed the name. The first faculty consisted of Rev. Lewis Davis, D.D., and Rev. George A. Funkhouser, A.M. Rev. J. P. Landis, A.M., then pastor of Summit Street Church, rendered assistance in Hebrew

and homiletics, and in 1880 was elected to the chair of Hebrew and pastoral theology. The same year Rev. A. W. Drury, A.M., was called to the chair of church history, being transferred to the chair of systematic theology in 1895, upon the death of John W. Etter, D.D.

The present faculty consists of Rev. J. P. Landis, Ph.D., D.D., president and professor of Old-Testament theology and Hebrew exegesis; Rev. G. A. Funkhouser, D.D., LL.D., Greek exegesis; Rev. A. W. Drury, D.D., systematic theology; Rev. S. D. Faust, D.D., church history; Rev. J. G. Huber, D.D., homiletics and secretary of faculty; Rev. J. Balmer Showers, B.D., New-Testament exegesis; Rev. W. A. Weber, B.D., religious pedagogy and education.

Four courses of study are offered: the regular course, which is substantially the equivalent of theological courses in the seminaries of our country; the English course, offered to persons not having a college diploma, and others who may not wish the Hebrew; a two-years' missionary course and a two-years' deaconess course. It will thus appear that women are admitted, most of whom have prepared for mission work or as parish deaconesses, though several have completed the English course and several have taken the regular course, which includes Hebrew and Greek.

This seminary was one of the very first to introduce studies in Sunday-school lines, and six years ago the chair of religious pedagogy and education was constituted. Prominence has also for years been given to missions. Thirty-eight students have gone to the foreign field, and a large number into

the home-mission field of the West. While work has for several years been done in sociology, in 1911 the work in this department was considerably extended, and the authorities are looking to the establishment soon of a chair of sociology and applied Christianity.

Upward of 400 have graduated and as many more have taken partial courses. The effort is to keep theological scholarship and practical training as well balanced as possible. Extensive grounds have been purchased in the northwestern part of the city for relocation of the seminary, the expansion of the institution requiring more room and greater facilities.

The general conference of the church elects the board of directors and a business manager, the present incumbent (1911) being Rev. J. E. Fout, D.D. J. P. LANDIS.

VOS, GEERHARDUS: Presbyterian; b. at Heerenveen (32 m. s.w. of Groningen), Holland, Mar. 14, 1862. He was educated at the gymnasium at Amsterdam (1881), the theological school of the Holland Christian Reformed Church, Grand Rapids, Mich. (1881-83), Princeton Theological Seminary (1883-85), and the universities of Berlin (1885-86) and Strasburg (Ph.D., 1888). From 1888 to 1893 he was a professor in the theological seminary at Grand Rapids, and since that time has been professor of Biblical theology in Princeton Theological Seminary. He has written The Mosaic Origin of the Pentateuchal Codes (New York, 1886), Kampfe und Streitigkeiten zwischen den Banu Ummajja und den Banu Hashim (1888), De verbondsleer in de gereformeerde theologie (1891), and Teaching of Jesus concerning the Kingdom of God and the Church (1903).

CONSPECTUS OF CONTRIBUTORS AND SIGNED ARTICLES

Lycopolis. resbyterate, I. tæ Virgines. m Domini Nostri Jesu an Gérard Richard). n. /ILLIAM, D.D. Union. ergus. mes. ph. OTTO WILHELM FER-Th.D. olaus. ILLIAM HENRY, Ph.D. Libraries, III. Seminaries, I., 2. §§ 14-16. ANK DE GRAFF, D.D. Seminaries, VII., 17. VILHELM, Ph.D. Aura. Reims itractus.

SAMUEL JAMES (†), illiam Watson. n Bate. mas. ostolic Church. Henry, 1. ohann August. DOLF (†). sgesellschaft, Die

BRAYMAN WILLIAM, L.D. Seminaries, IX., 1.

STIN EDWARDS, D.D.
Saraswati.

Roy.
Chandra.
Cha AULÉN, GUSTAF EMANUEL HILDE-BRAND, Th.Lic. Sweden, III. AYRINHAC, HENRY AMANTI S.S., D.D., LL.D. Theological Seminaries, XVII., 1. Amantius, BACHMANN, JOHANNES (†), Th.D. Hengstenberg, Ernst Wilhelm. BACON, BENJAMIN WISNER, D.D., LL.D., Litt.D. Jesus Christ, B. Papias, §§ 8-12. BAECHTOLD, CARL AUGUST, Ph.D. Kirchhofer, Melchior. BAENTSCH, BRUNO (†), Ph.D., Th.D. Siegfried, Karl Adolf. Bain, John Alexander, M.A. Los von Rom. BALOGH, FERENCZ, D.D.
Bible Versions, B., X.
Bod, Peter.
Ember, Paulus.
Kis, Stephanus.
Melius, Peter.
Pázmány, Peter.
Révész, Imre. BANG, ANTON CHRISTIAN, Th.D. Norway. BARDE, EDOUARD (†), D.D. Bost, Paul Ami Isaac David. Gaussen, François Samuel Robert Louis. Malan, César Henri Abraham. BARGE, HERMANN, Ph.D. Carlstadt, Andreas Rudolf Boden-stein von. BARROWS, SAMUEL JUNE (†), D.D. Capital Punishment, III. Prison Reform, III.-IV. BAUR, WILHELM (†), Th.D. Church Diet, German Evangelical.

BAYLES, GEORGE JAMES, Ph.D. Church and State, II. Clergy, IV. Ecclesiology. Jurisdiction, Ecclesiastical, II. Property, Ecclesiastical, II.

Religious Corporations in the Uni States. BEARDSLEE, JOHN WALTER, D. LL.D. Theological Seminaries, XV. b., 2. BEATON, DONALD. Presbyterians, I., 4 Presbyterians, I., 4.

BECK, HERMANN GEORG JULIU
Habermann, Johann.
Mueller, Heinrich.
Neumann, Caspar.
Neumark, Georg.
Neumeister, Erdmann.
Rieger, Georg Konrad.
Rieger, Karl Heinrich.
Roos, Magnus Friedrich.
Schaitberger, Joseph.
Schmolck, Benjamin.
Seriver, Christian.
Starck, Johann Friedrich.
Steinhofer, Maximilian Friedrich
Christoph.

BECKWITH. CLARENCE AUGU Christopin.

BECKWITH, CLARENCE AUGI
TINE, D.D.
Accommodation, § 8.
Adam, I., § § 3-4.
Adiaphora and the Adiaphoristic C
troversies (supplement).
Adoption, § 10.
Albertus Magnus.
Anselm, Saint, of Canterbury.
Anthropomorphism and Anthropo
thism. thism.
Antichrist (supplement).
Apocatastasis (supplement).
Arminius, Jacobus, and Arminian (supplement).
Christology, XI.
Conscience, § 7.
Conversion (supplement).
Eachatology. thism. Conversion (supplement).
Eschatology.
Ethics, I. (supplement), II., § 10.
Evolution, V.
Future Punishment.
God, IV.
Guilt. Guilt.

Haif-Way Covenant.

Hartmann, Karl Robert Eduard v
Holiness of God, §§ 7-8.

Hume, David.

Idealism, III.

Immortality, VIII.

Inspiration, § 12.

Intermediate State.

Judgment, Divine.

Justification, III., § 7.

Kant. Immanuel. Kant, Immanuel.
Kenosis, § 18.
Mediator.
Millennium, Millenarianism, §§ 1 Millennium, Millenarianism, §§ 11.
Miracles, § 7.
Mysticism, II., §§ 6-7.
Natural Theology.
Oberlin Theology (in Appendix).
Optimism. Orthodoxy and Heterodoxy, § 4. Pantheism, § 9. Perfectionism. Perseverance of the Saints.
Pessimism.
Polemics, § 5.
Pragmatism. Prayer-Gage Debate. Probation, Future.

BECKWITH-Providence, § 11. Purgatory. Rationalism and Supernaturalism, IV. Rationalism and Supernaturalism, IV.
Religion, II.
Romanticism.
Satisfaction.
Schopenhauer, Arthur.
Son of God.
Son of Man.
Soul and Spirit, Biblical Conceptions of.
Spencer, Herbert.
Spirit of God, Biblical View of.
Teleology.
Theological Science, American Contributions to.
Theological Seminaries, III., 4.
Theology as a Science, III.
Union of the Churches, V.
Virgin Birth.
Voltaire, François Marie Arouet de.
Will, Freedom of the, IV.
Wordsworth, William. BEECHER, WILLIS JUDSON, D.D. Total Abstinence. BEER, GEORG, Ph.D., Th.Lic. Pseudepigrapha, Old Testament. Syria, I.-III. Zephaniah. BEETS, HENRY. Reformed Church, Christian. Reformed (Dutch) Church, III. BEHM, HEINBICH, Ph.D. Mecklenburg-Schwerin. Mecklenburg-Strellitz. BELSHEIM, JOHANNES (†).
Bible Versions, B., V., XV.
Caspari, Carl Paul.
Egede, Hans.
Johnson, Gisle Christian.
Stockfieth, Nils Joachim Christian
Vibe.
Westen, Thomas. BENDIXEN, RUDOLF (†). Linck, Wenceslaus. EINER, Wenceslaus.

BENRATH, KARL, Ph.D., Th.D.
Adrian VI.
Ægidius de Columna.
Ægidius of Viterbo.
Ambrose the Camaldolite.
Antoninus, Saint, of Florence.
Antoninus, Saint, of Florence.
Antoninus, Saint, of Florence.
Antoninus, Petro and Girolamo.
Ballerini, Pietro and Girolamo.
Barletta. Barletta.
Bartholomew of Braga.
Bartholomew of Braga.
Bembo, Pietro.
Bessarion, Johannes.
Bianchini, Giuseppe.
Blandrata, Georgius.
Borromeo, Carlo.
Busembaum, Hermann.
Canisius, Petrus.
Cano, Melchior.
Caraccioli, Galeazzo.
Carranza, Bartolomé.
Cassander, Georgius.
Catharinus, Ambrosius.
Conrad of Marburg.
Curione, Celio Secondo.
Dominis, Marco Antonio de.
Dudith, Andreas.
Favre, Pierre.
Foscarari, Egidio.
Gentile, Giovanni Valentino.
Giberti, Giovanni Matteo.
Gregory XIII.—XV.
Gribaldi, Matteo.
Hildegard, Saint.
Hosius, Stanislaus.
Impostoribus, De Tribus.
Innocent VII.—XIII.
Inquisition.
Italy, The Reformation in.
Julius II.—III.
Lambruschini, Luigi.
Las Casas, Bartolomé de.
Leo X.—XII.
Leon, Luis de.
Liorente, Juan Antonio.
Morata, Olimpia.
Morone, Giovanni de. Bartholomew of Braga.

Benrath—

Nicholas V.
Ochino, Bernardino.
Oratory of the Divine Love
Ossat, Arnaud d'.
Pacca, Bartolommeo.
Paleario, Aonio.
Paul II.-V.
Pelayo, Alvar.
Pius II.-IX.
Platina, Bartolomeo.
Pole, Reginald.
Possevino, Antonio.
Renato, Camillo.
Renée of France.
Ricci, Scipione de'.
Rosmini-Serbati, Antonio.
Sadoleto, Jacopo.
Sixtus IV.-V.
Spiera, Francesco.
Stancari, Francesco.
Stancari, Francesco.
Theatines.
Torquemada, Juan de.
Ultramontanism.
Urban VII.-VIII.
Valdes, Juan and Alfonso de.
Valla, Laurentius.
Vergerio, Pietro Paolo.
Vermigli, Pietro Martire.
Wessenberg, Ignaz Heinrich Karl
von.
Ximenes de Cisneros, Franzisco.
Zabarella, Francesco.

Benzinger, Immanuel, Gustav BENZINGER, IMMANUEL GUSTAV
ADOLF, Ph.D., Th.Lic.
Agriculture, Hebrew.
Architecture, Hebrew.
Art, Hebrew.
Ass. Ass. Balm. Bdellium. Bdellium.
Bread and Baking.
Burial, I.
Camel.
Cities in Palestine.
Commerce among the Ancient Israelites.
Dancing.
Diseases and the Healing Art, Hebrew.
Dogs. brew.
Dogs.
Doves.
Doves.
Dress and Ornament, Hebrew.
Elders in Israel.
Family and Marriage Relations, He-Family and Marriage Relations, a brew.
Fish.
Fruit Trees in the Old Testament.
Gardens, Hebrew.
Garlands.
Hair and Beard of the Hebrews.
Handicrafts, Hebrew. Horse. House, The Hebrew, and its Appointments.
Hunting among the Hebrews.
Law, Hebrew, Civil and Criminal.
Locust.
Lots, Hebrew Use of.
Meals, Hebrew.
Metals, Hebrew Use of.
Mile.
Mile.
Mile. Mile.
Money of the Bible.
Mule.
Oath, I.
Pastoral Life, Hebrew.
Precious Stones.
Sacred Music, A.
Stranger Sacred Music, A.
Stranger.
War, Hebrew.
Water Supply in Palestine.
Weights and Measures, Hebrew.
Wine, Hebrew. BERGER, DANIEL, D.D. United Brethren in Christ. BERGER, SAMUEL (†), D.D.
Bible Versions, B., VI., XI., XIV.,
XVII.
Bibles, Historical. BERNOULLI, CARL ALBRECHT, Th. Lic.
Borrhaus, Martin.
Heynlin von Stein, Johann.
Martin of Tours, Saint.
Nicza, Councils of, I.

BERTHEAU, CARL (†), Th.D.
Adalbert of Hamburg-Bremen.
Adaldag.
Adalgar.
Adam of Bremen.
Æpinus, Johannes.
Bertheau, Ernst.
Buxtorf.
Cappel Buxtori. Cappel. Cramer, Johann Andreas. Drusius, Johannes. Easter, III. Eichhorn, Johann Gottfried. Drusius, Johannes.
Easter, III.
Eichhorn, Johann Gottfried.
Era.
Ewald, Georg Heinrich August.
Felgenhauer, Paul.
Fleming, Paul.
Freylinghausen, Johann Anastasius
Gellert, Christian Fuerchtegott.
Gerhardt, Paulus.
Gesenius, Justus.
Geosenius, Justus.
Geose, Johann Melchior.
Hardenberg, Albert Rizzeus.
Hirsche, Georg Karl.
Horb, Johann Heinrich.
Krantz, Albert.
Lessing, Gotthold Ephraim.
Mayer, Johann Friedrich.
Meldenius, Rupertus.
Moller, Heinrich.
New Year's Celebration.
Ninck, Carl Wilhelm Theodor.
Nonnos of Panopolis.
Petersen, Johann Wilhelm.
Rambach.
Rautenberg, Johann Wilhelm.
Scheffler, Johann.
Schupp, Johann Balthasar.
Sieveking, Amalie Wilhelmine.
Timann, Johann.
Tischendorf, Lobegott Priedrich Coastantin von.
Tregelles, Samuel Prideaux.
Wandering Jew.
Wettstein, Johann Jakob.
Winckler, Johann.
Wellenbuettel Fragments.
Bess, Bernhard, Th. Lic.
Clémanges, Nicholas Poillevillain of.
Conrad of Gelnhausen.
Constance, Council of.
Falkenberg, Johannes.
Gerson, Jean Charlier de.
Henry of Langenstein.
John XXIII.
John the Little.
Martin V.
BEVAN, WILLIAM LLOYD, Ph.D. BEVAN, WILLIAM LLOYD, Ph.D. Modernism. BEYSCHLAG, WILLIBALD (†), Th.D Bund, Evangelischer. Hundeshagen, Karl Bernhard. Ullmann, Karl. BINDER, RUDOLPH MICHAEL, Ph.D. Christian Socialism. Utilitarianism. BIRD, FREDERIC MAYER (†). Wesley, Charles. Wesley, Samuel, Jr. BLISS, EDWIN MUNSELL, D.D.
Armenia, III., § 9.
Liberia.
Mexico.
Miscellaneous Religious Bodies.
Presbyterians, VIII., 10-11.
Reformed (Hungarian) Church i America. Siam and Laos. United States of America, Religion History of, VII. BLISS, THEODORA CROSBY. Hawaiian Islands. Madagascar, Missions in. Malay Archipelago. Philippine Islands. West Indies. BLOESCH, EMIL (†), Th.D. Chapter-Courts. Fidelis, Saint. Gelpke, Ernst Friedrich. Haller, Albrecht von. Haller, Bertheld.

er, Sebastian. Albert. ohannes i, SARA D. 'eople's Societies, IV. N, MABEL THORP. ss Society. EDUARD (†), Ph.D., Ican. L, HEINRICH, Ph.D.. åс. alC.
n of Constantine.
s L.-II.
IV.
sast of.
IL.-V. II.–V. 1**d, Christian.** t of Lavardin. I. Vitry. XIX. O'Morgair, Saint. I.-II. v Paris. I. I., III. I.–II. .–IV. es, I.–V. of Newburgh. HERMANN. s Felix, Marcus. LAURY, AMY GASTON RLES AUGUSTE, D.D.,). Étienne. Pierre.
re, Isaac de.
Rie.
Louis de.
farguerin de la.
David.
Samuel. rt, Guillaume. uillaume. ı, John. . Daniel. Jean. Odet de. Jean. ean. Lambert. lin, Pierre. tapulensis, Jacobus. Confession. Pierre. al. Michel de. al, Michel de. t of Navarre. , Pierre Robert. temi-Casimir. , Nicolas. Gérard. n, Louis. ICH, GOTTLIEB NATHA-, Th.D. us. ation.
of Alexandria.
d Methodius.
us the Areopagite. rdt. Gustav Moritz Konn von. ius of Constantia. s. Johann Karl Ludwig. Thaumaturgus.

Baptism.

BONWETSCH ONWEISCH—
Images and Image-Worship, II.
John of Thessalonica.
Julian of Eclanum.
Justin Martyr.
Kurtz, Johann Heinrich.
Leo I.—II. Martyrs and Confessors. Messalians. Martyre an Messalians. Methodius. Montanus, Montanism. Mosheim, Johann Lorentz von. Nepos. Nestor. Nicsa, Councils of, II. Nicholas of Methone. Nicon.
Nikon.
Origenistic Controversies.
Paulicians.
Peter of Alexandria.
Phileas. Phileas.
Pierius.
Polycarp.
Polycrates. Polycrates.
Procopius of Casares.
Russis.
Saints, Veneration of.
Spittler, Ludwig Timotheus.
Theodoret. Theodoret. Theophanes of Byzantium. Victor of Antioch. Wagenmann, Julius August. BORNEMANN, FRIEDRICH WIL-HELM BERNHARD, Th. Spittler, Christian Friedrich. Bosse, FRIEDRICH, Ph.D., Th.Lic. Altenstein, Karl Freiherr von Stein sum.

Baumgarten, Siegmund Jakob.

Baumgarten-Cruzius, Ludwig Friedrich Otto.

Dannhauer, Johann Conrad.

Dippel, Johann Konrad.

Haberkorn, Peter.

Hochmann von Hobenau, Ernst Christoph.

Huelsemann, Johann.

Kottwitz, Hans Ernst, Baron von. sum. Huelsemann, Johann.
Kottwits, Hans Ernst, Baron von.
BOSSERT, GUBTAV, Ph.D., Th.D.
Alber, Matthsus.
Alemanni.
Beurlin, Jakob.
Blaurer, Ambrosius.
Brens, Johann.
Christopher, Duke of Wuerttomberg, and the Reformation in Wuerttemberg.
Fabri, Felix.
Frecht, Martin.
Greter, Kaspar.
Heerbrand, Jakob.
Irenseus, Christoph.
Isenmann, Johann.
Keller, Andress.
Lachmann, Johann.
Molther, Menrad.
Osiander, 2-8.
Otter, Jakob.
Retf, Leonhard.
Reihing, Jakob.
Sam, Korrad.
Sattler, Michael.
Schnepf, Erhard.
Strauss, Jakob.
Weiss, Adam.
Wibel, Johann Christian.
Zwick, Johannes.
BOSWORTH, EDWARD INCREASE, BOSWORTH, EDWARD INCREASE. Theological Seminaries, III., 6. BOUSSET, JOHANN FRANE WIL-HELM, Th.D. Apocalyptic Literature, Jewish. Aristobulus. Sibyl, Sibylline Books. BOYNTON, PERCY HOLMES. Chautauqua Institution. BRACKMANN, ALBERT, Ph.D. Liber Pontificalis. Papal States.

BRANDES, FRIEDRICH HEINRICH,
Th.D.
Le Mattre, Jean Henri.
Lippe.
Lower Saxon Confederation.
Reformed League for Germany.
Schaumburg-Lippe.
Tollin, Henri Guillaume Nathanael. Bratee, Eduard (†), Ph.D., Th.D. Klarenbach, Adolf. Millennium, Millenarianism, §§ 1–9. Philip of Side. Breslich, Arthur Louis, Ph.D., B.D. Theological Seminaries, VIII., 6. BRIEGER, JOHANNES FRIEDRICH THEODOR, Ph.D., Th.D. Aleandro, Girolamo. Campegio, Lorenso. Contarial, Gasparo. Indulgene Indulgences.

BRIGGS, CHARLES AUGUSTUS,
D.D., Litt.D.
Arrowsmith, John.
Ball, John.
Burges, Cornelius.
Byfield, Adoniram.
Byfield, Adoniram.
Byfield, Nicholas.
Calamy, 1.
Cartwright, Thomas.
Gouge, William.
Herie, Charles.
Hoyle, Joshus.
Love, Christopher.
Marshall, Stephen.
Palmer, Herbert.
Perkins, William.
Poole, Matthew.
Tuckney, Anthony.
Vines, Richard.
BROECKER, THEODOR PAUL OSK BROECKER, THEODOR PAUL OSKAR ARTHUR VON, Th.D. Hamburg. Oldenburg. BROOMHALL, MARSHALL, B.A. Taylor, James Hudson. Brown, William Adams, Ph.D., D.D. Theological Seminaries, XI., a., 10. BROWNE, JOHN (†). Puritans, Puritanism BUCHBUCKER, CARL VON (†), Th.D. Adam, I., §§ 1-2. Hope. BUCKLAND, AUGUSTUS ROBERT, M.A. Tract Societies, III. BUCKLEY, JAMES MONROE, D.D., LL.D. Methodists. BUDDENSIEG, OSKAR GOTTLIEB RUDOLF (†), Ph.D., Th.D. Lollards.
Pusey, Edward Bouverie.
Tractarianism. Buhl, Frants Peder William, Ph.D., Th.D. Ph.D., III.D.
Aaron.
Amalek, Amalekites.
Ammonites.
Amorites.
Bible Text, I.
Biblical Introduction, I.
Daniel, Book of, I.-V.
Fasting, I.
Feasts and Festivals, I.
Hebrew Language and Literature, I.;
III. III. Hellenism. High Priest. Jephthah.

BUHL-Meichi**sede**k. Moab. Moabite Stone. Prayer, I. Tabernacies, Feast of. Vows, I. Zechariah. BURGER, KARL (†), Th.D.
Burger, Karl Heinrich August von.
Chastity. Duty. Godliness. Justice, Ethical, and Equity. Lie. Love. Mary Magdalene. Orthodoxy and Heterodoxy, §§ 1-8. rieasure.
Prophecy and the Prophetic Office,
III.
Repentance.
Roth, Karl Johann Friedrich.
Self-Defense.
Self-Denial.
Selfishmer Selfishness. War and Christian Service in War, I. Burk, Karl von (†), Th.D. Kapff, Sixt Karl. Kuebel, Robert. Busch, KARL GEORGE ADOLF, B.A., B.S. Theological Seminaries, VII., 10. Bushnell, James Addison. Theological Seminaries, IV., 3. CAIRNS, JOHN. Scotland. CALAMINUS, HEINRICH. Kohlbruegge, Hermann Friedrich. Calvino, Paolo. Sanctis, Luigi de. CAMENISCH, CARL, Ph.D. Valtellina, Reformation and Counter-Reformation in. CAMERON, JOHN KENNEDY, M.A. Presbyterians, I., 3. CARLETON, HUBERT, M.A.
Protestant Episcopalians, II., § 6. CARRIER, AUGUSTUS STILES, D.D. Theological Seminaries, XI., a., 5. CARRINGTON, HEREWARD.
Psychical Research and the Future
Life. Life.

CARROLL, HENRY KING, LL.D.
Christadelphians.
German Evangelical Protestant
Church.
Mormons, III.
Pentecostal Church of the Nazarene.
Reformed Catholics.
River Brethren.
Schwenckfeld von Ossig, Caspar,
Schwenckfeld von Ossig, Caspar,
Schwenckfeldians (supplement).
Wesley, Charles.
Wesley, John.
Wesley, Samuel, Sr.
Wesley, Samuel, Jr.
Wesley, Susannah.
Whitefield, George.
CARSON. JOHN FLEMING. D.D. CARSON, JOHN FLEMING, D.D., LL.D. Science, Christian, III. CARSTENS, CARSTEN ERICH (†). Harms, Claus. CARTER, JAMES. Socialism.

CARY, OTIS, D.D. Japan, II.-III.

Advent. All Saints' Day. All Souls' Day.

Caspari, Walter August Anton Nathan, Th.D.

CASPARI—
Biblical History, Instruction in.
Burial, II.
Clergy, I.-III.
Confession of Sins.
Confirmation. Confirmation.
Consecration.
Gloël, Johannes.
Homiletics, §§ 1-8.
Pericope, §§ 1-17.
Practical Theology.
Preger, Johann Wilhelm.
Renunciation of the Devil in the
Baptismal Rite (supplement). CEDERBERG, JOHAN ANTERS, Th.D. Finland. Gezelius, Johannes. Ingman, Anders Vilhelm. CHAMBERS, BENJAMIN STUART, D.D. Symbolism, Ecclesiastical. IV. Symbolism, Ecclesiastical. IV.
CHOISY, JACQUES EUGENE, Th.D.
Bess, Theodore.
Bolsec, Jérôme Hermès.
Diodati, Giovanni.
Dutoti, Jean Philippe.
Fleury, Claude.
Froment, Antoine.
Fronton du Duc.
Goulart, Simon.
Libertines, 2-3.
Marot, Clément.
Oltramer, Marc Jean Hugues.
Pictet, Benedict.
Turrettini.
Cupper Part (†) Th D. CHRIST, PAUL (†), Th.D. Lang, Heinrich. Schulthess, Johannes. Schweizer, Alexander. Zurich Consensus. CHRISTIE, FRANCIS ALBERT, D.D. Parker, Theodore. Parker, The Unitarians. CHUBB, PERCIVAL.
Ethical Culture, Societies for. CLARK, FRANCIS EDWARD, D.D., LL.D. Young People's Societies, XIII. CLARK, JOHN WILLIAM.
Indians of North America, Missions to the. to the.

CLARK, JOSEPH BOURNE, D.D.
Emigrants and Immigrants, Mission
Work Among, II.
Home Missions.
United States of America, Religious
History of, I.-VI.

CLEMEN, OTTO CONSTANTIN,
Ph.D., Th.D.
Goch, Johann von.
Grapheus, Cornelius.
Honius, Cornelius.
Staupitz, Johann von.
Wesel, John of.

CLIFFORD, LOHN, D.D., LL.D. CLIFFORD, JOHN, D.D., LL.D. Free Church Federation. CLOT, ALBERTO, D.D. Waldenses, VI. OE, EDWARD BENTON, D.D., S.T.D., LL.D. Storrs, Richard Salter. COHRS, FERDINAND EDUARD THE-ODOR, Th.D. Catechisms. Catechumenate. Catechumenare.
Cruciger, Kaspar.
Curtius, Valentin.
Decius, Nikolaus.
Emilie Juliane, Countess of Schwarzburg.
Engelbrecht, Hans.
Engelbrecht, Hans.
Epistolæ Obscurorum Virorum.
Heermann, Johann.
Herberger, Valerius.
Luther's Two Catechisms.

OHRS—
Pastor, Adam.
Peter of Dreaden.
Pulleyn, Robert.
Richard of St. Victor.
Sacchoni, Rainerio.
Simon of Tournal.
Stephen of Tournal.
Suso, Heinrich.
Tauler, Johann.
Theological Education, I.
Turnow, Peter.
Winkeler. Corrs-COLEMAN, ALEXIS IRÉNÉE DU PONT, M.A. Symbolism, Ecclesiastical, I.-II. Worship. COLEMAN, LEIGHTON (†), D.D. Protestant Episcopalians, L-ll., §5-5. COLLINS, WILLIAM RUSSELL, D.D. Reformed Episcopalians. Comba, Emilio (†), D.D. Leger, Jean. Correvon, Charles. Switzerland, IV. CORWIN, EDWARD TANJORE, D.D. Holland. Reformed (Dutch) Church, II. Cowan, Henry, D.D., D.C.L. Erakine, Ebenezer. Erakine, John. Erakine, Thomas. Guthrie, Thomas. Guthrie, Thomas.
Henderson, Ebeneser.
Knox, John.
Lee, William.
Lorimer, Peter.
Macleod, Norman.
Wishart, George.
Woodrow, Robert. Cox, EUGENE RICHARD.

LEddy, Mary Baker (in Appendix). COX, WILLIAM HENRY. Salvation Army. Salvation Army.

CRAMER, SAMUEL, Th.D.
Mennonites, I.-VII.
Navarenes, Z.-VII.
Navarenes, Z.-VII.
Scheffer, Jacob Gysbert de Hoop.
Schijn, Hermannus.
Sepp, Christiaan.
Simons, Menno, I.
Tiele, Cornelis Petrus.
CRANNERS, Program, P. M. CRANNELL, PHILIP WENDELL, D.D.
Theological Seminaries, I., 4. Theological Seminaries, 1., 4.
CREAGH, JOHN THOMAS, D.D.
Abbreviators.
Abjuration.
Abbutions of the Mass.
Adoration of the Sacrament.
Advocates, Consistorial.
Advocates of St. Peter.
Altar-Bread.
Altar-Cards.
Antonians. Assumption, Feast of the Assumption, Augustinians of the Assumption, Augustinians of the Assumption, Augustinians of the Assumption, Augustinians of the Assumption, Baldachin. CREIZENACH, WILHELM, Ph.D. Religious Dramas. CREMER, AUGUST HERMANN (†), Ph.D., Th.D. Angel, I. Charismata. Collenbusch, Samuel. Flesh. Heart, Biblical Usage. Heaven.
Image of God.
Inapiration, §§ 1–8.
Laying on of Hands.
Lord's Supper, I.
Righteousness, Original.
Sanctification. Heaven.

Drews-

FRIEDRICH WILHELM (†). Lic. us, Petrus. us, Johannes. nthal Colloquy. Franciscus Philippe. Petrus. Johann Heinrich. GUSTAF HERMAN, D., Th.D. kra. nel, Isaac. oseph.
Tol.
Tersions, B., IX.
Tenger, Johann Andres
Tellisis. nides, Moses. ion. gue, II.-III. HERMANN, Th.D. Johannes a. , Christianity in, II. WILLIAM JOHNSON, D.D. terians, VIII., 9. i, Edwin Charles, D.D., .D. etics, §§ 11-12. ing, History of, IV. THOMAS WITTON, Ph.D., b. J.

, Benjamin (in Appendix).

Karl Heinrich (in Appendix).

s, Willem Hendrik.

n, Joxua Jan Philippus.

lanen, Willem Christian. JOHN D., Ph.D., D.D., .D. ruch, §§ 12-20. ius, Publius Sulpicius. T, HERMANN, Ph.D. Georg Eduard. JULIUS. er, Julius Ferdinand. ANN, GUSTAV ADOLF, .D., D.D. istic Greek. us, Papyri. IG, GABRIEL DOOITZES. Francis Brigham, D.D., gical Seminaries, III., 3. H, SAMUEL MARTIN (†),
.D. of Saint Victor. a.
of Liége.
n of Havelberg.
i of Brescia.
rd of Clairvaux, L-III.
ius of Heisterbach. sius the Carthusian. d of Saint Pourçain. rt. eth, Saint, of Thuringia. ius of Angers. d of Clairvaux. rt of Nogent. of Clairvaux. of St. Cher.
im of Fiore.
da, Saint, of Hackeborn.
da of Magdeburg.
cism, I.—II., § 5.
las of Strasburg.

VALTER EWING, M.A.

DEUTSCH-Ortlieb of Strasburg and the Ortlibians. Otto of Passau. Otto of Fassau.
Pastorels.
Peter of Blois.
Peter of Bruys.
Peter of Poitiers.
Scotus Erigens, Johannes.
William of Champeaux. DEXTER, HENRY MARTYN (†), D.D. Mather. DEXTER, MORTON, M.A. Congregationalists.
Dexter, Henry Martyn.
Mather. Puritans, Puritanism. Puritans, Puritanism.

DIBELIUS, FRANZ WILHELM,
Ph.D., Th.D.
Ammon, Christoph Friedrich von.
Arsold, Gottfried.
Asseburg, Rosamunde Juliane von.
Betkius, Joachim.
Boehme, Jakob.
Buttlar, Eva von.
Fulco of Neuilly.
George, Duke of Saxony.
Giftheil, Ludwig Friedrich.
Höe von Höenegg, Matthias.
Saxony. Saxony. Selnecker, Nikolaus. Statistics, Ecclesiastical. DIBELIUS, FRIEDRICH CARL OTTO, Ph.D., Th.Lic. Reden, Frederica, Countees of. DIESTEL, LUDWIG (†), Ph.D. World, The. DILTREY, WILHELM, Ph.D. Luetkemann, Joachim. DINWIDDIE, WILLIAM, LL.D.
Theological Seminaries, XI., b., 4. Disselhoff, Deodat.
Disselhoff, Julius August Gottfried. DOBSCHUETZ, ERNST ADOLF AL-FRED OSKAR ADALBERT, ADALBERT, Th.D. Euthalius.
Grimm, Karl Ludwig Wilibald.
Legend, Legendary.
Nicephorus.
Nicephorus.
Callistus Xanthopulus.
Philipathe Tetrarch. Philip the Tetrarch.
Philopatris.
Pllate, Pontius.
Proselytes.
Simeon Metaphrastes.
Slavery, II., §§ 1-5.
Sortes Apostolorum or Sanctorum. Tetrarch Theodore the Studite.
Theodore and Theophanes. Dombart, Bernhard (†), Ph.D. Commodianus. Dorn, Leonhard Ernst. Kneeling Controversy in Bavaria. Redenbacher, Christian Wilhelm Adolf. DORNER, ISAAC AUGUST (†), Th.D. Pelt, Anton Friedrich Ludwig. Douglas, Willie Kirkpatrick. Presbyterians, VIII., 5. Dove, RICHARD WILHELM (†), Ph.D. Richter, Æmilius Ludwig. Sacramentals. DREWS, PAUL GOTTFRIED, Th.D. Baptism, III. Epiklesis or Invocation. Eucharist. General Confession. Holy Week. Litany. Liturgies, III. Mass, II., 1-6. Matins.

REWS—.
Ordo Romanus.
Plenkry.
Riming Offices.
Rituale Romanum.
Trisagion. DREXLER, WILHELM, Ph.D. Ahresex DRISCOLL, JAMES FRANCIS, D.D.
Baltimore Councils.
Biblical Criticism, V.
Bolsena, Miracle of.
Church Extension Society.
Golden Rose.
Immaculate Heart of Mary. Immaculate Heart of Mary.
Incense, II.
Leo XIII.
Little Sisters of the Poor.
Lourdes.
McCloskey, John.
Mercy, Sisters of.
Paul the Apoetle, Congregation of
Missionary Priests of Saint.
Pilgrimages.
Roman Catholic Eucharistic Congresses. gresses. Roman Catholic Parochial Schools. Roman Catholic Position on the Bible Roman Catholic Position on the Bible in Public Schools.

Roman Catholic Restriction of Bible Reading by the Laity.

Secreey of the Confessional.

Theology, Moral, Roman Catholic View of the Churches IV. Union of the Churches, IV. Du Bois, William Edward BURGHARDT, Ph.D.
Negro Education and Evangelization. DUCHEMIN, ADOLPHE. Merle d'Aubigné, Jean Henri. DUCKWORTH, HENRY JAY, D.D. Christian Union, The.

DUESTERDIECK, FRIEDRICH HER-MAN CHRISTIAN (†), Ph.D., Th.D. Meyer, Heinrich August Wilhelm. DUFFIELD, SAMUEL AUGUSTUS WILLOUGHBY (†). Veni, Creator Spiritus. Dulles, Joseph Heatly, M.A. Theological Seminaries, XI., a., 8. DUNCKER, HEINRICH (†), Th.D. DUNN, SIMEON BILLINGHAM, D.D. Revivals of Religion, III., 5, §§ 2-4. DWIGHT, HENRY OTIS, LL.D. Africa.
Armenia, III., § 8.
Bible Societies, I.-II.
United States, VIII. United States, VIII.

EGLI, EMIL (†), Th.D.

Bibliander, Theodor.

Breitinger, Johann Jakob.

Bullinger, Heinrich.

Faber, Johannes, 2.

Felix and Regula.

Geiger, Franz Tiburtius.

Gualther, Rudolf.

Helvetic Consensus.

Hottinger, Johann Heinrich.

Hottinger, Johann Jakob.

Jud, Leo. Hottinger, Johann Ja Jud, Leo. Kessler, Johann. Klarer, Walter. Marius. Megander, Kaspar. Myconius, Oswald. Prophesying. Sanson, Bernhardino. Schmid, Konrad. EHNI, JACQUES (†). Fénelon, François de Salignac de la Mothe. Francis, Saint, of Sales. EIBACH, RUDOLF, Th.D. Milton, John.

WISS SERVICE

ELDERDICE, HUGH LATIMER, D.D. Theological Seminaries, IX., 3.

ELZE, THEODOR (†), Th.D. Truber, Primus.

EMERTON, EPHRAIM, Ph.D.

ENDERS, KARL, Th.D. Eck, Johann. Frankfort Recess.

ERBKAM, HEINRICH WILHELM (†), Th.D. Sendomir, Consensus of.

Sendomir, Consensus of.

ERDMANN, CHRISTIAN FRIEDRICH
DAVID (†), Ph.D., Th.D.
Albert of Prussia.
Briessmann, Johann.
Erbkam, Wilhelm Heinrich.
George of Brandenburg.
George of Polentz.
Harrach, Count Karl Philipp von.
Petrikau, Synods of.
Poland, Christianity in, II.
Poliander, Johannes.
Reinhard, Franz Volkmar.
Sack, Karl Heinrich.
Salzburg, Evangelicals of.
Sartorius, Ernst Wilhelm Christian.
Sedlnitzki, Leopold Graf von.

ERDMANN, JOHANNES. Grabe, Johannes Ernst.

ERICHSON, LUDWIG ALFRED (†),
Ph.D., Th.D.
Blessig, Johann Lorenz.
Cunitz, August Eduard.
Hedio, Kaspar.
Kayser, August.

EUCKEN, RUDOLF, Ph.D., Th.D. Leibnitz.

Evans, Hubert, Ph.D. Idealism, I. Lotze, Rudolf Hermann.

Evans, John Young, M.A., B.D. Descartes, René. Presbyterians, IV.

VJEN, JOHN OLUF, Ph.D.

Madsen, Peder.
Michelet, Simon Temstrup.
Moeller, Christian Vilbelm Victor.
Moeller, Christian Vilbelm Victor.
Moeller, Lara Otto.
Myrberg, Otto Ferdinand.
Nielsen, Fredrik Kristian.
Odland, Sigurd Vilhelm.
Ording, Johannes.
Personne, John Wilhelm.
Petersen, Fredrik.
Poulson, Alfred Sveistrup.
Rabergh, Herman.
Rasmussen, Christian Vilhelm.
Roerdam, Thomas Skat.
Scharling, Carl Hendrik.
Skrefarud, Lara Olsen.
Soerensen, Anders Herman Vilhelm.
Sverdrup, Jakob Liv Rosted.
Torm, Frederik Emanuel.
Ussing, Henry Braem.
Volf, Peter Rudolf.
Waldenstroem, Paul Peter.
Wexelsen, Wilhelm Andreas. EVJEN, JOHN OLUF, Ph.D.

EWALD, HERMANN AUGUST PAUL (†), Ph.D., Th.D. Aretas. Luke.

Fabian, E., Ph.D. Ruedinger, Esrom.

FEINE, PAUL KARL EDUARD,
Ph.D., Th.D.
Baptism, I.
Harmony of the Gospels, II.
Speaking with Tongues.
Stephen.
Theudas.

FERREE, BARR. Architecture, Ecclesiastical, II.-III. FEY, KARL FRIEDRICH ALEXAN-DER, Ph.D. Bonifatius-Verein.

FICKER, CHRISTIAN THEODOR,
Ph.D.
Hoelemann, Hermann Gustav.
Lechler, Gotthard Victor.
Meurer, Moritz.
Schmidt, Woldemar Gottlob.
Zezschwitz, Karl Adolf Gerhard von.

FICKER, GERHARD PAUL, Ph.D., Th.D. Vigilius of Thapsus.

FICKER, JOHANNES, Ph.D., Th.D. EKER, JOHANNES, Editus.
Amulet.
Puerstinger, Berthold.
Sturm, Jakob.
Sturm, Johannes.
Zanchi, Girolamo.
Zell, Matthæus and Katharina.

FISHER, LEWIS BEALS, D.D., LL.D. Theological Seminaries, XX., 3.

FLEINER, FRITZ, Dr.Jur. Pfaffenbrief.

FLEMING, DAVID HAY, LL.D. Henderson, Alexander. Melville, Andrew.

FLIEDNER, FRITZ (†), M.D. Matamoros, Manuel. Ruet, Francisco de Paula.

FLINT, ROBERT (†), D.D., LL.D. Pessimism.

FLOERING, FRIEDRICH, Ph.D.

FOERSTER, THEODOR (†), Th.D., Ambrose, Saint, of Milan. Cyril of Jerusalem. Ennodius, Magnus Felix. Epiphanius of Tieinum. Francke, August Hermann.

FORNEY, CHRISTIAN HENRY, D.D., LL.D., Church of God, 1.

Foss, Rudolf (†). Claudius of Turin. Hilduin.

Hilduin.

FOSTER, FRANK HUGH, Ph.D.,
D.D.
Dwight, Timothy, 1.
Edwards, Jonathan (the Elder).
Edwards, Jonathan (the Younger).
Emmons, Nathanael.
Hopkins, Samuel.
Hopkinsianism.
New England Theology.
Park, Edwards Amasa.
Revivals of Religion, III., 1-5, § 1. FOSTER, ROBERT VERRELL, D.D.,

LL.D. Presbyterians, VIII., 3, a. Fox, John, D.D. Bible Societies, III., 1

Fox, Norman (†), D.D. Baptism, IV., 3.

FRANK, FRANZ HERMANN (†), Ph.D. Plitt, Gustav Leopold. Schmid, Heinrich Friedrich Ferdi-nand.

FRANK, GUSTAV WILHELM (†), Th.D. Th.D.
Colln, Daniel Georg Konrad von.
Danovius, Ernst Jakob.
Danz, Johann Traugott Leberecht.
Hausmann, Nicolaus.
Hoffmann, Andreas Gottlieb.
Labadie, Jean de, Labadists.
Marheineke, Philipp Konrad.
Otto, Johann Karl Theodor von.
Pufendorf, Samuel, Baron.
Roehr, Johann Friedrich.

FRANK-RANK— Rosenmueller, Ernst Friedrich Kel. Rosenmueller, Johann Georg. Roskoff, Georg Gustav. Rueckert, Leopold Immanuel. Wette, Wilhelm Martin Leberechts.

FRANKFURTH, HERMANN, Ph.D. Gregory of Montelongo.

FREYBE, ALBERT, Ph.D., Th.D. Ava.

Barlaam and Josaphat.
John, Saint, Fire of.
Klopstock, Friedrich Gottlieb.
Rinckart, Martin.
Rist, Johann.
Stilling, Johann Heinrich Jung.
Stolberg, Friedrich Leopold, Com
Sturm, Julius Karl Reinhold.
Woltersdorf, Ernst Gottlieb.

FREYSTEDT, ALBERT (†), Th.Lie. Gottschalk, 1. Hincmar of Reims. Jonas of Orléans.

FRIEDBERG, EMIL ALBERT (†), Th.D., Dr.Jur. Alumnate.
Apoerisiarius.
Apoerisiarius.
Apoestasy.
Appeals to the Pope.
Archideacon and Archpriest.
Archicapellanus.
Asylum, Right of.
Audientia Episcopalis.
Ranna.

Asylum, Right of.
Audientia Episcopalis.
Banns.
Bartholomew of Brescia.
Basilica.
Bebenburg, Lupold von.
Benefice.
Beneficium competentim.
Bernard of Botone.
Bishop.
Bishopric.
Blastares, Matthæus.
Boehmer, Justus Henning.
Briefs, Bulls, and Bullaria.
Cæsaropapism.
Casus reservati.
Celibacy.
Censorship and Prohibition of Books.
Chrodegang.
Church Building, Taxation for.
Corpus Catholicorum.
Corpus Evangelicorum.
Curate.
Excommunication.
Incest.

Excommunication.

Incest. In Cœna Domini. Liberty, Religious, II. Penitential Books. Pragmatic Sanction.
Reservation, Ecclesiastical.
Reservation, Papal.
Residence.
Spoils, Right of.
Subdeacon.
Taxation, II.
Thomassin, Louis.
Visitatio Liminum Sanctorum Aportolorum. Pragmatic Sanction.

FRIEDRICH, JOHANN, Ph.D., Th.D. Doellinger, Johann Josef Ignas von.

FRITSCHEL, GEORGE JOHN.
Theological Seminaries, VII., 15.

FRITZSCHE, OTTO FRIDOLIN (†), Ph.D., Th.D. Fritzsche, Christian Friedrich Fritzsche, Karl Friedrich August

FROBOESS, GEORG.
Lutherans, II.
Scheibel, Johann Gottfried.

FROTHINGHAM, OCTAVIUS BROOKS (†), M.A. Transcendentalism in New England

FROTHINGHAM, PAUL REVERE, S.T.B.

Transcendentalism in New England. FUNKE, WILHELM (†). Gotteskasten, Lutherisc

JOSEPH HALL. Ph.D., D.D. cal Seminaries. V., 1. SOLOMON JA-, THOMAS JAMES, B.D. cal Seminaries, XIV., 6. LHELM (†), Ph.D., Th.D. achim Christian. WEN HAMILTON, Ph.D. cal Seminaries, III., 1. HEINRICH (†), Ph.D. , I.-III., § 7. of Neapolis. KARL. Jeinrich, 1. , EMANUEL VOGEL (†), ourg Theology. , WILHELM (†), Th.D. Johann. KARL THEODOR, Th.D. ohann Friedrich. Cimothée. HRISTIAN KARL LUDWIG, and School. SCH, GUSTAV. Johann Gerhard. CHARLES RIPLEY, D.D., D. ., 1-5. OHN LEWIS, Ph.D. DAVID PERCY, B.A. 1, Franz von. GEORGE WILLIAM, M.A. ng Bibliography (Preface, -II. Tablets. a. evenge.

lyvenge.
L.
Discipline, I.-II.
tive Religion.
, Babylonian Accounts.
ishites.

he Lord. Demonism.

n.

domites.

n the Old Testament. and Trees, Sacred. nmon.

abi and his Code. Language and Literature, II. id his Family, II. ces.

of. Vandals. Victor of Cartenna.

GOETERS, WILHELM GUSTAV. Under-Eyek, Theodor.

gy, IX.-X.

GILMORE-Jainism. Judas. Kaaba. Lamaism. Leontopolis. Mahdi. Martineau, James. Medeba. Megiddo. Memorials and Sacred Stones. Meni. Meribah. Meribah.
Milcom.
Mithra, Mithraism.
Mohammed, Mohammedanism.
Moloch, Molech.
Moon, Hebrew Conceptions of the.
Mouse. Mutilations and Marks, Ceremonial. Mutilations at Nabatwans. Names, I. Nana, Nanwa Nibhaz. Nisroch. Noah, § 5. Ordeal. Pekahiah. Peter the Apo Peter the Apostle, III.
Philo Byblius.
Polytheism (supplement).
Prayer for the Dead.
Ptolemy. Remphan.
Renunciation of the Devil in the
Baptismal Rite. Rimmon. Rimmon.
Sanchuniathon.
Seleucidæ.
Serpent in Worship, Mythology, and
Symbolism.
Sikhamanism.
Sikhs, Sikhism.
Siloam Inscription.
Stars, II.
Stupa.
Succoth-Benoth Stupa. Succoth-Benoth. Sun and Sun Worship. Superstition. Tadmor. Tammuz-Adonis. Tartak. Temples, Hebrew, V. Teraphim. Tribal and Cultic Mysteries. Uzziah. Wager of Battle, Duel, I. Wahabees. Witchcraft and Witch Trials, II. Zoroaster, Zoroastrianism. GLADDEN, WASHINGTON, D.D., LL.D. Social Service of the Church, III. GLAMANN, WILHELM. Broemel, Albert Robert. Godet, Frédéric Louis (†), Th.D. Neuchâtel, Independent Evangelical Church of. GOEBEL, KARL (†), Ph.D. Krafft, Johann Christian Gottlob Ludwig. GOEBEL, MAXIM (†). Krummacher, 2. Krummacher, 2.

GOERRES, FRANZ, Ph.D.
Martène, Edmond.
Meinwerk.
Perpetua, Saint.
Philip the Arabian.
Possidius, Saint.
Potamiena.
Quadratus.
Scilli, Martyrs of.
Sebastian.
Sisebut.
Suevi in Spain.
Toledo, City, Bishopric, and Synods

GOETZ, LEOPOLD KARL, Ph.D., Th.Lie. Langen, Joseph. Reusch, Franz Heinrich. GOETZ, WALTER, Ph.D.
Albert V. of Bavaria and the Counter-Reformation in Bavaria.
Balthazar of Dernbach and the Counter-Reformation in Fulda.
Cysat, Renward, and the Counter-Reformation in Switzerland.
Daniel, Elector of Mainz, and the Counter-Reformation in the Eichsfeld. feld.
Ferdinand II. and the Counter-Reformation in Austria.
Gebhard II. and the Counter-Reformation in the Lower Rhine Lands.
Jacob Christoph and the Counter-Reformation in Switzerland.
Jacob of Eltz and the Counter-Reformation in Treves.
Julius Echter. GOETZ, WILHELM (†), Ph.D. Alsace-Lorraine. Argentina. Australia. Baden. Bavaria. Belgium. Brazil. Brunswick. Bulgaria. Cape Colony. Central America. Chile. Colombia. Colombia. Ecuador. France, I. Greece, II. Guiana. Luxemburg. Montenegro. New Zealand. Paraguay. Peru. Portugal, I. Rumania Servia. Spain. Uruguay. Venezuela. GOLTZ, EDUARD FREIHERR VON DER, Th.D. Prussia, II.-III. GOLTZ, HERMANN FREIHERR VON DER (†), Th.D. Eisenach Conference. GONZALEZ, JUAN ORTS, D.D. Portugal, II. Spain, Evangelical Work in. GOOD, JAMES ISAAC, D.D. Reformed (German) Church in the United States. Theological Seminaries, XV., a., 1. GOODSPEED, THOMAS WAKEFIELD, D.D. Theological Seminaries, I., 1. GOTTHEIL, RICHARD JAMES Ho-RATIO, Ph.D. Zionism. GOTTSCHICK, JOHANNES FRIED-RICH (†), Th.D. Adiaphora and the Adiaphoristic Controversies.
Adoption.
Kingdom of God.
Law and Gospel.
Marriage, I., §§ 1-9.
Natural Law.

GREGORY, CASPAR RENÉ, Ph.D., Th.D., D.D., LL.D., Dr.Jur. Bentley, Richard. Bible Versions, B., IV.

Concordance.
Congress, Evangelical-Social.
Grégoire, Henri.
Hatch, Edwin.

GREGORY-Hort, Fenton John Anthony. Lightfoot, Joseph Barber. Mill, John. Norton, Andrews.

GRETHER, FRANK, D.D.
Theological Seminaries, XV., a., 3. GRIFFIS, WILLIAM ELLIOT, D.D., L.H.D. Plockhoy, Pieter Cornelisz.

GRIFFITH, GWILYM OSWALD. Revivals of Religion, IV.

GRUENBERG, PAUL, Th.D.
Butzer, Martin.
Capito, Wolfgang.
Hubert, Konrad.
Marbach, Johann.
Pietism, I.

GRUENEISEN, CARL, Th.D. Pictures, Miraculous.

GRUETZMACHER, GEORG, Ph.D., Th.Lic. Camaldolites.
Cassianus, Johannes.
Cluny, Abbey and Congregation of.
Dietrich of Apolda.
Dominic, Saint, and the Dominican Order. Equitius.

Gass, Friedrich Wilhelm Joachim
Heinrich.

Gass, Friedrich Wilhel Heinrich.
Gyrovagi.
Helvidius.
Hilarion, Saint.
Hirschau.
Jovinian.
Lérins, Monastery of.
Monasticism.
Pachomius.
Paul, Saint, Hermits of.
Peter the Venerable.
Premonstratensians.
Recluse.
Sarabaites.
Stylites.
Tascodrugites.
Templars. Templars. Ursulines. Williamites.

GRUETZMACHER, RICHARD HEIN-RICH, Th.D. Rahtmann, Hermann. Schwenckfeld von Ossig, Caspar, Schwenckfeldians. Terminism, Terministic Controversy. Terminism, Term Weigel, Valentin.

GRUNDEMANN, PETER REINHOLD, Ph.D., Th.D. Brahmo Somaj. Kam, Joseph. Livingstone, David. Missions to the Heathen, A.

GUEDER, EDUARD (†), Th.D. Lutz, Johann Ludwig Samuel. Lutz, Samuel. Usteri, Leonhard.

GUEDER, PAULUS (†). Gueder, Eduard.

GUÉNIN, EUGÈNE Stenography and Church History.

GUENTER, RUDOLF, Th.Lic. Werkmeister, Benedikt Maria von.

GUNNISON, ALMON, D.D., LL.D. Theological Seminaries, XX., 1. GUTHE, HERMANN, Ph.D., Th.D. Abilene. Bashan.

Bethlehem. Cain, Kenites. Caleb, Calebites. Canaan, Canaanites. Capernaum. Caphtor. Carmel. Elath.

GUTHE-Galilee. Gaulanitis. Gebal. Gerrhenians. Hermon. Holy Sepulcher. Ishbosheth. Iturea. Jabin. Jair. Javan. Jebus, Jebusites. Jerusalem. Jezreel. Jezreel.
Joab.
Judea.
Lebanon.
Maon, Maonites.
Midian.
Navigation.
Nazareth.
Negeb.
Ophir.
Palestine.
Paran. Paran. Parvaim. Perwa. Phenicia, Phenicians. Phenicia, Phenicians.
Philistines.
Red Sea.
Reland, Adrian.
Samaria, Samaritans, I.
Sinai.
Table of the Nations. Tiphsah. Trachonitis. Wandering in the Wilderness. Zoab.

HAACK, ERNST, Th.D. Kliefoth, Theodor Friedrich Detholf.

HACKENSCHMIDT, KARL, Th.D.
Haerter, Franz Heinrich.
Hesshusen, Tilemann.
Horning, Friedrich Theodor.
Oberlin, Jean Frédéric.
Pappus, Johann.

Hadorn, Wilhelm, Th.Lic.
Koenig, Samuel.
Kohler, Christian and Hieronymus.
Musculus, Wolfgang.
Geolampadius and the Reformation
in Basel.
Osterwald, Jean Frédéric.
Rueetschi, Rudolf.
Stapfer.
Sulzer, Simon.
Wolleb, Johannes.
Zschokke, Johann Heinrich Daniel.

HAGENBACH, KARL RUDOLF (†), Th.D. Doederlein.

HAGGARD, ALFRED MARTIN, A.M. Theological Seminaries, IV., 2.

HAHN, HEINRICH, Ph.D. Adamnan. Alcuin. Aldhelm. Dunstan, Saint. Egbert of York. Lombards.

HALE, EDWARD EVERETT (†), S.T.D., LL.D. Young People's Societies, VII.

Hall, ARTHUR CRAWSHAY AL-LISTON, D.D., LL.D. Oblations.

Offertory. Ordinal. Ordinal.
Pericope, § 18.
Priest, Priesthood, II., § 4.
Protestant Episcopalians, II., § 7.
Protestantism, VII.
Reservation of the Sacrament.
Sedes Vacans (supplement).
Succession, Apostolic, I.

HALL, FRANCIS JOSEPH, D.D. Union of the Churches, I., 1-4.

HALLER, ELOF. Lapps.

HAMBERGER, JULIUS (†), Ph.D. Th.D. Schubert, Gotthilf Heinrich von. Stigmatization.

Hamilton, Frederick William, D.D., LL.D. Theological Seminaries, XX., 2

Hamilton, John Taylor, D.D. Unity of the Brethren.

Unity of the Brethren.

HARNACK, CARL GUSTAV ADOLF,
M.D., Ph.D., Th.D., Dr.Jur.
Alexandria, School of.
Antoninus Pius.
Apollinaris, Claudius.
Apostles' Creed.
Aristides, Marcianus.
Aristides, Marcianus.
Aristides, Marcianus.
Aristides, Marcianus.
Caius, 1.
Commendatory Letters.
Constantinopolitan Creed.
Didache.
Diodorus.
Dionysius of Alexandria.
Elagabalus.
Fabian.
Gallienus, Publius Lieinius.
Gallus, Caius Vibius Trebonianus,
Gratian, 1.
Helena, Saint.
Heliodorus.
Heraclas.
Hieracas, Hieracites.

Heliodorus.
Heraclas.
Hieracas, Hieracites.
Julius Africanus, Sextus.
Lapsed.
Lucian the Martyr.
Lucian of Samosata.
Marcellinus.
Marcellinus I.
Marcus.
Marcus Aurelius Antonin

Marcus.
Marcus Aurelius Antoninus.
Malchiades.
Miltiades.
Monarchianism.
Novatian, Novatianism.
Optatus.
Organization of the Early Church.
Organization of Christians in the Roman Empire down to Decius I.
Polychronius.
Pontianus
Severus, Sulpicius.
Therapeutæ.

HARRIS, JAMES RENDEL, Litt.D., LL.D. Solomon, Odes of. Stichometry.

HART, SAMUEL, D.D., D.C.L. Theological Seminaries, XIV., 1.

HASTINGS, THOMAS SAMUEL (†),
D.D., LL.D., L.H.D.
Hastings, Thomas.
Pastoral Theology, II.

HATFIELD, EDWIN FRANCIS (†), D.D.

Revivals of Religion, I.-II. HAUCK, ALBERT, Ph.D., Th.D., Dr.Jur.

Aachen, Synods of. Adalbert of Prague. Adalbold. Adelmann.
Adoptionism.
Æneas of Paris.
Agapetus, I.-II.
Agatha, Saint.
Agatho. Agde, Synod of. Agnellus. Agobard.

Agricola. Aguirre, Joseph Szenz de. Ahlfeld, Johann Friedrich. Aimoin. Alban, Saint, of Mainz. Albert of Aix. Alegambe, Philippe d'.

l.-VIII. Beverus. 10. 3-Bena and the Amalricians.

.-II. I.-IV. nod of.

rianites. mod of.

e, Ecclesiastical, I.

ds of. ichersberg. zburg. nt, of Metz. Synod of.

Bishopric of.

mod of.

Sishoprie of. opric of. Conversion of the. nn Tobias.

-XIV.

f Tours.

Menthon. eichenau.

iaint.
-IX.
ann Wilhelm Josef.
shopric of.
hopric of.
ologne.
/ürzburg.
Conversion of the.
f Worms.
f Würzburg.
is.

-III. Johannes. ooks.

s, Magnus Aurelius. nt. .-IV. Confession.

Bishopric of.

Arnold.
rus.
opric of.

.-XIV. Synods of. ptism. rehbishopric of.

Bishopric of. e I.-II.

ıd Synods.

.-II.

nn Maximilian. f the Faith.

esontiensis. [. Christian.

Troarn.
Bishopric of.
nt.

hopric of. Bishopric of. HAUCK-AUCK—
Eutychianus.
Feneberg, Michael Nathanael.
Florez, Henrique.
Florian, Saint.
Folmar of Friefenstein.
Franks. Folmar of Friefenstein.
Franks.
Franks.
Freising, Bishopric of.
Fridolin.
Fulcher of Chartres.
Fulda, Abbey of.
Fullonius, Guileimus.
Gallandi, Andres.
Gentilly, Synod of.
Germanus, Saint, of Paris.
Giles, Saint.
Gots, Saint.
Gots, Saint.
Gotschalk, 2.
Gregory of Tours.
Gregory of Utrecht.
Guilbert of Ravenna.
Halberstadt, Bishopric of.
Hamburg, Archbishopric of.
Hatto of Mains.
Henry of Lausanne.
Hillary of Arles.
Hildesheim, Bishopric of.
Hofmann, Johann Christian Konrad.
Hohenaltheim, Synod of.
Hyginus.
Innocents, Feast of the Holy.
Jerusalem, Johann Friedrich Willess. Jerusalem, Johann helm. Jesus Christ, Monogram of. John XXI.-XXII. Kilian, Saint. Lando. Leipsic, Colloquy of. Leo III.-VIII. Lifting, Synod of. Linus.
Liutprand.
Liutprand.
Loehe, Johann Konrad Wilhelm.
Lucius II.—III.
Luebeck, Bishopric of.
Lullus of Mainz.
Margeburg, Archbishopric of.
Mainz.
Marcellus II.
Materaus, Julius Firmicus.
Matthias.
Maur, Saint.
Meisner, Balthazar.
Meisner, Balthazar.
Meisner, Bishopric of.
Merseburg, Bishopric of.
Metz, Bishopric of.
Migetius.
Minden, Bishopric of.
Mochler, Johann Adam.
Molanus, Gerhard Walter.
Montes Pietatis.
Muenscher, Wilhelm.
Muenscher, Wilhelm.
Muenscher, Bishopric of.
Naumburg, Bishopric of.
Nun.
Oblates.
Orders, Holy.
Osnabrueck, Bishopric of. Liutprand. Naumourg, Bisnopric of.
Nun.
Oblates.
Orders, Holy.
Osnabrueck, Bishopric of.
Otto of Bamberg.
Paderborn, Bishopric of.
Passau, Bishopric of.
Passau, Bishopric of.
Passau, Bishopric of.
Passau, Bishopric of.
Patriarch.
Paul I.
Paullinus of Nola.
Penitential Psalms.
Persona, Gobelinus.
Philo of Carpasia.
Piligrim.
Pinytus.
Piligrim.
Pinytus.
Piper, Karl Wilhelm Ferdinand.
Pirmin, Saint.
Pothinus.
Priest, Priesthood, II., §§ 1-8.
Primicerius.
Prosper of Aquitaine.
Rabanus Maurus.
Radbertus, Paschasius.
Ratzeburg, Bishopric of.
Regensburg, Bishopric of.
Regensburg, Bishopric of.
Regionarius.
Relic.
Remigius of Reims.
Roscelinus, Johannes.
Roscelinus, Johannes.
Roscelinus, Johannes.
Roscelinus, Saint.
Sabinian.

IAUCK—
Sacristan, Sexton.
Salvianus.
Salsburg, Archdiocese of.
Saxons, Conversion of the.
Schwerin.
Seligenstadt.
Septimius Severus.
Sergius I.-IV.
Severinus.
Severinus.
Severinus.
Silverius.
Silverius.
Silvetius.
Silvetius.
Silvetius. Siricius Sisinnius. Sixtus I.–III. Social Service of the Church, I. Social Service of the Ch Soter. Speyer, Bishopric of. Stedingers. Stephen I.-IX. Stercoranists. Strasburg, Bishopric of. Suffragan. Suidbert. Sylvestrins. Symmachus Synodal Courts. Tanchelm. Telesphorus.
Theodore I.-II.
Theophilus of Antioch.
Thietmar. Tonsure.
Tract.
Tract.
Treves, Archbishopric of.
Trinitarians.
Trithemius, Johannes.
Trullan Synods.
Ulrich, Saint.
Ulrich, Saint.
Union, Ecclesiastical, in Germany.
Urban I.-VI.
Ursula and the Eleven Thousand
Virgins.
Vagantes.
Valentine.
Valentinus. Tonsure. Valentinus Verden, Bishopric of. Vienne. Virgil. Vitalian Vitalian.
Walafrid, Strabo.
Walpurgis.
Wandalbert.
Weihbischof.
Wenceslaus, Saint.
Wigbert.
Willebad.
Willibaid.
Willibrord. Willibroru.
Willigian of Regensburg.
Wolfgang of Regensburg.
Worms, I.
Wuerzburg, Bishopric of. Wulfram. Zacharias. Zosimus. Zacuarius.

Zacuarius.

HAUPT, HERMAN, Ph.D. Adamites, 2-4.
Beghards, Beguines.
Berthold of Rorbach.
Bloehm, Hans.
Caputiati.
David of Dinant.
Draendorf, Johannes.
Eudo de Stella.
Flagellation, Flagellants.
Free Spirit, Brethren of the.
Gruensleder, Ulrich.
Guidonis, Bernardus.
Gundulf, 1.
Hager, Konrad.
Herman of Schildesche.
Hiltalinger, Johann.
Homines Intelligentim.
Kuechener, Hermann.
Lambert le Bègue.
Loists.
Mulbers. Johannes. Loists. Mulberg, Johannes.

HAUSMANN, RICHARD, Hist.D. Alexander Nevaki, Saint.

Autpertus, Ambrosius.

HAUSSLEITER, JOHANNES, Ph.D.,

HAUSSLEITER-Bauer, Bruno. Baumgarten, Michael. Baur, Ferdinand Christian. Hasmoneans. Lord's Prayer. Primasius. Tichonius. Victorinus of Pettau. Vilmar, August Friedrich Christian. HECKERT, CHARLES GIRVEN, D.D. Theological Seminaries, VII., 8. HEGLER, AUGUST WILHELM (†), Th.D.

Th.D.
Campanus, Johannes.
Franck, Sebastian.
Gichtel, Johann Georg.
Gonesius, Petrus.
Haetzer, Ludwig.
Hefele, Karl Joseph.
Hermann of Fritzlar.
Hofmann, Melchior.
Huebmaier, Balthasar.
Hut, Hans.
Joria, Jan David.
Kautz, Jakob.

Heil, William Franklin. United Evangelical Church.

HEINRICI, CARL FRIEDRICH GEORG, Ph.D., Th.D. Anathema. Andrew of Cæsarea. Arethas. Biblical Criticism, I.–IV. Biblical Criticism, I.-IV.
Catens.
Carnelius a Lapide.
Dieu, Ludovicus de.
Encyclopedia, Theological.
Ernesti, Johann August.
Exegesis or Hermeneutics, I.-V.,
§§ 1.-4.
Parables of Jesus Christ.
Ranke, Ernst Konstantin.
Scholia.
Twesten, August Detlev Christian.

HEINZE, MAX (†), Ph.D., Th.D.
Emanation.
Evolution, I.-IV.
Materialism.
Nature, Laws of.
Neoplatonism.
Pantheism.
Pantheism.
Pil 1-8.
Religion, Philosophy of.
Theism.

HEMAN, KARL FRIEDRICH, Ph.D., Th.Lic. Israel, History of, II., 1-4. Jews, Missions to the.

HEMPHILL, CHARLES ROBERT, D.D., ILL.D. Theological Seminaries, XI., b., 3.

HENKE, ERNST (†), Ph.D., Th.D. Conring, Hermann.
Gabler, Johann Philipp.
Henke, Heinrich Philipp Konrad.
Holste, Lucas.
Meyfart, Johann Matthaeus.
Thilo, Johann Karl.

Thilo, Johann Karl.

HENNECKE, LUDWIG THEODOR
EDGAR, Ph.D., Th.Lic.
Carthage, Synods of.
Elvira, Synod of.
Epao, Synod of.
Eucherius.
Eusebius.
Eusebius of Laodicea.
Glabrio, Manius Acilius.
Ildephonsus.
Laodicea, Synod of.
Niemeyer, August Hermann.
Niemeyer, Hermann Agathon.
Orange, Synods of.
Phœbadius.
Porphyry. Porphyry. Potamius.

HERING, HERMANN, Th.D. Borowski, Ludwig Ernst von.

Corpus Christi. Kaehler, Ludwig August. Liturgics, I.

HERMELINK, HEINRICH, Ph.D., Th.Lic. Rosicrucians.
Schott, Theodor Friedrich.
Scriptoris, Paul.
Stuttgart, Synod and Confession of.
Summerhart, Konrad.
Watt, Joachim von.
Wimpfeling, Jakob.
Wyttenbach, Thomas.

Herold, Johann Christoph Franz Max, Th.D. Agnus Dei.
Ambrosian Chant.
Antiphon.
Bernard of Clairvaux, IV.
Breviary.
Rogation Days.

HERRMANN, WILHELM, Dr. Jur.,
Ph.D., Th.D.
Devotion.
Honor.
Humility.
Patience.
Prayer, III.
Religion, I.

HERZOG, JOHANNES. Nazarenes, 1. Oetinger, Friedrich Christoph.

Herzog, Johann Jakob (†),
Ph.D., Th.D.
Dorothea, 2.
Engelhardt, Johann Georg Veit.
Hoefling, Johann Wilhelm Friedrich.
Rautenstrauch, Franz Stephan.
Recollect.
Schulz, David.

Hess, Paul Diethelm.

Hesse, Johannes.
Blumhardt, Johann Christoph.
Hebich, Samuel.

HEYD, WILHELM (†), Ph.D. Mongols, Christianity among the.

HILL, ROBERT W-.
Young People's Societies, XII. HILLER, ALFRED, D.D. Theological Seminaries, VII., 9.

HINSCHIUS, PAUL (†), Dr. Jur., Th.D.

Ansegis. Archbishop. Bishop, Titular. Blasphemy. Chorepiscopus. Dispensation. Eparchy. Expectancy. Faculties. Glosses and Glossators of Canon Law. Gratiæ, Gratiosa Rescripta. Heresy. Irregularity. Jurisdiction, Ecclesiastical, I. Lacticinia. Lay Communion. Sacrilege. Vicar-General.

Hodge, Richard Morse, D.D. Religious Education Association.

Hodges, George, D.D., D.C.L. Liturgies, II. Theological Seminaries, XIV., 2.

HOELSCHER, HERMANN WIL-HELM HEINRICH, Th.D. Andres, Johann Valentin.
Arndt, Johann Valentin.
Besser, Wilhelm Friedrich.
Bibles, Annotated, and Bible Summaries, I.
Bibles, Illustrated.
Postil. HOENNICKE, GUSTAV, Ph.D., Th.D. Predestination, I. Wisdom.

HOERSCHELMANN, FERDINAND (†) Th.D.
Harnack, Theodosius.
Kettler, Gotthard.
Knopken, Andreas, and the Rela

HOFFMANN, HEINRICH FRIED-RICH MAX, Ph.D., Th.Lic. Thomasius, Christian. Tieftrunk, Johann Heinrich. Wegscheider, Julius August Ludvig Zachariss, Gotthilf Traugott.

HOFMAN, RUDOLF HUGO, Ph.D.
Th.D.
Accommodation.
Apocrypha, New Testament.
Jerusalem, Synod of, 1672.
Stumbling-Block, Stone of Stumbling

HOLDER-EGGER, OSWALD (†), Ph.D.
Lambert of Hersfeld.
Otto of Freising.
Regino.
Sigebert of Gembloux.
Widukind.
William of Tyre.

William of Tyre.

Holl, Karl, Ph.D., Th.D.
Campanus, Johannes.
Davidis, Franciscus.
Franck, Sebastian.
Gichtel, Johann Georg.
Gonesius, Petrus.
Haetzer, Ludwig.
Hefele, Karl Joseph.
Herman of Fritzlar.
Hoffmann, Melchior.
Huebmaier, Balthasar
Hut, Hans.
Inspired.
Joris, Jan David.
Kautz, Jakob.
Marsay, Charles Hector de St.
George, Marquis de.
Simeon the New Theologian.
HOLLAND. HENRY SCOTT. D.D.

HOLLAND, HENRY SCOTT, D.D.,

Litt.D. Ritualism, Anglican.

HOLLENBERG, WILHELM (†), Ph.D., Th.Lic. Eichhorn, Johann Albrecht Friedrich. Gossner, Johannes Evangelista. Gossbach, Peter Wilhelm.

HOLMQUIST, HJALMAR FREDRIK, Th.D. Sweden, I.-II.

HOLTZMANN, HEINRICH JULIUS (†), Ph.D., Th.D. Weizsaecker, Karl Heinrich von. Zoepffel, Richard Otto.

Holz, Georg, Ph.D. Notker, 5. Sachs, Hans.

Hood, Edmund Lyman, Ph.D. Theological Seminaries, III., 2.

Horn, Ewald, Ph.D. Universities, §§ 1-13.

Horsch, John.
Mennonites, VIII.
Simons, Menno, II.

HOSKINS, FRANKLIN EVANS, D.D. Syria, IV.-VII.

HOUGHTON, LOUISE SEYMOUR. McAll Mission. McAll, Robert Whitaker. Women's Work in the Church.

HOVEY, GEORGE RICE, D.D. Theological Seminaries, I., 9.

GEORGE ELLIOTT. Ď. L, ERNST, Ph.D., Th.Lic. HAGEN, CARL BERN-D (†), Th.D. cenburger, Matthias. s, Friedrich Heinrich Chris-, GEORGE McPHERSON. Missions to. BER, AUGUST WILHELM NHARD EMIL, Ph.D., D. Ŋ. D, DAVID, Th.Lic. ERNST. ohann Georg. LUDWIG HEINRICH, ation, I.-III., § 6. DHANN FRIEDRICH (†), Friedrich Ludwig ius, Matthias. Jakob. 'AUL 1 Evangelical Synod of North rica. SIMON, Ph.D. r, George Thomas, M.D. EDUARD, Ph.D. Registers HENRY EYSTER, D.D., D., S.T.D. rical Seminaries, VII., 11. JOSEPH, Litt.D. History of, II., 5. rical Seminaries, VI., 2. N, HEINRICH FRIED-I (†), Th.D. Council. Council. ge, I., § 11. zation nuation (in Appendix). HERMANN KARL JO-INES, Th.D. Simon. Examination. IARRY. saching (m Appendix). s, Alfred, Ph.D., Lic. m Ecchellensis.

ich. Xamia

HENRY HARRIS (†), D.D. IV.-VII.

V, ARTHUR NEWTON,

A. Ica Islands, Missions in the. Ica Islands (in Appendix).

EE, GUSTAV ADOLF,
D., Th.D.

TW.

TW.

Ty the Disciple of Paul.

JUELICHER-Victor of Tunnenz Victor of Vita. Vigilantius. Vincent of Lérins. Wrede, William. KAEHLER, MARTIN, Th.D. Bible.
Biblical Theology.
Conscience, §§ 1–6.
Revelation. Temptation. Virtue. KALOPOTHAKES, DEMETRIUS.
Kalopothakes, Michael Demetrius (in Appendix). KAMPHAUSEN, ADOLF (†), Th.D. Bleek, Friedrich.
Bunsen, Christian Karl Josias.
Hixig, Ferdinand.
Hupfeld, Hermann.
Kuenen, Abraham.
Mangold, Wilhelm Julius.
Olshausen, Justus.
Shahna. Shehna Umbreit, Friedrich Wilhelm Carl. KATTENBUSCH, FERDINAND FRIEDRICH WILHELM, Ph.D., Th.D. Baptism, II. Châtel, Ferdinand François. Commandments of the Church. Commandment of the Chur Egypt, II. Extreme Unction. Heineccius, Johann Michael. Ignatius of Constantinople. Ignatius Diaconus. Jorusalem, Patriarehate of. John of Damascus. Juvenal.
Lambeth Articles.
Mass, I.
Mystagogical Theology.
Nectarius.
Photius. Protestantism, I.–VI. Roman Catholics, II. Roman Catholics, 11.
Seekers.
Symbolics.
Theology as a Science, I.–II.
Transsubstantiation, I.–IV. KAUTZSCH, EMIL FRIEDRICH (†), Ph.D., Th.D. Darius. Diestel, Ludwig. Doeg. Jehoahaz. Jehoiachin. Jehoiada. Jehoiakim. Jeroboam, I.–II.
Joktan.
Josiah.
Jotham. Numbers, Sacred. Numbers, Sacred.
Sabaoth.
Samaria, Samaritans, II.
Theophany.
Urim and Thummim.
Vitringa, Campegius. Kawerau, Peter Gustav, Ph.D., Th.D. AWERAU, PETER GUSTAV,
Ph.D., Th.D.
Agricola, Johann.
Amsdorf, Nikolaus von.
Antinomianism and Antinomian Controversies, II., 1.
Aquila, Kaspar.
Aurifaber, Andreas.
Aurifaber, Johannes, of Breslau.
Aurifaber, Johannes, of Weimar.
Bonnus, Hermannus.
Bourignon de la Porte, Antoinette.
Bugenhagen, Johanne.
Corpus Doctrins.
Cureus, Joachim.
Draconites, Johannes.
Eber, Paul.
Emser, Hieronymus.
Exorcism.
Flactus, Matthias.
Gallus, Nicolaus.
Geller, Johann, of Kaisersberg.

KAWERAU-Germany, II.
Hagenau, Conference of.
Hedwig, Saint.
Helding, Michael.
Helmichius, Werner. Helmichius, Werner.
Joschim I.
Joachim II.
Jonas, Justus.
Kettenbach, Heinrich von.
Kirchmeyer, Thomas.
Knipstro, Johannes.
Latomus, Bartholomæus.
Latomus, Jacobus Masson.
Magdeburg Centuries.
Major, Georg.
Majoristic Controversy.
Menius. Justus. Majoristic Controversy.
Menius, Justus.
Moeller, Ernst Wilhelm.
Musculus, Andreas.
Myconius, Friedrich.
Naumburg Convention.
Nausea, Friedrich.
Nicholas of Bibra. Nicholas of Bibra.
Paltz, Johann Jenser von.
Peucer, Caspar.
Pezel, Christoph.
Pflug, Julius.
Philippists.
Reuchlin, Johannes.
Sarcerius, Erasmus.
Sigismund, Johannes.
Spangenberg, Cyriakus.
Spangenberg, Johann.
Steinmeyer, Franz Karl Ludwig.
Stiefel, Michael.
Stigel, Johann.
Strigel, Victorinus.
Synergism and Synergistic Controversy. Synergism and Synergistic Controversy.
Walch.
Westphal, Joachim.
Wigand, Johann.
Wilsnack.
Wimpina, Konrad.
Witzel, Georg.
Worms, IV.
KEIL, WILHELM JULIUS ADOLPH.
Kell, Johann Friedrich Karl. KELSO, JAMES ANDERSON, Ph.D., D.D. Isaiah, III. Theological Seminaries, XI., a., 11. KERLER, DIETRICH (†), Ph.D. Ethal, Franz Ludwig von. Tribur, Synod of. Trudbert. KESSLER, HANS, Ph.D., Th.D. Arndt, Johann Friedrich Wilhelm. Jaspis, Albert Sigismund. Kessler, Konrad (†), Ph.D., Th.Lic. Mandmans. Manicheans. Maronites. Mekhitarists. Mesrob. Nestorians. KIRCHHOFER, GOTTLOB.
Mueller, Johann Georg, 1.
Ritter, Erasmus. Kirn, Otto (†), Ph.D., Th.D. Faith, II. Grace. Grace. Logos. Melanchthon, Philipp. Rationalism and Supernaturalism, I.– III. Redemption. Regeneration. Reward. Salvation. Schleiermacher, Friedrich Daniel Ernst. Ernst.
Sin.
Staehelin, Rudolf.
Suicide.
Trinity, Doctrine of the.
Tuebingen School, The Older. KITTEL, RUDOLF, Ph.D., Th.D.

KITTEL-Archeology, Biblical. Bleesing and Cursing. Elohim. Elohim.
Hagar.
Hagarenea, Hagarites.
Hagagai.
Holiness of God, §§ 1–6.
Manasseh.
Menahem.
Michaelis.
Myrrte.
Nyrrte.
Nard.
Nathan.
Nimrod.
Omri.
Othniel.
Pekah. Othnica.
Peksh.
Poor Laws, Hebrew.
Proverbs, Book of.
Psalms, Book of. Rahab. Rechabites. Rechabites.
Rehoboam.
Sanballat.
Shemaiah.
Solomon.
Tabernacle, The Mosaic.
Temples, Hebrew, I-IV.
Time, Biblical Reckoning of, I.-II.
Yahweh. Yahweh. Zedekiah. Zimri. KLEINERT, HUGO WILHELM
PAUL, Ph.D., Th.D.
Comenius, Johannes Amos.
Drabik, Nikulás.
Ecclesiastes.
Idolatry.
Image and Image-Worship, I.
Jablonski, Daniel Ernst. KLIPPEL, GEORG HEINRICH (†), Ph.D. Ronsdorf Sect. Schall, Johann Adam. KLOSE, KARL RUDOLF (†), Th.D. Reineccius, Jakob. KLOSTERMANN, AUGUST HEIN-RICH, Th.D. Bar Kokba. Chronicles, Books of. Ezra. Aurelian Ezra and Nehemiah, Books of. Isaiah, I.-II. Job, Book of. Nehemiah. KLOSTERMANN, ERICH, Ph.D., Th.Lic. Glosses, Biblical and Ecclesiastical. KLUEPFEL, KARL AUGUST (†), Th.D. Frankenberg, Johann Heinrich, Count of. Gerbert, Martin. Linz, Peace of. Noailles, Louis Antoine de. KNAPP, JOSEPH (†). Palmer, Christian David Friedrich. KNIGHT, EDWARD HOOKER, D.D. Religious Pedagogy, Hartford School of. KNIGHT, GEORGE THOMSON (†), D.D. Universalists. KOCH, KARL THEODOR RUDOLF BERNHARD. Urlsperger, Samuel. KOEBERLE, JUSTUS ADOLF (†), Th.D.
Priest, Priesthood, I.
Volck, Johann Christoph Wilhelm. Koegel, Rudolf (†), Ph.D., Th.D.

Gerlach, Otto von.

KOEGEL-Hoffmann, Ludwig Friedrich Wil-helm. Krummacher, 3. Stahl, Friedrich Julius. Koehler, August (†), Ph.D., Th.D. Ahraham. KOEHLER, WALTHER, Ph.D., Th.D. Muenster, Anabaptists in. KOENIG, FRIEDRICH EDUARD, Ph.D., Th.D. Bachmann, Johannes Franz Julius. Defilement and Purification, Cere monial. Elias Levita. Games. Mirrors, Hebrew. Seth, Sethites. Sinim. Stoning, Hebrew Use of. KOESTLIN, HEINRICH ADOLF (†), Ph.D., Th.D.
Lobwasser, Ambrosius.
Magnificat.
Miserere. Organ.
Psalmody, §§ 4–6.
Requiem. requiem. Sacred Music, B., I.-II., 1-2. Te Deum. Koestlin, Julius (†), Ph.D., Th.D., Dr.Jur. Character.
Church, The Christian.
Communion of Saints.
Concursus Divinus.
Dogma, Dogmatics, I.-II., 1, §§ 1-8.
God, I.-III.
Hahn, August.
Hahn, Heinrich August.
Hess, Johann.
Luther, Martin.
Oath, II.
Penance. haracter Penance. Vows, II. Kohler, Kaufmann, Ph.D. Theological Seminaries, VI., 1. Kolb, Christoph Friedrich ADOLF, Th.D.
Friends of the Temple.
Habn, Johann Michael.
Habn, Philipp Matthaeus.
Kornthal.
Pregizerians. COLDE, THEODOR FRIEDRICH
HERMANN, Ph.D., Th.D.
Agricola, Stephan.
Alber, Erasmus.
Albert of Brandenburg.
Althamer, Andreas.
Andreä, Jakob.
Arnoldi, Bartholomseus.
Augsburg Confession and its Apology.
Augsburg, Religious Peace of.
Billican, Theobald.
Brueck, Gregorius.
Cajetan, Thoomas.
Camerarius, Joachim.
Catholic Apostolic Church.
Cochlæus, Johannes.
Confraternities, Religious.
Didymus, Gabriel.
Dietrich, Veit.
Eberlin, Johann.
Frankfort Respite.
Frederick III., The Wise.
Gravamina.
Haner, Johann. KOLDE, THEODOR FRIEDRICH Gravamina. Gravamina.
Haner, Johann.
Hoffmeister, Johannes.
Huberinus, Caspar.
Irving, Edward.
John Frederick, the Magnanimous.
John the Steadfast.
Jubilee, Year of.
Kaiser, Leonhard.
Karg, Georg.

KOLDE-Laud, William.
Marburg, Conference of.
Muenzer, Thomas. Muenzer, Thomas.
Nuremberg, Religious Peace el.
Philip of Hesse.
Prierias, Silvester.
Proles, Andreas.
Ratzeberger, Mattheus.
Regensburg, Conference ol.
Reuter, Hermann Ferdinand.
Sacred Heart of Jesus, Devotion to
Salig, Christian August.
Schehhorn, Johann Georg.
Schmalkaid Articles.
Schwabach Articles.
Sectiondorf, Veit Ludwig von.
Seebofer, Arsacius. Seckendorf, Veit Ludwig von.
Seehofer, Arsacius.
Seidemann, Johann Karl.
Spalatin, Georg.
Spengler, Lazarus.
Staehlin, Adolf von.
Stauff, Argula von.
Vecsenmeyer, Georg.
Venatorius, Thomas.
Witschel, Johann Heinrich Wilhelm
Wittenberg, Concord of. KRAETZSCHMAR, RICHARD (†), Th.D. Gesenius, Heinrich Friedrich W Jason. Merodach-Baladan. KRAFFT, WILHELM LUDWIG († Th.D. Hasse, Friedrich Rudolf. KRIEGE, OTTO EDWARD, D.D. Theological Seminaries, VIII., 5. KRUEGER, HERMANN GUSTAV EDWARD, Ph.D., Th.D. Abelites. Acacius of Berœa.
Acacius of Melitene.
Accemeti. Adamites Adelophagi. Adrian. Eness of Gaza. Agrippa Castor.
Agrippa Castor.
Alexander of Hierapolis.
Alexander of Lycopolis. Anastasius.
Anatolius of Laodicsa.
Andrew of Crete. Antitactæ. Apollinaris of Laodicea. Aquarii. Arabians Arnobius. Arnobius the Younger. Arsenius. Asterius Audians. Bachiarius. Bardesanes Basil of Ancyra.
Basil, Saint, the Great.
Basil of Seleucia.
Basilides and the Basilidians. Cainites.
Carpocrates and the Carpocratians
Cerdo Cerinthus. Chromatius. Chronicon Paschale. Cœlicolæ. Collyridians. Cyril of Alexandria. Damianus.
Didymus, the Blind, of Alexandra
Dimocrites. Dionysius of Corinth.
Docetism.
Encratites. Encratues.
Epiphanius Scholasticus.
Eulogius of Alexandria.
Eusebius of Alexandria.
Eusebius of Emesa.
Eusebius of Thessalonica.
Eutychius of Alexandria.
Eutychius of Constantinople.
Evargius Scholasticus Evagrius Scholasticus Facundus of Hermiane Faustinus.

is Ferrandus.
is of Ruspe.
of Cyzicus.
is of Massilia. m. of Elvira. ri August von.

rantatm. rians. 8.
! Pelusium.
III. Scholasticus.
IV. Jejunator.
Climacus. Scholasticus of Scythopolis.

Halicarnaesus.

I.. Emperor of the East. π, Barbara Juliana von.

f Calaris and the Luciferi-

John. Marcionites fercator.

rsites.

. Paulus.

s.
i, Patrology.
of Pella.
of Périgeux.
Gortyna.

Anonymous, in the Early

s of Gaza. 1s, Aurelius Clemens. leinrich Christian Michael. Tyrannius. Cœlius.

f Constantinople.

uitana. us. of Cyrene. hites. apter Controversy.

of Saragossa, Saint.
Scholasticus.

ROBERT (†), Ph.D.,

, Ludwig and Wilhelm ERNST, Ph.D. ann, Constantin. Jakob.

Johann Georg, 2. ABRAHAM (†), Th.D. Jan Hendrik. ALBERT.

leinrich Ludwig Julius. UTHER M. sople's Societies, VIII.

WILHELM, JOHANNES ., Th.D.

Johannes Franciscus. Abraham. Martin. Johann.

Kunze-UNZE—
Hafenreffer, Matthias.
Hunnius, Aegidius.
Hunnius, Nicolaus.
Hutter, Leonhard.
Kahnis, Karl Friedrich August.
Keys, Power of the.
Leyser.
Loci Theologici.
Marcus Eremita.
Merit.
Musaeus, Johann.
Musaeus, Peter.
Quenstedt, Johannes Andreas.

Musaeus, Peter.
Quenstedt, Johannes Andreas.

LACHENMANN, EUGEN.
Huguenota, II.
Le Tellier, Michel.
Idchtenberger, Fréderic Auguste.
Monod, Frédéric.
Nicolas, Michel.
Pascal, Blaise.
Poissy, Religious Conference of.
Port-Royal.
Pressensé, Edmond Dehault de.
Rabaut, Jean Paul.
Rabaut, Paul.
Rabaut, Pierre.
Rabaut-Pommier, Jacques-Antoine.
Renan, Joseph Ernest.
Sabatier, Louis August.
Servetus, Michael.
Spitame, Jacques Paul.
Spondanus, Henricus.
Trappists.
Turlupins.
Villegagnon, Nicolas Durand de.
Vincent de Paul, Saint.
Vincent, Jacques Louis Samuel.
Visitation, Order of the.

LANDERER, MAXIMILIAN ALBERT

LANDERER, MAXIMILIAN ALBERT
(†), Ph.D., Th.D.
Daub, Karl.

LANDIS, JOSIAH PANNATECKER, Ph.D., LL.D.
Theological Seminaries, XIX., a, 1 (in Appendix).

LANDON, WARREN HALL, D.D. Theological Seminaries, XI., a, 9.

LANGERAAD, L. A. VAN, Ph.D. Bres, Guy de.

WILLIAM HENRY, LARRABEE, LL.D. Christian Catholic Apostolic Church

in Zion. Church of God, 2. Communism, II. Old Catholics.

Spiritualism, Spiritualists. LASCELLE, GEORGE THATCHER.
Theological Seminaries, XIV., 4.

Theological Seminaries, XIV., 4.

LAUBMANN, GEORG RITTER VON
(†), Ph.D.
Mabillon, Jean.
Mansi, Giovanni Domenico.
Maran, Prudent.
Martianay, Jean.
Massuet, René.
Montfaucon, Bernard de.
Muratori, Ludovico Antonio.
Ruinart, Thierri.
Salmasius, Claudius.
Scaliger, Joseph Justus.
Sirmond, Jacques.
Valesius, Henricus.

LAUTERBURG MORITE Th Lie

LAUTERBURG, MORITZ, Th.Lic.
Descent of Christ Into Hell.
Heidelberg Catechism.

LAUXMANN, RICHARD (†). Knapp, Albert.

LECHLER, GOTTHARD VICTOR (†), Ph.D., Th.D. Winer, Johann Georg Benedikt.

LEDDERHOSE, KARL FRIEDRICH (†). Henhoefer, Aloys.

LEE, WILLIAM (†), D.D. Erskine, Ebenezer. Erskine, John. Erskine, Thomas.

LEE-Knox, John. Lorimer, Peter. Macleod, Norman. Wishart, George. Woodrow, Robert.

LEIMBACH, KARL LUDWIG (†), Ph.D., Th.Lic.

Arator. Cornelius.

Cornelius. Cyprian. Dracontius, Blossius Æmilius. Eugipius. Fortunati

ortunatus, V Clementianus. Venantius Honorius Gaudentius.
Gaudentius.
Juvencus, Caius Vettius Aquilinus.
Paplas, §§ 1-7.

LEIPOLDT, WILHELM JOHANNES.
Ph.D., Th.D.
Titus of Bostra.
Tritheistic Controversy.

LEMME, LUDWIG, Th.D.

EMME, LUDWIG, Th.
Alms.
Calling, Earthly.
Charity, Christian.
Conflict of Duties.
Friendship.
Hypocrisy.
Perfection.
Pride.
Singleness of Heart.
Spiritual Contentment.
Suffering. Suffering. Wickednes

LEMPP, EDUARD, Ph.D.
Anthony, Saint, of Padua
Capistrano, Giovanni di.
David of Augaburg.
Hall, Sect of.
Jacopone da Todi.
Thomas of Celano.

LEPPINGTON, C. H. D'E. Social Service of the Church, II. Union, Christian Social.

LESKIEN, AUGUST, Ph.D. Bible Versions, B, XII., XVI.

LEWIS, ORLANDO FAULKLAND.
Prison Reform, II., IV. (supplements).
Social Service of the Church, V.

LEYRER, E. (†). Salutations, Hebrew.

Lezius, Friedrich, Ph.D., Th.D.
Albert of Riga.
Moerlin, Joachim.
Moerlin, Maximilian.
Priscillian, Priscillianists.

LIECHTENHAN, RUDOLF, Th.Lic. Monoimos. Ophites. Saturninus.

LIENHARD, ALBERT. Weyermueller, Friedrich.

LINCOLN, JAMES OTIS, M.A. Theological Seminaries, XIV., 5.

LINDBERG, CONRAD EMIL, D.D., LL.D. Theological Seminaries, VII., 2.

LINDNER, BRUNO, Ph.D.

Ahasuerus.
Artaxerxes.
Cyrus the Great.
Dualism. Heathenism.

LINDSAY, THOMAS MARTIN, M.A., D.D. Covenanters. Duff, Alexander.

LIPSIUS, FRIEDRICH REINHARD Ph.D., Th.Lic. Lipsius, Richard Adelbert.

List, Friedrich (†), Ph.D. Balde, Jakob. Manuel, Niklaus.

```
LIST-
    Murner, Thomas.
Pirkheimer, Wilibald.
LOBSTEIN, PAUL, Th.D.
Providence, §§ 1-10.
Reuss, Eduard Guillaume Eugène.
Schmidt, Charles Guillaume Adolphe.
LOESCHCKE, GERHARD, Th.Lic.
      Sozomen, Salamanius Hermias.
LOESCHE, GEORG KARL DAVID,
Ph.D., Th.D.
     Ph.D., Th.D.
Austria.
Chytræus, David.
Ernest I., the Pious
Glass, Salomon.
Hermann, Nikolaus.
John of Nepomuk.
Mathesius, Johannes.
Poeschl, Thomas.
Vogel, Karl Albrecht von.
LOOFS, FRIEDRICH ARMIN, Ph.D.,
Th.D.
    Th.D.
Acacius of Czesarea.
Amphilochius, Saint.
Athanasius.
Athanasius.
Athanasius.
Athanasius.
Bonosus and the Bonosiana.
Doctrine, History of.
Eudoxius of Germanicia.
Eunomius, Eunomians.
Eusebius of Samosata.
Eusebius of Vercelli.
Eustathius of Antioch.
Eustathius of Sebaste.
Eutychianism.
Familista.
Farnovius, Stanislaus.
Flavian.
      Flavian.
Flavian of Constantinople.
George of Laodicea.
Gildas.
     Gildas.
Gregory Nazianzen.
Gregory of Nyssa.
Hilary of Poitiers.
Hosius of Cordova.
Kenosis, §§ 1-12.
Leontius of Byzantium.
Lord's Supper, II.
Macedonius and the Macedonion
Sect.
      Macrina.
Marcellus of Ancyra.
Meletius of Antioch and the Meletian Schism.
      Nectarius
     Nestorius.
Pelagius, Pelagian Controversies.
Photinus.
      Semipelagianism.
Theodore of Mopsuestia.
LOSERTH, JOHANN, Ph.D.
     Huss, John, Hussites.
Inner Austria, The Reformation in.
Jacob of Mies.
Janow, Matthias of.
Milicz of Kremsier.
Waldhausen, Konrad von.
Wyclif, John.
LOTZ, WILHELM PHILIPP FRIED-
            RICH FERDINAND, Ph.D.,
            Th.D.
      Abijah.
     Ahab.
Ahaz.
Ahaziah.
      Amaziah
      Amon, King of Judah.
     Asa.
Baasha.
     Colors in the Bible.
Decalogue.
      Ephod.
      Gedaliah.
Hezekiah.
Hoshea.
      Jehu.
     Sabbath.
Sabbatical Year and Year of Jubilee.
     Stars, I.
Week.
Year, The Hebrew.
```

Lowe, WILLIAM JAMES, D.D. Presbyterians, III., 1. LUNDSTROEM, ANDERS HERMAN, Th.D. Arcimboldi, Giovanni Angelo. Bridget, Saint, of Sweden and the Brigittine Order. LYND, JOHN, D.D. Presbyterians, III., 2. McCarthy, Charles Hallan, Ph.D. Roman Catholies, III. McComb, Samuel, D.D. Psychotherapy. Psychotherapy.

McCurdy, James Frederick,
Ph.D., LL.D.
Adam, II.
Amorites.
Apharsachites.
Aram, Arameans, and the Aramaic
Language, § 5-11.
Archeology, Biblical (supplement).
Ark of the Covenant, § 6-8.
Asherah.
Ashtoreth.
Atarvatis. Atargatis. Balaam (supplement). Bel. Covenant. Habakkuk (supplement). Hadadezer. Hadadezer. Hosea, II. Joel (supplement). Judges, II., 2. Keri and Kethibh. Micah (supplement). McDonald, John, B.D. Presbyterians, I., 5. McElwain, Frank Arthur, B.D. Theological Seminaries, XIV., 7. McLean, John Knox, D.D. Theological Seminaries, III., 7. McMillan, Duncan James, D.D. Mormons, IV. Mormons, IV.

McPheeters, William Marcellus, D.D., LL.D.
Theological Seminaries, XI. b, 2.

Madsen, Peder (†), Th.D.
Martensen, Hans Lassen.

Mallet, Hermann (†). Krummacher, 1, 4. Scultetus, Abraham. MANGOLD, WILHELM JULIUS (†), Th.D. Henke, Ernst Ludwig Theodor. Maldonatus, Johannes. MANHART, FRANKLIN PIERCE, Theological Seminaries, VII., 14. MANN, WILHELM JULIUS (†), D.D. Penn, William. Penn, William.

MARGRANDER, ERNEST CHRISTIAN, D.C.

Monophysitism and the Oriental Separated Churches (in Appendix).

Orthodox Catholic Church in America (in Appendix).

Succession, Apostolic, II.

Union of the Churches, II.

Vilatte, Joseph Réné. MARSHALL, ALBERT BRAINERD, D D Theological Seminaries, XI., a, 7. MATHEWS, GEORGE DUNCAN.
Alliance of the Reformed Churches.
Presbyterians, II., V.-VII., IX. MAUDE, AYLMER.
Dukhobors.
Tolstoy, Count Leo. Maurer, Konrad von (†), Dr.Jur.

MAYER, OTTO, Dr.Jur. Church and State, I. MEAD, EDWIN DOAK. Free Religious Association. MEDER, PAUL. Stiefel, Esajas. MEHLHORN, PAUL, Ph.D., Th.]
Holsten, Karl Christian Johann.
Protestant Union (German).
Zollikofer, Georg Joachim. MEINHOLD, THEODOR.
Meinhold, Karl Heinrich Joachim. MEJER, OTTO (†), Ph.D., Th.D. Chaplain. Coadjutor. Coacjutor.
Correction, Houses of.
Fief, Ecclesiastical.
Incapacity.
Naming. Prelature. MERZ, JOHANNES, Ph.D. Merz, Georg Heinrich. MEYER, FRIEDRICH, Th.D. Switzerland, I.-III. MEYER, PHILIPP, Ph.D. Aerius. Agapios Monachos. Antipater of Bostra. Athanasios Parios. Athanasios Parios.
Athos.
Balsamon, Theodoros.
Basil of Achrida.
Bible Versions, B, VIII.
Blemmydes, Nikephoros.
Bulgaris, Eugenios.
Carularius, Michael.
Chrysanthos, Notaras.
Constantinople, I.
Cyril Lues. Constantinopie, L.
Cyril Lucar.
Damascenus the Studite.
Daponte, Cassarius.
Deputatus.
Diaconicon.
Dositheos of Jerusalem.
Elias Miniatis.
Euchologion.
Fuloria. Euchologion.
Eulogia.
Eustathius of Thessalonica.
Euthymius Zigabenus.
Gabriel Severus.
Gemistos Plethon, Georgios.
Gennadius. Gregory. Hesychasts Hesychius. Iconostasis.
Jeremias II.
Jerusalem, Anglican-German Bish
opric in.
Johannes Askusnages.
Johannes Bekkos.
Johannes Philoponos.
Joseph Bryennios.
Joseph of Methone.
Kabasilas.
Kaires, Theophilus.
Kaires, Theophilus.
Kartanos Joannikios.
Kydones Demetrios.
Markos Eugenikos.
Markos Eugenikos.
Marimus Margunios.
Meletius Pegas.
Meletius Syrigus.
Meletius Onnicios. Iconostasi Menaion
Metrophanes Critopulus.
Mogilas, Petrus.
Nicetas Acominatus.
Nicetas, David.
Nicetas Pectoratus.
Nicodemus Hagiorites.
Geconomus, Constantinus.
Pachomius Rhusanus.
Palamas, Gregorius.
Panagia. Menaion Panagia. Panagra.
Panegyricon.
Parakletike, Parakletikon.
Pharmakides, Theoklitos.
Philippus Solitarius.
Protopresbyter, Archpresbyter,
Psellus, Constantinus. Romanus. Sebastos Cyminetes. Simeon of Thessalonica.

, Silvester. 18 Zygomalas. is Lys--act., Nicephorus. Neophytos. onsecration of, in the Greek

ON KNONAU, GEROLD, , Th.D.

olaus von.

r, Johann Kaspar. l, Monastery of.

N, ALEXA hl, Henrik. Henrik. ALEXANDER (†).

N, KONRAD CHRISTIAN r. mann.

ARL THEODOR, Th.D.

Luces

of Constance.
of Toledo.

Segni.
onference of.
ts and Delimiting Bulls.
luricius.
ohannes.

schering. artin von. kaphael. grees of.

avier, Saint. regations in Germany. Vendôme. -II. atholicism ohann Josef von.

/I.-X. Christophorus Synod and Church Order

II. Icinrich. leury. White.

II.–III. artres. iam. François.

ann Michael von. of Lautenbach.

ii.–IV.

nian, Saint. I.-VI. Johannes Becker. lchior. Samuel. hael. ohann Salomo. org. Theobald. ouncil. ungspunkte.

of Treves. igen, Johann Angelius von. Johann Christoph. MITCHELL, ALEXANDER FERRIER (†), D.D. Hamilton, Patrick.

MOELLER, ERNST WILHELM (†), Th.D. Moeller, Johann Friedrich.

Mogk, Eugen, Ph.D. Iceland.

MOLENAAR, IZAAK.
Hoekstra, Sytse.
Opzoomer, Cornelis Willem.

MOMBERT, JACOB ISIDOR, D.D. Common Prayer, Book of. Ebel, Johann Wilhelm.
Tyndale, William.

MOORE, JOHN HENRY. Dunkers, I., III. Trine Immersion.

MOORE, WALTER WILLIAM, D.D., LL.D. Theological Seminaries, XI., b, 5.

MOOREHEAD, WILLIAM GAL-LOGLY, D.D., LL.D. Theological Seminaries, XIII., 1.

Morison, Robert Swain, S.T.B. Theological Seminaries, XXI., 1.

Morris, Edward Dafydd, D.D., LL.D.

Soteriology.
Theological Seminaries, XI., a, 8.

Morro, William Charles, Ph.D., B.D. Theological Seminaries, IV., 1.

MORSE, RICHARD CARY, M.A. McBurney, Robert Ross. Young People's Societies, X. Williams, Sir George.

MORTON, ROBERT. Presbyterians, I., 6; III., 8.

MOSAPP, HERMANN, Ph.D. Flattleft, Johann Friedrich. Gerok, Karl. Grueneisen, Carl. Hedinger, Johann Reinhard. Hiller.

MUELLER, ERNST FRIEDRICH KARL, Th.D. Alsted, Johann Heinrich. Alting, Johann Heinrich. Ames, William.

Atting, Johann Heinrich.
Ames, William.
Amyraut, Moise.
Barckhausen-Volkmann Controversy.
Bouquin, Pierre.
Burmann, Frans.
Church Discipline, IV.
Cocceius, Johannes, and his School.
Ebrard, Johann Heinrich August.
Gerdes, Daniel.
Goudimel, Claude.
Helvetic Confession.
Hoornbeek, Johannes.
Hospinian, Rudolf.
Hungarian Confessions.
Jesus Christ, Threefold Office of.
Jesus Christ, Threefold Office,
Captified.
Neostadiensium Admonitio.
Pajon, Claude.
Pighius, Albertus.

Pajon, Claude.
Pighius, Albertus.
Pighats, Albertus.
Piscator, Johannes.
Placeus, Josua.
Predestination, II.
Presbyter, Presbyterate, II.
Second Advent.
Staffortian Book.
Tetrapolitan Confession

Tetrapolitan Confession. Wendelin, Markus Friedrich.

MUELLER, GEORG, Ph.D., Th.D. Anton, Paul. Bogataky, Karl Heinrich von. Breithaupt, Joachim Justus.

MUELLER-Carpsov. Cyprian, Ernst Salomon. Faber, Basilius. Grossmann, Christian Gottlob Lebe-Grossman, Christian Gottlob Leberecht.
Huber, Samuel.
Hutter, Elias.
Keimann, Christian.
Knapp, Georg Christian.
Krall, Nikolaus.
Lange, Joachim.
Loescher, Valentin Ernst.
Morus, Samuel Friedrich Nathanael.
Olearius.
Pieffinger, Johann.
Rivius, Johanne.
Rivius, Johanne.
Schoettgen, Johann Christian.
Wernsdorf, Ernst Friedrich.
Wernsdorf, Gottlieb.

MUELLER, JOSEPH THEODOR, Th.D.

Bohemian Brethren. Garve, Karl Bernhard. Lasicius, Johannes. Zinsendorf, Nicolaus Ludwig, Count.

MUELLER, KARL FERDINAND FRIEDRICH, Ph.D., Th.D., Jur.D. Joseph II.

MUELLER, NIKOLAUS, Ph.D. Th.D.

Alpha and Omega. Altar, III. Ambo.

Amoo.
Bells.
Cemeteries.
Inscriptions, III.
Jesus Christ, Pictures and Images of.
Nimbus.

MUIR, PEARSON M'ADAM, D.D. Presbyterians, I., 1.

MULLINS, EDGAR YOUNG, D.D., LL.D. Theological Seminaries, I., 7.

MUMM, FRIEDRICH WILHELM

REINHARD, Th.Lic.
Conference, Free Ecclesiastical-Social.

Conference, Free Ecclemantical-Social.

NASH, HENRY SYLVESTER, D.D.
Angel, III.
Epistle, I.
Ethics, III.
Exegesis or Hermeneutics, V., §§ 5-8.
Faith, I.
Gospel and Gospels.
Interpolations in the New Testament.
Paul the Apostle, II.
Prayer, II. Prayer, II. Supernatural Religion. Transfiguration.

NATHUSIUS, MARTIN VON (†), Th.D. Communism. I.

NAUMANN, MAX, Ph.D. Innocent VI.

NELLE, HEINRICH FRIEDRICH WIL-HELM, Th.D. Spitta, Karl Johann Phillipp.

NESTLE, CHRISTOF EBERHARD, Ph.D., Th.D. Aphrastes.

Aphrastes.
Assemani.
Bible Versions, Introduction; A, I.—
III., V.—VII., IX.—X.; B, I., III.,
VIII., XIII., XVIII.
Bibles, Polygiot.
Ebed Jesu.
Ephraem Syrus.
Ess, Karl and Leander van.
Ibas.

Ibas.
Isaac of Antioch.
Isaac of Nineveh.
Jacob of Edessa.
Jacob of Nisibis.
Jacob of Sarug.

Jacobite John of Dara. NESTLE-ESTLE—
John of Ephesus.
Lagarde, Paul Anton de.
Maruthas.
Maulbronn.
Rabbula.
Simon, Richard.
Stephen bar Zudhaile.
Syriac Literature.
Syriac Church.
Yahbalaha.

NEUMANN, CARL, Ph.D. Alexius I., Comnenus. Anna Comnena. Eudocia Ælia.

NEUMANN, KARL JOHANNES, Ph.D. Celsus. Hierocles. Zeno the Isaurian.

NEWMAN, ALBERT HENRY, D.D., LL.D. Agenda, § 7. Ambrosiaster (supplement). Antinomianism and Antinomian Con-troversies, I.; II. 2., Apollinaris of Laodicea (supplement). Baptists. Apollinaris of Laodicea (supplement)
Baptists.
Blaurer, Margaretha.
Charlemagne.
Charles V.
Christmaa.
Clarke, John.
Communism, I., § 3.
Dogms, Dogmatics, II., 1(§ 9), 2-5.
Foster, John.
Fuller, Andrew.
Fuller, Andrew.
Fuller, Richard.
Hackett, Horatio Balch.
Hall, Robert.
Holy Roman Empire.
Huguenots, I.
Ignatius of Loyola.
Jesuits.

Inguesous, John, 2.
Jesuits.
Laity (note and supplement).
Leland, John, 2.
Liberty, Religious, I.
Manning, James.
Marbeck, Pilgram.
Nerses.
Nestorius (in Appendix).
Paulicians (supplement).
Peter of Bruys (supplement).
Philip II.
Reublin, Wilhelm.
Rice, Luther.
Rogers, John, 2.
Saravia, Adrian.
Theological Seminaries, I., 8.
Twin.

Twin. Ubbonites. Williams, Roger.

NEWTON, JOSEPH FORT, M.A., Th.M. Swing, David.

Swing, David.

NEY, THEODOR JULIUS, Th.D.
Bader, Johann.
Brunner, Leonhard.
Diller, Michael.
Frederick III., the Pious.
Muehlhaeusser, Karl August.
Olevianus, Kaspar.
Pareus, David.
Schwebel, Johann, and the Reformation in Pfalz-Zweibruecken.
Speyer, Diets of.
Tremellius, Emanuel.
Ursinus, Zacharias.
Wolfgang, Count Palatine.

Nielsen, Frederick Kristian (†).

Nielsen, Frederik Kristian (†), Th.D.

Th. D.
Absalon.
Andrew of Lund.
Balle, Nicolai Edinger.
Bastholm, Christian.
Bornholmers.
Breckling, Friedrich.
Brochmand, Jesper Rasmussen.
Brorson, Hans Adolf.
Consalvi, Ercole.
Denmark.
Eliz. Paulus. Eliæ, Paulus. Eskil

Gregory XVI.

Hemmingsen, Niels.

Hymnology, VIII.

Kalkar, Christian Andreas Hermann.

Kierkegaard, Soeren Aaby.

Kingo, Thomas Hansen.

Muenter, Friedrich Christian Karl

Helnrich.

Mynster, Jakob Peter,

Pontoppidan, Erik.

Tausen, Hans.

ITZSCH. Friedrich Andreas NIELSEN-

NITZSCH, FRIEDRICH AUGUST BERTHOLD (†), Th.D. Berengar of Poitiers. Fulbert of Chartres. Nitzsch, Karl Immanuel. Nitzsch, Karl Ludwig.

NYVALL, DAVID.

Swedish Evangelical Mission Covenant of America.

ODLAND, THOR GEORG BERN-HARD (†). Hauge, Hans Nielsen.

OEHLER, GUSTAV FRIEDRICH VON (†), D.D. Steudel, Johann Christian Friedrich. ODHNER, CARL THEOPHILUS. New Jerusalem Church, §§ 5-6. OOSTERZEE, JACOB VAN (†), Ph.D.,

Th.D.
Roijaards, Herman Johan.
ORELLI, CONRAD VON, Ph.D.,
Th.D.

Abishai.
Ahimelech.
Ahithophel.
Atonement, Day of.
Bathing.
Benaiah.

Cherethites and Pelethites.
David.
Day, The Hebrew.
Deborah.
Dietary Laws of the Hebrews.
Dreams.
Eli

Elijah. Elisha. Enoch.

Enoch.
Esther, Book of.
Ezekiel.
Gideon.
Gog and Magog.
Hiram.
Incense, I.
Isane.

Ishmael

Ishmael.
Israel, History of, I.
Israel, History of, I.
Jacob the Patriarch.
Jannes and Jambres,
Jehoshaphat.
Jeremiah, III.
Joseph the Patriarch.
Judah.
Judah.
Judges, I.; II., 1.
Kingship in Israel.
Levi, Levites.
Lot.
Messiah, Messianism.
Moses.
Names, II.
Nazirites.
Passover.

Passover.

Panseover.
Pentecost, I.
Pillar of Fire and Cloud.
Prophecy and the Prophetic Office,
I.-II.
Ruth, Book of.
Sacrifice.
Sacrifice.

Samson. Samuel.

Samuel.
Saul.
Slavery, I.
Song of Solomon.
Soreery and Soothsaying.
PAHNCKE, KARL HERMANN.
Riehm, Edward Karl August.
Wolters, Albrecht Julius Konstantin.

PALMER, CHRISTIAN VON (†) Th.D. Theremin, Ludwig Friedrich Franz.

PALMER-

PALMER—Zeller, Christian Heinrich.

PARK, EDWARDS AMASA (†), D.D.
Edwards, Jonathan (the Elder).
Edwards, Jonathan (the Younger).
Emmons, Nathanael.
Hopkins, Samuel.
Hopkinsianism.

PATERSON, EMILY. Egypt Exploration Fund.

PATTON, FRANCIS LANDEY, D.D., LL.D. Hodge, Archibald Alexander. Hodge, Caspar Wistar. Hodge, Charles.

PEEKE, MARGARET BLOODGOOD (†)
Behaism.
Martinist Order.

PELT, ANTON FRIEDRICH LUD-WIG (†), Ph.D., Th.D. Schott, Heinrich August.

PESTALOZZI, CARL (†), Ph.D. Wildenspuch Crucifixion.

PETER, CARL (†), Th.D. Schwarz, Johann Karl Eduard.

Schwarz, Johann Karl Eduard.

PFENDER, CARL.
Achery, Jean Luc d'.
Calmet, Augustin.
Charron, Pierre.
Cotelerius, Johannes Baptista.
Dubosc, Pierre Thomines.
Du Cange, Charles du Fresne, Sieur.
Du Guet, Jacques Joseph.
Du Pin, Louis Ellies.
Du Vergier de Hauranne, Jean.
Fesch, Joseph.
Fléchier, Esprit.
France, II.
Frayssinous, Denis, Count of.
Gerberon, Gabriel.
Godeau, Antoine.
Guizot, François Pierre Guillaume.
Guizot, François Pierre Guillaume.
Motte.
Hardouin, Jean.
Huet, Pierre Daniel.
Lacordaire, Jean-Baptiste Henri.
Lamennais, Hugues Félicité Robert
de.
Lenfant, Jacques.

Lacordaire, Jean-Baptiste Henri.

Lamennais, Hugues Félicité Robert
de.
Lenfant, Jacques.
Len Nourry, Denis Nicolas.
Maimbourg, Louis.
Maimbourg, Louis.
Monod, Adolphe Louis Frédéric Théodore.
Montalembert, Charles Forbes Rens,
Count de Tryon.
Nicole, Pierre.
Olier, Jean Jacques.
Quesnel, Pasquier.
Renaudot, Eusèbe.
Saint-Martin, Louis Claude de.
Saint-Simon, Claude Henri Count de.
Saint-Simon, Claude Henri Count de.
Saint-Simon, Claude Henri Count de.
Saint-Martin, Louis Sébastien le Nainde.
William of Saint Amour.

PFOTENHAUER, JOHANNES. Favre, Pierre François.

PHELEY, WILLIAM HENRY, Ph.D. Andrew and Philip, Brotherhood of. PHILIPPI, FERDINAND (†), Th.D. Philippi, Friedrich Adolf.

PICK, BERNHARD, Ph.D., D.D.

Agrapha. Angel, II. Bibles, Rabbinic.

PICKETT, LEANDER LYCURGUS.
Theological Seminaries, VIII., 1.

PIEPER, FRANZ AUGUST OTTO, D.D. Theological Seminaries, VII., 5.

PLATZHOFF-LEJEUNE, EDUARD CHARLES, Ph.D.
Scherer, Edmond Henri Adolphe.
Secrétan, Charles.

POEHLMANN, ROBERT VON, Ph.D. Nero.

IARIE. hy, I.-II. LYMAN PIERSON. Christian, II. FREDERICK DUNGLISON. ., LL.D. ll, Alexander. s of Christ. IUS, FRANZ, Ph.D. resions A., VIII. VALDO SELDEN, Mus.D. fusic, B., II., 8. ical Seminaries, III., 5. WILHELM, Th.D. ger, Julius. GAST, FINIS HOMER. EN, ERWIN FRIEDRICH HELM FERDINAND, Ph.D., tom.

de Recta in Deum Fide.

II. s of Casarea Ponticus. hann Heinrich. , Balthasar. us. aristoph Matthaeus. rgius. inatus, Liber.

us Lector. stus. us and his School. f Capus. ARRY HEFFNER, M.A., ical Seminaries, IX., 2. RALPH EARL, D.C.L., ΪΙ. AUL MARTIN, Th.D. ', Moral Law. 'ruthfulness. f Battle, Duel, II. TRAUGOTT OTTO. . Ecclesiastical, I. cal Libraries, I.-II. ECK, HERMANN. ssions, I. ization. dission. cieties, I.–II. , Johann Heinrich. , Edwin Mortimer, 'eople's Societies, V. ERNST (†), Th.D. FRIEDRICH GOTTHILF L EMIL LEOPOLD, Th.D. DELEVAN BLOODGOOD.

ical Seminaries, II., 1.

, GERHARD, Th.Lic. berg, August Gottlieb. 18, JOSEPH (†), Ph.D. s, Joeph Hubert. , JOHN BALLARD, D.D. leal Seminaries, XI., a, 4.

rians, VIII., 2., Charies Albert.

RICHARD CLARK, D.D.,

REUSS, EDUARD GUILLAUME EUGÈNE (†), Ph.D., Th.D. Griesbach, Johann Jakob. Schleusner, Johann Friedrich. RÉVÉSZ, KOLOMAN. Dévay, Mátyás Biró. Hungary. RICE, EDWIN WILBUR, D.D. Sunday-schools. RICHARDS, GEORGE WARREN. $\mathbf{D}.\mathbf{D}$ Theological Seminaries, XV., a, 2. RICHARDSON, ERNEST CUSHING, Ph.D. Jacobus de Varagine. RIDDLE, MATTHEW BROWN, D.D., LL.D. Tyler, Bennet. RIETSCHEL, CHRISTIAN GEORG, Th.D. Agenda.
Anaphora.
Antimensium.
Ascension, Feast of the.
Bible Reading by the Laity, Restrictions tions on. Churching of Women. Gradual. Heubner, Heinrich Leonhard. Houst. Hemiter Boomard.
Koegel, Theodor Johannes Rudolf.
Meier, Ernst Julius.
Lord's Supper, IV., §§ 1-3; V. § 1.
Meier, Ernst Julius.
Muellensiefen, Julius.
Yuletide. RIETSCHEL, SIEGFRIED, Dr. Jur. Capitularies. Immunity. Investiture. Truce of God. RIGGENBACH, BERNHARD (†), Th.D. Komander, Johann. RITSCHL, ALBRECHT BENJAMIN (†), Ph.D., Th.D., Dr.Jur. World. RITSCHL, OTTO KARL ALBRECHT, Th.D. Ritschl, Albrecht Benjamin. Ritschl, Georg Karl Benjamin. ROBERTS, ROBERT THOMAS, D.D. Presbyterians, VIII., 8. ROBERTS, WILLIAM HENRY, D.D., LL.D. Presbyterians, VIII., 1; X. ROCHOLL, RUDOLF (†), Th.D. Gerhoh of Reichersberg. Honorius of Autun. Huschke, Georg Philipp Eduard. Rupert of Deutz. ROENNEKE, KARL, Th.Lic. Italy. ROGERS, ROBERT WILLIAM, M.A., Ph.D., LL.D. Eden. Elam. Euphrates. Euphrates.
Gozan.
Inscriptions, II.
Medo-Persia.
Theological Seminaries, VIII., 3.
Time, Biblical Reckoning of, III. Rogge, Hendrik Cornelis (†), Ph.D. Ph.D.
Arminius, Jacobus, and Arminianism.
Bekker, Balthasar.
Clericus, Johannes.
Collegiants.
Dort, Synod of.
Episcopius, Simon.
Grotius, Hugo.
Koolhass, Kaspar Janssoon.

Rogge-Limborch, Philippus van. Remonstrants. Rowe, Henry Kalloch, Ph.D. Fast-day (supplement).
Thanksgiving Day.
Theological Education, II.
Theological Seminaries, I., 5. RUDLOFF, GUSTAV (†), Th.D. Schwarz, Karl Heinrich Wilhelm. RUEEGG, ARNOLD (†).
Hannah.
Hate. Hate.
John the Baptist.
Lead, Jane.
Obedience, I.
Pordage, John.
Winet, Alexandre Rudolfe.
Wrath of God. RUNZE, GEORG WILHELM, Ph.D., Th.D. Immortality, I.-VII. Will, Freedom of the, I.-III. RUSTON, WILLIAM OTIS, D.D., LL.D. Theological Seminaries, XI., a, 6. RYSSEL, CARL VICTOR (†), Ph.D., Th.D. First-fruits, I.
Fritzsche, Otto Fridolin.
George, Bishop of the Arabians.
Karaites.
Taxation, I.
Tuch, Friedrich. SACHSSE, EUGEN, Th.D.
Catechesis, Catechetics.
Christlieb, Theodor.
Fabri, Friedrich Gotthardt Karl
Ernst. Sachsse, Hugo, Ph.D., Th.Lic., Dr.Jur. Apostolic Brethren. Dolcino. SACK, KARL HEINRICH (†), Ph.D., Th.D. Sack, August Friedrich Wilhelm. Sack, Friedrich Samuel Gottfried. SAHRE, KARL RUDOLF. Amalarius of Metz. Amalarius of Treves SANDER, FERDINAND. Dinter, Gustav Friedrich. Luecke, Gottfried Christian Friedrich. Marsilius of Padua. SANDERS, FRANK KNIGHT, Ph.D. Blakeslee, Erastus. SANFORD, ELIAS BENJAMIN, D.D. Church Federation. SCHAARSCHMIDT, KARL (†), Ph.D. John of Salisbury. Raimundus de Sabunde. SCHAEDER, ERICH, Th.D. Resurrection of the Dead. SCHAEFER, ERNST, Ph.D.
Spain, Sixteenth-century Reformation Movements in.
Vicelin.
Wends, Conversion of the. SCHAEFER, PHILIPP HEINRICH WILHELM THEODOR, Th.D. WILHELM THEODOR, Th.D. Deacon, IV. Diaspora.
Emigrants and Immigrants, Mission Work among, I.
Falk, Johannes Daniel.
Gobat, Samuel.
Helddring, Otto Gerhardt.
Herbergen sur Heimat.
Huber, Victor Aimé.
Prison Reform, I.-II.
War and Christian Service in War, II. Young People's Societies, IX.

SCHAFF, DAVID SCHLEY, D.D.
Abbot, Esra.
Arianism.
Baptism, IV., 2.
Bishop, Nathan.
Channing, William Ellery.
Christology.
Church History.
Deacon, I.-III.
Deaconess. Desconess Dean.
Death.
Death, Dance of. Death, Danes of.
Devil.
Devil.
Dickinson, Jonathan.
Dorner, Isaak August.
Easter, I.
Eastern Church, I.-III.
England, Church of.
Episcopacy.
Evangelical Alliance.
Faber, Frederick William.
Fiji or Viti Islanda.
Finney, Charles Grandison.
Fletcher, John William.
Fleidner, Theodor.
Fox, John.
Fry, Elizabeth.
Fundamental Doctrines of Christianity. Fundamental Doctrines of Christ
ity.
Grosseteste, Robert.
Hare, Julius Charles.
Heuser, Meta.
Holy Spirit.
Hooker, Richard.
Hooper, John.
Hymnology, I.-VII.
Immaculate Conception, §§ 1-4.
Infallibility of the Pope.
Inspiration, §§ 9-11.
Judson, Adoniram.
Keble, John.
Keble, John.
Kempis, Thomas å.
Lange, Johann Peter.
Martyn, Henry.
Prime, Samuel Irensus.
Reformation.
Ridley, Nicholas.
Robinson, Edward.
Roman Catholics, I.
Sacrament. Sacrament. Savonarola, Girolamo. Savonaroia, Girolamo. Schaff, Philip. Scotch Confession of Faith. Syllabus of Errors, Papal. Tertullian, Quintus Septimus Florens.
Thiersch, Heinrich Wilhelm Josias.
Thirty-nine Articles.
Tholuck, Friedrich August Gottreu.
Transubstantiation, V.-VI. Trent, Council of.
Tridentine Profession of Faith.
Westminster Assembly.
Westminster Standards. Westminster Standards.

SCHAFF, PHILIP (†), D.D., LL.D.
Abbot, Ezra.
Arianism.
Baptism, IV., 2.
Bishop, Nathan.
Channing, William Ellery.
Christology.
Church History.
Deacon I. JUI Christology.
Church History.
Deacon, I.-III.
Dorner, Isaak August.
Eastern Church, I.-III.
Evangelical Alliance.
Fliedner, Theodor.
Hare, Julius Charles.
Heuser, Meta.
Immaculate Conception, §§ 1-4.
Infallibility of the Pope.
Lange, Johann Peter.
Lutherans, I.
Prime, Samuel Irensus.
Reformation.
Robinson, Edward.
Roman Catholics, I.
Savonarola, Girolamo.
Scotch Confession of Faith.
Syllabus of Errors, Papal.
Tertullian, Quintus Septimus Florens.
Thiersch, Heinrich Wilhelm Josias.
Thirty-Nine Articles.
Tholuck, Friedrich August Gottreu.
Transubstantiation, V.-VI.
Trent, Council of.
Tridentine Profession of Faith.

SCHAFF Schneider, Albert (†). Hemerli, Felix. United States of America, Religious History of, I.-VI. Westminster Standards. SCHALLER, JOHN.
Theological Seminaries, VII., 16. SCHAUFFLER, ADOLPH FREDERICK, D.D. City Missions, II. SCHEURL, CHRISTOPH GOTTLOB
VON (†), Ph.D., Th.D.
Commenda.
Eichhorn, Karl Friedrich.
Interdict.
Ryswick Clause. SCHIAN, ALBERT ERNST RICHARD MARTIN, Ph.D., Th.D. Preaching, History of, I.-III. SCHMID, OTTO YEOUAN. Zend Folk. Zend Folk.

Schmid, Reinhold, Th.Lic.
Buridan, Jean.
Cusa, Nicholas of.
Elizabeth of Schoemau.
Gilbert de la Porrée.
Guido of Arezzo.
Henry of Ghent.
Hervæus Burgidolensis.
Huchald of St. Amand.
Isidore of Seville.
Joan, Pope.
Lucas of Tuy.
Lupus, Servatus.
Lyra, Nicolaus de.
Maximus of Turin.
Nemesius of Emess.
Peter of Celle.
Philaster. Philaster. Philaster.
Prudentius of Troyes.
Remigius of Auxerre.
Triumphus, Augustinus.
Victor, Claudius Marius.
Victorinus, Caius Marius. SCHMIDT, ARTHUR BENNO, Dr.Jur. Wasserschleben, Friedrich Wilhelm Wasserschleb Hermann. SCHMIDT, CARL WILHELM ADOLF

(†), Th.D.
Eberhard of Béthune.
Gentillet, Innocent.
Mestrezat, Jean.
Nantes, Ediet of.
Richer, Edmond.
Vyonette Richer, Ed Yvonetus. SCHMIDT, EDMUND. Schmidt, Hermann Christoph. SCHMIDT, HEINRICH (†), Ph.D., Th.D. Diepenbrock, Melchior von. Landerer, Maximilian Albert von. SCHMIDT, KARL, Th.D. Abgar. Alphæus. Ananias. Andrew the Apostle. Apollos. Apostle. Apostolic Council at Jerusalem Bartholomew. Dieckhoff, August Wilhelm. Fecht, Johannes. Felix and Festus. Joseph of Arimathea. Judas of Galilee. Judas Scariot. Krabbe, Otto Karsten. SCHMIDT, OSWALD (†). Rudelbach, Andreas Gottlob. SCHMIDT, RICHARD KARL BERN-HARD, Dr.Jur. Capital Punishment, I.-II. SCHMIDT, WILHELM, Ph.D., Th.D. Gess, Wolfgang Friedrich. SCHMIDT, WOLDEMAR GOTTLOB, Th.D. Keil, Karl August Gottlieb.

SCHNEIDER, JOHANNES. Candidus, Pantaleon. Elisabeth, Albertine. Reuter, Quirinus. Rust, Isaak. SCHNETZLER, CHARLES. Viret, Pierre. SCHODDE, GEORGE HENRY, Ph.D., D.D. Theological Seminaries, VII., 4. SCHOELL, CARL WILHELM (†), Ph.D., D.D. Girald de Barri. Jumpers. Latimer, Hugh. Levellers. Maynooth College.
Milner, Joseph and Isase.
Pearson, John.
Sandemanians. SCHORNBAUM, KARL, Ph.D. Rurer, Johann. Rurer, Johann.

SCHOTT, THEODOR FRIEDRICE (†),
Ph.D.
Camisards.
Chandieu, Antoine de la Rocha.
Coligny, Gaspard de.
Court, Antoine.
Dubourg, Anne.
Du Plessis-Mornay, Philippe.
Jeanne d'Albret.
Marlorat du Pasquier, Augustin.
Nimes, Edict of.
SCHOOMERA OURD. K. NY. Ph.D. SCHOTTENLOHER, KARL, Ph.D. Ziegler, Jakob. SCHROEDER, LUDWIG (†). Grundtvig, Nicolai Frederi rik Severin SCHUERER, EMIL (†), Ph.D., Th.D. Apocrypha, Old Testament. Josephus, Flavius. SCHULTE, JOHANN FRIEDRICE RITTER VON, Dr.Jur. Canon Law, II. Canon Law, 11.

Gallicanism.

Hontheim, Johann Nikolaus von.

Legates and Nuncios, Papal.

Liber Diurnus Romanorum Pontificum.

Marca, Pierre de. SCHULTHESS-RECHBERG, GUSTAV VON, Th.D. Lavater, Johann Caspar. SCHULTZE, MAXIMILIAN VICTOR, Th.D. 1h.D.
Ampullæ.
Arcadius, Flavius.
Archeology, Christian.
Art and Church.
Baptistery.
Binterim, Anton Josef.
Churchyard.
Claudius.
Commodus.
Constantine the Great. Commodus.

Constantine the Great and his Son.

Cross and its Use as a Symbol.

Cross, Exaltation of the.

Cross, Invention of the.

Crucifixion.

Decius, Caius Messius Quintus Trajanua. nus. Diocletian. Diocletian.
Domitian.
Evangeliarium.
Hadrian.
Holy Water.
Honorius, Flavius.
Jovianus, Flavius Claudius.
Kiss of Peace.
Liber Vitze.
Lights, Use of, in Worship.
Nicolai, Philipp.
Otto, Christoph Heinrich.
Painting, Decorative and Illustrative
Art, Christian.
Paramenta.

ure, Christian Use of. dism, Ecclesiastical, III. osius I., Flavius. I., Marcus Ulpius. phorus, Johannes. inian.

an, Publius Licinius.

sian, Titus Flavius.

s, Sacred. ents and Insignia, Ecclesiastical. ek-Pyrmont. er, Otto. HANS, Ph.D. ne V. ius III.-IV. int IV.-V. E, LUDWIG .D., Th.D. THEODOR. .D., In.D.
, Heinrich von.
nus, Georg.
erinck, Jan.
nann, Jan.
lde, Congregation of. Jan.
Johannes.
J h, Peters. e, Geert. of Kalkar. e, Hendrik. pi, Jacobus. Hinne. Johannes. Frnagel, Karl Eduard Philipp. 16, Karl Friedrich Adolf. ANN, ALBERT (†). eker, Johann Christian Gott-RZ, JOHANN KARL EDUARD, Th.D. ann, Johann August Heinrich. RZE, ALEXIS WILHELM RNHARD, Ph.D., Th.Lic. African Church. RZE, WILLIAM NATHANIEL, .D., B.D. ogical Seminaries, XIX., 1. INITZ, EDMUND ALEXAN-R DE (†), D.D. of the Brethren. ALEXANDER **(†)**, ZER, ı.D. gger, Johann Heinrich. IZER, PAUL, Ph.D. us, Johannes Casparus. us, Johannes Heinrich. ÆR, John CRAWFORD, yterians, VIII., 6. ;, JOHN PRESTON, D.D. ogical Seminaries, XV., b, 1. , EMIL, Dr.Jur. hius, Franz Karl Paul. o-Isidorian Decretals and Other gorico.

S., Orro, Ph.D.
lict of Aniane.
lict of Nursia and the Beneine Order, I.-III.
bban, Saint. sius. of Bobbio. REINHOLD, Ph.D., 1.D. ism. ening.
, Michael.
vardine, Thomas. g. 1unicatio Idiomatum.

SEEBERG-EEEERG—
Conversion.
Duns Scotus.
Faustus of Riez.
Formula of Concord.
Frank, Franz Hermann Reinhold von.
Grace, Means of.
Hamel, Jean Baptiste du.
Harmin of Scheda.
Hervæus Brito. Herman of Scheda.
Hervæus Brito.
Illumination.
Lessius, Leonardus.
Martin of Braga.
Maximus Confessor.
Miracles, §§ 1–6.
Netter, Thomas.
Occam, William of.
Order of Salvation.
Peter Lombard.
Scholasticism.
Supergregation. Wor Scholasticism.
Supererogation, Works of.
Thomas Aquinas.
Vincent of Beauvais.
Walter of St. Victor.
William of Conches.
Word of God. SEHLING, EMIL, Dr.Jur.
Authority, Ecclesiastical.
Canon Law, I.
Church Government.
Church Order.
Church Patron Saint.
Collegialism.
Conceptions Concubinage.
Congregation.
Consistory, Consistorial Organization.
Devolution, Law of.
Espen, Zeger Bernhard van.
Lancelotti, Giovanni Paolo.
Marriage, I., § 10; II.
Menses Papales.
Nomination Regis.
Nomination, Right of Alternative.
Nomocanons.
Oath, III.
Obedience, II.
Official.
Option. oncubinage Omtion.
Option.
Ordinary.
Pallium.
Panis Liters.
Panormitanus.
Parity.
Penitentiary. Placet ontifical. Pope, Papacy, Papal System.
Prebend.
Prelate. Presence and Presence Fees.
Propaganda, Congregation and College of the.
Property, Ecclesiastical, I.
Prothonotary Apostolic. Provincial. Provisor. Provost. Schewel, Christoph Gottlieb Adolf, Schewel, Chris Freiherr von Schism. Secularization. Sedes Vacans. Simony. Simultaneum. Sinecure Superintendent. Tempus Clausum.
Territorialism.
Tithes, II.
Usury, II.
Vicar. Vicar. Vienna, Peace of. Westphalia, Peace of. SELLIN, ERNST, Ph.D., Th.D. Koehler, Philipp August. Zerubbabel. SEPP, CHRISTIAN (†), Th.D. Gilse, Jan van. Hengel, Wessel Albert van. Kist, Nicolaas Christian.

SEWALL, FRANK, S.T.D. New Jerusalem Church, §§ 1-4. Swedenborg, Emanuel. SHARPLESS, ISAAC, B.S., LL.D., L.H.D. Fox, George. Friends, Society of. Gurney, Joseph John. Hicks, Elias. SHEDD, WILLIAM GREENOUGH THAYER (†), D.D., LL.D. South, Robert. SHELDON, HENRY CLAY, D.D. Polity, Ecclesiastical. SHERMAN, CHARLES COLEBROOK. Circumcision. SHUPE, HENRY FOX, D.D.
Young People's Societies, XI.
SIEFFERT, FRIEDRICH
Emil, Ph.D., Th.D. ANTON Annas.
Antichrist.]
Caiaphas.
Casuistry.
Census.
Ethics, I.-II.
Godliness. Governor.
Happiness.
Herod and His Family, I.
Herodians.
Herodog, Johann Jakob.
James.
Jude, Epistle of.
Krafft, Karl Johann Friedrich
Wilhelm.
Krafft, Wilhelm Ludwig.
Libertines, 1.
Nicolaitans.
Peter the Apostle, I.-II.
Pharisses and Sadducess.
Philip the Apostle. Governor. Pharisees and Sadducees.
Philip the Apostle.
Philip the Evangelist.
Rothe, Richard.
Sleffert, Friedrich Ludwig.
Simon Zelotes.
Thomas the Apostle.
Ungodliness, Ungodly.
Zealots.
SHEDD, WILLIAM AMBROSE, D.D.
Persia, Missions in.
Shedd, John Haskell.
SLEVERS, GEORG, EDUARD, Ph.D. SIEVERS, GEORG EDUARD, Ph.D. Heliand, The, and the Old-Saxon Genesia. SIHLER, ERNEST GOTTLIEB, Ph.D. Humanism. Innocents, Feast of the Holy (supplement). Seneca, Lucius Annæus. Stoicism. SIMONS, EDUARD, Th.D.
Monheim, Johann.
Neander, Joachim.
Sibel, Kaspar.
Tersteegen, Gerhard.
Teschenmacher, Werner. SIMPSON, ALBERT B. Christian and Missionary Alliance. SINGMASTER, JOHN ALDEN, D.D. Theological Seminaries, VII., 7. SMEND, JULIUS WILHELM, Th.D. Psalm Melodies, French. rn, Arthur D.D., LL.D. SMITH, Henderson, China. SMITH, JOSEPH FIELDING, JR. Mormons, I. SMYTH, NEWMAN, D.D. Union of the Churches, III. SOCIN, ALBERT (†), Ph.D. Druse Erpenius, Thomas. Somerville, John, D.D. Presbyterians, VIII., 12. Sommer, Johann Leonhard (†), Th.Lic. Fast-Day. Homiletics, §§ 9-10.

MAC-SOMMERVILLE, ROBERT GOWAN. Presbyterians, VIII., 4. SOUTHWORTH, FRANKLIN CHESTER, D.D.
Theological Seminaries, XVIII., 1. SPAETH, ADOLPH (†), D.D., LLD.
Krauth, Charles Porterfield.
Lutherans, III.
Mann, Wilhelm Julius.
Muchlenberg, Henry Melchior.
Schmucker, Beal Melanchthon.
Schmucker, Samuel Simon.
Walther, Carl Ferdinand Wilhelm. SPRENG, SAMUEL PETER, D.D. Evangelical Association. STAEHELIN, ERNST, Ph.D. Staehelin, Johann Jakob. STAEHELIN, RUDOLF (†), Th.D. Basel, Confession of. Gernler, Lukas. Grynsus. Hagenbach, Karl Rudolf. STAEHLIN, ADOLF VON (†), Th.D. Harless, Gottlieb Christoph Adolf von. Gottfried. STAMOULI, ANTHONY ANASTA-SIOU.
Eastern Church, IV.
Maronites, § 7.
Rumanian Orthodox Church in the
United States and Canada.
Servian Orthodox Churches in
America.
Tikhon. STEIGER, K. F. (†). Steiger, Wilhelm. STEINDORFF, GEORG, Ph.D. Amon, Egyptian Deity. Goshen. No. Noph. Pi-Beseth. STEINMEYER, EMIL ELIAS, Ph.D. Abraham a Saneta Clara. Berthold of Regensburg. Brant, Sebastian. Kero. Otfrid of Weissenburg. Wessobrunn Prayer. STEITZ, GEORG EDUARD (†), Th.D. Beyer, Hartmann. Fresenius, Johann Philipp. Inchofer, Melchior. Meyer, Johann Friedrich von. Revivals of Religion, V. STEPHAN, HORST, Th.Lic. Wolff, Christian. STEWART, GEORGE BLACK, D.D., LL.Ď. Theological Seminaries, XI., a, 1. STEWART, ROBERT
B.Sc., B.D.
Covenanters.
Duff, Alexander.
Presbyterians, I., 2. William, STILES, WILLIAM CURTIS (†), B.D. Holy Ghost and Us Society. Stille, Charles Janeway (†), LL.D. Slavery, II., § 6-9. Siavery, II., §§ 6-9.

STRACK, HERMAN LEBRE
Ph.D., Th.D.
Canon of Scripture, I.
Cassel, Paulus Stephanus Selig.
Hexateuch, §§ 1-11.
Hillel.
Kimchi.
Kol Nidre.
Masorah.
Midrash.
Pellikan. Konrad LEBRECHT.

Pellikan, Konrad.

STRACK-Raymond, Martini. Sanhedrin, Sanhedrim. Schulteus, Albert. Seribe Scribes.
Synagogue, I.
Synagogue, The Great.
Talmud, I.-VI.
Ugolini, Biagio.
Usury, I.
Vatablus, Franciscus.
Writing and the Art of Writing,
Hebrew. STRANG, LEWIS CLINTON. Science, Christian, I. STRAUCH, PHILIPP, Ph.D.
Ebner, Christina.
Ebner, Margareta.
Friends of God.
Henry of Noerdlingen. STUEBE, RUDOLF HEINRICH KARL, Ph.D. Smith, William Robertson. STUTZ, ULRICH, Dr.Jur. Parish and Pastor. Patronage. Regale. Stole Fees, Surplice Fees. SUMMERBELL, JOSEPH JAMES. Christians. Sverdrup, George, Jr., M.A. Theological Seminaries, VII., 1. SWIFT, JUDSON, D.D. Tract Societies, IV. TAGGART, ROBERT BREWSTER. Psalmody, §§ 1-8. TERRY, MILTON SPENSER, D.D., LL.D. Theological Seminaries, VIII., 4. FRIEDRICH, Ph.D., TEUTSCH, Th.D. Honter, Johann. Teutsch, Georg Daniel. THIEME, KARL, Ph.D., Th.D.
Consilia Evangelica.
Ecstasy (supplement).
Good, The Highest.
Good Works.
Knowledge, Theological, Principle of.
ThoLUCK, FRIEDRICH AUGUST AUGUST THEODOR (†), Th.D.
Draeseke, Johann Heinrich Bernhard.
Eylert, Ruhlemann Friedrich.
Stier, Rudolf Ewald. THOMPSON, JAMES WESTFALL, A.B. Richelieu. Rochelle. Ronan, Henri, Duc de. Station. THUEMMEL, FRIEDRICH WILHELM, Th.D. Thuringia. THWING, CHARLES S.T.D., LL.D. FRANKLIN, Religion and Literature. TILLETT, WILBUR FISK, D.D., LL.D. Theological Seminaries, VIII., 9. TITIUS, ARTHUR BENEDIKT, Th.D. Nitzsch, Friedrich August Berthold. TOURSCHER, FRANCIS EDWARD, O.S.A., D.D. Theological Seminaries, XVII., 2. TOY, CRAWFORD HOWELL, LL.D. Semitic Languages. TROELTSCH, ERNST PETER WIL-HELM, Ph.D., Th.D. Deism.
Enlightenment.
Idealism, II.
Moralists, British.

TRUEBLOOD, BEY LIN, LL.D. Peace Movements. BENJAMIN FRANK-TSCHACKERT, PAUL (†), Ph.D., Th.D. 1h.D.
Ailly, Pierre d'.
Allemand, Louis d'.
Bahrd., Karl Friedrich.
Basel, Council of.
Biel, Gabriel.
Bordelumians. Bordelumians.
Calixtus, Georg.
Caselius, Johannes.
Cesarini, Giuliano.
Corrodi, Heinrich.
Crusius, Christian August.
Deutschmann, Johann.
Duier, John.
Edelmann, Johann Christian.
Edelmann, Johann Christian.
Edelmann, Johann Christian.
Eugenius IV.
Feix V.
Ferrara-Florence, Council of.
Foot-Washing. Foot-Washing.
Freemasons.
Freemasons.
Freemasons.
Freemasons.
Freemasons.
Freemasons.
Freench Revolution, Religious Efects
of.
Funck, Johann.
Gregory XI.—XII.
Gregory Y.—XII.
Gregory of Heimburg.
Gretscher, Jacob.
Hardt, Hermann von der.
Hermes, Georg.
Heumann, Christoph August.
Hoffmann, Daniel.
Hohenlohe, Alexander Leopold Frant
Emmerich, Prince of.
Hornelus, Conrad.
Illuminati.
Jacob of Jueterbog.
Jansen, Cornellius, Jansenism.
Kortholt, Christian.
Krafit, Johann.
Languet, Hubert.
Less, Gottfried.
Osiander, 1,9
Pallavicino, Sforza.
Paulus, Heinrich Eberhard Gottleb.
Pavia, Synod of.
Parrone, Giovanni.
Pisa, Councilis of.
Planck, Gottlieb Jakob.
Planck, Heinrich Ludwig.
Polemies, §§ 1—4.
Prussia, I.
Rhegius, Urbanus.
Sagittarius, Kaspar.
Sarpi, Paolo.
Schoenherr, Johann Heinrich.
Schuermann, Anna Maria von.
Siena, Synod of.
Speratus, Paul.
Spinola, Cristoval Rojas de.
Staphylus, Friedrich.
Sutel, Johann.
Syncretism, & Syncretistic Controversies.
Thorn, Conference of.
Tradition. Freemasons. French Revolution, Religious Efects Thorn, Conference of. Tradition. TURNER, FENNELL PARRISH, B.A. Student Volunteer Movement for Foreign Missions. TZSCHIRNER, P. M. (†), Ph.D. Niedner, Christian Wilhelm. Tzschirner, Heinrich Gottlieb. UHLHORN, FRIEDRICH.
Uhlhorn, Johann Gerhard Wilhelm. UHLHORN, JOHANN GERHARD
WILHELM (†), Th.D.
Calendar Brethren.
Church Discipline, III.
Church Visitations.
Clement of Rome.
Clementina.
Diognetus, Epistle to.
Doultheus the Samaritan.
Ebionites. Ebionites. Elkesaites.

Ernest the Confessor and the Reference mation in Brunswick-Lusseburg.

Essenes. rasenes. Fabricius, Johann. Frisians. Goths.

Warfield-

UHLHORN-HLHORN— Gotthard, Saint. Hamelmann, Hermann. Harms, Georg Ludwig Detlev Theodor. Hermas Hermogenes.
Ignatius of Antioch.
John, Saint, Order of Hospitalers of.
Liudger, Saint.
Marcellus.
Maximinus Thrax, Caius Julius
Verus. Verus.
Mejer, Otto Karl Alexander.
Menander.
Muenchmeyer, August Friedrich Otto.
Natalis Alexander.
Nerva, Marcus Cocceius.
Social Service of the Church, IV.
Teutonic Order.
Theban Legion. ULMANN, HEINRICH, Ph.D. Hutten, Ulrich von. UNDERWOOD, HORACE GRANT, D.D. Korea. VAN ARSDALE, HENRY.
Psychotherapy and Christian Science
(in Appendix). VAN PELT, JOHN ROBERT, Ph.D., S.T.B. Mormons, II. VARRENTRAPP, KONRAD, Ph.D., Th.D. Gropper, Johann. Herman of Wied. VAYHINGER, MONROE, D.D. Theological Seminaries, VIII., 8. VEDDER, HENRY CLAY, D.D. Theological Seminaries, I., 3. Theological Seminaries, I., 3.

VEEN, SIETSE DOUWES VAN
Th.D.
Des Marets, Samuel.
Doedes, Jacobus Issak.
Gomarus, Franciscus.
Groen van Prinsterer, Guillaume.
Groningen School.
Hattem, Pontiaan van, Hattemists.
Heidanus, Abraham.
Herman of Ryswick.
Hofstede, Petrus.
Hofstede de Groot, Petrus.
Leusden, Johannes.
Loudenstein, Jodocus van.
Lubbertus Sibrandus.
Lydius. Leusden, Johannes.
Lodenstein, Jodocus van.
Lubbertus Sibrandus.
Ludius.
Maccovius, Johannes
Marnix, Philips van.
Merula, Angelus.
Micronius, Martinus.
Oosterzee, Jan Jakob van.
Palm, Johannes Henricus van der.
Pradinius, Regnerus.
Reformed (Dutch) Church, I.
Revius, Jacobus.
Rivet, André.
Roëll, Hermann Alexander.
Ruysbroeck, Jan van.
Schortinghuis, Willem.
Spanheim, Escehiel, Baron.
Spanheim, Friedrich, the Elder.
Spanheim, Friedrich, the Younger.
Summs der Godliker Scrifturen.
Taffin, Jean.
Traflindk.
Til, Salomon van.
Troorenenbergen, Johan Justus van.
Trigland, Jacobus.
Uytenbogsert, Jan.
Venema, Hermannus.
Voetius, Gisbertus.
Vorst, Konrad.
Voss, Gerard Jan.
Wasigus, Antonius.
Wessel, Johann.
Windesheim, Monastery of.
Witsius, Hermannus.
Yvon, Pierre.
Zerbolt van Zuetphen, Gerard.

VIÉNOT, JOHN, Th.D. Martin, David. Massillon, Jean Baptiste. Toussain, Daniel. Toussain, Pierre. VINCENT, MARVIN RICHARDSON, D.D. Carlyle, Thomas. Dante Alighieri. VINSON, JOHN.
Living God, Church of the. Vinson, Robert Ernest, D.D., LL.D. Theological Seminaries, XI., b, 1. Virgin, Edward Harmon, B.A. Theological Seminaries, XIV., 3. VISCHER, EBERHARD, Th.D. Schultz, Hermann. Utenheim, Christoph von. Werenfels, Peter. Werenfels, Samuel. Vogt, Wilhelm, Ph.D. Brunfels, Otto. Peasants' War. Schappeler, Christoph. VOGTHEER, FRIEDRICH, Dr.Jur. Vogtheer, Georg. Vogtheer, Heinrich. VOIGT, ANDREW GEORGE, D.D. Theological Seminaries, VII., 12. Volce, Wilhelm (†), Ph.D., Th.D. Aram, Arameans, and the Aramaic Language, §§ 1-4.
Ark of the Covenant, §§ 1-5. Azazel. Balaam. Eve. Habakkuk. Haevernick, Heirrich Andreas Christoph. Jonah. Jonah.
Joshua.
Joshua.
Book of.
Kings, Books of.
Malschi, Book of.
Micah.
Nahum.
Noah.
Obadiah. VUILLEUMIER, HENRI, Th.D. Ruchat, Abraham. Wagenmann, Julius August (†), Th.D.
Ehrenfeuchter, Friedrich August
Eduard. Eduard.
Faber, Johannes, 1, 3.
Rettberg, Friedrich Wilhelm.
Schoeberiein, Ludwig Friedrich.
Schroeckh, Johann Matthias.
Spalding, Johann Joschim.
Stæudlin, Karl Friedrich.
Toeilner, Johann Gottlieb. WAITZ, HANS, Th.D. Simon Magus. WILLISTON, Walker, D.D. Theological Seminaries, III., 8. WALLENIUS, CARL GIDEON, B.A. Theological Seminaries, VIII., 7. WALLIS, SAMUEL ALFRED, D.D. Theological Seminaries, XIV., 8. WALTHER, WILHELM MARKUS, Th.D.
George III. of Anhalt.
Gregory I., the Great. WARBURTON, STACY REUBEN. Warfield, Benjamin Breckin-Ridge, D.D., LL.D.

Agnosticism. Annihilationism.

Antitrinitarianism. Apologetics. Atheism. Atonement. Baptism, IV., 1. Calvinism. Imputation.
Jesus Christ, A.
Renewal. WARNECK, GUSTAV (†), Ph.D., Th.D. Missions to the Heathen, B. Weltz, Justinian, Freiherr von. WARREN, WILLIAM FAIRFIELD, S.T.D., LL.D. Theological Seminaries, VIII., 2. Washburn, George, D.D., LL.D. Turkey. Wasserschleben, Frie Wilhelm Hermann FRIEDRICH Ph.D. Incorporation. Insterstitia. WEBB, GEORGE THOMAS. Young People's Societies, I. WEBER, HENRY JACOB, Ph.D., D.D. Theological Seminaries, XI., a, 2. WEIDNER, REVERE FRANKLIN, D.D., S.T.D., LL.D. Theological Seminaries, VII., 8. WEISS, JOHANNES, Th.D.
Asia Minor in the Apostolic Time.
Crete in the Apostolic Age.
Demoniac.
Ethnarch. Greece, I.
Macedonia in the Apostolic Age.
World. WEISS, NATHANIEL.
Neuchâtel, Independent Evangelical
Church of (supplement). WEIZSAECKER, CARL HEINRICH VON (†), Ph.D., Th.D. Hegesippus. Hirscher, Johann Baptist. Schmid, Christian Friedrich. WERNER, AUGUST WILHELM ERNST, Th.D. Adalbert. Adalbard and Wala. Amandus.
Boniface, Saint.
Bruno of Querfurt.
Clement. Ebo. Franck, Johann. Herder, Johann Gottfried. Werner, Johann Jakob. Sequence. WESSEL, LOUIS.
Theological Seminaries, VII., 6. WESWIG, CARL MARCUS, A.B., B.D. Theological Seminaries, VII., 18. WHITE, JOHN CAMPBELL, Movement, Laymen's Missionary. WHITFIELD, EDWARD ELIHU, M.A. Kelly, William. Mackintosh, Charles Henry. Newton, Benjamin Wills. Plymouth Brethren. Stuart, Clarence Esme. Wigram, George Vicesimus. WHITLOCK, FRANCIS METHERALL. Bible Christians (Bryanites). Schauffer, Albert Henry. Slavic Missions in the United States. Wiegand, Friedrich Ludwig Leonhard, Ph.D., Th.D. Crusades. Haito.

. us of Aquileia. rius. ulf of Orléans.

GERTH VAN (†), Ph.D. Christo Sacrum.
Da Costa, Izaak.
Hague Association.
Jansenist Church in Holland

ILBUR, EARL MORSE, D.D. Theological Seminaries, XVIII., 2.

WILLIAMS, LEIGHTON, D.D. Kingdom, Brotherhood of the.

WILLIAMS, SAMUEL WELLS (†), LL.D. Confucius.

WILLIAMSON, ALBERT EDMUND.
Millennial Dawn.

WILLSON, DAVID BURT, D.D. Theological Seminaries, XII., 1.

WILSON, JOSEPH DAWSON, D.D. Daniel, Book of, VI. Jehoiakim (supplement).

WITTICH, ERNST, Ph.D., Th.D. Wuerttemberg.

WOELFFLIN, EDUARD VON (†), Ph.D. Ammianus Marcellinus.

Wolff, Paul (†).
Hilten, Johann.
Hollats, David.
Pratorius, Abdias.
Pratorius, Stephan.
Teller, Romanus.
Teller, Wilhelm Abraham.

WORCESTER, WILLIAM LORING, B.A. Theological Seminaries, X., 1.

WRIGHT, MARY ANGELINE.
Palestine Exploration Fund.

WUELKER, RICHARD PAUL (†), Ph.D. Cædmon. Cynewulf.

WUENSCHE, KARL AUGUST, Ph.D., Th.D. Cabala. Rashi. Shekinah. Tephillin.

Young, Ph.D. CLARENCE ANDREW, Presbyterians, VIII., 7.

YOUTZ, HERBERT ALDEN, Ph.D. New Thought.

ZAHN, FRANZ THEODOR RITTER VON, Th.D., Litt.D.

Agape.
Alogi.
Avercius of Hieropolis.
Biblical Introduction, II.
Canon of Scripture, II.
Harmony of the Gospels, I.
Hebrews, Epistle to the. Irenaus. John the Apostle. Muratorian Canon. Paul the Apostle, I. Regula Fidei.

ZEHNPFUND, RUDOLF, Ph.D. Frankincense. Hyssop. Mourning Customs, Hebrew. Ointment.

ZEHNPFUND-Taxes, Tax-Gatherers (Publicans). Tithes, I. Vehicles, Hebrew. Work and Saddle Animals, Hebrew.

ZIEGLER, HEINRICH. Keim, Karl Theodor.

ZIEGLER, THEOBALD, Ph.D. Strauss, David Friedrich.

ZIMMER, HEINRICH, Ph.D.
Bible Versions, B., II.
Celtic Church in Britain and Ire-

ZIMMERMANN, WILHELM, Ph.D. Gustav-Adolf-Verein.

Zimmermann, Wilhelm, Ph.D.
Gustav-Adolf-Verein.

Zoeckler, Otto (†), Ph.D., Th.D.
Aeta Martyrum, Acta Sanctorum.
Adam the Scotchman.
Agnes, Saint.
Agonizants,
Alcantara, Order of.
Alexians.
Allombrados.
Ambrosians.
Angelicals.
Anne, Saint.
Annunciation, Orders of the.
Anselm of Laon.
Anthony, Saint, Orders of.
Aquileia, Patriarchate and Synods.
Aquileian Creed.
Aquileian Creed.
Augustinians.
Aviz, Order of.
Bacon, Roger.
Bartholomites.
Bartholomites.
Benedict of Nursia and the Benedictine Order, IV
Bethlehemites.
Brictinans.
Bridget, Saint, of Kildare.
Calatrava, Order of.
Calendar, The Christian.
Calvary, Mount, Orders of.
Capuchins.
Carmelites.
Carthusians.
Catharine, Saint, of Genos.
Catharine, Saint, of Genos.
Catharine, Saint, of Sienna.
Catharine, Saint,

Cordova.
Cosmas and Damian, Saints.
Coreation and Preservation of the
World.
Credner, Karl August.
Cross, Orders of the.
Cyriacus.
Elizabeth, Saint, Sisters of.
English Ladies.
Escobar, Marina de.
Escobar y Mendosa, Antonio.
Eudes, Jean, and the Eudists.
Eustachius, Saint.
Exercitia Spiritualia.
Feuillants.
Florensians.

Florensians. Fonseca. Fontévrault, Order of. Foreiro, Francisco.
Francis, Saint, of Assisi, and the
Franciscan Order.
Francis, Saint, of Paola.
Franciscali.

Fructuosus of Braga. Fructuosus of Tarragona. Genevieve. Genevieve, Saint, Orders of. George, Saint.

ZOECKLER-

OECKLER—
Gerard.
Gertrude.
Gilbert, Saint, of Sempringham.
Grammont, Order of.
Grau, Rudolf Friedrich.
Gualberto, Giovanni.
Hanne, Johann Wilhelm.
Hansiz, Marcus.
Hartzheim, Joseph von.
Helpers in Need, The Fourteen
Helyot, Pierre.
Henriquez, Henricus.
Hessels, Johann Heinrich.
Hieronymites.
Hippolytus, Saint, Brothers or Hospitalers of.
Holy Goat.
Holy Ghost, Orders and Congregations of the.
Holy Sepulcher, Orders of the.
Hospitalers.
Hug, Johann Leonhard.
Hugo of St. Victor.
Humilisti.
James, Saint, of Compostella, Order of.
Jerome.
Jesuate.

Jerome.
Jesuate.
John-Bonites.
Joseph, the Husband of Mary.
Knobel, August Wilhelm.
Kuehnoel, Christian Gottlieb.
Labbe, Philippe.
Lazarists.
Leander, Saint.
Lebwin.
Lehnin Prophecy.
Le Quien, Michel.
Liguori, Alfonso Maris di, and th
Redemptorist Order.
Loreto.

Redemptorist Order.
Loreto.
Loreto.
Lully, Raymond.
Macarius.
Magdalene, Orders of St. Mary
Magic.
Magister Sacri Palatii.
Malvenda, Tomas.
Man.
Maria de Agreda.
Mariana, Juan de.
Marianists.
Martyrs, The Forty.
Mary, Mother of Jesus Christ.
Medardus, Saint.
Molina, Luis, Molinism.
Molinos, Miguel de.
Monte Cassino.
Neri, Philip, Saint, and the Oratorians.

Neri, Philip, Saint, and the Oans.
New Manicheans.
Nicholas of Myra.
Nilus.
Nolasco, Saint Peter.
Œcumenius.
Olivi, Pierre Jean.
Overberg, Bernhard Heinrich.
Paccanari, Nicolo.
Pacianus.

Pacianus. Palladius. Palladius.
Pasagians.
Pasagians.
Pasagians.
Paslonists.
Pauperes Catholici.
Pentecost, II.
Petavius, Dionysius.
Peter of Alcantars.
Peter the Apostle, Festivals of.
Peter Martyr.
Peter's Pence.
Philo of Alexandria.
Phoeas, Saint.
Piarists.
Pick, Israel.

Pick, Israel. Pius Societies. Polytheism. Pomerius, Julianus. Pomerius, Junanus.
Positivism.
Probabilism.
Pulcheria.
Redeemer, Order of the.
Reservation, Mental.
Rinaldi, Odorico.

Rosary.

Sabas.
Sack Brethren.
Saint-Maur, Congregation of
Salmanticenses. Secularism. Servatius, Saint.

ZOECKLER.—
Servites.
Seven, The Sacred Number.
Seven Sleepers of Ephesus.
Smaragdus.
Socinus, Faustus, Socinians.
Somsschians.
Soto, Domingo de.
Soto, Petrus de.
Southeott, Joanna, and the Southcottians.
Spee, Friedrich von.

ZOECKLER—
Spina, Alfonso de.
Suarez, Francisco.
Sunday, I.
Surius, Laurentius.
Tertiaries.
Thereas, Saint.
Vespers.
Vigils.
Vincent Ferrer, Saint.
Wieseler, Karl.
Witchcraft and Witch Trials, I.

ZOECKLER—
Women, Roman Catholic Congregations of.

ZOEPFFEL, RICHARD OTTO (†), Ph.D., Th.D. Romanus.

ZWEMER, SAMUEL MARINUS, D.D.
Mohammedan Propagandism and
Opposition to Christianity.
Mohammedans, Missions to.



·

.

·

1

